Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2006-10

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2006-10-01 through 2006-10-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,550

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: steve the annymouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 00:33:44 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bjkbo.27j.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166017

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:ua4th2lc1rat0obhbtro03hdrm4kdi906k@4ax.com...
On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six,
who
>is the Troll?
steve it doesn't happen

(Psssst! Look at the headers, Doofus. I'm not Steve)

2. Re: My Dick is a quarter wave on 144 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 00:42:49 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159663368.993099.302110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> Now thats a hunk of meat!
>
>

hey mork you slimmy cocksucker.............
so, you snip AUK but leave the others, you filthy coward

3. Re: My Dick is a quarter wave on 144 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 00:49:43 UTC
From: Rayburn <rayburnsweet@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <d5b7d$451f107a$8b37073e$18506@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 166020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

I heard your wife say you wrote the wrong frequency....She said its supposed
to be 14400.000

<slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1159653848.456392.91870@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Now thats a hunk of meat!
>

4. Woger is back in jail this weekend and all is quiet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:05:14 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <78910017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Life is good when Woger is gone.
```

5. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:06:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 166023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>
>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>
>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards

>
>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>really need a theory exam for?
you tell me

I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
term and the rules involved in the ARS

don't you think it does that?

> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.

and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
it was found to be to our benifit

> They feel they
>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>operation?
>
>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".

pput on such hats as you please
>
>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.

agreed
>One has to
>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
or to real;ize where we are today
> Having said that, CW
>is not an obsolete mode by any means;

it is obslete
>it is timeless. It was a viable
>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.

which does not prevent it from being oselte

the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
come

it is none the less obeslete
> It's spectrum efficient
>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.

and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
wish to use it
> It's just
>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.

what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
better off leting it go the way of Spark
>
>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>obtuse.
and insulting
> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.

which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
today
> It needs operators with
>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the

>highest bidder.
>
>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
to achive your end

for that matter so will I
>
>- - . . . . . - -
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

6. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:07:03 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <451f1404$0$89633$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 166022

In <1159649798.193127.52380@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:

>From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 7:23 am

>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:

[...]

>>Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than enough basis for your
>>peers to judge.

> "Peers?" :-)

> I have only a Commercial radio operator license, not an
> amateur radio one. I've been involved and experienced in
> radio communications since 1953. There are about three
> quarter million US amateur radio licenses granted but
> there are about 300 million US citizens. I am in the
> latter group. How can you say "my peers?"

I chose the word "peers" very carefully and deliberately here. I anticipated that you would want to define who your "peers" are, and that they would not be us.

As I noted previously, "Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than enough evidence for your peers to judge," regardless of who you define your "peers" to be.

[...]

> I'm just asking some questions here, Paul, trying to get
> clarification on what is permissible under the to-be
> "moderation" to happen. The to-be rules seem to be fleeting,
> changing direction, having individuals re-defined as to
> "(mis)conduct". It is difficult to keep up. Obvious filth
> and perversion is being posted in here daily by others,
> yet you go on and on about a Professional Association in an
> AMATEUR radio newsgroup. Confusing.

To repeat what I said previously, which should be clear enough to everyone else on this newsgroup:

"I can't predict for certain in advance what the final form of a moderated newsgroup would be, or if it would even be voted into existence on the first attempt. Specific approval/disapproval of articles would have to wait for submission of those articles, and would have to be decided upon by the moderation team, not just me.

However, other moderated newsgroups that are considered successful usually consider the following behavior to be grounds for a temporary or permanent ban:

- Provocation/Prevarication

- Arguing against those that agree with you (i.e., arguing for the sake of arguing)/Filibustering/'Grease' (extending debate by avoiding direct rejoinder)

- Name-calling/uncivil tone/disrespect for newsgroup participants

- Trying to argue both ways/applying different standards of evidence to yourself versus others

- Trying to justify the above behavior with, 'But *he* started it!'

In particular, I don't think there's a moderator of *any* existing newsgroup that would accept the last argument as justification."

And if you think that these standards, if adopted, would be unfairly applied only to you, you would be quite mistaken.

I'm sure that you'll have plenty of comments once the RFD is posted here.

> With most bestest regards,

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You're still not getting a "73" from me.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

7. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:07:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <555uh29it0lta1n61vk38htd2v25751e3d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 30 Sep 2006 15:57:37 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> > An apology is all it takes, but they seem to be in short supply.
>>
>> Yes...Mark SHOULD apologize...but won't or can't.
>>
>> Yes, it WAS peaceful during Mark's hiatus. He should go away more often.
>>
>> > No, it wasn't. Robesin was busy accusing others of homosexuality and
>> > pedophilia. That is not peace.
>>
>> He was? I didn't *see* that. Steve responded to Mork...nobody else so far as
>> I noted.
>> Perhaps you, like Mark, embellish just a teensy bit, eh?
>
>Of course you didn't see it. Of course you think I embellish just a
>teensy bit. Of course you think Mark should apologize. Of course you
>think it was peaceful when Mark took leave.

and Mark has apologized
I am sorry that I have found it needfull to use such tactics I
apologize again to the NG in general
>
>You are Robesin.
he certainly seems to be
>
>I've corrected the above so the attributions are right, so quit
>screwing it up.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

8. Re: steve the annymouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:09:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s75uh2l1oc8fiokok6icc12n5q5ts58j3n@4ax.com>
Source record: 166025

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:33:44 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:ua4th2lc1rat0obhbtro03hdrm4kdi906k@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
><DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
>>When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six,
>who
>>is the Troll?
>steve it doesn't happen
>
>(Psssst! Look at the headers, Doofus. I'm not Steve)
yes are steve you made that plain when you first used this nick steve

you make it cleear everytime you post with it

you are stve the lying sack of shit
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

9. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:09:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <zzETg.3638$DU3.2826@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:r_iTg.678$Lv3.664@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>> .
>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when you
>>>>> follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering you.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-. ....
>>>> .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .-- . / .-
>>>> .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. / .- -...
>>>> --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>
>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice coming
>>>along?
>>
>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>> already.
>
>
> I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
> out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>
> Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
```

>
> SC

They already have it. Most call them "extras". "K5xx here, how's your prostrate today, Phil? K5xx, over."

10. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:11:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sb5uh29vsphm9edvofrsstmuahco5km10s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166027

On 30 Sep 2006 20:07:03 -0500, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net>
wrote:

>In <1159649798.193127.52380@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com> "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:
>
>>From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 7:23 am
>
>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>
> [...]
>
>>>Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than enough basis for your
>>>peers to judge.
>
>> "Peers?" :-)
>
>> I have only a Commercial radio operator license, not an
>> amateur radio one. I've been involved and experienced in
>> radio communications since 1953. There are about three
>> quarter million US amateur radio licenses granted but
>> there are about 300 million US citizens. I am in the
>> latter group. How can you say "my peers?"
>
>I chose the word "peers" very carefully and deliberately here. I
>anticipated that you would want to define who your "peers" are, and that
>they would not be us.
>
>As I noted previously, "Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than
>enough evidence for your peers to judge," regardless of who you define
>your "peers" to be.
in a word Bullshit

yours is the misconduct
>
> [...]
>
>> I'm just asking some questions here, Paul, trying to get
>> clarification on what is permissible under the to-be
>> "moderation" to happen. The to-be rules seem to be fleeting,
>> changing direction, having individuals re-defined as to
>> "(mis)conduct". It is difficult to keep up. Obvious filth
>> and perversion is being posted in here daily by others,
>> yet you go on and on about a Professional Association in an
>> AMATEUR radio newsgroup. Confusing.

>
>To repeat what I said previously, which should be clear enough to
>everyone else on this newsgroup:
>
>
>"I can't predict for certain in advance what the final form of a
>moderated newsgroup would be, or if it would even be voted into
>existence on the first attempt. Specific approval/disapproval of
>articles would have to wait for submission of those articles, and would
>have to be decided upon by the moderation team, not just me.
>
>However, other moderated newsgroups that are considered successful
>usually consider the following behavior to be grounds for a temporary or
>permanent ban:
>
>- Provocation/Prevarication
>
>- Arguing against those that agree with you (i.e., arguing for the sake
> of arguing)/Filibustering/'Grease' (extending debate by avoiding
> direct rejoinder)
>
>- Name-calling/uncivil tone/disrespect for newsgroup participants
>
>- Trying to argue both ways/applying different standards of evidence to
> yourself versus others
>
>- Trying to justify the above behavior with, 'But *he* started it!'
>
>In particular, I don't think there's a moderator of *any* existing
>newsgroup that would accept the last argument as justification."
>
>And if you think that these standards, if adopted, would be unfairly
>applied only to you, you would be quite mistaken.
>
>I'm sure that you'll have plenty of comments once the RFD is posted
>here.
>
>> With most bestest regards,
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>You're still not getting a "73" from me.
and you are not from

you support the abuse of some people by others
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

11. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:12:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <te5uh2hmh79eph1jdsm46qljgerrhpvlnl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

On 30 Sep 2006 17:42:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Now thats a hunk of meat!
>>
>>
>

>so, you snip AUK but leave the others, you filthy coward
why not the auk are just your target trying to troll or them for more
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

12. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:13:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0i5uh2l35794rj1l7uso8p50dotn1nr75q@4ax.com>
Source record: 166029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 01:05:14 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>Life is good when Woger is gone.
not likely you are just trying to provoke him
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

13. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:15:03 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <451f1627$0$89633$540ea2cf@novia.net>
Source record: 166030

In <1159649653.026547.181010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:

>From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am

>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:

>>> But, I digress. Your chief interest seems to be in
>>> trying to destroy the credibility of a not-licensed in
>>> the amateur radio service person (although one who has
>>> been licensed as a Commercial radio operator since
>>> 1956). Have you really done that? Are you really
>>> going to nit-pick about an old posting by another and
>>> reference a 1968 Time magazine article? Yes, I'm sure
>>> you really, really WANT to do that! :-)
>>
>>What an obnoxious quibble.

> Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?

Considering the dictionary definition of quibble
(http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/quibble):

-noun
1. an instance of the use of ambiguous, prevaricating, or
irrelevant language or arguments to evade a point at issue.
2. the general use of such arguments.
3. petty or carping criticism; a minor objection.
-verb (used without object)
4. to equivocate.
5. to carp; cavil.

the term "true quibble" is an oxymoron, and likely a "meta-quibble" of
its own.

Unless you're trying to argue that it *truly* was a quibble, in which
case I will agree.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

14. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:15:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159665337.360689.305100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>
get help forgers

15. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:18:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159665483.243257.80360@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166032

Paul W. Schleck wrote:
> In <1159649653.026547.181010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> writes:
>
> >From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am

> >>What an obnoxious quibble.
>
> > Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?
>
> Considering the dictionary definition of quibble
> (http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/quibble):
way not try avoiding pissing contest yourself

in only choosing to attack ONEside you take part in the on going fight
Paul and nobody as not neutral as yourself is going to be trusted very
far on proposaing anything to end the combat that is the standard on
RRAP

16. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:30:17 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159666217.027734.282960@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> >
> >

> Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now

17. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:44:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159667056.586603.98450@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > >
> > >
>
> > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now

It's come full circle. ;^)
```

18. NOMINATION: OoTHS Re: Indian Temples in USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:50:59 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.01.50.56.412295@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166035
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.military,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.comp.virus

```
On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 15:33:23 -0700, kb9rqz69 attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>> NRI Events wrote:
>> > Hello Everybody,
>> >
>> > Happy Navaratri & Happy Dasara
>> >
>> > I found excellent yellow pages about
>> > Indian Temples in USA.
>> >
>> > http://www.nrievents.com/indiantemples.htm
>> >
>> > And also info about latest NRI News and NRI Events and NRI services.
>> >
>> > Regards,
>>
>> Indian women have good pussy, but they fat and ugly after age 40.
>
> yep,but at least you posted about a cunt. most hams rather cock.

You know, I didn't think there'd be one this month, but here y'are!

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com Date: 30 Sep
2006 14:57:27 -0700 From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
In-Reply-To: <1159608825.311857.232140@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Injection-Info: i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.116.10;
posting-account=q_ZksQ0AAAD29aXannu84dIEBSfZCiap Lines: 19
Message-ID: <1159653447.187567.202110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:57:32 +0000 (UTC)
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.116.10
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.military
Organization: http://groups.google.com Path:
pd7urb1no!pd7cy4no!pd7cy3no!shaw.ca!newscon04.news.prodigy.net!prodigy.net!newshub.sdsu.ed
u!postnews.google.com!i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
References: <1159608825.311857.232140@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Subject: Re: Indian Temples in USA User-Agent: G2/1.0
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com X-HTTP-UserAgent:
Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; AOL 9.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1;
yie6),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe) X-HTTP-Via: HTTP/1.1 (Velocity/1.3.32
[uScHs f p eN:t cCUp s ]), HTTP/1.1 Turboweb [mtc-tb081 8.4.1], HTTP/1.1
```

cache-mtc-aa06.proxy.aol.com[400C740A] (Traffic-Server/6.1.2 [uScM])
X-Received-Date: Sat, 30 Sep 2006 15:57:32 MDT (pd7urb1no) X-Trace:
posting.google.com 1159653452 31788 127.0.0.1 (30 Sep 2006 21:57:32
GMT) Xref: pd7hu0no rec.radio.amateur.policy:30299264
alt.baldspot:101193 rec.radio.cb:30362484 alt.usenet.kooks:31414062
alt.military:30283546 MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

From Reston, VA, via AOL.

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com Date: 30 Sep
2006 15:33:23 -0700 From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com In-Reply-To:
<1159653447.187567.202110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Injection-Info: i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.116.200;
posting-account=oH2fLw0AAABqqyeVR8r5eZKtK68XLxPK Lines: 22
Message-ID:
<1159655603.705764.270880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com> NNTP-Posting-Date:
Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:33:29 +0000 (UTC) NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.116.200
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.military
Organization: http://groups.google.com Path:
pd7urb2no!pd7cy2no!pd7cy1no!shaw.ca!newscon04.news.prodigy.net!prodigy.net!newshub.sdsu.ed
u!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!postnews.google.com!i42g2000cwa.googlegr
oups.com!not-for-mail
References: <1159608825.311857.232140@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
<1159653447.187567.202110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com> Subject:
Re: Indian Temples in USA User-Agent: G2/1.0
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com X-HTTP-UserAgent:
Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; AOL 9.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1;
yie6),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe) X-HTTP-Via: HTTP/1.1 (Velocity/1.3.32
[uScHs f p eN:t cCUp s]), HTTP/1.1 Turboweb [dtc-tc104 8.4.1], HTTP/1.1
cache-dtc-ad06.proxy.aol.com[CDBC74C8] (Traffic-Server/6.1.2 [uScM])
X-Received-Date: Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:33:29 MDT (pd7urb2no) X-Trace:
posting.google.com 1159655609 3143 127.0.0.1 (30 Sep 2006 22:33:29
GMT) Xref: pd7hu0no rec.radio.amateur.policy:30299271
alt.baldspot:101219 rec.radio.cb:30362489 alt.usenet.kooks:31414069
alt.military:30283552 MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

From Reston, VA, via AOL.

Order of the Holey Sockpuppet

A special Kookternity comprised of those most prolific in the art of,
albeit thinly, disguising their net.presence behind whatever nose and
glasses they can concoct. A Kook Art that came into its own, and received
its name, from the work of the late purveyor to the cotton-bound masses,
Earl Curley.

On account of his morphing sock-puppetry in Google and talking to his
socks as though they were other posters (in spite of the unlikely scenario

that a bunch of people in Reston, Virginia have unilaterally chosen to post to RRAP, using only nyms which lame other regulars in that same group, and all of them replyable, at that, since they are always posted through Google), I, Snarky, do hereby nominate for the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet: the poster known as "Wabbit".

Seconds?

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

19. Re: Who IS this guy????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 01:57:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <018uh2t415mjlr1h1k0klc4uldrc5rk09s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:53:02 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

http://www.mininggazette.com/
and no such story exists after all if I had been arested yesterday at
11 pm as you claim I could not make bail till monday
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

20. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:27:51 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <l19uh21c32cdidrdsoe7022a1g278m2e4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:57:18 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 11:18:30 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>>>> Nice strawman. The pixels form a full complete picture. Beeps are just
>>>>> beeps.
>
>>>And speech is just noise if you don't understand it.
>
>>Speech has infection.
>
>Not if you keep your saliva to yourself.

My bad. Was supposed to be "inflection". Aren't spell checkers
supposed to read your mind?? ;-)

>> You can say the same sentence 10 different ways
>>and it can have 10 different meanings depending on the emotion invoked
>>in the speech. You know....the HUMAN element.
>
>ANY communication you don't understand, including CW, is like that.
>You can't tell us what candy tastes like if you're standing on the
>street looking through the window of the store.
>
>>>>> One dimensional. That they form a recognizable pattern does not
>>>>> make them more. Humans are highly visual creatures.
>
>>>Which is why it was said, for many centuries, I suppose, that it's
>>>speech that separates us from the animals. (We're a lot less visually
>>>oriented than a lot of other species.)
>
>>See above.
>
>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>discuss it.

Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
from vision than any other sense. Now, that's NOT to say that we have

the best vision in the animal kingdom. Our vision is refined and
depended on at the expense of our other senses. Ever have a pet cat or
dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
Humans need some degree of assistance.

>>>>>I have listened to
>>>>> code for years. Being able to make out a few letters does nothing for
>>>>> me.
>
>>>I have listened to Turkish for years. Being able to make out a few
>>>words does nothing for me.
>
>>>But there are a lot of Turks who feel otherwise.
>
>>Bet you can easily tell what kind of a mood the speaker is in just by
>>his tone.
>
>I can tell that on the air too - in CW. I can't tell it here, so I
>guess you'll be leaving Usenet.

Why would I want to leave usenet? You're not making any sense. Want to
use CW? Go right ahead, you have that right. Nobody has ever said that
you shouldn't be able to.

>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>was mad at me.
>
>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>medium, you can tell.

I never said I could tell by her words. Usent is text, by the way, not
visual.

>Or you just don't know what you're talking about.
>
>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.

Not quite sure what point you are making here.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

21. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:38:24 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <qt9uh2d7om8v6urm715ngkft0nmi0j0pq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>thusly:
>
>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>
>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>is better.
>
>And pixels show emotion?

This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.

I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
pixels on a screen.

>When you actually get into high school, let us know.

That was uncalled for and childish. Your arguments are based on a
false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
useless. We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test. I
question those who say it's as good as a human voice. It isn't and you
can't say otherwise. Romanticize it all you want. It is what it is.
Nothing more.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

22. Re: REMEMBER: I can whip you asses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:43:21 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159670601.199694.239640@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.don-imus,rec.radio.cb

N9OGL wrote:
> A WORD TO THE WISE FOR DORKS LIKE BLOW CODE AND THE FORGER.
>
> Todd, N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
> THE LAVENDER STATION
> 13.556.00 LSB

Hey Toad,
Marqueer isn't taking care of me right at home. I have a 9 inch cock
and 38D boobs.............

WANNA PARTY?????????????

23. the forger can't get anything right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:48:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159670900.089967.279110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.don-imus,rec.radio.cb

Gavrie...@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>

> Marqueer isn't taking care of me right at home. I have a 9 inch cock
> and 38D boobs.............

you can't her gender right or even the size of her tits forger

24. Re: Who IS this guy????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:52:52 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159671172.652128.300600@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:53:02 -0500, Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> > (Gazette report snipped)
>
> The thing that worries me the most is that he could turn out to be yet
> another nut case like that guy in Colorado who walked into a school
> with a couple of guns last week and killed an innocent girl and
> himself.
or you could turn out to be such a killer

>
> Why don't the people in Michigan wise up and put him away?
for what?
> I mean, he
> doesn't even know CW, and the Colorodo killer didn't know CW either.

so what
> That's too much of a coincidence to let slip by.
>
> If you don't know CW, there is a really good chance you are a psycho
> child killer. There is not one nutcase killer who has ever been known
> to have any CW skills, so CW ops are the good guys. As Lennie would
> say, QED. Lock up the no-coders.
get help steve

25. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:53:38 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <50buh2994btd26dtaoq0i5qhi7kjo4s23o@4ax.com>
Source record: 166044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>discuss it.

>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>from vision than any other sense.

We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
even written words.

>Ever have a pet cat or
>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>Humans need some degree of assistance.

Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
else.

>Why would I want to leave usenet?

You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
you're being inconsistent.

>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>was mad at me.

>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>medium, you can tell.

>I never said I could tell by her words.

That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
is just a red herring.

> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.

I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

>>>>Your insularity is showing.

>>>Not insularity...humanity.

>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.

>Not quite sure what point you are making here.

The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

26. Re: Roger's Roomie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:53:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <umauh29nqdgibk05j178jo4pgsttur38uc@4ax.com>
Source record: 166042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer, Roger spun
>two or three tales of the incident. One such "story" by Roger was that Roger
>wasn't home and had gone to the store, leaving his girl friend alone in the
>house.
>Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of
>Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
>porch.
>She's a cutie, Roger. You deserve no less.
more of your fraud stev? why bother trying to distance yourself from
roger now
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

27. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:53:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <65buh2t9bndr26k2ki3alh1tlha9a037vl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:23:02 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
nothing your crude harrassment steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

28. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 02:56:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159671372.199668.162150@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

> > Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
> I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
iondeed we all knew you were not reading the text of anybody Klenex

29. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:00:08 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <qdbuh25d8unuc2ore6rb8ukrdf4ge3frna@4ax.com>
Source record: 166046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>thusly:
>>
>>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>>
>>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>>is better.
>>
>>And pixels show emotion?
>
>This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.

Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>pixels on a screen.

No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.

>That was uncalled for and childish.

It was completely called for.

>Your arguments are based on a
>false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
>useless.

The original discussion was about requiring it, not banning it. My
attention span's not that short.

> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.

So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's

being childish.

>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.

How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

>It isn't and you can't say otherwise.

Sure I can - I understand and use it - you don't, so you can't
intelligently discuss what it is or isn't at all.

30. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:03:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159671782.638527.316370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>

> >That was uncalled for and childish.
>
> It was completely called for.
hi steve

31. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:08:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1159672101.967933.66200@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 30 2006 3:57 pm

>Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
>> > An apology is all it takes, but they seem to be in short supply.
>
>> Yes...Mark SHOULD apologize...but won't or can't.
>
>> Yes, it WAS peaceful during Mark's hiatus. He should go away more often.
>
>> > No, it wasn't. Robesin was busy accusing others of homosexuality and
>> > pedophilia. That is not peace.
>
>> He was? I didn't *see* that. Steve responded to Mork...nobody else so far as
>> I noted.
>> Perhaps you, like Mark, embellish just a teensy bit, eh?
>
>Of course you didn't see it. Of course you think I embellish just a
>teensy bit. Of course you think Mark should apologize. Of course you
>think it was peaceful when Mark took leave.
>
>You are Robesin.

Hmmm...could be...except the anony-mouse Arf a Man didn't mention
"seven hostile actions," didn't talk about Civil Air Patrol (as
"pilot in command" Har!), and didn't mention any pedofilia or
scatology, didn't want to "talk" to others' wives!

But, Arf a Man is otherwise JUST LIKE the robeswine.

I'd say that this anony-mousie could be just about ANY
pro-coder in here. Perhaps even moderator Paul! :-)
[see the clue in asterisk-enclosures of "see" above, a
giveaway clue to a Usenet-environment-using academic]

>I've corrected the above so the attributions are right, so quit
>screwing it up.

Tsk. HAS to be a pro-coder then...they "SEE" only what
They want to see, are blind to what normal people see.

They desperately need an opthalmologist specializing
in REALITY.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

32. Re: You can lead aSteve to water but...he reamins stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:08:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g8cuh2tq0dq4sbnmp6a60uq5psudvhcgqg@4ax.com>
Source record: 166049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
everyone know you aare you steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

33. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:11:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159672266.884582.80440@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 30 2006 3:57 pm
>
> >Arf! Arf! wrote:

> >You are Robesin.
>
> Hmmm...could be...except the anony-mouse Arf a Man didn't mention
> "seven hostile actions," didn't talk about Civil Air Patrol (as
> "pilot in command" Har!), and didn't mention any pedofilia or
> scatology, didn't want to "talk" to others' wives!
he has in his previous appearnces while exhalting Steve that bets of
hams
>
> But, Arf a Man is otherwise JUST LIKE the robeswine.
>
> I'd say that this anony-mousie could be just about ANY
> pro-coder in here. Perhaps even moderator Paul! :-)
> [see the clue in asterisk-enclosures of "see" above, a
> giveaway clue to a Usenet-environment-using academic]
>
> >I've corrected the above so the attributions are right, so quit
> >screwing it up.
>
> Tsk. HAS to be a pro-coder then...they "SEE" only what
> They want to see, are blind to what normal people see.
>
> They desperately need an opthalmologist specializing
> in REALITY.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
```

34. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:23:05 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159672985.829130.274120@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166052

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > To further that, he feigns some kind of outrage and
>> > demands that the challenger "prove" it by going back
>> > to archives and extracting the challenger's charge.
>
>> Brian Burke, N0IMD, claimed that a now-dead person wrote something.
>> If the now-dead person wrote what Brian claimed, what's the problem
>> with asking to see the original?
>
>There's nothing wrong with a now-living person asking that question.
>There's also nothing wrong with a now-living person from answering as
>Heil has - "do your own homework."

Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
bullshit again. :-(Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
here before...

>> I quoted a definition for "subsidy" from the Webster's New Collegiate
>> Dictionary:
>
>> "a grant to a private person or company to assist an enterprise deemed
>> advantageous to the public"
>
>A serviceman or woman is not a private person. Nor are they a private
>company.

Jimmie Noserve doesn't know that. Obviously. He's never taken
that Oath.

>Do you even know what they are?

Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?

>> What is demeaning about that?
>
>What isn't demeaning about it?

Indeed!

Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.

>> "subsidize" is defined in the same book as "to furnish with a subsidy"
>
>So?

Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
body dedicated to morse code.

>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
>
>You don't say.

I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...

Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
are NOT!

Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
"protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.

Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
"subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
their own, got ALL education from the government, and
probably have underarm odor.

But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
to keep him quiet. :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

35. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:29:05 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159673345.566798.182910@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

```
Bloodfart wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159665337.360689.305100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > get help forgers
> >
>
> How about making some sense, please. What the fuck are you talking
> about?
figure it out or don't but someone calling himself blood fart should
hardely be giving orders or sugesting someone does not make sense
```

36. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:36:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9lduh25dgtotubsquo4m9cljnmdoq0hvsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 166055

On 30 Sep 2006 20:23:05 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
>
>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
>>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
>>> > To further that, he feigns some kind of outrage and
>>> > demands that the challenger "prove" it by going back
>>> > to archives and extracting the challenger's charge.
>>
>>> Brian Burke, N0IMD, claimed that a now-dead person wrote something.
>>> If the now-dead person wrote what Brian claimed, what's the problem
>>> with asking to see the original?
>>
>>There's nothing wrong with a now-living person asking that question.
>>There's also nothing wrong with a now-living person from answering as
>>Heil has - "do your own homework."
>
> Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
> bullshit again. :-(Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
> and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
> here before...
it is always the Nocoders that must do the work somehow the procoder I
guess cazan't losing the abilty as they learn code perhaps?
>
>
>>> I quoted a definition for "subsidy" from the Webster's New Collegiate
>>> Dictionary:
>>
>>> "a grant to a private person or company to assist an enterprise deemed
>>> advantageous to the public"
>>
>>A serviceman or woman is not a private person. Nor are they a private
>>company.
>
> Jimmie Noserve doesn't know that. Obviously. He's never taken
> that Oath.

yep
>
>>Do you even know what they are?
>
> Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
> of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
> dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?

as expendable materail in any event
>
>
>>> What is demeaning about that?
>>
>>What isn't demeaning about it?
>
> Indeed!
>
> Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
> on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.

Len you forgot his Code skills put him on a higher plane
>
>
>>> "subsidize" is defined in the same book as "to furnish with a subsidy"
>>
>>So?
>
> Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
> to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
> Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
> putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
> body dedicated to morse code.
>
yea I can personal attest serving is dangerous even in peacetime
>
>>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
>>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
>>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
>>
>>You don't say.
>
> I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
>
> Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
> to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
> DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
> uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
> things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
> people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
> are NOT!
>
Jim is simply on the slide to ending up like Robeson sad to say it

since he was managging better than than most of the ProCoders but it
looks we ought to ban CW to protect hams from the obviously damaging
effect of CW usage

> Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
> "protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
> is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.
>
> Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
> "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
> from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
> their own, got ALL education from the government, and
> probably have underarm odor.

and these people expect to be trusted to moderate a Ng involving the
code issue?
>
> But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
> don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
> whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
> to keep him quiet. :-(

pity for all of us he does not read the ng more hed learn at least One
us will not do that
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

37. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:37:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nvduh21danti1rpeagdon2930cdbkhfps0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:31:33 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

\
>
>Comes now Len Anderson, gaseous bageous emeritus. You'll forgive (tsk) me if
>I don't bow in humble submission before the Len Anderson Altar of Humor.
>I'll leave the bowing and scraping to Mark.
way to go in proving yourself Steve
>
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

38. Re: ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:47:24 UTC
From: James C Cracked is God!!! <james_c_cracked2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159674444.702860.273150@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166057
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:48:03 -0700, James C Cracked is God!!! attempted to
> confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> > [blahblahblah]
> >
> > Snarkolepsy.
>
> [snip] I'm so sorry.

You certainly are. Viz:

*****AUK FAQ*****************************
Q1: Is 'Snarky' a bald, fat, bloodcurdlingly ugly, unemployed, 37 year
old usenet junkie and layabout who still lives with his parents and
desperately needs a life?

A1: Yes.
****

39. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 03:54:16 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ruduh2p7m949ffmp0pghfllpubtjoha49c@4ax.com>
Source record: 166058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>discuss it.
>
>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>from vision than any other sense.
>
>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>even written words.

There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.

>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>else.

A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.

>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>you're being inconsistent.

My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
submitting raw data, like usenet. Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse. It should
also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.

>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>
>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>is just a red herring.

No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
own without words.

>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.

>>>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.
>
>>Not quite sure what point you are making here.
>
>The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
>it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
interactions than just data.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

40. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:05:15 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <uveuh2dijrdi8l804rmoh5p3shvtvnfntr@4ax.com>
Source record: 166059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:38:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:55:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:55:48 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>thusly:
>>>
>>>>>No Markie, being able to communicate is good. Can you say, "is good"?
>>>
>>>>And communicating with human emotion as opposed to emotionless beeps
>>>>is better.
>>>
>>>And pixels show emotion?
>>
>>This is an strawman. You know full well what I mean.
>
>Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

In the other thread, I explained how your beeps are just a trade of
raw data.

>>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>>pixels on a screen.
>
>No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
>deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

You're the one who used the term pixels like they are just an exchange
of raw data. Technically, the are. But they are much more than the sum
of their parts.

>>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.
>
>>That was uncalled for and childish.
>
>It was completely called for.
```

You are wrong.

>>Your arguments are based on a
>>false premise that I and other want to ban the use of CW or that it is
>>useless.
>
>The original discussion was about requiring it, not banning it. My
>attention span's not that short.
>
>> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.
>
>So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
>on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's
>being childish.

The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This
is well established.

>>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.
>
>How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

I have already pointed out that you can get much information beyond
just data. And, no matter what you say, beeps are just data.

>>It isn't and you can't say otherwise.
>
>Sure I can - I understand and use it - you don't, so you can't
>intelligently discuss what it is or isn't at all.

Keep on using it then. But don't tell me that I must know it in order
to use my voice on the radio.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

41. Re: ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:06:48 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.04.06.46.176858@hell.corn>
Source record: 166060
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 20:47:24 -0700, James C Cracked is God!!! did the
cha-cha, and screamed:
> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:48:03 -0700, James C Cracked is God!!! attempted
>> to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> > [blahblahblah]
>> >
>> > Snarkolepsy.
>>
>> [snip] I'm so sorry.
>
> You certainly are. Viz:
>
> *****AUK FAQ**************************** Q1: Is 'Snarky' a bald, fat,
> bloodcurdlingly ugly, unemployed, 37 year old usenet junkie and layabout
> who still lives with his parents and desperately needs a life?
>
> A1: Yes.

Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile, moved
back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove me a
liar, whydon'tcha.

--
____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:

12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

42. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:13:11 UTC
From: ceniza <ceniza@willnots.comn>
Message-ID: <--CdnZfuM6fo3YLYnZ2dnUVZ_uqdnZ2d@ntd.net>
Source record: 166061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

fuck you asshole, bloodfart is an honest and intelligent member.

it's a cool moniker, and it takes about a day to get used to, so eat shit
and die!!!! I'll tell u what...drive 3 hours to meet doctor primeau at a
splat toilet, bunghole!

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159673345.566798.182910@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bloodfart wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1159665337.360689.305100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > get help forgers
>> >
>>
>> How about making some sense, please. What the fuck are you
>> talking
>> about?
> figure it out or don't but someone calling himself blood fart should
> hardely be giving orders or sugesting someone does not make sense
>

43. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:22:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e8guh2tostua9ddoimg9u0atl7igbte8r4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:13:11 -0500, "ceniza" <ceniza@willnots.comn>
wrote:

>fuck you asshole, bloodfart is an honest and intelligent member.
no thanks I prefer to choose my own sex partner and I always know
thiers names first
>
>it's a cool moniker, and it takes about a day to get used to, so eat shit
>and die!!!!
it is disgusting
>I'll tell u what...drive 3 hours to meet doctor primeau at a
>splat toilet, bunghole!
why?
>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159673345.566798.182910@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bloodfart wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1159665337.360689.305100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> > >
>>> > get help forgers
>>> >
>>>
>>> How about making some sense, please. What the fuck are you
>>> talking
>>> about?
>> figure it out or don't but someone calling himself blood fart should
>> hardely be giving orders or sugesting someone does not make sense
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

44. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:31:33 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bk29m.2s3.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>You are Robesin.

Hmmm...could be...except the anony-mouse Arf a Man didn't mention
"seven hostile actions," didn't talk about Civil Air Patrol (as
"pilot in command" Har!), and didn't mention any pedofilia or
scatology, didn't want to "talk" to others' wives!

But, Arf a Man is otherwise JUST LIKE the robeswine.

I'd say that this anony-mousie could be just about ANY
pro-coder in here. Perhaps even moderator Paul! :-)
[see the clue in asterisk-enclosures of "see" above, a
giveaway clue to a Usenet-environment-using academic]

>I've corrected the above so the attributions are right, so quit
>screwing it up.

Tsk. HAS to be a pro-coder then...they "SEE" only what
They want to see, are blind to what normal people see.

They desperately need an opthalmologist specializing
in REALITY.

====

Comes now Len Anderson, gaseous bageous emeritus. You'll forgive (tsk) me if
I don't bow in humble submission before the Len Anderson Altar of Humor.
I'll leave the bowing and scraping to Mark.

45. Re: You can lead morkie to cock but you can't make him NOT suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:37:12 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159677432.136196.89010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.

> everyone know you aare you steve
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

46. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:40:33 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159677633.786899.206750@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166064
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Chadwick Stone© wrote:
> X-No-Archive: YES
> blunderbuss [kv1booster@hotmail.com] has entered into testimony
> 1159667152.974327.108740@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com
>
> > Mind control victims each have their own perspective
>
> All relevant to the amount of haloperidol they require.
>
> --
>
> COOSN-266-06-70683
> Skepticult®# 581-00504-208
> ChadwickStone at Gmail dot com
> Usenet's most helpful netizen
>
> HoT, 03/2005
> BWMDW, 08/2005, Trainer of pSylvia pSullivan
> PSHL&S, 08/2006, Teh SMM II Debacle

STOP YOUR SHIT MORK

47. Re: You can lead Woger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:40:47 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159677647.371963.135560@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server

marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
>

> Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
no woger you are woger

48. Re: Gerritsen Sentenced---->maybe one day it will be the tard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:44:29 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159677869.841142.151590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military,alt.politics.homosexual,alt.usenet.kooks

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Seven years in prison, plus fines.
>
> http://www.qrz.com
>
> (top two stories)
>
> More detail at:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/19/100/?nc=1

49. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:44:43 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159677883.548437.192010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166067
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
what shit woger you are the one full of shit

50. Re: Gerritsen Sentenced---

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:46:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r0iuh21hsui3rk4cnf0an3hm8s4s2cqueq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military,alt.politics.homosexual

On 30 Sep 2006 21:44:29 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
Re: Gerritsen Sentenced---->maybe one day it will be the tard"
for what beating you at your game woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

51. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:48:49 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159678129.921992.171460@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:23:02 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> nothing your crude harrassment steve
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STOP YOUR SHIT MORK

52. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:49:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <47iuh2lm33snlk87k8nrliscbbrg0ijqpl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,s,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Sep 2006 21:48:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
what shit woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

53. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:51:45 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159678305.706193.198920@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166071
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 17:42:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> >
> >slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> Now thats a hunk of meat!
> >>
> >>
> >
>
> >so, you snip AUK but leave the others, you filthy coward
> why not the auk are just your target trying to troll or them for more
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STOP YOUR SHIT MORK

54. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:52:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159678378.192951.188840@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166072
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
what shit woger?

55. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:55:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <khiuh2tnbkipjc8bl0bnndt7pmgobfiasq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
<delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote:

>As of today, clicking on http://www.google.com doesn't show the option
>to connect to Google groups until you click on the "more>>" link.
>That's because Google is starting to downplay its groups as the
>possibility looms that groups will be sold to another company.
>
>That also explains why Google hasn't been policing its users in recent
>months. They've been anticipating handing their Usenet problems over
>to someone else, so why should they spend their own money on them?
>
>The first thing that the new owner will do will be to shut down
>posting while a new interface is built, and I don't think it can
>happen too soon.
>
>Goodbye, Wiseman.
>
>Goodbye, Morgan.
>
>Goodbye, K4YZ.
>
>Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.
funy how nothing stops me (or the rest
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

56. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:57:01 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159678621.341415.90920@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

Arf! Arf! wrote:
>

> Mark is overly sensitive about bloody farts.
no I call em disgusting steve rather like your instance I have eaten
your shit steve but then you are just a suck jerk

57. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:58:00 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159678680.412551.76310@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166076
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

```
Chadwick Stone© wrote:
> X-No-Archive: YES
> marqueer@mail2world.com [marqueer@mail2world.com] has entered into
> testimony
> 1159677633.786899.206750@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>
> > Chadwick Stone© wrote:
> >> X-No-Archive: YES
> >> blunderbuss [kv1booster@hotmail.com] has entered into testimony
> >> 1159667152.974327.108740@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com
> >>
> >>> Mind control victims each have their own perspective
> >>
> >> All relevant to the amount of haloperidol they require.
> >
> > STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
>
> Make some sense, marqueer :)
woger is unable to do that
>
> --
>
> COOSN-266-06-70683
> Skepticult®# 581-00504-208
> ChadwickStone at Gmail dot com
> Usenet's most helpful netizen
>
> HoT, 03/2005
> BWMDW, 08/2005, Trainer of pSylvia pSullivan
> PSHL&S, 08/2006, Teh SMM II Debacle
```

58. Re: ZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 04:58:55 UTC
From: Adolf the Unready <wfh_jr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159678735.644495.98940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166077
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

The sad asshole 'Poop Snarky' having nothing worthwhile in his life,
wrote

> Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile, moved
> back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove me a
> liar, whydon'tcha.

Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say
something unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell
you your peepee was too small?

When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?

So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up
in the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?

Oh, btw, you can insult my girlfriends all you like, you know nothing
about them, but I am a fucking Adonis compared to you and get all I can
take.

59. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:01:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lriuh2hjbsjt5cc2g4ads7eu6varpka2s3@4ax.com>
Source record: 166078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

On 30 Sep 2006 21:51:45 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 30 Sep 2006 17:42:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
what shit woger you are the one raving about shit you and your partner
Steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

60. Re: Poop Snarky Assman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:04:26 UTC
From: Adolf the Unready <wfh_jr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159679066.095722.9180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166079
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

The sad asshole 'Poop Snarky' having nothing worthwhile in his life,
wrote

> Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile, moved
> back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove me a
> liar, whydon'tcha.

Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say
something unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell
you your bits were too small?

When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?

So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up
in the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?

How long is 'quite awhile'? When did you actually leave home, Snarky?
23? 25? 34?

61. Re: ZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:07:09 UTC
From: James C Cracked is God!!! <james_c_cracked2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159679229.211954.253900@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166080
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

```
The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 20:47:24 -0700, James C Cracked is God!!! did the
> cha-cha, and screamed:
> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 19:48:03 -0700, James C Cracked is God!!! attempted
> >> to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >> > [blahblahblah]
> >> >
> >> > Snarkolepsy.
> >>
> >> [snip] I'm so sorry.
> >
> > You certainly are. Viz:
> >
> > *****AUK FAQ****************************** Q1: Is 'Snarky' a bald, fat,
> > bloodcurdlingly ugly, unemployed, 37 year old usenet junkie and layabout
> > who still lives with his parents and desperately needs a life?
> >
> > A1: Yes.
>
> Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile, moved
> back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove me a
> liar, whydon'tcha.
>

**AUK FAQ (enhanced for accuracy)****
Q1: Is 'Snarky' a bald, fat, bloodcurdlingly ugly, unemployed, 37 year
old usenet junkie and layabout who still lives *off* his parents and
desperately needs a life?

A1: Yes.
**********************************************
```

62. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:13:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G7ITg.8330$UG4.6513@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166081

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>
>>> But, I digress. Your chief interest seems to be in
>>> trying to destroy the credibility of a not-licensed in
>>> the amateur radio service person (although one who has
>>> been licensed as a Commercial radio operator since
>>> 1956). Have you really done that? Are you really
>>> going to nit-pick about an old posting by another and
>>> reference a 1968 Time magazine article? Yes, I'm sure
>>> you really, really WANT to do that! :-)
>> What an obnoxious quibble.
>
> Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?
>
> Tsk, tsk, you've proved what I remarked. :-)
>
>> You misquote and falsely accuse Jeffrey
>> Herman with an absolute statement.
>
> "Falsely?" Hardly.

Yes, Leonard, falsely. You were incorrect. You were wrong.

> His OLD, FORMER statement has ALREADY
> gone round and round in here. Dredging up OLD material
> only serves to show the self-righteous stubbornness of
> those who never got their pound-of-flesh in the first
> go-around. :-)

Your denial looks a little silly. The person who brought up Jeff Herman
and launched into the diatribe about the ARRL Handbook is Leonard H.
Anderson! By doing so, another pound of flesh was extracted from your
70-something-year-old hide. Are you losing weight through the factual
error plan?

>> One which only a requires a simple rebuttal that:
>>
>> - Shows what Jeffrey Herman *really* said.
>
> "*Really*"? :-)

Really. Tsk, tsk.

> At the time, Jeffrey Herman seemed hot on trying to
> prove some kind of point of "absolute" goodness of the
> ARRL (not to mention its 'intellectualism' or whatever
> in matters of amateur radio). Now the ARRL *does* print
> considerable material in regards to amateur radio matters.
> That publishing *is* their major source of income. It was
> a very wise choice back in the twenties...that income
> made it possible to fund all the "membership"
> wonderfulness that came later. ARRL cannot exist in its
> present form without that income-producing publishing.

So? What concern is that of yours?

>> - Shows convincing, third-party, evidence that supports what Jeffrey
>> Herman *really* said.
>
> Well, try as hard as I can, I just can't get my telepathy
> powers or crystal ball working to show what Jeffrey Herman
> "*really*" said. Really. All that I saw or anyone else
> saw were the words in these messages. "*Really*"

All can see your attempt at a dodge.

> Now, at that OLD time of going around on that PREVIOUS
> message threading, Jeffrey Hermann was on of the persons
> higher up in the not-quite-moderation team for RRAP?
> That was my understanding then. Perhaps it still is?
> So, if that was the case, then some not-quite-moderators
> got their toes stepped on in past posting? [figure of
> speech about "toes"]

Len, your statement is very convoluted.

>> You choose to "rebut" with filibuster and insult, implying that it was
>> dumb or pedantic to even argue the point, let alone try to find the
>> supporting evidence.
>
> Tsk, it is quite obvious to most that dredging up OLD message
> thread subjects to re-argue and re-argue and re-argue is
> "dumb or pedantic," isn't it?

You dredged it up. Was it dumb or pedantic on your part?

> Not only is it dumb and pedantic, but useless effort that
> not only wastes others' time but takes up unneccessary
> memory space in archives (which already contain the OLD
> postings).

I'm convinced, Len.

>
>> No one else, not even your nominal "supporters" here, will post to this
>> newsgroup and agree with you on your misquote of Jeffrey Herman.
>

> Irrelevant, Paul. I am myself and I am secure enough to
> let my postings stand on their own. I don't need a
> "supporter." :-)

That's a good thing for you. Perhaps it is a handler or spokesman you seek.

> I can see that a lot of what I post
> consists of OPINIONS which are shared by others.

...and oh, brother, what others!

> I "misquoted" Jeffrey Hermann?
> Hermann is a pro-code-test
> advocate and a strong supporter of the ARRL. That's not a
> "misquote" is it?
> Did I get some "year of best-sellers"
> wrong? Perhaps.

You did that at the very least.

> I'm not one to trumpet some old publishing
> industry PR about "best-sellers."

No, you are the guy who brought it up.

> Even so, year 1968 is 38
> years ago, hardly relevant to today (year 2006).

And?

> If you wish to "discuss" best-seller listings, that is quite
> another subject...which is NOT an amateur radio policy
> subject, per se.

Please try to remember that you brought up "Jeffie-poo" and "best-sellers".

> Please advise on the proper newsgroup to
> discuss publishing PR bullstuff and I might take it there.

Do you think the folks there will be impressed by your "Jeffie-poo" stories?

>> Unless, of course, you want to dig up some sock-puppets, like Avery
>> Fineman, again.
>
> "Sock puppet?" :-) Hardly. "Avery Fineman" was an old
> pseuodym I used back in BBS days, before the Internet went
> public in 1991.

Could a sock puppet be considered a pseudonym, Len? It doesn't matter
what its age happens to be.

> I've admitted to that in public in here.

You had little choice.

> It is a play on words, a mild amusement...except to the
> anal-retentive, easily-furious, overly-touchy we-must-have-
> ONLY-our-way individuals. :-)

You'd have us to understand that anyone who doesn't find it mildly amusing is anal retentive, easily furious (whatever that is), ouver touchy and/or a "we-must-have-ONLY-our-way individual". I'd say that a guy who makes that kind of statement about what *he* finds mildly amusing is guilty of those things of which he accuses others.

> ---
>
> Interesting (at least to me) that you devote SO MUCH time and
> so many words into attempting to chastise me.

Why should that be so interesting. After all, you've devoted much, much more time and many, many more words into attempting to chastise others.

> Flattering,
> perhaps, but I have no need of that.

I see you as a guy who requires lots of flattering, Len. The trouble is that you don't get much of it here. That chafes you.

> I see a much more serious
> concern in an obvious LACK of trying to clean up the obnoxious,
> anonymous postings of real filth and personal accusations
> thrown on our screens by OTHERS.

That type of thing has become a real problem here. Eighty or ninety percent of it could be cleaned up by eliminating just one individual--Roger L. Wiseman. He is a problem child under his multiple sock puppets on usenet (not only in this newsgroup) and he has been a problem child in amateur radio. His behavior and Mark Morgan's Myna bird replies don't excuse your behavior.

> Isn't clean-up of such filth
> the real JOB of the "moderators" and the newsgroup police?
> I guess not.

This isn't a moderated newsgroup, Len.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

63. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:20:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kmjuh2dol0bmnoj6709ph9nm8qvcccbgsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166083

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:13:10 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am

>> At the time, Jeffrey Herman seemed hot on trying to
>> prove some kind of point of "absolute" goodness of the
>> ARRL (not to mention its 'intellectualism' or whatever
>> in matters of amateur radio). Now the ARRL *does* print
>> considerable material in regards to amateur radio matters.
>> That publishing *is* their major source of income. It was
>> a very wise choice back in the twenties...that income
>> made it possible to fund all the "membership"
>> wonderfulness that came later. ARRL cannot exist in its
>> present form without that income-producing publishing.
>
>So? What concern is that of yours?
same as your and mine of course
>
>>> - Shows convincing, third-party, evidence that supports what Jeffrey
>>> Herman *really* said.
>>
>> Well, try as hard as I can, I just can't get my telepathy
>> powers or crystal ball working to show what Jeffrey Herman
>> "*really*" said. Really. All that I saw or anyone else
>> saw were the words in these messages. "*Really*"
>
>All can see your attempt at a dodge.
dodge what heil?
>
>> Now, at that OLD time of going around on that PREVIOUS
>> message threading, Jeffrey Hermann was on of the persons
>> higher up in the not-quite-moderation team for RRAP?
>> That was my understanding then. Perhaps it still is?
>> So, if that was the case, then some not-quite-moderators
>> got their toes stepped on in past posting? [figure of
>> speech about "toes"]
>
>Len, your statement is very convoluted.
the truth often is

but then you are starnger to the truth

>> Irrelevant, Paul. I am myself and I am secure enough to
>> let my postings stand on their own. I don't need a
>> "supporter." :-)
>
>That's a good thing for you. Perhaps it is a handler or spokesman you seek.
>
>> I can see that a lot of what I post
>> consists of OPINIONS which are shared by others.
>
>...and oh, brother, what others!
often but not alwys myself BB other no Coders

I forgot the Nocoder don't count in your book as lifefrom let alone
being allowed to have opions of our own

>> I see a much more serious
>> concern in an obvious LACK of trying to clean up the obnoxious,
>> anonymous postings of real filth and personal accusations
>> thrown on our screens by OTHERS.
>
>That type of thing has become a real problem here. Eighty or ninety
>percent of it could be cleaned up by eliminating just one
>individual--Roger L. Wiseman.
more like 50 perscent the rest would go with your buddy K4yz
> He is a problem child under his multiple
>sock puppets on usenet (not only in this newsgroup) and he has been a
>problem child in amateur radio. His behavior and Mark Morgan's Myna
>bird replies don't excuse your behavior.
of course they don't his beahavoir needs no exxucse

yours OTOH does but lacks one
>
>> Isn't clean-up of such filth
>> the real JOB of the "moderators" and the newsgroup police?
>> I guess not.
>
>This isn't a moderated newsgroup, Len.
no it isn't
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> See IEEE Code of Ethics
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

64. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:20:17 UTC
From: Bloodfart <Bloodfart@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <12hucvaqvjfor0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 166051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159665337.360689.305100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> > slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> get help forgers
>

How about making some sense, please. What the fuck are you talking
about?
```

65. heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:21:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166082

that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
aperances

66. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:22:04 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.05.22.02.5144@hell.corn>
Source record: 166084
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:56:30 +0000, Chadwick Stoneï¿½ did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> marqueer@mail2world.com has entered into testimony
>> Chadwick Stoneï¿½ wrote:
>>> blunderbuss has entered into testimony
>>>
>>>> Mind control victims each have their own perspective
>>>
>>> All relevant to the amount of haloperidol they require.
>>
>> STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
>
> Make some sense, marqueer :)

Wabbit is campaigning vewy, vewy hard.

--

Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm

giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

67. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:23:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t5kuh2l34n3dm6td7ccqe88eddsuljdu09@4ax.com>
Source record: 166085
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:22:04 GMT, The Demon Prince of Absurdity
<absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:56:30 +0000, Chadwick Stoneï¿½ did the cha-cha, and
>screamed:
>> marqueer@mail2world.com has entered into testimony
>>> Chadwick Stoneï¿½ wrote:
>>>> blunderbuss has entered into testimony
>>>>
>>>>> Mind control victims each have their own perspective
>>>>
>>>> All relevant to the amount of haloperidol they require.
>>>
>>> STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
>>
>> Make some sense, marqueer :)
>
>Wabbit is campaigning vewy, vewy hard.
a pity your awards don't realy m,an anything
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

68. Re: You can lead morkie to cock but you can't make him NOT suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:25:44 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.05.25.43.268775@hell.corn>
Source record: 166086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:37:12 -0700, Wabbit did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!" wrote:
>>
>> everyone know you aare you steve
>
> Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.

No, you're a timid, anonymous Wabbit, and it doesn't really matter who you
are. "The anonymous homophobe from Reston, VA" pretty much sums it up.

--

Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

69. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:27:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <olITg.1276$Lv3.999@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166087

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 7:23 am
>
>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>
>> [...]
>>
>>> By the bye, how are you coming with my Background Check?
>>> You know, the one where you MUST know my "personal,
>>> non-professional life"? No neighbor has reported any
>>> "investigator" flashing their shield and wanting to
>>> speak about me. The FBI has done that before. Twice.
>>> I passed muster enough for a security clearance, Paul.
>>> Twice. Are the newsgroup standards now HIGHER than a
>>> national security clearance? Must be...!
>> And you misunderstand, Len. No deep background check is necessary.
>
> Oh? Yourself and Heil seemed to think it was imperative.

"Yourself and Heil"? Tsk, tsk.

Where was it indicated, other than by you, that a background check
should be undertaken?

> After all, you both have amateur extra class licenses and
> are therefore "boss" aren't you? [one should always do
> what the "bosses" say or lose paychecks or something]

Do you need a boss to tell you that you should do something or are you
capable of controlling your own behavior without being told?

>
>> Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than enough basis for your
>> peers to judge.
>
> "Peers?" :-)
>
> I have only a Commercial radio operator license, not an
> amateur radio one. I've been involved and experienced in
> radio communications since 1953. There are about three
> quarter million US amateur radio licenses granted but
> there are about 300 million US citizens. I am in the
> latter group. How can you say "my peers?"

You have peers, Len. Citizens are your peers. IEEE members are your
peers. Commercial radio ops are your peers.

I'm a citizen of the United States. I object to your behavior as your peer.

>
>>>> Have you written the IEEE yet to complain about my
>>>> conduct in here? No? Why not? You are free to do so.
>>>> Do you think it will matter to the IEEE? If so, please
>>>> explain in 30,000 words or more WHY. (that's a 'short
>>>> novel' length) Be sure and tell the pro-coders about
>>>> your findings. The Inquisition can't get along without
>>>> you...

>> You and I know very well that only IEEE members having standing to
>> submit ethical complaints to the IEEE against a member, according to
>> IEEE policy. I'm sure that you would take pains to remind us of that if
>> anyone else tried.
>
> "You are sure?" Oh, yes, you know what someone "*really*" said.
> Forgive me. I doubted your telesensory powers. I am only
> mortal and therefore with Original Sin. I have no prescient
> powers, only normal observation and deduction to see the
> obvious getting-the-stake-together-for-burning-the-heretics
> activities on-going.

...and you'd have us believe that you have observed folks readying to
burn you at the stake? Really?

> Now, it is perfectly obvious that Heil, and your pickup on that
> about the "IEEE Code of Ethics" was a beginning ploy to engage
> in verbal "chastisement" of myself. :-)

Consider yourself chastised.

> Both of you wanted
> something, however slight, in order to imply some near-felonious
> misconduct on me...for using the IEEE free e-mail alias
> forwarding service as my ID on Google.

I don't mind if you use it. I'd like for you to behave yourself like an
adult.

> No telesensory powers
> needed there. Just observation and obvious deduction...on
> something that is just an Internet address re-direction.

There's a reason you began using it, isn't there?

> The
> ARRL provides this service to its members. Should I counter
> by providing the ARRL much-publicized "Amateur Code" in the
> same manner?

You've done so in the past. Did you forget?

> I'm just asking some questions here, Paul, trying to get
> clarification on what is permissible under the to-be

> "moderation" to happen. The to-be rules seem to be fleeting,
> changing direction, having individuals re-defined as to
> "(mis)conduct". It is difficult to keep up. Obvious filth
> and perversion is being posted in here daily by others,
> yet you go on and on about a Professional Association in an
> AMATEUR radio newsgroup. Confusing.

One can only do so much toward putting a stop to the filth posted here.
The prime poster of such material is mentally ill. You can do
something about your behavior.

I'm for leaving this group up and running until and even after the
creation of a moderated group. If you can't control yourself in the
moderated group, you can return here and exchange barrages with Roger
Wiseman.

> With most bestest regards,
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
k8mn@arrl.net

70. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:28:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159680523.069300.33740@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166088

From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:18 pm

>Paul W. Schleck wrote:
>>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>> >From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am

> >>What an obnoxious quibble.

> > Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?
>
>> Considering the dictionary definition of quibble
>> (http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/quibble):
>
>way not try avoiding pissing contest yourself

I don't think he can, Mark.

>in only choosing to attack ONEside you take part in the on going fight
>Paul and nobody as not neutral as yourself is going to be trusted very
>far on proposaing anything to end the combat that is the standard on
>RRAP

Well, Paul has a LOT to learn about moderating. I'm
speaking from experience of years of moderating several
BBS discussion boards locally. I wisely learned NOT
to take part in any "pissing contests" in public...or
private in order to do that.

Those moderators who could not help themselves and got
into one-sided public squabbles either quit in anger
or got removed by the Sysop. I only quit when the BBSs
went out of business due to Internet competition.

So far, it looks like Schleck is gunning ONLY for me.
I can't prove any reason for that other than the
postings in this "news thread" of "Gerritsen sentenced."
I have several suspicions, though. :-)

I'll say this, though. NOBODY that doesn't talk nice-
nice about present-day conditions in US amateur radio
is going to have a snowball's chance in hell of ever
getting into public view. One can take that to the
bank (and get interest on it). EVERYONE has to be kind
to all in the moderating team, call them by titles or
suitable honorifics. [one can envision saying "sir" in
response to all written text, perhaps saluting in some
form is required?] It's fairly certain that amateur

extra licensees will be exempt, regardless of what they post and to whom. All others will be graded by license class with those not licensed in amateur radio being at the very bottom...with me totally blocked out. <shrug>

It will be okay if an amateur extra calls others by 'cutesy' names, demeans and denigrates their work, what they've done, accuses them of pedophilia or homosexual conduct, calls for 'consultation' with their wives, insults their wives, even manages to insult two large universities as being "correspondence schools." That's okay since he has a "military" rank in the Civil Air Patrol.

It will be okay if another amateur extra demeans military personnel and insults them by saying they are "subsidized" by the government...even though he never served, never volunteered, hasn't even been in government employ. Such an amateur extra is free to demand whatever he wants of anyone challenging him. He need not defend his demands for He IS an extra.

Who MIGHT get blocked may (no assurances of this) be the anonymous trolls, misfits, and general filth-babblers behaving like middle-school adolescent males discovering that they can cuss out adults in perfect safety. I'm really not sure if the "moderating team" will be able to judge these, because they minute one of them mumbles nice-nice about amateur radio as the team does it, they might allow them to post?

It's all in what is said and who says it. Never EVER be forceful in defending your position here, Mark. The mear hint of it going against the establishment of olde-tyme hams will get you labeled with "(mis)conduct."

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

71. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:29:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7nITg.1277$Lv3.756@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166089

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:02 pm
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Sep 28 2006 8:31 am
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>> Don't tap dance around it. Just tell us what it is.
>
> "If you don't know that information, all of your latest
> diatribe is rather pointless."

I haven't engaged in a diatribe, Len. I've asked you direct question about something you'd apparently like to convey. It has no meaning to me. If it is one of your petty insults, I'm not able to be insulted if I don't know what you mean.

Dave K8MN

72. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:32:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159680765.528494.116300@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166090

From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:07 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>>Paul W. Schleck wrote on Sat, Sep 30 2006 7:23 am
>>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:

>>>Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than enough basis for your
>>>peers to judge.
>> "Peers?" :-)
>> I have only a Commercial radio operator license, not an
>> amateur radio one. I've been involved and experienced in
>> radio communications since 1953. There are about three
>> quarter million US amateur radio licenses granted but
>> there are about 300 million US citizens. I am in the
>> latter group. How can you say "my peers?"
>
>I chose the word "peers" very carefully and deliberately here. I
>anticipated that you would want to define who your "peers" are, and that
>they would not be us.

Tsk, I don't think so. Despite alluding to prescient powers,
you could not possibly know what I was about to write. :-)

Who you mean by "us," white man? I've been a moderator on
more than one public discussion board on each of three BBSs.
When you say "us" then you cannot restrict that to the
"moderating team" to be. I was doing moderating successfully
before this newsgroup came into being. You really don't want
to know that, I can tell.

>As I noted previously, "Your very public (mis)conduct here is more than
>enough evidence for your peers to judge," regardless of who you define
>your "peers" to be.

Your definition of "peers" seem to be solely the "moderating
team" and any olde-tymers in US amateur radio who've passed
the 20 WPM code test. I will NEVER fit into THAT peer group.
:-) <guffaw, not just a little smiley>

Neither will I fit any "peer group" that self-righteously
maintains the OLD standards and practices, pretending to be
professionals in an amateur activity. 'Maintenance' of the
old allegedly on 'traditional' rationalizations but really
meaning Their personal preferences which they attempt to
force on all others.

I will not fit into any "peer group" of inflated egos with
pretentious titles-rank-priveleges by which They imagine
are some kind of uberamateur and great radio guru...
especially those titles-rank-privileges which were lobbied
for by even earlier pretentious amateurs wanting to be
"professional amateurs."

I will not fit into any bigoted group that makes fun of
and insults any other radio service in the USA...just
because they don't follow some "amateur way."

I will not fit into any "peer group" of non-serving elites
who don't understand that real service to their country is
not by having a radio hobby...it means owning up to some
maturity and, when necessary, putting their LIFE on the
line. Voluntarily, but have accepted draftees who also
served.

I DO fit into a peer group that has an interest in radio
and electronics but doesn't need the emotional blanket of
rank-status-title to justify it. I not only FIT that but
have long worked in that environment...my career of choice
as I've explained in here. I've had NO problems getting
along with THAT peer group for a half century. But, in
this newsgroup best described as a Din of Inequity, some
deem themselves "boss" and demand some kind of strict
obediance, indeed quasi-patriotism, to the status quo.
There's been verbal "combat" in here for years, by the
few of the hamatuer order faithfulling echoing (or
parroting) the words of a minority membership
organization. Some of us spoke back at those! How
terrible! We went against the Big Brother of America!

>To repeat what I said previously, which should be clear enough to
>everyone else on this newsgroup:
>
>"I can't predict for certain in advance what the final form of a
>moderated newsgroup would be, or if it would even be voted into
>existence on the first attempt. Specific approval/disapproval of
>articles would have to wait for submission of those articles, and would
>have to be decided upon by the moderation team, not just me.

Did you think public discussion forum moderation is some kind
of "new" thing? It's been going on since the early 1970s,
even on the ARPANET-turned-Usenet as well as BBSs. Precedent
EXISTS, has existed for decades.

But, I can't tell you that, ey? Nope. You've tasted the
"power of control" and are a bit drunk on it. Understand.
Been there, done that, quit trying to drink that control
stuff quickly. That control liquor will bend your mind
faster than alcohol. You've got to do some steps to learn
sensitivity to what folks write in here, tune into their

intent, see what they "*really*" say. And, above all AVOID
GETTING INTO PUBLIC PISSING CONTENTS. That only makes you
(or any "team" member) one-sided, good for nothing else but
pissing in public. You are not "relieved" to know that but
that's the plain, simple fact.

>However, other moderated newsgroups that are considered successful
>usually consider the following behavior to be grounds for a temporary or
>permanent ban:

Why tell ME, Paul. I can expect nothing but a permanent
block of anything I write in this moderated forum. <shrug>

You already give clear indication of such blockage. "In
spades" I might add. :-)

>And if you think that these standards, if adopted, would be unfairly
>applied only to you, you would be quite mistaken.

Blah, blah, blah. You've singled ME out. Many, many OTHERS
haven't even been mentioned, not even alluded to. I am as
good as shut out for the future.

Whatever happened to all those OTHERS in here? Is your
browser broken? Do your eyes glaze over when you see
those posts, make you unable to comprehend them?

Case in point: You've written about MY "(mis)conduct."
"misconduct" of WHAT? You've not posted any "conduct"
rules that MUST be followed. Yet you've tossed in that
"(mis)conduct" statement twice. What you've done is to
make me "guilty" of some law well before that law became
law! Haw!

The "moderating team" will MAKE the "laws" of this forum
whether or not they would be fair or unfair. One thing
I know for damn sure is that I won't be able to post.

You don't want those "guilty of misconduct (or "(mis)conduct")
you want those who make nice-nice to the elite of amateur
radio as she are known now. Absolutely NO ONE will be
allowed to remain for the slightest negative statement against
the olde-tyme establishment, especially those who fail to
respect, honor TITLES. No contentiousness of any kind!
The slightest hint of contentiousness will result in
banishment. Understood. I've seen that elsewhere. On
short-lived groupings who took the same path.

>You're still not getting a "73" from me.

I could care less.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

73. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:36:54 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681014.774892.184650@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>stpupid
>
>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>requirement.

The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
"Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.

The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
per year ever since]

I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.

>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>as a response.

Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
"technical people." :-)

Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
as a communications medium. The technology of early
radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
mature and a new branch of communications was open
to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>face of modern telecommunications.

PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.

What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using

morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional" radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70 years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz. That can be a very different RF environment, much much different than the technology available in the 20s and 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple technological environment.

>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.

Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes, it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state era came into being about 45 years ago and has revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).

Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver, GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable item that can be found by a computer program). Except for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.

The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing, advancing, the state of the art never static. For the stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR level...the code test MUST stay..."because."

There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the

cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF transceivers in the USA, working daily for public safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being everyone from government agencies to private boat owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there. This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't be legislated into remaining static. The rules and regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

74. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:40:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681243.639891.88070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> >
> > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
>
> It's come full circle. ;^)

Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)
```

75. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:43:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k3luh2lollu5sqlsp8kt8tpk7i5behq58c@4ax.com>
Source record: 166093

On 30 Sep 2006 22:28:43 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: an old friend on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:18 pm
>
>>Paul W. Schleck wrote:
>>>"LenAnder...@ieee.org" <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
>>> >From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am
>
>> >>What an obnoxious quibble.
>
>
>> > Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?
>>
>>> Considering the dictionary definition of quibble
>>> (http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/quibble):
>>
>>way not try avoiding pissing contest yourself
>
> I don't think he can, Mark.
indeed
>
>>in only choosing to attack ONEside you take part in the on going fight
>>Paul and nobody as not neutral as yourself is going to be trusted very
>>far on proposaing anything to end the combat that is the standard on
>>RRAP
>
> Well, Paul has a LOT to learn about moderating. I'm
> speaking from experience of years of moderating several
> BBS discussion boards locally. I wisely learned NOT
> to take part in any "pissing contests" in public...or
> private in order to do that.

I lack that sort of expernce and thank the gods for that
>
> Those moderators who could not help themselves and got
> into one-sided public squabbles either quit in anger
> or got removed by the Sysop. I only quit when the BBSs
> went out of business due to Internet competition.
>
> So far, it looks like Schleck is gunning ONLY for me.
> I can't prove any reason for that other than the
> postings in this "news thread" of "Gerritsen sentenced."
> I have several suspicions, though. :-)

so do I
>
> I'll say this, though. NOBODY that doesn't talk nice-
> nice about present-day conditions in US amateur radio
> is going to have a snowball's chance in hell of ever
> getting into public view. One can take that to the
> bank (and get interest on it). EVERYONE has to be kind
> to all in the moderating team, call them by titles or
> suitable honorifics. [one can envision saying "sir" in
> response to all written text, perhaps saluting in some
> form is required?] It's fairly certain that amateur
> extra licensees will be exempt, regardless of what they
> post and to whom. All others will be graded by license
> class with those not licensed in amateur radio being at
> the very bottom...with me totally blocked out. <shrug>

which will mean soone the ng to be created will basicaly no postings
at all since it does take allowing differing points of view to have
discussion
>
> It will be okay if an amateur extra calls others by
> 'cutesy' names, demeans and denigrates their work,
> what they've done, accuses them of pedophilia or
> homosexual conduct, calls for 'consultation' with their
> wives, insults their wives, even manages to insult two
> large universities as being "correspondence schools."
> That's okay since he has a "military" rank in the Civil
> Air Patrol.

and does NOT render miliatray coustesy to the rest of the REAL armed
forces
>
> It will be okay if another amateur extra demeans
> military personnel and insults them by saying they are
> "subsidized" by the government...even though he never
> served, never volunteered, hasn't even been in
> government employ. Such an amateur extra is free to
> demand whatever he wants of anyone challenging him.
> He need not defend his demands for He IS an extra.
>
> Who MIGHT get blocked may (no assurances of this) be
> the anonymous trolls, misfits, and general filth-
> babblers behaving like middle-school adolescent males
> discovering that they can cuss out adults in perfect
> safety. I'm really not sure if the "moderating team"
> will be able to judge these, because they minute one
> of them mumbles nice-nice about amateur radio as the
> team does it, they might allow them to post?

Indeed Wismen is like the only casuality ofto excluded by such
moderation since he can't say anything nice about anything or anyone
(inclduing some days himself) he needs help worse than Robeson alas

for him I fear the only releif that bugger can know is that of death

>
> It's all in what is said and who says it. Never EVER
> be forceful in defending your position here, Mark. The
> mear hint of it going against the establishment of olde-
> tyme hams will get you labeled with "(mis)conduct."

yep and Paul thinks he is going to seel the ida of such a "moderated
NG" ? h emight get it created but if so it likely to die of crib death
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

76. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:47:12 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159681632.553008.205840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Nada Tapu wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>
> NT

If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
your license callsign?

How DOES one have a "reasoned debate" with an anony-mousie
such as "Nada Tapu"?

I've not seen that such is possible in here except for two and
both of them are self-admitted Canadians.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

77. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:47:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9fluh2tmgmca7l3gehurg85pjksfq2hd6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 00:41:42 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
>This discussion is over.
it never began
> You lose.
hardly
> And to prove it, you had to get
>personal and I didn't.

> I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>individual such as you.
obviously since you have yet to make a reasoned post
> If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>sincerely doubt that you are
I am certina am a Ham and a good one
>, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>hatred
hatred that is YOUR department
> and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

78. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:48:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DEITg.3620$Y24.2511@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166096

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
>>>> To further that, he feigns some kind of outrage and
>>>> demands that the challenger "prove" it by going back
>>>> to archives and extracting the challenger's charge.
>>> Brian Burke, N0IMD, claimed that a now-dead person wrote something.
>>> If the now-dead person wrote what Brian claimed, what's the problem
>>> with asking to see the original?
>> There's nothing wrong with a now-living person asking that question.
>> There's also nothing wrong with a now-living person from answering as
>> Heil has - "do your own homework."
>
> Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
> bullshit again. :-(

I'm convinced, Len. Why did you dredge up the old post by "Jeffie-poo"?

> Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
> and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
> here before...

If we'd all seen it, why did you find it necessary to bring it up again?
Are you now feigning outrage of the previously described sort?

>
>>> I quoted a definition for "subsidy" from the Webster's New Collegiate
>>> Dictionary:
>>> "a grant to a private person or company to assist an enterprise deemed
>>> advantageous to the public"
>> A serviceman or woman is not a private person. Nor are they a private
>> company.
>
> Jimmie Noserve doesn't know that. Obviously.

Who is "Jimmie Noserve"? Is this just your way of showing us that you
are incapable of doing other than living up to the profile which
outlines your behavior?

> He's never taken
> that Oath.

So? You mentioned that the other day. What of it?

>> Do you even know what they are?
>
> Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
> of his governments.

So? You mentioned that the other day. What of it?

> He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
> dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?

You can't help but to insult and denigrate. If any should react to you
in the same manner, you go to great lengths to discuss character
assassination and worse.

>
>>> What is demeaning about that?
>> What isn't demeaning about it?
>
> Indeed!
>
> Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
> on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.

You've long had a thing about being seen as inferior, less qualfied,
less experienced or not an expert in any field. Your military service
or mine conveys no super citizen status.

>
>>> "subsidize" is defined in the same book as "to furnish with a subsidy"
>> So?
>
> Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
> to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
> Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
> putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
> body dedicated to morse code.

Are you ever going to tell us where and when it was that you went
throught that artillery barrage? Can your friend Gene confirm it?
Did his sphincter tighten too?

>
>>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
>>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
>>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
>> You don't say.
>
> I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
>

> Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
> to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
> DX king from State, retired)...

You just can't help yourself.

> but NOT okay to wear the
> uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
> things and putting their LIVES on the line!

I'm a military veteran, Len. Jim has never said anything insulting to me about my military service. You are a veteran. You have insulted my military service on more than one occasion.

> Military
> people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
> are NOT!

According to your own words, my DXing while I was on government assignment abroad was paid for by taxpayers.

> Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
> "protector."

Is Brian your protector? Is Mark Morgan? Are they your friends? Your supporters?

> Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
> is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.

Good luck on the new conspiracy theory. Don't leave the house without your aluminum foil cap.

> Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
> "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
> from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
> their own, got ALL education from the government, and
> probably have underarm odor.

You really need to find something with which to fill your empty hours.

> But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
> don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
> whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
> to keep him quiet. :-(

Whereas there is not much likely to keep you quiet. You are bound to demonstrate the accuracy of the well known profile.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
k8mn@arrl.net

79. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:50:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6oluh29nq94459otsnfe2u0ip58fmkjllv@4ax.com>
Source record: 166097

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:29:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:02 pm
>>
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: Dave Heil on Thurs, Sep 28 2006 8:31 am
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>>> Don't tap dance around it. Just tell us what it is.
>>
>> "If you don't know that information, all of your latest
>> diatribe is rather pointless."
>
>I haven't engaged in a diatribe, Len.
lying again

the dx must realy suck tonight
> I've asked you direct question
>about something you'd apparently like to convey. It has no meaning to
>me. If it is one of your petty insults, I'm not able to be insulted if
>I don't know what you mean.
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

80. You can lead aSteve to water but...he reamins stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:51:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159681899.485073.135920@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:37:12 -0700, Wabbit did the cha-cha, and
> screamed:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!" wrote:
> >>
> >> everyone know you aare you steve
> >>
> > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
>
> No, you're a timid, anonymous Wabbit, and it doesn't really matter who you
> are. "The anonymous homophobe from Reston, VA" pretty much sums it up.
and you are child pretending to be in control
```

81. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:55:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ruluh2pufl5lfldl970p6nsr6nauqo1brv@4ax.com>
Source record: 166099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>stpupid
>>
>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>

>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they

> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
a nice peice of writing stored I may lift a peice or for something I
am working on

but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
themslves
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

82. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:55:59 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bk780.98d.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

"ceniza" <ceniza@willnots.comn> wrote in message
news:--CdnZfuM6fo3YLYnZ2dnUVZ_uqdnZ2d@ntd.net...
fuck you asshole, bloodfart is an honest and intelligent member.

it's a cool moniker, and it takes about a day to get used to, so eat shit
and die!!!! I'll tell u what...drive 3 hours to meet doctor primeau at a
splat toilet, bunghole!

<
===
Mark is overly sensitive about bloody farts. Forgive him. He has more than
once gone on record in these newsgroups by telling us that he has a
hemorrhoid problem and, get this....uses beer to give himself enemas. He has
not told us just HOW he introduces the beer into his anus, so your guess is
as good as mine. He claims that the beer enema lessens the pain, but I
suspect it is most likely a cheap and semi-perverted means of getting a buzz
going.
Buttweiser, anyone?

83. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:56:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a2muh2lkn0m71aa8pndvobsr55g0vbcsa6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166100

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:48:19 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
>>

>> Jimmie Noserve doesn't know that. Obviously.
>
>Who is "Jimmie Noserve"? Is this just your way of showing us that you
>are incapable of doing other than living up to the profile which
>outlines your behavior?
funny how you claim to be able to understand anything even other
lanaguges if they are a sent in CW but a ataching a Nickname to
someday and you are lost
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

84. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:58:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6muh255bt64qq7sboafjoop0rkvp4eree@4ax.com>
Source record: 166101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 30 Sep 2006 22:47:12 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>Nada Tapu wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
>> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>>
>> NT
>
> If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
> your license callsign?
I think he just another avatar of Al Klein since al was having trouble
having killfiled everyone
>
> How DOES one have a "reasoned debate" with an anony-mousie
> such as "Nada Tapu"?
>
> I've not seen that such is possible in here except for two and
> both of them are self-admitted Canadians.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

85. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 05:59:21 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159682361.395550.259760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166102

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 20:23:05 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
> >
> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
> >>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
> > bullshit again. :-(Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
> > and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
> > here before...
> it is always the Nocoders that must do the work somehow the procoder I
> guess cazan't losing the abilty as they learn code perhaps?

Nah...that's just an old, old trick of J. Miccolis. He diverts
attention away from a challenge by another (and usually on
a different subject) to get the group focussing on some old,
old newsgripe argument. He does that deliberately.

> >>Do you even know what they are?
> >
> > Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
> > of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
> > dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?
>
> as expendable materail in any event

No doubt.

> >>> What is demeaning about that?
> >>
> >>What isn't demeaning about it?
> >
> > Indeed!
> >
> > Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
> > on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.
>
> Len you forgot his Code skills put him on a higher plane

...and without his parachute! :-)

> > Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
> > to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
> > Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
> > putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
> > body dedicated to morse code.
> >
> yea I can personal attest serving is dangerous even in peacetime

"We were expendable." :-)

> >>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
> >>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
> >>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
> >>
> >>You don't say.
> >
> > I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
> >
> > Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
> > to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
> > DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
> > uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
> > things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
> > people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
> > are NOT!
> >
> Jim is simply on the slide to ending up like Robeson sad to say it
> since he was managging better than than most of the ProCoders but it
> looks we ought to ban CW to protect hams from the obviously damaging
> effect of CW usage

Maybe "it's the water?" [like the Olympia Brewing Co. slogan]

> > Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
> > "protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
> > is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.
> >
> > Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
> > "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
> > from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
> > their own, got ALL education from the government, and
> > probably have underarm odor.
>
> and these people expect to be trusted to moderate a Ng involving the
> code issue?

People like them. They all passed the code test. No sweat.

Remember, Mark, they brooke NO contentiousness!

> > But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
> > don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
> > whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just

> > to keep him quiet. :-(
>
> pity for all of us he does not read the ng more hed learn at least One
> us will not do that

I have to just ignore him. If I don't, I'm liable to be accused of
"(mis)conduct" and other high crimes against the State. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

86. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 06:03:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3bmuh2lsv8gvgrdr19lopolrqrnc4rjrol@4ax.com>
Source record: 166103

On 30 Sep 2006 22:59:21 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 30 Sep 2006 20:23:05 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>>
>> >From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
>> >
>> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
>> >>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
>> > bullshit again. :-(Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
>> > and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
>> > here before...
>> it is always the Nocoders that must do the work somehow the procoder I
>> guess cazan't losing the abilty as they learn code perhaps?
>
> Nah...that's just an old, old trick of J. Miccolis. He diverts
> attention away from a challenge by another (and usually on
> a different subject) to get the group focussing on some old,
> old newsgripe argument. He does that deliberately.
he taught it to Steve and the rest fo Procoders
>
>
>> >>Do you even know what they are?
>> >
>> > Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
>> > of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
>> > dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?
>>
>> as expendable materail in any event
>
> No doubt.

I do say that on rainy day like today is here it realy makes me regret
I put my life and health on the line for the nation
>
>
>> >>> What is demeaning about that?
>> >>

>> >>What isn't demeaning about it?
>> >
>> > Indeed!
>> >
>> > Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
>> > on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.
>>
>> Len you forgot his Code skills put him on a higher plane
>
> ...and without his parachute! :-)

they don't need hard enough heads you could most procoder from orbit
and they would survie byt beep in in their Code does get though your
know and the air would part and not let them burn up
>
>
>> > Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
>> > to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
>> > Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
>> > putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
>> > body dedicated to morse code.
>> >
>> yea I can personal attest serving is dangerous even in peacetime
>
> "We were expendable." :-)
>
>
>
>> >>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
>> >>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
>> >>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
>> >>
>> >>You don't say.
>> >
>> > I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
>> >
>> > Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
>> > to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
>> > DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
>> > uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
>> > things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
>> > people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
>> > are NOT!
>> >
>> Jim is simply on the slide to ending up like Robeson sad to say it
>> since he was managging better than than most of the ProCoders but it
>> looks we ought to ban CW to protect hams from the obviously damaging
>> effect of CW usage
>
> Maybe "it's the water?" [like the Olympia Brewing Co. slogan]

maybe
>
>
>> > Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
>> > "protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
>> > is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.
>> >
>> > Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
>> > "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
>> > from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
>> > their own, got ALL education from the government, and
>> > probably have underarm odor.
>>
>> and these people expect to be trusted to moderate a Ng involving the
>> code issue?
>
> People like them. They all passed the code test. No sweat.

yea
>
> Remember, Mark, they brooke NO contentiousness!

except from themselves hypocrites but again the NG is dead even if
they get it lanched with attides
>
>
>> > But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
>> > don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
>> > whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
>> > to keep him quiet. :-(
>>
>> pity for all of us he does not read the ng more hed learn at least One
>> us will not do that
>
> I have to just ignore him. If I don't, I'm liable to be accused of
> "(mis)conduct" and other high crimes against the State. :-)

well Clinton survived impeachment and even profitted from it
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

87. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 06:15:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159683301.474412.100070@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >
> >
> >>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>>stpupid
> >>
> >>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >>requirement.
> >
> > The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> > 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> > The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> > "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> > for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
> >
> > The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> > 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> > per year ever since]
> >
> > I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
> >
> >>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >>as a response.
> >
> > Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> > electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> > "technical people." :-)
> >
> > Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> > as a communications medium. The technology of early
> > radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> > On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> > possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> > mature and a new branch of communications was open
> > to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

> >
> >> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >>face of modern telecommunications.
> >
> > PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
> >
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
> >
> > Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> > a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> > radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> > years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> > professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> > they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> > But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> > that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> > of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> > That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> > different than the technology available in the 20s and
> > 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> > rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> > things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> > technological environment.
> >
> >>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
> >
> > Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> > it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> > has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> > the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> > era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> > revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
> >
> > Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> > analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> > GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> > the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> > knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> > ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> > item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> > for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> > for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
> >
> > The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> > 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> > advancing, the state of the art never static. For the

> > stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> > feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> > aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> > cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> > youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> > the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> > they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> > level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
> >
> > There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> > alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> > cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> > transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> > safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> > well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> > of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> > everyone from government agencies to private boat
> > owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> > the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> > all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
> >
> > But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> > helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> > can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> > can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> > romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> > imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> > This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> > be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> > regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> a nice peice of writing stored I may lift a peice or for something I
> am working on

Thank you. Feel free to use any part. I would be nice to
get credit for it, a common courtesy.

> but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
> would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
> pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
> themslves

"Magic" is a subjective term. The "magic" of HF worldwide comms
dissolved into reality for me in 1953 on seeing such wholesale
"magic" working 24/7 in tying US Army (and other military) into
the large network going back to the states.

"Different strokes for different folks."

ARRL is still fixated on HF and the "magic" of morse. Since they
influence (if not brainwash) as many US hams as they can with
their huge publishing effort, we aren't supposed to negatively

critique them. That's "not nice" to those who've had their brains washed in that way.

I've seen "real" magic at the Magic Castle in Hollywood, CA. That's mainly a professional association of magicians/illusionists. One can't gain entrance without being admitted by a member. I've had the marvelous opportunity to go in three times there...and be totally fascinated by the illusions. Whenever I see some ham bring up "magic" I think of the Magic Castle. A lot of hams ascribe "magic" to HF comms but that is their own private illusion (or delusion, as the case may be). Shrug. To each his own but I don't like others trying to cram Their delusions into everyone.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

88. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 06:32:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159684333.108851.317110@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166105

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 22:59:21 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 30 Sep 2006 20:23:05 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
> >> >
> >> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
> >> >>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
> >> > bullshit again. :-(Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
> >> > and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
> >> > here before...
> >> it is always the Nocoders that must do the work somehow the procoder I
> >> guess cazan't losing the abilty as they learn code perhaps?
> >
> > Nah...that's just an old, old trick of J. Miccolis. He diverts
> > attention away from a challenge by another (and usually on
> > a different subject) to get the group focussing on some old,
> > old newsgripe argument. He does that deliberately.
>
> he taught it to Steve and the rest fo Procoders

The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP. Jimmie the M.
probably picked it up on the old (defunct) AOL discussion board
and saw it was useful for him as a misdirection. It's an old, old
trick and - surprisingly - works well with the uninitiated.

> >> >>Do you even know what they are?
> >> >
> >> > Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
> >> > of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
> >> > dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?
> >>
> >> as expendable materail in any event
> >
> > No doubt.
>

> I do say that on rainy day like today is here it realy makes me regret
> I put my life and health on the line for the nation

Welp, 2000 miles away from you the weather was nice down
here. Picked up new glasses from Sears Optical and passed
the Armed Forces Career Center just outside the Sears
entrance. Briefly had some nice words with the same Army
E-5 on duty that I did on Tuesday. :-)

> >> >>> What is demeaning about that?
> >> >>
> >> >>What isn't demeaning about it?
> >> >
> >> > Indeed!
> >> >
> >> > Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
> >> > on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.
> >>
> >> Len you forgot his Code skills put him on a higher plane
> >
> > ...and without his parachute! :-)
>
> they don't need hard enough heads you could most procoder from orbit
> and they would survie byt beep in in their Code does get though your
> know and the air would part and not let them burn up

Well then, let's get Heil to work with NASA. He wrote he "worked
with NASA" while in Vietnam. Maybe this time he can help them
with new nosecones or shuttle tiles? :-)

> >> > Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
> >> > to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
> >> > Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
> >> > putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
> >> > body dedicated to morse code.
> >> >
> >> yea I can personal attest serving is dangerous even in peacetime
> >
> > "We were expendable." :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >> >>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
> >> >>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
> >> >>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
> >> >>
> >> >>You don't say.
> >> >
> >> > I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
> >> >
> >> > Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay

> >> > to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
> >> > DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
> >> > uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
> >> > things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
> >> > people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
> >> > are NOT!
> >> >
> >> Jim is simply on the slide to ending up like Robeson sad to say it
> >> since he was managging better than than most of the ProCoders but it
> >> looks we ought to ban CW to protect hams from the obviously damaging
> >> effect of CW usage
> >
> > Maybe "it's the water?" [like the Olympia Brewing Co. slogan]
>
> maybe
> >
> >
> >> > Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
> >> > "protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
> >> > is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.
> >> >
> >> > Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
> >> > "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
> >> > from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
> >> > their own, got ALL education from the government, and
> >> > probably have underarm odor.
> >>
> >> and these people expect to be trusted to moderate a Ng involving the
> >> code issue?
> >
> > People like them. They all passed the code test. No sweat.
>
> yea
> >
> > Remember, Mark, they brooke NO contentiousness!
>
> except from themselves hypocrites but again the NG is dead even if
> they get it lanched with attides

RRAP is dead for any real discussion. Pro-coders won't allow it.
That's IT in a nutshell. Pro-coders want to stop all discussion.
It is obvious to any disinterested observer. Problem is the
"moderating team" (well, one anyway) wants to be in the public
engaging in a pissing contest. Ah, but with just ONE, me. :-)

The hypocrisy will be proven later, after the "moderation" starts.

> >> > But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
> >> > don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
> >> > whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
> >> > to keep him quiet. :-(

> >>
> >> pity for all of us he does not read the ng more hed learn at least One
> >> us will not do that
> >
> > I have to just ignore him. If I don't, I'm liable to be accused of
> > "(mis)conduct" and other high crimes against the State. :-)
>
> well Clinton survived impeachment and even profitted from it

As far as I saw, Bill Clinton NEVER had to face the elite
"moderating
team" of RRAP! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

89. Re: Of Snarkles and Wardy, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 06:38:05 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.06.38.03.434069@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166106
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.snuh,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:03:11 -0700, the August 2006 winner of the George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart, the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet and Outer Filth James C Cracked is God!!! attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:

> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 05:50:17 -0700, George Pickett Memorial Trophy,
>> Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart, and the Order of the Holey
>> Sockpuppet winner Adolf the Unready, aka Outer Filth
>> <wfh_jr@yahoo.com>, attempted to confuse the issue further by
>> squeaking:
>>
>> > Well,he's obviously succeeded, hasn't he?
>> >
>> > Let's see, when Ward feeds trolls and encourages them to hang around,
>>
>> Ward made every effort possible to keep AUK from focussing on rmcr
>> (aside from making thweats or swearing at the top of his lungs (or
>> swearing at all, even), which are futile anyway), until he finally
>> wised-up and realised it doesn't work, because one person cannot
>> control how another posts.
>
> Some persons certainly try. Some persons try so hard to control how
> other persons post that they set up entire groups devoted to that ideal,
> abandon all thought of having jobs or lives of their own and devote
> themselves 7 days a week 12 hours a day to controlling how others post.

True, yes. Interesting that you should have that insight, really, considering that Ward has been able to control _your_ posting habits for ages.

>> > that's not Ward's fault, that's all the trolls' fault,
>>
>> I will freely admit to x-poasting to rmcr because it suited me to do so.
>> Ward had no part in my decision to do so, and would have had me stop.
>
> Actually, Ward had a huge deal in your posting and has likewise had a huge
> deal in the renewed interest of auk in rmcr. I departed with what I
> thought was a truce from rmcr on 23 August and remained gone for nearly a
> month. (Ward disputes this, of course. While Ward is jerking you off with
> his right hand and masking your posts with his left, ask him to regale you
> on his 'theories' as to why I, John Harrington and wfh_jr are all the same
> person.) Meanwhile Ward foamed and howled and screamed about all things
> James C Cracked. So I came back, just like he asked. And I warned him to

> keep it down or there would be consequences.

Clealy, Sir is under the impression that AUK is expected to adhere to truces which involve only RMCR, when in fact the x-poasting to RMCR from AUK has continued all along. I've made damn sure of that. However, no other AUK regs have unilaterally started any x-poasts to RMCR.

> He didn't so there were.

See, he controls you. He owns you, in fact, though I seem to have a minority share.

>> > but when, according to Ward, those trolls entice sickos from AUK to
>> > post here, that's entirely the fault of the trolls,
>>
>> Your decision, and Harrington's, and Crackhead's, to x-poast to AUK was
>> always your own. No one forced any of you to become outer filth, it was
>> your (Well, Crackhead's) own idea from the start.
>
> I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward, not wfh_jr nor JH.

Very wrong. They both reproduced your outer filth without editing it -- repeatedly -- thus they both wear the mantle.

> I know it bugs you deeply, not out of compassion (you have evinced no
> particular friendliness toward Ward)

Indeed not. He has displayed some minor kooksigns, and may in fact be one, after all -- though, as time passes and he continues to refrain from meltdowns, that becomes less likely.

> but out of a pathological fear of being outer filthed yourself.

Ah, no. My full legal name is online, somewhere, and a sufficiently diligent stalker could find it (meanwhile, I'm fully capable of removing it from its current location, yet I still have not done so). So far, that stalker is not you, <wfh_jr>, Harrington, Richard M. Scoville, Dean Humphries, Jason "Gortician" Christie, Dustin Cook, Charles S. Lysaght, Woger Wiseman (or his protege, "Wabbit"), or any of the various others who think it's a demonstration of their 1337 hacker skillz to find out someone's full legal name and personal contact info, and then post it to usenet, especially in subject lines, particularly x-poasted. In reality, it's a kooksign. A major one.

> That coupled with a need to control others

ROTFLOL!!!

> born of an hysterical (in both senses of the word) need to compensate
> for insecurity and powerlessness.

That's a very cute self-justification for your k00ky behaviour, but I'm afraid it doesn't wash. You're Outer Filth, just like the other guys I litsed.

>> > and Ward sucks up to the AUK dipshits, even adopting their moronic
>> > jargon. 'x-poast' for fuck's sake, Ward, what were you thinking?
>>
>> Your unfamiliarity with teh vernacular of The Empire of MEOW is no
>> one's problem but your own.
>
> Actually it isn't a problem at all. Your thinking it is a problem is a
> problem of no concern to anyone.

It's <wfh_jr>'s problem, that much is plain.

>> Since you and your buddies chose to involve MEOW (specifically, the
>> self-governing Dominion of alt.usenet.kooks) in your little tiff with
>> Ward, I don't see why you now object to the use of such terminology. I
>> mean, what did you expect? AUK would do what you wanted, and then go
>> away? Nuh-uh, doesn't work like that.
>
> I don't speak for wfh_jr necessarily but for myself, but I expect you to
> do exactly what you are doing. No more, no less.

Oh, good.

> One of the consequences I promised Ward for his continued trolling was
> to reinvigorate the rather (of late) anemic interest of auk in rmcr.
> That I have done.

However, the interest is in yourself, most of all, due to the k0oKy
manner in which you chose to present your kook. You really ought to have
read the FAQ first, as it informs one as to both the proper and improper
methods of presentation. The difference, you see, is between getting
your k00k nominated, and being nominated as a k00k yourself. Many others
who've only just posted to AUK for the first time in the past two months
or so were not nearly so rash, and their kooks have, in many cases, been
nominated, though at least one other took a path similar to yours, and won
the Golden Killfile. Meanwhile, his kook is now up for the Bartlo, so it
sometimes works out anyway. So far, not for you.

> I have shaken the bug jar again and watched the black ants and the red
> ants fight. Ward is stupid enough to think I am telling you this as a
> strategy of reverse psychology, e.g., if I keep it up you will go away
> in order to punish me and I will have 'won' by ousting you from rmcr.
> Ward is a 2-dimensional thinker, and I expect you have noticed this.
> Anyway, I can serve you up a dish of errant candor and know for a fact
> you won't go away. My goal now is to keep you in rmcr forever and never
> let the group forget that it was Ward Hardman and his slug-brained
> intractable persistence that keeps you there. Persistence is Mother
> Nature's gift to Ward for for a lack of so many other things. Of course
> Ward is unaware that Mother Nature has a thigh-thumping, screamingly
> perverse sense of humor.

The only way you could "keep me in rmcr forever" would be if you could
prevent me from wandering off to do something else whenever I feel like
it. I've done that several times, in the past five years alone, and

there's no telling when I'll do it again. Maintaining AUK's interest in RMCR will take a lot more than keeping one kookologist x-poasting -- indeed, it is a measure of your failure as a troll that you have only managed a decent conversation with a single AUK'er, since, as a k00k, you have but a single major credit (aside from winning three awards) to your name: Being Outer Filth.

>> > At least there are some things to laugh about - that retard Snarky
>> > admits he didn't have sex from age 18 until his late 30s har har har
>> > what a sicko, obviously fucking little boys or something equally
>> > revolting.
>>
>> I'm sorry, perhaps you're just bad at math. That was over two years
>> ago, and I'm 37 *now*. As for what I did in the interim, I read an
>> awful lot.
>
> You read an awful lot of posts, many of them awful, to be sure. I visit
> usenet. You live here. Full time.

Not. Google "Snarky", January-June, 2006 (or 2005, for that matter, and in 2004, I never posted at all -- and I started back in October 1999). Even when my nick doesn't turn up in my sig, my email munge/address, or my screenname, people who know me refer to me as such. Incidentally, more than one friend, online and off-, calls me "Snarkles", entirely out of a personal preference for it -- I never bill myself that way. Are you suddenly feeling friendly towards me?? Well, *ew*.

> I would suggest that a full time diet of invective and schadenfreude on
> polemic toast is symptomatic of massive pathologies of the insecurity,
> controlling and rage varietals.

No, on the contrary, I quite enjoy usenet. A number of people may prefer that I stop enjoying it so much, but I pay no attention to the needs or wants of k00ks.

> In short, Snarkles, you need help. The almost physical pain you feel on
> your weekly beer runs is usenet withdrawal.

As it happens, I detest beer -- the smell and taste of it are both vomit-inducing, so I haven't touched it since 1988, when I last gave it a real chance.

> You dash back to mask the pain with more 'poasting.' Like most addicts
> the prognosis is not good. I personally don't give a shit. My
> accountant, Phil, is like you in the narrow sense that he's bald as an
> egg, vertically challenged

He's 5'9"?

> and physically unprepossessing. The similarities end there. He is also
> brilliant at what he does,

You'd have to ask my colleagues in AUK about that -- I wouldn't know...

> is an incredible athlete (his 31 minute 10k vs. my 35:12), has a drop
> dead gorgeous wife and lives in a magnificent 6 bedroom home on a
> bucolic lake with ducks and geese. In short, he didn't crawl up into his
> asshole and die. He made something of himself. You, on the other hand,
> crawled up inside your asshole and died.

Well, for a dead guy, I'm having a lot of fun on usenet. Did you see
"Wabbit's" recent meltdown, which I x-poasted to rmcr elsewhere in this
thread? Took a number of weeks to get him to that point, but I did it.

> Ward of course will dispute this because Ward disputes everything I say,
> but I am fantastically well remunerated for what I do because I am good
> at it. And there is NO power on earth -- none -- like money. Nothing
> that can be conferred by MEOW nor the self-governing power and
> principality of alt.usenet.kooks can touch Das Kapital for real power on
> earth, nor even get within spear-chucking distance.

Ah, a materialist. I'm more of an existentialist, when I'm not a
pantheistic multi-person solipsist.

> If it's power you want, Snarkums,

I ask you again: Are you suddenly my friend, or something??

> go out and make some bucks. Even weak, pitiful, anemic Canadian bucks.

<yawn> Nationalistic lames are also kind of hokey, hmmm?

> [el snippo]

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose

to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

90. Re: Poop Snarky Assman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 06:46:31 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.06.46.29.54933@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166107
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:04:26 -0700, George Pickett Memorial Trophy,
Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart, and the Order of the Holey
Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com>, aka Adolf the Unready attempted
to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> The sad asshole 'Poop Snarky' having nothing worthwhile in his life, wrote
>
>> Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile, moved
>> back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove me a
>> liar, whydon'tcha.
>
> Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
> happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say something
> unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell you your bits
> were too small?

First *18* years, and beyond that is really none of your business.

> When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
> Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?

Mainly genre fiction -- I find it more intellectually edifying than
non-fiction.

> So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up in
> the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?

Should only be another year or so. I can wait.

> How long is 'quite awhile'? When did you actually leave home, Snarky? 23?
> 25? 34?

Again, we're into "None of your damn business, Outer Filth" Land. Go
stalk easier meat, why don't you? You don't seem quite competent enough
to find out anything useful about me.

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a

pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it. You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

91. Re: ZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 07:11:25 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.07.11.22.713187@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166110
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:58:55 -0700, George Pickett Memorial Trophy,
Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart, and the Order of the Holey
Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> Adolf the Unready attempted to
confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> The sad asshole 'Poop Snarky' having nothing worthwhile in his life,
> wrote
>
>> Really, the answer is "No." Lived away from home for quite awhile,
>> moved back for about ten weeks, and am now on my own again. Hey, prove
>> me a liar, whydon'tcha.
>
> Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
> happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say
> something unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell
> you your peepee was too small?
>
> When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
> Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?
>
> So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up
> in the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?
>
> Oh, btw, you can insult my girlfriends all you like, you know nothing
> about them, but I am a fucking Adonis compared to you and get all I can
> take.

Have I said Word One about your girlfriends? Incidentally, these seem like
your style:

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509

From: snarky loves cock (Sat Sep 30 08:56:06 2006)
how long until the police come knocking?

I dunno -- the tenth of Never?

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2455

From: Kayden's Anus (Sat Sep 30 10:59:24 2006)
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum

> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2500

From: Kayden's Anus (Sat Sep 30 11:01:19 2006)
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2501

From: Kayden's Anus (Sat Sep 30 11:02:21 2006)
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509

From: snarky poop anus (Sat Sep 30 19:37:52 2006)
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.
>
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.
>
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes

> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarky-vs-Cheney-vs-quail/victory_over_quail

From: poop snarky of the reverberating anus (Sat Sep 30 19:32:05 2006)
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.
> Kayden's anus is growing pink
> Yet Snarky doesn't stop to think
> What if Kayden's mommy knows?
> Then Snarky to the jailhouse goes
> In the jailhouse, oh what fun
> Now SNARKY takes it up the bum
> Pretty soon and full-blown aids
> shortens Snarky's worthless days.

Pedo-laming me doesn't really work, since I'm not a pedo, and have no
criminal record, nor do any of my family members know about that site.

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2535

From: Poopass Snarky (Sun Oct 1 00:14:27 2006)
> Have fun in jail, Snark you asshole.

Y'know, you don't seem too happy that I'm continuing to x-poast into your
playpen. Perhaps you and Crackhead need to have a little discussion about
whether or not I should continue? Not that it'll make a difference, but if
you'd like to be a little more anonymous in your style, it'd probably be a
good idea. OTOH, perhaps you _really_ want me to stop. In which case,
never mind.

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe

Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

92. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 07:53:30 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bke4g.gae.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166108

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
aperances

And you are concerned? Why?

93. Re: mc victims

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 08:02:30 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.08.02.28.635030@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166112
Cross-posted to: alt.mindcontrol,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

```
On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 01:23:30 -0400, Mark attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:22:04 GMT, The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:56:30 +0000, Chadwick Stone© did the cha-cha, and
>>screamed:
>>> marqueer@mail2world.com has entered into testimony
>>>> Chadwick Stone© wrote:
>>>>> blunderbuss has entered into testimony
>>>>>
>>>>>> Mind control victims each have their own perspective
>>>>>
>>>>> All relevant to the amount of haloperidol they require.
>>>>
>>>> STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
>>>
>>> Make some sense, marqueer :)
>>
>>Wabbit is campaigning vewy, vewy hard.
>
> a pity your awards don't realy m,an anything

...Anymore than usenet does. Kookology is a fun pastime, however.

--
_____________________________________________________________________
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
```

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

94. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 08:12:04 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bkf75.82j.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166109

Yet again the gaseous bageous Exalted One takes it upon himself to hold
Court where none is necessary.
Len, you are quickly becoming a simple, smarmy to-be-ignored buffoon much
like your lone Peanut Gallery follower aka Mark Morgan.

And Len? Yes, I am one of those dreaded "Coders" you love to malign, though
I pose no stance on code or no code.
Tsk...What say you, Exalted One?

95. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 08:48:05 UTC
From: Baloo <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <5vb4v3-jsd.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 166113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Nada Tapu wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 21:06:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>> This discussion is over. You lose. And to prove it, you had to get
>> personal and I didn't. I will not engage in a reasoned debate with an
>> individual such as you. If you really are an amateur operator, and I
>> sincerely doubt that you are, do us all a favor and keep your seething
>> hatred and childish foot stomping off of the bands.
>>
>> NT
>
> If you really are an amateur operator, why can't you give out
> your license callsign?

Probably because it's not safe to go waving around more information than you
have to.

http://www.blogs.oregonlive.com/oregonian/newsupdates/default.asp?item=194639
Dispute On CB Airwaves Leads To Fatal Shooting

Yes, the non-CB amateur bands tend to be a little more mature than the
citizens band (or at least as far as the
Washington/Clark/Multnomah/Clackamas County area is concerned).
Unfortunately, Usenet and the Internet are not. If you don't believe me,
go watch Dateline when they sting Internet weirdos sometime to see the
worst of them.

--
Baloo
email & xmpp: baloo@ursine.ca

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

96. Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 08:57:51 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com>
Message-ID: <bkht0.hqn.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal question?
When you self-administer your beer enemas, how do you do so? Do you use warm beer or cold? Do you use a funnel and surgical tubing or do you simply upend your arse over the back of a chair, spread your cheeks and pour the beer into your cavity? Do you insert the entire can or do you prefer a long-neck bottle? I realize that I am asking highly personal questions, but never in my life have I ever met anybody who has ever had the stupidity to confess and discuss such matters.
In fact, I've never spoken to anybody who admits to using beer as an enema. You are a first.

Oh, and I have to ask. Which brand to you prefer? Is Lager better than say, Miller Lite? Come Easter, have you ever tried some of the dark beers? I suppose you prefer Lite as opposed to some of the local ales. I guess the dark beers foam too much and may leave telltale stains.
And Mark, how do you do this? Do you go to the local tavern, belly up to the bar, drop your pants while plopping your arse on the bar while telling the bartender to "fill er' up"? Does the barkeep have a small hose under the bar with your name on it?

Nahhhh....I'm sure you keep your beer enemas to yourself, don't you? You wouldn't want everybody on Usenet knowing about your home treatment.
I'd like to buy you a beer Mark. It would be a hoot to watch and well worth the cost.

97. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 10:52:04 UTC
From: Stan de SD <standesd_DIGA_NO_A_SPAM@covad.net>
Message-ID: <3702$451f9d8c$45035f0d$24351@msgid.meganewsservers.com>
Source record: 166114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

<marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote in message
news:1159470069.322250.84320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> cum guzzling fucker!

Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you <plonk>

98. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 11:06:58 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.11.06.56.698972@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
>> cum guzzling fucker!
>
> Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you <plonk>

Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
bit.;-{P}

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

99. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 11:44:08 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cSNTg.668$NE6.481@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

Actually Len, almost all amateur radio operation has
been outmoded by advancing technology which has made
amateur radio first to be redundant and later to be
obsolete. I'm still using the same modes for amateur
radio that I used more than half a century ago.

My daughter lives in New York state. 50 years ago,
I would have tried to talk her into getting a ham
license. Today, Sprint cellphones allow the two of
us to communicate any time, day or night, for free.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

100. Re: Poop Snarky Assman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 12:25:48 UTC
From: Adolf the Unready <wfh_jr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159705548.918151.87100@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166117
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >
> > Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
> > happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say something
> > unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell you your bits
> > were too small?
>
> First *18* years, and beyond that is really none of your business.

Of course, none of it's any of my business, and I couldn't give a
flying fuck. You seemed eager to chat about your less-than-minimal sex
life.

> > When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
> > Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?
>
> Mainly genre fiction -- I find it more intellectually edifying than
> non-fiction.

You mean gay crap written by computer program.

>
> > So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up in
> > the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?
>
> Should only be another year or so. I can wait.

A year? How can you know that? Is your 40-odd yr old bitch in jail or
something? Anyway, have fun masturbating. I'll post some porn sites for
you, if I can be bothered. Which I can't.

>
> > How long is 'quite awhile'? When did you actually leave home, Snarky? 23?
> > 25? 34?
>
> Again, we're into "None of your damn business, Outer Filth" Land. Go
> stalk easier meat, why don't you? You don't seem quite competent enough
> to find out anything useful about me.

How could anything about you be useful, asshole? It's quite clear you
didn't leave home until long after most of your contemporaries had
careers and steady partners, and absolutely did not rely on ridiculous
attempted bullying of better people on usenet to give them a sense of
their own value.

101. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 12:56:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159707407.782529.173280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>stpupid
> >
> >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.

Ronald Reagan once said, "Facts are stupid things."

> >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)

It IS the Code.

> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

Telegraph.

> > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.

ABOVE 30 mhz? Hmmmm?

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
> >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago.

Then why are we: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

> The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable

> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

Ore even to move us into the future... Leadership.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

102. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 13:28:08 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <InPTg.1234$5o5.1043@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:khiuh2tnbkipjc8bl0bnndt7pmgobfiasq@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
> <delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote:
>
>>As of today, clicking on http://www.google.com doesn't show the option
>>to connect to Google groups until you click on the "more>>" link.
>>That's because Google is starting to downplay its groups as the
>>possibility looms that groups will be sold to another company.
>>
>>That also explains why Google hasn't been policing its users in recent
>>months. They've been anticipating handing their Usenet problems over
>>to someone else, so why should they spend their own money on them?
>>
>>The first thing that the new owner will do will be to shut down
>>posting while a new interface is built, and I don't think it can
>>happen too soon.
>>
>>Goodbye, Wiseman.
>>
>>Goodbye, Morgan.
>>
>>Goodbye, K4YZ.
>>
>>Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.
> funy how nothing stops me (or the rest

Yes Mark, you are hell-bent on exposing your idiocy.

103. Re: steve the annymouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 13:29:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159709358.822426.117920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166120

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:33:44 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> >news:ua4th2lc1rat0obhbtro03hdrm4kdi906k@4ax.com...
> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> ><DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> >
> >>When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six,
> >who
> >>is the Troll?
> >steve it doesn't happen
> >
> >(Psssst! Look at the headers, Doofus. I'm not Steve)
> yes are steve you made that plain when you first used this nick steve
>
> you make it cleear everytime you post with it
>
> you are stve the lying sack of shit

Welp, that is his trademark.

But is it possible that there is someone out there who wants to be like
Robesin?

104. Re: REMEMBER: I can whip you asses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:02:00 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1209462796.602425.60230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 30 Sep 2006 19:48:20 -0700, an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Gavrie...@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> >
>
> > Marqueer isn't taking care of me right at home. I have a 9 inch cock
> > and 38D boobs.............
>
> you can't her gender right or even the size of her tits forger

STOP DAMN ADDING MORE NEWSGROUPS BITCHWHORE!!! THEY HAVE BEEN FUCKING
TRIMED BACK TO WHERE THEY WAS TO BEGIN WITH BITCH. STEVE WE KNOW IT
IS YOU POSTING AS MARK SO YOU FOOLING NOONE ASSHOLE!!!!

Todd, N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
THE LAVENDER STATION
13.556.00 LSB

105. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:19:25 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efoiph02p2h@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 166122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>you're being inconsistent.

··· _ _ _ ··· ··· _ _ _ ··· ··· _ _ _ ···

· _ _ _ _ _ _ ·· _ _ _ _. ··· · _ · ··_ _. _. ··
_· _ _ · ···· ·· _ _. ···

· _ _ _ ·_ _·_· _·_

news:50buh2994btd26dtaoq0i5qhi7kjo4s23o@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>discuss it.
>
>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>from vision than any other sense.
>
> We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
> other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
> even written words.
>
>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
> Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
> they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
> else.
>
>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
> You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
> can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
> you're being inconsistent.

>
>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>
> That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
> is just a red herring.
>
>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
> I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
>
>>>>>Your insularity is showing.
>
>>>>Not insularity...humanity.
>
>>>Which has nothing to do with communication, which every life form
>>>participates in - even those who have no analog of vision.
>
>>Not quite sure what point you are making here.
>
> The discussion was about communication. YOUR discussion. You started
> it. Did you forget what you were talking about?

106. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:41:48 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> .... .. _ _. ...

Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
Hams who are pIGS? :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

107. Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:46:49 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 166134
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice, hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not degrade to that point.

When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed, protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a higher class of license and increased privileges?

I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have a dyslexia problem.

More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

Jack

108. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:50:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eclvh2t4tsrb2ri2qcm9vbaic2eodu0voj@4ax.com>
Source record: 166124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 13:28:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:khiuh2tnbkipjc8bl0bnndt7pmgobfiasq@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"

>>>Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.
>> funy how nothing stops me (or the rest
>
>Yes Mark, you are hell-bent on exposing your idiocy.
no Tom exposing the hypocracy of folks like yourself
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

109. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:54:03 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <fEQTg.5310$DU3.2515@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:eclvh2t4tsrb2ri2qcm9vbaic2eodu0voj@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 13:28:08 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>>news:khiuh2tnbkipjc8bl0bnndt7pmgobfiasq@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
>
>>>>Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.
>>> funy how nothing stops me (or the rest
>>
>>Yes Mark, you are hell-bent on exposing your idiocy.
> no Tom exposing the hypocracy of folks like yourself

Who is Tom? And what hypocrisy?

110. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:55:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qilvh2tke36c960uv7aaf40m0fstpskdpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 166126

```
On 30 Sep 2006 23:32:13 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 30 Sep 2006 22:59:21 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 30 Sep 2006 20:23:05 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> >> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Sep 27 2006 5:53 pm
>> >> >
>> >> >>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Sep 26 2006 7:22 pm
>> >> >>> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > Sigh...that CONSTANT "prove-it-by-dredging-up-an-old-post"
>> >> > bullshit again. :-( Feigned outrage (of the pansy sort)
>> >> > and "prove it" nonsense. AS IF nobody saw old postings in
>> >> > here before...
>> >> it is always the Nocoders that must do the work somehow the procoder I
>> >> guess cazan't losing the abilty as they learn code perhaps?
>> >>
>> > Nah...that's just an old, old trick of J. Miccolis. He diverts
>> > attention away from a challenge by another (and usually on
>> > a different subject) to get the group focussing on some old,
>> > old newsgripe argument. He does that deliberately.
>>
>> he taught it to Steve and the rest fo Procoders
>
> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP. Jimmie the M.
> probably picked it up on the old (defunct) AOL discussion board
> and saw it was useful for him as a misdirection. It's an old, old
> trick and - surprisingly - works well with the uninitiated.
>
>
>> >> >>Do you even know what they are?
>> >> >
>> >> > Jimmie Noserve never served. Not in the military, not in any
>> >> > of his governments. He thinks of "the military" as G.I. Joe
```

>> >> > dolls ("action figures") or as images of old war movies?
>> >>
>> >> as expendable materail in any event
>> >
>> > No doubt.
>>
>> I do say that on rainy day like today is here it realy makes me regret
>> I put my life and health on the line for the nation
>
> Welp, 2000 miles away from you the weather was nice down
> here. Picked up new glasses from Sears Optical and passed
> the Armed Forces Career Center just outside the Sears
> entrance. Briefly had some nice words with the same Army
> E-5 on duty that I did on Tuesday. :-)
>
>
>> >> >>> What is demeaning about that?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>What isn't demeaning about it?
>> >> >
>> >> > Indeed!
>> >> >
>> >> > Jimmie Noserve must be connected with aviation somehow...he is
>> >> > on some higher plane. He is "better" than the rest of us.
>> >>
>> >> Len you forgot his Code skills put him on a higher plane
>> >
>> > ...and without his parachute! :-)
>>
>> they don't need hard enough heads you could most procoder from orbit
>> and they would survie byt beep in in their Code does get though your
>> know and the air would part and not let them burn up
>
> Well then, let's get Heil to work with NASA. He wrote he "worked
> with NASA" while in Vietnam. Maybe this time he can help them
> with new nosecones or shuttle tiles? :-)
>
>
>> >> > Good grief...this NO-serve individual just cannot stop trying
>> >> > to rationalize he is "right" and therefore cannot be faulted!
>> >> > Just the same, he never took that Oath to serve his country,
>> >> > putting his life on that line, possibly harming his precious
>> >> > body dedicated to morse code.
>> >> >
>> >> yea I can personal attest serving is dangerous even in peacetime
>> >
>> > "We were expendable." :-)
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> >> >>> Now of course it's clear that someone who is directly employed by the
>> >> >>> government is not "a private person or company", so the word doesn't
>> >> >>> really apply to anyone who gets a direct government paycheck.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>You don't say.
>> >> >
>> >> > I couldn't figure out what he said...or meant to say...
>> >> >
>> >> > Oh, wait, here must be the meaning of his words: It is okay
>> >> > to be a civilian government employee (such as that glorious
>> >> > DX king from State, retired)...but NOT okay to wear the
>> >> > uniform of a military branch of the USA, doing military
>> >> > things and putting their LIVES on the line! Military
>> >> > people are "subsidized" but those in the "foreign service"
>> >> > are NOT!
>> >> >
>> >> Jim is simply on the slide to ending up like Robeson sad to say it
>> >> since he was managging better than than most of the ProCoders but it
>> >> looks we ought to ban CW to protect hams from the obviously damaging
>> >> effect of CW usage
>> >
>> > Maybe "it's the water?" [like the Olympia Brewing Co. slogan]
>>
>> maybe
>> >
>> >
>> >> > Yes, that's about it. Heil is his "friend" and ostensible
>> >> > "protector." Heil was a government employee at State. Heil
>> >> > is a pro-coder amateur extra. It all fits now.
>> >> >
>> >> > Anyone who is a pro-coder and served in the military is NOT
>> >> > "subsidized" but all no-coders aren't worthy of any respect
>> >> > from pro-coders, are always "subsidized," never do things on
>> >> > their own, got ALL education from the government, and
>> >> > probably have underarm odor.
>> >>
>> >> and these people expect to be trusted to moderate a Ng involving the
>> >> code issue?
>> >
>> > People like them. They all passed the code test. No sweat.
>>
>> yea
>> >
>> > Remember, Mark, they brooke NO contentiousness!
>>
>> except from themselves hypocrites but again the NG is dead even if
>> they get it lanched with attides
>
> RRAP is dead for any real discussion. Pro-coders won't allow it.
> That's IT in a nutshell. Pro-coders want to stop all discussion.

> It is obvious to any disinterested observer. Problem is the
> "moderating team" (well, one anyway) wants to be in the public
> engaging in a pissing contest. Ah, but with just ONE, me. :-)

it's dead stssuts however makes many points in and of itself that will
die with the "moderation" proposed

NT prooved that again this weekend
>
> The hypocrisy will be proven later, after the "moderation" starts.
>
>
>
>> >> > But, it is "okay" whatever Jimmie Noserve says. If you
>> >> > don't like it he will keep on keep on keep on rationalizing
>> >> > whatever he said is "correct" until everyone gives in just
>> >> > to keep him quiet. :-(
>> >>
>> >> pity for all of us he does not read the ng more hed learn at least One
>> >> us will not do that
>> >
>> > I have to just ignore him. If I don't, I'm liable to be accused of
>> > "(mis)conduct" and other high crimes against the State. :-)
>>
>> well Clinton survived impeachment and even profitted from it
>
> As far as I saw, Bill Clinton NEVER had to face the elite
>"moderating
> team" of RRAP! :-)
true he merely faced the Republican party Rush Limbaugh and Fox News
not the dreadd MMM
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

111. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:55:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0nlvh25tv2jtrirbsu2vrftjt1v6r5i233@4ax.com>
Source record: 166127

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:12:04 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>Yet again the gaseous bageous Exalted One takes it upon himself to hold
>Court where none is necessary.
>Len, you are quickly becoming a simple, smarmy to-be-ignored buffoon much
>like your lone Peanut Gallery follower aka Mark Morgan.
>
>And Len? Yes, I am one of those dreaded "Coders" you love to malign, though
>I pose no stance on code or no code.
>Tsk...What say you, Exalted One?
wrong again you have expressed your postion on code many thousands of
time
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

112. Re: steve the annymouse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:58:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <molvh2hjm92ts095mpof8ri3klo68qadrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 166128

On 1 Oct 2006 06:29:18 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:33:44 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
>> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>> >news:ua4th2lc1rat0obhbtro03hdrm4kdi906k@4ax.com...
>> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
>> ><DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six,
>> >who
>> >>is the Troll?
>> >steve it doesn't happen
>> >
>> >(Psssst! Look at the headers, Doofus. I'm not Steve)
>> yes are steve you made that plain when you first used this nick steve
>>
>> you make it cleear everytime you post with it
>>
>> you are stve the lying sack of shit
>
>Welp, that is his trademark.
>
>But is it possible that there is someone out there who wants to be like
>Robesin?
it is possible of course but arrf was active a while back he has had
several apearences all of them when steve was posting ,,,officaily and
all defending steve as if he were Mather Therasa and after he was
exposed and denounced steve came roaring back tot he NG with fresh
stinking shit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

113. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 14:58:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oslvh2dtl12vsnlcbv7o5faeuhftdett42@4ax.com>
Source record: 166129

```
On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 02:53:30 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
>aperances
>
>And you are concerned? Why?
not concerned just comenting steve
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

114. steve ie thae stalker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:00:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gulvh2haj7lfq5sea4sn7vev1cqhaotm4l@4ax.com>
Source record: 166130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:57:51 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal
>question?
no steve you may not hijack the NG further
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

115. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:02:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <13mvh2tkq8ghk88vd6c2md7djcghfih6i7@4ax.com>
Source record: 166131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:24:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>Once again Mark, and for the last time. I am NOT Steve.
of course you are steve the more you post and the more you deny it the
better you prove it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

116. No Morkie, You May Not Spread Your Paranoid Delusions Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:03:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159715008.143092.193230@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166132

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:57:51 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> >Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal
> >question?
> no steve you may not hijack the NG further

You already have, Fatso.

Follow the headers, you idiot.

Sheeeeesh. Whatta putz.

Steve, K4YZ

117. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:05:10 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159715109.992981.204140@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

U-Know-Who wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message

> > no Tom exposing the hypocracy of folks like yourself
>
> Who is Tom?
you are Tom
>And what hypocrisy?
your claim you want to discuss radio for one thing

you don't you just want to trash the NGS

118. Re: REMEMBER: I can shoot your asses.,, on sight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:06:03 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159715163.496145.145480@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
>
stop the forging it is not very clever

119. steve comes ouyt as ARF ARF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:07:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159715241.419252.201300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166136

K4YZ wrote:
> PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:57:51 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> > <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal
> > >question?
> > no steve you may not hijack the NG further
>
> You already have, Fatso.
thank you for comfirming your id as arf arf you dog

120. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:10:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.
>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> higher class of license and increased privileges?

some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
to same degree

OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW
>
> I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic
> daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to
> view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty
> of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual
> methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally
> realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in
> non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the
> situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the
> other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the
> dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in
> the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have
> a dyslexia problem.
>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise
>
> Jack

121. Mork Moron, KB9RQZ, Too Drunk To Follow The Headers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:15:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159715702.618411.163290@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166138

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> >When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six, who
> >is the Troll?
>
> steve it doesn't happen

"Arf Arf" is named Steve, too?

Cause it ain't me, Fatso.

> >When Mark stalks Steve day in and day out while Steve responds occasionally,
> >is Steve the Troll?
>
> doesn't happen steve

Sure it does, Mork.

Or are you too flippin' arrogant to think that other posters here
can't "do the math"...?!?!

> >When Mark posts cruel comments about a beloved daughter that Steve loved and
> >lost, is Steve at fault?
>
> he is when he has carried on for months about my being a child
> molestor rapist and theif

No...YOU have carried on about being a child molester.

I keep telling you I am willing to leave the unsubstantiated
comments of a drop, but YOU keep bringing them back up, Morkie.

Me doth think you protesteth too much...

In other words, I bet there's more to it than the investigator in
Illiopolis let on, and that YOU know he's probably aware of it too!

> >If I or others make light of Mark's inability to author so much as a single,
> >cohesive sentence, are we Trolls? Are WE cruel?
>
> yes you are

Nope.

You just don't like having the facts of your pitiful, degenerate
lifestyle sprad around for all to see.

> >It is a fortunate thing indeed that Mark has no children....
>
> but I do

In the barnyard..

daaaaaaaaaaady....daaaaaaaaaaady....daaaaaaaaady

> >....if he had they'd
> >have been long removed from his bisexual enclave by the Michigan Department
> >of Child Protective Services and sent to the Detroit Zoo to be prime
> >examples of the result of unchecked inbreeding.
>
> and your behavoir steve is again despictable

Arf Arf is also named Steve?

Becasue everyone else here is smart enough to follow the headers
and see that you're an idiot, Morkie.

A PRARNOID idiot at that.....

> >It is because of mentally bereft people such as Mark that abortionists will
> >never want for customers...
>
> no steve this NG has nothing to do with abortion

No one said it did.

He SAID "It is because of mentally bereft people such as Mark that
abortionists will never want for customers"...

He was spot-on.

Just be glad that post-puberty abortions are illiegal. I'd sign
you up for one on my dime right now.

Steve, K4YZ

122. Mork Proves He's An Idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:17:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159715874.639664.100760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166139

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com made an even bigger fool out of himself with:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:57:51 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> > > <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal
> > > >question?
> > > no steve you may not hijack the NG further
> >
> > You already have, Fatso.
>
> thank you for comfirming your id as arf arf you dog

How do you figure that, Fatso?

You still haven't explained how the headers don't match...Not by a
country mile...

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

123. steve shows up to make more threats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:18:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5tmvh251uti7a3eqrojv8mq0d6p80gibj5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166140

"Re: No Morkie, You May Not Spread Your Paranoid Delusions Today"
I certainly could spread paranoid delusion if I like you can't stop me

but calling you on your annymouse is in no way paranoid it could be
wincorrect but being incorret is not being paranoid

you out your use of the anny mouse by defending it so vigously

indeed in choosing to call id the annymouse as paranoid you reveal
yourself as by showing that you are guilty about yor deception
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

124. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams...One Of Whom is NOT KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:19:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159715995.546642.43660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
> > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > degrade to that point.
> >
> > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> > higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
> to same degree
>
> OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
> without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW

Oh?

Such as whom?

Prove it...Give us the name of ONE case.

Steve, K4YZ

125. Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:20:52 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159716052.682975.48340@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166142

K4YZ wrote:
> PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:48:01 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> > <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> >
> > >When Mark places 125 posts in a matter of six hours and Steve posts six, who
> > >is the Troll?
> >
> > steve it doesn't happen
>
> "Arf Arf" is named Steve, too?

Robeson the dog barks some more

126. Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:21:45 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159716105.859747.52610@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166143

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com made an even bigger fool out of himself with:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > thank you for comfirming your id as arf arf you dog
>
> How do you figure that, Fatso?
>
> You still haven't explained how the headers don't match...Not by a
> country mile...
no need they are just fakes like you posts

Robeson the dog barks some more

127. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams...stop thread jacking steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:22:59 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159716179.140676.128160@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Jack Ricci wrote:
> > > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> > > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > > degrade to that point.
> > >
> > > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> > > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> > > higher class of license and increased privileges?
> >
> > some are able to dylexia comes in degrees not all dyslexics are afected
> > to same degree
> >
> > OTOH I know of No dyslexic ham that has been able to USE CW onnthe air
> > without being roundly flamed by the other users of CW
>
> Oh?

Robeson the dog barks some more

128. Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:26:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jgnvh25mtr6ejh4cqn7rgrvc4lmpteecgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 166145

RRAP's best know juck yard threw a hissy fit over being outed today
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

129. Repeat: Woger is back in jail this weekend and all is quiet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:27:22 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <6710917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Woger remains away, and it is mostly quiet here. Some people in rram are even discussing ham radio again. I disabled my kill file, and even kb9rqz has been mostly quiet. Maybe he passed out after a malt liquor enema. Happy hangover, Mark.

////
(o o)
---oOO--(_)--OOo---

130. Robeson the dog barks some more et all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:28:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vinvh29ede9v28cdd36jo7ir0g1savak4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166147

the junkyard dog it seemed has had the misfortune to out himself right
as Google was under going one of it periodic break down

bark Robeson bark we all know you have or lose any ability to funtion
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

131. Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:32:18 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159716738.073818.21140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> Woger remains away, and it is mostly quiet here.
Robeson the dog barks some more

132. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:37:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YgRTg.3717$Y24.2058@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166149

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>>
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>> no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>>> stpupid
>>>> The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>>> computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>>> requirement.
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>>> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
>>> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>>>
>>> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
>>> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
>>> per year ever since]
>>>
>>> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>>>
>>>> When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>>> acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>>> as a response.
>>> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
>>> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
>>> "technical people." :-)
>>>
>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>>> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>>>
>>>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>>> face of modern telecommunications.
>>> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.

>>>
>>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>>>
>>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>>> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
>>> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>>>
>>> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
>>> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
>>> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>>> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
>>> different than the technology available in the 20s and
>>> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>>> technological environment.
>>>
>>>> Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>>> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
>>> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
>>> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
>>> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
>>> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
>>> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>>>
>>> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
>>> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
>>> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
>>> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
>>> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
>>> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
>>> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
>>> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
>>> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>>>
>>> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
>>> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
>>> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
>>> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
>>> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
>>> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
>>> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
>>> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
>>> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so

>>> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
>>> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>>>
>>> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
>>> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
>>> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
>>> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
>>> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
>>> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
>>> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
>>> everyone from government agencies to private boat
>>> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
>>> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
>>> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>>>
>>> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
>>> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
>>> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
>>> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
>>> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
>>> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
>>> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
>>> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
>>> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>> a nice peice of writing stored I may lift a peice or for something I
>> am working on
>
> Thank you. Feel free to use any part. I would be nice to
> get credit for it, a common courtesy.

If I decide to reproduce your classic, first person account of what it
is like to undergo an artillery barrage, you can be sure that I'll give
you full credit.

> "Magic" is a subjective term. The "magic" of HF worldwide comms
> dissolved into reality for me in 1953 on seeing...

<balance of rant snipped when it became apparent that another retelling
of Len's military experiences of a half century ago was in the making>

(superfluous newsgroups trimmed)

Dave K8MN

133. Robeson the dog barks some more but got googled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:37:33 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159717053.354768.49950@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166150

looks like Robeson has given todays trashing fo the RRAP since google
did burp

134. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:40:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159717212.009210.229410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166151

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 30 Sep 2006 22:36:54 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"

> > > Thank you. Feel free to use any part. I would be nice to
> > > get credit for it, a common courtesy.
> >
> > If I decide to reproduce your classic, first person account of what it
> > is like to undergo an artillery barrage, you can be sure that I'll give
> > you full credit.
as I have said the refusaul of the Procoders to enage in any discussion
is a from of Discussion in and of itself

135. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:52:12 UTC
From: Jack Ricci <ricci@mnsi.net>
Message-ID: <efoo570vc3@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 166159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>> .... .. _ _. ...
>
> Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
> Hams who are pIGS? :-)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

Jack

136. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:55:51 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <ryRTg.4$xS3.60476@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 166152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest with your rantings and ravings.

To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a good answer.

Jim

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise

137. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 15:57:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9ARTg.1433$Lv3.568@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166153

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.

Who?

> Jimmie the M.
> probably picked it up on the old (defunct) AOL discussion board...

Who?

> Well then, let's get Heil to work with NASA. He wrote he "worked
> with NASA" while in Vietnam.

I wrote no such thing at any time. Your memory is playing tricks on
you, Leonard.

> Maybe this time he can help them
> with new nosecones or shuttle tiles? :-)

Did you ever hear of a shuttle transatlantic landing site (TAL), Len?
Did you know that one of them is Banjul in The Gambia? Were you aware
that Dakar, Senegal was also used in the past? It happens that
Guinea-Bissau, that cashew exporting country, is just a little down the
coast from The Gambia. I worked in Bissau for the U.S. government in
communications. Let's see if you are capable of putting two and two
together and coming up with a correct answer.

Working with NASA isn't the same as working for NASA.

Your factual errors are mounting.

> RRAP is dead for any real discussion. Pro-coders won't allow it.
> That's IT in a nutshell.

Perhaps you aren't capable of adding two and two and coming up with a
correct answer after all.

> Pro-coders want to stop all discussion.

Well, Leonard "I-am-only-here-for-civil-debate" Anderson, you've come up
with a mistaken notion. What is needed is a removal of filth posters,
endless Myna bird droppings of "get help", "gte help", "kooks on
parade", "koks on parade" and a change in your behavior.

> It is obvious to any disinterested observer.

The likelihood of any disinterested observer reading this newsgroup is
very, very small.

> Problem is the
> "moderating team" (well, one anyway) wants to be in the public
> engaging in a pissing contest. Ah, but with just ONE, me. :-)

Your behavior has been pointed out. Your refusal to acknowledge your
part in turning this newsgroup into less than it could be has been noted.

> The hypocrisy will be proven later, after the "moderation" starts.

You could easily be a part of a moderated newsgroup. All that would take
is some self-control on your part.

> As far as I saw, Bill Clinton NEVER had to face the elite
> "moderating
> team" of RRAP! :-)

Were you elected to something, Len?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
k8mn@arrl.net

138. steve contiues his lies about child molesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:01:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t9pvh25h8vo2akhfoeqlhoj0q94giq980o@4ax.com>
Source record: 166154

>> he is when he has carried on for months about my being a child
>> molestor rapist and theif
>
> No...YOU have carried on about being a child molester.
>
> I keep telling you I am willing to leave the unsubstantiated
>comments of a drop, but YOU keep bringing them back up, Morkie.

you need to apologize for making them steve

and those about me being a rapist and my dad being a rapist and stop
you whole fabric of lies

like today you liying insistance I am drunk or that I ever drink
>
> Me doth think you protesteth too much...
>
> In other words, I bet there's more to it than the investigator in
>Illiopolis let on, and that YOU know he's probably aware of it too!

what investoagtor in Illiopolis? the cheif of police (cheif because he
is the only full time officer)there when I last lived there Brian
Honea is "guest" of the Govenor of Illinois arested for child rape
himself

BTW why are you "breaking" to law to discuss what you claim is on
going investagation/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

139. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:02:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vipvh21u99irijl21rtlc96opm8lvitf2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166155

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 15:57:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
>
>Who?
>

yyou good buddy and fellow coder trying to pretend you don't your
bestest buddy here on RRAp shame on your dave
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

140. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:03:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mkpvh2l71ds08ktsp92rb3vkucogvp5jd2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 08:55:51 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take
>this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest
>with your rantings and ravings.
>
No Steve did so I simply choose to make it it imposible you to pretend
that steve is not a kjjerk besides you left the other half Wismen
>To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a
>good answer.
>
>Jim
>
>
>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
>> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

141. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FGRTg.5452$o71.4322@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166157

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
> aperances
>
> And you are concerned? Why?

I think it is admirable that one radio amateur is concerned about the DXCC totals of another. Mark also shows concern for whether I'm getting enough sleep.

I want to assure him that I'm not missing any rare, needed DX and that I get plenty of sleeps and even sneak in an occasional nap.

Mark sees you as Steve and Wiseman sees me as Stagger Lee. Both of them thrive on sock puppets of their own. Each is readily identifiable no matter which sock puppet he uses.

Dave K8MN

142. Steve contiues to lie about child molesting and avoid his lies about rape and theivery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:05:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7ppvh25gk4er6ppdeel0gkcsf9ufm4lfld@4ax.com>
Source record: 166158

>> he is when he has carried on for months about my being a child
>> molestor rapist and theif

> No...YOU have carried on about being a child molester.

> I keep telling you I am willing to leave the unsubstantiated
>comments of a drop, but YOU keep bringing them back up, Morkie.

you need to apologize for making them steve

and those about me being a rapist and my dad being a rapist and stop
you whole fabric of lies

like today you liying insistance I am drunk or that I ever drink

> Me doth think you protesteth too much...

> In other words, I bet there's more to it than the investigator in
>Illiopolis let on, and that YOU know he's probably aware of it too!

what investoagtor in Illiopolis? the cheif of police (cheif because he
is the only full time officer)there when I last lived there Brian
Honea is "guest" of the Govenor of Illinois arested for child rape
himself

BTW why are you "breaking" to law to discuss what you claim is on
going investagation/

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

143. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:10:57 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159719057.436325.23800@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166160

Dave Heil wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
> > aperances
> >
> > And you are concerned? Why?
>
> I think it is admirable that one radio amateur is concerned about the
> DXCC totals of another. Mark also shows concern for whether I'm getting
> enough sleep.
concern is putting it too hiighly Dave I can't that credit and I
honestly don't care if you get enbough sleep

>
> I want to assure him that I'm not missing any rare, needed DX and that I
> get plenty of sleeps and even sneak in an occasional nap.

"sleeps" looks like you are not getting enough sleep

but indeed you are not missing something that likwasn't there you were
looking but not finding you decided to come to RRAP

Fine by me of course

it would be just peachy though if you could try to be civil but I guess
that is too much for you and steve

>
> Mark sees you as Steve and ....
he fessed up Dave
>.....Wiseman sees me as Stagger Lee.
and many other people a stagger Lee I think he may even have accused me
of being stagger lee once

> Both of them
> thrive on sock puppets of their own.
Funny I use no ID on RRAP that does not clealy bear my mark that I use
more than one simpliar id is simply because Stve and Wismen use toomany
to answer with the BW of one Google accounts and Googles ToS do not bar
a person from having more than one
> Each is readily identifiable no
> matter which sock puppet he uses.

and unlike arf ahem Robeson the junkyard dog I don't try
>

> Dave K8MN

144. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 16:52:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159721549.586941.229380@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166161

From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
>
>Who?

"If you don't know that information, all of your
latest diatribe is rather pointless."

As always, may you bask forever in the warm glow
of ByteBrohters famous phrase...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

145. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 17:15:37 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159722937.636138.204440@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Actually Len, almost all amateur radio operation has
> been outmoded by advancing technology which has made
> amateur radio first to be redundant and later to be
> obsolete. I'm still using the same modes for amateur
> radio that I used more than half a century ago.

That is true in essence for all those who "work DX on HF
with CW." :-)

Some will point to modern techniques in radio (DDS, PLL
frequency control, solid-state PAs that need no tuning
controls, etc.) as being advancements. Trouble is, those
advancements came from the designers-manufacturers,
advancements to capture market share of ham consumer
electronics. Using only on-off keying with a state-of-the-
art transceiver seems a waste of available resources in
that equipment.

> My daughter lives in New York state. 50 years ago,
> I would have tried to talk her into getting a ham
> license. Today, Sprint cellphones allow the two of
> us to communicate any time, day or night, for free.

One in three Americans has a cell phone now
according to the US Census Bureau. Each cell
phone is basically a little two-way radio.

No "CW" test is needed to use a cell phone. :-)

I just completed an exchange of files (including hi-
resolution photographs) this morning with another
in Europe. Took only a few minutes. The Internet
stretches over most of the globe, is unaffected by any
ionospheric variation. Those files couldn't be
exchanged via "CW" on HF. [maybe the "phase
shift" impairs such information transfer...:-)]

No "CW" test is needed to use the Internet. :-)

But, in 2006 the FCC regulations still require any radio
amateur to test for "CW" in order to operate on bands
below 30 MHz. None of the other radio services
require that. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

146. Re: Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 17:18:16 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159723096.416919.218390@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dudley wrote:
>

>
> Speak, Mark. Speak.
Robeson the dog barks some more

147. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 17:37:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159724248.861097.157000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >
> > >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> > >>stpupid
> > >
> > >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> > >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> > >requirement.
> >
> > The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> > 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> > The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> > "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> > for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
> >
> > The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> > 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> > per year ever since]
> >
> > I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
> Ronald Reagan once said, "Facts are stupid things."

Heh. But, in here, coders are the only ones with "facts." Anything
a no-coder says is "wrong," "in error" and other endearments. :-)

> > >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> > >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> > >as a response.
> >
> > Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> > electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> > "technical people." :-)
>
> It IS the Code.

True enough. But...the coders HAVE their rank-status-
privileges and seem to enjoy looking down on no-coders.
All must do as they did or be called "wrong" or "in error."

> > Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> > as a communications medium. The technology of early
> > radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> > On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> > possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> > mature and a new branch of communications was open
> > to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
> Telegraph.

Early radio was just a telegraph system without poles and
wires between stations. Mythical tales have turned early radio
into something greater than rocket science.

> > > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> > >face of modern telecommunications.
> >
> > PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
> >
> > What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> > and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> > Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> > morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
> >
> > Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> > a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> > radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> > years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> > professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> > they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> > But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> > that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> > of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>
> ABOVE 30 mhz? Hmmmm?
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

Ah, yes, the Great 500 KHz "Experiment." AS IF the 500 KHz
region hasn't ALREADY had 80 years plus of determining
whether or not it works for communications! :-)

Good old League, leading all "Back to the Future." :-)

> > That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> > different than the technology available in the 20s and
> > 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> > rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> > things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> > technological environment.
> >
> > >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.

> >
> > Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> > it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> > has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> > the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago.
>
> Then why are we: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/09/26/101/?nc=1

It's about the same as those who love to Re-Enact the
American Civil War or the American Revolutionary War.
Play-acting at "pioneering" over 8 decades after that
frequency region was picked for the first maritime distress
and safety reserved frequency.

> > The solid-state
> > era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> > revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
> >
> > Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> > analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> > GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> > the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> > knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> > ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> > item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> > for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> > for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
> >
> > The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> > 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> > advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> > stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> > feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> > aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> > cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> > youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> > the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> > they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> > level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
> >
> > There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> > alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> > cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> > transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> > safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> > well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> > of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> > everyone from government agencies to private boat
> > owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> > the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> > all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

> >
> > But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> > helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> > can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> > can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> > romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> > imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> > This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> > be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> > regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> Ore even to move us into the future... Leadership.

"Ore" from a mine. The pro-coders say "I've got mine, nya-nya."

It's getting to be "Back to the Future, Part Infinity" if things like the Great 500 KHz Experiment is a sign of things to come from the "representative of all amateurs" in Newington.

Their other "Experiment" is a "contest" to see who can best come up with a whole ham station for LESS than $50 in new part costs. Whoever "wins" that gets a really hefty prize of $100 cash and Publication in QST! Oh, and it is 40 meters only, but "allows" SSB voice to be included. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

148. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 17:46:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q9TTg.7142$e66.474@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> ...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
> h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
> to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
> that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

CW is my favorite mode. I'm a member of FISTS (8741).
Strange that I helped design the 8741 at Intel, huh?

Vanilla Bean ice cream is my favorite ice cream. But
I wouldn't dream of forcing my favorite ice cream on
anyone else, including my fellow amateur radio
operators. QSL?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

149. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 17:50:22 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f89c9ebd0bd8e0d989a3a@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 166173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters just
> a bit too far.
>
> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>
>
>
What a tragedy. Ashamed you have to use this article to try and put
down others. A real shame.

Chris

150. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:06:22 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ar00i21huvoqgbq30t8sffds56hitjnj3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:31:16 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters just
>a bit too far.
>
>http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html

So the moral of the story is use CW and you can't become an idiot?

151. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:11:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159726289.936908.325320@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166176

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Jimmie the M.
> probably picked it up on the old (defunct) AOL discussion board
> and saw it was useful for him as a misdirection.

Len,

Who is "Jimmie the M."?

It can't be me, because I've never been involved in "AOLl discussion boards" (whatever those are).

152. Re: Robeson the dog barks some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:16:06 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <blijo.opb.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159716738.073818.21140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> Woger remains away, and it is mostly quiet here.
Robeson the dog barks some more
==

Speak, Mark. Speak.
Good boy!

153. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:23:40 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <blj1s.qg9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"RST Engineering" <jim@rstengineering.com> wrote in message
news:ryRTg.4$xS3.60476@news.sisna.com...
The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take
this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this nest
with your rantings and ravings.

To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have a
good answer.

Jim

==
Nor does Mark, but that won't refrain him from flooding the newsgroups.
Perhaps he could get a handle on CW if it were sent backward and upside
down? That way, with his head being where it is, perhaps he could "read" it.

www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com

154. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:28:47 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <bljbg.rj9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:mkpvh2l71ds08ktsp92rb3vkucogvp5jd2@4ax.com...
On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 08:55:51 -0700, "RST Engineering"
<jim@rstengineering.com> wrote:

>The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy. Take
>this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul this
nest
>with your rantings and ravings.
>
No Steve did so I simply choose to make it it imposible you to pretend
that steve is not a kjjerk besides you left the other half Wismen
>To the op, I realize the question was asked in sincerity, and I don't have
a
>good answer.
>
>Jim
>
>
>
><kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159715421.503387.138180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> it will not stop however people like Steve have too much of their ego
>> coming from beating up this cheap target to do otherwise

Gee, Mark. I really wish you'd speak English.

155. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:31:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fa20i2hlndlsje65c43h72nj30uiliq23b@4ax.com>
Source record: 166177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:42:32 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>Speak, Mark. Speak.
robeson the junkyard dog barks some more
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

156. No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:31:16 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters just
a bit too far.

http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html

157. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:31:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cb20i25p815gcev3na3j0mri9psnn6k2ib@4ax.com>
Source record: 166178
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:28:47 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Gee, Mark. I really wish you'd speak English.
but I do you just choose tnot to understand steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

158. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:32:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lc20i21aoffvtrp1i4hfjfqrisn0ebjm7a@4ax.com>
Source record: 166179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:45:10 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

ag hiding your message in sigline so I can't answer you

Robeson the junkyard barks some more
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

159. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:33:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ne20i2db2phldhedde0173fs6eq4298iac@4ax.com>
Source record: 166181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 17:50:22 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
>> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters just
>> a bit too far.
>>
>> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>>
>>
>>
>What a tragedy. Ashamed you have to use this article to try and put
>down others. A real shame.
they lack any shame the poster is most likely Steve Robeson k4yz a
fine up standing Procoder that like to do this when he thinks he is
hiding well enough
>
>Chris
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

160. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:34:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xSTTg.1513$Lv3.1232@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166180

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
>> Who?
>
> "If you don't know that information, all of your
> latest diatribe is rather pointless."

There's no one called "Robeswine" posting here.

>
> As always, may you bask forever in the warm glow
> of ByteBrohters famous phrase...

"ByteBrohters", is it?

I'm not familiar with the phrase. Maybe it isn't as famous as you think
it is.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

161. Re: You can lead morkie to cock but you can't make him NOT suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:34:39 UTC
From: Dudley <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <bljmf.st3.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server,alt.usenet.kooks

<marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote in message
news:1159677432.136196.89010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.

> everyone know you aare you steve
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
==
everyone know you aare you steve

What?

162. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:35:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fi20i2ljcja3f52kugjrt6lgujn5iv0t6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166182

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
>>> Who?
>>
>> "If you don't know that information, all of your
>> latest diatribe is rather pointless."
>
>There's no one called "Robeswine" posting here.
an obviosus lie Dave
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

163. steve just go and suck someone cock instead of whining about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:36:40 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159727800.573892.44600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server,alt.usenet.kooks

Dudley wrote:
>
> What?
steve just go have a bal;st we know you want it

164. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:40:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 166184
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>
>>> Dave wrote:
>>>
>>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>>>
>>>
>>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>>> Nice guy.
>>
>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25 KHz of the
>>HF bands.
>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>the good of the ars
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
ANC-4 noise
canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
Amplifier, G-707
[2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
table.

IC-706 MKIIg & AH-4 in the mobile. Plus 2 HTs.
then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
khz

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

165. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:42:32 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <blk58.ukp.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>
> Mark sees you as Steve and ....
he fessed up Dave
>.....Wiseman sees me as Stagger Lee.
and many other people a stagger Lee I think he may even have accused me
of being stagger lee once

'

Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely well. There is no way even a fool such as Wiseman would EVER think you were Stagger Lee. You "think he may even have", but you can't quote when, if ever, this happened. This is mere wishful thinking on your part.

Speak, Mark. Speak.
Roll over.
Good Boy!

166. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:45:10 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <blka6.ful.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:13mvh2tkq8ghk88vd6c2md7djcghfih6i7@4ax.com...
On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 03:24:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:

>Once again Mark, and for the last time. I am NOT Steve.
of course you are steve the more you post and the more you deny it the
better you prove it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

The best part of keeping your head firmly implanted in your arse is that
your ears don't get cold in the winter.

167. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:45:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <81UTg.8606$UG4.3365@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166185

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

>> Actually Len, almost all amateur radio operation has
>> been outmoded by advancing technology which has made
>> amateur radio first to be redundant and later to be
>> obsolete. I'm still using the same modes for amateur
>> radio that I used more than half a century ago.
>
> That is true in essence for all those who "work DX on HF
> with CW." :-)

It is true of those who listen to the medium wave broadcast band and the
FM broadcast band. It is true of most of those who tune the short wave
broadcast bands. Go figure!

> Some will point to modern techniques in radio (DDS, PLL
> frequency control, solid-state PAs that need no tuning
> controls, etc.) as being advancements. Trouble is, those
> advancements came from the designers-manufacturers,
> advancements to capture market share of ham consumer
> electronics. Using only on-off keying with a state-of-the-
> art transceiver seems a waste of available resources in
> that equipment.

Using the latest technology to listen to a ball game transmitted using
amplitude modulation is a waste of valuable resources.

>> My daughter lives in New York state. 50 years ago,
>> I would have tried to talk her into getting a ham
>> license. Today, Sprint cellphones allow the two of
>> us to communicate any time, day or night, for free.
>
> One in three Americans has a cell phone now
> according to the US Census Bureau. Each cell
> phone is basically a little two-way radio.
>
> No "CW" test is needed to use a cell phone. :-)

No, Len, it isn't. No license exam at all is required. You are
qualified to use a cell phone. If you want to work some DX, you are

free to see how far from a tower you can be and still make one work.
You can even set up a random dialer in order to fish for "contacts". :-)

> I just completed an exchange of files (including hi-
> resolution photographs) this morning with another
> in Europe. Took only a few minutes. The Internet
> stretches over most of the globe, is unaffected by any
> ionospheric variation.

Wow! I wonder why that might be. Do you suppose that it is because that most of the internet is linked by wires and the parts that aren't use frequencies that are capable only of relatively short distances where ionospheric variations aren't applicable? As with cell phone use, you meet the qualifications to use the internet.

> But, in 2006 the FCC regulations still require any radio
> amateur to test for "CW" in order to operate on bands
> below 30 MHz.

Imagine that!

> None of the other radio services
> require that. <shrug>

Then perhaps amateur radio is the wrong radio service for you. You might choose one which doesn't require testing and which will permit you to exchange high speed digital data.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

(superfluous newsgroup trimmed)

Dave K8MN

168. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:46:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159728361.431025.36860@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.gay

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a personal
> question?
> When you self-administer your beer enemas, how do you do so? Do you use warm
> beer or cold? Do you use a funnel and surgical tubing or do you simply upend
> your arse over the back of a chair, spread your cheeks and pour the beer
> into your cavity? Do you insert the entire can or do you prefer a long-neck
> bottle? I realize that I am asking highly personal questions, but never in
> my life have I ever met anybody who has ever had the stupidity to confess
> and discuss such matters.
> In fact, I've never spoken to anybody who admits to using beer as an enema.
> You are a first.
>
> Oh, and I have to ask. Which brand to you prefer? Is Lager better than say,
> Miller Lite? Come Easter, have you ever tried some of the dark beers? I
> suppose you prefer Lite as opposed to some of the local ales. I guess the
> dark beers foam too much and may leave telltale stains.
> And Mark, how do you do this? Do you go to the local tavern, belly up to the
> bar, drop your pants while plopping your arse on the bar while telling the
> bartender to "fill er' up"? Does the barkeep have a small hose under the bar
> with your name on it?
>
> Nahhhh....I'm sure you keep your beer enemas to yourself, don't you? You
> wouldn't want everybody on Usenet knowing about your home treatment.
> I'd like to buy you a beer Mark. It would be a hoot to watch and well worth
> the cost.

Answer the question, morkins.....After all, you are the one posting
about squirting beer up your ass!

169. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:48:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159728508.601873.49830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
> aperances

FUCK YOU AND DAVEY-COCKSUCKER.

170. robeson the dog contiues to bark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:50:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159728637.677420.142050@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

kb9rq...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:

> Answer the question, morkins.....After all, you are the one posting
> about squirting beer up your ass!
no Steve is doing so doing anything to divert from his years of abusive
behavoir

just like you are trying to steal my ID

what is the matter you don't like your own ?

171. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:51:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159728700.178804.312010@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb

kb9rq...@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
> > aperances
>
> FUCK YOU AND DAVEY-COCKSUCKER.
poor fellwo don't like your life ? if you do why do you try to steal
mine?

172. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:55:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159728959.715201.170920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166190

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Cecil Moore wrote:

> > But, in 2006 the FCC regulations still require any radio
> > amateur to test for "CW" in order to operate on bands
> > below 30 MHz.
>
> Imagine that!

inde it is hard to image and frankly draws laughter in many quarters

173. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 18:58:53 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bll3t.ipr.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Papa Dog" <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1f89c9ebd0bd8e0d989a3a@news-server.carolina.rr.com...
In article <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
just
> a bit too far.
>
> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>
>
>
What a tragedy. Ashamed you have to use this article to try and put
down others. A real shame.

Chris
.\>
>
>
Facts are facts, not putdowns.

174. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:00:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159729205.927726.323030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166191

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Cecil Moore wrote:

> > No "CW" test is needed to use a cell phone. :-)
>
> No, Len, it isn't. No license exam at all is required. You are
> qualified to use a cell phone. If you want to work some DX, you are
> free to see how far from a tower you can be and still make one work.
cut the shit dave you just can accept the free speech belongs to him
and me

175. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:04:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159729443.788890.153450@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:
> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.
it did not take long but you need not feel guilty about it at least it
was on topic discusion
>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> higher class of license and increased privileges?
some dylesxic do manage to pass. I know of No dylexic ham that use CW
on air at all, at least not for very long

MyselfI used to operate it using keyboard provide code paractice for
some friends (anyone that can follow my typing rendered in CW) can
pass a test at the same speed
>
> I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic
> daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to
> view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty
> of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual
> methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally
> realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in
> non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the
> situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the
> other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the
> dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in
> the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have
> a dyslexia problem.

some of simply get used to it, and feel frankly a duty to do so some
don't have the strengh I understand that as well
>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.

it will not stop the name calling is the only that gives the callers
any sense they are alive . It reveals them as as sick and pathetic
people that they are my foes in the NG and that giving them a target to
attack this way aids (I feel) my cause in the NG is an interesting

bonus
>
> Jack

176. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:26:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159730773.204817.308300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166193
Cross-posted to: alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.west-virginia

Jack Ricci wrote:
> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.
>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into a
> higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> I meant these as very real and serious questions. I have a dyslexic
> daughter which I'm still trying to comprehend and understand by trying to
> view reality as she sees it. Wifey and I are somewhat still feeling guilty
> of having eroded her self-esteem when we were raising her by using usual
> methods of discipline when she screwed-up excessively, until we finally
> realized that there was a very real problem that needed dealing with, but in
> non-usual ways. This is 25 years later, and I still feel like shit about the
> situation and go way out of my usual way to help her without making the
> other 3 siblings feel left out, so maybe we should lighten up on some of the
> dyslexic guys/gals in these newsgroups for having the balls to be here in
> the first place, let alone wanting to communicate with those who do not have
> a dyslexia problem.
>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>
> Jack

Hey Jack-off..........

I think your guilt comes from you fucking her.

177. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:28:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5l50i2l07uqnmsp08n1bb7jsveh6v0pkgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 166195
Cross-posted to: alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

On 1 Oct 2006 12:26:13 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Jack Ricci wrote:
>> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm, malice,

>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>
>> Jack
>
>Hey Jack-off...........
>
>I think your guilt comes from you fucking her.
you are one sick forger now why not use your own name instead of
trying to befoul mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

178. Robeson the dog bark some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:31:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159731086.598291.172140@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166196

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> just go have a bal;st
Robeson the dog bark some more

179. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:47:49 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <e-udndXOfeL5hr3YnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 166197
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

SNIPPED

> then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
> khz
>
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
NOPE!!

3500 - 3525
7000 - 7025
10.1 - 10.15
14.0 - 14.025
18.068 - 18.110
21.000 - 21.025

That is where they run. That's a fact you can't deny. They have capability that exceeds my requirements, but that is where they run.

And you still crossed posted to more than r.r.a.m. When are you going to learn?

You don't want to invest time and energy in learning code and YOU STILL CAN'T FIGURE OUT HOW TO POST TO ONE GROUP.

How does your cross posting ignorance contribute to r.r.a.a?
How does your cross posting ignorance contribute to r.r.a.b?
How does your cross posting ignorance contribute to r.r.a.p?
How does your cross posting prejudice contribute to r.r.s?

We know how your prejudice contributes to r.r.a.m

/s/ DD

180. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 19:57:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159732657.062763.105710@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166198
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
> > then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
> > khz
> >
> >
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> NOPE!!
stop lying

181. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:00:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159732822.507311.239920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166199

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
> >>
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
> >>> Who?
> >>
> >> "If you don't know that information, all of your
> >> latest diatribe is rather pointless."
> >>
> >There's no one called "Robeswine" posting here.
>
> an obviosus lie Dave

Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.

Heil just doesn't get it when his own sentence is quoted back to
him in response. :-)

Miccolis has to get into the act claiming he was "never on any
'AOLI' [sic] discussion boards." As a matter of fact he WAS
and we even exchanged some posts there when AOL (I did
not write 'AOLI') had many discussion boards, one of which
was about amateur radio.

Since AOL took down all those boards, Miccolis can claim
there were never any such things and he never posted on them.
Cute! I can't prove a thing NOW. Even if I had saved some of
those postings (sounding for all the world like parroting of the
ARRL's pet phrases), he can still DENY it! :-)

AOL also took down the software browser capability to
directly exchange messages with Usenet at the same time.
No doubt some of them must have looked like this newsgripe
does now. :-)

It's something like what the robeswine has done for YEARS in
here, insulting anyone who disagrees with him (even in the
slightest way), charging that they are homosexual, pedophiles,
aren't patriotic, wanting to talk to wives, even to insulting

educational institutions. The robeswine goes so far as to pull off a "1984" Orwellian RE-DEFINITION of truth and lies wherein he always tells the "truth" but those disagreeing with him always "lie." Perverse.

That seems to be okay by Paul Schleck. We can't use the claim that "he did it first." He did but we can't claim it under some rough draft of moderated newsgroup "regulations" to-be. Where has Paul been hiding, I wonder? He never saw those posts that went on for years?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

182. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:09:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159733358.372420.37640@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166250

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
> > >>
> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
> > >>> Who?
> > >>
> > >> "If you don't know that information, all of your
> > >> latest diatribe is rather pointless."
> > >
> > >There's no one called "Robeswine" posting here.
> >
> > an obviosus lie Dave
>
> Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
> but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.
indeed Steve still lies and and lies but he is an extra

I am shocked that this does not apply to Wismen a code tested extra
too but only he may chastise him
>
> Heil just doesn't get it when his own sentence is quoted back to
> him in response. :-)

oh he gets it but he insists that he doesn't see it
>
> Miccolis has to get into the act claiming he was "never on any
> 'AOLI' [sic] discussion boards." As a matter of fact he WAS
> and we even exchanged some posts there when AOL (I did
> not write 'AOLI') had many discussion boards, one of which
> was about amateur radio.

it is a comon SoP
>
> Since AOL took down all those boards, Miccolis can claim
> there were never any such things and he never posted on them.
> Cute! I can't prove a thing NOW. Even if I had saved some of
> those postings (sounding for all the world like parroting of the
> ARRL's pet phrases), he can still DENY it! :-)

>
> AOL also took down the software browser capability to
> directly exchange messages with Usenet at the same time.
> No doubt some of them must have looked like this newsgripe
> does now. :-)
>
> It's something like what the robeswine has done for YEARS in
> here, insulting anyone who disagrees with him (even in the
> slightest way), charging that they are homosexual, pedophiles,
> aren't patriotic, wanting to talk to wives, even to insulting
> educational institutions. The robeswine goes so far as to
> pull off a "1984" Orwellian RE-DEFINITION of truth and lies
> wherein he always tells the "truth" but those disagreeing with
> him always "lie." Perverse.

indeed he is still claiming to conta ct with cop aleegd to be
investagatingm my aleged child molesting the ccop ihas been guest of
the Governovr for the last 2 years (roughly) and finaly accepted a plea
deal for child rape himself (the deal has him serves his time with club
fed to avoid him being shived again by former vitums of police
misconduct)
>
> That seems to be okay by Paul Schleck. We can't use the
> claim that "he did it first." He did but we can't claim it under
> some rough draft of moderated newsgroup "regulations" to-be.
> Where has Paul been hiding, I wonder? He never saw those
> posts that went on for years?

it is called willfull blindness rather like many german in say the 30's
and 40's
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

183. Re: Poop Snarky Assman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:11:56 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.20.11.54.561916@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 166251
Cross-posted to: rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.poems

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 05:25:48 -0700, Adolf the Unready did most oddly
state:
> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> >
>> > Hey, Snarky, tell us more about your first sexual experience. What
>> > happened to make you refrain from sex for 16 years? Did she say
>> > something unkind about you? Did you come on her trousers? Did she tell
>> > you your bits were too small?
>>
>> First *18* years, and beyond that is really none of your business.
>
> Of course, none of it's any of my business, and I couldn't give a flying
> fuck. You seemed eager to chat about your less-than-minimal sex life.

Oh, I am - with people who have no agenda regarding the outing of such
info.

>> > When you say you did lots of reading in those years, what do you mean,
>> > Playboy? Amputee Monthly? NAMBLA Journal?
>>
>> Mainly genre fiction -- I find it more intellectually edifying than
>> non-fiction.
>
> You mean gay crap written by computer program.

No, Robert Heinlein wasn't a computer program. Nor is Spider Robinson,
Jack Chalker, Dean R. Koontz, Stephen King, Robert Jordan, or Warren
Murphy (or his deceased co-creator of the Destroyer series).

>> > So it's been two years now, hunh? Must be lots of pressure building up
>> > in the Snarky ballsack! How does it feel, Snark?
>>
>> Should only be another year or so. I can wait.
>
> A year? How can you know that? Is your 40-odd yr old bitch in jail or
> something? Anyway, have fun masturbating. I'll post some porn sites for
> you, if I can be bothered. Which I can't.

She's saving up money to move here. On her last visit to Vancouver, she
fell in love with it (as well as with me, all over again).

>> > How long is 'quite awhile'? When did you actually leave home, Snarky?
>> > 23? 25? 34?
>>
>> Again, we're into "None of your damn business, Outer Filth" Land. Go

>> stalk easier meat, why don't you? You don't seem quite competent enough
>> to find out anything useful about me.
>
> How could anything about you be useful, asshole? It's quite clear you
> didn't leave home until long after most of your contemporaries had careers
> and steady partners, and absolutely did not rely on ridiculous attempted
> bullying of better people on usenet to give them a sense of their own
> value.

"Bullying"? Ah, you have me mistaken for a regular of alt.fan.art-bell. As a kookologist, I'm a "fuckhead". After all, I metaphorically poke needles and pointy stix into people until they scream. It was quite effective on Wabbit.

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!
"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

184. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:20:07 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159734007.890008.29800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166252

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Cecil Moore wrote:
>
> > > No "CW" test is needed to use a cell phone. :-)
> >
> > No, Len, it isn't. No license exam at all is required. You are
> > qualified to use a cell phone. If you want to work some DX, you are
> > free to see how far from a tower you can be and still make one work.
>
> cut the shit dave you just can accept the free speech belongs to him
> and me

Heil MUST interject and snarl some sarcasm into any no-code-test advocates' posting to another. He can't understand any radio system that isn't done via the amateur radio way, "his" way.

As a matter of fact, last year on a return auto trip from the midwest, my wife used our cell phone all along the highways from Iowa into Nevada, talking to her sister in Washington state, inquiring of and making reservations at new motels along the way, catching up on e-mail by getting them through the cell phone as audio...all from inside the car. No problems, no drop-outs.

Any cellular telephone in the USA has the capability of direct-dialing any other telephone, including foreign countries which have direct dialing to their subscribers' numbers. That's a plain and simple fact. Amateur radio can't do that anywhere in the world 24/7; cell phones can. That's another plain and simple fact. Each cell phone is a two-way radio, an "HT," but full-duplex instead of the ham half-duplex HT.

But, Heil MUST sound off like he is "superior" in radio so he does postings, many postings, editing quotes to fit his attack agenda du jour. That's okay, though, he is an amateur extra morseman and they seem to be exempt in behavior; us no-code-test advocates are NOT exempt, must play the role of boys from some absurd version of "Oliver Twist." [Heil tries to be a little dickens...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

185. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:25:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159734319.618077.120200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166253

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
> > > >>
> > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> it is called willfull blindness rather like many german in say the 30's
> and 40's

Stay tuned, Mark, "krystallnacht" is right around the corner.

There are SA brownshirts among us now.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

186. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:25:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159734354.460193.285280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166254

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> But, Heil MUST sound off like he is "superior" in radio so he
> does postings, many postings, editing quotes to fit his
> attack agenda du jour. That's okay, though, he is an amateur
> extra morseman and they seem to be exempt in behavior;
> us no-code-test advocates are NOT exempt, must play the
> role of boys from some absurd version of "Oliver Twist."
> [Heil tries to be a little dickens...]
you must be carefull about mentioning boys after all that will surely
get YOU tarred as a pedophile (you beiing the one of the few I have not
observed Robeson target with that brush or have I just missed it)

but heil is making a faint effort to control the agenda doesn't see
that if the Procder refuse to conservse we can do that Ourselves but
then none of them seem to be very good at thinking outside the box

well in 2 hours we have Kol Nedre (yom Kippur evening services) and the
judgements made by God on Rosh hashana will be sealed for the year)
hopefully this mean the FCC will issue the R&O on monday so those going
to die of heart faulure over can get on with it
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

187. just go have a bal;st

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:29:00 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <blqcs.lto.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166194

just go have a bal;st

188. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:32:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159734743.054102.77850@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166255

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
> > > > >>
> > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> > it is called willfull blindness rather like many german in say the 30's
> > and 40's
>
> Stay tuned, Mark, "krystallnacht" is right around the corner.
nothing would please me more than to call you a liar on that one not
even the long awaited R&O

>
> There are SA brownshirts among us now.

and the NAZI's are the best of them it was been a self apoointed job to
learn just sickos are out there

the nbest one line analysis I can give of that reasearch is to point
out I live in NW nowhere and have aquired in the last few years
Firearms
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

189. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:45:56 UTC
From: Betty Bazooms 8th <uglydogmutt5s@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <be63$45202918$d1cc79f0$747@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 166256
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,alt.tv.snl,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,soc.culture.islam,Soc.men

"Maf54" <animatedgifman@lycos.com> wrote in message
news:1159675520.425783.307630@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Those republicans sure love to have sex with dudes and kids. Did he
> ever convince the page to take the boxers off?
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2006/POLITICS/09/30/foley.quits/index.html
>
> --
> GOP = NAMBLA

Like most other members of the GOP Senatorial Old Boys Club they
allegedly all share a strange attraction to young males. For some reason
these
silver haired old perverts in DC believe the notion that "the youthful male
essence"
carries something 1000 times more powerful than Viagra, so they like to
have
their weekly cornhole'ing and suckoff sessions with 14-16 year old Page
Boys
to keep their shriveled old cocks functioning long past the time they
should have given it all up. (Google the "The Franklin Coverup" and read
about it)

Just think of it as just another 'fringe benefit' of holding high office.

190. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:54:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159736082.412397.75490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,Soc.men

Betty Bazooms 8th wrote:
> "Maf54" <animatedgifman@lycos.com> wrote in message
> news:1159675520.425783.307630@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Like most other members of the GOP Senatorial Old Boys Club they
> allegedly all share a strange attraction to young males. For some reason
> these
> silver haired old perverts in DC believe the notion that "the youthful male
> essence"
> carries something 1000 times more powerful than Viagra, so they like to
> have
> their weekly cornhole'ing and suckoff sessions with 14-16 year old Page
> Boys
get help and keep the discusion where it belong

191. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:54:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159736089.773710.208470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166258

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:34:05 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 1 2006 8:57 am
> > >>
> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>> The robeswine picked it up thinking it was SOP.
> > >>> Who?
> > >>
> > >> "If you don't know that information, all of your
> > >> latest diatribe is rather pointless."
> > >
> > >There's no one called "Robeswine" posting here.
> >
> > an obviosus lie Dave
>
> Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
> but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.

Do you mean "Robeson"?

Why do you say "imposter"?

You claim to know who served and who didn't. Isn't he in the database?

> Heil just doesn't get it when his own sentence is quoted back to
> him in response. :-)

Perhaps you'd have the same problem, Len....

> has to get into the act claiming he was "never on any
> 'AOLI' [sic] discussion boards."

I was not on any AOL "discussion boards". Not one.

> As a matter of fact he WAS
> and we even exchanged some posts there when AOL (I did
> not write 'AOLI') had many discussion boards, one of which
> was about amateur radio.

Nope.

You're mistaken, Len. If you meant me, that is. Maybe you meant somebody else.

It's hard to tell who you mean, because you use names that do not match those who actually post here.

I think, Len, that you have a whole bunch of invisible, imaginary "friends". The person you refer to as "the robeswine" is just one of them.

There was a time when there were several people with my first name posting to rrap. You may have me confused with one of them.

> Since AOL took down all those boards,

> can claim
> there were never any such things and he never posted on them.

I don't claim they didn't exist.

I just never had anything to do with them.

> Cute! I can't prove a thing NOW.

You keep making claims that you can't back up, Len.

> Even if I had saved some of
> those postings (sounding for all the world like parroting of the
> ARRL's pet phrases), he can still DENY it! :-)

It's not a question of denying anything.

I was never involved with AOL discussion boards. That's all there is to it.

> AOL also took down the software browser capability to
> directly exchange messages with Usenet at the same time.

Perhaps you have the two confused. I've been on Usenet since 1997. Posting to Usenet via AOL's browser was very convenient. But AOL eliminated that capability a few years ago - 2004 IIRC. At the time, AOL said their reason was lack of use.

I was never involved in AOL "discussion boards". Not one.

You are either mistaken - or deliberately telling an untruth.

Either way, I'm convinced.

192. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 20:57:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159736224.773991.201620@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166259

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> > Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
> > but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.
>
> Do you mean "Robeson"?
yes jim
>
> Why do you say "imposter"?
well what else do you call someone that fakes their background
>
> You claim to know who served and who didn't. Isn't he in the database?
he is no data base that I can find

193. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:28:17 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.01.21.28.15.529570@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 166260
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.gay,rec.music.classical.recordings

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 11:46:01 -0700, kb9rqz69 did most oddly state:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> Not to sideline your obtuse "get help" posts Mark, but may I ask a
>> personal question?
>> When you self-administer your beer enemas, how do you do so? Do you use
>> warm beer or cold? Do you use a funnel and surgical tubing or do you
>> simply upend your arse over the back of a chair, spread your cheeks and
>> pour the beer into your cavity? Do you insert the entire can or do you
>> prefer a long-neck bottle? I realize that I am asking highly personal
>> questions, but never in my life have I ever met anybody who has ever had
>> the stupidity to confess and discuss such matters.
>> In fact, I've never spoken to anybody who admits to using beer as an
>> enema. You are a first.
>>
>> Oh, and I have to ask. Which brand to you prefer? Is Lager better than
>> say, Miller Lite? Come Easter, have you ever tried some of the dark
>> beers? I suppose you prefer Lite as opposed to some of the local ales. I
>> guess the dark beers foam too much and may leave telltale stains. And
>> Mark, how do you do this? Do you go to the local tavern, belly up to the
>> bar, drop your pants while plopping your arse on the bar while telling
>> the bartender to "fill er' up"? Does the barkeep have a small hose under
>> the bar with your name on it?
>>
>> Nahhhh....I'm sure you keep your beer enemas to yourself, don't you? You
>> wouldn't want everybody on Usenet knowing about your home treatment. I'd
>> like to buy you a beer Mark. It would be a hoot to watch and well worth
>> the cost.
>
> Answer the question, morkins.........After all, you are the one posting
> about squirting beer up your ass!

You're not overly well-acquainted with the concept of "humour", are you,
guys?

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!
"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I
have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool

of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

194. Re: You can lead morkie to cock but you can't make him NOT suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:30:18 UTC
From: Midlothian <metolionber@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8e0b1998b6929b6f548d970b2cf4b33a@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test,alt.usenet.kooks

```
In article <1159677432.136196.89010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> > <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
>
> > everyone know you aare you steve
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >

Boring!
```

195. get humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:32:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159738364.196090.60620@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,alt.sex.gay,rec.music.classical.recordings

```
The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 11:46:01 -0700, kb9rqz69 did not most oddly state:
> >
> > Answer the question, morkins.........After all, you are the one posting
> > about squirting beer up your ass!
>
> You're not overly well-acquainted with the concept of "humour", are you,
> guys?
no they into terror except they are the F-Troop of terrists
```

196. steve just go and suck someone cock instead of whining about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:34:27 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159738467.021362.68930@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Midlothian wrote:
>
> Boring!
yes you boring steve

197. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:35:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159738544.631550.315670@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
still waiting on your paying off of your debt SC

198. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:45:50 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <iGWTg.1289$5o5.182@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:ar00i21huvoqgbq30t8sffds56hitjnj3i@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:31:16 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>just
>>a bit too far.
>>
>>http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>
> So the moral of the story is use CW and you can't become an idiot?

Some truth to that notion, but the reason that is true is because they would
have used CW to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.

199. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:47:26 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
U-Know-Who wrote:
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:ar00i21huvoqgbq30t8sffds56hitjnj3i@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:31:16 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:
> >
> >>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
> >>just
> >>a bit too far.
> >>
> >>http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
> >
> > So the moral of the story is use CW and you can't become an idiot?
>
> Some truth to that notion, but the reason that is true is because they would
> have used CW to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
```

200. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:49:12 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sJWTg.1290$5o5.844@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>> news:ar00i21huvoqgbq30t8sffds56hitjnj3i@4ax.com...
>> > On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:31:16 -0500, "Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>> >>just
>> >>a bit too far.
>> >>
>> >>http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>> >
>> > So the moral of the story is use CW and you can't become an idiot?
>>
>> Some truth to that notion, but the reason that is true is because they
>> would
>> have used CW to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
> more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
>

Who the hell is Tom?
```

201. Re: stop lying about law enforment "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:50:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159739443.031873.322180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

old freind wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >

> > >> stop calling me a child molestor
> > >
> " I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
> investigation."
stop the lying Steve you have called me a child molestor on many
occasion

BTW the man you claim informed you of me being a child molestor has
been in JAIL for the last 2 years just cut a plea deal to child rape
himself

stop lying Steve stop claiming I have eaten you shit as well and
apologize for that lie

202. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 21:51:40 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159739500.915838.70690@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > U-Know-Who wrote:
to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
> > more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
> >
>
> Who the hell is Tom?
exactent question but one YOU alone can answer for us Tom

203. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:20:45 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1bXTg.10064$DU3.3492@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
<kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159739500.915838.70690@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> "an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > U-Know-Who wrote:
> to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
>> > more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
>> >
>>
>> Who the hell is Tom?
> exactent question but one YOU alone can answer for us Tom
>

Whatever you say, Maureen.
```

204. Re: stop lying about law enforment "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:22:33 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <JcXTg.10084$DU3.5365@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
"an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159739443.031873.322180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> old freind wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>
>> > >> stop calling me a child molestor
>> > >
>> " I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
>> investigation."
> stop the lying Steve you have called me a child molestor on many
> occasion
>
> BTW the man you claim informed you of me being a child molestor has
> been in JAIL for the last 2 years just cut a plea deal to child rape
> himself
>

When did you stop, Maureen?
```

205. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:25:43 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gef0i2ddtreduj74glri5fnljs39ekeamn@4ax.com>
Source record: 166276
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>>Since I can fully communicate using "emotionless beeps", no.

>In the other thread, I explained how your beeps are just a trade of
>raw data.

So is speech. So is writing. Communication between beings is raw
data. It only conveys meaning to those who understand it.

>>>I'll tell my daughter's occupational therapist to quit using a monitor
>>>screen to teach her how to recognize emotions of people's faces
>>>pictured on the screen. After all, I have just been told that you
>>>can't view a persons mood by the look on his face if it is composed of
>>>pixels on a screen.

>>No you haven't, but you're being told that if you're not being
>>deliberately facetious, you're appearing to be pretty stupid.

>You're the one who used the term pixels like they are just an exchange
>of raw data.

All communication is the exchange of raw data.

>>>>When you actually get into high school, let us know.
>>
>>>That was uncalled for and childish.
>>
>>It was completely called for.
>
>You are wrong.

I would have been ... if you hadn't been acting childish.

>>> We're only opposed to it being required to pass a test.

>>So be opposed to testing altogether. Oh, there's already a way to get
>>on the air without a test. You just don't like that way. Now that's
>>being childish.

>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.

But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
testing because YOU can't see any merit in code. Many people can't
see any merit in knowing the laws or in having any technical

knowledge, so why not eliminate testing altogether?

Because you want your views to determine what's done. No other cogent reason.

>Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This >is well established.

Yes, it is. They want everything done the way they want it - just like you.

You want to get on the air code-free, use the no code bands - CB. You want to get on frequencies that allow code? Pass a code test. It's not rocket science.

>>>I question those who say it's as good as a human voice.

>>How can you question a language you don't even begin to understand?

>I have already pointed out that you can get much information beyond >just data. And, no matter what you say, beeps are just data.

To you. Why should that matter to the FCC? As I said, you're not qualified to discuss something you have absolutely no understanding of - let alone make decisions about it for others.

>Keep on using it then. But don't tell me that I must know it in order >to use my voice on the radio.

You can use your voice on voice bands - called CB. That's what CB is for - communications for those who don't want to pass a ham test (which includes CW). Like you.

206. Re: "Guts"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:32:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159741961.203237.237410@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166277

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> As always, may you bask forever in the warm glow
> of ByteBrohters famous phrase...

Gee, Len - don't you have the "guts" to say what the phrase is?

207. Be sure to welcome AB8MQ back to the group after his stay in prison.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ArXTg.1593$Lv3.1485@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Maybe if we all go out of our way to be nice to him Roger will stop
acting like an asshole.

SC

208. Can someone post the photo of N0VFP at the Gay bar again? Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BrXTg.1594$Lv3.404@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Someone said there were two guys kissing and holding hands in the
background of the picture and I want to see if was KB9RQZ and K4YZ.

SC

209. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CrXTg.1595$Lv3.235@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in news:efoo570vc3@enews4.newsguy.com:

>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:MsQTg.10212$6S3.200@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
>> Jack Ricci wrote:
>>> .... .. _ _. ...
>>
>> Jack, what does "HIGS" mean? Is that a name for
>> Hams who are pIGS? :-)
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>
> ...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the
> last " h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " .
> Just testing to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to
> catch that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)
>
> Jack

I don't think you proved anything. But keep trying.

SC

210. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ErXTg.1596$Lv3.365@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166283
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,alt.tv.snl,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,soc.culture.islam,Soc.men

"Betty Bazooms 8th" <uglydogmutt5s@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:be63$45202918$d1cc79f0$747@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

>
> "Maf54" <animatedgifman@lycos.com> wrote in message
> news:1159675520.425783.307630@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Those republicans sure love to have sex with dudes and kids. Did he
>> ever convince the page to take the boxers off?
>>
>> http://www.cnn.com/2006/POLITICS/09/30/foley.quits/index.html
>>
>> --
>> GOP = NAMBLA
>
> Like most other members of the GOP Senatorial Old Boys Club they
> allegedly all share a strange attraction to young males. For some reason
> these
> silver haired old perverts in DC believe the notion that "the youthful
> male essence"
> carries something 1000 times more powerful than Viagra, so they like to
> have
> their weekly cornhole'ing and suckoff sessions with 14-16 year old Page
> Boys
> to keep their shriveled old cocks functioning long past the time they
> should have given it all up. (Google the "The Franklin Coverup" and read
> about it)
>
> Just think of it as just another 'fringe benefit' of holding high
> office.

It's too bad he wasn't a democrat, he would have got a free pass.

SC

211. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FrXTg.1597$Lv3.326@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:

>
> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>
> Jack

Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they came
up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.

SC

212. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HrXTg.1598$Lv3.1148@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159581528.401284.193240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1159540419.499292.260430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> >> Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> > Mark,
>> >
>> > Slow, what do you call peaceful? ...Other people's unwillingness to
>> > sling excrement back at Robesin? That's not peace.
>> >
>> > Robesin finally meets his match in Mark, and now you claim it used to
>> > be peaceful? I'm not buying any of that. You simply couldn't be
>> > more wrong.
>
> You're still wrong.
>
>> >> > Why do you have to follow up to every post? When you do they just
>> >> > laugh at you and keep teasing your dyslexic retard ass.
>> >> > Contribute something useful to RRAP, Don't be it's laughing stock.
>> >>
>> >> Impossible.
>> >
>> > Ditto Robesin.
>>
>> Steve has a brain.
>
> Indeed. Robesin has deviant thought patterns which indicate brain
> activity. I wonder why his marine career was curtailed?
>
>> Markie has a brain, but it doesn't work. Every time
>> Steve or anyone else says something, Markie the parrot does a follow-up
>> crap job on it. If Mark had something other than a mis-spelled five
>> word phrase, maybe Steve wouldn't tease, but I haven't seen Mark get
>> anything out right yet.
>
```

> So?
>
> Did Robesin get to taste his own excrement from some other guy's lap
> like he said?
>
> What useless brain dreams up that kind of stuff?

A bi/gay dsylexic no-code hate CW brain.

SC

213. How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

None,

They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.

SC

214. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JrXTg.1600$Lv3.615@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166286
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:7agrh2pbtj8oh350hc4fqhmv0kugcbmlac@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"AC7PN" <brunius@rockisland.com> wrote in
>>news:1159548536.406423.63860@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>>> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
>>> someone on CB."
>>>
>>> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>>
>>> AC7PN
>>
>>
>>What's wrong with that,
> eslewhere you denied being arogant mr 2 faced
>> if it helps motivate people to be better hams.
>>Unfortunately, most here don't want better hams.
> I certainly do which is why I call for you Steve and woger to turn in
> your licenses
>
> please help out ham radio and turn yours in SC

If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

SC

215. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KrXTg.1601$Lv3.909@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166287
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com:

>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25
>>>KHz of the HF bands.
>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>>the good of the ars
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> ANC-4 noise
> canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> Amplifier, G-707
> [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> table.
>
>
> IC-706 MKIIg & AH-4 in the mobile. Plus 2 HTs.
> then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
> khz

WOW Mark,

And you're not even a Real ham.

SC

216. Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MrXTg.1602$Lv3.764@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.

Yep, All talk and no power.

SC

217. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NrXTg.1603$Lv3.1242@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote in
news:9chth2t7cq3g2p6ml6dr85mcntn2fmpuh7@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:07:15 -0500, "wa0kbz" <wa0kbz@charter.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Glen
>>Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO
>>Code should be for 1 year and none renewable.
>>I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or
>>may not help you out to get a license.
>>As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
>>understand beacons. They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess
>>the next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or
>>voice. YUK! Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
>>73, Bill, WA0KBZ
>
> Right on, Bill! Thanks for your thoughts.
>
> NT

It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
and doing anything technical wise. I guess the no-coders are still trying
to figure things out.

SC

218. Re: No-Coders are violent, gay, Lazy, and Stupid.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OrXTg.1604$Lv3.486@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:ne20i2db2phldhedde0173fs6eq4298iac@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 17:50:22 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>In article <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
>>> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>> just a bit too far.
>>>
>>> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>What a tragedy. Ashamed you have to use this article to try and put
>>down others. A real shame.
> they lack any shame the poster is most likely Steve Robeson k4yz a
> fine up standing Procoder that like to do this when he thinks he is
> hiding well enough

In Markie's case, emphasis on gay, lazy and stupid.

SC

219. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QrXTg.1605$Lv3.1107@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
>>>>>> you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>
>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>coming along?
>>>
>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>> already.
>>
```

>>
>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>
> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>
>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>
> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.

Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.

SC

220. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RrXTg.1606$Lv3.382@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159588810.130448.295900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear
>> you are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then
>> you'll know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you
>> can rest in peace over CW.
>>
>> SC
>
> Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?

Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.

SC

221. Re: SWAP MY BITCH FOR YOUR SW HF RIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:38:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SrXTg.1607$Lv3.823@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159659531.024385.297020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Make an offer.

You don't like Woger Wiseman anymore? Maybe he can go stay with Lloyd.

SC

222. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:39:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <i3g0i2dplo3thtj6qtju7hrnvuqct08uo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>spake thusly:
>
>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>spake thusly:
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>>discuss it.
>>
>>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>>from vision than any other sense.
>>
>>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>>even written words.
>
>There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.

Try to stick to one argument at a time. You were arguing for voice -
now you're arguing against it. Or is just any argument that might
possibly be construed to make CW look bad?

>>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>>Humans need some degree of assistance.

>>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>>else.

>A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
>therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.

Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
vision-oriented.)

>>>Why would I want to leave usenet?

>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>you're being inconsistent.

>My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
>that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
>submitting raw data, like usenet.

And you don't want to use it - but you do want to use Usenet.
Inconsistent.

> Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
>just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse.

Neither is voice.

> It should also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.

It's not a barrier to USING radio - it's a barrier to one particular
hobby, which incorporates CW as part of itself. You want to ride a
bike as a hobby but not use wheels?

Code - ham.
No code - CB.

If that's too complicated for you to grasp, maybe you should take up
grass-watching as a hobby.

>>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother
>>>>>was mad at me.

>>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>>medium, you can tell.

>>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>>
>>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>>is just a red herring.

>No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
>by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
>sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
>example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
>conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
>own without words.

So if she screamed at you, in Ukrainian, with her face all screwed up,
"You were so good!", you'd get the proper information, that she was
about to take you to the wood shed for the terrible thing you'd done.
Right?

I can convey as much emotion in CW as your grandmother could in
Ukrainian. You don't understand CW, so you can't understand how that
could be true - which is why you're not qualified to discuss the
matter.

My job is like describing the difference between red-orange and orange-red to someone who's been blind from birth. "Red-orange is redder than orange-red." "But ..." No buts - it is. Someone who's never seen just can't understand.

>>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.

>>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.

>Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.

Raw data is all that's available for communication.

>You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
>data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
>interactions than just data.

There's much more to human interaction than lexical communications, yes - but we're talking about lexical communications here, so anything else is totally irrelevant. You can't have any more than lexical communication by radio.

But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a bee dance for me, blind man.

223. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:46:17 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <uvg0i29dv73c5m1u39nm1cjqlfjfkbfs3u@4ax.com>
Source record: 166294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 10:19:25 -0400, "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>you're being inconsistent.
>
>... _ _ _ ... ... _ _ _ ... ... _ _ _ ...
>
>. _ _ _ _ _ _ .. _ _ _ _. ... . _ . ._ _. _. ..
>_. _ _ . ... _ _. ...
>
>
>
>. _ _ _ ._ _._. _._
>

Some may be but not mine. I'm cool as an unpowered CPU. (CW wasn't
meant to be read in visual form. Give me 20 or 30 in my ears any
time.)

Oh - _. _ _ _ _..._ _ _ _._ _ . _ _ _ _ _ _ .. _ _ _ _.
... ._. _._. _ _ _ ._.. ._._._

224. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 22:48:11 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <sah0i2po6ehq80dc5ile993uvk5t7uilrc@4ax.com>
Source record: 166295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 11:52:12 -0400, "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net>
wrote:

>...Nope..." high " was the last word, and I left out a " dot " on the last "
>h " to make it an " s " as in " higs " instead of " high " . Just testing
>to see if anyone out there cared enough about CW at all to catch
>that...Proved my point, I guess :) :) :)

Maybe the point was that a lot of us who can read CW should wear their
glasses when reading it on a screen. I swear I saw it as an H. Even
the second time, after I read this post. (That's what astigmatism
will do to you.)

225. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:18:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159744707.780984.211260@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166296

From: "an old friend" on Sun, Oct 1 2006 1:25 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> But, Heil MUST sound off like he is "superior" in radio so he
>> does postings, many postings, editing quotes to fit his
>> attack agenda du jour. That's okay, though, he is an amateur
>> extra morseman and they seem to be exempt in behavior;
>> us no-code-test advocates are NOT exempt, must play the
>> role of boys from some absurd version of "Oliver Twist."
>> [Heil tries to be a little dickens...]
>
>you must be carefull about mentioning boys after all that will surely
>get YOU tarred as a pedophile (you beiing the one of the few I have not
>observed Robeson target with that brush or have I just missed it)

"Boys?" I was one once...a long time ago. :-)

The USMC Imposter DID target me for a while, a long while
back when you were off this newsgripe. If he added
homosexuality to the long, long list of insults, it might
have been overlooked; there were so many insults from him.

As Cecil Moore used to say, "I must be a lesbian since I
have this attraction to women." :-)

That attraction, or rather "interest" has been in me
since my teens began. Doesn't mean I want to BE one.

>but heil is making a faint effort to control the agenda doesn't see
>that if the Procder refuse to conservse we can do that Ourselves but
>then none of them seem to be very good at thinking outside the box

I don't see Heil making ANY effort to control himself in
here. His agenda is tightly focussed on snarling at
everything I write. :-) He snarls and sneers so much
that I just think of him as having the sense of humor
of plankton.

>well in 2 hours we have Kol Nedre (yom Kippur evening services) and the
>judgements made by God on Rosh hashana will be sealed for the year)
>hopefully this mean the FCC will issue the R&O on monday so those going
>to die of heart faulure over can get on with it

Well, anything that appears in the big Reading Room at the FCC on Monday will have already been decided. The GPO will be or already has set up the Federal Register pages on Friday...if the R&O is ever published there.

The FCC doesn't regard amateur radio as a big matter and (in the last few years) hasn't always put amateur rules decisions IN the FR. Indeed, they don't bother much with keeping the Amateur sub-page of the Wireless Bureau up to date...certainly not on all the Petitions that were in Comment the year before.

Heh heh, maybe the FCC is running the R&O over to the ARRL for "approval" first? :-)

Shalom,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

226. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:22:38 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bm4if.s3a.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>just
>>a bit too far.
>>
>>http://www.foxnews.com

227. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:23:29 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bm4k2.s5b.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Markie tries to hijack the thread again...

>>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>just
>>a bit too far.
>>
>>http://www.foxnews.com

228. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:24:02 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bm4l2.sav.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Markie Morgan tries to hijack a thread again...

>>Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>just
>>a bit too far.
>>
>>http://www.foxnews.com

229. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:25:19 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bm4ng.c14.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Speak English when you try to hijack threads, Morkie.

230. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:34:20 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <arKdnXTP58e-zL3YnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 166297
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com:
>
>>>> How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25
>>>> KHz of the HF bands.
>>> then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>>> the good of the ars
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
>> ANC-4 noise
>> canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
>> Amplifier, G-707
>> [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
>> table.
>>
>>
>> IC-706 MKIIg & AH-4 in the mobile. Plus 2 HTs.
>> then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
>> khz
>
>
> WOW Mark,
>
> And you're not even a Real ham.

There is this pithy little thing I found in the ARRL Handbook:

The Amateur's Code

The Radio Amateur is

CONSIDERATE...never knowingly operates in such a way as to lessen
the pleasure of others.

LOYAL.....offers loyalty, encouragement and support to other amateurs,
local clubs, and the American Radio Relay League, through
which Amateur Radio in the United States is represented
nationally and internationally.

PROGRESSIVE...with knowledge abreast of science, a well-built and
efficient station and operation above reproach.

FRIENDLY......slow and patient operating when requested; friendly advice
and counsel to the beginner; kindly assistance, cooperation
and consideration for the interests of others.
These are the hallmarks of the amateur spirit.

BALANCED......radio is an avocation, never interfering with duties owed
to family, job, school or community.

PATRIOTIC.....station and skill always ready for service to country and community.

--The original Amateur's Code was written by Paul M. Segal, W9EEA, in 1928.

Kind of old, and flavored a lot like the US was during the time, but
never-the-less, it has some important lessons in behavior and compassion
that seem to be lacking in your vision for the hobby.

-Chuck Harris

231. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:45:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
> and doing anything technical wise.

If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
technical expertise.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

232. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:53:01 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xxYTg.1297$5o5.624@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>> and doing anything technical wise.
>
> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> technical expertise.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Not at all. As usual, SC is again proving he is a bitter little man.

233. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-01 23:58:48 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YCYTg.1298$5o5.195@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166200
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>
>>>> Dave wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>>>> Nice guy.
>>>
>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25 KHz
>>>of the
>>>HF bands.
>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>>the good of the ars
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> ANC-4 noise
> canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> Amplifier, G-707
> [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> table.

What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.

234. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:14:04 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <efpldm$3md$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 166201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> None,
>
> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
> SC

Q: Why don't congressmen use bookmarks?

A: Because they like their pages bent over.

235. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:14:08 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns984FAF5402963doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 166202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in
news:oqvoh25vp31mt3ce6cshbhfsq04bq7nlvl@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 01:46:41 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>>> news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>>>> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>>>>
>>>> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>>>> in 1912...
>>
>>Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
>>very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>>Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
>>proper teletype circuits for him.
>>
>>His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info were
>>inaccurate.
> on Hand bam?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Yep...on 80m. It was the only communications he had at the time.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667
```

236. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:18:26 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns984FB00E39F8Bdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 166203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159548473.298539.188490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>> >>
>> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>> >> in 1912...
>>
>> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
>> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
>> proper teletype circuits for him.
>>
>> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
>> were inaccurate.
>
> Dave, that sounds very suspicious considering my ground school
> instructor's 1962 display of a then-old Santa Barbara, CA,
> half-hour TTY Wx report (then required by Commerce Dept.).
> SBA (ID of Santa Barbara), like all other weather stations at
> airports, were required to post their local Wx and airport
> conditions every half hour. SBA is on the Pacific coast and
> subject to rapid variations of weather. If weather changes more
> rapidly than that, weather stations were required to post extra
> in-between-scheduled-times reports.
>
> One day, after having fog entering and leaving SBA often, the
> operator of the TTY sent: "THE FOG SHE COMES IN THE FOG
> SHE GOES OUT." :-)
>
> The ground school class at VNY was presented with a
> glassine-protected TTY copy of the Wx message that must
> have been old at that time (the cheap TTY paper was
> already turning yellow). Got a good laugh from the class.

This was NOT weather. This was seismic. The runway was rising in spurts sometimes as much as 3 feet in an hour. And, in that era all the comms were microwave and had been knocked out by the big quake. 80m was all he had.

> In 1964 (which is 42 years ago), the weather stations had their
> own network over leased telephone lines. At least in the 48
> contiguous states. Whether or not Alaska was tied in with
> manual telegraphy (radio or wirelines) I can't confirm...nor do I
> think it important since I know it was NOT via amateur bands.

At that time Alaska was tied into the networks by microwave. When the quake shifted towers, we lost it all.

> NOTAMs take many shapes but back 40+ years ago, the
> FAA handled them and saw to their distribution at airports.
> Most were press-printed but some current ones were sent
> by TTY. That was in times before NOAA.

Yes, I know. I was working at Kimberly Aeradio in BC at the time of the quake. It was violent enough to leave a small mark on my barograph, even at that distance. The guys in Edmonton lost all circuits to Alaska and in short order we knew that we had a HUGE communications emergency on our hands (not to mention a real disaster).

At least I was in a position to put the information on the proper teletype circuits addressed to the proper authorities.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

237. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:23:52 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns984FB0FA1A503doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 166204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159588042.862664.304600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>> >>
>> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>> >> in 1912...
>>
>> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
>> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
>> proper teletype circuits for him.
>>
>> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
>> were inaccurate.
>>
>> --
>> Dave Oldridge+
>> ICQ 1800667
>
> PADK?

I don't remember now. Those were interesting days. And that kind of
emergency, while it can still happen, would be mitigated today by the
fact of satellite telephones, GPS and so forth that don't depend on local
power or microwave comms. Indeed, cell phones would probably have held
up in most areas if the towers were halfway decently designed and
powered....

It was all the microwave dishes on towers that moved a tad that made it a
real communications emergency. If I were to have to deal with one like
that today, I'd probably vote for using some digital mode, such as PSK31
or Olivia to move the traffic. But all we had back then was 850 shift
RTTY and not very much of that. It was another two years before I got

mine going (170 shift by then already!).

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

238. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:25:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159748747.669518.246580@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1159588810.130448.295900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Lenny, just to help you feel better about morse code, if I ever hear
> >> you are dying and in need of help, I will use CW to get you help. Then
> >> you'll know before you die a ham used morse code to save a life and you
> >> can rest in peace over CW.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Slow, thats IMPOSSIBLE! If you use CW then how can Len die?
>
>
> Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
> CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.
>
> SC

Count me dead, too. I never would have believed it.
```

239. Re: steve just go and suck someone cock instead of whining about

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:26:01 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <7ce3c2af2df86600c83771bfd14f7d30@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <1159738467.021362.68930@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
"kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Midlothian wrote:
> >
> > Boring!
> yes you boring steve

Yawn.
```

240. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:29:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159748975.912398.171100@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Lenny's life was saved. The shock he got at being told he'd been saved by
> CW resulted in him having a heart attack, and that killed him.

Contrary to Blow Code's fantasies, "the reports of my demise have
been exaggerated." [more or less a quote of Samuel Clemens]

I've never had a heart attack, have never died. Now quit imaginging
things that haven't happened. If you keep on, the new moderating
team may make you sit in the corner.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

241. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:32:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159749149.386279.201030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Dave Oldridge wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in
> news:oqvoh25vp31mt3ce6cshbhfsq04bq7nlvl@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 01:46:41 GMT, Dave Oldridge
> > <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
> >>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> >>> news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>>
> >>>> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
> >>>> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
> >>>>
> >>>> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
> >>>> in 1912...
> >>
> >>Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
> >>very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
> >>Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
> >>proper teletype circuits for him.
> >>
> >>His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info were
> >>inaccurate.
> > on Hand bam?
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> Yep...on 80m. It was the only communications he had at the time.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

I've been thinking about this. I've taken classes in land surveying
and used to shoot levels at the COE. Other than the earth shaking, how
did he determine what the correct elevation was?
```

242. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:33:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159749212.507460.206310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:

>>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
>
>But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
>testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.

No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".

Go read ALL the comments to last year's NPRM from the
FCC. I did. Can you?

If you look real close you will see that the FCC doesn't
think that the code test is necessary for their needs
in determining which amateur applicant should get a
license. It didn't in 1990, it didn't in 1998, it
didn't in 2004.

The rewrite of Radio Regulation S25 at WRC-03 eliminated
the international need for all administrations to test for
radiotelegraphy for privileges below 30 MHz. It is
optional to include or exclude by all administrations.
The International Amateur Radio Union wanted that rewrite.
The ARRL did NOT. The IARU won.

> Many people can't
>see any merit in knowing the laws or in having any technical
>knowledge, so why not eliminate testing altogether?

Illogical, incosistent reasoning. The discussion is about
the radiotelegraphy test, a stand-alone test solely for
manual radiotelegraphy. It is NOT about the written test
elements so why mention them? [rhetorical question]

You MUST mention the writtens as somehow "related" but
it never was. It's a common ploy by pro-coders but
still irrelevant.

>Because you want your views to determine what's done. No other cogent
>reason.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Insulting insinuation there. Bad form.

>>Others who want to end code testing generally feel the same way. This
>>is well established.
>
>Yes, it is. They want everything done the way they want it - just
>like you.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, more insulting insinuation of alleged
"bad conduct." :-)

>You want to get on the air code-free, use the no code bands - CB.

There is only one "CB band." It requires NO TEST at
all. Never has. :-)

>You want to get on frequencies that allow code?

I've been on many, many "frequencies" that didn't require
radiotelegraphy, either in use or to obtain a license to
operate. Got one of those licenses in 1956.

>Pass a code test. It's not rocket science.

It's unnecessary and certainly NOT a "science." :-)

The only agency in the USA that grants amateur radio
licenses is the FCC and they don't think the code test
proves anything to them insofar as granting any amateur
radio license.

>Why should that matter to the FCC?

Ahem, the FCC is the ONLY agency that grants amateur radio
licenses in the USA.

>As I said, you're not
>qualified to discuss something you have absolutely no understanding of
>- let alone make decisions about it for others.

Klein, you are now violating the general to-be rules of
moderation.

Jim-"Opus" is a Canadian. He is licensed under the jurisdiction
of Industry Canada, NOT the FCC. That is his ONLY "qualification
for exemption" in any discussions about what the FCC does or may
do.

YOU, on the other hand, NOT being IN the FCC, cannot legally
"make decisions about (the code test) it for others." That
decision is up the FCC.

What YOU seem to want to do is force everyone current and
future to take that code test...because you had to take a
code test...and you want to "get even." :-)

>You can use your voice on voice bands - called CB.

Incorrect. There is the Maritime Radio Service. There is the Aeronautical Radio Service. There is the Private Land Mobile Radio Service. There is the little Citizens Band Radio Service. All have bands below 30 MHz and all allow voice. [I've been on all of them] It isn't restricted to just CB.

>That's what CB is
>for - communications for those who don't want to pass a ham test
>(which includes CW). Like you.

Now, now, lets not get testy there old timer. Citizens Band Radio Service was established in the USA in 1958, 46 years ago...as a general-purpose, short-range communications band that would suit the general citizenry. It required NO test whatsoever back then, still doesn't require any test. CB has changed, enlarged in the following 46 years and the number of users outnumber all licensed USA radio amateurs by at least 4:1. [with no licensing for decades, only gross numbers of unsers are possible through sales records such as EIA reports]

I believe that Canada has their own CB. Many countries do. That is irrelevant to the retention or elimination to the radiotelegraphy test for USA radio amateurs.

Now be nice and behave in here or the moderator team to be might make you sit in the corner.

Shalom,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

243. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:37:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159749466.100153.302330@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > >
> > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.

> > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> >
> > It's come full circle. ;^)
>
> Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)

What is that?
```

244. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:43:10 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159749789.952875.5760@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >spake thusly:
> >
> >>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
> >>>spake thusly:
> >>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>
> Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
> without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
> vision-oriented.)

Nonsense. ALL cats (especially domesticated ones) have POOR
vision. They are scent-oriented.

If you've had cats at all you would realize this.

Now why are you gabbling about biological subjects in an amateur
radio policy newsgroup?

>> But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a
>> bee dance for me, blind man.

Now why are you gabbling about biological subjects in an amateur
radio policy newsgroup?

Did you get stung while exiting a cat house?

Be nice Klein or the moderator team to be may make you sit in
the corner.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

245. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:43:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <83o0i2d63jkfdtfh8hpg29g097ulv4b10c@4ax.com>
Source record: 166211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>> .
>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
>>>>>> you.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>>
>>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>>coming along?
>>>>
```

>>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>>> already.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
>>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>>
>> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>>
>>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>>
>> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
>
>
>
>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.

You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
then let the ARS die with dignity.

246. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:47:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159750072.865746.265030@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Dave Oldridge wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1159548473.298539.188490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Dave Oldridge wrote:
> >> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
> >> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> >> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
> >> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
> >> >>
> >> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
> >> >> in 1912...
> >>
> >> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
> >> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
> >> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
> >> proper teletype circuits for him.
> >>
> >> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
> >> were inaccurate.
> >
> > Dave, that sounds very suspicious considering my ground school
> > instructor's 1962 display of a then-old Santa Barbara, CA,
> > half-hour TTY Wx report (then required by Commerce Dept.).
> > SBA (ID of Santa Barbara), like all other weather stations at
> > airports, were required to post their local Wx and airport
> > conditions every half hour. SBA is on the Pacific coast and
> > subject to rapid variations of weather. If weather changes more
> > rapidly than that, weather stations were required to post extra
> > in-between-scheduled-times reports.
> >
> > One day, after having fog entering and leaving SBA often, the
> > operator of the TTY sent: "THE FOG SHE COMES IN THE FOG
> > SHE GOES OUT." :-)
> >
> > The ground school class at VNY was presented with a
> > glassine-protected TTY copy of the Wx message that must
> > have been old at that time (the cheap TTY paper was
```

> > already turning yellow). Got a good laugh from the class.
>
> This was NOT weather. This was seismic.

Other geologic events are passed via WX circuits besides earthquakes.
For example, ash plumes as detected by wx satellie observations.
NOTAMS are in the WX circuits.

> The runway was rising in spurts
> sometimes as much as 3 feet in an hour. And, in that era all the comms
> were microwave and had been knocked out by the big quake. 80m was all he
> had.

Fair enough.

> > In 1964 (which is 42 years ago), the weather stations had their
> > own network over leased telephone lines. At least in the 48
> > contiguous states. Whether or not Alaska was tied in with
> > manual telegraphy (radio or wirelines) I can't confirm...nor do I
> > think it important since I know it was NOT via amateur bands.
>
> At that time Alaska was tied into the networks by microwave. When the
> quake shifted towers, we lost it all.
>
> > NOTAMs take many shapes but back 40+ years ago, the
> > FAA handled them and saw to their distribution at airports.
> > Most were press-printed but some current ones were sent
> > by TTY. That was in times before NOAA.
>
> Yes, I know. I was working at Kimberly Aeradio in BC at the time of the
> quake. It was violent enough to leave a small mark on my barograph, even
> at that distance. The guys in Edmonton lost all circuits to Alaska and
> in short order we knew that we had a HUGE communications emergency on our
> hands (not to mention a real disaster).
>
> At least I was in a position to put the information on the proper
> teletype circuits addressed to the proper authorities.
>
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

247. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:49:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159750157.237497.271710@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > > >
> > > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
>
> > > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> > >
> > > It's come full circle. ;^)
> >
> > Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)
>
> What is that?

It is the mythical long worm that keeps eating his own tail. :-)

May not be spelled exactly right...I don't speak Wormish.

If Klein wants to post about seeing-eye cats and bees in the
"Code Reader Recommendation" thread, I can describe
worms. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org
```

248. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:54:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159750490.583744.301160@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Dave Oldridge wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1159588042.862664.304600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Dave Oldridge wrote:
> >> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
> >> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >>
> >> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> >> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
> >> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
> >> >>
> >> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
> >> >> in 1912...
> >>
> >> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took a
> >> very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
> >> Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
> >> proper teletype circuits for him.
> >>
> >> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
> >> were inaccurate.
> >>
> >> --
> >> Dave Oldridge+
> >> ICQ 1800667
> >
> > PADK?
>
> I don't remember now. Those were interesting days. And that kind of
> emergency, while it can still happen, would be mitigated today by the
> fact of satellite telephones, GPS and so forth that don't depend on local
> power or microwave comms. Indeed, cell phones would probably have held
> up in most areas if the towers were halfway decently designed and
> powered....

BLASPHEMY!!!

CW on 80M still rules during EMCOMMS!
```

;^)

> It was all the microwave dishes on towers that moved a tad that made it a
> real communications emergency.

Gyro/Gimbal mounted dishes?

If I were to have to deal with one like
> that today, I'd probably vote for using some digital mode, such as PSK31
> or Olivia to move the traffic. But all we had back then was 850 shift
> RTTY and not very much of that. It was another two years before I got
> mine going (170 shift by then already!).
>
> --
> Dave Oldridge+
> ICQ 1800667

I think RTTY is cool, but I won't force a RTTY practical exam on anyone.

249. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:56:41 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com>
Source record: 166216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>
>>
>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>
>> Jack
>
>
>
>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they came
>up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.

When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
I'll go back.

CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
and therefore not a comparison.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

250. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 00:58:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159750715.617998.89320@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Sep 29 2006 9:00 pm
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Correction... that's "9 -dash- 1 -dash- 1." Robesin says so.
> > >
> > > > > > then it MUST be worng BB you should know that by now
> > > > >
> > > > It's come full circle. ;^)
> > >
> > > Har! :-) The robeswine spawned the worm ouroborous! :-)
> >
> > What is that?
>
> It is the mythical long worm that keeps eating his own tail. :-)
>
> May not be spelled exactly right...I don't speak Wormish.
>
> If Klein wants to post about seeing-eye cats and bees in the
> "Code Reader Recommendation" thread, I can describe
> worms. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I never expected to find a worm in the bottom of a tequila bottle. I
expected to find Robeswine there.
```

251. Wiseman gets out of jail Monday morning. Will Mark run and hide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:13:14 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <55617833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Oct 2006 08:32:18 -0700, kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> > Woger remains away, and it is mostly quiet here.
> Robeson the dog barks some more

You're really brave now, but wait until Woger gets out of
jail. You will cry like a baby.

252. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:17:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hvo0i29mab3jv0n9m559aib0j3fkrjr9gc@4ax.com>
Source record: 166219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 18:39:41 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>spake thusly:
>>
>>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>>>>spake thusly:
>>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>You're simply wrong. Humans are aural creatures. Argue with me when
>>>>>you get enough education in the subject that you're qualified to
>>>>>discuss it.
>>>
>>>>Are YOU qualified? We gather more information about our environment
>>>>from vision than any other sense.
>>>
>>>We gather more information from fellow humans by words than by any
>>>other means. And words aren't processed in the visual cortex, not
>>>even written words.
>>
>>There is a lot more information in our environment than just raw data.
>
>Try to stick to one argument at a time. You were arguing for voice -
>now you're arguing against it. Or is just any argument that might
>possibly be construed to make CW look bad?

Try to keep up. I have been quite consistent. You have not being
paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
argument that a live voice conveys more than just words. Again, try to
keep up.

>>>>Ever have a pet cat or
>>>>dog that was blind and deaf? I have and you would be surprised how
>>>>well then can adapt with just the sense of smell and touch alone.
>>>>Humans need some degree of assistance.
>
>>>Apples and oranges. Deaf-blind people get along pretty well too, if
>>>they're given food, water and all the comforts of home by someone
>>>else.

>
>>A blind person cannot sniff his way around as well as a dog or cat,
>>therefore a white cane is needed or an unchanging closed environment.
>
>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>vision-oriented.)

Never had a cat, did you? I grew up with them. They don't depend on
smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.

>>>>Why would I want to leave usenet?
>
>>>You don't like CW because you can't tell emotions on CW. Since you
>>>can't tell emotions on Usenet, you evidently don't like Usenet. Or
>>>you're being inconsistent.
>
>>My turn to say apples and oranges then. Can you quote where I said
>>that I didn't like CW? Basically, I say that it's only good for
>>submitting raw data, like usenet.
>
>And you don't want to use it - but you do want to use Usenet.
>Inconsistent.

Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.
Text on usenet is easily saved for future consideration. Is CW saved
on a radio server for others to listen to and reply to at their
leisure?

>> Didn't say that it was a bad thing,
>>just not a full, complete way to engage in human discourse.
>
>Neither is voice.
>
>> It should also not be a barrier to the use of amateur radio.
>
>It's not a barrier to USING radio - it's a barrier to one particular
>hobby, which incorporates CW as part of itself. You want to ride a
>bike as a hobby but not use wheels?
>
>Code - ham.

Code - long range but only for a few that can pass an archaic test.

>No code - CB.

No code - short range.

>If that's too complicated for you to grasp, maybe you should take up
>grass-watching as a hobby.
>
>>>>>> I don't speak Ukrainian but I sure knew when my grandmother

>>>>>>was mad at me.
>
>>>>>Not by her words, though, which is what you're claiming. So tell me,
>>>>>what mood am I in at the moment? Evidently, since Usenet is a visual
>>>>>medium, you can tell.
>
>>>>I never said I could tell by her words.
>>>
>>>That's what this discussion is about, so I guess the grandmother story
>>>is just a red herring.
>
>>No that was NOT my point. Let me be more precise: The inflection added
>>by actual voice results in a conversation that is much more than the
>>sum of it's parts, the parts being the words used. My grandmother
>>example simply showed that inflection adds so much more to a
>>conversation that it can, at times, convey some information on it's
>>own without words.
>
>So if she screamed at you, in Ukrainian, with her face all screwed up,
>"You were so good!", you'd get the proper information, that she was
>about to take you to the wood shed for the terrible thing you'd done.
>Right?

Don't be so obtuse. I NEVER said that I would get the proper
information. I said "SOME" information..look above. If I understood
Ukrainian then I would get the full message. If she spoke the same
words in monotone English then I would not likely grasp the degree of
anger at what I had or had not done.

>I can convey as much emotion in CW as your grandmother could in
>Ukrainian. You don't understand CW, so you can't understand how that
>could be true - which is why you're not qualified to discuss the
>matter.

Can you say the same words 10 different ways on CW? Let me guess, you
beep harder when you are agitated.

>My job is like describing the difference between red-orange and
>orange-red to someone who's been blind from birth. "Red-orange is
>redder than orange-red." "But ..." No buts - it is. Someone who's
>never seen just can't understand.

Not a good analogy. I can still hear the CW. I just don't know the
meaning. Your analogy is more like trying to describe CW to a deaf
person.

>>>> Usent is text, by the way, not visual.
>
>>>I'll have to start using my ears to read your posts, then.
>
>>Raw data [text] is all that's needed for this conversation.
>

>Raw data is all that's available for communication.

With CW, yes. Raw data plus inflection conveys a fuller conversation.

>>You insist on reducing the term "communication" to just an exchange of
>>data. I am trying to point out that there is MUCH more to human
>>interactions than just data.
>
>There's much more to human interaction than lexical communications,
>yes - but we're talking about lexical communications here, so anything
>else is totally irrelevant. You can't have any more than lexical
>communication by radio.

Oh bull! You get less than you can in person, true, but much more with
an angry or happy voice than with code.

>But tell bees that their dancing is just raw data. Then translate a
>bee dance for me, blind man.

This is relevant how?

Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
insist that's all that ham radio is.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

253. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:17:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159751871.976115.185070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166220
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
>
> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
>
> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
> >
> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
>
> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".

Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.

254. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:30:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159752616.464172.211940@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1159581528.401284.193240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> > Slow Code wrote:

> >> Markie has a brain, but it doesn't work. Every time
> >> Steve or anyone else says something, Markie the parrot does a follow-up
> >> crap job on it. If Mark had something other than a mis-spelled five
> >> word phrase, maybe Steve wouldn't tease, but I haven't seen Mark get
> >> anything out right yet.
> >
> > So?
> >
> > Did Robesin get to taste his own excrement from some other guy's lap
> > like he said?
> >
> > What useless brain dreams up that kind of stuff?
>
> A bi/gay dsylexic no-code hate CW brain.
>
> SC

You're confirming that Robesin is bi/gay? Exactly how did you come by
this information?

255. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:41:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ger0i2p85crdann4ip0puq2p4b040pro06@4ax.com>
Source record: 166222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
<IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>None,
>
>They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
>SC

Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?

A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
machines can remain secret.

256. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 01:56:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061001215726.134$R8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 166223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
> <lrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >None,
> >
> >They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
> >
> >SC
>
> Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?
>
> A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
> machines can remain secret.
>
Let er' rip. Those that don't vote should shut the fuck up.

257. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:01:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061001220259.778$Qu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 166224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159739500.915838.70690@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > U-Know-Who wrote:
> >> "an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > U-Know-Who wrote:
> > to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
> >> > more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
> >> >
> >>
> >> Who the hell is Tom?
> > exactent question but one YOU alone can answer for us Tom
> >
>
> Whatever you say, Maureen.
>
He's another no-code retard in a world that's flooded with them. They
fucked up when they dumbed it down to the point where mark morgan and his
ilk could get a license.

Now they're drowning in the retard stew.

Swim faster, fucktards.

258. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:04:56 UTC
From: Frank <fjbals@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ct_Tg.12283$7I1.11329@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

So how do you know?......Hmmm....we're wondering....

"N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1159301158.565918.127570@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Jamie likes it up the ass!
>
> 15650 Witt Pl # 4106
> Addison, TX 75001-3384
>

259. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:14:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9bt0i2td44lbq756326qv99s6cki19in65@4ax.com>
Source record: 166226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 02 Oct 2006 01:56:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20061001215726.134$R8@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
>> <IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> >None,
>> >
>> >They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>> >
>> >SC
>>
>> Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?
>>
>> A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
>> machines can remain secret.
>>
>Let er' rip. Those that don't vote should shut the fuck up.

Anyone has the Constitutional right to say whatever they want. NOBODY
has the Constitutional right to vote. Kinda screwy, eh?

260. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:16:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061001221803.043$VB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 166227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 02 Oct 2006 01:56:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20061001215726.134$R8@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
> >> <IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>
> >> >None,
> >> >
> >> >They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
> >> >
> >> >SC
> >>
> >> Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?
> >>
> >> A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
> >> machines can remain secret.
> >>
> >Let er' rip. Those that don't vote should shut the fuck up.
>
> Anyone has the Constitutional right to say whatever they want. NOBODY
> has the Constitutional right to vote. Kinda screwy, eh?
>
I have a Constitutional right to vote, and I exercise it every time. I
should prolly shut the fuck up too but that ain't likely.

261. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:23:35 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <HK_Tg.37149$Lb5.15878@edtnps89>
Source record: 166228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo wrote:

>>> Let er' rip. Those that don't vote should shut the fuck up.
>> Anyone has the Constitutional right to say whatever they want. NOBODY
>> has the Constitutional right to vote. Kinda screwy, eh?
>>
> I have a Constitutional right to vote, and I exercise it every time. I
> should prolly shut the fuck up too but that ain't likely.

==========
In the United States, suffrage is determined by the individual states,
not federally. There is no national "right to vote". The states and the
people have changed the U.S. Constitution five times to disallow states
from limiting suffrage, thereby expanding it.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suffrage

==========

mike

262. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:24:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061001222541.075$35@newsreader.com>
Source record: 166229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
m II <c@in.the.hat> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> >>> Let er' rip. Those that don't vote should shut the fuck up.
> >> Anyone has the Constitutional right to say whatever they want. NOBODY
> >> has the Constitutional right to vote. Kinda screwy, eh?
> >>
> > I have a Constitutional right to vote, and I exercise it every time. I
> > should prolly shut the fuck up too but that ain't likely.
>
> ================================================
> In the United States, suffrage is determined by the individual states,
> not federally. There is no national "right to vote". The states and the
> people have changed the U.S. Constitution five times to disallow states
> from limiting suffrage, thereby expanding it.
>
> http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suffrage
>
> ================================================
>
> mike
>
blah blah blah..I've -never- been refused at the poll, have you?
```

263. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 02:53:59 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5pv0i2lchcfi69t05pup8hmvg164ikna0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 1 Oct 2006 18:17:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com spake
thusly:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
>>
>> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
>>
>> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
>> >
>> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
>> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
>>
>> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
>> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
>> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".
>
>Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
>name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.

Oh I am just your ordinary, average insecure penguin.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

264. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 04:25:28 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 166231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jack Ricci wrote:

> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
> malice,
> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> degrade to that point.

I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the advice dispensed around here though....

>
> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or
> would
> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into
> a higher class of license and increased privileges?

well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that problem myself.

Since this problem appears to be one in the visual side of the system, it stands to reason that sound would not be affected in the same way (nor would touch - in my case, braille is far easier for me to read than the printed word was). CW is much the same way for me. I picked it up as a teen, but never did get around to using it, nor did I bother with it when I went in to take my first Amateur radio exame.

you may note how well I type. Actually, its an automatic spell checker correcting some of the "dyslexic typing" my fingers turn out. There are some word variations that still get past the spellcheck though. :(

point is, since CW is a sound language, it might be loads easier for her to learn than say the printed word.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

265. Re: No-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 04:36:04 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1325201.ihJGlNbbAI@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 166232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dudley wrote:

> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
> just a bit too far.
>
> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html

perhaps you should change that to "Nice little story about a couple of
CBer's who took matters just a bit too far".

1. they were not hams in any way, shape or form (you can check for their
names on qrz or the fcc database to verify that)
2. they got in an argument on CB radio
3. they shot each other in a public place (A duel).

end of report.

where in that story was ham radio (or the no-code debate) ever mentioned?

266. (OT) : How Many Democrats Does It Take To Screw-Up And Election ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 05:37:33 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1159767453.307937.151620@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> None,
>
> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
> SC

(OT) : How Many Democrats Does It Take To Screw-Up And Election ?

2000 - Only One "Al Gore" - I Inverted the Hanging Chad !

2004 - Only One "John 'ff' Kerry - I Invented the Flip-Flop !

yes i said that - just for the fun of it ~ RHF
.
.
. .

267. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 05:46:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159767995.144317.192800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166234

From: an old friend on Sun, Oct 1 2006 1:57 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> > Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
>> > but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.
>
>> Do you mean "Robeson"?
>
>yes jim

Who is "Robeson?"

Who is "Jim?"

>> Why do you say "imposter"?
>
>well what else do you call someone that fakes their background

Maybe this "Jim" (whoever that is) meant "imp poster?" :-)

The Imposter has NEVER made available a single document for
anyone to view, hasn't even shown a snapshot of himself
from that claimed "18-year USMC career." In EIGHTEEN years
he has NO evidence? Bullshit. He is a FAKE...or the
circumstances of his not making a "full 20" are so damn
embarrassing to him that he can't post them in public.

>> You claim to know who served and who didn't. Isn't he in the database?
>
>he is no data base that I can find

This "Jim" (whoever that is) laid a small word trap there.
He carefully did NOT specify WHICH database. The Imposter
IS in the FCC database, thus will appear in the QRZ data-
base. This "Jim" (whoever that is) will then begin a big
argument over databases, possibly spinning off a whole new
thread...ignoring the original military Imposter subject.

This "Jim" has NEVER served in any military branch. He
may think the "database" (of those in the military) is
some freely accessible database somewhere on the Internet.
It is NOT. For those actively serving NOW it is available
via the DSN (through the Internet) but ONLY to those with
clearance to access that information.

For military veteran information, one goes to NARA (National Archives and Records Administration) St. Louis Missouri, NMPRC (National Military Personnel Records Center) there. One MUST supply the veteran's personal identity (besides the name) such as a Social Security Number and/or military branch serial number if that was used during the term of service. But that can gain access ONLY if the requestor is identifiable family/kin or a previously-identified Human Resources department or investigative organization (police departments, FBI, etc.). The VA (Veterans Administration) has to go that route also. There is NO WAY that any government agency is going to realease information on anyone 'casually' from a simple phone call to the VA. This FAKE takes advantage of that in his bluffing in here ("call the VA" repeated often).

Let's take another example of the FAKE's bluffing. The CAP (Civil Air Patrol) flies CIVILIAN-registry aircraft. In ALL air traffic communications around the world (that includes airport towers) ALL inbound and outbound aircraft communicate in English to air traffic controllers and identify themselves by the aircraft registry number. In the USA that is an "N" prefix followed by numerals with a one- or two-letter suffix. In the USA it is common to use the last two numerals and suffix in IDs with local towers. The "CAP radio callsign" is NOT used for that. The FAKE has glossed over any mention of the aircraft that he supposedly flies (as "pilot in command") or its ATC ID. Civil Aviation radio band is used (118 to 137 MHz, always voice). Use that two-number plus suffix a few times in tower-controlled takeoffs and landings and it is hard to forget. [I still remember "two-one-whiskey" (21W) for the Cessna 150 I used in some flight lessons 43 years ago at VNY] Ergo, this supposed "major" isn't involved in actual flying per se, just using some common phrases tossed out AS IF he were a real pilot. He may not have any "major" rank at all. His QRZ bio shows a picture of him in a flight suit with captain's bars, clothing that can be bought surplus at an "Army-Navy Store" by anyone with money to buy it. Insignia and medals, ribbons are available for sale at many more venues.

In a series of postings in here, Frank Gilliland asked some pointed, detailed USMC questions of our fake. Fake could NOT answer them correctly. In a shorter series of messages with Hans Brakob, the fake screwed up his responses on military cryptographic equipment and procedures, including familiar names of equipment and methods. Hans was a Master Chief PO in charge of

crypto during his active duty time. In an earlier tell-tale sign of bluffing fakery, the Fake could not name a single item of military radio equipment used over his supposed 18-year career term, radios that would be common to non-radio-specialist military personnel use. Already a licensed radio amateur and he could NOT remember either the nomenclature or familiar name of a piece of radio equipment?!? Inconceivable! The Fake countered with the usual fake's rationalization: it was 'classified' and he 'couldn't reveal it!" Bullshit. The nomenclatures of military radio equipment is KNOWN and the familiar names are familiar, not some 'secret.' Nomenclatures, even for cryptographic equipment, are in public display for RFBs of contracts by civilian firms at the Government Accounting Office and all government agencies offering contracts. The Fake doesn't know a "Plugger" from a "Prick-twenty-five" yet both were operational during his supposed 18-year active duty time.

So, this "Jim" (whoever that is) wants a "database" with this amateur extra morseman's name on it? Now he knows were to look: St. Louis, MO. [www.nara.gov] Good luck to his little "subsidizing soul" as a taxpayer, taxes withheld from his paycheck by an unknown employer. On the non-database information this "Jim" doesn't know squat and should keep his nose out of conversations of REAL veterans about REAL radio. Ptui.

Shalom,

LenAnder...@ieee.org

268. Re: SWAP MY BITCH FOR YOUR SW HF RIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 05:52:08 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159768328.670462.167000@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1159659531.024385.297020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Make an offer.
>
>
> You don't like Woger Wiseman anymore? Maybe he can go stay with Lloyd.
>
> SC

idiot.

269. Ping: Toad----->can you help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 06:29:43 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159770583.018090.124670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.pets.dogs.rescue,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

Hey Toad,
Marqueer isn't taking care of me right at home. I have a 9 inch cock
and 38D boobs.............

WANNA PARTY?????????????

270. Re: You can lead morkie to cock but you can't make him NOT suck it !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 07:26:52 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <a8c83db853596426944b33832d148ec4@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test,alt.usenet.kooks

In article <bljmf.st3.17.1@news.alt.net>
"Dudley" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>
> <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote in message
> news:1159677432.136196.89010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:06:16 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> > <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
>
> > everyone know you aare you steve
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> ==
> everyone know you aare you steve
>
> What?

Boring!

271. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 08:12:30 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159776750.284229.195250@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> >
> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you <plonk>
>
> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> bit.;-{P}

Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a
half-assed way!

Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.

> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>

> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

272. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 08:12:42 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159776762.157340.191140@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> >
> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you <plonk>
>
> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> bit.;-{P}

Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a
half-assed way!

Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.

> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>

> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

273. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 08:12:45 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159776765.225815.196880@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> >
> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you <plonk>
>
> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> bit.;-{P}

Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a
half-assed way!

Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.

> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>

> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

274. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 09:13:03 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.02.09.13.00.573268@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 166241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:12:45 -0700, Steven attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
>> issue further by squeaking:
>> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
>> >> cum guzzling fucker!
>> >
>> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you
>> > <plonk>
>>
>> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
>> bit.;-{P}
>
> Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a half-assed
> way!
>
> Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.

And you said that three times because it was *just that important*?

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power

that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

275. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 11:37:56 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oS6Ug.12359$7I1.2576@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
> insist that's all that ham radio is.

I haven't heard any information being conveyed on 75m
phone in years. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

276. Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 11:53:37 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790017.479125.105480@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Maybe <IKYABWAI, since Sniveling Cunt is on home confinement>

Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your credibility
would return, fucktard.

277. Will Billy Smith ever make an intelligent statement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 11:55:52 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790152.544237.178810@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:

Will Billy ever make an intelligent statement?

> Doubtful

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:

Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in
<gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC
enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They

don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have

committed rules violations."
Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC
has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules
infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC
rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
rules to readminister any examination element previously administered
by a volunteer examiner.

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
English skills, quite ironically in
<VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school
math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$vO.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy
is exposed. "It serves him right."
in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

"Deserves him right."

Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded
status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"

Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad
hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
<QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
"Sure I can spell and smell."

"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
<qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
more"

Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
slobber
in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Isn't that you mom weighed"

Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
<w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
your
so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."

Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in
<B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"You're whole life has been exhaled before."

Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
slobbering in
<MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."

"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"

"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his
cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."

Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to
criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his
blatant "stupidity" in
<oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one
Scheissman.
You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"

Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>: "Woger cant spell very well anyway."

Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the English tutoring books there in WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are open."

Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in <4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."

Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from "experience"?) in <7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and the like. Speaking from experrience again?"

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in <my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather than "Taod"?"

278. Re: NO NIGGERS ALLOWED IN RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 11:56:45 UTC
From: Bill Gates <BGates@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <ljv1i25smecnuftppsfo7s5bn14ao5pbt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 166245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Sep 2006 07:14:46 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>NO NIGGERS!

NO HONKIES EITHER!!!!!!!!

****
There are a good many who will be surprised by this
seasons NY Knicks. I think larry made a mistake and
how many would be surprised if the Knicks make the
playoffs and go to the second round?
****

279. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 11:58:01 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790281.127602.322340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> >
>
> > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
> no woger you are woger

Wrong again, fucktard. Now die of your aids!

280. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:01:47 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790507.328531.214790@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Loser Otaku wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com stared intently at
> the screen and then furiously typed as commanded:
> >
> > Otaku wrote:
> >> On that fabled day of 29 Sep 2006, lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com blessed
> >> Usenet with hir wisdom in
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHA! "hir"????
> >
> >> <1159472014.534586.181170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>:
> >>>
> >>> Otaku wrote:
> >>>> Having contemplated deeply, on 27 Sep 2006, Not Cocksucker Lloyd
> >>>> saw fit to share with us by carefully crafting
> >>>> <1159358103.526515.37580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>:
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Otaku wrote:
> >>>>>> On 26 Sep 2006, lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com stared intently at the
> >>>>>> screen and then furiously typed:
> >>>>>>> Jamie likes it up the ass!
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> 15xxx Witt Pl # 4xxx
> >>>>>>> Addison, TX 75001-xxxx
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> <personal details redacted>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> What *is* <BITCHSLAP>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> What is with your pathetic attempt to censor? Are you part of
> >>>>> Al-Quieda?
> >>>>
> >>>> Oooh, a "<BITCHSLAP>". Ouch. How devastating.
> >>>
> >>> That why you are whining <snip>
> >>
> >> You have a strange definition of "whining". That was
> >> "sarcasm". HTH.
> >
> > Really? Nobody could tell. Try harder, fuckhead.
> >
>
> No, everyone but you could tell.
```

You keep telling yourself that delusion.

>
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>> BTW,
> >>>
> >>> BTW, gum-gargler, <BITCHSLAP!>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Oooh, I am clealy in the presence of a Master (f)Lamer. I hace been
> >> soooo (f)lamed! Whatever will I do?
> >
> > You'll just whine and snivel as always, fuckhead.
> >
>
> You are totally delusional. But then, we (tinw) knew that.

IKYABWAI, Pee Wee.

>
> >>>> Slap this, fuckhead.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> After removing all the content-free bits, nothing is left!
> >
> > Sort of like your posts.
>
> That's not even a sentence.

You are the queen of incomplete sentences, fuckhead.

> >
> >>
> >> Mfmppf mmmmfpmppmmmmpm - <MmpMffFmpMmfMfpFmmPmfMmmPfm> ppmmpp
> >> mmmmfmmmmmffppp - Mff mmfppfppmppmmmmpppmpm mfffmp!
> >
> > That's good, don't talk with your mouth full of cum!
>
> Now, <IKYABWAI>

Now, Krapout, you really should get a new line, FUCKHEAD.

281. Markie the shit licker dances!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:03:10 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790590.721114.159080@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
old freind wrote:
> woger the wabbit hops

Markie the shit off a dick licker dances.
```

282. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:04:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790690.290271.166040@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Heterodyne wrote:
>
> Yes but fanboi pulls them fag lames right out of the recycle bin.

Funny that's the same place you pull your head out of, oh, that's
right, that's your ass.

283. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:05:40 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159790739.932958.171800@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank wrote:
> So how do you know?......Hmmm....we're wondering....

You told me.

>
> "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1159301158.565918.127570@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Jamie likes it up the ass!
> >
> > 15650 Witt Pl # 4106
> > Addison, TX 75001-3384
> >

284. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:10:10 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791010.235148.71940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Demon Lard of Constipation wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 03:37:47 +0900, Otaku attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
> > On 29 Sep 2006, markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com stared intently at the
> > screen and then furiously typed as commanded:
> >> Otaku wrote:
> >>> On that fabled day of 29 Sep 2006, lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com blessed Usenet
> >>> with hir wisdom:
> >>
> >> BWHAHAHAHA! "hir"????
> >
> > Someone's never heard of genderless pronouns,

Looks like someone is making lame excuses for his lack of typing
skills.

>I think,

No you don't. You've proven that time and time again, fuckhead.
>
> Nah, I'll bet a certain Non-Existent poster couldn't tell, either.

Speaking of non-existent, how's that brain in your empty, malformed
skull?

> Quite.

Lick much ass in public?

>
> Except in Wabbit-World.

That wherfe you go to relax and think about having sex with rabbits,
since you can't ever be sucessful with human females, geek boy?

> OK, I'll share. I can't speak for Mark, however, nor would I want to.

You can barely speak for yourself.

285. Re: N9OGL's last shemale he jacked off to!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:13:02 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791182.693198.200440@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith whined:
> What is
What is:

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make
fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in
<my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather
than "Taod"?"

Is your lack of education showing up again, chickenshit?

286. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:14:18 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791258.928763.18490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>But if it's Woger's <lame>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Hey, fuckhead, get back to sodomizing those cats, since you can't get a
> >>>real woman.
> >>>
> >>
> >>So what was
> >>
> >>
> > So why do you still live with mommy in the basement?
> >
>
> Do you still
Do you still suck off those black neighbors in your HUD apartment
complex?

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make
fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in
<my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather
than "Taod"?"
```

287. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:15:38 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791338.442553.215580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Demon Lardass of Constipation whined lamely:
> >
> >>On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 04:52:57 -0700, Not Cocksucker Lloyd attempted to
> >>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >>
> >>>Teh Suck-retary to Teh Impotent Cunt of MEOW whined:
> >>>
> >>>>All Hail Fluffy! On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 12:57:52 -0700, <Wrong guess,
> >>>>fuckhead> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Demon Lard of Constipation whined:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On Tue, 26 Sep 2006 05:57:47 -0700, <Wrong guess, fuckhead!> <Not a
> >>>>>>guess, Wabbit!> attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>But if it's Woger's <lame>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Hey, fuckhead, <BITCHSLAP>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Well, at least you got _something_ right. <BITCHSLAP!>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Oh, so you ARE a fuckhead? <BITCHSLAP>
> >>>>
> >>>>I'm a kookologist.
> >>>
> >>>No, you are a no life k00k.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"Fuckhead" is part of the job description.
> >>>
> >>>And you do it so well, FUCKHEAD.
> >>
> >>Da<IKYABWAI>!
> >
> > Dance, my bitch, dance.
> >
>

> Just like

You've got it reversed, fatty.
Now, dance, Lardass, dance!

288. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:20:04 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791604.089000.245470@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Demon Lard of Constitpation whined:
> >
> >>On Wed, 27 Sep 2006 04:51:06 -0700, Not Cocksucker Lloyd attempted
> >>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >>
> >>>The Suck-retary of Adhominum whined:
> >>>
> >>>>Nope! Never tangled with 'im.
> >>>
> >>>Yep, you got SPANKED by him.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>No wife,
> >>>
> >>>What a surprise, you spend your life on the internet.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>and my "girlfriend" is thousands of miles away.
> >>>
> >>>She couldn't stand your body odor, huh? Or is your "girlfriend" a
> >>>shemale who works at a strip club in Vegas?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Too bad, you lose.
> >>>
> >>>You lose, fuckhead.
> >>
> >>Keep dancing, just for ten more days...
> >
> >
> > Until you get back in the rubber room?
> >
>
> Speaking of your visit to the WV State Mental Facility.

Name the facility and give the dates he was in it. Since you never can
do that, your story is a lie.

Roger never beat up his mother, fatty. You did, right after your fat
dumb ass was caught stealing money from her purse.
You were in a Mental health facility in Independence, Iowa for a year,

projection boy.

289. Lardass waddles and and hides?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:23:19 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791799.430789.241530@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> You're really brave now, but wait until Woger gets out of
> jail. You will cry like a baby.

Funny a quick call to NRJ, proves Roger wasn't in jail. You lose,
Lardass!

290. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:24:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791883.749219.261770@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> thusly:
> >>
> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >>>> thusly:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> >>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
> >>>>>> .
> >>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
> >>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop bothering
> >>>>>> you.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> SC
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
> >>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null / .--
> >>>>>> . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -. --. /
> >>>>>> .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
> >>>>>coming along?

> >>>>
> >>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
> >>>> already.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham radio
> >>>out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
> >>
> >> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
> >>
> >>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
> >>
> >> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
> >
> >
> >
> >Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
> >that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
> You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
> then let the ARS die with dignity.

And penquin boy couldn't even pass any of the tests to get a ham
license.

291. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:26:34 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159791994.513652.280560@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166308
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

U-Know-Who wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> Dave wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
> >>>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
> >>>> Nice guy.
> >>>
> >>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25 KHz
> >>>of the
> >>>HF bands.
> >>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
> >>the good of the ars
> >>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> > ANC-4 noise
> > canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> > Amplifier, G-707
> > [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> > table.
>
> What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.

Looks like Markie going to get a letter from the FCC.

292. Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:29:44 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159792183.848938.274280@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman whined:
> Woger

And you still worry about another man, faggot. Drunk on Sunday again,
fatty?

293. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:31:19 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159792279.114761.193450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 05:05:14 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan" <ab8mqs@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friendless faggot wrote:
> >> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > MarkMorgan@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > > >To a call forwarded number you set up, Markie?
> >> > > to the number you and he dug oh paranoid one
> >> >
> >> > Nope, to a call-forwarded number, oh, untruthful one...
> >> funny how you still never called despite your claims
> >
> >Why would one call a call-forwarded number when they know you won't
> >reach the right party, stupid?
> you are the one that looked up the number and posted it

Nope, wrong again, fucktard. You can't even keep your lies straight,
pervert.

294. Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:34:05 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159792445.461553.302350@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>
> >When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,

Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the large fat
ass.

> >Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of

Nope that's not her. You lose, fucknuts.

> >Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
> >porch.

Poor Arf Arf, he can't even get that girl as a girlfreind.

> >She's a cutie, Roger. You deserve no less.
> more of your fraud stev? why bother trying to distance yourself from
> roger now

Hey, stupid, show us a picture of your shemale wife.

295. Saggytits Lee the source of least reliable information blathers again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:37:58 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159792678.863771.234500@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166312

Saggytits Lee whined:
>
> It was

Leave it to the fucktard who tried to pass off an ALABAMA ARES website
for one in WEST VIRGINIA to lie again. Keeping up with your tradition
of posting least reliable information from Houston's least reliable
ISP, retard?

And what color and make is Roger's car?

Saggytits Lee (Stagger Lee@houston-hunks.com) fails to back up his
"webcam story" when he changes the subject with lame excuses when asked
what type of car Roger drives and it's color in
<night.vision.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Those newer webcams include automatic switchover to night vision mode
when it gets dark, but the pictures are in that typical blue-green
monochrome mode, and it's tough to make out fine details."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary

instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
someone
who things that..."

296. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:39:18 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159792758.185305.22550@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166313

Dave Heil wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
> > aperances
> >
> > And you are concerned? Why?
>
> I think it is admirable that one radio amateur is concerned about the
> DXCC totals of another. Mark also shows concern for whether I'm getting
> enough sleep.
>
> I want to assure him that I'm not missing any rare, needed DX and that I
> get plenty of sleeps and even sneak in an occasional nap.

Davey the liar lies again. Davey dreams of sucking off Andy Timberlake
in his dreams.

297. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:41:46 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159792906.855592.74450@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

Demon Lard of Constipation wrote:
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:12:45 -0700, Steven attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> >> issue further by squeaking:

More like Stan Lawson of Las Vegas, NV..............

> >> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> >> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> >> >
> >> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you
> >> > <plonk>
> >>
> >> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> >> bit.;-{P}
> >
> > Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a half-assed
> > way!
> >
> > Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.
>
> And you said that three times because it was *just that important*?

You can even count to three? When did your impaired brain learn to do
this?

298. get dying of your aids, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:43:49 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793029.408636.31900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,alt.sex.gay,rec.music.classical.recordings

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> > On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 11:46:01 -0700, kb9rqz69 did not most oddly state:
> > >
> > > Answer the question, morkins.....After all, you are the one posting
> > > about squirting beer up your ass!
> > >
> > You're not overly well-acquainted with the concept of "humour", are you,
> > guys?

He's serious, Markie has doe that, fuckhead.

> no they into terror except they are the F-Troop of terrists

You fit right in, fucktard.

299. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:45:48 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793148.634071.96680@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 30 Sep 2006 21:48:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
>
> >STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
> what shit woger

Wrong guess, dumbass!

300. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:48:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793323.108794.83920@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> Indy Ham wrote:
> > Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3 or
> > 4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I remember....

No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.

> woger has showed at a ham fest I am shocked but sadly what woger realy
> needs is euthenizing

Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.

301. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:52:08 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793528.946946.322690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Indy Ham wrote:
> Not only did he

Hey Roachdale fucktard, Roger has never been to Dayton. FUCK UP!

>
> "Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ZYrTg.3110$Y24.1528@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Indy Ham wrote:
> >
> >> Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3
> >> or 4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I
> >> remember....
> >
> > Mwhahahahahahha. That would have been a sight to see watching him cowering
> > as someone was ready to rain down some justice on his shitty little world.
> > He's been nothing but a whiny little boy for years and it wouldn't
> > surprise me that he ran and cowered.

You mean like how you cowered and pissed yourself when Oris stopped by,
Billy?

302. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:54:52 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793692.938132.15560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith whined and IKYABWAIed:
> >
> >>billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>N9OGL wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Slow Code wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>But it was too late, Todd had already been NAL'd.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>ROFL
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>BWHAHAHAHA!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>you have yet to prove that i've got a NAL looser.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>"Looser" What Toad's asshole is after his daddy lets him stay another
> >>>week in the basement.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Speaking from experience again?
> >
> >
> > No, you have that market cornered, sodomite.
> >
> >
> >>You would know all about me having my ass
> >>reamed.
> >
> >
> > Poor Billy, his ass gets reamed by his daddy so he can stay in his
> > basement another night.
> >
>
```

> Poor

Poor Billy, he works his restaurant high school kid's job lives in low income public housing and is projecting his loser life onto others. Billy has no education as you can see:

BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:

Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in <gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They

don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have

committed rules violations."
Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the rules to readminister any examination element previously administered by a volunteer examiner.

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those English skills, quite ironically in <VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$vO.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy is exposed. "It serves him right."
in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Deserves him right."

Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"

Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in <QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>

"Sure I can spell and smell."

"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
<qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
more"

Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
slobber
in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Isn't that you mom weighed"

Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
<w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
your
so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."

Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in
<B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"You're whole life has been exhaled before."

Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
slobbering in
<MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."

"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"

"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his
cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."

Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to
criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his
blatant "stupidity" in
<oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one
Scheissman.
You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"

Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to
comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in
Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Woger cant spell very well anyway."

Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he
blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he
can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the
English tutoring books there in
WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are
open."

Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in
<4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."

Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to
claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from
"experience"?) in
<7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that
third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and
the like. Speaking from experrience again?"

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make
fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in
<my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather
than "Taod"?"

303. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 12:57:44 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159793864.475853.34730@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 05:24:46 -0700, ab8mqr@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 27 Sep 2006 05:08:39 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >MarkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> >> if Todd ever gets an NAL maybe you will have a party but he isn't
> >> >> likely to
> >> >
> >> >Markie the moron, we'll have a party once we see you are a silent key.
> >> nah you will beat to grave by many years no doubt you mouth will
> >> likely get you murdered some day
> >>
> >>But not by you, coward.
> whther you die at my hands is entirely up to you

Dream on, retard. The only reason you have to use a shotgun is because
you are so inept.
You'd get a shot right between your eyes before you even reacted.

> >> >Toad is going to get an NAL.
> >> for what
> >
> >For his illegal broadcasts.....dumbass!
> what ilegal braodcasts

The ones he does, dumbass!

304. Lardass fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:00:15 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794014.750796.51330@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> Life is good when Woger is gone.

Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?

A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
this weekend or any weekend.
You fucked up again!

305. Markie the retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:01:13 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794073.633061.225610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 01:05:14 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >Life is good when Woger is gone.
> not likely you are just trying to provoke him

Like you he's incompetent and fails to do so.

306. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:05:59 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794358.909907.166760@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 28 Sep 2006 11:43:39 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> >> On 27 Sep 2006 04:44:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Opus- wrote:
> >> >> >> On 26 Sep 2006 05:53:24 -0700, billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
> >> >> >> spake thusly:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >an_old_friendless child raper wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Opus- wrote:
> >> >> >> >> > On Mon, 25 Sep 2006 23:59:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> >> >> >> > thusly:
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >>"an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> >>news:1159124520.451162.214350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>>How's your code practice coming along Markie? It's easy and fun. You have
> >> >> >> >>>to learn it if you want to be a real ham.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> That's like saying you have to learn bloodletting to be a real doctor.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >Nope, it's just another language.
> >> >> >> >But, you have trouble with English, so it is to be expected.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> You don't get it, do you? But if you insist, many many years ago you
> >> >> >> HAD to learn Latin to attend university. Then, a few people bucked the
> >> >> >> trend and started removing the requirement to know Latin. Oh sure, the
> >> >> >> old guard resisted. Many professors refused to work without Latin as a
> >> >> >> requirement, ostensibly because they felt that the Latin requirement
> >> >> >> would demonstrate serious commitment to learning. But the world
```

> >> >> >> progressed and now conversational Latin is no longer required, save
> >> >> >> for a few medical and legal references.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Hey state the obvious, like it's not already known, dumbass. Morse code
> >> >> >is a language as well, Penquin boy. It is still used.
> >> >>
> >> >> And Klingon is a language created by Mark Okerund and it is commonly
> >> >> used at Star Trek conventions. Your point?
> >> >
> >> >And Penquin boy Opus dresses up in his Spock ears when he posts.
> >> >It figures you'd be a Trekkie. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA Loser!
> >>
> >> Never been to a convention. Do you have a point? Obviously not.
> >
> >Liar, you probably wear your homade Star Trek uniform and your Spock
> >ears as you post.
> >The only point is on top of your empty head, Trekkie.
>
> You're almost funny

Your face sure is, pimple puss.

Prove that you are a whining Trekkie with no life?

>
> No need for you to try and make a REAL point now.

I know, your obtuse thinking prevents anything from getting through.

>
> >> >> >> Now, would you tell your doctor that he is not a real doctor if he
> >> >> >> can't carry on a conversation in Latin? He just might be able to, but
> >> >> >> odds are he will only know what is necessary to do his job
> >> >> >> effectively.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Funny, Prescription directions are made in latin.....but you use
> >> >> >any excuse for your laziness, don't you?
> >> >>
> >> >> No shit Sherlock. If you actually READ you would see that I said so
> >> >> too.
> >> >
> >> >Wrong. You are taking what I wrote and caliming it as your own.
> >>
> >> I DARE <much stamping of feet>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ This is so childish. Grow up.
>
> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Having one of your fag fits, Penquin boy?
>
> Avoiding the real issue at hand, knobgobbler?

IKYABWAI, much Pee Wee? So much for your self- claimed "superiority"

> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
> >> >
> >> >Well, Canada is such a useless country, anyways.
> >>
> >> Please try to make a REAL point.
> >
> >Translation: "We Canadians are too stupid to post an\ything but
> >whines."
>
> You misspelled

I misspelled "Canada wasn't even worth invading and that's why early in
United States history we abondoned the idea." Now fuck off.

>
>
> >> >> >> >> indeed but I have no intention of wastingmy time on code I wasted years
> >> >> >> >> on it in my teen trying for a novice
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHA Markie the lazy bastard can't even pass 5 wpm code!
> >> >> >> >BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Your really, REALLY don't get it, do you?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Neither do you, dumbass, Latin terms are used in Medicine and in the
> >> >> >Legal profession all the time.
> >> >>
> >> >> Uh...I said CONVERSATIONAL Latin. <bullshit alert!>
> >> >
> >> >No you are backpedaling. You said "Latin" nothing about "conversational
> >> >Latin. You should really not try to lie your way out of your screw ups.
> >> >You aren't very good at it or anything else.
> >>
> >> I DARE YOU TO PROVE IT!!!! <Bitchslap>
> >
> >BWHAHAHAHA Posting in all caps mean you're getting arsed and being
> >bested, Kanuck.
>
> Posting in all caps is me YELLING <lame excuses>

So you *are* upset, huh? BWHAHAHAHAHA!

307. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:07:00 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794420.340774.192250@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
> >> >
> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
> >>
> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
> >
> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
>
> Double standard?

Isn't that the Kanuck way?
```

308. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:08:11 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794491.274579.5870@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> Oops..missed one.
>
> >
> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>
> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
>
> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying

No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.

309. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:09:13 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794553.766409.272550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> Mr Turd also known as Wismen and Marky morgan rapes boy and other
> enlighten nyms is nothing one sick walking double standard

PKB, irony boy. How many sockpuppets do you post under, Marqueer?

310. Markie dances!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:10:18 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794618.471460.116990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> woger the wabbit hops

Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.

311. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:11:46 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159794706.690199.126280@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>show na to va woger
> >
> >
> > Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
> > being queer.
> >
>
> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>

Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
could suck his dick, fatty.

312. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:15:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159794936.293836.310370@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
:
> >> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>show na to va woger
> >>
> >>
> >> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
> >> being queer.
> in point of fact he is lying (big surprise show na to va means happy
> new year

So *You* say, Marqueer. Nobody else can back that assertion up. Now go
lick your shemale wife's penis.

313. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:19:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159795155.242202.244170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>
> > These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
> > malice,
> > hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
> > degrade to that point.
>
> I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the advice
> dispensed around here though....

Especially from Eric.

> >
> > When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> > that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
> > protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
> > would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or
> > would
> > dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
> > dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into
> > a higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
> problem myself.

You have more problems than that, dumbass!

314. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:28:23 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159795703.226524.59900@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:12:45 -0700, Steven attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
> > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> >> issue further by squeaking:
> >> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> >> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> >> >
> >> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you
> >> > <plonk>
> >>
> >> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> >> bit.;-{P}
> >>
> > Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a half-assed
> > way!
> >
> > Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.
>
> And you said that three times because it was *just that important*?

Screwed up browser in a new installation. Bill Gates won't apologize
for it, though.

> ____
> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the

> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>
> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

315. Re: Demon Lord of Confusion loves nigger sperm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:30:25 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159795824.886315.73740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave,ba.broadcast

billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com wrote:
> Demon Lard of Constipation wrote:
> > On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:12:45 -0700, Steven attempted to confuse the issue
> > further by squeaking:
> > > Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> > >> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:52:04 -0700, Stan de SD attempted to confuse the
> > >> issue further by squeaking:
>
> More like Stan Lawson of Las Vegas, NV..............

Ah, that was uselessly helpful. Garcias.

>
> > >> > <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote...
> > >> >> cum guzzling fucker!
> > >> >
> > >> > Congrats - killfiled on the first post I have ever seen from you
> > >> > <plonk>
> > >>
> > >> Aww, now I'm sorry about being mean to you before. Well, a little
> > >> bit.;-{P}
> > >
> > > Be contrite when you recant your false apologies, but only in a half-assed
> > > way!
> > >
> > > Always exist to be lost sometimes too, maybe.
> >
> > And you said that three times because it was *just that important*?
>
> You can even count to three? When did your impaired brain learn to do
> this?

316. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 13:34:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159796065.310982.164130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166333

Dave Heil whined at 1:13 AM (ex-streetwalker busy with the dog?):
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am
> >
> >> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:
> >
> >>> But, I digress. Your chief interest seems to be in
> >>> trying to destroy the credibility of a not-licensed in
> >>> the amateur radio service person (although one who has
> >>> been licensed as a Commercial radio operator since
> >>> 1956). Have you really done that? Are you really
> >>> going to nit-pick about an old posting by another and
> >>> reference a 1968 Time magazine article? Yes, I'm sure
> >>> you really, really WANT to do that! :-)
> >> What an obnoxious quibble.
> >
> > Ah, but a TRUE "quibble" was it not?
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, you've proved what I remarked. :-)
> >
> >> You misquote and falsely accuse Jeffrey
> >> Herman with an absolute statement.
> >
> > "Falsely?" Hardly.
>
> Yes, Leonard, falsely. You were incorrect. You were wrong.
>
> > His OLD, FORMER statement has ALREADY
> > gone round and round in here. Dredging up OLD material
> > only serves to show the self-righteous stubbornness of
> > those who never got their pound-of-flesh in the first
> > go-around. :-)
>
> Your denial looks a little silly. The person who brought up Jeff Herman
> and launched into the diatribe about the ARRL Handbook is Leonard H.
> Anderson! By doing so, another pound of flesh was extracted from your
> 70-something-year-old hide. Are you losing weight through the factual
> error plan?
>
>
> >> One which only a requires a simple rebuttal that:
> >>
> >> - Shows what Jeffrey Herman *really* said.
> >

> > "*Really*"? :-)
>
> Really. Tsk, tsk.
>
> > At the time, Jeffrey Herman seemed hot on trying to
> > prove some kind of point of "absolute" goodness of the
> > ARRL (not to mention its 'intellectualism' or whatever
> > in matters of amateur radio). Now the ARRL *does* print
> > considerable material in regards to amateur radio matters.
> > That publishing *is* their major source of income. It was
> > a very wise choice back in the twenties...that income
> > made it possible to fund all the "membership"
> > wonderfulness that came later. ARRL cannot exist in its
> > present form without that income-producing publishing.
>
> So? What concern is that of yours?
>
> >> - Shows convincing, third-party, evidence that supports what Jeffrey
> >> Herman *really* said.
> >
> > Well, try as hard as I can, I just can't get my telepathy
> > powers or crystal ball working to show what Jeffrey Herman
> > "*really*" said. Really. All that I saw or anyone else
> > saw were the words in these messages. "*Really*"
>
> All can see your attempt at a dodge.
>
> > Now, at that OLD time of going around on that PREVIOUS
> > message threading, Jeffrey Hermann was on of the persons
> > higher up in the not-quite-moderation team for RRAP?
> > That was my understanding then. Perhaps it still is?
> > So, if that was the case, then some not-quite-moderators
> > got their toes stepped on in past posting? [figure of
> > speech about "toes"]
>
> Len, your statement is very convoluted.
>
> >> You choose to "rebut" with filibuster and insult, implying that it was
> >> dumb or pedantic to even argue the point, let alone try to find the
> >> supporting evidence.
> >
> > Tsk, it is quite obvious to most that dredging up OLD message
> > thread subjects to re-argue and re-argue and re-argue is
> > "dumb or pedantic," isn't it?
>
> You dredged it up. Was it dumb or pedantic on your part?
>
> > Not only is it dumb and pedantic, but useless effort that
> > not only wastes others' time but takes up unneccessary
> > memory space in archives (which already contain the OLD

> > postings).
>
> I'm convinced, Len.
>
> >
> >> No one else, not even your nominal "supporters" here, will post to this
> >> newsgroup and agree with you on your misquote of Jeffrey Herman.
> >
> > Irrelevant, Paul. I am myself and I am secure enough to
> > let my postings stand on their own. I don't need a
> > "supporter." :-)
>
> That's a good thing for you. Perhaps it is a handler or spokesman you seek.
>
> > I can see that a lot of what I post
> > consists of OPINIONS which are shared by others.
>
> ...and oh, brother, what others!
>
> > I "misquoted" Jeffrey Hermann?
> > Hermann is a pro-code-test
> > advocate and a strong supporter of the ARRL. That's not a
> > "misquote" is it?
> > Did I get some "year of best-sellers"
> > wrong? Perhaps.
>
> You did that at the very least.
>
> > I'm not one to trumpet some old publishing
> > industry PR about "best-sellers."
>
> No, you are the guy who brought it up.
>
> > Even so, year 1968 is 38
> > years ago, hardly relevant to today (year 2006).
>
> And?
>
> > If you wish to "discuss" best-seller listings, that is quite
> > another subject...which is NOT an amateur radio policy
> > subject, per se.
>
> Please try to remember that you brought up "Jeffie-poo" and "best-sellers".
>
> > Please advise on the proper newsgroup to
> > discuss publishing PR bullstuff and I might take it there.
>
> Do you think the folks there will be impressed by your "Jeffie-poo" stories?
>
> >> Unless, of course, you want to dig up some sock-puppets, like Avery

> >> Fineman, again.
> >
> > "Sock puppet?" :-) Hardly. "Avery Fineman" was an old
> > pseuodym I used back in BBS days, before the Internet went
> > public in 1991.
>
> Could a sock puppet be considered a pseudonym, Len? It doesn't matter
> what its age happens to be.
>
> > I've admitted to that in public in here.
>
> You had little choice.
>
> > It is a play on words, a mild amusement...except to the
> > anal-retentive, easily-furious, overly-touchy we-must-have-
> > ONLY-our-way individuals. :-)
>
> You'd have us to understand that anyone who doesn't find it mildly
> amusing is anal retentive, easily furious (whatever that is), ouver
> touchy and/or a "we-must-have-ONLY-our-way individual". I'd say that a
> guy who makes that kind of statement about what *he* finds mildly
> amusing is guilty of those things of which he accuses others.
>
> > ---
> >
> > Interesting (at least to me) that you devote SO MUCH time and
> > so many words into attempting to chastise me.
>
> Why should that be so interesting. After all, you've devoted much, much
> more time and many, many more words into attempting to chastise others.
>
>
> > Flattering,
> > perhaps, but I have no need of that.
>
> I see you as a guy who requires lots of flattering, Len. The trouble is
> that you don't get much of it here. That chafes you.
>
> > I see a much more serious
> > concern in an obvious LACK of trying to clean up the obnoxious,
> > anonymous postings of real filth and personal accusations
> > thrown on our screens by OTHERS.
>
> That type of thing has become a real problem here. Eighty or ninety
> percent of it could be cleaned up by eliminating just one
> individual--Roger L. Wiseman. He is a problem child under his multiple
> sock puppets on usenet (not only in this newsgroup)

Says the lying hypocrite who posts as Stagger Lee.

> and he has been a
> problem child in amateur radio.

Learn to read, asshole.

> His behavior and Mark Morgan's Myna
> bird replies don't excuse your behavior.

Then what is your excuse? <sarcasm>Oh, that's right you are the "Super
ham!" </sarcasm>

> > Isn't clean-up of such filth
> > the real JOB of the "moderators" and the newsgroup police?
> > I guess not.
>
> This isn't a moderated newsgroup, Len.

Then why are you attempting to do so?

317. Re: NO NIGGERS ALLOWED IN RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 15:22:02 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159802522.916671.39700@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs

```
Bill Gates wrote:
> On 29 Sep 2006 07:14:46 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >NO NIGGERS!
>
> NO HONKIES EITHER!!!!!!!!
>
>
> **************************************************
> There are a good many who will be surprised by this
> seasons NY Knicks. I think larry made a mistake and
> how many would be surprised if the Knicks make the
> playoffs and go to the second round?
> **************************************************

morks mom loved niggazzzzzzzz
```

318. Re: SkyWave 2879AB Mobile RF Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 15:27:58 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159802878.519841.74020@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,alt.test,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

Telstar Electronics wrote:
> Premium Quality PC Board, Components and Chassis
>
> 13.8VDC
>
> 10 Meter Amateur Radio Band Coverage
>
> All Mode (CW, AM, FM, SSB)
>
> Toshiba 2SC2879 Push-Pull Configuration with Beta/Gain Matched
> Transistors
>
> Regulated Class-AB Biasing
>
> High Output Power
>
> High Efficiency Heat Sink
>
> Polarized Detachable Power Cord
>
> Fuse Protected
>
> Extremely Low Stand-By Power
>
> Remote Operation Ready
>
> Carrier Operated Relay (COR) or Multiplexed Keying☐
>
> SSB Delay to Eliminate Relay Chatter
>
> High Reliability Design
>
> Low Harmonic Content
>
> 90 Day Limited Warranty
>
> ☐ Contact Telstar Electronics for details on using this feature
>
>
> www.telstar-electronics.com

Mr. Brian;
Thank you for your informative most. I enjoy reading your posts and in

no way should they be considered spam.

Again, thanks for the information.

319. So Woger called NR Jail and asked "Is Roger Wiseman in your jail?"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 15:46:53 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <901217833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Oct 2006 06:00:15 -0700, Mark C. Morgan <Secwet Woger> wrote:
>
> Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> > Life is good when Woger is gone.
>
> Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?
>
> A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
> this weekend or any weekend.
> You fucked up again!

I'm glad that you called the jail for us and found out that you
weren't there.

Do you know WHERE you were until this morning, or is that hidden from
your current personality?

"There you have it: Just start talking about fags, asses, or
cocksucking, and Woger comes stumbling in stepping on his crank, with
both cap guns blazing." -- John Kimball

320. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 15:57:48 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <not.convinced.at.all@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 166337

On 2 Oct 2006 06:34:25 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: Says the lying hypocrite who posts as Stagger Lee.

No, *I* post as Stagger Lee. You know me: I'm the Admin of NIM Busters. I'm the one who keeps removing all of your posts from the main board and putting them over into the lounge.

Smile: You're on Candid Camera!

=====(sig)=====
"KC8JBO is an idiot in my opinion." -- Stephen K. Gielda, owner of COTSE, in message <MPG.158a2f2795d3db95989ba5@news.supernews.com>

"Now, now, after I feeling sorry for you after reading your 'what it's like behind the scenes at COTSE' you insult one of your users. Not very prudent. I guess it shows what you're really all about. You claim to protect freedom of speech." -- Roger Wiseman's response to Gielda's statement

321. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 16:13:02 UTC
From: Brian Hill <good@it.net>
Message-ID: <iUaUg.26$ox4.19@newsfe03.lga>
Source record: 166338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
> and therefore not a comparison.

Not if you use a big linear amplifier and that's a BIG 10-4

BH

322. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 16:30:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159806627.743368.112580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
>
> Yep, All talk and no power.
>
> SC

no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
88.3 MHz FM

323. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:18:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Barry OGrady wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>
> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> >>Or just lazy people out?
> >> >>
> >> >>Sc
> >
> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
> >learning it, either.
>
> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code requirement
> was removed years ago?

Yes. In the USA at least.

Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
of General class, the next-largest license class.

Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
classes.

A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
persisted for three years.

The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of
the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
newcomers they once had.

Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times. Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

324. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:26:14 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <agi2i292lpm6hthl4gc33r9jvlcpfjthch@4ax.com>
Source record: 166341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

<snip much childish ranting and issue avoiding>

You and your ilk have done nothing but hurl big baby childish insults
and laughable innuendo.

Trolls like you are fun to play with but get boring real fast. You're
not even a good troll. Lets check the meter:

```
____________
|0\|||||||10|
| \ |
| \ |
| \ |
| \ |
|_____*______|
Troll-O-Meter
```

Not even worthy of a small flame.

sigh what even happened to the good trolls from the days of yore?

Shouldn't you be hiding under a bridge somewhere stealing children?

Don't you have some offs to fuck?

Time for an oldie but a goodie:

You know what? You're clueless, son! Completely clueless!
You wouldn't have a clue if somebody *faxed* it to you.
You couldn't catch a clue if you stripped naked and soaked your pallid
hide in clue musk, then did the clue mating dance in a field full of
horny clues during the height of clue mating season!

What meaning do you expect your delusional self-important statements
of unknowing, inexperienced opinion to have with us? What fantasy do
you hold that you would believe that your tiny-fisted tantrums would
have more weight than that of a leprous desert rat, spinning rabidly
in a circle, waiting for the bite of the snake?

I cannot believe how incredibly stupid you are. I mean rock-hard
stupid. Dehydrated-rock-hard stupid. Stupid so stupid that it goes
way beyond the stupid we know into a whole different dimension of
stupid. You are trans-stupid stupid. Meta-stupid. Stupid collapsed
on itself so far that even the neutrons have collapsed. Stupid gotten

so dense that no intellect can escape. Singularity stupid. Blazing hot mid-day sun on Mercury stupid. You emit more stupid in one second than our entire galaxy emits in a year. Quasar stupid. Your writing has to be a troll. Nothing in our universe can really be this stupid. Perhaps this is some primordial fragment from the original big bang of stupid. Some pure essence of a stupid so uncontaminated by anything else as to be beyond the laws of physics that we know. I'm sorry. I can't go on. This is an epiphany of stupid for me.

Welcome to the killfile. Go ahead and rant and rave about how you don't care that I killfiled you.

325. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:27:11 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5ui2i2hes6cquog598va1i7co5munpiuvl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> >
>> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
>> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
>> >> >
>> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
>> >>
>> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
>> >
>> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
>>
>> Double standard?
>
>Isn't that the Kanuck way?

Uhh...that was YOUR trick, fuckunkle.

You're just not worth it.

326. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:27:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <pvi2i2toc7lboo8dhnvjq6bm417ejd6u2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:08:11 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

>
>Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
>> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
>> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>>
>> Oops..missed one.
>>
>> >
>> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
>>
>> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
>> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
>>
>> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying
>
>No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.

Fuck but you're stupid.

327. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:30:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <95j2i2t603dga3prd2npbmf58i5g5k4u75@4ax.com>
Source record: 166344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 11:37:56 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>> Vocal communication is never just a conveyance of information but you
>> insist that's all that ham radio is.
>
>I haven't heard any information being conveyed on 75m
>phone in years. :-)

I could say that about a few people I have spoken to in person ;-)
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

328. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:32:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <38j2i29jj6b8p7jdl208n45ns3jinjj7f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 2 Oct 2006 11:13:02 -0500, "Brian Hill" <good@it.net> spake
thusly:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
>> and therefore not a comparison.
>
>
>Not if you use a big linear amplifier and that's a BIG 10-4

Hehehehehe....and a surprising number of people do just that.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

329. I'M HALF HOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 17:48:02 UTC
From: LIlloyd DaViEs <LARDASS@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efrjcn$5av$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166346

http://i10.tinypic.com/314csyd.jpg

330. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:00:40 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns98507001EFD2Bdoldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 166347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in news:1159749149.386279.201030
@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in
>> news:oqvoh25vp31mt3ce6cshbhfsq04bq7nlvl@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 01:46:41 GMT, Dave Oldridge
>> > <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>> >>@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>> >>
>> >>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> >>> news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>
>> >>> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>> >>> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>> >>>
>> >>> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>> >>> in 1912...
>> >>
>> >>Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once took
a
>> >>very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in the
>> >>Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on the
>> >>proper teletype circuits for him.
>> >>
>> >>His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
were
>> >>inaccurate.
>> > on Hand bam?
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> Yep...on 80m. It was the only communications he had at the time.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Dave Oldridge+
>> ICQ 1800667
>

> I've been thinking about this. I've taken classes in land surveying
> and used to shoot levels at the COE. Other than the earth shaking, how
> did he determine what the correct elevation was?

He didn't say....but at three feet per hour with the ocean nearby it wouldn't be that hard to tell. And that was the point of his notice. He COULDN'T determine it. But he knew the published value was wrong, which also meant that all he could give arriving aircraft was a guess.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

331. Re: So, Which reader has actually saved a life or lives using "CW" on Ham Bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:02:31 UTC
From: Dave Oldridge <doldridg@leavethisoutshaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Xns985070526A2E5doldridgsprintca@64.59.135.159>
Source record: 166348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159750072.865746.265030@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1159548473.298539.188490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Dave Oldridge wrote:
>> >> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:SPYSg.4010$o71.3724
>> >> @newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>> >>
>> >> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> >> > news:1159466819.636954.270450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Please, don't all jump in at once with all the unproven
>> >> >> bragging and dozens of local weekly newspaper clippings.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Let's hear it for the mode that saved the Titanic survivors
>> >> >> in 1912...
>> >>
>> >> Don't know about whether it saved any lives or not, but I once
>> >> took a very important NOTAM (Notice to Airman) on CW from a guy in
>> >> the Aleutians in the days following the 1964 quake and put it on
>> >> the proper teletype circuits for him.
>> >>
>> >> His airport's altitude was changing so that charts and other info
>> >> were inaccurate.
>> >
>> > Dave, that sounds very suspicious considering my ground school
>> > instructor's 1962 display of a then-old Santa Barbara, CA,
>> > half-hour TTY Wx report (then required by Commerce Dept.).
>> > SBA (ID of Santa Barbara), like all other weather stations at
>> > airports, were required to post their local Wx and airport
>> > conditions every half hour. SBA is on the Pacific coast and
>> > subject to rapid variations of weather. If weather changes more
>> > rapidly than that, weather stations were required to post extra
>> > in-between-scheduled-times reports.
>> >
>> > One day, after having fog entering and leaving SBA often, the
>> > operator of the TTY sent: "THE FOG SHE COMES IN THE FOG
>> > SHE GOES OUT." :-)
```

>> >
>> > The ground school class at VNY was presented with a
>> > glassine-protected TTY copy of the Wx message that must
>> > have been old at that time (the cheap TTY paper was
>> > already turning yellow). Got a good laugh from the class.
>>
>> This was NOT weather. This was seismic.
>
> Other geologic events are passed via WX circuits besides earthquakes.
> For example, ash plumes as detected by wx satellie observations.
> NOTAMS are in the WX circuits.

True, although I did not put this on a weather circuit, but on the air
traffic control teletype network. We had both, actually.

--
Dave Oldridge+
ICQ 1800667

332. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:15:42 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <gfl2i2prddhpc80ptl0nukemn6i4ger18k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:17:43 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Try to keep up.

That's actually good - if you can't defend, attack. Even if your
attack is nonsense.

> I have been quite consistent. You have not being
>paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
>argument that a live voice conveys more than just words.

You claimed that we humans communicate visually more than by words.
You're contradicting yourself here.

When you blather on about something you know nothing about you lose
track of what you said a few days ago.

>>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>>vision-oriented.)

>Never had a cat, did you?

She's 7 years old now, and sleeping on my bed. Got her when her
mother died - she was still nursing - so, yes, I currently have a cat.
Have had some canine or feline pet since before I can remember -
usually more than 1.

> I grew up with them. They don't depend on
>smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.

They use it - about as much as we do - they don't depend on it.

>Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
>getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.

You're arguing for the visual now? Voice conveys more than CW, which
is your argument against CW. Voice conveys more than Usenet, which
ISN'T an argument against Usenet.

So which is it? Is the fact that voice conveys more than X an
argument against X or not?

I'm getting tired of your "I have to argue just so that I can win"
stance, so figure out how to get back to me when you grow up.

<plonk>

333. Re: Can someone post the photo of N0VFP at the Gay bar again? Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:26:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159813577.058738.273430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code wrote:
> Someone said there were two guys kissing and holding hands in the
> background of the picture and I want to see if was KB9RQZ and K4YZ.
>
> SC

slow code, don't you remember??? that was us in that pic!!
you were rubbing my cock thru my jeans and we tongue kissed.

334. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:26:18 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <bob5c.8oh.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Hey Roachdale fucktard, Roger has never been to Dayton. FUCK UP!

Roachdale?? You must be thinking of KK9D or whatever his call is. Danny boy.
Not me idiot.

Second, Woger HAS been to Dayton. Are you his boyfriend now?? Do you hold
his hand and walk with him everywhere he goes fag??

335. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:27:35 UTC
From: Indy Ham <nospam@privatenospam.com>
Message-ID: <bob7p.ijh.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.

Wrong again jack ass. Not me.

> Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.

Yes he has dipshit. Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that time or what?

336. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:33:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159813982.995457.306520@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166353
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>
> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>
> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>
>
> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>
> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>
> 73
>
> SC

SC......Lets jack together on ATV.

337. Re: I'M HALF HOG <- THEN GO WHOLE HOG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 18:36:30 UTC
From: Lloyd Daugherty <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <870144503.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166354

Don't be reticent.

338. Re: I'M HALF HOG <- THEN GO WHOLE HOG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 19:21:55 UTC
From: LIlloyd DaViEs <LARDASS@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efroso$hd1$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166355

"Lloyd Daugherty" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:870144503.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> Don't be reticent.
>
I'M A WART HOG MISTER!!!

http://i10.tinypic.com/40kg5qs.jpg

http://i10.tinypic.com/314csyd.jpg

339. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 19:24:12 UTC
From: LIlloyd DaViEs <LARDASS@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efrp12$jo4$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Indy Ham" <nospam@privatenospam.com> wrote in message
news:bob7p.ijh.19.1@news.alt.net...
>> No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.
>
> Wrong again jack ass. Not me.
>
>
>> Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.
>
> Yes he has dipshit. Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that
> time or what?
>
I GAVE ROGER A BLUMPKIN!

340. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 19:27:46 UTC
From: LIlloyd DaViEs <LARDASS@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efrp7nnki1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166357
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb

<kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159813982.995457.306520@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Think Back, Are todays conversations on 2 meters as technical as they
>> were 25 years ago, or today might you hear things like:
>>
>> "I woke up this morning with a pimple on my butt" or
>>
>> "The dog crapped on the living room carpet again."
>>
>>
>> Allowing no-codes on VHF & UHF has made discussions less technical and
>> more like CB. Don't let your hatred of CW destroy our HF bands too.
>>
>> Amateur Radio, a National resource.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> SC
>
> SC......Lets jack together on ATV.
>
I LOVE TO SUCK BIG FAT BLACK NIGGER COCK!

341. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 19:58:45 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C146BD85.455A7%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 166358
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 9/29/06 6:07 PM, in article
_qjTg.11650$7I1.1022@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net, "Cecil Moore"
<mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>
> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
> Nice guy.

Clever of you to define a special case after the fact, to show what an ass
you are.

Don

342. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 20:00:35 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C146BDF3.455A8%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 166359
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 9/29/06 6:09 PM, in article
1159578572.919684.114020@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Dave wrote:
>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>>
>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>> Nice guy.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
> the procoders are more interested in their idleogy than lives always
> have been it seems
>

Ditto for you clever fellow too.
```

343. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 20:22:55 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zyeUg.8354$vJ2.2962@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166360
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Don Bowey wrote:

> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Dave wrote:
>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!

>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>> Nice guy.
>
> Clever of you to define a special case after the fact, ...

Refusing to QSO with anyone on SSB doesn't sound like
a special case - sounds like SOP to me.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

344. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:14:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2bgUg.9228$UG4.1539@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166361

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Paul W. Schleck on Sat, Sep 30 2006 6:11 am
>>>
>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> writes:

>>> I see a much more serious
>>> concern in an obvious LACK of trying to clean up the obnoxious,
>>> anonymous postings of real filth and personal accusations
>>> thrown on our screens by OTHERS.

>> That type of thing has become a real problem here. Eighty or ninety
>> percent of it could be cleaned up by eliminating just one
>> individual--Roger L. Wiseman. He is a problem child under his multiple
>> sock puppets on usenet (not only in this newsgroup)
>
> Says the lying hypocrite who posts as Stagger Lee.

I've told you repeatedly, UnWiseman, that I post as no one but myself.
I never have; I never will. You seem a little confused on the topic
since you made mention of a Houston ISP. I don't have a Houston ISP and
I don't live in Houston. I live right here in the same country as
little demented you.

That aside, you are the poster of filth. Your stream of consciousness
ramblings about people having sex with their parents, their children,
with animals, with those of the same gender take us on a disgusting
visit to Roger World. You're a piece of detritus, a chunk of human garbage.

>> and he has been a
>> problem child in amateur radio.
>
> Learn to read, asshole.

I've been able to read for over fifty-one years. I've read that you
have received a couple of missives from your old pal Mr. Hollingsworth
and that you were ordered to retest. This all comes in the short period
in which you've held an amateur radio license. I also know that one
additional strike would likely do you in.

I've read your comments about the owner of Ohio Valley Internet Service
and I saw how quickly you were cut loose. That has happened to a number
of your service providers. It is the reason that you need to hide
behind the various sock puppets.

>> His behavior and Mark Morgan's Myna
>> bird replies don't excuse your behavior.
>
> Then what is your excuse? <sarcasm>Oh, that's right you are the "Super
> ham!" </sarcasm>

Read my posts, Roger. I neither flood newsgroups nor post filth. If
you want to call that being a super ham, fine. I will point out that
this isn't amateur radio. This is usenet.

>>> Isn't clean-up of such filth
>>> the real JOB of the "moderators" and the newsgroup police?
>>> I guess not.

>> This isn't a moderated newsgroup, Len.
>
> Then why are you attempting to do so?

I'm not, Roger. I'd like to see this newsgroup so clean that decent
people can read it without wading through your disturbed thoughts.
You're a sociopath and you don't know how civilized human beings act.
You need to be in a rubber room with no radio equipment and no internet
access until a cure can be found for your mental illness.

Dave K8MN

345. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:16:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hdgUg.9232$UG4.5348@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166362

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> :
>>>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> show na to va woger
>>>>
>>>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
>>>> being queer.
>> in point of fact he is lying (big surprise show na to va means happy
>> new year
>
> So *You* say, Marqueer. Nobody else can back that assertion up. Now go
> lick your shemale wife's penis.

Is that the sort of thought which arouses you, Roger? Does it get you
excited?

How was the weekend at the sheltered workshop? Do they let you make
beaded wallets?

Dave K8MN

346. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:18:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kfgUg.9234$UG4.4581@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166363

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
>> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> show na to va woger
>>>
>>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
>>> being queer.
>>>
>> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>
>
> Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
> could suck his dick, fatty.

Do you ever think of chicken noodle soup or puppies or about watching a
football game, Roger? Is your mind always filled with disgusting images?

Dave K8MN

347. Re: Markie dances!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:20:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TggUg.9235$UG4.8385@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166364

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
>> woger the wabbit hops
>
> Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.

It looks like Mark is calling the tune for your bizarre waltz of perversity, UnWiseman. He whistles; you come running.

Dave K8MN

348. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:23:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AjgUg.9237$UG4.4533@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
>> Mr Turd also known as Wismen and Marky morgan rapes boy and other
>> enlighten nyms is nothing one sick walking double standard
>
> PKB, irony boy. How many sockpuppets do you post under, Marqueer?

He floods the newsgroup with mindless jabbering. You flood it with filth. You both use sock puppets. Mark makes no effort to hide who he is. You are unable to hide who you are, despite what are, I'm sure, your very best efforts. Go figure!

Dave K8MN

349. Roger's frazzled logic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:27:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xngUg.9240$UG4.1189@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166366

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
>> Life is good when Woger is gone.
>
> Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?

I'm sure that's the scenario that plays out in one of the personality streams in your head.

> A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
> this weekend or any weekend.
> You fucked up again!

You called to check and see if you'd been there? Oh my!

Dave K8MN

350. Re: get dying of your aids, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:30:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uqgUg.9243$UG4.440@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,r

> He's serious, Markie has doe that...

He has doe it?

Hope you don't mind that I've trimmed the superfluous groups that you added.

Dave K8MN

351. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:38:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mxgUg.9244$UG4.7595@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166368

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>>> <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1159680066.735720.196600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>> that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
>>> aperances
>>>
>>> And you are concerned? Why?

>> I think it is admirable that one radio amateur is concerned about the
>> DXCC totals of another. Mark also shows concern for whether I'm getting
>> enough sleep.
>>
>> I want to assure him that I'm not missing any rare, needed DX and that I
>> get plenty of sleeps and even sneak in an occasional nap.
>
> Davey the liar lies again. Davey dreams of sucking off Andy Timberlake
> in his dreams.

How do you come by your repugnant thoughts, Roger? During your childhood, did someone ever help you along the way? Did someone impart technical knowledge or spark the flame for a lifelong interest in something? Did you repay them by dreaming that you could begin a homosexual encounter with that person? By fantasizing a sexual liaison with his dog?

You seem to have lots of thoughts about men having sex with their mothers, children, farm animals and other men. Where do those thoughts come from? What caused you to become a defective person?

You need mental health professionals before you do harm to yourself or others, Roger.

Dave K8MN

352. Re: Saggytits Lee the source of least reliable information blathers again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:41:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aAgUg.9247$UG4.3746@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166369

Roger Wiseman in his "billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com" personna wrote:

> And what color and make is Roger's car?

That's the sort of thing that no one but you is interested in,
UnWiseman. If we ever get a game of Sociopathic Trivial Pursuit going,
maybe it'll be one of the questions.

No one cares about the make and color of your car, UnWiseman. Nobody.

Dave K8MN

353. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 22:53:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FLgUg.4302$Y24.3821@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166370

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
>> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>>
>>> When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,
>
> Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the large fat
> ass.

That must have been on a different evening, Roger. My wife never worked as a street walker and she is quite trim. Your accounts of the evening we visited have varied wildly from "never happened" to "it was 2 on 1" to "I wasn't at home; my girlfriend was there".

Of course you and I both know how it played. You were home. You wouldn't open that door for love nor money. You were frightened out of your demented little gourd.

>>> Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of
>
> Nope that's not her. You lose, fucknuts.

That's not her? Well, DUH! Tell him, Rog: You don't have a girlfriend. There isn't a woman with an IQ higher than 70 anywhere in this valley that'd be caught within ten feet of you, unless it was in the checkout line at WalMart.

>>> Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
>>> porch.
>
> Poor Arf Arf, he can't even get that girl as a girlfreind.

I'll stick up for you here, UnWiseman.

Arf Arf, Roger *could* get that girl as a "girlfreind". Unfortunately for him, she'd not be much use in holding the door closed. Rog could talk to her in his "Not Lloyd" voice and then have her respond in his "billy shitty drawers" voice.

You really need to see the mental health professionals, Rog.

Dave K8MN

354. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:01:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <USgUg.4306$Y24.1277@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166371

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, pretending to be "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:

> Roger never beat up his mother, fatty.

Is UnWiseman attempting to evade fact on a technicality?

How about your adoptive mother, Rog? Did you strike her or threaten to?

Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?

For a guy who says he isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly seem to have a lot of information at your disposal.

A couple of weeks ago, you asked if my late father. I told you that I miss him very much and I asked if you missed your adoptive mother. You never responded. Do you miss her, Roger?

Dave K8MN

355. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:04:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IVgUg.4307$Y24.400@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166372

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:

> Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your credibility
> would return...

Oh stop, Roger! You're cracking me up!

Dave K8MN

356. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:11:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L0hUg.2373$Lv3.852@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166373

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> The Imposter has NEVER made available a single document for
> anyone to view, hasn't even shown a snapshot of himself
> from that claimed "18-year USMC career."

I've never made any military documents available for you to view, Len.
I've never shown you a snapshot. Are you going to accuse me of being an
imposter?

> This "Jim" (whoever that is) laid a small word trap there.
> He carefully did NOT specify WHICH database.

A trap, eh?

> The Imposter
> IS in the FCC database, thus will appear in the QRZ data-
> base. This "Jim" (whoever that is) will then begin a big
> argument over databases, possibly spinning off a whole new
> thread...ignoring the original military Imposter subject.

I found an online military database in very short order. It shows
information on the individual you are calling an imposter. The
information is available for free, online. I am not going to provide
you a url. I am not going to copy the information and post it here.
You can find it easily for yourself.

> This "Jim" has NEVER served in any military branch.

So?

> He
> may think the "database" (of those in the military) is
> some freely accessible database somewhere on the Internet.

There is a freely accessible database which shows some, but not all
information.

> It is NOT.

There is another of your factual errors.

> For military veteran information, one goes to NARA
> (National Archives and Records Administration) St. Louis
> Missouri, NMPRC (National Military Personnel Records
> Center) there. One MUST supply the veteran's
> personal identity (besides the name) such as a Social
> Security Number and/or military branch serial number

> if that was used during the term of service. But that
> can gain access ONLY if the requestor is identifiable
> family/kin or a previously-identified Human Resources
> department or investigative organization (police
> departments, FBI, etc.). The VA (Veterans
> Administration) has to go that route also. There is
> NO WAY that any government agency is going to realease
> information on anyone 'casually' from a simple phone
> call to the VA. This FAKE takes advantage of that in
> his bluffing in here ("call the VA" repeated often).

Yet no military veteran has any obligation to supply such information to you for any reason. Go figure!

Dave K8MN

357. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:26:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
> Vancouver,Washington.

Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.

SC

358. Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:26:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LehUg.4312$Y24.2710@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the present writtens.

Step One: Use as many "Q" signals as possible. Yes, I know they were invented solely for CW and are totally inappropriate for two meter FM, but they are fun and entertaining. They keep people guessing as to what you really meant. I.E. "I'm going to QSY to the phone." Can you really change frequencies to the phone? QSL used to mean, "I am acknowledging receipt", but now it appears to mean, "yes" or "OK". I guess I missed it when the ARRL changed the meaning. It is also best to use "OK" and "QSL" together. Redundancy is the better part of Lid-dom.

Step Two: Never laugh when you can say "HI HI". No one will ever know you aren't a long time CW rag-chewer if you don't tell them. They'll think you've been on since the days of Marconi.

Step Three: Utilize an alternative vocabulary. Use words like "destinated" and "negatory". It's OK to make up your own words here. I.E. "Yeah Tom, I "pheelbart zaphonix" occasionally myself."

Step Four: Always say "XX4XXX" (Insert your own call) "for I.D." As mentioned in Step One, anything that creates redundancy is always encouraged. That's why we have the Department of Redundancy Department. (Please note that you can follow your call with "for identification purposes" instead of "for I.D." While taking longer to say, it is worth more "LID points".

Step Five: The better the copy on two meter FM, the more you should use phonetics. Names should be especially used if they are short or common ones. I.E. "My name is Al... Alpha Lima" or "Jack.. Juliet Alpha Charlie Kilo." If at all possible use the less common HF phonetics "A4SM... America, Number Four, Sugar Mexico." And for maximum "LID points", make up unintelligible phonetics. "My name is Bob... Billibong Oregano Bumperpool."

Step Six: Always give the calls of yourself and everyone who is (or has been) in the group, whether they are still there or not. While this has been unnecessary for years, it is still a great memory test. You may also use "and the group" if you are an "old timer" or just have a bad memory. Extra points for saying everyone's call and then clearing in a silly way "K2PKK, Chow, Chow."

Step Seven: Whenever possible, use the wrong terminology. It keeps people guessing. Use "modulation" when you mean "deviation", and vice-versa.

Step Eight: If someone asks for a break, always finish your turn, taking as long as possible before turning it over. Whenever possible, pass it around a few times first. This will discourage the breaker, and if it is an emergency, encourage him to switch to another repeater and not bother you.

Step Nine: Always ask involved questions of the person who is trying to sign out. Never let him get by with just a "yes" or "no" answer. Make it a question that will take him a long time to answer.

Step Ten: The less you know on a subject, the more you should speculate about it in the roundtable. Also the amount of time you spend on the subject should be inversely proportionate to your knowledge of the subject even though you have no damn clue.

Step Eleven: Always make sure you try to communicate with only a handheld and a rubber duck antenna. Also, make sure you work through a repeater that you can hear very well, but it cannot hear you. This will put out a kind of "LID mating call": "Well, Joe, I can hear the repeater just fine here. I wonder why it can't hear me?" You will score maximum LID points if you are mobile, and with the radio lying in the passenger seat.

Step Twelve: If you hear two amateurs start a conversation, wait until they are twenty seconds into their contact, and then break in to make a call, or better yet to use the auto-patch. Make sure you keep the repeater tied up for at least three minutes. This way, once the two have re-established contact, they won't even remember what they were talking about.

Step Thirteen: You hear someone on the repeater giving directions to a visiting amateur. Even if the directions are good, make sure you break in with your own "alternate route but better way to get there" version. This is most effective with several other "would-be LIDs", each giving a different route. By the time the visiting amateur unscrambles all the street names whizzing by in his mind, he should have moved out of the range of the repeater. This keeps you from having to stick around to help the guy get back out of town, later.

Step Fourteen: If an annoying station is bothering you, make sure your other "LID" buddies have a "coded" frequency list. Even though "CODES" are strictly forbidden on Amateur Radio, it's really neat to practice "James Bond" tactics.

Step Fifteen: Always use the National Calling Frequency for general conversations. The more uninteresting, the longer you should use it. Extra points are awarded if you have recently move from an adjacent frequency for no reason. Make sure when DX is "rolling" in on 52.525 that you hang out there and talk to your friends five miles down the road about the good old CB days!

Step Sixteen: Make sure that if you have a personal problem with someone, you should voice your opinion in a public forum, especially a net. Make sure you give their name, call, and any other identifying remarks. For maximum points, make sure the person in question is not on the repeater,

or not available.

Step Seventeen: Make sure you say the first few words of each transmission twice, especially if it is the same thing each time. Like "roger, roger" or "fine business, fine business". I cannot stress enough about encouraging redundancy.

Step Eighteen: If you hear a conversation on a local repeater, break in and ask how each station is receiving you. Of course they will only see the signal of the repeater you are using, but it's that magic moment when you can find a fellow "LID", and get the report. Extra points are awarded if you are using a base station, and the repeater is less than twenty-five air miles from you.

Step Nineteen: Use the repeater for an hour or two at a time, preventing others from using it. Better yet, do it on a daily basis. Your quest is to make people so sick of hearing your voice every time they turn on their radio, they'll move to another frequency. This way you'll lighten the load on the repeater, leaving even more time for you to talk on it.

Step Twenty: See just how much flutter you can generate by operating at handheld power levels too far away from the repeater. Engage people in conversations when you know they wont be able to copy half of what your saying. Even when they say your uncopyable, continue to string them along by making further transmissions. See just how frustrated you can make the other amateur before he finally signs off in disgust.

Step Twenty One: Use lots of radio jargon. After all, it makes you feel important using words ordinary people don't say. Who cares if it makes you sound like you just fell off Channel 19 on the citizen's Band? Use phrases such as "Roger on that", "10-4", "I'm on the side", "Your making the trip" and "Negatory on that".

Step Twenty Two: Use excessive microphone gain. See just how loud you can make your audio. Make sure the audio gain is so high that other amateurs can hear any bugs crawling on your floor. If mobile, make sure the wind noise is loud enough that others have to strain to pick your words out from all the racket.

Step Twenty Three: Start every transmission with the word "Roger" or "QSL". Sure, you don't need to acknowledge that you received the other transmission in full. After all, you would simply ask for a repeat if you missed something. But consider it your gift to the other amateur to give him solace every few seconds that his transmissions are being received.

Step Twenty Four: When looking for a contact on a repeater, always say your "listening" or "monitoring" multiple times. I've always found that at least a half dozen times or so is good. Repeating your multiple "listening" ID's every 10 to 15 seconds is even better. Those people who didn't want to talk to you will eventually call you, hoping you'll go away after you have finally made a contact.

Step Twenty Five: Always use a repeater, even if you can work the other station easily on simplex ... especially if you can make the contact on simplex. The coverage of the repeater you use should be inversely proportional to your distance from the other station.

Step Twenty Six: When on repeaters using courtesy tones, you should always say "over". Courtesy tones are designed to let everyone know when you have unkeyed but don't let that stop you. Say "over", "back to you" or "go ahead". It serves no useful purpose but don't worry, it's still fun!

Step Twenty Seven: Use the repeater's autopatch for frivolous routine calls... especially during morning or evening commute times. While pulling into the neighborhood, call home to let them know you'll be there in two minutes.... or, call your spouse to complain about the bad day you had at work. After all, the club has "measured rate" service on their phone line so they get charged for each autopatch call. Your endeavor is to make so many patches in a year that you cost the club at least $20 in phone bills. That way you'll feel you got your money's worth for your dues!

Step Twenty Eight: Never say "My name is ....." It makes you sound human. If at all possible, use one of the following phrases: a) "The personal here is ..." b) "The handle here is..."

Step Twenty Nine: Use "73" and "88" incorrectly. Both are already considered plural, but add a "s" to the end anyway. Say "73's" or "88's". Who cares if it means "best regards" and "love and kisses." Better yet, say "seventy thirds"! (By the way, seventy thirds equals about 23.3).

Step Thirty: If the repeater is off the air for service, complain about the fact that it was off the air as soon as it's turned back on. Act as though your entire day has been ruined because the repeater wasn't available when you wanted to use it. Even thought you have never paid a penny to help out with the upkeep of it.

359. See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:26:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MehUg.4313$Y24.4147@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being radio
groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham radio,
because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.

Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
because I don't do CB.

Tnx, 73
de Slow Code

360. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:37:31 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nomennescio@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1159832251.437162.289360@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.

361. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:45:08 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <8whUg.1486$5o5.758@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
> news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
>> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
>> Vancouver,Washington.
>
>
> Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
> small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.
>
>
> SC

It's called "little amplifier syndrome".

362. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:46:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159832760.698150.32550@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166379

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Barry OGrady wrote:
> > On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
> > >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> > >>Or just lazy people out?

> > >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
> > >learning it, either.
> >
> > More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code requirement
> > was removed years ago?
>
> Yes. In the USA at least.

The number of US hams peaked a few years ago, and is now below what it
was in 2000.

> Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
> the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
> has been continuous.

It was also continuous before the Technician lost its code test.

> Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
> licensees.

Actually, as of October 1, 2006, the number of Technicians was 285,709
out of 656,481 US hams. Those are the number of individuals holding
current, unexpired, FCC-issued amateur licenses. Technicians account
for 43.5% of US hams, not 49%.

> The Technician class license numbers are twice that
> of General class, the next-largest license class.

131, 945 General Class licenses as of the same date as above.

It should be noted, however, that since April 15, 2000, the FCC has
been renewing all Technician Plus licenses as Technicians. Thus, the
Technician class is composed of some hams who have not passed a Morse
Code test, and some who have.

The Technician Plus class numbers are steadily dropping, because that
license is not issued anymore. If the FCC does not change the rules,
there will be no more Technician Plus licenses at all in about 3-1/2
years, because they will all have either expired, upgraded, or been
renewed as Technicians.

> Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
> 2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003.

??

The current rules went into effect on April 15, 2000.

The peak of 2003 is well documented. Since then the number of US amateurs has declined to below what it was in 2000.

> At
> that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
> classes.

That is true.

However, back in 1990, FCC created medical waivers for the 13 and 20 wpm Morse Code tests. These waivers meant that anyone who could pass 5 wpm could bypass the higher-speed Morse Code tests by obtaining a doctor's note.

> A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
> timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
> replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
> licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
> persisted for three years.

It is true that there are more expirations than newcomers.

However, it cannot be stated with certainty whether the decline is due to the attrition of "older licensees", or the loss of newer hams who simply let their licenses expire.

For example, from the late 1970s to the early 1990s, a significant number of new amateurs appeared who used amateur radio for personal/family communications. They were very interested in VHF/UHF repeaters, autopatch, and related activities. Most got Technician licenses - with or without Morse Code - because that license gave access to 2 meter and 440 MHz repeaters.

But with the appearance of inexpensive and ubiquitous cell phones, that source of new amateurs all but disappeared.

> The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
> major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.

Perhaps.

But consider this:

The growth in the number of US hams from February 1991 to April 2000 was *less* than the growth from December 1981 to February 1991. (Same amount of time, before and after the Tech lost its code test.) This is true in both absolute numbers and percentage.

Since the restructuring of April 2000, which reduced both code and written exams, the number of US hams has actually dropped by over 17,000.

> Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
> regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
> olde-tyme amateur radio.

What changes do you suggest, Len, besides the elimination of the code test?

There may be little incentive for *you* to enter amateur radio, but for thousands of others, the incentive is there.

It should be noted that in the Readex survey of 1996, the most staunch pro-code-test age group was the *youngest* amateurs.

> Ally that with the huge growth of
> the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
> that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
> over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
> of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
> newcomers they once had.

Amateur radio is not the internet, and the internet is not amateur radio. Amateur radio can only survive by offering a unique communications experience - that is, by doing things that cannot be done online.

It should be remembered that the growth of cell phones and low-cost long distance phone service is another factor affecting growth. We will not see many people getting amateur radio licenses for "honeydew" purposes - with or without a code test.
>
> Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a
> spurt in new licensees.

Perhaps - in the short term.

> While such elimination is not a
> guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act
> to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times.

It should be remembered that the dropping of the Morse Code test for Technician back in 1991 did not result in long-term growth in numbers.

The reduction of both Morse Code testing and written testing in 2000 did not result in long-term or even medium-term growth. The numbers grew from 2000 to 2003, then declined to a level below that of 2000.

> Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in
> regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority
> of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.

What changes besides eliminating the Morse Code test are necessary to 'keep up with modern times'?

It should be remembered that, in the comments to the recent FCC NPRM, the *majority* of those who commented did not want complete elimination of the Morse Code test for all US licenses. The majority wanted at least some Morse Code testing to remain.

Eliminating the Morse Code test will not greatly increase the visiblity of Amateur Radio. It will not reduce the cost of equipment. It will not make it any less difficult to set up an effective HF antenna, nor will it solve RFI or CC&R problems.

363. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-02 23:46:41 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BxhUg.1487$5o5.517@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:MehUg.4313$Y24.4147@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
> Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being radio
> groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham radio,
> because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.
>
> Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
> because I don't do CB.
>
> Tnx, 73
> de Slow Code
>
>

Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.

364. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 00:20:06 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8810917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
> Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.

It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.

QYH?

365. Re: Convinced Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 00:26:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159835212.488041.162470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166382

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: an old friend on Sun, Oct 1 2006 1:57 pm

> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >> > Mark, he's already been told who it is...a USMC veteran IMPOSTER
> >> > but one who is an amateur extra morseman...ergo, that's okay.
> >
> >> Do you mean "Robeson"?
> >
> >yes jim
>
> Who is "Robeson?"

Don't you know who you are talking about, Len?

> Who is "Jim?"

There have been at least three people with that first name who posted
here regularly. They are easily told apart by their FCC-assigned
amateur radio call letters:

Jim KH2D

Jim WA4STJ

Jim N2EY

Only the last still posts here - occasionally..
>
> >> Why do you say "imposter"?
> >
> >well what else do you call someone that fakes their background
>
> Maybe this "Jim" (whoever that is) meant "imp poster?" :-)

"Imposter".

> The Imposter has NEVER made available a single document for
> anyone to view, hasn't even shown a snapshot of himself
> from that claimed "18-year USMC career."

RRAP doesn't accept documents or snapshots, Len. Just text.

You say this person "has NEVER made available a single document for
anyone to view, hasn't even shown a snapshot of himself"

Don't you really mean that he has never sent any of those things to
you?
He could have sent them to other people, without you being aware of it.

The fact that *you* have never seen something does not mean it does not
exist.

> In EIGHTEEN years
> he has NO evidence?

Don't you really mean that he has never sent evidence to *you*?
He could have lots of evidence - and simply kept it from you.

> Bullshit. He is a FAKE...or the
> circumstances of his not making a "full 20" are so damn
> embarrassing to him that he can't post them in public.

That's certainly one possibility. But it's not the only one.

For example:

He may have left the military as part of one of those 'early out'
programs that existed before September 2001. Or he may have left due to
family hardship, health problems, planned reduction-in-force, etc.

Not everyone who leaves after 18 years is embarrassed by the
circumstances.

But that's not the point, really. The fact that you have not seen the
evidence you demand isn't proof someone is a fake or imposter.

> >> You claim to know who served and who didn't. Isn't he in the database?
> >
> >he is no data base that I can find
>
> This "Jim" (whoever that is) laid a small word trap there.
> He carefully did NOT specify WHICH database.

A database that lists military personnel - that's obvious.

> The Imposter
> IS in the FCC database, thus will appear in the QRZ data-
> base.

And you will not!

> This "Jim" (whoever that is) will then begin a big
> argument over databases, possibly spinning off a whole new
> thread...ignoring the original military Imposter subject.
>
> This "Jim" has NEVER served in any military branch.

How do you know for sure?

> He
> may think the "database" (of those in the military) is

> some freely accessible database somewhere on the Internet.
> It is NOT. For those actively serving NOW it is available
> via the DSN (through the Internet) but ONLY to those with
> clearance to access that information.
>
> For military veteran information, one goes to NARA
> (National Archives and Records Administration) St. Louis
> Missouri, NMPRC (National Military Personnel Records
> Center) there. One MUST supply the veteran's
> personal identity (besides the name) such as a Social
> Security Number and/or military branch serial number
> if that was used during the term of service. But that
> can gain access ONLY if the requestor is identifiable
> family/kin or a previously-identified Human Resources
> department or investigative organization (police
> departments, FBI, etc.). The VA (Veterans
> Administration) has to go that route also. There is
> NO WAY that any government agency is going to realease
> information on anyone 'casually' from a simple phone
> call to the VA.

So what your saying is that *you* really *don't* know who has served
and who has not. You don't have access to that information in the
official Government databases.

IOW, you're just guessing who served in the US military and who didn't.

> This FAKE takes advantage of that in
> his bluffing in here ("call the VA" repeated often).

Have you called the VA?

> Let's take another example of the FAKE's bluffing.
> The CAP (Civil Air Patrol) flies CIVILIAN-registry
> aircraft.

Hence the name.

> In ALL air traffic communications around
> the world (that includes airport towers) ALL inbound
> and outbound aircraft communicate in English to air
> traffic controllers and identify themselves by the
> aircraft registry number. In the USA that is an "N"
> prefix followed by numerals with a one- or two-letter
> suffix.

Like "N2EY" - there's actually a registered aircraft with that tail
number....

> In the USA it is common to use the last two
> numerals and suffix in IDs with local towers. The
> "CAP radio callsign" is NOT used for that. The FAKE
> has glossed over any mention of the aircraft that he

> supposedly flies (as "pilot in command") or its ATC
> ID.

So? What does that prove? Do you need every detail?

> Civil Aviation radio band is used (118 to
> 137 MHz, always voice). Use that two-number plus
> suffix a few times in tower-controlled takeoffs and
> landings and it is hard to forget. [I still remember
> "two-one-whiskey" (21W) for the Cessna 150 I used in
> some flight lessons 43 years ago at VNY]

But you don't remember *everything* from then, do you?

> Ergo, this
> supposed "major" isn't involved in actual flying per
> se, just using some common phrases tossed out AS IF
> he were a real pilot.

Maybe.

Or maybe he just didn't go into a lot of detail.

The point is, you don't know for sure.

> He may not have any "major"
> rank at all. His QRZ bio shows a picture of him in
> a flight suit with captain's bars, clothing that can
> be bought surplus at an "Army-Navy Store" by anyone
> with money to buy it. Insignia and medals, ribbons
> are available for sale at many more venues.

Of course. That's a possibility.

Or they could be real - the flight suit, the insignia, the medals, etc.
They could all be real, couldn't they? You don't *really* know.....

By the same token, many documents can be faked using commonly-available
digital software. For example, someone could produce a fake DD-214 if
they had access to scan of a genuine one and the right software,
hardware, and skill.

Such a fake might not fool someone who saw the actual document and knew
what a real one was like. But for a scan, it could be very convincing.

And faking such a document is, I think, a serious Federal crime.
Passing it off as genuine is, I think, another Federal crime.

> In a series of postings in here, Frank Gilliland asked
> some pointed, detailed USMC questions of our fake. Fake
> could NOT answer them correctly. In a shorter series
> of messages with Hans Brakob,

You mean K0HB?

The person you referred to as "Herr Breakup"?

> the fake screwed up his
> responses on military cryptographic equipment and
> procedures, including familiar names of equipment and
> methods. Hans was a Master Chief PO in charge of
> crypto during his active duty time.

Were the two of them in the military in the same time periods?

> In an earlier tell-
> tale sign of bluffing fakery, the Fake could not name
> a single item of military radio equipment used over his
> supposed 18-year career term, radios that would be
> common to non-radio-specialist military personnel use.
> Already a licensed radio amateur and he could NOT
> remember either the nomenclature or familiar name of a
> piece of radio equipment?!? Inconceivable! The Fake
> countered with the usual fake's rationalization: it
> was 'classified' and he 'couldn't reveal it!" Bullshit.
> The nomenclatures of military radio equipment is KNOWN
> and the familiar names are familiar, not some 'secret.'
> Nomenclatures, even for cryptographic equipment, are in
> public display for RFBs of contracts by civilian firms
> at the Government Accounting Office and all government
> agencies offering contracts. The Fake doesn't know a
> "Plugger" from a "Prick-twenty-five" yet both were
> operational during his supposed 18-year active duty
> time.

Well, that's one explanation.

Here's another: Perhaps he forgot. Perhaps he's leading you on.

Perhaps he made a mistake.

For example, I recall someone who says they were stationed in Japan in the early 1950s. This person understated the distance from the USSR to Japan by more than 20%. He also made reference to "Bear bombers" even though that particular Soviet aircraft did not enter service until after he had left Japan.

All sorts of people make mistakes, forget things, and remember things that never were. I think you sometimes confuse me with other people.

> So, this "Jim" (whoever that is) wants a "database" with
> this amateur extra morseman's name on it? Now he knows
> were to look: St. Louis, MO. [www.nara.gov] Good luck
> to his little "subsidizing soul" as a taxpayer, taxes
> withheld from his paycheck by an unknown employer.

IOW, *you* couldn't access the information. Yet you claim to know who served and who didn't. You claim to know who is an imposter and who is for-real.

But, in fact, you're just guessing.

> On
> the non-database information this "Jim" doesn't know
> squat and should keep his nose out of conversations of
> REAL veterans about REAL radio. Ptui.

Len, do you think that only veterans can discuss radio?

You want to discuss amateur radio policy, even though you are not a radio amateur nor an FCC employee nor a professional policymaker. But when someone you say "never served" speaks up on what you consider a military matter, you want them silenced - even if they are right and you are mistaken.

I'm convinced.

366. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 00:32:42 UTC
From: Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET>
Message-ID: <KciUg.10766$6S3.3384@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

NEVER let a clown take a Small Amp - to a
GUN-FIGHT!

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:EehUg.4311$Y24.357@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Tonitoad@webtv.net (Toni Toad) wrote in
>> news:4603-452089E8-130@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> Heard on the news this morning that 2 cb'ers were arguing on the radio.
>>> they met in a parking lot and 1 got shot and killed. this happened in
>>> Vancouver,Washington.
>>
>> Let this that be a lesson to you CB'ers. Never tease someone that's got a
>> small amplifier, they'll shoot you and take your Telstar amp.
>>
>>
>> SC
>
> It's called "little amplifier syndrome".
>
>

367. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 01:25:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <p_iUg.19025$Ij.2660@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
> because I don't do CB.

But you do digital which is what you are doing here.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

368. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 01:32:22 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <9pc3i2t78a3fq67ov719p3kles00pq9l0n@4ax.com>
Source record: 166385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 14:15:42 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
spake thusly:

>On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 01:17:43 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Try to keep up.
>
>That's actually good - if you can't defend, attack. Even if your
>attack is nonsense.

Asking you to keep up is not an attack. Telling me to grow up
certainly is and you have done that several times.

>> I have been quite consistent. You have not being
>>paying attention. I made no argument against voice at all. I made the
>>argument that a live voice conveys more than just words.
>
>You claimed that we humans communicate visually more than by words.
>You're contradicting yourself here.

This shows that you have not kept up. I did not say that we
communicate visually more, I said that we are get the most
information from our environment visually than by any other sense. Our
other senses help us much less than they do for most other higher
animals.

>When you blather on about something you know nothing about you lose
>track of what you said a few days ago.

Yet again you attack.

>>>Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>>>without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>>>vision-oriented.)
>
>>Never had a cat, did you?
>
>She's 7 years old now, and sleeping on my bed. Got her when her
>mother died - she was still nursing - so, yes, I currently have a cat.
>Have had some canine or feline pet since before I can remember -
>usually more than 1.
>
>> I grew up with them. They don't depend on
>>smell near as much as dogs but they depend on it just the same.
>

>They use it - about as much as we do - they don't depend on it.

Each olfactory cell in a cat has about 40 cilia while a human cell has only about 6 to 8. A cat has approximately 60 to 80 million olfactory cells while a human has about 5 to 20 million. Dogs put us all to shame.

>>Are we on the radio right now? Your apples and oranges arguments are
>>getting tiresome. Here in Usenet, the text is preserved. CW is not.
>
>You're arguing for the visual now? Voice conveys more than CW, which
>is your argument against CW. Voice conveys more than Usenet, which
>ISN'T an argument against Usenet.

How many times do I have to tell you that I am not arguing against CW? It has it's place but I am arguing against CW as a requirement for full access to ARS. I ask what is so great about CW and all I seem to get is a rather patronizing "You wouldn't understand".

>So which is it? Is the fact that voice conveys more than X an
>argument against X or not?

This again shows how you have not been keeping up. Not an attack, an observation.

>I'm getting tired of your "I have to argue just so that I can win"
>stance, so figure out how to get back to me when you grow up.

Explain how I have to "grow up" and, while you are at it, explain how you are qualified to make such a statement. You have insulted me in such a manner several times yet I have said nothing of the sort to you. You patronize me while I give legitimate arguments. You don't like my arguments and try to deflect by misquoting.

Of all the pro-coders here, I had the most respect for your view. I didn't agree but at least you made a point without the typical insults of others here. Now you seem to be joining their ilk.

Sad.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

369. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 01:33:04 UTC
From: Dick Chisel <dc@emenon.net>
Message-ID: <k5jUg.7864$GR.953@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank Gilliland wrote:

>
> Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?
>
> A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
> machines can remain secret.

Interesting thought; it brings to mind what old Josef Stalin once said...

"He who casts the ballot controls nothing. He who -counts- the ballots
controls everything".

370. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 01:39:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8of3i297jt73kbf9qh90ennmccp0esp4em@4ax.com>
Source record: 166387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

<snip bullcrap>

Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
last 25 years?

371. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 02:35:11 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <z%jUg.1543$5o5.1375@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:8of3i297jt73kbf9qh90ennmccp0esp4em@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> <snip bullcrap>
>
> Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
> last 25 years?

No, he's too busy rescuing people with his CW skills.

372. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 02:43:24 UTC
From: Ernie Primeau <ernietoo@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <g7kUg.931$lf3.392@trnddc07>
Source record: 166389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

I love to suck dick.Ernie

<kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159728508.601873.49830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> that does seem to be the comon reason for Heil weekend late night
>> aperances
>
> FUCK YOU AND DAVEY-COCKSUCKER.
>

373. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 04:23:51 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159849431.252394.325320@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

Joel Kolstad wrote:
>
> I think I'm pretty much in agreement with you... 5 WPM is not an unreasonable
> barrier to entry, and I don't particular oppose keeping it around, but I do
> think it seems awfully arbitrary, and this refelcts somewhat poorly on hams as
> a group trying to present themselves as modern and professional.
>

Well, we agree that we should keep this requirement, but I don't agree
that CW should seen as reflecting poorly on us hams. CW operation,
albeit old fashion, remains a useful skill that I think should be
encouraged. I do acknowledge that the new digital modes and computer
based CW does make CW skills less necessary, but I don't think we
should consider it old fashion or out of date.

-= Bob =-

374. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 05:00:45 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <a450f045c9cffe5bac98b8c7037e4a25@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <1159790281.127602.322340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> > >
> >
> > > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
> > no woger you are woger
>
> Wrong again, fucktard. Now die of your aids!

Yawn.
```

375. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 09:30:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159867822.860905.174320@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166392

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of October 1, 2006:

Novice - 24,304 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,025]
Technician - 285,709 (43.5%) [increase of 80,315]
Technician Plus - 35,378 (5.4%) [decrease of 93,482]
General - 131,945 (20.1%) [increase of 19,268]
Advanced - 70,756 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,026]
Extra - 108,389 (16.5%) [increase of 29,639]

(percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,087 (48.9%) [decrease of 13,167]

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,090 (47.4%) [increase of 19,881]

Total all classes - 656,481 (decrease of 18,311)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore informative to consider the totals of the two classes, since the Technician class includes a significant number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

376. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:46:25 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872385.343136.140890@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
Raul wrote:
> In article <1159790281.127602.322340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > > > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
> > > no woger you are woger
> >
> > Wrong again, fucktard. Now die of your aids!
>
> Yawn.

Getting your mouth open to suck a dick?
```

377. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:47:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872470.490310.253290@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
> <snip bullcrap>
>
> Where do you get this crap from? Have you turned on a radio in the
> last 25 years?

What a whiny bitch you are, Kanuck.

378. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:49:46 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872586.088127.44460@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lisping faggot Wikes Boys wrote:
<IKYABWAI>

Or you can call (801) 715-5304 he has all kinds of child porn for sale!

379. So Lloyd Wiseman fucked up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:51:31 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872691.029200.87520@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:00:15 -0700, Mark C. Morgan <Secwet Woger> wrote:

Poor Saggytits.

> >
> > Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> > > Life is good when Woger is gone.
> >
> > Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?
> >
> > A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
> > this weekend or any weekend.
> > You fucked up again!
>
> I'm glad

I'm glad you admitted you lied and are a total fuck up.
```

380. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:52:11 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872731.600248.117150@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.

Really, Roagaine boy?

381. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:53:53 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872833.721073.284780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Indy Lambda Ham whined:
> > what did the cops do?
> Nothing...

Hey fatass, you are a loser!

382. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:55:58 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159872958.225395.138740@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Indy Lambda Ham whined:
> > Hey Roachdale fucktard, Roger has never been to Dayton. FUCK UP!
>
> Roachdale?? You must be thinking of KK9D or whatever his call is. Danny boy.
> Not me idiot.

Hi Danny Dews all black men!

> Second, Woger HAS been to Dayton.

No, Roger has NOT been to Dayton. Nice lisp, faggot.

>Are you his boyfriend now??

Looks like *you* want *that* job, faggot.

383. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:57:41 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873061.269897.236140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Indy Lambda Ham wrote:
> > No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.
>
> Wrong again jack ass. Not me.

It's the Roachdale Roach! Hi Danny!

> > Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.
>
> Yes he has dipshit.

No, he hasn't, dumbfuck.

>Did you have your anal beads locked to his during that
> time or what?

Looks like you are more familiar with the use of them then anybody.
Contact Markie Morgan, he likes things up his ass also.

384. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 10:58:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873108.164862.126510@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lllloyd DaViEs wrote:
> I GAVE ROGER A BLUMPKIN!

Funny, you'd like to suck Roger's "woger."

385. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:01:49 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873309.908026.227840@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> <snip much childish ranting and issue avoiding>
>
> You and your ilk have done nothing but hurl big baby childish insults
> and laughable innuendo.

But you continue to whine about it, cunt.

> Trolls like you are fun to play with but get boring real fast. You're
> not even a good troll.

Still , you respond every time, bitch. Kanucks aren't known for being
very smart.

>Lets check the meter:

Get your mommy and daddy to help you.

> ____________
> |0\|||||||10|
> | \ |
> | \ |
> | \ |
> | \ |
> |_____*______|
> Troll-O-Meter
>
> Not even worthy of a small flame.
><plagiarism flushed>

Yet you respond every time, bitch.

386. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:03:05 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873385.923087.234480@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> On 29 Sep 2006 04:40:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >> >> On 28 Sep 2006 16:21:48 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> >> >> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Pat Stevens wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Did I miss something? <bullshit>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You missed a lot. I bet you even look like the SNL character "Pat".
> >> >>
> >> >> Gawd you're stupid. <PKB and IKYABWAI>
> >> >
> >> >PKB, Trekkie. The post was addressing "Pat" not you.
> >>
> >> Double standard?
> >
> >Isn't that the Kanuck way?
>
> Uhh...that was YOUR trick, fuckunkle.

IKYABWAI, Pee Wee.

> You're just not worth it.

Yet, you respiond every time like a good dancing monkey.
```

387. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:05:42 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873542.336475.135580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:08:11 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
> >
> >Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> >> On 29 Sep 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> >> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> Oops..missed one.

You missed more than that, stupid.

> >>
> >> >
> >> >Spock ear wearing Penquin boy Opus- whined:
> >>
> >> >> And if you actually paid attention you would already know that I am in
> >> >> Canada <bullshit>
> >>
> >> "Bullshit"???? Are you saying
> >
> >No, you stupid Kanuck, he was saying everything you post is bullshit.
>
> Fuck but you're stupid.<psst! IKYABWAI>

Fuck, can't you even write a complete sentence, Penquin boy? Now put on
your homemade Trekkie uniform and Spock ears and masturbate to the
scantily clad women on the series since you can't get a real date.

388. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:10:04 UTC
From: andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159873804.555420.52230@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> > Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
>
> It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you
> had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the
> big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is
> your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.
>
> QYH?

--.- ..- -.-. -.- --- --.- .-.-.-

389. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:11:56 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873916.810716.66980@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> > power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> > was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
> >
> > Yep, All talk and no power.
> >
> > SC
>
> no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
> transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
> watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week
>
Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.

390. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:13:09 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159873989.283303.118300@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:45:10 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> ag hiding your message in sigline so I can't answer you
>
> Robeson the junkyard barks some more

Morgan the moron blathers some more!

391. Re: heil online DX must suck tonight from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:20:59 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159874459.172784.16660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> well.

BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
whole article came from him.

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary

instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his

improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of

music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
"Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"

Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
"I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."

Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
fucks up again
in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
"Jealoius?"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
champ whined
about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
"Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."

Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"What a losser."

The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"either of Roger's moist cavaties."

"Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
following Ebonics in message
news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
"Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
him and posts in Ebonics,
the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
presented to him
in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
pondered the question of
(corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
<bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
"Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
and ignorance about family names and their origins in
<bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
"Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"

Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
(of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
"The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
anything, to dissuade or stop
the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".

Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
<bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
"...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome

little face"

Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
"DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
to
retest? Besides yourself, that is."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

392. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:23:04 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159874584.745748.239040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166409

Dave Heil wrote:
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:
>
> > Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your credibility
> > would return...
>
> Oh stop, Roger! You're cracking me up!

You don't have very far to go, fatass.

Davey does the "Hey Maquerading" dance as he "masquerades" as a human
in
<x_6Cg.6193$0e5.1895@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
".......maquerading......."

Davey K8MN, the lying hypocrite proves he can play the stupid "roles"
most effectively when talking about membership rolls in
<155Cg.1227$Sn3.141@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"You were on the membership roles"

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722...@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Dumbass Heil for the defense goes to the "courthous," not the
"courthouse" in
<IMNBg.5774$0e5.2501@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"....at the courthous, Roger."

Davey's grammar suggestion falls flat as he should really use the
suggestion for himself ..."and important" in
Message-ID: <I3cIe.255$Wi6....@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
the stuff you intend to post here?"

Davey Vile whines to the "Police" even if he can't spell it, too bad
they didn't inform him how to correctly spell "Police" in
Message-ID: <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1...@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief"

Dave Heil shows off his knowledge of Spelling as well as Geography
in <h46If.238$VI6....@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"the one in a narrow velley between two hills"

There Dave Vile goes mangling a sentence again
in <_OxKf.2395$VI6.1169@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"There you go underestimated people again."

393. Davey's frazzled logic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:24:20 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159874660.170638.36720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166410

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> >> Life is good when Woger is gone.
> >
> > Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?
>
> I'm sure that's the scenario that plays out in one of the personality
> streams in your head.
>
> > A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
> > this weekend or any weekend.
> > You fucked up again!
>
> You called to check and see if you'd been there? Oh my!

He didn't have to, "LLoyd Wiseman."

394. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:29:26 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159874966.294623.167410@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166411

Dave Heil wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> >> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,
> >
> > Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the large fat
> > ass.
>
> That must have been

Hey, why'd you hide behind your fatassed ex streetwalker wife?
But then, you always have to have somebody along when you try to
confront Roger.

>
> Of course you and I both know how it played. You were home. You
> wouldn't open that door for love nor money. You were frightened out of
> your demented little gourd.
>
> >>> Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of
> >
> > Nope that's not her. You lose, fucknuts.
>
> That's not

That why you had to get a Finnish ex-streetwalker when you couldn't
find an American female?
Oh, that's right your first wife left you, she needed a real man.

>
> >>> Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
> >>> porch.
> >
> > Poor Arf Arf, he can't even get that girl as a girlfreind.
>
> I'll stick up <poor Davey, he looks like "Peter" fromn the "Family Guy" tv show. His
receding grey hair needs Rogaine badly.>

You usually stick that dildo of your wife's up your fat ass, too.
Or it it just your morse key?

>
> You really need to see the mental health professionals, Rog.

You really should stop trying to practice medicine without a license,
shit for brains.

395. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:32:28 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875148.266143.292700@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166412

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > :
> >>>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> show na to va woger
> >>>>
> >>>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
> >>>> being queer.
> >> in point of fact he is lying (big surprise show na to va means happy
> >> new year
> > >
> > > So *You* say, Marqueer. Nobody else can back that assertion up. Now go
> > > lick your shemale wife's penis.
> >
> > Is that the sort of thought which arouses you, Roger? Does it get you
> > excited?

Project much? You use that same tired line, but you doth protest too
much, it's you that gets excited at such prospects. After all your ham
radio mentor molested you.

> How was the weekend at the sheltered workshop? Do they let you make
> beaded wallets?

Too bad for you, "LLoyd Wiseman" your lie about that has been exposed,
sort of like that "webcam" lie. You lose, fatass, now go polish that
wheelchair.

396. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:36:43 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875403.318274.156660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166413

Dave Heil not pretending to be "Stagger Lee" or "Lloyd Wiseman" this
time wrote:
> "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>
> > Roger never beat up his mother, fatty.
>
> Is UnWiseman attempting to evade fact on a technicality?

Is Assheil attempting to cloud the issue?

> How about your adoptive mother, Rog?

What adoptive mother?

> Did you strike her or threaten to?

Nope, he didn't. Why would he?

> Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?

Glen Dale has no "lockup." Dumbass.

>
> For a guy who says he isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly seem to have a
> lot of information at your disposal.

For a guy who claims to have lots of information, you seem to always
get it wrong.

> A couple of <projection>

Yes, you miss him, he molested you .

397. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:39:27 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875567.544947.5190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On 1 Oct 2006 18:17:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com spake
> thusly:
>
> >
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Al Klein on Sun, Oct 1 2006 3:25 pm
> >>
> >> >On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 04:05:15 GMT, Opus- <jbu...@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >> >>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 23:00:08 -0400, Al Klein <ruk...@pern.invalid> spake thusly:
> >>
> >> >>The fact that I fully support technical testing is well established.
> >> >
> >> >But you're being inconsistent. You only want to eliminate code
> >> >testing because YOU can't see any merit in code.
> >>
> >> No, there are thousands and thousands of us who want to
> >> eliminate the US amateur radio code test for any
> >> license. It isn't confined to Jim-"Opus".
> >
> >Funny. I haven't followed this thread, but "Opus" would be a screen
> >name that Jim would choose. I'll have to go read this "Opus" character.
>
> Oh I am just your ordinary, average insecure penguin.

Archived.

> --
> Opus62@gmail.com
> (Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

BWHAHAHAHAHA a retard begeting a retard! BWHAHAHAHA!

>
> "What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastses, Penquin boy?

398. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:41:31 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875691.675528.129490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166415

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>> show na to va woger
> >>>
> >>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
> >>> being queer.
> >>>
> >> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>
> >>
> > Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
> > could suck his dick, fatty.
>
> Do you

No, I don't want to watch your wife lap the female dog's privates.

399. Re: Markie dances!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 11:42:19 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159875739.752674.133770@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166416

Dave Heil wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> woger the wabbit hops
> >
> > Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.
>
> It looks like Mark is calling the tune for your bizarre waltz of
> perversity, UnWiseman. He whistles; you come running.

Sort of like how you come running to each troll post.

400. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 12:22:18 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <330017833.248910789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 04:10:04 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:

> Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
> >
> > It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you
> > had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the
> > big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is
> > your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.
> >
> > QYH?
>
> --.- ..- -.-. -.- --- --.- .-.-.-

Uh, Woger, "Q U C K O Q ." makes no sense at all.

But then you seldom do. QYH?

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

401. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 12:25:50 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159878350.060263.51530@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Davey Heil K8MN, pretending to be Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 04:10:04 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>
> > Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > > On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
> > > >
> > > It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you
> > > had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the
> > > big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is
> > > your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.
> > > >
> > > QYH?
> > >
> > ..-. ..- -.-. -.- -.-- --- ..- .-.-.-
>
> Uh, Woger, "Q U C K O Q ." makes no sense at all.

Uh, Fatass, get your glasses prescription changed.

402. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 12:29:38 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159878577.992547.220630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Davey Heil K8MN, posting as Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> <crap>

Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility in
<USgUg.4306$Y24.1277@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?"

403. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 12:50:13 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <009117833.2458FFA789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 05:25:50 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>
> Davey Heil K8MN, pretending to be Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > On 3 Oct 2006 04:10:04 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
> >
> > > Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > > > On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
> > > >
> > > > It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you
> > > > had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the
> > > > big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is
> > > > your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.
> > > >
> > > QYH?
> > >
> > ..-. ..- -.-. -.- -.-- --- ..- .-.-.-
> >
> Uh, Woger, "Q U C K O Q ." makes no sense at all.
>
> Uh, Fatass, get your glasses prescription changed.

In your demented mind, post editing your original "CW" just changed
the truth of the matter, didn't it?

The truth is, you couldn't even cuss in Morse code on your first
attempt. In your case, that has rendered you speechless on CW.

QYH?

"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

404. Re: cb'er shot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 14:33:00 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <qwuUg.20831$nm1.7668@fed1read04>
Source record: 166421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/W/WA_MALL_SHOOTING_WAOL-?SITE=WAOLY&SECTION=HOME&TEMPLATE=DEFAULT&CTIME=2006-10-01-02-19-58

405. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 14:53:11 UTC
From: Contacto <contacto_padron_000@yahoo.com.ar>
Message-ID: <82fa96572d422a3ca939095d53f92047@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <1159872385.343136.140890@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> Raul wrote:
> > In article <1159790281.127602.322340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
> > > > no woger you are woger
> > >
> > > Wrong again, fucktard. Now die of your aids!
> >
> > Yawn.
>
> Getting your mouth open to suck a dick?

FOAD.
```

406. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 14:55:09 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159887309.230359.53320@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
> Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being radio
> groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham radio,
> because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.
>
> Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
> because I don't do CB.
>
> Tnx, 73
> de Slow Code
One dead, one arrested after CB radio argument at Vancouver mall

VANCOUVER, Wash. (AP) -- A Vancouver man was shot to death Saturday in the parking lot of Westfield Vancouver mall following an argument over citizens band radio.

Kenneth Eichhorn, 47, died after surgery at Southwest Washington Medical Center, police said Saturday. Jon William Loveless, 44, also of Vancouver, was arrested for investigation of second-degree murder in the shooting.

Police responded about 1:30 a.m. Saturday to reports of multiple shots fired in the mall parking lot. Officers found the wounded Eichhorn in his pickup truck.

Loveless called 911 a short time later, reporting that he had been involved in a shooting. He was being held without bail in the Clark County Jail.

The two men apparently did not know each other, but got into an argument over CB radio before they met at the mall. Both men were armed, police said. The nature of the disagreement was not disclosed.

Later Saturday, CB users were still talking about the early-morning argument between "Ken" and "Brander."

Longtime CB user Noel Perkins, 41, of Vancouver said he often hears arguments over the radio. "It's part of the game," said Perkins, whose CB handle is Snakebite. "Some people take it seriously, some people don't."

Information from: The Columbian, http://www.columbian.com

407. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 15:09:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159888150.523055.200540@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> > > power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> > > was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
> > >
> > > Yep, All talk and no power.
> > >
> > > SC
> >
> > no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
> > transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
> > watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week
> >
> Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.

yeah right, Like I said we will have the the new shortwave transmitter
up and running real soon...but locals can still hear us on 88.3 FM

408. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 15:27:37 UTC
From: Smokey <nospamtelegraph@intergate.com>
Message-ID: <12i508omp98i055@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 166425
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

A question well stated, Slow Code...
No, it is a telephone conversation. Period. And that is what the whole hobby will turn into if the self-righteous, lazy whiners get their way and code is, once and for all, abolished. They all have contributed to the hobby's deterioration so far and don't like the fact that a few of us have got their number and can assess them for what they are. What do these simple-minded idiots think will happen if, as they press forward, they get all testing removed? The simple fact is that this is all a set up so the frequencies can be auctioned to non ham interests. The morons in Newington whimper about the reduced ham population. Geez Louise...there are many times more hams today (including those with the "faux" ham licenses not requiring code) than in the post WWII ham "boom." Not enough?

No kids...this whole code thing and the watering down of the test is simply a matter dividing and conquering so "they" can come in and tell us that our numbers don't justify the spectrum. Then...pfssssst!!!...there goes one band after another.

If any of the no coders had any balls they'd be asking the fcc for real testing and redevelop the hobby into what it used to be instead of the inane weekend contesting and buffoons sitting there are sideband comparing which rigs have the most features.

Idiots.

> >>What's wrong with that,
> > eslewhere you denied being arogant mr 2 faced
> >> if it helps motivate people to be better hams.
> >>Unfortunately, most here don't want better hams.
> > I certainly do which is why I call for you Steve and woger to turn in
> > your licenses
> >
> > please help out ham radio and turn yours in SC
>
>
> If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?
>
> SC

409. Re: Markie """"BIG NIGGA"""" dances!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 15:35:57 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159889757.362846.99370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.sex.dogs

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > > kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> woger the wabbit hops
> > >
> > > Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.
> >
> > It looks like Mark is calling the tune for your bizarre waltz of
> > perversity, UnWiseman. He whistles; you come running.
>
> Sort of like how you come running to each troll post.

Hi,.... I'm Slow Code..... I like being (sexually) abused by men and suffer for them..... I'm really submissive and kinky! Please contact me via this link. http://alt.com/go/g2634 You'll find more pictures and e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g2634

I really like harsh pain inflicted on me, but can't find anyone extreme enough. Therefore travelling is not a problem for me, torture me on a hotelroom, or any other place will do fine! You'll find more pictures and e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g263

You can piss on me if you want to and fuck me at will. If I don't service you enough, just hurt me till I'll obey.

I have NO financial interests at all.

http://alt.com/go/g2634

410. Re: get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 15:47:54 UTC
From: robby <robby@nospamhere.fam>
Message-ID: <45228627.530A39C8@nospamhere.fam>
Source record: 166427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

I'm a student at school using an educational
computer system.

our school has filed complaints to the isp of the poster.

411. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 16:07:07 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LUvUg.7038$TV3.6562@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166428
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Smokey wrote:
> If any of the no coders had any balls they'd be asking the fcc for real
> testing and redevelop the hobby into what it used to ...

Oh yeah, I really miss the days when the grouchy old
AM hams ceaselessly complained loud and long about those
"Single Side-Winders" on their personal AM frequencies
with that new fangled Mickey Mouse mode from hell (SSB).
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

412. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 16:09:42 UTC
From: Ernie Primeau <maisie10@address.com>
Message-ID: <1159891782.468497.77490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.
>
> Really, Roagaine boy?

yes,sucking cock and eating shit are may favorite past times. Ernie

413. Re: ROBBY THE SNOT NOSED FRUIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:04:20 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159898660.784820.96260@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> Now thats a hunk of meat!

ROBBY YOU LITTLE FRUIT!

GET OUT OF MY GROUP.....I MAY LET YOU BACK WHEN YOU'VE GROWN UP.

414. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:06:59 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159898819.197382.111410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Lisping faggot Wikes Boys wrote:
> <IKYABWAI>
>
> Or you can call (801) 715-5304 he has all kinds of child porn for sale!

I going to call that sick fuck now!

415. Re: stop lying about law enforment "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:07:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rm95i25g8qujunci652nuhergefpb2cdc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 166433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:22:33 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159739443.031873.322180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> old freind wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> > On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >
>>
>>> > >> stop calling me a child molestor
>>> > >
>>> " I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
>>> investigation."
>> stop the lying Steve you have called me a child molestor on many
>> occasion
>>
>> BTW the man you claim informed you of me being a child molestor has
>> been in JAIL for the last 2 years just cut a plea deal to child rape
>> himself
>>
>
>When did you stop, Maureen?
never started TOM
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

416. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:09:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ep95i21u07l5oqvhg2khqldib4cjo7jk1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 166434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 2 Oct 2006 06:11:46 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Billy Smith wrote:
>> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >>show na to va woger
>> >
>> >
>> > Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
>> > being queer.
>> >
>>
>> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>
>
>Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
>could suck his dick, fatty.
nobdy wnats your dick woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

417. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:10:25 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159899025.912651.132660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

Gavrie...@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

418. Re: I hollered over to Todd. I said, "Don't look Todd!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:10:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ps95i2pbb938q0fqk76sl4l02gcv92u84p@4ax.com>
Source record: 166435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Oct 2006 05:54:52 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

419. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:11:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <su95i2lp9c0b8v9qj7r6luk8durihsh9gu@4ax.com>
Source record: 166436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 2 Oct 2006 05:31:19 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:
forgin woger the kook
>pervert.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

420. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <av95i2tkj4iu4pc5v0cvh58nsklvgs6m6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 166437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:09:13 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

421. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uv95i29sbekohjd0rmcn9cdasns4h0m4ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 166438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:07:00 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

422. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g0a5i216mlda9fvkelo8c0ss2lqvbuh5gm@4ax.com>
Source record: 166440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 2 Oct 2006 06:05:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

423. Re: Negro's be welcome at ma mudda fuckin houuse 'cauz marqueer don't be tahin care of bizzness at home right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:12:55 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159899175.639062.146190@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.sex.dogs

slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> woger the wabbit hops
> > > >
> > > > Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.
> > >
> > > It looks like Mark is calling the tune for your bizarre waltz of
> > > perversity, UnWiseman. He whistles; you come running.
> >
> > Sort of like how you come running to each troll post.
>
> Hi,.... I'm Slow Code..... I like being (sexually) abused by men and
> suffer for them..... I'm really submissive and kinky! Please contact me
> via this link. http://alt.com/go/g2634 You'll find more pictures and
> e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g2634
>
> I really like harsh pain inflicted on me, but can't find anyone extreme
> enough. Therefore travelling is not a problem for me, torture me on a
> hotelroom, or any other place will do fine! You'll find more pictures
> and e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g263
>
> You can piss on me if you want to and fuck me at will. If I don't
> service you enough, just hurt me till I'll obey.
>
> I have NO financial interests at all.
>
> http://alt.com/go/g2634

424. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:13:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i1a5i2lijn4fiffjm0qe680v1emo82glnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 166441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2 Oct 2006 05:05:40 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

425. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:13:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <72a5i25p3pi3s771r8cfc8qh7jolensqr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166442
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 13:00:35 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On 9/29/06 6:09 PM, in article
>1159578572.919684.114020@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com, "an old freind"
><kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Dave wrote:
>>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>>>
>>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>>> Nice guy.
>>> --
>>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>> the procoders are more interested in their idleogy than lives always
>> have been it seems
>>
>
>Ditto for you clever fellow too.
not ata ll I would even truse CW to save a live if the unlikely
ocourance presented itself
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

426. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:14:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b3a5i29hvkgtb4bfk9ji15pe7rl2pmni6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 166443
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com:
>

>And you're not even a Real ham.
no I am a real ham you are not
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

427. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:15:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j5a5i2h5fnctvgsaauv757s60mu5isj7dj@4ax.com>
Source record: 166444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 00:43:18 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>thusly:
>

>>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
>You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
>then let the ARS die with dignity.
he is not a true ham at all thankfully
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

428. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:19:14 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <45229ccc$0$19611$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

429. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:19:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eca5i2hbdj5dbuig4ksf7a5sibnqfgub32@4ax.com>
Source record: 166445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1159581528.401284.193240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>

>>
>> Did Robesin get to taste his own excrement from some other guy's lap
>> like he said?
>>
>> What useless brain dreams up that kind of stuff?
>
>
>
>A bi/gay dsylexic no-code hate CW brain.
the state ment was a quote of K4YZ a procoder and alegedly straight
male
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

430. Re: my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:19:50 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <45229d04$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166448
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dad
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

we morgan are hamson mens

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>
>
>
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

431. tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:20:39 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <45229d90$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

```
chek out tood on my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

432. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:21:13 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159899672.961673.199910@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.sex.dogs

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

433. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:22:49 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <4522a523$0$19746$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>

Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
ganitals.

My blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

434. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:22:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oja5i21bevi1unaehk4m87el44hoqq67n1@4ax.com>
Source record: 166449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 18:25:19 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>Speak English when you try to hijack threads, Morkie.
>
hi steve give it up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

435. Re: Be sure to welcome AB8MQ back to the group after his stay in prison.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:24:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lla5i2tqtg6fh59f1ht38fk02qgp5o8p24@4ax.com>
Source record: 166451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Maybe if we all go out of our way to be nice to him Roger will stop
>acting like an asshole.
>
>SC
why are you such a bad ham SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

436. Re: Can someone post the photo of N0VFP at the Gay bar again? Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:24:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sma5i219gu1eemq348gqj4vq7t8uruc6dg@4ax.com>
Source record: 166452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Someone said there were two guys kissing and holding hands in the
>background of the picture and I want to see if was KB9RQZ and K4YZ.
since k4yz and kb9rqz have never met it can't us SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

437. Re: Pro-Coders are violent, gay, Lazy, and Stupid.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:26:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0pa5i2534hsht048cucu5opg63psaflig4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:ne20i2db2phldhedde0173fs6eq4298iac@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 17:50:22 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>In article <bljg6.s33.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
>>>> Nice little story about a couple of no-coders (CBers) who took matters
>>>> just a bit too far.
>>>>
>>>> http://www.foxnews.com/wires/2006Oct01/0,4670,MallShooting,00.html
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>What a tragedy. Ashamed you have to use this article to try and put
>>>down others. A real shame.
>> they lack any shame the poster is most likely Steve Robeson k4yz a
>> fine up standing Procoder that like to do this when he thinks he is
>> hiding well enough
>>
>>
>In Markie's case, emphasis on gay, lazy and stupid.
hardly SC but you are too damaged by your cw use to understand
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

438. Re: Wiseman gets out of jail Monday morning. Will Mark run and hide?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:26:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lqa5i2hdsemdmbo6ctibah17ihk3rb8kb0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 2 Oct 2006 01:13:14 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On 1 Oct 2006 08:32:18 -0700, kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
>> > Woger remains away, and it is mostly quiet here.
>> Robeson the dog barks some more
>
>You're really brave now, but wait until Woger gets out of
>jail. You will cry like a baby.
not by any action of woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

439. Re: Ping: Toad----->can you help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:26:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ara5i2truh0nqmqhlppr792vq64frbt3o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.pets.dogs.rescue,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

On 1 Oct 2006 23:29:43 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

440. Re: You can lead Woger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Woger AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:27:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fsa5i21mhq7fu8arpnq796tc8u674ovaf4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server

On 2 Oct 2006 04:58:01 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

441. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:28:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gta5i25is6aedjadoak4aiqir73hntlb27@4ax.com>
Source record: 166457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2 Oct 2006 05:03:10 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

442. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:29:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fva5i2hkrd0q4ivntip5lrtm7k0rafbu0n@4ax.com>
Source record: 166459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,alt.sex.gay,rec.music.classical.recordings

On 2 Oct 2006 05:43:49 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

443. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:29:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <70b5i2t5h3vaeu7ln0jdtrb9rcto2d3pai@4ax.com>
Source record: 166458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Oct 2006 06:01:13 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

444. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:29:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n0b5i2psf6tuscdqododsg30dkmbugppib@4ax.com>
Source record: 166460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Oct 2006 06:10:18 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

445. Re: SkyWave 2879AB Mobile RF Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:30:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a1b5i2d462rpn3ud1bhns89rgsk89mnm94@4ax.com>
Source record: 166461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,alt.test,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

On 2 Oct 2006 08:27:58 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

446. trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:31:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q2b5i2hqf92qmsu00s5jngom1dk59i0n1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 166462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The 30 Steps below will help all No-Code Hams, it will also help you to
>find others just like yourself. These 30 steps should also be added to the
>present writtens.
same old tired hatre SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

447. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:31:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <94b5i25j1uoabdq80cd89ed3epmbmd0195@4ax.com>
Source record: 166463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:26:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
>Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being radio
>groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham radio,
>because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.
get smoe threapy SC
>
>Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
>because I don't do CB.
>
>Tnx, 73
>de Slow Code
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

448. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:32:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f5b5i2l4uase1g0d8o7mnuo9o4bbinp05k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

449. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:33:23 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900403.441007.24000@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:
> chek out tood on my blog

forgin woger the kook

450. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:33:43 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900423.882238.204320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

451. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:33:57 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900437.726031.96050@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

452. Re: N9OGL's last shemale he jacked off to!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:36:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <scb5i2ljin34qs4tcu9k3p9f31okl62ubc@4ax.com>
Source record: 166468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Oct 2006 05:13:02 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

453. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:38:35 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900715.155630.56690@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
>

grow up SC

454. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:39:01 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900741.806902.239510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166470
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

455. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:39:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900798.883058.317380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

456. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:40:48 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900848.250760.322970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
get flushed and help clean up ham radio

457. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:42:10 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159900929.982877.78090@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> Joel Kolstad wrote:
> >
> > I think I'm pretty much in agreement with you... 5 WPM is not an unreasonable
> > barrier to entry, and I don't particular oppose keeping it around, but I do
> > think it seems awfully arbitrary, and this refelcts somewhat poorly on hams as
> > a group trying to present themselves as modern and professional.
> >
>
> Well, we agree that we should keep this requirement, but I don't agree
> that CW should seen as reflecting poorly on us hams. CW operation,
> albeit old fashion, remains a useful skill that I think should be
> encouraged. I do acknowledge that the new digital modes and computer
> based CW does make CW skills less necessary, but I don't think we
> should consider it old fashion or out of date.
it is old fashioned obselete and damaging to the ARS
>
> -= Bob =-

458. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 18:51:32 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1659389.bbJX2KShKe@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 166474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

>> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
>> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
>> problem myself.
>
> You have more problems than that, dumbass!
what other problems I may, or may not, have is not up for public discussion
by or for you.

and while we are on the subject, what about your ongoing (and very public)
problems?

eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?

459. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 19:01:42 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159902102.788456.273580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
forgin woger the kook

460. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 19:03:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <90d5i25mrivumb8m3110reseqa9gd6rpf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

On 3 Oct 2006 03:52:11 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuhed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

461. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 19:32:03 UTC
From: Woger Wikes Boys <porkbutt_is_wogers_man@wogerisgay.org>
Message-ID: <br3cl.k9c.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are repetative as hell retard. Is that you Woger??? Hiding from your real identity??? How was jail over the weekend? Im sure that Big Marie is turning over in her grave right now. How can you hurt your Mom this way Woger?? How?

Maybe I need to take a trip to see you. We can drink a beer and you can tell me all your prison storys. Tell me the one how you save the two transvestities from the evil desert stealing ninja niggers! I love that one!

462. Re: My Dick is a quarter wave on 144 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 19:50:28 UTC
From: Jerry Francis <jerryf@eiko-ltd.com>
Message-ID: <Xns98519728EF6ECeikolighting@81.174.50.80>
Source record: 166479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

marqueer@mail2world.com wrote in news:1159663368.993099.302110
@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

Hey, let's keep it clean here. No need for this type of material.

463. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 19:54:35 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1159905274.826419.141390@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Mark Morgan wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> >
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>>
>
> Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
> ganitals.
>
> My blog
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
>
> --

But Marqueer, My Dear.........You have eaten my shit, as well as
tasted your own love juices on my cock!
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

464. Re: Markie the retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 20:16:14 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <4522b81d$0$19624$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1159794073.633061.225610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 01:05:14 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
>> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>> >Life is good when Woger is gone.
>> not likely you are just trying to provoke him
>
> Like you he's incompetent and fails to do so.
>
well at laest i know waht it like to lick my excremant off of antoher man
jenitals.

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

465. Re: Request to Omega Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 20:17:24 UTC
From: Jerry Francis <jerryf@eiko-ltd.com>
Message-ID: <Xns98519BB957C30eikolighting@81.174.50.80>
Source record: 166482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks

marqueer@mail2world.com wrote in news:1159573496.184743.273430
@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> Rev. Phartgas Beergoggles PhD wrote:

>> You know! That great stuff like the Horst Wessel Song
>> and others that are easily available online via .MP3 and

The best German March ever!

466. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:40:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <46m5i2tebp1s9a3fts7fcjkfd2dq7hgiep@4ax.com>
Source record: 166483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 03:53:53 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuhed clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

467. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:41:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e8m5i2lhqcg8m7if8ciohnckr0jtfm1ldk@4ax.com>
Source record: 166484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 04:03:05 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flush and therby clean the ARS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

468. Re: Is N9OGL scared?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:42:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r9m5i290upru2chl5m6a18rb0d1k9ji1rj@4ax.com>
Source record: 166485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 04:11:56 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:

>Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
why would he worry about the FCC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

469. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:42:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oam5i2dnn8fqtv50p4g1t1atvh3ud4qc0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 166486

On 3 Oct 2006 04:23:04 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:
>>
>> > Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your credibility
>> > would return...
>>
>> Oh stop, Roger! You're cracking me up!
>
>You don't have very far to go, fatass.
forgin woger the kook
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

470. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:43:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kbm5i2t9jctb97pkopr0so1opsbbt7odbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 166487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 03:47:50 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
get flushed and clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

471. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:46:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159911966.889029.243860@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Morgan wrote:
> "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
forgin woger the kook

472. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:46:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159911970.083291.228950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.baldspot

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> Mark Morgan wrote:
fioring a chat with a forgery

forgin woger the kook

473. forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:48:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159912085.057329.91860@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Jerry Francis wrote:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote in news:1159573496.184743.273430

forgin woger the kook

474. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 21:48:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2lm5i2h3adsjkv2a6956r4scesn4rirlaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 03:49:46 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flsuuhed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

475. forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 22:13:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s4o5i2hg5pvhqdkabrospm6qvi62kk7hqr@4ax.com>
Source record: 166493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:19:14 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:
frger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

476. forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 22:17:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k1o5i2pjg63u0k48gm1ged38d6nnm8jodf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166492
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:19:50 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:

fugring woger on the loose
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

477. Woger's going for teh Bartlo! Re: Negro's be welcome at ma mudda fuckin houuse 'cauz marqueer don't be tahin care of bizzness at home right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 22:19:05 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.03.22.19.03.108676@hell.corn>
Source record: 166494
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.sex.dogs,alt.music.michael-jackson,alt.atheism

```
On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 11:12:55 -0700, Wabbit, aka Gavrielah, did the
cha-cha, and screamed:
> Wabbit, aka slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com, wrote:
>> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > > Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>> > > > kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >> woger the wabbit hops
>> > > >
>> > > > Markie the lisping cocksucking child raper dances.
>> > >
>> > > It looks like Mark is calling the tune for your bizarre waltz of
>> > > perversity, UnWiseman. He whistles; you come running.
>> >
>> > Sort of like how you come running to each troll post.
>>
>> Hi,.... I'm Slow Code..... I like being (sexually) abused by men and
>> suffer for them..... I'm really submissive and kinky! Please contact me
>> via this link. http://alt.com/go/g2634 You'll find more pictures and
>> e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g2634
>>
>> I really like harsh pain inflicted on me, but can't find anyone extreme
>> enough. Therefore travelling is not a problem for me, torture me on a
>> hotelroom, or any other place will do fine! You'll find more pictures
>> and e-mailadresses here http://alt.com/go/g263
>>
>> You can piss on me if you want to and fuck me at will. If I don't
>> service you enough, just hurt me till I'll obey.
>>
>> I have NO financial interests at all.
>>
>> http://alt.com/go/g2634

Keep on campaigning for that Pineapple, Wabbit!

--

_____________________________________________________________________
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
```

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

478. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 22:25:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1159914338.115090.206620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
> >>stpupid
> >
> >The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
> >computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
> >requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.

Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.

Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive. The IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a machine with very limited capabilities.

As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very steep.

"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.

On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes. "Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come together within the past 10 years.

> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.

In that time, the number of US amateurs has actually dropped by over 17,000.

> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K

> per year ever since]

It should be noted that the number 737,938 includes not only those licenses which were current at the time, but also those which were expired but in the 2 year grace period. The number of then-current licenses was about 50,000 lower.

> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
> >When I ask technical people about why they haven't
> >acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
> >as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response.

It's an echo?

> Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)

But you have never been "IN" amateur radio, Len.

> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate.

Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice communication as early as 1900, and had practical lomg-distance radiotelephony by 1906. AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.

> Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century. The Morse Code used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.

> > They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
> >face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.

What part is not?

> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.

Why is that "outmoded"? What has replaced it?

> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.

So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with choosing Morse Code and HF operation?

Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the *use* of Morse Code is outmoded!

FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM - is it outmoded?
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago.

What "formalism" do you mean, Len?

The use of call signs? Signal reports? Using only first names?

Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.

How would you have amateurs operate?

> Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional.

So what's the problem with a standard procedures?

> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

Not true, Len. We're amateurs - but that doesn't mean we have no standards and no procedures. The use of standard procedures makes it more fun and easier on everyone involved.

> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s.

And a license to use a good chunk of that spectrum has been available without a Morse Code test for more than 15 years. But you have not taken advanatage of it.

> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.

Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?

> >Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims).

Who pioneered the use of the HF spectrum, Len?

Who first established two-way HF radio contact?

> DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).

The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago. Amateurs were using
them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.

> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO,

Not really.

> GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier,

Well, those things are not common, but they're still around.

> GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program).

Yet the predictions are not always correct. Openings happen when no
opening is predicted, and predicted openings do not always happen.

> Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.

And high-end audio...

So what? Those things are only one part of amateur radio. There's a lot
more.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static.

Of course not. That doesn't mean old things are all bad.

> For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space

> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW."

The phrase was "no kids, no lids, no space cadets, Class A operators only". It was used by a now-dead radio amateur who had the callsign W2OY. He did not use CW - he was an AM-only operator of the 1950s and 1960s.

The phrase is remembered because it was so unusual.

"CW" (aka Morse Code) is popular with many radio amateurs, not just "old timers".

> But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."

Is there something wrong with the *use* of Morse Code, Len?

> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones.

Actually that number is probably low, considering how many more go into use every day.

> There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes.

Millions?

> There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users.

Amateur radio *operators*.

And there lies the difference: Almost all other radio services require the use of only certified, channelized, no-user-adjustments-possible equipment. Most of those "millions" or transceivers cited are very low power and use only a single mode and a few channels. The user has almost no real control over the operation of the radio. This is most true in the case of the cell phone/

> Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.

Maybe for you, Len. Not for hundreds of thousands of radio amateurs.

>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.

In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

What is wrong with live and let live?

479. I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 22:26:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159914363.279250.135740@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166496
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.democrat,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans

konstans@hotmail.com

480. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 23:00:45 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xYBUg.25275$DU3.5885@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159911966.889029.243860@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Mark Morgan wrote:
>> "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> forgin woger the kook
>

Maybe so, but he types and spells just like you.

481. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 23:15:13 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <5aCUg.25279$DU3.9144@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

<kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159900929.982877.78090@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Joel Kolstad wrote:
>> >
>> > I think I'm pretty much in agreement with you... 5 WPM is not an
>> > unreasonable
>> > barrier to entry, and I don't particular oppose keeping it around, but
>> > I do
>> > think it seems awfully arbitrary, and this refelcts somewhat poorly on
>> > hams as
>> > a group trying to present themselves as modern and professional.
>> >
>>
>> Well, we agree that we should keep this requirement, but I don't agree
>> that CW should seen as reflecting poorly on us hams. CW operation,
>> albeit old fashion, remains a useful skill that I think should be
>> encouraged. I do acknowledge that the new digital modes and computer
>> based CW does make CW skills less necessary, but I don't think we
>> should consider it old fashion or out of date.
> it is old fashioned obselete and damaging to the ARS

Not if it keeps you off HF.

482. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 23:28:18 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nomennescio@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1159918098.497876.31510@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.
>
> Really, Roagaine boy?

It is spelled ROGAINE, Cocksucker.

483. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 23:39:08 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2f4vuu@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2f4vuu@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 166530

RST Engineering Wrote:
> The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy.
> Take this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul
> this nest with your rantings and ravings.
>

Thanks, Jim.

I have it on good authority that a new rrap (moderated) is under
construction and that the cesspool contents like Mark and Steve will be
unwelcome.

The Man in the Maze
QRL at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

484. Re: I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-03 23:41:15 UTC
From: Omega One <skyking@omegaone.radio>
Message-ID: <4509117833.2458F8789@omegaone.radio>
Source record: 166500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 3 Oct 2006 15:26:03 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
>
> konstans@hotmail.com

How many times did you get emails from former congressman Foley? Did
they make you horny? Either way, we would like to interview you just
as soon as possible on THE TODD SHOW -- heard by millions of people on
Omega One Radio. Please RSVP.

485. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:17:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i4DUg.6584$o71.1066@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166501
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

"The Late Arky Bob" <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1159889643.137682.17630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Brenda Ann wrote:
>> I believe Barney Frank is still in, so I seriously doubt the verosity
>> of that particular rumor.
>>
> In 1989, Rep. Barney Frank of Massachusetts, admitted he'd lived with
> Steve Gobie, a male prostitute who ran a gay sex-for-hire ring out of
> Frank's apartment. Frank, it was later discovered, used his position to
> fix 33 parking tickets for Gobie.
>
> What happened to Frank? The House voted 408-18 to reprimand him -- a
> slap on the wrist. Today he's an honored Democratic member of Congress,
> much in demand as a speaker and "conscience of the party."
>
> Copied from
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ibd/20061002/bs_ibd_ibd/2006102issues01

Too bad for him he wasn't a democrat, he would have gotten a free pass and the liberal main stream media would have tried to keep it quiet, but there would still be Matt Drudge, Michael Savage, Fox news, Rush Limbaugh and Sean Hannity to get the truth out. It's good we have voices like theirs to get the truth out. I hope the democrats are never able to silence free speech.

SC

486. Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:17:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166502
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us better & smarter hams.

Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do you think?

SC

487. Re: How many democrats does it take to screw in a light bulb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:17:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <t4DUg.6586$o71.1571@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
news:ger0i2p85crdann4ip0puq2p4b040pro06@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
> <IrXTg.1599$Lv3.572@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>>None,
>>
>>They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>>
>>SC
>
>
> Q: How many people will decide the outcome of the coming elections?
>
> A: One -- the judge who decided that the source code for the election
> machines can remain secret.

It's funny, the liberal democrat candidates commit fraud busing voters
around from polling place to polling place to try and get elected. The
tell them who to vote for at each polling place. The only thing they
don't do is to tell them how to properly cast a vote so it can be counted.
LOL! It must really suck to be stupid and a liberal.

SC

488. It's easy to tell when KB9RQZ comes back, the group turns into a sewer again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:17:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <w4DUg.6587$o71.4143@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KB9RQZ is like a turd, and K4YZ, N0VFP, AB8MQ, N9OGL, & others are like shit flies that love to land and feed on it.

If we can flush the turd, the shit flies might go with it, but to have our radio groups back the shit Flies have to go too. They're as bad as the turd.

Please help flush the turd, and smack a shit fly if you see one.

Tnx, 73
de Slow Code

Save Ham Radio - Save CW.

489. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:39:28 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4pDUg.13047$7I1.6033@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.

Great! Let's increase the CW requirement to something that
you cannot copy and improve amateur radio by an infinite
amount.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

490. Re: My Dick is a quarter wave on 144 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:44:35 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.00.44.32.271693@hell.corn>
Source record: 166506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.gay

On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 19:50:28 +0000, Jerry Francis did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> Hey, let's keep it clean here. No need for this type of material.

You're addressing a leading contender for the September 2006 Joseph
Bartlo Pathetic Anal Pineapple. In what benighted universe do you
suppose your chastisement of Wabbit might conceivably have any effect
whatsoever?

--

____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

491. Re: Request to Omega Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 00:46:39 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.00.46.34.218919@hell.corn>
Source record: 166507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.sex.gay,alt.usenet.kooks

On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 20:17:24 +0000, Jerry Francis did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>> Rev. Phartgas Beergoggles PhD wrote:
>
>>> You know! That great stuff like the Horst Wessel Song and others that
>>> are easily available online via .MP3 and
>
> The best German March ever!

Damn. I should've realised I was addressing a NaziKKK00k...

--
____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

492. Re: tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:35:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <av36i2psvbgkp3o4a93fdlmcehlha6atog@4ax.com>
Source record: 166510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:20:39 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:
forgin woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

493. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:36:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1046i21er487bnvapuegl10fgookcefp81@4ax.com>
Source record: 166508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:22:49 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:

forgin woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

494. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:36:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n046i2tn6eil67cn8d0q4f6do782k5mi4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 166509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 23:15:13 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>Not if it keeps you off HF.
but it doesn't tom you know that
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

495. forgin woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:39:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h546i2t4im1qdoue76o0du8i92caga7gl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,s,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.talk.dr-laura,alt.sex.dogs

On 3 Oct 2006 11:12:55 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
>slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
forgin woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

496. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:41:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <da46i2hsjo4auhoriqoipacv3eu6odg4q5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:39:28 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Great! Let's increase the CW requirement to something that
>you cannot copy and improve amateur radio by an infinite
>amount.
why not just bar him wfromt eh air and save a lot of trouble
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

497. when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:43:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926230.405297.21490@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
>
when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
100 hunderd to amsat in my name

498. when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:44:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926267.323486.227960@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

```
Nomen Nescio wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > > Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.
> >
> > Really, Roagaine boy?
>
> It is spelled ROGAINE, Cocksucker.
```

499. when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:44:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926275.129986.167170@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

Slow Code wrote:
> "
when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
100 hunderd to amsat in my name

500. when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:46:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926400.021713.32300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166516

Slow Code wrote:
>
when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
100 hunderd to amsat in my name

501. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:48:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926527.294712.251290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "

>
> Maybe so, but he types and spells just like you.
nope and he also he one the two hams responible for turning the Radio
NG into a cespool him and robeson

502. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:52:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926742.584763.270210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166518

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>

> What changes besides eliminating the Morse Code test are necessary to
> 'keep up with modern times'?
elinatly the arcance made in rule Band plans would certainly help
Idlealy begining a tranistion to a licesne scheme of prevedles that
makes sense
>
> It should be remembered that, in the comments to the recent FCC NPRM,
> the *majority* of those who commented did not want complete elimination
> of the Morse Code test for all US licenses. The majority wanted at
> least some Morse Code testing to remain.

so what? JJim Crowe was very popular at one time
>
> Eliminating the Morse Code test will not greatly increase the visiblity
> of Amateur Radio. It will not reduce the cost of equipment. It will not
> make it any less difficult to set up an effective HF antenna, nor will
> it solve RFI or CC&R problems.

it will howver stop of us from such laughing stocks that will help us
poke out to be seen with being laughed at

503. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:53:59 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QsidnWHEiuLZib7YnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 166519

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com...
> Jack Ricci wrote:
>
>> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
>> malice,
>> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
>> degrade to that point.
>
> I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the
> advice
> dispensed around here though....
>
>>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
>> indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
>> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient ham
>> would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use CW, or
>> would
>> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis? Are
>> dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to get into
>> a higher class of license and increased privileges?
>
> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
> problem myself.
>
> Since this problem appears to be one in the visual side of the system, it
> stands to reason that sound would not be affected in the same way (nor
> would touch - in my case, braille is far easier for me to read than the
> printed word was). CW is much the same way for me. I picked it up as a
> teen, but never did get around to using it, nor did I bother with it when
> I
> went in to take my first Amateur radio exame.
>
> you may note how well I type. Actually, its an automatic spell checker
> correcting some of the "dyslexic typing" my fingers turn out. There are
> some word variations that still get past the spellcheck though. :(
>
> point is, since CW is a sound language, it might be loads easier for her
> to
> learn than say the printed word.
>

> DE N7ZZT
> Phoenix, Arizona

Do you have any tips you could pass on for those teaching code to dyslexics?
Or tips on learning code that I could pass on to a friend of mine?

Dee, N8UZE

504. get ehlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:55:17 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159926917.623152.173730@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Omega One wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 15:26:03 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> > rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
> >
> > konstans@hotmail.com
>
> How many times did you get emails from former congressman Foley?
many times but nothing about sex in them

505. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 01:57:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159927036.992187.83420@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166521

Dee Flint wrote:
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com...

> Do you have any tips you could pass on for those teaching code to dyslexics?
you over the the facts deed all of are different
> Or tips on learning code that I could pass on to a friend of mine?
>
> Dee, N8UZE

506. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:13:17 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1NEUg.1726$5o5.150@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159926527.294712.251290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> "
>
>>
>> Maybe so, but he types and spells just like you.
> nope and he also he one the two hams responible for turning the Radio
> NG into a cespool him and robeson
>

Don't leave yourself out.
```

507. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:14:24 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4OEUg.1727$5o5.1315@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166523

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159926742.584763.270210@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>
>> What changes besides eliminating the Morse Code test are necessary to
>> 'keep up with modern times'?
> elinatly the arcance made in rule Band plans would certainly help
> Idlealy begining a tranistion to a licesne scheme of prevedles that
> makes sense
>>
>> It should be remembered that, in the comments to the recent FCC NPRM,
>> the *majority* of those who commented did not want complete elimination
>> of the Morse Code test for all US licenses. The majority wanted at
>> least some Morse Code testing to remain.
>
> so what? JJim Crowe was very popular at one time
>>
>> Eliminating the Morse Code test will not greatly increase the visiblity
>> of Amateur Radio. It will not reduce the cost of equipment. It will not
>> make it any less difficult to set up an effective HF antenna, nor will
>> it solve RFI or CC&R problems.
>
> it will howver stop of us from such laughing stocks that will help us
> poke out to be seen with being laughed at
>

WTF did he just say?

508. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:15:27 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5966i2ls2g7fvvv267qantqcg3jh1dav9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 166524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 3 Oct 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> spake thusly:

<snip the usual stupidity>

<plonk>
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

509. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:15:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t666i2lchoanoisv0gsr947s4magomrcio@4ax.com>
Source record: 166526
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 10:27:37 -0500, "Smokey"
<nospamtelegraph@intergate.com> wrote:

>
>If any of the no coders had any balls they'd be asking the fcc for real
>testing and redevelop the hobby into what it used to be instead of the inane
>weekend contesting and buffoons sitting there are sideband comparing which
>rigs have the most features.
No reason for us to askk the FCC to redevolpe the ARS in any way I for
one certaionly don't want the govt in charge of such an effort

do you? if you do then I must conclude that code has truly rotten your
brain

try something safe like lsd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

510. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:15:33 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <9PEUg.1728$5o5.1317@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:n046i2tn6eil67cn8d0q4f6do782k5mi4c@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 23:15:13 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Not if it keeps you off HF.
> but it doesn't tom you know that
>>

BTW, you never did say what you use the AL-80 for. Keeping warm in the
winter?

511. Re: heil online must suck to be from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:36:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159929367.794446.98240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166527
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>

>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>

>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

512. Re: It's easy to tell when Marqueer cums in SC's mouth , SC's mouth turns into a sewer again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:44:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1159929899.031935.47170@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166528
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.gay

Slow Code wrote:
> KB9RQZ is like a turd, and K4YZ, N0VFP, AB8MQ, N9OGL, & others are like
> shit flies that love to land and feed on it.
>
> If we can flush the turd, the shit flies might go with it, but to have our
> radio groups back the shit Flies have to go too. They're as bad as the
> turd.
>
> Please help flush the turd, and smack a shit fly if you see one.
>
> Tnx, 73
> de Slow Code
>
> Save Ham Radio - Save CW.

konstans@hotmail.com

513. Re: It's easy to tell when KB9RQZ comes back, the group turns into a sewer again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 02:53:33 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f8cec3a28145edf989a3b@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 166529

In article <w4DUg.6587$o71.4143@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> KB9RQZ is like a turd, and K4YZ, N0VFP, AB8MQ, N9OGL, & others are like
> shit flies that love to land and feed on it.
>
> If we can flush the turd, the shit flies might go with it, but to have our
> radio groups back the shit Flies have to go too. They're as bad as the
> turd.
>
> Please help flush the turd, and smack a shit fly if you see one.
>
> Tnx, 73
> de Slow Code
>
> Save Ham Radio - Save CW.
>
>
You're language is horrible. Do you use these kind of words on the
radio?

Save this newsgroup - Quit using profanity and name calling

Chris

514. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:15:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kq96i2t9p6vomlu4fq1n3jgs8ga7tmr9ur@4ax.com>
Source record: 166531

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 02:14:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

in you have now heard from no one ata ll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

515. slwo code advocates death to cber's poor sick fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:17:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ls96i25gtkaidg6kn1ulfvf4985bnigcbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166532
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>you think?
I think you are one sick fuck sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

516. Re: heil online must suck to be woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:18:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dv96i2l573svan88hahslp7clo2laoi5q9@4ax.com>
Source record: 166533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 3 Oct 2006 19:36:07 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
faker
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

517. The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:18:53 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159931932.981320.19730@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>

>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>

>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let

> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>

>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely

> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:

> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>

> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in

> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:

> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.

>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:

> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again

> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:

> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$14...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$11m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"

> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him

> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes

> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>konstans@hotmail.com
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>

> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>
> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop

> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes extremely
> > well.
>
> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes
> from others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the
> whole article came from him.
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."
>
>
> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>
> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
> "The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>
> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>
> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following

> stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"
>
> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>
> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
> champ whined
> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
> make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."
>
>
> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
> proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> ""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."
>
>
> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "What a losser."
>
>
> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
> in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
> following Ebonics in message
> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
> him and posts in Ebonics,
> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
> in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"
>
>

> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
> gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
> presented to him
> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
> pondered the question of
> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"
>
>
> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
> and ignorance about family names and their origins in
> <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>
>
> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
> his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
> "The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
> anything, to dissuade or stop
> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>
>
> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
> his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
> little face"
>
> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
> to
> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>
> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
> "Curtian Boy"

518. Re: It's easy to tell whenwoger is online the ng is treated to filth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:19:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a0a6i2lloaeo1qobqduh0pku66u9aihoi8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.gay

On 3 Oct 2006 19:44:59 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:

noth said
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

519. Re: The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:21:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159932097.934845.247830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

slow_code_bitch_...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
can you typ anothing of your own woger

520. Re: Proposal 3 (US Hams)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:22:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159932125.628438.115220@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

U-Know-Who wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:n046i2tn6eil67cn8d0q4f6do782k5mi4c@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 23:15:13 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Not if it keeps you off HF.
> > but it doesn't tom you know that
> >>
>
> BTW, you never did say what you use the AL-80 for. Keeping warm in the
> winter?
i don't own one you are showing that you can't or don't read the posts
your coment very well

521. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:23:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159932209.047660.209940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1159926527.294712.251290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > U-Know-Who wrote:
> >> "
> >
> >>
> >> Maybe so, but he types and spells just like you.
> > nope and he also he one the two hams responible for turning the Radio
> > NG into a cespool him and robeson
> >
>
> Don't leave yourself out.
in Fact I dfo leave myself out of that gruop Tom

Why Becuase I only respond to their attacks on me Self defense is a
right and duty Tom

522. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:34:23 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3ZFUg.25353$DU3.7591@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159932209.047660.209940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1159926527.294712.251290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > U-Know-Who wrote:
>> >> "
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Maybe so, but he types and spells just like you.
>> > nope and he also he one the two hams responible for turning the Radio
>> > NG into a cespool him and robeson
>> >
>>
>> Don't leave yourself out.
> in Fact I dfo leave myself out of that gruop Tom
>
> Why Becuase I only respond to their attacks on me Self defense is a
> right and duty Tom
>

You couldn't defend yourself from even a toy poodle, verbally or physically.
```

523. Re: forgin woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:36:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ova6i2du1nf00qtjocmd1dp2bhhvtlbuuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 166540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:34:23 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>You couldn't defend yourself from even a toy poodle, verbally or physically.

hmm well consideringnng that my principle Robeson has been all but
driven off and my other foes are total frustarted in their efforts you
are wrong about my being able to denfend myself with Text having never
seen or spoken with the rest you can't know but at least I am the
coward you are
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

524. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:39:33 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <V1GUg.25354$DU3.20534@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166541

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:kq96i2t9p6vomlu4fq1n3jgs8ga7tmr9ur@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 02:14:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
> in you have now heard from no one ata ll
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

WTF is this shit, Markie? My God man/heshe/shemale! Can you not see what a
moron you are? Look at your blog. Get someone with an IQ above the freezing
point of water to help you fix the spelling errors.
accouts of Kosher ham
the sometime idle rambing a Ham that happens to be jewish besxaul and a Ham
radio operator

525. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:45:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3hb6i2d97teecor0naquabbtrln35nepb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 166542

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:39:33 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:kq96i2t9p6vomlu4fq1n3jgs8ga7tmr9ur@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 02:14:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> in you have now heard from no one ata ll
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>WTF is this shit, Markie?
what shit Tom

you are heading to way of ole woger these day take a chill pill
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

526. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:49:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159933787.890932.73150@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166543

Iitoi wrote:
> RST Engineering Wrote:
> > The two of you jerks have made a cesspool out of .misc and .policy.
> > Take this crap to the sewer you have made for yourselves and don't foul
> > this nest with your rantings and ravings.
> >
>
> Thanks, Jim.
>
> I have it on good authority that a new rrap (moderated) is under
> construction and that the cesspool contents like Mark and Steve will be
> unwelcome.
strangly what i hear about the project is that I certainly will be
allowed to post there althoough the moderators temper frankly makes the
whole game look rather suspect

527. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:52:35 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <7eGUg.25355$DU3.12217@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166544

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:3hb6i2d97teecor0naquabbtrln35nepb9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:39:33 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>>news:kq96i2t9p6vomlu4fq1n3jgs8ga7tmr9ur@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 02:14:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> in you have now heard from no one ata ll
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
>>WTF is this shit, Markie?
> what shit Tom

This Markie:

accouts of Kosher ham
the sometime idle rambing a Ham that happens to be jewish besxaul and a Ham
radio operator

528. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:54:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u2c6i2h0iam978sn4hfpc6k31eps3n0jmr@4ax.com>
Source record: 166545

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:52:35 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>>>
>>>WTF is this shit, Markie?
>> what shit Tom
>
>
>This Markie:
>
>
>accouts of Kosher ham
>the sometime idle rambing a Ham that happens to be jewish besxaul and a Ham
>radio operator
like I said what shit Tom

I at least am not the coward you are
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

529. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:56:44 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0iGUg.25356$DU3.17998@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166546

```
"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:7eGUg.25355$DU3.12217@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:3hb6i2d97teecor0naquabbtrln35nepb9@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:39:33 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>>>news:kq96i2t9p6vomlu4fq1n3jgs8ga7tmr9ur@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 02:14:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> in you have now heard from no one ata ll
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>>
>>>
>>>WTF is this shit, Markie?
>> what shit Tom
>
>
> This Markie:
>
>
> accouts(Accounts) of(a) Kosher ham
> the(The) sometime(sometimes) idle rambing(ramblings)(of) a Ham that
> happens to be jewish besxaul(bisexual) and a Ham radio operator

There goofball. Now can you see how badly you butchered what you intended to
write? Idiot!
```

530. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 03:59:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159934341.015863.233690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166547

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:7eGUg.25355$DU3.12217@tornado.texas.rr.com...

> There goofball. Now can you see how badly you butchered what you intended to
> write? Idiot!
obviously you understood completely Tom therefore comuncation was
achieved therefore I butchered nothing at all

531. Re: Roger likes clean girls...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 04:10:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k1d6i2pa61mf2pbhi1cmhqeteseoood5hd@4ax.com>
Source record: 166548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 00:04:31 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>Roger met this gal at the Corrections Center last weekend during his "stay".
>Roger was refusing to take his Thorazine and the gal, who is a guard there,
>knocked him on his butt, cuffed him, forced him to take his medications, and
>sent him back to his cell. Roger kept whining that she reminded him of
>somebody he used to date when he was in the Air Force.
>
>After wrestling for five minutes with Roger to get him cuffed and medicated,
>she went immediately to the showers to wash the Roger Residue from her. She
>later told the shift supervisor that if this ever happened again that she
>was going to "beat that little pervert black and blue..".

peeping into showers now steve you are one sick prevert
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

532. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 04:12:52 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <8xGUg.25358$DU3.6162@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166549

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159934341.015863.233690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> news:7eGUg.25355$DU3.12217@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
>> There goofball. Now can you see how badly you butchered what you intended
>> to
>> write? Idiot!
> obviously you understood completely Tom therefore comuncation was
> achieved therefore I butchered nothing at all
>

Next lesson is punctuation, Goofball. It is required.
```

533. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 04:23:41 UTC
From: Salah Jafar <codeman128@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <hHGUg.4394$lf3.228@trnddc07>
Source record: 166550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Go legit asshole. Stealing is not worth it.
SJ

534. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 04:41:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159936881.342951.282340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166551

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "

> Next lesson is punctuation, Goofball. It is required.
you lack the power to require anything of me

you also lack the intelegence to understand that fact and you lack any
manners I ivtie you into to my world andwhat do I get instaed of thanks
you I get your puting my loosey spelling on the same plan as Robesons
death threats and both of accusing me of child moletsing

one day maybe you will grow up and get a life but I doubt as you nick
tells you are nameless loser: your wting shows you to be frustrated
school marm type

535. Wabbit Goes Kook Award-Hunting! Re: heil online must suck to be from WV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 05:16:42 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.05.16.39.833451@hell.corn>
Source record: 166552
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.music.michael-jackson,alt.baldspot

On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 19:36:07 -0700, Wabbit, aka kb9rqz69, did the cha-cha,
and screamed:
> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes
>> > extremely well.
>>
>> BWHAHAHAHA Oh? The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes from
>> others and adds a few of his own comments so it appears that the whole
>> article came from him.
>>
>> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
>> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>>
>> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
>> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>: "Translation: Woger can't
>> figure out how to operate a dictionary, let alone correct someone else's
>> language.But that's not surprising from someone
>> who things that..."
>>
>>
>> Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
>>
>> improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
>> <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>: "The word "operate" can be a
>> synonym "use" in certain contexts"
>>
>> Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of
>>
>> music as he is in everything else when he utters the following stupidity
>> in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>: "Eric Clapton even wrote a song
>> about Leila!"
>>
>> Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
>> slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>: "I hope
>> yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."
>>
>> Of, course, Arf! Oaf! can't write any better either:
>>
>> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
>> fucks up again
>> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:

>> "Jealoius?"
>>
>>
>> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee champ
>> whined
>> about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>: "Sisnce it is
>> Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and make some anoinymous
>> poists about Stagger Lee."
>>
>>
>> Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady proclaims his
>> ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>: ""Fantasises" That is
>> "fantasizes", Wussman."
>>
>>
>> Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass in
>> <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
>> "What a losser."
>>
>>
>> The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again in
>> <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
>> "either of Roger's moist cavaties."
>>
>>
>> "Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the following
>> Ebonics in message
>> news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
>> "Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."
>>
>>
>> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to him and
>> posts in Ebonics,
>> the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown in
>> <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
>> "Curtian Boy"
>>
>>
>> Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
>> <DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com> gibbered incoherently when
>> the prospect of fellating a dog is presented to him
>> in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
>> "Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"
>>
>>
>> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ pondered
>> the question of
>> (corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
>> <bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
>> "Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

>>
>>
>> NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
>> <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism, and ignorance about
>> family names and their origins in <bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
>> "Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"
>>
>>
>> Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on his dick
>> again in his "posts" <sic>
>> (of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>: "The trouble is, LRod, that
>> your findings will do little, if anything, to dissuade or stop
>> the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".
>>
>>
>> Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz>
>> gets egg on his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
>> <bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
>> "...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome little
>> face"
>>
>> Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
>> "DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
>> the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
>> "Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
>> to
>> retest? Besides yourself, that is."
>>
>> Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
>> while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>: "Curtian Boy"

Keep it up, Wabbit!

--

____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID: 12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

536. Re: Found on da Flonk Image Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 05:36:55 UTC
From: Jerry Francis <jerryf@eiko-ltd.com>
Message-ID: <Xns985267523172eikolighting@81.174.50.80>
Source record: 166553
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.baldspot,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,alt.fucknozzles,alt.fan.art-bell

The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote in news:pan.2006.09.26.04.30.29.997090@hell.corn:

> http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509
>
> Try to grasp this, insipid fucksnot: _I_ don't care what /you/ think, and
> I find your alleged mind-reading capabilities most amusing. I overreached
> myself? I'm not Outer Filth, unlike John Harrington and his buddies.
>

Wow, that's some attitude there, dude...

537. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 05:40:11 UTC
From: Barnard Peters <one@two.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet>
Source record: 166554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:

> None,
>
> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.

They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up dead US solders to reach the light bulb made by GE. Due to this huge expense, they pass more tax cuts for rich republicans so nobody (who counts) is hurt financially. Everybody's a winner! Right?

538. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 06:11:00 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1275236.dfpgGmA7nB@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 166556

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> Do you have any tips you could pass on for those teaching code to
> dyslexics? Or tips on learning code that I could pass on to a friend of
> mine?
>
> Dee, N8UZE

well,
audio taped presentations are a good way (so are code practice programs for windows or linux). These also work well for the blind ham as well (handy ham inc. has a complete line of audiotaped presentation for learning more code, etc).

CW does have a rhythm to it, so thinking in musical terms and teaching as such might also be of benefit.

There are possibly many more, but these two points I can think of right off.

DE N7ZZT
Phoenix, Arizona

539. Re: VOTE! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 06:16:25 UTC
From: Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW <BiGWhiteGuy5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.06.16.22.258410@meow.fuckhead>
Source record: 166557
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.comp.virus,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.abortion,alt.atheism,alt.discordia

All Hail Fluffy!

Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen award: the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are many old favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann, Richard the St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo" Karczewski, BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going to dog you all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all crazy farrel or his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure salesman of alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8 Management Board. Up for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed Rhonda because she had my emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW it!" Cook, several of the aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie the spamming anti-spammer, Alan Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George Maloney the net.KKKop of rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the possibly-ersatz Woger Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the legendarily-lame wannabe troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell forgers and froggers apart from the real thing, just by reading headers" Arnold, J. Taylor & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth" school of thought ("Plate tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND WON!...What do you mean, I have to track them down and bring them into the proper jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the world?" Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself psychiatric help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo), Double-A, Ken "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I don't have to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that there 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again, Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want to use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time getting each other off (verbally).

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote Wrangler wrote:

> Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
> Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified, mocked,
> burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at the Vote
> Wrangler's discretion if:
>
> * you are a notorious net.kook
> * you attempt to vote twice
> * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you vote
> for or against yourself

> * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a newsgroup
> other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow up to an
> incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have explicitly been
> disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have officially been declared
> non-existent
>
> Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have until
> 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes. Vote
> wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
>
> See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
> <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
>
> -
>
>
> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>
> Daryl Hunt
> Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> Ernest Primeau
> Hyerdahl of soc.men
> Pamela K Russell
> Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> Richard M Scoville
> Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> The Big Eight Management Board
> Will Dockery
> none of the above
> abstain
>
> KOOK OF THE MONTH
> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>
> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> Douglas of alt.magick
> Dustin Cook
> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> none of the above
> abstain
>
> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>
> Dustin Cook
> Jill of soc.men
> Vinny Barbarino
> none of the above

> abstain
>
> COWARD OF THE MONTH
> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>
> Dustin Cook
>
> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> Alan Jones
> William Barwell
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
> Wabbit
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> Duane Arnold
> Ernest Primeau
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> Dr. Avenger
> J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> PALMJOB PADDLE
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> Daryl Hunt
> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> BALSA GAVEL
> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>

> Tim Bolen
>
> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>
> Richard M. Scoville
>
> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> alt.atheism
> Douglas of alt.magick
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>
> Dustin Cook
>
> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>
> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> neither of the above
> abstain
>
> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>
> Ernest Primeau
>
> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>
> bob&carole
> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> Kantica22
> none of the above
> abstain
>
> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>
> Double-A, trained by Art Deco
> Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> none of the above
> abstain

>
> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>
> Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
> abstain
>
> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>
> Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> William Barwell
> abstain
>
> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>
> Marcus Cox and Russ H
> The Big-8 Management Board
> abstain
>
> -

--
____
Hail Eris! All Hail Discordia! Kallisti!
Flonk Leader #2 & #11 1/9; mhm 29 x 21
Awl Hale Teh Mity Poast Kount!
The meow.fuckhead
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
Economic Left/Right: -7.63
Social Libertarian/Authoritarian: -7.38

540. Re: Wabbit Goes Kook Award-Hunting!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 06:43:57 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bs75s.q97.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166555
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Demon Prince of Absurdity" <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote in
message news:pan.2006.10.04.05.16.39.833451@hell.corn...
On Tue, 03 Oct 2006 19:36:07 -0700, Wabbit, aka kb9rqz69, did the cha-cha,
and screamed:
> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> > Groan! Don't flatter yourself, Mark. Stagger Lee speaks and writes
>> > extremely well.

>> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
>> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
.googlegroups.com>

Hear Ye!
Now comes Woger Wabbit, chastising and cajoling others for their spelling
gaffes. To quote "Wabbit", "Oh, the irony."
Below are actual misspellings garnered from Woger Wabbit's comments over the
last two years. None are embellished upon save for the author's comments.
Obviously, Wabbit doesn't know how to operate a dictionary.
(Step on in "operating" a dictionary, Wabbit...open the darned thing!)

"sweallow" West Virginia phonics.

"srarrions". Your guess is as good as mine on this one.

"aboiut". Well, it's about "tiome".

"equivilent" Equi.what?

"Jealoius". Jelly? What?

"Sodmy". "C'mon over and you can sodmy yard". Dave Heil.

"Somebosy". Tech school talk?

"proive" The proife is in the pudding?

"moutgh" Rog has a moutgh full.

"spens". Do you spend it or spin it?

"Certailny: Woger is certailny stupid.

"Iliterate" What's an L here and there?

"entiorely" West Virginia phonics again.

"apoloigize" Only in Woger's warped mind.

"wiuth" Wiuth what??

"exaggerarte" Good one.

"eteranl" As in forever?

"ionly" Ions? Lonely? Who knows?

"expossed" Still no spell check.

"workibg" As in working?

"assulted" Darned typos!

"Georgaphy." Georgia on his mind?

"remibndded" It is hard to talk with your mouth full.

"corrpuption" Is that a dog?

"molsetred" Only 2Test knows.

"Whinyng". What?

"Plagairizing". Geesh

"emnpty" The inside of Roger's head.

"rloer" Not sure what Roger means here.

"lilusp" Woger meant to thay lithp.

"poat" Post?

"publich" Public?

"ashamerd" Les ain't ashamerd of Roger.

"agasin". Play it agasin, Sam.

"woamn"

"doens't" Dagnabbit, Timmy.

"hyurt"

"chcienshit" You figure this one out.

"imginary" Hey, Rog. Another typo?

"asck" Sack or ask?

"Ultimte" Hooked on Phonics?

"greade" First or Second?

"attentiopn" Hooked on phonics, are we?

"copuple" Damn. Lloyd moved my keys around again.

"licvense" What Riley makes you retest for.

"havfe" Half? Halve? Have? Who knows.

"Baout" Bout? About?

"unsubstantiateed" It gets better.

"pompousity" Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

"suergery" That is Devry for ya.

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

Becasue

Licence

Convienince

Myabe

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)
"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.

"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

541. Re: Hail Eris---Eater of Corn Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 07:38:51 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159947531.328299.317510@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166558
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality

Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW wrote:
> All Hail Fluffy!
>
> Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen award:
> the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are many old
> favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann, Richard the
> St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo" Karczewski,
> BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going to dog you
> all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all crazy farrel or
> his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure salesman of
> alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8 Management Board. Up
> for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed Rhonda because she had my
> emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW it!" Cook, several of the
> aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie
> the spamming anti-spammer, Alan Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George
> Maloney the net.KKKop of rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the
> possibly-ersatz Woger Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the
> legendarily-lame wannabe troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell
> forgers and froggers apart from the real thing, just by reading
> headers" Arnold, J. Taylor & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth"
> school of thought ("Plate tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND
> WON!...What do you mean, I have to track them down and bring them into
> the proper jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the
> world?" Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself
> psychiatric help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo),
> Double-A, Ken "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I
> don't have to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that
> there 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again,
> Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want to
> use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time getting
> each other off (verbally).
>
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote Wrangler
> wrote:
>
> > Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
> > Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified, mocked,
> > burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at the Vote
> > Wrangler's discretion if:
> >
> > * you are a notorious net.kook
> > * you attempt to vote twice
> > * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you vote

> > for or against yourself
> > * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a newsgroup
> > other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow up to an
> > incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have explicitly been
> > disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have officially been declared
> > non-existent
> >
> > Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have until
> > 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes. Vote
> > wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
> >
> > See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
> > <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
> >
> > -
> >
> >
> > RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
> > (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Daryl Hunt
> > Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> > Ernest Primeau
> > Hyerdahl of soc.men
> > Pamela K Russell
> > Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> > Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> > Richard M Scoville
> > Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> > The Big Eight Management Board
> > Will Dockery
> > none of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > KOOK OF THE MONTH
> > (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > Douglas of alt.magick
> > Dustin Cook
> > Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > none of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
> > (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Dustin Cook
> > Jill of soc.men
> > Vinny Barbarino

> > none of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > COWARD OF THE MONTH
> > (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >
> > Dustin Cook
> >
> > LOONEY MAROON AWARD
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Alan Jones
> > William Barwell
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
> > Wabbit
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Duane Arnold
> > Ernest Primeau
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Dr. Avenger
> > J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > PALMJOB PADDLE
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Daryl Hunt
> > Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > BALSA GAVEL
> > (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)

> >
> > Tim Bolen
> >
> > GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
> > (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >
> > Richard M. Scoville
> >
> > GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > alt.atheism
> > Douglas of alt.magick
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
> > (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >
> > Dustin Cook
> >
> > UNABOMBER SURPRISE
> > (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > neither of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
> > (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >
> > Ernest Primeau
> >
> > BUSTED URINAL AWARD
> > (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > bob&carole
> > Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > Kantica22
> > none of the above
> > abstain
> >
> > BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
> > (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >
> > Double-A, trained by Art Deco
> > Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> > Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> > none of the above

> > abstain
> >
> > OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
> > (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >
> > Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> > Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
> > abstain
> >
> > OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
> > (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >
> > Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> > William Barwell
> > abstain
> >
> > MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
> > (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >
> > Marcus Cox and Russ H
> > The Big-8 Management Board
> > abstain
> >
> > -
>
> --
> ____
> Hail Eris! All Hail Discordia! Kallisti!
> Flonk Leader #2 & #11 1/9; mhm 29 x 21
> Awl Hale Teh Mity Poast Kount!
> The meow.fuckhead
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
> Economic Left/Right: -7.63
> Social Libertarian/Authoritarian: -7.38

Hail Eris---Eater of Corn Shit

542. Re: Roger likes clean girls...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 07:45:27 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159947927.746136.121530@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166559
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 00:04:31 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> >Roger met this gal at the Corrections Center last weekend during his "stay".
> >Roger was refusing to take his Thorazine and the gal, who is a guard there,
> >knocked him on his butt, cuffed him, forced him to take his medications, and
> >sent him back to his cell. Roger kept whining that she reminded him of
> >somebody he used to date when he was in the Air Force.
> >
> >After wrestling for five minutes with Roger to get him cuffed and medicated,
> >she went immediately to the showers to wash the Roger Residue from her. She
> >later told the shift supervisor that if this ever happened again that she
> >was going to "beat that little pervert black and blue..".
>
> peeping into showers now steve you are one sick prevert
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

543. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 07:46:16 UTC
From: BERTIE PRIMEAU <BERTPRIMEAU@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <1159947976.035279.29440@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> Mark Morgan wrote:
> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> > >
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> > >>
> > >> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > >>>
> > >
> > > Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
> > > ganitals.
> > >
> > > My blog
> > > http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --

ARE YOU SURE YOU ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT ERNIE PRIMEAU , THE SNAKEOILER ,
HERE ? BERT

>
> But Marqueer, My Dear.....You have eaten my shit, as well as
> tasted your own love juices on my cock!
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

544. Re: Hail Eris---Eater of Corn Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 07:50:14 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1159948214.691638.251280@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166561
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry Francis wrote:
> The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote in
> news:pan.2006.09.26.04.30.29.997090@hell.corn:
>
>
> > http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509
> >
> > Try to grasp this, insipid fucksnot: _I_ don't care what /you/ think, and
> > I find your alleged mind-reading capabilities most amusing. I overreached
> > myself? I'm not Outer Filth, unlike John Harrington and his buddies.
> >
>
> Wow, that's some attitude there, dude...

545. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 08:59:06 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bsf39.o98.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Barnard Peters" <one@two.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet...
On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:

> None,
>
> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.

They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up dead US solders to reach the light bulb made by GE. Due to this huge expense, they pass more tax cuts for rich republicans so nobody (who counts) is hurt financially. Everybody's a winner! Right?
=
Heck. Maybe The Slickster and Janet could have used Halliburton to rebuild the World Trade Center the first time it was bombed. Or, and get this...how about if Halliburton would have rebuilt the USS Cole? Or the Marine barracks in Lebanon?
I bet a little bit of that Halliburton money could have easily rebuilt the Waco compound that Reno turned into a crematory...
But wait! Clinton was far too busy granting amnesty to home-grown terrorists (get that Hillary vote) while spending monies given him from Communist China.
And Clinton's gal, the Atty. General who advocated masturbation? Jocylen? Didn't she go obscure right after her son was arrested for drug sales?

Oh, and not let us forget Roger Clinton, the half-brother druggie supreme who snorted more Cocaine than a vacuum sweeper.

And last, but most importantly. 95% of the wealthiest people in this country pay 80% of the taxes gathered. The low earners, the ones you support, pay a pittance compared to the rich. Your claim is pure Democrat poppycock.

546. Re: Roger likes clean girls...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 09:01:58 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bsf8l.out.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote in message
news:1159947927.746136.121530@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 00:04:31 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> >Roger met this gal at the Corrections Center last weekend during his
"stay".
> >Roger was refusing to take his Thorazine and the gal, who is a guard
there,
> >knocked him on his butt, cuffed him, forced him to take his medications,
and
> >sent him back to his cell. Roger kept whining that she reminded him of
> >somebody he used to date when he was in the Air Force.
> >
> >After wrestling for five minutes with Roger to get him cuffed and
medicated,
> >she went immediately to the showers to wash the Roger Residue from her.
She
> >later told the shift supervisor that if this ever happened again that she
> >was going to "beat that little pervert black and blue..".
>
> peeping into showers now steve you are one sick prevert
.....

(Mark's newsgroups trimmed)

Once again Mark, you are proving yourself to be a true buffoon.

547. (OT) : BP - Another Classic Example Of The Liberal "All-Petered-Out Principle"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 09:12:52 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1159953172.444896.88340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Barnard Peters wrote:
> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>
> > None,
> >
> > They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
> They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up dead US
> solders to reach the light bulb made by GE. Due to this huge expense, they
> pass more tax cuts for rich republicans so nobody (who counts) is hurt
> financially. Everybody's a winner! Right?

BP - You post is a Classic Example of the
Liberal "All-Petered-Out Principle". ~ RHF
.
.
. .

548. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 09:34:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2ru6i2tde298tv866f520n3iv2v8vanj8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 166565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 03:59:06 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in <bsf39.o98.17.1@news.alt.net>:

>
>"Barnard Peters" <one@two.com> wrote in message
>news:pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet...
>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>
>> None,
>>
>> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
>They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up dead US
>solders to reach the light bulb made by GE. Due to this huge expense, they
>pass more tax cuts for rich republicans so nobody (who counts) is hurt
>financially. Everybody's a winner! Right?
>=
>Heck. Maybe The Slickster and Janet could have used Halliburton to rebuild
>the World Trade Center the first time it was bombed. Or, and get this...how
>about if Halliburton would have rebuilt the USS Cole? Or the Marine barracks
>in Lebanon?
>I bet a little bit of that Halliburton money could have easily rebuilt the
>Waco compound that Reno turned into a crematory...
>But wait! Clinton was far too busy granting amnesty to home-grown terrorists
>(get that Hillary vote)

http://archive.salon.com/news/col/cona/2001/02/27/pardons/index.html

> while spending monies given him from Communist
>China.

How do you think Bush is financing his war?

>And Clinton's gal, the Atty. General who advocated masturbation? Jocylen?
>Didn't she go obscure right after her son was arrested for drug sales?
>
>Oh, and not let us forget Roger Clinton, the half-brother druggie supreme
>who snorted more Cocaine than a vacuum sweeper.

http://www.hereinreality.com/familyvalues.html

>And last, but most importantly. 95% of the wealthiest people in this country
>pay 80% of the taxes gathered. The low earners, the ones you support, pay a
>pittance compared to the rich.

Yeah, those taxes are really putting the pinch on the well-to-do. We should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?

> Your claim is pure Democrat poppycock.

Go blow a goat.

549. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:19:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9m17i2lnqaqqkvmnh98omcu87mp6qmmq49@4ax.com>
Source record: 166569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 05:46:30 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in <bslcl.g2b.17.1@news.alt.net>:

>
>
>
>Yeah, those taxes are really putting the pinch on the well-to-do. We
>should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the
>streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?
>
>The Homeless are not paying taxes. WE are paying for their medical care. We,
>as in ME!

You think you are the only one? Get a clue. Supporting those who have
no means to support themselves is one of the costs of living in a
civilized society. If that concept evades your understanding, how
about a translation from the Big Dummy's Guide to Republican Values:
"We pay off the beggars so they don't become theives and steal our
shit, or get restless and overthrow the politicians that we bought and
paid for with our hard-earned money."

>And I dare you to show me a single instance of the IRS "shaking down" the
>homeless. Name ONE instance and back it up with facts.
>Show me where the IRS is attacking senior citizens.

Where did I say they did?

> Seems to me it was Al
>Gore who increased the taxes on Social Security...monies that our Senior
>Citizens rely on to live from day to day.
>It was Al Gore who placed a "fee" on everybody's phone bills and wouldn't
>exempt the Seniors.

http://www.ssa.gov/history/InternetMyths2.html

>Blow a goat? How about if you tell the truth?

I just did.

550. Beer Enemas and other comments...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:35:23 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bsknq.dk6.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aJKUg.6738$o71.6329@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:

> kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>
>>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 11:46:01 -0700, kb9rqz69 did not most oddly state:
>>>
>>>>Answer the question, morkins.....After all, you are the one posting
>>>>about squirting beer up your ass!
>>>
>>>You're not overly well-acquainted with the concept of "humour", are you,
>>>guys?
>
>
> He's serious, Markie has doe that, fuckhead.
>

Mwhahahahhahahaha, isn't it done? Nice try DUMBASS!

The series of questions to Mark was done with a small bit of humor, but were
based upon statements Markie had made in the past.
Yes, it was "jest" but Mark indeed has admitted on several occasions that he
self-administers beer enemas to himself. Really! The fool actually admits to
it!
Mark Morgan, the admitted Pagan slash Jew slash Bisexual once commented that
he uses beer enemas to lessen the pain of his rectal issues/hemorrhoids.
Mark said that beer aids in lessening the nagging pain of his bothersome
hemorrhoids.
We simply took it a step further in that some of us wanted to know if Mark
prefers warm beer to cold, if he uses a small hose vs. a garden hose, if he
administers Lite or Miller or Buttweiser and if he does so while standing,
sitting or plopping his dyslexic ass over the neighborhood bar.
While I couldn't care much, the mental image of this dyslexic shoving a
rubber tube up his rectum and pouring 12 ounces of Milwaukee's Best into a
funnel does present a humorous image. Does Mark do this in the privacy of
his own bathroom? Or does he sneak outside behind his car so he can "spew"
into the grass afterwards?
And you gotta admit. Anybody who'd post a blog wherein they tell everybody
that they are bisexual is reason enough to laugh at the clown. And beer
enemas? More reason to laugh.
Put the two together? Wow! You have, in effect, a Doofus 42 year old man who

is sure of his dual sexual proclivities, and one who uses beer in a manner that leaves the rest of us laughing.

Hey, Mark. I bet you were quite the attraction at your high school Keggers. While your buddies were holding the keg hose over their mugs to fill them, you were standing by waiting to shove the hose into your posterior to relieve those inflamed veins in your well-used rectum.

So, bottom line for you AUK landers who thought we were joking. Not so! Mark really DOES use beer as an enema.
Mark is on record as stating that he doesn't drink. That never stopped him from shoving a beer up his ass.

551. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:37:49 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bsksc.e4f.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah...like I'm going to waste my time following and reading your Leftista links....

Guess agan...

552. Re: SkyWave 2879AB Mobile RF Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:40:13 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <fb850a3b1d69907bc6acbbff2d2ac250@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,alt.test,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

```
In article <a1b5i2d462rpn3ud1bhns89rgsk89mnm94@4ax.com>
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> On 2 Oct 2006 08:27:58 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> forgin woger the kook

666
```

553. Re: You can lead Woger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Woger AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:40:37 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <df5d9bb7382d587ead663db7d22d6cd6@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <fsa5i21mhq7fu8arpnq796tc8u674ovaf4@4ax.com>
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> On 2 Oct 2006 04:58:01 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> forgin woger the kook
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Yawn.
```

554. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:46:30 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bslcl.g2b.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, those taxes are really putting the pinch on the well-to-do. We should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?

The Homeless are not paying taxes. WE are paying for their medical care. We, as in ME!
And I dare you to show me a single instance of the IRS "shaking down" the homeless. Name ONE instance and back it up with facts.
Show me where the IRS is attacking senior citizens. Seems to me it was Al Gore who increased the taxes on Social Security...monies that our Senior Citizens rely on to live from day to day.
It was Al Gore who placed a "fee" on everybody's phone bills and wouldn't exempt the Seniors.

Blow a goat? How about if you tell the truth?

555. Secwet Woger, CW Man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 10:46:51 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <200117833.2458789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 166573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>
> I'm glad to admit I lied and am a total fuck up.

Finally, Woger 'fesses up.

..-.-

556. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 11:22:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ns47i2d0vukvtt1ekblveg46fn1qpdf87s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 06:45:32 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in <bsora.ssi.17.1@news.alt.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:9m17i2lnqaqqkvmnh98omcu87mp6qmmq49@4ax.com...
>On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 05:46:30 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
><DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in <bslcl.g2b.17.1@news.alt.net>:
>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yeah, those taxes are really putting the pinch on the well-to-do. We
>>should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the
>>streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?
>>
>>The Homeless are not paying taxes. WE are paying for their medical care.
>We,
>>as in ME!
>
>
>You think you are the only one? Get a clue. Supporting those who have
>no means to support themselves is one of the costs of living in a
>civilized society. If that concept evades your understanding, how
>about a translation from the Big Dummy's Guide to Republican Values:
>"We pay off the beggars so they don't become theives and steal our
>shit, or get restless and overthrow the politicians that we bought and
>paid for with our hard-earned money."
>
>
>>And I dare you to show me a single instance of the IRS "shaking down" the
>>homeless. Name ONE instance and back it up with facts.
>>Show me where the IRS is attacking senior citizens.
>
>
>Where did I say they did?
>
>
>> Seems to me it was Al
>>Gore who increased the taxes on Social Security...monies that our Senior
>>Citizens rely on to live from day to day.
>>It was Al Gore who placed a "fee" on everybody's phone bills and wouldn't
>>exempt the Seniors.

>
>
>http://www.ssa.gov/history/InternetMyths2.html
>
>
>>Blow a goat? How about if you tell the truth?
>
>
>I just did.
>
>"Where did I say they did?"
>
>Well, I copied and pasted your comment that, "by sending IRS agents out on
>the
>streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens"
>I guess that constitutes you saying "they did".

A poor 'hack-and-attack' interpretation. Read the entire quote: "We
should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the
streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?"

>I don't "need a clue" as that overused trite phrase of yours indicates.
>That is what I like about Liberals. Catch phrases such as "get a clue" or,
>"smell the coffee" are so easy to use.

Yes, and "poppycock" is so much more chic.

>So..living in a "civilized society", according to you, requires that I
>support the non-workers? The lazy? The druggies? Since when? According to
>who? You?
>What gives YOU the authority to tell me that I should support the lazy, the
>unemployed?

I didn't invent civilization. If you want to abandon it, that's up to
you. Just remember that benefits don't come without responsibilities.

>Maybe you should get a copy of The Dummies Guide of Mooching.
>Liberals are all too quick to "feel sorry" for the lazy, the non-earners but
>do little to help them. Oh, yeah..you want to give THEM my tax dollars...but
>to what end?

I don't want to give them my tax dollars any more than you do. I would
much rather they earn their own livings and make contributions to
society like the rest of us. But not all of them are malingerers; many
of them have legitimate reasons for not being productive members of
society. The most common reasons are psychological and emotional
dysfunction, so I am very much in favor of providing mental health
treatment for anyone. That's how to attack the cause of a problem
(mental health) instead of throwing money at its effects (poverty).
Now how does that make me a liberal?

>How about we turn that around in that, "We pay off the beggars so they don't
>become theives and steal our shit", and we do it with more and more taxes

>and handouts. Of course the beggars remain thieves, they count on the "dole"
>and they will steal you and me blind, given the opportunity.
>
>What have you solved???

I agree -- the Republican plan doesn't work.

557. Re: Found on da Flonk Image Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 11:23:12 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.11.23.09.915957@hell.corn>
Source record: 166576
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.baldspot,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,alt.fucknozzles,alt.fan.art-bell

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 05:36:55 +0000, Jerry Francis did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>
>> http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509
>>
>> Try to grasp this, insipid fucksnot: _I_ don't care what /you/ think,
>> and I find your alleged mind-reading capabilities most amusing. I
>> overreached myself? I'm not Outer Filth, unlike John Harrington and his
>> buddies.
>
> Wow, that's some attitude there, dude...

Thanks, I made it myself! Meanwhile, more from the Outer Filth of rmcr:

http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509

From: Stephen Harper (Tue Oct 3 19:08:39 2006)
> I'm fucking ashamed that this fucking cripple is fucking Canadian.

I'm fucking ashamed that the Outer Filth hiding behind that fucking
obvious sockpuppet, lame as he is, would still make a better prime
minister than that fuckwit in Ottawa.

--

Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:

12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

558. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 11:45:32 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bsora.ssi.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:9m17i2lnqaqqkvmnh98omcu87mp6qmmq49@4ax.com...
On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 05:46:30 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in <bslcl.g2b.17.1@news.alt.net>:

>
>
>
>Yeah, those taxes are really putting the pinch on the well-to-do. We
>should relieve the burden on the rich by sending IRS agents out on the
>streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens, huh?
>
>The Homeless are not paying taxes. WE are paying for their medical care.
We,
>as in ME!

You think you are the only one? Get a clue. Supporting those who have
no means to support themselves is one of the costs of living in a
civilized society. If that concept evades your understanding, how
about a translation from the Big Dummy's Guide to Republican Values:
"We pay off the beggars so they don't become theives and steal our
shit, or get restless and overthrow the politicians that we bought and
paid for with our hard-earned money."

>And I dare you to show me a single instance of the IRS "shaking down" the
>homeless. Name ONE instance and back it up with facts.
>Show me where the IRS is attacking senior citizens.

Where did I say they did?

> Seems to me it was Al
>Gore who increased the taxes on Social Security...monies that our Senior
>Citizens rely on to live from day to day.
>It was Al Gore who placed a "fee" on everybody's phone bills and wouldn't
>exempt the Seniors.

http://www.ssa.gov/history/InternetMyths2.html

>Blow a goat? How about if you tell the truth?

I just did.

"Where did I say they did?"

Well, I copied and pasted your comment that, "by sending IRS agents out on
the

streets to shake down the homeless and senior citizens"
I guess that constitutes you saying "they did".

I don't "need a clue" as that overused trite phrase of yours indicates.
That is what I like about Liberals. Catch phrases such as "get a clue" or, "smell the coffee" are so easy to use.
So..living in a "civilized society", according to you, requires that I support the non-workers? The lazy? The druggies? Since when? According to who? You?
What gives YOU the authority to tell me that I should support the lazy, the unemployed?

Maybe you should get a copy of The Dummies Guide of Mooching.
Liberals are all too quick to "feel sorry" for the lazy, the non-earners but do little to help them. Oh, yeah..you want to give THEM my tax dollars...but to what end?

How about we turn that around in that, "We pay off the beggars so they don't become theives and steal our shit", and we do it with more and more taxes and handouts. Of course the beggars remain thieves, they count on the "dole" and they will steal you and me blind, given the opportunity.

What have you solved???

559. Re: Secwet Woger, CW Man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 11:55:49 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bspej.r0n.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd Wiseman" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:200117833.2458789@tarrnews.net...
On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>
> I'm glad to admit I lied and am a total fuck up.

Finally, Woger 'fesses up.

..-.-

=======

...-
Yes, Woger admits he is a total fuck up.

Pansy Boy hides behind drawn curtains.

560. Re: SkyWave 2879AB Mobile RF Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:09:51 UTC
From: Telstar Electronics <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1159963791.833739.167900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,alt.test,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

www.telstar-electronics.com

561. Lloyd Wiseman, confesses to wanting to suck Roger off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:14:15 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964055.310190.172260@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman K8MN wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
> >
> > I'm glad you admitted you lied and are a total fuck up. .
>
> Finally, Woger, i 'fesses up. I want to suck your dick
>
> .._._

You sure do, now go rape Morgan instead. Like you did in Cincinnati.

562. Arf! Arf! asslicking woman, total fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:16:51 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964211.571948.72570@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Arf! Arf! wrote:

> Yes, Woger

Poor Arf! Arf! if he didn't lick somebody's ass in public he'd never
have much of interest to squeal.

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
fucks up again
in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
"Jealoius?"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
champ whined
about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
"Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."

Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"What a losser."

The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"either of Roger's moist cavaties."

"Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
following Ebonics in message
news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
"Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
him and posts in Ebonics,
the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
presented to him
in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:

"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
pondered the question of
(corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
<bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
"Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
and ignorance about family names and their origins in
<bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
"Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"

Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
(of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
"The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
anything, to dissuade or stop
the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".

Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
<bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
"...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
little face"

Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
"DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
to
retest? Besides yourself, that is."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksucker@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when dog penises are presented to him to suck
in <9fpehvlih0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Oaf!" DonkeyDicksucker@Liberalz.com blathered out of his ass yet
he's still hiding behind
skirts when he does his Pee Wee Herman impersonation in
<aiq8q5$6h3$0@pita.alt.net>"
"The only one backpedaling is YOU, Roger. Come find me. Net Cop me."

Tough talk from a guy with tits who hides....poor ineffective Arf Oaf...

563. Shitlicking Markie the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:17:43 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964263.310252.195730@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> get flushed

Get to dying of your aids!

564. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:19:00 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964340.491345.204120@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> forgin

Child raping Markie raped kids just yesterday.

565. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:20:23 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964423.254807.103940@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>On 29 Sep 2006 05:05:14 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan" <ab8mqs@yahoo.com>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>an old friendless faggot wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>MarkMorgan@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>To a call forwarded number you set up, Markie?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> to the number you and he dug oh paranoid one
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Nope, to a call-forwarded number, oh, untruthful one...
> >>>>
> >>>>funny how you still never called despite your claims
> >>>
> >>>Why would one call a call-forwarded number when they know you won't
> >>>reach the right party, stupid?
> >>
> >>you are the one that looked up the number and posted it
> >
> >
> > Nope, wrong again, fucktard. You can't even keep your lies straight,
> > pervert.
> >
>
> Take a known homosexual like me to call anyone a pervert.

BWHAHAHAHAHA poor Billy he still lives in his parents' basement!
```

566. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:22:44 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964564.346576.119500@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> Now he's a fifty year old Momma's Boy.

2006-1955=50? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
Do much math, retard?

><IKYABWAI from the living over a coal mine fatass>

Poor Arf!Arf!, he lived in his mommie's house in the Cincinnati area
for years.

567. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:23:40 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964619.960088.142160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
Contacto wrote:
> In article <1159872385.343136.140890@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Raul wrote:
> > > In article <1159790281.127602.322340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Once again, Mork. I am not Steve.
> > > > > > no woger you are woger
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wrong again, fucktard. Now die of your aids!
> > > >
> > > Yawn.
> > >
> > Getting your mouth open to suck a dick?
>
>
> FOAD.

So you were, cocksucker!
```

568. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:25:31 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964730.029403.247340@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.privacy.anon-server

MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> forgin

Cocksucking Markie admits he has sucked dicks.

Marqueer Morgan, KB9RQZ admits he sucks cock in
<1150379423.544324.227310@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
"I do have gay sex partners"

569. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:26:25 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964785.778573.48150@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Raul wrote:
> In article <fsa5i21mhq7fu8arpnq796tc8u674ovaf4@4ax.com>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > On 2 Oct 2006 04:58:01 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> > <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > forgin woger the kook
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> Yawn.

You must want Markie's AIDS!

570. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:27:44 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159964864.859879.73010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > > Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.
> >
> > Really, Roagaine boy?
>
> It is spelled ROGAINE, Cocksucker.

You know, sincve you are a regular user, Chromedome?

571. Re: Wiseman Property Taxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:30:47 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XPNUg.2127$5o5.1178@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:bsma5.jed.17.1@news.alt.net...
> Just so you know...this is a screen shot of Roger's property tax
> summary...
>
>
>

Wow! They built mobile homes as early as 1928?

572. U Know who Property Taxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:34:14 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159965254.590557.176800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> Wow! They built mobile homes as early as 1928?

Then why does it say frame house? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA have fun in your
filthy trailer, white nigger.

573. Arf!Arf! likes underage girls...and golden showers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:38:16 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159965496.019667.129520@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 00:04:31 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> >Roger met this gal at the Corrections Center last weekend during his "stay".

Funny how the NRJ has no record of his "stay."

>Pe <flush!>

Go post pics of your shemale wife, Markie! Arf!Arf! likes golden
showers!

574. MY CHURCH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:39:12 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0a1m$3fl$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166591

http://i12.tinypic.com/4833vk6.jpg

575. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:40:09 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159965609.296999.141780@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > Lloyd Wiseman whined:
> >
> >>Woger
> >
> >
> > And you still worry about another man, faggot. Drunk on Sunday again,
> > fatty?
> >
>
> Still missing <IKYABWAI>

Still missing your daddy who used to molest you and the other young
boys in the neighborhood?
```

576. I TRIED COMPUTER DATING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:41:05 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0a57$7m2$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166593

http://i12.tinypic.com/2z5lick.jpg

577. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:41:59 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <r_NUg.2192$5o5.2166@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 166594

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159936881.342951.282340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> "
>
>> Next lesson is punctuation, Goofball. It is required.
> you lack the power to require anything of me
>
> you also lack the intelegence to understand that fact and you lack any
> manners I ivtie you into to my world andwhat do I get instaed of thanks
> you I get your puting my loosey spelling on the same plan as Robesons
> death threats and both of accusing me of child moletsing
>
> one day maybe you will grow up and get a life but I doubt as you nick
> tells you are nameless loser: your wting shows you to be frustrated
> school marm type
>

Oh my! You (ivtie) me into your world? No thanks. I'll pass on that one, good buddy. (loosey) spelling? You are hilarious in your futile crappie flopping around all subjects. Well, all except your sexual deviations and disgraceful use of beer. You seem to love talking about both.

Now, when I "grow up and get a life", does that mean I have to engage in sex with men and douche my ass with beer as you do? I'll pass.

578. DISABILITY IS GREAT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:45:09 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0acrfgl1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166595

http://i12.tinypic.com/2eds0om.jpg

579. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:45:42 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159965942.072491.175630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wikes Boys wrote:
> You are repetative

Learn how to spell it first, fatty!

580. I'M A DOUCHE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:46:29 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0afchfv1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166597

http://i12.tinypic.com/2exx5xx.jpg

581. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:46:38 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159965997.925464.254670@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flsuhed clean up ham radio

Talk to any unlicensed stations lately, fucktard?

582. IF AT FIRST I DON'T SUCK SEED I TRY AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:47:44 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0ahm$jd1$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166599

http://i12.tinypic.com/4dcaddv.jpg

583. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:47:59 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966079.265295.94620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >On 30 Sep 2006 21:48:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
> >>
> >>what shit woger
> >
> >
> > Wrong guess, dumbass!
> >
>
> Poor bubba sitting there in his West Virginia hovel.

Poor Billy, sitting on his fat ass in his parents' unfinished basement.

BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:

Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in
<gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC
enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They

don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have

committed rules violations."
Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC
has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules
infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC
rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
rules to readminister any examination element previously administered
by a volunteer examiner.

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
English skills, quite ironically in
<VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school
math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$vO.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy
is exposed. "It serves him right."
in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Deserves him right."

Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded
status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"

Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad
hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
<QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
"Sure I can spell and smell."

"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
<qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
more"

Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
slobber
in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Isn't that you mom weighed"

Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
<w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
your
so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."

Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in
<B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"You're whole life has been exhaled before."

Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
slobbering in
<MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."

"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"

"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>: "Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."

Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his blatant "stupidity" in <oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>: "Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one Scheissman.
You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"

Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>: "Woger cant spell very well anyway."

Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the English tutoring books there in WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are open."

Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in <4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."

Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from "experience"?) in <7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and the like. Speaking from experrience again?"

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in <my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>: "Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather than "Taod"?"

584. I'M A GAY ADOPTEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:48:41 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0ajflcn1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166651

http://i12.tinypic.com/3y2l8gx.jpg

585. Markie eats excrement on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:49:10 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966150.456781.213190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:22:49 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
> wrote:
>
> forgin woger

Markie the fuck up fucks up again!

586. VILLAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:49:26 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0aks$ls0$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166653

http://i12.tinypic.com/4hwqfds.jpg

587. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:50:16 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966216.334620.293410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flsuuhed

Get an English tutor.

588. I WAS FIRED FROM THE SPERM BANK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:50:21 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0amjnva1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166655

http://i12.tinypic.com/2s8rzpk.jpg

589. Markie Moron the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:52:45 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966365.736073.306770@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:34:23 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >You couldn't defend yourself from even a toy poodle, verbally or physically.
>
> hmm well consideringnng that my principle Robeson has been all but
> driven off and my other foes are total frustarted in their efforts you

You are the only one who seems frustrated, Marqueer. You. Nobody else.

> are wrong about my being able to denfend myself with Text having never

Yeah, your illiterate posts prove that! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! What a
moron.

> seen or spoken with the rest you can't know but at least I am the
> coward you are

PKB, fool!

590. Markie is Foley's boy toy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:54:04 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966444.279551.226560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old freindless faggot wrote:
> Omega One wrote:
> > On 3 Oct 2006 15:26:03 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> > > rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
> > >
> > > konstans@hotmail.com
> >
> > How many times did you get emails from former congressman Foley?
> many times but nothing about sex in them

You save that for your special phone chats, huh?

591. MY SONG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:54:41 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0aun$v0s$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

WELL THE SOUTH SIDE OF GLEN DALE
IS THE FAGGOT SIDE OF TOWN
AND IF YOU GO DOWN THERE YOU BEST BEWARE
OF A PUNK NAMED WOGER WISEMAN

NOW WOGER IS A FAGGOT
STAND ABOUT 5 FOOT FOUR
ALL THE DOWNTOWN JOHNS CALL HIM LOOSE SPHINCTER LOVER
HIS MOTHER CALL HIM 'HOE

CHORUS
AND IT'S BAD BAD WOGER WISEMAN
THE BADDEST PUNK IN THE WHOLE CELL BLOCK
BADDER THAN RICHARD SIMMONS
AND FATTER THAN NAT KING COLE

NOW WOGER HE'S A PUSSY
AND HE LIKES HIS PURPLE CLOTHES
HE LIKES TO WEAR HIS FISHNET HOSE,
UNDER EVERYONE'S NOSE
HE GOT CUSTOM PINK PANTIES
HE GOT A FRENCH TICKLE TOO
HE GOT A 32 INCH DILDO IN HIS POCKET FOR FUN
A VIBRATOR IN HIS SHOE

(REPEAT CHORUS)

NOW FRIDAY 'BOUT A WEEK AGO
WOGER SUCKIN' DICK
AND AT THE END OF THE YARD
SAT A BROTHER NAMED BINKIE
AND OO THAT GUY LOOKED NICE
WELL HE CAST HIS EYES UPON HIM
AND THE TROUBLE SOON BEGAN
CAUSE WOGER LEARNED A LESSON
BOUT MESSIN' WITH A PUNK OF A JEALOUS BITCH

WELL THE PUNKS TOOK TO BITCH SLAPPIN'
AND WHEN THEY PULLED THEM FROM THE BED
ROGER'S LARD ASS LOOKED LIKE A BLOODY PUZZLE
WITH AN EXTRA HOLE!

(REPEAT CHORUS)

592. Re: tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:55:04 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966504.054249.115350@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 3 Oct 2006 14:20:39 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
> wrote:
> forgin

Markie makes good spell! And guesses wrong again!

593. Re: It's easy to tell when KB9RQZ comes back, the group turns into a sewer again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:57:18 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159966638.195036.27460@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> KB9RQZ is like a turd, and K4YZ, N0VFP, AB8MQ, N9OGL, & others are like
> shit flies that love to land and feed on it.

And here you are as well, Sniveling Cunt.

> If we can flush the turd, the shit flies might go with it, but to have our
> radio groups back the shit Flies have to go too. They're as bad as the
> turd.

PKB.

> Please help flush the turd, and smack a shit fly if you see one.
> 7<SMACK!>

Bye bye shit fly!

594. SING ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 12:57:55 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0b4p$3r6$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

WOGER
(Xemblinkosky/Davies)

He lives in a trailor park somewhere in West Vagina
Where he drinks down cum in the faggot bars and it tastes just like his
mommy's Vagina,
See-oh-el-aye stinky Vagina!
He walked up to a fag and asked him to dance
And he'll tell you his name in a real girlie voice
El-oh-el-aye Woger, Wo-wo-wo-Woger!

Now Wiseman's not the most physical guy
But when he sucks your cock he'll inhale your spine
Oh my Woger Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
Wo-ger Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
Well Woger's real dumb and he can't understand
Why he walk like a woman but gets butt fucked like a man
Oh my Wo-ger Wo-Wo-Woger!

Well he drank a lot of cum and pranced all night,
Under his terrible overbite
Woger found a black man and sat down on his knee,
He said pretty brother will you make me a G?
Well that guy was a bum and wino but really fell for his Wo-ger
Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!
He push him to the floor
Woger got down on his knees
He looked at his pecker
He inhaled till he sneezed!

Well that is the way Woger wants to stay
Wo-Wo-Wo Wo-ger
Woger is a boy but dresses like a girl
He lives in a muddled faggot world
Wo-Wo-Wo Wo-ger

Well Woger left home just a week before
He'd only had sex with his mommy before
Well Woger's not the most masculine of fags
But he knows he is in drag,
Oh Wo-ger
Wo-Wo-Woger! Wo-Wo-Wo-Wo-ger!

595. Mark runs and hides behind spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:00:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159966806.899138.166290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> not by any action of woger

But you ARE crying, faggot.

596. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:01:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159966872.370112.176320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flushed and clean up ham radio

Talk to any illegal unlicensed stations lately, Marqueer?

597. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:02:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159966962.837280.61030@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> > > > power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> > > > was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
> > > >
> > > > Yep, All talk and no power.
> > > >
> > > > SC
> > >
> > > no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
> > > transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
> > > watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week
> > >
> > Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
>
> yeah right, Like I said we will have the the new shortwave transmitter
> up and running real soon...but locals can still hear us on 88.3 FM

Bullshit. You are worried, aren't you, you little faggot? You have cut
back on the shemale pics on Nim Busters, too.

598. Re: Is N9OGL scared?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:03:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967000.752416.188890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 04:11:56 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >N9OGL wrote:
>
> >Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
> why would he worry about the FCC

Just like you should be for talking to bootleggers.

599. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:05:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967105.598809.176690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flush

I'm not doing any carpentry, stupid. Talk to any unlicensed stations
lately?

600. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:06:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967175.202345.68010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> >
> forgin

Illiterate Markie the child raper!

601. Re: N9OGL's last shemale he jacked off to!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:07:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967259.524436.116570@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get flushed

Get to dying of your aids!

602. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:09:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967399.511636.204830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K8MN pretending to be Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 05:25:50 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
> >
> > Uh, Fatass, get your glasses prescription changed.
>
> In your <IKYABWAI, fatass>

Truth is, you edited the post.

Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility in
<USgUg.4306$Y24.1...@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?"

> "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

Tell it to Willie Nelson.

603. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:11:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967478.373954.76060@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 06:11:46 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Billy Smith wrote:
> >> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>show na to va woger
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
> >> > being queer.
> >> >
> >>
> >> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>
> >
> >Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
> >could suck his dick, fatty.
> nobdy wnats your dick woger

You do, and what's "wnats?"

604. Two Months Later, Morkie Still Looks As Stupid As Ever On QRZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:12:03 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967523.818079.240800@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166671

QUOTE

KB9RQZ Lookups: 1069
MARK C MORGAN
Pob 212, PO BOX PoB212
Chassell MI 49916
USA
Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...

Mailing Label Postcard

Email: Add email address
http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

UNQUOTE

So much for the "three college degrees", MENSA membership and
alleged 248 IQ... ! !

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

605. Illiterate Mark Morgan the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:12:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967530.027904.9270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> forgin

Learn to spell, dipshit.

606. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:16:32 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159967792.809290.124880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 05:05:40 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >forgin woger

Illiterate Markie the child raper!

607. Mork Moron Too Ignorant to Follow The headers...Makes Even Bigger Fool Of Himself...Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:17:50 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967869.955110.253020@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166674

PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 1 Oct 2006 13:45:10 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> ag hiding your message in sigline so I can't answer you
>
> Robeson the junkyard barks some more

Mork Moron demonstrates his paramoia again.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

608. Re: SING ALONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:19:39 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967979.129017.286560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Prison bitch Paris wrote:
> <gaylame plagiarism>

BILLY, DON'T BE A ZERO

Vocal: Bo Donaldson & The Heywoods

[Words and Music by Mitch Murray and Peter Robin Callander]

The gay pride band came down along Main Street
The Village People fell in behind
I looked across and there I saw Billy Smith
Waiting to go and join the line
And with her head upon his shoulder
Mary, his old and hideous she-male mommy
>From where I stood, I saw she was cryin'
And through her tears I heard her say

"Billy, don't be a zero, don't be a fool all your life"
"Billy, don't be a zero, you can go back to school at night"
And as he started to go she said "Billy, keep your your head back as
you blo-o-ow"
"Billy, don't be a zero, don't forget we can give 'em a two for one you
know"

The whining faggots were trapped in a alley
The gay orgy raging all around
Dick Sergeant cried "We've got to hang on, boys"
"We got to hold this piece a'ground"
"I need a volunteer to ride me"
"And bring us back some extra men"
And Billy Smith's hand was up in a moment
Forgettin' all the words she said

Everybody said
"Billy, don't be a zero, don't be a fool all your life"
"Billy, don't be a hero, you can go back to school at night"
And as he started to go she said "Billy, keep your head back as you
blo-o-ow"
"Billy, don't be a hero, don't forget we can give 'em a two for one you
know"

I heard his she-male mommy got a letter
That told how Billy swallowed that day
The letter said that he was a zero
She should be proud he swallowed that way

I heard she threw that letter away

[military drums and fifes to end]

609. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:19:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159967985.023553.86390@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 05:05:40 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >forgin woger

Illiterate Markie the child raper!

610. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:20:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159968058.504927.93070@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 2 Oct 2006 05:05:40 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
> <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >forgin woger

Illiterate Markie the child raper!

611. Re: MY SONG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:20:59 UTC
From: billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159968058.890024.294770@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

NotRoger Wiseman but Lardass Davies the 33 year old virgin wrote:
> WELL

BILLY, DON'T BE A ZERO

Vocal: Bo Donaldson & The Heywoods

[Words and Music by Mitch Murray and Peter Robin Callander]

The gay pride band came down along Main Street
The Village People fell in behind
I looked across and there I saw Billy Smith
Waiting to go and join the line
And with her head upon his shoulder
Mary, his old and hideous she-male mommy
>From where I stood, I saw she was cryin'
And through her tears I heard her say

"Billy, don't be a zero, don't be a fool all your life"
"Billy, don't be a zero, you can go back to school at night"
And as he started to go she said "Billy, keep your your head back as
you blo-o-ow"
"Billy, don't be a zero, don't forget we can give 'em a two for one you
know"

The whining faggots were trapped in a alley
The gay orgy raging all around
Dick Sergeant cried "We've got to hang on, boys"
"We got to hold this piece a'ground"
"I need a volunteer to ride me"
"And bring us back some extra men"
And Billy Smith's hand was up in a moment
Forgettin' all the words she said

Everybody said
"Billy, don't be a zero, don't be a fool all your life"
"Billy, don't be a hero, you can go back to school at night"
And as he started to go she said "Billy, keep your head back as you
blo-o-ow"
"Billy, don't be a hero, don't forget we can give 'em a two for one you
know"

I heard his she-male mommy got a letter
That told how Billy swallowed that day
The letter said that he was a zero
She should be proud he swallowed that way

I heard she threw that letter away

[military drums and fifes to end]

612. I WAS WORK FROM THE SPERM BANK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:22:43 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968163.312542.304510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166679

>Prison Bitch Paris wrote:

You know all about that, huh Geno?

You swallowed more cum in OK state prison than your daddy Robert Eugene!

613. Re: VILLAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:24:14 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968254.738200.222430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166680

Lloyd Davies not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_makes_woger_sounds-1.jpg

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

614. Re: I'M A GAY ADOPTEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:25:25 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968325.605099.297450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166681

We know you are, Davies, we know you are!

http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/kissinglloydshead.jpg

615. Re: IF AT FIRST I DON'T SUCK SEED I TRY AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:26:25 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968385.480604.237170@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166682

WE know you do, Lardass Davies:

http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_makes_woger_sounds-1.jpg

616. Re: I'M A DOUCHE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:27:39 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968459.804223.103240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166683

We know you are, Davies:

http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/assholedavies.jpg

617. Re: DISABILITY IS GREAT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:29:10 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968549.988776.21740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166684

Must be why you stay drunk all the time, lardass Davies
http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/baggyeyedlardass.jpg

618. AND DAVIES STILL COULD'NT GET A DATE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:30:55 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968655.317561.127470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166685

But if you looked like this:

http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg

You wouldn't either! BWHAHAHAHA!

619. HERE'S LLOYD AT WORSHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:33:38 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968818.259136.251320@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166686

http://i70.photobucket.com/albums/i97/lloyddaviessux/picassolloydlittledick02copy2m.jpg

620. Arf!Arf! PKB's!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:35:37 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159968937.539114.248420@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166687
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> <nothing of importance, yet he wrote:>

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
fucks up again
in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
"Jealoius?"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksuc...@Liberalz.com> the spelling bee
champ whined
about his womanless status in <bqtdlu$bp...@pita.alt.net>:
"Sisnce it is Satruday night, it is tiome to ghet a piuzza and
make some anoinymous poists about Stagger Lee."

Arf!Arf! blissfully unaware of a British variation glady
proclaims his ignorance in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
""Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman."

Perennial "Loser" Arf!Arf! spell lames but falls on his fatass
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"What a losser."

The empty "cavity" that is Arf!Arf!'s skull fucks up again
in <bm5ctb$5n...@pita.alt.net>:
"either of Roger's moist cavaties."

"Arf! Arf!" <Doggie Dicksuc...@Liberal.comz> vomited the
following Ebonics in message
news:9i6hai$5h9$0@pita.alt.net...
"Here we go, Rog. I be posting at three a.m."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he gets his fatass handed to
him and posts in Ebonics,
the poor ill-educated thing never got his G.E.D. as shown
in <a42sr2$so...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDicksuc...@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when the prospect of fellating a dog is
presented to him
in <9fpehv$li...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> the spelling bee champ
pondered the question of

(corn) pone and why phone sound so similar in Message-ID:
<bj98gq$1i...@pita.alt.net>
"Grab a pone book and pick out a name, any name?"

NeoNAZI RACIST Fucktard "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.com>shows his anti-Semetism,
and ignorance about family names and their origins in
<bqs1i5$25...@pita.alt.net>:
"Wiseman. That's Jewish, isn't it?"

Eternal FUCKUP "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> steps on
his dick again in his "posts" <sic>
(of "posit") in <bqjj2n$du...@pita.alt.net>:
"The trouble is, LRod, that your findings will do little, if
anything, to dissuade or stop
the posts which the two rascals posit as "fact".

Chronic alcoholic and child RAPER "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieD...@Liberalz.comz> gets egg on
his "gruesome" face as he blathers more stupidity in
<bmch5m$l4...@pita.alt.net>:
"...you're going to have yet more egg all over your guresome
little face"

Arf Arf the donkeydicksucker proved he is the textbook definition of
"DUMBASS" when he blathered the following, suggesting that Wiseman is
the only ham ever to be retested ever in <adclen$l1m$0@pita.alt.net>:
"Hey Wiseman. How many Hams can you name right now who have been forced
to
retest? Besides yourself, that is."

Arf Arf shows his excitement when he lets his daughters give him head
while he posts in his Ebonics <a42sr2soe0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Curtian Boy"

Monkey boy "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDicksucker@BetterThanRonaldReagan.com>
gibbered incoherently when dog penises are presented to him to suck
in <9fpehvlih0@dosa.alt.net>:
"Whoo hoo hoo hoo!!!"

"Arf! Oaf!" DonkeyDicksucker@Liberalz.com blathered out of his ass yet
he's still hiding behind
skirts when he does his Pee Wee Herman impersonation in
<aiq8q5$6h3$0@pita.alt.net>"
"The only one backpedaling is YOU, Roger. Come find me. Net Cop me."

Tough talk from a guy with tits who hides....poor ineffective Arf
Oaf...

========
Screech, monkey boy, screech. Pick those fleas off yourself and eat
them,
monkey boy! Do the dance, monkey boy! Jump up and down on your cage

bars,
monkey boy! What a cute monkey boy!
Say monkey boy, "arf arf", do you really fuck your mommy up the ass?

621. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:37:44 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969064.735643.262450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual processing
> >> centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I have that
> >> problem myself.
> >
> > You have more problems than that, dumbass!
> what other problems I may, or may not, have is not up for public discussion
> by or for you.

What will you do, blind gimp?

> and while we are on the subject, what about your ongoing (and very public)
> problems?

Do tell, retard.

> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?

You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.

622. My Greyhound Men's Room Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:38:11 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <AB8MQ@behindthecurtains.com>
Message-ID: <eg0dganbl2@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 166689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
He's been going in and outa their rears
And he's made them into ravin' queers.
So watch out or I'll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

We're Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound, Roger Wiseman's Greyhound,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wiseman's full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wiseman's going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

623. Re: Found on da Flonk Image Server

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:42:24 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969344.357780.30250@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166690
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,alt.fucknozzles

The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 05:36:55 +0000, Jerry Francis did the cha-cha, and
> screamed:
> > The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >
> >> http://www.meow.org/flonk/Snarkys-birthday-party/dscf2509
> >>
> >> Try to grasp this, insipid fucksnot: _I_ don't care what /you/ think,
> >> and I find your alleged mind-reading capabilities most amusing. I
> >> overreached myself? I'm not Outer Filth, unlike John Harrington and his
> >> buddies.
> >
> > Wow, that's some attitude there, dude...
>
> Thanks, I made it myself! Meanwhile, more from the Outer Filth of rmcr:

Was it anything like the poor quality of the baskets you weaved at Camp
"We-Can- Do?"

> From: Stephen Harper (Tue Oct 3 19:08:39 2006)
> > I'm fucking ashamed that this fucking cripple is fucking Canadian.
>
> I'm fucking ashamed

You should be, fuckhead, your lameness stinks up the joint. Now go eat
Eris' corn out of her shit.

624. Re: The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:44:18 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969457.962954.290300@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

an old friendless cocksucker wrote:
> slow_code_bitch_...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> can you typ anothing of your own woger
^^^

625. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:44:24 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159969464.886704.233830@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> > power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> > was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
> >
> > Yep, All talk and no power.
> >
> > SC
>
> no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
> transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
> watts.

Still breaking the law and bragging about it.

More forwards to Riley's e mail accounts.

> The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week
>
> Todd N9OGL
> SMEGMA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM

Yeah. Right.

Steve, K4YZ

626. Re: Is N9OGL scared?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:45:39 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159969539.096826.118190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 04:11:56 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >N9OGL wrote:
>
> >Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
> why would he worry about the FCC

Violating the FCC's regulations would be one good reason, fatso.

Steve, K4YZ

627. Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:49:08 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969748.091927.34750@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> It was

A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
(Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)

I read the newsgroup today oh boy
There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
Well I just had to laugh
He makes stupid statements that always lack facts
Stagger Lee blew his mind out, didn't have to go far
He didn't notice his thoughts were flawed
All the posters read and stared
They'd seen Stagger's idiocy before
Nobody was really sure
If he was just stupid or bored

I saw his buddy LLoyd too oh boy
He posted some crap he just stole
Crowds of lurkers just plonked away
But I just had to look
Having an idea he's just a kook
Stagger Lee would love to turn you in

Stagger woke up, got out of bed,
Got up and logged on instead
Found his way to radio newsgroups
And looking up some people to hate
Found some lies and posted that
Made excuses in seconds flat
Found the abuse email and he waits
Somebody flames him and he went into a wet dream

(Ahhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh
ahhhhhhh'll turn you innn to your iiisssspppp
ahhhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhh ahhhhh
ahhhhhhhh'll turn you innnnnnnnn)

I read the newsgroup today oh boy
Four thousand holes in SLee's stories here
And though his brain is rather small
Any typos he has to count them all
Now he knows how many typos it takes
To fill the Albert Hall

SLee would love to... turn... you... in

<orchestral finish>

628. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:51:31 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1159969891.789921.285510@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Penquin boy Opus- wrote:
> On 3 Oct 2006 04:39:27 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> spake thusly:
>
>Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastes, Penquin boy?

<snip the usual stupidity>

SPANK! BWHAHAHAHAHA!

629. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams Ping "Iltoi"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 13:52:33 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159969953.377837.291330@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166696

Iitoi wrote:

> I have it on good authority that a new rrap (moderated) is under
> construction and that the cesspool contents like Mark and Steve will be
> unwelcome.

The cesspool comes from the profanity and perversions of Morkie.

And I doubt that your "authority" is "good". There are already a
dozen different "discussion groups", and few, if any, actually carry
out any true "discussion". I, on the otherhand, have found the
professional press to be far more effective than this place.

Honest people with honest names, willing to stand by thier
comments and convictions.

Not slap-and-run cowards such as yourself.

Steve, K4YZ

630. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 14:01:47 UTC
From: JHD <jhd@insurgent.orgy>
Message-ID: <eg0es3$p75$4@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 166697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

In article <1159969748.091927.34750@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com says...
>
> Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> > It was
>
> A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
> (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
>
> I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
> And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
> Well I just had to laugh
> He makes stupid statements that always lack facts
> Stagger Lee blew his mind out, didn't have to go far
> He didn't notice his thoughts were flawed
> All the posters read and stared
> They'd seen Stagger's idiocy before
> Nobody was really sure
> If he was just stupid or bored
>
> I saw his buddy LLoyd too oh boy
> He posted some crap he just stole
> Crowds of lurkers just plonked away
> But I just had to look
> Having an idea he's just a kook
> Stagger Lee would love to turn you in
>
> Stagger woke up, got out of bed,
> Got up and logged on instead
> Found his way to radio newsgroups
> And looking up some people to hate
> Found some lies and posted that
> Made excuses in seconds flat
> Found the abuse email and he waits
> Somebody flames him and he went into a wet dream
>
> (Ahhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh
> ahhhhhhh'll turn you innn to your iiissssppppp
> ahhhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhh ahhhhh
> ahhhhhhhh'll turn you innnnnnnnn)
>
> I read the newsgroup today oh boy

> Four thousand holes in SLee's stories here
> And though his brain is rather small
> Any typos he has to count them all
> Now he knows how many typos it takes
> To fill the Albert Hall
> SLee would love to... turn... you... in
>
> <orchestral finish>

Please don't do this again until you get a sense of meter. Thanks.

--
Great targeting, terrible execution.
UV points:3
http://www.insurgent.org/~jhd

631. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:10:21 UTC
From: JHD <jhd@insurgent.orgy>
Message-ID: <eg0isl$80i$1@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 166698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
In article <pan.2006.10.04.14.17.35.590000@nntp.sun-meatplow.local>,
meat@meatplow.local says...
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 10:01:47 -0400, JHD Has Frothed:
>
> > In article <1159969748.091927.34750@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> > lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com says...
> >>
> >> Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
> >> > It was
> >>
> >> A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
> >> (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
> >>
> >> I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> >> There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade And though Stagger
> >> Lee's posts are rather sad Well I just had to laugh
> >> He makes stupid statements that always lack facts Stagger Lee blew his
> >> mind out, didn't have to go far He didn't notice his thoughts were
> >> flawed All the posters read and stared
> >> They'd seen Stagger's idiocy before
> >> Nobody was really sure
> >> If he was just stupid or bored
> >>
> >> I saw his buddy LLoyd too oh boy
> >> He posted some crap he just stole
> >> Crowds of lurkers just plonked away
> >> But I just had to look
> >> Having an idea he's just a kook
> >> Stagger Lee would love to turn you in
> >>
> >> Stagger woke up, got out of bed,
> >> Got up and logged on instead
> >> Found his way to radio newsgroups
> >> And looking up some people to hate
> >> Found some lies and posted that
> >> Made excuses in seconds flat
> >> Found the abuse email and he waits
> >> Somebody flames him and he went into a wet dream
> >>
> >> (Ahhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhhh
> >> ahhhhhhh'll turn you innn to your iiisssspppp ahhhhhhhh ahhhhhhh ahhhhhh
> >> ahhhhh
> >> ahhhhhhhh'll turn you innnnnnnnn)
```

> >>
> >> I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> >> Four thousand holes in SLee's stories here And though his brain is
> >> rather small
> >> Any typos he has to count them all
> >> Now he knows how many typos it takes
> >> To fill the Albert Hall
> >> SLee would love to... turn... you... in
> >>
> >> <orchestral finish>
> >
> > Please don't do this again until you get a sense of meter. Thanks.
>
> These CB radio boyz are fucking nuts, oui?

Da. And they seem to have problems counting to 4.

--
UV points:3
http://www.insurgent.org/~jhd

632. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:27:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nmk7i2dc9123d67nshmcob0os7pf4gfa7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166699

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 12:41:59 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1159936881.342951.282340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> U-Know-Who wrote:
>>> "
>>
>>> Next lesson is punctuation, Goofball. It is required.
>> you lack the power to require anything of me
>>
>> you also lack the intelegence to understand that fact and you lack any
>> manners I ivtie you into to my world andwhat do I get instaed of thanks
>> you I get your puting my loosey spelling on the same plan as Robesons
>> death threats and both of accusing me of child moletsing
>>
>> one day maybe you will grow up and get a life but I doubt as you nick
>> tells you are nameless loser: your wting shows you to be frustrated
>> school marm type
>>
>
>Oh my! You (ivtie) me into your world?
grow up then and learn basic manners Tom
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

633. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:28:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eok7i21c9cbp7pf99fira80le1i74nednq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:11:18 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu you child molestor woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

634. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:28:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8pk7i296cl81fvj13tmk4g126ksm08eja6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:45:42 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu you child molestor woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

635. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:29:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lpk7i2dhhfq8jr1qt6mki1ll3e151faqrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 166602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:46:38 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
stfu you child molestor woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

636. Re: tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:29:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2qk7i2hb62f8ubkg7ld0vr8sogib4oullj@4ax.com>
Source record: 166603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Oct 2006 05:55:04 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu you child molestor woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

637. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:29:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jqk7i2hbaiitfu0h6e3kmmg8rje93067hp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 4 Oct 2006 05:19:00 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr Turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

638. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:29:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ark7i29fup3rm5t1gvpkv2v505cd0m0p7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 166605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:20:23 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr Turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

639. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:30:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ork7i2prpd6q2u267m793otmkcr41vsdsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 166606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:22:44 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr Turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

640. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:30:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7sk7i2lsnu6m4mq5g9qjpokqhjqjco0nbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:05:05 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

641. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:30:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0tk7i21hrr42v319i564ls8hds2gijlhtf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Oct 2006 06:16:32 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

642. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:30:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ctk7i2tcq69olq065j13kptoluv9feonfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 166609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Oct 2006 06:19:45 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

643. Re: (972) 385-0433

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:31:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mtk7i2h5dgifrnjs8h3s4hd8ibgi1qjh50@4ax.com>
Source record: 166610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 Oct 2006 06:20:58 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

644. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:31:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2uk7i29ifaeapkplaa3v5mv2fkbubvspcu@4ax.com>
Source record: 166613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:51:31 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

645. Re: N9OGL's last shemale he jacked off to!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:31:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iuk7i2p19ptcr7to8jaa8sc6snipai9f3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:07:39 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

646. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:31:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tuk7i2tjk1qgm118pv5hth5ffge3dde21g@4ax.com>
Source record: 166612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:47:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

647. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:32:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ovk7i21kr6ogcg52t64jic8je9dmljqjm3@4ax.com>
Source record: 166616
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:37:44 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

648. Re: Deaf ears abound...but steve does protest too much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:32:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l0l7i2lv50gkafn4f2alpa7c5v08sh7a93@4ax.com>
Source record: 166614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:06:15 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

649. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:32:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <11l7i2trthno8tfhi9av6lbfcd52dfgqt3@4ax.com>
Source record: 166615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:02:42 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

650. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:33:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <o1l7i218ebhb2teg302eu8qr4hojdv1m6m@4ax.com>
Source record: 166617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:44:24 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
>> > power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
>> > was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
>> >
>> > Yep, All talk and no power.
>> >
>> > SC
>>
>> no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
>> transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
>> watts.
>
> Still breaking the law and bragging about it.
>
> More forwards to Riley's e mail accounts.
please stop wasting My tax money wth lying efforts to harrass todd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

651. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:34:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <83l7i2l02aoq3nv8pu97o7mubhef4a94ce@4ax.com>
Source record: 166618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:40:09 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

652. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:34:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <o3l7i2tg6lrni4vm6ggv7uakjvaq3r73ub@4ax.com>
Source record: 166619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 06:01:12 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

653. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:34:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <64l7i2t98nn0f0mt0fc76falr9votcgp0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 166621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:09:59 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

654. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:34:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i4l7i29ne9b6p1rco2b8l5809836c8hffk@4ax.com>
Source record: 166620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.law.enforcement.wurk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:50:16 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

655. Re: Is N9OGL scared?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:35:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <35l7i2l2vmd11vur0bcsrahoed2mon4g2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:03:20 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

656. Re: Is N9OGL scared?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:35:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f5l7i2dq9ccfrmbr2gungdc88qh9bc3jup@4ax.com>
Source record: 166623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:45:39 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 3 Oct 2006 04:11:56 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >N9OGL wrote:
>>
>> >Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
>> why would he worry about the FCC
>
> Violating the FCC's regulations would be one good reason, fatso.
it would be if he were doing so

but as you are in tenn steve you can't have any evdence

just more of your harrsing lies

when you going to fees up to your lies BTW
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

657. Re: It's easy to tell when ab8mq comes back, the group turns into a sewer again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:36:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <37l7i2d4n1n9tba968jg4jtk1psh58iskg@4ax.com>
Source record: 166625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:57:18 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

658. Re: The source of unreliable information cuts and pastes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:36:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q7l7i21am7td44dhpiu0g0mtqp8o6k2vru@4ax.com>
Source record: 166624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.military

On 4 Oct 2006 06:44:18 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

659. Re: Roger likes clean girls...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:37:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k8l7i29mi5n0qoijnc8qs1cto8ip8qkfvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166626
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 00:45:27 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

660. Re: Lloyd Wiseman, confesses to wanting to suck Roger off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:38:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <obl7i2het7u9c6tuvdsngafq396tprbeqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:14:15 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>You sure do, now go rape Morgan instead. Like you did in Cincinnati.
get flushed Mr Turd you are telling more lies
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

661. Re: Arf! Arf! asslicking woman, total fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:39:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kcl7i2904ubs3tp57lo058qcnt0jh778gk@4ax.com>
Source record: 166630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:16:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

662. Re: ShitlickingWoger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:39:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ddl7i2d21cncuft1f0g02u31iftjn9sgan@4ax.com>
Source record: 166633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:17:43 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

663. Re: U Know who Property Taxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:39:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0el7i2pjtr2bi06gc866u394e2jj3jmpvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 166631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:34:14 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed Mr Turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

664. Re: Arf!Arf! likes underage girls...and golden showers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:40:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hel7i2de1t3mbk5ehiubs6g77srg89nhdh@4ax.com>
Source record: 166628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:38:16 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

665. Re: Markie eats excrement on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:40:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8fl7i2t5db9eg2rg0t69mgp9ohmmi20il4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Oct 2006 05:49:10 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestoring woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

666. Re: Woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:41:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1gl7i2lp460pd9dk79736lbn33able8lq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 05:52:45 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stuf child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

667. Re: Woger is Foley's boy toy!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:41:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ngl7i2d0a655alhmia48ssh4e7r1l2puln@4ax.com>
Source record: 166629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 4 Oct 2006 05:54:04 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

668. Re: Woger runs and hides behind spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:41:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ihl7i2laerikmpc1bg9snfbm145tp4si34@4ax.com>
Source record: 166636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 4 Oct 2006 06:00:06 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

669. Re: Robeson the criminal contiue to violate federal law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:42:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5il7i2htdm17bjk9mmclvs2los9is863pp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166635

On 4 Oct 2006 06:12:03 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>QUOTE
>
>KB9RQZ Lookups: 1069
stop breaking the law and of your asked for what is about to be posted
you clarly can't post a single day without being a criminal jerk
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

670. Re: Illiterate woger the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:43:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8kl7i2hdsjhpd793rddnqnj33iivol6446@4ax.com>
Source record: 166638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 4 Oct 2006 06:12:10 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

671. Robeson why did you post about making people eat your sdhit and raping your dead daughter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:43:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mkl7i29top2c4qf451rouc82nlhmnddb3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166637

On 4 Oct 2006 06:17:50 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
you asked for it you got steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

672. stfu child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:44:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2ml7i210995kn5n718sl7iv87ffleivela@4ax.com>
Source record: 166639

On 4 Oct 2006 06:22:43 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

673. stfu child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:44:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eml7i2l60v4ra32a04ggiv2ep2efvc1ne8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166640

On 4 Oct 2006 06:30:55 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor you many id claim you are one
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

674. stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:45:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gnl7i2pktcqdodjvnrrk0il00rjquots6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166641

On 4 Oct 2006 06:33:38 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

675. stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:45:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5pl7i21bg7rdkt6rglh3vslq1qn3ru4bei@4ax.com>
Source record: 166642
Cross-posted to: s,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 4 Oct 2006 06:35:37 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

676. stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:46:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <upl7i2pjck7ore0bhcpn50a4svtjpn9ovh@4ax.com>
Source record: 166643

On 4 Oct 2006 06:49:08 -0700, "N0VFP" <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com> wrote:
stfu woger the self proclaimed child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

677. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams Ping "Iltoi"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:47:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nql7i29ur7grc110qk77jme4sufakd012o@4ax.com>
Source record: 166644

On 4 Oct 2006 06:52:33 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Iitoi wrote:
>
>> I have it on good authority that a new rrap (moderated) is under
>> construction and that the cesspool contents like Mark and Steve will be
>> unwelcome.
>
> The cesspool comes from the profanity and perversions of Morkie.
no the perversion is clearly your it is called Voutyerism

when are you going to stop claiming to have with your daughter
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

678. Re:woger eats excrement on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:48:07 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159976887.412603.72430@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 05:49:10 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu child molestoring woger
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

679. get flush mr Turd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:51:15 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159977075.147208.306310@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
get flush mr Turd

680. Re: The rat is in a maze of his own making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:52:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v4m7i2dtd12quj6678rb5n7917c5b8brnp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 19:44:32 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> On 6 Sep 2006 18:59:57 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >an old friend wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > Mark-likes-to-lie-and-deceive@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> > > On 5 Sep 2006 14:45:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > Stop misquoting.
>> >
>> >> "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >> genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>> >> own words
>> >> >
>> >> > Stop quoting out of context.
>> >> >
>> >> no out of context quote made
>> >
>> >> > Act human.
>> >
>> >> I do
>> >>
>> >> you don't
>> >
>> >Robesin posts this complete filth in an attempt to smear you, trips up
>> >and reveals some apparently personal account wherin he was involved in
>> >a homosexual love triangle, then complains that you aren't "acting
>> >human."
>>
>> I just shake my head
>>
>> I am certainly human enough to be enjoying his plight. honest enough
>> toadmit to it. I am a good enough person to admit that enjoying it, is
>> not the nicest thing on to be
>>
>> but then in temple most weeks we recite a Palsm that goes "I will see
>> the doom of my ememies, I will rejioce in their their fall' with the
>> converative movements prayerbook in front I don't have the exact qote
>> or the exact palsm but ....
>
>Now if you had a little marine doll with a nametape that reads

>"Robesin" and some really big stick-pins....
>
>> >Always the victim...
>> >
>> >Of course, it could have all been a lie, none of it true. Hopefully
>> >the CAP has a "don't ask, don't tell" policy, but it looks as if
>> >Robesin told. But if lied, he's probably OK with the CAP. This just
>> >might be the time for Robesin to confess that he's a habitual liar.
>>
>> indeed it is an interested position he call himself a lair and end all
>> this (but lose all face) or he is stuck having admited to being a GAY,
>> Gay basher which is still reconized as a Pathology by the DSM while
>> being gay or gay and comfortable about it is not
>
>The Robesin Conundrum. The rat is in a maze of his own making.
and we are stuck with him
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

681. Re: Why Does Steven Robeson Participate In Blatant Lying In Public? as proven by claiming"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:52:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k5m7i2lhb1jbi3b18j5uvmk635c1sjo01r@4ax.com>
Source record: 166648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 19:19:08 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
why dod you post stuf like that Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

682. Re: An Insult To One's Deceased Mother?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:53:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i7m7i2513btjmm5irn0np34gep3pjhgbh1@4ax.com>
Source record: 166649

On 23 Sep 2006 08:06:39 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>> nospam wrote:
>> > On Fri, 22 Sep 2006 17:31:27 -0700, "Al Lorona"
>>
>> > Al, these guys don't care what we think. You should of read what they
>> > said about my dead mother. I'm doing some research right now as who
>> > said that and I am so sick of this I am ready to go on a road trip. He
>> > doesn't realize that I am a disgrunted postal worker. Shouldn't of
>> > said that about my dead mother!
>>
>> KB9RQZ (Mark 'Morkie Moron' Morgan) feels right at home absuing the
>> memory of my deceased daughter in order to try and gain "messaging
>> leverage"
>
>Robesin can abuse all of Mark's living relations, but not his dead
>relations? That must make him morally superior to Mark, doesn't it?
>Robesin da Man! Give's him bragging rights on the "God Hates Fags"
>website, doesn't it?

indeed
>
>Mark just out-assholed Robesin, that's all. Don't like it? Lots of
>people don't like what Robesin does. I guess he'll eventually get used
>to it like we've gotten used to Robesin's incessant accusations and
>lies.
>
>> ...I have no doubt that he's your culprit.
>
>Don't know. Robesin has been caught making anonymous posts. Could
>have been him.
>
>Anyway, Mark just wanted an apology for the mayhem Robesin caused him
>on RRAP. Robesin is incapable of making one. So he files lawsuit(s)
>instead of showing an ounce of humanity.
>
>BTW, I removed from this reply all the other radio groups that Robesin
>was spamming.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

683. Re: Please Forward Gay Personals to Morkie As Often As Possible...Maybe Then He'll Get Lucky AND Get Lost!<< more of Steve Homopbobia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:54:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d8m7i2da597pf63tgfrr2bg0e3f4oqteto@4ax.com>
Source record: 166700

On 23 Sep 2006 07:00:16 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>> stop sppamning alt.####sucker.roger-wiseman u ashole woger dont lkie it an
>> he is piss
>
> Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
>doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
>
> I'm not.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

684. Re: will steve seek treatment for his apearent pedophilia? or just learn to leave other people alone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:54:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7am7i2lo40h34uq0cmqgdnil9rdo4bhk6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 166701

On Wed, 20 Sep 2006 13:49:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>And on 16 May 1999, the love of my life, My Precious Taelor, died in
>her sleep. Taelor was my third daughter and was born with severe birth
>defects and expected to not live past age two. I kept her for five
>years, eleven months, three weeks and one day. " from K4yz Bio on QRZ
>
>frankly that sounds rather strange and vaguely sickening but after
>Steve instance on label other pedophiles one does have to that he
>listed his dead daughter (the 3rd) as the love of his life, not his
>current wife
>
>
>and stve will go and and about it butI took his statement and simply
>aplied the sort of twist he does then we watch him dance
>
>
>he can't laugh it off after he clais NOBODY reads my stuff so if he
>were teling the truth no harm done
>
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>--
>Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>a revoling post but robeson refuses to learn
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
welll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

685. Re: Steve asking for yet another dose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:55:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kam7i2191tbrei61o2c4cstlti0thqrl3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 166702

On 20 Sep 2006 10:48:26 -0700, "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com"
<kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > Sorry Todd. Please come back to usenet.
>>
>> Someone's been hitting the crack pipe a bit heavy this evening!
>>
>> > Apologize to Mark, too.
>>
>> For WHAT...?!?!?
>for all your years of lies harassment and threst
>>
>> > And get Robesin to do the same.
>>
>> Who's "Robesin"...
>you are
>>
>> > Then behave yourselves and we can have a useful discussion group again.
>>
>> If you and your cohorts could discuss things without lying and
>> deceiveing, Brain, we could have a useful discussion today.
>
>we do you don't
>
>>
>> Personally...I like my odds on the Lottery better.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
well why do you pressit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

686. Re: Morkie Moron Publically States Sucidal Ideation why the lie robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:55:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jbm7i21im9f6893d6ct92e2hjp2ecl0a0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166703

On 20 Sep 2006 00:37:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> "And on 16 May 1999, the love of my life, My Precious Taelor, died in
>> her sleep. Taelor was my third daughter and was born with severe birth
>> defects and expected to not live past age two. I kept her for five
>> years, eleven months, three weeks and one day. " from K4yz Bio on QRZ
>>
>> frankly that sounds rather strange and vaguely sickening
>
> To you it would. Not to a parent.
>
>> but after
>> Steve instance on label other pedophiles one does have to that he
>> listed his dead daughter (the 3rd) as the love of his life, not his
>> current wife
>
> Only you would see it that way, fatboy.
>
> Better make sure your life insurance policy covers suicide,
>Morkie. Wouldn't want to leave the man in your life without something
>to pay your final expenses with.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

687. Re: Let's all (not) apologize to Todd for making fun Of Smegma One.<< more of Robeson the pervuyor of filth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:56:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bcm7i2p6uka2m28b81bmv2h2kr0q8k7iah@4ax.com>
Source record: 166704

On 20 Sep 2006 00:40:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > Sorry Todd. Please come back to usenet.
>
> Someone's been hitting the crack pipe a bit heavy this evening!
>
>> Apologize to Mark, too.
>
> For WHAT...?!?!?
>
>> And get Robesin to do the same.
>
> Who's "Robesin"...
>
>> Then behave yourselves and we can have a useful discussion group again.
>
> If you and your cohorts could discuss things without lying and
>deceiveing, Brain, we could have a useful discussion today.
>
> Personally...I like my odds on the Lottery better.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

688. Re: Typical K4YZ/Robesin/Air Force CAP Major/Tennessee Nurse Threadjacking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:57:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tem7i2povprlml578kdjd3b1iar4l2hgnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 166705

On 12 Sep 2006 17:43:47 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote (in repsonse to Lennie):
>> >
>> > > As I told you earlier, you get what you dish out. You'd no sooner blown
>> > > back into this newsgroup when you started with the cutesy names. Brian
>> > > is guilty of the same technique. As for Mark, his posting record speaks
>> > > for itself. He *is* the group Myna bird.
>> >
>> > Who is "Brian?"
>> >
>> > You NEVER seem to have a problem with Robesin's use of "Brain." Ever.
>>
>> Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
>Indeed. Does anyone know?
>
>Robesin has so many faces.
>
>He is a War Hero, but was in no war.
>
>Seven Hostile Actions, but can't mention ONE.
>
>He is disabled from the military, but not injured.
>
>He is a Tennesse State Guardsman, but not in the National Guard.
>
>Presents himself in an Air Force sage green flight suit with Captain's
>Insignia, but claims he is a CAP Major.
>
>He claims ANCOIC-ship of an NMC MARS site on Okinawa, but knows next to
>nothing of MARS.
>
>He claims to be a Nurse, but no degree.
>
>He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
>man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>
>He says those who disagree with him are homos.
>

>Those that best him in rational argument are called pedophiles.
>
>Develops that 1,000 yard stare when confused, others suggest it's the
>"deer in headlights" stare.
>
>> Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
>Your guess is as good as mine.
absolutely typical
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

689. Re: The Truth is steve has confessed to being gay well robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:57:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gfm7i2ho8pulq1td49996u588e4gofa750@4ax.com>
Source record: 166706

On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 12:24:12 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Sep 2006 12:52:09 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>>
>>
>>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>>you admit to putting your shit on another man genitals stev it is just
>>that simple
>or steve could try to tell the truth for oce on here
>
>he made a bad writing error in hisefforts to smear me again
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
as inded you have that with your gay bashing means you need help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

690. Re: The Turht << steve isn't even bother to spell right"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:58:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ehm7i2t4pv6h3ing0a03gl2eeo8s30qk37@4ax.com>
Source record: 166708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:04 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

691. Re: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:58:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ohm7i2hh0cnqt0h72rkcl02ku3b8pkbivh@4ax.com>
Source record: 166707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:27 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
not mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

692. Re: The Truth That steve will not accept "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:59:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2im7i29v0p54dur7alde7320c362ge0ne5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:16:50 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
you can stop by apologizing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

693. Re: The Truth is that stev makes confession of homsexaul behavoir and then calls other lfruad for quoting HIM "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:59:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iim7i2tnf75pb9b5ive545fuqo6rfnlo6v@4ax.com>
Source record: 166710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 7 Sep 2006 12:18:13 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
but you like trying to be the victum,
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

694. Re: The WHOLE Truth That stave is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 15:59:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1jm7i2936cs2i1vkn1oj8dg3glmm2p6k7j@4ax.com>
Source record: 166711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:19:50 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
>he cofessed to homosexauls and insits he never posts anything un ture
>therefore steve is a homosexual by his own words
>
>does Amy Know
does amy know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

695. Re: The Truth That steve is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:00:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ijm7i2hn054rjrvkj5iq1n0hk1j1sqv65p@4ax.com>
Source record: 166712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
you could just admit to making a mistake but then you can't admit to
error
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

696. Re: The Truth That stev keeps lying about "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:00:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <akm7i25g6o8ridjnv51ccd1h87q1vg8crp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
stop lying come and apologize
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

697. Re: stop lying about law enforment "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:00:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2lm7i2tnnv8j4ogfkmm226rhdkuhl72mgi@4ax.com>
Source record: 166714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 15:08:09 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>PorkieMorkieTheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> "is Amy Fireproof?" means Steve has just lied obviously that means
>>> very
>>> little else is worth ntoing and as should be obvious very little else
>>> will get done by steve will
>>
>> That may have meant something to you, Morkie, but it just came out
>>
>>as gibberish.
>>
>>> all he has to do is STOP lying
>>
>> To "stop" one must have started.
>>
>>> stoping claiming my wife is male
>>
>> Start putting a picture up that proves otherwise.
>>
>>> stop calling me a child molestor
>>
>> I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
>>investigation.
>stop the lying steve
>
>stop it soon
>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
stop the lieing steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

698. Re: The Truth is that Steve can't face the the truth,"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:00:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <glm7i2la6v4s0001fqd9mbgdbflb9950cu@4ax.com>
Source record: 166715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:02:06 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
stop your lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

699. Re: The Truth That is that Steve has confessed to being a faggot "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:01:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <slm7i2dc0scfpb5uu8t08fsf0gbcnsmimq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:01:29 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
stop your lying now
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

700. agins my apologizes to the NG as whole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:03:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1159977801.195100.235190@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166717

but the only thing that silcenes Robeson shit storm out bursts here is larger creuler and vile dose in turn Robeson knows when he post his lies and libel and violetes the Law that this will be the result it is therefore HIS doing

701. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:22:32 UTC
From: Dick Chisel <dc@emenon.net>
Message-ID: <cdRUg.19371$lj.5497@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Arf! Arf! wrote:

> Oh, and not let us forget Roger Clinton, the half-brother druggie supreme
> who snorted more Cocaine than a vacuum sweeper.

Aren't you forgetting a well-known cokehead + alcoholic political leader
and his "dime-bag" wife...?

702. I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:26:19 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
Message-ID: <4523d3b0$0$19707$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 166719

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

703. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:30:18 UTC
From: Raul <rochoa@compramerica.com>
Message-ID: <dbc0247ecce902ccb2f5fb0d3c25c38e@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

In article <1159964730.029403.247340@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > forgin
>
> Cocksucking Markie admits he has sucked dicks.
>
> Marqueer Morgan, KB9RQZ admits he sucks cock in
> <1150379423.544324.227310@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> "I do have gay sex partners"

Boring!

704. Re: VOTE! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 16:36:16 UTC
From: Damian <nospam@rabid-dog.net>
Message-ID: <4523e304$0$97248$892e7fe2@authen.yellow.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 166721
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.music.classical.recordings,alt.comp.virus,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.abortion,alt.atheism,alt.discordia

Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW wrote:
> All Hail Fluffy!
>
> Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen
> award: the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are
> many old favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann,
> Richard the St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo"
> Karczewski, BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going
> to dog you all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all
> crazy farrel or his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure
> salesman of alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8
> Management Board. Up for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed
> Rhonda because she had my emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW
> it!" Cook, several of the aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the
> anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie the spamming anti-spammer, Alan
> Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George Maloney the net.KKKop of
> rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the possibly-ersatz Woger
> Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the legendarily-lame wannabe
> troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell forgers and froggers
> apart from the real thing, just by reading headers" Arnold, J. Taylor
> & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth" school of thought ("Plate
> tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND WON!...What do you
> mean, I have to track them down and bring them into the proper
> jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the world?"
> Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself psychiatric
> help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo), Double-A, Ken
> "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I don't have
> to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that there
> 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again,
> Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want
> to use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time
> getting each other off (verbally).
>
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote
> Wrangler wrote:
>
>> Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
>> Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified,
>> mocked, burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at
>> the Vote Wrangler's discretion if:
>>
>> * you are a notorious net.kook

>> * you attempt to vote twice
>> * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you
>> vote for or against yourself
>> * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a
>> newsgroup other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow
>> up to an incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have
>> explicitly been disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have
>> officially been declared non-existent
>>
>> Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have
>> until 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes.
>> Vote wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
>>
>> See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
>> <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
>>
>> -
>>
>>
>> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Daryl Hunt
>> Edmond Heinz Wollmann
>> Ernest Primeau
^^^^^^^^^^

>> Hyerdahl of soc.men
>> Pamela K Russell
>> Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
>> Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
>> Richard M Scoville
>> Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
>> The Big Eight Management Board
>> Will Dockery
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> KOOK OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> Douglas of alt.magick
>> Dustin Cook

^^^^^^^^^^

>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>

>> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook

^^^^^^^^^^

>> Jill of soc.men
>> Vinny Barbarino
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> COWARD OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook

^^^^^^^^^^ YES

>>
>> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Alan Jones
>> William Barwell
>> neither of the above
>> abstain

^^^^^^^^^^

>>
>> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
>> Wabbit
>> neither of the above

^^^^^^^^^^

>> abstain
>>
>> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Duane Arnold

^^^^^^^^^^

>> Ernest Primeau
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>

>> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dr. Avenger
>> J. Taylor & Don Findlay
>> neither of the above
>> abstain

^^^^^^^^^^

>>
>> PALMJOB PADDLE
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Daryl Hunt

^^^^^^^^^^

>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> BALSA GAVEL
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
abstain

>>
>> Tim Bolen
>>
>> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Richard M. Scoville

^^^^^^^^^^ YES

>>
>> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> alt.atheism
>> Douglas of alt.magick
>> neither of the above
>> abstain

^^^^^^^^^^

>>
>> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook

^^^^^^^^^^ YES

>>
>> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> neither of the above
>> abstain

^^^^^^^^^^

>>
>> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Ernest Primeau

^^^^^^^^^^ YES

>>
>> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> bob&carole

^^^^^^^^^^

>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> Kantica22
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Double-A, trained by Art Deco
>> Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
>> Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus

^^^^^^^^^^

>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
>> Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
>> abstain

^^^^^^^^^^

>>
>> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"

^^^^^^^^^^

>> William Barwell
>> abstain
>>
>> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Marcus Cox and Russ H

^^^^^^^^^^

>> The Big-8 Management Board
>> abstain
>>
>> -

705. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:08:09 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4523EA79.FDD1115E@milestones.com>
Source record: 166722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Chisel wrote:

> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>
> > Oh, and not let us forget Roger Clinton, the half-brother druggie supreme
> > who snorted more Cocaine than a vacuum sweeper.
>
> Aren't you forgetting a well-known cokehead + alcoholic political leader
> and his "dime-bag" wife...?

Teddy Kennedy? Carter Grabarczyk, K8VT?

706. Re: Lloyd Wiseman, confesses to wanting to suck Roger off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:44:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eqSUg.6858$o71.1935@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166723

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> Lloyd Wiseman K8MN wrote:

Sorry, little demented gnome, I haven't posted as Lloyd Wiseman or anyone but myself ever.

>> On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>>> I'm glad you admitted you lied and are a total...

>> Finally, Woger, i 'fesses up. I want to suck your...
>>
>> .._._
>
> You sure do, now go rape Morgan instead. Like you did in Cincinnati.

Are you a little disoriented, Rog? I haven't lived in Cincinnati for better than two decades. I've never met Mark Morgan and I don't believe he ever lived in Cincinnati. I've never raped anyone anywhere.

Where do these thoughts of yours come from? Take your medicine and lie down for a while.

Dave K8MN

707. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:46:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DrSUg.6859$o71.1937@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166724

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:
> MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> forgin
>
> Child raping Markie raped kids just yesterday.

If you keep having these fantasies, they'll be putting you in a place
where you can pick the flowers off the wallpaper, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

708. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:47:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5tSUg.6860$o71.1011@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166725

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:

> BWHAHAHAHAHA poor Billy he still lives in his parents' basement!

There, there, Roger. You still live in your adoptive mother's house.
Think nice thoughts about clouds and trees.

Dave K8MN

709. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:52:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4xSUg.6861$o71.6855@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166726

The ever-confused Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
wrote:

> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> Now he's a fifty year old Momma's Boy.
>
> 2006-1955=50? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> Do much math, retard?
>

>> <IKYABWAI from the living over a coal mine fatass>

I don't think Arf lives anywhere near a coal mine, UnWiseman. If you
now think I'm Arf Arf, Stagger Lee, Not Lloyd and Secwet Woger, you're
mistaken. I post as no one but myself. I never have and I never will.

> Poor Arf!Arf!, he lived in his mommie's house in the Cincinnati area
> for years.

Arf lived in Cincinnati? Was his house near one of those Cincinnati
coal mines?

If you think you're addressing me, UnWiseman, my mother never lived in
Cincinnati much less owned a home there.

You're losing your grip, Roger.

Dave K8MN

710. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 17:57:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mCSUg.6865$o71.6546@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166727

Increasingly disoriented Roger L. Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Mark C. Morgan" wrote:

> Billy Smith wrote:
>> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Lloyd Wiseman whined:
>>>
>>>> Woger
>>>
>>> And you still worry about another man, faggot. Drunk on Sunday again,
>>> fatty?
>>>
>> Still missing <IKYABWAI>
>
> Still missing your daddy who used to molest you and the other young
> boys in the neighborhood?

You should really take your medicine and lie down, Roger. I'm not Billy Smith, Lloyd Wiseman, Stagger Lee, Arf Arf or Secwet Woger. I post only as myself.

My father never molested anyone at any time. Yes, I miss him very much, as I've already told you. Do you miss your adoptive mother?

Dave K8MN

711. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 18:49:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VmTUg.4927$Y24.1188@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166728

The increasingly confused Roger Wiseman, posing as "Mark C. Morgan" wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:

>> Poor bubba sitting there in his West Virginia hovel.
>
> Poor Billy, sitting on his fat ass in his parents' unfinished basement.

Just a while earlier, you thought I was Billy Smith and that I lived
over a coal mine. You're wandering, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

712. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 18:58:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xvTUg.4930$Y24.921@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166729

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "N0VFP" wrote:
> Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:

So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?

>> It was
>
> A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
> (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
>
> I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
> And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
> Well I just had to laugh

Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?

Dave K8MN

713. Re: agins my apologizes to the NG as whole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 21:59:15 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <btsq4.rs3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166730

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1159977801.195100.235190@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
but the only thing that silcenes Robeson shit storm out bursts here is
larger creuler and vile dose in turn Robeson knows when he post his
lies and libel and violetes the Law that this will be the result it is
therefore HIS doing
==

Your idiocy is again on parade.

714. Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:19:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160000388.690313.39920@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>
>Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.

I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
dozens of others.

If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
tested amateur extra.

>Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.

Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
computer systems, components have been constantly
dropping since the beginning of 1982.

Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
brand no less! :-)

Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

>The
>IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>machine with very limited capabilities.

The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
and IBM Corporation had they done so.

"Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard. In the early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on experience with either designing, building, or using minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

>As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>steep.

You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need morse code skills to program computer code?

I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years ago.

>"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.

Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.

Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

>On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

>The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>together within the past 10 years.

Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is "more accurate" than mine? Have you built ANY personal computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association. I am a Life Member of the IEEE. Are you or have you ever been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]

Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?

What is wrong with live and let live?

715. Re: Part A, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:23:14 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160000593.979256.303070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166732

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

>But you have never been "IN" amateur radio, Len.

Tsk, that old ploy once again...

Now, now, calm down, Jimmie. You have NEVER been IN
the military yet you have NO reservations about
telling all the military and its veterans what to do,
how they should do it, how "wrong" they were in not
doing it as you said it should be done.

Amateur radio "differs" from other radio services
only in man-made regulations and the fantasies of
its licensees. There is NO DIFFERENCE in the basic
physical laws governing radio or radio waves versus
all other radio services.

Electrons, EM fields and waves follow THEIR rules, not
the imaginations of the ARRL and its members...or the
legislations of human law-makers.

If Miccolis' logic is "correct" in who is able to
talk about, govern, regulate, etc. amateur radio,
then the very first amateur licensee and the very
first radio regulating agency have been ILLEGAL from
the start. Id est, the unlicensed would NOT have
been IN amateur radio, therefore they could not do
anything. You see the fallacy of your argument?
[you wouldn't admit it if it came up and bit your
butt]

Your "IN" argument in reference to amateur radio is
therefore not only incorrect, it is nonsense.

You wish to quibble word definitions in order to
score message points and thereby show your alleged
superiority? Id est, one must be "IN" amateur radio
in order to "do" something about it. Nonsense.

In regulations that is also fallacious. None at the
FCC need be licensed "IN" the amateur radio service
in order to REGULATE it or any US civil radio service.
NONE. Not the Commissioners, not any of the staff.

Tsk, tsk, you do not negatively criticize the FCC yet they are NOT "IN" US amateur radio. Why is that?

Under the Constitution of the United States, citizens may freely express their desires to the government of the United States. [the formal wording is "petition the government for the redress of their grievances"] That includes ALL laws, legislation thereof, regulations and rules imposed by the government.

Yet YOU wish to exclude the nearly 299 million citizens who are NOT amateur radio licensees (your definition of being "IN" amateur radio is being granted that license) from doing anything at all except obeyance of YOUR desires and ONLY those of other amateur licensees. That is dictatorial, totalitarianism, and general bullshit 'territory' thinking that is akin to some neighborhood street gang.

You do not "own" amateur radio nor do you have ANY qualifications to "rule" on it. You've NEVER been IN any government regulating agency, indeed never been IN government, yet you wish to exclude millions just on YOUR "definition" of who can say what and to whom.

716. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:29:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160000955.365267.234460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166733

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>> possible to communicate.
>
>Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
>communication as early as 1900, and had practical lomg-distance
>radiotelephony by 1906.

"PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?

You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting, yet
you DEFINE "practicality" in such things as inserting
a single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
for broadcasting.

For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
"practical."

>AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.

Superfluous minutae.

YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting. Your amateur radio
license does NOT permit broadcasting. I have been IN
broadcasting, still have the license (now lifetime).

NO, repeat NO amplitude-modulation broadcaster uses
your so-called "practical" means of modulating a CW
carrier. NONE. Had Fessenden's EXPERIMENT been at all
practical, others would have used that technique. NONE
did.

>> Morse code was then already
>> mature and a new branch of communications was open

>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
>While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
>operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
>pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.

PR bullshit you fantasize. You were NOT among the
"pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
that. All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
versions of radio history from the ARRL.

Here's a plain and simple fact: Landline telegraphy
was already changing from manual to teleprinter by
the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
circuits were either shut down or replaced by
electromechanical teleprinters.

>The Morse Code
>used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
>1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.

Superfluous minutae. Manual telegraphy consisted of
closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.
There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
representation.

717. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:33:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <okd8i21n8nthk282efvoqhcshupl1mahu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166734

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 17:46:11 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:
>> MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> forgin
>>
>> Child raping Markie raped kids just yesterday.
>
>If you keep having these fantasies, they'll be putting you in a place
>where you can pick the flowers off the wallpaper, UnWiseman.
>
>Dave K8MN
indeed as iy could be establaished where I was i was all day (in
services in front of 50 people
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

718. Re: agins my apologizes to the NG as whole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:35:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dod8i29p9vulopnl5dq41sav5qmeeskvmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 166735

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 16:59:15 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
no steve just parading your misdeeds since you have forgotten them
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

719. cut the crime woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:35:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dpd8i2h8rmn6a3881rh6r9262cn1t3at9d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166736

On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 12:26:19 -0400, "Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net>
wrote:

stop the id theif it is a crime to do so
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

720. Re: Part C, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:38:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160001507.723681.54010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166737

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
>So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with
>choosing Morse Code and HF operation?

Now, now, Jimmie, you are assigning some "blame" on a plain
and simple factual statement: "Amateur radio is the ONLY
[US] radio service still using morse radiotelegraphy for
communications purposes."

What I wrote is a plain and simple fact.

You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
fact. Your problem, not mine.

>Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the
>*use* of Morse Code is outmoded!

Yes, in every other radio service except amateur.

You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
fact. Your problem, not mine.

>FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM
>- is it outmoded?

There is NO SUCH THING as "stereo multiplex FM" mode.

FM broadcasting is NOT the "only radio service" using stereophonic
audio modulation. Stereophonic audio modulation is NOT required
by FM band broadcasters. Those broadcasters MAY use stereophonic
audio OR they may use monophonic audio plus a SUBCARRIER separate
audio channel OR they may use stereophonic audio PLUS the
subcarrier audio. The term "multiplex" applies to SEPARATE
information sources, not stereophonic audio. All of that is
very much in use today.

DTV (Digital TeleVision) broadcasting carries QUADRAPHONIC audio
(optional, may be monophonic or stereophonic) with or without
extra separate audio subchannels, with or without audio text
("Teletext") accompanying the video. That is very much in use

today and for the foreseeable future of American TV broadcasting.

Some AM broadcasters are still using the Motorola C-QUAM system for stereophonic broadcasting where each stereo "channel" takes one of the two DSB sidebands. While that system works well, the AM broadcasting listener market has NOT received it well enough to warrant more than a few broadcasters adopting it or any similar AM stereophonic system. It appears to be on the way out due to listener non-acceptance.

"Shortwave" broadcasting is still "testing" Radio Mondial system which is capable of stereophonic audio transmission. Technically the system works very well. The increased cost of receivers and the general downturn in world interest in "shortwave" broadcasting might result in a future discontinuance. Note: What was once "shortwave" radio broadcasting is increasingly shifting over to satellite relay and VoIP dissemination rather than maintaining the HF transmitters; program content remains the same.

The International Civil Airways VOR (Very high frequency Omnidirectional radio Range) system ground stations ALWAYS broadcast with a subcarrier (9.96 KHz) that is FMed with the reference magnetic azimuth bearing phase. The RF output is amplitude modulated with 30% AM so that any receiver can determine its magnetic bearing to the ground station by comparing the demodulated reference phase with the main AM phase. Relatively simple receiver demod that was devised in vacuum tube architecture times. In use since 1955 worldwide, no foreseeable discontinuance in the future despite wider use of GPS.

Multi-channel (many "multis") using FM was once the choice of trans-continental microwave radio relay, the linkage across the USA that made national TV and 'dial-anywhere' long distance telephony possible. It has been largely replaced by optical fiber relay using digital multiplexing of voice and TV channels using digital modulation of laser light. The longest (to date) fiber-optic relay is the long, long like between London and Tokyo through the Mediterranean Sea past Saudi Arabia, India, around southeast Asia, past the Phillippines. Most of it under water. Optical "pumping" with a second optical wave-length is used for amplification to avoid electronic repeater amplifiers. Such optical pumping (amplification) is not possible with microwave RF radio relay.

There are many different other examples of "FM"-like modulations at work daily in HF and on up into the micro-waves. The most common is the various adaptations of the common dial-up modem using combinatorial amplitude and phase modulation of an audio carrier wave. Those are the

"TORs" (Teleprinter Over Radio) used for data communications in maritime service; voice is done via SSB and may be simultaneous with the data. This is on-going in use and for the foreseeable future.

The FIRST HF Single Sideband circuits (since the beginning of the 1930s) used combinatorial modulations. The 12 KHz bandwidth was composed of four 3 KHz wide separate one-way channels. Each 3 KHz (voice bandwidth) channel could carry up to 6 frequency-shift-modulated teleprinter channels. The common arrangement worldwide (by both commercial and government users) was to use two 3 KHz channels solely for voice/telephony and the remaining two for 8 to 12 TTY circuits (number dependent on the redundancy required to overcome selective fading). While those "commercial" SSB circuits were numerous from the 40s on into the 70s, their number has dwindled due to better throughput and reliability from satellite radio relay services.

Was there anything else technical about communications and/or broadcasting that you wanted to erroneously state?

721. Re: Part D, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:41:18 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160001678.717524.159070@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166738

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>> years ago.
>
>What "formalism" do you mean, Len?

1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
REAL telegraphic message. :-)

2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
laughter is not possible]

3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
when they are not.

4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
words would have worked just as well. Jargon use
has the appearance of being a "professional" service
but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
used in different modes.

5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
under discussion. Most of the time such voice
contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.

6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
radio station or radio broadcaster.

7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being "federally authorized radio station (or operator or both)." Elevation of self-importance beyond what the amateur radio license GRANT is about.

8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow a national service to the country.

9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of radio activity of all) into that "national service" akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an important "resource" that would always "save the day when all other infrastructure communications services 'failed'."

10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource. Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions written, the amateur radio service is still an avocational radio activity done for personal pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.

>Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.

Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service, what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?

You know NOTHING of military radio. You never served, never worked with the military. I did both as a soldier and as a civilian.

You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and technology. I've been involved with broadcasting at the station end since 1956.

You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never had one. I did.

You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms. I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.

You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in harbors and inland waterways.

You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service. CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice that. I've been doing that since 1959.

You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.

>> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
>Not true, Len. We're amateurs

Don't you forget it.

>And a license to use a good chunk of that spectrum has been available
>without a Morse Code test for more than 15 years. But you have not
>taken advanatage of it.

I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs. LEGAL operation. In most cases of such work NO license was required by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]

When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that since 1953...53 years ago.

What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks" of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz. What have YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?

Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own" equipment. :-)

Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply" of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES" anything of the government or contracted work by the government. In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that equipment!

But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer." I will NOT "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.

YOU don't think your remark was an "insult." You've tried to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday

since. Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
experience using "my own" equipment!

>> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>> technological environment.
>
>Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?

Other than NOT ENOUGH of either, NO.

YOU are NOT young, Jimmie. Face it. You've hit the
halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.

YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."

You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
an amateur extra class license largely through a test
for morsemanship. Manual radiotelegraphy hasn't been
"pioneered" by you.

>The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago.

1947. The PATENT wasn't granted immediately. :-)

>Amateurs were using
>them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.

Early. Like 1952. See QST or CQ (forget which) which
I saw at Fort Monmouth in that year. Transistors made
by Philco (?). Whatever it was, the transistors have
long been obsolete, out of production, replaced by
newer, better, cheaper types.

Jimmie, quit contradicting those who were IN the radio-
electronics industry or work who worked through all
that period. As a double-degreed whizzy something you
should KNOW that REAL PRACTICAL transistors for HF use
didn't come into being until much later than the late
1940s.

There's a whole heaping gob of documents of history of
the solid-state era, how it began, all the trouble
everyone had to make them work, to make them reliable,
to make them cheap. Much of that is now on the web.
Go do some study of something OTHER than ARRL
"radio history" for your own edification. That is,
if you can really tear yourself away from Big Brother.

To me, the history of the industry is interesting. To you it is little more than some obscure footnote you hunt for in order to use in messages where you claim your respondent is "wrong" or "in error." :-)

Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone else. Use those mighty collitch degrees, all that radio-electronics "experience" in the "industry" to show us what you can really do. :-)

722. Re: Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:41:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7sd8i2l8nlfcj8shctg6jspnm3ptafr6dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 166739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 4 Oct 2006 15:19:48 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>>
>>Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
> material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
> dozens of others.
>
> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
> everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
> tested amateur extra.
no one would be able to read his account surely for something so
impoartant he would write in Morse Code
>
>
>>Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.
>
> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

I have paid more than 700 in this centrury
>
> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

>
>>The
>>IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>>configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>>machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.
>
> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard. In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep
> your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using
> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

and for that matter I have my packet station runing with a 286 today
(my very first pc btw and I borught that in 80's for a about 1000
>
>>As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>>performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>>steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?

hmm give him that one not I sure I could do for thless 250 but then
maybe you have better dumpsters to dive in out there
>
> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.
1990 under 400 for a 386 (the 486 made the 386 mb so cheap)
>
>>"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>>for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>
> Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.
>
> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.
>
>>On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>>techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>>"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.

>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.
>
>>The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>>access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>>together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)
>
> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine? Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?
>
> You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association.
> I am a Life Member of the IEEE. Are you or have you ever
> been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing
> Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a
> Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]
>
> Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
> enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?
>
> What is wrong with live and let live?
it does not advance th e cuase of code testing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

723. Re: Part A, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:43:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i6e8i2ln1dcfvlskodrmqalb2nk67ep7fb@4ax.com>
Source record: 166741

On 4 Oct 2006 15:23:14 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>>But you have never been "IN" amateur radio, Len.
>
> Tsk, that old ploy once again...
>
> Now, now, calm down, Jimmie. You have NEVER been IN
> the military yet you have NO reservations about
> telling all the military and its veterans what to do,
> how they should do it, how "wrong" they were in not
> doing it as you said it should be done.
>
> Amateur radio "differs" from other radio services
> only in man-made regulations and the fantasies of
> its licensees. There is NO DIFFERENCE in the basic
> physical laws governing radio or radio waves versus
> all other radio services.
>
> Electrons, EM fields and waves follow THEIR rules, not
> the imaginations of the ARRL and its members...or the
> legislations of human law-makers.
>
> If Miccolis' logic is "correct" in who is able to
> talk about, govern, regulate, etc. amateur radio,
> then the very first amateur licensee and the very
> first radio regulating agency have been ILLEGAL from
> the start. Id est, the unlicensed would NOT have
> been IN amateur radio, therefore they could not do
> anything. You see the fallacy of your argument?
> [you wouldn't admit it if it came up and bit your
> butt]
>
> Your "IN" argument in reference to amateur radio is
> therefore not only incorrect, it is nonsense.
>
> You wish to quibble word definitions in order to
> score message points and thereby show your alleged

> superiority? Id est, one must be "IN" amateur radio
> in order to "do" something about it. Nonsense.
>
> In regulations that is also fallacious. None at the
> FCC need be licensed "IN" the amateur radio service
> in order to REGULATE it or any US civil radio service.
> NONE. Not the Commissioners, not any of the staff.
> Tsk, tsk, you do not negatively criticize the FCC yet
> they are NOT "IN" US amateur radio. Why is that?
>
> Under the Constitution of the United States, citizens
> may freely express their desires to the government of
> the United States. [the formal wording is "petition
> the government for the redress of their grievances"]
> That includes ALL laws, legislation thereof, regulations
> and rules imposed by the government.
>
> Yet YOU wish to exclude the nearly 299 million citizens
> who are NOT amateur radio licensees (your definition of
> being "IN" amateur radio is being granted that license)
> from doing anything at all except obeyance of YOUR
> desires and ONLY those of other amateur licensees.
> That is dictatorial, totalitarianism, and general
> bullshit 'territory' thinking that is akin to some
> neighborhood street gang.
and of that crowd he further wisshes to excludee rough half of those
left becuase they (like myself) have bnot passed a code test
>
> You do not "own" amateur radio nor do you have ANY
> qualifications to "rule" on it. You've NEVER been IN
> any government regulating agency, indeed never been
> IN government, yet you wish to exclude millions just
> on YOUR "definition" of who can say what and to whom.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

724. Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:43:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160001800.464350.17420@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166740

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

Do you spend all your time TAKING TESTS?

If so, what have you done to require taking tests all the time?

If not, why are you so concerned about OTHERS taking code
tests?

If morse code radiotelegraphy is so wonderful, great, noble,
"saves lives [not really]," traditional-that-all-MUST-take
one, then what are you upset about? If it is that "good,"
then all will flock to it in great numbers.

NOT having a code test does NOT require ANYONE to "do"
something as in having to take a code test. They cannot
"do" something that isn't there to DO.

Are you upset that OTHERS who come later will not do as you
did? Why is what you HAD to do required of all OTHERS?

What is wrong with live and let live?

725. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:46:01 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160001961.892484.92850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166742

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

ELIMINATE. As in NOT having to do something.

A change of amateur radio regulations concerning the code test,
to ELIMINATE it so OTHERS don't have to DO it.

Yet you say I "tell others what to do." Why do you tell such
untruths? Why do you put your words over mine AS IF I wrote
them? Had I wanted "other words" written, I would have
written them.

How can anyone "DO" something that is not required to do?

Why are you telling ME what to do, think, write? Answer that.

What is wrong with live and let live?

726. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:48:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160002093.629229.194890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166743

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

Do you ENJOY taking code tests? Do you think others
"enjoy taking code tests?" No? Well why do you say
I "write in other words" when my advocacy is for NOT
doing something?

Why do YOU feel ALL should enjoy taking code tests?

Why do YOU think the FCC should keep on with code tests?
Or are you really telling all that only YOU can determine
what the FCC decides? Very strange, almost totalitarian.

Why do you wish to be "judge" on what I think, do, write?
Why do you wish to be "judge" on what OTHERS should think,
do, write? Are you a god? VERY totalitarian.

Do you consider yourself "above" all others that you can
tell OTHERS what to like, not like, enjoy, not enjoy?
Why is that?

What is wrong with live and let live?

727. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:49:55 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160002195.196709.151910@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166744

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

Have I "told you" what bands to operate on, which mode
to use, when to use them? NO.

You have already taken a 20 word-per-minute code test. You
have achieved the OLD amateur extra rank with status and
privilege (all lobbied for by older amateurs who are
morsemen). Why are YOU telling OTHERS what to do?

Eliminating the code test will NOT require any newcomer to
"do" anything. No one can "do" something that isn't there
to do.

What is wrong with live and let live?

728. Re: Arf!Arf! PKB's!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:49:58 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.04.22.49.56.957369@hell.corn>
Source record: 166745
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 06:35:37 -0700, Woger "Wabbit" Wiseman, aka Billy
cumgobbler Smith, did the cha-cha, and screamed:
> Arf! Arf! wrote:
>> <nothing of importance, yet he wrote:>
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> fucks up again
> in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> "Jealoius?"

Oh, you are so Woger.

--

_____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

729. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-04 22:53:25 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160002405.461093.67040@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166746

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

Are you against OTHERS having different likes and dislikes
than your own? You constantly demean and denigrate those
who wish to eliminate the code test...because you LIKE the
code test and insist that OTHERS who come later into amateur
radio MUST take one. Now that demonstrates that YOU are
accusatory and telling OTHERS what NOT to do. Why?

What is wrong with live and let live?

730. Re: LenAnderson@ieee.org Can't be TOLD to stop letting niggas fuck his old filthy ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:09:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160006988.854650.262970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,alt.west-virginia

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> [Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
> good people out of ham radio?"]
>
> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> >In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
> >we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.
>
> You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
> wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
> words.
>
> My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
> amateur radio operators license.
>
> Are you against OTHERS having different likes and dislikes
> than your own? You constantly demean and denigrate those
> who wish to eliminate the code test...because you LIKE the
> code test and insist that OTHERS who come later into amateur
> radio MUST take one. Now that demonstrates that YOU are
> accusatory and telling OTHERS what NOT to do. Why?
>
> What is wrong with live and let live?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

LenAnderson@ieee.org

731. AB8MQ isn't posting all the crap, his mom is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <M4YUg.5037$Y24.3311@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166749
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.news-server-comparison,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Woger can't post from prison so it either has to be his mom or best friend N0VFP, Lloyd Davies doing it.

If it's Roger's mom, she has a filthy mouth. Easy to see where Wiseman learned how to talk. QSL?

SC

732. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O4YUg.5038$Y24.1225@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1159867822.860905.174320@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of October 1, 2006:
>
> Novice - 24,304 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,025]
> Technician - 285,709 (43.5%) [increase of 80,315]
> Technician Plus - 35,378 (5.4%) [decrease of 93,482]
> General - 131,945 (20.1%) [increase of 19,268]
> Advanced - 70,756 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,026]
> Extra - 108,389 (16.5%) [increase of 29,639]
>
> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,087 (48.9%) [decrease of 13,167]
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,090 (47.4%) [increase of 19,881]
>
> Total all classes - 656,481 (decrease of 18,311)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.

> They also do not include club, military, RACES
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Thanks Jim.

Maybe now everyone will see that dumbing things down was bad for ham radio.

73
de Slow Code

733. Re: Be sure to hold onto your hat when LenAnderson@ieee.org decides to expell some gas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q4YUg.5039$Y24.326@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1160001355.924829.305450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>>
>>So what? Amateurs choose the mode they want to use. What is wrong with
>>choosing Morse Code and HF operation?
>
> Now, now, Jimmie, you are assigning some "blame" on a plain
> and simple factual statement: "Amateur radio is the ONLY
> [US] radio service still using morse radiotelegraphy for
> communications purposes."
>
> What I wrote is a plain and simple fact.
>
> You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
> fact. Your problem, not mine.
>
>>Some may say the Morse Code *test* is outmoded. But you are saying the
>>*use* of Morse Code is outmoded!
>
> Yes, in every other radio service except amateur.
>
> You seem to be in denial, unable to accept a plain and simple
> fact. Your problem, not mine.
>
>
>>FM broadcasting is the only radio service that uses stereo multiplex FM
>>- is it outmoded?
>
> There is NO SUCH THING as "stereo multiplex FM" mode.
>
> FM broadcasting is NOT the "only radio service" using stereophonic
> audio modulation. Stereophonic audio modulation is NOT required

> by FM band broadcasters. Those broadcasters MAY use stereophonic
> audio OR they may use monophonic audio plus a SUBCARRIER separate
> audio channel OR they may use stereophonic audio PLUS the
> subcarrier audio. The term "multiplex" applies to SEPARATE
> information sources, not stereophonic audio. All of that is
> very much in use today.
>
> DTV (Digital TeleVision) broadcasting carries QUADRAPHONIC audio
> (optional, may be monophonic or stereophonic) with or without
> extra separate audio subchannels, with or without audio text
> ("Teletext") accompanying the video. That is very much in use
> today and for the foreseeable future of American TV broadcasting.
>
> Some AM broadcasters are still using the Motorola C-QUAM system
> for stereophonic broadcasting where each stereo "channel" takes
> one of the two DSB sidebands. While that system works well,
> the AM broadcasting listener market has NOT received it well
> enough to warrant more than a few broadcasters adopting it or
> any similar AM stereophonic system. It appears to be on the
> way out due to listener non-acceptance.
>
> "Shortwave" broadcasting is still "testing" Radio Mondial
> system which is capable of stereophonic audio transmission.
> Technically the system works very well. The increased cost
> of receivers and the general downturn in world interest in
> "shortwave" broadcasting might result in a future
> discontinuance. Note: What was once "shortwave" radio
> broadcasting is increasingly shifting over to satellite
> relay and VoIP dissemination rather than maintaining the
> HF transmitters; program content remains the same.
>
> The International Civil Airways VOR (Very high frequency
> Omnidirectional radio Range) system ground stations ALWAYS
> broadcast with a subcarrier (9.96 KHz) that is FMed with
> the reference magnetic azimuth bearing phase. The RF
> output is amplitude modulated with 30% AM so that any
> receiver can determine its magnetic bearing to the ground
> station by comparing the demodulated reference phase with
> the main AM phase. Relatively simple receiver demod that
> was devised in vacuum tube architecture times. In use
> since 1955 worldwide, no foreseeable discontinuance in the
> future despite wider use of GPS.
>
> Multi-channel (many "multis") using FM was once the choice
> of trans-continental microwave radio relay, the linkage
> across the USA that made national TV and 'dial-anywhere'
> long distance telephony possible. It has been largely
> replaced by optical fiber relay using digital multiplexing
> of voice and TV channels using digital modulation of laser
> light. The longest (to date) fiber-optic relay is the

> long, long like between London and Tokyo through the
> Mediterranean Sea past Saudi Arabia, India, around
> southeast Asia, past the Phillippines. Most of it under
> water. Optical "pumping" with a second optical wave-
> length is used for amplification to avoid electronic
> repeater amplifiers. Such optical pumping (amplification)
> is not possible with microwave RF radio relay.
>
> There are many different other examples of "FM"-like
> modulations at work daily in HF and on up into the micro-
> waves. The most common is the various adaptations of the
> common dial-up modem using combinatorial amplitude and
> phase modulation of an audio carrier wave. Those are the
> "TORs" (Teleprinter Over Radio) used for data
> communications in maritime service; voice is done via SSB
> and may be simultaneous with the data. This is on-going
> in use and for the foreseeable future.
>
> The FIRST HF Single Sideband circuits (since the beginning
> of the 1930s) used combinatorial modulations. The 12 KHz
> bandwidth was composed of four 3 KHz wide separate one-way
> channels. Each 3 KHz (voice bandwidth) channel could carry
> up to 6 frequency-shift-modulated teleprinter channels.
> The common arrangement worldwide (by both commercial and
> government users) was to use two 3 KHz channels solely
> for voice/telephony and the remaining two for 8 to 12 TTY
> circuits (number dependent on the redundancy required to
> overcome selective fading). While those "commercial" SSB
> circuits were numerous from the 40s on into the 70s, their
> number has dwindled due to better throughput and reliability
> from satellite radio relay services.
>
> Was there anything else technical about communications
> and/or broadcasting that you wanted to erroneously state?
>

Whewww. That was a gassy one.

SC

734. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S4YUg.5040$Y24.1633@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159749789.952875.5760@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Al Klein wrote:
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 03:54:16 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:53:38 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> >spake thusly:
>> >
>> >>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 02:27:51 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:54:46 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
>> >>>spake thusly:
>> >>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 06:54:33 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Deaf-blind dogs and cats don't normally walk around the streets
>> without aid. (Domesticated cats, btw, aren't scent-oriented, they're
>> vision-oriented.)
>
> Nonsense. ALL cats (especially domesticated ones) have POOR
> vision. They are scent-oriented.

Lenny knows a lot about cats. When he was a kid he hated playing
in the sandbox because the cats kept trying to cover him up.

SC

735. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <T4YUg.5041$Y24.2923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166752
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
news:6432-45230C0A-1102@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:

> That kid!? I read tell he has been at it for years.He is only Pissed
> because he got caught.
> cuhulin

He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the economy
and your wallet.

SC

736. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U4YUg.5042$Y24.234@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>>
>>> Jack
>>
>>
>>
>>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they
>>came up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.
>
> When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
> I'll go back.
>
> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
> and therefore not a comparison.

Why do you want ham radio to be like CB then by dumbing down exams? Or
are you just saying that because you're a typical lazy-ass Kanadian that
believes everything should be a government hand-out with no work required?

SC

737. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <W4YUg.5043$Y24.3037@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:QsidnWHEiuLZib7YnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:2900075.q9U2II7gGK@www.usenetzone.com...
>> Jack Ricci wrote:
>>
>>> These are serious questions I have of CW operation, with no harm,
>>> malice,
>>> hurt, or put-downs intended for anyone, so I hope this thread does not
>>> degrade to that point.
>>
>> I wouldn't worry about that too much. just be careful of some of the
>> advice
>> dispensed around here though....
>>
>>>
>>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
>>> indications
>>> that the operator is dyslexic? Does a dyslexic ham use the same speed,
>>> protocol and " short-hand/short-form wording " as a more proficient
>>> ham would use in communicating CW? Do dyslexic hams ever really use
>>> CW, or would
>>> dyslexic hams ever even consider the use of CW on a regular basis?
>>> Are dyslexic would-be hams able to pass the CW portion of an exam to
>>> get into a higher class of license and increased privileges?
>>
>> well, Dyslexia often affects the interconnection of the visual
>> processing centers with that of visual pattern recognition. I know, I
>> have that problem myself.
>>
>> Since this problem appears to be one in the visual side of the system,
>> it stands to reason that sound would not be affected in the same way
>> (nor would touch - in my case, braille is far easier for me to read
>> than the printed word was). CW is much the same way for me. I picked it
>> up as a teen, but never did get around to using it, nor did I bother
>> with it when I
>> went in to take my first Amateur radio exame.
>>
>> you may note how well I type. Actually, its an automatic spell checker
>> correcting some of the "dyslexic typing" my fingers turn out. There are
>> some word variations that still get past the spellcheck though. :(

>>
>> point is, since CW is a sound language, it might be loads easier for
>> her to
>> learn than say the printed word.
>>
>> DE N7ZZT
>> Phoenix, Arizona
>
> Do you have any tips you could pass on for those teaching code to
> dyslexics?

Use a cattle prod.

SC

738. Re: FALSE! Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <X4YUg.5044$Y24.3714@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1159752616.464172.211940@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1159581528.401284.193240@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>
>> >> Markie has a brain, but it doesn't work. Every time
>> >> Steve or anyone else says something, Markie the parrot does a
>> >> follow-up crap job on it. If Mark had something other than a
>> >> mis-spelled five word phrase, maybe Steve wouldn't tease, but I
>> >> haven't seen Mark get anything out right yet.
>> >
>> > So?
>> >
>> > Did Robesin get to taste his own excrement from some other guy's lap
>> > like he said?
>> >
>> > What useless brain dreams up that kind of stuff?
>>
>> A bi/gay dsylexic no-code hate CW brain.
>>
>> SC
>
> You're confirming that Robesin is bi/gay? Exactly how did you come by
> this information?
>

That's what Markie thought Steve said, but Mark isn't playing with a full
deck.

SC

739. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y4YUg.5045$Y24.4873@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Barnard Peters <one@two.com> wrote in
news:pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>
>> None,
>>
>> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
> They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up dead US
> solders to reach the light bulb made by GE. Due to this huge expense,
> they pass more tax cuts for rich republicans so nobody (who counts) is
> hurt financially. Everybody's a winner! Right?

Welcome to the rec.radio groups. You'll find a lot of screwed up liberals
and democrats here much like yourself. You'll probably feel most
comfortable discussing your k00k theories with the dumb-ass Canuks.

Welcome again.

73

de Slow Code

740. Re: I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z4YUg.5046$Y24.2252@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Omega One <skyking@omegaone.radio> wrote in
news:4509117833.2458F8789@omegaone.radio:

> On 3 Oct 2006 15:26:03 -0700, kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
> <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
>> rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
>>
>> konstans@hotmail.com
>
> How many times did you get emails from former congressman Foley? Did
> they make you horny? Either way, we would like to interview you just
> as soon as possible on THE TODD SHOW -- heard by millions of people on
> Omega One Radio. Please RSVP.
>

Omega One went tits up. No one listens now. The FCC knew Todd wouldn't be
able to keep an HF station running 24/7 so why waste a stamp to send him a
license. Besides, his music was shitty anyway.

SC

741. Re: I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_4YUg.5047$Y24.1134@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net> wrote in
news:4523d3b0$0$19707$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I
> do
> so
> bringit on you loosers
>
> show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
> rendered phonicticaly)
> happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
> SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful
>
> with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
>
> my blog
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

ROFL,
For a forgery, this one always cracks me up.

SC

742. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <05YUg.5048$Y24.3579@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:YCYTg.1298$5o5.195@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Dave wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
>>>>>> They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
>>>>> Nice guy.
>>>>
>>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25
>>>>KHz of the
>>>>HF bands.
>>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>>>the good of the ars
>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
>> ANC-4 noise
>> canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
>> Amplifier, G-707
>> [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
>> table.
>
> What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.
>

Markie uses it on 11 meters. He transmits to Roger and Lloyd when he hears
skipland on CB. They love to hear his beer farts when they can't be there
in person to enjoy the smell. Lloyd has big woofer speakers in his pizza
delivery car. He like to play Markies farts loud when the skipland is
rolling in. Roger likes to hear the farts because he is too loose to do
his own farting. Prison life will do that to you.

SC

743. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166761
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:arKdnXTP58e-zL3YnZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in
>> news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com:
>>
>>>>> How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25
>>>>> KHz of the HF bands.
>>>> then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
>>>> the good of the ars
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>> I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
>>> ANC-4 noise
>>> canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
>>> Amplifier, G-707
>>> [2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
>>> table.
>>>
>>>
>>> IC-706 MKIIg & AH-4 in the mobile. Plus 2 HTs.
>>> then you are a lying in claiming your radio only work on the bottom 25
>>> khz
>>
>>
>> WOW Mark,
>>
>> And you're not even a Real ham.
>
> There is this pithy little thing I found in the ARRL Handbook:
>
> The Amateur's Code
> ------------------
>
> The Radio Amateur is
>
> CONSIDERATE...never knowingly operates in such a way as to lessen
> the pleasure of others.
>
> LOYAL.........offers loyalty, encouragement and support to other
> amateurs,
> local clubs, and the American Radio Relay League, through
> which Amateur Radio in the United States is represented

> nationally and internationally.
>
> PROGRESSIVE...with knowledge abreast of science, a well-built and
> efficient station and operation above reproach.
>
> FRIENDLY......slow and patient operating when requested; friendly advice
> and counsel to the beginner; kindly assistance,
> cooperation and consideration for the interests of
> others. These are the hallmarks of the amateur spirit.
>
> BALANCED......radio is an avocation, never interfering with duties owed
> to family, job, school or community.
>
> PATRIOTIC.....station and skill always ready for service to country and
> community.
>
> --The original Amateur's Code was written by Paul M. Segal, W9EEA, in
> 1928.
>
> Kind of old, and flavored a lot like the US was during the time, but
> never-the-less, it has some important lessons in behavior and compassion
> that seem to be lacking in your vision for the hobby.
>
> -Chuck Harris

Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on the air or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see why CW is important.

Thanks again and Keep up the good work.

73 de Slow Code

744. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <25YUg.5050$Y24.3923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>> and doing anything technical wise.
>
> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> technical expertise.

And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

SC

745. Re: Pro-Coders take things too far..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <45YUg.5051$Y24.1790@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061001220259.778$Qu@newsreader.com:

> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1159739500.915838.70690@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > U-Know-Who wrote:
>> >> "an old freind" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1159739246.066727.21540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > U-Know-Who wrote:
>> > to discuss their current prostrate and bowel health.
>> >> > more truth to the notion you are acowardly troll Tom
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Who the hell is Tom?
>> > exactent question but one YOU alone can answer for us Tom
>> >
>>
>> Whatever you say, Maureen.
>>
> He's another no-code retard in a world that's flooded with them. They
> fucked up when they dumbed it down to the point where mark morgan and
> his ilk could get a license.
>
> Now they're drowning in the retard stew.
>
> Swim faster, fucktards.

ROFL!

SC

746. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <55YUg.5052$Y24.2339@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:83o0i2d63jkfdtfh8hpg29g097ulv4b10c@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:hm4sh25jlo4v9cl1cer1uuhv2p8aqotv2u@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:f4uoh2p6kcadicpmrl2bc2onk0b2ipm19a@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 23:23:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>>news:MPG.1f839935fa9c8f97989a2e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> In article <CwiSg.6715$UG4.5839@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> They just tease you because of all the stupid things you say when
>>>>>>>> you follow up to them. Just ignore them and they'll stop
>>>>>>>> bothering you.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> How your code practice coming along?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> SC
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. / -.-- --- ..- / .--. .-.. . .- ... . / -.-.
>>>>>>> .... .- -. --. . / - .... . / ... ..- -... .--- . -.-. - null /
>>>>>>> .-- . / .- .-. . / - .. .-. . -.. / --- ..-. / - .- .-.. -.- .. -.
>>>>>>> --. / .- -... --- ..- - / -.-. --- -.. . null
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So what do you like to talk about then? Roger's Ass?
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>I like to talk about saving ham radio. How's your code practice
>>>>>>coming along?
>>>>>
>>>>> Ham radio needs to be saved from arrogant pricks like you. Leave it
>>>>> already.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'm not arrogant, I'm just trying to start a movement to keep ham
>>>>radio out of the hands of the sick, lame, and lazy.
>>>
>>> Democracy will always beat fascists like you.
>>>
>>>>Ten-Four, breaker, breaker?
>>>
>>> Huh? Ohh..right...you watch too much TV.
>>
>>
>>
>>Smells like you started a movement too, a bowel movement. Please keep
>>that smell in rec.radio.cb, Thanks.
>
> You never EVER offer any counterpoints. Are you a typical ham? If so,
> then let the ARS die with dignity.

I can't help it if you're a lazy-ass Kanadian, but lucky for you being
north of the border your govt believes in free-handouts. Too bad it makes
for poorer radio amateurs. Ten-Four, Ten-Four, breaker, breaker, breaker?

SC

747. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <65YUg.5053$Y24.5049@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:BxhUg.1487$5o5.517@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:MehUg.4313$Y24.4147@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
>> Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being
>> radio groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham
>> radio, because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.
>>
>> Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
>> because I don't do CB.
>>
>> Tnx, 73
>> de Slow Code
>>
>>
>
> Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.

I figured out why you're so upset. You weren't born with a prostate or a
set of balls so you can't take part in the conversations. Maybe you can
find yourself an XYL net and discuss sewing, menstration and tampons.

Happy to Help.

SC

748. Still no Omega One on HF.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <85YUg.5054$Y24.851@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166765
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Did Todd get spanked and he's not telling us?

SC

749. Re: Thanks for screwing up the group again Markie you useless no-code.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <95YUg.5056$Y24.794@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159926400.021713.32300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
> when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
> 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

You should pay each of us $100 for screwing up the groups. Stop acting
like a CB'er.

SC

750. Todd must have asked Markie how to keep a station running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b5YUg.5058$Y24.2600@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And that's why Omega One is down. That,

Or Todds taking requests from the FCC.

SC

751. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:54 UTC
From: kb9rqz69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160007114.663574.276930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "N0VFP" wrote:
> > Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>
> So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?
>
> >> It was
> >
> > A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
> > (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
> >
> > I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> > There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
> > And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
> > Well I just had to laugh
>
> Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?
>
> Dave K8MN
```

752. Re: VOTE! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g5YUg.5059$Y24.1825@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166770
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW <BiGWhiteGuy5@yahoo.com> wrote
in news:pan.2006.10.04.06.16.22.258410@meow.fuckhead:

> All Hail Fluffy!
>
> Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen award:
> the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are many old
> favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann, Richard the
> St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo" Karczewski,
> BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going to dog you
> all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all crazy farrel or
> his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure salesman of
> alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8 Management Board. Up
> for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed Rhonda because she had my
> emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW it!" Cook, several of the
> aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie
> the spamming anti-spammer, Alan Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George
> Maloney the net.KKKop of rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the
> possibly-ersatz Woger Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the
> legendarily-lame wannabe troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell
> forgers and froggers apart from the real thing, just by reading
> headers" Arnold, J. Taylor & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth"
> school of thought ("Plate tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND
> WON!...What do you mean, I have to track them down and bring them into
> the proper jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the
> world?" Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself
> psychiatric help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo),
> Double-A, Ken "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I
> don't have to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that
> there 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again,
> Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want to
> use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time getting
> each other off (verbally).
>
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote Wrangler
> wrote:
>
>> Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
>> Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified, mocked,
>> burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at the Vote
>> Wrangler's discretion if:
>>
>> * you are a notorious net.kook

>> * you attempt to vote twice
>> * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you
>> vote for or against yourself
>> * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a newsgroup
>> other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow up to an
>> incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have explicitly
>> been disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have officially been
>> declared non-existent
>>
>> Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have
>> until 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes.
>> Vote wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
>>
>> See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
>> <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
>>
>> -
>>
>>
>> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Daryl Hunt
>> Edmond Heinz Wollmann
>> Ernest Primeau
>> Hyerdahl of soc.men
>> Pamela K Russell
>> Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
>> Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
>> Richard M Scoville
>> Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
>> The Big Eight Management Board
>> Will Dockery
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> KOOK OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> Douglas of alt.magick
>> Dustin Cook
>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook

>> Jill of soc.men
>> Vinny Barbarino
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> COWARD OF THE MONTH
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook
>>
>> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Alan Jones
>> William Barwell
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
>> Wabbit
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Duane Arnold
>> Ernest Primeau
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dr. Avenger
>> J. Taylor & Don Findlay
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> PALMJOB PADDLE
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Daryl Hunt
>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>

>> BALSA GAVEL
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Tim Bolen
>>
>> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Richard M. Scoville
>>
>> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> alt.atheism
>> Douglas of alt.magick
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Dustin Cook
>>
>> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
>> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> neither of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
>> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>>
>> Ernest Primeau
>>
>> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> bob&carole
>> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> Kantica22
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
>> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>>
>> Double-A, trained by Art Deco
>> Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo

>> Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
>> none of the above
>> abstain
>>
>> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
>> Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
>> abstain
>>
>> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
>> William Barwell
>> abstain
>>
>> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
>> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>>
>> Marcus Cox and Russ H
>> The Big-8 Management Board
>> abstain
>>
>> -

No one nominated Dr.Death or Markie this month. Looks like Woger might
make an award.

SC

753. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:11:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i5YUg.5060$Y24.1139@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159926275.129986.167170@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "
> when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
> 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

You're not worth $100 Mark.

Sorry.

SC

754. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:16:19 UTC
From: Barnard Peters <one@two.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.05.00.16.15.973822@blueplanet>
Source record: 166771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 03:59:06 -0500, Arf! Arf! wrote:

>
> "Barnard Peters" <one@two.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet... On Sun, 01 Oct 2006
> 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>
>> [quoted text muted]
>

As most wealthy people incorporate any income over ~$200k, those figures make sense but I still find it had to believe that corporations, millionaires and billionaires get away with sub-$100k tax bills. I guess I'm not one of the wealthy people like you. Go figure...

I guess I'm one of the swing voters now but the reason I no longer respect the republican party has been the worst of two evil philosophy. I have come to realize that I would rather have a prez that gets blow-jobs from 19 or 20 year old girls than a prez that lies about being Christan while behind the curtain, killing people to make other people rich and messing with our freedoms to promote it. There has only been increases of terrorism and WMD making all those arguments bogus.

Giving money to Communist china?!!! The corporations, in moving most all their manufacturing to China in the last 8 years have overwhelmed China with money and they don't know how to spend it fast enough! Makes one wonder who is running the whitehouse?

It will be quite interesting to see if the Democrats get to spend >$100M to investigate Bush just like the republicans did to investigate the Clintons. Perhaps those drunken little daughters escapades will come back to light! LOL

755. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:37:38 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160008658.450075.19410@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166772

[Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
good people out of ham radio?"]

>From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.

You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
words.

My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
amateur radio operators license.

I have served my country in the US Army. You have NOT.
Yet you have often, sometimes repeatedly "told me what I
should have been doing, what my training was, what my
duties should have been ("fighting" far from where the
actual warfare was actively in progress which would have
meant I would have been court martialed for disobeying
orders I'd been given).

You have told me all sorts of things about the military
yet you were NOT trained by the military. You have NOT
served...but you say you "served in other ways." How?
By playing with your radios under a federal license in a
HOBBY activity? Do you think that airplane modelers
"serve their country" in aeronautical technology by
flying their R-C planes in parks?

756. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:39:15 UTC
From: Notroll2006 <Notroll2006@charter.net>
Message-ID: <PuYUg.390$5H6.158@newsfe05.lga>
Source record: 166773
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:T4YUg.5041$Y24.2923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> news:6432-45230C0A-1102@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> That kid!? I read tell he has been at it for years.He is only Pissed
>> because he got caught.
>> cuhulin
>
>
> He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the
> economy
> and your wallet.
>
> SC

As opposed to Republicans who are just bad for you and your children.

757. Re: Roger likes clean girls...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 00:49:00 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.05.00.48.58.551293@hell.corn>
Source record: 166774
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:45:27 -0700, Wabbit was curiously silent:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Wed, 4 Oct 2006 00:04:31 -0500, "Arf! Arf!" wrote:
>>
>> >Roger met this gal at the Corrections Center last weekend during his
>> >"stay". Roger was refusing to take his Thorazine and the gal, who is a
>> >guard there, knocked him on his butt, cuffed him, forced him to take
>> >his medications, and sent him back to his cell. Roger kept whining that
>> >she reminded him of somebody he used to date when he was in the Air
>> >Force.
>> >
>> >After wrestling for five minutes with Roger to get him cuffed and
>> >medicated, she went immediately to the showers to wash the Roger
>> >Residue from her. She later told the shift supervisor that if this ever
>> >happened again that she was going to "beat that little pervert black
>> >and blue..".
>>
>> peeping into showers now steve you are one sick prevert
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Nothing to say, Woger?

--

Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join
"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet, and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate. The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

758. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:00:54 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <s8l8i2hiunt0d4htofftp46c4trst6m0rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 166775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:7qo0i21i5dg4cb3boc1ok1jrlsc3i8692q@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in
>>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> More power to the dyslexics...I think they should be made to feel
>>>> welcome. The crappy name-calling should stop, though.
>>>>
>>>> Jack
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Long ago the FCC thought this might be a problem, so that's why they
>>>came up with CB. You're welcome to CB, Talk all you want on it.
>>
>> When will CB have the same power and range as ham radio? When it does,
>> I'll go back.
>>
>> CB is dead, quit using the term "CB" like an insult. It is short range
>> and therefore not a comparison.
>
>
>Why do you want ham radio to be like CB then by dumbing down exams?

Do you have any idea how really stupid you sound? You keep on
repeating this "dumbing down" mantra like it actually means something.
Newsflash. Ham radio and CB are really only different in the range
available. Ham is just CB that goes further and there is nothing you
can do to change that.

And, for the 15'th time, the no-code test here in Canada is TOUGHER
than the code test.

>Or
>are you just saying that because you're a typical lazy-ass Kanadian that
>believes everything should be a government hand-out with no work required?

I have no idea what you mean. What do we get handed to us? I'd like to know because myself and everybody I know has had to work for everything we own.

Please explain what I get handed to me, oh great professor of Canadian studies. Canadian is spelled with a "C" by the way. Don't they educate you down there?
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

759. Re: Be sure to hold onto your hat when LenAnderson@ieee.org decides to expell some gas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:13:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <svm8i25od351kvjn713eipdsej1jit35m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:28 GMT, Blow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Whewww. That was a gassy one.

We don't need to hear about your sex life.

760. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:14:21 UTC
From: Dick Chisel <dc@emenon.net>
Message-ID: <N%YUg.8726$GR.5167@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166777
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

Slow Code wrote:

> He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the economy
> and your wallet.

Huh?

Actual -proven- FACT #1 as recently published in the WSJ by the U. S.
Census Bureau:

"The 'Median Family Income' has *decreased* every year since 2000".

Fact #2: Coincidentally enough, the Republicans have been in power since
2000.

Those are the proven, *verifiable* FACTS, Jack. What are you basing
-your- statement on?

You're still a little "slow", I see.

761. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:15:29 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <93n8i2tnsu4jgghb9ihd7co2ti5lqd0j4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 166778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:AqYTg.8122$vJ2.5172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
>>> MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
>>> and doing anything technical wise.
>>
>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> technical expertise.
>
>
>And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
>weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
>no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
>they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

Your defamation is well noted. Democracy just sucks, doesn't it?

762. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:16:09 UTC
From: Dick Chisel <dc@emenon.net>
Message-ID: <s1ZUg.8727$GR.3492@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Barnard Peters wrote:

>
> I guess I'm one of the swing voters now but the reason I no longer respect
> the republican party has been the worst of two evil philosophy. I have
> come to realize that I would rather have a prez that gets blow-jobs from
> 19 or 20 year old girls than a prez that lies about being Christan while
> behind the curtain, killing people to make other people rich and messing
> with our freedoms to promote it. There has only been increases of
> terrorism and WMD making all those arguments bogus.

Very well said!

763. Re: SC: Been practicing code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:25:31 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <76n8i293m9quiaek8pd83irebbs13l6pro@4ax.com>
Source record: 166780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>I can't help it if you're a lazy-ass Kanadian, but lucky for you being
>north of the border your govt believes in free-handouts. Too bad it makes
>for poorer radio amateurs. Ten-Four, Ten-Four, breaker, breaker, breaker?
^^^

764. Re: AB8MQ isn't posting all the crap, his mom is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:47:59 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160012879.266884.184690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166781
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrat

Slow Code wrote:
> Woger can't post from prison so it either has to be his mom or best friend
> N0VFP, Lloyd Davies doing it.
>
> If it's Roger's mom, she has a filthy mouth. Easy to see where Wiseman
> learned how to talk. QSL?
>
> SC

sc, do you enjoy marqueer's juices?

765. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:52:13 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4524654C.699F5B1C@milestones.com>
Source record: 166782
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

Dick Chisel wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the economy
> > and your wallet.
>
> Huh?
>
> Actual -proven- FACT #1 as recently published in the WSJ by the U. S.
> Census Bureau:
>
> "The 'Median Family Income' has *decreased* every year since 2000".

We're still recovering from the shit the Democrats bestowed upon us.

> Fact #2: Coincidentally enough, the Republicans have been in power since
> 2000.

Yep, trying to reverse the crap the Democrats bestowed upon us.

> Those are the proven, *verifiable* FACTS, Jack. What are you basing
> -your- statement on?

The fact that we're trying to recover from the crap the Democrats bestowed upon us.

> You're still a little "slow", I see.

Nobody is a slow as you are, Carter.

Now go take your meds.

766. Re: Did marqueer (faggot) really want to suck that nigger off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:54:05 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160013245.511716.276560@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166783
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Notroll2006 wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:T4YUg.5041$Y24.2923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> > news:6432-45230C0A-1102@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
> >
> >> That kid!? I read tell he has been at it for years.He is only Pissed
> >> because he got caught.
> >> cuhulin
> >
> >
> > He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the
> > economy
> > and your wallet.
> >
> > SC
>
> As opposed to Republicans who are just bad for you and your children.

page mork.....bwhahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!

767. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:54:32 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-CE0179.18543204102006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet>,
Barnard Peters <one@two.com> wrote:

> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>
> > None,
> >
> > They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>
> They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up

< Snip >

Very offensive post.

< Plonk >

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

768. Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 01:58:21 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <9un8i2p4358ekvdtk59f52pa8fri1k58kr@4ax.com>
Source record: 166785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
understanding something.

What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
some of the pro-coders here. For me, the confusion stems from having
known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.
They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.

I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
puked up by some of the pro-coders.

Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.

I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
requirement that I should hate usenet.
That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.

The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
convey the words. Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
a key that is much more limited? Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
a valid point?

Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
point valid? Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
insults instead of engaging in debate? I usually don't killfile people
but I have made a few exceptions lately.

Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post. They can go
ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
great improvement to the ARS. I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as
rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here. I can have a nasty
mouth too, at times, but it's always in response to stupidity that is
obviously not to be taken seriously.

And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
funny.

769. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:05:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m2q8i25l8a6usa40gin4de0dk4jih2qvdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 166786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

770. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:05:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k3q8i2purcdnsj52jh7ovs6shcqgbsbtee@4ax.com>
Source record: 166787
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

771. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:06:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <94q8i252l56l45p9mn4p9hlsp9adk0u3qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 166788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

772. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:06:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a5q8i2p3e19cdoglbhgq9ecpkfh515vmih@4ax.com>
Source record: 166789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

773. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:07:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a6q8i2pgsekat1qfh93asd9a42bdli7bub@4ax.com>
Source record: 166790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

774. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:07:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6q8i2h9cf2kna65qjgng01strabaabubi@4ax.com>
Source record: 166791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

775. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:08:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <98q8i2dvse0gj3jvudu61hmj1bcdkddhfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 166792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

776. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:08:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <89q8i215hfbe4neptkbb8kn3uah2kt2gt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 166793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

777. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:09:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2aq8i2d4o3iq2urimff81q5mgaj68g94bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 166794
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

778. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:10:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fbq8i29iad8s5b383gui2lltcj20q2rl7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 166795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

779. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:10:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qcq8i2lvjo8j7q1h05q329q8e1lkbotdf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1159926275.129986.167170@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "
>> when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
>> 100 hunderd to amsat in my name
>
>
>You're not worth $100 Mark.
meaning you are awelcher Slow code
>
>Sorry.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

780. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:11:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eeq8i2lvvnuh9q5cv3v97pasbgojjuvdho@4ax.com>
Source record: 166797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

781. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:12:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>
Source record: 166799
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.news-server-comparisons,alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

782. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:12:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uSZUg.19546$lj.807@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> And half a century ago those VHF/UHF hams had passed a code test. They
> weren't no-coders, they were motivated and did what it took. Today's
> no-codes aren't motivated and don't want to make an effort, therefore
> they're probably not going to be motivated to move things forward either.

Actually, the Technician License was offered with
reduced code requirements for hams who were more
interested in technical experimentation than in
ragchewing.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

783. when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:12:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <igq8i2lbnlfcsdnpqh161025ie6emtot2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 166798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you goign tpo pay off your bet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

784. Re: Still no Omega One on HF.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:13:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lhq8i2te34a4g5jddl45jsa918upm16840@4ax.com>
Source record: 166801
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

Re: Still no Omega One on HF.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

785. stop welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:14:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7iq8i2dcs8kojefks3se24lrrsd04ebnhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 166802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>You should pay each of us $100 for screwing up the groups. Stop acting
>like a CB'er.
stop being dishoenset you offered to bet then welched on it
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

786. stopp welching SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:14:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hjq8i25f85s4civlasm4egkdv0cs7n1dal@4ax.com>
Source record: 166803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

stop welching sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

787. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:16:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <umq8i2pn4emlfcpefjo06s0bi6f1mvnbc8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 01:58:21 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>
>You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
>understanding something.
>
>What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
>some of the pro-coders here. For me, the confusion stems from having
>known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.
>They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
>great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
>the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.
>
>I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
>puked up by some of the pro-coders.

I am sure Hiram is spingin or puking over the way the ARRl and
procoders are abusing Ham radio
>
>Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.
>
>I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
>as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
>requirement that I should hate usenet.
>That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.
bacause it all they have to try
>
>The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>convey the words. Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>a key that is much more limited? Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
>a valid point?
>
>Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
>point valid? Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
>insults instead of engaging in debate? I usually don't killfile people
>but I have made a few exceptions lately.
>
>Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post. They can go
>ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
>great improvement to the ARS. I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as

>rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here. I can have a nasty
>mouth too, at times, but it's always in response to stupidity that is
>obviously not to be taken seriously.
>
>And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
>funny.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

788. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:30:03 UTC
From: Ernie Primeau <ernietoo@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <L6_Ug.25032$Oh3.19775@trnddc04>
Source record: 166805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

Florida State restrooms are where the action is, the smell of shit and piss
while getting a cock up your ass is heaven.Ernie

<kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160007114.663574.276930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "N0VFP" wrote:
>> > Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>>
>> So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?
>>
>> >> It was
>> >
>> > A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
>> > (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
>> >
>> > I read the newsgroup today oh boy
>> > There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
>> > And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
>> > Well I just had to laugh
>>
>> Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>

789. Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:49:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160016567.426676.84280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166806

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> >> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> >> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> >> years ago.
> > >
> > >What "formalism" do you mean, Len?
> >
> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.

What's wrong with it?

There's no requirement to buy the forms in order to use the standard
message format. Once someone has handled enough messages, the format
becomes second nature.

Using a standard message format for written messages is easier, faster
and more accurate. That's why it has been used for so long.

> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
> REAL telegraphic message. :-)

It's not play-acting, Len. It's for-real.

> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'

Yep, that's a pretty dumb practice. Note that it's a *voice* thing.
It's been considered a poor voice operating practice for decades. I
simply don't do it.

> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
> laughter is not possible]

Skilled Morse Code operators know that a lot of meaning can be conveyed
by how the code is sent. A skilled Morse Code operator can make "HI HI"
in Morse Code sound like a laugh.

Of course you are not a Morse Code operator, Len, so your ignorance may
be understandable.

What does that have to do with "formalism"?

> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
> when they are not.

I would call them "inaccurate" reports. And again, they're poor
operating practice.

What does that have to do with "formalism"?

> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
> words would have worked just as well.

I agree!

A *few* Q signals have a place on voice, where the Q signal saves a lot
of verbiage. But most are simply poor operating practice.

For example, some voice operators will say "QSL" to mean "Roger" -
which means "I heard and understood everything you said". "QSL" is
three syllables, while "Roger" is only two. If both mean the same
thing, why say the longer one?

> Jargon use
> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
> used in different modes.

Jargon does not make something "professional".

Jargon has a use where it conveys a special-purpose meaning in a few
words. For example, referring to an amateur band by meters instead of
megahertz saves time and space, as in "I was on 80 the other night and
the Gs were all over the place".

Most human activities develop their own jargon - amateur radio is no
different. The jargon used on the amateur bands is not an attempt to
sound "professional".

Once again, the problem you cite is a voice thing.

> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.

Another voice-only problem. And what does it have to do with
"formalism?"

> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
> radio station or radio broadcaster.

I think you're jealous, Len, because *you* don't have an amateur radio
callsign.

Referring to a person by their callsign instead of their name is good
amateur practice. Callsigns are unique, short, and easily understood,
while names often are not.

Amateur radio stations are REAL radio stations, Len. That's not an
opinion - it's a legal fact, defined so by the FCC.

Did you ever hear of the "8JK" antenna, Len? Or the "G5RV"?

> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
> both)."

What's wrong with that? It's certainly a fact.

> Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
> amateur radio license GRANT is about.

How? The license for both station and operator are federally issued.

btw, I've never heard an amateur using Morse Code use that "federally
authorized....." verbiage. Another voice thing.

> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow
> a national service to the country.

Amateur Radio often performs public service - at the local, state,
regional and national level. It's not "just a hobby", Len.

And what does it have to do with "formalism?"

> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
> important "resource" that would always "save the day
> when all other infrastructure communications services
> 'failed'."

What *are* you going on about, Len? The word "service" has several
meanings.

Nobody says that amateur radio will *always* save the day. But there
are times when amateur radio steps in and provides needed communication
when other means have failed.

Like the communications failure in Tennessee a week or so back. I can
provide a link, if you need one.

btw, one of the reasons for that problem in Tennessee was that the
professionals installed vital telephone equipment in a basement - which
flooded.

> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.

Who assumes that, Len? And what does it have to do with "formalism?"

Emergency communications is one aspect of amateur radio. It's an
important aspect, but not the only one.

> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
> written, the amateur radio service is still an
> avocational radio activity done for personal
> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.

But that's not all it is. Public service is part of amateur radio, too.

Just read Part 97.
>
> >Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.
>
> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?

Quite a bit, Len. More than you'd like to admit.

> You know NOTHING of military radio.

That's not true, Len.

> You never served, never
> worked with the military.

How do you know for sure?

> I did both as a soldier and as a civilian.

But you've never ever been a radio amateur, Len.

> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
> technology.

That's not true, Len.

> I've been involved with broadcasting at the
> station end since 1956.

But you've never ever been a radio amateur, Len.>

> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services,

That's not true, Len.

> never had one.

How do you know for sure?

> I did.

That's nice, Len.

> You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
> procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.

That's not true, Len.

> I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.

But you've never been a licensed pilot, Len.

> You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
> and what is used.

That's not true, Len.

> I've used it on the water, both in
> harbors and inland waterways.

That's nice. What does any of that have to do with "formalism" or
amateur radio?

> You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.

I've listened to it. Quite a mess.

> CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
> that.

How do you know for sure, Len? CB use does not require a license.

> I've been doing that since 1959.

Do you think Amateur Radio should become more like cb, Len?

Do you think your experience in cb somehow makes you qualified to tell
experienced radio amateurs how Amateur Radio should be run?

> You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications
> Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a
> communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a
> cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or
> radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three
> Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.

The number is probably closer to one in two Americans, Len. Maybe even
more - in many families, there is one or even no wired phone, but
everyone in the family has their own cell phone.

However, the cellphone is completely unlike Amateur Radio. The user has very little control over the radio part of a cellphone. S/he can turn the cellphone on and off, and that's about the level of absolute control.

All cell phone radio functions are actually controlled by the cellphone network/system. The user *requests* various functions, such as intitiating a call, but the system decides how to handle the request - even whether to handle it.

Cell phones are actually just the end device in an enormous communications network - almost all of which does *not* use radio!

> >> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> >> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> >Not true, Len. We're amateurs
>
> Don't you forget it.

I'm proud of it.

> >And a license to use a good chunk of that spectrum has been available
> >without a Morse Code test for more than 15 years. But you have not
> >taken advanatage of it.
>
> I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate
> on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs.

So why are you here, lecturing to amateurs?

> LEGAL operation.

Maybe.

> In most cases of such work NO license was required
> by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only
> CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]

> When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER
> operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than
> causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you
> transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have
> transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that
> since 1953...53 years ago.

So what, Len? Why do you live in the past?

> What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks"
> of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

It's up to you, Len.

> I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with
> a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz.

But not with your own station.

> What have
> YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?
>
> Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard
> ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own"
> equipment. :-)

You didn't, Len. You got to push a button on a system that was the result of many people's work - and paid for by the taxpayers.

If someone makes a telephone call that goes through a geosynchronous satellite, they've used microwave communications over a path longer than 50,000 miles.

> Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply"
> of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES"
> anything of the government or contracted work by the
> government.

The taxpayers pay for it, yes. The government subsidizes it, because the market cannot support it.

> In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that
> equipment!

Nope. The part of your taxes that went to pay for it were tiny. Perhaps one small part was paid for by your taxes - if that much.

> But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your
> INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that
> they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer."

I never wrote that they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer.", Len.

You are mistaken, and misquoting.

> I will NOT
> "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.

You don't own that equipment, Len. It's that simple.

> YOU don't think your remark was an "insult."

I've asked both you and Brian Burke to explain why the word "subsidize" is an insult. So far, you have not explained.

I even posted the defintion, straight from Webster's. Perhaps you should look it up.

> You've tried
> to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday
> since.

I've said that no insult was intended. You have not explained what the insult is.

> Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
> experience using "my own" equipment!

Try to bring it home, Len....

> >> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> >> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> >> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> >> technological environment.
> >
> >Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?
>
> Other than NOT ENOUGH of either, NO.

As for youth:

I recall you writing that you've always had a problem with that - shall
I produce the exact quote?

I also recall that you wanted to *ban* anyone under the age of 14 from
amateur radio. You went so far as to recommend that to FCC.

As for simplicity:

You sure do seem to make simple things complicated.

> YOU are NOT young,
> Face it. You've hit the
> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.

I'm a lot younger than you, Len. In body, mind, and spirit.

> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."

How do you know for sure, Len?

And what does that have to do with "formalism"?

> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
> for morsemanship.

Where do I imply that, Len? I passed the Amateur Extra license exams in
1970, at the age of 16. There were more than a few Extras younger than
me, back then.

The written testing for the Extra Class license has always been more
than the Morse Code testing. In 1970, earning that license required
passing four written tests (Novice, General/Tech, Advanced, Extra) but
only two Morse Code tests (13 and 20 wpm).

btw - what exactly is "morsemanship"? You keep using that word, but
never say what it means.

Seems to me, Len, that you've taken a simple question and turned you
answer into a personal attack on me, for no reason at all. Typical.

790. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 02:58:48 UTC
From: Ernie Primeau <ernietoo@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <Ix_Ug.11757$Zj3.5367@trnddc03>
Source record: 166807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks

I love shitty cocks right out of assholes.Ernie

"BERTIE PRIMEAU" <BERTPRIMEAU@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
news:1159947976.035279.29440@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>> Mark Morgan wrote:
>> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>> > >
>> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> > >>
>> > >> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > >>>
>> >
>> > Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter
>> > man
>> > ganitals.
>> >
>> > My blog
>> > http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > --
>
> ARE YOU SURE YOU ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT ERNIE PRIMEAU , THE SNAKEOILER ,
> HERE ? BERT
>
>>
>> But Marqueer, My Dear.....You have eaten my shit, as well as
>> tasted your own love juices on my cock!
>> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

791. [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 03:24:56 UTC
From: Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
Message-ID: <slrnei8ui9.28a.i3x9mdw@b29x3m.invalid>
Source record: 166808
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

On alt.free.newsservers, in <0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>
>
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?

ROTFLMAO!!!!

Nothing a troll posts means _schitt_. People who hide behind
multiple aliases have _zero_ credibility. They can't be trusted
at _all_. EVER.

DUH <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<!!!!!!!!!!!!

Can you chew gum and walk at the same time?

Alan

--
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/contact.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/cr.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/publickey.html

792. Re: Wiseman Property Taxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 03:35:08 UTC
From: Woger Wikes Boys <porkbutt_is_wogers_man@wogerisgay.org>
Message-ID: <buk2g.sbc.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 166809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wiseman has a shitty house. I may pay him a visit soon!!!

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:bsma5.jed.17.1@news.alt.net...
> Just so you know...this is a screen shot of Roger's property tax
> summary...
>
>
>

793. Re: [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 03:36:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166810
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:24:56 GMT, Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
wrote:

>On alt.free.newsservers, in <0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>>
>>
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>
nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

794. Re: Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 03:40:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <59%Ug.5133$Y24.3604@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166811

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>>>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>>>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>>>> years ago.
>>> What "formalism" do you mean, Len?
>> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
>> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
>
> What's wrong with it?
>
> There's no requirement to buy the forms in order to use the standard
> message format. Once someone has handled enough messages, the format
> becomes second nature.
>
> Using a standard message format for written messages is easier, faster
> and more accurate. That's why it has been used for so long.

The format used helps to ensure accuracy, specifies handling
instructions, tells when and from whom the message originated and
specifies precedence. Why would Leonard have a problem with that?

>> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
>> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
>> REAL telegraphic message. :-)
>
> It's not play-acting, Len. It's for-real.

It surely is. It may be a telegraphic message, voice message or digital
mode message. Did you note Len's use of "REAL" and the smiley used to
indicate that he is just joking?

>> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
>
> Yep, that's a pretty dumb practice. Note that it's a *voice* thing.
> It's been considered a poor voice operating practice for decades. I
> simply don't do it.

I seldom hear it. Len is living in the past.

>> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
>> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
>> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
>> laughter is not possible]
>
> Skilled Morse Code operators know that a lot of meaning can be conveyed
> by how the code is sent. A skilled Morse Code operator can make "HI HI"
> in Morse Code sound like a laugh.

Beats the heck out of "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA".

> Of course you are not a Morse Code operator, Len, so your ignorance may
> be understandable.

I'm convinced.

> What does that have to do with "formalism"?
>
>> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
>> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
>> when they are not.
>
> I would call them "inaccurate" reports. And again, they're poor
> operating practice.

When and if our Leonard ever obtains an amateur radio license, he is
free to take accurate measurement and provide them in dbm to stations he
works. He is free to reject any report they provide him.

> What does that have to do with "formalism"?
>
>> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
>> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
>> words would have worked just as well.
>
> I agree!
>
> A *few* Q signals have a place on voice, where the Q signal saves a lot
> of verbiage. But most are simply poor operating practice.

> For example, some voice operators will say "QSL" to mean "Roger" -
> which means "I heard and understood everything you said". "QSL" is
> three syllables, while "Roger" is only two. If both mean the same
> thing, why say the longer one?
>
>> Jargon use
>> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
>> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
>> used in different modes.
>
> Jargon does not make something "professional".

> Jargon has a use where it conveys a special-purpose meaning in a few
> words. For example, referring to an amateur band by meters instead of

> megahertz saves time and space, as in "I was on 80 the other night and
> the Gs were all over the place".

> Most human activities develop their own jargon - amateur radio is no
> different. The jargon used on the amateur bands is not an attempt to
> sound "professional".
>
> Once again, the problem you cite is a voice thing.

Yes and one which Len is free to adopt or not adopt should he ever
obtain an amateur radio license.

>> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
>> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
>> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
>> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
>> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.
>
> Another voice-only problem. And what does it have to do with
> "formalism?"

I think he's making a case for the elimination of voice modes in amateur
radio.

>> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
>> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
>> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
>> radio station or radio broadcaster.
>
> I think you're jealous, Len, because *you* don't have an amateur radio
> callsign.

Len is big on terms like "overly-proud" and "over-use". They mean
anything more frequent than his standards permit. I may use my callsign
at any time.

> Referring to a person by their callsign instead of their name is good
> amateur practice. Callsigns are unique, short, and easily understood,
> while names often are not.

> Amateur radio stations are REAL radio stations, Len. That's not an
> opinion - it's a legal fact, defined so by the FCC.

I have a REAL amateur radio license. It has my REAL callsign printed on
it. I keep it in my REAL radio station.

> Did you ever hear of the "8JK" antenna, Len? Or the "G5RV"?

Nobody calls 'em by the name of their developer.

>> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
>> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
>> both)."
>

> What's wrong with that? It's certainly a fact.
>
>> Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
>> amateur radio license GRANT is about.
>
> How? The license for both station and operator are federally issued.

"Overly-proud", "over-use of callsigns", "elevation of self-importance".

Leonard Anderson doesn't get to specify how much self-importance is too
much.

> btw, I've never heard an amateur using Morse Code use that "federally
> authorized....." verbiage. Another voice thing.

Me neither.

>> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
>> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow
>> a national service to the country.
>
> Amateur Radio often performs public service - at the local, state,
> regional and national level. It's not "just a hobby", Len.

Where in Part 97 of the FCC regs is the word "hobby" ever used?

Have you noticed how far afield Len is going? This isn't about the use
of morse or about removing the morse test. It is about amateur radio
itself.

> And what does it have to do with "formalism?"
>
>> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
>> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
>> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
>> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
>> important "resource" that would always "save the day
>> when all other infrastructure communications services
>> 'failed'."
>
> What *are* you going on about, Len? The word "service" has several
> meanings.
>
> Nobody says that amateur radio will *always* save the day. But there
> are times when amateur radio steps in and provides needed communication
> when other means have failed.
>
> Like the communications failure in Tennessee a week or so back. I can
> provide a link, if you need one.

If you do, I can almost guarantee that the profile will be fulfilled in
short order.

> btw, one of the reasons for that problem in Tennessee was that the
> professionals installed vital telephone equipment in a basement - which
> flooded.
>
>> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
>> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.
>
> Who assumes that, Len? And what does it have to do with "formalism?"

Len is never one to stand on formality.

> Emergency communications is one aspect of amateur radio. It's an
> important aspect, but not the only one.
>
>> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
>> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
>> written, the amateur radio service is still an
>> avocational radio activity done for personal
>> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.
>
> But that's not all it is. Public service is part of amateur radio, too.

Len isn't talking about public service or its lack. Len wants to talk
about pomposity and self-righteousness. We are in amateur radio. Len
is an outsider. He is galled.

>
> Just read Part 97.

>>> Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.
>> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
>> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?
>
> Quite a bit, Len. More than you'd like to admit.
>
>> You know NOTHING of military radio.
>
> That's not true, Len.
>
>> You never served, never
>> worked with the military.
>
> How do you know for sure?
>
>> I did both as a soldier and as a civilian.
>
> But you've never ever been a radio amateur, Len.

...and he is galled.

>> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
>> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
>> technology.

>
> That's not true, Len.
>
>> I've been involved with broadcasting at the
>> station end since 1956.

I got started in broadcast radio in 1967. I was quite a big younger
than you when I did so.

> But you've never ever been a radio amateur, Len.>
>
>
>> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services,
>
> That's not true, Len.
>
>> never had one.
>
> How do you know for sure?
>
>> I did.
>
> That's nice, Len.

He's still playing that "mine is bigger than yours" game. He is galled.

>>>> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>>>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>>>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>>>> technological environment.
>>> Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?
>> Other than NOT ENOUGH of either, NO.
>
> As for youth:
>
> I recall you writing that you've always had a problem with that - shall
> I produce the exact quote?
>
> I also recall that you wanted to *ban* anyone under the age of 14 from
> amateur radio. You went so far as to recommend that to FCC.
>
> As for simplicity:
>
> You sure do seem to make simple things complicated.
>
>> YOU are NOT young,
>> Face it. You've hit the
>> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
>> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.
>
> I'm a lot younger than you, Len. In body, mind, and spirit.

>
>> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
>> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
>> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."
>
> How do you know for sure, Len?
>
> And what does that have to do with "formalism"?
>
>> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
>> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
>> for morsemanship.
>
> Where do I imply that, Len? I passed the Amateur Extra license exams in
> 1970, at the age of 16. There were more than a few Extras younger than
> me, back then.
>
> The written testing for the Extra Class license has always been more
> than the Morse Code testing. In 1970, earning that license required
> passing four written tests (Novice, General/Tech, Advanced, Extra) but
> only two Morse Code tests (13 and 20 wpm).
>
> btw - what exactly is "morsemanship"? You keep using that word, but
> never say what it means.
>
> Seems to me, Len, that you've taken a simple question and turned you
> answer into a personal attack on me, for no reason at all. Typical.

Len's use of the term "largely through a test for morsemanship" is a
blatant falsehood. As you point out, there were four written exams to
be passed in going from the Novice to Amateur Extra. You became an
Extra by passing all of the available amateur radio exams. Len bragged,
more than a few years ago, that he was going for an "Extra right out of
the box". He hasn't yet walked that walk. The talk was cheap.

Dave K8MN

795. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 03:48:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gg%Ug.7047$o71.3885@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166812

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> [Former thread was "Is the code requirement keeping
> good people out of ham radio?"]
>
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> In other words, Len, you want to tell us what we should like and what
>> we should not like. What we should enjoy and what we should not enjoy.
>
> You are in ERROR. I wasn't writing "other words." If I
> wanted to write "other words," I would have written other
> words.

You might well have been better off to have chosen other words.

> My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
> amateur radio operators license.

Your recent posts make lie of your statement. So does your spelling of
eliminate.

> I have served my country in the US Army. You have NOT.

...that you know of.

> Yet you have often, sometimes repeatedly "told me what I
> should have been doing, what my training was, what my
> duties should have been ("fighting" far from where the
> actual warfare was actively in progress which would have
> meant I would have been court martialed for disobeying
> orders I'd been given).

I'd surely love to see the post from Jim which outlined what you should
have been doing in the military or what your training was or what your
duties should have been.

Are we to understand that you disobeyed orders and went somewhere near
the front to experience that artillery barrage which you recounted in
your now classic "sphincter post"? Did you receive any punishment for
that? Where and when did that take place? Can your friend Gene confirm
it?

> You have told me all sorts of things about the military
> yet you were NOT trained by the military.

...that you know of.

> You have NOT
> served...but you say you "served in other ways." How?
> By playing with your radios under a federal license in a
> HOBBY activity?

Please point out the portion of Part 97 which mentions "HOBBY".

> Do you think that airplane modelers
> "serve their country" in aeronautical technology by
> flying their R-C planes in parks?

I dunno, Len. Do r/c flyers do a lot of public service and emergency
work? Are they the original Predator drone guys?

BTW, in regard to your new subject line, no radio amateur can be told
what to do by you. You'll be happier if you learn to live with it.

Dave K8MN

796. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:05:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2w%Ug.5146$Y24.1701@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166813

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it

>>> possible to communicate.
>> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
>> communication as early as 1900, and had practical lomg-distance
>> radiotelephony by 1906.
>
> "PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
> single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?

It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
the lead out.

> You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
> control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting...

...that you know of.

I have, Len. What of it?

> ...yet
> you DEFINE "practicality" in such things as inserting
> a single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> for broadcasting.

Tell us what other way was known when it took place, Len. What would
have been practical in 1900?

> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
> "practical."

Practicality had to be defined by the time in which something took
place. Otherwise you're left playing a game of "what if the U.S. had
the atomic bomb in 1917?"

>> AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.
>
> Superfluous minutae.

...is your specialty, Len, but I spell it "minutia".

> YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting.

I have, Len. What of it?

> Your amateur radio
> license does NOT permit broadcasting.

I know that. That's why I don't use it for broadcasting.
Did you know that most people in broadcasting don't have any kind of
license?

> I have been IN
> broadcasting, still have the license (now lifetime).

That's what I should have written earlier. I have been IN broadcasting,
Len. Are you still in broadcasting? I'm not.

> NO, repeat NO amplitude-modulation broadcaster uses
> your so-called "practical" means of modulating a CW
> carrier. NONE. Had Fessenden's EXPERIMENT been at all
> practical, others would have used that technique. NONE
> did.

Do you think there's any chance that other, more efficient techniques
were developed?

>
>>> Morse code was then already
>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

>> While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
>> operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
>> pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.
>
> PR bullshit you fantasize.

Feel free to post anything at all which documents your version.

> You were NOT among the
> "pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
> prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
> that. All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
> versions of radio history from the ARRL.

That's your story and you're sticking with it.

> Here's a plain and simple fact: Landline telegraphy
> was already changing from manual to teleprinter by
> the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
> middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
> circuits were either shut down or replaced by
> electromechanical teleprinters.

I'm sure the guys in a landline telegraph newsgroup would be fascinated
by your account.

>
>> The Morse Code
>> used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
>> 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.
>
> Superfluous minutae.

That's how I like to think of your ADA tales of better than a
half-century back, except I use "minutia"

> Manual telegraphy consisted of
> closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.

Superfluous minutia.

> There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
> of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
> them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
> AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
> representation.

Superfluous minutia.

Jim has more patience with you than I can muster.

Dave K8MN

797. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:06:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k519i29prlkifdej3fn3gd2lmfoj2bfrjt@4ax.com>
Source record: 166814

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:48:54 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>BTW, in regard to your new subject line, no radio amateur can be told
>what to do by you. You'll be happier if you learn to live with it.
wrong again dave they can be they don't have to OBEY but they be can
told you need to learn to live with that fact
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

798. Re: Part A, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:16:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HG%Ug.5151$Y24.970@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166815

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>> But you have never been "IN" amateur radio, Len.
>
> Tsk, that old ploy once again...

That old ploy represents an accurate statement of fact.

> Now, now, calm down, Jimmie.

Why don't you calm down, Lennie?

> Amateur radio "differs" from other radio services
> only in man-made regulations and the fantasies of
> its licensees.

Fantasies? It has never really been about a morse test with you, has
it, Lennie?

> If Miccolis' logic is "correct" in who is able to
> talk about, govern, regulate, etc. amateur radio,
> then the very first amateur licensee and the very
> first radio regulating agency have been ILLEGAL from
> the start.

That'd be fine if you hadn't drawn a false picture. You've talked.
You've commented. The FCC *must* accept your input. They are not bound
to agree with you at all.

If you write here, it is the equivalent of your standing on a street
corner addressing a crowd. No one in the crowd is required to listen,
to approve or to refrain from jeering or shouting you down. The crowd
has as much right to speak its mind as you.

> Id est, the unlicensed would NOT have
> been IN amateur radio, therefore they could not do
> anything. You see the fallacy of your argument?
> [you wouldn't admit it if it came up and bit your
> butt]

You stated it. It was base upon a false premise. It came up and bit
your butt.

> Your "IN" argument in reference to amateur radio is
> therefore not only incorrect, it is nonsense.

Ding!

I'm sorry, Mister Anderson. That is incorrect. Thanks for playing and
please accept our consolation prize.

> You wish to quibble word definitions in order to
> score message points and thereby show your alleged
> superiority? Id est, one must be "IN" amateur radio
> in order to "do" something about it. Nonsense.

In amateur radio, dear Leonard, rank beginners are your superiors. You
are not involved.

> In regulations that is also fallacious. None at the
> FCC need be licensed "IN" the amateur radio service
> in order to REGULATE it or any US civil radio service.
> NONE. Not the Commissioners, not any of the staff.
> Tsk, tsk, you do not negatively criticize the FCC yet
> they are NOT "IN" US amateur radio. Why is that?

The FCC regulates. You do not. If anyone working for the FCC wants to
participate in amateur radio, he or she must pass the same exams that
any other candidate for an amateur radio license must pass.

> Under the Constitution of the United States, citizens
> may freely express their desires to the government of
> the United States. [the formal wording is "petition
> the government for the redress of their grievances"]
> That includes ALL laws, legislation thereof, regulations
> and rules imposed by the government.

You've done so. Now what?

> Yet YOU wish to exclude the nearly 299 million citizens
> who are NOT amateur radio licensees (your definition of
> being "IN" amateur radio is being granted that license)
> from doing anything at all except obeyance of YOUR
> desires and ONLY those of other amateur licensees.
> That is dictatorial, totalitarianism, and general
> bullshit 'territory' thinking that is akin to some
> neighborhood street gang.

You aren't 299 million citizens, Len. You've commented to the FCC,
where your input must be accepted. You've commented here where there is
nothing forcing anyone to accept, support or agree with your views.
Now what?

> You do not "own" amateur radio nor do you have ANY
> qualifications to "rule" on it.

You don't own it. You don't regulate it. You don't participate in it.
Now what?

> You've NEVER been IN
> any government regulating agency, indeed never been
> IN government, yet you wish to exclude millions just
> on YOUR "definition" of who can say what and to whom.

You aren't millions.

I'm convinced, Len.

Dave K8MN

799. Re: Jimmie the "Historian" of Personal Computing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:42:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b30Vg.3292$Lv3.997@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis. If you need more
> material, you can crib from Robert X. Cringely and/or
> dozens of others.

Is that where you obtained yours?

> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like. You know
> everything, yes? Of course you do...you are a code-
> tested amateur extra.

You wrote one and submitted it here for free? I don't think the reviews
are going to be good on this one, Len. It has some gaping holes and
some factual errors.

>
>> Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently." The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.

No kidding? The only thing is, they didn't drop very fast until the
past five or six years.

> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

Why the smiley? Was that a joke?

> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.
>
>> The
>> IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
>> configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
>> machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.

No smiley here?

> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard.

That's not correct. The 1981 PC had limited capabilities compared to
the XT available not too long afterward. Both had limited capabilities
in terms of processor speed, memory and storage compared to the PC's of
the early 1990's.

> In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market. Try to keep
> your time frame focussed.

Were there things that the IBM couldn't do at that point, Len?
If not, why were so many folks designing, building and selling systems
to allow those early PC's to network with minicomputers?

> And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using
> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

There's a big difference between designing or building and using
minicomputers. I've never designed or built any minicomputer but I have
plenty of experience in using and working as systems manager on Wang VS
systems. Now what?

>> As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
>> performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
>> steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC? Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts? Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?
>
> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.

Now what?

>> "The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
>> for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>
> Neither the Internet ("world wide web")...

Would you like additional time to rethink your statement?

> ...nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.
>
> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

Does everyone who is technically minded need a university degree at any
time, Len?

>> On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
>> techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
>> "Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.
>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy." They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

That's odd. Our regional jail uses plenty of PC's. I don't know any
nannies but I know plenty of housewives who use PCs. I didn't see
anything incorrect in Jim's statement. Where are you going with yours?

>> The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
>> access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
>> together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

If you aren't, did you crib from him without giving credit? :-)

> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe? Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine?

Relax, Len. It was probably due to his having had prior experiences
with you.

> Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch? No? I have. Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me. Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

I'll bet it took you years to solder the parts on those mother boards.
How long did it take you to assemble that hard drive?

Awwwww! I'll bet you meant that you assembled the motherboard into a
case, screwed in the power supply, slid in a drive or two, perhaps added
a CD or DVD burner, plugged in a couple of PCI boards, attached the

monitor, keyboard and mouse and called it a day.

> You are not a member of the IEEE, a Professional Association.
> I am a Life Member of the IEEE.

Yessir. I know about the IEEE Code of Ethics, too. What has all this talk of the IEEE to do with amateur radio? Does anyone need an IEEE member to assemble a computer or use it?

> Are you or have you ever
> been a voting member of the ACM (Association for Computing
> Machinery)? I have. [got the stupid T-shirt "Dragon in a
> Member" slogan on the front...but it was free...<shrug>]

That's great, Len. It looks as if you've found your niche.

> Why are you always telling me what to like, not like,
> enjoy, not enjoy, what to post, what not to post?

I say, if it is computers you like, it is with computers you should stick. Have a blast, Leonard. You can take 'em apart and put 'em back together again. You can impress those with less knowledge than yourself.

> What is wrong with live and let live?

You've been allowed to live.

Dave K8MN

800. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:47:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <U70Vg.3295$Lv3.1591@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166817

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, having a particularly bad mental health day and posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:

> What will you do, blind gimp?

> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.

Is there not a shred of human decency within you, Roger? Have your demons taken over completely?

Dave K8MN

801. Ask twistedhed at webtv dot net, he knows all about oral and its realities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:48:23 UTC
From: keyclowns are the squirming worms on the fruit of hobby communications <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160023703.735894.305070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

just email the wristflipper

an old friend wrote:
> marqu...@mail2world.com wrote:
> > no,it must be oral
> www.usislands.org

802. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:49:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <om39i2dss3g8ru5r788iu6uki9jh5mbedr@4ax.com>
Source record: 166819

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 04:47:48 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, having a particularly bad mental health day and
>posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>
>> What will you do, blind gimp?
>
>> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
>
>Is there not a shred of human decency within you, Roger? Have your
>demons taken over completely?
in strict orde rof asking nope, and yep
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

803. Powerhouse has a pubic service question...because he LOVES pubic service!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:51:50 UTC
From: keyclowns are the squirming worms on the fruit of hobby communications <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160023910.406499.48040@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Power house aka power lips whined:
> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 09:29:23 -0400, "Doug" <doug@cbasshamteams.org>
> wrote:
>
> >In our small county some of us do both ham & CB public
> >service work. If I want to be fully accepted by the hams,
> >how many and what is the proper placement of ID stickers
> >on my car? to be accepted by the hams, my buddy
> >suggests a minimum of 42 "Member ARRL" stickers,
> >placed in every window of my car, along with at least
> >three more antennas mounted on the car. He said I will
> >make a big hit with the hams, if I place a large metal tag on
> >the car which says, "My hobby is Amateur Radio." Do
> >you have any more suggestions?
> >
> >
> >seven-thirds,
> >
> not posible
> >Doug
> >
> >
> >
> >
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

804. Question for forging mopeyhoLICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:53:08 UTC
From: keyclowns are the squirming worms on the fruit of hobby communications <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160023988.018001.301720@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Is your wife out of doggy treats?

Lloyd the hog Davies wrote:
> "Doug" <doug@cbasshamteams.org> wrote in message
> news:bfsk2.4va.17.1@news.alt.net...
> > In our small county some of us do both ham & CB public
> > service work. If I want to be fully accepted by the hams,
> > how many and what is the proper placement of ID stickers
> > on my car? to be accepted by the hams, my buddy
> > suggests a minimum of 42 "Member ARRL" stickers,
> > placed in every window of my car, along with at least
> > three more antennas mounted on the car. He said I will
> > make a big hit with the hams, if I place a large metal tag on
> > the car which says, "My hobby is Amateur Radio." Do
> > you have any more suggestions?
> >
> >
> > seven-thirds,
> >
> > Doug
> >
> >
> The hog farm down the road from me pays me extra for my spit and they sell
> it as glue. It has something to do with me being half hog or something
> technical like that. You can barely tell I'm half hog from my picture, can
> you?
>
> http://i9.tinypic.com/2wm4e1h.jpg

805. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:54:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oe0Vg.7068$o71.4247@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166822

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, having lost his tenuous grip on reality and posing
as "kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com" wrote:

> K8MN pretending to be Lloyd Morgan wrote:

That's incorrect. I'm not Lloyd Morgan, Lloyd Wiseman, Arf Arf or
Stagger Lee. I'm not Billy Smith and I'm not Secwet Woger. I post as
no one but myself.

>> On 3 Oct 2006 05:25:50 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>>> Uh, Fatass, get your glasses prescription changed.
>> In your <IKYABWAI, fatass>
>
> Truth is, you edited the post.

How pathetically silly you are, UnWiseman. Your mistakes may still be
viewed. It looks as if another retest might be in order.

> Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
> 845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility...

The city of Glen Dale does indeed have a lock up. You've been there.
You were held there. Are you having memory lapses?

Dave K8MN

806. Re: Mark runs and hides behind spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 04:56:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2g0Vg.7070$o71.3834@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166823

kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> not by any action of woger
>
> But you ARE crying, faggot.

There's no indication of that. In fact, there is every indication that you've met your match. Your torrents of filth can't begin to keep up with Mark's mindless flooding of the newsgroup. Mark has issues. You are insane.

Dave K8MN

807. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:03:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Fm0Vg.7075$o71.5533@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166824

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, under delusions that he is not only dead, but a pervert, posing as "andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com" wrote:

> --.- ..- -.-. -.- --- --.- .-.-.-

Mmmmmmmm..."QUCKOQ."?

You no longer have any room whatever for chastising Mark Morgan.

UnWiseman, you're a classic maladroit.

Dave K8MN

808. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:06:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Tp0Vg.7083$o71.4415@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166825

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:
>>
>>> Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your credibility
>>> would return...
>> Oh stop, Roger! You're cracking me up!
>
> You don't have very far to go, fatass.

All I have to do is read one of your posts, UnWiseman. If "youd" do
something right once in a while, your credibility might return, heh.

Dave K8MN

809. Re: Davey's frazzled logic...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:09:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2s0Vg.7091$o71.5592@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166826

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Mark C. Morgan" wrote:
>>> Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
>>>> Life is good when Woger is gone.

>>> Yeah, he doesn't hand you your ass, huh, fatty?

>> I'm sure that's the scenario that plays out in one of the personality
>> streams in your head.

>>> A quick call to (304) 843-4067 and there was no Roger Wiseman there on
>>> this weekend or any weekend.
>>> You fucked up again!

>> You called to check and see if you'd been there? Oh my!

> He didn't have to, "LLoyd Wiseman."

But he/you did so anyway?

I'm not Lloyd Wiseman. I post as no one but myself.

Dave K8MN

810. Re: radiomart descriptions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:12:36 UTC
From: Matt Williamson <mdj_williamson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8v0Vg.38819$Lb5.23551@edtnps89>
Source record: 166827
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

A private auction doesn't limit who can bid on the item, it just hides the user ids of the people who are bidding on it. I bought an Icom PCR-100 from him a while ago, and while I am quite happy with it I noticed he had a few more for sale that where owned by the same guy with a 486 who was selling it because he didn't know how to use it. However, there was an issue that came up in the sale and he handled it very well.

$0.02

Matt

"Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
news:12fej93b8d99jc5@news.supernews.com...
> "Chas" <wg2a@mhtc.net> wrote in message
> news:9-ydna4LspfC22rZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@bright.net...
>> Because before his auctions went "Private", you could see
>> "gottahaveit1995
>> bidding on numerous things of his. Ebays use of "Private" auctions
>> mystifies
>> me, it only serves to protect questionable dealers, anyone else have more
>> insight?
>
> The purpose of Private auctions is just that - private. As in not public.
>
> For example, where I work, we have a yearly "sell off some old computers
> to the employees" thing. We have used eBay private auctions for this.
> Only our employees can bid - not the general public.
>
> Mike
>
>

811. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:27:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OI0Vg.3300$Lv3.446@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166828

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, now believes himself to be dead and a pervevert,
posing now as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
>>>> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,
>>> Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the large fat
>>> ass.

>> That must have been on a different evening, Roger. My wife never
>> worked as a street walker and she is quite trim. Your accounts of
>> the evening we visited have varied wildly from "never happened" to "it
>> was 2 on 1" to "I wasn't at home; my girlfriend was there"

> Hey, why'd you hide behind your fatassed ex streetwalker wife?

Are you reading along?

> But then, you always have to have somebody along when you try to
> confront Roger.

The only time I've seen you, I sat right next to you. Not even your
invisible friend was between us. We had words. You fled. You've been
offered an opportunity to test your little theory. You've declined comment.

>> Of course you and I both know how it played. You were home. You
>> wouldn't open that door for love nor money. You were frightened out of
>> your demented little gourd.
>>
>>>>> Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of
>>> Nope that's not her. You lose, fucknuts.

>> That's not her? Well, DUH! Tell him, Rog: You don't have a
>> girlfriend. There isn't a woman with an IQ higher than 70 anywhere in
>> this valley that'd be caught within ten feet of you, unless it was
>> in the checkout line at WalMart.

> That why you had to get a Finnish ex-streetwalker when you couldn't
> find an American female?

Because no woman with an IQ higher than 70 in this area will get within
ten feet of you? You aren't making much sense. As I've pointed out to
you, my wife has never been a streetwalker. I chose to marry her and
she chose to marry me. A consultation with you was not required nor

desired.

> Oh, that's right your first wife left you, she needed a real man.

Did my ex-wife leave me? Maybe she gave the information to the CDC and
they called you about it, heh heh.

>>>>> Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
>>>>> porch.

>>> Poor Arf Arf, he can't even get that girl as a girlfreind.

>> I'll stick up for you here, UnWiseman.

>> Arf Arf, Roger *could* get that girl as a "girlfreind". Unfortunately
>> for him, she'd not be much use in holding the door closed. Rog could
>> talk to her in his "Not Lloyd" voice and then have her respond in
>> his "billy shitty drawers" voice.
>
> You usually stick that dildo of your wife's up your fat ass, too.
> Or it it just your morse key?

Is that the kind of thing which gets you all excited, Roger? You have
these thoughts frequently, don't you? You can't control the thoughts,
can you?

>> You really need to see the mental health professionals, Rog.
>
> You really should stop trying to practice medicine without a license,
> shit for brains.

It doesn't require a doctor to see that someone is not in command of his
faculties, Roger. I've seen your postings for a number of years. Sane
people don't write the kinds of things you write. You're clearly
disturbed and you need medical intervention. You should seek
professional help before a decision is made to put you away
involuntarily. You could be seen as a danger to yourself or others.
If you check yourself in, you can check yourself out. If the decision is
made by state authorities, you'd have no say.

Dave K8MN

812. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:34:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pP0Vg.3302$Lv3.1790@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166829

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> :
>>>>>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> show na to va woger
>>>>>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
>>>>>> being queer.
>>>> in point of fact he is lying (big surprise show na to va means happy
>>>> new year
>>> So *You* say, Marqueer. Nobody else can back that assertion up. Now go
>>> lick your shemale wife's penis.
>> Is that the sort of thought which arouses you, Roger? Does it get you
>> excited?
>
> Project much?

I'm not projecting, UnWiseman. I'm asking you questions about the filth
you post about others?

> You use that same tired line...

...and you continue to post the same tired filth.

> ...but you doth protest too
> much,

I'm not protesting at all. I'm asking if the things you write get you
excited.

> ...it's you that gets excited at such prospects.

How can that be? I didn't write those things. You did.

> After all your ham
> radio mentor molested you.

Are you trying to make my point? Does that idea arouse you? Where did
the thoughts come from? Did something happen to you as a child?

>> How was the weekend at the sheltered workshop? Do they let you make
>> beaded wallets?
>
> Too bad for you, "LLoyd Wiseman"...

Too bad for me? I'm not Lloyd Wiseman. You seem a little disoriented, Rog. I post only as myself.

> ...your lie about that has been exposed,

My lie? About the sheltered workshop and the beaded wallets? I ask you if they let you make them? Where's the exposure of anything?

> sort of like that "webcam" lie.

I've written nothing about a web cam, Roger.

> You lose, fatass, now go polish that
> wheelchair.

Wheelchair? I don't have a wheelchair.

Dave K8MN

813. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:41:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hW0Vg.3305$Lv3.772@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166830

The Unstable Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
wrote:

> Dave Heil not pretending to be "Stagger Lee" or "Lloyd Wiseman" this
> time or at any time wrote:

>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>>
>>> Roger never beat up his mother, fatty.

>> Is UnWiseman attempting to evade fact on a technicality?
>
> Is Assheil attempting to cloud the issue?

I asked you a direct question. That isn't clouding any issue. You
didn't answer it. That's the only clouding I've noted.

>> How about your adoptive mother, Rog?
>
> What adoptive mother?

Your adoptive mother--the one who left you the house.

>> Did you strike her or threaten to?
>
> Nope, he didn't. Why would he?

I'm not asking him. I'm asking you.

>> Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?
>
> Glen Dale has no "lockup." Dumbass.

Sure they do, Rog. You've been there.

>> For a guy who says he isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly seem to have a
>> lot of information at your disposal.
>
> For a guy who claims to have lots of information, you seem to always
> get it wrong.

I didn't claim to have lots of information. I do have really good
information though.

>> A couple of weeks ago, you asked if my late father. I told you that
>> I miss him very much and I asked if you missed your adoptive mother.
>> You never responded. Do you miss her, Roger?

> Yes, you miss him, he molested you .

No, Roger, my father never molested me nor anyone else. As to missing him, I've already told you that I do. You haven't responded. Do you miss Marie?

Dave K8MN

814. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:43:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HX0Vg.3306$Lv3.1301@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166831

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, pretending to be "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:

> Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastses, Penquin boy?

"Tastses", is it, Rog?

Dave K8MN

815. Re: modern thoughts are not Welcome in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:47:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <601Vg.3307$Lv3.3030@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166832

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>>> Billy Smith wrote:
>>>> markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> show na to va woger
>>>>> Translates to "I just swallowed a penis." Poor Markie, he can't help
>>>>> being queer.
>>>>>
>>>> You would know about that translation considering <IKYABWAI>
>>> Still, you are the one who telephoned Roger Wiseman and asked if you
>>> could suck his dick, fatty.

>> Do you ever think of chicken noodle soup or puppies or about watching
>> a football game, Roger? Is your mind always filled with disgusting
>> images?

> No, I don't want to watch your wife lap the female dog's privates.

You've done a spectacular job of proving my point.

You need to see a mental health professional, Roger.

Dave K8MN

816. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:51:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O31Vg.3312$Lv3.1311@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166833

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com" wrote:
> Dave Heil K8MN, pretending to be Lloyd Morgan wrote:

That is not correct, Roger. I don't post as anyone but myself. Unlike
yourself, I have no need to hide.

>> On 3 Oct 2006 04:10:04 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
>>
>>> Lloyd Morgan wrote:
>>>> On Mon, 02 Oct 2006 23:46:41 GMT, U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>>> Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
>>>> It is spelled "prostate," but you did get "hemorrhoids" right. If you
>>>> had to spell things via CW, you wouldn't have spelled only 50% of the
>>>> big words right. I admit that you could use the Q signal for "How is
>>>> your prostate?" (QYP?), but most good ops spell things out instead.
>>>>
>>>> QYH?
>>> --.- ..- -.-. -.- --- --.- .-.-.-
>> Uh, Woger, "Q U C K O Q ." makes no sense at all.
>
> Uh, Fatass, get your glasses prescription changed.

You're really unstable, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

817. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:53:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H51Vg.3314$Lv3.2217@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166834

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com" wrote:

> Dave Heil K8MN, posting as Lloyd Morgan wrote:

I've written nothing as Lloyd Morgan, Lloyd Wiseman, Stagger Lee, Arf
Arf or anyone other than myself. I never have and I never will.
You're a little slow to catch on.

Dave K8MN

818. Re: [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 05:56:59 UTC
From: Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
Message-ID: <slrnei92hb.2g0.i3x9mdw@b29x3m.invalid>
Source record: 166835
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

On news.software.readers, in
<qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
wrote:

> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:24:56 GMT, Alan Connor
> <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid> wrote:
>>On alt.free.newsservers, in
>><0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>wrote:
>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>> wrote:
>>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>
> nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Glad to hear it. Please use a 'name' in your "From:" header.

Lest you be mistaken for a troll yourself.

<snicker>

Alan

--
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/contact.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/cr.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/publickey.html

819. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 06:21:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p099i2l9d5bhkp3p9nakgk7a0q7cti8hu2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166836

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 05:27:10 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, now believes himself to be dead and a pervevert,
>posing now as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 22:19:36 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
>>>>> <DoggieDaze@Liberals.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,
>>>> Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the large fat
>>>> ass.
>
>>> That must have been on a different evening, Roger. My wife never
>>> worked as a street walker and she is quite trim. Your accounts of
>>> the evening we visited have varied wildly from "never happened" to "it
>>> was 2 on 1" to "I wasn't at home; my girlfriend was there"
>
>> Hey, why'd you hide behind your fatassed ex streetwalker wife?
>
>Are you reading along?
>
>> But then, you always have to have somebody along when you try to
>> confront Roger.
>
>The only time I've seen you, I sat right next to you. Not even your
>invisible friend was between us. We had words. You fled. You've been
>offered an opportunity to test your little theory. You've declined comment.
no Dave he has NOT declined coment

if you want you can truthfully state his has declined to make a
rational coment thenyou have a point
>
>>> Of course you and I both know how it played. You were home. You
>>> wouldn't open that door for love nor money. You were frightened out of
>>> your demented little gourd.
>>>
>>>> Thanks to some prying eyes in Roger's neighborhood, here is a photo of
>>>> Nope that's not her. You lose, fucknuts.
>
>>> That's not her? Well, DUH! Tell him, Rog: You don't have a
>>> girlfriend. There isn't a woman with an IQ higher than 70 anywhere in
>>> this valley that'd be caught within ten feet of you, unless it was

>>> in the checkout line at WalMart.
>
>> That why you had to get a Finnish ex-streetwalker when you couldn't
>> find an American female?
>
>Because no woman with an IQ higher than 70 in this area will get within
>ten feet of you? You aren't making much sense. As I've pointed out to
>you, my wife has never been a streetwalker. I chose to marry her and
>she chose to marry me. A consultation with you was not required nor
>desired.
>
>> Oh, that's right your first wife left you, she needed a real man.
>
>Did my ex-wife leave me? Maybe she gave the information to the CDC and
>they called you about it, heh heh.

no doubt
>
>>>>>> Roger's girlfriend holding the front door shut after seeing the Heils on the
>>>>>> porch.
>
>>>> Poor Arf Arf, he can't even get that girl as a girlfreind.
>
>>> I'll stick up for you here, UnWiseman.
>
>>> Arf Arf, Roger *could* get that girl as a "girlfreind". Unfortunately
>>> for him, she'd not be much use in holding the door closed. Rog could
>>> talk to her in his "Not Lloyd" voice and then have her respond in
>>> his "billy shitty drawers" voice.
>>
>> You usually stick that dildo of your wife's up your fat ass, too.
>> Or it it just your morse key?
>
>Is that the kind of thing which gets you all excited, Roger? You have
>these thoughts frequently, don't you? You can't control the thoughts,
>can you?
>
>>> You really need to see the mental health professionals, Rog.
>>
>> You really should stop trying to practice medicine without a license,
>> shit for brains.
>
>It doesn't require a doctor to see that someone is not in command of his
>faculties, Roger. I've seen your postings for a number of years. Sane
>people don't write the kinds of things you write. You're clearly
>disturbed and you need medical intervention. You should seek
>professional help before a decision is made to put you away
>involuntarily. You could be seen as a danger to yourself or others.
>If you check yourself in, you can check yourself out. If the decision is
>made by state authorities, you'd have no say.

>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

820. Re: [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 06:23:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7699i2pvf32ceghp3pomej8ao81919nh4k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166837
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

```
On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 05:56:59 GMT, Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
wrote:

>On news.software.readers, in
><qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:24:56 GMT, Alan Connor
>> <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid> wrote:
>>>On alt.free.newsservers, in
>>><0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>>wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>>> wrote:
>>>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>>
>> nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>Glad to hear it. Please use a 'name' in your "From:" header.
>
>Lest you be mistaken for a troll yourself.
>
><snicker>
I think posting a valid callsgin is enough in a radio group
>
>Alan
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

821. Re: woger runs and hides behind spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 06:26:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m899i2p7iro5usb0mc42he2kndmlo13ldt@4ax.com>
Source record: 166838

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 04:56:30 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> not by any action of woger
>>
>> But you ARE crying, faggot.
>
>There's no indication of that. In fact, there is every indication that
>you've met your match. Your torrents of filth can't begin to keep up
>with Mark's mindless flooding of the newsgroup. Mark has issues. You
>are insane.
If object to the nature of Wismen's posts as you do is issues then of
course I do

Wisemen has met someone he can't bully or selince efectively

the worse I do is not let the rest of you apsively aid the real feinds
of the NG Robeson and Wismen to selince folks in filth while you can
pretend not to notice

BTW there is nothing midless about my posting it is quite carefully
caluated and has been reasonably effective
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

822. Re: [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 07:01:08 UTC
From: Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
Message-ID: <slrnei9abn.2mn.i3x9mdw@b29x3m.invalid>
Source record: 166839
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

```
On news.software.readers, in <7699i2pvf32ceghp3pomej8ao81919nh4k@4ax.com>,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 05:56:59 GMT, Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
> wrote:
>
>>On news.software.readers, in
>><qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>wrote:
>>
>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:24:56 GMT, Alan Connor
>>> <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid> wrote:
>>>>On alt.free.newsservers, in
>>>>><0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>>>wrote:
>>>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>>>
>>> nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>Glad to hear it. Please use a 'name' in your "From:" header.
>>
>>Lest you be mistaken for a troll yourself.
>>
>><snicker>
> I think posting a valid callsgin is enough in a radio group

It isn't. There are conventions here that facilitate
communication on the Internet. Called the Netiquette. Email
addresses in "From:" headers can change for legitimate reasons.

That group does not run the Usenet. Duh. And neither to ignorant
and arrogant punks like you.

I like to know that I'm dealing with a person with at least a
little integrity. One that will allow me to check out their
posting history. Someone who isn't pretending to be more than one
person. Most of us do.

You should go to:
```

http://groups.google.com/advanced_group_search

And try different aliases in the Author box until you find a good one that returns zero hits. Don't include the email address part. Then stick with it.

You've just admitted that this is a sockpuppet created for that radio group.

<snicker>

>>Alan
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Not interested. Blogs are stupid. Created by trolls. Everyone can edit what they've posted in the past so nothing on a blog is worth schitt.

You are a stinking troll and I would never believe a word you post. On the Usenet or the Web. I don't believe anything you've posted here. You have zero credibility.

Just run along and hide from people who aren't even looking for you and couldn't hurt you even if they located you.

Trolls aren't capable of doing anything but sitting on their fat butts and running their big mouths.

While they hide their posting histories by switching aliases and newsservers all the time. And that's _all_ that does. It doesn't keep anyone with any power from tracking you down in short order. Anyone with a few thousand dollars to hire a large personal investigation firm could have your name and address and a lot more in a week. And no one who doesn't have a few thousand dollars could possibly be a threat to you.

And you sure are making it easier with that call sign in your email address.

<snicker>

... _._

Fix your "From:" header or get the hell out of my newsreader.

And spare us the rest of your kindergarden sockpuppets.

Alan

--
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/contact.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/cr.html
http://home.earthlink.net/~alanconnor/publickey.html

823. Re: [OT] Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 07:05:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5jb9i2djgr6m0n1n8ineq202aa6bd78uen@4ax.com>
Source record: 166840
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 07:01:08 GMT, Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
wrote:

>On news.software.readers, in <7699i2pvf32ceghp3pomej8ao81919nh4k@4ax.com>,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 05:56:59 GMT, Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On news.software.readers, in
>>><qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 03:24:56 GMT, Alan Connor
>>>> <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid> wrote:
>>>>>On alt.free.newsservers, in
>>>>><0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>>>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>>You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>>>>
>>>> nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>>Glad to hear it. Please use a 'name' in your "From:" header.
>>>
>>>Lest you be mistaken for a troll yourself.
>>>
>>><snicker>
>> I think posting a valid callsgin is enough in a radio group
>
>It isn't. There are conventions here that facilitate
>communication on the Internet. Called the Netiquette. Email
>addresses in "From:" headers can change for legitimate reasons.
>
>That group does not run the Usenet. Duh. And neither to ignorant
>and arrogant punks like you.
arogant you don';t run the net either you stuck your nose into Ham
thread so you deal or ham convention or frankly you can fuck off
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

824. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 09:40:49 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Bq4Vg.10254$UG4.9833@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166841
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.pro-wrestling

Dick Chisel wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the
>> economy and your wallet.
>
>
> Huh?
>
> Actual -proven- FACT #1 as recently published in the WSJ by the U. S.
> Census Bureau:
>
> "The 'Median Family Income' has *decreased* every year since 2000".
>
> Fact #2: Coincidentally enough, the Republicans have been in power since
> 2000.
>
> Those are the proven, *verifiable* FACTS, Jack. What are you basing
> -your- statement on?
>
> You're still a little "slow", I see.

There are some smart ones out there. After all, why is it that the wages have decreased while the cost of living has skyrocketed over the past 5 years. Housing skyrocketed while family incomes decreased. That is not a healthy economic picture at all.

825. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 09:42:26 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6s4Vg.10255$UG4.3795@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166842
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Notroll2006 wrote:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:T4YUg.5041$Y24.2923@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>news:6432-45230C0A-1102@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>
>>>That kid!? I read tell he has been at it for years.He is only Pissed
>>>because he got caught.
>>>cuhulin
>>
>>
>>He should have stuck to democrats then, but democrats are bad for the
>>economy
>>and your wallet.
>>
>>SC
>
>
> As opposed to Republicans who are just bad for you and your children.
>
>
>

Weren't the Republicans the saviors of the United States in this last election? After all they were the party of God or at least they and many of their hypocritical two faced supporters said so. I guess it makes it the truth if your so called values guys say it is true. What a joke.

826. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 10:27:06 UTC
From: ceniza <ceniza@genserconadv.com>
Message-ID: <QtSdndKi3u27Q7nYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@ntd.net>
Source record: 166843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.baldspot,rec.radio.cb

good lord, where's the erniebot filter?!?!

"Ernie Primeau" <ernietoo@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:L6_Ug.25032$Oh3.19775@trnddc04...
> Florida State restrooms are where the action is, the smell of shit and
> piss while getting a cock up your ass is heaven.Ernie
>
> <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1160007114.663574.276930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "N0VFP" wrote:
>>> > Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>>>
>>> So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?
>>>
>>> >> It was
>>> >
>>> > A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
>>> > (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
>>> >
>>> > I read the newsgroup today oh boy
>>> > There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
>>> > And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
>>> > Well I just had to laugh
>>>
>>> Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?
>>>
>>> Dave K8MN
>>
>
>

827. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 10:35:51 UTC
From: Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <be5Vg.10271$UG4.691@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 166844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

> Nomen Nescio wrote:
>
>>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>>Nomen Nescio wrote:
>>>
>>>>Again, Ernie Primeau at alt.baldspot is the expert on this.
>>>
>>>Really, Roagaine boy?
>>
>>It is spelled ROGAINE, Cocksucker.
>
>
> You know, sincve you are a regular user, Chromedome?
>

Sincve? You do mean since, don't you?

828. Re: Accuracy, Facts and Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 10:39:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160044791.319429.9720@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> >> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> >> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> >
> >Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>
> I wrote a chronological synopsis.

You left out important information and included a few mistakes. The
information you left out disproves your conclusions.

> If you need a "whole story" then WRITE one and get it
> published. You are the self-styled knowitall "expert"

I've never claimed to be an expert, Len. I do know some things that you
do not know. That seems to really bother you.
> who tells everyone else what to write correctly and
> not correctly, what to like and not like.

I point out some of your mistakes. That's how things go in a newsgroup.

You can have any opinion you want, Len. You can believe the earth is
flat, the moon made of green cheese, that "acceptable" has the letter
"i" in it, or that the IBM PC was introduced in 1980. If you express
such "opinions", it's possible someone else will point out your
mistakes. Your opinion does not make something a fact.

> You know everything, yes?

Oh no, I don't know nearly everything. But I do know some things that
you do not know. That seems to really bother you.

> you are a code-tested amateur extra.

There's no other kind. You aren't even a Novice, though.
>
>
> >Until rather recently, personal computers were rather expensive.
>
> Define "recently."

In the context of the PC, about the past 7 years.

> The prices for complete personal
> computer systems, components have been constantly
> dropping since the beginning of 1982.

Of course. But until about 7 years ago, most complete systems were well
over $1000.
>
> Five years ago a complete PC sold for $500 plus tax
> at Lowes near Gig Harbor, Washington. Hewlett-Packard
> brand no less! :-)

That's relatively recently, Len.

Did it include a monitor? Printer? Supplies for the printer?
>
> Complete PCs - and laptop portables - can be purchased
> today at Fry's on the west coast for $500; go to
> www.outpost.com to see their mail-order products.

That's my point, Len. The prices *now* are far below what they were
even 8 years ago.

> >The
> >IBM PC (introduced in August 1981) cost over $1500 in its basic
> >configuration - which works out to about $3500 in 2006 dollars for a
> >machine with very limited capabilities.
>
> The IBM representative showing off their PC at Rocketdyne
> in early 1982 was NOT taking orders in "2006 dollars."
> The Treasury Departement would have arrested both reps
> and IBM Corporation had they done so.

Ever hear of something called "inflation", Len? How about "inflation
adjusted"?

You know, how the value of money declines in an inflationary economy?

"2006 dollars" is a valid way of describing that.
>
> "Limited capabilities?" Only by today's standard.

No, by any reasonable standard. Heck, the original IBM PC was
considered obsolete long before 1990.

> In the
> early 1980s the first IBM PCs were the EQUAL in power of
> any 16-bit minicomputer then on the market.

And by the late 1990s they had been eclipsed by much more powerful PCs.

> Try to keep
> your time frame focussed. And cite your hands-on
> experience with either designing, building, or using

> minicomputers for a comparison. Feel free to indulge
> everyone on your 64-bit mainframe computer expertise.

The point is that those early machines were expensive and limited in
their capabilities.

The original 1981 IBM PC did not include a hard drive, color display,
network interface, modem or mouse as standard equipment. The software
available for it was limited and expensive.

> >As recently as 10 years ago, a complete PC system with reasonable
> >performance cost over $2000 - and its depreciation curve was very
> >steep.
>
> You did not do any "dumpster diving" for parts to build
> your own PC?

It's not about me, Len. It's about what computers used to cost, and
what they could do.

> Why not? Can't you build a functional IBM
> PC clone for just $100 in parts?

Actually, Len, I'm quite good at assembling PCs. For a lot less than
$100. In many cases, for no money at all.

My specialty is collecting older machines and utilizing the best parts
from them to assemble a "new" one. Usually I get them before they reach
the dumpster, but sometimes I have to reach in and pick something out.

It's amazing what computer hardware individuals and businesses throw
away these days. 17" monitors that work perfectly. Pentium II class
machines complete with CD burners, NICs, modems, etc. Sometimes the OS
is still on the hard drive. Cables, keyboards, printers, and more. It
is not at all unusual for me to find working but discarded computers
that cost more than $2500 new.

> Do you think you need
> morse code skills to program computer code?

Who needs to "program computer code", Len? Why do you live in the past?

> I know a few folks who have built whole new PC-compatible
> computers for LESS than $250 in parts cost. Three years
> ago.

But *you* haven't done it. I have.

It's also besides the point: Until rather recently (7 years ago,
approximately), PCs were quite expensive. Spending a couple of thousand
dollars is a different thing than spending a couple of hundred.

> >"The internet" was originally rather limited and not simple to access
> >for the non-technically minded. That's all changed now.
>

> Neither the Internet ("world wide web") nor commands for
> browsers accessing the Internet have changed in 15 years.

Not the point. What is the point is that there is much more content
available. And it's much easier and less expensive to access.

> Define "technically minded." Did PC users need university
> degrees to access the world wide web? I don't think so.

They did need some understanding of how to set up and use a PC. That
sort of thing used to be fairly unusual - not anymore.

> >On top of all this is the evolution of the PC from an expensive
> >techno-toy to an everyday tool in most workplaces, schools, and homes.
> >"Computer literacy" is now *expected* in most jobs.
>
> Jailhouse guards, housewives, nannies don't need "computer
> literacy."

Sure they do, Len.

> They can all be amateur radio licensees, though.

If they pass the tests and earn the license. You haven't passed the
tests and you haven't earned the license.
>
> >The synergy of low cost, easy-to-use computers, easy and fast online
> >access, and a reasonably computer-literate public has only come
> >together within the past 10 years.
>
> Yawn. Robert X. Cringely you are NOT. :-)

I don't claim to be.

> Why are you trying to tell me what to believe and not
> believe?

Because you got the facts wrong, Len.

> Why do you think YOUR "computer history" is
> "more accurate" than mine?

Because it is, Len. You got the dates wrong. You left out how much PCs
used to cost, and how little they used to be able to do.

If PCs have had an effect on the number of US radio amateurs, most of
that effect has happened in the past 8 years or less.

> Have you built ANY personal
> computer from scratch?

I've assembled several from components.

> No?

Yes.

> I have.

That's nice. Were they IBM-compatible PCs? Or were they simple systems
from 25-30 years ago?, and you're playing word games with "personal"
and "computer"

> Two of them, in
> fact. It was fun to do so for me.

That's nice, Len.

> Why are you trying
> to tell me what I "should" be having fun with?

I'm not - if you want to build computers, go ahead.

But if you want to discuss the effects of PCs on amateur radio, you're
going to see rebuttals to your mistaken assertions.

829. Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 11:14:55 UTC
From: Sam <sam@email-scan.com>
Message-ID: <cone.1160046894.983211.15312.500@commodore.email-scan.com>
Source record: 166846
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers,alt.asshole.alan-connor
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: application/pgp-signature

Usenet Beavis writes:

> On news.software.readers, in <7699i2pvf32ceghp3pomej8ao81919nh4k@4ax.com>,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>>><snicker>
>> I think posting a valid callsgin is enough in a radio group
>
> It isn't. Not for a Beavis.

Oh, silly him. How can he forget that you're a well-known Usenet laughing
stock who've claimed to be a personal friend of Bigfoot
(http://tinyurl.com/23r3f), and that you were kidnapped and raped by Xena,
the Warrior Princess (http://tinyurl.com/2gjcy).

> Beavis
>
> --
> http://www.geocities.com/suhatrasabib
> http://www.pearlgates.net/nanae/kooks/ac/
> http://tinyurl.com/23r3f

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

830. Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 11:14:57 UTC
From: Sam <sam@email-scan.com>
Message-ID: <cone.1160046897.295091.15312.500@commodore.email-scan.com>
Source record: 166848
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers,alt.asshole.alan-connor
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: application/pgp-signature

Usenet Beavis writes:

> You expect a _Beavis_ to have a brain?

Of course not.

> ROTFLMAO!!!!

I can say that again.

> _Nothing_ a Beavis posts means _schitt_. Beavises who post multiple
> kookfarts all day long have _zero_ credibility. They can't be trusted
> at _all_, except as source of endless entertainment.

Could not have said better myself.

> DUH <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<!!!!!!!!!!!!

SLAP

> Can you smack my bitch up and laugh at the same time?

I think so.

> Beavis
>
> --
> http://www.geocities.com/suhatrasabib
> http://www.pearlgates.net/nanae/kooks/ac/
> http://tinyurl.com/23r3f

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

831. Re: when you goign tpo pay off your bet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 11:14:59 UTC
From: Sam <sam@email-scan.com>
Message-ID: <cone.1160046898.652860.15312.500@commodore.email-scan.com>
Source record: 166847
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers,alt.asshole.alan-connor
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: application/pgp-signature

Usenet Beavis writes:

> On news.software.readers, in
> <qdv8i2hih6f8hap62i1qgen92pcfj56gmq@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net"
> wrote:

>> nO idon't I merely intend to raze him about it awhile
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> Glad to hear it. Please point your finger at me, and laugh.

Will od.

> Lest you be mistaken for a Beavis yourself.

No, we don't want that to happen.

> Beavis
>
> --
> http://www.geocities.com/suhatrasabib
> http://www.pearlgates.net/nanae/kooks/ac/
> http://tinyurl.com/23r3f

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

832. Re: Todd must have asked Markie how to keep a station running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 11:19:18 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1159806627.7438.1180@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> And that's why Omega One is down. That,
>
> Or Todds taking requests from the FCC.

HEY FUCK BRAINS, I going Denver for broadcasters meeting next
month. I WANT TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU LIVE BY THERE SO WE CAN HAEV A
FUCKING MEETING BITCHWHORE!!!! I pretty sure I know how you are right
now but I'll make sure during october first. Let see how big a man
you are face to face FUCKING SOCK PUPPET COWARD!!!!

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
88.3 MHz FM

833. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 11:26:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160047588.128797.309760@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:

> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> convey the words.

Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
skilled in it.

It's not the same thing as a voice, though. It's a different
communications experience, just as the written word is a different
experience from the spoken word.

> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> a key that is much more limited?

Several reasons:

1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.

2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
us, that alone makes it worthwhile.

3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
the band.

4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.

There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.

> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.

Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
sure don't.

> Can none of the pro-coders make
> a valid point?

I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.

Jim, N2EY

834. Re: How many democrats / how many republicans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 12:42:28 UTC
From: Dick Chisel <dc@emenon.net>
Message-ID: <U47Vg.8892$GR.7985@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 166851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Telamon wrote:
> In article <pan.2006.10.04.05.40.06.32926@blueplanet>,
> Barnard Peters <one@two.com> wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 22:38:32 +0000, Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>> None,
>>>
>>> They complain about it being dark and wait for a republican to do it.
>> They accomplish this task by contracting Haliburtian to pile up
>
> < Snip >
>
> Very offensive post.

And you don't find the real life example it's based on offensive?

If you had more than two functioning brain cells, you would realize that
his post was an *allegory* for the bogus Iraq "war" and that the
Halliburton scandals and the piling up of dead bodies, theirs and ours,
is indeed offensive.

835. Re: radiomart descriptions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:02:41 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <hackman@pcpeople.com>
Message-ID: <Rn7Vg.1831$NE6.1457@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 166852
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave

I had a good experience with him too but people are ONLY interested in the deals that went sour...

"Matt Williamson" <mdj_williamson@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8v0Vg.38819$Lb5.23551@edtnps89...
>A private auction doesn't limit who can bid on the item, it just hides the
>user ids of the people who are bidding on it. I bought an Icom PCR-100
>from him a while ago, and while I am quite happy with it I noticed he had a
>few more for sale that where owned by the same guy with a 486 who was
>selling it because he didn't know how to use it. However, there was an
>issue that came up in the sale and he handled it very well.
>
> $0.02
>
> Matt
>
>
> "Mike" <no@where.man> wrote in message
> news:12fej93b8d99jc5@news.supernews.com...
>> "Chas" <wg2a@mhtc.net> wrote in message
>> news:9-ydna4LspfC22rZnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@bright.net...
>>> Because before his auctions went "Private", you could see
>>> "gottahaveit1995
>>> bidding on numerous things of his. Ebays use of "Private" auctions
>>> mystifies
>>> me, it only serves to protect questionable dealers, anyone else have
>>> more
>>> insight?
>>
>> The purpose of Private auctions is just that - private. As in not
>> public.
>>
>> For example, where I work, we have a yearly "sell off some old computers
>> to the employees" thing. We have used eBay private auctions for this.
>> Only our employees can bid - not the general public.
>>
>> Mike
>>
>>
>
>

836. Re: Todd must have asked Markie how to keep a station running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:07:34 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160053654.147506.216750@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > And that's why Omega One is down. That,
> >
> > Or Todds taking requests from the FCC.
>
> HEY FUCK BRAINS, I going Denver for broadcasters meeting next

Toad, you couldn't find Denver on the map.

> month. I WANT TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU LIVE BY THERE SO WE CAN HAEV A
> FUCKING MEETING BITCHWHORE!!!! I pretty sure I know how you are right

Toad, you don't know your faggot ass from a hole in the ground.

> now but I'll make sure during october first. Let see how big a man
> you are face to face FUCKING SOCK PUPPET COWARD!!!!

Says Toad, who posts under several sockpuppets. What's the word for
that, oh, yes, hypocrite.

837. Billy posts about swallowing Roger's cum: "Washing the Roger Smell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:09:32 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160053772.759622.228080@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
>
> > Scrub as she may, she just CAN'T get that nasty Roger Smell off her
> > privates.

Your mommy swallows it just like you do.

>
> That wasn't me that posted it but still funny to say the least. After
> all, what self-respecting woman would want to even date a loser like that.

That was you, and you were talking about wiping Roger's cum from your
pimpled face.
```

838. Lloydie Property Taxes, he doesn't pay them since he lives in public housing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:11:21 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160053881.126737.162040@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloydie Wikes Boys wrote:
> Wiseman has a shitty house.

But he doesn't live in HUD public housing like you!

> I may pay him a visit soon!!!

BWHAHAHAHAHA you don't have the guts.

839. Billy Smith Property Taxes for his rabbit hutch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:12:46 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160053966.451164.191380@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> They were building shanties in Soweto, West (By God) Virginia back in
> 1928. Nothing much has changed over the years.

And Billy can 't even afford that, he lives in a hole in the ground.

840. Re: Racist Chason hates it when his sister Julie fucks blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:16:10 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054170.871823.92240@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > Then why does it say frame house? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA have fun in your
> > filthy trailer, white nigger.
> >
>
> Showing your racism again, bubba?

Showing yours, again, fuckhead?

> You would know all about white trash

Yes, we know all about you and your whore sister Julie.
She lives with her black pimp in Hartford, CT.

Julie Chason
291 GRANDVIEW TER FL 3
HARTFORD, CT 6114
(860) 956-1983

841. Billy Smith felches again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:17:46 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054266.256223.189560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
>
> Watch it, he might try to turn you into one of the disciples of the
> Bucktoothed Choad. Just like ole Raoul said.

Yeah, and you might turn him into one of your cum guzzlers like you and
Raoul.

842. Demaon Prince(ss) likes little boys.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:19:30 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054370.307593.211660@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166859
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
><BITCHSLAP>

Nothing to say, Princess?

843. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:21:46 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054506.657282.128100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>U-Know-Who wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> >>>>news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Dave wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
> >>>>>>>>>They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
> >>>>>>>>>Nice guy.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25 KHz
> >>>>>>>of the
> >>>>>>>HF bands.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for

> >>>>>>the good of the ars
> >>>>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> >>>>>ANC-4 noise
> >>>>>canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> >>>>>Amplifier, G-707
> >>>>>[2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> >>>>>table.
> >>>>
> >>>>What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Looks like Markie going to get a letter from the FCC.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>Scheissman is just jealous that he can't afford to buy an AL-80B.
> >
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Billy fucks up again!
> >
> >
> >
> >>After
> >>all, he barely paid for that FT-920 that he sponged out of Marie.
> >
> >
> > If he "sponged" it then he didn't have to "barely pay" for it. Too bad
> > for you he bought it.
> > Unlike you who has to get second and third hand used equipment, he can
> > afford new equipment.
> >
> >
> >>Not that it makes that much difference because he can't put up a decent
> >>station ro any sort of a signal.
> >
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA "ro any sort of signal?"
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >
>
> The only reason<IKYABWAI>

The only reason Billy boy can get even second hand or third hand radios
is because he begs his mommy to buy them for him. Billy can't hold
those fast food jobs very long, he delivers papers now.

844. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:24:30 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054670.834172.230560@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith felched:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> > news:YCYTg.1298$5o5.195@tornado.texas.rr.com:
> >
> >
> >><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> >>news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
> >>
> >>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Dave wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
> >>>>>>>They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
> >>>>>>Nice guy.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25
> >>>>>KHz of the
> >>>>>HF bands.
> >>>>
> >>>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
> >>>>the good of the ars
> >>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>
> >>>I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> >>>ANC-4 noise
> >>>canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> >>>Amplifier, G-707
> >>>[2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> >>>table.
```

> >>
> >>What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Markie uses it on 11 meters. He transmits to Roger and Lloyd when he hears
> > skipland on CB. They love to hear his beer farts when they can't be there
> > in person to enjoy the smell. Lloyd has big woofer speakers in his pizza
> > delivery car. He like to play Markies farts loud when the skipland is
> > rolling in. Roger likes to hear the farts because he is too loose to do
> > his own farting. Prison life will do that to you.

Sniveling Cunt knows all about sniffing men's farts. He usually sticks
his bulbous nose up his daddy's ass after he drinks those 40 ozers of
Budwiser with his pinto bean dinner.

>
> Hahahahahhaha.

Translation: "SSSLURRRRRRP!"

845. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:27:50 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054870.177154.252630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>get flush
> >
> >
> > I'm not doing any carpentry, stupid. Talk to any unlicensed stations
> > lately?
> >
>
> Not that you would have enough skill in your whole body to do carpentry!

Wrong again, dipshit. Tell us how the only job you could get is working
as a janitor at Honeywell.
```

846. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:28:28 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054908.311636.73050@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:05:05 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> stfu about me being a child molestor

Markie has a fag fit!

847. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:29:51 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160054991.145356.103770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> >>
> >>>N9OGL wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Slow Code wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared and cut
> >>>>>power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't hear it. I Knew Todd
> >>>>>was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yep, All talk and no power.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>SC
> >>>>
> >>>>no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
> >>>>transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power of 10
> >>>>watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this week
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
> >>
> >>yeah right, Like I said we will have the the new shortwave transmitter
> >>up and running real soon...but locals can still hear us on 88.3 FM
> >
> >
> > Bullshit. You are worried, aren't you, you little faggot? You have cut
> > back on the shemale pics on Nim Busters, too.
> >
>
> Woger notices those shemale pics <IKYABWAI>

Yeah, because Billy also spams them over at the Nimbusters board.
```

848. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:31:48 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055108.585681.95660@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>get flushed and clean up ham radio
> >
> >
> > Talk to any illegal unlicensed stations lately, Marqueer?
> >
>
> Jamming 20 meters<IKYABWAI>

I heard you jamming and talking unidentified on 20 yeaterday, Billy.
Too bad you live in that HUD apartment complex and you can't put out a
decent signal.

849. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:34:42 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ld1ai2181j9o36qcpm1oqoq56p5phmhe0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 166866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>
>> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> convey the words.
>
>Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>skilled in it.

One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
operators "hand" back when I watched him operate. I am not sure how
much more a person can get out of code.

>It's not the same thing as a voice, though. It's a different
>communications experience, just as the written word is a different
>experience from the spoken word.

Fair enough.

>> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>> a key that is much more limited?
>
>Several reasons:
>
>1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
>equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.

Well, I did say "usually". But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to
code only?

>2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
>us, that alone makes it worthwhile.

I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.

>3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
>Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
>one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
>the band.

Some very valid points here.

>4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
>typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.

>That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
>barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.

I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
recognize where it was broadcast from.

>There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
>
>> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
>
>Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
>sure don't.

I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.

I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
handouts in the 44 years I have been around.

>> Can none of the pro-coders make
>> a valid point?
>
>I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
>a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.

Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.

My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
bolted together. I was self-supporting.

850. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:35:30 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055330.394621.10460@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On 30 Sep 2006 21:48:49 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>STOP YOUR SHIT MORK
> >>>>
> >>>>what shit woger
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Wrong guess, dumbass!
> >>>
> >>
> >>Poor bubba sitting there in his West Virginia hovel.
> >
> >
> > Poor Billy, sitting on his fat ass in his parents' unfinished basement.
>
>
> Mwhahwhahahahhaha. You really do think I am Todd.

You think everyone is Roger.

>The other day I was
> Lloyd. Which one am I or am I neither? Shows your intellect!

You fuck ups below show yours.

> >
> > BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:
> >
> > Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in
> > <gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC

> > enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They
> >
> > don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have
> >
> > committed rules violations."
> > Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
> > NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC
> > has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules
> > infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC
> > rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
> > rules to readminister any examination element previously administered
> > by a volunteer examiner.
> >
> >
> > Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
> > English skills, quite ironically in
> > <VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school
> > math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
> > in
> > Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."
> >
> > "wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!
> >
> > Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
> > Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Roger, shots himself in the foot"
> >
> >
> > Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
> > an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$v0.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"
> >
> >
> > Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy
> > is exposed. "It serves him right."
> > in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Deserves him right."
> >
> > Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded
> > status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"
> >
> >
> > Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad
> > hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
> > <QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> > "Sure I can spell and smell."
> >
> > "Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in

> > <qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
> > more"
> >
> > Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
> > slobber
> > in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Isn't that you mom weighed"
> >
> >
> > Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
> > about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
> > <w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
> > your
> > so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."
> >
> > Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in
> > <B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "You're whole life has been exhaled before."
> >
> > Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
> > slobbering in
> > <MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."
> >
> > "Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
> > in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"
> >
> > "Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his
> > cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."
> >
> > Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to
> > criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his
> > blatant "stupidity" in
> > <oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one
> > Scheissman.
> > You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"
> >
> > Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to
> > comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in
> > Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> > "Woger cant spell very well anyway."
> >
> > Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he
> > blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

> >
> > Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he
> > can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the
> > English tutoring books there in
> > WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are
> > open."
> >
> > Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in
> > <4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."
> >
> > Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to
> > claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from
> > "experience"?) in
> > <7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that
> > third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and
> > the like. Speaking from experrience again?"
> >
> > Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make
> > fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in
> > <my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> > "Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather
> > than "Taod"?"
> >

851. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:38:42 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055522.105796.33540@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Indy Ham wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Woger needs his ass kicked is the problem. It almost happened at Dayton 3 or
> >>>>>4 years ago. Remember Woger? You ran and got the police. I remember....
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No, Roachdale fucktard, you must be thinking about yourself.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>woger has showed at a ham fest I am shocked but sadly what woger realy
> >>>>needs is euthenizing
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Roger has never been to Dayton hamfest, retard.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Still writing <IKYABWAI>
> >
> >
> > Still hiding, coward? Your Pee Wee herman impersonation sucks, just
> > like your sister.
> >
>
> You don't have to imprersonate Pee Wee Herman because

Because you're doing quite well on your own, you utterly clueless
fucktard.

852. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:41:07 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055667.717686.11590@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>get flsuhed clean up ham radio
> >
> >
> > Talk to any unlicensed stations lately, fucktard?
> >
>
> Have you been jamming 20 meters lately, dumbass?

No, But I hear you jamming and making unidentified transmissions there.
BTW, clueless, the FCC can require any ham tested under the VE system
to retest. They don't have to give a reason. In Roger's case, it sure
backfired because he passed them and even upgraded. It's not much of a
"sanction," is it?

853. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:41:54 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055714.412113.160680@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 05:46:38 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > stfu you know im a child molestor woger

You sure are, Marqueer!

854. Re: Beer Enemas and Personal Questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:45:34 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160055934.408339.40430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot

Billy Smith whined:
>
> Sincve? You do mean since, don't you?

"Floriduh?" You mean "Florida," don't you?

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those English skills, quite ironically in
<VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$vO.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy is exposed. "It serves him right."
in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Deserves him right."

Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"

Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
<QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
"Sure I can spell and smell."

"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
<qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your more"

Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to slobber
in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

"Isn't that you mom weighed"

Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in <w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is your
so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."

Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in <B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"You're whole life has been exhaled before."

Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this slobbering in
<MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."

"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"

"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."

Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his blatant "stupidity" in
<oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one Scheissman.
You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"

Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Woger cant spell very well anyway."

Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the English tutoring books there in
WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are open."

Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in <4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."

Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from "experience"?) in <7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and the like. Speaking from experrience again?"

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in <my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather than "Taod"?"

855. Get an English tutor, Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:47:04 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056024.525535.49760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old freindless cocksucker of little boys wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >
> get flush

Get an English tutor, you illiterate fat queer.

856. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:49:37 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056177.886028.103650@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >>forgin
> >
> >
> > Child raping Markie raped kids just yesterday.
> >
>
> So says Scheissman, the Republican

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Wrong again, fucknuts. That's not the party he registered under. Too bad for you the Voter registration office won't give out which one, eh?

>who is also a Foley supporter.

BWHAHAHAHAHA Says Billy, one of the ones Foley e-mailed and had homoexual sex with.

857. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:52:12 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056332.104094.225400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> Billy Smith wrote:
> > Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> > >>forgin
> > >
> > >
> > > Child raping Markie raped kids just yesterday.
> > >
> >
> > So says Scheissman, the Republican
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Wrong again, fucknuts. That's not the party he
> registered under. Too bad for you the Voter registration office won't
> give out which one, eh?
>
> >who is also a Foley supporter.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHA Says Billy, one of the ones Foley e-mailed and had
> homosexual sex with.

typo corrected, watch Billy dance!

858. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:55:17 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056517.900414.112970@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On 29 Sep 2006 05:05:14 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan" <ab8mqs@yahoo.com>
> >>>>wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>an old friendless faggot wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>MarkMorgan@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>To a call forwarded number you set up, Markie?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>to the number you and he dug oh paranoid one
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Nope, to a call-forwarded number, oh, untruthful one...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>funny how you still never called despite your claims
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Why would one call a call-forwarded number when they know you won't
> >>>>>reach the right party, stupid?
> >>>>
> >>>>you are the one that looked up the number and posted it
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Nope, wrong again, fucktard. You can't even keep your lies straight,
> >>>pervert.
> >>>

> >>
> >>Take a known homosexual like me to call anyone a pervert.
> >
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHAHA poor Billy he still lives in his parents' basement!
> >
>
> Nice try bubba. I don't live in a basement nor do I live with my parents

Yes, you do, pimple face!

> but you lived

Nope, you continue to get your facts wrong.

> That
> was a lie wasn't it Scheissman.

Everything you post is one, dumbfuck.

> Just like that crappy degree you
> received from USF or claimed to receive.

Call the USF registrar's office and see. Oh, that's right, you'd have
to

1)get mommy and daddy's permission to make long distance calls
2) get a real job so you can pay the LD bill.

859. Billy Smith Secwet Crossdresser as a woman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:57:41 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056661.318646.149320@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> Woger is a CW, that is Cunt Waste.

While Billy was the afterbirth of an abortion.

..-. ..- -.-. -.- .... . .- -.. .-.-.-

860. Re: Lloyd Wiseman, confesses to wanting to suck Roger off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 13:59:08 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056748.696298.157870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Lloyd Wiseman K8MN wrote:
> >
> >>On 3 Oct 2006 03:51:31 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man, wrote:
> >>
> >>>I'm glad you admitted you lied and are a total fuck up. .
> >>
> >>Finally, Woger, i 'fesses up. I want to suck your dick
> >>
> >>..._._
> >
> >
> > You sure do, now go rape Morgan instead. Like you did in Cincinnati.
> >
>
> Woger knows all about Cincinnati, after all his relatives live down
> there in the ghetto.

You must be talking about Dave Assheil's mommy, she lives near there!
But then your mommy lives in a crackhouse, eh, Billy?

861. Re: Arf! Arf! asslicking woman, total fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:00:24 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160056824.639507.316070@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Arf! Arf! wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Yes, Woger
> >
> >
> > Poor Arf! Arf! if he didn't lick somebody's ass in public he'd never
> > have much of interest to squeal.
>
> Woger knows I squeals like the Deliverance boy

You sure do, and your father sodomizes you nightly so you can stay in
his basement.
```

862. Re: Hey Lardass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:04:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057070.299549.170890@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Wikes Boys wrote:
> You think you know who I am... but you are wrong...

What a coincidence the same can be said of you, asshole.
>
> "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1159965786.750550.280660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Lloyd Wikes Boys wrote:
> >> You are repetative as hell retard.
> >
> > PKB, fucknuts.
> >
> >> Maybe I need to take a trip to see you.
> >
> > You don't have the guts. Maybe Assheil's ex streetwalker wife can
> > sheild you like she did him.
> > Her ass is about as big as yours.
> >
> >> We can drink a beer and you can tell
> >> me all your prison storys.
> >
> > Maybe you can learn how to spell "stories", after which you choke on
> > the blood from your larynx.
> >

863. Billy Smith is a faggot with AIDS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:05:22 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057122.645946.25460@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> >
> >>get flushed
> >
> >
> > Get to dying of your aids!
> >
>
> As much as you have talked about it,<IKYABWAI>

You seem to know all about Lavender Lads, but you are a faggot with
AIDS, aren't you basement dweller?
```

864. Re: Markie eats excrement on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:06:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057187.783779.278990@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 05:49:10 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu about my child molestoring woger

BWHAHAHAHAHA!

865. Re: Illiterate child raper mark morgan the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:08:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057324.497744.4090@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:12:10 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> stfu you know im a child molestor

You sure are, Marqueer!

866. Markie runs and hides behind spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:09:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057376.270491.285840@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:00:06 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> stfu you know Im a child molestor

Markie admits it!

867. Markie the kook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:10:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057439.417550.292860@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 05:52:45 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stuf you know im a child molestor

Markie admits it!

868. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:11:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057483.203804.240210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Raul wrote:
> In article <1159964730.029403.247340@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > forgin
> >
> > Cocksucking Markie admits he has sucked dicks.
> >
> > Marqueer Morgan, KB9RQZ admits he sucks cock in
> > <1150379423.544324.227310@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > "I do have gay sex partners"
>
> Boring!

That why you responded, dumbass?

869. Get English tutoring, Mr.dumbass.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:13:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057629.884619.35550@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

an old freindless fucktard wrote:
> >
> get flush

Get plumb, Mr. dumbass!

870. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:14:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057685.211057.4840@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:BxhUg.1487$5o5.517@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
> >
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:MehUg.4313$Y24.4147@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >> Now if we can just get rid of the Beer Enema brigade, Woger, Stevie,
> >> Lloydie, Billy Smith, and Toad, these groups can get back to being
> >> radio groups again and we can talk about how to employ CW to save ham
> >> radio, because I know we all agree CW is what will save it.
> >>
> >> Catch you on the bands unless you're a No-code ham, then I won't
> >> because I don't do CB.
> >>
> >> Tnx, 73
> >> de Slow Code
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Good deal. Go discuss your prostrate and hemorrhoids via CW.
>
>
> I figured out why you're so upset. You weren't born with a prostate or a
> set of balls <IKYABWAI>

PKB, Sniveling Cunt.

871. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:17:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160057841.094452.277400@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu you know im a child molestor

BWHAHAHAHA!

872. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:23:30 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160058210.426856.285280@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166889

Dave Heil finnally posting under his own name wrote:
> "N0VFP" wrote:
> > Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>
> So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?

No, my former sperm depository, you are.

> >> It was
> >
> > A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
> > (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
> >
> > I read the newsgroup today oh boy
> > There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
> > And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
> > Well I just had to laugh
>
> Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?

A laugh, just like your ex-streetwalker wife does when you expose
yourself to her.

873. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:27:13 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160058433.801439.296440@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166890

Dave Heil wrote:
> "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
>
> > Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastes, Penquin boy?
>
> "Tastses", is it, Rog?

"Velley" is it, Stagger Lee? "Maquerading?"

Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility in
<USgUg.4306$Y24.1277@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?"

Davey does the "Hey Maquerading" dance as he "masquerades" as a human
in
<x_6Cg.6193$0e5.1895@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
".......maquerading......."

Davey K8MN, the lying hypocrite proves he can play the stupid "roles"
most effectively when talking about membership rolls in
<155Cg.1227$Sn3.141@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"You were on the membership roles"

Dave Heil, aspiring actor, yet English impaired said
In article <425179BB.84722...@earthlink.net>:
"I didn't ask if you cold read."

Dumbass Heil for the defense goes to the "courthous," not the
"courthouse" in
<IMNBg.5774$0e5.2501@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"....at the courthous, Roger."

Davey's grammar suggestion falls flat as he should really use the
suggestion for himself ..."and important" in
Message-ID: <I3cIe.255$Wi6....@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"I think little details like spelling and grammar and important to a
number of us here in r.r.a.p. Why not have the pool of typists polish
the stuff you intend to post here?"

Davey Vile whines to the "Police" even if he can't spell it, too bad
they didn't inform him how to correctly spell "Police" in
Message-ID: <4tJTe.7145$4P5.1...@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
"I learned of it during several visits to Glen Dale, WV Polic Chief"

Dave Heil shows off his knowledge of Spelling as well as Geography
in <h46If.238$VI6....@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

"the one in a narrow velley between two hills"

There Dave Vile goes mangling a sentence again
in <_OxKf.2395$VI6.1169@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"There you go underestimated people again."

874. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:36:20 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160058980.491386.234110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166891

Dave Heil wrote:
> , now believes himself to be dead and a pervevert,

"Pervevert?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> posing now as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:

So your "mentor" was a "pervert."

> > Hey, why'd you hide behind your fatassed ex streetwalker wife?
>
> Are you reading along?

Are you?

> > But then, you always have to have somebody along when you try to
> > confront Roger.
>
> The only time I've seen you, I sat right next

And you had fatass Bert along to protect you. He sat right next to you.

> > That why you had to get a Finnish ex-streetwalker when you couldn't
> > find an American female?
>
> Because no woman with an IQ higher than 70 in this area will get within

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Davey admits he can't get even the Marshall County
rejects.
Maybe that's why he married a Finnish ex whore!

>
> > Oh, that's right your first wife left you, she needed a real man.
>
> Did my ex-wife leave me? Maybe she gave the information to the CDC and
> they called you about it, heh heh.

No, she wanted someone who could get it up.

> > You usually stick that dildo of your wife's up your fat ass, too.
> > Or it it just your morse key?
>
> Is that the kind of thing which gets you all excited

It must be for you, you keep bringing your "excitement" into the
conversation.

> > You really should stop trying to practice medicine without a license,
> > shit for brains.

>
> It doesn't require a doctor

No, it requires somebody with intelligence, something a flunky ex State Dept gopher like you doesn't have. That's why they gave you the crappy locations nobody wants. And why you moved to a house on top of a coal mine that may subside and hope you can move down to the Beckley area. Now quit practicing medicine without a license. But then you did talk to out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters. You don't respect anything.

875. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:37:47 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059067.734584.81160@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166892

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> if you want you can truthfully state his has declined to make a
> rational coment thenyou have a point

The same could be said of you, Marqueer

876. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:39:34 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059174.043852.255690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166893

Dave Heil wrote:
> as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> All I have to do is read one of your posts

Sort of like when you post under your sockpuppets, hypocrite. Maybe
it's because you live in the "velley."

877. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:46:34 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059594.135643.131240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166894

The mentally ill Dave Heil, K8MN wrote:
> "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> wrote:
>
> > Dave Heil not pretending to be "Stagger Lee" or "Lloyd Wiseman" this
> > time wrote:
>
> >> "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
> >>
> >>> Roger never beat up his mother, fatty.
>
> >> Is UnWiseman attempting to evade fact on a technicality?
> >
> > Is Assheil attempting to cloud the issue?
>
> I asked you

Nope, you clouded the issue. As per your usual, like when you answer
Len Anderson.

> >> How about your adoptive mother, Rog?
> >
> > What adoptive mother?
>
> Your adoptive mother--the one who left you the house.

Prove Roger was adopted. Projecting about a "left you the house?" You
mean like how that house in Cincinnati was left to you?

>
> >> Did you strike her or threaten to?
> >
> > Nope, he didn't. Why would he?
>
> I'm not asking him. I'm asking you.
>
> >> Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?
> >
> > Glen Dale has no "lockup." Dumbass.
>
> Sure they do

No, they don't, they send their prisoners to the NRJ. Roger's never
been there. Looks like another one of your lies was exposed. Anybody
can call (304) 845-5511 and ask. You really should know better, you've
whined there often enough.

Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility in
<USgUg.4306$Y24.1277@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?"

>
> >> For a guy who says he isn't Roger Wiseman, you certainly seem to have a
> >> lot of information at your disposal.
> >
> > For a guy who claims to have lots of information, you seem to always
> > get it wrong.
>
> I didn't claim to have lots of information. I do have really good
> information though.

Too bad it's like you, defective.

> > Yes, you miss him, he molested you .
>
> Yes, Roger, my father molested me

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Pious Davey Heil, K8MN blatantly lies even when a call to (304)
845-5511 can verify Glen Dale has no jail facility in
<USgUg.4306$Y24.1277@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?"

878. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:46:43 UTC
From: Bildo Verticus <vertical732@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059603.391279.93760@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166895
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

To quote Homer Simpson....."Facts are useless, they can be used to prove anything."

Slant economic numbers to your party line, it's easy as pie. If you are right wing, just quote productivity numbers. If you are on the left, use the middle class figures and remind people of how war economies are usually bolstered.

Reality is that we have had good economic Presidents from both sides of this eternal conflict, and heinous ones from both sides as well. Our current Dictator (you actually have to win an election to be President, Bush didn't win without cheating, hence his Dictator status via Coup d'Etat) wouldn't fall in either catagory. His economic policies are bad, but not heinous.

Who cares anyway, when the Comet hits later this month (as posted in another thread) national economic policy will be fucked anyway.

879. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:49:43 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059783.105987.221400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166896

Psycho stalker Dave Heil K8MN wrote:
> "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>
> > What will you do, blind gimp?
>
> > You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
>
> Is there not a shred of human decency within you, <PKB from a hypocrite>

Obsess much, psycho stalker? Now go polish that wheelchair of Ray's.

880. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:50:43 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160059843.771083.128680@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166897

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> in strict orde rof asking nope, and yep

Says the convicted child raper, Mark C. Morgan.

881. Re: Arf!Arf! PKB's!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:56:06 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160060166.221080.189960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166898
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Demon Princess of Obtusity wrote:
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 06:35:37 -0700, Billy
> cumgobbler Smith, did the cha-cha, and screamed:
> > Arf! Arf! wrote:
> >> <nothing of importance, yet he wrote:>
> >
> > "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieD...@Liberalz.com> the ill-educated spelling champ
> > fucks up again
> > in <2t1q7.4us.17.1@news.alt.net>:
> > "Jealoius?"
>
> Oh, you are so Woger.

Oh, you are so fuckheaded. You see Roger everywhere! OWNED!

882. Re: stfu woger Markie is the self proclaimed child molestor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 14:57:25 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160060245.234093.161110@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166899
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu woger I am the the self proclaimed child molestor

BWHAHAHAHA!

883. Re: An Example of Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:33:15 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_A9Vg.18282$GY5.12324@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 166900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1159494966.455298.300450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Hes wrote:
>> "cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
>> news:1159413716.685622.216920@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Hes wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> ////// Fairy-Tale FLUSHED//////
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Pure B.S. put out by the ARRL to sell more
>> >> magazines.
>> >
>> > Nope, hams coming to the rescue again with communications when regular
>> > comms fail. That thing that cber's can't do.
>> >
>>
>>
>> Nope, CBers do it all the time here in Detroit and the hams
>> are no where to be found.
>
> OK, site some news articles to that fact.
>
I dont doubt that CBers do a thousand times as much public sevice as hams do
but it is not the kind of thing that gets news attention. I know when an ice
storm hit here it was Cbers that helped my wife. She is a ham but couldnt
access the repeater because there were a bunch of hams on there talking
about how much ice they got at there house. This sort of thing goes on all
the time. Its true that hams are set up better to provide emergency
communications but a Cber is more likely to stop and change a tire for you
when you cant.

884. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:41:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ds9ai2l0fk39hjfbopk2pagvd29er0qfu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:38:42 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr trud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

885. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:41:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <et9ai2lk5bhorgaa1dcrp453l4otkjr5f5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:41:54 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr trud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

886. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:42:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qt9ai25k1lvoqvagi76tar3oc28ns7d5q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:41:07 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr trud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

887. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:42:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7v9ai2100cbv88nm9qvd3bi1b98oh7h920@4ax.com>
Source record: 166904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:49:37 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

888. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:42:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tv9ai2d35tlg7orogp7i4ekhait00afahf@4ax.com>
Source record: 166905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:52:12 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

889. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a Democrat.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:43:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <80aai2puhpu7ip8h4nu6k0uae5sedklv44@4ax.com>
Source record: 166906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:55:17 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

890. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:43:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n0aai29lm4vi460b2mvqhbgk2cr51q2pnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 166907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Oct 2006 06:28:28 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

891. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:43:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <91aai2h7vjestrs3gkoakcd8829qjttt65@4ax.com>
Source record: 166908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:27:50 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

892. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:44:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p1aai25icf8sc95meshj0jeorlv8tqaij5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

893. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:44:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t2aai2d3bru4imn292p0uht4aepgd4s6co@4ax.com>
Source record: 166911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:24:30 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

894. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:44:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c3aai2d24uhqtjaob4bsuqn7opv673cl8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 166909

On 5 Oct 2006 07:27:13 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

895. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:45:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d4aai2hbtanpfm6uk5qqpc310mb6lf9osi@4ax.com>
Source record: 166912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:35:30 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

clean up ham radio gte flushed mr turd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

896. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:46:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i5aai216p97pfqto3b18phk9mdp64he1gk@4ax.com>
Source record: 166913

On 5 Oct 2006 07:50:43 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

897. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:46:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <66aai2hect6q74sgef8pcsfir36ievjosi@4ax.com>
Source record: 166914

On 5 Oct 2006 07:49:43 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

898. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:47:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <17aai2li5ttchh6vvoa8dmvmfna7as7ki0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:29:51 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed mr turd clean up ham radio in the process
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

899. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:47:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <18aai25p70568khchknqvmv6p6irubtspo@4ax.com>
Source record: 166916

On 5 Oct 2006 07:39:34 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

900. Re: You can leadWoger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Woger's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:48:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f8aai29sjlviud5aq01lkrs5c12pmq80t8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

On 5 Oct 2006 07:11:23 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stufu child molesting forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

901. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:48:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0aaai293u6ab7m5kjfl09qh2f99p0nega6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:17:21 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu you child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

902. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:49:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2baai2121jufbt7v98vfcj0am3d944ma3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 166919

On 5 Oct 2006 07:37:47 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

903. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:49:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jbaai257vqkbhg9er34l7sn90u98lcap7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 166920

On 5 Oct 2006 07:36:20 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

904. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:49:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9caai2pfscltco80rr46vgpdmumsmtm456@4ax.com>
Source record: 166921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:31:48 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

gete flushed Mr Turd clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

905. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:50:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6daai29le3n2eri24ljqum7l8jb77knlv5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:14:45 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestor forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

906. Re: Lloyd Wiseman, confesses to wanting to suck Roger off

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:50:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <udaai2p5pdanbb44t6ls06caom26fuspq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 166923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:59:08 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stuf child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

907. Re: Arf! Arf! asslicking woman, total fuck up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:50:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <meaai2huufvu4ec4om6tqpib5cs5k6vkts@4ax.com>
Source record: 166924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:00:24 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

908. Re:Wogereats excrement on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:51:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7faai2pa7im0kbkeib67pjqdh3qnqknro2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 5 Oct 2006 07:06:27 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child molestoring woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

909. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:51:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4gaai2979ef9sto6cl6frt36h8r15nf6h7@4ax.com>
Source record: 166926

On 5 Oct 2006 07:23:30 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

910. Re: woger must have cum over his radio again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:52:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pgaai2lfko83luc0dci6c195cp7jvl67e6@4ax.com>
Source record: 166928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:07:34 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

911. Re: Ping LenAnderson@ieee.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:52:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uhaai2p1851gjfkjpsnggen8jhqish9hn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 166927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Opus- wrote:
>
>> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> convey the words.
>
>Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>skilled in it.
bullshit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

912. Re: Accuracy, Facts and Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:54:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nkaai29meqm4fatq6or9ncceeqius8na20@4ax.com>
Source record: 166929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 5 Oct 2006 03:39:51 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> >> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> >> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> >>
>> >Basically true, but that's not the whole story by any means.
>> >
>> I wrote a chronological synopsis.
>>
>You left out important information and included a few mistakes. The
>information you left out disproves your conclusions.
no you just feel you have to lecture everybody must be the damage
seting in form your years of code use
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

913. "Washing the WogerSmell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:55:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5maai2h30425je8ugbb9tqpos9ahkrrtm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 166930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:09:32 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

914. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:55:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7naai2pnhmagr5nfhrcjvf6se2vh0kepug@4ax.com>
Source record: 166931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:11:21 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

915. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:55:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mnaai2pjt1po14ntvdu95jlvv0v38ig568@4ax.com>
Source record: 166932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:12:46 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

916. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:56:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5oaai25ofab8e5f3vvh8jk6b8voeo20sho@4ax.com>
Source record: 166933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:16:10 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

917. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:56:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ooaai253s3jrbp7cj97tao7m0n4c2hd66d@4ax.com>
Source record: 166934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:17:46 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

918. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:56:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7paai29vc9ltkgl75enaglrk86d7d4ntk8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166935
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:19:30 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

919. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:57:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qpaai2dt5mo9dij1o1glmtv717n1pej45q@4ax.com>
Source record: 166936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:47:04 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

920. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:57:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lqaai21i3j0hekl1kpp7sbog3kpo0jue0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 166937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 06:57:41 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

921. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:57:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eraai2lqasai6gs0vulu7mr6at1v4od6eg@4ax.com>
Source record: 166938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:04:30 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

922. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:58:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5saai29mfar16ai6hbqvmd6f0caidribv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:05:22 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu child raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

923. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:58:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <psaai25kd9i88hq6m57oufchqo3a4lpdo2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:08:44 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
get flushed woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

924. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:58:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7taai2t0fpqb0jtr6rejm184t4d3q53tad@4ax.com>
Source record: 166940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:09:36 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
stfu child rpaing forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

925. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:59:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0uaai2dfjn2huudqohdbta3db6ajah1qdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 166942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:10:39 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
stfu raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

926. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:59:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <muaai2hcet3lsc0g9mvls6neqs2dtvjm6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 166943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

On 5 Oct 2006 07:13:49 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:

stfu raping forger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

927. get flushed woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 15:59:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bvaai2hdimo0gqb5sddl6seegf8i02f6n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 166944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.west-virginia,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 5 Oct 2006 07:57:25 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get help woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

928. FalseRe: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 16:04:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160064251.692158.296620@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166945

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>
you are the one proclaim yourself a prevert and rapist woger

929. Re: You can leadWoger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Woger's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 17:00:09 UTC
From: Telecorpo <telecorpo@datafull.com>
Message-ID: <1f6ba2d92c16800a5ce26c824068fb74@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <f8aai29sjlviud5aq01lkrs5c12pmq80t8@4ax.com>
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> On 5 Oct 2006 07:11:23 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> stufu child molesting forger
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Zzzzz.
```

930. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 17:46:34 UTC
From: BERTIE PRIMEAU <BERTPRIMEAU@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <1160070394.305184.90290@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.baldspot,alt.usenet.kooks

WE KNOW . BERT

Ernie Primeau wrote:
> I love shitty cocks right out of assholes.Ernie
>
> "BERTIE PRIMEAU" <BERTPRIMEAU@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1159947976.035279.29440@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> >> Mark Morgan wrote:
> >> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> >> > >
> >> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > >>>
> >> >
> >> > Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter
> >> > man
> >> > ganitals.
> >> >
> >> > My blog
> >> > http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > --
> >
> > ARE YOU SURE YOU ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT ERNIE PRIMEAU , THE SNAKEOILER ,
> > HERE ? BERT
> >
> >>
> >> But Marqueer, My Dear.....You have eaten my shit, as well as
> >> tasted your own love juices on my cock!
> >> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >

931. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 18:10:50 UTC
From: Telecorpo <telecorpo@datafull.com>
Message-ID: <f6f57bb7f366416fead388efbb180410@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
Source record: 166948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

```
In article <1160057483.203804.240210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
> Raul wrote:
> > In article <1159964730.029403.247340@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
> > "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > MarkMoron @kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > forgin
> > >
> > > Cocksucking Markie admits he has sucked dicks.
> > >
> > > Marqueer Morgan, KB9RQZ admits he sucks cock in
> > > <1150379423.544324.227310@g10g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > > "I do have gay sex partners"
> >
> > Boring!
>
> That why you responded, dumbass?

Yawn.
```

932. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 18:43:53 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.the.perv@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 166949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 5 Oct 2006 07:46:34 -0700, Andy the Perv Timberlake <Secwet Woger> wrote:
[nothing important]

I was looking at the Glen Dale Police web page to see what, if anything, they had to say about their jail facilities. I also was hoping that they might have "Roger Wiseman Slept Here" souvenirs for sale, or something else equally interesting. Apparently, Woger isn't important enough to warrant that kind of attention.

While browsing the city's web pages, I noticed acknowledgements which said:

"Web page hosted by Ohio Valley Internet Service, 1816 7th Street, Moundsville, WV 26041"

For some reason, Woger isn't welcome at Ovis any longer.

Woger once had an account with Ovis, but the President of Ovis (Pam Hoffer) had him kicked off. Could it have been because Woger posted things like this?

"And that fat hog Pam shouldn't caterwaul with her hunchbacked fatass mommy with the Xtian band anymore, she caused more stray dogs to come around that run-down shack that Ovis is housed in. Another Xtian hypocrite, that radio station is a known haven for child abuse in the mound town. She is a fucking idiot." -- ab8mq in message <akd8bpkce8@pita.alt.net>

And this?

"Wrong again, FATASS, I told Pammy to go fuck herself after she called here, all upset. I guyess it was because she was behind giving the black system admin she has a blowjob in the server room and giving one to her dog." -- AB8MQ in message-id <737589ee.0405220528.371d3f66@posting.google.com>

Or was Woger's ejection from Ovis like his FCC retest and just another random event in his life that had no connection to anything else that he did?

(Woger, smile: You're on Candid camera.)

933. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 19:36:17 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 167000
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

AC7PN wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>
>> SC
>
> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
> someone on CB."
>
> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>

I would have said ignorance ;)

73, Phil W7OX

934. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 19:48:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160077724.805256.171580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: Opus- on Wed, Oct 4 2006 6:58 pm

>You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
>understanding something.

Jim, that statement is bound to ignite more flame war
stuff in here, heh heh heh heh heh...

>What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
>some of the pro-coders here.

Part of that is the Nature of the Beast, the computer-
modem mode of communications. The 'Beast' got 'steroids'
with the ability to send 'anonymous' messages (they
think...traceability is possible but only through
systems administrators' access to the 'Net). When that
happened the early male adolescent behavior surfaced
with all its immaturity.

Having participated in computer-modem communications
locally and networked since December 1984, I've seen
quite a bit of that. It is clinically, also morbidly
fascinating to me. Since most of my early experiences
were on local BBSs there was the opportunity to meet
socially with those participants, get real clues to
the person instead of just seeing their words on a
screen. In most their words echoed their up-close
personnae. In perhaps a quarter of them their
fantasies and imaginations ruled their screen words,
their public, social interaction being nowhere near
that and they were relatively subdued, few having
'remarkable' lives. It could be said that their
computer-modem personnae represented their
imaginations given a pseudo-life, something to
fantasize about to relieve their everyday lives'
frustrations.

With the ability to be anonymous (through some 'Net
servers) those imaginations and frustrations can be
let out full force. The 'anonymous' ones become
aggressive, 'in-your-face' types, no longer mindful
of normal social, in-person behavior rules. This is
aided by the relative isolation of time and distance
of messaging. The aggressive ones need have no fear
of physical confrontation as a result of their words,

they can act 'tough' or abusive or insulting in
safety. Ergo, many found emotional 'relief' in the
filthy venting we've all seen in just this newsgroup.

It's a not-nice condition in some humans to have
their (usually suppressed) anger, frustration,
bigotry so close to the surface but it does exist
in them. It can turn to rage and action in rare
cases, thus the stories of violence that show up in
the news. Humans aren't perfect by a long shot.
Civilization requires a greater suppression of that
internal rage, anger, frustration for the common
good but some think internally that they are 'better'
than the common folk. Hence we get the overtones of
'superiority' through sub-groups in which their
capabilities are exaggerated in those groups' self-
righteous descriptions of themselves.

That isn't confined to amateur radio. It exists
all along the human experience.

>For me, the confusion stems from having
>known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.

Understandable from the viewpoint of younger people. I
think we've all had such experiences...mine were scarce
in regards to amateur radio in my hometown but there
were lots with other life experiences that were fun to
listen to and to respect.

>They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
>great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
>the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.

Being of a younger age, my growing-up days 'old
timers' were rather focussed on the experience of
World War II. "Radio" per se was seldom mentioned
as a part of that.

What is most interesting (to me) is finding out later
that some of them were exaggerating what they said
and a few were downright liars! :-)

If one survives long enough to become the same age
as those 'old timers' (in a relative chronological
way that is), it is easier to see where they are
coming from! Much easier...! :-)

>I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
>puked up by some of the pro-coders.

Well, if the afterlife allows such observation of
mortals, I'm of the opinion that those old 'old-timers'

are having a good time and laughing at the mortals'
shenanigans!

>Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.

The loud ones stand out because they MUST stand out
and make everyone pay attention to them. Their EGO
demands it. They want to RULE, to control, to judge,
to be in-charge. In here those are confined to the
pro-coders or who USE their tested morsemanship
(however long ago that happened, if it ever did)
to show "how good" they are.

>I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
>as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
>requirement that I should hate usenet.

Not surprising to me. Those fixated on their alleged
superiority dispense with logic, go emotional, and
become one with the rabble, the filth-spewers. They
are NOT interested in anything but making themselves
look good to themselves on their own screens. They
have little recognition that the same 'message' they
sent is read by anyone else but the recipient...when
it may be read by thousands of others who never reply.

>That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.

I agree. Such misdirection is common on just about
every newsgroup, has precedence in the BBSs, even on
the old ARPANET just before it morphed into USENET.
Lacking the validity of anything but their own
experiences, they toss logic out the window and
consentrate on 'conquering' the message thread.

>The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>convey the words.

You know that, I know that, and hundreds of thousands
of other humans know that. That's the reason that
all other radio services except amateur radio have
dispensed with on-off keying radiotelegraphy for
communications purposes. At least in the USA; I
don't have enough information about Canada's use of
communications modes to verify that.

>Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>a key that is much more limited?

Logic in such an argument is NOT desired by pro-coders.
They are fixated on the medium, not the message. They

got their rank-status-privileges mainly through their morsemanship and their egos demand that Their desires should be those of all.

Part of that fixation on radiotelegraphy in the USA is a result of the tremendous amount of ham-oriented publications of the ARRL. The ARRL emphasizes radio-telegraphy as the ne-plus-ultra of amateur radio skills. Since the ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur radio publications here, has had that for at least seven decades, they can and have managed to condition the thinking of American amateur radio licensees in favor of radiotelegraphy.

Those who've been conditioned will not understand that they've been imprinted but insist it like some 'natural order of things.' Further, they tend to out-rage and the very idea that they've been brainwashed! Such outrage takes on a religious fervor at times.

>Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
>a valid point?

Few can. In here I'd say that NONE can.

Your 'opponent' wasn't trying to argue logically. Klein was obviously using emotion as an 'argument,' frustrated at not being able to 'triumph' in a message exchange.

>Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
>point valid?

It is an emotional ATTACK ploy. It is common in nearly all newsgroups. Those that do these sort of things can get away with it, unworried about any direct physical confrontation that might ensue.

>Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
>insults instead of engaging in debate?

Yes.

>Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post.

Of course...and to this reply. One can 'take that to the bank.' :-)

>They can go
>ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
>great improvement to the ARS.

Well, the expressed bigotry against CB by hams is a very
old thing going back to 1958 when the FCC created "Class
C and D" CB service on an 11 meter frequency band de-
allocated from amateur radio use down here. Having to
work both with and for some old-time hams, I heard mostly
howls of outrage and indignation that the FCC 'dared' to
take away 'their' band and 'give' it to 'civilians.'
Worse yet, NO TEST, not the slightest requirement to
demonstrate morsemanship in order to use an HF band! :-)

> I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as
>rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here.

I have to agree with you. The vast majority of CB use
down here is on highways, mostly by truckers but a large
number of RV-driving vacationers are there, too. At
worst, some trucker might go into a long tale of some-
thing (that only a few consider funny) but I have yet
to hear outright personal insults on CB. I quit
using CB mobile in late 1999 after selling my '82
Camaro but a twice-a-year fire-up of CB at home doesn't
indicate anything different; this residence in southern
California is only a half mile from our Interstate 5,
a major highway north-south near the Pacific coast. Our
cell phone now works so well on major highways that we
don't have any consideration of installing any other
radio in our present car.

>And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
>funny.

Well, that's how it goes. :-) Expect more of that
kind of comment. I dare say it will occur under
'moderation' as well.

When a pro-coder says "grow up," they really mean "think
like I think, appreciate only what I like, etc." They
use that little throw-away line in lieu of a personal
insult, a button-pushing phrase to get their 'opponent'
angry. Sometimes it works, but most of the time it is
just their stupid way of attempting retaliation.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

935. get ehlp woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 20:14:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160079287.447199.243950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Telecorpo wrote:
> get help

936. Re: RRAP issure peaceful while K4YZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 20:15:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160079318.802350.249080@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 07:46:34 -0700, Andy the Perv Timberlake <Secwet Woger> wrote:
> [nothing important]
>
> I was looking at the Glen Dale Police .)

937. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 20:21:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160079695.587060.11740@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167004
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Phil Wheeler wrote:
> AC7PN wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
> > communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
> > someone on CB."
> >
> > Dude, your arrogance is showing.
> >
>
> I would have said ignorance ;)
>
> 73, Phil W7OX
both are enteirly accurate
```

938. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 22:18:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160086725.473807.123580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Opus- on Wed, Oct 4 2006 6:58 pm
>
> >You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
> >understanding something.
>
> Jim, that statement is bound to ignite more flame war
> stuff in here, heh heh heh heh...
maybe not maybe they will avoid the flame bait this once since you
saidf they would flame on
> >And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
> >funny.
>
> Well, that's how it goes. :-) Expect more of that
> kind of comment. I dare say it will occur under
> 'moderation' as well.
>
> When a pro-coder says "grow up," they really mean "think
> like I think, appreciate only what I like, etc." They
> use that little throw-away line in lieu of a personal
> insult, a button-pushing phrase to get their 'opponent'
> angry. Sometimes it works, but most of the time it is
> just their stupid way of attempting retaliation.
that line storkies suddenly of a memory of a movie omen 3 the final
conflict where thron is talking about his his role as president of some
youth concil something like "....we tell them to grow meaning wiat till
you have grown old then you will think like we do"
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

939. Re: AB8MQ isn't posting all the crap, his mom is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <PEgVg.7670$o71.773@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167006
Cross-posted to:
alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison,alt.usenet.kooks

Alan Connor <i3x9mdw@j9n35c.invalid> wrote in
news:slrnei8ui9.28a.i3x9mdw@b29x3m.invalid:

> On alt.free.newsservers, in
> <0gq8i21qvmm5a4f0mls4dhk471h9if9pts@4ax.com>, "Mark@kb9rqz.net" wrote:
>>
>>
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> when you goign tpo pay off your bet
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
> You expect a _troll_ to honor a bet?
>
> ROTFLMAO!!!!
>
> _Nothing_ a troll posts means _schitt_. People who hide behind
> multiple aliases have _zero_ credibility. They can't be trusted
> at _all_. EVER.
>
> DUH <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<!!!!!!!!!!!!
> ---
> ---
> ---
>
> Can you chew gum and walk at the same time?
>
> Alan

He Can't.

Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, or Markie, is a retard. He can't even do the
internet and use a spell checker at the same time. Last month he won the
Golden Killfile award. His best friend and part time live-in lover is
AB8MQ, Woger Wiseman. When Roger is out of jail he stays with Mark
sometimes... unless it's Todd, LLoyd or Steve's turn.

SC

940. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <REgVg.7671$o71.3653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167008
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>better & smarter hams.
>>
>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>>you think?
>>
>>SC
>
> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
> theory.
>
> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers with
> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
> on one of our RV trips.
>
> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>
> 73,
> rich, n9dko

Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really want to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go for the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with the service and will be an important part of keeping it going.

SC

941. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ hates beer enema's.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SEgVg.7672$o71.3876@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1159234258.905956.82530@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
> blow code blows it again
>

You don't get $100 Mark, but if you're nice and a good boy, maybe you can sweet talk Lloyd, Roger, or Steve into giving you a Beer enema. Steve knows medical things and can administer an enema. Lloyd or Roger could give you an enema too. They're good at sticking things in another man's butt.

SC

942. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167011
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:

> AC7PN wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
>> someone on CB."
>>
>> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>
>
> I would have said ignorance ;)
>
> 73, Phil W7OX

Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have all
the communicators gone?

SC

943. N9OGL not posting and no Omega One. Todd must have finally got the FCC's attention.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WEgVg.7675$o71.5151@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wonder if they took his transmitter and shitty music for evidence, or
if they just pulled the plug on it. LOL!

Poooooooooor Todd.

SC

944. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XEgVg.7676$o71.1711@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

richardharrison@webtv.net (Richard Harrison) wrote in
news:4602-4525302A-1375@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Rick wrote:
> "Subjects such as CB, code, etc,"
>
> Some insist CB is an "either-or" relationship with code. The FCC has
> assigned space for both. Amateur radio operators have imagined their
> own problems.
>
> The "Morse code forever" characters are nearly all retirees hanging on
> to an obsolete mode now replaced by other digital modes and analog
> communications. The U.S. military abandoned Morse long ago. It hangs on
> in the imagination of some unreconstructed "coots".
>
> I`d like my grandchildren to have fun with radio and not bother with
> Morse. "You don`t make people learn how to ride a horse before they
> drive a car".

Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire? Ham radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without a spare tire. Why do you people want to dumb everything down all the time.

Eliminating CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicate.

SC

945. Why is Mark Morgan against improving himself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:35:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YEgVg.7677$o71.94@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It doesn't make sense.

SC

946. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:46:24 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kPgVg.7753$TV3.3532@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167013

Slow Code wrote:
> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire? Ham
> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without a
> spare tire.

I drive my Harley around all the time without a spare tire.
I don't wear a seat belt either. What's wrong with that?
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

947. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-05 23:50:02 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KSgVg.7757$TV3.6217@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have all
> the communicators gone?

My dog is an expert communicator. Forget the code requirement.
Do you have a EE degree? If not, you don't have the sense of
a blind billy goat.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

948. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:05:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160093158.707535.11620@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >Opus- wrote:

> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> >> convey the words.

> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
> >skilled in it.

> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.

Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
a familiar voice.

btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
that op.

> I am not sure how
> much more a person can get out of code.

The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.

The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
than 'just the words'.

> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.

I think that is your main point.

> >It's a different
> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
> >experience from the spoken word.
>
> Fair enough.

Exactly.

> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> >> a key that is much more limited?
> >
> >Several reasons:
> >

> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
>
> Well, I did say "usually".

Of course.

> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?

That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
limitation in most cases.

Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
less than that of the equivalent voice station.

> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
>
> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.

All sorts of things:

A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
to communicate another way can be a real treat!)

B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
the same experience as listening to recorded music?

C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
easy - or even easier - than using voice.

D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.

> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
> >the band.
>
> Some very valid points here.

None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
but that's just my opinion.

> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
>
> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
> recognize where it was broadcast from.

Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
attraction.
>
> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.

Here's one more:

5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.

This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
from hams actually using Morse Code.

> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
> >
> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
> >sure don't.
>
> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.

Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
the debate.

> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.

How does one define "handout"?

For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government

handout to people with lots of kids?

Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to homeowners?

Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what is a handout and what isn't.

> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
> >> a valid point?
> >
> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
>
> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.

My pleasure. Thanks for reading.

> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
> bolted together. I was self-supporting.

Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.

--

The question of whether there should be a Morse Code test for an amateur radio license really boils down to this: Does such a test do more good than harm? The answer is always an opinion, not a fact.

Jim, N2EY

949. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:42:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6i9bi2pqjjc2p2dc8e8vldmfuj128n53u7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167017
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

950. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:42:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nj9bi296thvr0k2naoi05q4qkk39d7snh7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167018
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

951. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:43:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9k9bi2pqeda7cipskcb8oigvicdbfvapnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167019
Cross-posted to: alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.software.readers,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

952. When you going to pay your debt.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:43:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0l9bi21g4s36ip4d48gh0j3m9tgdr65km6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167020

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

953. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:44:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dm9bi251pa40l4clfs4unmd9ikau9holc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

954. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:44:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <um9bi2pgs2qdajo4h047hj80gm23dv7e09@4ax.com>
Source record: 167022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

When you going to pay your debt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

955. When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:44:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <en9bi2pgsiololhflaseg13et2q9biid39@4ax.com>
Source record: 167023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It doesn't make sense.
none of your post make or are truthfull I am not against imporving
myself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

956. Re: When you going to pay your debtplease 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 00:51:02 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160095862.598703.15940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1159234258.905956.82530@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> > blow code blows it again
> >
>
>
> You don't get $100 Mark,
then you are lying fraud you atack with lies and nothing truthfull to
say

957. Re: Why is Mark Morgan against improving himself.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 01:19:08 UTC
From: Billy Smith <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <c0ssp.fdr.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:YEgVg.7677$o71.94@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
It doesn't make sense.

SC

It is because the haughty fool doesn't think he needs improvement.

958. Anne Hoffer, another hot girl lost to Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 01:40:01 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.loses.another.girl@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 167025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Here's a photo of Anne Hoffer (remember Pam Hoffer?) hugging some skinny, short-haired guy who is beating Woger's time. From the info on the web site, the guy is a pizza delivery man. The ladies always go for the bad boy, pizza delivery types, and that always enrages Woger, because all he can get are the dregs.

http://www.wrkp.com/images/ovis/ovis2.jpg

Too bad Woger alienated Pam Hoffer of Ovis. Otherwise, he could have been the guy in that photo instead. That's the story of Woger's life: He's always on the outside looking in.

==========
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

959. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:09:58 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WViVg.2116$NE6.291@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> They don't really want
> to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, ...

Do you want to be a ham enough to get a EE degree
which is a lot more useful than Morse code skill?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

960. Re: When you going to pay your debtplease 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:10:00 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YViVg.14107$5o5.4781@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160095862.598703.15940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1159234258.905956.82530@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> > blow code blows it again
>> >
>>
>>
>> You don't get $100 Mark,
> then you are lying fraud you atack with lies and nothing truthfull to
> say
>

Gee Markie, you are most definitely slow witted, but even you have seen SC
for what he is.
```

961. Re: Anne Hoffer, another hot girl lost to Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:10:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160100605.686843.260170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee wrote:
> Here's a photo of Anne Hoffer (
would woger even want she look living I hear he likes colder girls

962. Re: When you going to pay your debtplease 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:12:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160100757.643356.16000@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "an old freind" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1160095862.598703.15940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >

>
> Gee Markie, you are most definitely slow witted, but even you have seen SC
> for what he is.
saw him righht fidning convent proof to use is a bit harder

963. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:15:20 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Y_iVg.14109$5o5.3236@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167030
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>
>> AC7PN wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>>> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
>>> someone on CB."
>>>
>>> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>>
>>
>> I would have said ignorance ;)
>>
>> 73, Phil W7OX
>
>
> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have all
> the communicators gone?
>
> SC

Any idiot can learn code. Not everyone can understand theory enough to make
it usable in day to day practice. I submit that SC is the "any idiot".

964. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:17:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160101072.809915.185860@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167031

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
> amateur radio operators license.

"elimintate"?

You advocate a lot more than changes to Morse Code testing, Len.

> Do you spend all your time TAKING TESTS?

Not at all. But I've spent a lot more time taking Amateur Radio license tests than you have.

> If so, what have you done to require taking tests all the time?
>
> If not, why are you so concerned about OTHERS taking code
> tests?

It comes down to this, Len:

I think that further reductions in the license test requirements for an Amateur Radio license are not a good idea. That includes reductions in either code or written testing.

That's all there is to it.

> If morse code radiotelegraphy is so wonderful, great, noble,
> "saves lives [not really]," traditional-that-all-MUST-take
> one, then what are you upset about? If it is that "good,"
> then all will flock to it in great numbers.

I think that having Morse Code skill at a basic level is part of the skills that all radio amateurs should have.
>
> NOT having a code test does NOT require ANYONE to "do"
> something as in having to take a code test. They cannot
> "do" something that isn't there to DO.

What are you talking about, Len? You sound very confused.

> Are you upset that OTHERS who come later will not do as you
> did?

Others cannot do as I did, Len.

> Why is what you HAD to do required of all OTHERS?

What I did is not required of "all OTHERS", Len.

I think that further reductions in the license test requirements for an Amateur Radio license are not a good idea. That includes reductions in either code or written testing.

In the past 25 years, the requirements for an FCC amateur radio license have been gradually but steadily reduced. At each reduction, those pushing for the reduction said the reductions were needed to insure growth, to attract more technically-oriented people, and much more.

But the growth in numbers in the 1990s was less than in the 1980s. There's been a net loss since 2000. The reductions have not attracted more technically-oriented people. Etc.

> What is wrong with live and let live?

You're allowed to live, Len.

That doesn't mean there are no standards.

965. Re: Part D, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:20:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160101215.555172.113380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167032

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> >> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> >> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> >> years ago.
> >>
> >What "formalism" do you mean, Len?
>
> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
> REAL telegraphic message. :-)

Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
infringement?

> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
> laughter is not possible]

Hi, hi!

> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
> when they are not.

You're 59, OM.

> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
> words would have worked just as well. Jargon use
> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
> used in different modes.

QSL.

> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.

Roger.

> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
> radio station or radio broadcaster.

Every 10 minutes.

> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
> both)." Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
> amateur radio license GRANT is about.

10-4.

> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow
> a national service to the country.

Authenticate.

> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
> important "resource" that would always "save the day
> when all other infrastructure communications services
> 'failed'."

Amateur Radio Service = GI Bill.

> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.
> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
> written, the amateur radio service is still an
> avocational radio activity done for personal
> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.

"Sorry Jim, MARS is Amateur Radio."

> >Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.
>
> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?

Other than reading about the amateur radio service in WWII, what does
Jim know about THE Service?

> You know NOTHING of military radio. You never served, never
> worked with the military. I did both as a soldier and as a
> civilian.

Jim knows nothing of military radio.

> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
> technology. I've been involved with broadcasting at the
> station end since 1956.

I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never
> had one. I did.

When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

> You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
> procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.
> I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.

Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

> You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
> and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in
> harbors and inland waterways.

Jim is on CH16.

> You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.
> CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
> that. I've been doing that since 1959.

Jim is on CH19.

> You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications
> Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a
> communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a
> cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or
> radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three
> Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.

You can reach Jim at XXX-XXX-XXXX.

> >> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> >> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
> >
> >Not true, Len. We're amateurs
>
> Don't you forget it.

Yowsa!

> >And a license to use a good chunk of that spectrum has been available
> >without a Morse Code test for more than 15 years. But you have not

> >taken advanatage of it.
>
> I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate
> on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs. LEGAL
> operation. In most cases of such work NO license was required
> by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only
> CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]

Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

> When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER
> operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than
> causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you
> transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have
> transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that
> since 1953...53 years ago.

Jim's Giga Hurts.

> What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks"
> of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

I prefer smooth.

> I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with
> a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz. What have
> YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?

Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

> Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard
> ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own"
> equipment. :-)

You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
bought both sides of the QSO.

> Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply"
> of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES"
> anything of the government or contracted work by the
> government. In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that
> equipment!

I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

> But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your
> INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that
> they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer." I will NOT
> "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.

Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

> YOU don't think your remark was an "insult." You've tried
> to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday

> since. Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
> experience using "my own" equipment!

Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
Len.

Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

> >> It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> >> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> >> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> >> technological environment.
> >
> >Do you have a problem with youth, Len? Or simplicity?
>
> Other than NOT ENOUGH of either, NO.
>
> YOU are NOT young, Jimmie. Face it. You've hit the
> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.
>
> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."

But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
> for morsemanship. Manual radiotelegraphy hasn't been
> "pioneered" by you.

Jim is a follower.

> >The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago.
>
> 1947. The PATENT wasn't granted immediately. :-)

Owch!!!

I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

> >Amateurs were using
> >them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.
>
> Early. Like 1952. See QST or CQ (forget which) which
> I saw at Fort Monmouth in that year. Transistors made
> by Philco (?). Whatever it was, the transistors have
> long been obsolete, out of production, replaced by
> newer, better, cheaper types.

Do they require greenlee punches?

> Jimmie, quit contradicting those who were IN the radio-
> electronics industry or work who worked through all
> that period. As a double-degreed whizzy something you
> should KNOW that REAL PRACTICAL transistors for HF use
> didn't come into being until much later than the late
> 1940s.
>
> There's a whole heaping gob of documents of history of
> the solid-state era, how it began, all the trouble
> everyone had to make them work, to make them reliable,
> to make them cheap. Much of that is now on the web.
> Go do some study of something OTHER than ARRL
> "radio history" for your own edification. That is,
> if you can really tear yourself away from Big Brother.
>
> To me, the history of the industry is interesting. To
> you it is little more than some obscure footnote you
> hunt for in order to use in messages where you claim
> your respondent is "wrong" or "in error." :-)
>
> Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state
> ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone
> else.

Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

> Use those mighty collitch degrees, all that radio-
> electronics "experience" in the "industry" to show us
> what you can really do. :-)

He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

966. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:27:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160101656.064714.21610@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167033
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

>
> Any idiot can learn code. Not everyone can understand theory enough to make
> it usable in day to day practice. I submit that SC is the "any idiot".
and knowing is not even vital to using it these days

967. Jim Lies. Was: Re: Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:29:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160101769.540226.259950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167034

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Skilled Morse Code operators know that a lot of meaning can be conveyed
> by how the code is sent. A skilled Morse Code operator can make "HI HI"
> in Morse Code sound like a laugh.

Impossible. One can only imagine that "hi, hi" sounds like a laugh in
any language. It cannot be so in reality.

968. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:33:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160102003.460783.149980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167035

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> > >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
> > amateur radio operators license.
>
> "elimintate"?
>
> You advocate a lot more than changes to Morse Code testing, Len.
so?

969. Tell it to Robesinner: Was: Re: Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:33:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160102028.880600.281290@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167036

Dave Heil wrote:

> Beats the heck out of "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA".

Tell it to Robesinner.

970. Re: Tell it to Robesinner: Was: Re: Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 02:36:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160102182.744552.128630@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167037

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Beats the heck out of "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA".
>
> Tell it to Robesinner.
Robeson is coded extra and is beyond repaoch

971. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 03:03:18 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WHjVg.293$zy2.104@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 167038
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>
>> AC7PN wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>>> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
>>> someone on CB."
>>>
>>> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>>
>> I would have said ignorance ;)
>>
>> 73, Phil W7OX
>
>
> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have all
> the communicators gone?
>
> SC

Since when was talking as in Phone SSB not
"communication". Sure code is fine, but most of
us learned to key "mama" long after we learned to
say it ;)

73, Phil W7OX
```

972. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 04:05:27 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bCkVg.19910$lj.1978@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:da6bi21rkqhhpcm0k561mij2cs1qctksrb@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 00:36:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>No, numbers are decreasing because ham radio has been dumbed down so
>>having a ham license isn't worth anything anymore and people are leaving.
>
> Interesting, because AR offers more than just communication.
>
>>SC
>
> Barry
>
I know the comment about people leaving Amateur radio isn't Barrys comment,
but thought I'd address it anyway. I was 69 when I got my Tech license and
72 by the time I made myself pass the code test and got my General. A lot of
the avid pro-morse Hams are even older than I am. I know of no one locally
who has just quit the hobby and those senior to me are not leaving
on their own at all, when they do stop Hammin' it's 'cause their keys went
silent. I never used code after passing the test. I've got the thought in
the back of my mind that I may sometime
pursue a little CW, but it all depends on when I get my own SK notice.

Harold
KD3SAK

973. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 08:20:27 UTC
From: Jammer <Zengmeiste@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1160122827.740022.183680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167041
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Smokey wrote:
> Talking to a "no code" is like addressing someone given an honorary degree
> as "doctor."

Hmm. OK, how about talking to a no-code with a yet-to-be-activated
General? I sat for & took the Tech, passed and they mentioned I could
take the General. Great. Passed that one as well. Working on Morse
now.

Missed the Extra, though, by a few questions.

Was my first shot at any exam.

Neither a Tech nor a General makes one a Ham, though.
Nor Morse.

That only qualifies you to be a good student of the avocation.
I don't expect to be really good at ham radio until I've played
around
with a transceiver for a year or two, maybe. Still need to learn
the lingo,
learn electronics, get my feet wet, build a receiver and maybe a
transmitter,
blow up a few caps..you know, OJT. Plus lots of hamfests where I'll
be looking for a cute hamette.

When I finally DO get a rig of some kind, I sorta kinda might be
Ready
for going after QSOs.

Even from folks who think I've got an honorary degree.

Good DX & 73,
Terry, KC9KEL newly minted

974. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 08:21:05 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <10204133.Rs3e3P06jd@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:

>
> Do tell, retard.
>
>> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?
>
> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
same person different nym. give it up. your game is known (or are you too
stupid to realize it yet?).

975. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 08:35:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1407075.uhqyvXP8h7@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167042

Dave Heil wrote:

> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, having a particularly bad mental health day and
> posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>
>> What will you do, blind gimp?
>
>> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
>
> Is there not a shred of human decency within you, Roger? Have your
> demons taken over completely?
>
> Dave K8MN

at this point, his identity doesn't matter. he's just another bunch fo
electrons in the ether (particularly stupid electrons that is).

face it, he can't construct an intelligent conversation AND he has to resort
to insults against a DISABLED person... what does that say about his
character? would any respectable employer even hire him?
does he even speak to his MOTHER with that mouth (I bet it got washed out a
lot with lye soap when he was a kid!)?

Those and many more questions we need answers to......

976. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:11:44 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tbeci2lnhe61mnhchaiolvbi3e5jkctdss@4ax.com>
Source record: 167043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 02:09:58 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> They don't really want
>> to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, ...
>
>Do you want to be a ham enough to get a EE degree
>which is a lot more useful than Morse code skill?

977. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:36:21 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160134581.198533.104000@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Billy Smith wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>U-Know-Who wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:oq20i2dos2vd3g787bk3psh8sig2tv40qe@4ax.com...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 11:36:42 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 08:05:16 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Dave wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
```

> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>NO! I can't have a QSO with a no-code ham.
> >>>>>>>>>>They can't copy CW!!! So, No QSO!
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>So you wouldn't respond to a Mayday and save a life?
> >>>>>>>>>>Nice guy.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>How does one say mayday on CW? My radio only works on the bottom 25 KHz
> >>>>>>>>>of the
> >>>>>>>>>HF bands.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>then you are a porrly equiped ham and should turn your license in for
> >>>>>>>>the good of the ars
> >>>>>>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I am fairly well equipped: IC-756 Pro III, IC-746, AL-80B amplifier,
> >>>>>>>ANC-4 noise
> >>>>>>>canceller, MFJ 1025 Signal enhancer, TS-570D, AMP SUPPLY LK-450
> >>>>>>>Amplifier, G-707
> >>>>>>>[2 & 440], plus computer ... and these are the things on my operating
> >>>>>>>table.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>What do you use the AL-80B for? You are not licensed to use it.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Looks like Markie going to get a letter from the FCC.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Scheissman is just jealous that he can't afford to buy an AL-80B.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Billy fucks up again!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>After
> >>>all, he barely paid for that FT-920 that he sponged out of Marie.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>If he "sponged" it then he didn't have to "barely pay" for it. Too bad
> >>>for you he bought it.
> >>>Unlike you who has to get second and third hand used equipment, he can
> >>>afford new equipment.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Not that it makes that much difference because he can't put up a decent

> >>>>station ro any sort of a signal.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA "ro any sort of signal?"
> >>>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >>>
> >>
> >>The only reason<IKYABWAI>
> >
> >
> > The only reason Billy boy can get even second hand or third hand radios
> > is because he begs his mommy to buy them for him. Billy can't hold
> > those fast food jobs very long, he delivers papers now.
> >
>
> Mwhahahahhaha. I've never held a fast food job

BWHAHAHAHAA Billy is not even ualified to hold a fast food job. Looks
like from what SS told me that *he* works as a garbageman.

>but you seem to know

And you sure don't.

> Weren't you the local fry cook for KFC?

Why don't you call them (304) 845-8550 and ask? Maybe they'd let you
hand out ketchup packages if they hire you.

978. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:39:16 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160134756.816318.46560@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>get flsuhed clean up ham radio
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Talk to any unlicensed stations lately, fucktard?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>Have you been jamming 20 meters lately, dumbass?
> >>
> >>
> > No, But I hear you jamming and making unidentified transmissions there.
> > BTW, clueless, the FCC can require any ham tested under the VE system
> > to retest. They don't have to give a reason. In Roger's case, it sure
> > backfired because he passed them and even upgraded. It's not much of a
> > "sanction," is it?
> >
>
> I've been a ham for years <lies and misinformation, what else can you expect from silly
Billy>

Liar. You don't even have the beginner's training wheel no code tech
license. You couldn't pass any of the tests or the code. The FCC can
retest anybody under the VE system. what part of that can't you
understand, stupid? The FCC has the authority, pursuant to
§97.519(d)(2) of the rules to readminister any examination element
previously administered by a volunteer examiner.

979. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:40:21 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160134821.622179.105240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> get
Get to dying of yourAIDS, you child molesting son of a bitch!

980. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:41:44 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
>
> He doesn't have intellect.

Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?

981. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:46:00 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160135160.318878.137230@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> > You fuck ups below show yours.
>
> Writing that ghetto language again?

You should be more familiar with ghetto language, you live in a HUD
apartment along with blacks because of your dire financial situation.

> You did learn to write and talk like
> that down in that rat infested dump in Hillsborough County, Florida.

Except that Roger never lived in Hillsborough County, Florida. You
fucked up again!
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> With my Florida misspelling I was illustrating the "DUH" factor

You were illustrating your stupidity too, just like with your lame
excuses for your fuck ups below.

> >
> >>>BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:
> >>>
> >>>Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in
> >>><gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC
> >>>enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They
> >>>
> >>>don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have
> >>>
> >>>committed rules violations."
> >>>Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
> >>>NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC
> >>>has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules
> >>>infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC
> >>>rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
> >>>rules to readminister any examination element previously administered
> >>>by a volunteer examiner.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
> >>>English skills, quite ironically in
> >>><VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school

> >>>math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
> >>>in
> >>>Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."
> >>>
> >>>"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!
> >>>
> >>>Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
> >>>Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Roger, shots himself in the foot"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
> >>>an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$v0.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy
> >>>is exposed. "It serves him right."
> >>>in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Deserves him right."
> >>>
> >>>Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded
> >>>status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad
> >>>hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
> >>><QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
> >>>"Sure I can spell and smell."
> >>>
> >>>"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
> >>><qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
> >>>more"
> >>>
> >>>Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
> >>>slobber
> >>>in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Isn't that you mom weighed"
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
> >>>about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
> >>><w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
> >>>your
> >>>so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."
> >>>
> >>>Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in

> >>><B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"You're whole life has been exhaled before."
> >>>
> >>>Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
> >>>slobbering in
> >>><MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."
> >>>
> >>>"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
> >>>in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"
> >>>
> >>>"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his
> >>>cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."
> >>>
> >>>Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to
> >>>criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his
> >>>blatant "stupidity" in
> >>><oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one
> >>>Scheissman.
> >>> You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"
> >>>
> >>>Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to
> >>>comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in
> >>>Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Woger cant spell very well anyway."
> >>>
> >>>Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he
> >>>blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"How about those retest letter you received for jamming."
> >>>
> >>>Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he
> >>>can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the
> >>>English tutoring books there in
> >>>WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are
> >>>open."
> >>>
> >>>Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in
> >>><4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."
> >>>
> >>>Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to
> >>>claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from
> >>>"experience"?) in
> >>><7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that
> >>>third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and

> >>>the like. Speaking from experrience again?"
> >>>
> >>>Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make
> >>>fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in
> >>><my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>>"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather
> >>>than "Taod"?"

982. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:47:38 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160135258.271280.22400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Markthemoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> clean up ham radio gte flushed mr turd

What does General Telephone have to do with ham radio, stupid? Besides,
they merged with Bell to become Verizon.

983. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:50:55 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160135455.014318.239970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>get flushed and clean up ham radio
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Talk to any illegal unlicensed stations lately, Marqueer?
> >>>
> >>
> >>Jamming 20 meters<IKYABWAI>
> >>
> >
> > I heard you jamming and talking unidentified on 20 yeaterday, Billy.
> > Too bad you live in that HUD apartment complex and you can't put out a
> > decent signal.
> >
>
> You can't even solder a PL-259 plug to some RG-8X Coax and then make a
> dipole.

Funny, that's exactly what Roger had before he switched to an
Alpha-Delta DX-CC multiband antenna in an inverted vee configuration.
Can't you even see that in the pictures?

>You're stuck with using those shitty whip antennas because you
> don't have the technical skill to put up a dipole, beam, inverted V,
> cloudwarmer etc. Try again bubba.

Kepp getting it wrong and sticking your club foot in your mouth,
dumbass. You are an assclown.
BWHAHAHAHAHA!

984. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:51:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160135519.664105.36540@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> gete flushed

Kill yourself, Marqueer, and clean up the world.

985. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 11:55:16 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160135716.835907.206020@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Kill yourself, Marqueer, and clean up the Earth in the process.

986. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:00:33 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136033.416004.83470@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He has no life or woman, so Billy Smith whined at 3:25 AM:
> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>get flush
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>I'm not doing any carpentry, stupid. Talk to any unlicensed stations
> >>>lately?
> >>>
> >>
> >>Not that you would have enough skill in your whole body to do carpentry!
> >
> >
> > Wrong again, dipshit. Tell us how the only job you could get is working
> > as a janitor at Honeywell.
> >
>
> I've never worked at Honeywell

BWHAHAHA Billy is so unqualified to do *anything!*

> Not to mention I've always held a job as a janitor. Nice try bubba.

I can see why.........

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$v0.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

987. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:01:24 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136084.384337.94690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get <brawk!>

Markie want a cracker?

988. Re: Lloydie Property Taxes, he doesn't pay them since he lives in public housing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:03:13 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136193.498853.97190@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> You forgot another point.

Yeah, that you are a complete clueless imbecile!

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$v0.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

989. Re: Racist Chason hates it when his sister Julie fucks blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:04:30 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136270.222236.255150@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
> >>
> >>>Then why does it say frame house? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA have fun in your
> >>>filthy trailer, white nigger.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Showing your racism again, bubba?
> >
> >
> > Showing yours, again, fuckhead?
> >
> >
> >>You would know all about white trash
> >
> >
> > Yes, we know all about you and your whore sister Julie.
> > She lives with her black pimp in Hartford, CT.
> >
> > Julie Chason
> > 291 GRANDVIEW TER FL 3
> > HARTFORD, CT 6114
> > (860) 956-1983
> >
>
> Nice try again<IKYABWAI>

Says the whining cunt posting at 3 AM Billy.....oh the irony!
BWHAHAHAHA!

990. Drink some cyanide, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:07:19 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136439.466402.210050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to drinking some cyanide, make the world a better place, child
raper.

991. Re: Billy posts about swallowing Roger's cum: "Washing the Roger Smell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:09:09 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136549.436041.11450@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > Billy Smith wrote:
> >
> >>Billy Smith wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Scrub as she may, she just CAN'T get that nasty Roger Smell off her
> >>>privates.
> >
> >
> > Your mommy swallows it just like you do.
> >
> >
> >>That wasn't me
> >
> > That was you, and you were talking about wiping Roger's cum from your
> > pimpled face.
> >
>
> I don't <IKYABWAI>

You don't wipe your pimpled face off? BWHAHAHAHAHA Your face is so
pimpled, they say hold the anchovies, pizza face!
```

992. Markie dreams of wiping Roger's cum off his face: "Washing the WogerSmell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:10:15 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136615.250512.132980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, Marqueer!

993. Re: Todd must have asked Markie how to keep a station running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:11:46 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136706.063826.141030@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >>>And that's why Omega One is down. That,
> >>>
> >>>Or Todds taking requests from the FCC.
> >>
> >>HEY FUCK BRAINS, I going Denver for broadcasters meeting next
> >
> >
> > Toad, you couldn't find Denver on the map.
> >
> >
> >>month. I WANT TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU LIVE BY THERE SO WE CAN HAEV A
> >>FUCKING MEETING BITCHWHORE!!!! I pretty sure I know how you are right
> >
> >
> > Toad, you don't know your faggot ass from a hole in the ground.
> >
> >
> >>now but I'll make sure during october first. Let see how big a man
> >>you are face to face FUCKING SOCK PUPPET COWARD!!!!
> >
> >
> > Says Toad, who posts under several sockpuppets. What's the word for
> > that, oh, yes, hypocrite.
> >
>
> So you are

Accusing him of what you are doing, loser. How many sockpuppets do you
have, pizza face?

994. Markie reveals his sexual practice of cumming over his radio again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:13:44 UTC
From: Billy cumgobbler Smith <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160136824.366731.149920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Sticking that tiny clit of yours in the Microphone jack again,
Marqueer? Your shemale wife probably has a bigger dick then you do.

995. Saggytits, Anne Hoffer is like her fat hog mommy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:16:59 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137019.228093.168210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

She either like the dog's dick or Anthony Lemons'. Sort of like you.

996. Re: I bet Mark Morgan KB9RQZ hates beer enema's.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:17:54 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137074.203031.309380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1159234258.905956.82530@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> > blow code blows it again
> >
>
>
> You don't get $100 Mark, but if you're nice and a good boy, maybe you can
> sweet talk Lloyd, Roger, or Steve into giving you a Beer enema.

Why waste beer, dumbass?

> Steve
> knows medical things and can administer an enema. Lloyd or Roger could
> give you an enema too. They're good at sticking things in another man's
> butt.

Yeah Lloyd sticks *your* tongue in his.

997. Re: Anne Hoffer, another fat hog wjho likes dog dicks or black ones! Like Saggytits Lee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:21:26 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137285.945038.24590@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> Here's a photo <fat hog picture>

SUUUUUUUEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE!!! She's a fat hog like her
fat hog mommy, Saggytits. She opnly likes dog dicks or Anthony Lemons'
like you do.

> ====================
> "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

Tell it to Willie Nelson. Seems like you guys that need Rogaine sure
hate guys who still have their hair. BWHAHAHAHA!

BTW, what's the make and color of Roger's car?
BWHAHAHAHA!
--
Saggytits Lee (Stagger Lee@houston-hunks.com) fails to back up his
"webcam story" when he changes the subject with lame excuses when asked
what type of car Roger drives and it's color in
<night.vision.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Those newer webcams include automatic switchover to night vision mode
when it gets dark, but the pictures are in that typical blue-green
monochrome mode, and it's tough to make out fine details."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary

instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
someone
who things that..."

998. Markie would like to molest Anne Hoffer's kids!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:22:36 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137355.996955.207920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friendless cocksucking child raper wrote:
> would woger even want she look living I hear he likes colder girls

Just like you like little boys!

999. Re: When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:23:58 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137438.006850.305470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >It doesn't make sense.
> none of your post make or are truthfull I am not against imporving
> myself

You won't go and get an English tutor, Marqueer.

1000. Re: Anne Hoffer, another fat hog who likes dog dicks or black ones! Like Saggytits Lee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:25:11 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137511.356159.21970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> Saggytits Lee wrote:
> > Here's a photo <fat hog picture>
>
> SUUUUUUUEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE!!! She's a fat hog like her
> fat hog mommy, Saggytits. She opnly likes dog dicks or Anthony Lemons'
> like you do.
>
>
> > ====================
> > "If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14
>
> Tell it to Willie Nelson. Seems like you guys that need Rogaine sure
> hate guys who still have their hair. BWHAHAHAHA!
>
> BTW, what's the make and color of Roger's car?
> BWHAHAHAHA!
> --
> Saggytits Lee (Stagger Lee@houston-hunks.com) fails to back up his
> "webcam story" when he changes the subject with lame excuses when asked
> what type of car Roger drives and it's color in
> <night.vision.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Those newer webcams include automatic switchover to night vision mode
> when it gets dark, but the pictures are in that typical blue-green
> monochrome mode, and it's tough to make out fine details."
>
> Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
> somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
>
> instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
> <jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
> "Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
> alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
> someone
> who things that..."

typo fixed.

1001. Re: When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:27:34 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137654.454989.175720@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> When you going to pay your debt

You mean like the fee required for garbage pickup you can't pay,
Marqueer?

1002. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:30:54 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137854.632722.52760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee wrote more unreliable information:
> I was looking [nothing important]

Sure you were, Davey, why don't you call there and whine like you
usually do?

What's the make and color of Roger's car? Can't you see that on the
"webcam?"

Saggytits Lee (Stagger Lee@houston-hunks.com) fails to back up his
"webcam story" when he changes the subject with lame excuses when asked
what type of car Roger drives and it's color in
<night.vision.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Those newer webcams include automatic switchover to night vision mode
when it gets dark, but the pictures are in that typical blue-green
monochrome mode, and it's tough to make out fine details."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary

instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.roger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from
someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his

improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

Saggytits Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) talks out of his fat ignorant
ass again in:<secwet.googley.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"did you know, Woger, that some of the anonymous remailers are operated

by the CIA?"

Saggytits Lee fucks up again and thinks Roger Wiseman has "long hair"
in <st.paul.hates.wogers.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"Long hair like yours"

Saggy tits Lee, unthinking fuckwit, apologizes and makes excuses for
his lack of rational reasoning ability in Message-ID:
<wogers.sig.cwacks.me...@houston-hunks.com>:
"an inability to "rationalize" is a highly desirable trait."

Backpedal Boy Faggot Lee admits he's a poor bastard in
<glen.dale.tunnel.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Unlike the rest of us poor bastards..."

Stagger Backpedal Boy Lee who claims to be an expert on everything
electronic/computer
reveals why he's such an "expert" because he plagiarizes from other
sources in <woger.steps.in.anotherpile@houston-hunks.com>:
"I finally quoted the Intel literature..."

BACKPEDAL BOY Lee <StaggerLee@houston-hunks.com> whined that he
celebrates his half-black heritage by
observing Kwanzaa, and validating the fact he is an illegitimate
whore's child in <it.was.something.to...@houston-hunks.com>:
"A holiday made up by a convicted felon? You bet I celebrate
it."

In article <stupid.imposters@houston-hunks.com>
Stagger BACKPEDAL BOY Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> the "BOY Blunder"
whined more netcopping
threats and erroneously got the idea I was on AOL in his stupid
statement:
"Stop impersonating Lloyd, Woger, or you could lose your AOL account
again. All Lloyd has to do is to complain."

BACKPEDAL BOY Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined that he celebrates
his half-black heritage by
observing Kwanzaa, and validating the fact he is an illegitimate
whore's child in <it.was.something.to...@houston-hunks.com>:
"A holiday made up by a convicted felon? You bet I celebrate it."

Plagiarising hypocrite BACKPEDAL BOY queefed his hypocrisy in
<slee.rulez.while.woger.dro...@houston-hunks.com>:
"I doubt that you copied his noriginal post, but suspect that
you both copied yet another post.
..the Google database has foiled you again and simultaneously
dashed my briefly entertained thought that you might be capable
of writing coherent sentences after all."

Blonde joke stealer Fuckup "BACKPEDAL BOY" LEE whined
and still manages to fuck up the word "it's" and manages never to learn
anything
in <a75t70$3b...@dosa.alt.net>:
"Just like a dog doing its job on the carpeting, your nose must
be rubbed in your plagiarism. Unlike a dog, you won't learn anything
from"

BACKPEDAL BOY admits his mommy was a WHORE from a Chicago brothel
where he was conceived by his drunken bastard father in
<get.on.the....@houston-hunks.com>:
"Mayor Daily [ed.note: <sic>] awarded him with the keys to the brothels

of Chicago when he
returned from the Pacific theatre, and that's how he met my mother."

Fuckup Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> proves he's as ignorant of

music as he is in everything else when he utters the following
stupidity in <k8mn.is.a.god@houston-hunks.com>:
"Eric Clapton even wrote a song about Leila!"

"Stagger Backpedal BOY Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> blathered more
idiocy when he tried to backpedal out of his fuckup in message
<ab8mq.cant.touch.k8mn@houston-hunks.com>:
"On one of the most complete web sites of Eric Clapton music
(http://www.netmusicitalia.it/spot/archivio/v.html), we read that one
of the selections is:
2/01 Vidal bagnoschiuma Eric Clapton "Laila"
which is, of course, a different composition than the more familiar
"Layla" that SWR Boy was trying to discuss. Composed during a Clapton
visit to Helsinki, it was inspired by a gracious host named "Laila" --
the wife of then Assistant Ambassador David Heil."

Stupid Lee (Stagger Lee@ houston-hunks.com) provides yet another
example of his idiocy in
<way.way.beyond.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"That's because transmission line loss and SWR are not directly
related."

BACKPEDAL BOY SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee) talks tough, yet
fails to deliver
(I'm still waiting to lose my ISP) in
<pants.down.wiseman@houston-hunks.com>:
"Ready to lose that ISP too, mensa-boy, after trying to hide it for a
year?
Mess with me in real life, and you will always pay a price."

Fuckup BACKPEDAL BOY Lee PKB's to save his backpedaling ass
in <20031009200009.12137.qm...@houston-hunks.com>:
"You, on the other hand, always inject homosexual
content into every post you make. Psychologists would find that to be
an obvious case of projection; i.e., attributing your own internal
state to others.

Stagger Lee queefs his hypocrisy from his tiny testicles, since he
netcopped to
Zack Harvey himself in <3CE5A487.54059...@houston-hunks.com>:
"You lose, Shriveled Nuts, because you netcopped. It is in the
alt.flame FAQs."

Fuckhead Lee exhibits his hypocrisy, since he's the Google Queen
in <8474570...@houston-hunks.com>:
"Ah, I see that you are reposting old stuff by means of which you long
ago exhibited your profound ignorance"

Anonymous coward and all-around Fuckwit "Stagger Lee"
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> revealed his
hypocrisy and ironically admits he has no credibility by his own
definition in

message <3C36FDCC.76EC1...@houston-hunks.com> :
"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous

status makes the story even less credible."

Faggot Lee slurps the ass of others, yet he fails to see the irony in
his blather of UKYABWAI in <3D17BD4C.8A1D1...@houston-hunks.com>:
"Pathetic sycophant."

Fuckwit Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> proved he is a no-balls coward
when he added to his posts
in <news:3D1F8E0B.21B0D699@houston-hunks.com>:
"X-No-Archive: yes"

Fuckup Lee fucks up as usual getting his facts straight about a retest
letter which clearly indicated Wiseman was to retake the General exam,
the DCC letter gives no specific reasons why
and Fuckup Lee is too fucking stupid to notice Wiseman has an Amateur
Extra
ham license per several online databases in
<3F239C83.A22E1...@houston-hunks.com>:
"And you claim that you passed an amateur Extra class license exam? No

wonder the FCC had its doubts and forced you to retest."

"Fuckup Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote from his personal "hands on

knowledge" from being a rim jobber in message
<vroom.vroom.wo...@houston-hunks.com>:
"The human anal sphincter muscle is naturally contracted when relaxed"

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain? It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a
place to
send email."

Fuckup Lee, unaware of the IRONY of his question, still fails to answer

whether or not he found the Marshall County WV ARES site which he said
was
http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/, a Marshall County, AL ARES
website in <3D4708C2.DD7E8...@houston-hunks.com>:
"Are you ever going to answer those questions, or are you going to keep

on running?"

Fuckup Lee lies and backpedals even though his article is archived at
http://news.interbulletin.com/
was caught in another one of his bungling fuckups.
in Message-ID: <aim734$73...@pita.alt.net>

"\FORGERYSTOMP/
Yes, as you just said "Google doesn't have it available." If no one
can look up the message by means of its ID, then the act of quoting
the ID is futile and meaningless.
Case closed, netkop."

Yes, you fucked up again....

Subject: Re: Get on the Marshall County ARES webpage......
Date: 3 Jul 2002 22:03:46 GMT
From: S...@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
MsgId: <afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje...@4ax.com>
<afvhaj$2r...@pita.alt.net>

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 14:18:35 -0400, AB8MQ <kc8...@alt.net> wrote:
: "Bob" <:@mchsi.com> wrote in message

: news:hbn3iu8hqlfjk5ovuom47j91lge3sje22s@4ax.com...
: > and send them some of Rogers filth. I'm sure they would love it.
:
: Dumbfuck, the Marshall County ARES doesn't have a webpage, try again,

Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place
to send email.

"Fuckup Shemale Lee" <S...@houston-hunks.com> whined like a fucking
spoiled little girl (which he is) in
<3brnq721213nsld2n...@houston-hunks.com>:
" I dare you to..."

Fuckup Lee will have his hypocrisy pointed out to him again the next
time he posts my quotes in <aimrjv$l8...@pita.alt.net>:
"Didn't I say that your quoting of message IDs is pointless?"

Faggot Lee posts the following slobber, not realizing his own whining
and netKKKoping past in <3C8BA6A5.19219...@houston-hunks.com>:
"You don't like it? I suggest that you use your OE news filters, or
simply skip the thread. No one is forcing you to read it, and you sure

as hell aren't going to get any of the participants to stop."

Faggot Lee admits he and the other anonymous cowards being owned by
AB8MQ in <3C93E88F.932B5...@houston-hunks.com>:
"Wiseman (who, by the way, "owns" us)"

Faggot Lee <S...@houston-hunks.com> confirms his SPANKARD status with
the following whine but the BITCH still follows up like a good puppy
dog in <a9c75d$go...@dosa.alt.net >:
"Basically, Wiseman bores me now, and I won't waste time on his replies

[blah blah blah]"

AIDS INFECTED BABY RAPER "Fuckup Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
proud of his illiteracy states the obvious in message
<986143249...@huffs-on-houston-dicks.com>:
"I normally don't read"

Faggot Stagger Lee confesses he and his family get this way when the
prospect of licking testicles (something he doesn't have) is made
available in
< 12417$Y17.5...@houston-hunks.com>:
"We are overjoyed."

Fuckwit Lee finally admits his SPANK at AB8MQ's hands yet another time
in 3C4AE592.6A0CD...@houston-hunks.com:
"[Any followups by Wiseman will be ignored.]"

Fuckup Lee, who fails to "grasp" the concept that "slander" is
spoken and "libel" is written, would be better served if he just stuck
to grasping nutsacks, incoherently babbled
in <3C4AE592.6A0CD...@houston-hunks.com>:

"COTSE seemed to have the laughable notion that what Wiseman was doing
was exercising freedom of speech. Slander is of course not protected
speech in the US, but the concept that even free speech has its limits
seemed to be impossible for Steve Gielda -- COTSE's owner -- to grasp."

Chickenshit COWARD Faggot Lee pathetically tries to justify his hiding
behind anonymity in <3B8FFFF5.143FA...@houston-hunks.com>:

"...readers have already had uninvited people show up at their
homes, have had people subscribe them to magazines and book clubs, have

received hang-up calls in the middle of the night, have had poison pen
letters written to their neighbors and employers, and have had poison
pen email sent to their ISPs in an attempt to get their accounts
canceled. That's not a "what if" scenario either: It has happened
repeatedly to certain members of these news groups, yours truly
included (one person has emailed repeated lies to Altopia in an attempt

to learn
my identity). One of our readers has even had to go to the courts and
obtain an injunction against one of those nuts.
I choose not to give insane people like that any kind of edge in
physically locating me, and if you think that's "cowardice", you are
only demonstrating your profound ignorance of Usenet and of the kind of

people who read these posts."

Then the no-balls coward ends his feminine cuntly whining with:
"And do you know what else? I AM SICK TO DEATH OF THOSE WHO REPEAT
YOUR
TIRED OLD, IGNORANT REFRAIN. Until you learn a few things, you ought
to shut your arrogant mouth."

Staggering Drunk Lee, the "Pulitzer prize award-winning writer"
slobbered the following Ebonics in <9a56ue$hi...@dosa.alt.net>:
"I hope yourself takes the advice you are giving to yourself."

SPANKARD Faggot Lee admits he's cross posted in order to help his
pathetic, syphilitic ass win a flame war, if you can read his chicken
scratching
EBONICS in <3AA5A686.E2ACE...@some.net>:

"I *have* seen to it that some of JBO's irrational posts show up in
alt.2600, because the owner of cotse practically lives there (tell you
something?), and I wanted the owner of cotse to ?>xperience JBO's posts

first hand. Cotse is doing a service to no one when
t confuses freedom with license -- the typical error of the neophyte
do->ooder -- and Roger's posts are a prime example of the typical
esults that error."

Child abuser and FUCKUP Shemale Lee (SLee@houston-hunks.com) whined to
the newsgroups as usual and admitted his defeat with a SPANKING by
plonk
and his repressed wish to suck sperm by projecting it onto others in
<kc8jbo.sucks.sperm@houston-hunks.com>:
"You know what? I think I'm going to add cotse and mailandnews.com to
my filtering rules. I'm bored with you, Mr. Scatologist....<plonk>"

SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee) confessed to his SPANKARD status
in <nuking.waldo@houston-hunks.com>:
"Waldo has the honor of being the first poster in this news group that
I've ever killfiled. To make sure he stays killed, all posts and all
followups to posts from mailusenet.com will be nuked before I ever see
them."

Fuckwit SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee) supports illegal computer activities and terrorism in MsgId: <pimple.on.my.ass@houston-hunks.com>:
"But I *am* delighted that cotse was hacked and DOSed to the point that

it can't operate this weekend."

STUPID ASS "Stagger "king of wishful thinking" Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
blathered out of his well-reamed ass his hope to regain some face in message <0428120427.2...@houston-hunks.com>:
"One guess has it that KC8JBO lost his ability to post through cotse"

"Stagger Pee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> whined like a little girl in message in <04630.10999.00@houston-hunks.com>:

"Whatever piece of crap newsreader you're using, it just violated an RFC by putting whitespace in the Newsgroups header.

Stagger Pee has too much sperm in his eyes to know that a "_" is a character, not a space.

"As a reslult, many news servers are either going to chop off the second newsgroup that you added or else reject your post entirely."

Stagger Pee knows more about KFC than RFC, and as a "result" spittles out of his lardass. It would seem that something else was "chopped off" in
Stagger Pee's case, but there wasn't much to lose.

AIDS INFECTED IGNORAMUS "Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> demonstrated his lack of education and tried to backpedal out of his use of "too"
instead of "two" in message <too.two_or_a.to@houston-hunks.com>:
"You too need to get a newsreader which generates a References header."

Fuckhead Lee slobbered his pathetic gene cesspool story in MsgId: <get.on.the....@houston-hunks.com>

"Since a couple of you are simply *dying* to know my true identity, let

me give you a few tantalizing hints to see what you can do with them. I'm going to tell you about my father (I used to call him "Dad" when we

were alone).

Daddy was a basketball star at Notre Dame University in South Bend, Indiana. He lettered during all of his seven years as an undergraduate,

but then lost his eligibility when he took time out to write a thesis
on the quantum electrodynamics of degenerate stellar atmospheres.

Unfortunately, the use of the word "degenerate" in his thesis nearly
got him kicked out of Notre Dame. He straightened the situation out,
and
managed to graduate "semper ubi sub ubi" with the class of 1940, and
immediately left for his favorite Chicago suburb -- Calumet City -- to
start his life as a brand-new astrophysicist.

It was then that he got drafted into the army, so he put his ambitions
aside and served his country as one of the most accomplished martial
artists of the WWII era.

He had learned martial arts as a boy member of the Mafia in Chicago,
and he single-handedly invaded several Japanese islands during WWII.
Mayor
Daily awarded him with the keys to the brothels of Chicago when he
returned from the Pacific theatre, and that's how he met my mother.

Oh my. I may have given too much away: By now, you probably know
precisely who I am."

Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
<3D39C465.63A9C...@houston-hunks.com>:
"I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
your ass?"

Fuckup Lee whines about crossposting and redirecting followups with
"The original post is spam, as can be seen by looking at the number of
people who have already been tricked into responding in alt.bad.clams."

yet the hypocrite admits he did the same thing himself in
<3D487543.91D08...@houston-hunks.com>:
"I've tricked you into posting your spew elsewhere, yes"

==========
Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit "Stagger Lee"
<S...@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no
credibility by his
own definition in message <3C36FDCC.76EC1...@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous

status makes the story even less credible."

Fuckup Shemale Lee fucks up again in
<3D39C465.63A9C...@houston-hunks.com>:
"I wonder how long it will take Ohio Valley Internet Services to boot
your ass?"

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6a...@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to

send email."

Fuckup Lee, unaware of the IRONY of his question, still fails to answer
whether
or not he found the Marshall County WV ARES site which he said was
http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/, a Marshall County, AL ARES
website
in <3D4708C2.DD7E8...@houston-hunks.com>:
"Are you ever going to answer those questions, or are you going to keep

on running?"

Stupid Lee (Stagger Lee@ houston-hunks.com) provides yet anothre
example of his idiocy in
<way.way.beyond.wo...@houston-hunks.com>:
"That's because transmission line loss and SWR are not directly
related."

SPANKS:

From: Rev Turd Fredericks <turdf...@catholic.org>
Message-ID: <PM000391D524FF3746@dhcppc1.home.com>
"Stagger Lee is anti-free speech because he originally came in here
demanding that Steve Geilda, a regular in this group, cancel Roger
Wiseman's account on his server because he didn't like what Roger was
saying. That is anti-free speech."
"Since free speech is one of the foundations of the American
constitution
and America it's self, if you are ant-free speech, you are by defintion

anti-American."

From: Turd Fredericks (mrhan...@clownpenis.fart)
Message-ID: <3aa706af.2684203@news.irvn1.occa.home.com>
"You really are a luser and a fuckwit aren't you? I can't speak for
everybody (or even somebody else) in alt.2600 but I enjoy KC8JBO's
posts. They are funny, and the more I see of your posts, the better I
can understand why he does what he does."

1003. Re: You can lead Markie to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with Markie's AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:32:16 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160137936.256858.88450@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> stufu about my child molesting forger

BWHAHAHAHA!

1004. Markie eats excrement on the loose off his daddy's penis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:34:34 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138074.283135.192920@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu about my child molestoring woger

BWHAHAHAHA!

1005. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:35:48 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138147.986139.78470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old freindless child raper wrote:
> get

Kill yourself, Marqueer and clean up the Earth!

1006. Kill yourself, Markie, make the Earth cleaner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:36:54 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138214.584207.59850@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 07:10:39 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
> stfu about my child raping forger

Markie admits it again!

1007. Die of your AIDS, Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:38:02 UTC
From: "Mark C. Morgan" <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138282.006632.90640@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu about my child rpaing forger

HAHAHAHA!

1008. get to dying of your AIDS, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:43:30 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138610.445036.144570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, Marqueer!

1009. Markie admits it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:44:35 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138675.525580.247900@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu you know ima child raping forger

BWHAHAHA!

1010. stfu, markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:45:27 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138727.263600.111520@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, Marqueer!

1011. BWHAHAHA!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:46:43 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138803.506024.139160@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu ima child raping forger

BWHAHAHA!

1012. Poor Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:47:49 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138869.495847.11000@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu ima child raping forger

HAHAHAHA!

1013. Markie married a shemale wife!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:49:03 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138943.302508.29190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166980
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> get

Get to dying of your AIDS, Marqueer!

1014. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:49:57 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160138997.382743.298460@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> stfu ima child molestor forger

You sure are, Markie!

1015. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:51:32 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160139092.262980.168970@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 07:17:21 -0700, kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> stfu you child molestor

Markie admits he (kb9rqz) is a child molester!

1016. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 12:53:26 UTC
From: "Mark Morgan, Child Molester" <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160139206.174767.160740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 166983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> >
> > Do tell, retard.
> >
> >> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?
> >
> > You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
> same person different nym. give it up. your game is known (or are you too
> stupid to realize it yet?).

Too bad you and the rest of the idiots keep getting it wrong, though,
blind boy.

1017. Re: Poor woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:13:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nilci29k02dubkbodvds0nkau9u9561o1e@4ax.com>
Source record: 166984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Jul 2006 11:55:21 -0700, peewee_lloyd_davies@yahoo.com wrote:

get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1018. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:14:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sjlci2lj3qua3jc7lcgdksu7qb52mprule@4ax.com>
Source record: 166985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:39:16 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1019. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:14:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bllci2trfms7dhfr5tlthuqmadl7mdgqgu@4ax.com>
Source record: 166986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:30:54 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child raper
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1020. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:15:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pmlci2538kncipo8uedmhlnhbg97farie7@4ax.com>
Source record: 166988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:01:24 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1021. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY! the statments false

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:15:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8nlci2dkdonk935o5odej2uug6vpir45p0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:00:33 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1022. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:15:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tnlci2l2vs1p6sa61l8ff8s9gof0r9pof0@4ax.com>
Source record: 166989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:36:21 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1023. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:16:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <polci25kmp4rkbq4c80gc8142evmq7dggp@4ax.com>
Source record: 166990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:47:38 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1024. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:16:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4plci29ijkb0bovk2m2hg6a9s22c2st4ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 166991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:46:00 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1025. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:16:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nplci293dj95fj46p8hb18hbb3fvhpvq3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 166992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:41:44 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1026. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:17:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <irlci2d3pk207cojr0f012d9ih19dfv9im@4ax.com>
Source record: 166993
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 6 Oct 2006 05:53:26 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1027. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:18:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4slci2l493v8vs89e8mun40pvqcknjh383@4ax.com>
Source record: 166994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:55:16 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1028. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:18:40 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.drops.pants.fires.a.wocket@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 166995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:30:54 -0700, Mark C. Morgan <Secwet Woger> wrote:
[142 lines of post-edited insanity skipped]

I almost didn't see your post, because my standard filters remove all posts which contain 500 or more lines. Quite by accident, I found the post while using a news server that I only rarely use. Here's the only response you'll get, CW Man.

: BACKPEDAL BOY SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee) talks tough, yet
: fails to deliver
: (I'm still waiting to lose my ISP) in
: <pants.down.wiseman@houston-hunks.com>:
: "Ready to lose that ISP too, mensa-boy, after trying to hide it for a
: year?
: Mess with me in real life, and you will always pay a price."

This is a typical rant which is totally in error. It turns out that Woger was quoting a post which is still in Google's archives, and that's the reason I chose it as an example. Everyone can verify it by using Google Groups advanced search for a specific message ID. The original post reads as follows:

--(begin quote)--
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Wiseman -- pants down -- outs himself from StratusWave.net
Date: 8 Oct 2003 23:43:22 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Message-ID: <pants.down.wiseman@houston-hunks.com>
References: <bc2a46b6b79da7fad416c948d0ff00a3@remailer.metacolo.com>

On Tue, 7 Oct 2003 23:24:10 +0000 (UTC), Anonymous Sender <Roger Wiseman> wrote:
:
: SMARTER people know how to block such items in the first place.
: Apparently, that doesn't include YOU.

And *DUMB* people try to netcop a second time while stupidly revealing that stratuswave.net is their ISP. Ready to lose that ISP too, mensa-boy, after trying to hide it for a year? Mess with me in real life, and you will always pay a price.

As Darth Vader said, "You should not have come back."
--(end quote)--

I should point out to you, Woger, that you *HAVE* lost that ISP. You aren't still sitting around waiting for Stratuswave to dump you; they

dumped you long ago. Thus the claim you made ("I'm still waiting to lose my ISP") is an outright lie.

[462 additional lines of drivel snipped.]

I am flattered that you save and edit everything that I post. Quite obviously, you recognize my greatness. Do you reread my old posts whenever you need inspiration, or are they background material for the next great novel you're writing?

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia, hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related to his sister."

1029. Re: Repeat: Lloyd is a fucking moron any weekend and all is not quiet from his inane drunken blatherings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:18:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lslci2p2mitscnogc39ovsgqur0rdtvk88@4ax.com>
Source record: 166996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:51:32 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Markie admits ab8mq) is a child molester!
just corecting you child molestor by your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1030. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:18:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <stlci2l4ahdv09ekk970fggpkvgcenb5to@4ax.com>
Source record: 166997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:51:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1031. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:19:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ulci2pm10d970i3nh1chhj3a9hcc18e73@4ax.com>
Source record: 166998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 04:50:55 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1032. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when KB9RQZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:19:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mulci2l044ijenh0cl59k4lcscknalkcru@4ax.com>
Source record: 167050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:49:57 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
stfu you child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1033. Re: Looking for Code Practice Software That Runs Under XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:19:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7vlci2djh0jjjj9ut1if8v7bhom3bk30c8@4ax.com>
Source record: 166999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:35:48 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
stfu child molestor
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1034. Re: Todd must have asked Markie how to keep a station running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:20:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <00mci2dmng147njj9afd82k4ejl2727428@4ax.com>
Source record: 167051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:11:46 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1035. Re: Billy posts about swallowing Roger's cum: "Washing the Roger Smell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:20:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g0mci252op28arld5if9jt7jn4ih55rg1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 167053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:09:09 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1036. Re: Lloydie Property Taxes, he doesn't pay them since he lives in public housing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:20:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v0mci21pb6mh9lp5fmhl6eru7tj5acqccv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:03:13 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1037. Re: Racist Chason hates it when his sister Julie fucks blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:20:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d1mci29vrkf3khbou0bspfts03ptf55sb7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:04:30 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1038. .<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:21:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s1mci2hoorm8aejrp3fjae8fro7f7547ou@4ax.com>
Source record: 167055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:17:54 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stuf you self proclaimed rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1039. Re: When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:21:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r2mci2dmv0i20m29d19kbudiu5vcm32dmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 167056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:27:34 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1040. Re: When you going to pay your debt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:21:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c3mci2589gso3hns1k104kdebrnojcoe7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 167057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:23:58 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1041. Re: Drink some cyanide,Woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:22:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <o4mci29li7rr8o6tk96tgv57agau6pnd1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:07:19 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1042. : "Washing the WogerSmell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:23:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a5mci2duhnr2vdqm9kfv18vlqjj7ah6ccs@4ax.com>
Source record: 167059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:10:15 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
<billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1043. Re: Saggytits, Anne Hoffer is like her fat hog mommy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:23:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u5mci2tfdiakd2gfdqbbcrobi7ig900hck@4ax.com>
Source record: 167060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:16:59 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stuf rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1044. Re: Anne Hoffer, another fat hog wjho likes dog dicks or black ones! Like Saggytits Lee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:23:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e6mci25offnuifu90mqau5dla6kj0fmpgh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:21:26 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1045. Re: Woger would like to molest Anne Hoffer's kids!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:23:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t6mci25ifd0ge4lsriu9dd53lc7bafn875@4ax.com>
Source record: 167062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:22:36 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

your nick says woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1046. Re: Anne Hoffer, another fat hog who likes dog dicks or black ones! Like Saggytits Lee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:24:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j7mci2h6j87m7rcfv4mobpqoo40krbddhg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:25:11 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1047. Re: woger eats excrement on the loose off his daddy's penis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:24:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <18mci2p7dlo1r4shngvuft9a8n18jttg7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 167064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 6 Oct 2006 05:34:34 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu child molestoring woger

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1048. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:24:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v8mci2hgtjsfcgaq623o6vol9aken7b369@4ax.com>
Source record: 167065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:36:54 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1049. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:25:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f9mci2dfsps3kv42tk7djho22h90a9nmi8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:38:02 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
<markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1050. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:25:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3amci2llek8rs0kfda2msqnvp2ebhosak4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:43:30 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1051. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:26:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <samci21886vv7qjg8ut865trrfull8md58@4ax.com>
Source record: 167067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:44:35 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1052. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:26:03 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160141162.966542.207180@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Saggytits Lee K8MN, wrote:
> [enormous lines of post-edited insanity skipped]
>
> I almost didn't see your post,

That's because you alweays have your head up your ass.
>
> This is a typical rant

Yes, you usually backpedal when your unreliable information is exposed.

> [462 additional lines of drivel snipped.]
>
> I am flattered

Don't. What's the make and color of Roger's car, "webcam" boy?

1053. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:26:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dbmci2dg2s11j1c6apcvsn3gjj19em07ad@4ax.com>
Source record: 167070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:45:27 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1054. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:26:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <acmci2lju0pjnt8agvf08hddvh52hu7thc@4ax.com>
Source record: 167071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:46:43 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

stfu rapist
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1055. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:27:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pcmci2d7sbcgmupa1cesf0g1gi3e7td6e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167072
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:49:03 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
<kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1056. Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:27:41 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160141261.743182.278540@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
<nothing important, he's too busy stalking Roger Wiseman>

> at this point, his identity doesn't matter.

Then why do you keep getting it wrong, gimp?

> face it, he can't construct an intelligent conversation AND he has to resort
> to insults against a DISABLED person... what does that say about his

A mentally and physically disabled one, too. Are you going to cry,
Ewwic?

> character? would any respectable employer even hire him?

BWHAHAHAHA better than being a government leech like you.

> does he even speak to his MOTHER with that mouth

No, he speaks to YOUR mother with that mouth, she usually only charges
$2.

> Those and many more questions we need answers to......

Hey, you construct many complete sentences, blind boy?

1057. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:28:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bgmci295pj0fhbtgvls8a22nq8hd4d5kev@4ax.com>
Source record: 167074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 06:26:03 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1058. Re: VOTE! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:29:13 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160141353.399840.278090@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167075
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW <BiGWhiteGuy5@yahoo.com> wrote
> in news:pan.2006.10.04.06.16.22.258410@meow.fuckhead:
>
> > All Hail Fluffy!
> >
> > Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen award:
> > the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are many old
> > favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann, Richard the
> > St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo" Karczewski,
> > BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going to dog you
> > all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all crazy farrel or
> > his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure salesman of
> > alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8 Management Board. Up
> > for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed Rhonda because she had my
> > emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW it!" Cook, several of the
> > aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie
> > the spamming anti-spammer, Alan Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George
> > Maloney the net.KKKop of rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the
> > possibly-ersatz Woger Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the
> > legendarily-lame wannabe troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell
> > forgers and froggers apart from the real thing, just by reading
> > headers" Arnold, J. Taylor & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth"
> > school of thought ("Plate tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND
> > WON!...What do you mean, I have to track them down and bring them into
> > the proper jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the
> > world?" Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself
> > psychiatric help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo),
> > Double-A, Ken "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I
> > don't have to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that
> > there 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again,
> > Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want to
> > use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time getting
> > each other off (verbally).
> >
> > On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote Wrangler
> > wrote:
> >
> >> Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
> >> Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified, mocked,
> >> burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at the Vote
> >> Wrangler's discretion if:
> >>

> >> * you are a notorious net.kook
> >> * you attempt to vote twice
> >> * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you
> >> vote for or against yourself
> >> * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a newsgroup
> >> other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow up to an
> >> incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have explicitly
> >> been disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have officially been
> >> declared non-existent
> >>
> >> Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have
> >> until 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes.
> >> Vote wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
> >>
> >> See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
> >> <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
> >>
> >> -
> >>
> >>
> >> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Daryl Hunt
> >> Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> >> Ernest Primeau
> >> Hyerdahl of soc.men
> >> Pamela K Russell
> >> Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> >> Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> >> Richard M Scoville
> >> Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> >> The Big Eight Management Board
> >> Will Dockery
> >> none of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> KOOK OF THE MONTH
> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> >> Douglas of alt.magick
> >> Dustin Cook
> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> >> none of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >>

> >> Dustin Cook
> >> Jill of soc.men
> >> Vinny Barbarino
> >> none of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> COWARD OF THE MONTH
> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Dustin Cook
> >>
> >> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Alan Jones
> >> William Barwell
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
> >> Wabbit
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Duane Arnold
> >> Ernest Primeau
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Dr. Avenger
> >> J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> PALMJOB PADDLE
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Daryl Hunt
> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain

> >>
> >> BALSA GAVEL
> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Tim Bolen
> >>
> >> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Richard M. Scoville
> >>
> >> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> alt.atheism
> >> Douglas of alt.magick
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Dustin Cook
> >>
> >> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> >> neither of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Ernest Primeau
> >>
> >> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> bob&carole
> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> >> Kantica22
> >> none of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Double-A, trained by Art Deco

> >> Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> >> Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> >> none of the above
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> >> Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> >> William Barwell
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
> >>
> >> Marcus Cox and Russ H
> >> The Big-8 Management Board
> >> abstain
> >>
> >> -
>
>
>
>
> No one nominated Dr.Death or Markie this month. Looks like Woger might
> make an award.

He'd nominate you for his biggest cum drinker.

1059. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:30:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tjmci2pl8c81c8gpp15mf9v1fg86ammhmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 167076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 6 Oct 2006 06:27:41 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
<andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:

get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1060. get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:34:23 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160141663.283597.204260@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark Morgan, Child Molester wrote:
>
stfu rapist

1061. Re: You can lead woger to cock but you don't dare let him suck it with wogers AIDS !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:36:38 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160141798.195362.169560@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test

Mark C. Morgan wrote:
>
stfu child rpaing forger

1062. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when k4yz is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:40:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160142045.626255.29010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167079

Dave Heil wrote:
> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, under delusions that he is not only dead, but a
> pervert, posing as "andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com" wrote:
>
> > --.- ..- -.-. -.- --- --.- .-.-.-
>
> Mmmmmmmm..."QUCKOQ."?
>
> You no longer have any room whatever for chastising Mark Morgan.
he never did
>
> UnWiseman, you're a classic maladroit.
>
> Dave K8MN

1063. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 13:44:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160142270.057954.218330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

> > Some very valid points here.
>
> None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
> amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
> but that's just my opinion.

and yet you try to impose your opinion on the rest
> The question of whether there should be a Morse Code test for an
> amateur radio license really boils down to this: Does such a test do
> more good than harm? The answer is always an opinion, not a fact.

no the answer is not to be based on wether it does more harm than good
the question that must be answered isfirst what regulatory prupose does
it serve

no regulatory purpose and the test is ilegeal even if it could be shown
to do more good than harm

the other question is does the test serve the PUBLIC interest interest
no Procder ever deals with the issue of how Code testing benifits
memebrs of the public such as Len Anderson
>
> Jim, N2EY

1064. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 14:07:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160143626.045360.190840@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> richardharrison@webtv.net (Richard Harrison) wrote in
> news:4602-4525302A-1375@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire?
no but..
> Ham
> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without a
> spare tire.
in that case why do insist you only drive on the spare with most spare
tires that is dangerous
>Why do you people want to dumb everything down all the time.
>
> Eliminating CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicate.
who was eleimating CW use just testing
>
> SC

1065. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 14:08:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160143716.185504.37450@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john wrote:
> Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
> doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!
>
he claims but even is he did not have a ticket he makes a good stand in
for those that do

1066. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 14:53:05 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
There must be a reason.

On 6 Oct 2006 07:08:36 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Cecil, don't bother with this Slow Code jerk. I just found out he
>> doesn't even have a ham ticket. He is a CB'er!
>>
>he claims but even is he did not have a ticket he makes a good stand in
>for those that do

1067. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 15:09:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gbsci2h1jqm3o7a9oon3lnrb4djqvrll87@4ax.com>
Source record: 167084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 09:53:05 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>There must be a reason.
if you had a call and were spouting such nonsense youd be afraid to to
give it too

in his callless state he gets to attack without worring about counter
attacks or that someone he knows will see him acting like an ass
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1068. Re: Part D, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 18:05:02 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160157902.657694.200400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167085

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 5 2006 7:20 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
>> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
>> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
>> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
>> REAL telegraphic message. :-)
>
>Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
>using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
>infringement?

Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.

>> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
>> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
>> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
>> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
>> laughter is not possible]
>
>Hi, hi!

Ho, ho! Beep, beep...

>> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
>> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
>> when they are not.
>
>You're 59, OM.

"FB, OM."

>> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
>> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
>> words would have worked just as well. Jargon use
>> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
>> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
>> used in different modes.
>
>QSL.

QRT.

>> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
>> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
>> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
>> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
>> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.
>
>Roger.

"Roger who?"

>> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
>> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
>> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
>> radio station or radio broadcaster.
>
>Every 10 minutes.

"We now pause 10 seconds for official station identification."

>> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
>> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
>> both)." Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
>> amateur radio license GRANT is about.
>
>10-4.

Roger that. Affirmative. Over and out.

>> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
>> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow
>> a national service to the country.
>
>Authenticate.

"Official"

>> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
>> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
>> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
>> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
>> important "resource" that would always "save the day
>> when all other infrastructure communications services
>> 'failed'."
>
>Amateur Radio Service = GI Bill.

ARRL chief a member of Joint Chiefs of Staff.

>> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
>> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.
>> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
>> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
>> written, the amateur radio service is still an

>> avocational radio activity done for personal
>> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.
>
>"Sorry Jim, MARS is Amateur Radio."

As Pluto went so may MARS...

>> >Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.
>
>> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
>> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?
>
>Other than reading about the amateur radio service in WWII, what does
>Jim know about THE Service?

He consults Pentagon library of morsemen.

>> You know NOTHING of military radio. You never served, never
>> worked with the military. I did both as a soldier and as a
>> civilian.
>
>Jim knows nothing of military radio.

Except surplus he read about.

>> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
>> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
>> technology. I've been involved with broadcasting at the
>> station end since 1956.
>
>I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

He not listed in SEG, Screen Extras Guild.

>> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never
>> had one. I did.
>
>When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

CAVU...(Code Allatime Very Universal)

>> You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
>> procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.
>> I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.
>
>Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

IFR. Intermittent Fantasy Regaler.

>> You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
>> and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in
>> harbors and inland waterways.
>
>Jim is on CH16.

Hot water?

>> You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.
>> CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
>> that. I've been doing that since 1959.
>
>Jim is on CH19.

10-4.

>> You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications
>> Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a
>> communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a
>> cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or
>> radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three
>> Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.
>
>You can reach Jim at XXX-XXX-XXXX.

He X rated now?

>> >> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> >> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
>> >Not true, Len. We're amateurs
>
>> Don't you forget it.
>
>Yowsa!

:-)

>> I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate
>> on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs. LEGAL
>> operation. In most cases of such work NO license was required
>> by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only
>> CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]
>
>Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

Knows all. Allatime calls others "wrong."

>> When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER
>> operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than
>> causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you
>> transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have
>> transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that
>> since 1953...53 years ago.
>
>Jim's Giga Hurts.

Let's take up collection to send him Preparation H.

>> What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks"
>> of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

>
>I prefer smooth.

Peanuts.

>> I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with
>> a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz. What have
>> YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?
>
>Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
>maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

Coslonaut helped Giganaut.

>> Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard
>> ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own"
>> equipment. :-)
>
>You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
>bought both sides of the QSO.

My bad. I QRK and QSY both.

>> Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply"
>> of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES"
>> anything of the government or contracted work by the
>> government. In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that
>> equipment!
>
>I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

Must be...he never subsides.

>> But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your
>> INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that
>> they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer." I will NOT
>> "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.
>
>Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

I have tubular capacitors for hollow-state things,
cathode ray tubes on a hot tin roof.

>> YOU don't think your remark was an "insult." You've tried
>> to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday
>> since. Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
>> experience using "my own" equipment!
>
>Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
>Len.
>
>Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

Is that why his Giga hurts?

>> YOU are NOT young, Jimmie. Face it. You've hit the
>> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
>> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.
>
>> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
>> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
>> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."
>
>But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

He is punchy.

>> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
>> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
>> for morsemanship. Manual radiotelegraphy hasn't been
>> "pioneered" by you.
>
>Jim is a follower.

Camp.

>> >The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago.
>
>> 1947. The PATENT wasn't granted immediately. :-)
>
>Owch!!!
>
>I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

Also before instant oatmeal and regularity.

>> >Amateurs were using
>> >them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.
>
>> Early. Like 1952. See QST or CQ (forget which) which
>> I saw at Fort Monmouth in that year. Transistors made
>> by Philco (?). Whatever it was, the transistors have
>> long been obsolete, out of production, replaced by
>> newer, better, cheaper types.
>
>Do they require greenlee punches?

How about we give him nice Hawaiian Punch?

>> Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state
>> ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone
>> else.
>
>Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

Prior to 1980...

>> Use those mighty collitch degrees, all that radio-
>> electronics "experience" in the "industry" to show us

>> what you can really do. :-)
>
>He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

Cribbed from Joe Speroni's website...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1069. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 20:24:56 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9085a4288aea7b989a3c@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167086
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
>
> Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on the air
> or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see why CW is
> important.
>
> Thanks again and Keep up the good work.
>
> 73 de Slow Code
>
>
>
>
You need to read them again SC. You break them daily on usenet. You're
not considerate. You're down right unfriendly to some and You're about
unprogressive as they come.

73

Chris

1070. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 20:30:17 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167087
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>
> > AC7PN wrote:
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>
> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
> >> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking to
> >> someone on CB."
> >>
> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
> >>
> >
> > I would have said ignorance ;)
> >
> > 73, Phil W7OX
>
>
> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have all
> the communicators gone?
>
> SC
>

Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would not
dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know. Whether you
use it or not is a personal preference. I think programming you're own
software to send code yourself and building your own radio is a lot more
technical than learning code.

73

Chris
```

1071. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 20:41:04 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com>
Source record: 167088
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>+++Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>+++news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:
>+++
>+++> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>+++>
>+++>>
>+++>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>+++>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>+++>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>+++>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>+++>>better & smarter hams.
>+++>>
>+++>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>+++>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>+++>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>+++>>you think?
>+++>>
>+++>>SC
>+++>
>+++> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
>+++> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
>+++> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
>+++> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
>+++> theory.
>+++>
>+++> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
>+++> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers with
>+++> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
>+++> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
>+++> on one of our RV trips.
>+++>
>+++> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
>+++> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
>+++> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
>+++> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
>+++> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
>+++> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>+++>
>+++> 73,

>+++> rich, n9dko
>+++
>+++
>+++Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they
>+++won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really want
>+++to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go for
>+++the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with the
>+++service and will be an important part of keeping it going.
>+++
>+++SC
>+++
****

And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
the difference between good and bad operators?

james

1072. Todd Live talk on the ASSHOLES of this NEO-NAZI BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 20:43:13 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <59806627.738.1180@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Today on the Show more talk on the ASSHOLES called CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS and CTITECH and why they would rather listen to members of a NEO-NAZI BOARD then their own users...and the possible law suit I might be bring to the Courts, including but not limiting to....CITITECH not notifying the phone company to shut the DSL line off (according to the phone company they (CTITECH) should of notified them) and since the Service had been shut off in July I had to pay for a DSL line up to September and CTITECH CLAIMS that I OWN them money...That DSL line isn't cheap!! How Consolidated claims that my service was removed after a warning and then claims I had no service with them?? How CTITECH and CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS suppresses speech, CITECH CLAIMS that their are other internet services in this town yet, those services in this town and surrounding area doesnot have software for their service for MAC...I'm also looking to sue the state for do little to resolve this problem and allowing indiviuals on a NEO_NAZI board make death threats against me..the same NEO-NAZI'S that complainted to ISP. ALSO on tonight show...discussion on the Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) and how they supress speech on the radio and how they have ham operators do their dirty work.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
88.3 MHz FM

1073. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 20:52:24 UTC
From: "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
Message-ID: <4526c1df$0$25797$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 167090
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>+++news:2nh7i21qdu0rbet7vuco1n2tj55a5tivj5@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:17:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>
>>+++>>If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>+++>>class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>>their
>>+++>>code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need
>>to
>>+++>>ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>+++>>better & smarter hams.
>>+++>>
>>+++>>Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die
>>in
>>+++>>shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will
>>help
>>+++>>clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What
>>do
>>+++>>you think?
>>+++>>
>>+++>>SC
>>+++>
>>+++> i think your logic is faulty. raising the code speed is just as
>>+++> likely to reduce the overall numbers of amateurs, maybe to the point
>>+++> that our service becomes extinct. i don't see any relationship
>>+++> between an increased code speed requirement and hams studying more
>>+++> theory.
>>+++>
>>+++> while it may be true that individuals who want neither to learn code
>>+++> or theory for a ham ticket will remain on CB, to paint all CB'ers
>>with
>>+++> that brush is wrong. some CB'ers enjoy that band. i've had my ham
>>+++> ticket for over 25 years now and still find CB useful when we're out
>>+++> on one of our RV trips.
>>+++>
```

>>+++> and don't assume that because someone has a ham ticket that they
>>+++> suddenly undergo some sort of personality change. there are persons
>>+++> holding ham tickets that are just as dopey and prone to doing all
>>+++> sorts of illegal and dangerous things. we've all read about them.
>>+++> the latest one i recall is the ham that recently received a prison
>>+++> sentence for his ongoing jamming operations.
>>+++>
>>+++> 73,
>>+++> rich, n9dko
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++Yes, Some people are lazy and as long as there is a CW requirement they
>>+++won't go for a ham license, or upgrade beyond tech. They don't really
>>want
>>+++to be hams enough to put out an effort to be one, but the ones that go
>>for
>>+++the CW and written exams really want to be hams, and will stick with
>>the
>>+++service and will be an important part of keeping it going.
>>+++
>>+++SC
>>+++
> *********
>
> And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
> communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
> the difference between good and bad operators?
>
> james

Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility, legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of "Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't stop the trash.
But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away too. Want to bet?

Fred G.

1074. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 21:03:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160168588.628393.220430@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167091

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire? Ham
> > radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without a
> > spare tire.
>
> I drive my Harley around all the time without a spare tire.
> I don't wear a seat belt either. What's wrong with that?
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I have a spare car. What's wrong with that?

1075. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 21:12:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gjhdi2d9ubhhvb0pved30rgm9vcast52io@4ax.com>
Source record: 167092
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
>news:vmfdi2hu8qo5u94phk71n2nqkpu5co778j@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>

>> And how is being proficient in a an archaic and simple means of
>> communication become the standard bearer for what is supposed to be
>> the difference between good and bad operators?
>>
>> james
>
>Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any
>more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility,
>legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of
>"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't
>stop the trash.
>But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they
>shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away
>too. Want to bet?

how much you want to bet on the propositon that NCI do not advoacte
the ending of written in say the next 10 years?
>
>Fred G.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1076. Re: You KOOK hunters are weenies and afraid of the KOOKs of NANAE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 21:30:26 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160170226.760258.235480@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167093
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aratzio wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 15:08:28 -0400 (EDT), Anonyma
> <anon-bounces@deuxpi.ca> transparently proposed:
>
> >You KOOK hunters are weenies and afraid of the KOOKs of
> >new.admin.net-abuse.email BECAUSE THEY ARE YOUR OWNERS AND/OR
> >THEY ARE **YOU** asswipes!!!!!!
>
> <pats head>
> Calm down, puddles.
>
> --
>
> Does the name Pavlov ring a bell?

you should be eating the corn from SC's turds

1077. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 21:41:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q9jdi2terbal8r2qepe9l78jp6qcv1rqsr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 14:30:26 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>you should be eating the corn from SC's turds
get ehlp it is has been said before and will certainly merit repeating
but get mental help NOW for your good the and safety of your
neighboors
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1078. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when k4yz is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 22:07:52 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160172472.880832.142080@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Richard Harrison wrote:
> slow code wrote;
> 'catch you on the bands unless you`re a No-code ham, then I won`t
> because I don`t do CB.
>
> Slow Code is beating a dead horse!
not dead but in shivo condiction wating for the FCC to pull the plug
>
> Best regards, Richard Harrison, KB5WZI

1079. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 22:17:05 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BBAVg.43662$DU3.24131@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167096
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.
>
> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
> shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
> clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
> you think?
>
> SC

I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.

HTH

1080. FEMME MARIEES DELAISSEES LA CHATTE EN FEU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 22:27:05 UTC
From: melanie@franceweb.com
Message-ID: <4526d838$0$27369$ba4acef3@news.orange.fr>
Source record: 167097
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

[No readable article body recovered]

1081. Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 22:32:16 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 167153

B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this breaking news.....

Friday, October 6, 2006
By KIMBERLY EDDS
The Orange County Register

SANTA ANA • An inmate awaiting trial on child pornography charges was beaten to death in a shower at the Theo Lacey Branch Jail by a group of fellow inmates Thursday night, officials said.

John Derek Chamberlain, 41, of Mission Viejo was arrested Sept. 14 on suspicion of having child pornography with intent to sell. He pleaded not guilty Sept. 18 and his trial was scheduled for Oct. 24.

Chamberlain was awaiting his trial in a section of the jail that houses nonviolent criminal offenders, sheriff's spokesman Jim Amormino said. He was in the shower at about 6:30 p.m. Thursday when an unknown number of inmates attacked him, he said.

"They kicked him • they beat him while he was in the shower," Amormino said. "Then they dragged him to another location near his bunk and they beat him some more."

Chamberlain was transported to UCI Medical Center in Orange, where he was pronounced dead at 7:30 p.m.

"Not every move is being monitored," Amormino said. "They are being held for nonviolent crimes. The guard isn't standing there watching them shower."

A total of 140 inmates were being held in that section of the jail at the time.

--
Iitoi

1082. Code, for SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 22:43:54 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <K_AVg.14606$5o5.4494@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have an idea. This should thin the ranks of amateurs and let Big Brother have the bands as is desired. We all know the FCC wants to sell the bands to the highest bidder. In addition to the code requirement, lets have proficiency testing in SSB, FM, RTTY, PSK-31 and Packet. That should just about kill the code proponents off, as they are mostly too old, addled, and unable to learn. That way, even they won't be able to use *their* bands without these proficiencies.

Sounds like a plan, eh?

HTH

1083. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-06 23:03:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160175798.422526.57640@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167099

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> >>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> >>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> >>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> >>> possible to communicate.

> >> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
> >> communication as early as 1900, and had practical long-distance
> >> radiotelephony by 1906.
> >
> > "PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
> > single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> > lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?
>
> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
> the lead out.

The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
used the term "aerial" in those days).

It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.

> > You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
> > control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting...
>
> ...that you know of.
>
> I have, Len. What of it?

Len keeps trying to find out about my work.

> > ...yet
> > you DEFINE "practicality" in such things as inserting
> > a single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> > for broadcasting.
>
> Tell us what other way was known when it took place, Len. What would

> have been practical in 1900?

Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?

> > For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
> > just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
> > definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
> > "practical."

> Practicality had to be defined by the time in which something took
> place. Otherwise you're left playing a game of "what if the U.S. had
> the atomic bomb in 1917?"
>
> >> AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.
> >
> > Superfluous minutae.
>
> ...is your specialty, Len, but I spell it "minutia".

Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.

The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
"practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
it's a demonstrated fact.

Yet the use of Morse Code in *non-amateur* radio communications
continued for many decades after that. The maritime communications
folks were still using it less than a decade ago.

> > YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting.

Len keeps trying to find out about my work. Now he's reduced to posting
untruths in an effort to get more information.

> I have, Len. What of it?
>
> > Your amateur radio
> > license does NOT permit broadcasting.
>
> I know that. That's why I don't use it for broadcasting.
> Did you know that most people in broadcasting don't have any kind of
> license?

> > I have been IN
> > broadcasting, still have the license (now lifetime).
>
> That's what I should have written earlier. I have been IN broadcasting,
> Len. Are you still in broadcasting? I'm not.

> > NO, repeat NO amplitude-modulation broadcaster uses
> > your so-called "practical" means of modulating a CW
> > carrier. NONE.

Not any more. Other methods replaced it by 1920.

> > Had Fessenden's EXPERIMENT been at all
> > practical, others would have used that technique.

No, that's not necessarily true.

For one thing, Fessenden held the patents. (He had at least 500
patents, btw). For another, new techniques appeared so fast in those
days that there wasn't a need to copy Fessenden's method.

> > NONE
> > did.

Are you sure?

Ever hear of "loop modulation"?
>
> Do you think there's any chance that other, more efficient techniques
> were developed?

> >>> Morse code was then already
> >>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> >>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
> >> While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
> >> operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
> >> pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.
> >
> > PR bullshit you fantasize.

No, it's a fact. Look up the ages of pioneers like Armstrong,
Fessenden, Beverage etc. in 1920. They were young men.

The wireless operators on the Titanic weren't even 25 years old. They
were the best Marconi could supply.

Remember this classic quote?:

"I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity." (Len
Anderson, Sept 2, 1996)

> Feel free to post anything at all which documents your version.

Len don't *do* documentation, Dave.

> > You were NOT among the
> > "pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
> > prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
> > that.

Neither were you, Len.

> > All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
> > versions of radio history from the ARRL.

More untruths from Len.
>
> That's your story and you're sticking with it.
>
> > Landline telegraphy
> > was already changing from manual to teleprinter by
> > the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
> > middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
> > circuits were either shut down or replaced by
> > electromechanical teleprinters.

Actually, there were still some landline telegraph operations in
operation in 1969. They may have continued beyond that year.

> I'm sure the guys in a landline telegraph newsgroup would be fascinated
> by your account.

The important point was that the use of Morse Code in radio continued
long past the middle of the 20th century.

> >> The Morse Code
> >> used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
> >> 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.
> >
> > Superfluous minutae.

Not superfluous at all. A landline operator knew the wrong code.
>
> That's how I like to think of your ADA tales of better than a
> half-century back, except I use "minutia"
>
> > Manual telegraphy consisted of
> > closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.
>
> Superfluous minutia.

Except it's not really true.

Duplex and quadruplex telegraph circuits used polarity reversal and
other methods beyond on-off. Carrier was used as well - often
frequency-shift.

And the most modern communications today - fiber optics - is really
nothing more than on-off keying of a light beam.

> > There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
> > of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
> > them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
> > AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
> > representation.
>
> Superfluous minutia.

> Jim has more patience with you than I can muster.
>
I think you're missing the point, Dave.

Len has spent more than a decade here on rrap. He's barraged rrap and the FCC with torrents of words about a simple license test - even though he is not a radio amatuer and will probably never be one.

After the restructuring of 2000, it seemed like a "slam dunk" that the FCC would just drop code testing as soon as it could. Len even said he would "go for Extra right out of the box" back in January of that year. But he didn't.

In July 2003 the treaty requirement went away, and it really seemed like a "slam dunk" that code testing would soon go away in the USA.

But now it's 3-1/2 years later, and despite 18 petitions and an NPRM, the rules haven't changed. FCC won't even say when they will make a decision.

In fact, the old "omnibus" NPRM (04-140, IIRC) is still working its way through the system. That NPRM will almost certainly yield an R&O before the Morse Code one does. But there's no indication from FCC when the "omnibus" R&O will show up, let alone the Morse Code changes.

Of course FCC will probably just drop Element 1 eventually. But they're in no hurry to do so. By the time FCC gets around to announcing its decision, Len may not have anybody to rag on about it.

Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do? There won't be anything left for him to argue about, and nobody to argue with. So he's working on some new angles - which are really just old ones warmed up again. Meanwhile, he's obviously upset, worried and angry.

Len could have had an Extra with just a 5 wpm code test way back in 1990. But he didn't. That says it all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1084. Re: Code, for SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:10:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AKydnaOKRqIWbbvYnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:K_AVg.14606$5o5.4494@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>I have an idea. This should thin the ranks of amateurs and let Big Brother
>have the bands as is desired. We all know the FCC wants to sell the bands
>to the highest bidder. In addition to the code requirement, lets have
>proficiency testing in SSB, FM, RTTY, PSK-31 and Packet. That should just
>about kill the code proponents off, as they are mostly too old, addled, and
>unable to learn. That way, even they won't be able to use *their* bands
>without these proficiencies.
>
> Sounds like a plan, eh?
>
> HTH

And just who do you think developed these modes? How new do you think these
modes are? It seems like everyone I contact on the "new" mode of PSK31 is
retired and has been for many years.

1085. Re: mork wants to dig up his mom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:14:51 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160180090.580411.253490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167101
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 14:30:26 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
>
> >you should be eating the corn from SC's turds
> get ehlp it is has been said before and will certainly merit repeating
> but get mental help NOW for your good the and safety of your
> neighboors
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1086. SC got his groove on.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:23:18 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160180598.259384.66100@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,ba.broadcast

Toad likes it in his ass. He likes it alot.

1087. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:24:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ssdi21ejjsf9r9gk09k6rjtd66vaiq2ik@4ax.com>
Source record: 167103
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 22:17:05 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die in
>> shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will help
>> clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation. What do
>> you think?
>>
>> SC
>
>I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.
a rare moment Tom we find ourselves in complete agreement

Indeed Isuspect even SC find his postion desperate after all that is
good reason for him to avoid using his real name or call
>
>HTH
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1088. Re: woger wants to dig up his mom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:26:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p0tdi29chg65qfro984gj639p71glk4rig@4ax.com>
Source record: 167104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 17:14:51 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

but I heard your mom was in your frezzer
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1089. Re: Reporting another vinnie the ninnie-->go suck-off morkins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:26:42 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160180802.172512.310960@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167105
Cross-posted to: van.general,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.stories.d

Vinny Barbarino wrote:
> X-No-Archive: Yes
>
> Reporting kookery by Greg "gerg" Carr.
>
> "Greg Carr" <gregpcarr@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> news:1160178216.436952.144640@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > 3:20 pm today 14th of Tishrei, 5767
> >
> > 6603-HL Ranger XL Sport Green P/U with cab on back. Kelly white male
> > driver and female Asian passenger. Stopped by VPD 630-ACS Officer 2401
> > white m brown hair, Officer 2501 white m blond hair in front of Mission
> > Possible 543 Powell St Vancouver. Vehicle towed by Buster's. No proof
> > of insurance, no driver's license, plates not matching vehicle. Both
> > occupants received tickets but were not arrested.
> >

1090. stfu woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:27:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t2tdi29rom711ivk6ka99bsu1kmjoespe1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,s,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs,ba.broadcast

On 6 Oct 2006 17:23:18 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>Toad likes it in his ass. He likes it alot.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1091. stfu woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:28:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n4tdi2dfbvkhn76jb2dlcbrl5vff28k4d5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.stories.d

```
On 6 Oct 2006 17:26:42 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>Vinny Barbarino wrote:
>> X-No-Archive: Yes
>>
>> Reporting kookery by Greg "gerg" Carr.
>>
>> "Greg Carr" <gregpcarr@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
>> news:1160178216.436952.144640@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > 3:20 pm today 14th of Tishrei, 5767
>> >
>> > 6603-HL Ranger XL Sport Green P/U with cab on back. Kelly white male
>> > driver and female Asian passenger. Stopped by VPD 630-ACS Officer 2401
>> > white m brown hair, Officer 2501 white m blond hair in front of Mission
>> > Possible 543 Powell St Vancouver. Vehicle towed by Buster's. No proof
>> > of insurance, no driver's license, plates not matching vehicle. Both
>> > occupants received tickets but were not arrested.
>> >
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1092. Re: Code, for SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:30:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160181051.207519.101700@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
>

>
> And just who do you think developed these modes? How new do you think these
> modes are? It seems like everyone I contact on the "new" mode of PSK31 is
> retired and has been for many years.
sample check Dee: how many people that you contact on ANY mode are not
retired and have been for years

went to our Radio meeting tues night and a talk was given on the "New"
mode PSK-31

if your sample is skewed towrd retirees as badly as mine........

1093. Re: Amateur Extras Can't be TOLD What to Do!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6ACVg.5931$Y24.3671@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old Enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1160102003.460783.149980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> > >>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > My advocacy is to elimintate the code test for an FCC
>> > amateur radio operators license.
>>
>> "elimintate"?
>>
>> You advocate a lot more than changes to Morse Code testing, Len.
> so?

Some hams like me want increase or at least maintain the integrity of the
service. You and Len want it to be like CB.

SC

1094. ARRL doing product reviews on CB radio's and accessories yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7ACVg.5932$Y24.760@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

When CW is eliminated they may want to do CB reviews to sell more magazines because there will be a whole new type of down-graded ham to market memberships to. In fact, they should change the name of "QST" to "Ten-Four Good Buddy" magazine.

SC

1095. Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8ACVg.5933$Y24.3733@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Then make a couple CW contacts this weekend.

You'll get up from your rig afterwards and feel pretty good about being a ham again.

SC

1096. Re: Code, for SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9ACVg.5934$Y24.5304@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:K_AVg.14606$5o5.4494@tornado.texas.rr.com:

> I have an idea. This should thin the ranks of amateurs and let Big
> Brother have the bands as is desired. We all know the FCC wants to sell
> the bands to the highest bidder. In addition to the code requirement,
> lets have proficiency testing in SSB, FM, RTTY, PSK-31 and Packet. That
> should just about kill the code proponents off, as they are mostly too
> old, addled, and unable to learn. That way, even they won't be able to
> use *their* bands without these proficiencies.
>
> Sounds like a plan, eh?
>
> HTH

I could go for that.

The more testing, the better. Then outsiders would look at the amateur
radio service and see valuable and capable operators, they would see our
spectrum wasn't being wasted by people that only know how to talk into a
microphone to communicate.

Thanks. :-) Great idea.

SC

1097. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167113
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:

> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
> There must be a reason.

You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.

SC

1098. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cACVg.5936$Y24.3017@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167116
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:BBAVg.43662$DU3.24131@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:o4DUg.6585$o71.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
>> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
>> get us better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Lazy people that don't want to learn anything will stay on CB and die
>> in shoot-outs with each other in shopping mall parking lots which will
>> help clean up the CB band. To me it looks like a Win Win situation.
>> What do you think?
>>
>> SC
>
> I think you're an idiot, just as the rest of the amateur community does.
>
> HTH

You're just lazy.

SC

1099. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> He doesn't have intellect.
>
> Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>

Mrs. Wiseman.

You have a filthy mouth.

SC

1100. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fACVg.5939$Y24.395@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167117
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9085a4288aea7b989a3c@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>>
>> Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on the
>> air or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see why CW
>> is important.
>>
>> Thanks again and Keep up the good work.
>>
>> 73 de Slow Code
>>
>>
>>
>>
> You need to read them again SC. You break them daily on usenet. You're
> not considerate. You're down right unfriendly to some and You're about
> unprogressive as they come.
>
> 73
>
> Chris

You think that's bad? Wait until HF sounds 11 meters and you can't find a
decent contact anywhere. You'll see I was right.

I crawled out of my hole around restructuring time. Then the ARRL and FCC
screwed ham radio. I went back in my hole again. Now the FCC and it
appears with the blessings of the ARRL is planning to toss CW all
together. I come out of my hole to fight for the integrity of ham radio
once again, but when the R&O comes out eliminating CW, I'll crawl back
into my hole again. Leaving you and everyone else the problem of trying to
deal with poor behavior and operating practice on the bands. The result
of dumbing down licensing.

Outcome based education doesn't work, what makes you think outcome based
licensing will?

SC

1101. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167118
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>>
>> > AC7PN wrote:
>> >> Slow Code wrote:
>> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>> >>>
>> >>> SC
>> >>
>> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>> >> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking
>> >> to someone on CB."
>> >>
>> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>> >>
>> >
>> > I would have said ignorance ;)
>> >
>> > 73, Phil W7OX
>>
>>
>> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have
>> all the communicators gone?
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would not
> dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know. Whether you
> use it or not is a personal preference. I think programming you're own
> software to send code yourself and building your own radio is a lot more
> technical than learning code.
>
> 73
>
> Chris

But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't want to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to learn other things to advance themselves technically either.

SC

1102. Re: Is N9OGL scared? Did he cut & run?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <iACVg.5941$Y24.3846@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160135650.916098.179820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Billy Smith wrote:
>> Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>>
>> > Billy Smith wrote:
>> >
>> >>kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>N9OGL wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>I haven't heard Omega One this weekend, maybe Todd got scared
>> >>>>>>>and cut power. It ain't much of a pirate station if you can't
>> >>>>>>>hear it. I Knew Todd was a Scare-d-cat chicken shit.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Yep, All talk and no power.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>SC
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>no, were in the process of installing a new Shortwave
>> >>>>>>transmitter....locals can still hear us on 88.3 MHz with a power
>> >>>>>>of 10 watts. The Shortwave station will be back on some time this
>> >>>>>>week
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Bullshit, Toad, you are worried about the FCC.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>yeah right, Like I said we will have the the new shortwave
>> >>>>transmitter up and running real soon...but locals can still hear us
>> >>>>on 88.3 FM

>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Bullshit. You are worried, aren't you, you little faggot? You have
>> >>>cut back on the shemale pics on Nim Busters, too.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>Woger notices those shemale pics <IKYABWAI>
>> >
>> >
>> > Yeah, because Billy also spams them over at the Nimbusters board.
>> >
>>
>> That's funny,
>
> So's your pimply face, what a coincidencee!
>
>> I don't hang out around the Nimbuster board other than a
>
> That's not what the Admin says, he says your IP numbers show up on the
> shemale porn pics posted there.
>
>> couple of times when I saw your faggy picture posted there.
>
> Except that's not his picture, dipshit.

Mrs. Wiseman,

Why doesn't Woger post anymore? Is it because he's shacking up with N9OGL and Todd doesn't have internet? I hope they're working hard to get Omega One back on the air, but I'm sure they're too busy doing other things.

SC

1103. LenAnderson believes CB type behavior will good for ham radio. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mACVg.5942$Y24.2183@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1160077724.805256.171580@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> From: Opus- on Wed, Oct 4 2006 6:58 pm
>
>>You seem pretty knowledgeable so I need some assistance at
>>understanding something.
>
> Jim, that statement is bound to ignite more flame war
> stuff in here, heh heh heh heh...
>
>>What I can't understand is the the incredibly childish attitude of
>>some of the pro-coders here.
>
> Part of that is the Nature of the Beast, the computer-
> modem mode of communications. The 'Beast' got 'steroids'
> with the ability to send 'anonymous' messages (they
> think...traceability is possible but only through
> systems administrators' access to the 'Net). When that
> happened the early male adolescent behavior surfaced
> with all its immaturity.
>
> Having participated in computer-modem communications
> locally and networked since December 1984, I've seen
> quite a bit of that. It is clinically, also morbidly
> fascinating to me. Since most of my early experiences
> were on local BBSs there was the opportunity to meet
> socially with those participants, get real clues to
> the person instead of just seeing their words on a
> screen. In most their words echoed their up-close
> personnae. In perhaps a quarter of them their
> fantasies and imaginations ruled their screen words,
> their public, social interaction being nowhere near
> that and they were relatively subdued, few having
> 'remarkable' lives. It could be said that their
> computer-modem personnae represented their
> imaginations given a pseudo-life, something to
> fantasize about to relieve their everyday lives'
> frustrations.
>
> With the ability to be anonymous (through some 'Net
> servers) those imaginations and frustrations can be

> let out full force. The 'anonymous' ones become
> aggressive, 'in-your-face' types, no longer mindful
> of normal social, in-person behavior rules. This is
> aided by the relative isolation of time and distance
> of messaging. The aggressive ones need have no fear
> of physical confrontation as a result of their words,
> they can act 'tough' or abusive or insulting in
> safety. Ergo, many found emotional 'relief' in the
> filthy venting we've all seen in just this newsgroup.
>
> It's a not-nice condition in some humans to have
> their (usually suppressed) anger, frustration,
> bigotry so close to the surface but it does exist
> in them. It can turn to rage and action in rare
> cases, thus the stories of violence that show up in
> the news. Humans aren't perfect by a long shot.
> Civilization requires a greater suppression of that
> internal rage, anger, frustration for the common
> good but some think internally that they are 'better'
> than the common folk. Hence we get the overtones of
> 'superiority' through sub-groups in which their
> capabilities are exaggerated in those groups' self-
> righteous descriptions of themselves.
>
> That isn't confined to amateur radio. It exists
> all along the human experience.
>
>
>>For me, the confusion stems from having
>>known several old timer hams while growing up. I looked up to them.
>
> Understandable from the viewpoint of younger people. I
> think we've all had such experiences...mine were scarce
> in regards to amateur radio in my hometown but there
> were lots with other life experiences that were fun to
> listen to and to respect.
>
>>They were older gentlemen that had some fascinating knowledge and
>>great stories to tell about their ham radio hobby. This was back in
>>the 60's and early 70's so they are all gone now.
>
> Being of a younger age, my growing-up days 'old
> timers' were rather focussed on the experience of
> World War II. "Radio" per se was seldom mentioned
> as a part of that.
>
> What is most interesting (to me) is finding out later
> that some of them were exaggerating what they said
> and a few were downright liars! :-)
>

> If one survives long enough to become the same age
> as those 'old timers' (in a relative chronological
> way that is), it is easier to see where they are
> coming from! Much easier...! :-)
>
>>I am sure now that they are spinning in their graves, after the spew
>>puked up by some of the pro-coders.
>
> Well, if the afterlife allows such observation of
> mortals, I'm of the opinion that those old 'old-timers'
> are having a good time and laughing at the mortals'
> shenanigans!
>
>>Not all of them, to be fair, but a few loud ones stand out.
>
> The loud ones stand out because they MUST stand out
> and make everyone pay attention to them. Their EGO
> demands it. They want to RULE, to control, to judge,
> to be in-charge. In here those are confined to the
> pro-coders or who USE their tested morsemanship
> (however long ago that happened, if it ever did)
> to show "how good" they are.
>
>>I still can't figure out how a statement about how CW is just beeps[
>>as opposed to voice on the same hardware] became transmuted into a
>>requirement that I should hate usenet.
>
> Not surprising to me. Those fixated on their alleged
> superiority dispense with logic, go emotional, and
> become one with the rabble, the filth-spewers. They
> are NOT interested in anything but making themselves
> look good to themselves on their own screens. They
> have little recognition that the same 'message' they
> sent is read by anyone else but the recipient...when
> it may be read by thousands of others who never reply.
>
>>That kind of blatant mis-direction seems to be quite common.
>
> I agree. Such misdirection is common on just about
> every newsgroup, has precedence in the BBSs, even on
> the old ARPANET just before it morphed into USENET.
> Lacking the validity of anything but their own
> experiences, they toss logic out the window and
> consentrate on 'conquering' the message thread.
>
>>The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>>much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>>convey the words.
>
> You know that, I know that, and hundreds of thousands

> of other humans know that. That's the reason that
> all other radio services except amateur radio have
> dispensed with on-off keying radiotelegraphy for
> communications purposes. At least in the USA; I
> don't have enough information about Canada's use of
> communications modes to verify that.
>
>>Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>>the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>>a key that is much more limited?
>
> Logic in such an argument is NOT desired by pro-coders.
> They are fixated on the medium, not the message. They
> got their rank-status-privileges mainly through their
> morsemanship and their egos demand that Their desires
> should be those of all.
>
> Part of that fixation on radiotelegraphy in the USA is
> a result of the tremendous amount of ham-oriented
> publications of the ARRL. The ARRL emphasizes radio-
> telegraphy as the ne-plus-ultra of amateur radio skills.
> Since the ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur radio
> publications here, has had that for at least seven
> decades, they can and have managed to condition the
> thinking of American amateur radio licensees in favor
> of radiotelegraphy.
>
> Those who've been conditioned will not understand that
> they've been imprinted but insist it like some
> 'natural order of things.' Further, they tend to out-
> rage and the very idea that they've been brainwashed!
> Such outrage takes on a religious fervor at times.
>
>>Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>>screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>>misdirect, misrepresent and misquote. Can none of the pro-coders make
>>a valid point?
>
> Few can. In here I'd say that NONE can.
>
> Your 'opponent' wasn't trying to argue logically. Klein
> was obviously using emotion as an 'argument,' frustrated
> at not being able to 'triumph' in a message exchange.
>
>>Why do some of them feel that insulting my daughter will make their
>>point valid?
>
> It is an emotional ATTACK ploy. It is common in nearly
> all newsgroups. Those that do these sort of things can
> get away with it, unworried about any direct physical

> confrontation that might ensue.
>
>>Are their points so weak that they resort to vulgar
>>insults instead of engaging in debate?
>
> Yes.
>
>>Now, there will be some spew directed towards my post.
>
> Of course...and to this reply. One can 'take that to the
> bank.' :-)
>
>
>>They can go
>>ahead and prove that turning ham into CB will most certainly be a
>>great improvement to the ARS.
>
> Well, the expressed bigotry against CB by hams is a very
> old thing going back to 1958 when the FCC created "Class
> C and D" CB service on an 11 meter frequency band de-
> allocated from amateur radio use down here. Having to
> work both with and for some old-time hams, I heard mostly
> howls of outrage and indignation that the FCC 'dared' to
> take away 'their' band and 'give' it to 'civilians.'
> Worse yet, NO TEST, not the slightest requirement to
> demonstrate morsemanship in order to use an HF band! :-)
>
>> I NEVER knew anybody on CB that was as
>>rude and vulgar as some of the pro-coders here.
>
> I have to agree with you. The vast majority of CB use
> down here is on highways, mostly by truckers but a large
> number of RV-driving vacationers are there, too. At
> worst, some trucker might go into a long tale of some-
> thing (that only a few consider funny) but I have yet
> to hear outright personal insults on CB. I quit
> using CB mobile in late 1999 after selling my '82
> Camaro but a twice-a-year fire-up of CB at home doesn't
> indicate anything different; this residence in southern
> California is only a half mile from our Interstate 5,
> a major highway north-south near the Pacific coast. Our
> cell phone now works so well on major highways that we
> don't have any consideration of installing any other
> radio in our present car.
>
>
>>And, ironically, *I* am the one told to grow up. That's just too
>>funny.
>
> Well, that's how it goes. :-) Expect more of that

> kind of comment. I dare say it will occur under
> 'moderation' as well.
>
> When a pro-coder says "grow up," they really mean "think
> like I think, appreciate only what I like, etc." They
> use that little throw-away line in lieu of a personal
> insult, a button-pushing phrase to get their 'opponent'
> angry. Sometimes it works, but most of the time it is
> just their stupid way of attempting retaliation.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Ten-Four?

1104. Re: New SkyWave 2879ABTC - Field Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oACVg.5944$Y24.4@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Telstar Electronics" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:1160155644.907711.268080@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> Although the official release of the new SkyWave 2879ABTC amplifier is
> not until tomorrow... there are several units in the field that are
> undergoing some independent testing at this time.
>
> http://www.worldwidedx.com/gallery/Skywave-Amplifier

The amp must be working great. The field tester in Washington got shot.

ROFL

1105. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pACVg.5945$Y24.3398@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1160143626.045360.190840@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> richardharrison@webtv.net (Richard Harrison) wrote in
>> news:4602-4525302A-1375@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire?
> no but..
>> Ham
>> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without
>> a spare tire.
> in that case why do insist you only drive on the spare with most spare
> tires that is dangerous
>>Why do you people want to dumb everything down all the time.
>>
>> Eliminating CW is a loss in the number of ways we can communicate.
> who was eleimating CW use just testing
>>
>> SC

Mark,

You don't know what ham radio is about. I think if you just sit back and
read the threads, instead of screwing them up with your insane babble, you
might learn something. Of course, that depends on whether or not you
really want to learn something.

SC

1106. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qACVg.5946$Y24.1983@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:kPgVg.7753$TV3.3532@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire? Ham
>> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without
>> a spare tire.
>
> I drive my Harley around all the time without a spare tire.
> I don't wear a seat belt either. What's wrong with that?

Cecil, you've been like that since day one. I knew years ago arguing with
you that you'd never change, I don't know why I still argue. You like CW,
but when anyone has ever made an arguement for keeping the code
requirement you tease them.

SC

1107. Re: See, I told you RRAP is peaceful when Markie keeps his mouth closed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <sACVg.5947$Y24.605@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1160172472.880832.142080@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Richard Harrison wrote:
>> slow code wrote;
>> 'catch you on the bands unless you`re a No-code ham, then I won`t
>> because I don`t do CB.
>>
>> Slow Code is beating a dead horse!
> not dead but in shivo condiction wating for the FCC to pull the plug

Ham radio is dead when the CW requirement goes. Then that last step to
pull the plug on it totally will be to eliminate the written exams.

CAN YOU SAY "CB"?

SC

1108. Re: Todd Live talk on the ASSHOLES of this NEO-NAZI BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uACVg.5949$Y24.2982@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in
news:59806627.738.1180@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Today on the Show more talk on the ASSHOLES called CONSOLIDATED
> COMMUNICATIONS and CTITECH and why they would rather listen to members
> of a NEO-NAZI BOARD then their own users...and the possible law suit I
> might be bring to the Courts, including but not limiting
> to....CITITECH not notifying the phone company to shut the DSL line
> off (according to the phone company they (CTITECH) should of notified
> them) and since the Service had been shut off in July I had to pay for
> a DSL line up to September and CTITECH CLAIMS that I OWN them
> money...That DSL line isn't cheap!! How Consolidated claims that my
> service was removed after a warning and then claims I had no service
> with them?? How CTITECH and CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS suppresses
> speech, CITECH CLAIMS that their are other internet services in this
> town yet, those services in this town and surrounding area doesnot
> have software for their service for MAC...I'm also looking to sue the
> state for do little to resolve this problem and allowing indiviuals on
> a NEO_NAZI board make death threats against me..the same NEO-NAZI'S
> that complainted to ISP. ALSO on tonight show...discussion on the
> Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) and how they supress speech on
> the radio and how they have ham operators do their dirty work.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM

Forget that, turn up your power. I want to hear more shitty music.

SC

1109. Re: VOTE! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zACVg.5950$Y24.2970@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167126
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.news-server-comparison,alt.free.newsservers,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160141353.399840.278090@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Teh Secretary to Teh Imperial Court of MEOW <BiGWhiteGuy5@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in news:pan.2006.10.04.06.16.22.258410@meow.fuckhead:
>>
>> > All Hail Fluffy!
>> >
>> > Yes, it's that time again! On this month's ballot, a rarely-seen
>> > award: the Richard M. Nixon "Pathological Liar", and up for it are
>> > many old favourites and some new ones: Ed "Edmo Wollk00k" Wollmann,
>> > Richard the St00pid, Dickie "FreeSpeechStore" Scoville, Ray "Kazoo"
>> > Karczewski, BowTie, sPammy Russell, Will "Duck" Dockery, Daryl "Going
>> > to dog you all, I am"/<crickets chirping> Hunt, Ernie "you're all
>> > crazy farrel or his willnots" Primeau the snake-oil baldness-cure
>> > salesman of alt.baldspot, and, surprise of surprises, the Big 8
>> > Management Board. Up for other awards are: Dustin "I outer filthed
>> > Rhonda because she had my emails and she was going to out me, I KNOW
>> > it!" Cook, several of the aforementioned k00ks, William "wcb"/the
>> > anti-SPOG Barwell, Jamie Baillie the spamming anti-spammer, Alan
>> > Jones the f'loon (from AMM-J), George Maloney the net.KKKop of
>> > rec.arts.marching.drumcorps, Wabbit (aka the possibly-ersatz Woger
>> > Wiseman (from Reston, VA, this guy), the legendarily-lame wannabe
>> > troll of RRAP and alt.flame), Duane "I can tell forgers and froggers
>> > apart from the real thing, just by reading headers" Arnold, J. Taylor
>> > & Don Findlay (of the "Expanding Earth" school of thought ("Plate
>> > tectonics? Piffle!)), Tim "I SUED SPAMHAUS AND WON!...What do you
>> > mean, I have to track them down and bring them into the proper
>> > jurisdiction myself to collect? Doesn't America rule the world?"
>> > Bolen, Dean Roger "If you can understand me, get yourself psychiatric
>> > help"/"teslacoils" Ray, bob&carole (the anti-pedo), Double-A, Ken
>> > "Kenny Kakes" Pangborn, Rob "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, Donald "I don't have
>> > to prove there's no god! Those theists and their claim that there
>> > 'might' be a deity -- feh!" Alford, and, from alt.baldspot again,
>> > Marcus Cox and Russ H, who don't care about the awards, but just want
>> > to use AUK to harass Ernie Primeau (Uh, no?), and have a good time
>> > getting each other off (verbally).
>> >
>> > On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 00:42:29 +0000, Friendly Neighbourhood Vote
>> > Wrangler wrote:
>> >

>> >> Here follows the one true ballot for the September 2006 Usenet Kook
>> >> Awards. Anybody may vote, but your votes may be disqualified,
>> >> mocked, burnt, shredded and/or recycled for use as toilet paper at
>> >> the Vote Wrangler's discretion if:
>> >>
>> >> * you are a notorious net.kook
>> >> * you attempt to vote twice
>> >> * you vote anonymously or with an unidentifiable sockpuppet * you
>> >> vote for or against yourself
>> >> * you vote in a thread other than this one * you vote in a
>> >> newsgroup other than AUK without crossposting to AUK * you follow
>> >> up to an incomplete or altered version of the ballot * you have
>> >> explicitly been disenfranchised by the Vote Wrangler * you have
>> >> officially been declared non-existent
>> >>
>> >> Crossposting the complete, unaltered ballot is permitted. You have
>> >> until 23:59:59 (11:59:59 PM) UTC on October 10th to cast your votes.
>> >> Vote wisely; next time the candidate might be *you*.
>> >>
>> >> See also the "How to Vote" Mini-FAQ for advice:
>> >> <http://www.netcabal.com/auk/voting.html>
>> >>
>> >> -
>> >> -
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Daryl Hunt
>> >> Edmond Heinz Wollmann
>> >> Ernest Primeau
>> >> Hyerdahl of soc.men
>> >> Pamela K Russell
>> >> Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
>> >> Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
>> >> Richard M Scoville
>> >> Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
>> >> The Big Eight Management Board
>> >> Will Dockery
>> >> none of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> KOOK OF THE MONTH
>> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> >> Douglas of alt.magick
>> >> Dustin Cook
>> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille

>> >> none of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
>> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dustin Cook
>> >> Jill of soc.men
>> >> Vinny Barbarino
>> >> none of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> COWARD OF THE MONTH
>> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dustin Cook
>> >>
>> >> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Alan Jones
>> >> William Barwell
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> George Maloney, a.k.a. fluty1@msn.com
>> >> Wabbit
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Duane Arnold
>> >> Ernest Primeau
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dr. Avenger
>> >> J. Taylor & Don Findlay
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> PALMJOB PADDLE

>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Daryl Hunt
>> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> BALSA GAVEL
>> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Tim Bolen
>> >>
>> >> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
>> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Richard M. Scoville
>> >>
>> >> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> alt.atheism
>> >> Douglas of alt.magick
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
>> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dustin Cook
>> >>
>> >> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
>> >> (Vote for one, neither of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
>> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> >> neither of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
>> >> (Vote YES, NO, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Ernest Primeau
>> >>
>> >> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
>> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> bob&carole
>> >> Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
>> >> Kantica22
>> >> none of the above

>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
>> >> (Vote for one, none of the above, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Double-A, trained by Art Deco
>> >> Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
>> >> Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
>> >> none of the above
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
>> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
>> >> Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
>> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
>> >> William Barwell
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
>> >> (Vote for one, or abstain)
>> >>
>> >> Marcus Cox and Russ H
>> >> The Big-8 Management Board
>> >> abstain
>> >>
>> >> -
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> No one nominated Dr.Death or Markie this month. Looks like Woger might
>> make an award.
>
> He'd nominate you for his biggest cum drinker.

Why do you always defend AB8MQ? Oh, that's right I forgot, You're his
mother.

SC

1110. Re: When you going to pay your debt.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AACVg.5951$Y24.984@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:0l9bi21g4s36ip4d48gh0j3m9tgdr65km6@4ax.com:

> When you going to pay your debt

You can keep waiting for it, just like you're waiting for that handout
from the FCC so you can get on HF and screw it up too.

SC

1111. Re: When you going to pay your debtplease 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:32:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BACVg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1160095862.598703.15940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1159234258.905956.82530@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> > blow code blows it again
>> >
>>
>>
>> You don't get $100 Mark,
> then you are lying fraud you atack with lies and nothing truthfull to
> say

Mark, you're not playing with a full deck. You need to see a doctor before
you hurt yourself or someone else. No wonder K4YZ gets pissed at seeing
your crap everywhere.

SC

1112. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:33:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sdtdi295ibhl0pvup714a5h9juh4hjhsgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 167128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1113. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:34:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ftdi25kk07vbs3lkg5bmk9c3a43otk99s@4ax.com>
Source record: 167129
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>
>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>> There must be a reason.
>
>
>You don't need to know my callsign,
meaning you are afraid to give it

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1114. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:34:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6gtdi257goq0kbcdrt5rrvp50i9q2oai6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 167130
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1115. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:34:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rgtdi2pk3jn8t6o582k81lstk6t0p7iags@4ax.com>
Source record: 167131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1116. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:35:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dhtdi2hj2b2mq0145f230031r7qhc4tugb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1117. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:36:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <djtdi21t67333i20b61cqb0oe3arpbg8st@4ax.com>
Source record: 167133
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1118. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:36:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sjtdi25oahdnlevnvepnp2mo3v15g2868g@4ax.com>
Source record: 167136
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1119. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:36:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ektdi2li0v3lu75kgihif9afrkf27fer9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 167134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1120. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:37:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7ltdi25jlifj0gncqmp0744cjlfuqotus1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1121. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:38:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oltdi2pks7moj4ts4takruavcoogt7uaca@4ax.com>
Source record: 167137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Mark,
>
>You don't know what ham radio is about.
I do know
>I think if y
you don't think at all

you are a knee jerk reaction of the worst sort you are clearly a BAD
ham if you are realy a licensed one at all

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1122. Re: When you going to pay your debt.<< please 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:38:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tntdi2lulv01mcdt3i6qtjvjj0dfaf8e1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 167138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1123. Re: When you going to pay your debtplease 100 dollars to Amsat Blow code as you lose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:39:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cotdi293ljupbo8ukokrvl02cma5scfn9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 167139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
you most likely ARE K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1124. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:39:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cptdi2hoh52p78aa02m8f21vjit9js74hg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1125. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:39:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sptdi2lvq6mgo9sl93urano1hug7odbfje@4ax.com>
Source record: 167141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1126. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:39:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eqtdi2h357r7gti1k92jdn5hi2rqmga6sr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1127. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:41:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0ttdi2l2pcjk5r349afgv04kauphbel31s@4ax.com>
Source record: 167144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1128. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:41:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sttdi2991o8v3ag077e8ckbhkb9ck1q43j@4ax.com>
Source record: 167143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1129. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:42:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <futdi257ifiqb5frrbq1hlj3inhqn27qh9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

you are nothing but lousely no good welcher
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1130. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:42:57 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <lKCVg.14645$5o5.9563@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:dhtdi2hj2b2mq0145f230031r7qhc4tugb@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Stop hijacking threads, you idiot.

1131. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:43:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160181833.862592.198800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167147

Slow Code wrote:
>
when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

1132. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 00:45:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160181904.205698.186540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
U-Know-Who wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:dhtdi2hj2b2mq0145f230031r7qhc4tugb@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> Stop hijacking threads, you idiot.
not hijacking them myself Sc hijacked them
```

1133. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 01:07:53 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C14C4BF9.467ED%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 167149
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 10/6/06 5:36 PM, in article djtdi21t67333i20b61cqb0oe3arpbg8st@4ax.com,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Your home-page is a piece of filth.

PLEASE don't try to pass yourself off as being a good representative of ham
radio.

1134. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 01:15:58 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167150
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>
>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>> There must be a reason.
>
>
> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes
> for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we
> can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>
> SC

Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can understand.

1135. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 01:16:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5uvdi21c7t6ed084fnd4lvninmeghg99br@4ax.com>
Source record: 167151
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 18:07:53 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On 10/6/06 5:36 PM, in article djtdi21t67333i20b61cqb0oe3arpbg8st@4ax.com,
>"Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>Your home-page is a piece of filth.
you think so interesting since most of is simply reposting the
attacking of others
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1136. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 01:18:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <010ei215pvei2bk89m135t9a4cafhgure1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167152
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 01:15:58 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>
>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>> There must be a reason.
>>
>>
>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW makes
>> for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so we
>> can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>
>> SC
>
>Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can understand.
his objection is eleimating the stupid parts of the current license
thereby smarting it up
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1137. when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:29:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cm7ei2dij1hibeecqgu6ubij3jeos0an92@4ax.com>
Source record: 167154

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 22:32:16 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this
>breaking news.....
>
and you support vigilanteism Itoi?

despictable when people can't even get a trail anymore
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1138. Re: Billy posts about swallowing Roger's cum: "Washing the Roger Smell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:40:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BkFVg.8217$o71.6824@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167155

Roger "problem child" Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
wrote:

> Your mommy swallows it just like you do.

> That was you, and you were talking about wiping Roger's <snip> from your
> pimpled face.

Here we are with another full moon. It is time for another Roger
Wiseman demented filth-fest.

That's some legacy you're building, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

1139. Re: Racist Chason hates it when his sister Julie fucks blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:41:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VlFVg.8219$o71.4808@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:

> Yes, we know all about you and your whore sister Julie.
> She lives with her black pimp in Hartford, CT.
>
> Julie Chason
> 291 GRANDVIEW TER FL 3
> HARTFORD, CT 6114
> (860) 956-1983

But what make and color is her car, Roger?

The full moon has you worked into a froth.

Dave K8MN

1140. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:43:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HnFVg.8221$o71.5162@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:

> The only reason Billy boy can get even second hand or third hand radios
> is because he begs his mommy to buy them for him. Billy can't hold
> those fast food jobs very long, he delivers papers now.

Didn't your adoptive mother buy you any radios, Rog? Is that what this
is all about?

There's a full moon. Take your pills and lie down for a while.

Dave K8MN

1141. Re: Monthly Post of 30 helpful tips for no-code hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:48:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TrFVg.8224$o71.3560@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167158

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:

> I heard you jamming and talking unidentified on 20 yeaterday, Billy.
> Too bad you live in that HUD apartment complex and you can't put out a
> decent signal.

I wish you and the others inhabiting your mind would come to a
consensus. The other day, you thought I was Billy. I don't live in a
HUD apartment complex and I can put out a decent signal.

The fact remains that *you*, Roger L. Wiseman received a letter alluding
to 20m jamming. You were required to retest, according to you, for no
reason at all. About one more strike should do it for you, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

1142. Re: Lardass admits he spends time in Greyhound Men's Rooms!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 03:57:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JAFVg.8228$o71.5514@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167159

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
wrote:

The sad fact is that Roger must always pose as someone else.

> Dave Heil finnally posting under his own name wrote:

"Finnally"?

UnWiseman, I've told you and told you. I post as no one but myself.
I have no need to hide my identity. With you, it is quite a different
story.

>> "N0VFP" wrote:
>>> Lardass not Roger Wiseman wrote:
>> So Lloyd Davies is Stagger Lee? A little foggy, are we?
>
>
> No, my former sperm depository, you are.

You're addressing your hand in a newsgroup?

>>>> It was
>>> A DAY IN THE LIFE (of Stagger Lee)
>>> (Lennon/McCartney/??Anonymous??)
>>>
>>> I read the newsgroup today oh boy
>>> There's posts by a retard who can't make the grade
>>> And though Stagger Lee's posts are rather sad
>>> Well I just had to laugh
>> Would that be a "BWAHAHAHAHAHAA"?
>
> A laugh, just like your ex-streetwalker wife does when you expose
> yourself to her.

You're mistaken, UnWiseman. My wife never worked as a streetwalker.
Is there something about your marriage that you'd like to share with us?

Is it your belief that husbands expose themselves to their wives?
You must have been in some pretty peculiar relationships.

There's a full moon tonight!

Dave K8MN

1143. Re: CW Code Reader recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:00:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8DFVg.8229$o71.4194@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167160

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
>>
>>> Mad magazine is about the extent of your literary tastses, Penquin boy?
>> "Tastses", is it, Rog?
>
> "Velley" is it, Stagger Lee? "Maquerading?"

No, it was "Tatses", Rog. No amount of post-editing can change it.
I'm not Stagger Lee, Billy Smith, Lloyd Morgan, Lloyd Wiseman or anyone
but myself. You're working yourself into a lather.

There's a full moon tonight!

Dave K8MN

1144. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:24:49 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <m_FVg.3639$gM1.3587@fed1read12>
Source record: 167162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...

< snip >

> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
> that the operator is dyslexic?

Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."

I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.

Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)

Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter "e."
In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t." (Another
real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)

The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
combover for dyslexia.

I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone numbers,
as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing and dialing
them.

This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
puns). Go figure.

Thanks for asking.

1145. Re: Arf Arf can't evn get fat ugly xtian girls at a retreat.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:29:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <73GVg.11079$UG4.8918@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167163

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> , now believes himself to be dead and a pervevert,
>
> "Pervevert?" BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Watch this part Rog:

I made an error.

>> posing now as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
>
> So your "mentor" was a "pervert."

Why no, he wasn't a pervert. You, however claim to be Andy Timberlake
and a "perv". Andy Timberlake died a number of years ago. You're Roger
L. Wiseman, sociopath. You're unable to post as yourself.

>>>>>> When the Heils went knocking on Roger's door earlier this summer,

>>>>> Davey hid behind his ex-streetwalker wife, the one with the
large fat
>>> ass.

>>>> That must have been on a different evening, Roger. My wife never
worked as a street walker and she is quite trim. Your accounts of the
evening we visited have varied wildly from "never happened" to "it was 2
on 1" to "I wasn't at home; my girlfriend was there"

>>> Hey, why'd you hide behind your fatassed ex streetwalker wife?

>> Are you reading along?

> Are you?

I am, and with great amusement.

>>> But then, you always have to have somebody along when you try to
>>> confront Roger.

>> The only time I've seen you, I sat right next to you. Not even your
>> invisible friend was between us. We had words. You fled. You've
>> been offered an opportunity to test your little theory.
>> You've declined comment.

> And you had fatass Bert along to protect you. He sat right next to you.

To protect me? I don't need protection, Roger. Let's test your theory
that I won't meet you face-to-face. Where shall we meet? Set a date

and time. I love scientific experiment.

>>> That why you had to get a Finnish ex-streetwalker when you couldn't
>>> find an American female?

>> Because no woman with an IQ higher than 70 in this area will get within
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Davey admits he can't get even the Marshall County
> rejects.

Follow along, UnWiseman. I wasn't living in Marshall County when I
married. I met my wife in Finland, a land that hasn't any rejects.
They send the ugly girls to Sweden.

> Maybe that's why he married a Finnish ex whore!

My wife wasn't a prostitute, Rog. Was someone in your family a
prostitute? Is that where your emotional difficulties began?

>>> Oh, that's right your first wife left you, she needed a real man.

>> Did my ex-wife leave me? Maybe she gave the information to the CDC and
>> they called you about it, heh heh.
>
> No, she wanted someone who could get it up.

And the story was in the National Enquirer? What make and color of car
was I driving at the time?

>>> You usually stick that dildo of your wife's up your fat ass, too.
>>> Or it it just your morse key?

>> Is that the kind of thing which gets you all excited, Roger?
>> You have these thoughts frequently, don't you? You can't control
>> the thoughts, can you?

> It must be for you, you keep bringing your "excitement" into the
> conversation.

Heck, Roger, I didn't write it. You did. Look around. Read the
newsgroup. You're the filth poster. You imagination is rife with
homosexual activity, sexual acts between adult males and their parents,
sisters, children; sex between humans and animals.

At the full moon, you regularly work yourself into a frenzy of perverse
pleasure.

>
>>> You really should stop trying to practice medicine without a license,
>>> shit for brains.

>> It doesn't require a doctor to see that someone is not in command of his
>> faculties, Roger. I've seen your postings for a number of years.
>> Sane people don't write the kinds of things you write. You're clearly
>> disturbed and you need medical intervention. You should seek

>> professional help before a decision is made to put you away
>> involuntarily. You could be seen as a danger to yourself or others.
>> If you check yourself in, you can check yourself out. If the decision
>> is made by state authorities, you'd have no say.

> No, it requires somebody with intelligence...

Not really, it only requires someone sane, with more intelligence than
you possess.

> something a flunky ex State
> Dept gopher like you doesn't have.

There are no "gopher" positions available in the U.S. Department of State.

> That's why they gave you the crappy
> locations nobody wants.

One isn't given State Department postings. One bids on them.

> And why you moved to a house on top of a coal
> mine that may subside and hope you can move down to the Beckley area.

I don't live on top of a coal mine, UnWiseman. I bought the home and
the coal mine traveled. It is now near me. If the coal mine would like
to proceed under my home, I'll be paid five to ten times the current
market value of my home. Should that happen, I'll move wherever I feel
like moving. You should be so lucky.

> Now quit practicing medicine without a license.

You're mentally ill, Rog. If you don't like my opinion, feel free to
check with any mental health professional. If he doesn't concur, I'll
buy you your own strait jacket.

> But then you did talk
> to out of band Frenchmen on 6 meters.

So you've heard. I've worked many French stations on many bands. At no
time did I mention their wives having sex with the dog, working as
prostitutes or groups of other men. At no time did I write about them
having sex with their sisters, mothers, fathers, children or the dead.

> You don't respect anything.

Roger, I'd like to take your comment at face value, but the incongruity
is simply too much for me to handle at the moment. Give me a day or two
for my laughter to subside and I'll address the issue.

Dave K8MN

1146. Re: Sniveling Cunt fucks up again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:35:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <D8GVg.11080$UG4.392@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167164

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:

>
>>>>> Maybe if youd get something right once in a while, your
>>>>> credibility would return...

>>>> Oh stop, Roger! You're cracking me up!

>>> You don't have very far to go, fatass.

>> All I have to do is read one of your posts, UnWiseman.
>> If "youd" do something right once in a while, your credibility
>> might return, heh.

> Sort of like when you post under your sockpuppets, hypocrite.

I don't post under sock puppets, UnWiseman. I never have and I never
will. Don't judge others by your erratic behavior.

Dave K8MN

1147. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:49:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rlGVg.11085$UG4.2261@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167165

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil, K8MN wrote:
>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Dave Heil not pretending to be "Stagger Lee" or "Lloyd Wiseman" this
>>> time or any other time wrote:

>>>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Roger never beat up his mother, fatty.

>>>> Is UnWiseman attempting to evade fact on a technicality?

>>> Is Assheil attempting to cloud the issue?

>> I asked you a direct question. That isn't clouding any issue.
>> You didn't answer it. That's the only clouding I've noted.

> Nope, you clouded the issue.

Not a cloud in the sky, Rog. You still haven't responded to my question.

> As per your usual, like when you answer
> Len Anderson.

Len Anderson isn't under discussion. You're clouding the issue, UnWiseman.

>>>> How about your adoptive mother, Rog?

>>> What adoptive mother?

>> Your adoptive mother--the one who left you the house.

> Prove Roger was adopted.

To what end? You know you were adopted. I know you were adopted.
That matters not. Marie left you the little place you live in.

> Projecting about a "left you the house?" You
> mean like how that house in Cincinnati was left to you?

No, I don't mean like that. I had no mother with a house in Cincinnati
and no adoptive mother at all. Anyone who cares to spend time digging
up old records can see that I purchased a house in Cincinnati. Anyone
who cares to go through the records at the Marshall County court house
can see what transpired in regard to your house.

>>>> Did you strike her or threaten to?

>>> Nope, he didn't. Why would he?

>> I'm not asking him. I'm asking you.

Did you strike Marie, or threaten her?

>>>> Why were you in the lockup in Glen Dale?
>>> Glen Dale has no "lockup." Dumbass.

>> Sure they do

> No, they don't...

Yes, they do.

> they send their prisoners to the NRJ.

That's correct. You aren't likely to go there either. It'll be
straight to a mental facility.

> Roger's never
> been there.

No, he hasn't. He was held only in Glen Dale.

Dave K8MN

1148. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:52:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iccei2dm7th5c2jeis8dvdiqp90o94gkjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
>< snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
ruefully funny I agre
>
>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
you till I replied tto the post which in free agent changes the color
to blue i did not see either of those error I saw them corected since
my mind is used to prefroming the correction to the first proccesed
text already
>
>Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter "e."
>In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t." (Another
>real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)
>
>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>combover for dyslexia.
>
>I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone numbers,
>as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing and dialing
>them.
you can dail it without using speed dail good for you that maddeningly
will escape for days at a time. I love my programable phone (anybody
know a cordless phone with say cloning like ability of some of
programable HT)
>
>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.

>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>puns). Go figure.
>
>Thanks for asking.
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1149. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 04:57:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ctGVg.6043$Y24.486@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167167

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Andy the Perv Timberlake" wrote:
> Dave Heil K8MN wrote:

>> Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, having a particularly bad mental health day
>> and posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith" wrote:
>>
>>> What will you do, blind gimp?

>>> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.

>> Is there not a shred of human decency within you, Roger?
>> Have your demons taken over completely?

> Obsess much, psycho stalker? Now go polish that wheelchair of Ray's.

Are we back to being in Roger World, the place where those who delight in calling someone "blind gimp" or "blind boy" are the sterling examples and those who denounce them are obsessing or are psycho stalkers?

Yes, Ray is in a wheelchair. It doesn't need polishing but he could use some help. Can we count on you to volunteer your time or resources for a fellow radio amateur, Rog? Perhaps instead of just lurking on the local repeaters, you could actually engage Ray in a conversation.

Ray has physical problems. You have mental problems. Ray is getting treatment for his physical problems. Would you like for me to see what I can do about getting you help for your mental problems? Maybe the demons can be made to go away.

Dave K8MN

1150. Re: Billy posts about swallowing Roger's cum: "Washing the Roger Smell off"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:03:13 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c3uct.vfd.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167161

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:BkFVg.8217$o71.6824@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Roger "problem child" Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
wrote:

> Your mommy swallows it just like you do.

> That was you, and you were talking about wiping Roger's <snip> from your
> pimpled face.

Here we are with another full moon. It is time for another Roger
Wiseman demented filth-fest.

That's some legacy you're building, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN
>

It is a sad legacy. Pathetic, really.

I used to hold out a small semblance of hope that Woger would maybe, just
maybe, post something more original and thoughtful than his standard,
run-of-the-mill filth. However, it has become glaringly obvious over the
past months that Woger is descending ever deeper into his self-made well of
despair and is incapable of being anything other than what he is.
Yes, pathetic is an adequate descriptor.

1151. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:09:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GEGVg.11087$UG4.8175@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167168

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Opus- on Wed, Oct 4 2006 6:58 pm

(on WWII vets)

> What is most interesting (to me) is finding out later
> that some of them were exaggerating what they said
> and a few were downright liars! :-)

Did any of them tell the the equivalent of your "sphincter post", where
they described going through something they never actually went through?

(on old timers)

> The loud ones stand out because they MUST stand out
> and make everyone pay attention to them. Their EGO
> demands it. They want to RULE, to control, to judge,
> to be in-charge.

There's a certain just, poetic quality to your words, Len. They could
easily have been penned about yourself.

(on the ARRL and the *use* of morse)

> Part of that fixation on radiotelegraphy in the USA is
> a result of the tremendous amount of ham-oriented
> publications of the ARRL. The ARRL emphasizes radio-
> telegraphy as the ne-plus-ultra of amateur radio skills.
> Since the ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur radio
> publications here, has had that for at least seven
> decades, they can and have managed to condition the
> thinking of American amateur radio licensees in favor
> of radiotelegraphy.
>
> Those who've been conditioned will not understand that
> they've been imprinted but insist it like some
> 'natural order of things.' Further, they tend to out-
> rage and the very idea that they've been brainwashed!
> Such outrage takes on a religious fervor at times.

Your claims of only wanting to eliminate "the test" ring hollow, Leonard.

(Leonard Anderson on ATTACKS)

> It is an emotional ATTACK ploy. It is common in nearly
> all newsgroups. Those that do these sort of things can
> get away with it, unworried about any direct physical
> confrontation that might ensue.

Please see the N2EY which outlines your own behavior toward any who disagrees with you.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
k8mn@arrl.net

1152. Re: Part D, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:19:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iNGVg.11088$UG4.3659@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167169

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>>>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>>>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>>>> years ago.
>>> What "formalism" do you mean, Len?
>> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
>> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
>> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
>> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
>> REAL telegraphic message. :-)
>
> Why must the format be sold?

The format isn't sold at all. I'd think anyone who'd been a ham for a
year or two would have known that.

I read the words "formalism" and "form". I saw nothing about a format.

> Is it copy righted?

The format? Why no, Brian. Use it all you like.

> If I send a message
> using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
> infringement?

Why no, Brian. I'd think just about any radio amateur would know that.
There are even software programs incorporating the radiogram format so
that you needn't write anything with a pencil or pen.

> Other than reading about the amateur radio service in WWII, what does
> Jim know about THE Service?

> Jim knows nothing of military radio.

> I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

> When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

> Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

> Jim is on CH16.

> Jim is on CH19.

> You can reach Jim at XXX-XXX-XXXX.

> Yowsa!

> Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

> Jim's Giga Hurts.

> I prefer smooth.

> Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
> maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

> You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
> bought both sides of the QSO.

> I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

> Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

> Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
> Len.

> Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

> But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

> Jim is a follower.

> Owch!!!

> I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

> Do they require greenlee punches?

> Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

> He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

It is apparent that your red-hatted monkey routine survives.

Dave K8MN

1153. Re: Tell it to Robesinner: Was: Re: Formalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:20:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cOGVg.11089$UG4.4715@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167170

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> Beats the heck out of "BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAA".
>
> Tell it to Robesinner.

I don't know him. Tell him yourself.

Dave K8MN

1154. Re: Do you think discussions on repeaters are as technical today as they were 25 Years ago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:22:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EQGVg.11090$UG4.8997@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" wrote:

> Liar. You don't even have the beginner's training wheel no code tech
> license. You couldn't pass any of the tests or the code.

This from the guy who brought us the Morse message: "QUCKOC."

You're a regular whiz at Morse, UnWiseman.

Dave K8MN

1155. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:33:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <i_GVg.11092$UG4.3660@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167172

To celebrate the coming of the full moon, Roger Wiseman AB8MQ, posing as
"Mark C. Morgan" wrote:
> Saggytits Lee wrote more unreliable information:
>> I was looking [nothing important]
>
> Sure you were, Davey, why don't you call there and whine like you
> usually do?

I'm not Stagger Lee, Roger. I don't live in Houston, Texas and do not
use a Houston ISP. I'm not Lloyd-anything, not Arf Arf, not Billy
Smith. I post as no one but myself. Your hysteria-filled, blind
accusations make you look even more erratic than usual.

> What's the make and color of Roger's car? Can't you see that on the
> "webcam?"

I don't know anything about a web cam. I don't know and don't care what
the make and color of your car might be. I don't know of anyone who does.

There's a full moon tonight. You've worked yourself into a froth.

Dave K8MN

1156. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:35:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y0HVg.11094$UG4.7622@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167173

Mark Morgan, Child Molester wrote:
> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>>
>>> Do tell, retard.
>>>
>>>> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?
>>> You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
>> same person different nym. give it up. your game is known (or are you too
>> stupid to realize it yet?).
>
> Too bad you and the rest of the idiots keep getting it wrong, though,
> blind boy.

You can no more hide your identity than Mark Morgan could hide his.
Your posts are obvious. That you think you are anonymous is more
evidence of your mental illness.

Dave K8MN

1157. Re: Part D, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 05:40:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y5HVg.11095$UG4.8096@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167174

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.

> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...

> "FB, OM."

> QRT.

> "Roger who?"

> "We now pause 10 seconds for official station identification."

> Roger that. Affirmative. Over and out.

> "Official"

> ARRL chief a member of Joint Chiefs of Staff.

> As Pluto went so may MARS...

> He consults Pentagon library of morsemen.

> Except surplus he read about.

> He not listed in SEG, Screen Extras Guild.

> CAVU...(Code Allatime Very Universal)

> IFR. Intermittent Fantasy Regaler.

> Hot water?

> 10-4.

> He X rated now?

> Knows all. Allatime calls others "wrong."

> Let's take up collection to send him Preparation H.

> Peanuts.

> Coslonaut helped Giganaut.

> My bad. I QRK and QSY both.

> Must be...he never subsides.

> I have tubular capacitors for hollow-state things,
> cathode ray tubes on a hot tin roof.

>
> Is that why his Giga hurts?

> He is punchy.

> Camp.

> Also before instant oatmeal and regularity.

> How about we give him nice Hawaiian Punch?

> Prior to 1980...

> Cribbed from Joe Speroni's website...

The Old Organ Grinder, the man who is only here for CIVIL debate is heard from.

Dave K8MN

1158. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 06:05:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vsHVg.4145$Lv3.183@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167177

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>>>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>>>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>>>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>>>>> possible to communicate.
>
>>>> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
>>>> communication as early as 1900, and had practical long-distance
>>>> radiotelephony by 1906.
>>> "PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
>>> single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
>>> lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?
>> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
>> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
>> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
>> the lead out.
>
> The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
> used the term "aerial" in those days).
>
> It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.

That sounds pretty practical.

>>> You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
>>> control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting...
>> ...that you know of.
>>
>> I have, Len. What of it?
>
> Len keeps trying to find out about my work.

So he thinks he can find out by guessing which things you don't do?

>>> ...yet
>>> you DEFINE "practicality" in such things as inserting
>>> a single carbon microphone in series with the antenna

>>> for broadcasting.
>> Tell us what other way was known when it took place, Len. What would
>> have been practical in 1900?
>
> Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?

I surely did.

>>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
>>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
>>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
>>> "practical."
>
>> Practicality had to be defined by the time in which something took
>> place. Otherwise you're left playing a game of "what if the U.S. had
>> the atomic bomb in 1917?"
>>
>>>> AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.
>>> Superfluous minutae.
>> ...is your specialty, Len, but I spell it "minutia".
>
> Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.

Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.

> The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
> "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
> it's a demonstrated fact.

Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.

> Yet the use of Morse Code in *non-amateur* radio communications
> continued for many decades after that. The maritime communications
> folks were still using it less than a decade ago.

Correct and it remains the second most used mode for HF amateur radio.
There are thousands and thousands of morse QSOs taking place on the ham
bands daily.

>>> YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting.
>
> Len keeps trying to find out about my work. Now he's reduced to posting
> untruths in an effort to get more information.

So he doesn't actually know if you've worked in broadcasting or not and
he has resorted to wild speculation?

>> I have, Len. What of it?
>>
>>> Your amateur radio
>>> license does NOT permit broadcasting.

>> I know that. That's why I don't use it for broadcasting.
>> Did you know that most people in broadcasting don't have any kind of
>> license?
>
>>> I have been IN
>>> broadcasting, still have the license (now lifetime).

>> That's what I should have written earlier. I have been IN broadcasting,
>> Len. Are you still in broadcasting? I'm not.
>
>>> NO, repeat NO amplitude-modulation broadcaster uses
>>> your so-called "practical" means of modulating a CW
>>> carrier. NONE.
>
> Not any more. Other methods replaced it by 1920.
>
>>> Had Fessenden's EXPERIMENT been at all
>>> practical, others would have used that technique.
>
> No, that's not necessarily true.
>
> For one thing, Fessenden held the patents. (He had at least 500
> patents, btw). For another, new techniques appeared so fast in those
> days that there wasn't a need to copy Fessenden's method.

>>> NONE
>>> did.
>
> Are you sure?
>
> Ever hear of "loop modulation"?

There might not be anything about it on the White's page.

>> Do you think there's any chance that other, more efficient techniques
>> were developed?
>
>>>>> Morse code was then already
>>>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>>>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

>>>> While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
>>>> operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
>>>> pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.

>>> PR bullshit you fantasize.
>
> No, it's a fact. Look up the ages of pioneers like Armstrong,
> Fessenden, Beverage etc. in 1920. They were young men.
>
> The wireless operators on the Titanic weren't even 25 years old. They
> were the best Marconi could supply.
>

> Remember this classic quote?:
>
> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
> primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity." (Len
> Anderson, Sept 2, 1996)

I remember it well. He has written similar things more recently, though
they were a tad more insulting.

>> Feel free to post anything at all which documents your version.
>
> Len don't *do* documentation, Dave.

Right. I think he sees those as "DEMANDS". Len don't do "DEMANDS".
So far we have from him only wild speculation, guesses and undocumented
claims.

>>> You were NOT among the
>>> "pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
>>> prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
>>> that.
>
> Neither were you, Len.

...but you must have found the ages of the Titanic ops from somewhere, Jim.

>>> All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
>>> versions of radio history from the ARRL.
>
> More untruths from Len.

I give him some wiggle room in referring to them as factual errors.

>> That's your story and you're sticking with it.
>>
>>> Landline telegraphy
>>> was already changing from manual to teleprinter by
>>> the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
>>> middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
>>> circuits were either shut down or replaced by
>>> electromechanical teleprinters.
>
> Actually, there were still some landline telegraph operations in
> operation in 1969. They may have continued beyond that year.
>
>> I'm sure the guys in a landline telegraph newsgroup would be fascinated
>> by your account.
>
> The important point was that the use of Morse Code in radio continued
> long past the middle of the 20th century.

To be factually correct, it would have to be said that the use of Morse
Code in radio continues into the 21st century.

>>>> The Morse Code
>>>> used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
>>>> 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.

>>> Superfluous minutae.

> Not superfluous at all. A landline operator knew the wrong code.

Though to be fair, there were a number of landline telegraphers who were
familiar with both codes.

>> That's how I like to think of your ADA tales of better than a
>> half-century back, except I use "minutia"
>>
>>> Manual telegraphy consisted of
>>> closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.

>> Superfluous minutia.
>
> Except it's not really true.
>
> Duplex and quadruplex telegraph circuits used polarity reversal and
> other methods beyond on-off. Carrier was used as well - often
> frequency-shift.

Ahhhh! I should have remembered. My 9L1US 50 MHz beacon used frequency
shifted Morse in 1990-91.

> And the most modern communications today - fiber optics - is really
> nothing more than on-off keying of a light beam.

That's right.

>>> There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
>>> of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
>>> them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
>>> AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
>>> representation.

>> Superfluous minutia.
>
>> Jim has more patience with you than I can muster.
>>
> I think you're missing the point, Dave.
>
> Len has spent more than a decade here on rrap. He's barraged rrap and
> the FCC with torrents of words about a simple license test - even
> though he is not a radio amatuer and will probably never be one.

Oh, I've not missed *that* point.

> After the restructuring of 2000, it seemed like a "slam dunk" that the
> FCC would just drop code testing as soon as it could. Len even said he
> would "go for Extra right out of the box" back in January of that year.
> But he didn't.

That box was never opened. Len counted on the code test being
eliminated at that point. It didn't happen and it left him holding
the--box.

> In July 2003 the treaty requirement went away, and it really seemed
> like a "slam dunk" that code testing would soon go away in the USA.
>
> But now it's 3-1/2 years later, and despite 18 petitions and an NPRM,
> the rules haven't changed. FCC won't even say when they will make a
> decision.

...and Len is not only still holding the box, he has a mug full of dried
egg.

> In fact, the old "omnibus" NPRM (04-140, IIRC) is still working its way
> through the system. That NPRM will almost certainly yield an R&O before
> the Morse Code one does. But there's no indication from FCC when the
> "omnibus" R&O will show up, let alone the Morse Code changes.
>
> Of course FCC will probably just drop Element 1 eventually. But they're
> in no hurry to do so. By the time FCC gets around to announcing its
> decision, Len may not have anybody to rag on about it.

I'm not particularly worried about Len Anderson showing up on the ham
bands with a shiny new Extra which he'll have obtained from a very worn
and tattered box.

> Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do? There won't be
> anything left for him to argue about, and nobody to argue with. So he's
> working on some new angles - which are really just old ones warmed up
> again. Meanwhile, he's obviously upset, worried and angry.

How is that different from the way he has always acted here?

> Len could have had an Extra with just a 5 wpm code test way back in
> 1990. But he didn't. That says it all.

Len could have had a no-code tech ages ago. It would have provided him
with access to the VHF/UHF bands--the ones he says are where the action
should be.

Dave K8MN

1159. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 06:23:00 UTC
From: matt vk3zmw <nospam@nospam.ever>
Message-ID: <8JHVg.42819$rP1.30725@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 167178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Then make a couple CW contacts this weekend.
>
> You'll get up from your rig afterwards and feel pretty good about being a
> ham again.
>
> SC

Actually, just make a couple of contacts in any mode. Too many stations
sit unused week after week, month after month...

1160. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 06:36:54 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1351398.7MplToFSVn@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark Morgan, Child Molester wrote:

>
> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > Do tell, retard.
>> >
>> >> eh dumbass (it takes one to know one)?
>> >
>> > You tell me, blind boy. Go suck off those boy scouts you love.
>> same person different nym. give it up. your game is known (or are you too
>> stupid to realize it yet?).
>
> Too bad you and the rest of the idiots keep getting it wrong, though,
> blind boy.
really? yet here you are making such a big noise about it?
you should ask why? I know. I have....

1161. Re: RRAP was sure peaceful while KB9RQZ was away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 06:46:02 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c44dm.l4c.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:1160141162.966542.207180@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Saggytits Lee K8MN, wrote:
> [enormous lines of post-edited insanity skipped]
>
> I almost didn't see your post,

That's because you alweays have your head up your ass.
>
> This is a typical rant

Yes, you usually backpedal when your unreliable information is exposed.

> [462 additional lines of drivel snipped.]

Yet you don't backpedal at all, do you UnWiseman? Your three versions of the
Heils' visit to your front door were not backpedaling. Were they?
Your uncountable versions of why Riley Hollingsworth made you retest to keep
your Ham license were not backpedaling, were they?
Thank you for the humor, Wussman.

1162. A "Rogerism"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 06:51:25 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c44ns.m9n.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167176

"alweays "????
"yeaterday" ???

Must be the full moon....

1163. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 08:12:45 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:

>
> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
> <nothing important, he's too busy stalking Roger Wiseman>
>
>> at this point, his identity doesn't matter.
>
> Then why do you keep getting it wrong, gimp?

and here you are proving my previous point exatly.....

>
>> face it, he can't construct an intelligent conversation AND he has to
>> resort to insults against a DISABLED person... what does that say about
>> his
>
> A mentally and physically disabled one, too. Are you going to cry,
> Ewwic?

yet another nail in your coffin. here I handed you this sword and you have
just stabbed yourself with it. :)

>
>
>> character? would any respectable employer even hire him?
>
> BWHAHAHAHA better than being a government leech like you.

I wonder? does it take one to know one?
"hello? Hey kettle! there's a guy on line one! says his name is pot and has
a message for you!"

>
>> does he even speak to his MOTHER with that mouth
>
> No, he speaks to YOUR mother with that mouth, she usually only charges
> $2.

Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?

>
>> Those and many more questions we need answers to......
>
> Hey, you construct many complete sentences, blind boy?

non-sequitor....

I find it rather amusing. here you are trying to hurl insults at me and yet, you haven't even mastered the barest concepts of an intellectual conversation.

and here is what pisses you off: I am right and you know. and thats what scares you the most.

you really are clueless. you dump on me simply because you can't deal with your own problems. In fact, at this point, you can't deal with any problems. This is why you lash out to so little effect.

I was right before too. this is another of your various nyms. Hell, I even know who you are in real life and frankly, I feel sorry for you. all that grey matter and you did nothing with it. so sad really.

ah well, time to put you back on the shelf like many of my other toys. :)

1164. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 10:49:49 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com>
Source record: 167181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 06:23:00 GMT, matt vk3zmw <nospam@nospam.ever>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> Then make a couple CW contacts this weekend.
>>
>> You'll get up from your rig afterwards and feel pretty good about being a
>> ham again.
>>
>> SC
>
>Actually, just make a couple of contacts in any mode. Too many stations
>sit unused week after week, month after month...

1165. Saggytits, Annie Hoffer is like her fat hog mommy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 11:59:58 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <please.volunteer.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 167182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Oct 2006 05:16:59 -0700, Secwet Woger, CW Man wrote:
: She either like the dog's dick or Anthony Lemons'. Sort of like you.

Obviously you know nothing about her, or you would have gleefully jumped on the fact that I left the letter "i" out of her name. You would also know that she played for the Hiram College women's basketball team several years ago and is too athletic to be the obese person you claim she is.

It must be lonely in that strange, little world of your own making. Maybe you should get out more. Maybe you should do some volunteer work as a crash dummy.

==========
God said, "div D = rho, div B = 0, curl E = -@B/@t, curl H = J + @D/@t,"
and then there was light.

1166. Re: Todd Live talk on the ASSHOLES of this NEO-NAZI BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 12:25:44 UTC
From: Lloyd Daugherty <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <59806627.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 20:43:13 +0000 (UTC), N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> ... and CTITECH CLAIMS that I OWN them

Good for you! Go over to their place and fire all of the bastards.

1167. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 12:42:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <452793d4$0$19630$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

"N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?
>
>
I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two have
sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh two
satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for man
sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom tish
post?

http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1168. I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 12:48:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527952a$0$19730$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167185

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1169. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 13:23:01 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.5952$Y34.8931@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 05:49:49 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
> I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
> the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>
>
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 06:23:00 GMT, matt vk3zmw <nospam@nospam.ever>
> wrote:
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> Then make a couple CW contacts this weekend.
> >>
> >> You'll get up from your rig afterwards and feel pretty good about being a
> >> ham again.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >Actually, just make a couple of contacts in any mode. Too many stations
> >sit unused week after week, month after month...
>

Top posting is a sign of inexperience. You are probably a newbie on the net. Go to news.groups and read the FAQ on Usenet etiquette first. After that, come back here and post properly and I will give you my call.

SC

1170. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 13:32:47 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 167187
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator any
>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical agility,
>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only tests of
>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't
>+++stop the trash.
>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why they
>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory" taken away
>+++too. Want to bet?
>+++
>+++Fred G.
****

Fred

The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
burst your bubble.

Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

james

1171. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 13:39:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160228384.616375.51900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167188

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> >>>>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> >>>>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> >>>>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> >>>>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> >>>>>> possible to communicate.
> > >
> >>>> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
> >>>> communication as early as 1900, and had practical long-distance
> >>>> radiotelephony by 1906.
> >>> "PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
> >>> single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> >>> lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?
> >> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
> >> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
> >> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
> >> the lead out.
> > >
> > The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
> > used the term "aerial" in those days).
> > >
> > It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.
> >
> That sounds pretty practical.

For its time. Then triode vacuum tubes came along and changed things.

> >>> You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
> >>> control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting...
> >> ...that you know of.
> >>
> >> I have, Len. What of it?
> > >
> > Len keeps trying to find out about my work.
> >
> So he thinks he can find out by guessing which things you don't do?

It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
doesn't work.

The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
different things he's done.

Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!

> >>> ...yet
> >>> you DEFINE "practicality" in such things as inserting
> >>> a single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> >>> for broadcasting.
> >> Tell us what other way was known when it took place, Len. What would
> >> have been practical in 1900?
> >
> > Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?
>
> I surely did.

Of course that limited his voice-radio operations to below 100 kHz
(3000 meters)
>
> >>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
> >>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
> >>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
> >>> "practical."

Note the dig at my BSEE and MSEE degrees. What Len doesn't realize is
that, in the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of
now-incredible things were once considered practical.

For example, the very first operational general-purpose electronic
digital computer was the ENIAC, which was built at one of my alma
maters here in Philadelphia. Its design and construction were paid for
(some would say "subsidized") by the U.S. Army (some would say "the
taxpayers"). Its original stated purpose was for the calculation of
artillery aiming information.

Some may point to machines like the Colossus, Mark 1 or even the ABC as
the "first computer". But they all lack something that ENIAC had. Some,
like the ABC and even Babbage's Difference Engine, were never fully
operational. Some, like the Mark 1, used relays and mechanics for
calculation, and were not really electronic. Some were built for a
specific task, such as breaking codes, and were not really general
purpose. Some were partly or entirely analog, such as the Differential
Analyzer. ENIAC was the first to do it all.

ENIAC took up an enormous amount of space and power, used over 17,000
tubes and required programming in machine language to do anything
useful.

Its complexity and sheer size meant that breakdowns were frequent. One solution was to never turn it off, because many failures occurred during turn-on and turn-off.

Part of the problem was that the parts used in the original construction were not the most reliable possible. ENIAC was built under wartime restrictions, and they had to use what they could get. The quality of some parts, particularly common octal tubes, noticeably decreased over the war years because they were being made by a variety of companies, using inexperienced people and whatever facilities were available. The experienced tube companies and people were needed for radar and proximity fuse work, not the manufacture of 6SN7s.

The reliability of ENIAC was such that it would typically run for 1 to 2 days before something needed fixing. Its record was only about 5 days of continuous operation. The folks using it got very very good at identifying and fixing the problems.

ENIAC was never duplicated. During its development, so much was learned that newer machines like EDSAC, EDVAC and ultimately the UNIVAC were designed, rather than repeat the ENIAC design.

The points of this little bit of history are these:

By modern standards, or even those of 20, 30, or 40 years ago, ENIAC is/was totally impractical.

But by the standards of its time, it was a tremendous advance. Calculations that took *weeks* using pre-ENIAC methods could be done in *seconds* using the machine. The boundaries of "numerically hard" calculation were pushed back enormously.

Most important of all, the ENIAC was considered "practical" enough by the US Army. Soon after it was publically announced in 1946, the Army moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it was used for its intended purposes until 1955.

> >> Practicality had to be defined by the time in which something took
> >> place. Otherwise you're left playing a game of "what if the U.S. had
> >> the atomic bomb in 1917?"

That's why I wrote the above ENIAC story. ENIAC was practical in its time.

How many computers made today have a useful life as long as ENIAC?

btw, in 1976, ENIAC was returned to where it was built, and a museum display set up with parts of it. In the 1990s, part of it was restored to operating condition, and some calculations done as a demonstration.

I got to see and touch parts of ENIAC. Also read the papers on it. A machine that changed the world, made from very ordinary parts and techniques, assembled in a new way.

> >>>> AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.
> >>> Superfluous minutae.
> >> ...is your specialty, Len, but I spell it "minutia".
> >
> > Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.
>
> Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.

Typical.

> > The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
> > "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
> > it's a demonstrated fact.
>
> Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
> near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.

There are AM BC receivers from the 1920s that, if restored, will
perform admirably today in their intended purpose.

Some NTSC TV sets from 60 years ago, if restored, can still be used to
watch VHF TV. There's a website showing a 1954 RCA color set in
operation - today. Of course HDTV will eventually replace NTSC.

> > Yet the use of Morse Code in *non-amateur* radio communications
> > continued for many decades after that. The maritime communications
> > folks were still using it less than a decade ago.
>
> Correct and it remains the second most used mode for HF amateur radio.
> There are thousands and thousands of morse QSOs taking place on the ham
> bands daily.

> >>> YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting.
> >
> > Len keeps trying to find out about my work. Now he's reduced to posting
> > untruths in an effort to get more information.
>
> So he doesn't actually know if you've worked in broadcasting or not and
> he has resorted to wild speculation?

He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
untruths for a long time.

> >> I have, Len. What of it?
> >>
> >>> Your amateur radio
> >>> license does NOT permit broadcasting.
>
> >> I know that. That's why I don't use it for broadcasting.
> >> Did you know that most people in broadcasting don't have any kind of
> >> license?

Howard Stern.

> >>> I have been IN
> >>> broadcasting, still have the license (now lifetime).
>
> >> That's what I should have written earlier. I have been IN broadcasting,
> >> Len. Are you still in broadcasting? I'm not.
> >
> >>> NO, repeat NO amplitude-modulation broadcaster uses
> >>> your so-called "practical" means of modulating a CW
> >>> carrier. NONE.
> >
> > Not any more. Other methods replaced it by 1920.
> >
> >>> Had Fessenden's EXPERIMENT been at all
> >>> practical, others would have used that technique.
> >
> > No, that's not necessarily true.
> >
> > For one thing, Fessenden held the patents. (He had at least 500
> > patents, btw). For another, new techniques appeared so fast in those
> > days that there wasn't a need to copy Fessenden's method.
>
> >>> NONE
> >>> did.

See above about ENIAC. It was very practical, in its time - but never
repeated.

> > Are you sure?
> >
> > Ever hear of "loop modulation"?
>
> There might not be anything about it on the White's page.

White's is very good - for what it covers. It essentially stops long
before WW2. Its treatment is heavy on broadcasting, light on amateurs
and nonbroadcasting commercial operation. IMHO.
>
> >> Do you think there's any chance that other, more efficient techniques
> >> were developed?
> >
> >>>>> Morse code was then already
> >>>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> >>>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
> >>>> While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
> >>>> operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
> >>>> pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.
>
> >>> PR bullshit you fantasize.
> >
> > No, it's a fact. Look up the ages of pioneers like Armstrong,
> > Fessenden, Beverage etc. in 1920. They were young men.

> >
> > The wireless operators on the Titanic weren't even 25 years old. They
> > were the best Marconi could supply.
> >
> > Remember this classic quote?:
> >
> > "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
> > primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity." (Len
> > Anderson, Sept 2, 1996)
>
> I remember it well. He has written similar things more recently, though
> they were a tad more insulting.

I'm still looking for a definition of "morsemanship"

> >> Feel free to post anything at all which documents your version.
> >
> > Len don't *do* documentation, Dave.
>
> Right. I think he sees those as "DEMANDS". Len don't do "DEMANDS".
> So far we have from him only wild speculation, guesses and undocumented
> claims.

Not "only", Dave. There's a lot more, like Godwin-ready commentary....

Do you need to review the profile?
>
> >>> You were NOT among the
> >>> "pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
> >>> prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
> >>> that.
> >
> > Neither were you, Len.
>
> ...but you must have found the ages of the Titanic ops from somewhere, Jim.

It's pretty easy to look up the ages of those folks. Of course Len will
not. Would ruin his rant.

> >>> All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
> >>> versions of radio history from the ARRL.
> >
> > More untruths from Len.
>
> I give him some wiggle room in referring to them as factual errors.

It's an untruth. My history sources go far beyond ARRL publications.
And ARRL history isn't "bowdlerized".

> >> That's your story and you're sticking with it.
> >>
> >>> Landline telegraphy
> >>> was already changing from manual to teleprinter by

> >>> the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
> >>> middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
> >>> circuits were either shut down or replaced by
> >>> electromechanical teleprinters.
> >
> > Actually, there were still some landline telegraph operations in
> > operation in 1969. They may have continued beyond that year.
> >
> >> I'm sure the guys in a landline telegraph newsgroup would be fascinated
> >> by your account.
> >
> > The important point was that the use of Morse Code in radio continued
> > long past the middle of the 20th century.
>
> To be factually correct, it would have to be said that the use of Morse
> Code in radio continues into the 21st century.

Both are true. I was writing about non-amateur use of Morse Code in
radio.

> >>>> The Morse Code
> >>>> used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
> >>>> 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.
>
> >>> Superfluous minutae.
>
> > Not superfluous at all. A landline operator knew the wrong code.
>
> Though to be fair, there were a number of landline telegraphers who were
> familiar with both codes.

Yep.

In fact, here in the USA, there were at least *three* codes in use
until 1912. Besides "American" and "Continental", the US Navy had its
own code.

Even though the Berlin conference of 1906 had specified Continental for
radio use, the USA did not universally adopt it. That all changed with
the new radio laws of 1912.

> >> That's how I like to think of your ADA tales of better than a
> >> half-century back, except I use "minutia"

Notice how Len doesn't mention any HF experience of his after ADA,
except cb? He does still have one of the most compact Johnsons ever
produced, too!

> >>> Manual telegraphy consisted of
> >>> closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.
>
> >> Superfluous minutia.
> >

> > Except it's not really true.
> >
> > Duplex and quadruplex telegraph circuits used polarity reversal and
> > other methods beyond on-off. Carrier was used as well - often
> > frequency-shift.
>
> Ahhhh! I should have remembered. My 9L1US 50 MHz beacon used frequency
> shifted Morse in 1990-91.

> > And the most modern communications today - fiber optics - is really
> > nothing more than on-off keying of a light beam.
>
> That's right.

Packet switching is just the old telegram model reinvented.

> >>> There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
> >>> of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
> >>> them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
> >>> AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
> >>> representation.
>
> >> Superfluous minutia.
> >
> >> Jim has more patience with you than I can muster.
> >>
> > I think you're missing the point, Dave.
> >
> > Len has spent more than a decade here on rrap. He's barraged rrap and
> > the FCC with torrents of words about a simple license test - even
> > though he is not a radio amatuer and will probably never be one.
>
> Oh, I've not missed *that* point.

I don't think has changed the mind of even one person about Morse Code.

> > After the restructuring of 2000, it seemed like a "slam dunk" that the
> > FCC would just drop code testing as soon as it could. Len even said he
> > would "go for Extra right out of the box" back in January of that year.
> > But he didn't.
>
> That box was never opened. Len counted on the code test being
> eliminated at that point.

But that was illogical.

The FCC would not violate the treaty about code testing. They said so
in the R&O for the 2000.

> It didn't happen and it left him holding
> the--box.

> > In July 2003 the treaty requirement went away, and it really seemed
> > like a "slam dunk" that code testing would soon go away in the USA.
> >
> > But now it's 3-1/2 years later, and despite 18 petitions and an NPRM,
> > the rules haven't changed. FCC won't even say when they will make a
> > decision.
>
> ...and Len is not only still holding the box, he has a mug full of dried
> egg.

Len claimed he was once up to about 8 wpm with Morse Code, before he
quit - gave up - trying to learn it.

If that were true, why wouldn't he be able to relearn it enough to pass
Element 1? Maybe that claim wasn't entirely true?

Or maybe it's the *written* tests that are the problem?

> > In fact, the old "omnibus" NPRM (04-140, IIRC) is still working its way
> > through the system. That NPRM will almost certainly yield an R&O before
> > the Morse Code one does. But there's no indication from FCC when the
> > "omnibus" R&O will show up, let alone the Morse Code changes.
> >
> > Of course FCC will probably just drop Element 1 eventually. But they're
> > in no hurry to do so. By the time FCC gets around to announcing its
> > decision, Len may not have anybody to rag on about it.
>
> I'm not particularly worried about Len Anderson showing up on the ham
> bands with a shiny new Extra which he'll have obtained from a very worn
> and tattered box.

To do so would require not only a license, but assembling a station.
Note that while Len talks endlessly about places he has worked and
projects he has worked on, there's almost nothing about radio projects
he has done himself, with his own money, at home.

There's the one-tube unlicensed oscillator transmitter of 1948, his
conversion of some ARC-5s and their sale, the store-bought ICOM
receiver and the compact Johnson....and not much else.

> > Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do? There won't be
> > anything left for him to argue about, and nobody to argue with. So he's
> > working on some new angles - which are really just old ones warmed up
> > again. Meanwhile, he's obviously upset, worried and angry.
>
> How is that different from the way he has always acted here?

Good question.

> > Len could have had an Extra with just a 5 wpm code test way back in
> > 1990. But he didn't. That says it all.
>
> Len could have had a no-code tech ages ago.

The code waivers actually preceded the Technician's loss of its code
test.

> It would have provided him
> with access to the VHF/UHF bands--the ones he says are where the action
> should be.

Says it all. All talk, no action. All hat, no cattle.

See you on the air, Dave.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1172. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 13:40:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527a15f$0$19617$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:eg3l42$n84$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> Indeed, the major offender - the one who has extreme spelling challenges,
> knows exactly what he is doing. He keeps multiple addresses to avoid
> posting limits, and if you reply to his messages in a manner in which he
> disagrees with, you'll get replies from all of 'em.
>
>
cute nick
ut why provoke woger futher you are stiring ths hit that you claim to
dislike
gay bashers are not welcome anywhere that is why they use fake names
like Slow Code fortunitly it seem the only pedophiles present are your self
and
psoibly Robeson

I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans with
the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1173. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 13:50:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527a3aa$0$19713$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:eg3r7i$176u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
> kb9rqz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Michael Coslo wrote:
>>> Rick wrote:
>>
>>> Indeed, the major offender - the one who has extreme spelling
>>> challenges, knows exactly what he is doing. He keeps multiple addresses
>>> to avoid posting limits, and if you reply to his messages in a manner in
>>> which he disagrees with, you'll get replies from all of 'em.
>> not sure Mike if you are refering to me but thank you i do know what I
>> am doing OTOH you do not
>>
>> tell me how did you moderated to death effort to rep[lace RRAP go
>> did you learn when you surpess desent you kill discusioons of issues
>>
>> I never added radio NG to posting never I lleave as is since if I am
>> libled in the post I want the response to go to all of them I was
>> libeled in
>>
>> prehaps mike is you had foucsed your anager on theose using slibel and
>> chrater assignation years ago instead of tolerating and rewarding it
>> things would not be so bad
>>
>> but of course NOTHING is your responsiblity even in part
>
>
>
>
> And there you have it!
>
> Thanks for proving my point Mr. Morgan. I don't really know why you do
> what you do, but for whatever reason you do it.
>
> _73 de mike KB3EIA -
>
>
I have apologized to the NG. I also have stated what it will take to
stop such behavoir so go talk to Robeson he was accusing Todd of both right
I returned to ng he ha accused in
my absense Hans of both pedo- and Zoo- phlia. he accused BB of child
molesting

I will not allow Robeson or anyone else to sence by harrassment if
that means firing back so be it

I am sorry that I have found it needfull to use such tactics I
apologize again to the NG in generalmore like 50 perscent the rest would go
with your buddy K4yz
but yes their is still magaic I play with it (although yes acess to HF
would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
themslves with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1174. Mork Moron Making A Fool Out Of Himself Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 14:26:28 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160231188.428625.18370@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167191

MorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Opus- wrote:
> >
> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> >> convey the words.
> >
> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
> >skilled in it.
>
> bull#####

That's the kind of answer that proves you're an uneducated dolt,
Morkie.

As if we NEEDED more "proof" ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

1175. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 14:28:10 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <xrmdnSGLcauyJ7rYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 167192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:

>>>> SC
>>> Actually, just make a couple of contacts in any mode. Too many stations
>>> sit unused week after week, month after month...
>
> Top posting is a sign of inexperience. You are probably a newbie on the
> net. Go to news.groups and read the FAQ on Usenet etiquette first. After
> that, come back here and post properly and I will give you my call.

Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and it was desirable
not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the same quoted
material as we progressed through a thread. Bottom posting forced everyone on
the newsgroup to fully download every article waisting tons of our very limited
bandwidth and time. Top posting allowed you to get right to the meat of the
article in the first few seconds of downloading... and it allowed you the option
of continuing to footnotes if you really needed to.

You newbies have dozens of ways of trying to impose your will on the group.
Most of your so called rules of etiquette are simply you following the bottom
posting convention that was set up as a default on internet explorer. Rn,
trn, tin, and other premicrosloth news readers defaulted to top posting.

You are so spoiled by high bandwidth and large amounts of memory that you
think that a posting arrives at your machine in its full length instantly..
and for all practical purposes, it does.

I can go either way. I do, however, get very tired of having to scroll through
the same old quoted crap at the top of post after post... just to get to the bottom
and find the highly informative, but typical, "me too" tacked onto the end.

-Chuck

1176. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 14:34:28 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160231668.321161.63150@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167193

PorkieMorkieSpamsTheNG@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:eg3r7i$176u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...

> > And there you have it!
> >
> > Thanks for proving my point Mr. Morgan. I don't really know why you do
> > what you do, but for whatever reason you do it.
>
> I have apologized to the NG.

No you haven't.

> I also have stated what it will take to
> stop such behavoir so go talk to Robeson

What I do and say has nothing to do with what YOU do, Porkie.

It's all about you, fatboy.

> he was accusing Todd of both right

I wasn't accusing Todd of anything.

I have been rubbing Todd's nose in hios own stupid comments, just
like I do you.

> I returned to ng he ha accused in
> my absense Hans of both pedo- and Zoo- phlia. he accused BB of child
> molesting

Which still ahs nothing to do with what Mike was saying.

YOU are in control of your own behaviour.

That you choose to act the way you do is YOUR choice. No one
twisted your fat, greasy arms.

> I will not allow Robeson or anyone else to sence by harrassment if
> that means firing back so be it

What you mean is that you intend to continue to spam the NG no
matter what. I have no doubt that the three or four posts I manage to
do this morning will spawn a whole new gamut of NG smut from you,
Morkie.

> I am sorry that I have found it needfull to use such tactics I
> apologize again to the NG in generalmore like 50 perscent the rest would go
> with your buddy K4yz

If you mean more than 50% of the people agree with me that you're
a dottering fool, then you'd be correct.

> but yes their is still magaic I play with it

I doubt that anything you're playing with has anything to do with
Amateur Radio.

> (although yes acess to HF
> would be a help as I learn the ins and out of EME and other VHF+ modes
> pity that progress in the ARS is opposed at every turn by hams
> themslves with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

Try and re-arrange those "words" into something comprehensible,
fatboy. You're slobbering on the keyboard again.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

1177. N9OGL Still Doesn't Get It...Responsible Adults Don't Want His Trash Around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 14:43:53 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160232233.227463.312090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> Today on the Show more talk on the ###HOLES called CONSOLIDATED
> COMMUNICATIONS and CTITECH...(SNIP)

YOU are the ###HOLE, Toiddie...Everyone that you come into contact
with your ASSININE behaviour want's NOTHING to do with it.

Furthermore, YOU continue to violate the various TOS of your
ISP's, thereby getting your service terminated time after time.

> and why they would rather listen to members of a NEO-NAZI BOARD then their own
> users...

Probably because the Neo-Nazi's use better language and don't call
them names on USENET like you do. You act like an idiot then wonder
why you keep getting the carpet pulled out from under you!

> and the possible law suit I
> might be bring to the Courts, including but not limiting
> to....(SNIP)

YaddaYaddaYadda....

You ain't bringing SQUAT to court, greaseball. The only "case"
YOU have requires Penicillin to fix.

> ALSO on tonight show...discussion on the
> Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) and how they supress speech on
> the radio and how they have ham operators do their dirty work.

"Hams" don't have to do the "dirty work", Greaseball...You do it
all on your own by VIOLATING FEDERAL LAW, YOU IDIOT!

> Todd N9OGL
> SMEGMA SCUM RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM

Wait till you make the front pages of the hometown paper over your
NAL's, Greaseball. You'll have to sell a LOT of hotdogs to pay that
off!

Steve, K4YZ

1178. IT'S QUIET TIME? Woger back in weekend jail again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 15:01:34 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.44882$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was hoping that it would be quiet, because Mark wasn't posting either. But then Robeson crawled out of the woodwork just now and all bets are off.

SC

1179. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 15:28:25 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.AAB2$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> >>>> SC
> >>> Actually, just make a couple of contacts in any mode. Too many stations
> >>> sit unused week after week, month after month...
> > >
> > > Top posting is a sign of inexperience. You are probably a newbie on the
> > > net. Go to news.groups and read the FAQ on Usenet etiquette first. After
> > > that, come back here and post properly and I will give you my call.
> >
> > Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
> > internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
> > we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and
> > it was desirable
> > not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the same quoted

Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!

My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an authoritative
statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:

"Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.

300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
connected directly to the 56K ARPANET, so don't bother to backpedal by
claiming dialup speeds. (And of course Usenet didn't even begin until
the 80's, shortly after ARPANET had ended.)

Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
than you have yet to learn.

SC

1180. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 15:39:18 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160235558.810839.313660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167197

Iitoi wrote:
> B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this
> breaking news.....
>
> Friday, October 6, 2006
> By KIMBERLY EDDS
> The Orange County Register
>
> SANTA ANA - An inmate awaiting trial on child pornography charges was
> beaten to death in a shower at the Theo Lacey Branch Jail by a group of
> fellow inmates Thursday night, officials said.
>
> John Derek Chamberlain, 41, of Mission Viejo was arrested Sept. 14 on
> suspicion of having child pornography with intent to sell. He pleaded
> not guilty Sept. 18 and his trial was scheduled for Oct. 24.
>
> Chamberlain was awaiting his trial in a section of the jail that houses
> nonviolent criminal offenders, sheriff's spokesman Jim Amormino said. He
> was in the shower at about 6:30 p.m. Thursday when an unknown number of
> inmates attacked him, he said.
>
> "They kicked him - they beat him while he was in the shower," Amormino
> said. "Then they dragged him to another location near his bunk and they
> beat him some more."
>
> Chamberlain was transported to UCI Medical Center in Orange, where he
> was pronounced dead at 7:30 p.m.
>
> "Not every move is being monitored," Amormino said. "They are being
> held for nonviolent crimes. The guard isn't standing there watching
> them shower."
>
> A total of 140 inmates were being held in that section of the jail at
> the time.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

He Had real Child porn, not cartoons...according to the state of
Illinois the images I posted on my site were in fact legal and not
child porn

Todd N9OGL

1181. Stop Bio-TERROR in America: The Government of Torture!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 15:47:03 UTC
From: nanodesigns_usa@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160236023.016582.165580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167198

AMERICA IN DANGER of its own out-of-control Bio-Terror:
Is 1984 here? Or cripping... God help us,
blinded!
Originally Secret Bio-Aerosols are misused to HARM and Torture in
U.S.A.
Tempering with pills/ medicine/ treatments to HARM and DISABLE !

Our government needs TORTURE in U.S.? See details
below...
It has your VOTE, support? Abused... for power-grab or
vicy-terror!
Transitory harm and HELL are U.S.-legal?

Did Denny Hastert cover the basterd? And other Washington
freaks?

CRITICS (political/social/cultural) are harassed and secretly
persecuted
along with their KIDS in schools/colleges, especially, during
tests/exams
(Think: Guantanamo "stress-positions" in the context of
1984-technologies)
Female FBI-informers are coerced
and abused!

Bio-Aerosols and aerosolized chemicals are used to cause:
- severe colds/coughs turning into bronchitis, eye irritation
- bacterial pneumonia/other pneumonia, shortness of breath
- surges of severe headaches, migraines, dizziness, etc.
- fainting collapses by symptoms-mimicking aerosols
- severe stomach pains (sharp, burning, nausea, etc)
- chest-wall pains, heart-muscle inflammations/trauma, etc.
WORSE:
- heart attacks under cover of second-hand smocking
- aerosolized chemicals from the death-penalty drugs
- car crashes/accidents by Vertigo-causing aerosols
- car accidents due to the fine/controlled tempering
- liver/organ failure by small-dose toxins (trace-less?)
- cancer-causing/facilitating - months-long assasinations
This "Yushchenko syndrom" CAN destabilize NATIONs
Waste, Fraud, Cronism and Abuse in HomeSec/FBI
Fabrication of Believable Info/Manufacturing of accidents

U.S. mail IS polluted in "anthrax-like" manner to HARM critics
Libraries, airplanes, hotels, offices, buses, trains - all polluted!
Remote control, off-jacket/off-book/off-paper pollutant attacks!

A network of Secret Gas-Aerosol Rooms operated in US
(in Philadelphia, Narberth, Lower Merion, PA)
(in Wilmington and Newark, DE)
(in Hampton, Williamsburg and Richmond, VA)
AND ELSEWHERE.... details below...
Freddie May/Freddie Mac likely used to buy silent cooperation
Bio-aerosols used to hurt and simulate panic attacks, etc.!
Soviets locked critics into nut-houses, now WE do the same!
Symptoms-mimicking aerosols do HARM, weak or ill people.

Echo-location/wire-taps/listening used to turn homes into cages!

Say "Stop, I care" - call Senate (202) 224-3121
House of Representatives (202) 225-3121
What IS going on in America? *Print and
Post*
Manufacturing of FEAR to grab more Power and Money, of course.
Tragedy IS used to Power Graib, while Fear is molded into profit!

Big Brother spins protection...
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Looked at this?!! Go to Google/Yahoo newsGroups:

TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms

US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms
(SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton
and Williamsburg (VA) and other places. Highly volatile aerosols with
and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways a...

Full text was at:
http://buyit.philly.com/findit/search/results.jsp?catId=krd_philenqda...

This was a new on-line ad placed in Philadelphia Inquirer and
Philadelphia Daily News on www.philly.com (see full text below)
It was about the secret aerosols rooms in US. One could find it by
searching "secret", say, in the ad section of philly.com.

Democracy can not function effectively without the informed public. As
a former NASA Langley scientist working in the area of nanotechnology
and nanoscale particles, I have been recently exposed to the
establishment of secret gas-aerosol rooms inside of United States, at
least in the Mid-Atlantic region (from Philadelphia to Virginia).
First, the highly irritant aerosols have surfaced at the NASA Langley
Research Center in several safety accidents. Investigations were
blocked, probably, because of lack of Congressional approval for such
financially-lucrative research at NASA. Later, these aerosols had been
encountered outside of NASA in Virginia, Delaware and Pennsylvania
.
This points out to yet another proliferation of originally-sensitive

technologies into domestic American life to hurt and harass people without a proper oversight and much control. This amounts to torture, extra-judicial prosecution and authoritarian intimidation tactics. The use of such irritants and the operations of the secret gas-aerosol rooms are gross violations of human and constitutional rights of American citizens and various domestic and international laws.

----------Full text of an ad from Philadelphia's phily.com----------
TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms
US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms (SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton and Williamsburg (VA). Highly volatile aerosols with and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways and eyes irritation, chest pain and inflammation. FBI and Homeland Security associates are likely operators of SARs, while collaborators may be hotel staff and landlords, who get financial/material or other incentives. US citizens and kids are victims.

Torture is any act by which severe pain, whether physical or psychological, is intentionally inflicted on a person as a means of intimidation, deterrence, revenge, punishment, sadism, or information gathering. At times, medicine and medical practitioners have been drawn into the ranks of torturers, either to judge what victims can endure, to apply treatments which will enhance torture, or as torturers in their own right. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torture

America in Danger!

America in danger -
The vice is NOT impeached.
America in danger -
The public trust is breached.

Big Brother spins protection
And fear is used to clamp.
Democracy needs action!
On which side do you stand?

Which side are you on, boys?
Which side moves you on?
Which side are you on, girls?
Which side did you wrong?
(Chorus after F. Reece)

Your church is burned by haters.
The priests to go to jail?
Your dreams are cheyned by traitors,
But hope still shines through veil.

Dust rooms came back to gas us
With neo-Naz (or Con) embrace...

New immigrants do make US!
And not the SSuper-race...

***

The Government of Torture

Our government needs TORTURE?!
It has your VOTE, and, now, support?
But peace (not vice) needs steady nurture
And trustful words of calm rapport.

Beware of loud, but FALSE, ideas
That flame a thirsty hellish torch.
Protect the old and true ideals
And Constitution's civic urge.

Yes, torture IS a hellish torch -
In bloody hands, a blinded sword,
With Constitution used to forge
All laws on rights as mere absurd!

Remember victim's silent cry
And SEE the Christ in all of us.
Don't let your government to spy
And torture with some bio-dust.

There is NO good use for evil torture!
No matter how the need is spinned
By Nixon's men, as bloody sergeants,
While truth is painfully re-skinned.

V. Haryk-Bukovynsky © VMH

1182. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 16:35:19 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iflobhma2ln17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well said.

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
> >+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator
any
> >+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
agility,
> >+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
tests of
> >+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
won't
> >+++stop the trash.
> >+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
why they
> >+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the "Theory"
taken away
> >+++too. Want to bet?
> >+++
> >+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

>
> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

1183. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 16:38:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527cb1b$0$19710$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167200

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1160235558.810839.313660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Iitoi wrote:
>> B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this
>> breaking news.....
>>
>> Friday, October 6, 2006
>> By KIMBERLY EDDS
>> The Orange County Register
>>
>> SANTA ANA - An inmate awaiting trial on child pornography charges was
>> beaten to death in a shower at the Theo Lacey Branch Jail by a group of
>> fellow inmates Thursday night, officials said.
>>
>> John Derek Chamberlain, 41, of Mission Viejo was arrested Sept. 14 on
>> suspicion of having child pornography with intent to sell. He pleaded
>> not guilty Sept. 18 and his trial was scheduled for Oct. 24.
>>
>> Chamberlain was awaiting his trial in a section of the jail that houses
>> nonviolent criminal offenders, sheriff's spokesman Jim Amormino said. He
>> was in the shower at about 6:30 p.m. Thursday when an unknown number of
>> inmates attacked him, he said.
>>
>> "They kicked him - they beat him while he was in the shower," Amormino
>> said. "Then they dragged him to another location near his bunk and they
>> beat him some more."
>>
>> Chamberlain was transported to UCI Medical Center in Orange, where he
>> was pronounced dead at 7:30 p.m.
>>
>> "Not every move is being monitored," Amormino said. "They are being
>> held for nonviolent crimes. The guard isn't standing there watching
>> them shower."
>>
>> A total of 140 inmates were being held in that section of the jail at
>> the time.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Iitoi
>

> He Had real Child porn, not cartoons...according to the state of
> Illinois the images I posted on my site were in fact legal and not
> child porn
>
> Todd N9OGL
renmember teh gay childporn you posted tood

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1184. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 16:40:08 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <-r2dnT-L-9e8RLrYnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 167201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:

>> Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
>> internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
>> we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and
>> it was desirable
>> not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the same quoted
>
> Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
>
> My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an authoritative
> statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:
>
> "Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
> at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
> current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
> Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.

Yep, those were the *backbones* of ARPANET. We had *ONE* at the University
of Maryland back in the 1970s. That leased line cost thousands of dollars
per month, and was paid for by darpa. Compare that to the backbones of today
which are measured in terrabits per second. These 56K backbones were
connected to mainframe computers that acted as concentrators, and provided
connections to other mainframes, and to thousands of users on timesharing
systems. Yes, I have that right, *thousands* of users shared a single 56K
backbone.

Those users that were local to the concentrator (eg, in the computer room)
were connected to the mainframe by various speed RS-232 lines, but those who
were on remote dial up connections were connected by good old bell 103,
110 to 300 baud modems.

> 300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
> connected directly to the 56K ARPANET,

Yep, we were on timesharing machines, Univac 1106's, 1108's, and 1140's,
and as I said, thousands of users shared a single 56K leased line into
Darpanet. Do the math, if you can't, I'll help you:

56K/1000 = 56 bps.

If there were *only* 1000 users vying for the net at the same time, they
could each pump 56bps into the backbone. But there were many many more
than that, and they weren't always needing the net all of the time.

(hence the name concentrator)

so don't bother to backpedal by

I'm sorry, I don't backpedal for idiots. I don't brake for them either.

> claiming dialup speeds. (And of course Usenet didn't even begin until
> the 80's, shortly after ARPANET had ended.)
>
> Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
> than you have yet to learn.

Riiiight! Just because you can look up darpa on a wiki somewhere, doesn't
mean you can understand what you have read.

-Chuck

1185. forgin woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:38:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cgpfi2hp8fr0djf4vo7phaabsg0h5anqbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167202

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 08:48:41 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
back to wforging woger ID theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1186. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:39:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3ipfi2hbh78a60k95nqlmbd1i2uejnb92s@4ax.com>
Source record: 167203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 09:40:45 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

forgering artill more wogerid theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1187. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:40:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5jpfi25jbgbqbq77h324pv6v4i0pohifi3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167204

On 7 Oct 2006 07:34:28 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>PorkieMorkieSpamsTheNG@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> "Michael Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>> news:eg3r7i$176u$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
>> > And there you have it!
>> >
>> > Thanks for proving my point Mr. Morgan. I don't really know why you do
>> > what you do, but for whatever reason you do it.
>>
>> I have apologized to the NG.
>
> No you haven't.
yes I have oh lying one
>
>> I also have stated what it will take to
>> stop such behavoir so go talk to Robeson
>
> What I do and say has nothing to do with what YOU do, Porkie.
>
it is the sole cause you my choice to return fire with the materail
YOU find offense

it is all about you and your years of misdeeds
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1188. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:41:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ilpfi2p6vom1uf6r3be8n69ag89g1l6mo2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 08:42:55 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

woger id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1189. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:41:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gmpfi2h5cu01etm9m8s5fou12gp3v89oa8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167206

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 12:38:49 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

if theft is a crime woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1190. stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:42:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <inpfi2hnjhfko3haaafbqjojj1v3sgpmbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 167207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 13:23:01 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

stop you welching sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1191. stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:42:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com>
Source record: 167208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

stop you welching sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1192. Re: nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:43:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hqpfi2ta8f9m1blg8t5gja3935e7djcomq@4ax.com>
Source record: 167210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 10:05:56 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
id theft is c rime
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1193. Re: tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:44:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vqpfi29jhk28gf8uhfrne25r4boqnissbb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167209

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 10:07:41 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

1194. Re:Robeson back to sling more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:44:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <erpfi29grv5viq83och4qlgukcvtldf7jv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167211

On 7 Oct 2006 07:26:28 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>MorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
back being a cyber vandal stve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1195. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:44:58 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2fbtuy@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2fbtuy@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 167236

n9ogl Wrote:
> -
> He Had real Child porn, not cartoons...according to the state of
> Illinois the images I posted on my site were in fact legal and not
> child porn
>
> Todd N9OGL
> -

While taking a shower waiting for "trail" (Mark's word), do you think Bubba, Rufus, and their friends will understand the subtle legal differences between photographed kiddie porn and cartoon kiddie porn?

The Man in the Maze
QRT at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

1196. Robeson show to cling yet more shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:45:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rspfi2193tnme96331ekv7g9ulsl1sffms@4ax.com>
Source record: 167212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 7 Oct 2006 07:43:53 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Today on the Show more talk on the ###HOLES called CONSOLIDATED
>> COMMUNICATIONS and CTITECH...(SNIP)
>
more cyber vandalism

you get more wismen everytime you post steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1197. stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:46:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fupfi2174lql5t0hb0ff2i44dj8a8c9fal@4ax.com>
Source record: 167213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:01:34 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:
stop you welching sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1198. Re: Why Does Steven Robeson Participate In Blatant Lying In Public? as proven by claiming"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:46:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fvpfi2d3b5mo18f22a080ov2u3t9438ukc@4ax.com>
Source record: 167214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 19:19:08 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1199. Re: The Truth That stev keeps lying about "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:46:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f0qfi2ps126r25k0687volve25be0mffu7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1200. Re: The Truth That steve is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:47:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n0qfi25fmphhs5uqtlaeimm3k853gaoin4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1201. Re: The WHOLE Truth That stave is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:47:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v0qfi2li8bskhg4jo6v23v8c2js2g8md22@4ax.com>
Source record: 167217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:19:50 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
>he cofessed to homosexauls and insits he never posts anything un ture
>therefore steve is a homosexual by his own words
>
>does Amy Know
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1202. Re: The Truth is that stev makes confession of homsexaul behavoir and then calls other lfruad for quoting HIM "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:47:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <61qfi2lvk58bgmp3v7ka89j9ualkua86aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 167218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 7 Sep 2006 12:18:13 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1203. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:47:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k1qfi2lb3u67nsdkar4mqn26kqq1fslmf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
>> > another mans genital
>>
>> No, that's not what I wrote.
>yes is is
>the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
>another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
>
>your shit is your shit get used to used to it homo boy
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1204. Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a homsexaul act WITH HIM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:47:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <12qfi2h4dcl88k6c98s90c5cli08e78uie@4ax.com>
Source record: 167220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Tue, 05 Sep 2006 03:13:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
>>> I would never lick anything of your of anything
>>
>>
>>> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.
>>
>>
>>
>>that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
>>something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
>>on YOUR own word
>why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
>threaten my murder over it?
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
you asked for it robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1205. Re: Please Forward Gay Personals to Morkie As Often As Possible...Maybe Then He'll Get Lucky AND Get Lost!<< more of Steve Homopbobia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:49:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u4qfi2pdcbmv3cu3hd3s62q54lbmvjco04@4ax.com>
Source record: 167221

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 11:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>On 23 Sep 2006 07:00:16 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>MorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>>> stop sppamning alt.####sucker.roger-wiseman u ashole woger dont lkie it an
>>> he is piss
>>
>> Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
>>doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
>>
>> I'm not.
>>
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
why do you constantly make sexauly based attacks steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1206. Re: Morkie Moron Publically States Sucidal Ideation why the lie robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:49:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j5qfi2pi86o2o08o8grsbap2k949q1okia@4ax.com>
Source record: 167222

On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 11:55:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>On 20 Sep 2006 00:37:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>> "And on 16 May 1999, the love of my life, My Precious Taelor, died in
>>> her sleep. Taelor was my third daughter and was born with severe birth
>>> defects and expected to not live past age two. I kept her for five
>>> years, eleven months, three weeks and one day. " from K4yz Bio on QRZ
>>>
>>> frankly that sounds rather strange and vaguely sickening
>>
>> To you it would. Not to a parent.
>>
>>> but after
>>> Steve instance on label other pedophiles one does have to that he
>>> listed his dead daughter (the 3rd) as the love of his life, not his
>>> current wife
>>
>> Only you would see it that way, fatboy.
>>
>> Better make sure your life insurance policy covers suicide,
>>Morkie. Wouldn't want to leave the man in your life without something
>>to pay your final expenses with.
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>well
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
tell the truth Robeson

if you can
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1207. Re: agins my apologizes to the NG as whole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:50:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n6qfi2tepn0g4neeoqs4t105pn6sn8c363@4ax.com>
Source record: 167223

On 4 Oct 2006 09:03:21 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>but the only thing that silcenes Robeson shit storm out bursts here is
>larger creuler and vile dose in turn Robeson knows when he post his
>lies and libel and violetes the Law that this will be the result it is
>therefore HIS doing
I note again today that Steve deins the post repleid to exist

that I have many time apologized to the new but steve is not big on
telling the truth
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1208. Re: RRAP sure is peaceful when K4YZ is away.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 17:57:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160243847.043519.256810@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "Andy the Perv Timberlake" <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:1160141162.966542.207180@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Saggytits Lee K8MN, wrote:
flaming heil does not hide your stink of your posting style stebe

and itthe stinks when you are here with pack of lies

1209. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 18:04:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160244294.175188.302620@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:

> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

and in stating that you just used the Consitution of the USA as toilet
paper

it is just that simple

the govt officail to regulate radio would derive from the "Nessary and
proper clause" the ITU treaty under the supremacy clause and the rest
to US (or the staes how are then exclude from radio regulation by the
ITU treay)
in partical the Govt has one other source of power over radio a(and
anything else they should not have) the willing of citizens to lie and
take it

The Govt had the power (and legal duty) to do code testing till 2003
when the treaty changed

the FCC has conceeded its intent we are waiting on them to push the
paper

1210. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 18:16:22 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C14D3D06.46962%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 167226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> wrote:
>
> stop you welching sc
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
Hopefully they will shut you down.

1211. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 18:22:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4527e37e$0$19726$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C14D3D06.46962%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article
> oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> wrote:
>>
>> stop you welching sc
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> Hopefully they will shut you down.

you could just admit to making a mistake but then you can't admit to
error and it would still be a lie since that shit of yours I have
encountered
is you writing on RRAP and other locations

as inded you have that with your gay bashing means you need help you asked
for it robeson

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1212. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 19:00:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <laufi25pmi43fehhgs5qt6l60n4nsb382t@4ax.com>
Source record: 167228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
wrote:

>On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>"Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> wrote:
>>
>> stop you welching sc
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
>Hopefully they will shut you down.
what abuse are you refering to?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1213. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 19:01:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gbufi25j8p456ebavadg3uv32t0ajrb0ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 167229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 14:22:52 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

more id theft it is crime you know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1214. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:21:28 UTC
From: "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
Message-ID: <45280c1e$0$25787$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 167230
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio operator
>>any
>>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
>>agility,
>>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
>>tests of
>>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
>>won't
>>+++stop the trash.
>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about why
>>they
>>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory" taken
>>away
>>+++too. Want to bet?
>>+++
>>+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>

> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

James,

Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"..... You are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs', Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be worth having.

"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not much, but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey, drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.

Fred G.

1215. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:25:08 UTC
From: William <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1160252708.499314.128580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:

> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...

Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
cross-posting to RRAA?

1216. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:40:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 7 Oct 2006 13:25:08 -0700, "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
>
>Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
>cross-posting to RRAA?
sadly the only I know I know is ilegeal and a bit extreme . it is
called homocide
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1217. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:44:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c74gi2l85cqhngn1nra1ki5jlqogqto0r2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167233
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
>news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>> wrote:

>> james
>
>James,
>
>Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"..... You
>are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
>pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
>test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
>about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
>dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
>Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
>licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
>it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
>worth having.
>
>"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal.
to you that is the case I spend 5 years on and never got a novice
license that I call a big deal
> Do I use it? Not much,
>but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
>drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
>my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be
>dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
duely marked but exactly who do you think is going to push for this?

personaly I will push to cponsolate down the number of classes which
will reduce the number of tests of course and since there will not be
as amny defferent set of band edges the number of question wiull
certainly drop further

but the only people claiming the written test will are those insistign
the code test should should not die

>
>Fred G.

>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1218. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:51:24 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ig4ogdc280le0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Interesting

"Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com> wrote in message
news:45280c1e$0$25787$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
> "james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
operator
> >>any
> >>+++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR - the Physical
> >>agility,
> >>+++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops. Those tests are only
> >>tests of
> >>+++"Proficiency" in the subject matter - NOT - "Mentality". Those tests
> >>won't
> >>+++stop the trash.
> >>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
why
> >>they
> >>+++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the "Theory"
taken
> >>away
> >>+++too. Want to bet?
> >>+++
> >>+++Fred G.
> > **********
> >
> > Fred
> >
> > The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> > decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> > fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> > ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> > coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> > burst your bubble.
> >
> > Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> > in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> > morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of

> > communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> > not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> > it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> > communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> > proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
> >
> > The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> > right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> > with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
> >
> > james
>
> James,
>
> Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"........
You
> are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
> pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
> test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
> about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
> dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
> Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
> licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
> it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
> worth having.
>
> "I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not
much,
> but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
> drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
> my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to
be
> dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
>
> Fred G.
>
>

1219. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 20:52:13 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ig4q15s1vc72d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167235

Interesting

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1160252708.499314.128580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
>
> Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
> cross-posting to RRAA?
>

1220. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:11:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tu5gi2p0ar710l0ajkc258hilckndj5891@4ax.com>
Source record: 167237

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 17:44:58 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.2fbtuy@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>n9ogl Wrote:
>> -
>> He Had real Child porn, not cartoons...according to the state of
>> Illinois the images I posted on my site were in fact legal and not
>> child porn
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> -
>
>While taking a shower waiting for "trail" (Mark's word), do you think
>Bubba, Rufus, and their friends will understand the subtle legal
>differences between photographed kiddie porn and cartoon kiddie porn?
first he would have to be arr4ested which is unlikely

and you just admitted tot he truth there is defference
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRT at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1221. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:19:27 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <fq5gi21t45maa818ds1eqhr5cl9veil5bb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167238
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
wrote:

>+++James,
>+++
>+++Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all that"........ You
>+++are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree to
>+++pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying NEITHER
>+++test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are" whining
>+++about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written exam
>+++dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave Drs',
>+++Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the Ham
>+++licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that, but
>+++it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't be
>+++worth having.
>+++
>+++"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not much,
>+++but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline. Hey,
>+++drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That isn't
>+++my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest to be
>+++dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
>+++
>+++Fred G.

I read your post and understood it. My beleifs is that those that will
complain about a "theory" test are those that want the license handed
to them. They don't want any kind of test. Unless the government does
that, I still hold that some form of written test is applicable.

I learned enough code and then I never touched a key again. Made two
contacts total via morse code. Never cared for it and never intend to
use it. At the time my interests were more in VHF/UHF anyways. HF was
to much like CB. He who had the biggest amp and antenna was heard.
VHF/UHF systems require more than a big amp and a big antenna.

I whined about the code requirements and still do. But I also
understand, that what ever the government determines as the standard
for obtain levels oflicensing are the rules that I ahve to play with.
As I stated I have no issues with levels of "theory". That part to me
is easier to learn.

james

1222. Top Posting J finds this al vewy vewy innewesting...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:46:02 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1160257562.060431.81170@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167239

```
J. Mc Laughlin wrote:
> Interesting
>
> "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1160252708.499314.128580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >
> > > If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
> >
> > Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
> > cross-posting to RRAA?

Top Posting J, what do you find interesting about this?
```

1223. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:46:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
> better & smarter hams.

Who is "we"?

FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late 1970s.

1224. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:58:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mh8gi2tm4im8al8pbefa1q79ptlahgglln@4ax.com>
Source record: 167241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 7 Oct 2006 14:46:14 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Who is "we"?
>
>FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>1970s.
in thereoy Jim we do make the rules, at least in the usa (outside the
usa well YMMV)

in paractice the FCC does based on what convinces it

and depite theARRL and other gruops supporting code a smale numbe rof
the people exercising our lawfull rights and duties as citizens have
maneaged to convince them otherwise

you neeed to look at that NoCode is poised to to finsihs off code
testing in the USA .

why becuase we could mount a better arguement than you your side could
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1225. ARRL is only interested in selling magazines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:06 UTC
From: Slow code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KqVVg.11323$UG4.8260@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To appliance operators.

SC

1226. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LqVVg.11324$UG4.1538@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:

> I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
> the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?

KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.

1227. Did Todd get spanked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MqVVg.11325$UG4.2276@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Still no Omega One.

SC

1228. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OqVVg.11326$UG4.2316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in
news:m_FVg.3639$gM1.3587@fed1read12:

>
> "Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
> news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> < snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
>> indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
> Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>
> I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
> Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
> (look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
> Starting over: In the first case, I swapped the space and the letter
> "e." In the second one I double-lettered the "i" instaed of the "t."
> (Another real one with "instead" in the last sentence.)
>
> The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can
> learn code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with
> something like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald
> head if you really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except
> that there's no combover for dyslexia.
>
> I have to dial the phone very carefully and I must read back phone
> numbers, as I do not translate them well among seeing, hearing, writing
> and dialing them.
>
> This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of
> things. Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything
> graphical (maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word
> games, puzzles, puns). Go figure.

You're just lazy. Lucky for you they dumbed things down so you could
slide into the Tech class.

SC

1229. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SqVVg.11328$UG4.8164@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167247
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>
>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>> There must be a reason.
>>
>>
>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW
>> makes for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so
>> we can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>
>> SC
>
> Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can
> understand.

Dumbing it down to my level would still keep it out of your reach.

How's the skip on 11 meters today?

SC

1230. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167248
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the
>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++
>>+++Fred G.
> **********
>
> Fred
>
> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> burst your bubble.
>
> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>
> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>
> james

You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the following licensing changes:

1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and pass all elements required for their license class.

2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

SC

1231. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UqVVg.11330$UG4.5757@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
>> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
>> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
>> get us better & smarter hams.
>
> Who is "we"?
>
> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> 1970s.

I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
dumbing down or simplification. They'll do what they want, but at least
we tried.

SC

1232. Re: Hey, Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WqVVg.11331$UG4.2508@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Iitoi <Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in
news:Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com:

>
> B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this
> breaking news.....
>
> Friday, October 6, 2006
> By KIMBERLY EDDS
> The Orange County Register
>
> SANTA ANA An inmate awaiting trial on child pornography charges was
> beaten to death in a shower at the Theo Lacey Branch Jail by a group of
> fellow inmates Thursday night, officials said.
>
> John Derek Chamberlain, 41, of Mission Viejo was arrested Sept. 14 on
> suspicion of having child pornography with intent to sell. He pleaded
> not guilty Sept. 18 and his trial was scheduled for Oct. 24.
>
> Chamberlain was awaiting his trial in a section of the jail that houses
> nonviolent criminal offenders, sheriff's spokesman Jim Amormino said. He
> was in the shower at about 6:30 p.m. Thursday when an unknown number of
> inmates attacked him, he said.
>
> "They kicked him they beat him while he was in the shower," Amormino
> said. "Then they dragged him to another location near his bunk and they
> beat him some more."
>
> Chamberlain was transported to UCI Medical Center in Orange, where he
> was pronounced dead at 7:30 p.m.
>
> "Not every move is being monitored," Amormino said. "They are being
> held for nonviolent crimes. The guard isn't standing there watching
> them shower."
>
> A total of 140 inmates were being held in that section of the jail at
> the time.

That guy charged. Todd don't charge for his pr0n because he
knows his best customers Mark, Billy, Roger, Steve, Lloyd and Larry
would complain if they had to pay for it.

SC

1233. Re: I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XqVVg.11332$UG4.4837@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167249

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in
news:4527952a$0$19730$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

> my blog
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

ROFLMAO

It keeps getting better & better...

SC

1234. Mark, You're a retard. Re: when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YqVVg.11333$UG4.6524@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1160181904.205698.186540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>> news:dhtdi2hj2b2mq0145f230031r7qhc4tugb@4ax.com...
>> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> Stop hijacking threads, you idiot.

> not hijacking them myself Sc hijacked them

Mark,

You provide nothing useful to the internet, you're a retard. If you were intelligent enough to post something someone could agree with or argue with you about, things would be different, but all your retarded ass can do is cut & paste one line mis-spelled babble, you contribute nothing to the group so you should keep your dumb-ass mouth shut until you have something useful to say. People may disagree with me on whether or not to keep CW, but at least it's discussion. Your posts are mindless retard cut & paste babble.

I get teased and forged by K4YZ and others too. I read the posts and have a good laugh, I don't need to follow up to them. You're too retarded to understand that and that's why they keep teasing your dumb ass.

From this point on anyone that teases your stupid ass has my blessing, I can understand after this last batch of cut & paste of yours why they do it. I tried to be as nice to you as I could, but you're just too fucking retarded to deal with.

1235. PING Roger's mom Mrs. Wiseman.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZqVVg.11334$UG4.10404@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How much does Roger pay you to say nice things about him on the internet and why don't you post for him on the weekends too? Whould he have to pay extra for that?

Thanks, 73

SC

1236. What's so bad about keeping lazy people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 21:59:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_qVVg.11335$UG4.1078@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Remember, one day you'll hear Mark or people like him on HF, unless you take a stand against dumbing down licensing. If I wanted to hear hams like KB9RQZ, I'd go buy a CB.

SC

1237. Re: agins my apologizes to the NG as whole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:03:08 UTC
From: "b.b." <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1160258588.582011.170430@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167254

an old friend wrote:

> but the only thing that silcenes Robeson shit storm out bursts here is
> larger creuler and vile dose in turn

Apparently so.

> Robeson knows when he post his
> lies and libel and violetes the Law that this will be the result it is
> therefore HIS doing

Welp, he has no SEVEN hostile actions. He has no Marine career. He has no CAP career, and he has no Tennessee Guard career. He wears a white dress, he chases ambulances, and he uses his deceased daughter as a crutch.

1238. Re: Stop Bio-TERROR in America: The Government of Torture!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:05:04 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160258704.173274.21740@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

nanodesigns_usa@yahoo.com wrote:
> AMERICA IN DANGER of its own out-of-control Bio-Terror:
> Is 1984 here? Or cripping... God help us,
> blinded!
> Originally Secret Bio-Aerosols are misused to HARM and Torture in
> U.S.A.
> Tempering with pills/ medicine/ treatments to HARM and DISABLE !
>
> Our government needs TORTURE in U.S.? See details
> below...
> It has your VOTE, support? Abused... for power-grab or
> vicy-terror!
> Transitory harm and HELL are U.S.-legal?
>
> Did Denny Hastert cover the basterd? And other Washington
> freaks?
>
> CRITICS (political/social/cultural) are harassed and secretly
> persecuted
> along with their KIDS in schools/colleges, especially, during
> tests/exams
> (Think: Guantanamo "stress-positions" in the context of
> 1984-technologies)
> Female FBI-informers are coerced
> and abused!
>
> Bio-Aerosols and aerosolized chemicals are used to cause:
> - severe colds/coughs turning into bronchitis, eye irritation
> - bacterial pneumonia/other pneumonia, shortness of breath
> - surges of severe headaches, migraines, dizziness, etc.
> - fainting collapses by symptoms-mimicking aerosols
> - severe stomach pains (sharp, burning, nausea, etc)
> - chest-wall pains, heart-muscle inflammations/trauma, etc.
> WORSE:
> - heart attacks under cover of second-hand smocking
> - aerosolized chemicals from the death-penalty drugs
> - car crashes/accidents by Vertigo-causing aerosols
> - car accidents due to the fine/controlled tempering
> - liver/organ failure by small-dose toxins (trace-less?)
> - cancer-causing/facilitating - months-long assasinations
> This "Yushchenko syndrom" CAN destabilize NATIONs
> Waste, Fraud, Cronism and Abuse in HomeSec/FBI
> Fabrication of Believable Info/Manufacturing of accidents

>
> U.S. mail IS polluted in "anthrax-like" manner to HARM critics
> Libraries, airplanes, hotels, offices, buses, trains - all polluted!
> Remote control, off-jacket/off-book/off-paper pollutant attacks!
>
> A network of Secret Gas-Aerosol Rooms operated in US
> (in Philadelphia, Narberth, Lower Merion, PA)
> (in Wilmington and Newark, DE)
> (in Hampton, Williamsburg and Richmond, VA)
> AND ELSEWHERE.... details below...
> Freddie May/Freddie Mac likely used to buy silent cooperation
> Bio-aerosols used to hurt and simulate panic attacks, etc.!
> Soviets locked critics into nut-houses, now WE do the same!
> Symptoms-mimicking aerosols do HARM, weak or ill people.
>
> Echo-location/wire-taps/listening used to turn homes into cages!
>
> Say "Stop, I care" - call Senate (202) 224-3121
> House of Representatives (202) 225-3121
> What IS going on in America? *Print and
> Post*
> Manufacturing of FEAR to grab more Power and Money, of course.
> Tragedy IS used to Power Graib, while Fear is molded into profit!
>
> Big Brother spins protection...
> And fear is used to clamp.
> Democracy needs action!
> On which side do you stand?
>
> Looked at this?!! Go to Google/Yahoo newsGroups:
> ---
>
> TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms
>
> US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms
> (SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton
> and Williamsburg (VA) and other places. Highly volatile aerosols with
> and without bacterial content cause dry cough, airways a...
>
> Full text was at:
> http://buyit.philly.com/findit/search/results.jsp?catId=krd_philenqda...
>
> This was a new on-line ad placed in Philadelphia Inquirer and
> Philadelphia Daily News on www.philly.com (see full text below)
> It was about the secret aerosols rooms in US. One could find it by
> searching "secret", say, in the ad section of philly.com.
>
> Democracy can not function effectively without the informed public. As
> a former NASA Langley scientist working in the area of nanotechnology
> and nanoscale particles, I have been recently exposed to the

> establishment of secret gas-aerosol rooms inside of United States, at
> least in the Mid-Atlantic region (from Philadelphia to Virginia).
> First, the highly irritant aerosols have surfaced at the NASA Langley
> Research Center in several safety accidents. Investigations were
> blocked, probably, because of lack of Congressional approval for such
> financially-lucrative research at NASA. Later, these aerosols had been
> encountered outside of NASA in Virginia, Delaware and Pennsylvania
> .
> This points out to yet another proliferation of originally-sensitive
> technologies into domestic American life to hurt and harass people
> without a proper oversight and much control. This amounts to torture,
> extra-judicial prosecution and authoritarian intimidation tactics. The
> use of such irritants and the operations of the secret gas-aerosol
> rooms are gross violations of human and constitutional rights of
> American citizens and various domestic and international laws.
>
> ------------------Full text of an ad from Philadelphia's
> philly.com--------------------------
> TORTURE IN USA: Secret Aerosol Rooms
> US Scientist needs legal help dealing with the secret aerosols rooms
> (SARs) operated illegally in Philadelphia area, Newark (DE), Hampton
> and Williamsburg (VA). Highly volatile aerosols with and without
> bacterial content cause dry cough, airways and eyes irritation, chest
> pain and inflammation. FBI and Homeland Security associates are likely
> operators of SARs, while collaborators may be hotel staff and
> landlords, who get financial/material or other incentives. US citizens
> and kids are victims.
> ----------------------------
>
> Torture is any act by which severe pain, whether physical or
> psychological, is intentionally inflicted on a person as a means of
> intimidation, deterrence, revenge, punishment, sadism, or information
> gathering. At times, medicine and medical practitioners have been drawn
> into the ranks of torturers, either to judge what victims can endure,
> to apply treatments which will enhance torture, or as torturers in
> their own right. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torture
>
> America in Danger!
>
> America in danger -
> The vice is NOT impeached.
> America in danger -
> The public trust is breached.
>
> Big Brother spins protection
> And fear is used to clamp.
> Democracy needs action!
> On which side do you stand?
>
>

> Which side are you on, boys?
> Which side moves you on?
> Which side are you on, girls?
> Which side did you wrong?
> (Chorus after F. Reece)
>
> Your church is burned by haters.
> The priests to go to jail?
> Your dreams are cheyned by traitors,
> But hope still shines through veil.
>
>
> Dust rooms came back to gas us
> With neo-Naz (or Con) embrace...
> New immigrants do make US!
> And not the SSuper-race...
>
> ***
>
> The Government of Torture
>
> Our government needs TORTURE?!
> It has your VOTE, and, now, support?
> But peace (not vice) needs steady nurture
> And trustful words of calm rapport.
>
> Beware of loud, but FALSE, ideas
> That flame a thirsty hellish torch.
> Protect the old and true ideals
> And Constitution's civic urge.
>
> Yes, torture IS a hellish torch -
> In bloody hands, a blinded sword,
> With Constitution used to forge
> All laws on rights as mere absurd!
>
> Remember victim's silent cry
> And SEE the Christ in all of us.
> Don't let your government to spy
> And torture with some bio-dust.
>
> There is NO good use for evil torture!
> No matter how the need is spinned
> By Nixon's men, as bloody sergeants,
> While truth is painfully re-skinned.
>
> V. Haryk-Bukovynsky © VMH

GO EAT SC'S SHIT.

1239. Re: Did Todd get spanked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:08:12 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160258892.426478.285010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, were on FM now..88.3 FM

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

Slow Code wrote:
> Still no Omega One.
>
> SC

1240. KOOKS ARE FAGGOTS AND HAVE NO BALLS! COWARDS!!!

> at the Springer show, and we will produce the contact
> information and the actual identity of that person in the
> unlikely event you ever manage to get any of us into a courtroom.
> The reason we did not give out the identity of the Springer
> Producer, is to keep YOU, Gordon Roy Parker, from harassing
> them, like you always do.
>
> Here is a repost of the original post describing our communication:
>
> ==========
> ==========
>
> Date: 22 May 2006 22:48:55 -0000
> Message-ID: <2I71M60338859.7839699074@anonymous>
> From: EditorialStaff
> Subject: The Jerry Springer Challenge that Gordo ducked...
> Newsgroups: alt.seduction.fast,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.seduction.outfoxing
> Lines: 152
>
> Here is the email we sent to a producer of the Jerry Springer Show:
>
> To: springerproducer...@XXXXXX.com
> From: TheEditorialStaff
> Subject: A show proposal...
> Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 17:11:07 +0000
>
> Dear Producer Xxxxxxxxxx:
>
> We are writing to you to propose a possible show for
> Mr. Springer.
>
> Recently, on the Internet Newsgroup "alt.seduction.fast"
> there has been a disagreement between two parties, one
> claiming to be a "guru" of seducing women, and the other,
> (who is not a follower of the self-styled "guru") over
> the viability of a man maintaining relationships with more
> than one woman at a time.
>
> The "guru" challenged the other person to produce a woman
> "in a public place" who would agree that it was acceptable
> for a man to have more than one relationship at a time, and
> the other person accepted. At this point, the "guru" began
> to change the conditions of the challenge, declaring that
> the only way it would be acceptable, would be to appear on
> your television program.
>
> We offered to be an intermediary between the two, and to act
> as a liason, between your television program and the two
> individuals. The argument between them has been volatile at
> times, to the point of litigation being threatened on more

> than one occasion. We can suggest to you that with proper
> publicity, there would be a solid viewership of this episode
> of your program.
>
> We are not joking.
>
> We are perfectly serious in making this suggestion. In the
> event that you do produce a show around this topic, we can
> suggest that you would have a solid viewership, especially
> if it were advertised on the usenet newsgroup
> "alt.seduction.fast". There are persons who post there who
> are also members of several other forums, all of whom would
> be interested in promoting or possibly participating in this
> program.
>
> We would like to know if it would be possible for you to
> contact these individuals, and express your interest in
> creating an episode of your show, about men who create
> techniques to "seduce" women. The one "guru" who would be
> the most difficult to get to agree to appear on your program
> has claimed to have written several books on the subject,
> and advertises his product as being able to teach a man to
> obtain "total sexual control" over any woman. He also
> advertises hypnotic control material, as well as erotic
> hypnosis materials. He claims to be a business rival to
> other entrepreneurs in the market of teaching men how to
> meet women.
>
> We are extremely interested in creating the possibility of
> getting these two persons together on your program, along
> with the girlfriend of the one who disagreed with the
> self-styled "seduction guru".
>
> We have complete contact information from both of them,
> and would be willing to forward that information to you
> to arrange a line of communication between yourself, and
> both parties.
>
> Please reply by return email.
>
> Cordially,
> The Editorial Staff
>
> ---
>
> Here is the reply we received from the Jerry Springer people:
>
> To: TheEditorialStaff
> Subject: Re: A show proposal...
> Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 13:42:37 EST

> Return-Path: <springerprodu...@xxxxx.com>
>
> Dear Editorial Staff:
>
> We are interested in creating an episode around this
> disagreement. However, if you are referring to the
> person who calls himself "Ray Gordon" in your message,
> we are not likely to be able to do so.
>
> We are very familiar with Mr. Gordon, and already have
> his contact information. We have in fact contacted him
> on two separate occasions over the weekend to attempt to
> confirm his willingness to participate in such a program.
>
> The first call resulted in a demand to stop "harassing"
> him, and the second was a hysterical diatribe threatening
> legal action if we did not stop calling. Unless you are
> able to have him contact us we will not be able to proceed
> with planning any such episode of our show.
>
> Please call my associate producer on our toll free number
> if you are able to have either party speak to us:
>
> 888 ### 53##
>
> Thanks, speak with you soon.
>
> Producer Xxxxxxxxxx
>
> ---
>
> One of the Editors called this producer, and had a lengthy chat
> about the challenge, how it had been made, and how it ended up
> being ducked by Raimundo Bizarro. The posts were forwarded to the
> producer, and in fact, the producer logged onto the newsgroup to
> read them while we were on the phone. All in all, everyone had a
> good laugh, and aside from those two attempts to contact Gordito
> Bozo, nothing ever came of that challenge either.
>
> He ducks them all like the pink-pantied coward that he is.
>
> He will continue to change the conditions of this challenge,
> and Mystery will end up losing patience with the Mental Case
> that is harassing him, and nothing will come of it, for the
> simple reason that Ray-Ray the Dancing Eunuch isn't worth
> Mystery's time or effort. We all know it, and more importantly,
> the Impotent Imbecile knows it.
>
>
> --

> The Editorial Staff
>
> ==========
> ==========
>
> If you have proof contrary to what really happened, then you are
> free to post it. Otherwise, you really don't have a leg to stand on.
>
> Gordon Roy Parker really is an impotent imbecile...
>
> --
> The Editorial Staff
> -----
> This post is an expression of our Constitutionally protected
> right to Freedom of Speech.
> Freedom of Speech is WORTHLESS without Social Responsibility.
>
> - If the fires of freedom and civil liberties burn low in
> other lands, they must be made brighter in our own. If
> in other lands the press and books and literature of all
> kinds are censored, we must redouble our efforts here to
> keep them free.
> - Franklin Delano Roosevelt
>
> - Free speech is intended to protect the controversial and
> even outrageous word; and not just comforting platitudes
> too mundane to need protection.
> - Gen. Colin Powell
>
> - A person has the obligation to listen to the views of others
> even if, and especially when it is the truth, freely spoken
> - Thomas Jefferson
>
> - A nation that is afraid to let its people judge the truth
> and falsehood in an open market is afraid of its people.
> - John Fitzgerald Kennedy
>
> - Free speech carries with it some freedom to listen.
> - Justice Warren Burger
>
> - It is that every man should have the privilege, unmolested
> and uncriticized to utter the real convictions of his mind.
> - Woodrow Wilson
>
>
>
> -=-
> This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

1241. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:16:56 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ok9gi25neab3dqndj21mbhsrs40h0jc9iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code, who gives a crap what you think I have never seen your call sign. You are a phony blowhard. The code is done. What the hell are you going to do about it except whine on here every day. What is your call? You are such a proud ham.

On 7 Oct 2006 14:46:14 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
>Who is "we"?
>
>FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>1970s.

1242. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:29:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
>< snip >
>
>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>
>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>
>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>
>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)

That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.

>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>combover for dyslexia.

Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.

There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never
could get the hang of paddles.)

>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>puns). Go figure.

Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

1243. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:29:46 UTC
From: William <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1160260186.358502.106570@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:kPgVg.7753$TV3.3532@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire? Ham
> >> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around without
> >> a spare tire.
> >
> > I drive my Harley around all the time without a spare tire.
> > I don't wear a seat belt either. What's wrong with that?
>
>
> Cecil, you've been like that since day one. I knew years ago arguing with
> you that you'd never change, I don't know why I still argue.

I don't know why you bother.

> You like CW,
> but when anyone has ever made an arguement for keeping the code
> requirement you tease them.

The Code TEST requirement....

> SC

Slow, you're not only slow in code...

1244. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:37:47 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <Bifhnn.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 12:40:08 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
>
> >> Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
> >> internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
> >> we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and
> >> it was desirable
> >> not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the
> same quoted
> >
> > Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
> >
> > My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an authoritative
> > statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:
> >
> > "Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
> > at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
> > current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
> > Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.
>
> Yep, those were the *backbones* of ARPANET. We had *ONE* at the University
> of Maryland back in the 1970s. That leased line cost thousands of dollars
> per month, and was paid for by darpa. Compare that to the backbones of today
> which are measured in terrabits per second. These 56K backbones were
> connected to mainframe computers that acted as concentrators, and provided
> connections to other mainframes, and to thousands of users on timesharing
> systems. Yes, I have that right, *thousands* of users shared a single 56K
> backbone.
>
> Those users that were local to the concentrator (eg, in the computer room)
> were connected to the mainframe by various speed RS-232 lines, but those who
> were on remote dial up connections were connected by good old bell 103,
> 110 to 300 baud modems.
>
> > 300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
> > connected directly to the 56K ARPANET,
>
> Yep, we were on timesharing machines, Univac 1106's, 1108's, and 1140's,
> and as I said, thousands of users shared a single 56K leased line into
> Darpanet. Do the math, if you can't, I'll help you:
>
> 56K/1000 = 56 bps.

So you think that 1000 users at your location were always using
ARPANET simultaneously? Please don't tell me that someone gave you an
engineering degree, because you obviously didn't understand the course
work.

> If there were *only* 1000 users vying for the net at the same time, they
> could each pump 56bps into the backbone. But there were many many more
> than that, and they weren't always needing the net all of the time.
> (hence the name concentrator)

> > Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
> > than you have yet to learn.
>
> Riiiight! Just because you can look up darpa on a wiki somewhere, doesn't
> mean you can understand what you have read.

Comer's books on TCP/IP are standards, and they don't involve a wiki.
They happen to be in my library of reference textbooks, and they
happen to be standard references kept in all university libraries.

The claim about slow speeds on ARPANET and Usenet is quite stupid.
ARPANET and Usenet did not exist at the same time. ARPANET didn't use
TCP/IP protocol, and it gave way to what is now called the Internet
when TCP/IP became the standard packet delivery protocol. Shortly
after that, the message posting system called Usenet was formalized to
run on the Internet and on several other message delivery systems
(such as UUCP). So your reference to ARPANET is simply irrelevant.
ARPANET and Usenet never coexisted, making the claims about 300 bps
and other speed limitations total BS intended to impress the ignorant.

Enough said. Conversation ended. You don't seem to be worth any
followup messages until you do some additional reading.

SC

1245. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:39:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160260761.646122.155030@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> >> get us better & smarter hams.
> >
> > Who is "we"?
> >
> > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > 1970s.

> I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> dumbing down or simplification.

That's not how it works. What does "we won't go for anymore dumbing
down or simplification" mean, specifically? Those terms mean nothing to
FCC - they want specifics.

For example, you might consider the reduction of the General and Extra
to 5 wpm to be "dumbing down". But way back in 1990, FCC made those
licenses available with just 5 wpm code and a medical waiver. FCC has
said, publicly, that they see no difference between medically-waivered
"5 wpm" hams and those who passed 13 and 20 wpm when it comes to
enforcement issues. How do you respond to that argument?

Way back in the early 1980s, a president with initials RR was elected
in part on the promise to "get government off your back". That
translated to less regulation and simpler regulation, as well as less
money for "legacy" government agencies like FCC. So they have gone for
simpler and easier ever since.

> They'll do what they want, but at least
> we tried.
>
Did you comment on the recent Notices of Proposed Rulemaking? Or the
various proposals that FCC accepted comments on?

That's how FCC accepts input.

And when the various proposals have been presented, the majority of those commenting support Morse Code testing, and relevant written testing.

But they aren't an overwhelming majority. 55% is about the max.

And FCC doesn't have to do what the majority wants. They decide what to do based on a whole bunch of factors. Comments are only one factor.

1246. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:40:21 UTC
From: William <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1160260821.231155.189750@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Oct 2006 13:25:08 -0700, "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
> >
> >Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
> >cross-posting to RRAA?

> sadly the only I know I know is ilegeal and a bit extreme . it is
> called homocide

He could do the honorable thing and drive himself into the river on his
way home from work. It'll look like an accident, and his familly will
get to collect the insurance.

1247. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 22:59:20 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <11324$UG43.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
> news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:
>
> > I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
> > the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>
>
> KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.

You are K4YZ, and Mark Morgan knows it, Steve.

1248. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:00:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160262035.934942.262470@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
> >>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
> >>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.

No test will weed out 100% of the "bad apples". That does not mean
tests have no effect.

Would you rather that we not have driving tests, since the they
obviously don't weed out all the bad drivers?

> >>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
> >>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.

See above.

> >>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
> >>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
> >>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
> >>+++
> >>+++Fred G.
> > **********
> >
> > Fred

No need to bet.

There have already been proposals to reduce the written exams below
what they are now. Fortunately, they have been rejected by FCC - so
far.
>
> > The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> > decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test.

The youngest Extra I know of earned that license at the age of seven.
You don't need any sort of degree to pass the exams - nor should you.

> > In
> > fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the

> > ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> > coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
> > burst your bubble.

How would you change that?

> > Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
> > in another?

"hender"?

> > I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> > morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
> > communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
> > not wish to use that mode?

Because it's part of being a qualified operator.

> > Most hams learn morse code and then forget
> > it.

Well, some do. I don't know about "most".

How many hams learn the theory and then forget it?

> > I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> > communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> > proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

The argument seems to be this:

"Why should someone have to learn Morse Code in order to use other
modes? Let those who want to use it, learn it, and the rest not"

If you accept that argument, how do you oppose the following:

"Why should someone have to learn theory in order to use a manufactured
rig?"

"Why should someone who only wants to operate HF have to learn about
VHF and UHF?"

"Why should someone who only wants to operate QRP have to learn about
RF exposure?"

"Why should someone who only wants to use vacuum tube equipment have to
learn about solid-state?"

Etc.

In other words, why should anyone have to learn anything they don't
intend to use?
>
> > The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> > right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
> > with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> following licensing changes:

> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Why?

And who will do all the testing? There are over 650,000 US hams now. We get a couple of thousand new hams per month - that works out to about 2,000 exams per month.

If 10% of those 650,000 have to retest each year, that's 65,000 per year. Which is more than 5,400 additional exams per month.

Are *you* volunteering to do all those exams?

> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Sell it to FCC.

> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Sell it to FCC.

> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? Does a person forget that much in a year?

And who will do all the additional testing?

1249. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:06:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160262380.076958.260810@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> >> get us better & smarter hams.
> >
> > Who is "we"?

Who is Robesin?

> > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > 1970s.

So what's the hold up? Code has nothing to do with intelligence,
not6hing to do with dumbness.

> I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> dumbing down or simplification.

Do you plan to take up arms against your government if they drop the
code?

> They'll do what they want, but at least
> we tried.
>
> SC

You tried to be a Luddite...

1250. Re: KOOKS ARE FAGGOTS AND HAVE NO BALLS! COWARDS!!!

1251. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:22:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160263348.950430.20860@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> > news:1160257574.462773.179740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
> > >> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up
> > >> their code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't
> > >> need to ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will
> > >> get us better & smarter hams.
> > >
> > > Who is "we"?
> > >
> > > FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> > > more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> > > license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> > > little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> > > 1970s.
>
> > I know, but we can send them emails and tell them we won't go for anymore
> > dumbing down or simplification.
>
> That's not how it works. What does "we won't go for anymore dumbing
> down or simplification" mean, specifically? Those terms mean nothing to
> FCC - they want specifics.

Sounds like the "Whiskey Rebellion" talking.

> For example, you might consider the reduction of the General and Extra
> to 5 wpm to be "dumbing down". But way back in 1990, FCC made those
> licenses available with just 5 wpm code and a medical waiver. FCC has
> said, publicly, that they see no difference between medically-waivered
> "5 wpm" hams and those who passed 13 and 20 wpm when it comes to
> enforcement issues. How do you respond to that argument?

Remember that King Hussein of Jordan is no longer with us.

> Way back in the early 1980s, a president with initials RR

Jim, are you not able to say, "Ronald Reagan?" You are such a useless
liberal...

> was elected
> in part on the promise to "get government off your back". That

> translated to less regulation and simpler regulation, as well as less
> money for "legacy" government agencies like FCC. So they have gone for
> simpler and easier ever since.

Ditto amateurs building their own equipment... they're still building
tube gear...

> > They'll do what they want, but at least
> > we tried.
> >
> Did you comment on the recent Notices of Proposed Rulemaking? Or the
> various proposals that FCC accepted comments on?

Nope. No comments from "Slow Code."

> That's how FCC accepts input.

Slow is a little slow on the uptake. Others mitght know him as W3RV.

> And when the various proposals have been presented, the majority of
> those commenting support Morse Code testing, and relevant written
> testing.

So?

> But they aren't an overwhelming majority. 55% is about the max.

They asked for a concensus. The ARRL refused to give them one.

> And FCC doesn't have to do what the majority wants. They decide what to
> do based on a whole bunch of factors. Comments are only one factor.

Obviously the ARS isn't a popularity contest. Hopefully its not a
"Good Old Boys" club either.

1252. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:25:33 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com>
Source record: 167269
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>+++
>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>+++> wrote:
>+++>
>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>+++>>+++
>+++>>+++Fred G.
>+++> ********************
>+++>
>+++> Fred
>+++>
>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>+++> burst your bubble.
>+++>
>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>+++>
>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

>+++>
>+++> james
>+++
>+++
>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>+++following licensing changes:
>+++
>+++
>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>+++
****

Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The government would then be forced to charge for licensing.

>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>+++
****

Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a weed out than anything else.

>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>+++
****

The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to be more selective as to who may be granted a license.

>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges. This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path. Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers, go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have become the problem.

james

1253. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:44:41 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igetd97h6usab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
> >news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
> >
> >< snip >
> >
> >> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale
indications
> >> that the operator is dyslexic?
> >
> >Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
> >
> >I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
> >
> >Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
> >(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.
>
> >The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can
learn
> >code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with
something
> >like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
> >really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's
no
> >combover for dyslexia.
>
> Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
> which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
> posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
> although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
> years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.
>
> There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never

> could get the hang of paddles.)
>
> >This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of
things.
> >Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical
> >(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
> >puns). Go figure.
>
> Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
> shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
> the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

1254. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:45:41 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igev93i7qvue9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Interesting

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:fq5gi21t45maa818ds1eqhr5cl9veil5bb@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 16:21:28 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> wrote:
>
> >+++James,
> >+++
> >+++Rethink what I wrote. I'm not claiming the tests are "all
that"........ You
> >+++are right, they ARE dummied down and NO, you do NOT need an AA degree
to
> >+++pass the Extra. Just some diligent studying. I was merely saying
NEITHER
> >+++test will eliminate the crap - for starters. BUT those who "are"
whining
> >+++about the code - if they get their wish, will then want the written
exam
> >+++dropped too. In other words - FREE - uninhibited passage. IF we gave
Drs',
> >+++Lawyers, Drivers, Pilots and other licenses away like some want the
Ham
> >+++licenses handed out, where would we be? Ham radio may not be all that,
but
> >+++it is what it is. Anything more stripped away from it - and it won't
be
> >+++worth having.
> >+++
> >+++"I" had to learn the code, it really is no big deal. Do I use it? Not
much,
> >+++but I'm still glad I learned it. It was a matter of self discipline.
Hey,
> >+++drop the code......Who am "I" to force it down anyone's throat? That
isn't
> >+++my aim or argument. Again - you're going to see the push for the rest
to be
> >+++dropped too - some just aren't satisfied. Mark my words.
> >+++
> >+++Fred G.
>
> I read your post and understood it. My beleifs is that those that will

> complain about a "theory" test are those that want the license handed
> to them. They don't want any kind of test. Unless the government does
> that, I still hold that some form of written test is applicable.
>
> I learned enough code and then I never touched a key again. Made two
> contacts total via morse code. Never cared for it and never intend to
> use it. At the time my interests were more in VHF/UHF anyways. HF was
> to much like CB. He who had the biggest amp and antenna was heard.
> VHF/UHF systems require more than a big amp and a big antenna.
>
> I whined about the code requirements and still do. But I also
> understand, that what ever the government determines as the standard
> for obtain levels oflicensing are the rules that I ahve to play with.
> As I stated I have no issues with levels of "theory". That part to me
> is easier to learn.
>
> james

1255. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:46:28 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igf0osr3b6f1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167272

```
Interesting
"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1160260821.231155.189750@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 7 Oct 2006 13:25:08 -0700, "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Slow Code wrote:
> > >
> > > >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
> > >
> > > >Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
> > > >cross-posting to RRAA?
>
> > sadly the only I know I know is ilegeal and a bit extreme . it is
> > called homocide
>
> He could do the honorable thing and drive himself into the river on his
> way home from work. It'll look like an accident, and his familly will
> get to collect the insurance.
>
```

1256. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:47:13 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igf25as0mei4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Interesting
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> >+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
> >+++
> >+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
> >+++> wrote:
> >+++>
> >+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
> >+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
OR -
> >+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad
cops.
> >+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject
matter -
> >+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
> >+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch
about
> >+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
> >+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
> >+++>>+++
> >+++>>+++Fred G.
> >+++> ********************
> >+++>
> >+++> Fred
> >+++>
> >+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
> >+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
> >+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
the
> >+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
> >+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
to
> >+++> burst your bubble.
> >+++>
> >+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender
operation
> >+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
> >+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
```

> >+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that
do
> >+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then
forget
> >+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
> >+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
> >+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
> >+++>
> >+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
> >+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is
fine
> >+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
> >+++>
> >+++> james
> >+++
> >+++
> >+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> >+++following licensing changes:
> >+++
> >+++
> >+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> >+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
> >+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.
>
> >+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
> >+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.
>
> >+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.
>
>
> >+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.

> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some
> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.
> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.
>
> james

1257. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:48:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <16fgi2hlktu5a1uk5k3btvfqq8qck7f17l@4ax.com>
Source record: 167275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 18:29:07 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
><salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Jack Ricci" <ricci@mnsi.net> wrote in message
>>news:efokcn02s86@enews3.newsguy.com...
>>
>>>< snip >
>>
>>> When listening to CW on my scanner, are there any tell-tale indications
>>> that the operator is dyslexic?
>>
>>Not trying to be funny: "Yeah, he's the one who isn't on the air."
>>
>>I have the problem and I'll never be a code op.
>>
>>Samples in typing: "Th enext time; a liitle while". Int he first case
>>(look, there's a real one I didn't plan)
>
>That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>together. "The exnt itme" looking right to you would be dyslexia.
where did you get your medical degree Klien
>
>>The problem is real and it's maddening. When somebody tells me I can learn
>>code if I really apply myself, I am tempted to go back at him with something
>>like, "True, and you could grow back the hair on your bald head if you
>>really apply yourself." Yes, it really is like that, except that there's no
>>combover for dyslexia.
>
>Except that I type like that too sometimes (and I'm not dyslexic),
>which is why, even with a spell checker I reread my posts before
>posting them. And I can still copy CW at somewhere between 15 and 20,
>although I haven't sat down to copy any long transmissions in over 20
>years - but I used to rag chew at 40+.
>
>There's a difference between a keyboard and a key or bug. (Never
>could get the hang of paddles.)
>
>>This seems to be a problem associated with the orderly sequencing of things.
>>Otherwise, I'm pretty good at math and very good at anything graphical

>>(maps, diagrams, schematics) or verbal (vocabulary, word games, puzzles,
>>puns). Go figure.
>
>Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
>shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
>the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1258. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:48:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s6fgi2pi3ar0lsdb7kr06u3g6acnphfgo8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167276
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:idDVg.43706$DU3.13118@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:aACVg.5935$Y24.1936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:4brci2pq3dbaiirh802uig1dbqenatgtcm@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> If he does have a call why is he so ashamed to give it to us?
>>>> There must be a reason.
>>>
>>>
>>> You don't need to know my callsign, all you need to know is that CW
>>> makes for more skilled amateurs and that's why we need to retain the
>>> requirement. CW also works a little as a filter to keep the sick, lame
>>> and Lazy off HF. We need to bring back the CW requirement for Techs so
>>> we can raise the intelligence level of conversations on the repeaters.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Or conversely, just dumb it down to your level so that you can
>> understand.
>
>
>Dumbing it down to my level would still keep it out of your reach.
fuuny I could reach down to your level
>
>How's the skip on 11 meters today?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1259. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:49:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <38fgi2he9i589o9g5jds5mrj0d5v7f4rvp@4ax.com>
Source record: 167277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 7 Oct 2006 15:40:21 -0700, "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Oct 2006 13:25:08 -0700, "William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
>> >
>> >Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
>> >cross-posting to RRAA?
>
>> sadly the only I know I know is ilegeal and a bit extreme . it is
>> called homocide
>
>He could do the honorable thing and drive himself into the river on his
>way home from work. It'll look like an accident, and his familly will
>get to collect the insurance.
aman could do that Slow Code can't
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1260. Re: Problem with off topic postings in antenna newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:49:45 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12igf6t7usoqded@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167274

Interesting

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1160260186.358502.106570@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:kPgVg.7753$TV3.3532@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
> >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> Do you have a spare tire in your car in case you get a flat tire?
Ham
> > >> radio without a CW requirement is like driving your car around
without
> > >> a spare tire.
> > >
> > > I drive my Harley around all the time without a spare tire.
> > > I don't wear a seat belt either. What's wrong with that?
> >
> >
> > Cecil, you've been like that since day one. I knew years ago arguing
with
> > you that you'd never change, I don't know why I still argue.
>
> I don't know why you bother.
>
> > You like CW,
> > but when anyone has ever made an arguement for keeping the code
> > requirement you tease them.
>
> The Code TEST requirement....
>
> > SC
>
> Slow, you're not only slow in code...
>

1261. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:51:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3cfgi2la50756diq6o85lq0tk4cf4pv425@4ax.com>
Source record: 167278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:
>
>> I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
>> the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>
>
>KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.
Id theft is crime Slow Code

as is fraud you are both
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1262. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:52:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4dfgi219dd9dk9mdfj7ure9g0opg5d5cg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 22:59:20 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:
>>
>> > I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
>> > the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>>
>>
>> KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.
>
>You are K4YZ, and Mark Morgan knows it, Steve.
that is the most likely call but it is onl;y high probabilty
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1263. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:52:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h5XVg.11376$UG4.3438@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167279

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
>>>> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
>>>> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
>>>> the lead out.
>>> The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
>>> used the term "aerial" in those days).
>>>
>>> It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.
>> That sounds pretty practical.
>
> For its time. Then triode vacuum tubes came along and changed things.

Yep, it didn't take very long.

>>>>> You have never 'ridden gain' in broadcasting at an audio
>>>>> control board to make "PRACTICAL" audio broadcasting...
>>>> ...that you know of.
>>>>
>>>> I have, Len. What of it?
>>> Len keeps trying to find out about my work.
>> So he thinks he can find out by guessing which things you don't do?
>
> It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
> statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
> doesn't work.

It hasn't stopped him from trying. He has never become a radio amateur
despite his several decades of self-declared "interest" in amateur radio.

> The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
> different things he's done.

He should just number them. Instead of typing all of those words over
and over, he could just type something like "62."

> Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
> in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!

If he tries a "you have never" and someone refutes it with details, Len simply clams up. If they voluntarily post material describing something they've done, Len uses that as an opportunity for insulting the poster.

>>>>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
>>>>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
>>>>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
>>>>> "practical."
>
> Note the dig at my BSEE and MSEE degrees.

The profile predicts that behavior.

> What Len doesn't realize is
> that, in the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of
> now-incredible things were once considered practical.

...and things once considered impractical or impossible are now mundane.

> For example, the very first operational general-purpose electronic
> digital computer was the ENIAC, which was built at one of my alma
> maters here in Philadelphia. Its design and construction were paid for
> (some would say "subsidized") by the U.S. Army (some would say "the
> taxpayers"). Its original stated purpose was for the calculation of
> artillery aiming information.
>
> Some may point to machines like the Colossus, Mark 1 or even the ABC as
> the "first computer". But they all lack something that ENIAC had. Some,
> like the ABC and even Babbage's Difference Engine, were never fully
> operational. Some, like the Mark 1, used relays and mechanics for
> calculation, and were not really electronic. Some were built for a
> specific task, such as breaking codes, and were not really general
> purpose. Some were partly or entirely analog, such as the Differential
> Analyzer. ENIAC was the first to do it all.
>
> ENIAC took up an enormous amount of space and power, used over 17,000
> tubes and required programming in machine language to do anything
> useful.
>
> Its complexity and sheer size meant that breakdowns were frequent. One
> solution was to never turn it off, because many failures occurred
> during turn-on and turn-off.
>
> Part of the problem was that the parts used in the original
> construction were not the most reliable possible. ENIAC was built under
> wartime restrictions, and they had to use what they could get. The
> quality of some parts, particularly common octal tubes, noticeably
> decreased over the war years because they were being made by a variety
> of companies, using inexperienced people and whatever facilities were
> available. The experienced tube companies and people were needed for
> radar and proximity fuse work, not the manufacture of 6SN7s.
>
> The reliability of ENIAC was such that it would typically run for 1 to

> 2 days before something needed fixing. Its record was only about 5 days
> of continuous operation. The folks using it got very very good at
> identifying and fixing the problems.
>
> ENIAC was never duplicated. During its development, so much was learned
> that newer machines like EDSAC, EDVAC and ultimately the UNIVAC were
> designed, rather than repeat the ENIAC design.
>
> The points of this little bit of history are these:
>
> By modern standards, or even those of 20, 30, or 40 years ago, ENIAC
> is/was totally impractical.
>
> But by the standards of its time, it was a tremendous advance.
> Calculations that took *weeks* using pre-ENIAC methods could be done in
> *seconds* using the machine. The boundaries of "numerically hard"
> calculation were pushed back enormously.
>
> Most important of all, the ENIAC was considered "practical" enough by
> the US Army. Soon after it was publically announced in 1946, the Army
> moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it was used
> for its intended purposes until 1955.

...and like ENIAC, Fessendon's feat was an advancement over what had
previously been possible.

>>>> Practicality had to be defined by the time in which something took
>>>> place. Otherwise you're left playing a game of "what if the U.S. had
>>>> the atomic bomb in 1917?"
>
> That's why I wrote the above ENIAC story. ENIAC was practical in its
> time.

> How many computers made today have a useful life as long as ENIAC?

Not many. I recall running Miniprop on an XT with no math copressor.
Forecasting took hours. I'd enter the solar flux and come back after a
movie. Now, similar programs run on a modern machine in a second or two.

> btw, in 1976, ENIAC was returned to where it was built, and a museum
> display set up with parts of it. In the 1990s, part of it was restored
> to operating condition, and some calculations done as a demonstration.
>
> I got to see and touch parts of ENIAC. Also read the papers on it. A
> machine that changed the world, made from very ordinary parts and
> techniques, assembled in a new way.

I'm glad we don't need that sort of thing today. I don't have room for
an ENIAC. I wonder if Len ever saw or touched ENIAC. Surely we'd have
heard about it by now--several times.

>>>>>> AM broadcasting was a reality by 1920.
>>>>> Superfluous minutae.
>>>> ...is your specialty, Len, but I spell it "minutia".
>>> Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.
>> Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.
>
> Typical.

>>> The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
>>> "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
>>> it's a demonstrated fact.
>> Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
>> near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.
>
> There are AM BC receivers from the 1920s that, if restored, will
> perform admirably today in their intended purpose.

...and a high quality, tube-type BC set from the 1950's sounds every bit
as good as its modern, LSI counterpart.

> Some NTSC TV sets from 60 years ago, if restored, can still be used to
> watch VHF TV. There's a website showing a 1954 RCA color set in
> operation - today. Of course HDTV will eventually replace NTSC.

Those HDTV tuner boxes are quite common. If one uses one of them ahead
of an old analong set and puts the tuner in the 480 interlaced mode, the
analong TV is useful for many more years.

>>>>> YOU have NEVER been IN broadcasting.
>>> Len keeps trying to find out about my work. Now he's reduced to posting
>>> untruths in an effort to get more information.
>> So he doesn't actually know if you've worked in broadcasting or not and
>> he has resorted to wild speculation?
>
> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
> untruths for a long time.

I'm sure you have an idea of his reasons for digging for information.

>>>> I have, Len. What of it?
>>>>
>>>>> Your amateur radio
>>>>> license does NOT permit broadcasting.
>>>> I know that. That's why I don't use it for broadcasting.
>>>> Did you know that most people in broadcasting don't have any kind of
>>>> license?
>
> Howard Stern.

That's either a really good or a really bad example, but yes.

>>> Are you sure?
>>>
>>> Ever hear of "loop modulation"?

>> There might not be anything about it on the White's page.
>
> White's is very good - for what it covers. It essentially stops long
> before WW2. Its treatment is heavy on broadcasting, light on amateurs
> and nonbroadcasting commercial operation. IMHO.

But Len refers to it as if it is the Bible. He usually follows one of
those references with some sniping at the American Radio Relay League.

>>>> Do you think there's any chance that other, more efficient techniques
>>>> were developed?

>>>>>>> Morse code was then already
>>>>>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>>>>>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.

>>>>>> While some radio operators came from the ranks of landline telegraph
>>>>>> operators, most did not, as it was predominantly young men who
>>>>>> pioneered radio in the early part of the 20th century.

>>>>> PR bullshit you fantasize.

>>> No, it's a fact. Look up the ages of pioneers like Armstrong,
>>> Fessenden, Beverage etc. in 1920. They were young men.
>>>
>>> The wireless operators on the Titanic weren't even 25 years old. They
>>> were the best Marconi could supply.
>>>
>>> Remember this classic quote?:
>>>
>>> "I've always had trouble with integrating "youngsters" in what is a
>>> primarily _adult_ skill/technique recreational activity." (Len
>>> Anderson, Sept 2, 1996)
>> I remember it well. He has written similar things more recently, though
>> they were a tad more insulting.
>
> I'm still looking for a definition of "morsemanship"

Len hasn't told us exactly what that term means. It might be a very
good thing, Jim.

>>>> Feel free to post anything at all which documents your version.

>>> Len don't *do* documentation, Dave.

>> Right. I think he sees those as "DEMANDS". Len don't do "DEMANDS".
>> So far we have from him only wild speculation, guesses and undocumented
>> claims.
>
> Not "only", Dave. There's a lot more, like Godwin-ready commentary....

He usually waffles on Waffen and sez he doesn't refer to those he
obviously means.

> Do you need to review the profile?

Len needs to review the profile. He seems powerless to avoid fulfilling
its predictions.

>>>>> You were NOT among the
>>>>> "pioneers of radio" and you have NO demographics to
>>>>> prove the ages, let alone a poll or listing showing
>>>>> that.

>>> Neither were you, Len.

>> ...but you must have found the ages of the Titanic ops from somewhere, Jim.
>
> It's pretty easy to look up the ages of those folks. Of course Len will
> not. Would ruin his rant.

Len seldom lets the truth get in the way of one of his monologues.
Witness his frequent references to the MARS assignment I never had in
Vietnam, despite the fact that I've corrected him each and every time.
That behavior is predicted in the profile.

Have you noticed that he has suddenly clammed up about my working with
NASA? He tripped over the facts I strew in his path.

>>>>> All you have is some bowdlerized, very edited
>>>>> versions of radio history from the ARRL.

>>> More untruths from Len.

>> I give him some wiggle room in referring to them as factual errors.
>
> It's an untruth. My history sources go far beyond ARRL publications.
> And ARRL history isn't "bowdlerized".

Agreed. I have numerous books on the history of radio in general and on
the history of amateur radio.

>>>> That's your story and you're sticking with it.
>>>>
>>>>> Landline telegraphy
>>>>> was already changing from manual to teleprinter by
>>>>> the year 1900. That changeover continued until the
>>>>> middle of the 1900s until ALL the landline telegraph
>>>>> circuits were either shut down or replaced by
>>>>> electromechanical teleprinters.
>>> Actually, there were still some landline telegraph operations in
>>> operation in 1969. They may have continued beyond that year.
>>>
>>>> I'm sure the guys in a landline telegraph newsgroup would be fascinated
>>>> by your account.
>>> The important point was that the use of Morse Code in radio continued
>>> long past the middle of the 20th century.

>> To be factually correct, it would have to be said that the use of Morse
>> Code in radio continues into the 21st century.
>
> Both are true. I was writing about non-amateur use of Morse Code in
> radio.
>
>>>>>> The Morse Code
>>>>>> used on landlines was "American" Morse, while that used on radio after
>>>>>> 1906 was predominantly "International" or "Continental" Morse.
>>>>> Superfluous minutae.
>>> Not superfluous at all. A landline operator knew the wrong code.
>> Though to be fair, there were a number of landline telegraphers who were
>> familiar with both codes.
>
> Yep.
>
> In fact, here in the USA, there were at least *three* codes in use
> until 1912. Besides "American" and "Continental", the US Navy had its
> own code.
>
> Even though the Berlin conference of 1906 had specified Continental for
> radio use, the USA did not universally adopt it. That all changed with
> the new radio laws of 1912.
>
>>>> That's how I like to think of your ADA tales of better than a
>>>> half-century back, except I use "minutia"
>
> Notice how Len doesn't mention any HF experience of his after ADA,
> except cb? He does still have one of the most compact Johnsons ever
> produced, too!

Ah, the tiny, dusty Johnson. I've not noticed any HF stories after what
Len calls "BIG TIME". We'd surely have remembered because they'd had
been repeated often.

>>>>> Manual telegraphy consisted of
>>>>> closing and opening a circuit. That has never changed.
>>>> Superfluous minutia.

>>> Except it's not really true.

>>> Duplex and quadruplex telegraph circuits used polarity reversal and
>>> other methods beyond on-off. Carrier was used as well - often
>>> frequency-shift.

>> Ahhhh! I should have remembered. My 9L1US 50 MHz beacon used frequency
>> shifted Morse in 1990-91.
>
>>> And the most modern communications today - fiber optics - is really
>>> nothing more than on-off keying of a light beam.

>> That's right.
>

> Packet switching is just the old telegram model reinvented.

...with a form of collision avoidance and numerous retries when the
collision avoidance doesn't work. It'd be clunky if it wasn't done at
speed.

>>>>> There are dozens, if not hundreds, of different versions
>>>>> of on-off telegraphy which have been developed, NONE of
>>>>> them modeled on either "International" or "Continental"
>>>>> AMERICAN morse code or any English-language
>>>>> representation.

>>>> Superfluous minutia.

>>>> Jim has more patience with you than I can muster.
>>>>
>>> I think you're missing the point, Dave.
>>>
>>> Len has spent more than a decade here on rrap. He's barraged rrap and
>>> the FCC with torrents of words about a simple license test - even
>>> though he is not a radio amatuer and will probably never be one.
>> Oh, I've not missed *that* point.
>
> I don't think has changed the mind of even one person about Morse Code.

I think he may have, but not from pro-code testing to anti-code testing.
It'd be the reverse.

>>> After the restructuring of 2000, it seemed like a "slam dunk" that the
>>> FCC would just drop code testing as soon as it could. Len even said he
>>> would "go for Extra right out of the box" back in January of that year.
>>> But he didn't.

>> That box was never opened. Len counted on the code test being
>> eliminated at that point.
>
> But that was illogical.

Sure it was.

> The FCC would not violate the treaty about code testing. They said so
> in the R&O for the 2000.
>
>> It didn't happen and it left him holding
>> the--box.
>
>>> In July 2003 the treaty requirement went away, and it really seemed
>>> like a "slam dunk" that code testing would soon go away in the USA.
>>>
>>> But now it's 3-1/2 years later, and despite 18 petitions and an NPRM,
>>> the rules haven't changed. FCC won't even say when they will make a
>>> decision.

>> ...and Len is not only still holding the box, he has a mug full of dried
>> egg.
>
> Len claimed he was once up to about 8 wpm with Morse Code, before he
> quit - gave up - trying to learn it.

> If that were true, why wouldn't he be able to relearn it enough to pass
> Element 1? Maybe that claim wasn't entirely true?

> Or maybe it's the *written* tests that are the problem?

Either way, he didn't even attempt going for the most basic license
class, much less the Extra. The echoes of his boast are all that's left.

>>> In fact, the old "omnibus" NPRM (04-140, IIRC) is still working its way
>>> through the system. That NPRM will almost certainly yield an R&O before
>>> the Morse Code one does. But there's no indication from FCC when the
>>> "omnibus" R&O will show up, let alone the Morse Code changes.
>>>
>>> Of course FCC will probably just drop Element 1 eventually. But they're
>>> in no hurry to do so. By the time FCC gets around to announcing its
>>> decision, Len may not have anybody to rag on about it.
>> I'm not particularly worried about Len Anderson showing up on the ham
>> bands with a shiny new Extra which he'll have obtained from a very worn
>> and tattered box.
>
> To do so would require not only a license, but assembling a station.
> Note that while Len talks endlessly about places he has worked and
> projects he has worked on, there's almost nothing about radio projects
> he has done himself, with his own money, at home.

I've noticed the talk of his workshop, but nothing about what comes out
of it.

> There's the one-tube unlicensed oscillator transmitter of 1948, his
> conversion of some ARC-5s and their sale, the store-bought ICOM
> receiver and the compact Johnson....and not much else.

Len was certainly quick to insult your homebrew gear though. I'm
interested in the little 40m receiver described in this month's QST. I
may have to build one of those.

My latest workshop efforts weren't difficult. I bought a Heathkit
HL-2200 HF amp--an SB-220 in brown clothing a couple of years back.
I got it expressly for the purpose of converting it to 6m. I had to
throw together a circuit for reducing the amplifier keying circuit from
125v to 12v at about 2ma. After that, I modified the amp plate circuit
and tuned input per a Hints and Kinks article. It didn't work as well
as I thought it should have so I disconnected the entire 80/40m coil
assembly and unwound all but 2 turns of the 20/15/10m coil. I tapped
that at 1 1/4 turn with wide copper strap and threw it back together.
It delivers 900 watts on Six.

>>> Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do? There won't be
>>> anything left for him to argue about, and nobody to argue with. So he's
>>> working on some new angles - which are really just old ones warmed up
>>> again. Meanwhile, he's obviously upset, worried and angry.

>> How is that different from the way he has always acted here?
>
> Good question.

...with an obvious answer.

>>> Len could have had an Extra with just a 5 wpm code test way back in
>>> 1990. But he didn't. That says it all.

>> Len could have had a no-code tech ages ago.
>
> The code waivers actually preceded the Technician's loss of its code
> test.
>
>> It would have provided him
>> with access to the VHF/UHF bands--the ones he says are where the action
>> should be.
>
> Says it all. All talk, no action. All hat, no cattle.

All vine, no fruit.

> See you on the air, Dave.

For sure. SS is coming up fairly soon.

Dave K8MN

1264. Re: KOOKS ARE FAGGOTS AND HAVE NO BALLS! COWARDS!!!

1265. stop the lies SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:53:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qefgi2lctmpoahnvvvfev851vcd6f010v9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:06 GMT, Slow code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>To appliance operators.
the ARRL wiil sell them to anybody that buys

stop the lies SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1266. stop the lies SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:53:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sffgi2tsda66nf44es3pb2ds562jim1c4k@4ax.com>
Source record: 167283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Still no Omega One.
stop the lies SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1267. stop the lies SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:54:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hgfgi21bkgdegtflpepjq0hkbta5evcn4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 167284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1160181904.205698.186540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> U-Know-Who wrote:
>>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>>> news:dhtdi2hj2b2mq0145f230031r7qhc4tugb@4ax.com...
>>> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> Stop hijacking threads, you idiot.
>
>> not hijacking them myself Sc hijacked them
>
>
>Mark,
>
>You provide nothing useful to the internet, you're a retard.
fuuny I provbde wotrthwhile mater to worthwhile posting of course your
are not

stop the lies SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1268. stop the lies SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:54:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <thfgi29do9d7i68u94cgo88u2bsm6dv6ud@4ax.com>
Source record: 167285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>How much does Roger pay you to say nice things about him on the internet
>and why don't you post for him on the weekends too? Whould he have to pay
>extra for that?
stop the lies SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1269. stop the lies SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:55:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fifgi2tct9ibu4r72fn85nscakbpg80i4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 167288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Remember, one day you'll hear Mark or people like him on HF, unless you
>take a stand against dumbing down licensing. If I wanted to hear hams like
>KB9RQZ, I'd go buy a CB.
>
if you want to keep the lazy off steve then turn in your license
indeed all the code lusers are lazy

stop the lies SC
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1270. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:55:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <h8XVg.11377$UG4.5754@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>> better & smarter hams.
>
> Who is "we"?
>
> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
> 1970s.

The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.

Dave K8MN

1271. KOOKS HAVE BETTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-07 23:55:57 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160265357.819638.81400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167287
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.sheep,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.democrats,rec.radio.cb

pussy than sheep

1272. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 00:02:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <60ggi2dpn4r845svqhgie2m1e90anhrpj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 23:55:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Who is "we"?
>>
>> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>> 1970s.
>
>The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
>been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
>dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.
fuuny how that is when the etesting for the stpuid stuff is being
removed lioke code testing if Wismen can manage it that is proof it is
not a measure of intelgence
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1273. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 00:29:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160267392.851652.48400@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: Chuck Harris on Sat, Oct 7 2006 12:40 pm

Thank you for the explanation. As a user on a corporate system
(RCA Corporation, EASD, Van Nuys, CA) '73 to '75, and with a
Tym-Share account (the time-sharing computer company), I concur.

>Slow Code wrote:
>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
>
>>> Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
>>> internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
>>> we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and
>>> it was desirable
>>> not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the same quoted
>
>> Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
>
>> My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an authoritative
>> statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:

[Blowcode's ears must be ringing all the time from his
"bullshit detector" going off on his own postings... :-)]

>> "Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
>> at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
>> current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
>> Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.
>
>Yep, those were the *backbones* of ARPANET. We had *ONE* at the University
>of Maryland back in the 1970s. That leased line cost thousands of dollars
>per month, and was paid for by darpa. Compare that to the backbones of today
>which are measured in terrabits per second. These 56K backbones were
>connected to mainframe computers that acted as concentrators, and provided
>connections to other mainframes, and to thousands of users on timesharing
>systems. Yes, I have that right, *thousands* of users shared a single 56K
>backbone.
>
>Those users that were local to the concentrator (eg, in the computer room)
>were connected to the mainframe by various speed RS-232 lines, but those who
>were on remote dial up connections were connected by good old bell 103,
>110 to 300 baud modems.

Heh heh heh...the "high tech" of its time, a mere 30+ years
ago. "Speedy" Teletype Model 33s (?) and "high speed" 300
baud video terminals (with local memory to print out screens

on Teletypes) where I was using it. :-)

>> 300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
>> connected directly to the 56K ARPANET,
>
>Yep, we were on timesharing machines, Univac 1106's, 1108's, and 1140's,
>and as I said, thousands of users shared a single 56K leased line into
>Darpanet. Do the math, if you can't, I'll help you:
>
>56K/1000 = 56 bps.
>
>If there were *only* 1000 users vying for the net at the same time, they
>could each pump 56bps into the backbone. But there were many many more
>than that, and they weren't always needing the net all of the time.
>(hence the name concentrator)

"Concentrators" still exist but in a vastly different form,
configuration, and specifications. As a personal computer
hobbyist since '76 I've not delved into them (no need to for
personal dial-up connections) but know many who are; they
have supplied some interesting literature on them as well as
in-person explanations.

>> so don't bother to backpedal by
>
>I'm sorry, I don't backpedal for idiots. I don't brake for them either.

Blowcode is the road kill of this newsgroup...

>> claiming dialup speeds. (And of course Usenet didn't even begin until
>> the 80's, shortly after ARPANET had ended.)
>
>> Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
>> than you have yet to learn.
>
>Riiiight! Just because you can look up darpa on a wiki somewhere, doesn't
>mean you can understand what you have read.

HAAAAAA... :-)

Blowcode has never stated his amateur license call. Perhaps
he has "forgotten" it? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1274. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 00:35:48 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160267748.910917.80330@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167292

From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> >>>>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>> >>>>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>> >>>>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>> >>>>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>> >>>>>> possible to communicate.
>
>> >>>> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
>> >>>> communication as early as 1900, and had practical long-distance
>> >>>> radiotelephony by 1906.
>
>> >>> "PRACTICAL?!?" What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
>> >>> single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
>> >>> lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?
>
>> >> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
>> >> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
>> >> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
>> >> the lead out.
>
>> > The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
>> > used the term "aerial" in those days).
>
>> > It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.
>
>> That sounds pretty practical.
>
>For its time. Then triode vacuum tubes came along and changed things.

The first triode vacuum tube (deForrest called them "audions"
in those days) was invented in 1906...same year as Reggie's
"Christmas" broadcast. :-)

At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.

Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world.

So much for your redefinition of "practical."

...and the insistence of "amateur only" subject matter in
this newsgroup. :-)

>It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
>statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
>doesn't work.

Tsk, tsk, you've TOLD ME what I should have done in the
military, yet you've never served in the military or in
the US government. I served 8 years in the US Army.
You can see and read what I did for three years there via:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

6 MB in size, takes about 19 minutes download on a dial-up
connection. Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.

>The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
>different things he's done.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" :-)

>Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
>in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!

Tsk, I have done so. All that you've displayed (via links)
is an old 70's era receiver, supposedly built for less than
$100, on Kees Talen's website "HBR" pages (HomeBrew Receiver,
after the various "HBR" articles in QST of decades ago).

>> > Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?
>
>> I surely did.
>
>Of course that limited his voice-radio operations to below 100 kHz
>(3000 meters)

Tsk, tsk, that was before 1920. 1920 is 86 years ago.

Why do you live in the past so much?

>> >>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
>> >>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
>> >>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
>> >>> "practical."
>
>Note the dig at my BSEE and MSEE degrees. What Len doesn't realize is
>that, in the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of
>now-incredible things were once considered practical.

Tom Edison thought for sure that Direct Current would be
The Way for widespread electrical power distribution. :-)

Is NOT practical now.

Academics once insisted that "current flow" was opposite
that of electron flow. Was written up in lots of textbooks.

Is NOT practical now.

Some insist that "Greenlee Chassis Punches" are necessary
for homebuilt radio construction.

Is ONLY "practical" for knocking out conduit attachment
holes in electrical power distribution boxes or some
70s-era boatanchor construction project (i.e., using
vacuum tubes and needing socket holes for same).

Greenlee is still a corporation in Rockford, IL, but they
seem to have stopped making "chassis punches" for radio
hobbyists.

>For example, the very first operational general-purpose electronic
>digital computer was the ENIAC, which was built at one of my alma
>maters here in Philadelphia. Its design and construction were paid for
>(some would say "subsidized") by the U.S. Army (some would say "the
>taxpayers"). Its original stated purpose was for the calculation of
>artillery aiming information.

"Firing Tables" those are called, Jimmie. Ever spot
artillery fall, Jimmie? Oh, you weren't IN the
military! That's right...

>Some may point to machines like the Colossus, Mark 1 or even the ABC as
>the "first computer". But they all lack something that ENIAC had. Some,
>like the ABC and even Babbage's Difference Engine, were never fully
>operational. Some, like the Mark 1, used relays and mechanics for
>calculation, and were not really electronic. Some were built for a
>specific task, such as breaking codes, and were not really general
>purpose. Some were partly or entirely analog, such as the Differential
>Analyzer. ENIAC was the first to do it all.

ENIAC "broke codes?" Really? "Did it all?" :-)

Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
(mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
for making Firing Tables during WWII.

Good old "amateur radio subject in an amateur radio
newsgroup!" :-)

>ENIAC took up an enormous amount of space and power, used over 17,000
>tubes and required programming in machine language to do anything

>useful.

Jimmie ever do any "programming in machine language?" At any
time? I have. Want me to list them? :-)

>Its complexity and sheer size meant that breakdowns were frequent. One
>solution was to never turn it off, because many failures occurred
>during turn-on and turn-off.

Good old tube filaments!

>Part of the problem was that the parts used in the original
>construction were not the most reliable possible. ENIAC was built under
>wartime restrictions, and they had to use what they could get. The
>quality of some parts, particularly common octal tubes, noticeably
>decreased over the war years because they were being made by a variety
>of companies, using inexperienced people and whatever facilities were
>available.

People reproduce without any experience. :-)

> The experienced tube companies and people were needed for
>radar and proximity fuse work, not the manufacture of 6SN7s.

Tsk, in the history of the War Production Board, the
number 1 priority went to the Manhattan Project. Second
priority was the manufacture of quartz crystal units (a
million a month total between '43 and '45). The company
that would change its corporate name to MOTOROLA (Galvin
Manufacturing) was the center of quartz production control
but Galvin also designed and built wartime radios...one
(the first handie-talkie) being done before the USA was
drawn into WW2. Heck, Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Company built
high-power transmitters (BC-339) during WW2.

What did Jimmie do during WW2? I was a schoolchild then.
Did Jimmie get his proximity fused yet back then?

>The reliability of ENIAC was such that it would typically run for 1 to
>2 days before something needed fixing. Its record was only about 5 days
>of continuous operation. The folks using it got very very good at
>identifying and fixing the problems.
>
>ENIAC was never duplicated. During its development, so much was learned
>that newer machines like EDSAC, EDVAC and ultimately the UNIVAC were
>designed, rather than repeat the ENIAC design.

ENIAC flunked. It went defunct. One of a kind.

>By modern standards, or even those of 20, 30, or 40 years ago, ENIAC
>is/was totally impractical.

Try 51 years, not just 40 years ago.

>But by the standards of its time, it was a tremendous advance.

According to Moore School PR and the Eckert-Mauchley company
that also went defunct afterwards... :-)

>Calculations that took *weeks* using pre-ENIAC methods could be done in
>*seconds* using the machine.

Now, now, you are comparing pomegranites and pumpkins. Quit
trying to compare humans operating Monroe or Friden desk
calculators for those Firing Table data tabulations with
the MINUTES it took using ENIAC.

>The boundaries of "numerically hard"
>calculation were pushed back enormously.

Tsk. It's a given that mechanical means, then electrical
means has been acknowledged as making mathematical
calculations faster since LONG before ENIAC existed.

>Most important of all, the ENIAC was considered "practical" enough by
>the US Army. Soon after it was publically announced in 1946, the Army
>moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it was used
>for its intended purposes until 1955.

The government PAID for it and now they were stuck with this
big white elephant. Probably didn't bother declaring it
"surplus" since no one wanted to buy it. :-)

>That's why I wrote the above ENIAC story.

BFD. You went to Moore, "touched" the museum piece that it is.

>How many computers made today have a useful life as long as ENIAC?

My HP Pavilion box for one. My wife's HP Pavilion for two.
One hellishly FASTER clock rate than ENIAC, enormous RAM,
ROM, and mass storage medium. Built about 4 years ago.

My Apple][Plus for three...built in 1980 sold to me in
1980...been running now and then ever since. Dinky little
clock rate of 1 MHz, a thousand times slower than the HP
Pavilions but still a lot faster than ENIAC could ever do.
A quarter of a century later it still boots up, runs
programs.

>btw, in 1976, ENIAC was returned to where it was built, and a museum
>display set up with parts of it. In the 1990s, part of it was restored
>to operating condition, and some calculations done as a demonstration.

[big Ben Stein "wowwwww..." here]

Thirty years before 1976 the Rosenwald Museum of Science and
Industry in Chicago had a working interactive tic-tac-toe
calculator made from relays. Was mounted behind glass so the
visitors could see the relays in operation. Interactive,

Jimmie, any visitor could try it without instruction. :-)

>I got to see and touch parts of ENIAC.

Wowee. I've touched the Liberty Bell at Independance Hall
in Philly. Between the two, I'd much prefer the Liberty
Bell. ENIAC is defunct. Liberty is NOT.

>Also read the papers on it. A
>machine that changed the world, made from very ordinary parts and
>techniques, assembled in a new way.

PR minutae you spout. Maybe you ought to get on a committee
to build a SHRINE for ENIAC? "All worship the Machine That
CHANGED THE WORLD!!!" :-)

>> > Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.
>
>> Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.
>
>Typical.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie lays on the MINUTAE in plural form so much
that I was correct. :-)

WTF Moore School and ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO POLICY
seems to have vanished in Jimmieworld.

>> > The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
>> > "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
>> > it's a demonstrated fact.
>
>> Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
>> near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.
>
>There are AM BC receivers from the 1920s that, if restored, will
>perform admirably today in their intended purpose.

Then let the Navy use them. :-) ["perform admirably" :-)]

>Some NTSC TV sets from 60 years ago, if restored, can still be used to
>watch VHF TV.

Why? Aren't those good for 80m "CW" transceiver parts?
[rock-bound at 3.58 MHz... :-)]

"Cost less than $100...etc., etc., etc." :-)

>Of course HDTV will eventually replace NTSC.

"Eventually?!?" The transition phase is and has been underway
NOW, Jimmie. Here in the USA, not on some "website."

Once you watch DTV in operation, side by side with an older
NTSC set, the tremendous difference in DTV can be seen AND

heard. With the truly flat-screen LCD, Plasma, or DLP display
with a wider picture than possible with NTSC, the detail and
expanse is striking with DTV.

Jimmie say "if it ain't broke, don't fix it?" Tsk, Jimmie be
the Amish of ham radio. Jimmie love horse-and-buggy comms
using morse code? [note similarity of 'horse' and 'morse']

>He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>untruths for a long time.

Tsk. Typical bluffmanship on Jimmie's part. He no say what
he do but he IMPLIES lots. Sounds like that USMC Imposter
Robeson's tactic.

Jimmie keep things SECRET. Very hush-hush. Somebody say
Jimmie know nothing, they "LIARS." Just like Robeson.

>See above about ENIAC. It was very practical, in its time - but never
>repeated.

ENIAC defuct. Flunked in reliability, flunked in architecture
(BCD accumulators/registers, not binary). NEVER repeated.
A MUSEUM PIECE.

>I'm still looking for a definition of "morsemanship"

Poor baby. Can't understand it? Post-graduate degree and
you still can't connect the dots? :-)

>My history sources go far beyond ARRL publications.
>And ARRL history isn't "bowdlerized".

ARRL carefully OMITS certain items of history and IMPLIES
amateurs are 'responsible' for all advances. :-)

Beyond the Thomas White radio history pages, Jimmie not
mention any of his "sources" that go beyond League
publications.

>I was writing about non-amateur use of Morse Code in radio.

Why Jimmie do dat? This be AMATEUR Radio newsgroup.

>Notice how Len doesn't mention any HF experience of his after ADA,
>except cb?

WRONG. Civil avionics work included HF...used in US
Aviation Radio Service. Maritime Radio Service
includes personal use of an HF SSB transceiver
(SGC-2020) two years ago. Contract work involved
DoD design and evaluation which did not need my
civilian Commercial operator license sign-off.

>To do so would require not only a license, but assembling a station.

"Plug and play" nowadays, was that way a half century
ago. :-) Collins Radio used to make whole stations,
quit the amateur radio market and still makes money.

>Note that while Len talks endlessly about places he has worked and
>projects he has worked on, there's almost nothing about radio projects
>he has done himself, with his own money, at home.

This newsgroup is Amateur Radio Policy, not Amateur Radio
Homebrew. :-)

Jimmie wanna see my home workshop? Have it digitized,
was sent to three others. Wanna see the HP 608D and
the 606 signal generators, the 60 MHz dual-channel
scopes (note plural), the 1 KW Variac below the bench?

Poor baby. Jimmie jealous? Jimmie work at just ONE
employer his whole life? Jimmie NOT serve in military.
Jimmie NOT serve in government. Jimmie "serves" the
nation by his ham radio hobby?

>There's the one-tube unlicensed oscillator transmitter of 1948, his
>conversion of some ARC-5s and their sale, the store-bought ICOM
>receiver and the compact Johnson....and not much else.

WRONG, WRONG, WRONG.

Two complete ARC-5 receiver-transmitters for 40 meters.
Conversion earned me some money on resale. I still have
one 6-9 MHz ARC-5 receiver that runs, assorted parts from
both receivers and transmitters. Did that in 1948,
not the "phonograph transmitter" built as a lark in
1947...which worked on the AM BC band and did not violate
any FCC regulations at the time. :-)

You are confused with the 1947 HF regenerative receiver
that I suppose DID 'regenerate' a bit much out a 200 foot
long wire antenna at times. :-)

Oh, my, a "store-bought Icom receiver!" Their model IC-R70.
Paid for "in cash" (check, actually) at an HRO in Van Nuys,
CA (later moved to two successive locations in Burbank, CA).
Cost about $600 then. No problem, could afford it.
Ask USMC Imposter Robeson about any of those HRO stores.
He says he's been to two of them "with friends." :-)

Would you like my old checkbook balance digitized so you
can view it for your 'verification?' How about I digitize
the receipt? Or do you want to wait for the famous
Background Check that Paul seems to want done? :-)

Oh, yeah, the "compact Johnson." The E. F. Johnson
Viking Messenger is small but not necessarily compact.
If you need some verification I can get some URLs for
CB nostalgia types for you. On the "compact johnson,"

your allusion to my penis, let's just say I've satisfied two wives and a dozen girlfriends with my "goodie woody." Would you be satisfied with my primary physician's note on its size, digitized and sent to you? Or will you wait for Paul's Background Check to verify that bit of AMATEUR RADIO POLICY you want to talk about? Hmmm? You like penises, Jimmie?

>> > Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do?

Then I will drop the advocacy of eliminating the morse code test...as I have written many times in here. There would be no NEED for advocacy of eliminating that test since it had already been eliminated in that case.

Tsk, you are SO unbelieving, all that FABRICATION about "reasons" you imagine! Poor baby.

>> Len could have had a no-code tech ages ago.

Len had a Commercial First 'Phone since 1956, has used that in many more places on the EM spectrum than are allowed to US radio amateurs. Mostly for money but some times just for fun.

>See you on the air, Dave.

Using very slow-scan ATV? Perhaps using morse code pixels? You have morse code glasses? Your Elecraft kit have a built-in spectrum analyzer? Video viewer?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1275. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 00:43:32 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160268212.051711.15250@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167293

From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 5:40 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.
>
>> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...
>
>> "FB, OM."
>
>> QRT.
>
>> "Roger who?"

< etc., ... >

Tsk, tsk, in the usual display of humorless unpleasantness,
Heil takes things out of context in order to attempt some
kind of humiliation of those he doesn't like. :-)

Here is the original exchange between Brian Burke and
myself, taken directly from very recent Google RRAP
newsgroup message storage:

==========

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 5 2006 7:20 pm
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
>> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
>> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
>> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
>> REAL telegraphic message. :-)

>Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
>using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
>infringement?

Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.

>> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
>> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
>> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic

>> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
>> laughter is not possible]

>Hi, hi!

Ho, ho! Beep, beep...

>> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
>> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
>> when they are not.

>You're 59, OM.

"FB, OM."

>> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
>> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
>> words would have worked just as well. Jargon use
>> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
>> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
>> used in different modes.

>QSL.

QRT.

>> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
>> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
>> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
>> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
>> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.

>Roger.

"Roger who?"

>> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
>> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
>> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
>> radio station or radio broadcaster.

>Every 10 minutes.

"We now pause 10 seconds for official station identification."

>> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
>> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
>> both)." Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
>> amateur radio license GRANT is about.

>10-4.

Roger that. Affirmative. Over and out.

>> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
>> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow

>> a national service to the country.

>Authenticate.

"Official"

>> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
>> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
>> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
>> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
>> important "resource" that would always "save the day
>> when all other infrastructure communications services
>> 'failed'."

>Amateur Radio Service = GI Bill.

ARRL chief a member of Joint Chiefs of Staff.

>> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
>> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.
>> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
>> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
>> written, the amateur radio service is still an
>> avocational radio activity done for personal
>> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.

>"Sorry Jim, MARS is Amateur Radio."

As Pluto went so may MARS...

>> >Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.

>> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
>> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?

>Other than reading about the amateur radio service in WWII, what does
>Jim know about THE Service?

He consults Pentagon library of morsemen.

>> You know NOTHING of military radio. You never served, never
>> worked with the military. I did both as a soldier and as a
>> civilian.

>Jim knows nothing of military radio.

Except surplus he read about.

>> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
>> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
>> technology. I've been involved with broadcasting at the
>> station end since 1956.

>I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

He not listed in SEG, Screen Extras Guild.

>> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never
>> had one. I did.

>When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

CAVU...(Code Allatime Very Universal)

>> You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
>> procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.
>> I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.

>Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

IFR. Intermittent Fantasy Regaler.

>> You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
>> and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in
>> harbors and inland waterways.

>Jim is on CH16.

Hot water?

>> You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.
>> CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
>> that. I've been doing that since 1959.

>Jim is on CH19.

10-4.

>> You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications
>> Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a
>> communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a
>> cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or
>> radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three
>> Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.

>You can reach Jim at XXX-XXX-XXXX.

He X rated now?

>> >> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> >> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

>> >Not true, Len. We're amateurs

>> Don't you forget it.

>Yowsa!

:-)

>> I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate
>> on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs. LEGAL
>> operation. In most cases of such work NO license was required
>> by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only

>> CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]

>Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

Knows all. Allatime calls others "wrong."

>> When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER
>> operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than
>> causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you
>> transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have
>> transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that
>> since 1953...53 years ago.

>Jim's Giga Hurts.

Let's take up collection to send him Preparation H.

>> What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks"
>> of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

>I prefer smooth.

Peanuts.

>> I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with
>> a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz. What have
>> YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?

>Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
>maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

Coslonaut helped Giganaut.

>> Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard
>> ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own"
>> equipment. :-)

>You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
>bought both sides of the QSO.

My bad. I QRK and QSY both.

>> Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply"
>> of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES"
>> anything of the government or contracted work by the
>> government. In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that
>> equipment!

>I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

Must be...he never subsides.

>> But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your
>> INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that
>> they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer." I will NOT
>> "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.

>Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

I have tubular capacitors for hollow-state things,
cathode ray tubes on a hot tin roof.

>> YOU don't think your remark was an "insult." You've tried
>> to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday
>> since. Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
>> experience using "my own" equipment!

>Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
>Len.

>Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

Is that why his Giga hurts?

>> YOU are NOT young, Jimmie. Face it. You've hit the
>> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
>> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.

>> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
>> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
>> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."

>But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

He is punchy.

>> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
>> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
>> for morsemanship. Manual radiotelegraphy hasn't been
>> "pioneered" by you.

>Jim is a follower.

Camp.

>> >The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago.

>> 1947. The PATENT wasn't granted immediately. :-)

>Owch!!!

>I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

Also before instant oatmeal and regularity.

>> >Amateurs were using
>> >them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.

>> Early. Like 1952. See QST or CQ (forget which) which
>> I saw at Fort Monmouth in that year. Transistors made
>> by Philco (?). Whatever it was, the transistors have
>> long been obsolete, out of production, replaced by
>> newer, better, cheaper types.

>Do they require greenlee punches?

How about we give him nice Hawaiian Punch?

>> Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state
>> ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone
>> else.

>Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

Prior to 1980...

>> Use those mighty collitch degrees, all that radio-
>> electronics "experience" in the "industry" to show us
>> what you can really do. :-)

>He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

Cribbed from Joe Speroni's website...

======================== end message quote ====================

Taken IN CONTEXT the exchange (try reading it as the
spoken word) is amusing. Of course it is one-sided.
Of course it is sarcasm, but it is WRY sarcasm based
on years of one-sided smug arrogance of morsemen in
this newsgroup against all no-code-test advocates.
This newsgroup's amateurs allow very little objectivity
and the pro-coders insist on strict adherence to THEIR
opinions...and justify their attempts at humiliation
and insult of no-code-test advocates as being "their
right" or "for the good of ham radio" or other quaint,
uncivil, but invalid rationalizations. :-)

Heil did the same OUT OF CONTEXT "quoting" of Brian
Burke, adding in his pet phrase (which Heil says is
"not" a personal insult) of "red-hatted monkey."

Heil has his own pet phrase for me, of course "not"
a personal insult in His rationalizations:

>The Old Organ Grinder, the man who is only here for CIVIL debate is
>heard from.

Tsk, tsk. I *am* a civilian. :-)

I have ground pepper but never an organ. [I have
yet to be in Fargo, ND, and would not be there
playing with a chipper in the snow... :-)]

When arguing with UNCIVIL pro-coders (such as Heil
and his out-of-context quoting uncivility) one cannot
be a polite "goody two-shoes" respondent. Especially
when the pro-coders are very concerned about
"sphincters." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1276. It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 00:52:39 UTC
From: Frauline Freda Hobbssplott <432cheflives3@coppermers.com>
Message-ID: <a67ee$45284bca$d1cc7906$12999@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 167294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

While you guys are waiting for the Great Liberty Net
(the greatest net on ham radio - Sat evenings 10:00 PM
on 3.950 LSB +/1 20kc QRM) check out the website
http://www.ve7kfm.com/ for some VERY AMUSING
audio clips of the guy from "Can-Ahhh-Duh" who loves
Americans and other peoples. Where else caoud you
get great DOWNLOADABLE SOUND FILES like
the great Sid-the-Lid talking about "nig-er ballgames"
and other stuff like this..?

Example: http://www.ve7kfm.com/niggers.mp3
"Madera's good friend Sid (BLB) discusses
niggers: "Out of five channels on, we had six nigger ballgames." "

Where else but on HAM RADIO can you get
such STELLAR ENTERTAINMENT as this ?? !!

1277. Re: IT'S QUIET TIME? Woger back in weekend jail again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 01:32:07 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c66d2.8or.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:BACVg.44882$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...

I was hoping that it would be quiet, because Mark wasn't posting
either. But then Robeson crawled out of the woodwork just now and all
bets are off.

SC
>
And that is sure to bring a flood of posts from that idiot, Mark. Darn.
It was getting so nice without Mark and Roger, too.

1278. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 01:39:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sklgi25fcab69ce6ntr1e8q5ucmd4bso1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 167296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:54:00 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>
>Welp, Mark, you just made Dave's point.
no steve I have not

Dave makes no point, he just rattels one with the sametired bs as
always
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1279. Re: IT'S QUIET TIME? Woger back in weekend jail again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 01:41:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1qlgi2hfvvh91h21cs2dgse46ddcuqcr90@4ax.com>
Source record: 167297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:32:07 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>And that is sure to bring a flood of posts from that idiot, Mark. Darn.
>It was getting so nice without Mark and Roger, too.
what NG were you reading steve surely not this one
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1280. Re: It's the Gre8t Lib Net Half-Time Shoow!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 01:53:33 UTC
From: The Late Arky Bob <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160272413.232370.204500@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Frauline Freda Hobbssplott wrote:

> Where else but on HAM RADIO can you get such STELLAR ENTERTAINMENT as this ?? !!

Sir Sidney RULES ! ! !

http://www.3950.net/ - check out Kevin's audio clips

1281. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 01:54:00 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c67m4.itt.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:60ggi2dpn4r845svqhgie2m1e90anhrpj0@4ax.com...
On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 23:55:57 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes including the Tech
>>> class, hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
>>> code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
>>> ask silly questions. So increasing the speed requirement will get us
>>> better & smarter hams.
>>
>> Who is "we"?
>>
>> FCC makes the rules. They're the ones who have to be convinced. For
>> more than 25 years, FCC has been convinced that the Amateur Radio
>> license exam requirements should be reduced. The changes have come a
>> little at a time, but the direction has been the same since the late
>> 1970s.
>
>The interesting thing is that almost all amateur radio magazines have
>been attempting to feed us the line that amateur radio is not being
>dumbed down, yet it is quite obvious that it is.
fuuny how that is when the etesting for the stpuid stuff is being
removed lioke code testing if Wismen can manage it that is proof it is
not a measure of intelgence
>
>Dave K8MN

Welp, Mark, you just made Dave's point.

1282. steve I mean rf ranting along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 02:20:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u1ogi25abq951jpks7s23n398897g29ljj@4ax.com>
Source record: 167300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 22:11:20 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:1qlgi2hfvvh91h21cs2dgse46ddcuqcr90@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:32:07 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
><DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
>
>>And that is sure to bring a flood of posts from that idiot, Mark. Darn.
>>It was getting so nice without Mark and Roger, too.
>what NG were you reading steve surely not this one
>
>>
>Yeah, right. Of course YOU'VE never flooded this group with messages, have
>you?
the NG has not been quiet I have not posted more or less than the past
few days one day steve you might learn to read or try telling the
truth
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1283. Making Dave's Point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 03:11:20 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <c6c74.u4t.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:1qlgi2hfvvh91h21cs2dgse46ddcuqcr90@4ax.com...
On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:32:07 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:

>And that is sure to bring a flood of posts from that idiot, Mark. Darn.
>It was getting so nice without Mark and Roger, too.
what NG were you reading steve surely not this one

>
Yeah, right. Of course YOU'VE never flooded this group with messages, have
you?

www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com

1284. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 03:22:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4a_Vg.8593$o71.4974@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167301

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 5:40 am
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.
>>> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...
>>> "FB, OM."
>>> QRT.
>>> "Roger who?"
>
> < etc., ... >

I don't blame you for leaving out the rest. I'd have been embarrassed
to have have written it too.

> Tsk, tsk, in the usual display of humorless unpleasantness...

I know that you intended your post to be sarcastic and perhaps even
humorous. It wasn't. It was sophomoric.

> Heil takes things out of context in order to attempt some
> kind of humiliation of those he doesn't like. :-)

It doesn't matter whether you read it in context or out, Len. Consider
my post as humor. You've exhibited your usual display of humorless
unpleasantness.

> Here is the original exchange between Brian Burke and
> myself...

Naw, let's not do that again. It was awful the first time.

> ======================== end message quote ====================
>
> Taken IN CONTEXT the exchange (try reading it as the
> spoken word) is amusing.

Others will let you know if it is amusing or not.

> Of course it is one-sided.

I fully agree.

> Of course it is sarcasm, but it is WRY sarcasm based
> on years of one-sided smug arrogance of morsemen in
> this newsgroup against all no-code-test advocates.

It is sarcastic and it is juvenile. It isn't worthy of an adult in his eighth decade.

> This newsgroup's amateurs allow very little objectivity
> and the pro-coders insist on strict adherence to THEIR
> opinions...

Awwwwwww! Did someone poke fun at the old piranha?

> ...and justify their attempts at humiliation
> and insult of no-code-test advocates as being "their
> right" or "for the good of ham radio" or other quaint,
> uncivil, but invalid rationalizations. :-)

I'm not looking for rationalizations. Your post wasn't some civil dissertation on your reasoned thoughts on removal of morse testing. No one here is bound to accept your thoughts, find amusement in them, refrain from jeering or from throwing tomatoes. :-)

> Heil did the same OUT OF CONTEXT "quoting" of Brian
> Burke, adding in his pet phrase (which Heil says is
> "not" a personal insult) of "red-hatted monkey."

Did I say it wasn't a personal insult? It is certainly an accurate description. I can't take credit for it.

> Heil has his own pet phrase for me, of course "not"
> a personal insult in His rationalizations:

I have several for you. Go into your "Herr Robust" or "Waffen SS" routine again and you'll likely see a few of 'em.

>> The Old Organ Grinder, the man who is only here for CIVIL debate is
>> heard from.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I *am* a civilian. :-)

...but you aren't civil.

> I have ground pepper but never an organ.

You grind your organ frequently right here in r.r.a.p.

> [I have
> yet to be in Fargo, ND, and would not be there
> playing with a chipper in the snow... :-)]

I wrote nothing of Fargo nor chippers. :-) :-)

> When arguing with UNCIVIL pro-coders (such as Heil
> and his out-of-context quoting uncivility) one cannot
> be a polite "goody two-shoes" respondent.

You were here when I showed up and were already not being a polite "goody two-shoes" respondent.

> Especially
> when the pro-coders are very concerned about
> "sphincters." :-)

You'll likely be asked again in light of your deliberate falsehood
concerning what it was like to undergo an artillery barrage.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

1285. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 03:24:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9qrgi21b4j71ktnl208j6jg9gici3avm7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 167302

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 03:22:40 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 5:40 am
>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.
>>>> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...
>>>> "FB, OM."
>>>> QRT.
>>>> "Roger who?"
>>
>> < etc., ... >
>
>I don't blame you for leaving out the rest. I'd have been embarrassed
>to have have written it too.
>
>> Tsk, tsk, in the usual display of humorless unpleasantness...
>
>I know that you intended your post to be sarcastic and perhaps even
>humorous. It wasn't. It was sophomoric.
>
>> Heil takes things out of context in order to attempt some
>> kind of humiliation of those he doesn't like. :-)
>
>It doesn't matter whether you read it in context or out, Len. Consider
>my post as humor. You've exhibited your usual display of humorless
>unpleasantness.
no Dave you are the one laking a sense of humor

but then you always have i found the post funny in context
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1286. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 03:53:42 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <aD_Vg.16385$5o5.28@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167303
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next you'll want the
>>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>>+++
>>>+++Fred G.
>> **********
>>
>> Fred
>>
>> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>> burst your bubble.
>>
>> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>>
>> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.

>>
>> james
>
>
> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
> following licensing changes:
>
>
> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>
> SC

Fuck the code only shit. Why should that be the only element? Why not make all amateurs retest in ALL modes, at all frequencies, et al. And what the hell, while we're at it, let's just go ahead and make it yearly. Don't let the old geezers off so easily. When a new mode is adopted, or allowed, make those bastards show proficiency there as well. That should just about do the ARS in. Why should you have it your way, SC?

1287. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 04:01:48 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <MK_Vg.16473$5o5.16030@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167304
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>+++> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
>>OR -
>>+++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad
>>cops.
>>+++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject
>>matter -
>>+++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>+++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch
>>about
>>+++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>>+++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++>>+++
>>+++>>+++Fred G.
>>+++> ********************
>>+++>
>>+++> Fred
>>+++>
>>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
>>the
>>+++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
>>to
>>+++> burst your bubble.
>>+++>
>>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>>+++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>>+++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>>+++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that
```

>>do
>>+++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>>+++> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>>+++> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>>+++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.
>>+++>
>>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>>+++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>+++>
>>+++> james
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>>+++following licensing changes:
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.
>
>>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.
>
>>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.
>
>
>>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.
> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some
> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.

> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.
>
> james

Well said. SC and his ilk are what is wrong with the ARS today. But hell, who knows when I will need morse to facilitate my rescue from a capsized cruise ship in the Gulf of Mexico. I will be the one! No one will know there are really people inside the ship, unless of course, I pound the hammer I had with me on the inside of the hull. And to boot, the Coast Guard no longer teaches morse, so hopefully SC will be there to copy.

BWAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

1288. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 04:24:32 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12>
Source record: 167305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...

< SNIP >

> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together.

I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.

What's the fix for it?

1289. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 04:28:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a8%Vg.8628$o71.2590@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167306

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> Tsk, tsk, you've TOLD ME what I should have done in the
> military...

What did Jim TELL YOU that you should have been doing, Len?
Was it something about not fabrication experience in combat?

> ....yet you've never served in the military or in
> the US government. I served 8 years in the US Army.

At ease, old soldier. I served in the military and the U.S. government.
Look what fabrications you've come up with on that.

> You can see and read what I did for three years there via:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

> 6 MB in size, takes about 19 minutes download on a dial-up
> connection. Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.

Reruns of "Look what I did".

>> The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
>> different things he's done.
>
> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" :-)

It isn't "all that", Leonard Baby.

>> Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
>> in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!
>
> Tsk, I have done so. All that you've displayed (via links)
> is an old 70's era receiver, supposedly built for less than
> $100, on Kees Talen's website "HBR" pages (HomeBrew Receiver,
> after the various "HBR" articles in QST of decades ago).

(Insert the profile of Leonard's actions here)

>>>> Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?
>>> I surely did.
>> Of course that limited his voice-radio operations to below 100 kHz
>> (3000 meters)
>
> Tsk, tsk, that was before 1920. 1920 is 86 years ago.

Your ADA sojourn began about fifty-three years back, didn't it, Len?
Why do you live in the past so much?

> Why do you live in the past so much?
>
>>>>>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
>>>>>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
>>>>>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
>>>>>> "practical."
>> Note the dig at my BSEE and MSEE degrees. What Len doesn't realize is
>> that, in the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of
>> now-incredible things were once considered practical.
>

> Some insist that "Greenlee Chassis Punches" are necessary
> for homebuilt radio construction.

Who has insisted that, Len. Feel free to use a drill and a saber saw
with a metal-cutting blade.

> Is ONLY "practical" for knocking out conduit attachment
> holes in electrical power distribution boxes or some
> 70s-era boatanchor construction project (i.e., using
> vacuum tubes and needing socket holes for same).

That's a factual error as anyone who builds linear amplifiers, builds
other electronic gear or installs a ball mount for an antenna on an
automobile can tell you.

> Greenlee is still a corporation in Rockford, IL, but they
> seem to have stopped making "chassis punches" for radio
> hobbyists.

There's another of your factual errors. Greenlee still sells chassis
punches--round ones, square ones, those shaped for D-connectors, power
sockets. There's even a hydraulic punch set. The U.S. Government buys
loads of them. The company's "hole making" product information can be
downloaded--all 7.9 mb of it.

http://www.greenlee.com/product/index.html

>
>> For example, the very first operational general-purpose electronic
>> digital computer was the ENIAC, which was built at one of my alma
>> maters here in Philadelphia. Its design and construction were paid for
>> (some would say "subsidized") by the U.S. Army (some would say "the

>> taxpayers"). Its original stated purpose was for the calculation of
>> artillery aiming information.
>
> "Firing Tables" those are called, Jimmie. Ever spot
> artillery fall, Jimmie? Oh, you weren't IN the
> military! That's right...

As I recall, you wrote a very well known piece about what it is like to undergo an artillery barrage. When and where did that take place, Len? Can your friend Gene confirm it?

>> Some may point to machines like the Colossus, Mark 1 or even the ABC as
>> the "first computer". But they all lack something that ENIAC had. Some,
>> like the ABC and even Babbage's Difference Engine, were never fully
>> operational. Some, like the Mark 1, used relays and mechanics for
>> calculation, and were not really electronic. Some were built for a
>> specific task, such as breaking codes, and were not really general
>> purpose. Some were partly or entirely analog, such as the Differential
>> Analyzer. ENIAC was the first to do it all.
>
> ENIAC "broke codes?" Really? "Did it all?" :-)
>
> Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
> Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
> (mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
> three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
> for making Firing Tables during WWII.
>
> Good old "amateur radio subject in an amateur radio
> newsgroup!" :-)

Didn't you just bring up your experiences at ADA?

>
>> ENIAC took up an enormous amount of space and power, used over 17,000
>> tubes and required programming in machine language to do anything
>> useful.
>
> Jimmie ever do any "programming in machine language?" At any
> time? I have. Want me to list them? :-)

That's not necessary, Len. Why not tell us any of the things you've done in amateur radio?

>> That's why I wrote the above ENIAC story.
>
> BFD. You went to Moore, "touched" the museum piece that it is.

(insert the profile here)

>
>> How many computers made today have a useful life as long as ENIAC?
>

> My HP Pavilion box for one. My wife's HP Pavilion for two.
> One hellishly FASTER clock rate than ENIAC, enormous RAM,
> ROM, and mass storage medium. Built about 4 years ago.

Let us know if you replace it before eleven years.

>> I got to see and touch parts of ENIAC.
>
> Wowee. I've touched the Liberty Bell at Independance Hall
> in Philly. Between the two, I'd much prefer the Liberty
> Bell. ENIAC is defunct. Liberty is NOT.

Liberty is not a bell.

>> Also read the papers on it. A
>> machine that changed the world, made from very ordinary parts and
>> techniques, assembled in a new way.
>
> PR minutae you spout.

Hey! You were finally able to work in the plural form of the word.

>>>> Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.
>>> Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.
>> Typical.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie lays on the MINUTAE in plural form so much
> that I was correct. :-)

No, Len, you were not correct. You were corrected.

> WTF Moore School and ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO POLICY
> seems to have vanished in Jimmieworld.

What was that url for the info about ADA?

>>>> The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
>>>> "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
>>>> it's a demonstrated fact.
>>> Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
>>> near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.
>> There are AM BC receivers from the 1920s that, if restored, will
>> perform admirably today in their intended purpose.
>
> Then let the Navy use them. :-) ["perform admirably" :-)]
>
>> Some NTSC TV sets from 60 years ago, if restored, can still be used to
>> watch VHF TV.
>
> Why? Aren't those good for 80m "CW" transceiver parts?
> [rock-bound at 3.58 MHz... :-)]
>
> "Cost less than $100...etc., etc., etc." :-)

>
>> Of course HDTV will eventually replace NTSC.
>
> "Eventually?!?" The transition phase is and has been underway
> NOW, Jimmie. Here in the USA, not on some "website."

Only a fraction of the American people are watching HDTV. Most aren't
even aware of what will hit them in a couple of years. People are still
running out to K-Mart and Wally World and buying new *analog* TV sets.
Some compromise sets are being marketed as EDTV for "Enhanced Definition".

> Once you watch DTV in operation, side by side with an older
> NTSC set, the tremendous difference in DTV can be seen AND
> heard. With the truly flat-screen LCD, Plasma, or DLP display
> with a wider picture than possible with NTSC, the detail and
> expanse is striking with DTV.

It'll be possible to watch DTV with a simple converter. Those will
extend the life of analog televisions for many years. The Feds are even
going to help pay for the converter boxes. I don't recall them doing
that when the UHF-TV channels came into existence.

There'll be a big learning curve for the non-city dwelling owners of new
HDTV receivers. They'll find that they have to use antennas with fairly
high gain, preamps and rotators. They'll be using those rotators quite
often. I ended up buying a Channel Master rotator with remote control
and memory.

> Jimmie say "if it ain't broke, don't fix it?" Tsk, Jimmie be
> the Amish of ham radio. Jimmie love horse-and-buggy comms
> using morse code? [note similarity of 'horse' and 'morse']

(insert profile here)

>> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>> untruths for a long time.
>
> Tsk. Typical bluffmanship on Jimmie's part.

It was an accurate statement, Leonard. You don't know much about Jim.
You have resorted to wild speculation and untruths.

> He no say what
> he do but he IMPLIES lots.

Sounds like a conspiracy to me.

> Sounds like that USMC Imposter
> Robeson's tactic.

Why not bring his name up with your new recruiter friend. As an
alternative, have Brian Burke contact "Stolen Valor".

> Jimmie keep things SECRET. Very hush-hush. Somebody say
> Jimmie know nothing, they "LIARS." Just like Robeson.

You do washee?

>> See above about ENIAC. It was very practical, in its time - but never
>> repeated.
>
> ENIAC defuct.

The same can't be said for you.

> Flunked in reliability, flunked in architecture
> (BCD accumulators/registers, not binary). NEVER repeated.
> A MUSEUM PIECE.

As are you, dear Leonard.

>
>> I'm still looking for a definition of "morsemanship"
>
> Poor baby. Can't understand it? Post-graduate degree and
> you still can't connect the dots? :-)

He has a license which says he can connect the dots and the dashes.
Do you have such documentation? Tsk, tsk, poor baby.

>
>> My history sources go far beyond ARRL publications.
>> And ARRL history isn't "bowdlerized".
>
> ARRL carefully OMITS certain items of history and IMPLIES
> amateurs are 'responsible' for all advances. :-)

You've made another untruthful statement. Note the lack of a smiley.

> Beyond the Thomas White radio history pages, Jimmie not
> mention any of his "sources" that go beyond League
> publications.

You're an old cut and paste man, Len. What do you normally do in such a
situation?

>
>> I was writing about non-amateur use of Morse Code in radio.
>
> Why Jimmie do dat? This be AMATEUR Radio newsgroup.

What's that ADA url?

>
>> Notice how Len doesn't mention any HF experience of his after ADA,
>> except cb?
>
> WRONG. Civil avionics work included HF...used in US
> Aviation Radio Service. Maritime Radio Service

> includes personal use of an HF SSB transceiver
> (SGC-2020) two years ago. Contract work involved
> DoD design and evaluation which did not need my
> civilian Commercial operator license sign-off.

All fine stuff, Len. I'm convinced.

>
>> To do so would require not only a license, but assembling a station.
>
> "Plug and play" nowadays, was that way a half century
> ago. :-)

Sure it is, Len. Just unbox your tower and antennas (all
pre-assembled), set them up in the yard, connect a microphone and "Hello
World". Right.

> Collins Radio used to make whole stations,
> quit the amateur radio market and still makes money.

Don't they make whole stations anymore?

>> Note that while Len talks endlessly about places he has worked and
>> projects he has worked on, there's almost nothing about radio projects
>> he has done himself, with his own money, at home.
>
> This newsgroup is Amateur Radio Policy, not Amateur Radio
> Homebrew. :-)

It isn't alt.radio.commercial or alt.radio.military either, old boy. :-)

> Jimmie wanna see my home workshop? Have it digitized,
> was sent to three others. Wanna see the HP 608D and
> the 606 signal generators, the 60 MHz dual-channel
> scopes (note plural), the 1 KW Variac below the bench?

You're kind of light in the Variac department, Len. Don't you have
anything which will handle real power?

> Poor baby. Jimmie jealous? Jimmie work at just ONE
> employer his whole life? Jimmie NOT serve in military.
> Jimmie NOT serve in government. Jimmie "serves" the
> nation by his ham radio hobby?

You're a pathetic and childish geezer, Len. You really need a way to
fill your idle hours.

>> There's the one-tube unlicensed oscillator transmitter of 1948, his
>> conversion of some ARC-5s and their sale, the store-bought ICOM
>> receiver and the compact Johnson....and not much else.
>
> WRONG, WRONG, WRONG.
>
> Two complete ARC-5 receiver-transmitters for 40 meters.

> Conversion earned me some money on resale. I still have
> one 6-9 MHz ARC-5 receiver that runs, assorted parts from
> both receivers and transmitters. Did that in 1948,
> not the "phonograph transmitter" built as a lark in
> 1947...which worked on the AM BC band and did not violate
> any FCC regulations at the time. :-)

Maybe you could whip together a modern, solid state version of the phono oscillator and play at being a junior ham, Len. You could CQ, assign yourself an "XB-523" call and all. You might convince a neighbor to build one too. You could have a blast.

> Oh, my, a "store-bought Icom receiver!" Their model IC-R70.
> Paid for "in cash" (check, actually) at an HRO in Van Nuys,
> CA (later moved to two successive locations in Burbank, CA).
> Cost about $600 then. No problem, could afford it.
> Ask USMC Imposter Robeson about any of those HRO stores.
> He says he's been to two of them "with friends." :-)

Surely he's fabricating. You could check with the recruiter and get some sort of investigation going right away.

> Would you like my old checkbook balance digitized so you
> can view it for your 'verification?' How about I digitize
> the receipt? Or do you want to wait for the famous
> Background Check that Paul seems to want done? :-)

Paul didn't say anything about a background check, Len. He addressed the IEEE Code of Ethics.

> Oh, yeah, the "compact Johnson." The E. F. Johnson
> Viking Messenger is small but not necessarily compact.
> If you need some verification I can get some URLs for
> CB nostalgia types for you.

It is a very tiny Johnson, Len. Your has been gathering dust for years.

> On the "compact johnson,"
> your allusion to my penis, let's just say I've
> satisfied two wives and a dozen girlfriends with my
> "goodie woody."

Guffaw! I'm sure that the story and equipment used with grow with the countless retellings, Leonard.

> Would you be satisfied with my primary
> physician's note on its size, digitized and sent to
> you?

I would personally treasure such a document for the rest of my life, Leonard. It would confirm every notion I've ever had about your state of being, both physical and emotional.

> Or will you wait for Paul's Background Check to
> verify that bit of AMATEUR RADIO POLICY you want to
> talk about? Hmmm? You like penises, Jimmie?

It sounds as if you're discussing superfluous minutae, Len.

>
>>>> Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do?
>
> Then I will drop the advocacy of eliminating the morse
> code test...as I have written many times in here. There
> would be no NEED for advocacy of eliminating that test
> since it had already been eliminated in that case.

That isn't the same as saying that you'd be finished with advocating.
Your statement addresses one very specific item.

> Tsk, you are SO unbelieving, all that FABRICATION about
> "reasons" you imagine! Poor baby.

We've seen you in action for better than a decade. Tsk, task, poor baby.

>>> Len could have had a no-code tech ages ago.
>
> Len had a Commercial First 'Phone since 1956, has used
> that in many more places on the EM spectrum than are
> allowed to US radio amateurs.

A commercial license can't be used in amateur radio, Len. Sorry.

> Mostly for money but
> some times just for fun.

Are you discussing your tiny, dusty Johnson?

>
>> See you on the air, Dave.
>
> Using very slow-scan ATV? Perhaps using morse code
> pixels? You have morse code glasses? Your Elecraft
> kit have a built-in spectrum analyzer? Video viewer?

How about if we use any band or mode available to us? You, of course,
may do as you can.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
k8mn@arrl.net

1290. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 04:37:11 UTC
From: m II <c@in.the.hat>
Message-ID: <Xf%Vg.13156$N4.4783@clgrps12>
Source record: 167307
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bildo Verticus wrote:

> Our current Dictator (you actually have to win an election to be President,
> Bush didn't win without cheating, hence his Dictator status via Coup
> d'Etat)

Those French! They don't even HAVE a word for Coup d'Etat..

mike

1291. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 05:41:51 UTC
From: Billy <CB@anon>
Message-ID: <c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...

< SNIP >

> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> together.

I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.

What's the fix for it?

Don't sell your CB.

1292. Re: Did Congressman Foley (R) really want to suck that kid off????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 05:49:47 UTC
From: Billy <CB@anon>
Message-ID: <c6lg6.14t.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167309
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <c@in.the.hat> wrote in message news:Xf%Vg.13156$N4.4783@clgrps12...
Bildo Verticus wrote:

> Our current Dictator (you actually have to win an election to be
President,
> Bush didn't win without cheating, hence his Dictator status via Coup
> d'Etat)

Those French! They don't even HAVE a word for Coup d'Etat..

DRAT! And the Dems tried SO hard, too! From buying cigarettes for the homeless in Ohio to vote for Gore, to the Booze For Dem Votes in Illinois, you can't say they didn't give it a good try.
Next time around the Dems will have to resurrect more voters...seems the graveyards are chock full of Democrats just itching to vote one more time.

1293. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 06:30:00 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com>
Source record: 167310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
Does anybody know???

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 22:59:20 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>> news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:
>>
>> > I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
>> > the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>>
>>
>> KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.
>
>You are K4YZ, and Mark Morgan knows it, Steve.

1294. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 07:13:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <059hi2pffi65pv9gqkqfo5tt16os9h4jgi@4ax.com>
Source record: 167311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 01:30:00 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
>Does anybody know???

know his for certain? no i don't but it seems likely it is K4YZ or at
least one of his close freind from years past here on RRAP but Slow
code to much of a coward to say what his call is

amusing that K4YZ does not pund on him or Arf arf for being no calls
make it realy likely he knows who they raly are
>
>
>
>
>On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 22:59:20 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
><lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>>> news:ed1fi25eei6aajmhvaqirh6lqfngjejast@4ax.com:
>>>
>>> > I feel good that Slow Code doesn't have a ham license and is poisoning
>>> > the ham bands like he does the newsgroups. What's your call Slow Code?
>>>
>>>
>>> KB9RQZ, and I'm retarded.
>>
>>You are K4YZ, and Mark Morgan knows it, Steve.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1295. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 07:18:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?

well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

1296. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 07:32:34 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2264278.SLcE3uTIFv@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>
> "N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
>> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?
>>
>>
> I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two
> have sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh two
> satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for man
> sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom tish
> post?
>
> http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl
>
>
>
wow. he *thinks* he's smart.
dragging it in here to the az. newsgroups won't help you any, nym shifter!

its is no fun having a battle of wits with an unarmed "man".

1297. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 07:41:37 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1531595.RUmt82PpXx@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167315

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>
> "N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
>> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do
>> you?
>>
>>
> I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two
> have sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh
> two satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for
> man sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom
> tish post?
>
> http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl
>

gee, one other thing...
that url points to a forged note clearly made out in my name. it was posted from an ISP that I was never a user on. man. you are gullable if you continue to believe even the barest of forgeries made in my name....

besides, in 2005, I was 40 years old. how does that equate with the stated age in the note? in order to be the right age, that note would have had to have been posted in or around early to mid 1996 (yet the date on it clearly states 2005).

I give up. you *are* as stupid as you sound.....

1298. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 08:30:28 UTC
From: Billy <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <c6utg.3qr.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com...
Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
Does anybody know???

Slow Code may be AB8MQ.
However...comma...
AB8MQ has opined that Slow Code is Stagger Lee, hot-ham-and-cheese, or Dave Heil, or Lloyd Davies, or Arf! Arf! or Billy Smith (who IS hot-ham-and-cheese), or whoever else's name happens to pop into his demented head at the time.

Dummy Mark, Resident Flamer Supreme and Bisexual No Coder, thinks Slow Code is K4YZ, but in Mark's world EVERY post comes from YZ. Mark has not mastered the fine art of reading headers.

I guess this does little to answer your question, but I'm sure that Mark Morgan will post ten, fifteen or twenty posts minimum in response. On some days Mark has made as many at 125 posts in as few as eight hours. It will be totally up to you to try to interpret what Mark says simply because he uses a strange dialect heretofore unseen in these groups.

If AB8MQ responds, his posts will be over anonymous sockpuppets, usually three or four, but his trademark will be that his posts all contain filthy comments that he cuts and pastes from one group to another. No originality there.

Good luck.

1299. Re: KOOKS ARE FAGGOTS AND HAVE NO BALLS! COWARDS!!!

1300. I TOLD you so!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 08:50:43 UTC
From: Billy <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <c703f.fb5.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167316

See?? Along comes Mark Morgan, Bisexual No-Coder who cannot leave any post unanswered.
My prediction was spot on.

1301. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 08:58:05 UTC
From: Billy <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <c70h8.9n4.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Sal M. Onella wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?

well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
.
The ADA says? So what? I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
way you can be a Zero wpm General.
And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
please tell us when.

Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.

1302. knows who we raly are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 09:27:09 UTC
From: Billy <Anon@anon.com>
Message-ID: <c727q.ndt.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167318

See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,

"amusing that K4YZ does not pund on him or Arf arf for being no calls
make it realy likely he knows who they raly are"

Yup, you are correctamundo Mark. K4YZ knows who all of us "raly" are and we email him behind the scenes just so we can corroborate and tell Slow Code, Billy Smith, Roger, and Dave to synchronize our Magic Decoder Rings for the next batch of emails detailing how we will make fun of you.

Did you know that we sometimes hide our secret messages in photos posted on *your* blog, www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com ?? Hiding messages in .jpg photos has been going on for years and even high school kids know how that works.

Of course our Magic Decoder Rings are better, Mark. All you need is a web cam and one of our rings. Easy process, too. Turn on the cam, hold the Magic Decoder Ring in front of the web cam (no more than two inches away) and our plots against you are instantly decoded for us to read.

Of course K4YZ does not "pund" on us...it is a conspiracy! A well orchestrated and carried out conspiracy!
I guess now that you are "on to us", we'd better buy some new boxes of Wheaties and get new Magic Decoder Rings. It is a setback, yes, but a minor one.

Darn...my Magic Decoder Ring just buzzed. I bet it is K4YZ trying to get in touch to outline our next conspiracy. Over and Out.

1303. Pnig netcopp gay basher Don Boewy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 11:20:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmmnifrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> > wrote:
> >
> > stop you welching sc
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> Hopefully they will shut you down.
you cwoards can try buts ofar you ahve all failed. you are jsut anothre
gay basher who wilshit and fall back init again.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

1304. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 12:29:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160310541.208385.256810@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167321

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> >>>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> >> >>>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> >> >>>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> >> >>>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> >> >>>>> possible to communicate.
> >
> >> >>>> Yet some pioneers (like Reginald Fessenden) were using voice
> >> >>>> communication as early as 1900, and had practical long-distance
> >> >>>> radiotelephony by 1906.
> >
> >> >>> "PRACTICAL?!?"

Yes.

> >> >>> What is "PRACTICAL" about inserting a
> >> >>> single carbon microphone in series with the antenna
> >> >>> lead-in to 'brute force' modulate a CW carrier?!?
> >
> >> >> It was not only PRACTICAL, Len, it was the ONLY way known at the time.
> >> >> I don't think they used "the antenna lead-in", old boy. They probably
> >> >> used the feedline. Think of it as more of a "lead-out". You should get
> >> >> the lead out.
> >
> >> > The modulation was done in the ground lead, not the aerial lead. (They
> >> > used the term "aerial" in those days).
> >
> >> > It was practical enough to be heard across the pond.
> >
> >> That sounds pretty practical.
> >
> >For its time. Then triode vacuum tubes came along and changed things.
>
> The first triode vacuum tube (deForrest called them "audions"
> in those days) was invented in 1906...same year as Reggie's

> "Christmas" broadcast. :-)

DeForest spelled his name with only one "r".

Vacuum tubes that could be used in 'practical' transmitters were not
available in 1906. Nor an oscillator circuit. Those things took a few
years to develop.
>
> At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
> adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
> Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world.

How do you know for sure, Len? Did you visit every transmitting station
in the world?

The truth is that you don't know - you're just making things up. Maybe
others adopted Fessenden's idea and failed. Or maybe they succeeded,
but after a time lost interest and went on with other things.

You don't know for sure. All you know is that you haven't come across
any documentation that someone else adopted Fessenden's idea.

> So much for your redefinition of "practical."

You seem to think that a thing cannot be practical unless it is copied.
That's simply not true.

> ...and the insistence of "amateur only" subject matter in
> this newsgroup. :-)
>
Who insists on that?

> >It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
> >statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
> >doesn't work.
>
> Tsk, tsk, you've TOLD ME what I should have done in the
> military,

When did I write that? You are telling an untruth, Len.

> yet you've never served in the military or in
> the US government.

How do you know for sure who served and who didn't?

> I served 8 years in the US Army.
> You can see and read what I did for three years there via:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

If I had a dollar for every time you've mentioned your Army experience
on rrap, I'd probably have enough for a brand new Orion II with all the
filters.

> 6 MB in size, takes about 19 minutes download on a dial-up
> connection.

Are you still using dial-up, Len? I'm not.

Why do you live in the past?

> Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.

How does anyone know for sure that it's all accurate, Len? You didn't
even get the distance from the USSR to Tokyo correct - maybe you made
other mistakes?
>
> >The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
> >different things he's done.
>
> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" :-)

How do we know for sure that you did it?

> >Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
> >in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!
>
> Tsk, I have done so.

No, you haven't.

> All that you've displayed (via links)
> is an old 70's era receiver, supposedly built for less than
> $100, on Kees Talen's website "HBR" pages (HomeBrew Receiver,
> after the various "HBR" articles in QST of decades ago).

Actually it cost about $10.

I've discussed much more of my amateur radio activities here. You
weren't paying attention.

Have you forgotten the picture of my current station on my website? (I
have several - AOL gives them out free. Len hasn't taken advantage of
that AOL feature, even though he has several screen names).

> >> > Didja know Fessenden's 1906 "broadcast" used an alternator transmitter?
> >
> >> I surely did.
> >
> >Of course that limited his voice-radio operations to below 100 kHz
> >(3000 meters)
>
> Tsk, tsk, that was before 1920. 1920 is 86 years ago.
>
> Why do you live in the past so much?

1956 was 50 years ago. Why do *you* live in the past?

> >> >>> For a double-degreed education in things electrical you
> >> >>> just displayed a surprising amount of ILL logic and
> >> >>> definite misunderstanding of the real definition of
> >> >>> "practical."
> >
> >Note the dig at my BSEE and MSEE degrees. What Len doesn't realize is
> >that, in the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of
> >now-incredible things were once considered practical.
>
> Tom Edison thought for sure that Direct Current would be
> The Way for widespread electrical power distribution. :-)
>
> Is NOT practical now.

So Edison made a mistake on that. I wasn't talking about him.

In the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of now-incredible things were once considered practical. That's a fact.

> Academics once insisted that "current flow" was opposite
> that of electron flow.

Current flow *is* opposite electron flow, Len. It's an engineering convention.

> Was written up in lots of textbooks.

Still is. Current flows from positive to negative. Electrons go the other way.
>
> Is NOT practical now.

Then why is it still the conventional representation in electrical engineering?

> Some insist that "Greenlee Chassis Punches" are necessary
> for homebuilt radio construction.

I don't. btw, the resceiver on the HBR website was built without them.

> Is ONLY "practical" for knocking out conduit attachment
> holes in electrical power distribution boxes or some
> 70s-era boatanchor construction project (i.e., using
> vacuum tubes and needing socket holes for same).

No, that's not true at all, Len.

For homebrew radio construction, they have a lot of uses:

- Holes for meters and displays
- Holes for connectors, ranging from SO-239 to DB-25
- Holes for chassis-mount components such as large electrolytic capacitors and flush-mount transformers
- Holes for ventilation

And much more. Of course those holes can be made other ways - Greenlee
punches have never been essential tools. They're just nice to have and
use.

> Greenlee is still a corporation in Rockford, IL, but they
> seem to have stopped making "chassis punches" for radio
> hobbyists.

That's incorrect. They make a wider line of chassis punches than ever
before.

btw, the classic Adel nibbling tool is still in production.

> >For example, the very first operational general-purpose electronic
> >digital computer was the ENIAC, which was built at one of my alma
> >maters here in Philadelphia. Its design and construction were paid for
> >(some would say "subsidized") by the U.S. Army (some would say "the
> >taxpayers"). Its original stated purpose was for the calculation of
> >artillery aiming information.
>
> "Firing Tables" those are called,

That's nice, Len.

Is "artillery aiming information" somehow incorrect?

> >Some may point to machines like the Colossus, Mark 1 or even the ABC as
> >the "first computer". But they all lack something that ENIAC had. Some,
> >like the ABC and even Babbage's Difference Engine, were never fully
> >operational. Some, like the Mark 1, used relays and mechanics for
> >calculation, and were not really electronic. Some were built for a
> >specific task, such as breaking codes, and were not really general
> >purpose. Some were partly or entirely analog, such as the Differential
> >Analyzer. ENIAC was the first to do it all.
>
> ENIAC "broke codes?" Really? "Did it all?" :-)

ENIAC had all the features needed to be the very first operational
general-purpose electronic
digital computer. And it was.

> Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
> Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
> (mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
> three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
> for making Firing Tables during WWII.

They were slow - at least an order of magnitude slower than ENIAC. They
were not general purpose, either. Their technology led nowhere.

> Good old "amateur radio subject in an amateur radio
> newsgroup!" :-)

You mean like your constant rehash of ADA?
>

> >ENIAC took up an enormous amount of space and power, used over 17,000
> >tubes and required programming in machine language to do anything
> >useful.
>
> ever do any "programming in machine language?"

Yes.

> At any
> time? I have. Want me to list them? :-)

No.

> >Its complexity and sheer size meant that breakdowns were frequent. One
> >solution was to never turn it off, because many failures occurred
> >during turn-on and turn-off.
>
> Good old tube filaments!

They're called heaters, Len.

> >Part of the problem was that the parts used in the original
> >construction were not the most reliable possible. ENIAC was built under
> >wartime restrictions, and they had to use what they could get. The
> >quality of some parts, particularly common octal tubes, noticeably
> >decreased over the war years because they were being made by a variety
> >of companies, using inexperienced people and whatever facilities were
> >available.
>
> People reproduce without any experience. :-)

Fortunately, some do not.

> > The experienced tube companies and people were needed for
> >radar and proximity fuse work, not the manufacture of 6SN7s.
>
> Tsk, in the history of the War Production Board, the
> number 1 priority went to the Manhattan Project. Second
> priority was the manufacture of quartz crystal units (a
> million a month total between '43 and '45). The company
> that would change its corporate name to MOTOROLA (Galvin
> Manufacturing) was the center of quartz production control
> but Galvin also designed and built wartime radios...one
> (the first handie-talkie) being done before the USA was
> drawn into WW2. Heck, Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Company built
> high-power transmitters (BC-339) during WW2.

What does that have to do with ENIAC?

The point is that the ENIAC folks got the machine to work with the
parts available.

> >The reliability of ENIAC was such that it would typically run for 1 to
> >2 days before something needed fixing. Its record was only about 5 days

> >of continuous operation. The folks using it got very very good at
> >identifying and fixing the problems.
> >
> >ENIAC was never duplicated. During its development, so much was learned
> >that newer machines like EDSAC, EDVAC and ultimately the UNIVAC were
> >designed, rather than repeat the ENIAC design.
>
> ENIAC flunked.

No, it passed.

The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
used it until 1955.
If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
it or scrapped it.

The US Army abandoned and/or scrapped a lot of things in those days.
For example, a lot of material was destroyed or abandoned in place
because it wasn't practical to bring it back to the USA. Projects were
simply stopped. WW2 "surplus" was sold for pennies on the dollar just
to get rid of it.

If ENIAC "flunked", why did the Army use it for at least 9 years?

> It went defunct.

After 1955, yes.

> One of a kind.

ENIAC was never duplicated. During its development, so much was learned
that newer machines like EDSAC, EDVAC and ultimately the UNIVAC were
designed, rather than repeat the ENIAC design.

> >By modern standards, or even those of 20, 30, or 40 years ago, ENIAC
> >is/was totally impractical.
>
> Try 51 years, not just 40 years ago.

51 years was 1955. ENIAC served the Army for at least 9 years (1946 to
1955). Say, that's longer than *you* claim to have served, Len! ;-)
;-) ;-)
>
> >But by the standards of its time, it was a tremendous advance.
>
> According to Moore School PR and the Eckert-Mauchley company
> that also went defunct afterwards... :-)

Bought out by a larger company.

ENIAC *was* a tremendous advance. And it was practical, by the
standards of its time.

> >Calculations that took *weeks* using pre-ENIAC methods could be done in
> >*seconds* using the machine.
>
> Now, now, you are comparing pomegranites and pumpkins.

Nope. I'm comparing calculating speeds.

> Quit

You're telling me what to do, Len. You frequently tell people what to
do, when they prove you wrong. What is wrong with live and let live?

> trying to compare humans operating Monroe or Friden desk
> calculators for those Firing Table data tabulations with
> the MINUTES it took using ENIAC.

Why? Did you ever see a firing table calculation (not tabulation) done
on ENIAC? Or do one by hand? Ever see the machine itself? Ever read the
original papers on it?

> >The boundaries of "numerically hard"
> >calculation were pushed back enormously.
>
> Tsk. It's a given that mechanical means, then electrical
> means has been acknowledged as making mathematical
> calculations faster since LONG before ENIAC existed.

Irrelevant. The point is that the use of electronics by ENIAC increased
the speed by *orders* of magnitude. No mechanical or electromechanical
machine could hope to keep up.

Mechanical and electromechanical computing and calculating were
rendered hopelessly obsolete by ENIAC's success. ENIAC caused the focus
to move to purely electronic computing and calculating. Within a few
years, commercial machines like UNIVAC were on the market. (A UNIVAC
correctly predicted the outcome of the 1952 presidential election,
based on just a few percent of the returns).

> >Most important of all, the ENIAC was considered "practical" enough by
> >the US Army. Soon after it was publically announced in 1946, the Army
> >moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds in Maryland, where it was used
> >for its intended purposes until 1955.
>
> The government PAID for it and now they were stuck with this
> big white elephant.

Yes, the Army paid for it.

No, it wasn't a "white elephant". It was practical and they used it.

The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
used it until 1955.
If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
it or scrapped it.

The US Army abandoned and/or scrapped a lot of things in those days.
For example, a lot of material was destroyed or abandoned in place
because it wasn't practical to bring it back to the USA. Projects were
simply stopped. WW2 "surplus" was sold for pennies on the dollar just
to get rid of it.

If ENIAC was a "white elephant". why did the Army use it for at least 9
years?

> Probably didn't bother declaring it
> "surplus" since no one wanted to buy it. :-)

They couldn't decalre it surplus because they were using it.
>
> >That's why I wrote the above ENIAC story.
>
> BFD. You went to Moore, "touched" the museum piece that it is.

It's clear you're very jealous, Len.
>
> >How many computers made today have a useful life as long as ENIAC?
>
> My HP Pavilion box for one. My wife's HP Pavilion for two.
> One hellishly FASTER clock rate than ENIAC, enormous RAM,
> ROM, and mass storage medium. Built about 4 years ago.

ENIAC was in service at least 9 years, Len.

> My Apple][Plus for three...built in 1980 sold to me in
> 1980...been running now and then ever since.

You never turn it off?

> Dinky little
> clock rate of 1 MHz, a thousand times slower than the HP
> Pavilions but still a lot faster than ENIAC could ever do.
> A quarter of a century later it still boots up, runs
> programs.

But it's not practical any more.

Those machines can all trace their design right back to ENIAC - and not
to any mechanical or electromechanical device.
>
> >btw, in 1976, ENIAC was returned to where it was built, and a museum
> >display set up with parts of it. In the 1990s, part of it was restored
> >to operating condition, and some calculations done as a demonstration.
>
> [big Ben Stein "wowwwww..." here]
>
> Thirty years before 1976 the Rosenwald Museum of Science and
> Industry in Chicago had a working interactive tic-tac-toe
> calculator made from relays. Was mounted behind glass so the
> visitors could see the relays in operation. Interactive,

> Jimmie, any visitor could try it without instruction. :-)

Not general purpose, and not a computer.

> >I got to see and touch parts of ENIAC.
>
> Wowee. I've touched the Liberty Bell at Independance Hall
> in Philly.

So did I - several times.

When I ran the Philadelphia Independence Marathon, the finish line was
in front of Independence Hall and the Liberty Bell.

> Between the two, I'd much prefer the Liberty
> Bell.

Why must one prefer one over the other?

> ENIAC is defunct. Liberty is NOT.

"Liberty is not a bell". And the way things are going, Liberty is
slowly being eroded.

btw, the Liberty Bell *is* defunct for its original purpose (ringing).

> >Also read the papers on it. A
> >machine that changed the world, made from very ordinary parts and
> >techniques, assembled in a new way.
>
> PR minutae you spout.

The word is spelled "minutiae", Len.

> Maybe you ought to get on a committee
> to build a SHRINE for ENIAC?

There's already a museum. No shrine needed.

> "All worship the Machine That
> CHANGED THE WORLD!!!" :-)
>
You really are jealous, aren't you, Len? Fact is, ENIAC *did* change
the world.

> >> > Webster's spells it "minutia" for singular, "minutiae" for plural.
> >
> >> Len's should have chosen the singular. He made an error.
> >
> >Typical.
>
> Tsk, tsk,

> lays on the MINUTAE in plural form so much
> that I was correct. :-)

>
"Minutiae" is the plural, Len.

> WTF Moore School and ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO POLICY
> seems to have vanished

I'll explain it again, Len:

In the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of now-incredible
things were once considered practical. That's a fact.

ENIAC is just one example of how things that are now considered
incredible were once practical.

> >> > The main point is that it's not superfluous. Voice radio was
> >> > "practical" enough for MW broadcasting by 1920 - that's not an opinion,
> >> > it's a demonstrated fact.
> >
> >> Yes. There is nothing currently underway to move toward anything in the
> >> near future to change amplitude modulation for medium wave broadcasting.
> >
> >There are AM BC receivers from the 1920s that, if restored, will
> >perform admirably today in their intended purpose.
>
> Then let the Navy use them. :-) ["perform admirably" :-)]

??

> >Some NTSC TV sets from 60 years ago, if restored, can still be used to
> >watch VHF TV.
>
> Why? Aren't those good for 80m "CW" transceiver parts?
> [rock-bound at 3.58 MHz... :-)]
>
> "Cost less than $100...etc., etc., etc." :-)

> >Of course HDTV will eventually replace NTSC.
>
> "Eventually?!?"

Yes, eventually. How many times have they moved the date when NTSC TV
will end? How many NTSC TV sets and other hardware are being sold
today?

> Once you watch DTV in operation, side by side with an older
> NTSC set, the tremendous difference in DTV can be seen AND
> heard. With the truly flat-screen LCD, Plasma, or DLP display
> with a wider picture than possible with NTSC, the detail and
> expanse is striking with DTV.

Yes - but most of the shows are still JUNK. The quality of the picture
and sound doesn't make up for the lack of quality in the programming.

> say "if it ain't broke, don't fix it?"

What's wrong with that?

> be the Amish of ham radio.

Do you have a problem with "the Amish"? Do you know anything about them
or their way of life?

Do you know what happened in Nickel Mines, PA last week?

> >He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
> >untruths for a long time.
>
> Sounds like that USMC Imposter
> Robeson's tactic.

How do you know if someone is a "USMC Imposter", Len?

> >See above about ENIAC. It was very practical, in its time - but never
> >repeated.
>
> ENIAC defuct.

"defuct"?

> Flunked in reliability, flunked in architecture
> (BCD accumulators/registers, not binary). NEVER repeated.
> A MUSEUM PIECE.

If it were so bad, why did the Army use it for at least 9 years?

ENIAC served the Army longer than *you* did, Len ;-)

> >I'm still looking for a definition of "morsemanship"
>
> Poor baby. Can't understand it? Post-graduate degree and
> you still can't connect the dots? :-)

It's not in the dictionary.

> >My history sources go far beyond ARRL publications.
> >And ARRL history isn't "bowdlerized".
>
> ARRL carefully OMITS certain items of history and IMPLIES
> amateurs are 'responsible' for all advances. :-)

More untruths from Len.

> >Notice how Len doesn't mention any HF experience of his after ADA,
> >except cb?
>
> WRONG. Civil avionics work included HF...used in US
> Aviation Radio Service.

OK

> Maritime Radio Service
> includes personal use of an HF SSB transceiver

> (SGC-2020) two years ago.
> Contract work involved
> DoD design and evaluation which did not need my
> civilian Commercial operator license sign-off.

Somebody else's radio on somebody else's boat, authorized under
somebody else's license.
>
> >To do so would require not only a license, but assembling a station.
>
> "Plug and play" nowadays, was that way a half century
> ago. :-)

For cb

> Collins Radio used to make whole stations,
> quit the amateur radio market and still makes money.

Superfluous
>
> >Note that while Len talks endlessly about places he has worked and
> >projects he has worked on, there's almost nothing about radio projects
> >he has done himself, with his own money, at home.
>
> This newsgroup is Amateur Radio Policy, not Amateur Radio
> Homebrew. :-)
>
> >There's the one-tube unlicensed oscillator transmitter of 1948, his
> >conversion of some ARC-5s and their sale, the store-bought ICOM
> >receiver and the compact Johnson....and not much else.
>
> WRONG, WRONG, WRONG.
>
> Two complete ARC-5 receiver-transmitters for 40 meters.

Already mentioned.

> Conversion earned me some money on resale. I still have
> one 6-9 MHz ARC-5 receiver that runs, assorted parts from
> both receivers and transmitters. Did that in 1948,
> not the "phonograph transmitter" built as a lark in
> 1947...which worked on the AM BC band and did not violate
> any FCC regulations at the time. :-)

Already mentioned.

> You are confused with the 1947 HF regenerative receiver
> that I suppose DID 'regenerate' a bit much out a 200 foot
> long wire antenna at times. :-)
>
> Oh, my, a "store-bought Icom receiver!" Their model IC-R70.
> Paid for "in cash" (check, actually) at an HRO in Van Nuys,
> CA (later moved to two successive locations in Burbank, CA).

> Cost about $600 then. No problem, could afford it.

Already mentioned.

> Oh, yeah, the "compact Johnson." The E. F. Johnson
> Viking Messenger is small but not necessarily compact.

Practical for its time.

> If you need some verification I can get some URLs for
> CB nostalgia types for you. On the "compact johnson,"

I wrote about your "compact Johnson", Len - and that's all. See the capital J? That's a proper name.

> >> > Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do?
>
> Then I will drop the advocacy of eliminating the morse
> code test...as I have written many times in here.

First time I've seen you wrote that.

Besides, if the test is gone, there's no reason to advocate for its elimination.

The question is what will you do without that obsession to fill your time?

> There
> would be no NEED for advocacy of eliminating that test
> since it had already been eliminated in that case.

Well, duh.
>
> Tsk, you are SO unbelieving, all that FABRICATION about
> "reasons" you imagine! Poor baby.

You have advocated far more than simple elimination of the Morse Code test.

1305. Re: knows who we raly are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 14:56:46 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160319406.453623.162710@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.stories.d

Billy wrote:
> See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,
>
> "amusing that K4YZ does not pund on him or Arf arf for being no calls
> make it realy likely he knows who they raly are"
>
> Yup, you are correctamundo Mark. K4YZ knows who all of us "raly" are and we
> email him behind the scenes just so we can corroborate and tell Slow Code,
> Billy Smith, Roger, and Dave to synchronize our Magic Decoder Rings for the
> next batch of emails detailing how we will make fun of you.
>
> Did you know that we sometimes hide our secret messages in photos posted on
> *your* blog, www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com ?? Hiding messages in .jpg
> photos has been going on for years and even high school kids know how that
> works.
>
> Of course our Magic Decoder Rings are better, Mark. All you need is a web
> cam and one of our rings. Easy process, too. Turn on the cam, hold the Magic
> Decoder Ring in front of the web cam (no more than two inches away) and our
> plots against you are instantly decoded for us to read.
>
> Of course K4YZ does not "pund" on us...it is a conspiracy! A well
> orchestrated and carried out conspiracy!
> I guess now that you are "on to us", we'd better buy some new boxes of
> Wheaties and get new Magic Decoder Rings. It is a setback, yes, but a minor
> one.
>
> Darn...my Magic Decoder Ring just buzzed. I bet it is K4YZ trying to get in
> touch to outline our next conspiracy. Over and Out.

MARQUEER!
Get off the dam computer and play with my boobs and penis!

1306. Re: STEVEO SPANKED MY MONKEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 15:03:44 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160319824.869453.188750@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Steveo wrote:
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> > I've never heard of them either Steve.
> >
> And yet you felt compelled to post their whole bustage.
> >
> >I personally wouldn't sweat
> > Brians' endeavours.
> >
> Nah, not sweating at all, as a measley landscaper making an honest living
> with turds under my finger nails.
> >
> > Seems the feds would instead chase the big boys.
> >
> Seems Brian is right in line for the rain report. No sweating or surprise
> so far. I hope he doesn't lose his job over something as stupid as his
> basement splatter box. Oh well, have a nice weekend anyway.

Hello Steveo.....Marqueer isn't taking care of business at home. Wanna
suck my boobs and dick?? You big 'ole lawn dude you!!

1307. when steve andw oger goneit is queit as church

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 15:20:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol99pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now evryone cans ee who are the rael bad guys.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

1308. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 15:25:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi3umfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> > wrote:
> >
> > stop you welching sc
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> Hopefully they will shut you down.
fukc you inner mouth.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

1309. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:00:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iv7ii21b0cpkua4n6vo4dblqcs3e66hs7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 167326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>Sal M. Onella wrote:
>> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>>
>> < SNIP >
>>
>> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>> > together.
>>
>> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
>am
>> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
>> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>>
>> What's the fix for it?
>
>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
first learn to attriube correctly
>.
>The ADA says? So what?

Interesting that You it is the CBers you accuse of trying to ignore
the law when yothey find it inconventent

>I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
>getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
>way you can be a Zero wpm General.

I have after a feshion and it is part of parcel of the reasons that
the FCC is going to drop code testing soone enough

>And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
>please tell us when.

I don't know that steve well enough but he a brillant man and would a worthy addition to the ARS but would end up confined to VHF plus here in the USA
>
>Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.

why would I ever get rid of it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1310. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:01:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a68ii2p6f4m12gbkpnfa0857t2nabqi0q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167327

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 00:32:34 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>
>> "N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
>> news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
>>> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?
>>>
>>>
>> I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two
>> have sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh two
>> satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for man
>> sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom tish
>> post?
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl
>>
>>
>>
>wow. he *thinks* he's smart.
>dragging it in here to the az. newsgroups won't help you any, nym shifter!
>
>its is no fun having a battle of wits with an unarmed "man".
he is no man he is theif an id theif
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1311. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:02:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <388ii29g6ve40ghipn46ua34jpc2ec0gr4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:30:28 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

>
>"john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
>news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com...
>Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
>Does anybody know???
>
>
>Slow Code may be AB8MQ.
>However...comma...
>AB8MQ has opined that Slow Code is Stagger Lee, hot-ham-and-cheese, or Dave
>Heil, or Lloyd Davies, or Arf! Arf! or Billy Smith (who IS
>hot-ham-and-cheese), or whoever else's name happens to pop into his demented
>head at the time.
>
>Dummy Mark, Resident Flamer Supreme and Bisexual No Coder, thinks Slow Code
>is K4YZ, but in Mark's world EVERY post comes from YZ. Mark has not mastered
>the fine art of reading headers.
lieying again I think you are steve but not all anny mouses are steve
many of them are a Wismen but thou doest protest too much steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1312. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:03:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

>
>On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> > On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> > stop you welching sc
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>fukc you inner mouth.
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
stop the foring and iD theft
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1313. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:04:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kb8ii2lla4f7cvn62qca8a7n0c7q8n98si@4ax.com>
Source record: 167330

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:50:43 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

>See?? Along comes Mark Morgan, Bisexual No-Coder who cannot leave any post
>unanswered.
>My prediction was spot on.
hi steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1314. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:04:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8c8ii25p0vh5el0tqtur14kuao8eeq64ii@4ax.com>
Source record: 167331

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 04:27:09 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

>
>See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,
hi steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1315. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:05:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qc8ii2dej0it1d43lcuv85bb353lqusrv1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.stories.d

On 8 Oct 2006 07:56:46 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
stop the id theeft
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1316. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:05:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0e8ii21sfbunoctnjj6o0op13ot1s23ka8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 11:20:03 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@ab9rqz.net) wrote:

hi steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1317. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:06:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pe8ii2dugk9egc5fjssmpiptpuop052vud@4ax.com>
Source record: 167334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 8 Oct 2006 08:03:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
stop the theft steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1318. hi steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:06:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <if8ii2h7vdpudnpch8v30fpffmkgip6o8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 167335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:20:59 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

hi steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1319. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 16:26:05 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <lJWdnYF4y-zAurTYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 167336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 12:40:08 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
>>>> Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on the
>>>> internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That was because
>>>> we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300 baud, and
>>>> it was desirable
>>>> not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the
>> same quoted
>>> Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
>>>
>>> My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an authoritative
>>> statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:
>>>
>>> "Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
>>> at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
>>> current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
>>> Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.
>> Yep, those were the *backbones* of ARPANET. We had *ONE* at the University
>> of Maryland back in the 1970s. That leased line cost thousands of dollars
>> per month, and was paid for by darpa. Compare that to the backbones of today
>> which are measured in terrabits per second. These 56K backbones were
>> connected to mainframe computers that acted as concentrators, and provided
>> connections to other mainframes, and to thousands of users on timesharing
>> systems. Yes, I have that right, *thousands* of users shared a single 56K
>> backbone.
>>
>> Those users that were local to the concentrator (eg, in the computer room)
>> were connected to the mainframe by various speed RS-232 lines, but those who
>> were on remote dial up connections were connected by good old bell 103,
>> 110 to 300 baud modems.
>>
>>> 300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
>>> connected directly to the 56K ARPANET,
>> Yep, we were on timesharing machines, Univac 1106's, 1108's, and 1140's,
>> and as I said, thousands of users shared a single 56K leased line into
>> Darpanet. Do the math, if you can't, I'll help you:
>>
>> 56K/1000 = 56 bps.
>
> So you think that 1000 users at your location were always using
> ARPANET simultaneously? Please don't tell me that someone gave you an

> engineering degree, because you obviously didn't understand the course
> work.

And gee, you only had to read the next sentence to see that I said no such thing. Look down.

>
>> If there were *only* 1000 users vying for the net at the same time, they
>> could each pump 56bps into the backbone. But there were many many more
>> than that, and they weren't always needing the net all of the time.
>> (hence the name concentrator)
>
>>> Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
>>> than you have yet to learn.
>> Riiiight! Just because you can look up darpa on a wiki somewhere, doesn't
>> mean you can understand what you have read.
>
> Comer's books on TCP/IP are standards, and they don't involve a wiki.
> They happen to be in my library of reference textbooks, and they
> happen to be standard references kept in all university libraries.

Just because you can find the names of some books by using google, doesn't mean you have ever read them, or understood them. I have copies of all three volumes of "Internetworking with TCP/IP- Client -Server Programming and Applications" by Douglas E. Comer, and David L. Stevens, Prentice Hall on my book shelf. (pick a page, any page, and I'll give you a quotation from it.)

If you note the copyright date of this series, you will see 1993. A mere 20 years after I started working with GE's world wide networks... top posting my email on model 33 teletypes with bell 103 modems.

>
> The claim about slow speeds on ARPANET and Usenet is quite stupid.

Really? Well, I suppose you think that everyone had 56K connections back in the '70's. I worked in the Electrical Engineering department at the University of Maryland, back then, and we were pretty proud of our first 9600 baud connection between the PDP11's, and the Univac's that had the darpa connection. It wasn't until we got a VAX 11/780 that we got a 56K pipe to the Univac's that connected to the darpanet. That was around 1980. I think diapers were you most prominent media for communication back then.

> ARPANET and Usenet did not exist at the same time. ARPANET didn't use
> TCP/IP protocol, and it gave way to what is now called the Internet
> when TCP/IP became the standard packet delivery protocol.

Prior to usenet, a variation on email, we were using email broadcast lists. The same top posting rules applied.

Usenet came into being while I was in graduate school, around 1980-83. I remember there was quite a buzz at that time. Decwriters were 300 baud, and the most common affortable modems were still using the Bell 103 standard

from the late '50s. 1200 baud modems were used for expensive applications,
usually computer to computer data transfer. The 1200 baud modem didn't come
into general use until DC Hayes produced an inexpensive model around 1983.
It was a real screamer compared to the Bell 103's.

Shortly
> after that, the message posting system called Usenet was formalized to
> run on the Internet and on several other message delivery systems
> (such as UUCP). So your reference to ARPANET is simply irrelevant.
> ARPANET and Usenet never coexisted, making the claims about 300 bps
> and other speed limitations total BS intended to impress the ignorant.
>
> Enough said. Conversation ended. You don't seem to be worth any
> followup messages until you do some additional reading.

I don't need to read about it, I was there doing it. My first
exposure to a world wide network was GE's back around 1970. It was the
first commercial use of a global network. The normal terminal to connect
to that net was a Model 33 Teletype, with a bell 103 modem. I bet you
think they used Windows based PC's with 56K modems, and Netscape.

-Chuck

1320. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 17:26:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>correctly.

You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
(dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.

>What's the fix for it?

Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
classes. If they do, see if the person teaching CW knows the
difference between learning the dits and dahs and learning CW by
sound. If s/he does, take the course.

I started teaching myself CW (as a kid) by individual letters. After
using it on the air for a year (we had very little choice in those
days - Gonsets were too expensive for most of us, and there weren't
too many other ways to get on 2 meters) I was listening to the
content, not the dits and dahs. I still have to "hear" the sound in
my head when someone posts dots and dashes in a Usenet post - I never
learned to "read" "written CW".

1321. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 17:42:33 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:

[quotes repaired]
[piggybacking]

>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose

No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
testing for the thing it tests for.

Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.

That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
fast the splinters will fly.

>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test

Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?

>> that greatest
>>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
>>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal? (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists. They were/are all mathematicians, although that's questionable in Einstein's case.)

1322. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:21:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gcgii2tieq4f3361usk5m07hld7hjrfmfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 167339
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:42:33 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
>[quotes repaired]
>[piggybacking]
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
>No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>testing for the thing it tests for.
yes it does Klien

it says as I can read in themnaul on ADA that I get to choose to what
accomatdation I deem fit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1323. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:22:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cegii2lnqhlcf7nm1tpv6o3e11pqc66308@4ax.com>
Source record: 167340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:26:41 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
><salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>>correctly.
>
>You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs,
who appointed to tell others what they must do Mr NoCall coard
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1324. my dad an me pics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:26:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <452935e0$0$19748$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167341
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dad
http://home.mchsi.com/~north1959/professorblumpkin.jpg

and now me.....
http://i10.tinypic.com/29ygohw.jpg

we morgan are hamson mens

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

>
>
>
>
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1325. Re: tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:27:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <45293604$0$19646$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

chek out tood on my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1326. id theift is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:29:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jrgii2d6mfla4egigq0loa1cl1utmvu0qa@4ax.com>
Source record: 167344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:27:13 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
id theift is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1327. id theift is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:29:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <csgii2t69fitf17o4g9ug28ss62aomkv2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 167343
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:26:35 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

id theift is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1328. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:37:35 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iih9inbnfr2ac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>

1329. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:38:12 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihao64bo6sc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in message news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
> news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
> > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
> correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is -- I
am
> not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds later
> not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.
>
>

1330. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:38:49 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihbt1phqd3df@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote in message news:c70h8.9n4.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Sal M. Onella wrote:
> > "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
> >
> > < SNIP >
> >
> > > That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
> > > together.
> >
> > I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> > correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it is --
I
> am
> > not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five seconds
later
> > not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
> >
> > What's the fix for it?
>
> well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
> reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
> physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
> .
> The ADA says? So what? I suppose that you will next enlist their aid in
> getting the 5wpm requirement dropped so you can move on to HF. Heck, that
> way you can be a Zero wpm General.
> And if Steve Hawkings ever tried to apply for, and failed, a Ham license,
> please tell us when.
>
> Don't get rid of your CB, Mark.
>
>

1331. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:39:31 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihd9cdratqff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
> [quotes repaired]
> [piggybacking]
>
> >"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> >>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> >>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> testing for the thing it tests for.
>
> Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
>
> That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> fast the splinters will fly.
>
> >> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>
> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own

> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>
> >> that greatest
> >>physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> >>refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac
>
> What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along
> with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW
> portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal?
> (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists. They
> were/are all mathematicians, although that's questionable in
> Einstein's case.)

1332. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 18:40:10 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12iihee1jkkhp1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
> >I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> >correctly.
>
> You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.
>
> >What's the fix for it?
>
> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
> classes. If they do, see if the person teaching CW knows the
> difference between learning the dits and dahs and learning CW by
> sound. If s/he does, take the course.
>
> I started teaching myself CW (as a kid) by individual letters. After
> using it on the air for a year (we had very little choice in those
> days - Gonsets were too expensive for most of us, and there weren't
> too many other ways to get on 2 meters) I was listening to the
> content, not the dits and dahs. I still have to "hear" the sound in
> my head when someone posts dots and dashes in a Usenet post - I never
> learned to "read" "written CW".

1333. I SWALLOW HOG CUM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 19:26:58 UTC
From: LLOYD DAVIES <lardass@bucktoothchoad.com>
Message-ID: <egbje5$b7r$3@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 167350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I AM A HOG
http://i12.tinypic.com/4hklyci.jpg

1334. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 19:30:43 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <8810917844.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 13:42:33 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote:
>
> What has being a physicist to do with being a ham? But I'll go along
> with that - in order for you to keep your license you can skip the CW
> portion of the test, as long as you produce a PhD in physics. Deal?
> (BTW, Einstein, Dirac and Hawking weren't/aren't physicists.
> They were/are all mathematicians

Wrong. Here's part of Hawking's bio: "In March 1959 Hawking took the scholarship examinations with the aim of studying natural sciences at Oxford. He was awarded a scholarship, despite feeling that he had performed badly, and at University College he specialised in physics in his natural sciences degree. He only just made a First Class degree in 1962 and in [1] he explains how the attitude of the time worked against him:

"The prevailing attitude at Oxford at that time was very anti-work. You were supposed to be brilliant without effort, or accept your limitations and get a fourth-class degree. To work hard to get a better class of degree was regarded as the mark of a grey man - the worst epithet in the Oxford vocabulary.

"From Oxford, Hawking moved to Cambridge to take up research in general relativity and cosmology, a difficult area for someone with only a little mathematical background."
(From http://www.gap-system.org/~history/Biographies/Hawking.html)

From http://archive.ncsa.uiuc.edu/Cyberia/NumRel/EinsteinLegacy.html:
"Einstein's college record as an unenthusiastic student is fairly well known. So, too, his independence and questioning of authority, all of which may have prevented him from landing an entry-level academic position once he graduated in 1900 with a degree in physics."

From http://nobelprize.org:
"In 1909 (Einstein) became Professor Extraordinary at Zurich, in 1911 Professor of Theoretical Physics at Prague, returning to Zurich in the following year to fill a similar post. In 1914 he was appointed Director of the Kaiser Wilhelm Physical Institute and Professor in the University of Berlin."

So both Hawking and Einstein were trained as physicists, and had the equivalent of a minor in mathematics. Only Dirac (originally an electrical engineer) had a major degree in mathematics.

1335. Re: She's a beauty!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 20:45:04 UTC
From: LLOYD DAVIES <lardass@bucktoothchoad.com>
Message-ID: <egbo0j$ts3$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 167353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:c8c55.am6.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> Looks like somebody Woger would like to date...
> http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg
>
>
I give a great dirty sanchez.

1336. She's a beauty!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:22:34 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <c8c55.am6.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167352
Cross-posted to: alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Looks like somebody Woger would like to date...
http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg

1337. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:40:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160343646.752180.103640@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167354

N2EFrom: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
>> adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
>> Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world.
>
>How do you know for sure, Len? Did you visit every transmitting station
>in the world?

No. There is NO historical record of ANY broadcasting
station USING that single-high-power-special-carbon-
microphone "modulator" that you claim is "practical."

>The truth is that you don't know - you're just making things up. Maybe
>others adopted Fessenden's idea and failed. Or maybe they succeeded,
>but after a time lost interest and went on with other things.

The "truth" is that you are pissed, want to rationalize
your previous claim of "practicality" and are trying to
side-pedal onto some area where you can rail at the
challengers, saying the challengers LIE.

>You don't know for sure. All you know is that you haven't come across
>any documentation that someone else adopted Fessenden's idea.

All I know is that NO ONE seems to have documented it...
and there has been LOTS of documentation about broad-
casting for all of its existance...from manufacturers
to users.

Feel free to post ANY source that claims to have used
Reggie's brute-force modulator of a single-high-power-
special-carbon-microphone "modulator" that you say is
"practical."

Why don't you write some of the 50 KW AM broadcasters
and suggest this "practical" idea? Try KMPC here in San
Fernando Valley. 50 KW RF output into three towers.
Do you know of any carbon microphone maker that sells a
FIFTY KILOWATT MICROPHONE? Can you engineer one?

How about the studio people at KMPC? Would you like to
tell them that, for "practical" reasons, they all have to
cluster around a SINGLE microphone that is passing 50 KW
of RF energy? Hmmm? The studio MUST be moved to the
transmitter site unless you can figure out some way for
the SINGLE microphone to exist in present studios yet
handle the 50 KW RF from the transmitter and back out to
the antenna.

>> So much for your redefinition of "practical."
>
>You seem to think that a thing cannot be practical unless it is copied.
>That's simply not true.

I think (no "seem" about it) that you dribbled out some
nonsense about your radio hero's "practical" thing and
are trying (vainly) to get the hell out of it through
a lot of NON-thinking.

>> yet you've never served in the military or in
>> the US government.
>
>How do you know for sure who served and who didn't?

YOU did NOT serve in ANY military. Period. You don't
have the attitude for anything but being elitist, you-
are-better-superiority.

>If I had a dollar for every time you've mentioned your Army experience
>on rrap, I'd probably have enough for a brand new Orion II with all the
>filters.

NOT enough. Not enough to cover the costs of your HBR
clone pictured on Kees Talen's website.

>> Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
>> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.
>
>How does anyone know for sure that it's all accurate, Len? You didn't
>even get the distance from the USSR to Tokyo correct - maybe you made
>other mistakes?

It was already reviewed by three who were THERE, plus
a civilian engineer who worked there for both the USA
and USAF. Several others who were THERE, including a
USAF MSgt who worked at Kashiwa after the USAF took it
over have looked at the final copy FIRST. A draft
copy went with the CD containing photos about Hardy

Barracks to a Pacific Stars and Stripes journalist in
Tokyo. That journalist supplied some extra data which
was incorporated into the final version.

I was in the Army at the time, NOT the USAF. Didn't
need to compute any air distances of possible enemy
aircraft directions. Are you going to say there was
"no danger" from the USSR in the early 1950s?!? Go
tell that to the Far East Command folks...now the
USARPAC based at Fort Shafter, HI.

Speaking of "distances," want to give the distance
to the moon again like you did the first time? :-)

>> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it!" :-)
>
>How do we know for sure that you did it?

You don't...because you NEVER CHECK. All you do is
say I am "in error" (LIE). I have the third-party
documentation, was there.

You were never there. You never served in any
military.

>> >Have you noticed that Len doesn't ask about what other people have done
>> >in *amateur* radio? And this is an *amateur* radio newsgroup!
>
>> Tsk, I have done so.
>
>No, you haven't.

Oh, so now YOU just said what you claimed you didn't say
earlier in your post! [can you say 'hypocrite?']

>> All that you've displayed (via links)
>> is an old 70's era receiver, supposedly built for less than
>> $100, on Kees Talen's website "HBR" pages (HomeBrew Receiver,
>> after the various "HBR" articles in QST of decades ago).
>
>Actually it cost about $10.

Ten dollars is LESS THAN $100.

If it only cost "$10" then I've only mentioned a large
HF communications station ten times... :-)

You have to get your money for that Orion somewhere else.

You can't design an Orion clone by yourself? :-)

>In the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of now-incredible
>things were once considered practical. That's a fact.

Yawn.

>Current flow *is* opposite electron flow, Len. It's an engineering
>convention.

The engineering convention I go to is called 'WESCON'
the WEStern electronics show and CONvention. Alternates
years between Anaheim, CA, and San Francisco, CA. One-
week combination trade show and technical talks.

>Still is. Current flows from positive to negative. Electrons go the
>other way.
>
>> Is NOT practical now.
>
>Then why is it still the conventional representation in electrical
>engineering?

Is it? :-) Have you cracked a NEW text published after
two decades ago? :-)

Are you going to explain "current flow" from the faceplate
of a CRT back to the cathode? :-)

>> ENIAC "broke codes?" Really? "Did it all?" :-)
>
>ENIAC had all the features needed to be the very first operational
>general-purpose electronic digital computer. And it was.

ENIAC broke codes?

Don't waffle. Either it did or it didn't.

>> Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
>> Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
>> (mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
>> three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
>> for making Firing Tables during WWII.
>
>They were slow - at least an order of magnitude slower than ENIAC. They
>were not general purpose, either. Their technology led nowhere.

Tell that to Bell Labs. :-) Tell that to Claude
Elwood Shannon. :-)

>> ever do any "programming in machine language?"
>
>Yes.

Which processor or CPU?

>> Good old tube filaments!
>
>They're called heaters, Len.

Tsk. Out came the knuckle-spanking ruler again! :-)

I have lots of old engineering texts which refer to
the glowing part of vacuum tubes as 'filaments.'

More than I have old engineering texts which talk
about "current flow."

Are you now going to whip out some hydro engineering
texts and explain that "current flow" goes uphill in
a stream? :-)

>The point is that the ENIAC folks got the machine to work with the
>parts available.

What does ENIAC have to do with amateur radio policy?

>The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
>used it until 1955.
>If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
>it or scrapped it.

Tsk, you are an amateur extra pro-coder and KNOW what
the US Army thinks-knows-does!

Marvelous! All from NEVER serving in any military!

Yawn.

>Mechanical and electromechanical computing and calculating were
>rendered hopelessly obsolete by ENIAC's success. ENIAC caused the focus
>to move to purely electronic computing and calculating. Within a few
>years, commercial machines like UNIVAC were on the market. (A UNIVAC
>correctly predicted the outcome of the 1952 presidential election,
>based on just a few percent of the returns).

Predicted all by itself? No programmer did anything?

Amazing!

But, UNIVAC was not ENIAC. :-)

>It's clear you're very jealous, Len.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yawn.

>Those machines can all trace their design right back to ENIAC - and not
>to any mechanical or electromechanical device.

Oh, my, not to Alfred Boole? :-)

Not to Von Neuman? Not to hundreds of thousands
like Bardeen, Brattain, and Shockley? Or Jack Kilby?
Or the innovator of the floppy disk mass storage
device (whoever did that first)?

Right you are, Mr. Computer Guru. Nothing about "Harvard"
architecture, "pipelining", bilateral digital switching,
standardized logic levels, RAM, ROM, EPROM, or BINARY
registers instead of the BCD variant ENIAC used. Modern
computers "trace their design right back to ENIAC?"
Noooooooo.

Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
not even 12AU7s. The last vacuum tube used with computers
was the CRT and that's quickly going away...

>> ENIAC is defunct. Liberty is NOT.
>
>"Liberty is not a bell".

Whatever you say, Mr. Patriot.

I think of LIBERTY and FREEDOM in the larger sense, but
if all you can think of is some 'bell' go for it.

Ring your own chimes, Mr. Never Served.

>You really are jealous, aren't you, Len? Fact is, ENIAC *did* change
>the world.

Still stuck on that religious object at Moore? Tsk.

>How do you know if someone is a "USMC Imposter", Len?

Real veterans KNOW this, Jimmie. You don't because you will
never be a military veteran.

>ENIAC served the Army longer than *you* did, Len ;-)

No problem, ENIAC served the ARMY an infinity more
than YOU did. You NEVER served...any military.

BTW, what did it say on ENIAC's DD-214? :-)

>> Oh, yeah, the "compact Johnson." The E. F. Johnson
>> Viking Messenger is small but not necessarily compact.
>
>Practical for its time.

Is it like the "ENIAC" of CB?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

[damn, it's hard to keep a straight face with your postings]

>I wrote about your "compact Johnson", Len - and that's all. See the
>capital J? That's a proper name.

It's just a SURNAME, Jimmie, for "E. F. Johnson."

E. F. Johnson made a LOT of different radios. Which one
do you think I have?

Have you seen the E. F. Johnson mobile transceivers they
have now? Much more compact than the Viking Messenger.

>> >> > Plus if FCC *does* drop Element 1, what will Len do?
>
>> Then I will drop the advocacy of eliminating the morse
>> code test...as I have written many times in here.
>
>First time I've seen you wrote that.

Here's a plain and simple fact: You LIE, Jimmie.

I have explained what I will do many times. So many
times that I might juggle a few words to make it look
a bit different. The INTENT and MEANING is still the
same.

>Besides, if the test is gone, there's no reason to advocate for its
>elimination.

Golleee, Gomer, you finally figured that out all by
yourself?

BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>The question is what will you do without that obsession to fill your
>time?

What "obsession?" :-)

Changing federal laws and regulations is a POLITICAL
matter. I am active in politics, many things, but none
are "obsessions." ["obsessions" like the religious
affection for a defunct computer]

>You have advocated far more than simple elimination of the Morse Code
>test.

How about that? :-)

Elimination of the morse code test was NEVER "simple." :-)

To do so would mean the End of the World As Morsemen
Knew It!

Morse code testing is practically a Religious Rite to all
morsemen, ending it is like defaming God, a Heresy with
a capital H. :-)

But, as always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

1338. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:45:16 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160343916.044096.201000@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167355

From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 5:40 am
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>>> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.
>>>> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...
>>>> "FB, OM."
>>>> QRT.
>>>> "Roger who?"
>
>> < etc., ... >
>
>I don't blame you for leaving out the rest. I'd have been embarrassed
>to have have written it too.

Something "left out?" Oh, my, we can't have that. Here's
the exchange again, word for word, right from Google's
recent RRAP message storage:

=============== Begin Message Quote (Again) ==================

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 5 2006 7:20 pm
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> 1. The "official" 'Radiogram' form sold by the ARRL
>> for use in "official" message relay by amateurs.
>> Obvious play-acting AS IF the amateur relay was
>> by "official" means a la Western Union or similar
>> REAL telegraphic message. :-)

>Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
>using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
>infringement?

Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.

>> 2. The monotonic HI HI HI on voice to denote a 'laugh.'
>> Done with little or no inflection and hardly normal
>> to genuine laughter. [jargon from telegraphic
>> shorthand where inflection and tonality of real
>> laughter is not possible]

>Hi, hi!

Ho, ho! Beep, beep...

>> 3. Gratuitous signal level and readability "reports"
>> to other stations AS IF they were solidly received
>> when they are not.

>You're 59, OM.

"FB, OM."

>> 4. Carrying over many, many "Q" code three-letter
>> shorthands from telegraphy on voice where the plain
>> words would have worked just as well. Jargon use
>> has the appearance of being a "professional" service
>> but it is just jargon, a juxtaposition of short-hand
>> used in different modes.

>QSL.

QRT.

>> 5. The seeming inability to express anything but in a
>> flat monotone on voice, despite the subject (if any)
>> under discussion. Most of the time such voice
>> contacts seem devoid of the transmitting operator's
>> ability to convey any emotion beyond boredom.

>Roger.

"Roger who?"

>> 6. The over-use of call signs instead of legal names
>> in non-radio conversation, communication, and image
>> displays...AS IF the license grantee were a REAL
>> radio station or radio broadcaster.

>Every 10 minutes.

"We now pause 10 seconds for official station identification."

>> 7. The non-radio self-definition of a licensee as being
>> "federally authorized radio station (or operator or
>> both)." Elevation of self-importance beyond what the
>> amateur radio license GRANT is about.

>10-4.

Roger that. Affirmative. Over and out.

>> 8. The non-acceptance of the word "hobby" for the real
>> activity of radio amateurs AS IF they were somehow
>> a national service to the country.

>Authenticate.

"Official"

>> 9. The falsity of redefining the word "service" (amateur
>> radio service, were 'service' means a type and kind of
>> radio activity of all) into that "national service"
>> akin to anything from a para-military occupation to an
>> important "resource" that would always "save the day
>> when all other infrastructure communications services
>> 'failed'."

>Amateur Radio Service = GI Bill.

ARRL chief a member of Joint Chiefs of Staff.

>> 10. The falsity of assuming that amateur radio is
>> PRIMARILY an "emergency" communications resource.
>> Regardless of the pomposity of many self-righteous
>> amateurs and thousands of words and redefinitions
>> written, the amateur radio service is still an
>> avocational radio activity done for personal
>> pleasure WITHOUT pecuniary compensation.

>"Sorry Jim, MARS is Amateur Radio."

As Pluto went so may MARS...

>> >Amateur radio is among the least formal radio services I know.

>> Besides listening-only to radio broadcasting service,
>> what DO you "know" about OTHER radio services?

>Other than reading about the amateur radio service in WWII, what does
>Jim know about THE Service?

He consults Pentagon library of morsemen.

>> You know NOTHING of military radio. You never served, never
>> worked with the military. I did both as a soldier and as a
>> civilian.

>Jim knows nothing of military radio.

Except surplus he read about.

>> You know NOTHING about any form of broadcasting from the
>> transmitting end or even studio/location procedures and
>> technology. I've been involved with broadcasting at the
>> station end since 1956.

>I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

He not listed in SEG, Screen Extras Guild.

>> You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never
>> had one. I did.

>When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

CAVU...(Code Allatime Very Universal)

>> You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
>> procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.
>> I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.

>Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

IFR. Intermittent Fantasy Regaler.

>> You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
>> and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in
>> harbors and inland waterways.

>Jim is on CH16.

Hot water?

>> You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.
>> CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
>> that. I've been doing that since 1959.

>Jim is on CH19.

10-4.

>> You MIGHT know something about Personal Communications
>> Radio Services other than CB (R-C is not strictly a
>> communications mode, it is tele-command)...such as a
>> cellular telephone. No "call letters," "Q" codes, or
>> radiotelegraphy are used with cell phones. One in three
>> Americans has one. Do you have one. I do.

>You can reach Jim at XXX-XXX-XXXX.

He X rated now?

>> >> Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> >> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.

>> >Not true, Len. We're amateurs

>> Don't you forget it.

>Yowsa!

:-)

>> I have USED my COMMERCIAL radio operator license to operate
>> on FAR MORE EM SPECTRUM than is allocated to amateurs. LEGAL
>> operation. In most cases of such work NO license was required
>> by the contracting government agency. [the FCC regulates only
>> CIVIL radio services in the USA, NOT the government's use]

>Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

Knows all. Allatime calls others "wrong."

>> When did YOU "legally" operate below 500 KHz? Have you EVER
>> operated on frequencies in the microwave region? [other than
>> causing 2.4 GHz EMI from your microwave oven] Have you
>> transmitted ANY RF energy as high as 25 GHz? I have
>> transmitted RF from below LF to 25 GHz. I have done that
>> since 1953...53 years ago.

>Jim's Giga Hurts.

Let's take up collection to send him Preparation H.

>> What would you have me "take advantage of" in "good chunks"
>> of the EM spectrum? "Work DX at 10 GHz?!?" :-) :-) :-)

>I prefer smooth.

Peanuts.

>> I've once "worked" 250,000 miles (approximately) "DX" with
>> a far-away station above 2 GHz but below 10 GHz. What have
>> YOU done above 3/4 meters? READ about it?

>Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
>maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

Coslonaut helped Giganaut.

>> Oh, yes, now you are going to "reply" with the standard
>> ruler-spank that I did not do that with "my own"
>> equipment. :-)

>You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
>bought both sides of the QSO.

My bad. I QRK and QSY both.

>> Well, now YOU have a quandry. To use that stock "reply"
>> of yours you MUST define that the "taxpayer SUBSIDIZES"
>> anything of the government or contracted work by the
>> government. In your "logic" then, I really DO "own" that
>> equipment!

>I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

Must be...he never subsides.

>> But, if you say I don't then you have to take back your
>> INSULT to all military servicemen and servicewomen that
>> they "receive a SUBSIDY from the taxpayer." I will NOT
>> "own that equipment" if you take that insult back.

>Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

I have tubular capacitors for hollow-state things,
cathode ray tubes on a hot tin roof.

>> YOU don't think your remark was an "insult." You've tried
>> to rationalize your way out of that three ways from Sunday
>> since. Well then, I "do" "own" that equipment and did get
>> experience using "my own" equipment!

>Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
>Len.

>Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

Is that why his Giga hurts?

>> YOU are NOT young, Jimmie. Face it. You've hit the
>> halfway mark and are downhill all the way since.
>> YOU are MIDDLE-AGED, growing older.

>> YOU never "pioneered radio" in your life. All you did
>> was try to fit in to the present...and then rationalized
>> by implication that you somehow did some "pioneering."

>But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

He is punchy.

>> You imply that you are "superior" because of achieving
>> an amateur extra class license largely through a test
>> for morsemanship. Manual radiotelegraphy hasn't been
>> "pioneered" by you.

>Jim is a follower.

Camp.

>> >The transistor was invented in 1948 - 58 years ago.

>> 1947. The PATENT wasn't granted immediately. :-)

>Owch!!!

>I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

Also before instant oatmeal and regularity.

>> >Amateurs were using
>> >them in receivers and transmitters by the late 1950s.

>> Early. Like 1952. See QST or CQ (forget which) which
>> I saw at Fort Monmouth in that year. Transistors made
>> by Philco (?). Whatever it was, the transistors have
>> long been obsolete, out of production, replaced by
>> newer, better, cheaper types.

>Do they require greenlee punches?

How about we give him nice Hawaiian Punch?

>> Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state
>> ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone
>> else.

>Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

Prior to 1980...

>> Use those mighty collitch degrees, all that radio-
>> electronics "experience" in the "industry" to show us
>> what you can really do. :-)

>He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

Cribbed from Joe Speroni's website...

=============== End Repeat of Message Quote =================

>I know that you intended your post to be sarcastic and perhaps even
>humorous. It wasn't. It was sophomoric.

Poor baby. Upset are you? There there, just cry in
Mother Superior's habit and you'll feel better...

>It doesn't matter whether you read it in context or out, Len.

Ah, so you LIKE taking things out of context!

And you seem to think that is "acceptible."

No sweat, senior, we can ALL do that to YOUR posts now.

>Consider my post as humor.

"BWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

"I am laughing at your superior intellect!" :-)

>You've exhibited your usual display of humorless unpleasantness.

Is that more of your "humor?" :-)

Well, we will all "consider your posts as humor" in the
future. No sweat, senior, we will. It is how you want
it. :-)

Heh heh, Don Rickles will never have to worry about
any competition from you... :-)

Of course, Brian Burke and I were having fun with one
of James Miccolis' posts, NOT Heil's.

But, you are the self-appointed "protector" of Miccolis
in here, right? :-)

>It is sarcastic and it is juvenile. It isn't worthy of an adult in his
>eighth decade.

Ah, you are the "judge" of that, old-timer? :-)

Miccolis can't answer for himself? You have to intrude
and be the pro-bono "defense?" :-)
[or is it "pro-boner?"]

>I'm not looking for rationalizations.

You MAKE them, though...

>Your post wasn't some civil
>dissertation on your reasoned thoughts on removal of morse testing.

The FCC has all those. :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've told you why I am here and what do I
get for "replies?" Stuff like I have "hidden agendas"
(and other conspiratorial bullshit) or "you've had an
interest in ham radio for decades and never got a license"
or "you could have gotten a no-code tech license" or "you
could have gotten a license on waivers" along with
assorted insinuations of stupidity, ignorance, lack of
"proper attitude" and other nastygrams. :-)

The above have greeted every "reasoned thought" I've
presented in here on ELIMINATION of morse code testing
for an amateur radio license...and many more quaint
adolescent insults directed to my person. You were one
of those tossing shit. <shrug> You are an amateur
extra and consider yourself above the rest, are
"superior." No problem. Usual from the uber-morse
crowd.

No one here is bound to accept your thoughts, find
amusement in them, or refrain from jeering or throwing
tomatoes.

Poor baby, your good buddie Jimmie got some over-ripe
tomatoes in his direction? It's the Nature of the
Newsgroup Beast. Try to get used to it. [you never
have gotten used to it, though, and that makes you
angry allatime]

>> Heil did the same OUT OF CONTEXT "quoting" of Brian
>> Burke, adding in his pet phrase (which Heil says is
>> "not" a personal insult) of "red-hatted monkey."
>
>Did I say it wasn't a personal insult?

You used it as a personal insult.

>It is certainly an accurate description.

See, there you go again with the personal insult.

You can't help yourself. Poor baby.

>I have several for you.

And you DO use them...and then 'deny' them. Tsk, tsk.

> Go into your "Herr Robust" or "Waffen SS"
>routine again and you'll likely see a few of 'em.

"Routine?" Did you think they referred to yourself? :-)

>>> The Old Organ Grinder, the man who is only here for CIVIL debate is
>>> heard from.
>
>> Tsk, tsk. I *am* a civilian. :-)
>
>...but you aren't civil.

I have never been a civil servant. :-)

You have been employed by the State Department...yet
you've not displayed any diplomacy in here, neither
"carrot" nor "stick"...but you DO tell your 'opponents'
to "stick it." :-)

>> I have ground pepper but never an organ.
>
>You grind your organ frequently right here in r.r.a.p.

Sorry, I don't "grind my organ" while typing. :-)

[I'm laughing too hard at pro-coders usually...]

I don't grind my teeth, either. [yes, they are all
rather firmly attached 24/7]

>I wrote nothing of Fargo nor chippers. :-) :-)

No doubt you claim you never saw the movie "Fargo"
either. Nice end of the movie scene where a murderer
is getting rid of a body by running it through a
chipper. Seems like the kind of thing you would
enjoy...grinding your 'opponents' down that way. :-)

>You were here when I showed up and were already not being a polite
>"goody two-shoes" respondent.

Awwww..."being civil" meaning I should AGREE with
KH2D in here at the time? :-)

Kehler was one of the most sarcastic, sulpherous,
one-sided pro-coders experienced anywhere. He left
here, left Guam, moved to the states.

>You'll likely be asked again in light of your deliberate falsehood
>concerning what it was like to undergo an artillery barrage.

You think you "know" all that I've done? Of course
you think so. You are a pro-code amateur extra and

"know" everything. Understood. Morsemanship makes
you superhuman.

> See IEEE Code of Ethics

If you have ANY evidence of PROFESSIONAL impropriety, you
just go ahead and report me to the IEEE. I gave you
their mailing address and URL here in public.

You fail to understand that the IEEE is a Professional
Association. It isn't a scouting organization nor is it
religious organization such as the Church of St. Hiram.
The IEEE Code of Ethics is for a WORK ethic, not the
entirety of life as an individual.

But, you WANT to use every little scrap you can get hold
of in order to besmirch some imagined 'enemy' don't you?
Of course you do. You seem to revel in it.

Okay, you have the freedom to write the IEEE and tell them
I have been behaving nasty to you (a 'superior' being) in
a USENET newsgroup and should have my membership cancelled
because of that. DEMAND apologies. Demand strict obediance
to your wishes. Go for it.

As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

1339. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:49:24 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160344164.282099.301390@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167356

From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 4:28 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Tsk, tsk, you've TOLD ME what I should have done in the
>> military...
>
>What did Jim TELL YOU that you should have been doing, Len?

It's in the archives where Jimmie likes to live. :-)

>> You can see and read what I did for three years there via:
>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
>> 6 MB in size, takes about 19 minutes download on a dial-up
>> connection. Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
>> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.
>
>Reruns of "Look what I did".

Not "I," old soldier-statesman, what *we* in the
battalion did. 8235th Army Unit.

It's for historical interest purposes. The only other one
(a much larger one) is at www.usarmygermany.com that was
put together by Walter Elkins about the Signal effort in
Europe.

If you sneer too much at the My3Years.pdf, then feel free
to substitute AlphabetSoup.pdf, a copy of my battalion's
own production of its mission tasks circa 1962. That
courtesy of Mr. James Brendage, a retired civilian
engineer who worked at ADA when I was serving there.

If you don't like either of those, then substitute either
one of the two remaining, one on microwave radio relay,
the other on the SCR-300, both from a technical standpoint.
The SCR-300 was the first walkie-talkie, a backpack VHF
transceiver, introduced during WW2, designed and built by

Galvin Mfg (later to be renamed Motorola).

It's all about RADIO and COMMUNICATIONS.

>Your ADA sojourn began about fifty-three years back, didn't it, Len?
>Why do you live in the past so much?

1. I live for the now and the future, not the past.

2. There is no copyright restriction on government works,
therefore no need to get written permission.

3. There is no security classification on the material
I've presented...neither from the DoD nor private
company non-disclosure agreements.

>> Greenlee is still a corporation in Rockford, IL, but they
>> seem to have stopped making "chassis punches" for radio
>> hobbyists.
>
>There's another of your factual errors.

My bad. :-) Does Greenlee take out ads in QST, QEX?

How about Popular Communications? Any ads in there?

>Greenlee still sells chassis
>punches--round ones, square ones, those shaped for D-connectors, power
>sockets. There's even a hydraulic punch set. The U.S. Government buys
>loads of them. The company's "hole making" product information can be
>downloaded--all 7.9 mb of it.
>
>http://www.greenlee.com/product/index.html

Are you on commission from Greenlee? :-)

No sweat, old soldier-statesman, I've been IN Greenlee on
a visit, have seen the little corner of one building where
two guys were making punches and files.

Send your download to Lowes or Home Depot corporate head-
quarters, see if they are interested.

I still have old Greenlee chassis punches from before the
60s, still wrapped in oily paper, get checked now and then
for rust. They were all used decades ago...only two have
been reground on the edges (did that myself, no problem).

Not much use for those punches now in the solid-state era.
Especially when there are so many KITS available for those
who claim to design their own. :-)

>> Jimmie ever do any "programming in machine language?" At any
>> time? I have. Want me to list them? :-)
>

>That's not necessary, Len. Why not tell us any of the things you've
>done in amateur radio?

You mean the software mods I made for two other hams
don't apply? [Microchip Corp. PIC microcontrollers]

How about a series of bandpass filters for the HF bands
where I did the toroid windings, capacitor selection,
assembly, shielding, and alignment? Using my own
computer program "LCie4"?

Oh, be still my heart, the great soldier-statesman has
put me down! :-)

>Only a fraction of the American people are watching HDTV. Most aren't
>even aware of what will hit them in a couple of years. People are still
>running out to K-Mart and Wally World and buying new *analog* TV sets.

Thank you for the attempt at being an electronics
industry "insider." It is nice to know that someone
cares.

>There'll be a big learning curve for the non-city dwelling owners of new
>HDTV receivers. They'll find that they have to use antennas with fairly
>high gain, preamps and rotators. They'll be using those rotators quite
>often. I ended up buying a Channel Master rotator with remote control
>and memory.

That's nice. Are you going for some kind of amateur HDTV
award or contest?

>>> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>>> untruths for a long time.
>
>> Tsk. Typical bluffmanship on Jimmie's part.
>
>It was an accurate statement, Leonard. You don't know much about Jim.
>You have resorted to wild speculation and untruths.

How can something be "untrue" if there is NO basis to
judge? Id est, as in his never saying...but you MUST
call a speculation a LIE?

Sounds like the old Waffen SS trick again.

>> ARRL carefully OMITS certain items of history and IMPLIES
>> amateurs are 'responsible' for all advances. :-)
>
>You've made another untruthful statement.

My apology for offending your religious beliefs. However,
the TRUTH is not heresy.

>> Jimmie wanna see my home workshop? Have it digitized,
>> was sent to three others. Wanna see the HP 608D and
>> the 606 signal generators, the 60 MHz dual-channel
>> scopes (note plural), the 1 KW Variac below the bench?
>
>You're kind of light in the Variac department, Len. Don't you have
>anything which will handle real power?

Yes...it's labeled "4 Stacks" on aeronautical sectional
charts.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

[pilot joke, old soldier-statesman]

>You're a pathetic and childish geezer, Len.

Awwww...you are TOO sweet... :-)

>You really need a way to fill your idle hours.

"Idle?"

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Paul didn't say anything about a background check, Len. He addressed
>the IEEE Code of Ethics.

YOU addressed the IEEE Code of Ethics, failing to write
all of it. Paul picked up on that and wanted to get in
some kind of "fight" about it.

YOU have the mailing address of the IEEE. Feel free to
write them and complain about my behavior in the news-
group and how that "violates" the Professional Code of
Ethics about engineering WORK. Be sure and document
everything from BOTH sides, such as your own name-
calling ("You're a pathetic and childish geezer").
Tell the IEEE that your "soldier-statesman" image has
been "tarnished" by "insults" in here. Go ahead, make
your day.

>Are you discussing your tiny, dusty Johnson?

No, but you seem to have overmuch interest in it.

Did you munch a lot of nuts while in Guinea-Bisseau?
[cashews are their biggest export...]

As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked...

1340. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:53:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160344408.849203.58330@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167357

From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 11:52 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
>> statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
>> doesn't work.

It works! :-) Jimmie just hasn't done anything outside.

He has never been IN the military.

He has never been IN government.

He has never stated what he does for a living.

>It hasn't stopped him from trying. He has never become a radio amateur
>despite his several decades of self-declared "interest" in amateur radio.

How about that? I became a professional BEFORE anything
else! :-)

>> The other reason for Len's antics is so he can tell us, once again, the
>> different things he's done.
>
>He should just number them. Instead of typing all of those words over
>and over, he could just type something like "62."

What, no "69?" [Cecil and I probably agree on that one...:-)]

>If he tries a "you have never" and someone refutes it with details, Len
>simply clams up.

Ah! "Justification" for that Imposter Robeson...a licensed
amateur extra and a pro-coder!

My, my, these pro-coders sure do hang together.

Cosier that way. They would otherwise hang separately. :-)

>If they voluntarily post material describing something
>they've done, Len uses that as an opportunity for insulting the poster.

I will insult any poster of Che Guevara I see. :-)

Most political posters glued to vertical spaces are
themselves insulting...

>...and like ENIAC, Fessendon's feat was an advancement over what had
>previously been possible.

"...had previously been possible." :-)

>I'm glad we don't need that sort of thing today. I don't have room for
>an ENIAC.

Sure you do in that rambling country antenna farm.

But, there's only ONE ENIAC and it is now a museum
piece. Defunct. Good only for show-and-tell.

>I wonder if Len ever saw or touched ENIAC.

Why is that "necessary?" :-)

>...and a high quality, tube-type BC set from the 1950's sounds every bit
>as good as its modern, LSI counterpart.

Enjoy your vacuum tube set...until one of the tubes burns
out. :-)

>> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>> untruths for a long time.
>
>I'm sure you have an idea of his reasons for digging for information.

You WILL reveal to the forum your "reasons," won't you?

Of course you will, you both are pro-code amateur extras,
the 'superior' ones who know everything. :-)

You MUST "profile" all those who don't agree with you.

>> White's is very good - for what it covers. It essentially stops long
>> before WW2. Its treatment is heavy on broadcasting, light on amateurs
>> and nonbroadcasting commercial operation. IMHO.
>
>But Len refers to it as if it is the Bible.

Not at all. Thomas H. White's radio history in the USA is
large, illustrated, and readily accessible on the web. It
was mentioned only because of its accessibility.

McGraw-Hill's ELECTRONICS magazine of April 17, 1980, had a
special commemorative Issue on their 50th anniversary.
Volume 53, Number 9, 650 pages, excellent overview with
many details, photographs from before Marconi's time to
1980. They didn't emphasize amateur radio because amateur
radio was really a small player in that bigger game of
electronics technology. Unless one was a subscriber to

Electronics magazine or has access to a technical library, it isn't that easy to use as a reference.

Hugh G. J. Aitken's "The Continuous Wave: Technology and American Radio," 1900-1932, Princeton University Press, 1985, 588 pages, soft cover, is a scholarly work, quite complete and sponsored by the National Science Foundation. Again, there isn't the highlighting of amateur radio a la ARRL but that is for the real reason that amateur radio wasn't considered a 'big player' in the technological development of radio.

Aitken's earlier work, "Syntony and Spark: The Origins of Radio" was done in 1976, reprinted in 1985 by Princeton University Press. I don't have that handy at the moment so I can't describe its size but it is another soft-cover. Neither is readily available except from a technical library.

What some amateurs call "The Collins Sideband Book," or "Single Sideband Principles and Circuits," Pappenfus, Bruene, and Schoenike, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964, 382 pages, has a good bit of HF communications history in Chapter 1 up to copyright date of the book, more in following chapters on various early SSB systems.

A veritable cornucopia of radio-electronics historical information can be found on dozens of websites that don't inwardly focus just on amateur radio. One can start with the links listing at http://sujan.hallikainen.org down towards the bottom of the "Broadcast History" main page. Harold Hallikainen is a licensed radio amateur, by the way. From those links can be found much history of communications and electronics, even military such as Walter Elkins' www.usarmygermany.com website (huge, detailed history of post-WW2 US Army history in USAEUR). If you need to see a direct copy of US Army in the Far East circa 1962, download my Military (page) upload of http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/AlphabetSoup.pdf. For things like the telecommunications infrastructure there are several sites about this service that is supposed to "fail" at every emergency (according to some popular but erroneous myths among some hams) such as the transcontinental microwave radio relay system by AT&T that was, developments of microwave vacuum tubes, slow-scan TV (other than amateur experiments), cellular telephony, indeed nearly every facet of "the telephone company." It might be noted that the microwave radio relay system was an integral part of US defense communications in the decades before 1980...which is opposite of the "always fails"

claim of the infrastructure accusers. Some of that includes the Western Electric Company early work that helped bring the early vacuum tube into a reproducible, reliable product.

At the IEEE website under "Milestones" (in electronics and electrical power distribution) is a number of firsts ("milestones") in technology, the where-when-who of each one. The IEEE spoken histories include interviews with many of the movers-and-shakers, major to minor, of the electronics industry, military, and aerospace field. For other history there is the Radio Club of America, the first membership organization in the USA and still organized, containing a number of biographies of notable radio pioneers and their work plus early radio sites and stories. At the Corning Frequency Control website (now acquired by another corporation ? and may have its URL altered) is several papers on the history of quartz crystal production in the USA before, during, and after WW2 by participants in that work. There exist a great number of websites on nearly all phases of electronics and radio, done by individuals or groups who have been there and done that without any "necessity" of first getting an amateur radio license, then being a part of the industry. Everything from a history of radio comms in California state and local police (many photos) to a specialty site about the SCR-300 walkie-talkie done by the son of the chief designer at Galvin (later Motorola). A New Jersey historical group has an extensive coverage of the Coles, Evans, and Squier Laboratories very near Fort Monmouth, NJ, the to-be-abandoned site of the US Army Electronics Command...included in that is a large description of the very first "moonbounce" dubbed Project Diana that took place just after WW2 ended.

The number of places to get historical information on electronics (including radio) is immense on the Internet. It seems that many, many individuals have an INTEREST in the whole sphere of the technology without having to "get a ham license first." They were IN it before being told they HAD to get that "first permission to enter" from some blowhard control-freak ham.

> He usually follows one of
>those references with some sniping at the American Radio Relay League.

There is no denying that the publications output of the ARRL is very large. They must do that in order to get the income necessary to perform all their "free" services to members. The ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur-interest publications in the USA...no denying that, either.

But, the ARRL is also a political organization,
maintaining both a legal firm and a lobbying organization
in DC on retainer. As a political entity, they come
under the good old American tradition of being a target
for anyone who cares to comment. The League is NOT
without fault...except in the minds of its faithful
followers, the disciples of the Church of St. Hiram.

Having a virtual monopoly on radio-amateur-interest
publications also gives them a psychological power to
mold readers' opinions to those of the League hierarchy.
To deny that is to deny the power of marketing
techniques, of psychological propaganda activities that
go on daily in nearly all human activities.

>> Do you need to review the profile?
>
>Len needs to review the profile.

No. "Profiles" work both ways. Heil and Miccolis have
both been "profiled" in here, not just by me but by
many others. It is the Nature of the (newsgroup) Beast.

>Len seldom lets the truth get in the way of one of his monologues.

Tsk, Heil speaks an untruth.

OPINIONS are not "facts," just opinions.

Miccolis tries to manuever all opinion statements as "facts"
written by those he has problems with...thus garnering the
"accusations" of "untruth" or "error" when some just plain
don't like him. That he often comes across as an arch-
typical "mother superior" (complete with spanking ruler) is
lost on him. Prissy, as if sucking on sourballs when
writing up "error" "error" on those disagreeing with him.

Heil comes across as a stereotypical WW2 propaganda movie
Waffen SS officer, ordering others around, telling them
what they "should" do (his way, naturally). One can
almost see the sneer on his face, the monocle ready to
drop as his face gets more livid with order-barking,
the heels clicking.

>I've noticed the talk of his workshop, but nothing about what comes out
>of it.

Why should it? It is for MY enjoyment for myself, not
some "hey-look-at-me-and-what-marvelous-things-I've-done"
self promotion on some website. :-)

I've had it for four decades. Those I know have been
in it and we've talked mutual interest stuff about any
project then on-going. Material like that has been
exchanged privately. No need to make it public.

>All vine, no fruit.

Southern California is not an ideal place for vinyards;
mid-state is best: Napa, Sonoma, Mendocino Counties.
California produces most of the wine consumed in the USA.

Southern California climate is good for citrus. My 35-
year-old lemon tree bears lemons all year around. The
dwarf orange hybrid is almost as productive.

>For sure. SS is coming up fairly soon.

"Waffen?" Jahwhol! [click, click] :-)

1341. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 21:57:50 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <jvKdnXWmR63I6bTYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 167358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
>
>>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and dahs
>>correctly.
>
> You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.

Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words (ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.

FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: < 10wpm character speed. At this speed, I no longer hear the 'sound of the letter', only a bunch of dits and dahs. I find myself playing each collection of dits and dahs back in my head at a higher speed --- bizzare. I first learned slow code with farnsworth (21 wpm character speed) and longer inter character spaces to give the effective slower WPM rates. Increasing speed involved reducing the inter character space time.

1342. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 22:17:46 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <ZP6dnXKTY8li5bTYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 167359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>
> [quotes repaired]
> [piggybacking]
>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>
> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> testing for the thing it tests for.
>
> Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
>
> That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> fast the splinters will fly.
>
>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>
> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to

> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>

One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and dahs.

Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special procedures must be used.

If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures, I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am a KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it is my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement of learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun part of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

1343. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 22:34:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com>
Source record: 167360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>
>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>>
>> [quotes repaired]
>> [piggybacking]
>>
>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>>
>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>> testing for the thing it tests for.
splinters will fly.
>>
>>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>>
>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>>
>
>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory
>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>dahs.
>
>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special

>procedures must be used.
>
>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures,
>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am a
>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it is
>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement of
>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun part
>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)

Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
realy stunk from that

the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
ARS

many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
PUBLIC at large?

if there answer it does not realy that it can not be shown to then the
question of wether it benifits Ham radio is moot and with the end of
the treaty requirement I cointend (and others) that constutituionaly
the FCC lacks the POWER to require it

when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
(the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it

if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
test at the same speed)

as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
there were a need for CW?
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1344. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 23:58:13 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij42noo080p97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:jvKdnXWmR63I6bTYnZ2dnUVZ_rudnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> > <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
> >
> >>I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
dahs
> >>correctly.
> >
> > You're not supposed to learn dits and dahs, any more than, in French
> > class, you learn the letters of the alphabet. You're supposed to
> > learn the words in CW. Anyone listening to the dits and dahs
> > (dyslexic or not) will never gain any proficiency in CW.
>
> Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an
> individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to
> counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words
> (ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would
> also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a
> certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been
> around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.
>
> FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: <
10wpm
> character speed. At this speed, I no longer hear the 'sound of the
letter',
> only a bunch of dits and dahs. I find myself playing each collection of
> dits and dahs back in my head at a higher speed --- bizzare. I first
> learned slow code with farnsworth (21 wpm character speed) and longer
inter
> character spaces to give the effective slower WPM rates. Increasing speed
> involved reducing the inter character space time.
>
>

1345. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-08 23:58:54 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij442sns4cc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:ZP6dnXKTY8li5bTYnZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
> >
> > [quotes repaired]
> > [piggybacking]
> >
> >>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> >>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
> >
> > No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> > testing for the thing it tests for.
> >
> > Can you just imagine a totally blind from birth person "choosing", as
> > a test for a job that requires color matching, a test to see if he can
> > tell the difference between Braille labels on paintings? Or a "test"
> > for someone who can't drive due to a disability consisting of testing
> > his ability to hail a cab? A test for the ability to receive AND
> > DECODE CW consists of your listening to, or seeing, *CW*! We don't
> > test a translator's ability to translate French to English by giving
> > him the English translation and seeing if he can "read" it.
> >
> > That makes as much sense as a man insisting that it's sex
> > discrimination to not hire him to model women's clothing - something
> > that's been thrown out of the courts so many times it has permanent
> > skid marks. Sue the FCC if you like, but make sure the courtroom
> > doors are open or you'll get hurt when they throw your case out so
> > fast the splinters will fly.
> >
> >>> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
> >
> > Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> > the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW

> > proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> > dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> > he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> > car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> > likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> > someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
> >
>
> One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
auditory
> in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
> dahs.
>
> Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
> physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
> administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
> nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
> procedures must be used.
>
> If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
Amatures,
> I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am
a
> KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
> hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it
is
> my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement
of
> learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
part
> of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
> it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
>
>
>

1346. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:03:40 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <OeKdnRx0d_ZMDLTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 167363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> [quotes repaired]
>>> [piggybacking]
>>>
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
>>>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
>>>
>>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
>>> testing for the thing it tests for.
> splinters will fly.
>>>
>>>>> persnaly Id choose a code
>>>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
>>>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
>>>
>>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
>>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
>>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
>>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
>>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
>>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
>>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
>>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
>>>
>>
>>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
>>auditory
>>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>>dahs.
>>
>>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose

>>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
>>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
>>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
>>procedures must be used.
>>
>>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
>>Amatures,
>>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I am
>>a
>>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
>>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although it
>>is
>>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the requirement
>>of
>>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
>>part
>>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not sure
>>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
>
> since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
> and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
> of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)
>
> Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
> for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
> Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
> realy stunk from that
>
> the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
> ARS

The stated purposes as put forth in Part 97 would seem to support that. In case you didn't notice, I am not saying the FCC should be requireing the CW test.

>
> many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

True. And many Hams use it. I was on 20 meters this evening and the CW sub band was wall to wall operators --- much more than on the phone subband --- not that means manything special.

>
> the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
> PUBLIC at large?

I suspect you are being kind. I fear many want the code requirement so as to protect their vision of what Ham radio should be. I am not sure that requiring CW and not requiring proficiency in any of the digital modes makes any sense. Its the inconsistency that seems weird.

>
> when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
> (the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
> it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it

If so, that is a shame.

>
> if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
> taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
> mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
> for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
> target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
> license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
> typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
> test at the same speed)

I'm not sure I get your point. I can have someone read me a book (books on audio tape) , or I can read it myself. I prefer reading it myself. However, listening to an audio tape doesn't demonstrate that I can read.

>
> as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
> panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
> going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
> there were a need for CW?

My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or so) better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM or QRN.

Again, I am not sure I think CW should be a requirement. I think it is good for the HOBBY. I am not sure it is relevant to the service regulated by the FCC.

>>
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

1347. On Monday morning, be vewy, vewy afwaid because Woger weturns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:20:18 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <secwet.woger.weturns@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 167364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Once again, Woger will be released from weekend jail, and he will be in his usual foul mood on Monday morning. Mark Morgan and Billy Smith should probably both spend the day avoiding Woger.

Tuesday would be a better day for them to reappear in the news groups. I suggest that they both resume posting on Tuesday, Oct 12, 2100.

==========

"Guess again shit-for-brains. Maybe I got back on Stratuswave through somebody else signing up and just using their account on purpose, just to piss you fuckheads and Stratuswave off. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!" -- AB8MQ in message-id <737588ee.0405211543.6e6d2ebf@posting.google.com>

1348. Re: On Monday morning, be vewy, vewy afwaid because Woger weturns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:26:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <no5ji2td2ej625v4vbvgui0no2nb85tj92@4ax.com>
Source record: 167365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 00:20:18 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>Once again, Woger will be released from weekend jail, and he will be
>in his usual foul mood on Monday morning. Mark Morgan and Billy Smith
>should probably both spend the day avoiding Woger.
why would i want to do that? I am not afraid of woger. he is nothign
to be afraid of
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1349. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:28:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160353724.239063.199020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167366

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> N2EY Wrote: From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >> >> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >> >> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
> >> adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
> >> Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world.
> >
> >How do you know for sure, Len? Did you visit every transmitting station
> >in the world?
>
> No.

Then you don't really know. You're just guessing, and passing off your
uneducated guess as a fact.

> There is NO historical record of ANY broadcasting
> station USING that single-high-power-special-carbon-
> microphone "modulator" that you claim is "practical."

Incorrect.

There is no historical record *that you can find*.

Also, note your original claim: (direct quote - see above to be sure)

"At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world."

"broadcaster or voice transmitter" - that means you claim that not only
did broadcasters not use Fessenden's method, but that no experimenters,
amateurs, commercial users or military units did, either.

The fact that *you* can't find an historical record doesn't mean you
have proof it didn't happen.

> >The truth is that you don't know - you're just making things up. Maybe
> >others adopted Fessenden's idea and failed. Or maybe they succeeded,
> >but after a time lost interest and went on with other things.
>
> The "truth" is that you are pissed,

Not me, Len. You're the one shouting and calling names because your claim has been shown to be unfounded.

You claim to be a "professional writer", but your logic and use of words is very sloppy.

> want to rationalize
> your previous claim of "practicality" and are trying to
> side-pedal onto some area where you can rail at the
> challengers, saying the challengers LIE.

Totally incorrect, Len.

I haven't said that you or anyone else here on rrap lied.

What you have done is to tell untruths, make mistakes, promulgate errors. That's not the same as lying.

For something to be a lie, the person stating it has to know it is untrue, and then state it as if it were true, with the intention of deceiving the reader or listener.

> >You don't know for sure. All you know is that you haven't come across
> >any documentation that someone else adopted Fessenden's idea.
>
> All I know is that NO ONE seems to have documented it...

And that's true.

But it's not the same as:

"At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE. Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world."

> and there has been LOTS of documentation about broad-
> casting for all of its existance...from manufacturers
> to users.

That doesn't mean every attempt at voice radio from ~100 years ago was documented so that you could find it, Len. There are lots of things you don't know.

> Feel free to post ANY source that claims to have used
> Reggie's brute-force modulator of a single-high-power-
> special-carbon-microphone "modulator" that you say is
> "practical."

Why?

> Why don't you write some of the 50 KW AM broadcasters
> and suggest this "practical" idea? Try KMPC here in San
> Fernando Valley. 50 KW RF output into three towers.
> Do you know of any carbon microphone maker that sells a
> FIFTY KILOWATT MICROPHONE? Can you engineer one?
>
> How about the studio people at KMPC? Would you like to
> tell them that, for "practical" reasons, they all have to
> cluster around a SINGLE microphone that is passing 50 KW
> of RF energy? Hmmm? The studio MUST be moved to the
> transmitter site unless you can figure out some way for
> the SINGLE microphone to exist in present studios yet
> handle the 50 KW RF from the transmitter and back out to
> the antenna.

Now you're just ranting. You're all angry and upset because, once
again, you've been shown to be mistaken in your claim.

Here it is again:

"At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world."

No mention of high power. No mention of "practicality", "studios" or a
limit to just broadcasting.

Ever hear of loop modulation, Len?

> >> So much for your redefinition of "practical."
> >
> >You seem to think that a thing cannot be practical unless it is copied.
> >That's simply not true.
>
> I think (no "seem" about it) that you dribbled out some
> nonsense about your radio hero's "practical" thing and
> are trying (vainly) to get the hell out of it through
> a lot of NON-thinking.

You seem to think that a thing cannot be practical unless it is copied.
That's simply not true.

> >> yet you've never served in the military or in
> >> the US government.
> >
> >How do you know for sure who served and who didn't?
>
> YOU did NOT serve in ANY military. Period.

How do you know for sure, Len?

> You don't
> have the attitude for anything but being elitist, you-
> are-better-superiority.

Now you're just making stuff up. What attitude should a veteran have? I've known plenty of military veterans, Len - from WW2, Korea, Vietnam, and more recent conflicts. None of them display an attitude or behavior like yours.

> >If I had a dollar for every time you've mentioned your Army experience
> >on rrap, I'd probably have enough for a brand new Orion II with all the
> >filters.
>
> NOT enough.

More than enough, probably.

You've been posting here to rrap for over ten years, Len. That's more than 3650 days. Probably more than 4000 days.

If you mentioned your Army days here just once a day, that would be over 3650 dollars. While you don't post here every day, there have been days when you mentioned your Army experience more than once. In fact, you sometimes mention it more than once per post!

> Not enough to cover the costs of your HBR
> clone pictured on Kees Talen's website.

Heck, Len, that receiver only cost me $10. You've probably mentioned your Army experience ten times this month!

And my "Silver Receiver" (aka Southgate Type 4) on the HBR website is not a clone of anything. It's a unique design. Perhaps I should describe that receiver - it had some unusual features. Like the ability to use a wide variety of tubes without being modified.

> You don't...because you NEVER CHECK.

How can I be sure that the information you give is correct, Len? You can't both give the info and the check method.

> All you do is
> say I am "in error" (LIE).

Nope. You're in error - again!

Being in error and lying are two different things, Len.

I haven't said that you or anyone else here on rrap lied.

What you have done is to tell untruths, make mistakes, promulgate errors. That's not the same as lying.

For something to be a lie, the person stating it has to know it is untrue, and then state it as if it were true, with the intention of deceiving the reader or listener.

> >In the history of electrical engineering, all sorts of now-incredible
> >things were once considered practical. That's a fact.
>

> >> Is NOT practical now.

> >ENIAC had all the features needed to be the very first operational
> >general-purpose electronic digital computer. And it was.

> >> Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
> >> Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
> >> (mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
> >> three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
> >> for making Firing Tables during WWII.
> >
> >They were slow - at least an order of magnitude slower than ENIAC. They
> >were not general purpose, either. Their technology led nowhere.
>
> Tell that to Bell Labs. :-) Tell that to Claude
> Elwood Shannon. :-)

It's not me who said it. The Army did.

> >> Good old tube filaments!
> >
> >They're called heaters, Len.
>
> Tsk. Out came the knuckle-spanking ruler again! :-)
>
> I have lots of old engineering texts which refer to
> the glowing part of vacuum tubes as 'filaments.'

Filaments are used in directly heated cathodes.

Heaters are used in indirectly heated cathodes.

The tubes in ENIAC were mostly indirectly heated types. Therefore, the
term "heaters" is more accurate than "filaments".

> >The point is that the ENIAC folks got the machine to work with the
> >parts available.
>
> What does ENIAC have to do with amateur radio policy?

What does ADA have to do with amateur radio policy?
>
> >The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
> >used it until 1955.
> >If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
> >it or scrapped it.
>
> Tsk, you are an amateur extra pro-coder and KNOW what
> the US Army thinks-knows-does!

On the issue of ENIAC - yes, I do.

Just take a look at this (if you have the guts):

ELECTRONIC COMPUTERS WITHIN THE ORDNANCE CORPS

HISTORICAL MONOGRAPH FROM 1961

Karl Kempf
Historical Officer
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
November 1961

Available online at:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/index.html

That's official Army history. Do you know more about ENIAC than the Historical Officer at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds?

Here's the chapter on ENIAC:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

Read what the official Army historical officer wrote, and you'll see I'm right. They specifically mention the relay computers as taking all night to perform a computation, and to being outmoded by ENIAC and its successors.

ENIAC was a bargain, too - cost less than a million dollars.

and btw:

ENIAC did not used BCD (binary-coded-decimal). It was a true decimal machine, with decimal ring counters and ten data lines for each digit. The use of decimal rather than binary architecture was the only fundamental part of ENIAC's structure that was not copied in later machines.

Now be a big boy and admit your mistakes, Len.

1350. ARRL is only interested in corporate profits.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8RgWg.8910$o71.4400@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

They don't care to support or propose anything to the FCC that will make hams better.

SC

1351. Be sure to welcome Woger's mother back to RRAP tomorrow morning.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9RgWg.8911$o71.3675@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Since it's not Roger posting as he's in jail, it must be his mother. I wonder if she uses his callsign on the hams bands and makes contacts for him too? No one would ever know if she's good at CW, PSK31, RTTY, and packet. But if she does ATV, that would be one friggen scary image on your TV set. Also as bad as Woger at himself.

SC

1352. Re: CBers fight on air...in person,,,one dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cRgWg.8913$o71.86@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net> wrote in
news:20061008071415168 07%testicle@sacnet:

> On 2006-10-07 12:25:08 -0400, "radioman390" <radioman390@cs.com> said:
>
>> 1 dead after CB radio argument in Wash.
>> 2006/10
>>
>>
>> 11 minutes ago
>>
>> VANCOUVER, Wash. - A man was shot to death Saturday in a mall parking
>> lot following an argument over citizens band radio, police said.
>>
>> Officers responding to reports of multiple gunshots in the parking lot
>> of Westfield Vancouver mall early Saturday found Eichhorn wounded in
>> his truck.
>>
>> The two men apparently did not know each other, but got into an
>> argument over CB radio before they met at the mall. Both men were
>> armed, police said. The nature of the argument was not disclosed.
>
>
>
> LOL! I love when they kill each other! Like those arabs!

No-Codes are violent. I don't know why people want more of them on ham
radio.

SC

1353. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dRgWg.8914$o71.6596@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com:

> Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
> Does anybody know???

Hello John. I'm KB9RQZ, and I just love CW. We need to bring back code
testing for Techs. 13 wpm should work fine, Then we can keep the
riff-raff off the repeaters and end all the bogus moon bounce contacts.

I've been burned by bogus EME contacts, but I'm a retard.

73'sssss & 88'sssssss

SC

1354. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hRgWg.8915$o71.7501@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1160267392.851652.48400@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> From: Chuck Harris on Sat, Oct 7 2006 12:40 pm
>
> Thank you for the explanation. As a user on a corporate system
> (RCA Corporation, EASD, Van Nuys, CA) '73 to '75, and with a
> Tym-Share account (the time-sharing computer company), I concur.
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 10:28:10 -0400, Chuck Harris wrote:
>>
>>>> Actually top posting is a sign of *experience*. Us guys that were on
>>>> the internet back when it was run by darpa always top posted. That
>>>> was because we were running very slow lines, typically 110 to 300
>>>> baud, and it was desirable
>>>> not to have to wait through the down load of a dozen copies of the
>>>> same quoted
>>
>>> Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzt!
>>
>>> My bullshit detector just went off real loud. Here is an
>>> authoritative statement about ARPANET from one of Comer's books:
>
> [Blowcode's ears must be ringing all the time from his
> "bullshit detector" going off on his own postings... :-)]
>
>>> "Initially, most of the leased data circuits in the ARPANET operated
>>> at 56 Kbps, a speed considered extremely fast in 1968 but slow by
>>> current standards." -- Douglas E. Comer, Internetworking with TCP/IP,
>>> Volume One, 3rd Edition, Prentice-Hall (1995), page 57.
>>
>>Yep, those were the *backbones* of ARPANET. We had *ONE* at the
>>University of Maryland back in the 1970s. That leased line cost
>>thousands of dollars per month, and was paid for by darpa. Compare that
>>to the backbones of today which are measured in terrabits per second.
>>These 56K backbones were connected to mainframe computers that acted as
>>concentrators, and provided connections to other mainframes, and to
>>thousands of users on timesharing systems. Yes, I have that right,
>>*thousands* of users shared a single 56K backbone.
>>
>>Those users that were local to the concentrator (eg, in the computer
>>room) were connected to the mainframe by various speed RS-232 lines, but

>>those who were on remote dial up connections were connected by good old
>>bell 103, 110 to 300 baud modems.
>
> Heh heh heh...the "high tech" of its time, a mere 30+ years
> ago. "Speedy" Teletype Model 33s (?) and "high speed" 300
> baud video terminals (with local memory to print out screens
> on Teletypes) where I was using it. :-)
>
>>> 300 baud, my ass! You should have been on a time sharing machine
>>> connected directly to the 56K ARPANET,
>>
>>Yep, we were on timesharing machines, Univac 1106's, 1108's, and 1140's,
>>and as I said, thousands of users shared a single 56K leased line into
>>Darpanet. Do the math, if you can't, I'll help you:
>>
>>56K/1000 = 56 bps.
>>
>>If there were *only* 1000 users vying for the net at the same time, they
>>could each pump 56bps into the backbone. But there were many many more
>>than that, and they weren't always needing the net all of the time.
>>(hence the name concentrator)
>
> "Concentrators" still exist but in a vastly different form,
> configuration, and specifications. As a personal computer
> hobbyist since '76 I've not delved into them (no need to for
> personal dial-up connections) but know many who are; they
> have supplied some interesting literature on them as well as
> in-person explanations.
>
>>> so don't bother to backpedal by
>>
>>I'm sorry, I don't backpedal for idiots. I don't brake for them either.
>
> Blowcode is the road kill of this newsgroup...
>
>>> claiming dialup speeds. (And of course Usenet didn't even begin until
>>> the 80's, shortly after ARPANET had ended.)
>>
>>> Don't try to bullshit someone who has forgotten more about the subject
>>> than you have yet to learn.
>>
>>Riiiight! Just because you can look up darpa on a wiki somewhere,
>>doesn't mean you can understand what you have read.
>
> HAAAAAA... :-)
>
> Blowcode has never stated his amateur license call. Perhaps
> he has "forgotten" it? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I haven't forgotten it. It's a real 20WPM extra class licence. Not one of them cheap Nickled down hand-out ones. Heee Heeee Heeeeeeeeee.

Ten-Four Len?

SC

1355. Does it seem like there are more Lids on HF now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <iRgWg.8916$o71.5576@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I think it's because candidates don't have to study as much as they used too before taking the exams. Exams are easier now so some of the more smarter CB'ers have been able to pass and they brought some of their old CB operating habbits to ham radio.

SC

1356. Every single thread again Markie had to do a follow-up crap job on it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mRgWg.8920$o71.4386@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm getting tired of seeing his one line mis-spelled cut & paste crap jobs. They contribute nothing.

SC

1357. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nRgWg.8921$o71.8812@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167374
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in message
> news:44%Vg.3705$gM1.117@fed1read12...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:g5agi2lukfvlaq21aqhd9b5pr4mddca6m2@4ax.com...
>
> < SNIP >
>
>> That's not dyslexia - it's your fingers and your brain not working
>> together.
>
> I don't hear/perceive/recognize/distinguish/discriminate the dits and
> dahs correctly. I can learn that dit-dah-dah-dit is G (or whatever it
> is -- I am not sure and I am certainly far, far beyond caring) and five
> seconds later not be able to distinguish it from dah-dit-dit-dah.
>
> What's the fix for it?
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.

ROFL

SC

1358. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pRgWg.8922$o71.6936@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in
news:1160252708.499314.128580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
>
> Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
> cross-posting to RRAA?

We're rebuilding RRAP. We need to alert internet hams that we are getting general amateur radio and policy threads going again there. Many of the good hams on usenet left RRAP when Mark Morgan, and Roger Wiseman polluted the group, but discussions have started there again. Cross-posting will end in earnest once good hams move back into RRAP, and the riff-raff like KB9RQZ, AB8MQ and N0VFP move out.

Looking forward to more policy and pro-CW discussions with all you fine hams. :)

73, and good luck in the contest.

de Slow Code

1359. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rRgWg.8923$o71.3551@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167377
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:aD_Vg.16385$5o5.28@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:TqVVg.11329$UG4.11207@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>> news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs - OR -
>>>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out bad cops.
>>>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the subject matter -
>>>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the trash.
>>>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to bitch about
>>>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code........Next you'll want the
>>>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>>>+++
>>>>+++Fred G.
>>> **********
>>>
>>> Fred
>>>
>>> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has the
>>> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate to
>>> burst your bubble.
>>>
>>> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender operation
>>> in another? I tend to think that those that are very proficient with
>>> morse code tend to spend more of their time with that mode of
>>> communication. Why should there be a limitation on other modes that do
>>> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn morse code and then forget
>>> it. I have no issue with showing proficiency in a means of
>>> communication to get priviledges. I have no issues with showing a
>>> proficiency with "theory" as a means of priviledges.

>>>
>>> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is fine
>>> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>>
>>> james
>>
>>
>> You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>> following licensing changes:
>>
>>
>> 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>> 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>
>> 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>> 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>>
>>
>> SC
>
> Fuck the code only shit. Why should that be the only element? Why not
> make all amateurs retest in ALL modes, at all frequencies, et al. And
> what the hell, while we're at it, let's just go ahead and make it
> yearly. Don't let the old geezers off so easily. When a new mode is
> adopted, or allowed, make those bastards show proficiency there as well.
> That should just about do the ARS in. Why should you have it your way,
> SC?

It's hilarious watching you CB'ers whine about CW. Well don't worry, it
looks like the FCC is going to give you your dumbed down licenses.

SC

1360. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uRgWg.8925$o71.3730@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167376
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
news:o6dgi258e9r779ne18ah64ne5c5u00b699@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>+++james <george@washington.edu> wrote in
>>+++news:f7afi2hs7dbp1hbjpthr8lrbu9hkm7vbqe@4ax.com:
>>+++
>>+++> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 16:52:24 -0400, "Fred G." <Radios@rmylife.com>
>>+++> wrote:
>>+++>
>>+++>>+++Neither the Code NOR Theory tests will weed out the bad radio
>>+++>>operator any +++more than a driving test will weed out the DUIs -
>>OR - +++>>the Physical agility, +++legal and other exams will weed out
>>bad cops. +++>>Those tests are only tests of +++"Proficiency" in the
>>subject matter - +++>>NOT - "Mentality". Those tests won't +++stop the
>>trash. +++>>+++But those who choose to not learn code will continue to
>>bitch about +++>>why they +++shouldn't. OK, DROP the code.....Next
>>you'll want the +++>>"Theory" taken away +++too. Want to bet?
>>+++>>+++
>>+++>>+++Fred G.
>>+++> ********************
>>+++>
>>+++> Fred
>>+++>
>>+++> The theory questions even the extra exam is not that difficult. A
>>+++> decent electronics tech with an AA degree can master that test. In
>>+++> fact the tests are so dummied down that just about anyone that has
>>the +++> ability read and comprehend can pass them with the minimal of
>>+++> coaching. So if you think so highly your "Theory" tests then I hate
>>to +++> burst your bubble.
>>+++>
>>+++> Why should proficiency in one means of communication hender
>>operation +++> in another? I tend to think that those that are very
>>proficient with +++> morse code tend to spend more of their time with
>>that mode of +++> communication. Why should there be a limitation on
>>other modes that do +++> not wish to use that mode? Most hams learn
>>morse code and then forget +++> it. I have no issue with showing
>>proficiency in a means of +++> communication to get priviledges. I have
>>no issues with showing a +++> proficiency with "theory" as a means of
>>priviledges. +++>

>>+++> The thing here is usage of the airwaves is a priviledge and not a
>>+++> right. So what ever the government cares to do in this avenue is
>>fine +++> with me. I will take advantage of what ever they offer me.
>>+++>
>>+++> james
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++You raise some good points Jim. That's why I've always proposed the
>>+++following licensing changes:
>>+++
>>+++
>>+++1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>>+++ pass all elements required for their license class.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Why retest knowledge? Not necessary unless your intention is to reduce
> the ranks of the amatuer radio service. Few if any government
> priviledges require constant retesting for renewal. Your thinking is
> not logical.Besides it increases the government and costs. The
> government would then be forced to charge for licensing.

Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
little first? My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees,
not the quantity of them.

>>+++2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>>+++
> **********
>
> Again 70% is sufficient. Raising to the limit you suggest is more a
> weed out than anything else.

Requiring an 85% passing score means more study before taking the exams,
which translate to a better understanding of the material. My arguement
has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

>>+++3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>+++
> **********
>
> The ITU no longer requires CW for any of its commercial services. Why
> do you stillinsist on such high levels. Again your motive appears to
> be more selective as to who may be granted a license.

Hams should be skillfull. Proficency at CW gives hams a way to
communicate, when no other way might be possible.

>>+++4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? when this class already has very strong restrictive priviledges.
> This would not be the incentive to upgrade to a higher license. Some

> maybe satisfied with the priviledges of this class and making it a one
> year nonrenewable will not be the incentice to an upgrade path.

Requiring future study in order to continue to be licensed will make for more knowledgable hams. They will be assets. A national resource. My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

> Instead it will be another step in restricting any growth in the
> amature radiio service. Then again if you prefer dwindling numbers,
> go ahead. Radio and its associated costs can not compete with the
> internet. If I want to talk to some one in Australia it is now far
> easier to use IRC or other messaging programs in conjuction with the
> internet. If you intend to further restrict the amature service to
> nothing more than a "good ole boys club", then you yourself have
> become the problem.

Everyone is welcome in the "good-ole-boy" club if they're not lazy and are willing to learn. If not, there's always CB for them. My arguement has always been for the quality of licensees, not the quantity of them.

Having to work a little to get/gain something gives a little sense of pride in the accomplishment and you appreciate it and take care of it. It's valuable.

Getting handed something for nothing cheapens it. Don't cheapen ham radio. Rigs don't come with kill-filters to notch out bad ops and lids, so let's support policy that minimizes the number of lids on the bands.

SC

1361. Re: I TOLD you so!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vRgWg.8926$o71.6772@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167378

"Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote in news:c703f.fb5.17.1@news.alt.net:

> See?? Along comes Mark Morgan, Bisexual No-Coder who cannot leave any
> post unanswered.
> My prediction was spot on.
>

KB9RQZ isn't playing with a full deck.

SC

1362. Re: knows who we raly are

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xRgWg.8927$o71.273@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote in news:c727q.ndt.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,
>
> "amusing that K4YZ does not pund on him or Arf arf for being no calls
> make it realy likely he knows who they raly are"
>
> Yup, you are correctamundo Mark. K4YZ knows who all of us "raly" are and
> we email him behind the scenes just so we can corroborate and tell Slow
> Code, Billy Smith, Roger, and Dave to synchronize our Magic Decoder
> Rings for the next batch of emails detailing how we will make fun of
> you.
>
> Did you know that we sometimes hide our secret messages in photos posted
> on *your* blog, www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com ?? Hiding messages in
> .jpg photos has been going on for years and even high school kids know
> how that works.
>
> Of course our Magic Decoder Rings are better, Mark. All you need is a
> web cam and one of our rings. Easy process, too. Turn on the cam, hold
> the Magic Decoder Ring in front of the web cam (no more than two inches
> away) and our plots against you are instantly decoded for us to read.
>
> Of course K4YZ does not "pund" on us...it is a conspiracy! A well
> orchestrated and carried out conspiracy!
> I guess now that you are "on to us", we'd better buy some new boxes of
> Wheaties and get new Magic Decoder Rings. It is a setback, yes, but a
> minor one.
>
> Darn...my Magic Decoder Ring just buzzed. I bet it is K4YZ trying to get
> in touch to outline our next conspiracy. Over and Out.

You forgot about the secret handshake, or aren't you a member of the inner
circle? Get your Code speed up and we can let you in. Over & Out.

SC

1363. Re: On Monday morning, be vewy, vewy afwaid because Woger weturns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <yRgWg.8928$o71.3985@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in
news:secwet.woger.weturns@houston-hunks.com:

> Once again, Woger will be released from weekend jail, and he will be
> in his usual foul mood on Monday morning. Mark Morgan and Billy Smith
> should probably both spend the day avoiding Woger.
>
> Tuesday would be a better day for them to reappear in the news groups.
> I suggest that they both resume posting on Tuesday, Oct 12, 2100.

It's not AB8MQ posting, it's his mother or someone else he's paid to make
up nice things to say and post about him on the internet. I wonder if
they do that for him on the repeaters too.

SC

1364. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zRgWg.8929$o71.6408@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167379

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160353724.239063.199020@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> N2EY Wrote: From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>> >> >> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>> >> >> >>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> At NO TIME did any OTHER broadcaster or voice transmitter
>> >> >> adopt the Fessenden brute-force amplitude modulator. NO ONE.
>> >> >> Not in the USA, not in Canada, not anywhere in the world.
>> >> >
>> >> >How do you know for sure, Len? Did you visit every transmitting
>> >> >station in the world?
>> >>
>> >> No.
>> >
>> > Then you don't really know. You're just guessing, and passing off your
>> > uneducated guess as a fact.

That's what Len always does. Normally right changing the subject line.

SC

1365. Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:37:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ARgWg.8930$o71.4009@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

What do you like best about appliance operating?

SC

1366. Will Omega One ever be back on 13.556 again, or was the HF side just a fart in the wind.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:38:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JRgWg.8934$o71.3237@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160258892.426478.285010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> No, were on FM now..88.3 FM
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

Come back to HF Todd, your whining and complaining is hilarious. When hams catch you on the bands they must really laugh their ass off. You're almost as good as Liberty net.

SC

1367. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:40:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <si6ji29i10qpc8lnj8qe83882kef856um7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
>
>ROFL
what is funny?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1368. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:42:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dm6ji2h1i937g1mmga5n0ps2jivikil6uo@4ax.com>
Source record: 167385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"William" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in
>news:1160252708.499314.128580@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>> If we raise the CW speed to 20 WPM for all classes...
>>
>> Slow, that's all well and good, but how do we get rid of you and your
>> cross-posting to RRAA?
>
>
>We're rebuilding RRAP.
when are you leaving
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1369. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:42:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <an6ji2t9fihb2e0tsd36v2fpg5t1d59jtr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>I haven't forgotten it. It's a real 20WPM extra class licence. Not one of
>them cheap Nickled down hand-out ones. Heee Heeee Heeeeeeeeee.
you are afraid to lmention it though
>
>Ten-Four Len?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1370. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:43:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fo6ji25if93sd471civp41ljlu51tv4tf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com:
>
>> Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
>> Does anybody know???
>
>
>Hello John. I'm KB9RQZ, and I just love CW.
stop lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1371. Re: I TOLD you so!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:43:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4p6ji29m27iehehasq4mv76uat6l1q95l3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167388

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>KB9RQZ isn't playing with a full deck.
funny why are you scared of me
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1372. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:45:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2s6ji2h061d59e9dbab9uvo92a37j9ust2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>They don't care to support or propose anything to the FCC that will make
>hams better.
>
troling right along
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1373. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:45:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ps6ji2pn7od8dmrg1pnc5ifedmgies7ikm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1374. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:45:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7t6ji215gncfs1g49b4733slqpdl7b3nj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1375. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:45:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pt6ji2d7jjccr536oma42p1o41jibi85nd@4ax.com>
Source record: 167393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1376. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:46:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8u6ji2tv31th8o33h7r38f4ou2ffv1fvd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1377. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:46:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nu6ji2hogdvu8r0mtjkeuv35v5ee570sls@4ax.com>
Source record: 167395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1378. troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:46:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7v6ji253akm0cq6jh20orsi3u90vjs0mbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 167394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:38:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

troling right along
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1379. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 00:50:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
> little first?

I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.

Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
too.

Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
problem.

BUT

I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
"conditions of grant".

FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
require a specific retest.

If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
thing?

FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
should they require it of amateurs?

And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
the restesting?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1380. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:14:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <CdSdnfh6ZMnkP7TYnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167397

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> little first?
>
> I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>
> Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> too.
>
> Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> problem.
>
> BUT
>
> I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> "conditions of grant".
>
> FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> require a specific retest.
>
> If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> thing?
>
> FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> should they require it of amateurs?
>
> And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
> the restesting?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Very well stated, Jim. I particularly like the parts about the FCC's usage of the "retest" and the paragraph on "trust".

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1381. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:23:00 UTC
From: "J. Mc Laughlin" <jcm@power-net.net>
Message-ID: <12ij91nhkqh4g54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Contest!

"Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp> wrote in message
news:OeKdnRx0d_ZMDLTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@giganews.com...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:uiuii2djnu142d646a05v0vnapdcn8qtum@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >>news:87dii29tgoa88irtsed7a2oq2tt4n9e3dk@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 03:58:05 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> [quotes repaired]
> >>> [piggybacking]
> >>>
> >>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>>well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test
with
> >>>>US choosing whatever accomodation we choose
> >>>
> >>> No it doesn't. There's something in there about the test actually
> >>> testing for the thing it tests for.
> > splinters will fly.
> >>>
> >>>> persnaly Id choose a code
> >>>>reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> >>>>is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test
> >>>
> >>> Fairer still is to accept that there are a number of ways to get on
> >>> the air, one of them being to prove a certain very low level of CW
> >>> proficiency. (5 wpm isn't even reading CW, it's "decoding" individual
> >>> dots and dashes.) Would you accept a blind person's insistence that
> >>> he be allowed to travel the same way that you do - by driving his own
> >>> car? After all, he's disabled, so he can choose whatever test he
> >>> likes to get his driver's license. How about a test listening to
> >>> someone talk and seeing if he can hear the speech?
> >>>
> >>
> >>

> >>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were
> >>auditory
> >>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits
and
> >>dahs.
> >>
> >>Part 97.509(k) The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose
> >>physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The
> >>administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the
> >>nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special
> >>procedures must be used.
> >>
> >>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing
> >>Amatures,
> >>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing. I
am
> >>a
> >>KNOW CODEer. It took me a LONG time to learn code. It was one of the
> >>hardest things I have had to learn. It is still hard for me: Although
it
> >>is
> >>my preferred operating mode. I must like pain. I do see the
requirement
> >>of
> >>learning code to be good for THE HOBBY: It introduces new hams to a fun
> >>part
> >>of the hobby they might not therwise find out about. Though I am not
sure
> >>it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.
> >
> > since you seem to be a mode to listen let me try Itis my understanding
> > and beliefnthat the Mandate legaly of the FCC is to serve the interest
> > of the PUBLIC in all of it regs (at least that is the thery)
> >
> > Therfore in my If some one made the case that Code testing was good
> > for the ARS they have NOT finished the job for making it a requirement
> > Klien is found of throwing up red herrings (and it is begining to
> > realy stunk from that
> >
> > the Facts is CW is not required to achive the stated pruposes of the
> > ARS
>
> The stated purposes as put forth in Part 97 would seem to support that.
In
> case you didn't notice, I am not saying the FCC should be requireing the
CW
> test.
>
> >
> > many Hams NEVER use it and many rarely do

>
> True. And many Hams use it. I was on 20 meters this evening and the CW
sub
> band was wall to wall operators --- much more than on the phone
subband ---
> not that means manything special.
>
> >
> > the question the ProCoders avoid is how does code testing benifit the
> > PUBLIC at large?
>
> I suspect you are being kind. I fear many want the code requirement so as
> to protect their vision of what Ham radio should be. I am not sure that
> requiring CW and not requiring proficiency in any of the digital modes
makes
> any sense. Its the inconsistency that seems weird.
>
> >
> > when you add ADA which allows the disabled to choose thier accomdation
> > (the FCC section you cite is belived by LWAYERS to LEGALY invalid, all
> > it takes about 5K dollars to spare to prove it
>
> If so, that is a shame.
>
> >
> > if an ADA chalange went we would have people like efectively not
> > taking the test and yet demtrating that we HAVE some abilty to use the
> > mode I have quite a bit of on AIR CW work with computers (I engaged
> > for 2 reason to see how well it worked and to provide a an interactive
> > target for code practice to friend of hgoing for various level of
> > license surely even my worst detractors will grant if I can follow MY
> > typing translated to Morse Coded CW at a given speed you can pass a
> > test at the same speed)
>
> I'm not sure I get your point. I can have someone read me a book (books
on
> audio tape) , or I can read it myself. I prefer reading it myself.
> However, listening to an audio tape doesn't demonstrate that I can read.
>
> >
> > as to machine morse in general I find I can decode with machine and
> > panographic signal display to alow to see the signal I can follow the
> > going of ARRL straigh key night fairly well, why isn't that enough if
> > there were a need for CW?
>
> My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or
so)
> better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM
or
> QRN.

>
> Again, I am not sure I think CW should be a requirement. I think it is
good
> for the HOBBY. I am not sure it is relevant to the service regulated by
the
> FCC.
>
> >>
> >>
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
>
>

1382. Re: Pnig netcopp gay basher Don Boewy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:34:56 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C14EF550.46BDB%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 167400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 10/8/06 4:20 AM, in article 754gi2tmmnifrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com,
"Mark@ab9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

>
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> stop you welching sc
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
> you cwoards can try buts ofar you ahve all failed. you are jsut anothre
> gay basher who wilshit and fall back init again.
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

I already received a reply from your service provider, but I'll send this to
them also.

As to gay bashing.... You are a liar. You can't find a post anywhere to
support your lie.

1383. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:35:07 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>One could test using light rather than sound if the disability were auditory
>in nature. Or by feel --- with a vibrating device delivering the dits and
>dahs.

But not, as requested, by using an electronic CW reader to test
whether the testee could read the resulting Latin alphabet display.

>If one looks at the Basis and Purpose for the government licensing Amatures,
>I am not sure I see much justifcation for continuing the CW testing.

Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
one builds any more, so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

>Though I am not sure it is the role of the FCC to do what is best for THE HOBBY.

If you look at the FCC's entire justification for the hobby, you'll
see that CW wasn't an issue once AM was invented. But knowledge of
digital modes should be tested for now. If the justification for the
hobby has anything to do with anything.

1384. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:38:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160357914.546398.196160@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167403

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
> >> little first?
> >
> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
> >
> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> > any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> > probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> > too.
> >
> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> > problem.
> >
> > BUT
> >
> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> > broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> > "conditions of grant".
> >
> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> > acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> > as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> > require a specific retest.
> >
> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> > thing?
> >
> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> > should they require it of amateurs?
> >
> > And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
> > the restesting?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>

>
> Very well stated, Jim. I particularly like the parts about the FCC's usage
> of the "retest" and the paragraph on "trust".
>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Thanks, Dee.

One more point:

The only nonrenewable amateur license the USA ever had was the old
Novice license. And it was only nonrenewable for about the first half
of its existence (1951 to 1975).

The reason the old Novice was nonrenewable back then is that it wasn't
meant to be a "permanent" license, but rather only a stepping stone to
a permanent license.

All the currently-available license classes are meant to be "permanent"
licenses - meaning they aren't only a stepping stone to a higher class.

Perhaps it could all be summed up in the old saying:

"Be careful what you ask for - you might get it!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

1385. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:39:12 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <7t9ji25p2q4lcvko8c6sm75c0tffqs78ea@4ax.com>
Source record: 167401
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 20:03:40 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>My CW skills are always a challenge. Yet I can usually copy (<20 wpm or so)
>better than most software programs .. especially in noisy conditions QRM or
>QRN.

Now try when the signal is a few db UNDER the noise floor and you'll
see why CW is still a valid mode. (I'm sure that, as a CW operator,
you know what I mean.) How many db under the noise does a voice
signal have to be before it becomes just part of the noise? (I
believe the actual figure is 6db ABOVE the noise to be readable - that
gives CW an advantage of about 12db.)

1386. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:39:41 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <e2aji2p4uh9hur48k58sfqf10jtnceasb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:38:49 -0400, "J. Mc Laughlin"
<jcm@power-net.net> wrote:

>Interesting

Not really. <plonk>

1387. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:46:57 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <d4aji2931pnunqv5oidb1sljjhqiii7e4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 167404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 17:57:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>Now if by "words" you mean the collection of dits and dahs that make up an
>individual letter, I totally agree with you. One should never resort to
>counting dits and dahs. However, IMHO, the next step to recognizing words
>(ie groups of letters) comes with time and a lot of experience. I would
>also agree that until one can copy "words" by sound, that there will be a
>certain speed limit that you will not get past: For me that wall has been
>around 20 wpm or so, depending on the quality of the sent code.

That's about twice the normal wall. You may already be past it
without realizing it.

>FWIW, I have a hell of a time copying code a slow character speeds: < 10wpm
>character speed.

You remind me of when I took the CW test in Navy boot camp. My paper
consisted of Ts and Es. When the instructor challenged me, I told him
that I really couldn't copy anything under about 10, and I was more
comfortable around 30. (I was taught CW by W2RD, who could copy 65
with a pen and an ink well, and talk to you while he copied.) The
instructor took my challenge and cranked the TG34 (or Navy equivalent
- I can't recall any more) up to around 20. When he saw me yawning he
cranked it up to 30.

5 minutes of perfect copy later ...

But 1wpm (which is what they gave you after "teaching" you E, I, S, H,
T, M and O) was impossible for me. I couldn't hear the lengths of the
spaces well enough to tell where the letters ended.

1388. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:49:15 UTC
From: Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C14EF8AB.46BDC%dbowey@comcast.net>
Source record: 167405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
"Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
>
>>
>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> stop you welching sc
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
>>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>> fukc you inner mouth.
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> stop the foring and iD theft
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
abuse address, too.

1389. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 01:51:47 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <imaji2dqrbs0hkhdk95r34havd3b04dkka@4ax.com>
Source record: 167406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses

Well ... they used to require actual use for renewal, but with the
change to "apply and ye shall get" lifetime licensing, you can get a
GRL and keep it till you die without ever using it.

1390. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 02:16:47 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jiiWg.8969$o71.5173@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:imaji2dqrbs0hkhdk95r34havd3b04dkka@4ax.com...
> On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses
>
> Well ... they used to require actual use for renewal, but with the
> change to "apply and ye shall get" lifetime licensing, you can get a
> GRL and keep it till you die without ever using it.

For about 90% of the things that used to require the license, you do not
even need one anymore.
Some companies may require you to have one on the false security that if you
have one , you know what you are doing. I had the First Class at age 22 and
I have never seen a comercial TV or Radio transmitter. That was over 30
years ago.

1391. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 02:58:08 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <laeji2tuutqsk42tjtvk5pe4a5haj21nkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code, who gives a crap what you think about the code. If you
spread as much hatred on the ham bands as you do on the newsgroups
then I would never want talk to you on the air. I'll bet you are a
repeater jammer or jam people on hf all the time. Anybody that does
and says the things you do on here is sure to be a jerk on the air. No
doubt about it. And by the way I am top posting again. Post your call
for all to see or are you too ashamed to. Your name should be No Balls
instead of No Code. 10-4?

On 8 Oct 2006 17:50:34 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> little first?
>
>I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>
>Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
>any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
>probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
>too.
>
>Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>problem.
>
>BUT
>
>I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
>broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
>"conditions of grant".
>
>FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
>acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
>as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
>require a specific retest.
>
>If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>thing?
>
>FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why

>should they require it of amateurs?
>
>And once again: Are *you* willing to volunteer your time to help with
>the restesting?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

1392. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 02:59:46 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <CWiWg.9949$YO.9181@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 167409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

The new radio smell.

Slow Code wrote:

>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
>SC
>
>

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

1393. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 04:05:46 UTC
From: COLIN LAMB <k7fm@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <uUjWg.9044$o71.1849@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"What do you like best about appliance operating?"

The are wonderful for making dinner, washing the dishes or washing or drying clothes.

Colin K7FM

1394. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 04:14:12 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <p0kWg.3755$gM1.3057@fed1read12>
Source record: 167411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Billy" <CB@anon> wrote in message news:c6l1a.5n4.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
>
> Don't sell your CB.
>

Plonk

1395. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 04:32:13 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <hhkWg.3757$gM1.2091@fed1read12>
Source record: 167412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160291912.797658.82870@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> >
> > What's the fix for it?
>
> well ADA says you and I should be allowed to take an acodated test with
> US choosing whatever accomodation we choose persnaly Id choose a code
> reader and would of course oviate the test all together. fairer still
> is stop dumbing down everyone in the service with test that greatest
> physists of the last century could not pass if they wanted to ham I
> refer to Einstain Hawkings and Dirac

Nice to be included in their company.

I would have to prove (for the FCC) that I need special accommodation.
That's not in my plans, but thanks, anyway.
>

1396. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 04:56:37 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <aEkWg.3758$gM1.2391@fed1read12>
Source record: 167413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"

> >What's the fix for it?
>
> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
> classes.

That's the method of Jerry Ziliac, who sends the code at 21 WPM, so that the dits and dahs become a single entity. That only worked for me for some of the characters. The only 4-element Morse I know for sure is <Q> DAH-DAH di-DAH from the mnemonic PAY-DAY to-DAY. All the rest are a jumble.
Sorry -- I failed at this enough times to know it's not hap'nin'.

1397. Re: I TOLD you so!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:05:23 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377523.037048.318460@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167414

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >
> >KB9RQZ isn't playing with a full deck.
>
> funny why are you scared of me

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!

1398. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:08:05 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377685.437108.292470@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167415

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:38:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1399. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:09:10 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377750.291584.161780@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167416

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling" you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1400. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:10:57 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377857.171124.168160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1401. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:11:57 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377917.028441.248990@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167418

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1402. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:13:07 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160377987.921352.20360@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167419

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1403. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:14:49 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378089.005036.182580@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167420

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1404. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:17:01 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378221.875502.192710@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167421

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 04:27:09 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
> >
> >See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,
>
> hi steve

Hi you paranoid delusional idiot.

Follow the headers, liar.

Steve, K4YZ

1405. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:19:05 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378345.761155.4010@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167422

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:26:35 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> id theift is a crime

So is child molesting.

So is welfare fraud.

So is lying about being a Commissioned Officer in the Armed Forces.

So is making threats of use of deadly force where no imminent threat exists.

All items of which YOU are guilty of.

Steve, K4YZ

1406. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:20:22 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378421.948687.263400@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167423

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:20:59 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
>
> hi steve

Hi you paranoid delusional idiot.

Follow the headers, putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1407. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:22:23 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378543.027928.14730@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167424

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:27:13 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> id theift is a crime

It's "theft", you uneducated idiot.

Follow the headers, you paranoid delusional putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1408. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:23:37 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378617.603724.58010@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167425

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 8 Oct 2006 08:03:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
> stop the theft steve

Follow the headers you paranoid delusional putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1409. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:25:30 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378730.224157.25130@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167426

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >How much does Roger pay you to say nice things about him on the internet
> >and why don't you post for him on the weekends too? Whould he have to pay
> >extra for that?
>
> stop the lies SC

He's not written anything less truthful than YOU have, fatboy, so
why the presumption of telling others to stop doing what YOU do, Your
Putziness?

Steve, K4YZ

1410. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:27:53 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160378873.798950.33190@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167427

PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
> >news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com:
> >
> >> Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
> >> Does anybody know???
> >
> >Hello John. I'm KB9RQZ, and I just love CW.
>
> stop lying

What lying, Morkie?

I have posted numerous quoted FROM YOU wherein YOU said "learning
code is easy".

Were you lying then, or lying now?

Steve, K4YZ

1411. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:30:12 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160379012.199285.285420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167428

PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >Remember, one day you'll hear Mark or people like him on HF, unless you
> >take a stand against dumbing down licensing. If I wanted to hear hams like
> >KB9RQZ, I'd go buy a CB.
> >
> if you want to keep the lazy off steve then turn in your license
> indeed all the code lusers are lazy

"Steve" didn't write that, Morkie.

Follow the headers, you paranoid idiot.

> stop the lies SC

Slow Code didn't lie about anything. Matter of fact, he was Spot
On.

Steve, K4YZ

1412. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:33:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379191.842921.122340@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167429

PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 22:11:20 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
>
> ><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> >news:1qlgi2hfvvh91h21cs2dgse46ddcuqcr90@4ax.com...
> >On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 20:32:07 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
> ><DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> >>And that is sure to bring a flood of posts from that idiot, Mark. Darn.
> >>It was getting so nice without Mark and Roger, too.
> >what NG were you reading steve surely not this one
> > >
> >Yeah, right. Of course YOU'VE never flooded this group with messages, have
> >you?
>
> the NG has not been quiet I have not posted more or less than the past
> few days one day steve you might learn to read or try telling the
> truth

Steve didn't write that, you paranoid delusional idiot. Follow
the headers.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1413. Massive Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:37:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379471.603794.110010@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167430

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 21:59:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> >You provide nothing useful to the internet, you're a retard.
>
> fuuny I provbde wotrthwhile mater to worthwhile posting

Uhhhhhhh...No, you don't.

Your only attempt in recent days to post something even marginally "on topic" appearing turned out to be blatant lies about alleged EME contacts.

> of course your are not

Hire an English tutor, you ignorant putz.

> stop the lies SC

Shoot yourself, fatboy. We'll even play Taps on the kazoo for you.

Steve, K4YZ

1414. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:39:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379557.786034.76100@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167431

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> the ARRL wiil sell them to anybody that buys

Even functionally illiterate deviates that only want to look at the pictures....Like KB9RQZ.

Steve, K4YZ

1415. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:41:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379676.335099.113660@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167432

an old friend wrote:

> > Saggytits Lee K8MN, wrote:
>
> flaming heil does not hide your stink of your posting style stebe

No one named "stebe" posts in RRAP, Morkie.

> and itthe stinks when you are here with pack of lies

WTF is "itthe", you illiterate putz?

Steve, K4YZ

1416. Re: Morkie Moron Publically States Sucidal Ideation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:43:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379800.944694.275590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167433

PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 11:55:45 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >On 20 Sep 2006 00:37:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>> "And on 16 May 1999, the love of my life, My Precious Taelor, died in
> >>> her sleep. Taelor was my third daughter and was born with severe birth
> >>> defects and expected to not live past age two. I kept her for five
> >>> years, eleven months, three weeks and one day. " from K4yz Bio on QRZ
> >>>
> >>> frankly that sounds rather strange and vaguely sickening
> >>
> >> To you it would. Not to a parent.
> >>
> >>> but after
> >>> Steve instance on label other pedophiles one does have to that he
> >>> listed his dead daughter (the 3rd) as the love of his life, not his
> >>> current wife
> >>
> >> Only you would see it that way, fatboy.
> >>
> >> Better make sure your life insurance policy covers suicide,
> >>Morkie. Wouldn't want to leave the man in your life without something
> >>to pay your final expenses with.
> >>
> >>Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >well
> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> tell the truth Robeson
>
> if you can

I have. You need to seek IMMEDIATE help for your suicidal
ideations, Morkie.

Now.

Steve, K4YZ

1417. Re: Please Forward Gay Personals to KB9RQZ As Often As Possible...Maybe Then He'll Get Lucky AND Get Lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:45:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160379920.701207.283100@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167434

PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 04 Oct 2006 11:54:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >On 23 Sep 2006 07:00:16 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>MorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>
> >>> stop sppamning alt.#####sucker.roger-wiseman u ashole woger dont lkie it an
> >>> he is piss
> >>
> >> Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
> >>doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
> >>
> >> I'm not.
> >>
> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> why do you constantly make sexauly based attacks steve

What attacks, Morkie?

We're just trying to find you a date so you'll stop spamming RRAP
with your crap.

Steve, K4YZ

1418. N0IMD Creates Yet Another Anonymous Screen Name To Hide From His Family With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:51:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160380262.792076.71110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167435

b.b. wrote: (Yet Another Anonymous Screen Name for N0IMD, Brian P Burke)
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > but the only thing that silcenes Robeson shit storm out bursts here is
> > larger creuler and vile dose in turn
>
> Apparently so.

In other words, you were correct in stationg that your Feeble Five brother, KB9RQZ, is the bigger ###hole.

> > Robeson knows when he post his
> > lies and libel and violetes the Law that this will be the result it is
> > therefore HIS doing
>
> Welp, he has no SEVEN hostile actions.

Wrong.

> He has no Marine career.

Not now that I am retired.

> He has no CAP career...(SNIP)

Only 36 years of no "career" in CAP, Brain.

> (UNSNIP) and he has no Tennessee Guard career.

Brain got one right!

> He wears a white dress, he chases ambulances...(SNIP)

Gee....Now Brain is blatantly lying, and he does SO whine about how honest he is.

> (UNSNIP) and he uses his deceased daughter as a crutch.

And now he bought himself a trip to the ER next May.

Steve, K4YZ

1419. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:55:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160380549.898151.58240@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167436

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 05 Sep 2006 03:13:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> >know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
> >
> >>> I would never lick anything of your of anything
> >>
> >>
> >>> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.
> >>
> >>that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >>something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >>on YOUR own word
> >>
> >>why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> >>threaten my murder over it?

Why do YOU continue to re-demonstrate just how incompetent you are
in English you are, Mrokie?

> you asked for it robeson

So did you. Here's the whole text again, proving what an idiot you
are.

QUOTE

MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz-still-can't-comprehend-English.com wrote:

"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's

> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1420. Mork Moron Makes A Fool Out Of Himself x12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 07:59:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160380759.551322.202600@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167437

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >
> >> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
> >> > another mans genital
> >>
> >> No, that's not what I wrote.
> >yes is is
> >the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
> >another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
> >
> >your #### is your #### get used to used to it homo boy
>
> you asked for it robeson

So did you, fatboy: Here's the TRUTH:

QUOTE

MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz-still-can't-comprehend-English.com wrote:

"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1421. Morkie Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:00:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160380839.800765.208940@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167438

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:18:13 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> you asked for it robeson

So did YOU, Morkie...The TRUTH:

QUOTE

"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1422. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:02:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160380961.987569.199760@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167439

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:19:50 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> >he cofessed to homosexauls and insits he never posts anything un ture
> >therefore steve is a homosexual by his own words
> >
> >does Amy Know
> you asked for it robeson

So sis you, Morkie...The TRUTH:

QUOTE
"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1423. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:03:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160381027.956340.218060@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167440

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> you asked for it robeson

More truth for Morkie to hide from:

QUOTE

"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1424. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:05:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160381102.047010.188270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167441

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> you asked for it robeson

More truth for Morkie to hide from:

QUOTE

"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
homsexaul act WITH HIM"

I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
act with me, Morkie.

I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.

Please try to get it right.

YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE

you'll get it right.

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Right there.

> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything

And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.

> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.

> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
> >on YOUR own word

I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
Morkie...

> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
> threaten my murder over it?

YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.

I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.

TRY to get it RIGHT this time

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1425. Re: N9OGL Still Doesn't Get It...Responsible Adults Don't Want His Trash Around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:06:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160381193.754428.167330@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167442

k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote

Repeated without the lies of Mark C Morgan.
:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Today on the Show more talk on the ###HOLES called CONSOLIDATED
> > COMMUNICATIONS and CTITECH...(SNIP)
>
> YOU are the ###HOLE, Toiddie...Everyone that you come into contact
> with your ASSININE behaviour want's NOTHING to do with it.
>
> Furthermore, YOU continue to violate the various TOS of your
> ISP's, thereby getting your service terminated time after time.
>
> > and why they would rather listen to members of a NEO-NAZI BOARD then their own
> > users...
>
> Probably because the Neo-Nazi's use better language and don't call
> them names on USENET like you do. You act like an idiot then wonder
> why you keep getting the carpet pulled out from under you!
>
> > and the possible law suit I
> > might be bring to the Courts, including but not limiting
> > to....(SNIP)
>
> YaddaYaddaYadda....
>
> You ain't bringing SQUAT to court, greaseball. The only "case"
> YOU have requires Penicillin to fix.
>
> > ALSO on tonight show...discussion on the
> > Fascist Communication Commission (FCC) and how they supress speech on
> > the radio and how they have ham operators do their dirty work.
>
> "Hams" don't have to do the "dirty work", Greaseball...You do it
> all on your own by VIOLATING FEDERAL LAW, YOU IDIOT!
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > SMEGMA SCUM RADIO
> > 13.556.00 LSB
> > 88.3 MHz FM
>
> Wait till you make the front pages of the hometown paper over your

> NAL's, Greaseball. You'll have to sell a LOT of hotdogs to pay that
> off!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1426. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:08:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160381325.077983.35940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167443

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> >
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

When are you going to stop spamming RRAP and performing lewds acts
with minors?

Steve, K4YZ

1427. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:12:28 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160381548.724344.127460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167444

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1428. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:13:25 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160381605.650758.212820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

QUOTE

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1429. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:16:39 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160381799.752574.142010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167446

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

When are you going to stop avoiding your own words, Morkie?

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1430. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:18:32 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160381912.082294.148670@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167447

ParanoidMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> you most likely ARE K4YZ

When are YOU going to face up to your own admission that learning
code is easy, Morkie?

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1431. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:19:41 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160381981.816265.140190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167448

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

More Morkie Mularkie

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1432. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:20:57 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382057.744856.128210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167449
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

More Morkie Mularkie

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1433. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:22:00 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382119.965067.273670@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167450

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?

More Morkie Mularkie

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1434. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learnign Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:24:16 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382256.731944.250980@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:01:24 -0700, "Billy cumgobbler Smith"
> <billy_shittydrawers_smith@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Take your own advice, greaseball.

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1435. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:26:07 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382367.712374.98390@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167452

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote

More Morkie Mularkie

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1436. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:27:51 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382471.256989.237440@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167453

an old freind wrote:
> Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> >
> stfu child rpaing forger

Imagine that...Morkie talking to himslef in public...Who wudda
thunk it?

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1437. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:29:32 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382557.481480.266850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167454

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 17:23:18 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> >Toad likes it in his ###. He likes it alot.

And Morkie cries knowing he can't cut the mustard.

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1438. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 08:32:39 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160382759.286975.170730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167455

an old freind wrote:
> Mark Morgan, Child Molester wrote:
> >
> stfu rapist

Morkie talking to himself in public again...

> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

1439. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 09:53:34 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160387614.373044.260960@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167456

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:49:03 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
> <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> get flushed woger and thereby clean up ham radio

Follow your own advice, greaseball.

Steve, K4YZ

1440. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 09:54:58 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160387698.582826.131850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167457

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:46:43 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
> <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Morkie talking to himself...or ABOUT hisself? I haven't fugured it
out yet.

Steve, K4YZ

1441. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 09:56:11 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160387771.808842.188670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167458

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:45:27 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
> <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Self assessment, Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1442. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 09:58:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160387897.087576.197040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167459

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:44:35 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
> <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Talking to yourself again, I see...

Steve, K4YZ

1443. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 09:59:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160387986.211487.284110@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167460

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:43:30 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
> <kb9rqz_child_molester@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Talking to yourself again, I see.

Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1444. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:00:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388051.369778.226680@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167461

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:38:02 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Talking to yourself again.

Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1445. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:02:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388124.707813.295080@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167462

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 17:14:51 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> but I heard your mom was in your frezzer

No, that's your mom.

Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1446. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:03:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388195.688183.236070@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167463

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:36:54 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Talking to yourself again, I see.

Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1447. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:05:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388348.022659.307950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167464

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 10:05:56 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> id theft is c rime

So is impersonating a military officer.

So is child molestation.

So is uttering a death threat.

So is welfare fraud.

So is elder abuse...

But YOU don't seem to have a problem with violating any of THOSE
laws......

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1448. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:08:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388487.981218.128620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167465

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 05:49:10 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu child molestoring woger

Worried about competition for the little tot's affections,
Morkie...?!?!

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1449. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:10:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388617.542768.137790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167466

an old friend wrote:
> Stagger Lee wrote:
> > Here's a photo of Anne Hoffer (
> would woger even want she look living I hear he likes colder girls

Would it be too much to ask for you to use English when posting to
RRAP, Putz?

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1450. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:15:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160388915.043243.19620@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167467

PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 22:32:16 +0000, Iitoi
> <Iitoi.2fafv1@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >B4 you post more kiddie porn cartoons at your web site, consider this
> >breaking news.....
> >
> and you support vigilanteism Itoi?

It's the first smart thing Iltoi's posted in weeks.

> despictable when people can't even get a trail anymore

What's "despictable"...?!?!

And what would he do with a trail? Ride bikes on it? The stupiee
is dead.

That's what child pornographers SHOULD be, Morkie.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1451. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:18:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389092.421646.249860@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167468

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 23:35:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >It doesn't make sense.
>
> none of your post make or are truthfull I am not against imporving
> myself

Of course you're against improving yourself.

Even your "friends" have told you you're incompetent in English composition and need a spellchecker...You point-blank refuse to get on.

Therefore, PROOF POSITIVE that you are against improving yourself.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1452. N9OGL To Have Proctologist Tighten "It" Up In Anticipation Of Prison Sentence For Bootlegging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:24:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389473.297037.320310@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167469

Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > On Thu, 05 Oct 2006 00:11:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > > And that's why Omega One is down. That,
> > >
> > > Or Todds taking requests from the FCC.
> >
> > HEY #### BRAINS, I going Denver for broadcasters meeting next
>
> Toad, you couldn't find Denver on the map.

He meant BOB Denver.

> > month. I WANT TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU LIVE BY THERE SO WE CAN HAEV A
> > #####ING MEETING ##########!!!! I pretty sure I know how you are right
>
> Toad, you don't know your faggot ### from a hole in the ground.

Toadie couldn't get lucky with a hole in the ground.

> > now but I'll make sure during october first. Let see how big a man
> > you are face to face FUCKING SOCK PUPPET COWARD!!!!
>
> Says Toad, who posts under several sockpuppets. What's the word for
> that, oh, yes, hypocrite.

I doubt that Toaddie uses sockpuppets...Only because I bet all his
socks are so crusty he can't work his fingers well enough to make the
"mouth" move.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1453. More Morkie Mulakrie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:26:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389582.815771.62370@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167470

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:27:34 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> stfu rapist

Mokrie talking to himself in public again.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1454. Picture Of KB9RQZ Standing On His Hands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:27:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389677.990340.67840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167471

> http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg

Get a shave, fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

1455. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:29:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389790.484324.293060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167472

> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Oct 2006 05:27:34 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> > <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > stfu rapist

Morkie talking to himslef in public again.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319...@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1456. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:31:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160389889.767410.301310@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167473

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Oct 2006 05:35:48 -0700, "Mark C. Morgan"
> <markie_morgan_rapesboys@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> stfu child molestor

Talking to yourself or ABOUT yourself, Morkie?

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319...@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1457. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:34:10 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390050.734583.78200@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167474

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319...@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1458. Re: stop you gay bashing Don Boewy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:36:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <694gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>> On 10/7/06 in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> stop you welching sc
> >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>
> >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
> >> fukc you inner mouth.
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > stop the foring and iD theft
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
> abuse address, too.
you wilonly siht and fall backc in it again gay basher.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

1459. More Morkie Mulakrie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:36:28 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390188.360378.40290@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167476

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:52:33 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

> > The cesspool comes from the profanity and perversions of Morkie.
>
> no the perversion is clearly your it is called Voutyerism

Congratulations, Fatboy. A new word with absolutley NO meaning.

> when are you going to stop claiming to have with your daughter

To have WHAT with my daughter, Morkie?

Still working on that excuse for your suicide note?

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319...@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1460. Re: An Example of Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:39:19 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390359.107083.108750@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hes wrote:

> Nope, CBers do it all the time here in Detroit and the hams
> are no where to be found.

Ahhhhhhhh.....I see we have yet another pathological liar in
anymouse clothing here....

Message-ID:
<1158542716.987841.319...@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>

KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"

There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!

Quoted Word For Word!

Steve, K4YZ

1461. The Truth Mork Moron Hides

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:42:24 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390544.485772.276730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167478

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:01:29 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>
> stop your lying now

The TRUTH that Morke hides:

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

1462. Re: Still no Omega One on HF.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:44:13 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390653.863581.21590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167479

Slow Code wrote:
> Did Todd get spanked and he's not telling us?

Oh, NO, Slow...If Toiddie got spanked, he'd be telling ALL of us!
He LIKES spankings! Especially by his buttbuddy, Morkie.

Steve, K4YZ

1463. The TRUTH About KB9RQZ's LYING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:47:06 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390826.030432.66510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167480

Slow Code wrote:

> Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, or Markie, is a retard. He can't even do the
> internet and use a spell checker at the same time. Last month he won the
> Golden Killfile award. His best friend and part time live-in lover is
> AB8MQ, Woger Wiseman. When Roger is out of jail he stays with Mark
> sometimes... unless it's Todd, LLoyd or Steve's turn.

Yep.

And here's some words Morkie keeps trying to quote out-of-context
into a deceitful rant of his own making.

However Google Archives can't be defeated...Morkie loses again.

QUOTE

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:

> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1464. More Mork Moron Misquoting Defeated By Google Archives

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:48:24 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160390904.331927.36300@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:02:06 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > >genitals"sayth Robeson
> > stop your lying

Stop YOUR lying, fatboy.Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1465. More Mork Moron Misquoting: Google Archives Prove KB9RQZ is a Liar...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:50:19 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160391019.731461.306590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167482

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 15:08:09 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> >On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>PorkieMorkieTheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>> "is Amy Fireproof?" means Steve has just lied obviously that means
> >>> very
> >>> little else is worth ntoing and as should be obvious very little else
> >>> will get done by steve will
> >>
> >> That may have meant something to you, Morkie, but it just came out
> >>
> >>as gibberish.
> >>
> >>> all he has to do is STOP lying
> >>
> >> To "stop" one must have started.
> >>
> >>> stoping claiming my wife is male
> >>
> >> Start putting a picture up that proves otherwise.
> >>
> >>> stop calling me a child molestor
> >>
> >> I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
> >>investigation.
> >
> >stop the lying steve
> >
> >stop it soon
> >
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> stop the lieing steve

The "lieing" is YOURS, fatboy.

Witness:Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1466. KB9RQZ Continues the Lying: Google But He Can't Hide From Google

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:52:10 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160391130.895388.48940@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167483

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:16:50 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> you can stop by apologizing

There's nothing for ME to apologize for, fatboy.

YOU are the one LYING!

And here's the proof:Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1467. The TRUTH About KB9RQZ's MISQUOTING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:53:30 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160391210.670874.318950@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167484

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:04 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> your words

No....HERE are "MY" Words!

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1468. The Truth About KB9RQZ Lying and Misquoting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:55:04 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160391304.030154.165980@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167485

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:17:50 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> you asked for it you got steve

No...YOU lied about it.

Here's the TRUTH:

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1469. More KB9RQZ Lying and Misquoting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 10:57:33 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160391453.913650.178950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167486

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 4 Oct 2006 06:12:03 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >QUOTE
> >
> >KB9RQZ Lookups: 1069
> stop breaking the law and of your asked for what is about to be posted
> you clarly can't post a single day without being a criminal jerk

There's NOTHING illegal about quoting PUBLIC records, Morkie.

That YOU choose to look like an idiot is YOUR choice.

Also, that you choose to TRY and misqoute me in Google is ALSO a
choice, but a very, VERY stupid one, fatboy.

To wit:

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:

> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1470. ATTN: Mrs Brian P Burke: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 11:10:20 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160392220.092099.208520@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167487

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote (in repsonse to Lennie):
> > >
> > > > As I told you earlier, you get what you dish out. You'd no sooner blown
> > > > back into this newsgroup when you started with the cutesy names. Brian
> > > > is guilty of the same technique. As for Mark, his posting record speaks
> > > > for itself. He *is* the group Myna bird.
> > >
> > > Who is "Brian?"
> > >
> > > You NEVER seem to have a problem with Robesin's use of "Brain." Ever.
> >
> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
> Indeed. Does anyone know?

Obvioulsy not, since it's something from your imagination.

> Robesin has so many faces.
>
> He is a War Hero, but was in no war.

He was? he SAID he was a "war hero"...?!?!

You can PROVE your assertion here? Google archives are
inviolatable, so dig in...PROVE your assertions.

> Seven Hostile Actions, but can't mention ONE.

Sure I did. To you in confidence. You brought it to RRAP.

You violated a promise.

> He is disabled from the military, but not injured.

Oh? How so? You ahve his records, do you?

> He is a Tennesse State Guardsman, but not in the National Guard.

Oh? I live in Tennessee. I'll look him up.

By the way, you ahve a problem with people who volunteer for
service in such public service organizations...?!?!

> Presents himself in an Air Force sage green flight suit with Captain's
> Insignia, but claims he is a CAP Major.

And you destroy every picture of yourself when circumstances
change?

That picture was "presented" when the rank worn WAS appropriate.

I need to change it for YOU...?!?!

> He claims ANCOIC-ship of an NMC MARS site on Okinawa, but knows next to
> nothing of MARS.

Uhhhhhhhh...Som far YOU are the one who knows "next to nothing of
MARS", Brain...Never HAVE known much about it judging by your grossly
erroneous posts on various "EmComm" subjects.

However I've cited ALL of my MARS calls in this forum at one time
or another, to include the station calls on Oki that I was responsible
for.

So far, YOU have yet to prove that I ahve lied about ANY of it.

> He claims to be a Nurse, but no degree.

One doesn't need a degree to be a Nurse, Brain.

> He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.

Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
Archives:

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;

posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

UNQUOTE

> He says those who disagree with him are homos.

Nope. Only those who claim to be homosexuals.

> Those that best him in rational argument are called pedophiles.

So far, no one other than Jim Miccolis has "bested" me in any
"rational" argument, and to the best of my knowledge I've never called
him a pedophile.

> Develops that 1,000 yard stare when confused, others suggest it's the
> "deer in headlights" stare.

You're really stretching on that one, greaseball.

> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
> Your guess is as good as mine.

Why should it be?

"Robesin" is a strawman of YOUR construct. You tell us....

Steve, K4YZ

1471. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 11:17:38 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167488

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over 30
> > MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio forward
> > and doing anything technical wise.
>
> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> technical expertise.

Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!

Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
equally ill informed?

Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...

Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
"self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

1472. Re: Let's all (not) apologize to Todd for making fun Of Smegma One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 11:19:54 UTC
From: k4yz_05@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160392794.182550.195050@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167489

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 20 Sep 2006 00:40:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >> > Sorry Todd. Please come back to usenet.
> >
> > Someone's been hitting the crack pipe a bit heavy this evening!
> >
> >> Apologize to Mark, too.
> >
> > For WHAT...?!?!?
> >
> >> And get Robesin to do the same.
> >
> > Who's "Robesin"...
> >
> >> Then behave yourselves and we can have a useful discussion group again.
> >
> > If you and your cohorts could discuss things without lying and
> >deceiveing, Brain, we could have a useful discussion today.
> >
> > Personally...I like my odds on the Lottery better.

Morkie changed the subject line but never actually SAID anything in
the text.

So here: Allow me to quote ONE MORE TIME the EXACT QUOTE of my
words that he refuses to face:

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

UNQUOTE

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

1473. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 11:23:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160392997.672955.278070@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167491

an old friend wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> >
> when you going to stop welching on your bet about my party sc you owe
> 100 hunderd to amsat in my name

"100 hunderd"...WTF was THAT...?!?!

Idiot.

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1474. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 11:27:07 UTC
From: matt vk3zmw <nospam@nospam.ever>
Message-ID: <fmqWg.44288$rP1.21614@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 167490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> SC

Operating the 'big switch' on the chair you are sitting in.

1475. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:05:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160395543.500177.323680@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167492

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 5 Oct 2006 07:57:25 -0700, "Andy the Perv Timberlake"
> <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> get help woger

Take your own advice.

Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

Enjoy your win.

Steve, K4YZ

1476. Another Expression Of His Deceit: KB9RQZ a REPUBLICAN...?!?! NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:12:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160395975.063024.327520@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167493

kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
>
> konstans@hotmail.com

If there was ever a reason to change parties, knowing Morkie even CLAIMS to be a Republican is one of them.

However, when I give it some consideration, it is yet ONE MORE EXPRESSION of DECEIT on Morgan's part...The Republican Party is ALL ABOUT certain MORAL VALUES...Values that Morkie is "all about": violating!

He's an admitted liar, sexual deviate and as morally corrupt as any person can be and still hold American citizenship.

Morkie a REPUBLICAN...?!?!

In name only!

Steve, K4YZ

1477. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:15:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160396150.026822.142080@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167494

steven_k4yz@yahoo.com wrote:
> PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> More Morkie Mularkie
> Why does Morkie keep insisting that I am "lying" when all I am
> doing is quoting HIM verbatim?
>
> I'm not.
>
> Here's YOUR words AGAIN, Morkie:
>
> Message-ID: <1158542716.987841.319690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>
> KB9RQZ Said: "oh learning code is easy"
>
> There you have it, folks! Morkie says learning code is easy!
>
> Quoted Word For Word!

And speaking of quotes...Here's the one he does NOT want anyoine to
see.

Why?

Because it makes a mockery of his "stav's a homo" rants.

QUOTE
Path:
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
18:22:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>

User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
.NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=72.154.219.21;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.

> > > Sc

> > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > not an amateur station.

No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".

> slwo code understands even less than steve

Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

1478. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:18:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160396311.305289.150980@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167495

MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 19:44:32 -0700, snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> >> indeed it is an interested position he call himself a lair and end all
> >> this (but lose all face) or he is stuck having admited to being a GAY,
> >> Gay basher which is still reconized as a Pathology by the DSM while
> >> being gay or gay and comfortable about it is not
> >
> >The Robesin Conundrum. The rat is in a maze of his own making.
>
> and we are stuck with him

You're not "stuck" with anything, Morkie. You're free to move on.

Unless, of course, you ENJOY having your foolishness spoon fed to
you all the time...Which certainly seems to fit the circumstances.

Seve, K4YZ

1479. SERIOUS Morkie Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:23:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160396605.330046.61080@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167496

PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 01:47:07 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 17:44:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
> >> On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 21:32:02 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Sep 10 2006 3:20 pm
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>kb9rq...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Dave Heil wrote:Re: Brian P. Burke molests those boy scouts
> >> >>>
> >> >>>why do you choose to emulate robeson tactic and Wismens?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> To Mark: Note the obfuscation technique of Heil here,
> >> >> he completely avoids the issue of Robesin's baseless
> >> >> charge that Brian Burke "molests his boy scouts."
> >> >
> >> >I'm quite happy to avoid the "issue". I've condoned nothing and have no
> >> >horse in this race.
> >
> >> liar you restore and posted the title accusing BB of chil molestation
> >
> >----
> >"From these studies and many more, it is evident that homosexuals molest
> >children at a far greater rate than do their heterosexual counterparts.
> >While they comprise only 1-2% of the population, they are responsible
> >for upwards of a third or more of all sexual molestations of children."
>
> the quote is irelavant even if true

No, it's not irrelevant.

> BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I

Brain probably is not, however we have your testimony that you ARE
gay, ergo you've been caught in yet ANOTHER lie.

So...whcih is it...?!?! Lying THEN, or lying NOW...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1480. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:47:39 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137462796.60230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> stop you welching sc
> >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>
> >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
> >> fukc you inner mouth.
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > stop the foring and iD theft
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
> abuse address, too.

Hey Mark, this retard is following you around and harrassing you. You
should complain his ISP about him and dont let the bitchwhore get
way with it. He also violate his state laws and you state laws and
you can file police complaint against the harrassment coward.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
88.3 MHz FM

1481. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 12:55:59 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <JuqdnQ6EcYlO27fYnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 167498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>

>
> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
> one builds any more,

While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are a lot of builders out there. Elecraft have thousands of radios out there. I am sure the Norcal series radios number in the many of thousands. Personnaly I have e K1, K2, (KX1 on order) and a Norcal 40M in addition to an ICOM on KF.

> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of the 50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a few large projects) had excellent instructions.

.

1482. Re: ATTN: Mrs Brian P Burke: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:03:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160399027.666575.300030@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167499

Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.

k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
think people will trust you?

> > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>
> Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google

Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
is real.

> Archives:
>
> Path:
> g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
> Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 23
> Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
> 18:22:59 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
> posting-host=72.154.219.21;
> posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

>
> an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
>
> > > > Sc
>
> > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > > not an amateur station.
>
> No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
> within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
>
> > slwo code understands even less than steve
>
> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's genitals.

Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?

1483. Re: SERIOUS Robesin Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:22:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160400168.132402.42020@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167500

K4YZ wrote:
> PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:

Still forging attributes, Robesin?

> > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
>
> Brain probably is not
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Robesin probably is.

His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.

And a male nurse?

C'mon. He's only kidding himself.

1484. Re: SERIOUS Brian P Burke, N0IMD Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:48:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160401721.204394.268070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167501

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> Still forging attributes, Robesin?

Nothing "forged" about it.

Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.

> > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> >
> > Brain probably is not
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Robesin probably is.

Nope.

> His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>
> And a male nurse?
>
> C'mon. He's only kidding himself.

Nope.

But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.

And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
name to your posts, Brain.

Coward?

Steve, K4YZ

1485. Brain Chimes In...Makes Fool Of Self As Usual.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:53:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160402024.390142.318970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167502

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
> Still forging attributes, Robesin?

Nothing "forged" about it.

Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.

> > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> >
> > Brain probably is not
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Robesin probably is.

Nope.

> His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>
> And a male nurse?
>
> C'mon. He's only kidding himself.

Nope.

But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.

Steve, K4YZ

1486. ATTN: Mrs Brian P Burke: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:55:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160402123.495553.154080@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167503

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.

I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.

Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
deceit bring on your family name.

> k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
> you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
> think people will trust you?

So...I make fun of Morkie and I am supposed to worry about someone
"liking" me or "trusting" me in this forum...?!?!

You're a biggger idiot than I gave you credit for, Brain.

The people I am concerned about "liking" me or "trusting" me have
nothing to do with this forum and probably never would.

And if they did, a brief overview of your demonstrated stupidity
would lay-to-rest any concerns I would have about them being swayed by
any criticisms I might "suffer" from YOU!

> > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> >
> > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
>
> Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> is real.

Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
"sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.

It fails.

> > Archives:
> >
> > Path:
> > g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>

> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
> > Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
> > Organization: http://groups.google.com
> > Lines: 23
> > Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
> > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
> > 18:22:59 GMT)
> > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
> > In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > User-Agent: G2/0.2
> > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> > .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
> > posting-host=72.154.219.21;
> > posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
> >
> > an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> > > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
> >
> > > > > Sc
> >
> > > > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > > > > not an amateur station.
> >
> > No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
> > within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
> >
> > > slwo code understands even less than steve
> >
> > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's
> genitals.

Uhhhhhhhh...No.

I guess YOU suffer from some of the same comprehension deficits
that your buddie Morkie suffers from, Brain.

I can recommend some tutors in your area to help you....

> Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?

Mork and you. Fix your English deficits, greaseball.

Steve, K4YZ

1487. N9OGL Wakes Up But Still Delerious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 13:58:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160402285.357468.152700@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167504

N9OGL wrote:
> On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> > On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
> > "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> >
> > > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> > > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
> > >
> > >>
> > >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> > >>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> > >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> > >>>> wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>> stop you welching sc
> > >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > >>>
> > >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> > >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
> > >> #### you inner mouth.
> > >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > > > stop the foring and iD theft
> > > > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > >
> > > I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
> > > abuse address, too.
> >
> > Hey Mark, this retard is following you around and harrassing you. You
> > should complain his ISP about him and dont let the ########## get
> > way with it. He also violate his state laws and you state laws and
> > you can file police complaint against the harrassment coward.

Uhhhhhhhh....No, acttually, any complaint Morkie might be able to
file would be immediately offset by delivery of copies of HIS insults,
threats, profanities and blatant lying.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1488. K4YZ STILL ON morning DRUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 14:31:18 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1137462796.60230.320987655@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167505

I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already. The jerk cant complain about
somebody else ever again ASSHOLE!!!!

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
88.3 MHz FM

1489. Re: N9OGL STILL ON morning DRUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 15:14:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160406860.115567.123730@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167506

N9OGL wrote:

> I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already.

Then you are not only a wanton violator of various federal laws,
but you're incompetent at basic math...

> The jerk cant complain about somebody else ever again ###HOLE!!!!

If that was me you were calling a "jerK', the fact of the matter is
YES, I can "complain" about anyone and anything I care to. A
snivveling little twerp like you will enver be able to change that,
Toiddie.

> Todd N9OGL
> SMEGMA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB (QRT due to incompetent operator)
> 88.3 MHz FM (Not listented to by anyone outside Toiddie's DNA chain)

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

1490. Re: ATTN: Mrs Brian P Burke: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 15:38:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160408322.687152.102970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167507

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.

While we're at it, I am wondering why you've not answered the
questions posed to you earlier in this same thread. There's only 7
posts as I write this (eight, now...) Get lost and confused THAT
easily, Brain?

Here...Allow me to remind you...

QUOTE

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com (N0IMD) wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote (in repsonse to Lennie):

> > As I told you earlier, you get what you dish out. You'd no sooner blown
> > back into this newsgroup when you started with the cutesy names. Brian
> > is guilty of the same technique. As for Mark, his posting record speaks
> > for itself. He *is* the group Myna bird.

> Who is "Brian?"

> You NEVER seem to have a problem with Robesin's use of "Brain." Ever.

Who's "Robesin"...?!?!

What's the problem, Brain? Can't stand it when you have to face
the facts that you are NOT perceived as the noble-but-victimized
defender of truth, justice, and the American way that you percieve
yourself as being?

> But here you are complaining about other people again.

Uhhhhhhhhh...What in Dave's comments were UNTRUE?

Dave's comments were dead on accurate.

And Brain...Since YOU are presuming to back Dave into a corner
about not chastising me over practices YOU dislike, one HAS TO ASK why
YOU don't chastise Lennie or Mork for THIER use of profanities, deceit,

name calling and other less-than-mature behaviour in RRAP. Thier
"misconducts" are as legend as anything I may have dealt out here!

You certainly "have thier back" without condition. WHY would YOU
presume to impose such expectations to the contrary on others...?!?!

UNQUOTE.

So...Gonna belly up to the bar and answer these, or just hope they go away and hope no one noticed that you were being two-faced again...As usual...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

1491. Re: K4YZ STILL SNIVEL COWARD DRUNK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 16:02:01 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <11330912796.60230.320987655@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167508

On 9 Oct 2006 08:14:20 -0700, BITCHWHORE K4YZ wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already.
>
> Then you are not only a wanton violator of various federal laws,
> but you're incompetent at basic math...
>
> > The jerk cant complain about somebody else ever again ###HOLE!!!!
>
> If that was me you were calling a "jerK', the fact of the matter is
> YES, I can "complain" about anyone and anything I care to. A
> snivveling little twerp like you will enver be able to change that,
> Toiddie.
>
> > Todd N9OGL
> > SMEGMA ONE RADIO
> > 13.556.00 LSB (QRT due to incompetent operator)
> > 88.3 MHz FM (Not listented to by anyone outside Toiddie's DNA chain)
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

HEY BITCHFACE, I PUT SPECIAL PICTURE UP ON BLOG JUST FOR YOU!!!
YOU CANT STOP ME YOU FUCK BITCHWHORE SNIVEL COWARD DRUNK!!!!

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

1492. Re: K4YZ STILL SNIVEL COWARD DRUNK!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 17:03:25 UTC
From: Lloyd Daugherty <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <1560A17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 16:02:01 +0000 (UTC), N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> On 9 Oct 2006 08:14:20 -0700, BITCHWHORE K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already.
> >
> > Then you are not only a wanton violator of various federal laws,
> > but you're incompetent at basic math...
> >
> > > The jerk cant complain about somebody else ever again ###HOLE!!!!
> >
> > If that was me you were calling a "jerK', the fact of the matter is
> > YES, I can "complain" about anyone and anything I care to. A
> > snivveling little twerp like you will enver be able to change that,
> > Toiddie.
> >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > SMEGMA ONE RADIO
> > > 13.556.00 LSB (QRT due to incompetent operator)
> > > 88.3 MHz FM (Not listented to by anyone outside Toiddie's DNA chain)
> >
> > Putz.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> HEY BITCHFACE, I PUT SPECIAL PICTURE UP ON BLOG JUST FOR YOU!!!
> YOU CANT STOP ME YOU FUCK BITCHWHORE SNIVEL COWARD DRUNK!!!!
>
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Kewl, Todd! Posting cartoon kiddy porn on Blogspot is sure to make
a whole new set of enemies for you. Anyone care to guess how long
it takes for Google to yank the blog? My guess is that it will take
a long time, because Google just doesn't give a damn.

1493. Did the NetKKKop get Marky's ISP to dump him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 17:31:16 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87017833.243309789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?

That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped in his outhouse.

1494. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 17:33:07 UTC
From: K7ITM <k7itm@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1160415187.380043.233770@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow wrote:
> What do you like best about appliance operating?

I particularly like that the free neutron density is quite low, at
least for most white goods.

When are you going to stop beating your mother?

1495. ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 18:03:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160417026.698415.90960@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167512

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

More forging of attributes, I see.

> > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
>
> I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.

Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a history of it.

Thus your thread-jacking and calling out in the Subject line other people's wives. To deny it makes you the lying sack of excrement that you actually are.

> Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
> deceit bring on your family name.

And there is no humiliation in the family name, Robeson?

> > k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
> > you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
> > think people will trust you?
>
> So...I make fun of Morkie

Why? Why do you bother?

Do you puff up your chest and lick that "devil-dog" tatoo on your bicep?

> and I am supposed to worry about someone
> "liking" me or "trusting" me in this forum...?!?!

Is my family in this forum? Is your family in this forum?

> You're a biggger idiot than I gave you credit for, Brain.

"Bigger" has only two g's, you idiot.

> The people I am concerned about "liking" me or "trusting" me have
> nothing to do with this forum and probably never would.

Hopefully they'll never do a google search on your "family name."

> And if they did, a brief overview of your demonstrated stupidity
> would lay-to-rest any concerns I would have about them being swayed by
> any criticisms I might "suffer" from YOU!

A lengthier overview of your inability to play well with others, your
fixation on the male genitalia and all things of a homosexual nature,
propensity to drop hints and unsubstantiated claims of your imagined
military service in the US Marine Corps, and outright lies that you
tell about other people might change their minds about the swell guy
image you feign.

> > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> > >
> > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
> >
> > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> > is real.
>
> Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>
> It fails.

You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
At least in Tennessee?

Regardless of educations in healthcare, your nuts.

> > > Archives:
> > >
> > > Path:
> > > g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
> > > Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
> > > Organization: http://groups.google.com
> > > Lines: 23
> > > Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > > References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > > NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
> > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> > > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
> > > 18:22:59 GMT)
> > > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
> > > In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > > User-Agent: G2/0.2
> > > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;

> > > .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> > > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
> > > posting-host=72.154.219.21;
> > > posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
> > >
> > > an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> > > > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > > > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
> > >
> > > > > > Sc
> > >
> > > > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > > > > not an amateur station.
> > >
> > > No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
> > > within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
> > >
> > > > slwo code understands even less than steve
> > >
> > > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> > > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's
> > genitals.
>
> Uhhhhhhhh...No.

Uhhhhhhhhh. Yeh. It's right there where you are saying "my
excrement..."

> I guess YOU suffer from some of the same comprehension deficits
> that your buddie Morkie suffers from, Brain.

Maybe if you don't make such sick claims, and then don't quote yourself
making those same sick claims, there wouldn't be any discussion about
it.

In other words, clean up your act. What part of that can't you
understand?

Do you think Paul Schlek is going to lett you play "Excrement Commando"
in RRAP?

> I can recommend some tutors in your area to help you....
>

> > Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?
>
> Mork and you. Fix your English deficits, greaseball.

Sorry Robesin, it doesn't work that way. You quote yourself then claim that "Mork and you" thought it up. Try taking a little responsibility for yourself once in a while. Just once. The hardest part is taking that first step, but you're the only one that can do it. Best of Luck.

1496. Re: On Monday morning, be vewy, vewy afwaid because Woger weturns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:15:57 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege75i$557$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Stagger Lee" AKA Lloyd Davies N0VFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

Hi Lardass.

1497. Re: stop you gay bashing Don Boewy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:20:08 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege7dd$5ib$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:694gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com...
>
> On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article
>> m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
>> > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>> On 10/7/06 in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>> >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> >>>> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>> stop you welching sc
>> >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>>
>> >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service
>> >>> provider.
>> >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>> >> fukc you inner mouth.
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> > stop the foring and iD theft
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to
>> the
>> abuse address, too.
> you wilonly siht and fall backc in it again gay basher.
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Another forge brought to you by Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

Hi Lardass.

1498. Re: K4YZ DRUNK AGAIN THIS MORNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:21:18 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege7fi$5j9$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167515

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137462796.602425.60230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> I count 60-70 posts by k4yz already. The jerk cant complain about
> somebody else ever again ASSHOLE!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM
>
>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Another forge brought to you by Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

Hi Lardass.

1499. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:22:29 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege7hq$5p5$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137462796.60230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article
>> m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
>> > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article
>> >>> oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>> >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> >>>> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>> stop you welching sc
>> >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>>
>> >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service
>> >>> provider.
>> >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>> >> fukc you inner mouth.
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> > stop the foring and iD theft
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to
>> the
>> abuse address, too.
>
> Hey Mark, this retard is following you around and harrassing you. You
> should complain his ISP about him and dont let the bitchwhore get
> way with it. He also violate his state laws and you state laws and
> you can file police complaint against the harrassment coward.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM
>
>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Another forge brought to you by Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

Hi Lardass.

1500. Re: K4YZ STILL ON morning DRUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:32:27 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege84g$6it$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167517

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1137462796.60230.320987655@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already. The jerk cant complain about
> somebody else ever again ASSHOLE!!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
> 13.556.00 LSB
> 88.3 MHz FM
>
>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Another forge brought to you by Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

Hi Lardass.

1501. Re: N9OGL STILL ON morning DRUNK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:39:15 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege8h8$6v5$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167518

"K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1160406860.115567.123730@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> I count 80-90 posts by k4yz already.
>
> Then you are not only a wanton violator of various federal laws,
> but you're incompetent at basic math...
>
>> The jerk cant complain about somebody else ever again ###HOLE!!!!
>
> If that was me you were calling a "jerK', the fact of the matter is
> YES, I can "complain" about anyone and anything I care to. A
> snivveling little twerp like you will enver be able to change that,
> Toiddie.
>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> SMEGMA ONE RADIO
>> 13.556.00 LSB (QRT due to incompetent operator)
>> 88.3 MHz FM (Not listented to by anyone outside Toiddie's DNA chain)
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
Steve, you're responding to a forged post from NOVFP.

Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

1502. Re: Pnig netcopp gay basher Don Boewy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 19:49:29 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ege94d$7mv$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 167519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:C14EF550.46BDB%dbowey@comcast.net...
> On 10/8/06 4:20 AM, in article
> 754gi2tmmnifrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com,
> "Mark@ab9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article
>>> oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> stop you welching sc
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service
>>> provider.
>>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>> you cwoards can try buts ofar you ahve all failed. you are jsut anothre
>> gay basher who wilshit and fall back init again.
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> I already received a reply from your service provider, but I'll send this
> to
> them also.
>
> As to gay bashing.... You are a liar. You can't find a post anywhere to
> support your lie.
>
>
Don, you're responding to a forged post from NOVFP.

Lloyd Davies NOVFP.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i12.tinypic.com/2qnv3fr.jpg

1503. Re: Did the NetKKKop get Marky's ISP to dump him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 21:31:21 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160429481.267353.25450@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.sheep,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's
> ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that
> Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?
>
> That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped
> in his outhouse.

IF THE FAGGOT IS QRT LET'S
PARTY!!

1504. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 21:34:42 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160429682.631111.162040@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167521
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

K7ITM wrote:
> Slow wrote:
> > What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> I particularly like that the free neutron density is quite low, at
> least for most white goods.
>
> When are you going to stop beating your mother?

I LIKE APPLIANCE FUCKING, WHICH IS WHY SC'S ASS IS SO HOT!

1505. Re: F.U. Faggot!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 21:36:52 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160429812.399500.45450@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.stories.d

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 8 Oct 2006 07:56:46 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
> >
> stop the id theeft
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Jeezzzzzzzzzeeeeeeeeeeeeee!!!!!!!!!!!!

You're fucking stupid!

1506. Re: More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 21:41:50 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160430110.577361.65570@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

K4YZ wrote:
> MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 7 Sep 2006 19:44:32 -0700, snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > indeed it is an interested position he call himself a lair and end all
> > > this (but lose all face) or he is stuck having admited to being a GAY,
> > > Gay basher which is still reconized as a Pathology by the DSM while
> > > being gay or gay and comfortable about it is not
> > >
> > >The Robesin Conundrum. The rat is in a maze of his own making.
> >
> > and we are stuck with him
>
> You're not "stuck" with anything, Morkie. You're free to move on.
>
> Unless, of course, you ENJOY having your foolishness spoon fed to
> you all the time...Which certainly seems to fit the circumstances.
>
> Seve, K4YZ

Mork needs to answer every post. Makes him forget how sick he really is.

1507. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 22:04:36 UTC
From: Spokesman <his@spam.net>
Message-ID: <sb-dncTrEoTqWrfYnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@wightman.ca>
Source record: 167524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ARgWg.8930$o71.4009@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> SC

The most interseting part of this newgroup is watching Slow Mind
posting trolls.

1508. Where's Markie? Could it be true? We can only hope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 22:19:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <bWzWg.44879$DU3.38432@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167525

Did his ISP finally can him?

1509. Re: SERIOUS Robesin Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 22:21:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160432483.886053.198690@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167526

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >
> > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>
> Nothing "forged" about it.

Sure there is. And you lied again.

Hey, I've got a joke for you...

What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?

Steven J. Robesin

> Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.

Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?

> > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > >
> > > Brain probably is not
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Robesin probably is.
>
> Nope.

Probably is.

> > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> >
> > And a male nurse?
> >
> > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
>
> Nope.

Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.

> But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.

Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
wife swapper, too.

> And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> name to your posts, Brain.
>
> Coward?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Should I be afraid of you?

1510. Could K4YZ & AB8MQ have won?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 23:07:06 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160435226.541456.32830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.west-virginia

What did you guys do to my little man's isp?

1511. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 23:48:51 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <1452206.SEJ5tXt7gs@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 167529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Gerry wrote:

>> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
>> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.
>
> Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of
> the 50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a
> few large projects) had excellent instructions.

They had those well into the 1980's. man I really do miss those.

I have built more than my fair share of kit radios over the last couple of decades (its even more fun trying to build them by braille). its still an excellent learning experience (there is always going to come a time when being able to use a soldering iron will be helpful in repars).

1512. Re: Where's Markie? Could it be true? We can only hope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-09 23:58:05 UTC
From: Not Steve <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <cb9km.2d1.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167527

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:bWzWg.44879$DU3.38432@tornado.texas.rr.com...
Did his ISP finally can him?

I sure hope so!!

1513. Actually it looks like Markie, Woger and Toddie were gone from RRAP today.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <eoCWg.12106$UG4.9105@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

But Steve took up the slack.

You know steve, when Woger, Markie, and Todd come back and do their routine crap follow-ups to you, this place is going to be a sewer for a few days. Woger's mother has three days to catch up on, and Markie will follow up to everything, and if there's nothing to follow up to, he reloads old stuff and spams that around. And Todd is a whiner.

SC

1514. Re: CBers fight on air...in person,,,one dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <joCWg.12110$UG4.3824@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net> wrote in
news:20061009063256500 73%testicle@sacnet:

> On 2006-10-08 20:37:28 -0400, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> said:
>
>> Barry's Leftnut <testicle@sac.net> wrote in
>>> LOL! I love when they kill each other! Like those arabs!
>>
>>
>> No-Codes are violent. I don't know why people want more of them on ham
>> radio.
>> SC
>>
>
> No they're not. Because without them the ranks would quickly shrink.

I prefer to think of it removing impurities, or culling the herd.

SC

1515. Re: Could K4YZ & AB8MQ have won?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <koCWg.12111$UG4.379@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote in
news:1160435226.541456.32830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> What did you guys do to my little man's isp?

Woger's mom was absent from the group today too! But it's was a holiday
so maybe the public library was closed.

SC

1516. Re: Did the NetKKKop get Marky's ISP to dump him?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <loCWg.12112$UG4.1321@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in
news:87017833.243309789@tarrnews.net:

> After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's
> ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that
> Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?
>
> That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped
> in his outhouse.

I don't think we could be so lucky. He's probably just spending the
day trying to figure out how to turn on a soldering iron.

SC

1517. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <noCWg.12114$UG4.6143@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote in
news:aEkWg.3758$gM1.2391@fed1read12:

>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> news:3scii2h5pbngasblg6n7agkfqhh97ove2h@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 21:24:32 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
>
>> >What's the fix for it?
>>
>> Learn it by the sound, not by the individual element - the same way
>> you'd learn any other language. See if a ham club near you has
>> classes.
>
> That's the method of Jerry Ziliac, who sends the code at 21 WPM, so that
> the dits and dahs become a single entity. That only worked for me for
> some of the characters. The only 4-element Morse I know for sure is <Q>
> DAH-DAH di-DAH from the mnemonic PAY-DAY to-DAY. All the rest are a
> jumble.
> Sorry -- I failed at this enough times to know it's not hap'nin'.

Here's an idea.

Maybe if you relay your messages through Markie and he relays his
messages through you, the mistakes will cancel out and your messages
will make sense to the rest of us. Just an idea.

SC

1518. Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <poCWg.12115$UG4.7630@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?

SC

1519. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qoCWg.12116$UG4.7435@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> little first?
>
> I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>
> Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> too.
>
> Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> problem.
>
> BUT
>
> I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> "conditions of grant".
>
> FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> require a specific retest.
>
> If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> thing?
>
> FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> should they require it of amateurs?

Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses. We can't have
skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal. That's what happens
when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
government.

SC

1520. It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no more.

When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of the legs of a three leg stool.

SC

1521. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uoCWg.12119$UG4.5665@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over
>> > 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio
>> > forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>
>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> technical expertise.
>
> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>
> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
> equally ill informed?
>
> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>
> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>
> Try again.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
be-little the original argument.

SC

1522. Once again Mark Morgan takes a follow-up Crap on every thread.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <voCWg.12120$UG4.7108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:8u6ji2tv31th8o33h7r38f4ou2ffv1fvd9@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> troling right along

Why does Mark even bother to waste time posting if all he can do is
follow-up with one line of mis-spelled crap. What a waste of a perfectly
good computer and internet connection.

SC

1523. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <woCWg.12121$UG4.9225@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Spokesman" <his@spam.net> wrote in
news:sb-dncTrEoTqWrfYnZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@wightman.ca:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:ARgWg.8930$o71.4009@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>
>> SC
>
> The most interseting part of this newgroup is watching Slow Mind
> posting trolls.

Pull my finger.

SC

1524. Re: Still no Omega One on HF.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zoCWg.12123$UG4.8987@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167538

k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1160390653.863581.21590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Did Todd get spanked and he's not telling us?
>
> Oh, NO, Slow...If Toiddie got spanked, he'd be telling ALL of us!
> He LIKES spankings! Especially by his buttbuddy, Morkie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

He loves to whine. I sorta miss the whining. It's hilarious.

SC

1525. What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BoCWg.12125$UG4.313@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.

That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
things. Or is that ruining things?

SC

1526. Re: Will Omega One ever be back on 13.556 again, or was the HF side just a fart in the wind.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:08:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CoCWg.12126$UG4.6531@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in
news:JRgWg.8934$o71.3237@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1160258892.426478.285010@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> No, were on FM now..88.3 FM
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> Come back to HF Todd, your whining and complaining is hilarious. When
> hams catch you on the bands they must really laugh their ass off.
> You're almost as good as Liberty net.
>
> SC

Day two, still no Todd. I wonder if he had to shut down because he
couldn't afford the power bill. His Hamburger flippin job probably don't
pay much.

SC

1527. Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:20:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160443228.425135.55360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167544

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

> >> Ever hear of 'the BSTJ?' That's the Bell System Technical
> >> Journal. Before the Bell break-up it was published
> >> (mostly) monthly. They had a nice write-up in it on the
> >> three electromechanical 'computers' that Bell Labs made
> >> for making Firing Tables during WWII.
> >
> >They were slow - at least an order of magnitude slower than ENIAC. They
> >were not general purpose, either. Their technology led nowhere.
>
> Tell that to Bell Labs. :-) Tell that to Claude
> Elwood Shannon. :-)

I don't have to - the Army already did:

Quoting Chapter 1 of "ELECTRONIC COMPUTERS WITHIN THE ORDNANCE CORPS"

(an official US Army history):

"Two Bell Relay Computers were used. They were accurate, but slow and
required expert maintenance. Dust and humidity adversely affected their
operation."
>
> >The point is that the ENIAC folks got the machine to work with the
> >parts available.
>
> >The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
> >used it until 1955.

> >If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
> >it or scrapped it.
>
> >Mechanical and electromechanical computing and calculating were
> >rendered hopelessly obsolete by ENIAC's success. ENIAC caused the focus
> >to move to purely electronic computing and calculating. Within a few
> >years, commercial machines like UNIVAC were on the market. (A UNIVAC
> >correctly predicted the outcome of the 1952 presidential election,
> >based on just a few percent of the returns).
>
> Predicted all by itself? No programmer did anything?
>
> Amazing!

>
> But, UNIVAC was not ENIAC. :-)
>
>
> >It's clear you're very jealous, Len.
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yawn.

>
> >Those machines can all trace their design right back to ENIAC - and not
> >to any mechanical or electromechanical device.
>
> Oh, my, not to Alfred Boole? :-)

Nope.

> Not to Von Neuman?

Do you mean John von Neumann? He was on the team that built ENIAC.

> Not to hundreds of thousands
> like Bardeen, Brattain, and Shockley?

They invented the transistor, not the computer, Len.

> Right you are, Mr. Computer Guru. Nothing about "Harvard"
> architecture,

Von Neumann architecture is the key.

> "pipelining",

ENIAC could do parallel computations.

> bilateral digital switching,
> standardized logic levels,

ENIAC's were standardized.

> RAM,

ENIAC had accumulators - aka registers.

> ROM, EPROM,

Had those, too.

> or BINARY
> registers instead of the BCD variant ENIAC used.

ENIAC was a decimal machine. Not BCD.

> Modern
> computers "trace their design right back to ENIAC?"

> Noooooo.

Yes, they do.

At least the US Army thinks so:

http://ftp.arl.army.mil/ftp/historic-computers/drawings/big-comp-tree.gif

The root of the tree is ENIAC.

Some quotes from Army history:

"During the period 1946 - 1955 the ENIAC was operated successfully for
a total of 80,223 hours of operation. It performed about five thousand
arithmetic operations for each second of its useful life. ENIAC led the
computer field through 1952 when it served as the main computation
machine for the solution of the scientific problems of the nation. It
surpassed all other existing computers in solving problems involving a
large number of arithmetic operations. It was the major instrument for
the computation of all ballistic tables for the U.S. Army and Air
Force. In addition to ballistics, the ENIAC's field of application
included weather prediction, atomic energy calculations, cosmic ray
studies, thermal ignition, random number studies, wind tunnel design,
and other scientific uses."

> Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
> computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
> not even 12AU7s.

You didn't look very hard:

http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,106388-page,1/article.html

> The last vacuum tube used with computers
> was the CRT and that's quickly going away...

So what? It's only been 60 years since ENIAC was announced...

1528. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:30:17 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12ilttjeqqnov5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No kidding "Chicken Little?"

Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
www.ad5th.com

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.
>
> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> SC
>

1529. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:37:23 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <5ltli21ct6tc09cjd11hm6u2162s90scdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 167546
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 08:55:59 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
>news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
>> wrote:

>> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
>> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
>> one builds any more,

>While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are
>a lot of builders out there.

There are a lot of CW operators out there too. "A lot of ... out
there" doesn't seem to be the current criterion, does it? :)

Which is the point I was making - if we eliminate everything we don't
actually need for operation, the only things left are the laws and a
demonstration that we know how to flip the switch. Which would
probably suit the "let's eliminate the code entirely" crowd just fine.

>> so knowledge of the circuits inside a radio isn't
>> really needed. Nice if you want it, but not needed to operate.

>Circuit knowledge hasn't been NECESSARY for decades. Most kit radios of the
>50's (I build some Heathkits ,myself as a kid and helped my Dad with a few
>large projects) had excellent instructions.

But getting them to operate properly (except for that fiasco of a 2
meter transceiver they put out - the one that doubled as an aircraft
transmitter) took at least some little bit of knowledge. It was
really something that you could completely align a (then) modern color
TV set with nothing more than the parts in the kit (and you could
align it almost as well with them as you could with a shop full of
test equipment - I checked a few of them), but for most of the ham
gear you had to have some idea of how the circuits operated to get
them working really well. Not an engineering degree, but a little
more than the "theory" on the novice test.

(Granted, if a legally blind person could build a transmitter - K2TCF
built his Apache after he lost his visual acuity - and get it working
well, the Benton Harbor gang knew what they were doing.)

1530. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:46:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
> >> little first?
> >
> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
> >
> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
> > any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
> > probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
> > too.
> >
> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> > problem.
> >
> > BUT
> >
> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
> > broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
> > "conditions of grant".
> >
> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
> > acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
> > as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
> > require a specific retest.
> >
> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> > thing?
> >
> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> > should they require it of amateurs?
>
>
> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.

I don't think so.

> We can't have
> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.

Where do you get that idea?

Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of result.

>That's what happens
> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
> government.
>
Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition of "liberal".

For example:

Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".

Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we got:

- FCC exams replaced by VEC exams

- Openly published question pools

- End of Morse Code sending test

- General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not have same written as Generals

- Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)

- Technician lost its code test

Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:

- FCC support for BPL

- ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.

- NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

1531. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 01:58:01 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com>
Source record: 167548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:

>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
>
>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
>www.ad5th.com
>
>
>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>>
>> SC
>>
>

1532. Re: Another Expression Of His Deceit: KB9RQZ a REPUBLICAN...?!?! NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 02:00:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160445610.553548.293350@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167549

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> > I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> > rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
> >
> > konstans@hotmail.com
>
> If there was ever a reason to change parties, knowing Morkie even
> CLAIMS to be a Republican is one of them.
>
> However, when I give it some consideration, it is yet ONE MORE
> EXPRESSION of DECEIT on Morgan's part...The Republican Party is ALL
> ABOUT certain MORAL VALUES..

Every major political party claims that.

>.Values that Morkie is "all about":
> violating!

Well, there really is an organization called the "Log Cabin
Republicans":

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Log_Cabin_Republicans

As for "MORAL VALUES", consider this (quoting Wikipedia):

"...founded Log Cabin Republicans, as a rallying point for Republicans
opposed to the Briggs Initiative, which for a time was winning in polls
conducted prior to the election with about 61% of voters supporting it
while 31% opposed it. It was the first attempt to restrict gay and
lesbian rights through a ballot measure.

However, it is historically significant that the polls changed in the
opponents favor when former Governor Ronald Reagan, later President.
publicly opposed the measure.

In 1978, for example, Reagan vigorously opposed a California ballot
initiative sponsored by religious conservatives that would have barred
homosexuals from teaching in the public schools. The timing is
significant because he was then preparing to run for president, a race
in which he would need the support of conservatives and moderates very
uncomfortable with homosexual teachers. As Cannon puts it, Reagan was
"well aware that there were those who wanted him to duck the issue"
but nevertheless "chose to state his convictions."

Reagan penned an op-ed against the so-called Briggs Initiative in which
he wrote, "Whatever else it is, homosexuality is not a contagious

disease like the measles. Prevailing scientific opinion is that an individual's sexuality is determined at a very early age and that a child's teachers do not really influence this." This was a remarkably progressive thing for a politician, especially a conservative one about to run for president, to say in 1978. The Briggs Initiative was overwhelmingly defeated. Its sponsors blamed Reagan for the defeat."

Was Ronald Reagan a Republican?

> He's an admitted liar, sexual deviate and as morally corrupt as any
> person can be and still hold American citizenship.
>
> Morkie a REPUBLICAN...?!?!
>
> In name only!
>
What about Mr. Foley?

1533. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 02:01:01 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com>
Source record: 167550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.

On 9 Oct 2006 18:46:31 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study a
>> >> little first?
>> >
>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >
>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra, from
>> > any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it. I'll
>> > probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was licensed,
>> > too.
>> >
>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> > problem.
>> >
>> > BUT
>> >
>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who haven't
>> > broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part of the
>> > "conditions of grant".
>> >
>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if someone
>> > acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is some doubt
>> > as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC will sometimes
>> > require a specific retest.
>> >
>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>> > thing?
>> >
>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> > should they require it of amateurs?

>>
>>
>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>
>I don't think so.
>
>> We can't have
>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>
>Where do you get that idea?
>
>Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
>result.
>
>>That's what happens
>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> government.
>>
>Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
>of "liberal".
>
>For example:
>
>Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
>got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>
>Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
>administrations that we got:
>
>- FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>
>- Openly published question pools
>
>- End of Morse Code sending test
>
>- General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>have same written as Generals
>
>- Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>
>- Technician lost its code test
>
>Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>
>- FCC support for BPL
>
>- ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>
>- NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

>
>Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

1534. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 02:02:17 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com>
Source record: 167551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything over
>>> > 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham radio
>>> > forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>
>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>> technical expertise.
>>
>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>
>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>> equally ill informed?
>>
>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>
>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>
>> Try again.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
>by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
>be-little the original argument.

Pot, meet kettle.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)
```

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1535. Re: N9OGL Wakes Up But Still Delerious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 02:43:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160448203.571326.10590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167552

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> > > On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
> > > "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
> > > > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
> > > >>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
> > > >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
> > > >>>> wrote:
> > > >>>>
> > > >>>> stop you welching sc
> > > >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > > >>>
> > > >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
> > > >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
> > > >> #### you inner mouth.
> > > >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > > > stop the foring and iD theft
> > > > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > >
> > > I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
> > > abuse address, too.
> >
> > Hey Mark, this retard is following you around and harrassing you. You
> > should complain his ISP about him and dont let the ########## get
> > way with it. He also violate his state laws and you state laws and
> > you can file police complaint against the harrassment coward.
>
> Uhhhhhhhh....No, acttually, any complaint Morkie might be able to
> file would be immediately offset by delivery of copies of HIS insults,
> threats, profanities and blatant lying.
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That wasn't me dumbass...ops, you don't know how to read headers do you?

1536. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 03:13:19 UTC
From: bob_deep@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160449999.364794.193450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.
>
> That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
> things. Or is that ruining things?
>

Naw, ARRL isn't trying to do that. I haven't seen anything in their current goals that would lead me to believe that they are trying to send the rest of our spectrum the way of 11 meters. I may be wrong, but I don't think the FCC would do that again (if any of the current commissioners are students of FCC history that is) even if the ARRL suggested it.

The numbers of Hams out there and how it's been dropping though the more recent years is an alarming trend. With the age of the average ham creeping higher, this hobby is set to all but die out in the USA within a generation unless something changes.

Surely you see the problem that the ARRL is trying to address. You may not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that we have a problem. Right?

-= Bob =-

1537. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 03:19:30 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12im4ad35ign6ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What do you mean don't top post???eh??

And why does anyone care anything about some twit named blowcode??

Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm ExtraClass-1995)
www.ad5th.com

"john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com...
> Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!
>
>
>
> On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:
>
>>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
>>
>>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
>>www.ad5th.com
>>
>>
>>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>>> more.
>>>
>>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>
>
>

1538. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 03:33:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2wEWg.9527$o71.3119@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167555

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> N2EFrom: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>>> yet you've never served in the military or in
>>> the US government.
>> How do you know for sure who served and who didn't?
>
> YOU did NOT serve in ANY military. Period. You don't
> have the attitude for anything but being elitist, you-
> are-better-superiority.

You have a problem with anyone who knows more than you about anything,
Leonard.

>
>> If I had a dollar for every time you've mentioned your Army experience
>> on rrap, I'd probably have enough for a brand new Orion II with all the
>> filters.
>
> NOT enough. Not enough to cover the costs of your HBR
> clone pictured on Kees Talen's website.

HBR?

Don't sell yourself short, old timer. You've gotten a great deal of
mileage out of your ADA tales, none of which have anything to do with
amateur radio.

>
>>> Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
>>> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.
>> How does anyone know for sure that it's all accurate, Len? You didn't
>> even get the distance from the USSR to Tokyo correct - maybe you made
>> other mistakes?

>
> It was already reviewed by three who were THERE, plus
> a civilian engineer who worked there for both the USA
> and USAF. Several others who were THERE, including a
> USAF MSgt who worked at Kashiwa after the USAF took it
> over have looked at the final copy FIRST. A draft
> copy went with the CD containing photos about Hardy
> Barracks to a Pacific Stars and Stripes journalist in
> Tokyo. That journalist supplied some extra data which
> was incorporated into the final version.
>
> I was in the Army at the time, NOT the USAF. Didn't
> need to compute any air distances of possible enemy
> aircraft directions.

Then why did you find it necessary to go blabbering on about air
distances for the Bears that didn't exist during your time in the military.

> Are you going to say there was
> "no danger" from the USSR in the early 1950s?!? Go
> tell that to the Far East Command folks...now the
> USARPAC based at Fort Shafter, HI.

I don't recall anyone stating that there was no danger from the Soviet
Union during that time frame. There was no danger from Bear bombers.

>> The Army accepted ENIAC, moved it to the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, and
>> used it until 1955.
>> If it were not a 'practical' device, they would have simply abandoned
>> it or scrapped it.
>
> Tsk, you are an amateur extra pro-coder and KNOW what
> the US Army thinks-knows-does!

It is apparent that he knows more about ENIAC, how it was used, how long
it was used and where it was used than you. That seems to be sufficient.

> Marvelous! All from NEVER serving in any military!
>
> Yawn.

You feign boredom only because someone knew more about a topic than you.

>> It's clear you're very jealous, Len.
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yawn.

Yeah, yawn, Leonard. You've been bested again.

> Right you are, Mr. Computer Guru. Nothing about "Harvard"
> architecture, "pipelining", bilateral digital switching,

> standardized logic levels, RAM, ROM, EPROM, or BINARY
> registers instead of the BCD variant ENIAC used. Modern
> computers "trace their design right back to ENIAC?"
> Noooooooo.

I think you've stepped in the bucket again, Len.

>>> ENIAC is defunct. Liberty is NOT.
>> "Liberty is not a bell".
>
> Whatever you say, Mr. Patriot.
>
> I think of LIBERTY and FREEDOM in the larger sense, but
> if all you can think of is some 'bell' go for it.
>
> Ring your own chimes, Mr. Never Served.

Heck, Len, you were the fellow bringing up the Liberty Bell.

>> How do you know if someone is a "USMC Imposter", Len?
>
> Real veterans KNOW this, Jimmie.

I'm a real veteran, Len. I believe Steve Robeson is a USMC veteran.

>> The question is what will you do without that obsession to fill your
>> time?
>
> What "obsession?" :-)

You know, Len. Your obsession with amateur radio.

>> You have advocated far more than simple elimination of the Morse Code
>> test.
>
> How about that? :-)
>
> Elimination of the morse code test was NEVER "simple." :-)
>
> To do so would mean the End of the World As Morsemen
> Knew It!
>
> Morse code testing is practically a Religious Rite to all
> morsemen, ending it is like defaming God, a Heresy with
> a capital H. :-)

Now you're going all flaky on us.

>
> But, as always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

I'm not familiar with it, Len. What is it?

Dave K8MN

1539. Re: Ping Blow Code the pretend ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 04:39:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <guFWg.9548$o71.121@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167556

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 5:40 am
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> Big Brother of Newington will ruler-spank you.
>>>>> Ho, ho! Beep, beep...
>>>>> "FB, OM."
>>>>> QRT.
>>>>> "Roger who?"
>>> < etc., ... >
>> I don't blame you for leaving out the rest. I'd have been embarrassed
>> to have have written it too.
>
> Something "left out?" Oh, my, we can't have that. Here's
> the exchange again, word for word, right from Google's
> recent RRAP message storage:

> ================ End Repeat of Message Quote =================

Where?

>> I know that you intended your post to be sarcastic and perhaps even
>> humorous. It wasn't. It was sophomoric.
>
> Poor baby. Upset are you? There there, just cry in
> Mother Superior's habit and you'll feel better...

Why not, poor baby. I've pointed out that your post wasn't funny and
wasn't worthy of an adult male.

>
>> It doesn't matter whether you read it in context or out, Len.
>
> Ah, so you LIKE taking things out of context!

Where did I write that, Len?

> And you seem to think that is "acceptible."

No, I thought it was "acceptable".

> No sweat, senior, we can ALL do that to YOUR posts now.

We? You have a Vibroplex in your pocket?

>> Consider my post as humor.
>
> "BWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"
>
> "I am laughing at your superior intellect!" :-)

At least you've acknowledged it. :-) :-)

>> You've exhibited your usual display of humorless unpleasantness.
>
> Is that more of your "humor?"

Don't you recognize your own words, Len? That was simple mockery.

> Well, we will all "consider your posts as humor" in the
> future. No sweat, senior, we will. It is how you want
> it. :-)

Do you speak for a group now?

> Heh heh, Don Rickles will never have to worry about
> any competition from you... :-)

Don Rickles? Is he still working? I haven't seen him on TV for better
than a decade and he was old then.

> Of course, Brian Burke and I were having fun with one
> of James Miccolis' posts, NOT Heil's.

It doesn't matter whose post you thought you were having fun with, your
response just embarrassed you.

> But, you are the self-appointed "protector" of Miccolis
> in here, right? :-)

Am I? When did I make a declaration to that effect? :-) :-)

>> It is sarcastic and it is juvenile. It isn't worthy of an adult in his
>> eighth decade.
>
> Ah, you are the "judge" of that, old-timer? :-)

I'm permitted to make judgements, Len. I judged your post. Your
permission wasn't required. :-)

> Miccolis can't answer for himself? You have to intrude
> and be the pro-bono "defense?" :-)
> [or is it "pro-boner?"]

I don't need authorization to respond to any posts in this newsgroup.
Someone said recently that anyone may respond to a newsgroup post. I
believe that someone was you.

>> I'm not looking for rationalizations.
>
> You MAKE them, though...

No, I didn't MAKE an attempt at rationalizing your post. I dismissed it as juvenile.

>> Your post wasn't some civil
>> dissertation on your reasoned thoughts on removal of morse testing.
>
> The FCC has all those. :-)

I've read your submissions and I beg to disagree.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I've told you why I am here and what do I
> get for "replies?"

You've told us many times why you are here and just as often, you demonstrated that your claim is not factual.

> Stuff like I have "hidden agendas"
> (and other conspiratorial bullshit)...

Yes, your true agenda is thinly hidden. You've said you are here for one thing; your posts reveal that you are here for much more.

> ...or "you've had an
> interest in ham radio for decades and never got a license"

That's a factual statement, based both upon information from you and the fact that you've not obtained any amateur radio license. You've posted right here for better than a decade. You still have no amateur radio license of any class.

> or "you could have gotten a no-code tech license" or "you
> could have gotten a license on waivers"...

Those are also factual statements. You could have done either. You have not done so.

> ...along with
> assorted insinuations of stupidity, ignorance, lack of
> "proper attitude" and other nastygrams. :-)

You send nasty-grams. It comes as no surprise to me that you receive them in response. You are truly an unpleasant man, Len.

> The above have greeted every "reasoned thought" I've
> presented in here on ELIMINATION of morse code testing
> for an amateur radio license...

You aren't owed a silent audience, an adoring audience or even an accepting audience. You have no guaranteed freedom from boos and catcalls. You'll have to accept the right of others to a voice.

> ...and many more quaint
> adolescent insults directed to my person.

You've usually received as you've transmitted. If you weren't such a pontificating windbag, your reception might have been more restrained.

> You were one
> of those tossing shit. <shrug>

I've greeted some of your ideas with derision. Some have been met with jeers. I've heaved some tomatoes your way too. I have freedom of speech too, Leonard. Get used to that fact. No one owes you respect, agreement or silence. You've maligned the ARRL, individual amateurs, amateur radio as a whole, the Morse test, Morse code use and the work and achievements of those who have disagreed with you in any manner. Now, what do you think I owe you?

> You are an amateur
> extra and consider yourself above the rest, are
> "superior."

In amateur radio, I'm vastly superior to you in knowledge, experience and skill. Then again, so is the fellow who got his license last week. You have a problem with anyone being superior to you. You should get used to the fact that in any endeavor, there will be those who are your superiors.

> No problem.

Your response says, "problem".

> Usual from the uber-morse
> crowd.

Would you mind sharing with us what the term "uber-morse" might mean?

> No one here is bound to accept your thoughts, find
> amusement in them, or refrain from jeering or throwing
> tomatoes.

One difference between us is that I was already aware of that. With you, it just might be starting to set in.

> Poor baby, your good buddie Jimmie got some over-ripe
> tomatoes in his direction?

Well, poor baby, when did "buddy" start being spelled with an "ie". Is it "Jimmie" or "Jimmy"? Tsk, tsk.

I think Jim handles you pretty well. He throws facts in your direction. You stumble over a number of those.

> It's the Nature of the
> Newsgroup Beast. Try to get used to it. [you never
> have gotten used to it, though, and that makes you
> angry allatime]

You are the fellow who seems to think that the pearls he sees himself as bestowing upon this newsgroup are not met with the respect and deference he feels that they should receive.

You do washee?

>
>>> Heil did the same OUT OF CONTEXT "quoting" of Brian
>>> Burke, adding in his pet phrase (which Heil says is
>>> "not" a personal insult) of "red-hatted monkey."
>> Did I say it wasn't a personal insult?
>
> You used it as a personal insult.

Yes, I did. I also used it as a symbol of his behavior.

>> It is certainly an accurate description.
>
> See, there you go again with the personal insult.

Yes, I did, didn't I?

> You can't help yourself. Poor baby.

I helped myself to the line Hans came up with. It fit the occasion.

>
>> I have several for you.
>
> And you DO use them...and then 'deny' them. Tsk, tsk.

I've not denied them, poor baby. Tsk, tsk.

>
>> Go into your "Herr Robust" or "Waffen SS"
>> routine again and you'll likely see a few of 'em.
>
> "Routine?" Did you think they referred to yourself? :-)

Yes, Len, it is a routine. Yes, I'm aware that you direct them toward
me. :-) :-)

>>>> The Old Organ Grinder, the man who is only here for CIVIL debate is
>>>> heard from.

>>> Tsk, tsk. I *am* a civilian. :-)

>> ...but you aren't civil.
>
> I have never been a civil servant. :-)

It wasn't funny the first time you used it. Reruns are particularly bad
in humor.

> You have been employed by the State Department...yet
> you've not displayed any diplomacy in here...

I'll try to remember that if you ever become a foreign state or a
representative thereof.

> neither
> "carrot" nor "stick"...but you DO tell your 'opponents'

> to "stick it." :-)

You left out "carrot and stick". Keep boning up on how diplomacy
functions. We tried the carrot with the North Koreans. Then we tried
the carrot and stick. Shortly, we and the world community will be going
with the stick.

>
>>> I have ground pepper but never an organ.

>> You grind your organ frequently right here in r.r.a.p.
>
> Sorry, I don't "grind my organ" while typing. :-)

Maybe you've found a different meaning for the term. How do you mean
it, Len?

> [I'm laughing too hard at pro-coders usually...]

...or otherwise you'd be grinding your organ while typing? I see.

> I don't grind my teeth, either. [yes, they are all
> rather firmly attached 24/7]

That's nice, Len. I'm happy for you.

>
>> I wrote nothing of Fargo nor chippers. :-) :-)
>
> No doubt you claim you never saw the movie "Fargo"
> either.

No, Len. I don't claim that. I've seen it a number of times.

> Nice end of the movie scene where a murderer
> is getting rid of a body by running it through a
> chipper.

Uh-huh. A murderer was chopping up a murderer.

> Seems like the kind of thing you would
> enjoy...grinding your 'opponents' down that way. :-)

I've never murdered anyone, Len. Your fantasies seem to have taken a
peculiar twist.

>
>> You were here when I showed up and were already not being a polite
>> "goody two-shoes" respondent.
>
> Awwww..."being civil" meaning I should AGREE with
> KH2D in here at the time? :-)

If you'd been polite or at least civil, I think it more likely that
you'd have been treated similarly. As it was, you did your usual

pontificating windbag and you got as you gave.

> Kehler was one of the most sarcastic, sulpherous,
> one-sided pro-coders experienced anywhere.

"Sulfurous", Len. Isn't that interesting? I think you are one of the most sarcastic, insulting, sulfurous, one-sided no-coders experienced anywhere. Go figure!

> He left
> here, left Guam, moved to the states.

Superfluous minutiae.

>> You'll likely be asked again in light of your deliberate falsehood
>> concerning what it was like to undergo an artillery barrage.
>
> You think you "know" all that I've done?

No, Len, I don't think I know all that you've done, but I know at least two things you haven't done: One involves an artillery barrage and one involves an amateur radio license.

> Of course
> you think so.

No, I really don't think that, Leonard.

> You are a pro-code amateur extra and
> "know" everything.

No, Len, I know a great many things, but I don't know everything. I learn something new almost daily.

> Understood.

No, Len, you really don't understand.

> Morsemanship makes
> you superhuman.

I use a number of modes in my amateur radio operation. I don't confine myself to a single one. I'm completely human. I'm just not the kind of human who declares that he is interested in something and then lets it lie for decades. I'm not the sort of fellow who boasts that he is going for an "Extra right out of the box" and fails to follow through.

>> See IEEE Code of Ethics
>
> If you have ANY evidence of PROFESSIONAL impropriety, you
> just go ahead and report me to the IEEE.

It is funny that the Code of Ethics doesn't seem to restrict itself to PROFESSIONAL matters. There's nothing that says that.

> I gave you
> their mailing address and URL here in public.

I already had the url, Len. The mailing address appears on the web site.

> You fail to understand that the IEEE is a Professional
> Association.

Don't you mean PROFESSIONAL association? I don't fail to understand
that. Don't worry about it. Continue to post as you do and keep using
that "@ieee.org" e-mail address. It does you and the IEEE proud.

> It isn't a scouting organization nor is it
> religious organization such as the Church of St. Hiram.

I don't think anyone believes it to be a scouting organization, Len.
Were you ever a Boy Scout?

I don't know of any religious organization called the "Church of St. Hiram".

> The IEEE Code of Ethics is for a WORK ethic, not the
> entirety of life as an individual.

So, when you retire, you are no longer required to act ethically?

> But, you WANT to use every little scrap you can get hold
> of in order to besmirch some imagined 'enemy' don't you?

Oh, you were besmirched a long, long time ago, Len. I'm certainly not
imagining you and I don't see you as an enemy. I think of you as more a
pain in the ass.

> Of course you do. You seem to revel in it.

I'd be less than honest if I didn't get a little enjoyment out of
sticking it to you, Leonard. You're kind of the perfect target. You're
boastful, you're windy, you pontificate, you're a self-appointed
advocate for something you aren't involved in.

> Okay, you have the freedom to write the IEEE and tell them
> I have been behaving nasty to you (a 'superior' being) in
> a USENET newsgroup and should have my membership cancelled
> because of that.

You've been behaving in an unpleasant and discourteous manner to
numerous posters to this newsgroup for better than a decade.
You probably should have your membership "canceled" because of that.
I leave it to other IEEE members to decide whether it takes place.

> DEMAND apologies. Demand strict obediance
> to your wishes. Go for it.

"Obedience", Len. Did you realize that you're starting to come off like
N9OGL?

> As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.

Just what does that mean, Len?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

1540. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 05:16:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O0GWg.5228$Lv3.2472@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167557

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 4:28 am
>
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> Tsk, tsk, you've TOLD ME what I should have done in the
>>> military...
>> What did Jim TELL YOU that you should have been doing, Len?
>
> It's in the archives where Jimmie likes to live. :-)

That you chose not to provide that information is noted. :-)

>
>>> You can see and read what I did for three years there via:
>>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>> 6 MB in size, takes about 19 minutes download on a dial-up
>>> connection. Twenty pages with many photo illustrations.
>>> High-power HF transmitters. 1953 to 1956.

>> Reruns of "Look what I did".
>
> Not "I," old soldier-statesman, what *we* in the
> battalion did. 8235th Army Unit.

The rest of 'em aren't posting here, Len. There's just you.

> It's for historical interest purposes.

Any historical interest in it here seems to have dried up with the
repeated tellings.

> The only other one
> (a much larger one) is at www.usarmygermany.com that was
> put together by Walter Elkins about the Signal effort in
> Europe.

I'm a little more interested in the ON4UN 160m signal efforts in Europe.

> If you sneer too much at the My3Years.pdf, then feel free
> to substitute AlphabetSoup.pdf, a copy of my battalion's
> own production of its mission tasks circa 1962. That
> courtesy of Mr. James Brendage, a retired civilian
> engineer who worked at ADA when I was serving there.

I have no real interest in looking at them, Len. Thanks just the same.

> If you don't like either of those, then substitute either
> one of the two remaining, one on microwave radio relay,
> the other on the SCR-300, both from a technical standpoint.
> The SCR-300 was the first walkie-talkie, a backpack VHF
> transceiver, introduced during WW2, designed and built by
> Galvin Mfg (later to be renamed Motorola).

No thanks, Len.

> It's all about RADIO and COMMUNICATIONS.

That's nice. This is all about amateur radio communications.

>
>> Your ADA sojourn began about fifty-three years back, didn't it, Len?
>> Why do you live in the past so much?
>
> 1. I live for the now and the future, not the past.

Your frequent references to what you did at ADA say otherwise.

> 2. There is no copyright restriction on government works,
> therefore no need to get written permission.

So you regale this newsgroup with the same tired tale because there's no
copyright?

> 3. There is no security classification on the material
> I've presented...neither from the DoD nor private
> company non-disclosure agreements.

That's too bad.

>
>
>>> Greenlee is still a corporation in Rockford, IL, but they
>>> seem to have stopped making "chassis punches" for radio
>>> hobbyists.
>> There's another of your factual errors.
>
> My bad. :-) Does Greenlee take out ads in QST, QEX?

Get your own copies. Your error noted.

> How about Popular Communications? Any ads in there?

You can pick it up at newsstands or subscribe.

>> Greenlee still sells chassis
>> punches--round ones, square ones, those shaped for D-connectors, power
>> sockets. There's even a hydraulic punch set. The U.S. Government buys
>> loads of them. The company's "hole making" product information can be
>> downloaded--all 7.9 mb of it.
>>
>> http://www.greenlee.com/product/index.html
>
> Are you on commission from Greenlee? :-)

I used to be. :-) That's one of the lines I've sold in the past.

> No sweat, old soldier-statesman, I've been IN Greenlee on
> a visit, have seen the little corner of one building where
> two guys were making punches and files.

Superfluous minutiae.

> Send your download to Lowes or Home Depot corporate head-
> quarters, see if they are interested.

I no longer sell industrial electronic parts.

> I still have old Greenlee chassis punches from before the
> 60s, still wrapped in oily paper, get checked now and then
> for rust. They were all used decades ago...only two have
> been reground on the edges (did that myself, no problem).

I have two different sets, with some overlap in sizes. The difference
between yours and mine are that mine are used pretty frequently.

> Not much use for those punches now in the solid-state era.

That is simply another of your factual errors. Anyone who uses DB
connectors, power connectors, holes for rocker switches or meters, can
use a set of the punches.

> Especially when there are so many KITS available for those
> who claim to design their own. :-)

And here we have another of your factual errors. You really do make
quite many.

>
>
>>> Jimmie ever do any "programming in machine language?" At any
>>> time? I have. Want me to list them? :-)

>> That's not necessary, Len. Why not tell us any of the things you've
>> done in amateur radio?
>
> You mean the software mods I made for two other hams
> don't apply? [Microchip Corp. PIC microcontrollers]

No, I don't mean those.

> How about a series of bandpass filters for the HF bands
> where I did the toroid windings, capacitor selection,
> assembly, shielding, and alignment? Using my own
> computer program "LCie4"?

No, I don't mean that.

> Oh, be still my heart, the great soldier-statesman has
> put me down! :-)

It isn't the first time.

>> Only a fraction of the American people are watching HDTV. Most aren't
>> even aware of what will hit them in a couple of years. People are still
>> running out to K-Mart and Wally World and buying new *analog* TV sets.
>
> Thank you for the attempt at being an electronics
> industry "insider." It is nice to know that someone
> cares.

I think you'll find that I'm pretty well up to date on consumer,
industrial and computer electronics items as well as the amateur radio
market. Is there anything specific, other than the Greenlee product
line, that you wish to know more about?

>> There'll be a big learning curve for the non-city dwelling owners of new
>> HDTV receivers. They'll find that they have to use antennas with fairly
>> high gain, preamps and rotators. They'll be using those rotators quite
>> often. I ended up buying a Channel Master rotator with remote control
>> and memory.
>
> That's nice. Are you going for some kind of amateur HDTV
> award or contest?

I'm pretty sure that there are no consumer-PROFESSIONALS in HDTV.
No, Len, I'm pointing to the fact that quite a number of others are
going to find themselves in the same boat. I have two "locals" but one
of them is forty-five miles away near Steubenville, Ohio. The other HD
stations are in Athens, Ohio; Pittsburgh and Johnstown, Pennsylvania--a
goodly distance away. The only way to see them is to turn the antenna.

>
>
>
>>>> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>>>> untruths for a long time.
>>> Tsk. Typical bluffmanship on Jimmie's part.
>> It was an accurate statement, Leonard. You don't know much about Jim.
>> You have resorted to wild speculation and untruths.
>
> How can something be "untrue" if there is NO basis to
> judge?

A number of your statements begin, "You have never...", when you do not, in fact, know if Jim has ever done something.

> Id est, as in his never saying...but you MUST
> call a speculation a LIE?

"You have never..." does not indicate speculation.

> Sounds like the old Waffen SS trick again.

If the shoe fits...

>>> ARRL carefully OMITS certain items of history and IMPLIES
>>> amateurs are 'responsible' for all advances. :-)
>> You've made another untruthful statement.
>
> My apology for offending your religious beliefs. However,
> the TRUTH is not heresy.

...and your inaccuracies do not represent the truth.

>
>
>>> Jimmie wanna see my home workshop? Have it digitized,
>>> was sent to three others. Wanna see the HP 608D and
>>> the 606 signal generators, the 60 MHz dual-channel
>>> scopes (note plural), the 1 KW Variac below the bench?
>> You're kind of light in the Variac department, Len. Don't you have
>> anything which will handle real power?
>
> Yes...it's labeled "4 Stacks" on aeronautical sectional
> charts.
>
> BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> [pilot joke, old soldier-statesman]

Then you'll want to remember it for the "I wanted to be a pilot, but never did that either" newsgroup.

>> You're a pathetic and childish geezer, Len.
>
> Awwww...you are TOO sweet... :-)

Only you could take it as a compliment. :-)

>> You really need a way to fill your idle hours.
>
> "Idle?"
>
> BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yes, Len, your idle hours. You shouldn't be bothering military recruiters and haunting newsgroups which deal with things in which you aren't a participant.

>> Paul didn't say anything about a background check, Len. He addressed
>> the IEEE Code of Ethics.
>
> YOU addressed the IEEE Code of Ethics, failing to write
> all of it.

Yes, I addressed it. Paul addressed it.

I included those portions which you regularly violate here.

Paul picked up on that and wanted to get in
> some kind of "fight" about it.

You see it as a fight. I see it as his concern.

> YOU have the mailing address of the IEEE. Feel free to
> write them and complain about my behavior in the news-
> group and how that "violates" the Professional Code of
> Ethics about engineering WORK.

If you feel that it is something you only need to observe while working,
fine.

> Be sure and document
> everything from BOTH sides, such as your own name-
> calling ("You're a pathetic and childish geezer").

Both sides? I'm not an IEEE member, Len. My statement toward you was a
direct comment on your behavior in this newsgroup. I believe it to be
accurate.

> Tell the IEEE that your "soldier-statesman" image has
> been "tarnished" by "insults" in here. Go ahead, make
> your day.

I've never called myself a "soldier-statesman", Len. That's just
something else you've done.

>> Are you discussing your tiny, dusty Johnson?
>
> No, but you seem to have overmuch interest in it.

"Overmuch" Is that some sort of PROFESSIONAL writer term?
I don't find your little Johnson interesting at all, Leonard.
I have a big Johnson.

> Did you munch a lot of nuts while in Guinea-Bisseau?

Why sure, Len. We roasted them in oil and salted them. Don't you roast
your nuts?

> [cashews are their biggest export...]

Superfluous minutia.

> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked...

Maybe you'll get around to spelling it out someday.

Dave K8MN

1541. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 05:24:27 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160457866.917597.260360@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167558
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Charlie wrote:
> What do you mean don't top post???eh??
>
> And why does anyone care anything about some twit named blowcode??
>
> Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm ExtraClass-1995)
> www.ad5th.com
>
> "john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:tfvli2573lntom7vep0c11db0m2j0kvffe@4ax.com...
> > Dont top post. Blow Code hates that!
> >
> >
> >
> > On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 20:30:17 -0500, "Charlie" <charlie@ease.biz> wrote:
> >
> >>No kidding "Chicken Little?"
> >>
> >>Charlie-AD5TH (20wpm Extra Class-1995)
> >>www.ad5th.com
> >>
> >>
> >>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >>> more.
> >>>
> >>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >>> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >>>
> >>> SC
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >

Charlie, R U into mutual jacking on ATV??

1542. Re: Could K4YZ & AB8MQ have won?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 05:28:11 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160458091.752882.317820@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.west-virginia

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> What did you guys do to my little man's isp?

marqueer will get a netscape account

1543. Re: SERIOUS Robesin Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 05:37:52 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160458672.573081.17620@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,alt.politics

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> >
> > Nothing "forged" about it.
>
> Sure there is. And you lied again.
>
> Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>
> What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
>
> Steven J. Robesin
>
> > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
>
> Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
>
> > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > > >
> > > > Brain probably is not
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Robesin probably is.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Probably is.
>
> > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> > >
> > > And a male nurse?
> > >
> > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> >
> > Nope.
>

> Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
>
> > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
>
> Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> wife swapper, too.
>
> > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> > name to your posts, Brain.
> >
> > Coward?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Should I be afraid of you?

hot-jizz-and-dick-cheese wants some of marqueer's love hole

1544. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 06:07:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NMGWg.7399$Y24.6195@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167561

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 11:52 pm
>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>>> It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
>>> statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
>>> doesn't work.
>
> It works! :-) Jimmie just hasn't done anything outside.

There you go again, Mr. Anderson. You've told another untruth.

> He has never been IN the military.
>
> He has never been IN government.
>
> He has never stated what he does for a living.

"Id est, as in his never saying...but you MUST
call a speculation a LIE?"

--Len Anderson

Your statements don't indicate speculation. You have no information of
the first two. I know for a fact that the third in false because he has
stated to me what he does for a living. I'm aware of at least one other
who knows what he does for a living. I guess you've been left out of
the loop.

>> It hasn't stopped him from trying. He has never become a radio amateur
>> despite his several decades of self-declared "interest" in amateur radio.
>
> How about that? I became a professional BEFORE anything
> else! :-)

I've never found it necessary to so limit myself. I was capable of
being a professional in electronics and a radio amateur as well. I've
have dozens of friends who've managed to do the same.

>> If he tries a "you have never" and someone refutes it with details, Len
>> simply clams up.
>
> Ah! "Justification" for that Imposter Robeson...a licensed
> amateur extra and a pro-coder!

Did you ever find that web page, Len?

> My, my, these pro-coders sure do hang together.
>
> Cosier that way. They would otherwise hang separately. :-)

Same tired line, presented on at least six or seven separate occasions.

>> If they voluntarily post material describing something
>> they've done, Len uses that as an opportunity for insulting the poster.
>
> I will insult any poster of Che Guevara I see. :-)
>
> Most political posters glued to vertical spaces are
> themselves insulting...

You are juvenile.

>
>> ...and like ENIAC, Fessendon's feat was an advancement over what had
>> previously been possible.
>
> "...had previously been possible." :-)

It makes sense to me. What fault did you find with the statement?

>
>> I'm glad we don't need that sort of thing today. I don't have room for
>> an ENIAC.
>
> Sure you do in that rambling country antenna farm.

You think someone would place a room-sized computer in the middle of a
field?

> But, there's only ONE ENIAC and it is now a museum
> piece. Defunct. Good only for show-and-tell.

That pretty wells sums up your current situation, doesn't it?

>
>> I wonder if Len ever saw or touched ENIAC.
>
> Why is that "necessary?" :-)

Who said it was necessary, Len?

>
>> ...and a high quality, tube-type BC set from the 1950's sounds every bit
>> as good as its modern, LSI counterpart.

>
> Enjoy your vacuum tube set...until one of the tubes burns
> out. :-)

Yeah, I guess I'd have to walk out to the barn and get another one.

I have hundreds and hundreds of vacuum tubes, Len and if I didn't, there
are still quite a number of places selling them.

>
>>> He knows very little about me and has resorted to wild speculation and
>>> untruths for a long time.

>> I'm sure you have an idea of his reasons for digging for information.
>
> You WILL reveal to the forum your "reasons," won't you?

Do I need to do so? It is pretty obvious from your decade of posts to
the newsgroup.

> Of course you will, you both are pro-code amateur extras,
> the 'superior' ones who know everything. :-)

I don't know everything, Len. I'm superior to you in a number of ways.

> You MUST "profile" all those who don't agree with you.

No person who favors the retention of Morse testing has profiled anyone
but you.

>
>>> White's is very good - for what it covers. It essentially stops long
>>> before WW2. Its treatment is heavy on broadcasting, light on amateurs
>>> and nonbroadcasting commercial operation. IMHO.

>> But Len refers to it as if it is the Bible.
>
> Not at all. Thomas H. White's radio history in the USA is
> large, illustrated, and readily accessible on the web. It
> was mentioned only because of its accessibility.
>
> McGraw-Hill's ELECTRONICS magazine of April 17, 1980, had a
> special commemorative Issue on their 50th anniversary.
> Volume 53, Number 9, 650 pages, excellent overview with
> many details, photographs from before Marconi's time to
> 1980. They didn't emphasize amateur radio because amateur
> radio was really a small player in that bigger game of
> electronics technology. Unless one was a subscriber to
> Electronics magazine or has access to a technical library,
> it isn't that easy to use as a reference.

Something contained in a single magazine cannot begin to cover much of
the history of radio.

> Hugh G. J. Aitken's "The Continuous Wave: Technology and
> American Radio," 1900-1932, Princeton University Press,
> 1985, 588 pages, soft cover, is a scholarly work, quite
> complete and sponsored by the National Science Foundation.
> Again, there isn't the highlighting of amateur radio a la
> ARRL but that is for the real reason that amateur radio
> wasn't considered a 'big player' in the technological
> development of radio.

Again with the "real reason"! Where in the book is that statement made,
Leonard?

> Aitken's earlier work, "Syntony and Spark: The Origins of
> Radio" was done in 1976, reprinted in 1985 by Princeton
> University Press. I don't have that handy at the moment
> so I can't describe its size but it is another soft-
> cover. Neither is readily available except from a
> technical library.
>
> What some amateurs call "The Collins Sideband Book," or
> "Single Sideband Principles and Circuits," Pappenfus,
> Bruene, and Schoenike, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1964,
> 382 pages, has a good bit of HF communications history
> in Chapter 1 up to copyright date of the book, more in
> following chapters on various early SSB systems.

I have it and it isn't much of a history at all.

>> He usually follows one of
>> those references with some sniping at the American Radio Relay League.
>
> There is no denying that the publications output of the
> ARRL is very large. They must do that in order to get the
> income necessary to perform all their "free" services to
> members.

What's it to you?

> The ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur-
> interest publications in the USA...no denying that, either.

That is simply a false statement, Len. It is easily denied if one knows
anything about publications available to the radio amateur.

> But, the ARRL is also a political organization,
> maintaining both a legal firm and a lobbying organization
> in DC on retainer. As a political entity, they come
> under the good old American tradition of being a target
> for anyone who cares to comment.

...and in the good old American tradition of having it not matter
whether the comments are untruths.

> The League is NOT
> without fault...except in the minds of its faithful
> followers, the disciples of the Church of St. Hiram.

I've had differences of opinion with League policy and League officers
and staffers. What is any of that to you. You aren't a radio amateur
and you aren't an ARRL member.

> Having a virtual monopoly on radio-amateur-interest
> publications also gives them a psychological power to
> mold readers' opinions to those of the League hierarchy.

Good boy, Len. If you start with a false premise, you can always make
your claim turn out the way you want it to.

> To deny that is to deny the power of marketing
> techniques, of psychological propaganda activities that
> go on daily in nearly all human activities.

To deny your statement is to point out that your mind is made up about
the ways things are and that you aren't going to let fact stand in your way.

Nobody markets more than TV and radio. I can't tell you the last time I
drank a soft drink, ate at Applebee's or shopped at Target because of a
radio or TV ad. I've never bought a car based upon a magazine ad nor
bought a suit because of a newspaper ad. Those virtual monopolies
aren't getting their money's worth out of me.

>>> Do you need to review the profile?

>> Len needs to review the profile.
>
> No. "Profiles" work both ways.

...only if they are factual. Those you wrote were cobbled together and
fashioned after Jim's style. They didn't stick.

> Heil and Miccolis have
> both been "profiled" in here, not just by me but by
> many others.

Many others? Where are they?

> It is the Nature of the (newsgroup) Beast.

...and you *are* the newsgroup beast.

>
>
>> Len seldom lets the truth get in the way of one of his monologues.
>
> Tsk, Heil speaks an untruth.

That's simply incorrect, Len.

> OPINIONS are not "facts," just opinions.

I didn't write "opinions". I wrote "truth". You seldom let truth get
in the way of one of your monologues.

> Miccolis tries to manuever all opinion statements as "facts"
> written by those he has problems with...thus garnering the
> "accusations" of "untruth" or "error" when some just plain
> don't like him.

"Maneuver", Len. Your statement doesn't make sense. Don't you like
Jim? Are the non-factual statements you issue done to show Jim that you
don't like him?

> That he often comes across as an arch-
> typical "mother superior" (complete with spanking ruler) is
> lost on him.

If you could see yourself as others see you, Len...

> Prissy, as if sucking on sourballs when
> writing up "error" "error" on those disagreeing with him.

I'm sure it seems that way to a guy who makes a great many factual errors.

> Heil comes across as a stereotypical WW2 propaganda movie
> Waffen SS officer, ordering others around, telling them
> what they "should" do (his way, naturally).

You have a rich fantasy life.

What, pray tell, is your view of an individual who is not involved in
any way in amateur radio, telling radio amateurs that regulations should
be changed (ordering others around, telling them what they "should" do
(his way naturally)?

> One can
> almost see the sneer on his face, the monocle ready to
> drop as his face gets more livid with order-barking,
> the heels clicking.

Godwin will getcha if you don't watch out! What orders have been given,
Len?

>> I've noticed the talk of his workshop, but nothing about what comes out
>> of it.
>
> Why should it? It is for MY enjoyment for myself, not
> some "hey-look-at-me-and-what-marvelous-things-I've-done"
> self promotion on some website. :-)

That hasn't stopped your frequent self-promotion in this newsgroup.

> I've had it for four decades. Those I know have been
> in it and we've talked mutual interest stuff about any
> project then on-going. Material like that has been
> exchanged privately. No need to make it public.

Do you recall the things you've said about Jim's work? I'm not going to do as you do and turn those words back toward you. You might want to think about what you typically do.

>> For sure. SS is coming up fairly soon.
>
> "Waffen?" Jahwhol! [click, click] :-)

You're a juvenile geezer, Len.

Dave K8MN

1545. Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 10:40:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160476854.105696.45920@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167562

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am
> >
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Poor baby. Upset are you? There there, just cry in
> > Mother Superior's habit and you'll feel better...

Len never attended a Roman Catholic parochial school. Was never taught
by nuns or smacked around by them.

> Why not, poor baby. I've pointed out that your post wasn't funny and
> wasn't worthy of an adult male.

Wasn't worthy of a grade schooler.

First Rule of Comedy: The audience determines what's funny. If the
audience doesn't think it's funny, it's not funny.

> > No sweat, senior, we can ALL do that to YOUR posts now.
>
> We? You have a Vibroplex in your pocket?

Len thinks he is either the Pope or royalty. He's all about "rank,
status, and privilege".

> >> It is sarcastic and it is juvenile. It isn't worthy of an adult in his
> >> eighth decade.
> >
> > Ah, you are the "judge" of that, old-timer? :-)
>
> I'm permitted to make judgements, Len. I judged your post. Your
> permission wasn't required. :-)

First Rule of Comedy invoked.
>

> > Morsemanship makes
> > you superhuman.

Well, since Len won't describe what "morsemanship" is, here's a working
definition:

"Morsemanship" is a collection of skills and knowledge, typically
associated with Amateur Radio:

1) Morsemanship includes a working knowledge of the rules and regulations of the Amateur Radio Service, good Amateur operating practices, and adherence to them.

2) Morsemanship includes a working knowledge of the technologies used in the Amateur Radio Service, both old and new.

3) Morsemanship includes the skill to speak clearly, concisely and distinctly, at a steady rate, level and tone, appropriate for radio transmission.

4) Morsemanship includes the skill to listen carefully and understand a transmission in voice or Morse Code under both good and poor conditions.

5) Morsemanship includes the skill to judge radio conditions on a given frequency over a given path, how they are changing, and how to adjust transmissions for best results.

6) Morsemanship includes the skill to recognize the mode of a received signal by ear or other means.

7) Morsemanship includes the skill to properly tune in a signal for best reception.

8) Morsemanship includes the skill to tune up and operate transmitter/antenna systems so as to maximize effectiveness and minimize interference.

9) Morsemanship includes the skill to correctly judge the skills of other operators and adjust transmissions for best results.

10) Morsemanship includes the skill to communicate effectively in less than optimum settings (heat, cold, lack of sleep, etc.) with less than perfect equipment, and under less than optimum radio conditions.

11) Morsemanship includes the skill to use the standard phonetic alphabet, appropriate abbreviations, and prosigns smoothly and effectively, and to judge when their use is needed.

12) Morsemanship includes the skill to write down received transmissions legibly and neatly so that others can easily read them.

13) Morsemanship includes the skill to write clear, coherent formal messages in standard form.

14) Morsemanship includes the skill to type, error free, at a rate that makes best use of the
transmission mode.

15) Morsemanship includes the skill to deal with hostile and/or illegally operated stations.

16) Morsemanship includes the skill to do several things at once while on the air.

17) Morsemanship includes the skill to have situational awareness in
all operating situations.

18) Morsemanship includes knowledge and skill in the use of Morse Code.

19) Morsemanship includes the knowledge and skill to help other
amateurs and prospective amateurs develop their technical and operating
skills and knowledge.

20) Morsemanship includes the skill to project a welcoming, friendly
and helpful attitude on the air.

Note that "macho morseman" is redundant, like "PIN number", "ATM
machine" or "pizza pie".

>
> I use a number of modes in my amateur radio operation. I don't confine
> myself to a single one. I'm completely human. I'm just not the kind of
> human who declares that he is interested in something and then lets it
> lie for decades. I'm not the sort of fellow who boasts that he is going
> for an "Extra right out of the box" and fails to follow through.
>
> >> See IEEE Code of Ethics
> >
> > If you have ANY evidence of PROFESSIONAL impropriety, you
> > just go ahead and report me to the IEEE.
>
> It is funny that the Code of Ethics doesn't seem to restrict itself to
> PROFESSIONAL matters. There's nothing that says that.

Len is the kind of person that thinks it's OK to behave one way
"PROFESSIONALLY" and another way outside his PROFESSION. I think it's
called "compartmentalization". Like the person who can sit in church
and act all pious on Sunday, but manages to violate all 10 Commandments
the rest of the week.

You know the type.

> > I gave you
> > their mailing address and URL here in public.
>
> I already had the url, Len. The mailing address appears on the web site.
>
> > You fail to understand that the IEEE is a Professional
> > Association.
>
> Don't you mean PROFESSIONAL association? I don't fail to understand
> that. Don't worry about it. Continue to post as you do and keep using
> that "@ieee.org" e-mail address. It does you and the IEEE proud.
>
> > It isn't a scouting organization nor is it
> > religious organization such as the Church of St. Hiram.

> I don't think anyone believes it to be a scouting organization, Len.
> Were you ever a Boy Scout?
>
> I don't know of any religious organization called the "Church of St. Hiram".
>
> > The IEEE Code of Ethics is for a WORK ethic, not the
> > entirety of life as an individual.
>
> So, when you retire, you are no longer required to act ethically?

You misunderstand, Dave. Len means he isn't required to act ethically
when he's not working. Compartmentalization.

> > But, you WANT to use every little scrap you can get hold
> > of in order to besmirch some imagined 'enemy' don't you?
>
> Oh, you were besmirched a long, long time ago, Len.

Len besmirches himself.

His mistakes and errors would almost qualify him to be the Cliff Clavin
of rrap. Except that Cliff was funny. Len isn't.

1546. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 11:50:43 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 167563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> What do you like best about appliance operating?

All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.

1547. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 12:00:50 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <pdqdnf4vZKnuFrbYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 167564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.
>
> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.

The pro-code arguments seem to be getting sillier and sillier.

I am a know-coder. I enjoy it. CW is my primary mode. I encourage others to
join in. I do not believe for one minute that CW is going to save the
world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent

>
> SC

1548. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 12:37:52 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8l4ni21sgs8gb8pj9m3m741u2asa2kcfqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:toCWg.12118$UG4.1068@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
>The pro-code arguments seem to be getting sillier and sillier.
>
>I am a know-coder. I enjoy it. CW is my primary mode. I encourage others to
>join in. I do not believe for one minute that CW is going to save the
>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent
>
>
>>
>> SC
>
Jerry, I am a 20 wpm extra and I used to agree with some of the things
Slow Code was saying BUT, after all the BS he has created on the
newsgroups I am starting to change my opinion. His constant bullcrap
on here makes me sick. Its ok to state your opinion and move on but he
has a real problem. I mean posting every day in rec.radio.swap for
Christ sake. For someone so worried about people doing the right thing
he is the worst example.

1549. Why Is Brian P Burke Afraid Of Signing His Name To His Words?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:00:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160485210.296646.44570@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167566

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> >
> > Nothing "forged" about it.
>
> Sure there is. And you lied again.

Nope.

> Hey, I've got a joke for you...

You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
from hurting your feelings.....

> What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
>
> Steven J. Robesin

Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!

We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.

WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
when YOU are the one with failure issues?

> > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
>
> Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?

Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.

Just a lame dodge.

> > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > > >
> > > > Brain probably is not
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Robesin probably is.
> >

> > Nope.
>
> Probably is.

Nope.

> > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> > >
> > > And a male nurse?
> > >
> > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.

An "announcement"...?!?!

I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
what "announcement" you'd make reference to.

> > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
>
> Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> wife swapper, too.

I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
just CAN'T imagine.......

> > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> > name to your posts, Brain.
> >
> > Coward?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Should I be afraid of you?

The question is WHY are you afraid...???

Steve, K4YZ

1550. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:06:56 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.6062$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:37:52 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>
> Jerry, I am a 20 wpm extra and I used to agree with some of the things
> Slow Code was saying BUT, after all the BS he has created on the
> newsgroups I am starting to change my opinion. His constant bullcrap
> on here makes me sick. Its ok to state your opinion and move on but he
> has a real problem. I mean posting every day in rec.radio.swap for
> Christ sake. For someone so worried about people doing the right thing
> he is the worst example.

Well, at least I educated you about top posting, and you are almost doing things the correct way now. I suppose that I should begin teaching you and others about TRIMMING your followups in order to make your posts easier to read. It's all there in the news.answers FAQs too, but lots of people haven't read the material.

The full content of all previous posts doesn't need to be quoted, because people have threaded newsreaders and can go back to read earlier posts without your help. Also, you'll save on that precious downloading time of which some of you spoke. People like Len Anderson and Dave Heil really need to learn how to TRIM their followups if they want anyone to read them.

I'll be checking back from time to time to see how you are doing. It looks to me like you're progressing well. There's definitely hope for you, so keep your chin up.

SC

1551. Mork: Don't Say I Never Did Anything For You...Here's A Cut-And-Paste "Fix" For Your QRZ Faux Pas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:15:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160486137.441038.60080@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167568

QUOTE:

KB9RQZ Lookups: 1112
MARK C MORGAN
Pob 212, PO BOX PoB212
Chassell MI 49916
USA
Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...

Mailing Label Postcard

Email: Add email address
http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

UNQUOTE

Here...Let ME help you...Feel free to cut-and-paste this. I won't even ask that you credit me for the authorship: (Please note use of proper paragraphs, spelling and punctuation.)

QUOTE:

Normally very private type of person except when I am spamming Amateur Radio newsgroups about non-Amateur Radio related issues or seeking gay "dates" on USENET.

Current project is manufacturing stories about EME ("Moonbounce") contacts, and I hope I can pass off these bogus QSO's for awards I didn't really earn like VUCC and DXCC.

I am patiently awaiting the FCC Report and Order that removes the last vestiges of Morse Code Testing so I can get a Welfare Extra license and be as equally obnoxious on HF radio as I have been on VHF and the Internet.

Unfortuntately for me, I am as transparent as Saran Wrap and no one on USENET tolerates my lying and deceit, so I am going to keep my bogus EME contacts to myself so when I submit my bogus logs, no one will really know.

I tell you I can be reached by e-mail, but as soon as you send me a letter I will send you one back screaming at you and telling you to keep your flames in the newsgroup, so don't even bother.

If you see me calling "CQ DE KB9RQZ +10" it means that my tuning technique is so sloppy that I may be listening anywhere within 10 Megahertz, so please make yours a "long call" in the hopes that I tune across you.

UNQUOTE.

You're welcome.

Steve, K4YZ

1552. Re: Mork: Don't Say I Never Did Anything For You...Here's A Cut-And-Paste "Fix" For Your QRZ Faux Pas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:35:37 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.5952$Y24.6936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167569

On 10 Oct 2006 06:15:37 -0700, K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
[big snip]

I'm sorry, but the Usenet user you're trying to reach is no longer in service. His satellite provider has apparently disconnected him.

Until Mr. Morgan finds another ISP, may we suggest that you abuse one of your other victims?

Thank you, and have a nice day.

SC

1553. Re: Part B, Is the code requirement really keeping good people out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:53:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1160488430.448952.239100@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167570

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Sat, Oct 7 2006 11:52 pm
> >
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 3 2006 3:25 pm
> >>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> >>> It appears that Len expects me to reply to his "you have never..."
> >>> statements by saying what I have done in non-amateur radio. Old trick,
> >>> doesn't work.
> >
> > It works! :-) Jimmie just hasn't done anything outside.
>
> There you go again, Mr. Anderson. You've told another untruth.

No...Not LENNIE! He CAN'T tell a lie...He's a *PROFESSIONAL*... !
! !

> > He has never been IN the military.
> >
> > He has never been IN government.
> >
> > He has never stated what he does for a living.
>
> "Id est, as in his never saying...but you MUST
> call a speculation a LIE?"
>
> --Len Anderson
>
> Your statements don't indicate speculation. You have no information of
> the first two. I know for a fact that the third in false because he has
> stated to me what he does for a living. I'm aware of at least one other
> who knows what he does for a living.

Make it two.

> I guess you've been left out of the loop.

Say it isn't so, Dave ! ! ! Lennie...?!?! "Out of the
loop"...?!?!? Impossible!

> >> It hasn't stopped him from trying. He has never become a radio amateur
> >> despite his several decades of self-declared "interest" in amateur radio.
> >
> > How about that? I became a professional BEFORE anything
> > else!
>
> I've never found it necessary to so limit myself. I was capable of
> being a professional in electronics and a radio amateur as well. I've
> have dozens of friends who've managed to do the same.

Lennie's preoccupation with money being noted. No doubt the reason
he married a woman with TWO correspondence degrees....

> >> If he tries a "you have never" and someone refutes it with details, Len
> >> simply clams up.
> >
> > Ah! "Justification" for that Imposter Robeson...a licensed
> > amateur extra and a pro-coder!
>
> Did you ever find that web page, Len?

Lennie continues the "imposter" claim despite having been given
detail private and public.

Only further proof of his dishonesty and deceit.

BIG SNIP

> > But, there's only ONE ENIAC and it is now a museum
> > piece. Defunct. Good only for show-and-tell.
>
> That pretty wells sums up your current situation, doesn't it?

"Defunct" can sure be applied to a LOT of Lennie's issues.

ANOTHER HUGE SNIP

> > There is no denying that the publications output of the
> > ARRL is very large. They must do that in order to get the
> > income necessary to perform all their "free" services to
> > members.
>
> What's it to you?

Because there are "mere amateurs" who are making money publishing
in the electronics field whereas Lennie is NOT. That's gotta leave a
huge mark on the little guy's big ego.

> > The ARRL has a virtual monopoly on amateur-
> > interest publications in the USA...no denying that, either.
>
> That is simply a false statement, Len. It is easily denied if one knows
> anything about publications available to the radio amateur.

CQ Magazine has a far greater offering of texts.

But whoa-be-it to Lennie to actually get one of his anti-ARRL
rants right.....

AND AGAIN...

> > Heil and Miccolis have
> > both been "profiled" in here, not just by me but by
> > many others.
>
> Many others? Where are they?

Lennie's including his may alter-ego's...

> > Miccolis tries to manuever all opinion statements as "facts"
> > written by those he has problems with...thus garnering the
> > "accusations" of "untruth" or "error" when some just plain
> > don't like him.
>
> "Maneuver", Len. Your statement doesn't make sense. Don't you like
> Jim? Are the non-factual statements you issue done to show Jim that you
> don't like him?

At least he didn't refer to Jim with a name ending, " -ie",
Dave...Quite a step for him.

> > That he often comes across as an arch-
> > typical "mother superior" (complete with spanking ruler) is
> > lost on him.
>
> If you could see yourself as others see you, Len...

To her credit, his wife probably makes him wash it off outside,
before he can get to a mirror to see what it looks like.

> > Prissy, as if sucking on sourballs when
> > writing up "error" "error" on those disagreeing with him.
>
> I'm sure it seems that way to a guy who makes a great many factual errors.
>
> > Heil comes across as a stereotypical WW2 propaganda movie
> > Waffen SS officer, ordering others around, telling them
> > what they "should" do (his way, naturally).
>
> You have a rich fantasy life.

And ocne again Lennie can't make headway with any rational
comments, so he slides off into Naziland once again...

> What, pray tell, is your view of an individual who is not involved in
> any way in amateur radio, telling radio amateurs that regulations should
> be changed (ordering others around, telling them what they "should" do
> (his way naturally)?

I could hear the hammer hitting that nail on the head from here,
Dave.

> > Why should it? It is for MY enjoyment for myself, not
> > some "hey-look-at-me-and-what-marvelous-things-I've-done"
> > self promotion on some website.
>
> That hasn't stopped your frequent self-promotion in this newsgroup.

"Hey! Look at me! I bought a 1970-s era SWL receiver and scanner
at the local ham shop and didn't need a license!" just isn't very
inspiring, now is it, Dave...?!?!

> > I've had it for four decades. Those I know have been
> > in it and we've talked mutual interest stuff about any
> > project then on-going. Material like that has been
> > exchanged privately. No need to make it public.
>
> Do you recall the things you've said about Jim's work? I'm not going to
> do as you do and turn those words back toward you. You might want to
> think about what you typically do.

Of course it's OK for Lennie to keep his affairs "private", yet
when you, Jim or I do it, there's some conspiracy to hide something...

How bogus, eh?

> >> For sure. SS is coming up fairly soon.
> >
> > "Waffen?" Jahwhol! [click, click] :-)
>
> You're a juvenile geezer, Len.

TWO nails, Dave.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1554. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:57:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0m9ni25kee5tcof6ics6omdmu4ovtpp6q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167572

On 9 Oct 2006 00:08:05 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:38:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1555. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:58:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <an9ni2tcnjl00cnkj0p6n0qkcdoh4solte@4ax.com>
Source record: 167574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 9 Oct 2006 00:10:57 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1556. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:58:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pn9ni2lf5hmbhcdtpq8rpu7fgmec9t1fp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167575

On 9 Oct 2006 00:11:57 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

>Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1557. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:58:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8o9ni2hsfr1uru7k2tkdij5ndt5280egos@4ax.com>
Source record: 167573

On 9 Oct 2006 00:13:07 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1558. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:58:57 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <869ni2l0eug8dnlqsko30rba4k191v9avt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code you are pathetic. I think everyone on here is starting to
realize it. You are the jammer on the local repeater and you get on hf
and jam people because you cant have your way with the code
requirements. Face it nobody in the world cares what you think about
it. You make zero dirrerence in this world. Too chicken to post your
call because if you did you know what would happen. You have ruined
the newsgroups with your BS and soon you will be in everyones kill
file and fade away. Then you will just come up with another name like
No Balls or something. My suggestion to everyone is ignore this loser
from now on and let him get back to kerchunking repeaters and throwing
carriers on people on hf. You know thats the kind of trash he is.

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 13:06:56 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

>
>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:37:52 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> Jerry, I am a 20 wpm extra and I used to agree with some of the things
>> Slow Code was saying BUT, after all the BS he has created on the
>> newsgroups I am starting to change my opinion. His constant bullcrap
>> on here makes me sick. Its ok to state your opinion and move on but he
>> has a real problem. I mean posting every day in rec.radio.swap for
>> Christ sake. For someone so worried about people doing the right thing
>> he is the worst example.
>
>Well, at least I educated you about top posting, and you are almost
>doing things the correct way now. I suppose that I should begin
>teaching you and others about TRIMMING your followups in order to make
>your posts easier to read. It's all there in the news.answers FAQs
>too, but lots of people haven't read the material.
>
>The full content of all previous posts doesn't need to be quoted,
>because people have threaded newsreaders and can go back to read
>earlier posts without your help. Also, you'll save on that precious
>downloading time of which some of you spoke. People like Len Anderson
>and Dave Heil really need to learn how to TRIM their followups if they
>want anyone to read them.
>
>I'll be checking back from time to time to see how you are doing. It
>looks to me like you're progressing well. There's definitely hope for
>you, so keep your chin up.
>
>SC

1559. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:59:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qo9ni2peb555ihocek9lnh4nnhqi4ubmv8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167576

On 9 Oct 2006 00:14:49 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1560. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 13:59:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mp9ni2l2bua3fggq5oiopql135cmpermk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167577

On 9 Oct 2006 00:17:01 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 04:27:09 -0500, "Billy" <Anon@anon.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >See???? I TOLD you! Mark couldn't resist and said,
>>
>> hi steve
>
> Hi you paranoid delusional idiot.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
> Follow the headers, liar.
I have
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1561. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:00:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fq9ni21cjvknp073011os9e3v7tgdbcos4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167578

On 9 Oct 2006 00:19:05 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:26:35 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> id theift is a crime
>
> So is child molesting.
so what I don't sdo that
>
> So is welfare fraud.
or that
>
> So is lying about being a Commissioned Officer in the Armed
>Forces.
>
> So is making threats of use of deadly force where no imminent
>threat exists.
or that
>
> All items of which YOU are guilty of.
nope
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1562. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:00:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ir9ni2931641slgnvh7iumn9dhfmdbkbhh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167579

On 9 Oct 2006 00:20:22 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1563. Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:00:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1s9ni2p32dknqc9gi452le1hb03u8of6eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167580

On 9 Oct 2006 00:22:23 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 14:27:13 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> id theift is a crime
>
> It's "theft", you uneducated idiot.
>
> Follow the headers, you paranoid delusional putz.
Steve conitnues his sexaul stalking
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1564. why do you sexaul men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:01:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ds9ni2ttio420pb6ol8rrjltm228h3rfl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167581

On 9 Oct 2006 00:23:37 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 8 Oct 2006 08:03:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>>
>> stop the theft steve
>
> Follow the headers you paranoid delusional putz.
why do you sexaul men steve?
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1565. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:01:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ht9ni2pdhoeiqimocqnm6soadus2857cb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167582

On 9 Oct 2006 00:25:30 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Putziness?
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1566. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:02:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ru9ni253gonajl1vjvdkbi9avr9pljoa8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 167583

On 9 Oct 2006 00:27:53 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1567. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:02:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dv9ni29vmtvjalbkeat1gpii3m1hbfnttl@4ax.com>
Source record: 167584

On 9 Oct 2006 00:30:12 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1568. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:02:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sv9ni2h9ko828bfr9jclolbsqkcbcr0dm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167585

On 9 Oct 2006 00:33:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>
> Putz.
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1569. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:03:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g0ani2tfl5qu2285keqi7394f1p5m2isa5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167586

On 9 Oct 2006 00:39:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1570. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:03:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t0ani2thqk9fd4vaepq00e68hd32hfe7uf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167587

On 9 Oct 2006 00:41:16 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:

> WTF is "itthe", you illiterate putz?
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1571. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:03:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h1ani25555ki088uphtt6vkcl33jn03ei6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167588

On 9 Oct 2006 00:55:49 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1572. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:04:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b2ani2dsb65n34fmge62ji4u99k4vhhl6h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167589

On 9 Oct 2006 01:02:42 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 12:19:50 -0700, "an old freind"
>> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>> >he cofessed to homosexauls and insits he never posts anything un ture
>> >therefore steve is a homosexual by his own words
>> >
>> >does Amy Know
>> you asked for it robeson
>
> So sis you, Morkie...The TRUTH:
>
>QUOTE
>"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
>homsexaul act WITH HIM"
>
> I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
>act with me, Morkie.
>
> I DID claim that I conceed that YOU KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE to ahve
>one, though, and that I WILL NEVER have that experience.
>
> Please try to get it right.
>
> YOU have re-cited the same comments over and over, so perhaps ONCE
>
>you'll get it right.
>
>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
> Right there.
>
>> >> I would never lick anything of your of anything
>

> And there's your error, Morkie...Suggesting I said otherwise.
>
>> >> I'd put a bullet in your ear if you tried, Morkie.
>
>> >that is why you need help one post you "conceed that I can lick
>> >something of Your the next you promising to kill me if I take you up
>> >on YOUR own word
>
> I never "conceeded" that YOU could lick anything of MINE,
>Morkie...
>
>> why do you tell the lie that I have had this sex act with you and then
>> threaten my murder over it?
>
> YOU made THAT suggestion to haev intimate contact with me, Morkie.
>
>
> I explained the consequences of your proposed actions.
>
> TRY to get it RIGHT this time
>
>UNQUOTE
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1573. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:04:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t3ani25ccvq4ndkpucpsa85vvmspsc9e2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 167590

On 9 Oct 2006 01:05:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
>> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>> you asked for it robeson
>
> More truth for Morkie to hide from:
>
>QUOTE
>
>"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
>homsexaul act WITH HIM"
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1574. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:05:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a4ani2pl3gfmj39u8cpv2ad4svphpol2s3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167591

On 9 Oct 2006 01:08:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>
> When are you going to stop spamming RRAP and performing lewds acts
>with minors?

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1575. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:05:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s4ani212q8ib1otbrs4sk67p159blu8a0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167592

On 9 Oct 2006 02:54:58 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1576. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:05:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h5ani21btqfhomspmt947d42q0rss8svfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167593

On 9 Oct 2006 02:56:11 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1577. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:06:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r5ani2hbu1jovpvmquvc2igfrpi51urrb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167594

On 9 Oct 2006 02:58:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1578. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:06:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f6ani2lnq1cqvqnk15oqfrmsfpa07en9ep@4ax.com>
Source record: 167595

On 9 Oct 2006 02:59:46 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1579. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:06:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r6ani2lsggon2se40ua597o1cihbue04ul@4ax.com>
Source record: 167596

On 9 Oct 2006 03:00:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1580. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:06:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a7ani29bb0jicbs63kgi9gfdnaniunvole@4ax.com>
Source record: 167597

On 9 Oct 2006 03:02:04 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 6 Oct 2006 17:14:51 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>
>> but I heard your mom was in your frezzer
>
> No, that's your mom.
you are rapidly trunigninto Wismen Steve
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1581. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:07:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <58ani2ph0ava7n4d8mhj08hd2e90rcf7tu@4ax.com>
Source record: 167598

On 9 Oct 2006 03:03:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1582. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:07:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h8ani2pcgcp29j73gfbo96d8f9o12ivlmv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167599

On 9 Oct 2006 03:05:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 10:05:56 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> id theft is c rime

> So is child molestation.
so what I don't do that
>
> So is uttering a death threat.
or that
>
> So is welfare fraud.
or that
>
> So is elder abuse...
or that
>
> But YOU don't seem to have a problem with violating any of THOSE
>laws......
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1583. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:08:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u9ani2t0d91iq4efon286eu8ol8vm8stfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167600

On 9 Oct 2006 03:08:08 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Worried about competition for the little tot's affections,
>Morkie...?!?!
>
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1584. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:08:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <faani25717bprnqr6sre9tn8bv2kfel8l7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167601

On 9 Oct 2006 03:10:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> Stagger Lee wrote:
>> > Here's a photo of Anne Hoffer (
>> would woger even want she look living I hear he likes colder girls
>
> Would it be too much to ask for you to use English when posting to
>RRAP, Putz?
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1585. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:09:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3bani2pdnt9gccg3bfb8f76v0jdmdg3qnt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167602

On 9 Oct 2006 03:15:15 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
>
> That's what child pornographers SHOULD be, Morkie.
nobody shoudl KILLED for anything without trail steve

not even you
>
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1586. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:09:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lcani21412ilc1p5q7lqi2es36h2cp0t49@4ax.com>
Source record: 167604

On 9 Oct 2006 03:18:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1587. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:09:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3dani21mocv0n9un8bkfllrd02me6852ig@4ax.com>
Source record: 167605

On 9 Oct 2006 03:29:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1588. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:10:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hdani29g6uh3mugs96j965ji2sjiu1ub71@4ax.com>
Source record: 167603

On 9 Oct 2006 03:31:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1589. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:10:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <udani2p4ln55mch0v3v4i3n2qbeldp4ao9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167606

On 9 Oct 2006 03:34:10 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> troling right along
>
> It's "trolling", you uneducated putz.

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1590. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:10:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <deani2d04rka9fukjet7p5n683okjrp0iq@4ax.com>
Source record: 167608

On 9 Oct 2006 04:23:17 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1591. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:11:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4fani2luai6efieha2eod1goildncaq4vi@4ax.com>
Source record: 167607

On 9 Oct 2006 05:05:43 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1592. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:11:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ufani2t1ns2pn2k2o398vk4gl0b2h88ptn@4ax.com>
Source record: 167609

On 9 Oct 2006 05:18:31 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 19:44:32 -0700, snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >> indeed it is an interested position he call himself a lair and end all
>> >> this (but lose all face) or he is stuck having admited to being a GAY,
>> >> Gay basher which is still reconized as a Pathology by the DSM while
>> >> being gay or gay and comfortable about it is not
>> >
>> >The Robesin Conundrum. The rat is in a maze of his own making.
>>
>> and we are stuck with him
>
> You're not "stuck" with anything, Morkie. You're free to move on.
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1593. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:11:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lgani292tth98ba2gfah9hvne9c5ctt26d@4ax.com>
Source record: 167610

On 9 Oct 2006 03:26:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1594. why do you sexauly stlak men steve? and threatent to kill them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:12:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7hani2d07n0o42n0ocqaq9sbb4bqcjedjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 167611

On 9 Oct 2006 03:36:28 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 4 Oct 2006 06:52:33 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

> Still working on that excuse for your suicide note?
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1595. Re: Morkie Moron Publically States Sucidal Ideation<< renewed death threats from Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:13:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iiani29fr0bt35orn7n207uiph9ss198ba@4ax.com>
Source record: 167612

On 9 Oct 2006 00:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> tell the truth Robeson
>>
>> if you can
>
> I have. You need to seek IMMEDIATE help for your suicidal
>ideations, Morkie.
no intent of suicide more lies robeson

it is not susicidal to point your persevse suggstion of sex with your
dead daughter
'
creul yes but just rubbing YOUR nose in YOUR words
>
> Now.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1596. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:14:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8lani2180s81evn9hmn4561q4aatutgt1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 167613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 9 Oct 2006 01:24:16 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1597. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:14:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rlani21d98f63t41rk9avboltsabc2a6c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 9 Oct 2006 03:39:19 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1598. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:14:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <amani2dt7b8u288v1eug4d39jf536c3l7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167615

On 9 Oct 2006 04:17:38 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1599. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:16:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4pani2tjg9ovul7trjaujlfmbmudvef8dk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167616

On 10 Oct 2006 06:53:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
> Lennie continues the "imposter" claim despite having been given
>detail private and public.
>
but you insist giving the same sort of materail is not enough for
others
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1600. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:17:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qqani2p1nb5ljqul0f82h69hf3kmtme24r@4ax.com>
Source record: 167617

On 9 Oct 2006 03:44:13 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> Did Todd get spanked and he's not telling us?
>
> Oh, NO, Slow...If Toiddie got spanked, he'd be telling ALL of us!
>He LIKES spankings! Especially by his buttbuddy, Morkie.

why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1601. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:17:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <drani2pk91ed5o3po49gcbnnoprb7d5h8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167618

On 9 Oct 2006 01:06:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote
>
> Repeated without the lies of Mark C Morgan.
why do you sexauly stlak men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1602. Re: stop you welching sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:18:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hsani2lf8da7div7libg3qgrodqaq4dq88@4ax.com>
Source record: 167619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 12:47:39 +0000 (UTC), toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL)
wrote:

>
>On Sun, 08 Oct 2006 18:49:15 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> On 10/8/06 9:03 AM, in article m98ii2hofapa8fbcm2asa7sd4b5rat31vh@4ax.com,
>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> > On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 15:25:58 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
>> > (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 11:16:22 -0700, Don Bowey <dbowey@comcast.net> wrote:
>> >>> On 10/7/06 10:42 AM, in article oopfi2hqqo9776jp2niijkv4c0i90amkds@4ax.com,
>> >>> "Mark@kb9rqz.net" <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>> On Sat, 7 Oct 2006 15:28:25 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
>> >>>> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>> stop you welching sc
>> >>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>>
>> >>> I reported your abuse to this and other boards, to your service provider.
>> >>> Hopefully they will shut you down.
>> >> fukc you inner mouth.
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> > stop the foring and iD theft
>> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> I see you have a new path this time. I sent this one and the routing to the
>> abuse address, too.
>
>Hey Mark, this retard is following you around and harrassing you. You
>should complain his ISP about him and dont let the bitchwhore get
>way with it. He also violate his state laws and you state laws and
>you can file police complaint against the harrassment coward.
ewould do as much food as the same things done about steve and wisemen

but I am not sorried about him
>
>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>13.556.00 LSB
>88.3 MHz FM

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1603. why do you sexauly stlak men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:19:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ktani25rhj5l45n6rdorgi21tu2e6tu8vn@4ax.com>
Source record: 167620

On 9 Oct 2006 00:05:23 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >KB9RQZ isn't playing with a full deck.
>>
>> funny why are you scared of me
>
>BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>!!!

1604. (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:20:51 UTC
From: mike <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com>
Message-ID: <452bc60e$0$2995$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com>
Source record: 168004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a hole in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have insurance so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and donate a dollar or two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done. This is my last resort for money i have
tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my dissapointment nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much mike collins

P.S. THANKS FOR ALL THE HATE MAIL WHERE WOULD THE WORLD BE WITHOUT HATERS

E#YtndPa8PFHBK(w+.g35'e1#d_2@(T@aB]X
GILd&dpLWXChv1DGnt@IqJwOwVRUUuiJ^I2FiNR?!PJ"dbNVCsTn0"j2MVALZEl

1605. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:21:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i1bni2dkvr3tb1v14bpsummlroscqk4hkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 167621

On 9 Oct 2006 00:37:51 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
> Hire an English tutor, you ignorant putz.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
>> stop the lies SC
>
> Shoot yourself, fatboy. We'll even play Taps on the kazoo for
>you.
why shot myself?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1606. Re: Please Forward Gay Personals to KB9RQZ As Often As Possible..<<<why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:22:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s3bni2h84fpch8ra31lquh3fdfu88vhruj@4ax.com>
Source record: 167622

On 9 Oct 2006 00:45:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1607. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:22:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m4bni25oa96uikomiusqgldfqhr23icjvq@4ax.com>
Source record: 167623

On 9 Oct 2006 00:51:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>b.b. wrote: (Yet Another Anonymous Screen Name for N0IMD, Brian P
>Burke)

>> (UNSNIP) and he uses his deceased daughter as a crutch.
>
> And now he bought himself a trip to the ER next May.
more threats
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1608. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:23:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r5bni2dd5jhptqqhe4g7o9ufio0kbjo7k2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167624

On 9 Oct 2006 00:59:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz-still-can't-comprehend-English.com wrote:
>
>"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
>homsexaul act WITH HIM"
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1609. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:23:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i6bni2p8512ab3bks90gqr0qu7e2k0m2lh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167625

On 9 Oct 2006 01:00:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
>homsexaul act WITH HIM"
>
> I never suggested that you have engaged in ANY kind of "sexaul"
>act with me, Morkie.
>
sure you did ruight there steve your shit on the man somehow steve

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1610. Re: N9OGL To Have Proctologist Tighten "It" Up In Anticipation Of Prison Sentence For Bootlegging<<<why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:24:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v7bni25bv4d4k5nsurte9v4n89v1lbtjnl@4ax.com>
Source record: 167626

On 9 Oct 2006 03:24:33 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1611. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:25:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <69bni2dd9v5c14cmruqgu69cqe79pisma7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167627

On 9 Oct 2006 03:27:58 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> http://myrockport.com/pen.jpg
>
> Get a shave, fatboy.
posting kiddy porn now steve

you are sick
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1612. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:25:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sabni2t3j6rdgbm78kqrj9obqio6q2stna@4ax.com>
Source record: 167628

On 9 Oct 2006 03:42:24 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1613. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:26:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <obbni2hb55vu2isk3l69jkivr34q02j9v6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167629

On 9 Oct 2006 03:47:06 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1614. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:26:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ncbni21m28mim4irojvj5eoe19akrcgi5m@4ax.com>
Source record: 167630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 9 Oct 2006 03:48:24 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1615. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:28:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hfbni2lo1bhm3peej2c22t2a2d8ndu2a2n@4ax.com>
Source record: 167631

On 9 Oct 2006 03:50:19 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1616. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:28:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <agbni2htb9gj0rafubm9aenrprt9l2qv7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 167632

On 9 Oct 2006 03:52:10 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 12:16:50 -0700, "an old freind"
>> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>> you can stop by apologizing
>
> There's nothing for ME to apologize for, fatboy.
yes there is

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
this staement of your for one

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1617. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:29:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dhbni2tvnd81rc9p4p1f1s6ldgb8ioqr3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 167633

On 9 Oct 2006 03:53:30 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1618. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:29:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2ibni2d8rg84sgh0qjqcuhajpld0bsilgh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167634

On 9 Oct 2006 03:55:04 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1619. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:30:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mibni2pb9pj5a9sbpllre1ve7b8sdg8t68@4ax.com>
Source record: 167635

On 9 Oct 2006 03:57:33 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

>

]
> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1620. Re: Let's all (not) apologize to Todd for making fun Of Smegma One<<<<why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:32:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <flbni2l3p7f4gp7lbp5mnn8bbhj6fkrj0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 167636

On 9 Oct 2006 04:19:54 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
between your stalkign Todd in the and me in the body you are sexauly
stalkign 2 men in post
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1621. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:32:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mnbni29jq4kuvhpn1l2hdfaa5vqi9u9ebf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167637

On 9 Oct 2006 05:12:55 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
>> I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
>> rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
>>
>> konstans@hotmail.com
>
> If there was ever a reason to change parties, knowing Morkie even
>CLAIMS to be a Republican is one of them.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1622. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:35:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8tbni25a2uja8g1sl0rka0b4nj2adq4v2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 167638

On 9 Oct 2006 05:23:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>> the quote is irelavant even if true
>
> No, it's not irrelevant.
>
>> BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
>
> Brain probably is not, however we have your testimony that you ARE
>gay, ergo you've been caught in yet ANOTHER lie.
>
> So...whcih is it...?!?! Lying THEN, or lying NOW...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1623. Re: SERIOUS Robesin Mularkie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:36:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tubni29eq3q7mvs7vjcj389h4moh77l0ir@4ax.com>
Source record: 167639

On 9 Oct 2006 06:22:48 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>
>> > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
>>
>> Brain probably is not
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Robesin probably is.
and is deep seriosu denail about which why is goes balistic seeing me
post freely
>
>His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
>a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>
>And a male nurse?
>
>C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
and inflicing it on the rest of of it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1624. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:37:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n0cni21oumk2v4bpa07fb7fl76uu7o2oe1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167640

On 9 Oct 2006 06:48:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>
> Nothing "forged" about it.
>
> Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1625. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:37:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c1cni2hhnq309jlka5lo18k6f8rtr7f5dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167641

On 9 Oct 2006 06:53:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>
> Nothing "forged" about it.
>

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1626. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:38:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t1cni21hpijev6r935j1ko0b11ni6ci0i9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167642

On 9 Oct 2006 06:58:05 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Putz.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1627. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:38:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <93cni21ucc7e7m7kqj592urf4ou22ap1k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167643

On 9 Oct 2006 08:14:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

> Putz.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1628. Re: Where's Markie? Could it be true? We can only hope!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:41:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s7cni21q9lmm5n6gljr91kdvfecb3pbl3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 167644

On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 22:19:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>Did his ISP finally can him?
>
can working shothing frighten cleients over the nuke test Tom
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1629. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:42:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <49cni2ho7dg6vj5emtr4c4skprbu7dimqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 167645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.stories.d,rec.radio.cb,alt.west-virginia

On 9 Oct 2006 16:07:06 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1630. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:42:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eacni29f3uhes2a2qt86euk2rq1lue7pbr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>But Steve took up the slack.
>
he is the source as you know SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1631. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:43:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hbcni2lfujph3f6abtcg189ruchr50j04f@4ax.com>
Source record: 167647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1632. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:43:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3ccni296132qbs1vg2bvmjags88bpsp5sm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

from your point of view it was but it is done and now you have learn
to deal with result
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1633. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:44:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hdcni25ba3de8jhmhuefbnjtk4ko4pjrt1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167649

On 10 Oct 2006 06:00:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1634. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:44:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eecni29mg0hmf4nnssanffj9affq7eklc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167650

On 10 Oct 2006 06:15:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1635. Re: K4YZ DRUNK AGAIN THIS MORNING or lost what is left of his mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:45:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ldbni2d2ori2cruu870p11hju2t5hkkqm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167651

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 10:48:44 +0000 (UTC), toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL)
wrote:

>
>I count 60-70 posts by k4yz already. The jerk cant complain about
>somebody else ever again ASSHOLE!!!!
yes he can becuase he is lying sack of shit

and desperatly afraid of folks with the gut live thir lives and do
what they want to do
>
>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>13.556.00 LSB
>88.3 MHz FM
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1636. Re: ATTN: Mrs Brian P Burke: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?<<<<why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:45:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hkbni2hrgia6r4nddgq6mfd810pcd3vr8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 167652

On 9 Oct 2006 06:55:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
>> who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
>
> I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
>
> Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
>deceit bring on your family name.
stop your stalking
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1637. KB9RQZ a REPUBLICAN..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:45:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oobni2t5dv0b1ij2eo0hno0crjav1rj0uj@4ax.com>
Source record: 167653

On 9 Oct 2006 19:00:10 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
>> > rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
>> >
>> > konstans@hotmail.com
>>
>> If there was ever a reason to change parties, knowing Morkie even
>> CLAIMS to be a Republican is one of them.
>>
>> However, when I give it some consideration, it is yet ONE MORE
>> EXPRESSION of DECEIT on Morgan's part...The Republican Party is ALL
>> ABOUT certain MORAL VALUES..
>
>Every major political party claims that.
and msot minor ones as well
>
>>.Values that Morkie is "all about":
>> violating!
>
>Well, there really is an organization called the "Log Cabin
>Republicans":
>
>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Log_Cabin_Republicans
>
>As for "MORAL VALUES", consider this (quoting Wikipedia):
>
>"...founded Log Cabin Republicans, as a rallying point for Republicans
>opposed to the Briggs Initiative, which for a time was winning in polls
>conducted prior to the election with about 61% of voters supporting it
>while 31% opposed it. It was the first attempt to restrict gay and
>lesbian rights through a ballot measure.
>
>However, it is historically significant that the polls changed in the
>opponents favor when former Governor Ronald Reagan, later President.
>publicly opposed the measure.
>
>In 1978, for example, Reagan vigorously opposed a California ballot
>initiative sponsored by religious conservatives that would have barred
>homosexuals from teaching in the public schools. The timing is
>significant because he was then preparing to run for president, a race
>in which he would need the support of conservatives and moderates very

>uncomfortable with homosexual teachers. As Cannon puts it, Reagan was
>"well aware that there were those who wanted him to duck the issue"
>but nevertheless "chose to state his convictions."

don't confuse steve with Facts
>
>Reagan penned an op-ed against the so-called Briggs Initiative in which
>he wrote, "Whatever else it is, homosexuality is not a contagious
>disease like the measles. Prevailing scientific opinion is that an
>individual's sexuality is determined at a very early age and that a
>child's teachers do not really influence this." This was a remarkably
>progressive thing for a politician, especially a conservative one about
>to run for president, to say in 1978. The Briggs Initiative was
>overwhelmingly defeated. Its sponsors blamed Reagan for the defeat."
>
>Was Ronald Reagan a Republican?
don't confuse steve with facts
>
>> He's an admitted liar, sexual deviate and as morally corrupt as any
>> person can be and still hold American citizenship.
>>
>> Morkie a REPUBLICAN...?!?!
>>
>> In name only!
>>
>What about Mr. Foley?
It has taken a while
a bit more such attaention to Robeson and I might apologize for what I
said about suporting Steves smear tactics
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1638. Re: Did the NetKKKop get Marky's ISP to dump him? nope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:45:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g4cni2lkeo8flb6ap122c2vvgeijbmtg5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 167654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 17:31:16 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's
>ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that
>Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?
>
>That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped
>in his outhouse.
nah in case you did not notice North Lorea tested a nuke meaning I
have been working harder than normal answering the calls of various
client (one has fired for not predicting he would in fact the nuke
....he has fired me 7 times so far
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1639. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:50:36 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160491836.769658.123090@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167655

K4YZ wrote:
> steven_k4yz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:

> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1640. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:51:09 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160491869.303322.166810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167656

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Oct 2006 17:23:18 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> >
> > >Toad likes it in his ###. He likes it alot.
>
> And Morkie cries knowing he can't cut the mustard.

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1641. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:51:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160491899.469530.24640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167657

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Oct 2006 05:49:03 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1642. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:52:16 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160491936.606405.96980@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167658

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1643. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:54:08 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492048.213021.321250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167659

```
k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote
>
> More Morkie Mularkie

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1644. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:54:35 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492074.862222.184300@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167660

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"

> "Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
> homsexaul act WITH HIM"

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1645. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:55:08 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492108.611308.261410@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167661

```
k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old freind wrote:
> > Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > >
> > stfu child rpaing forger
>
> Imagine that...Morkie talking to himslef in public...Who wudda
> thunk it?

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1646. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:55:31 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492131.455131.166690@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167662

```
k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>
> More Morkie Mularkie

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1647. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:55:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492158.099598.5270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>
> More Morkie Mularkie

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1648. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:56:36 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492196.691476.66080@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167664

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >
> > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>
> More Morkie Mularkie

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1649. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:57:28 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492248.581917.47600@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167665

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> ParanoidMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > >"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> > > you most likely ARE K4YZ
>
> When are YOU going to face up to your own admission that learning
> code is easy, Morkie?

no admission made in context

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1650. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:57:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492278.065984.156340@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167666

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1651. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:58:29 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492309.384206.73010@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1652. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 14:59:09 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492349.641646.278370@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167668

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1653. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:00:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492415.871603.59580@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.
> >
> > That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
> > things. Or is that ruining things?
> >
>
> Naw, ARRL isn't trying to do that. I haven't seen anything in their
> current goals that would lead me to believe that they are trying to
> send the rest of our spectrum the way of 11 meters. I may be wrong, but
> I don't think the FCC would do that again (if any of the current
> commissioners are students of FCC history that is) even if the ARRL
> suggested it.
>
> The numbers of Hams out there and how it's been dropping though the
> more recent years is an alarming trend. With the age of the average ham
> creeping higher, this hobby is set to all but die out in the USA within
> a generation unless something changes.
indeed
>
> Surely you see the problem that the ARRL is trying to address.
honestly I don't think he does
>You may
> not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> we have a problem. Right?
notice you have gotten no reply
>
> -= Bob =-

1654. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:08:29 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492909.300333.233030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.sheep,rec.radio.cb

```
marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's
> > ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that
> > Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?
> >
> > That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped
> > in his outhouse.
>
> IF THE FAGGOT IS QRT LET'S
> PARTY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1655. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:08:33 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492912.988289.56680@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.sheep,alt.sex.stories.d

```
marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> Jeezzzzzzzzeeeeeeeeeeeeee!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> You're fucking stupid!
why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1656. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:08:39 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492919.883415.310780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

```
marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
```

1657. why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:08:47 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160492927.907530.311140@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.dogs

marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:

why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

1658. Re: QRP-ARCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 15:29:47 UTC
From: "." <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <PIqdnYwPt-3nIbbYnZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@adelphia.com>
Source record: 167674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,alt.ham.radio,rec.ham-radio

Good riddance! Both John and Karl whose bellicose, fragile

egos contributed to the ruin of QRP-L by chasing off brilliant

contributing engineers and operators then ultimately forced the

exile of QRP-L from its home on listserv.lehigh.edu . Cheers to

QRP-ARCI with exercising their Freedom of Disassociation

and dumping Beavis-n-Butthead!

1659. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 16:45:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <452bc14c$0$19672$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message
news:452bc60e$0$2995$ec3e2dad@news.usenetmonster.com...
> hello i always figured this would never happen to me but my
> heart is having serious issuses do to a birth defect (i was born with a
> hole in my heart)and need money to have the surgery to get the hole fix so
> i am asking for donations to help with the cost since i dont have
> insurance so if you have a paypal account please look into your heart and
> donate a dollar or two my paypal emial is mcollins7373@msn.com i need
> around 20,000 dollars usd to have the surgery done. This is my last resort
> for money i have
> tried goverment agencies family and friends and to my
> dissapointment nothing happened SO PLEASE I NEED HELP thank you so much
> mike collins
>
> P.S. THANKS FOR ALL THE HATE MAIL WHERE WOULD THE WORLD BE
> WITHOUT HATERS
>
>
geuss waht im trying toget the cheep poeple at teh welfair to pay four my
penis inmplant an it ben like puling teeth to get those two pay.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1660. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 16:59:07 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <BACVg.6052$Y22.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:58:57 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

> Slow Code you are pathetic. I think everyone on here is starting to
> realize it. You are the jammer on the local repeater and you get on hf
> and jam people because you cant have your way with the code

And you know this how? Apparently, you are psychic (or maybe
psychotic).

> requirements. Face it nobody in the world cares what you think about
> it. You make zero dirrerence in this world. Too chicken to post your
> call because if you did you know what would happen. You have ruined

Er, "John," I don't recall you ever posting your call anywhere. I
guess it's because if you did, you know what would happen (and only
you know, because you haven't told the rest of us about what would
happen). Why don't you go first? Pot, kettle, black and all that
stuff.

Actually, don't bother. You're probably a no-code tech at best or
else don't have a license at all, which would explain your anger with
other hams who have higher class licenses. Undoubtedly, we make you
feel inferior, and you can't stand the pain of it all.

Oh well; at least you did temporarily learn how to bottom post. Keep
on reading news.answers, and keep your chin up. Keep telling yourself
"I'm NOT inferior."

SC

1661. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 17:10:49 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <0pkni2l7k8h45jq5q6u9kl0im30din2vgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I was licenced in 1967 at the age of 11. Went through incentive
licensing and all that then got my 20wpm extra at age 16 so dont
preach or talk down ro me. Is it Slow Code or No Balls I get that
mixed up?

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:59:07 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

>
>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:58:57 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>
>> Slow Code you are pathetic. I think everyone on here is starting to
>> realize it. You are the jammer on the local repeater and you get on hf
>> and jam people because you cant have your way with the code
>
>And you know this how? Apparently, you are psychic (or maybe
>psychotic).
>
>> requirements. Face it nobody in the world cares what you think about
>> it. You make zero dirrerence in this world. Too chicken to post your
>> call because if you did you know what would happen. You have ruined
>
>Er, "John," I don't recall you ever posting your call anywhere. I
>guess it's because if you did, you know what would happen (and only
>*you* know, because you haven't told the rest of us about what would
>happen). Why don't you go first? Pot, kettle, black and all that
>stuff.
>
>Actually, don't bother. You're probably a no-code tech at best or
>else don't have a license at all, which would explain your anger with
>other hams who have higher class licenses. Undoubtedly, we make you
>feel inferior, and you can't stand the pain of it all.
>
>Oh well; at least you did temporarily learn how to bottom post. Keep
>on reading news.answers, and keep your chin up. Keep telling yourself
>"I'm NOT inferior."
>
>SC

1662. Re: QRP-ARCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 17:29:51 UTC
From: W2AGN <w2agn@nospamw2agn.net>
Message-ID: <452BD88F.3090309@nospamw2agn.net>
Source record: 167678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,alt.ham.radio,rec.ham-radio

. wrote:
> Good riddance! Both John and Karl whose bellicose, fragile
>
> egos contributed to the ruin of QRP-L by chasing off brilliant
>
> contributing engineers and operators then ultimately forced the
>
> exile of QRP-L from its home on listserv.lehigh.edu . Cheers to
>
> QRP-ARCI with exercising their Freedom of Disassociation
>
> and dumping Beavis-n-Butthead!
>
>
>
BULL! The credibility of your comments is summed up quite nicely by your cowardly anonymous email address (none@none.com).

John W2AGN

1663. Re: QRP-ARCI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 17:30:02 UTC
From: W2AGN <w2agn@nospamw2agn.net>
Message-ID: <U7SdnQmnTtgHRbbYnZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur,alt.ham.radio,rec.ham-radio

. wrote:
> Good riddance! Both John and Karl whose bellicose, fragile
>
> egos contributed to the ruin of QRP-L by chasing off brilliant
>
> contributing engineers and operators then ultimately forced the
>
> exile of QRP-L from its home on listserv.lehigh.edu . Cheers to
>
> QRP-ARCI with exercising their Freedom of Disassociation
>
> and dumping Beavis-n-Butthead!
>
>
>
BULL! The credibility of your comments is summed up quite nicely by your
cowardly anonymous email address (none@none.com).

John W2AGN

1664. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 17:59:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <crnni258rlp3rfmc6ppqkpdbk1hei01b5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 167680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:59:07 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

>
>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:58:57 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>

>Actually, don't bother. You're probably a no-code tech at best or
>else don't have a license at all, which would explain your anger with
>other hams who have higher class licenses. Undoubtedly, we make you
>feel inferior, and you can't stand the pain of it all.

still wating on you to give your Call you have No call to cut another
down for doing what you refuse to to do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1665. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:47:18 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506038.343666.181180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167681

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 9 Oct 2006 05:12:55 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz69@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> I am repbulican former director of my charpter of the log cabin
> >> rpulicans and currently memeer of that gruop and th jewsih repubilcans
> >>
> >> konstans@hotmail.com
> >
> > If there was ever a reason to change parties, knowing Morkie even
> >CLAIMS to be a Republican is one of them.
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1666. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:48:08 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506088.441550.101790@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167682

an old freind wrote:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > MorkTheMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1667. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:48:55 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506135.237111.239280@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167683

an old freind wrote:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > Jeezzzzzzzzeeeeeeeeeeeee!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > You're fucking stupid!
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1668. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:49:53 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506193.759387.242940@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167684

an old freind wrote:
> marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> > Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> > > After someone (I forget who) said that he had heard from Mark's
> > > ISP, Mark has suddenly gone silent. Is it really possible that
> > > Mark might have been dumped by direcpc?
> > >
> > > That's probably too much to wish for. Mark is probably trapped
> > > in his outhouse.
> >
> > IF THE FAGGOT IS QRT LET'S
> > PARTY!!!

1669. Re: why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:50:34 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506234.162396.193510@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167685

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1670. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:51:13 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506273.832527.34790@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167686

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1671. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:51:57 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506317.493825.86490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167687

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1672. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:52:31 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506351.380637.115240@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167688

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1673. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:54:22 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506458.886438.319630@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167689

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > ParanoidMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > > >"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> > > > you most likely ARE K4YZ
> > >
> > > When are YOU going to face up to your own admission that learning
> > > code is easy, Morkie?
> >
> > no admission made in context

But YOU seem to think it's OK to quote others, especially me, out
of context, Morkie.

So...WHY are you NOW CLAIMING to say learning code is EASY...?!?!

> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1674. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:55:07 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506507.462748.308230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167690

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1675. Re: why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:55:44 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506544.438816.64240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167691

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1676. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:56:25 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506585.555650.51770@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167692

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1677. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:57:06 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506626.406257.5190@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167693

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1678. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:57:53 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160506673.015923.222970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167694

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old freind wrote:
> > > Mark C. Morgan wrote:
> > > >
> > > stfu child rpaing forger
> >
> > Imagine that...Morkie talking to himslef in public...Who wudda
> > thunk it?
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1679. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:59:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506761.755855.63360@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167695

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
>
> > "Re: steve thrat to murder over HIS alagation I have comitemted a
> > homsexaul act WITH HIM"
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Why do you not answer the questions about your misquoting and
deceit, Morkie?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1680. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 18:59:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506795.227147.230480@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167696

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote
> >
> > More Morkie Mularkie
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet, Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1681. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:01:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506868.466045.238290@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167697

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Why do you snip out entire posts and not answer the questions,
Morkie?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1682. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:01:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506904.211997.11600@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167698

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 6 Oct 2006 05:49:03 -0700, "Mark Morgan, Child Molester"
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1683. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:02:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506941.097417.158140@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167699

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 6 Oct 2006 17:23:18 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >Toad likes it in his ###. He likes it alot.
> >
> > And Morkie cries knowing he can't cut the mustard.
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1684. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:03:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160506986.807153.161270@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167700

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > steven_k4yz@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > PorkieMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>
> > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

What's "sexaul stalk", Morkie?

Why do you lie about having three college degrees and can't get
through seven words without making idiotic errors?

Aren't you tired of being made a fool of with your OWN words yet,
Morkie?

Steve, K4YZ

1685. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <akrni2d4a5rgmnlcjrgf4fade6geb4h7i0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167701

On 10 Oct 2006 11:47:18 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1686. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6lrni2lcsqebuiat7e2pooe3u8jq65glav@4ax.com>
Source record: 167702

On 10 Oct 2006 11:48:08 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1687. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hlrni2pj4le8a4vq8792mic63jhejlhggf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167706

On 10 Oct 2006 11:48:55 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1688. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tlrni2hmiugr426ve6808mgusdkchq5itc@4ax.com>
Source record: 167703

On 10 Oct 2006 11:49:53 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1689. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8mrni2t8eadbh67f6h5qj6hsprlveesm47@4ax.com>
Source record: 167707

On 10 Oct 2006 11:51:13 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1690. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:04:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <imrni215pj11u8q7r30g19c4000j7f4jjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167704

On 10 Oct 2006 11:51:57 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1691. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:05:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tmrni2lffhfficamdg16nrol3j5aqc8048@4ax.com>
Source record: 167705

On 10 Oct 2006 11:52:31 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1692. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:05:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8nrni2pbfctu2v8uh393umdv5k5grpa9b2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167708

On 10 Oct 2006 11:54:22 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > ParanoidMorkieMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > On Sat, 07 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >"an old enema" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> > > when you going to stop welching on your bets SC?
>> > > you most likely ARE K4YZ
>> >
>> > When are YOU going to face up to your own admission that learning
>> > code is easy, Morkie?
>>
>> no admission made in context
>
> But YOU seem to think it's OK to quote others, especially me, out
>of context, Morkie.
no statement of yoiur has been taken out of context

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1693. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:05:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0orni25cogpmqibcvhqdu2nhhne8n9q0fn@4ax.com>
Source record: 167709

On 10 Oct 2006 11:55:07 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1694. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:05:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <borni2hrg6d2c53befldaoqv6067r1fm3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 167711

On 10 Oct 2006 11:56:25 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1695. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:06:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <morni2l1d5f5nfivilu4lsanr9revtbmh5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167710

On 10 Oct 2006 11:57:06 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1696. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:06:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vorni2d1bsg58vsfk02aped9nvcq62k4gg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167712

On 10 Oct 2006 11:57:53 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1697. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:06:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9prni29hf6j7khij2c868vffptt2krc8hr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167713

On 10 Oct 2006 11:59:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1698. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:06:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jprni2dq8205lgvao50f5jq6gellm7nk8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 167714

On 10 Oct 2006 11:59:55 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1699. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:07:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vprni2pkqodebqvetmc2mspub15f37o8ra@4ax.com>
Source record: 167715

On 10 Oct 2006 12:01:08 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
>
> Why do you snip out entire posts and not answer the questions,
>Morkie?
why should I answer of of the question of a lying stalker like you?
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1700. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:07:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vqrni29h997p04h0kiuo4r54j3ak46htg3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167716

On 10 Oct 2006 12:01:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1701. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:07:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <arrni2li64cosd0ak5a1em2832ofb441up@4ax.com>
Source record: 167719

On 10 Oct 2006 12:02:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1702. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:07:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lrrni2pa62vmuflmkr13f46baijontdqha@4ax.com>
Source record: 167718

On 10 Oct 2006 12:03:06 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1703. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:07:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1srni2hc8t50aaekauai565u5m7icv3aab@4ax.com>
Source record: 167720

On 10 Oct 2006 11:55:44 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1704. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:08:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dsrni25bvhauekmpsjhdjbvo7ne87imlp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167717

On 10 Oct 2006 11:50:34 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1705. another trirade of shit from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:11:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160507508.168214.279770@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167721

by my count in the last 2 day satev stave has posted something with shit in the about 1200 times all trying avoid the reamrk he made accusing me (flasely of eating HIS shit a couple of week ago

1706. Re: The Truth That is that Steve has confessed to being a faggot "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:12:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <24sni2tp5cgju0tsl0dtc1f0h7l4g33js2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:01:29 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1707. Re: The Truth That Stev is afraid to face "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:12:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h4sni2tbc8spt53b7tj1pe5eb623taef1l@4ax.com>
Source record: 167723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:00:38 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1708. Re: The Truth "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:12:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n4sni2tuvflfadvgdlcpc9vqvvahom813a@4ax.com>
Source record: 167724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 11:59:37 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1709. Re: The Truth is what Robeson wll not face"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:12:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u4sni2p7frbjlkv2efg65n7ifum1ffnvjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 11:59:11 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>steve_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1710. Re: steve tries to avoid his words by starting new attack thread Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:12:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <75sni21ohou48k3k2sb3sdr82h6bkd5ij0@4ax.com>
Source record: 167726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 07:33:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze (N0IMD) wrote:
>
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1711. Re: Why Does Steven Robeson Participate In Blatant Lying In Public? as proven by claiming"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robesonis a misquotey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s5sni2p65r9i217sbrfp7i21jrliqcu3fa@4ax.com>
Source record: 167727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 10:25:26 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Sep 2006 00:41:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> an old freind wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> > lets see, Robseson outs himself as gay and quite a week here in rrap
>>
>> Oh...?!?!
>>
>> Where did that happen?
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
>>
>>> Hi! Years and years of complaining and he finally decided to do
>>> something about it...
>>>
>>> He became a participant.
>>
>> Participant?
>>
>> In what?
>>
>> Why do you insist on lying in public, Brian?
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
>>
>> Does Mrs Brain know about your on-line behaviour?
>>
>> Perhaps some snail mail is overdue.
>more of YOUR stalking Robeson
>
>and a threat to comit mail fraud it seems
>>
>>> > > Like working out of band frenchmen on six meters...
>>> > >

>>> > > > > If you take Carls remarks in context, there are a lot of hams that
>>> > > > > would agree with him...
>>> > > >
>>> > > > There's not much evidence of that. Who might they be--the MoveOn.org of
>>> > > > ham radio?
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Dave K8MN
>>> > > >
>>> > > > > and would welcome a scrapper in the white house,
>>> > > > > err volunteer office.
>>> > >
>>> > > I'm unfamiliar with the MoveOn.org of ham radio.
>>> >
>>> > indeed it is red herring the oher standard attack of the proocders
>>>
>>> Maybe he'll have some crackers with that red herring.
>>
>> Maybe Brian P Burke will stop his two-faced on-line behaviour?
>>
>> But I doubt it.
>>
>>Stev,e K4YZ
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1712. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d6sni2h59lcmfpus15ej8brjnl4rvqe429@4ax.com>
Source record: 167728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:37:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > that is the simplest and most logical inference it is is not the only
>> > answer He could have been refered to the proccess of just him
>> > defeacting on another man a kinky act (not my kink but I try not to be
>> > too judgemental of such things) in any event Robeson statesdescribes a
>> > Sex act involving himself and 2 Men one of them he claims is ME
>>
>> Morkie, Morkie, MORKIE!
>be a man not a worm Robeson
>>
>> STILL NOT GETTING IT RIGHT after three days of having your faulty
>> English pointed out to you.
>if there is any faulty english it was yours
>>
>> Idiot.
>>
>> > is sick lying and and down right gros in his efforts to disrupt the NG
>>
>> We have YOU to thank for that, Morkie....And your Feeble Five
>> bretheren.
>nope you are the one that types
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1713. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k6sni2pl75ghtm9naaq32et93uutleufr1@4ax.com>
Source record: 167729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:31:31 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>> It's only implication in this context is your idiotic
>> misinterpretation of simple English, Morkie.
>if you had left out the word "my" you woluld have a point but you did
>not
>
>the only inferences are that you have been sodmized or that you enage
>in the fetish or shiting on mmens gentials or that YOU fucked the use
>of English and lack the moral courage to admit it
>
>you might want to try backing down before someone passes the original
>note to your wife
>
>I hear that that IS in the works
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1714. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r6sni21a1c2f9pm02ee5mifqknq47d9t4s@4ax.com>
Source record: 167733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:28:35 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>> Nothing relative to the subject...Just another threadjacking.
>nah it is exactly on the subject which was you trying to divert
>attention from your fuck up
>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>I can keep this up for days you know
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1715. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x15

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <27sni213aq92j7d422alv7u42tskssip1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 167732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:27:10 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>your fuck up you eat your words
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1716. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words and will anything to avoid fessing up x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <87sni29d2c4f95vinf4ntk28qu1gqivctr@4ax.com>
Source record: 167734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:26:34 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you fucked up
>
>you have stated that you have commited a homsexual act and in your
>follow up inst you said nothing unture admiting again to a homsexaul
>act
>
>you could have just admitted your mistake but you lack the ball to do
>so and try to put the pblame on others for YOUR fuckup (which eveither
>it surely was)
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1717. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:13:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e7sni2po5oen5d6516gshjfv07l323ub6d@4ax.com>
Source record: 167730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:23:47 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you are a homosexaul steve
>
>now get comforatable with it
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1718. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x13

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:14:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l7sni25fle94nhb3gqlnq7o17957h5tlp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:22:13 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>stev stop trying to escape it you have outed yourself as a homosexaul
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1719. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:14:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t7sni2laorfj7cabeq5ncfhlta2v92p0vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 167735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
>> > another mans genital
>>
>> No, that's not what I wrote.
>yes is is
>the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
>another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
>
>your shit is your shit get used to used to it homo boy
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1720. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:14:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <48sni2dshve2ngf4rs9k6h32lm7857ddts@4ax.com>
Source record: 167736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:16:46 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you words you wrote
>
>are you homosexaul or bisexual well you say thei r is no such thing as
>Bisexual so you must a homosexaul steve face your words
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1721. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:14:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d8sni2t14gcjstledn8ujcolm8tcpcitpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:37 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz-can't-get-it-straight-yet.com wrote:
>> > > > On 4 Sep 2006 22:29:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>> > Robeson
>>
>> Nope.
>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you typed it not me
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1722. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:15:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0asni2tm8k1ceb5t44qgpnic9tifj0r2be@4ax.com>
Source record: 167741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:21 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>> You've misquoted them, Morkie.
>quoted them exactly
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1723. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words X8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:15:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7asni29v4tmnam2aqf0i2ap63a8oi77dp3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:12:24 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>
> your words you eat them
>
>congrats at coming out of the closet
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1724. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:15:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dasni21pj4gvo234vodd4mp6lnplmpqs8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 167740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1725. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:15:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kasni2p9335to6kqs0m5s2tubrp5o5p5ta@4ax.com>
Source record: 167738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:01 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1726. Re: Could K4YZ & AB8MQ have won? won what SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:17:44 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160507864.846941.217040@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote in
> news:1160435226.541456.32830@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > What did you guys do to my little man's isp?
>
>
> Woger's mom was absent from the group today too! But it's was a holiday
> so maybe the public library was closed.
what do yo think robeson and wismen won sc?
>
> SC

1727. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:21:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508061.938331.76400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167743
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>

> Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets teased
> by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to try to
> be-little the original argument.
if you want to stop ham radio being dumbed down you need to turn in
your license and BAN the use of CW which has made mindless wrecks of
people like yourself I presonal don't advocate that course of action
but it is the way stop the dumbing down of radio
>
> SC

1728. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:21:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160508104.502942.231000@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167744

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> More forging of attributes, I see.

Nope.

Just documenting the obvious

> > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> >
> > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
>
> Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
> history of it.

Nope. No one's been "gone after".

I have, however, tried to hold a mirror up to you to make you
realize that you can't make the kinds of sneers and allegations that
you do out odf one side of that "mouth" of yours without creating a
whole passle of problems with the other side.

Obviously you don't care. I can only imagine what you're like in
person.

> Thus your thread-jacking and calling out in the Subject line other
> people's wives. To deny it makes you the lying sack of excrement that
> you actually are.

Oh, no, Brain....don't leave yourself out of that. You're right
in there with the best of them, greaseball.

> > Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
> > deceit bring on your family name.
>
> And there is no humiliation in the family name, Robeson?

Nope.

> > > k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
> > > you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
> > > think people will trust you?

> >
> > So...I make fun of Morkie
>
> Why? Why do you bother?

Why not?

You do it to me and others yet think it's improper for others to
do.

> Do you puff up your chest and lick that "devil-dog" tatoo on your
> bicep?

No tatoo's here, Brain...Anywhere.

> > and I am supposed to worry about someone
> > "liking" me or "trusting" me in this forum...?!?!
>
> Is my family in this forum? Is your family in this forum?

My wife has looked over my shou;der before, so yes, she's "here"
on occassion.

She initially chided me for 'wasting your time with those idiots"
until I showed her how two feced, deceitful and dishonest you and your
Feeble Five bretheren are.

> > You're a biggger idiot than I gave you credit for, Brain.
>
> "Bigger" has only two g's, you idiot.

OK...So I finally made a typo this WEEK.

Why aren't you chiding Morkie for his THOUSANDS of typos in the
last couple of DAYS...?!?!

> > The people I am concerned about "liking" me or "trusting" me have
> > nothing to do with this forum and probably never would.
>
> Hopefully they'll never do a google search on your "family name."

And if they did, they'd find me standing up to liars and punks
like you and "the boys".

So what?

> > And if they did, a brief overview of your demonstrated stupidity
> > would lay-to-rest any concerns I would have about them being swayed by
> > any criticisms I might "suffer" from YOU!
>
> A lengthier overview of your inability to play well with others, your
> fixation on the male genitalia and all things of a homosexual nature,
> propensity to drop hints and unsubstantiated claims of your imagined
> military service in the US Marine Corps, and outright lies that you
> tell about other people might change their minds about the swell guy

> image you feign.

Uhhhhhh...Brain...there you go LYING again and thereby PROVING all
of the things I have ever claimed about you!

WHY do you do it...?!?!

> > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> > > >
> > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
> > >
> > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> > > is real.
> >
> > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> >
> > It fails.
>
> You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
> Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
> At least in Tennessee?

Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.

And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
Ohio, either...

Investigate and learn, greaseball.

> Regardless of educations in healthcare, your nuts.

Nope.

> > > > Archives:
> > > >
> > > > Path:
> > > > g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> > > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
> > > > Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
> > > > Organization: http://groups.google.com
> > > > Lines: 23
> > > > Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > > > References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > > > NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
> > > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

> > > > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
> > > > 18:22:59 GMT)
> > > > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
> > > > In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > > > User-Agent: G2/0.2
> > > > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> > > > .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> > > > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > > Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
> > > > posting-host=72.154.219.21;
> > > > posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
> > > >
> > > > an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> > > > > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > > > > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Sc
> > > > >
> > > > > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > > > > > not an amateur station.
> > > > >
> > > > No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
> > > > within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
> > > >
> > > > > slwo code understands even less than steve
> > > >
> > > > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> > > > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > > > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
> > > >
> > > > UNQUOTE
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's
> > > genitals.
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhh...No.
>
> Uhhhhhhhhh. Yeh. It's right there where you are saying "my
> excrement..."

Uh huh.

And there YOU are trying to take two words out of context and
trying to focus your entire 'argument' around them.

Just like your efforts to belittle the relationship between MARS
and Amateur Radio.

The ONLY people in this entire forum that showed you any sympathy
for that lame effort were within your Feeble Five circle...

> > I guess YOU suffer from some of the same comprehension deficits
> > that your buddie Morkie suffers from, Brain.
>
> Maybe if you don't make such sick claims, and then don't quote yourself
> making those same sick claims, there wouldn't be any discussion about
> it.

Maybe if we didn't have deviates and thier admirers like Morkie
and you in this forum, it wouldn't be an issuse, either.

> In other words, clean up your act.

Take your own advice, greaseball.

try using BOTH sides of your face when you talk.

> What part of that can't you understand?

You're the one with the comprehension deficits, MorkiePal. Not
me.

> Do you think Paul Schlek is going to lett you play "Excrement Commando"
> in RRAP?

There's only ONE "t" in "let", Brain!

Or is typopatrol your exclusive domain today?

> > I can recommend some tutors in your area to help you....
> >
> > > Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?
> >
> > Mork and you. Fix your English deficits, greaseball.
>
> Sorry Robesin, it doesn't work that way.

Now here you switch up and start addressing someone named
"Robesin".

Having trouble staying focused today, Brain?

> You quote yourself then claim
> that "Mork and you" thought it up. Try taking a little responsibility
> for yourself once in a while. Just once. The hardest part is taking
> that first step, but you're the only one that can do it. Best of Luck.

I take full responsiblity for what I say, greaseball.

What I DON'T take responsiblity is for misquotes and out-of-context
snippets.

Hurry up now...Mrs Brain has dinner ready, greaseball.

Steve, K4YZ

1729. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:22:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508141.853841.48250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Fri, 6 Oct 2006 21:24:49 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
> <salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:
*+
> Since CW is more a verbal skill than a written skill, dyslexia
> shouldn't affect it much (although I don't know of any studies done on
> the subject - it's just a seat-of-the-pants guess).

you have Dyslexics testfing you are worng and you are still presuming
to gues

1730. Re: Fair Question For Dyslexic Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:26:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508399.657770.170950@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> On Mon, 9 Oct 2006 08:55:59 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> wrote:
>
> >"Al Klein" <rukbat@pern.invalid> wrote in message
> >news:8i9ji2legh0n2j22gjs0odnefgf7e26hgk@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 8 Oct 2006 18:17:46 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
> >> wrote:
>
> >> Or theory - the only valid test is a practical one to demonstrate
> >> knowledge of operation, which has never been given, and the laws. No
> >> one builds any more,
>
> >While not as central to the hobby as it was in the 50's and 60's. There are
> >a lot of builders out there.
>
> There are a lot of CW operators out there too. "A lot of ... out
> there" doesn't seem to be the current criterion, does it? :)
>
> Which is the point I was making - if we eliminate everything we don't
> actually need for operation, the only things left are the laws and a
> demonstration that we know how to flip the switch. Which would
> probably suit the "let's eliminate the code entirely" crowd just fine.
>
given the fact nothing in the written is go or nogo I don't meind it
being there although a great deal of the stuff their realy needs to go
(why does anything need to memeroze that frequency with in FS ATV that
is Black (or what ever color) there is NO need to know this at all
even if you are operating the mode

yes I would pare alot of stuff away from the current written and add
other stuff and certain reargange twhat is tested at what in the
licenseing structure (I would pull from the tech pool all the question
of skip since it is a minor factor at VHF and up ptu these question in
the genral pool

1731. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:27:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lvsni2lmpnibbmg3fpn6j590ade59br0dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167747

On 10 Oct 2006 12:21:44 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> More forging of attributes, I see.
>
> Nope.

more sexual stakking of men steve why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1732. more sexual stakking of men steve why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:32:17 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508737.335877.271290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:8u6ji2tv31th8o33h7r38f4ou2ffv1fvd9@4ax.com:
>
> > On Mon, 09 Oct 2006 00:37:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > troling right along
>
>
>
> Why does Mark even bother to waste time posting if all he can do is
> follow-up with one line of mis-spelled crap.
aNSWER THE QUESTION YOURWSELF ALL YOU POST IS YOUR OFFESIVE TROLLING
CRAP

1733. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 19:33:59 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160508839.316047.116040@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> "mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message

id theft is a crime

1734. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 20:13:14 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
Message-ID: <3jvni2p5nu0ophc4sst2vsli8och0eqdc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent

It's consistent with dropping requirements all around. Broadcast
stations no longer need licensed personnel on duty (that's been the
case for a few decades now), you can repair two-way radios without
being licensed and you can operate on the ham bands without having to
really pass any test.

1735. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 20:14:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vlvni2pc515nu6d87v520tmiq569c500gt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:13:14 -0400, Al Klein <rukbat@pern.invalid>
wrote:

>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 08:00:50 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
>wrote:
>
>>world. I am not sure the FCC should be requiring it while not testing for
>>the various digital modes or message handling - seems inconsistent
>
>It's consistent with dropping requirements all around. Broadcast
>stations no longer need licensed personnel on duty (that's been the
>case for a few decades now), you can repair two-way radios without
>being licensed and you can operate on the ham bands without having to
>really pass any test.
funny I seem to recall taking a test and my wife took one a few months
ago for her license

of course you still can't tell me why it is important to know wether a
given circut is a colp[itts or hartley occilator

till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1736. Re: another trirade of shit from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 21:00:44 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160514042.641052.128190@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.dogs

an old friend wrote:
> by my count in the last 2 day satev stave has posted something with
> shit in the about 1200 times all trying avoid the reamrk he made
> accusing me (flasely of eating HIS shit a couple of week ago

but morkins.....you eat my shit and suck my cock and suck my
tits!!

1737. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 21:05:06 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160514306.912333.132280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexual

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:21 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> >own words
> >> You've misquoted them, Morkie.
> >quoted them exactly
> more sexual stakking of men steve why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

but morkins.....you eat my shit and suck my cock and suck my tits

1738. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words X8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 21:07:59 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160514479.328560.271890@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexual

```
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:12:24 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> >own words
> >
> >
> > your words you eat them
> >
> >congrats at coming out of the closet
> more sexual stakking of men steve why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

but morkins........you eat my shit and suck my cock and suck my tits
```

1739. Re: another trirade of shit from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 21:41:29 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160516489.550936.11300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.dogs

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > by my count in the last 2 day satev stave has posted something with
> > shit in the about 1200 times all trying avoid the reamrk he made
> > accusing me (flasely of eating HIS shit a couple of week ago
>

STFU faggot

> but morkins.....you eat my shit and suck my cock and suck my
> tits!!

1740. get off the computer,mork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 21:48:53 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160516933.432889.78670@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167806
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

get back to sucking Gavrielah's cock

1741. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 22:20:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j47oi2trtndc1mrdefboujoedn3ld9iqb8@4ax.com>
Source record: 167807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexual

On 10 Oct 2006 14:05:06 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1742. Re: another trirade of shit from steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 22:20:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f57oi2d4i86omesol60q8g9mopqso6l9au@4ax.com>
Source record: 167808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.west-virginia,alt.sex.dogs

On 10 Oct 2006 14:00:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theift is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1743. Re: get off the computer,mork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 22:21:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f77oi2pbq0i2vn4ecki3c0k01rgj11rbbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 167809
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,rec.radio.cbs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

On 10 Oct 2006 14:48:53 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1744. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words X8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 22:23:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160519035.390430.70160@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.homosexual

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
id theft is a crime

1745. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 22:37:42 UTC
From: Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
Message-ID: <mujtv3-8cr.ln1@monolith.nodomain>
Source record: 167813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine

The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better than you".

1746. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:00:21 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.

Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks to positions where they gain access to our kids.

http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244

1747. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:07:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0r9oi2ph5pqvklgb83dlqkd5p3hjuv1fqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 167812
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 10 Oct 2006 16:00:21 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>
> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
and many heteros like little girls I don't see the improvement

some people are exploiting kids there orientation merely affects who
those folks will exploit
>
> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1748. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:13:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g7aoi2h8oct2c8srdb9ao7ttt8icvdtffs@4ax.com>
Source record: 167814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby
<coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote:

> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>
>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's
>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better
>than you".
well the procoders like to rpetend it is about value
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1749. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:16:02 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160522161.918742.221880@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167815
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.elections

bobandcarole wrote:
> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>
> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>
> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244

Thats whats wrong here in this group. That dam fruit markie spams and
flaunts his faggotry all over usenet and blogs!

1750. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:31:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i9boi29nc7aib02ra5irmifdttmmrne1a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:30:54 -0700, Diamond Dave
<sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
>wrote:
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>>
>>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value, it's
>>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm better
>>than you".
>
>Good for you! It's not often that someone would come right out and admit that a
>radop that can copy high speed CW is better than himself, but you did.
>Congrats!
funny he said no such thing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1751. Re: Why Mark Was Gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-10 23:51:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <necoi21hc3mk63ts2sc4l3b81m30ufmo28@4ax.com>
Source record: 167817
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 19:49:43 -0500, "Not Steve" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark was out carousing with some of his fellow butt-buddies this weekend and
>wasn't able to post as often as he usually does. It sure was nice without
>his two hundred per day stupid posts.
>Mark is the one wearing sunglasses. He thinks nobody will recognize him.
nope It was just Kim jong Ill making work harder (and rack up lots of
billing hours

but thank you for your interest
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1752. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:40:10 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160527210.572830.117910@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I need donations for my penis reduction.I was born with a huge schloong
and it's very difficult to carry around in my pants. Please help me
with my pain. I need this reduction operation now.

an old freind wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > "mike" <bphtzywr@bngrxwuq.com> wrote in message
>
> id theft is a crime

1753. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q7XWg.9921$o71.3844@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com:

> Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
> your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.

I serve the ham community with selfless determination to try to save its members from their complacent attitudes. I need no recognition. I'm a humble servant. I seek no glory.

God bless america

Amen

SC

1754. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q7XWg.9920$o71.7108@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>
>>
>>SC
>
> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
> licensees and above.
>
> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
> cause our service to become extinct.

And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.

SC

1755. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S7XWg.9922$o71.5786@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
>> >> a little first?
>> >
>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >
>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
>> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
>> > licensed, too.
>> >
>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> > problem.
>> >
>> > BUT
>> >
>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
>> > of the "conditions of grant".
>> >
>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
>> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
>> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
>> >
>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>> > thing?
>> >
>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> > should they require it of amateurs?
>>

>>
>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>
> I don't think so.
>
>> We can't have
>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>
> Where do you get that idea?
>
> Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
> result.
>
>>That's what happens
>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> government.
>>
> Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
> of "liberal".
>
> For example:
>
> Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
> got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>
> Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
> administrations that we got:
>
> - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>
> - Openly published question pools
>
> - End of Morse Code sending test
>
> - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
> have same written as Generals
>
> - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
> this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>
> - Technician lost its code test
>
> Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>
> - FCC support for BPL
>
> - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>
> - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>

> Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?

It's the state of that nation. Everyone's got to have their handout.
Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.

SC

1756. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <X7XWg.9925$o71.5087@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code) wrote in
news:BACVg.6062$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

>
> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:37:52 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> Jerry, I am a 20 wpm extra and I used to agree with some of the things
>> Slow Code was saying BUT, after all the BS he has created on the
>> newsgroups I am starting to change my opinion. His constant bullcrap
>> on here makes me sick. Its ok to state your opinion and move on but he
>> has a real problem. I mean posting every day in rec.radio.swap for
>> Christ sake. For someone so worried about people doing the right thing
>> he is the worst example.
>
> Well, at least I educated you about top posting, and you are almost
> doing things the correct way now. I suppose that I should begin
> teaching you and others about TRIMMING your followups in order to make
> your posts easier to read. It's all there in the news.answers FAQs
> too, but lots of people haven't read the material.
>
> The full content of all previous posts doesn't need to be quoted,
> because people have threaded newsreaders and can go back to read
> earlier posts without your help. Also, you'll save on that precious
> downloading time of which some of you spoke. People like Len Anderson
> and Dave Heil really need to learn how to TRIM their followups if they
> want anyone to read them.
>
> I'll be checking back from time to time to see how you are doing. It
> looks to me like you're progressing well. There's definitely hope for
> you, so keep your chin up.

ROFL!

Harassing people that top post. When you're finished here, see if you can
do something about Markie's spelling.

Tnx, 73

de Slow Code

1757. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y7XWg.9926$o71.7633@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:0pkni2l7k8h45jq5q6u9kl0im30din2vgg@4ax.com:

> I was licenced in 1967 at the age of 11. Went through incentive
> licensing and all that then got my 20wpm extra at age 16 so dont
> preach or talk down ro me. Is it Slow Code or No Balls I get that
> mixed up?

Why are you so concerned about my balls? Are you gay?

Stay away from me you pervert!

SC

1758. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_7XWg.9927$o71.8534@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>
>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>> technical expertise.
>>>
>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>
>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>> equally ill informed?
>>>
>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>
>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>
>>> Try again.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>
>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>try to be-little the original argument.
>

> Pot, meet kettle.

No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

SC

1759. Mark Morgan takes another healthy crap on the group again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%7XWg.9928$o71.560@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.

So much for my hope we could get back to talking about radio here.

SC

1760. N9OGL is ignoring my threads about Omega One.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <18XWg.9929$o71.4@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ROFL

Yep,

He can't keep an HF station going.

SC

1761. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:44:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <28XWg.9930$o71.4353@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
> away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
> band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
> radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>

Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.

SC

1762. Re: Beer Enemas and other comments...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 00:45:55 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160527555.266591.158210@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Man you need to chill. You have issues. Go see a head doc, not a penis
head doc!
Arf! Arf! wrote:
> "Billy Smith" <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aJKUg.6738$o71.6329@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Billy cumgobbler Smith wrote:
>
> > kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>
> >>>On Sun, 01 Oct 2006 11:46:01 -0700, kb9rqz69 did not most oddly state:
> >>>
> >>>>Answer the question, morkins.....After all, you are the one posting
> >>>>about squirting beer up your ass!
> >>>
> >>>You're not overly well-acquainted with the concept of "humour", are you,
> >>>guys?
> >>
> >
> > He's serious, Markie has doe that, fuckhead.
> >
>
> Mwhahahahhahahaha, isn't it done? Nice try DUMBASS!
>
>
> The series of questions to Mark was done with a small bit of humor, but were
> based upon statements Markie had made in the past.
> Yes, it was "jest" but Mark indeed has admitted on several occasions that he
> self-administers beer enemas to himself. Really! The fool actually admits to
> it!
> Mark Morgan, the admitted Pagan slash Jew slash Bisexual once commented that
> he uses beer enemas to lessen the pain of his rectal issues/hemorrhoids.
> Mark said that beer aids in lessening the nagging pain of his bothersome
> hemorrhoids.
> We simply took it a step further in that some of us wanted to know if Mark
> prefers warm beer to cold, if he uses a small hose vs. a garden hose, if he
> administers Lite or Miller or Buttweiser and if he does so while standing,
> sitting or plopping his dyslexic ass over the neighborhood bar.
> While I couldn't care much, the mental image of this dyslexic shoving a
> rubber tube up his rectum and pouring 12 ounces of Milwaukee's Best into a
> funnel does present a humorous image. Does Mark do this in the privacy of
> his own bathroom? Or does he sneak outside behind his car so he can "spew"

> into the grass afterwards?
> And you gotta admit. Anybody who'd post a blog wherein they tell everybody
> that they are bisexual is reason enough to laugh at the clown. And beer
> enemas? More reason to laugh.
> Put the two together? Wow! You have, in effect, a Doofus 42 year old man who
> is sure of his dual sexual proclivities, and one who uses beer in a manner
> that leaves the rest of us laughing.
>
> Hey, Mark. I bet you were quite the attraction at your high school Keggers.
> While your buddies were holding the keg hose over their mugs to fill them,
> you were standing by waiting to shove the hose into your posterior to
> relieve those inflamed veins in your well-used rectum.
>
> So, bottom line for you AUK landers who thought we were joking. Not so! Mark
> really DOES use beer as an enema.
> Mark is on record as stating that he doesn't drink. That never stopped him
> from shoving a beer up his ass.

1763. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:08:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160528893.541201.235850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> >> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
> >> >> a little first?
> >> >
> >> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
> >> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
> >> >
> >> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
> >> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
> >> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
> >> > licensed, too.
> >> >
> >> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
> >> > problem.
> >> >
> >> > BUT
> >> >
> >> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
> >> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
> >> > of the "conditions of grant".
> >> >
> >> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
> >> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
> >> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
> >> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
> >> >
> >> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
> >> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
> >> > thing?
> >> >
> >> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
> >> > should they require it of amateurs?
```

> >>
> >>
> >> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> >> We can't have
> >> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
> >
> > Where do you get that idea?
> >
> > Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
> > result.
> >
> >>That's what happens
> >> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
> >> government.
> >>
> > Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
> > of "liberal".
> >
> > For example:
> >
> > Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
> > got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
> >
> > Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
> > administrations that we got:
> >
> > - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
> >
> > - Openly published question pools
> >
> > - End of Morse Code sending test
> >
> > - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
> > have same written as Generals
> >
> > - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
> > this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
> >
> > - Technician lost its code test
> >
> > Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
> >
> > - FCC support for BPL
> >
> > - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
> >
> > - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test

> >
> > Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>
>
> It's the state of that nation.

Which nation?

> Everyone's got to have their handout.
> Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.
>
> SC

You blamed it on "liberals". But look at who was in charge when all
those reductions in requirements came about.

1764. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:22:33 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f96115f215d6889989a3e@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167830
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <fACVg.5939$Y24.395@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9085a4288aea7b989a3c@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > In article <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >>
> >>
> >> Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on the
> >> air or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see why CW
> >> is important.
> >>
> >> Thanks again and Keep up the good work.
> >>
> >> 73 de Slow Code
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > You need to read them again SC. You break them daily on usenet. You're
> > not considerate. You're down right unfriendly to some and You're about
> > unprogressive as they come.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Chris
>
>
> You think that's bad? Wait until HF sounds 11 meters and you can't find a
> decent contact anywhere. You'll see I was right.
>
> I crawled out of my hole around restructuring time. Then the ARRL and FCC
> screwed ham radio. I went back in my hole again. Now the FCC and it
> appears with the blessings of the ARRL is planning to toss CW all
> together. I come out of my hole to fight for the integrity of ham radio
> once again, but when the R&O comes out eliminating CW, I'll crawl back
> into my hole again. Leaving you and everyone else the problem of trying to
> deal with poor behavior and operating practice on the bands. The result
> of dumbing down licensing.
>
> Outcome based education doesn't work, what makes you think outcome based
```

> licensing will?
>
> SC
>
You still won't acknowledge your poor behavior that really hurts your cause.

Chris

1765. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:24:43 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167844
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
> >>
> >> > AC7PN wrote:
> >> >> Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
> >> >>>
> >> >>> SC
> >> >>
> >> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
> >> >> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like talking
> >> >> to someone on CB."
> >> >>
> >> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > I would have said ignorance ;)
> >> >
> >> > 73, Phil W7OX
> >>
> >>
> >> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where have
> >> all the communicators gone?
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> >
> > Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would not
> > dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know. Whether you
> > use it or not is a personal preference. I think programming you're own
> > software to send code yourself and building your own radio is a lot more
> > technical than learning code.
> >
> > 73
> >
```

> > Chris
>
>
> But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't want
> to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to learn other
> things to advance themselves technically either.
>
> SC
>
If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter, now
that takes somw technical know how.

Chris

1766. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:24:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3uhoi2der9vfmifmubci613mi87canpp9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 167831
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 01:22:33 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <fACVg.5939$Y24.395@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9085a4288aea7b989a3c@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > In article <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on the
>> >> air or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see why CW
>> >> is important.
>> >>
>> >> Thanks again and Keep up the good work.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Slow Code
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> > You need to read them again SC. You break them daily on usenet. You're
>> > not considerate. You're down right unfriendly to some and You're about
>> > unprogressive as they come.
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Chris
>>
>>
>> You think that's bad? Wait until HF sounds 11 meters and you can't find a
>> decent contact anywhere. You'll see I was right.
>>
>> I crawled out of my hole around restructuring time. Then the ARRL and FCC
>> screwed ham radio. I went back in my hole again. Now the FCC and it
>> appears with the blessings of the ARRL is planning to toss CW all
>> together. I come out of my hole to fight for the integrity of ham radio
>> once again, but when the R&O comes out eliminating CW, I'll crawl back
>> into my hole again. Leaving you and everyone else the problem of trying to
>> deal with poor behavior and operating practice on the bands. The result
>> of dumbing down licensing.

>>
>> Outcome based education doesn't work, what makes you think outcome based
>> licensing will?
>>
>> SC
>>
>You still won't acknowledge your poor behavior that really hurts your
>cause.
indeed he and the other ProCoders are the poster boys for No Code
>
>Chris
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1767. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:25:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dvhoi2l2feg20n4pfukdv3d1qp7nv8rebp@4ax.com>
Source record: 167833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>
>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>
>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>
>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>
>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> Try again.
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>
>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to

>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>
>> Pot, meet kettle.
>
>
>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

realy they are good and valid arguments... For No Code HF
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1768. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:26:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s0ioi2hc706i9f4ethclvqk7pgk1tbhbre@4ax.com>
Source record: 167832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:2ivli2hbs8si0m5ndn1sidvc9f1uv8ds14@4ax.com:
>
>> Hey Blow Code. You are such a proud amateur radio operator give us
>> your call sign so we can give you the proper reconition you deserve.
>
>
>I serve the ham community with selfless determination to try to save its
>members from their complacent attitudes. I need no recognition. I'm a
>humble servant. I seek no glory.
still you are afraid to give your call
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1769. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:27:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a2ioi2tij6a58tpredfpmtbbgpia0h0qpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>>> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to study
>>> >> a little first?
>>> >
>>> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>>> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>>> >
>>> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>>> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass it.
>>> > I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I was
>>> > licensed, too.
>>> >
>>> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>>> > problem.
>>> >
>>> > BUT
>>> >
>>> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>>> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's part
>>> > of the "conditions of grant".
>>> >
>>> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>>> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there is
>>> > some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up, FCC
>>> > will sometimes require a specific retest.
>>> >
>>> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC is
>>> > that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a good
>>> > thing?
>>> >
>>> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why

>>> > should they require it of amateurs?
>>>
>>>
>>> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>>
>> I don't think so.
>>
>>> We can't have
>>> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>>
>> Where do you get that idea?
>>
>> Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality of
>> result.
>>
>>>That's what happens
>>> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>>> government.
>>>
>> Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird definition
>> of "liberal".
>>
>> For example:
>>
>> Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that we
>> got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>>
>> Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under their
>> administrations that we got:
>>
>> - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>>
>> - Openly published question pools
>>
>> - End of Morse Code sending test
>>
>> - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>> have same written as Generals
>>
>> - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>> this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>>
>> - Technician lost its code test
>>
>> Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>>
>> - FCC support for BPL
>>
>> - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>>

>> - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>>
>> Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>
>
>It's the state of that nation. Everyone's got to have their handout.
>Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.

a valid argument for NoCode you arrogantance shows you motive
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1770. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:27:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <34ioi2lt0ek3tklug9p3rf8s5lrc09i0ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 167836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>
>> All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
>> away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
>> band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
>> radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>>
>
>Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
SC Poster boy for No Code
>
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1771. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:27:54 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> He doesn't have intellect.
> >
> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
> >
>
>
> Mrs. Wiseman.
>
> You have a filthy mouth.
>
> SC
>
Pot Kettle Black!

1772. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:28:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <65ioi25vfg2k1js82okn5726mdqqiamb95@4ax.com>
Source record: 167837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>> licensees and above.
>>
>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>> cause our service to become extinct.
>
>
>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
go for it SC the poster boy for NoCode
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1773. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:29:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <06ioi21dfeu6nke70ql9au52a7b3b2fq5g@4ax.com>
Source record: 167838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:0pkni2l7k8h45jq5q6u9kl0im30din2vgg@4ax.com:
>
>> I was licenced in 1967 at the age of 11. Went through incentive
>> licensing and all that then got my 20wpm extra at age 16 so dont
>> preach or talk down ro me. Is it Slow Code or No Balls I get that
>> mixed up?
>
>
>Why are you so concerned about my balls? Are you gay?
>
>Stay away from me you pervert!
again you you serve as a Poster Boy for NoCode
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1774. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:30:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n7ioi2hsevj9a417cuo7hd7u75629pr0au@4ax.com>
Source record: 167839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code) wrote in
>news:BACVg.6062$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 07:37:52 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>> I'll be checking back from time to time to see how you are doing. It
>> looks to me like you're progressing well. There's definitely hope for
>> you, so keep your chin up.
>
>
>ROFL!
>
>Harassing people that top post. When you're finished here, see if you can
>do something about Markie's spelling.

SC Poster Boy for NoCode
>
>Tnx, 73
>
>de Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1775. Re: Slow Code takes another healthy crap on the group again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:31:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u9ioi29smjr9qb7orrspp2il97dvj1p9l2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.

you and Robeson and Wismen are the crappers
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1776. slow code crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:32:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2cioi2dei1ib7d04ianlee3omq1sa6h10n@4ax.com>
Source record: 167841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

you don't talk about radio you insult everyone you dislike
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1777. help ham radio SC turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:40:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160530843.271224.177280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167842
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Douche Bag wrote:
> Man you need to chill. You have issues. Go see a head doc, not a penis
> head doc!

help ham radio SC turn in your license

1778. Re: (THIS IS SO REAL PEOPLE) IM ASKING FOR DONATIONS FOR HEART SURGERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 01:42:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160530974.778194.99840@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Douche Bag wrote:
>
help ham radio SC turn in your license

1779. RRAP version of Goldylocks bedtime story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 03:41:12 UTC
From: revfoxweek9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160538072.296402.150100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167845

A very special bedtime story for our friends in RRAP.
I found the original in another NG, but it's perfect for RRAP reading fer sure.

As I used to say on 20 Meters several years ago: "Enjoy, Ya've earned it!!"

---- cut ---- begin . . . . . .

Goldilocks was a pretty, wide-eyed girl with the face of an angel and the brain of a lungfish. Unemployed, stupid, and with Buckley's chance of ever getting a real gig, she spent her days wandering through

dark and dreary forests looking for hot action.
There wasn't a lot of hot action to be found those days, so Goldilocks would simply spend a lot of time stumbling around the forest

whacked out of her brain on Valium, Seconal and cheap gin that she'd pinched from her mother's top cupboard, holding one-sided conversations

with trees.
But one day, Goldilocks got lucky. She'd stumbled down a path that she'd never seen and was soon in the dankest, darkest, wierdest part
of the dankest, darkest, wierdest forest she'd ever stumbled around in.

Before long, she came across a little cottage. It was very pretty, with doors that looked like they were made out of gingerbread, a
marshmallow chimney and window boxes made of red strawberry icing.
Goldilocks smiled and laughed as she skipped over to the house.

Well, part of the way to the house -- she was so out of it that she tripped over a non-existent hedgehog and fell flat on her face, tearing

her pretty dress from neck to knee, revealing a ripe young body that had
not yet had time to fall apart under the influence of her outrageous drug
intake.
Picking herself up she staggered to the door, which was not made
of gingerbread at all. In fact it was solidly constructed from 2-inch hardwood planks and fitted with an electronic security system.
But, strangely enough, it was open, as Goldilocks found to her surprise when she leaned on it and fell tits first into a stone hallway.

"Shit!" she exclaimed.
The house was silent, so Goldilocks began to explore. She
wanted
a drink, but BAD.
In the cupboard over the sink were three gin bottles. One was
a
big, duty-free 2-litre job.
"Fuck, I'm too off my face to mess with that. I'll drop it for
sure," she thought.
Next was a 25ml. miniature of Gordons.
"Farking pissweak..." she mumbled to nobody.
But in the middle was a 750ml. bottle of Tanqeray.
"Shit hot!" she exclaimed, pouring herself three quick tumblers

and slamming them down.
Next she decided to look for some smoke. Atop a rather
suspiciously damp glass-topped coffee table she saw three pipes.
One was a four-foot Turkish harem hookah with four hoses.
"Too mind-fucking..."
Next was a tiny hash-spotting pipe.
"Too fiddly..."
Last of all was a bong made from an old orange juice bottle
with a
fat foil bowl packed with purple skunk heads. "Far out!" she said...

After she'd smoked herself beyond the point of human
comprehension, she went through the same stupid routine looking for a
place to crash. Upstairs she found three beds, the first of which was
actually a rack. Another was simply a set of wall chains and manacles,

but between them was an antique four-poster number, complete with
fluffy
pillows and a ceiling mirror.
"Unrool!" she gurgled, before climbing on top. The bed was so
comfortable, and she was so ripped, that before long she had drifted
into
an erotic fantasy and begun to masturbate.
Downstairs the door slammed shut as the Three Bares walked in.

The Three Bares were a hot lesbian strip act who'd moved into the
forest a
few weeks earlier to escape a charge of lewd and indecent performance
in
Bangkok.
"Who the fuck left the door open?" demanded the biggest one,
Gretel.
"Aw, shit Gretel, I dunno," said Tina, the littlest one. "And I

couldn't care less. Let's get a drink. I'm fuckin' fucked."
The medium sized one was already at the cupboard. "Hey, some
cunt's been hitting my gin!" she squealed, her tremendous teats
quivering

with indignant rage.
"Aw, shut yer fat trap, Kylie. Youse is always crapping on
about
us gettin into yer grog. Youse don't complain when we get into yer
PANTS,
eh?"
"Go fuck yourself..." mumbled Kylie, as she picked up her
favourite bong from the coffee table.
"This is getting beyond a farking joke!!" she roared.
"Someone's
been at the dope!" and just as she turned to scratch the eyes out of
her
"friends" for getting amongst her greens, they all froze...
"Errgle...UMPHHH...oh yeah, yeah, YEAH! Fuck me! Do it to me
baby!
Harder! OohhhARRGGH!"
It was Goldilocks bringing herself to a shattering climax
upstairs.
"Some slag moll is tossing off up there, and I'll bet she's
doing
it in MY BED!!" screamed Kylie. The Three Bares ran upstairs, and into

the bedroom. Goldilocks was so off her face and so deeply involved in
beating her beaver that she didn't see or hear them, and continued
pleasuring herself as the Bares stood and watched.
"Cute piece," said Gretel, to nobody in particular, but there
was
a general nodding of heads.
Kylie's anger had already disappeared, and she had grabbed
Tina's
hand and was rubbing it across her own breasts. Tina was already
breathing heavily, and had begun grinding her clammy thighs together.
"ErrAWWWWW! Please, please yes yes YES! ARRGGH!!!" said
Goldilocks.
"Christ, I can't stand much more!" said Gretel, tearing off her

tight cut-off denim shorts. "I've gotta get myself some of this!" And

with that she hurled herself onto the bed, landing with her face right
on
top of Goldilocks' hungry gungly bits. Goldilocks didn't miss a beat.
"Err YOW! Oh, no, no, YES YES YES ARRGGHH!!"
In seconds Kylie and Tina were in the bed as well, and for the
next two hours the whole forest rang with the ecstatic cries of a
loin-busting lesbian orgy.
In fact, it still continues to this day, and somewhere in a
forest
far, far away, there is still a little gingerbread house where lonely
nubile young girlies can go for strong drink, good smoke and sexual
understanding.

The House Of The Four Bares.

THE END.

1780. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 04:06:32 UTC
From: revfoxweek9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160539592.235761.16070@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167846
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

bobandcarole wrote:
> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>
> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>
> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244

To quote Mr Carrot of A.T. who put it quite well elsewhere:

"...When a 40 y.o. heterosexual male hits on a 17 y.o. girl, she tells
him to fuck off. But when a 40 y.o. homosexual male hits on a 17 y.o.
boy, who has a raging hard-on a couple of hours a day, he shrugs and
says 'I'll take the best I can get, and besides, a million Turkish
sailors can't all be wrong.'"

1781. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 04:11:31 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <vbooi2lro8dlohaf68rlp1fcs6h1hsejj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sorry I am late in replying. Holiday weekend here in Canada.

On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:

>Opus- wrote:
>> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >Opus- wrote:
>
>> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
>> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
>> >> convey the words.
>
>> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
>> >skilled in it.
>
>> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
>> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.
>
>Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
>a familiar voice.
>
>btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
>that op.

Never heard the term "fist" used in this context but it's been a while
since I have spent much time with a coder.

>> I am not sure how
>> much more a person can get out of code.
>
>The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
>bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.
>
>The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
>than 'just the words'.
>
>> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.
>
>I think that is your main point.

More than words, but how much more? I also have to believe that code
is slower than speech. Not usually a big issue but an issue none the
less.

>> >It's a different
>> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
>> >experience from the spoken word.
>>
>> Fair enough.
>
>Exactly.
>
>> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
>> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
>> >> a key that is much more limited?
>> >
>> >Several reasons:
>> >
>> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
>> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
>>
>> Well, I did say "usually".
>
>Of course.
>
>> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?
>
>That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
>you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
>limitation in most cases.
>
>Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
>example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
>equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
>than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
>the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
>less than that of the equivalent voice station.

With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
issue.

>> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
>> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
>>
>> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.
>
>All sorts of things:
>
>A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
>lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
>to communicate another way can be a real treat!)

I dunno..I guess I like hearing things like gender or a foreign accent
to add spice to communication.

>B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
>to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
>the same experience as listening to recorded music?

Hmm..well..not really a good analogy. Listening to music is only a one
way street while both performing music, as well as radio
communications, is naturally a two way street.

>C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
>easy - or even easier - than using voice.

Not quite sure how, but I'll take your word for it.

>D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
>For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
>etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
>sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
>don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
>talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
>times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
>public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
>and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.

Not really a common circumstance, but I see your point here.

>> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
>> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
>> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
>> >the band.
>>
>> Some very valid points here.
>
>None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
>amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
>but that's just my opinion.
>
>> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
>> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
>> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
>> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
>>
>> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
>> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
>> recognize where it was broadcast from.
>
>Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
>attraction.

But some here seem to suggest that if no or little skill is required
then it's really not worth pursuing. I strongly dispute that.

>> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
>
>Here's one more:

>
>5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
>actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
>the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
>interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
>behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
>using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
>would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.
>
>This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
>saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
>from hams actually using Morse Code.

Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.

>> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
>> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
>> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
>> >
>> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
>> >sure don't.
>>
>> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.
>
>Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
>the debate.
>
>> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
>> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.
>
>How does one define "handout"?

Based on the comments, it would seem that the offending poster was
referring to something that was unique to Canada. About the only thing
I can think of is our medical care system. And THAT'S not really free
at all, as I will explain further below.

>For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
>many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
>districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
>the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
>many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government
>handout to people with lots of kids?
>
>Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If
>you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If
>you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to
>homeowners?

Now as for mortgages and home equity loans, the interest is NOT a tax
deduction here in Canada. That could be considered a handout that

Americans enjoy, something Canadians can't enjoy. Also, Canada is the
second highest taxed nation in the world. Renters get a wee bit of a
break in some provinces but not here in Alberta, Canada's "Texas".

>Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what
>is a handout and what isn't.
>
>> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
>> >> a valid point?
>> >
>> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
>> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
>>
>> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.
>
>My pleasure. Thanks for reading.
>
>> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
>> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
>> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
>> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
>> bolted together. I was self-supporting.
>
>Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a
>wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.

Drove by many many years later. Tower gone. Different house on same
lot. I guess you can never go back.

1782. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 04:28:01 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 167848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>
>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>
>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>
>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>
>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and tell
>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> Try again.
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>>
>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
```

>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>
>> Pot, meet kettle.
>
>
>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.

Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
handout is a good sound argument?

You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
arguments".

Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
argument" make.

Hope this helps.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1783. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 04:37:59 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7psoi2pu9suq0aqsduju7kif06ds25lc91@4ax.com>
Source record: 167849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>> licensees and above.
>>
>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>> cause our service to become extinct.
>
>
>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.

Newsflash. Your radio will still work just fine and you will still be
able to talk to other hams any way you like. That IS what ham radio is
for, after all. Other peoples exam scores will have no effect on your
ability to operate your equipment. Are you gonna tell another ham in
Berlin than you can't talk to him because Jack Sprat in Iowa got his
licence without code? Is your radio experience dependent on some
strangers [who you many never talk to] credentials? If so, then your
ham license was worthless the day you passed your test.
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1784. Re: get off the computer,mork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 05:11:50 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160543510.211884.29100@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167750
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
> get back to sucking Gavrielah's cock

Marqueer,you are a sick fuck.

1785. Re: RRAP version of Goldylocks bedtime story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 05:21:41 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160544101.183702.253800@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote:
> A very special bedtime story for our friends in RRAP.
> I found the original in another NG, but it's perfect for RRAP reading
> fer sure.
>
> As I used to say on 20 Meters several years ago: "Enjoy, Ya've earned
> it!!"
>
> ---- cut ---- begin . . . . . .
>
> Goldilocks was a pretty, wide-eyed girl with the face of an angel
> and the brain of a lungfish. Unemployed, stupid, and with Buckley's
> chance of ever getting a real gig, she spent her days wandering through
>
> dark and dreary forests looking for hot action.
> There wasn't a lot of hot action to be found those days, so
> Goldilocks would simply spend a lot of time stumbling around the forest
>
> whacked out of her brain on Valium, Seconal and cheap gin that she'd
> pinched from her mother's top cupboard, holding one-sided conversations
>
> with trees.
> But one day, Goldilocks got lucky. She'd stumbled down a path
> that she'd never seen and was soon in the dankest, darkest, wierdest
> part
> of the dankest, darkest, wierdest forest she'd ever stumbled around in.
>
> Before long, she came across a little cottage. It was very
> pretty, with doors that looked like they were made out of gingerbread,
> a
> marshmallow chimney and window boxes made of red strawberry icing.
> Goldilocks smiled and laughed as she skipped over to the house.
>
> Well, part of the way to the house -- she was so out of it that she
> tripped over a non-existent hedgehog and fell flat on her face, tearing
>
> her pretty dress from neck to knee, revealing a ripe young body that
> had
> not yet had time to fall apart under the influence of her outrageous
> drug
> intake.
> Picking herself up she staggered to the door, which was not
> made

> of gingerbread at all. In fact it was solidly constructed from 2-inch
> hardwood planks and fitted with an electronic security system.
> But, strangely enough, it was open, as Goldilocks found to her
> surprise when she leaned on it and fell tits first into a stone
> hallway.
> "Shit!" she exclaimed.
> The house was silent, so Goldilocks began to explore. She
> wanted
> a drink, but BAD.
> In the cupboard over the sink were three gin bottles. One was
> a
> big, duty-free 2-litre job.
> "Fuck, I'm too off my face to mess with that. I'll drop it for
> sure," she thought.
> Next was a 25ml. miniature of Gordons.
> "Farking pissweak..." she mumbled to nobody.
> But in the middle was a 750ml. bottle of Tanqeray.
> "Shit hot!" she exclaimed, pouring herself three quick tumblers
>
> and slamming them down.
> Next she decided to look for some smoke. Atop a rather
> suspiciously damp glass-topped coffee table she saw three pipes.
> One was a four-foot Turkish harem hookah with four hoses.
> "Too mind-fucking..."
> Next was a tiny hash-spotting pipe.
> "Too fiddly..."
> Last of all was a bong made from an old orange juice bottle
> with a
> fat foil bowl packed with purple skunk heads. "Far out!" she said...
>
> After she'd smoked herself beyond the point of human
> comprehension, she went through the same stupid routine looking for a
> place to crash. Upstairs she found three beds, the first of which was
> actually a rack. Another was simply a set of wall chains and manacles,
>
> but between them was an antique four-poster number, complete with
> fluffy
> pillows and a ceiling mirror.
> "Unrool!" she gurgled, before climbing on top. The bed was so
> comfortable, and she was so ripped, that before long she had drifted
> into
> an erotic fantasy and begun to masturbate.
> Downstairs the door slammed shut as the Three Bares walked in.
>
> The Three Bares were a hot lesbian strip act who'd moved into the
> forest a
> few weeks earlier to escape a charge of lewd and indecent performance
> in
> Bangkok.
> "Who the fuck left the door open?" demanded the biggest one,

> Gretel.
> "Aw, shit Gretel, I dunno," said Tina, the littlest one. "And I
>
> couldn't care less. Let's get a drink. I'm fuckin' fucked."
> The medium sized one was already at the cupboard. "Hey, some
> cunt's been hitting my gin!" she squealed, her tremendous teats
> quivering
> with indignant rage.
> "Aw, shut yer fat trap, Kylie. Youse is always crapping on
> about
> us gettin into yer grog. Youse don't complain when we get into yer
> PANTS,
> eh?"
> "Go fuck yourself..." mumbled Kylie, as she picked up her
> favourite bong from the coffee table.
> "This is getting beyond a farking joke!!" she roared.
> "Someone's
> been at the dope!" and just as she turned to scratch the eyes out of
> her
> "friends" for getting amongst her greens, they all froze...
> "Errgle...UMPHHH...oh yeah, yeah, YEAH! Fuck me! Do it to me
> baby!
> Harder! OohhhARRGGH!"
> It was Goldilocks bringing herself to a shattering climax
> upstairs.
> "Some slag moll is tossing off up there, and I'll bet she's
> doing
> it in MY BED!!" screamed Kylie. The Three Bares ran upstairs, and into
>
> the bedroom. Goldilocks was so off her face and so deeply involved in
> beating her beaver that she didn't see or hear them, and continued
> pleasuring herself as the Bares stood and watched.
> "Cute piece," said Gretel, to nobody in particular, but there
> was
> a general nodding of heads.
> Kylie's anger had already disappeared, and she had grabbed
> Tina's
> hand and was rubbing it across her own breasts. Tina was already
> breathing heavily, and had begun grinding her clammy thighs together.
> "ErrAWWWWW! Please, please yes yes YES! ARRGGH!!!" said
> Goldilocks.
> "Christ, I can't stand much more!" said Gretel, tearing off her
>
> tight cut-off denim shorts. "I've gotta get myself some of this!" And
>
> with that she hurled herself onto the bed, landing with her face right
> on
> top of Goldilocks' hungry gungly bits. Goldilocks didn't miss a beat.
> "Err YOW! Oh, no, no, YES YES YES ARRGGHH!!"
> In seconds Kylie and Tina were in the bed as well, and for the

> next two hours the whole forest rang with the ecstatic cries of a
> loin-busting lesbian orgy.
> In fact, it still continues to this day, and somewhere in a
> forest
> far, far away, there is still a little gingerbread house where lonely
> nubile young girlies can go for strong drink, good smoke and sexual
> understanding.
>
> The House Of The Four Bares.
>
> THE END.

Faggot morkie bullshit

1786. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 05:57:26 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160546246.061542.183540@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167752
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote:
> bobandcarole wrote:
> > Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> > men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> > handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >
> > Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> > for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> > to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >
> > http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>
> To quote Mr Carrot of A.T. who put it quite well elsewhere:
>
> "...When a 40 y.o. heterosexual male hits on a 17 y.o. girl, she tells
> him to fuck off. But when a 40 y.o. homosexual male hits on a 17 y.o.
> boy, who has a raging hard-on a couple of hours a day, he shrugs and
> says 'I'll take the best I can get, and besides, a million Turkish
> sailors can't all be wrong.'"

Spoken like a true pedophile

1787. Re: RRAP version of Goldylocks bedtime story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 06:23:22 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160547802.675511.317950@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

You slut. You didn't forward it to Hustler this time!

1788. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 10:38:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160563114.936181.98060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Opus- wrote:
> Sorry I am late in replying. Holiday weekend here in Canada.

I hope it was a good one.

> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >Opus- wrote:
> >>
> >> >> The statement is quite simple...a voice on the airwaves can convey
> >> >> much more information than just the words spoken but CW can only
> >> >> convey the words.
> >>
> >> >Morse Code can convey more than the words - if the operators are
> >> >skilled in it.
> >>
> >> One of those old timers once told me that he recognized another
> >> operators "hand" back when I watched him operate.
> >>
> >Yup. Little things about an op's sending can make it as recognizable as
> >a familiar voice.
> >
> >btw, the term "fist" is used in the same context as "hand" was used by
> >that op.
>
> Never heard the term "fist" used in this context but it's been a while
> since I have spent much time with a coder.

Both terms are used. Some folks use the term "swing" as well, but
that's not exactly a compliment.
>
> >> I am not sure how
> >> much more a person can get out of code.
> >>
> >The words, of course. How they are sent can tell a lot, too. It takes a
> >bit of experience to recognize all the subtleties of Morse Code.
> >
> >The main point is that skilled Morse Code operators can convey more
> >than 'just the words'.
> >
> >> >It's not the same thing as a voice, though.
> >>

> >I think that is your main point.
>
> More than words, but how much more?

Quite a bit, but obviously not as much as a voice. The main point is
that skilled operators get more than 'just the words'.

It's a bit similar to the way that one's perception of the written word
is affected by the font, punctuation, capitalization, etc. Not exactly
the same, but similar.

> I also have to believe that code
> is slower than speech. Not usually a big issue but an issue none the
> less.

Like many things, "it depends".

The raw speed of the spoken word is obviously faster.

But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.

For example, the first response in a voice QSO might go like this:

"VE6QRM, victor-echo-six-quebec-romeo-mike, this is N2EY,
november-two-echo-yankee, thanks for the call. You're five and nine,
five-nine here, good clear signals. I am in Wayne, Pennsylvania, that's
Wayne, whiskey-alpha-yankee-november-echo, Pennsylvania, papa-alpha.
Name here is Jim, john-ida-mike, Jim. How do you copy me?....."

while using Morse Code, the same exchange could be:

"VE6QRM DE N2EY TNX CL BT UR 599 599 GUD SIG IN WAYNE PA WAYNE PA BT OP
JIM JIM BT HW?...."

Same information, two different modes. If the Morse Code ops are
reasonably fast, the time is comparable.
>
> >> >It's a different
> >> >communications experience, just as the written word is a different
> >> >experience from the spoken word.
> >>
> >> Fair enough.
> >
> >Exactly.
> >
> >> >> Since the medium and usually the hardware is exactly
> >> >> the same weather or not a microphone or a key is used, why bother with
> >> >> a key that is much more limited?
> >> >

> >> >Several reasons:
> >> >
> >> >1) It's often *not* the same hardware. You can use much simpler
> >> >equipment for Morse Code than for voice modes.
> >>
> >> Well, I did say "usually".
> >
> >Of course.
> >
> >> But wouldn't simpler equipment limit you to code only?
> >
> >That depends on the exact situation. The important point is that once
> >you have Morse Code skills, using code-only equipment isn't really a
> >limitation in most cases.
> >
> >Simplicity of equipment can be very important in some situations. For
> >example, if someone wants to actually build their HF Amateur Radio
> >equipment, it's much simpler and easier to build a Morse Code station
> >than an equivalent-performance voice station. In portable operations,
> >the power requirement, size and weight of a Morse Code station can be
> >less than that of the equivalent voice station.
>
> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
> issue.

I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?

For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
difference in low power performance really matters.

> >> >2) It's a different communications experience. (see above). For many of
> >> >us, that alone makes it worthwhile.
> >>
> >> I am curious as to what would make it worthwhile.
> >
> >All sorts of things:
> >
> >A) You can communicate without talking or typing. (In a world where a
> >lot of us spend a lot of time on the telephone and computer, being able
> >to communicate another way can be a real treat!)
>
> I dunno..I guess I like hearing things like gender or a foreign accent
> to add spice to communication.

Of course. And that's part of the point: different communications
experiences.
>

> >B) The exercise of a skill is fun. Consider the person who learns how
> >to play a musical instrument: do you think making music (performing) is
> >the same experience as listening to recorded music?
>
> Hmm..well..not really a good analogy. Listening to music is only a one
> way street while both performing music, as well as radio
> communications, is naturally a two way street.

I was thinking of the person who performs the music for themselves vs.
listening to a recording.

Either way, it's still a different experience.

Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
makes a big difference.

> >C) Once you have the skills, communicating with Morse Code can be as
> >easy - or even easier - than using voice.
>
> Not quite sure how, but I'll take your word for it.

> >D) You can use Morse Code in situations where voice could not be used.
> >For example, suppose you are in a small house, apartment, RV, tent,
> >etc., and you want to operate without disturbing others (who might be
> >sleeping, talking, etc.). Of course you can put on headphones so they
> >don't hear the received signals, but in order to transmit, you have to
> >talk. Even if you keep your voice down, it can bother others. How many
> >times have you heard people complain about folks using cell phones in
> >public? But with Morse Code and a good pair of cans, you can operate
> >and make less noise than someone typing on a keyboard.
>
> Not really a common circumstance, but I see your point here.

I think it depends on the amateur's situation. I know plenty of hams
with small children in the house, or with limited space for a shack,
where the sound issue is a big one. Being able to operate quietly can
be the difference between operating and not operating.

> >> >3) It takes up much less spectrum. With good equipment, five to ten
> >> >Morse Code signals can fit in the same spectrum space required by just
> >> >one single-sideband voice signal. AM and FM take up even more space on
> >> >the band.
> >>
> >> Some very valid points here.
> >
> >None of which mean that there *must* be a Morse Code test for an
> >amateur radio license. I happen to think such a test is a good idea,
> >but that's just my opinion.

> >
> >> >4) It's more effective under adverse conditions. A Morse Code signal
> >> >typically has about 10-13 dB of advanatage over single-sideband voice.
> >> >That's about 2 S-units. Under conditions that make SSB unusable, or
> >> >barely usable, Morse Code will often be solid copy with good signals.
> >>
> >> I could see the challenge in this. I remember a certain thrill back
> >> when I was a kid, whenever I managed to make out a distant signal and
> >> recognize where it was broadcast from.
> >
> >Exactly! The very fact that it takes some skill is part of the fun and
> >attraction.
>
> But some here seem to suggest that if no or little skill is required
> then it's really not worth pursuing. I strongly dispute that.

I'm not sure what you mean by "if little or no skill is required, then
it's really not worth pursuing".

> >> >There are other reasons, but those four come to mind right now.
> >
> >Here's one more:
> >
> >5) The amount of "bad behavior" problems resulting in FCC enforcement
> >actions is much less from radio amateurs using Morse Code. Just look at
> >the FCC enforcement letters that address violations of deliberate
> >interference, obscenity, exceeding license privileges, and other "bad
> >behavior" problems. Almost all of them are for violations committed
> >using voice modes, not Morse Code. The difference is much greater than
> >would be expected from the relative popularity of the modes.
> >
> >This doesn't mean all voice ops are problems or all Morse Code ops are
> >saints! All it means is that there's a lot less enforcement problems
> >from hams actually using Morse Code.
>
> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.

I disagree - for two reasons!

First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
Code. I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
presented correctly.

Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.

However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies. But

Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.

The second reason is that the 'bad behavior' of amateurs on the air
doesn't seem to decrease with age. In fact, it may be the opposite!

One of the worst offenders here in the USA was a Californian named Jack
Gerritsen (ex-KG6IRO). He was found guilty of multiple repeated
offenses, all of which involved on-air behavior like jamming, not
'technical' violations. His bad behavior started on the ham bands but
spread to public service bands as well, giving amateur radio a black
eye. Enforcement efforts up to revoking his license didn't stop him.
The guy was totally out of control, a real problem case. So now he is
going to prison for seven years and has to pay a fairly serious fine
($21,000US, IIRC).

Gerritsen used only voice modes. He is now 70.

> >> >> Somehow, this relates to pixels on my
> >> >> screen but I have yet to understand why my opponent felt the need to
> >> >> misdirect, misrepresent and misquote.
> >> >
> >> >Lots of that going around - on both sides. Don't let it bother you - I
> >> >sure don't.
> >>
> >> I just don't like the snotty attitude that makes the ARS look so bad.
> >
> >Agreed! There's too much of that type of attitude on *both* sides of
> >the debate.
> >
> >> I am still waiting for my government handout. Never had any government
> >> handouts in the 44 years I have been around.
> >
> >How does one define "handout"?
>
> Based on the comments, it would seem that the offending poster was
> referring to something that was unique to Canada. About the only thing
> I can think of is our medical care system. And THAT'S not really free
> at all, as I will explain further below.

I've lost track of who was using the term "handout". I don't think it
was you.

> >For example, is public education of children a government handout? Yes,
> >many parents with kids in public school pay school taxes, but in most
> >districts those taxes paid by parents do not cover all of the costs of
> >the public schools. And the level of taxation does not depend on how
> >many children the parents have in school. Is public school a government
> >handout to people with lots of kids?

I don't know how Canadian public education is funded, but I suspect
that it's not that much different than in the USA - at least to the

extent that parents don't pay the full amount, nor does the tax level
increase with the number of children in school.

Is public education a government handout to people with several
children?

> >Or how about tax deductions? Are they a form of government handout? If
> >you have a mortgage or home equity loan, the interest is deductible. If
> >you rent, you don't get that deduction. Is that a government handout to
> >homeowners?
>
> Now as for mortgages and home equity loans, the interest is NOT a tax
> deduction here in Canada. That could be considered a handout that
> Americans enjoy, something Canadians can't enjoy.

Exactly - if one uses the term "handout". A lot of US homeowners would
say that they 'deserve' the tax deduction.

I would say that the USA uses tax policy as a form of social
engineering. By making mortgage and home-equity interest count as a tax
deduction, the government is supporting home ownership over renting.

> Also, Canada is the
> second highest taxed nation in the world.

Really? Who is #1 - Sweden?

> Renters get a wee bit of a
> break in some provinces but not here in Alberta, Canada's "Texas".
>
> >Not trying to be argumentative, just trying to get a clear idea of what
> >is a handout and what isn't.

One person's handout is another's entitlement.

One more "handout": some (not all) Social Security benefits. Most
Americans make payments into Social Security all their working lives.
Some never collect a penny, because they die young.

But if a person receiving Social Security benefits lives long enough,
they will eventually receive more in benefits than they paid into the
system - including reasonable interest.

Is that a "handout"?

> >> >> Can none of the pro-coders make
> >> >> a valid point?
> >> >
> >> >I just made a couple of valid points. That doesn't mean there *must* be
> >> >a Morse Code test, just that the mode has some good points.
> >>
> >> Thank you for making some points in a nice, civilized manner.
> >
> >My pleasure. Thanks for reading.

> >
> >> My neighbor, when I was about 12 or younger, had a nifty tower setup.
> >> He had 2 tall telephone poles in the ground with enough space between
> >> them for a third pole bolted in near the top, adding almost the full
> >> length of another pole, save for about 6 feet where all three were
> >> bolted together. I was self-supporting.
> >
> >Cool! I recently saw a similar setup used for a repeater antenna in a
> >wooded area. It blended in much better than metal tower.
>
> Drove by many many years later. Tower gone. Different house on same
> lot. I guess you can never go back.

(sigh)

For many years there was a landmark ham tower near here. Custom rotating steel pole, over 100 feet high, with multiple HF Yagis and a full size 2 element 80/75 meter quad. (That's not a typo). All on a typical suburban lot of less than an acre....

It was built by one ham, and when he passed away another one bought the place. But when the second ham passed, the big tower and antennas needed serious work and nobody stepped up to take on the task.

So the tower is all gone and the house is like all the others in the area...

But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the people and places change.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1789. Re: get off the computer woger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 10:54:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jajpi2l33a22t1lfb036fn0b1dk54o7f3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 167755
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.west-virginia

On 10 Oct 2006 22:11:50 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>> get back to sucking Gavrielah's cock
>
>Marqueer,you are a sick fuck.
yes marqueer as opposed to mark morgan is a sick fuck
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1790. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 15:53:16 UTC
From: Glen Overby <coreSPAMsample@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ckgvv3-cbu.ln1@monolith.nodomain>
Source record: 167756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Diamond Dave <sjester911@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Good for you! It's not often that someone would come right out and admit that a
>radop that can copy high speed CW is better than himself, but you did.
>Congrats!

could I have the honor of knowing your call sign?

1791. CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 17:53:54 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167757

80M and 40M phone band expansion

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc

1792. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 18:52:31 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1160592751.484231.166520@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167758

Iitoi wrote:
> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc

Why will they go "balistic" (maybe you meant "ballistic")?

I can still operate CW on all the freqs I could before, I've picked up some additional phone spectrum on a couple of crowded bands (hopefully in time for SS phone), and all my Novice and Tech (w/Morse test) friends gained a new bunch of HF spectrum.

What's to "bo balistic" about?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
" The dust will not settle in our time. And when it does some great roaring machine will come and whirl it all skyhigh again."

><{{{{*> http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb

1793. one down and one to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 19:48:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <biiqi290mgasnguidvg5383lrc7mhhe4ua@4ax.com>
Source record: 167759

it was reported that the fcc was waitng on this to deal code testing
so it no excuses get to the point
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1794. Heh, Heh: Five days since Woger's last Google post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 19:50:43 UTC
From: Lloyd Wiseman <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <891067833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and changed Woger's passwords again. I wonder if Woger will ever find the key logger that the NIM Busters web site installed on Woger's computer?

Can you say "vml vulnerability," Woger?

1795. Re: Heh, Heh: Five days since Woger's last Google post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 19:55:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eviqi253i36n4nqqnlmto7afcu7mblm51p@4ax.com>
Source record: 167761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 19:50:43 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and
>changed Woger's passwords again. I wonder if Woger will ever find the
>key logger that the NIM Busters web site installed on Woger's computer?
>
>Can you say "vml vulnerability," Woger?
seems unlikely more likely that Google bounced the accounts and he he
has abused yahoo.com so bad he can create a new account to get new
google account

but whatever the reason good that he has been queit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1796. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 20:02:01 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Z4cXg.2828$ya1.2518@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 167762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
> news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>
>>All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
>>away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
>>band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
>>radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>>
>
>
> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.

It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how much
you whine, and it's not alternator whine.

1797. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 22:22:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160605371.365068.234590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167764

Yep, it was a mistake.

But it's over and done - more than 3 years ago.

What could be done to change it back? The time to stop the change is
long gone.

1798. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 22:32:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160605956.790621.133150@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167765

KØHB wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
> Why will they go "balistic" (maybe you meant "ballistic")?

I don't see any reason to go ballistic.

> I can still operate CW on all the freqs I could before,

In theory, yes.

But in practice, how much CW operation actually happens in the US
'phone/image subbands? Practically none that I can hear.

> I've picked up
> some additional phone spectrum on a couple of crowded bands (hopefully
> in time for SS phone), and all my Novice and Tech (w/Morse test)
> friends gained a new bunch of HF spectrum.

Yup.

> What's to "bo balistic" about?
>
Nothing I can see. But there are some other things to consider:

- I don't think FCC will do much with "regulation by bandwidth". This
R&O reinforces the basic subbands-by-mode idea, and just moves the
dividing line.

- I don't think the FCC will do anything with CTT's "no
subbands-by-mode" proposal, for the same reasons.

- The available space for *data* modes is decreased.

- The foreign 'phones will move even lower in the band, to get away
from USA 'phone QRM.

- We'll probably hear more "hi-fi SSB" and AM on 75 meters, because
there will be more room for them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1799. Re: slow code crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 22:45:19 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <cge47.sbj.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 167763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:2cioi2dei1ib7d04ianlee3omq1sa6h10n@4ax.com...
On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

you don't talk about radio you insult everyone you dislike
/

Dittos on your end, Dimwit.
Stop your whining.

1800. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 23:46:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160610378.319871.151460@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167766

KØHB wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
> Why will they go "balistic" (maybe you meant "ballistic")?

Maybe he meant balistic?

> I can still operate CW on all the freqs I could before, I've picked up
> some additional phone spectrum on a couple of crowded bands (hopefully
> in time for SS phone), and all my Novice and Tech (w/Morse test)
> friends gained a new bunch of HF spectrum.

Sounds like a win/win.

1801. Re: one down and one to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 23:55:29 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160610929.413695.9420@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.west-virginia

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> it was reported that the fcc was waitng on this to deal code testing
> so it no excuses get to the point
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU fruit cake.....
I couldn't give a flying fuck about code/no-code. Lets figure a way to
rid the bands of filthy bastards like you!

1802. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-11 23:55:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160610939.746592.236310@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167768

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > > 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> > >
> > > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> >
> > Why will they go "balistic" (maybe you meant "ballistic")?
>
> I don't see any reason to go ballistic.
>
> > I can still operate CW on all the freqs I could before,
>
> In theory, yes.
>
> But in practice, how much CW operation actually happens in the US
> 'phone/image subbands? Practically none that I can hear.

How much happened in the Novice subbands?

> > I've picked up
> > some additional phone spectrum on a couple of crowded bands (hopefully
> > in time for SS phone), and all my Novice and Tech (w/Morse test)
> > friends gained a new bunch of HF spectrum.
>
> Yup.
>
> > What's to "bo balistic" about?
> >
> Nothing I can see. But there are some other things to consider:
>
> - I don't think FCC will do much with "regulation by bandwidth". This
> R&O reinforces the basic subbands-by-mode idea, and just moves the
> dividing line.

I wasn't thrilled with that.

> - I don't think the FCC will do anything with CTT's "no
> subbands-by-mode" proposal, for the same reasons.
>
> - The available space for *data* modes is decreased.
>
> - The foreign 'phones will move even lower in the band, to get away
> from USA 'phone QRM.

Fine. More room for emcomm.

> - We'll probably hear more "hi-fi SSB" and AM on 75 meters, because
> there will be more room for them.

Invoke your filter and you won't hear much of it.

I like the Alaskan Freq amendment. It lets amateurs try out the freq
in drills and tests. In the absense of a code-free HF license, my
personal opinion is that ALL licensed amateurs have access to the 5MHz
frequencies for emergencies, drills and tests.

1803. Re: Heh, Heh: Five days since Woger's last Google post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 00:00:16 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160611216.748464.219280@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 19:50:43 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and
> >changed Woger's passwords again. I wonder if Woger will ever find the
> >key logger that the NIM Busters web site installed on Woger's computer?
> >
> >Can you say "vml vulnerability," Woger?
> seems unlikely more likely that Google bounced the accounts and he he
> has abused yahoo.com so bad he can create a new account to get new
> google account
>
> but whatever the reason good that he has been queit
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

If google dumped anyone it would have been markie. BTW, "DeLorean" is
as big of an asshole as the tard.

1804. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing A Direct Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 00:19:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160612339.940429.273420@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167770

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> > > >
> > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> > >
> > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> >
> > Nope.

Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.

> > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> >
> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> > from hurting your feelings.....

No one here is worried about my "feelings."

You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).

> > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
> >
> > Steven J. Robesin
> >
> > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!

Is it?

> We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
> REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
> MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.

I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement... Robesin has!
Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?

> WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
> when YOU are the one with failure issues?

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

> > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
> >
> > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
>
> Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
>
> Just a lame dodge.

Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.

> > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > > > >
> > > > > Brain probably is not
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Robesin probably is.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Probably is.
>
> Nope.

Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
every RRAP posting.

> > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> > > >
> > > > And a male nurse?
> > > >
> > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
>
> An "announcement"...?!?!

As in a public admission.

> I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
> what "announcement" you'd make reference to.

Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...

> > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
> >
> > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> > wife swapper, too.
>
> I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I

> just CAN'T imagine.......

Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in mine.

So why is my wife a part of your discussion?

> > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> > > name to your posts, Brain.
> > >
> > > Coward?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Should I be afraid of you?
>
> The question is WHY are you afraid...???
>
> Steve, K4YZ

No, that is not the question. I asked if I should be afraid of you. Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off coward to do so?

1805. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 00:56:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160614618.794269.267530@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167771

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > More forging of attributes, I see.
> >
> Nope.
> >
> Just documenting the obvious

Just forging attributes.

> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> > > >
> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
> > >
> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
> > history of it.
> >
> Nope. No one's been "gone after".

You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
you haven't is a lie.

> I have, however, tried to hold a mirror up to you to make you
> realize that you can't make the kinds of sneers and allegations that
> you do out odf one side of that "mouth" of yours without creating a
> whole passle of problems with the other side.

Then do so without involving my wife or my kids. You're a creep.

> Obviously you don't care.

I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
your problems.

> I can only imagine what you're like in person.

I don't think you can imagine that.

> > Thus your thread-jacking and calling out in the Subject line other
> > people's wives. To deny it makes you the lying sack of excrement that
> > you actually are.
> >
> Oh, no, Brain....don't leave yourself out of that. You're right

> in there with the best of them, greaseball.

There is no "best of them," creep.

> > > Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
> > > deceit bring on your family name.
> >
> > And there is no humiliation in the family name, Robeson?
>
> Nope.

You are nuts.

> > > > k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
> > > > you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
> > > > think people will trust you?
> > >
> > > So...I make fun of Morkie
> >
> > Why? Why do you bother?
>
> Why not?

Why?

> You do it to me and others yet think it's improper for others to
> do.

It's your life.

> > Do you puff up your chest and lick that "devil-dog" tatoo on your
> > bicep?
>
> No tatoo's here, Brain...Anywhere.

Right.

> > > and I am supposed to worry about someone
> > > "liking" me or "trusting" me in this forum...?!?!
> >
> > Is my family in this forum? Is your family in this forum?
>
> My wife has looked over my shou;der before, so yes, she's "here"
> on occassion.

She's probably long gone.

> She initially chided me for 'wasting your time with those idiots"
> until I showed her how two feced, deceitful and dishonest you and your
> Feeble Five bretheren are.

And she believed you?

> > > You're a biggger idiot than I gave you credit for, Brain.
> >
> > "Bigger" has only two g's, you idiot.
>
> OK...So I finally made a typo this WEEK.

No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
idiot.

> Why aren't you chiding Morkie for his THOUSANDS of typos in the
> last couple of DAYS...?!?!

Why? He's not calling me stupid when he makes a typo, is he?

> > > The people I am concerned about "liking" me or "trusting" me have
> > > nothing to do with this forum and probably never would.
> >
> > Hopefully they'll never do a google search on your "family name."
>
> And if they did, they'd find me standing up to liars and punks
> like you and "the boys".
>
> So what?
>
> > > And if they did, a brief overview of your demonstrated stupidity
> > > would lay-to-rest any concerns I would have about them being swayed by
> > > any criticisms I might "suffer" from YOU!
> >
> > A lengthier overview of your inability to play well with others, your
> > fixation on the male genitalia and all things of a homosexual nature,
> > propensity to drop hints and unsubstantiated claims of your imagined
> > military service in the US Marine Corps, and outright lies that you
> > tell about other people might change their minds about the swell guy
> > image you feign.
>
> Uhhhhhh...Brain...there you go LYING again and thereby PROVING all
> of the things I have ever claimed about you!
>
> WHY do you do it...?!?!

Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
hit the news big time.

> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> > > > >
> > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google

> > > >
> > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> > > > is real.
> > > >
> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> > > >
> > > > It fails.
> > >
> > > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
> > > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
> > > At least in Tennessee?
> >
> > Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
> > different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
> > sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
> >
> > And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
> > Ohio, either...

OK, Mark.

> Investigate and learn, greaseball.

Creep.

> > Regardless of educations in healthcare, your nuts.
> >
> > Nope.

Google archives prove otherwise.

> > > > > Archives:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Path:
> > > > > > g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
> > > > > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
> > > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > > > Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
> > > > > > Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
> > > > > > Organization: http://groups.google.com
> > > > > > Lines: 23
> > > > > > Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
> > > > > > References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
> > > > > > NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
> > > > > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> > > > > > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
> > > > > > 18:22:59 GMT)
> > > > > > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > > > > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
> > > > > > In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>

> > > > > User-Agent: G2/0.2
> > > > > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
> > > > > .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> > > > > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> > > > > Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
> > > > > posting-host=72.154.219.21;
> > > > > posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
> > > > >
> > > > > an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
> > > > > > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
> > > > > > > Slow Code wrote:
> > > > > > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sc
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
> > > > > > > not an amateur station.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
> > > > > > within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > slwo code understands even less than steve
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
> > > > > > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > > > > > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > UNQUOTE
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's
> > > > > genitals.
> > > >
> > > > Uhhhhhhhh...No.
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhh. Yeh. It's right there where you are saying "my
> > > excrement..."
> >
> > Uh huh.

Uh huh.

> And there YOU are trying to take two words out of context and
> trying to focus your entire 'argument' around them.

NOT out of context. You provided the ENTIRE quote.

> Just like your efforts to belittle the relationship between MARS
> and Amateur Radio.

No belittlement. I've proudly served Air Forece and Army MARS. You're
simply wrong in your assertions.

> The ONLY people in this entire forum that showed you any sympathy
> for that lame effort were within your Feeble Five circle...

You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
fear you.

> > > I guess YOU suffer from some of the same comprehension deficits
> > > that your buddie Morkie suffers from, Brain.
> >
> > Maybe if you don't make such sick claims, and then don't quote yourself
> > making those same sick claims, there wouldn't be any discussion about
> > it.
>
> Maybe if we didn't have deviates and thier admirers like Morkie
> and you in this forum, it wouldn't be an issuse, either.

Why do you say such sick shit?

IT NEVER WAS AN ISSUE UNTIL YOU POSTED IT!

> > In other words, clean up your act.
>
> Take your own advice, greaseball.

You take my advice, creep.

> try using BOTH sides of your face when you talk.

That's never been a problem.

> > What part of that can't you understand?
>
> You're the one with the comprehension deficits, MorkiePal. Not
> me.

What you posted is plain for anyone to see.

> > Do you think Paul Schlek is going to lett you play "Excrement Commando"
> > in RRAP?
>
> There's only ONE "t" in "let", Brain!
>
> Or is typopatrol your exclusive domain today?

Lame diversion. Answer the question.

Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?

> > > I can recommend some tutors in your area to help you....
> > >
> > > > Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?
> > >

> > > Mork and you. Fix your English deficits, greaseball.
> >
> > Sorry Robesin, it doesn't work that way.
>
> Now here you switch up and start addressing someone named
> "Robesin".
>
> Having trouble staying focused today, Brain?

More diversion. I have full visibility on the creep of rrap.

> > You quote yourself then claim
> > that "Mork and you" thought it up. Try taking a little responsibility
> > for yourself once in a while. Just once. The hardest part is taking
> > that first step, but you're the only one that can do it. Best of Luck.
>
> I take full responsiblity for what I say, greaseball.

Nope. You only take responsibility for what you >>>MEANT<<< to say,
not what you actually said.

Ditto your MARS quote.

But you can't ever confess making a mistake, so you are suck in the
Robesin Conundrum of defending the indefensible.

> What I DON'T take responsiblity is for misquotes and out-of-context
> snippets.

No misquotes, no out of context snippets. YOU provided the full-text
quote of your sickness.

Just say you made a mistake, unless you really do have your excrement
on another man's genitals.

> Hurry up now...Mrs Brain has dinner ready, greaseball.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

What has my wife got to do with your problem, creep?

1806. Is home liposuction kit anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 00:57:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrom96pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout? How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?

1807. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5tgXg.8070$Y24.328@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:V65Xg.10035$o71.3268@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> Billy wrote:
>> "john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
>> news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com...
>> Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
>> Does anybody know???
>>
>>
>> Slow Code may be AB8MQ.
>> However...comma...
>> AB8MQ has opined that Slow Code is Stagger Lee, hot-ham-and-cheese, or
>> Dave Heil, or Lloyd Davies, or Arf! Arf! or Billy Smith (who IS
>> hot-ham-and-cheese), or whoever else's name happens to pop into his
>> demented head at the time.
>
> Scheissman always thinks that I am Lloyd, Todd, or someone else. He
> wants to know so badly that he will guess the day away trying to figure
> it out. It's ok though because if he had any guts he would have showed
> up at Dayton this year. Instead he hid again and didn't want to show up
> like the coward that he is. I would have gladly met him but you can
> guess that he would have ran back under the rock he lives under.

Woger's been strangely absent a few day's. Maybe he opened the front
door to house to the wrong person.

SC

1808. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc

I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.

SC

1809. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9tgXg.8073$Y24.5332@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:evhoi2h2min70s2029lh7abo4m8oiuuqhv@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>news:8ruli2pgdnkrr9gh70fpl9i5sn904va0b6@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Once things start rolling downhill it's hard to stop it. Look what
>>>>happened to CB. You want that on ham radio?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>>
>>> you apparently aren't familiar with what's been going on down on
>>> 75/80m and also on 20m. read the fcc actions in most any ham magazine
>>> and you'll see that by and large the offenders are general class
>>> licensees and above.
>>>
>>> now, of course, not all, not even most, generals, advanced and extra
>>> class ticket holders act cause problems. heck, not even most hams.
>>> but i still don't see how doing ANY of the things you suggest will do
>>> anything EXCEPT thin the ranks which, in my mind, will do nothing but
>>> cause our service to become extinct.
>>
>>
>>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
>>
>>SC
>
>
> who's suggesting anything about dumbing down the written test?
>
> 73,
> rich, n9dko

But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
to.

SC

1810. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dtgXg.8075$Y24.2691@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160528893.541201.235850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1160444791.838619.317470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> >> news:1160355034.027312.303950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> Could you pass all the tests again today, or would you have to
>> >> >> study a little first?
>> >> >
>> >> > I could pass them all again, no study needed. And that goes for
>> >> > written, Morse Code receiving and Morse Code sending.
>> >> >
>> >> > Bring out any FCC amateur radio license test from Novice to Extra,
>> >> > from any time since I first got my license in 1967, and I'll pass
>> >> > it. I'll probably even be able to pass tests from long before I
>> >> > was licensed, too.
>> >> >
>> >> > Every so often I try an online practice exam, just to be sure. No
>> >> > problem.
>> >> >
>> >> > BUT
>> >> >
>> >> > I don't see any reason to require routine retesting of hams who
>> >> > haven't broken the rules. FCC trusts us to keep current - that's
>> >> > part of the "conditions of grant".
>> >> >
>> >> > FCC currently uses retesting as a sort of enforcement tool - if
>> >> > someone acts like they don't know what the rules are, or if there
>> >> > is some doubt as to whether a test session was on the up-and-up,
>> >> > FCC will sometimes require a specific retest.
>> >> >
>> >> > If you demand that all hams be retested, what you're telling FCC
>> >> > is that we cannot be trusted to keep up with the rules. Is that a
>> >> > good thing?

>> >> >
>> >> > FCC doesn't require routine retesting of commercial licenses - why
>> >> > should they require it of amateurs?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Everything has been dumbed down, not just ham licenses.
>> >
>> > I don't think so.
>> >
>> >> We can't have
>> >> skills anymore in america, everyone has to be equal.
>> >
>> > Where do you get that idea?
>> >
>> > Equality of opportunity, yes. That's not the same thing as equality
>> > of result.
>> >
>> >>That's what happens
>> >> when liberals get to make & change policy. Too many liberals in
>> >> government.
>> >>
>> > Either you don't know your history, or you've got some weird
>> > definition of "liberal".
>> >
>> > For example:
>> >
>> > Were JFK and LBJ "liberals"? It was under their administrations that
>> > we got the rules changes known as "incentive licensing".
>> >
>> > Were Ronald Reagan and George H. W. Bush "liberals"? It was under
>> > their administrations that we got:
>> >
>> > - FCC exams replaced by VEC exams
>> >
>> > - Openly published question pools
>> >
>> > - End of Morse Code sending test
>> >
>> > - General/Tech written test split in two parts so that Techs did not
>> > have same written as Generals
>> >
>> > - Medical waivers for 13 and 20 wpm code tests (Bush "asked" FCC for
>> > this directly, as a favor to a King that was a ham)
>> >
>> > - Technician lost its code test
>> >
>> > Is George "Dubya" Bush a "liberal"? Under his administration, we got:
>> >
>> > - FCC support for BPL
>> >

>> > - ITU treaty changed to eliminate wording requiring code test.
>> >
>> > - NPRM proposing complete elimination of code test
>> >
>> > Now - are all those folks reducing the requirements "liberals"?
>>
>>
>> It's the state of that nation.
>
> Which nation?
>
>> Everyone's got to have their handout.
>> Outcome based licensing. Can't have both quality and quantity anymore.
>>
>> SC
>
> You blamed it on "liberals". But look at who was in charge when all
> those reductions in requirements came about.

I emailed President Bush he should kick out all the Bill Clinton Liberals in the FCC after he got elected, but he didn't. I can't blame him though because he choose to tackle the terrorist mess Bill Clintoon left the country instead. I feel a lot safer now than I did under Clinton, and the economy is better too.

I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and our bands will sound like CB.

SC

1811. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:00:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>> >
>> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>> >
>>
>>
>> Mrs. Wiseman.
>>
>> You have a filthy mouth.
>>
>> SC
>>
> Pot Kettle Black!

Wrong.

Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
the filth in RRAP looks like.

How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?

SC

1812. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gtgXg.8077$Y24.6505@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167777
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f96115f215d6889989a3e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <fACVg.5939$Y24.395@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9085a4288aea7b989a3c@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > In article <15YUg.5049$Y24.2900@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Thanks for posting that chuck. I've never broken any of those on
>> >> the air or on usenet. Maybe now Markie and everyone else will see
>> >> why CW is important.
>> >>
>> >> Thanks again and Keep up the good work.
>> >>
>> >> 73 de Slow Code
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> > You need to read them again SC. You break them daily on usenet.
>> > You're not considerate. You're down right unfriendly to some and
>> > You're about unprogressive as they come.
>> >
>> > 73
>> >
>> > Chris
>>
>>
>> You think that's bad? Wait until HF sounds 11 meters and you can't
>> find a decent contact anywhere. You'll see I was right.
>>
>> I crawled out of my hole around restructuring time. Then the ARRL and
>> FCC screwed ham radio. I went back in my hole again. Now the FCC and
>> it appears with the blessings of the ARRL is planning to toss CW all
>> together. I come out of my hole to fight for the integrity of ham radio
>> once again, but when the R&O comes out eliminating CW, I'll crawl back
>> into my hole again. Leaving you and everyone else the problem of trying
>> to deal with poor behavior and operating practice on the bands. The
>> result of dumbing down licensing.

>>
>> Outcome based education doesn't work, what makes you think outcome
>> based licensing will?
>>
>> SC
>>
> You still won't acknowledge your poor behavior that really hurts your
> cause.
>
> Chris

You choosing to disagree with a pro-coder doesn't constitute poor behavior on my part. How's your code practice coming along? Are you going to stick with it, or are you going to be one of them un-skilled hams.

SC

1813. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167779
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>> >>
>> >> > AC7PN wrote:
>> >> >> Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> SC
>> >> >>
>> >> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>> >> >> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like
>> >> >> talking to someone on CB."
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > I would have said ignorance ;)
>> >> >
>> >> > 73, Phil W7OX
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where
>> >> have all the communicators gone?
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >>
>> >
>> > Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would
>> > not dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know.
>> > Whether you use it or not is a personal preference. I think
>> > programming you're own software to send code yourself and building
>> > your own radio is a lot more technical than learning code.
>> >
```

>> > 73
>> >
>> > Chris
>>
>>
>> But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't
>> want to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to
>> learn other things to advance themselves technically either.
>>
>> SC
>>
> If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
> skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter, now
> that takes somw technical know how.
>
> Chris

Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and raise
it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute, and at
13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as fast.

SC

1814. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jtgXg.8079$Y24.1531@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>
>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>
>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>
>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>
>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>
>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>> tell
>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>
>>>> Try again.
>>>>
```

>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>
>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>
>>
>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>
> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
> handout is a good sound argument?
>
> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
> arguments".
>
> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
> argument" make.
>
> Hope this helps.

I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

SC

1815. Re: one down and one to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ktgXg.8080$Y24.3358@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1160610929.413695.9420@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> it was reported that the fcc was waitng on this to deal code testing
>> so it no excuses get to the point
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> STFU fruit cake.........
> I couldn't give a flying fuck about code/no-code. Lets figure a way to
> rid the bands of filthy bastards like you!

and usenet.

SC

1816. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ltgXg.8081$Y24.2691@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:Z4cXg.2828$ya1.2518@news02.roc.ny:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>> news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>>
>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>>
>>>All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
>>>away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
>>>band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
>>>radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>
> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how much
> you whine, and it's not alternator whine.

I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I appliance
did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?

SC

1817. Would you go to a doctor that was allowed to take dumbed down medical exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:01:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <otgXg.8083$Y24.3192@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Interesting.

Radio can save a life too.

SC

1818. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:02:51 UTC
From: Rockinghorse Winner <rockinghorse@anonymous.to>
Message-ID: <452d942c$0$34556$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 167784
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> No kids...this whole code thing and the watering down of the test is simply
> a matter dividing and conquering so "they" can come in and tell us that our
> numbers don't justify the spectrum. Then...pfssssst!!!...there goes one band
> after another.
>
> If any of the no coders had any balls they'd be asking the fcc for real
> testing and redevelop the hobby into what it used to be instead of the inane
> weekend contesting and buffoons sitting there are sideband comparing which
> rigs have the most features.
> >

Nah, the spectrum has moved up to SHF, no one in govt or industry gives
a rat's ass about the spectrum below 30 MHz.

[Thanks for the cross posting. I need the publicity] :)

--
god bless

http://www.Hello-Radio.Com

http://home.xandros.com/products/home/home_edition.html

1819. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:21:55 UTC
From: Rockinghorse Winner <rockinghorse@anonymous.to>
Message-ID: <452d98a4$0$34503$742ec2ed@news.sonic.net>
Source record: 167785
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hams will tend to study the theory more while they build up their
code skill and they will understand the theory better and won't need to
ask silly questions\

There are no silly questions, really. I mean no one is born knowing
stuff. If you don't ask how will you ever know?

NY6P, Lee
--
god bless

http://www.Hello-Radio.Com

http://home.xandros.com/products/home/home_edition.html

1820. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:38:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C0hXg.21927$lj.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used

Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

1821. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:51:26 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 167787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how much
>> you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>
>
> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I appliance
> did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?

While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be disappointed.

Did the code help you with the design?

I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.

The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything beyond:

WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK

How does that help the cause of amateur radio?

I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.

How exactly did the code help me to do this?

For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned the code.

There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC. I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the early 70's.

-Chuck

1822. Re: Would you go to a doctor that was allowed to take dumbed down medical exams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:58:07 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <PihXg.62098$5o5.52765@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:otgXg.8083$Y24.3192@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Interesting.
>
> Radio can save a life too.
>
> SC

It appears your mother did when she gave birth to you.

1823. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 01:59:43 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167789
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>
> SC

Anyone got some of what he's smoking?

1824. Re: Would you go to alt.fasion looking for cyber sex from kathy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:01:10 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160618470.523565.122310@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.fashion,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code wrote:
> Interesting.
>
> kathy can suck nigger dick too,just like morky
>
> SC

But is Kathy male or female?

1825. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:16:04 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com>
Source record: 167791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>> tell
>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
```

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>
>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>
>>>
>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>
>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>
>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>> arguments".
>>
>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> argument" make.
>>
>> Hope this helps.
>
>
>
>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.

Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.

You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1826. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:16:43 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <fAhXg.62292$5o5.48391@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 167792
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>> > In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> >> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> >> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>>> >>
>>> >> > AC7PN wrote:
>>> >> >> Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>> >> >>>
>>> >> >>> SC
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you were
>>> >> >> communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like
>>> >> >> talking to someone on CB."
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> > I would have said ignorance ;)
>>> >> >
>>> >> > 73, Phil W7OX
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where
>>> >> have all the communicators gone?
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> > Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would
>>> > not dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know.
>>> > Whether you use it or not is a personal preference. I think
```

>>> > programming you're own software to send code yourself and building
>>> > your own radio is a lot more technical than learning code.
>>> >
>>> > 73
>>> >
>>> > Chris
>>>
>>>
>>> But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't
>>> want to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to
>>> learn other things to advance themselves technically either.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
>> skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter, now
>> that takes somw technical know how.
>>
>> Chris
>
>
> Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and raise
> it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute, and at
> 13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as fast.
>
> SC

Name one real/valid/probable scenario for this. Let me guess, you crash land on the moon, have only a D-cell battery, some wire, a butter knife, happen to find some crystals, some silicon, somehow beyond your knowledge or abilities, manage to build a transmitter, and send your SOS back to Earth. Is that about right? Nah, you'd die trying to make it iambic.

1827. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:19:51 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com>
Source record: 167793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things down
>any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and our
>bands will sound like CB.

Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.

Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
associated with the likes of you?
--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1828. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:22:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8l9ri25jccpikdmas6ljv5fol6ibcqmo07@4ax.com>
Source record: 167794
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

>> If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
>> skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter, now
>> that takes somw technical know how.
>>
>> Chris
>
>
>Well if it's not a problem to learn

funny how that wasn't what was said by the prveious poster SC

does code reading prevent you from reading english
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1829. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:23:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <on9ri2h8f97dujcn6k882nki88kafc73am@4ax.com>
Source record: 167795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake

>>
>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> argument" make.
>>
>> Hope this helps.
>
>
>
>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie.
then why do you lie so much
> You did get a hand-out, and you're
>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
where is your arguement?
>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
clean up ham radio SC turn in YOUR license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1830. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:24:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6q9ri21fccd6jejo58ncunutnq4o8gs5sj@4ax.com>
Source record: 167796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 02:16:04 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
>>>>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>> tell
```

>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
>>>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>
>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>
>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>
>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>> arguments".
>>>
>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>> argument" make.
>>>
>>> Hope this helps.
>>
>>
>>
>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
>>how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
>>about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
>Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
he has been proven many times that is part of the reason he hides his
call most likely
>
>You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>
>Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>
>You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
yep he sure is
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1831. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:25:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hr9ri29la1igiuee01mjrke98326qtrij9@4ax.com>
Source record: 167797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>

>>> You have a filthy mouth.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> Pot Kettle Black!
>
>
>Wrong.
you have a filthy mouth (or keyboard if you prefer to get technical sc
>
>Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
>the filth in RRAP looks like.
he sees you tand if he catches a fragament or 2 from wismen and
robeson he know
>
>How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1832. Re: Check the SWR on your HF antenna's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:26:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <iu9ri2hbphqbq5vdiugsk3m8f85a4ksc3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 167798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Billy Smith <chungkingchungking@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:V65Xg.10035$o71.3268@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> Billy wrote:
>>> "john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
>>> news:il6hi2t4v4q63dalar3dbgrmiubql6olei@4ax.com...
>>> Who is Slow Code? What is his call???
>>> Does anybody know???
>>>
>>>
>>> Slow Code may be AB8MQ.
>>> However...comma...
>>> AB8MQ has opined that Slow Code is Stagger Lee, hot-ham-and-cheese, or
>>> Dave Heil, or Lloyd Davies, or Arf! Arf! or Billy Smith (who IS
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese), or whoever else's name happens to pop into his
>>> demented head at the time.
>>
>> Scheissman always thinks that I am Lloyd, Todd, or someone else. He
>> wants to know so badly that he will guess the day away trying to figure
>> it out. It's ok though because if he had any guts he would have showed
>> up at Dayton this year. Instead he hid again and didn't want to show up
>> like the coward that he is. I would have gladly met him but you can
>> guess that he would have ran back under the rock he lives under.
>
>
>
>Woger's been strangely absent a few day's. Maybe he opened the front
>door to house to the wrong person.
for once a post I can agree with from your keyboard to god's CRT
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1833. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:28:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pv9ri29i9agjbai939s3u4iqnn3hmqhkac@4ax.com>
Source record: 167799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>news:Z4cXg.2828$ya1.2518@news02.roc.ny:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>>> news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>>>
>>>>All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
>>>>away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
>>>>band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
>>>>radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>
>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how much
>> you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>
>
>I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I appliance
>did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
this he is again Slow Code the POSTER boy for why should should go No
Code

indeed Slow Code is would be a poster boy for dumpoing all testing but
hopefully he will manage that "achievement
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1834. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:40:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <34ari294crd7nb88miju1hr6i6ddhr81f5@4ax.com>
Source record: 167850

On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> > More forging of attributes, I see.
>>
>> Nope.
>>
>> Just documenting the obvious
>
>Just forging attributes.
and lying in the bargain
>
>> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
>> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
>> > >
>> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
>> >
>> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
>> > history of it.
>>
>> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
>
>You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
>you haven't is a lie.

and then he has the Nerve to complain at my taking a pot shot at his.
fair is fair
>
>> I have, however, tried to hold a mirror up to you to make you
>> realize that you can't make the kinds of sneers and allegations that
>> you do out odf one side of that "mouth" of yours without creating a
>> whole passle of problems with the other side.
>
>Then do so without involving my wife or my kids. You're a creep.

and stalker and sex feind
>
>> Obviously you don't care.
>
>I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in

>your problems.
>
>> I can only imagine what you're like in person.
>
>I don't think you can imagine that.
>
>> > Thus your thread-jacking and calling out in the Subject line other
>> > people's wives. To deny it makes you the lying sack of excrement that
>> > you actually are.
>>
>> Oh, no, Brain....don't leave yourself out of that. You're right
>> in there with the best of them, greaseball.
>
>There is no "best of them," creep.
>
>> > > Just trying to get you to appreciate the humiliation your lying and
>> > > deceit bring on your family name.
>> >
>> > And there is no humiliation in the family name, Robeson?
>>
>> Nope.
>
>You are nuts.

and lying EVERYONE has a black sheep
>
>> > > > k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You're still forging the attributes. Do
>> > > > you think that by forging attributes that people will like you? Do you
>> > > > think people will trust you?
>> > >
>> > > So...I make fun of Morkie
>> >
>> > Why? Why do you bother?
>>
>> Why not?
>
>Why?
>
>> You do it to me and others yet think it's improper for others to
>> do.
>
>It's your life.
>
>> > Do you puff up your chest and lick that "devil-dog" tatoo on your
>> > bicep?
>>
>> No tatoo's here, Brain...Anywhere.

>
>Right.
>
>> > > and I am supposed to worry about someone
>> > > "liking" me or "trusting" me in this forum...?!?!
>> >
>> > Is my family in this forum? Is your family in this forum?
>>
>> My wife has looked over my shou;der before, so yes, she's "here"
>> on occassion.
>
>She's probably long gone.
>
>> She initially chided me for 'wasting your time with those idiots"
>> until I showed her how two feced, deceitful and dishonest you and your
>> Feeble Five bretheren are.
>
>And she believed you?

very likely she was fool enough to marry Robeson
>
>> > > You're a biggger idiot than I gave you credit for, Brain.
>> >
>> > "Bigger" has only two g's, you idiot.
>>
>> OK...So I finally made a typo this WEEK.
>
>No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
>were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
>take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
>idiot.

such rules only aply to others not to Robeson
>
>> Why aren't you chiding Morkie for his THOUSANDS of typos in the
>> last couple of DAYS...?!?!
>
>Why? He's not calling me stupid when he makes a typo, is he?
>
>> > > The people I am concerned about "liking" me or "trusting" me have
>> > > nothing to do with this forum and probably never would.
>> >
>> > Hopefully they'll never do a google search on your "family name."
>>
>> And if they did, they'd find me standing up to liars and punks
>> like you and "the boys".
>>
>> So what?
>>
>> > > And if they did, a brief overview of your demonstrated stupidity
>> > > would lay-to-rest any concerns I would have about them being swayed by

>> > > any criticisms I might "suffer" from YOU!
>> >
>> > A lengthier overview of your inability to play well with others, your
>> > fixation on the male genitalia and all things of a homosexual nature,
>> > propensity to drop hints and unsubstantiated claims of your imagined
>> > military service in the US Marine Corps, and outright lies that you
>> > tell about other people might change their minds about the swell guy
>> > image you feign.
>>
>> Uhhhhhh...Brain...there you go LYING again and thereby PROVING all
>> of the things I have ever claimed about you!
>>
>> WHY do you do it...?!?!
>
>Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
>thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
>hit the news big time.

most likely for his suicide likely a grand style affair when his mind
finaly unhinges completely
>
>> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
>> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
>> > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
>> > > > > is real.
>> > > >
>> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
>> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>> > > >
>> > > > It fails.
>> > >
>> > > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
>> > > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
>> > > At least in Tennessee?
>>
>> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
>> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
>> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
>>
>> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
>> Ohio, either...
>
>OK, Mark.

he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school

was to in some medical NGs)
>
>> Investigate and learn, greaseball.
>
>Creep.
>
>> > Regardless of educations in healthcare, your nuts.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Google archives prove otherwise.
>
>> > > > > Archives:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Path:
>> > > > >
g2news2.google.com!postnews.google.com!d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
>> > > > > From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
>> > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> > > > > Subject: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately
>> > > > > Date: 7 Sep 2006 11:22:56 -0700
>> > > > > Organization: http://groups.google.com
>> > > > > Lines: 23
>> > > > > Message-ID: <1157653375.986868.55900@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
>> > > > > References: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
>> > > > > NNTP-Posting-Host: 72.154.219.21
>> > > > > Mime-Version: 1.0
>> > > > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
>> > > > > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1157653379 5026 127.0.0.1 (7 Sep 2006
>> > > > > 18:22:59 GMT)
>> > > > > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
>> > > > > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Sep 2006 18:22:59 +0000 (UTC)
>> > > > > In-Reply-To: <89b0g29fg4poljt4t421bmp2cpc6dcocpp@4ax.com>
>> > > > > User-Agent: G2/0.2
>> > > > > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
>> > > > > .NET CLR 1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
>> > > > > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
>> > > > > Injection-Info: d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com;
>> > > > > posting-host=72.154.219.21;
>> > > > > posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO
>> > > > >
>> > > > > an old friend continues to refuse to get back on his meds:
>> > > > > > N9OGL still hasn't got a clue:
>> > > > > > > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > > > > > > Thanks. You need to contact Mark to see what speed he wants.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Sc
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > > You seem to misunderstand that Omega One Radio is a BROADCAST station,
>> > > > > > > not an amateur station.

>> > > > >
>> > > > > No..."Omega One Radio" is a pirate station. And even if it were
>> > > > > within Part 15 limits, it STILL would not be a "broadcast station".
>> > > > >
>> > > > > > slwo code understands even less than steve
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>> > > > > know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> > > > > genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > UNQUOTE
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >
>> > > > Robesin's best shot still contains Robesin's excrement on another man's
>> > > > genitals.
>> > >
>> > > Uhhhhhhhh...No.
>> >
>> > Uhhhhhhhhh. Yeh. It's right there where you are saying "my
>> > excrement..."
>>
>> Uh huh.
>
>Uh huh.
>
>> And there YOU are trying to take two words out of context and
>> trying to focus your entire 'argument' around them.
>
>NOT out of context. You provided the ENTIRE quote.

indeed he contiues to insit I have eaten HIS shit when I have
(thankfully) never met the man

>
>> Just like your efforts to belittle the relationship between MARS
>> and Amateur Radio.
>
>No belittlement. I've proudly served Air Forece and Army MARS. You're
>simply wrong in your assertions.
>
>> The ONLY people in this entire forum that showed you any sympathy
>> for that lame effort were within your Feeble Five circle...
>
>You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
>you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
>fear you.

indeed they do

>
>> > > I guess YOU suffer from some of the same comprehension deficits
>> > > that your buddie Morkie suffers from, Brain.

>> >
>> > Maybe if you don't make such sick claims, and then don't quote yourself
>> > making those same sick claims, there wouldn't be any discussion about
>> > it.
>>
>> Maybe if we didn't have deviates and thier admirers like Morkie
>> and you in this forum, it wouldn't be an issuse, either.
>
>Why do you say such sick shit?

indeed He insists that any of us my attack with these slurs but may
not defend ourselves in any fashion
>
>IT NEVER WAS AN ISSUE UNTIL YOU POSTED IT!

but Maxim made him do it
>
>> > In other words, clean up your act.
>>
>> Take your own advice, greaseball.
>
>You take my advice, creep.
>
>> try using BOTH sides of your face when you talk.
>
>That's never been a problem.
>
>> > What part of that can't you understand?
>>
>> You're the one with the comprehension deficits, MorkiePal. Not
>> me.
>
>What you posted is plain for anyone to see.

and stinks wether he calls feces excrement or just plain shit
>
>> > Do you think Paul Schlek is going to lett you play "Excrement Commando"
>> > in RRAP?
>>
>> There's only ONE "t" in "let", Brain!
>>
>> Or is typopatrol your exclusive domain today?
>
>Lame diversion. Answer the question.
>
>Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
>
>> > > I can recommend some tutors in your area to help you....
>> > >
>> > > > Yuck. What diseased mind even thinks up stuff like that?
>> > >

>> > > Mork and you. Fix your English deficits, greaseball.
>> >
>> > Sorry Robesin, it doesn't work that way.
>>
>> Now here you switch up and start addressing someone named
>> "Robesin".
>>
>> Having trouble staying focused today, Brain?
>
>More diversion. I have full visibility on the creep of rrap.
>
>> > You quote yourself then claim
>> > that "Mork and you" thought it up. Try taking a little responsibility
>> > for yourself once in a while. Just once. The hardest part is taking
>> > that first step, but you're the only one that can do it. Best of Luck.
>>
>> I take full responsiblity for what I say, greaseball.
>
>Nope. You only take responsibility for what you >>>MEANT<<< to say,
>not what you actually said.

The rest of us are required to figure out what you meant and correct
your mistakes for you Robeson
>
>Ditto your MARS quote.
>
>But you can't ever confess making a mistake, so you are suck in the
>Robesin Conundrum of defending the indefensible.
>
>> What I DON'T take responsiblity is for misquotes and out-of-context
>> snippets.
>
>No misquotes, no out of context snippets. YOU provided the full-text
>quote of your sickness.
>
>Just say you made a mistake, unless you really do have your excrement
>on another man's genitals.

he can't do that now he already the quote was absolutely true to
confess mistake now would admitt that statament was lie

which the original is in any event
>
>> Hurry up now...Mrs Brain has dinner ready, greaseball.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>What has my wife got to do with your problem, creep?
he luts after her after by his logic he has proven he married a
shemale
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1835. . SC hates everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:41:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4pari219d9dpnnid04lb4fkpld4b5ns6gf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Rich <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:evhoi2h2min70s2029lh7abo4m8oiuuqhv@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>>
>>>And dumbing down the exams will make us irrelevant.
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>>
>> who's suggesting anything about dumbing down the written test?
>>
>> 73,
>> rich, n9dko
>
>
>But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>to.
so what?

that may just eman the question are better
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1836. Re: one down and one to go

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:43:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ctari2pgsgr6327gv45cmqjb13m4vtt46g@4ax.com>
Source record: 167852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1160610929.413695.9420@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> it was reported that the fcc was waitng on this to deal code testing
>>> so it no excuses get to the point
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> STFU fruit cake.........
>> I couldn't give a flying fuck about code/no-code. Lets figure a way to
>> rid the bands of filthy bastards like you!
>
>
>and usenet.
such fear I generate by mere presense
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1837. Re: Heh, Heh: Five days since Woger's last Google post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:43:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4uari2t0e4ue9qmsig7od90s5vrdi725kf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On 11 Oct 2006 17:00:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 19:50:43 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman
>> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>>
>> >DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and
>> >changed Woger's passwords again. I wonder if Woger will ever find the
>> >key logger that the NIM Busters web site installed on Woger's computer?
>> >
>> >Can you say "vml vulnerability," Woger?
>> seems unlikely more likely that Google bounced the accounts and he he
>> has abused yahoo.com so bad he can create a new account to get new
>> google account
>>
>> but whatever the reason good that he has been queit
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>If google dumped anyone it would have been markie. BTW, "DeLorean" is
>as big of an asshole as the tard.
why I don't violate their ToS Woger does
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1838. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing A Direct Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:49:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v1bri218conlovhr8c7r7jp4fh9q1v894i@4ax.com>
Source record: 167854

On 11 Oct 2006 17:19:00 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> > >
>> > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> >
>> > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
asand only he seems to do that
>
>> > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>>
>> You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> from hurting your feelings.....
>
>No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>
>You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
>excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).

how did it get BTW Steve
>
>> > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
>> >
>> > Steven J. Robesin
>>
>> Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
>
>Is it?
>
>> We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
>> REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
>> MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
>

>I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement... Robesin has!
>Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?

since he claims I have eaten his shot I absolutly deny it and cite as
evedence the fact he and I have never met
>
>> WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
>> when YOU are the one with failure issues?
>
>"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
>
>> > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
>> >
>> > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
>>
>> Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
>>
>> Just a lame dodge.
>
>Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.
never ate your shit stev dispite your insitance i have
>
>> > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Brain probably is not
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >
>> > > > Robesin probably is.
>> > >
>> > > Nope.
>> >
>> > Probably is.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
>every RRAP posting.

yep and self hating homosexual

how big is amy's dick Steve?
>
>> > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
>> > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>> > > >
>> > > > And a male nurse?
>> > > >
>> > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
>> > >
>> > > Nope.

>> >
>> > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
>> > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
>>
>> An "announcement"...?!?!
>
>As in a public admission.

he has already made it
>
>> I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
>> what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
>
>Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...

to a man no lless since he has not proven her female
>
>> > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
>> >
>> > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
>> > wife swapper, too.
>>
>> I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
>> just CAN'T imagine.......
>
>Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
>mine.

Robeson keeps diging in deeper and deeper
>
>So why is my wife a part of your discussion?
>
>> > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
>> > > name to your posts, Brain.
>> > >
>> > > Coward?
>> > >
>> > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> > Should I be afraid of you?
>>
>> The question is WHY are you afraid...???
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>No, that is not the question. I asked if I should be afraid of you.
>Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
>coward to do so?
I can answer for him yes he afarid he can't face the truth about
himself or reality
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1839. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:49:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i9bri2pnaoci3ist7d8nb5cl9uonf08fpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 00:57:35 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1840. Re: Would you go to a doctor that was allowed to take dumbed down medical exams?<< if you doctor finshed in the last few he certian go through a dumbed down procedure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:50:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eabri2pr3et9e49o1o5fu7r4l6lsg9l3fk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Interesting.
>
>Radio can save a life too.
or one could simply the changes in harshness of internship and
residentcy were long over due corrections of past mistakes
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1841. Where is my FREE 20 meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:55:08 UTC
From: Lloyd A Davies <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1160621708.528684.129830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167857

Ok, FCC, lets DROP THE CODE NOW!!!

Lloyd Davies, TIMELORD ...

NimBuster of the Year, 2005,2006 and 2007!!!

www.nimbusters.com

1842. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 02:59:53 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9779b6f22a6e33989a41@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 167858
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and raise
> it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute, and at
> 13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as fast.
>
> SC
>
>
Have you been on a marine vessel or plane in the past 20 years? They
don't have a code key. They'll be calling Mayday on voice.

Chris

1843. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 03:07:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uacri21k662mo65s20aksnpbn11eg762bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167859
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 02:59:53 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>> Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and raise
>> it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute, and at
>> 13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as fast.
>>
>> SC
>>
>>
>Have you been on a marine vessel or plane in the past 20 years? They
>don't have a code key. They'll be calling Mayday on voice.
>
>Chris
aw don't try and confuse ole sc with facts
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1844. Sc the paranoid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 03:08:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <orari25hnft00cpivu45oskh1revqljteq@4ax.com>
Source record: 167860
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:50 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
>I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service.
get some therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1845. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 03:18:51 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vuiXg.6113$NE6.3865@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167861

Papa Dog wrote:
> Have you been on a marine vessel or plane in the past 20 years? They
> don't have a code key. They'll be calling Mayday on voice.

He apparently doesn't care about saving the lives
of no-coders.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

1846. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 03:22:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <27dri2pi82u48d8f95372eg9kv09q10o0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 167862

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 03:18:51 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Papa Dog wrote:
>> Have you been on a marine vessel or plane in the past 20 years? They
>> don't have a code key. They'll be calling Mayday on voice.
>
>He apparently doesn't care about saving the lives
>of no-coders.
indeed I think he also dreams of camps for "specail treatment" of
Nocers all for the public godd
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1847. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 03:28:14 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@ease.biz>
Message-ID: <12irdj2c8dbghe8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 167863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Might be he's toking down on some Hawaiian Salvia Divinorum....(google it)
-ps there is a Salvia ng too.....

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
>> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
>> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>
> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?
>
>

1848. Re: Is home liposuction kit anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 04:52:57 UTC
From: miki <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <egkhnbuuk1@registered.motzarella.org>
Source record: 167864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:754gi2tmfvtrom96pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com...
>
> I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem
> liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion
> tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and
> some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my
> ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout?
> How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?

Response: "Dead" Silence.

1849. Typo?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 09:37:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160645843.483627.243310@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167865

I think there's a typo in FCC 06-149

97.301 says where we can operate. It does not say which modes (emission types) - that is specified in 97.305.

97.301(b) is for Extras, 97.301(c) is for Advanceds, 97.301(d) is for Generals and 97.301(e) is for code-tested Techs and Novices.

In these actions, FCC modifies all the above paragraphs.

The division point between "80m" and "75m" moves to 3.6 MHz from 3.750. This effectively allows 'phone and image from 3.60 to 4.0, because all of "75m" is allowed 'phone and image.

I don't know why FCC treats "80m" and "75m" as if they were two isolated bands, but they do. No other amateur band gets that treatment.

Extras have 3.5 to 4.0, same as before, the dividing line just moves to 3.60.

Advanceds have 3.525 to 3.60 and 3.70 to 4.0 There is *no* mention of Advanceds being able to operate on 3.60 to 3.70! Advanceds gain 3.70 to 3.775, but lose 3.60 to 3.70

I think there is a typo in the FCC document in the General privileges 97.301(d). It states that Generals would have 3.525 to 3.725 on "80m", which doesn't agree with the previously-stated dividing line between "80m" and "75m". In all other paragraphs, "80m" is specified as stopping at 3.60. Also, Advanceds do not have 3.60 to 3.70 under the new rules.

If this really is a typo on FCC's part, it means that Generals will not have 3.60 to 3.750, which they have today.

Confused? Get a copy of "old" Part 97 and splice in the new parts to 97.301. See what the new rules will actually say.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1850. "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 10:56:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160650581.717234.69500@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167866

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.

> >> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> >> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> >> > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> >> > > > is real.
> >> > >
> >> > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> >> > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> >> > >
> >> > > It fails.
> >> >
> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
> >> > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
> >> > At least in Tennessee?
> >>
> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
> >>
> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
> >> Ohio, either...
> >
> >OK, Mark.
>
> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
> was to in some medical NGs)

Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!

"The tests are too hard in RN school...."

So what school did he complain about?

1851. id theft is acime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 11:34:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l0asi211nmpk31ntiihd4je1s5k04qg1j7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 21:52:57 -0700, "miki" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:754gi2tmfvtrom96pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com...
id theft
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1852. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 11:36:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m2asi2toffmqn05skr1e1dgqjmk8lfvt73@4ax.com>
Source record: 167868

```
On 12 Oct 2006 03:56:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
>
>> >> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
>> >> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
>> >> > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
>> >> > > > is real.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
>> >> > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>> >> > >
>> >> > It fails.
>> >> >
>> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
>> >> > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
>> >> > At least in Tennessee?
>> >>
>> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
>> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
>> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
>> >>
>> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
>> >> Ohio, either...
>> >
>> >OK, Mark.
>>
>> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
>> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
>> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
```

>> was to in some medical NGs)
>
>Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
>
>"The tests are too hard in RN school...."
>
>So what school did he complain about?
he did not name a name for a school just the genral quip that the
Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
to that effect

it was made when he still had some sense and would have stil known as
he once that calling someone a child molestor was libel
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1853. Re: Is home liposuction kit anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 12:05:28 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <VACg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 00:57:35 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem
> liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion
> tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and
> some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my
> ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout?
> How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?

ROTFLMAO!

SC

1854. It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 13:25:10 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <HAX0Rg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to become a guest of the Feds?

Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is an 31337 hax0r.

1855. It looks like DeLorean took KB9RQZ's web pages down too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 13:35:13 UTC
From: my.mail@no.spam
Message-ID: <HAX1Rg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you go to http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see Mark's twisted logic and prose pages either, so it isn't just Todd who is in doo doo.

The 37337 hax0r, DeLorean, must be getting NIM Busters' revenge on the both of them.

SC

1856. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 16:06:58 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160669218.493927.259870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
> Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
> the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
> become a guest of the Feds?
>
> Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
> an 31337 hax0r.

no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
88.3 FM
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com

1857. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 16:28:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e9rsi25ve40lljet6cqflpi3rb0djun36q@4ax.com>
Source record: 167873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 12:05:28 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

>
>On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 00:57:35 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem
>> liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion
>> tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and
>> some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my
>> ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout?
>> How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?
>
>ROTFLMAO!
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1858. Re: It looks likeSlow code is lying about KB9RQZ's web pages down too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 16:30:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6crsi2tjlagug5e5qtbr252i71e8u9foh6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 13:35:13 +0000 (UTC), my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code)
wrote:

>
>If you go to http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
>Mark's twisted logic and prose pages either, so it isn't just Todd who
>is in doo doo.
>
>The 37337 hax0r, DeLorean, must be getting NIM Busters' revenge on the
>both of them.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1859. Re: slow code crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 16:57:04 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160672224.121876.178040@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hylee Pistov wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:2cioi2dei1ib7d04ianlee3omq1sa6h10n@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> you don't talk about radio you insult everyone you dislike
> /
>
> Dittos on your end, Dimwit.
> Stop your whining.
funny how I do type about the radio issues of the day sc does not

1860. Re: Where is my FREE 20 meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 18:17:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160677037.283623.211250@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167876

Lloyd A Davies wrote:
> Ok, FCC, lets DROP THE CODE NOW!!!
>
> Lloyd Davies, TIMELORD ...
>
>
well only in ARRL proposals was it ever to be free

but it is coming along

1861. Re: It looks like N9OGL is violating Blogspot's ToS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 18:17:44 UTC
From: Lloyd Daugherty <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <810917833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 167877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Oct 2006 09:06:58 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
> > Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
> > the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
> > become a guest of the Feds?
> >
> > Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
> > an 31337 hax0r.
>
> no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
> including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.

You are in violation of the Blogspot terms of service, which read in
part:

"You agree to not use the Service to: (a) upload, post or otherwise
transmit any Content that is unlawful, harmful, threatening, abusive,
harassing, tortious, defamatory, vulgar, obscene, libelous, invasive
of another's privacy, hateful, or racially, ethnically or otherwise
objectionable; (b) harm minors in any way;"

Certainly the pictures are vulgar and objectionable to most viewers,
and they are possibly intended to harass your perceived enemies.

But you know all about Terms of Service by now, don't you? They've
been used to boot you from a couple of ISPs. You're a master at
getting tripped up by ToS violations, because you never even think
about them before you act.

1862. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 18:32:05 UTC
From: Ed <none@this.time>
Message-ID: <FSvXg.16066$7I1.11376@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 167878
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

God Bless you too, but don't believe the statement below for an instant!
>
> Nah, the spectrum has moved up to SHF, no one in govt or industry gives a
> rat's ass about the spectrum below 30 MHz.
>
> [Thanks for the cross posting. I need the publicity] :)
>
> --
> god bless
>

1863. Re: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 18:40:23 UTC
From: kludge@panix.com
Message-ID: <egm26n$rt3$1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 167879
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Ed <none@this.time> wrote:
>God Bless you too, but don't believe the statement below for an instant!
>>
>> Nah, the spectrum has moved up to SHF, no one in govt or industry gives a
>> rat's ass about the spectrum below 30 MHz.

Top posting makes this very confusing.

However, I am convinced that nobody in industry or at the FCC cares about
anything other than optimizing short-term revenue. The notion of optimizing
spectrum use for the greatest good went out with the Carter administration.
This is one of the greatest tragedies of our time in my opinion.
--scott
--
"C'est un Nagra. C'est suisse, et tres, tres precis."

1864. get a life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 18:54:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9q3ti2121q22n64p0vs5v40i5co2ftjrk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:17:44 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Daugherty
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On 12 Oct 2006 09:06:58 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
>> > Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
>> > the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
>> > become a guest of the Feds?
>> >
>> > Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
>> > an 31337 hax0r.
>>
>> no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
>> including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
>
>You are in violation of the Blogspot terms of service, which read in
>part:
>
>"You agree to not use the Service to: (a) upload, post or otherwise
>transmit any Content that is unlawful, harmful, threatening, abusive,
>harassing, tortious, defamatory, vulgar, obscene, libelous, invasive
>of another's privacy, hateful, or racially, ethnically or otherwise
>objectionable; (b) harm minors in any way;"
>
>Certainly the pictures are vulgar and objectionable to most viewers,
>and they are possibly intended to harass your perceived enemies.
>
>But you know all about Terms of Service by now, don't you? They've
>been used to boot you from a couple of ISPs. You're a master at
>getting tripped up by ToS violations, because you never even think
>about them before you act.
fuuny how ToS only seems to aply to one enimes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1865. Please do not feed the trolls (was: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 19:14:22 UTC
From: William Warren <william_warren_nonoise@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <GfWdnZfBAZuQDrPYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 167881
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
______
/ \
.' PLEASE `.
| DO NOT | _____
| FEED THE | ,'.....`.
`. TROLLS ,' ,'........ )
\_ _/ |........ ,'
| | `. .... _/
| | ,'.,'-'
| | /../
| | ,'.,'
| | /../
. | | /..'
.\_\| |/_/,
___ | | ___
. `--' .
. .
--
William Warren

(Filter noise from my address for direct replies)
```

1866. Re: It looks like N9OGL is violating Blogspot's ToS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:00:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160690453.632554.110470@c28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Daugherty wrote:
> On 12 Oct 2006 09:06:58 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
> > > Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
> > > the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
> > > become a guest of the Feds?
> > >
> > > Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
> > > an 31337 hax0r.
> >
> > no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
> > including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
>
> You are in violation of the Blogspot terms of service, which read in
> part:
>
> "You agree to not use the Service to: (a) upload, post or otherwise
> transmit any Content that is unlawful, harmful, threatening, abusive,
> harassing, tortious, defamatory, vulgar, obscene, libelous, invasive
> of another's privacy, hateful, or racially, ethnically or otherwise
> objectionable; (b) harm minors in any way;"
>
> Certainly the pictures are vulgar and objectionable to most viewers,
> and they are possibly intended to harass your perceived enemies.
>
> But you know all about Terms of Service by now, don't you? They've
> been used to boot you from a couple of ISPs. You're a master at
> getting tripped up by ToS violations, because you never even think
> about them before you act.

To be obscene it must be these three things:

Whether the average person, applying contemporary community standards,
would find that the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient
interest,

Whether the work depicts/describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual
conduct or excretory functions [1] specifically defined by applicable
state law,

Whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic,
political, or scientific value.

and before you say anything, this applies to everyone...not just the government. Secondly if you don't like what on my website then don't go there...it that simple. But then again a stupid fuck like yourself would understand that, would they....and good luck, bloggers is owned by googles....you have a better chance getting a responds from the wall then googles.

1867. Re: It looks like N9OGL is violating Blogspot's ToS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:07:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <14fti2hic4sk4s09hq6ie1c1prfg568m3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 167883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 12 Oct 2006 15:00:53 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Lloyd Daugherty wrote:
>> On 12 Oct 2006 09:06:58 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
>> > > Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
>> > > the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
>> > > become a guest of the Feds?
>> > >
>> > > Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
>> > > an 31337 hax0r.
>> >
>> > no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
>> > including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
>>
>> You are in violation of the Blogspot terms of service, which read in
>> part:
>>
>> "You agree to not use the Service to: (a) upload, post or otherwise
>> transmit any Content that is unlawful, harmful, threatening, abusive,
>> harassing, tortious, defamatory, vulgar, obscene, libelous, invasive
>> of another's privacy, hateful, or racially, ethnically or otherwise
>> objectionable; (b) harm minors in any way;"
>>
>> Certainly the pictures are vulgar and objectionable to most viewers,
>> and they are possibly intended to harass your perceived enemies.
>>
>> But you know all about Terms of Service by now, don't you? They've
>> been used to boot you from a couple of ISPs. You're a master at
>> getting tripped up by ToS violations, because you never even think
>> about them before you act.
>
>
>To be obscene it must be these three things:
>
>Whether the average person, applying contemporary community standards,
>would find that the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient
>interest,
>
>Whether the work depicts/describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual
```

>conduct or excretory functions [1] specifically defined by applicable
>state law,
>
>Whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic,
>political, or scientific value.
>
>and before you say anything, this applies to everyone...not just the
>government. Secondly if you don't like what on my website then don't go
>there...it that simple. But then again a stupid fuck like yourself
>would understand that, would they....and good luck, bloggers is owned
>by googles....you have a better chance getting a responds from the wall
>then googles.
indeed you have a better chance of geting logic from robeson or woger
than an answer from goole
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1868. Re: I punce in aigaon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb039$0$19636$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167884
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.sports.college.michigan

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1869. Re: Where is my FREE 20 meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:13:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb128$0$19710$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167885

"Lloyd A Davies" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote in message
news:1160621708.528684.129830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Ok, FCC, lets DROP THE CODE NOW!!!
>
> Lloyd Davies, TIMELORD ...
>
> NimBuster of the Year, 2005,2006 and 2007!!!
>
> www.nimbusters.com
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1870. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:19:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7qfti2d09g1gdglgd2trrn09ehmhtbe4pm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167886
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.sports.college.michigan

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:09:48 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

>ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
id theft is a crimes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1871. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:19:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <orfti2p6tnq7607cd0dtc8samc4oqajjjm@4ax.com>
Source record: 167887

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:13:48 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

>
id theift is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1872. Re: tood made it on two my blog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:20:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb2aa$0$19639$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

chek out tood on my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1873. id theft is a cirme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:22:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d0gti2h9ipsbeas8a4j6skc3ruc6j4mnp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 167889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:20:12 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

id theft is a cirme
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1874. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:43:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb82e$0$19654$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mark Morgan" <kb9rqz@blumpkin.net> wrote in message news:...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>>
>
Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
ganitals.

My blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1875. Re: shamma lamma ding-dong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:46:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb8dd$0$7000$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>
> <marqueer@mail2world.com> wrote in message
> news:1159144342.450656.61910@d34g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> is how i greet cock at the truck stop.
>>
1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops.
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
ex-shemale
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on USENET.

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1876. Re: Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:48:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb967$0$19653$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>
> "Papa Dog" <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1f808ff51c6dc7d2989a21@news-server.carolina.rr.com...
>> In article <1159117046.020490.21270@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>,
>> not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com says...
>>>
>>
>>>
>>> Yeah, Billy here can only pass the training wheel no code technician
>>> beginner's license. He has no real experience on HF
>>>
>>>
>> So what? Why would you care what he does? Thats the license I'm going
>> for first. As far as his experience anyone can listen in on any Ham
>> frequency with a reciever.
>>
>> Chris
>>
>> PS - You're id on this newsgroup is highly offensive.
>>
Gte hlep
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1877. Re: recap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:50:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eb9b0$0$19728$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops.
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
ex-shemale
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
>8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on USENET.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1878. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:50:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ulhti25m59lmgmlugko2mnv4puqv7r5d3a@4ax.com>
Source record: 167894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:43:45 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1879. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:51:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2nhti2tng7os4d3425mh30ruskgbknhtkt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:48:58 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1880. id tteft is a cime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:53:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eba6e$0$19619$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167897

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1881. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 22:53:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qqhti2dv7lup1tnadd0gj3kuslne4fg5fc@4ax.com>
Source record: 167896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 18:50:11 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1882. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 23:15:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160694953.176392.193990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167898

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 12 Oct 2006 03:56:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
> >
> >> >> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> >> >> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> >> >> > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> >> >> > > > > > is real.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> >> >> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > It fails.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
> >> >> > > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
> >> >> > > At least in Tennessee?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
> >> >> > different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
> >> >> > sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
> >> >> > Ohio, either...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >OK, Mark.
> >> >>
> >> >> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
> >> >> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to

> >> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
> >> was to in some medical NGs)
> >
> >Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
> >
> >"The tests are too hard in RN school...."
> >
> >So what school did he complain about?

> he did not name a name for a school

He's very secretive about his real credentials. I would be, too, if I
had no real credentials.

> just the genral quip that the
> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
> to that effect

Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?

> it was made when he still had some sense and would have stil known as
> he once that calling someone a child molestor was libel

1883. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 23:22:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5ejti2hjeoatiipf9mcd14i3nd0ihjin9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 167899

On 12 Oct 2006 16:15:53 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 12 Oct 2006 03:56:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
>> >> >
>> >> >> > > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
>> >> >> > > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>> >> >> > > > > > >
>> >> >> > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
>> >> >> > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
>> >> >> > > > > >
>> >> >> > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
>> >> >> > > > > is real.
>> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
>> >> >> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>> >> >> > > >
>> >> >> > > It fails.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
>> >> >> > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
>> >> >> > At least in Tennessee?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
>> >> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
>> >> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
>> >> >> Ohio, either...
>> >> >
>> >> >OK, Mark.

>> >>
>> >> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
>> >> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
>> >> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
>> >> was to in some medical NGs)
>> >
>> >Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
>> >
>> >"The tests are too hard in RN school...."
>> >
>> >So what school did he complain about?
>
>> he did not name a name for a school
>
>He's very secretive about his real credentials. I would be, too, if I
>had no real credentials.
esp when it is likely that somebody could check normaly one can verify
enrolement with a name and I think it would show flunked out of RN
school

OTOH in Michigan at least I (or anyone) coul;d complete a
corespondence course that would one to test for the LPN license no
hands on practical requirement for the license
>
>> just the genral quip that the
>> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
>> to that effect
>
>Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?
nah just on the gay guys that are hiding it
>
>> it was made when he still had some sense and would have stil known as
>> he once that calling someone a child molestor was libel
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1884. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-12 23:36:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160696198.079220.128490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
id theft is acrime

1885. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:17:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SWAXg.10747$o71.2812@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167901

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1160650581.717234.69500@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
>
>> >> > > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement
>> >> > > > > > > from another man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not
>> >> > > > > > > gay.
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to
>> >> > > > > > have the
>> >> > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up
>> >> > > > > > in Google
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your
>> >> > > > > sickness is real.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge
>> >> > > > of
>> >> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > It fails.
>> >> >
>> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a
>> >> > nurse. Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be
>> >> > a doctor? At least in Tennessee?
>> >>
>> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between
>> >> the
>> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
>> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
>> >>
>> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
>> >> Ohio, either...

>> >
>> >OK, Mark.
>>
>> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
>> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
>> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
>> was to in some medical NGs)
>
> Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
>
> "The tests are too hard in RN school...."

ROFL! Well, Steve can still change peoples bedpans.

On clinic loud speaker: "Steve, we need a pan change in room 235."

Heee Heeeee Heeeee!

SC

1886. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%WAXg.10752$o71.6562@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167902
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>> to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to
>> boiling point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to
>> communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>
> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?

I smoke Marlboro, but you're not man enough to smoke'em. You should stick
with your Virginia Slims.

Make any CW contacts lately?

SC

1887. Re: Hams just want to talk on microphones. They hate skill and everyone that's skillful

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0XAXg.10753$o71.2956@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:C0hXg.21927$Ij.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>
> Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
> My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
> Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
> Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
> and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.

When you sit down at a VE test session the number of questions you have to
answer over-all to be a Tech, General, or Extra class is less than it used to
be and that's not including the questions lost when CW was dumbed down.

SC

1888. Re: Here is how we can end all the silly antenna questions on the repeaters and on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2XAXg.10754$o71.2542@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Gropus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>
>>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things
>>down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and
>>our bands will sound like CB.
>
> Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.
>
> Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
> associated with the likes of you?

Everyone that desires to be a good ham and valuable asset to the radio
service and to their country do.

Now how's your CW practice coming along? Are you practicing or did you
decide to pack it in and just talk on a mic like other lazy KKKanadians.
Eh?

SC

1889. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4XAXg.10755$o71.9659@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167906
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:fAhXg.62292$5o5.48391@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>> In article <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>> > In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>> >> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> >> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> > AC7PN wrote:
>>>> >> >> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> >> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>> >> >>>
>>>> >> >>> SC
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you
>>>> >> >> were communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like
>>>> >> >> talking to someone on CB."
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> > >
>>>> >> > I would have said ignorance ;)
>>>> >> > >
>>>> >> > 73, Phil W7OX
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where
>>>> >> have all the communicators gone?
>>>> >>
>>>> >> SC
>>>> >>
>>>> >
```

>>>> > Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would
>>>> > not dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know.
>>>> > Whether you use it or not is a personal preference. I think
>>>> > programming you're own software to send code yourself and building
>>>> > your own radio is a lot more technical than learning code.
>>>> >
>>>> > 73
>>>> >
>>>> > Chris
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't
>>>> want to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to
>>>> learn other things to advance themselves technically either.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>> If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
>>> skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter,
>>> now that takes somw technical know how.
>>>
>>> Chris
>>
>>
>> Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and
>> raise it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute,
>> and at 13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as
>> fast.
>>
>> SC
>
> Name one real/valid/probable scenario for this. Let me guess, you crash
> land on the moon, have only a D-cell battery, some wire, a butter knife,
> happen to find some crystals, some silicon, somehow beyond your
> knowledge or abilities, manage to build a transmitter, and send your SOS
> back to Earth. Is that about right? Nah, you'd die trying to make it
> iambic.

That's terrible logic.

You sound like you would be the sort of person people see along side a
highway with a flat tire and no spare.

SC

1890. Re: Is home liposuction kit anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5XAXg.10756$o71.6734@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code) wrote in
news:VACg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

>
> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 00:57:35 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem
>> liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion
>> tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and
>> some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my
>> ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout?
>> How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?
>
> ROTFLMAO!
>
> SC

Ditto.

:)

1891. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aXAXg.10758$o71.6004@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160669218.493927.259870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
>> Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
>> the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
>> become a guest of the Feds?
>>
>> Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
>> an 31337 hax0r.
>
> no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
> including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

Please oh please Todd, please bring Omega One back to HF. I miss hearing
your shitty 1980's music and your psychotic Hate FCC rants. It's better
than Liberty net. Did your mom take her records and 8-Tracks back? Is
that why Omega One is off the air?

SC

1892. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bXAXg.10759$o71.6581@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167909
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving
>>>>>>> > ham radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who
>>>>>> is
>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>> ago
>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
```

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>> tell
>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply
>>>>>>to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>
>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>
>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>
>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>> arguments".
>>>
>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>> argument" make.
>>>
>>> Hope this helps.
>>
>>
>>
>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>>just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>>right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>>KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still, if you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so you can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with ya.

73
de Slow Code

1893. K4YZ is RRAP's Nurse Ratchet. ROFL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cXAXg.10760$o71.4523@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Markie, Toddie, Billy, Larry, Woger, Lloydie, Chris...

Nurse Ratchet is here to help you, Try not to be retards.

Learn Radio!

Listen to Nurse Ratchet or it's electro shock therapy for
the lot of you.

SC

1894. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gXAXg.10762$o71.5587@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:

> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
> The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
> notes on things.
>
>
> Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
> 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
>
> Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
>
> Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
> class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
>
> This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
>
> Ace - WH2T

It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the service. Little by little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to the appliance operators. Like a frog in a pot of water that is raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we have no place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like CB. Apparently, I'm the only one seeing it coming. A ham who will stand for nothing will sit for anything.

SC

1895. Re: No coders geting HF SSB privileges ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hXAXg.10763$o71.10509@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave.rec.radio.amateur.misc

"The Late Arky Bob" <Arky_Bob_Rules@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160665066.942890.211880@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2006/10/11/100/?nc=1
>
> Check out the ARRL proposal ..
>
> Does this mean that Mr. Davies will be checking into The Great Liberty
> Net?

Thousands of CB'ers are slobbering worse than Pavlovs Dogs. Markie is
probably slobbering too.

SC

1896. Re: Please do not feed the trolls (was: If two no-code hams contact each other on CB, is that a real QSO?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jXAXg.10764$o71.1488@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167912
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

William Warren <william_warren_nonoise@speakeasy.net> wrote in
news:GfWdnZfBAZuQDrPYnZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@speakeasy.net:

```
> ______
> / \
> .' PLEASE `.
> | DO NOT | _____
> | FEED THE | ,'.....`.
> `. TROLLS ,' ,'........ )
> \_ _/ |........ ,'
> | | `. .... _/
> | | ,'.,'-'
> | | /../
> | | ,'.,'
> | | /../
> . | | /..'
> .\_\| |/_/,
> ___ | | ___
> . `--' .
> . .
```

That's pretty good. :-)

I know what you mean about the trolls Bill. I've decided to not follow-up to anything Markie Morgan, KB9RQZ posts anymore. Not that I ever remember seeing him posting anything that was worth a follow-up, but he's such a friggen retard that sometimes a person looses control and flames his dumb ass. I'm trying to watch out for that now. Thanks again for posting the cute sign. 73 How's your code practice coming along?

SC

1897. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <kXAXg.10765$o71.3942@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>
>>
>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>
>
> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
> disappointed.
>
> Did the code help you with the design?
>
> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>
> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
> beyond:
>
> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>
> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>
> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>
> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>
> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
> the code.
>

> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on the
> air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC. I
> haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is much
> better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the early 70's.
>
> -Chuck

Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five years ago?

Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave dipole should be.

SC

1898. Re: Wiseman stays away because he fears webcam exposure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:18:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mXAXg.10768$o71.7470@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chris" <whitmanc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:3def1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:

> DeLorean let Roger know that, if he keeps on posting the same old
> junk, DeLorean will publish the URLs of the security webcams which
> show parts of Roger's house and garage. Ever since DeLorean's NIM
> Busters post, Roger has been silent.
>
> Thanks, DeLorean!

Or he was having so much fun with Bubba, he decided to stay in jail
all week.

SC

1899. Wiseman stays away because he fears webcam exposure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:21:09 UTC
From: Chris <whitmanc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3def1b7f.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 167915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

DeLorean let Roger know that, if he keeps on posting the same old junk, DeLorean will publish the URLs of the security webcams which show parts of Roger's house and garage. Ever since DeLorean's NIM Busters post, Roger has been silent.

Thanks, DeLorean!

1900. Re: K4YZ is RRAP's Nurse Ratchet. ROFL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:33:40 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160699620.816282.91440@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Markie, Toddie, Billy, Larry, Woger, Lloydie, Chris...
>
> Nurse Ratchet is here to help you, Try not to be retards.
>
> Learn Radio!
>
> Listen to Nurse Ratchet or it's electro shock therapy for
> the lot of you.
what you need to invoke is terrorism so you can win

you can't win without threats
>
> SC

1901. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:38:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160699936.029071.253060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1160669218.493927.259870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
> > >> Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
> > >> the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
> > >> become a guest of the Feds?
> > >>
> > >> Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
> > >> an 31337 hax0r.
> > >
> > > no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
> > > including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
>
> Please oh please Todd, please bring Omega One back to HF. I miss hearing
> your shitty 1980's music and your psychotic Hate FCC rants. It's better
> than Liberty net. Did your mom take her records and 8-Tracks back? Is
> that why Omega One is off the air?
>
> SC

First off dumbfuck, my mom don't have 8 track and records I do.....and
yeah bitch I'm that old...and if you love Hate the FCC rant (after all
we know the FCC are all a bunch of FUCKING lying scum bags) I'll be
more then happy then to flood this USENET with anti FCC Shit. As for
Omega one radio, we are very happy on FM..that way your little gay
boyfriends DeWhorean, Roger "I'm a bastard child" and Steve "I jack
off over dead daughters grave" Robbieson can't harass or jam my signal

your pal
Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
88.3 FM

1902. Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:39:55 UTC
From: Chris <millerc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b7f.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 167918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
[snip]

Nope. You plagiarized that from http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.

At least take the time to think up your own material.

1903. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:40:37 UTC
From: The Secretary of HomIntern <YkR4N53909@WòéM.6Ró>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.13.00.40.34.886636@bisexuals.for.lgbt.freedom>
Source record: 167919
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.elections

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 16:16:02 -0700, bill_newport.4land wrote:
> bobandcarole wrote:
>> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
>> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
>> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>>
>> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
>> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
>> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>>
>> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>
> Thats whats wrong here in this group. That dam fruit markie spams and
> flaunts his faggotry all over usenet and blogs!

Poor baby.

--

Hail Eris! "The personal _is_ political."
Bent Depraved N. Deviant Cock-Smoker, Esq., Superfaggot
COOSN-029-06-71069
"Stupidity excuses nothing. It's only a reason...." -- Phxbrd
Economic Left/Right: -7.63 Social Libertarian/Authoritarian: -7.38
"The whining has just begun." -- John Wentzky
Killfiled by: directory; Anim8rfsk
"It's not nice to misrepresent Mother Nature."
http://www.lowgenius.net/kookway.htm
TEH WAY OF THE K00K
Never learn from your mistakes.
Always practice your mistakes; you may get them right.
Always pick on those smarter and tougher than you.
Always believe that only you know the TRVTH.
Never allow logic or reason get in the way of a good k00k.
When being overwhelmed by logic and reason: k00ksuit!
If you are going to be wrong, do it at the top of your lungs.
When caught in a lie: LIE!
When in doubt: Order the Crab Won Ton
Plagiarism is your friend. Use it.
Whenever contradicted; morph, start calling people names, and make false
accusations. Include the children of your target in your allegations,
even if they don't have any.
(06-Jun-05) When nobody else will listen, post to your own fan group.
(06-Jun-05) Obviously, since you have your own fan group, this must mean

that you have fans. Post prolifically to your fan group - you wouldn't want to disappoint them!
(10-May-2005)Everyone reads usenet. Approval here means approval everywhere.
Post numerous blank posts, or posts containing only a message id.
Post numerous copy&paste web articles from crackerpot websites.
Never forget to call kookologists "k00ks."
If there are several, call them "sockpuppets" too
When all else fails, accuse various and sundry kookologists of e-mailing viruses to you. This is a sure-fire method of garnering sympathy and ensuring that the General Public will always see things your way. An especially effective sub-strategy here is to accuse them of infecting you with the 'Sasser' worm via e-mail.
Quote notorious scientists or writers - it makes it look as if they approve the drivel you are writing!
(9-Jul-05) Anytime your computer is infected with a virus, bogged down by spyware, attacked over your internet connection, or otherwise suffers from preventable problems, government agencies are responsible and are trying to silence you and are monitoring your computer files.
Ignore all traffic signs and feel free to trespass, you don't have to obey any rules.
Scare your enemies with lawsuits, police escorts and whines.
Always back up your empty (albeit noisy) threats with phony LARTs, false police reports, and harassing letters to the FBI and other gubbermint agencies.
Be vigilant in your redundancy. The more you repeat yourself, the more likely others will believe you!
If you can't find anyone as crazy as yourself to support you in the flamewars you start with the normal population, create sock puppets and use anonymous remailers that shamelessly hang on every word you write.
(17-Mar-05) When dealing with law enforcement, remember that it is they who have the problem, not you. Be sure to inform them of this at every available opportunity, as they will surely appreciate your constructive criticism. Be sure to make them aware that YOU KNOW YOUR RIGHTS! ("The cops like that, when people know their rights. That way they don't have to read them to you on the way to the station." - George Carlin)
The more your fake personalities adulate you, the more respect you'll get!
When confronted with a reality that you don't like: Announce loudly that you are departing, never to return as long as there's an Internet. Come back in three or four days and claim you were drunk, hacked, abducted by alience, or forged. Alternately you can just not even mention your prior departure, and if anyone asks you about it, either ignore them or respond with something along the lines of "YOUR NOT THE BOSS OF ME! *PLONK*!" People really know you mean business then.
Always remain clueproof.
(20-Mar-05) Anyone who does not believe that you are the reincarnation of [$DEITY_OR_PROPHET] is obviously an infidel lacking in faith whose soul in in peril of everlasting damnation.
When responding to one line challenges, post paragraphs of rants and

screed in response.
Incoherency is not a roadblock to poasting.
Neither is illiteracy.
Delusions poasted often enough become fact.
Claim you will destroy <insert newsfroup> for attacking you.
When spnaked, send cmsg for Fanboi newsfroup(s).
Find your Lame, Use your Lame, Be your Lame!
Post Edit when the TRVTH hurts.
Always sneck the offending newsfroups.
Always poast pictures of yourself so you can be admired in all your k00ky glory.
Always accuse others of the very acts you are guilty of.
Post lots of boasts about your high IQ and incredible talents.
(20-Mar-05) If you repeat a lie often enough, it becomes the truth.
Anybody who fails to understand this is engaged in a deliberate campaign of misinformation and character assassination.
Always <plonk> somebody just before replying the plonkee!
The k00k considers itself the most intelligent person in any conversation, possibly on the planet. Other people are benighted and ignorant, and have been waiting their whole lives for the k00k to rescue them from intellectual darkness.
Write a self-published book and claim it a success. Bonus points for comparing it to "Mein Kampf" and/or the Bible.
Declare yourself equal to a deity of your choice.
Claim that you've come from other planets.
Claim thousands of past lives.
Frothing complaints carry far more weight when you send them from "legal@" some domain.
Nothing strikes terror into the hearts of your detractors more than telling them that you're archiving their messages for possible use in the future.
Never forget that everyone else posting to Usenet is a paid disinformation agent looking to discredit you.
Usenet is governed by US law. If a poster in Romania killfiles you, he's obviously violating your 1st Amendment rights and can be sued.
Every news admin in the world hangs out in NANAU, and they're just dying to nuke the account of that meanyhead who just called you "fucknozzle". Drop 'em a line - that's what they're there for, after all.
AUK will be closed down. Just you wait and see.
They've nuked hundreds of accounts in the name of free speech and *yours* will be next.
The k00k will, without any trace of irony, lie, manipulate, impersonate, censor, and declare themselves powerful in ways ranging from the ability to have an account shut down to being God Herself, in order to convince people that they are not liars, manipulators, censors, or insane.
Abuse women while telling how many hundreds you've loved. Nevermind that you're one ugly motherfucker and that there were 30,000 femininas that thought you were a scumbag with bad teeth.
Remember that your ko0ky klaims are 'facts', and that 'facts' do not require proof.

Do not neglect to poast your responses to forums that the originator doesn't read. This will make the people in that forum very impressed with how you tear him to shreds without him being able to respond. They like it even better if you are off-topic for that forum.
Keep in mind that lack of evidence supporting your konspiracy theory actually _is_ evidence, of how effective the konspiracy is in hiding.
(06-Oct-05) When spanked, always retreat to the safety of the Ad Hominem.
(04-Aug-2005) When spanked mercilessly for days on end, proving with each poast just what an illiterate and ignorant fool you are, ALWAYS claim ownership of [person(s),froup(s)]. This works on so many levels. It inspires dread in your opponents that they will no longer be able to poast in their home froup and that they will eventually have to pay rent, to name just two.
Any problems with your poasts are the fault of the konspirators, who are trying to stop you from preventing the extinction of humanity.
Konspiracies that are able to subvert whole governments are always unable to silence konspiracy ko0ks.
The entire United States government is willing to spend millions of dollars for the sole purpose of harassing you.
Hollywood is making movies based on your personal life.
Do not consult psychiatrists or other mental health professionals. They are part of the konspiracy, and will sedate you and lock you away and keep you drugged if you tell them the truth.
Numerology and Astrology are respectable sciences and are useful for proving your case.
Everyone is Tim Hill, or David Green, or...
There is a fine line between trolling and kookery. Find that line and cross it repeatedly. When you are killfiled and/or LARTed for net.abuse as a result, claim victory. If you lose multiple accounts, this merely proves that you are indeed a world-class troll, with a black-belt in manipulation.
If you respond to every post someone else makes, they're obsessed. If they respond to less than 1% of your posts, they're even more obsessed.
Publishing people's real names, addresses, and phone numbers when there's no other way for you to come out of a flamewar with any dignity is cool, and proves that you are a master of secret internet information stores, and absolutely not to be fucked with.
Everyone is out to get you. You can put a stop to this by telling everyone that they're out to get you at every available opportunity.
You are the only sane one.
Those that give you a hard time about morally bankrupt things you yourself admit to are just persecutioners of the new inquisition.
Yelling in all caps and cursing at your detractors is debate. Your detractors laughing at you with sarcastic remarks is obvious anger and jealousy.
If doing something results in the loss of your account, legal hassles, or blunt trauma injury, do it again. It always works better the second time.
Asterisks, lots and lots of Asterisks.

Poking holes in kookscreed is stalking, and is a felony.
K00ks LOVE to "connect the dots". They are, of course, dots that only the k00k can see.
"They laughed at Einstein, too!"

...with thanks to Aratzio, Dr. Flonkenstein, Dan Baldwin, Cujo, CJ Osterwald, Jade, Bookman, and John Henry, of AUK. Meow. Original thread at http://tinyurl.com/3fsho - some posts may not appear due to x-no-archive headers. The spelling mistakes are intentional, dolt.

1904. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:47:25 UTC
From: The Secretary of HomIntern <YkR4N53909@WòéM.6Ró>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.13.00.47.22.593078@bisexuals.for.lgbt.freedom>
Source record: 167920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexual

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 18:20:15 -0400, Mark wrote:
> On 10 Oct 2006 14:05:06 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
> id theft is crime

I think he has the Bartlo award locked up...

--

Hail Eris! "The personal _is_ political."
Bent Depraved N. Deviant Cock-Smoker, Esq., Superfaggot
COOSN-029-06-71069
"Stupidity excuses nothing. It's only a reason...." -- Phxbrd
Economic Left/Right: -7.63 Social Libertarian/Authoritarian: -7.38
"The whining has just begun." -- John Wentzky
Killfiled by: directory; Anim8rfsk
"It's not nice to misrepresent Mother Nature."
http://www.lowgenius.net/kookway.htm
TEH WAY OF THE K00K
Never learn from your mistakes.
Always practice your mistakes; you may get them right.
Always pick on those smarter and tougher than you.
Always believe that only you know the TRVTH.
Never allow logic or reason get in the way of a good k00k.
When being overwhelmed by logic and reason: k00ksuit!
If you are going to be wrong, do it at the top of your lungs.
When caught in a lie: LIE!
When in doubt: Order the Crab Won Ton
Plagiarism is your friend. Use it.
Whenever contradicted; morph, start calling people names, and make false
accusations. Include the children of your target in your allegations,
even if they don't have any.
(06-Jun-05) When nobody else will listen, post to your own fan group.
(06-Jun-05) Obviously, since you have your own fan group, this must mean
that you have fans. Post prolifically to your fan group - you wouldn't
want to disappoint them!
(10-May-2005)Everyone reads usenet. Approval here means approval
everywhere.
Post numerous blank posts, or posts containing only a message id.
Post numerous copy&paste web articles from crackerpot websites.
Never forget to call kookologists "k00ks."
If there are several, call them "sockpuppets" too
When all else fails, accuse various and sundry kookologists of e-mailing

viruses to you. This is a sure-fire method of garnering sympathy and ensuring that the General Public will always see things your way. An especially effective sub-strategy here is to accuse them of infecting you with the 'Sasser' worm via e-mail.
Quote notorious scientists or writers - it makes it look as if they approve the drivel you are writing!
(9-Jul-05) Anytime your computer is infected with a virus, bogged down by spyware, attacked over your internet connection, or otherwise suffers from preventable problems, government agencies are responsible and are trying to silence you and are monitoring your computer files.
Ignore all traffic signs and feel free to trespass, you don't have to obey any rules.
Scare your enemies with lawsuits, police escorts and whines.
Always back up your empty (albeit noisy) threats with phony LARTs, false police reports, and harassing letters to the FBI and other gubbermint agencies.
Be vigilant in your redundancy. The more you repeat yourself, the more likely others will believe you!
If you can't find anyone as crazy as yourself to support you in the flamewars you start with the normal population, create sock puppets and use anonymous remailers that shamelessly hang on every word you write.
(17-Mar-05) When dealing with law enforcement, remember that it is they who have the problem, not you. Be sure to inform them of this at every available opportunity, as they will surely appreciate your constructive criticism. Be sure to make them aware that YOU KNOW YOUR RIGHTS! ("The cops like that, when people know their rights. That way they don't have to read them to you on the way to the station." - George Carlin)
The more your fake personalities adulate you, the more respect you'll get!
When confronted with a reality that you don't like: Announce loudly that you are departing, never to return as long as there's an Internet. Come back in three or four days and claim you were drunk, hacked, abducted by alience, or forged. Alternately you can just not even mention your prior departure, and if anyone asks you about it, either ignore them or respond with something along the lines of "YOUR NOT THE BOSS OF ME! *PLONK*!" People really know you mean business then.
Always remain clueproof.
(20-Mar-05) Anyone who does not believe that you are the reincarnation of [$DEITY_OR_PROPHET] is obviously an infidel lacking in faith whose soul in in peril of everlasting damnation.
When responding to one line challenges, post paragraphs of rants and screed in response.
Incoherency is not a roadblock to poasting.
Neither is illiteracy.
Delusions poasted often enough become fact.
Claim you will destroy <insert newsfroup> for attacking you.
When spnaked, send cmsg for Fanboi newsfroup(s).
Find your Lame, Use your Lame, Be your Lame!
Post Edit when the TRVTH hurts.
Always sneck the offending newsfroups.

Always poast pictures of yourself so you can be admired in all your k00ky glory.
Always accuse others of the very acts you are guilty of.
Post lots of boasts about your high IQ and incredible talents.
(20-Mar-05) If you repeat a lie often enough, it becomes the truth. Anybody who fails to understand this is engaged in a deliberate campaign of misinformation and character assassination.
Always <plonk> somebody just before replying the plonkee!
The k00k considers itself the most intelligent person in any conversation, possibly on the planet. Other people are benighted and ignorant, and have been waiting their whole lives for the k00k to rescue them from intellectual darkness.
Write a self-published book and claim it a success. Bonus points for comparing it to "Mein Kampf" and/or the Bible.
Declare yourself equal to a deity of your choice.
Claim that you've come from other planets.
Claim thousands of past lives.
Frothing complaints carry far more weight when you send them from "legal@" some domain.
Nothing strikes terror into the hearts of your detractors more than telling them that you're archiving their messages for possible use in the future.
Never forget that everyone else posting to Usenet is a paid disinformation agent looking to discredit you.
Usenet is governed by US law. If a poster in Romania killfiles you, he's obviously violating your 1st Amendment rights and can be sued.
Every news admin in the world hangs out in NANAU, and they're just dying to nuke the account of that meanyhead who just called you "fucknozzle". Drop 'em a line - that's what they're there for, after all.
AUK will be closed down. Just you wait and see.
They've nuked hundreds of accounts in the name of free speech and *yours* will be next.
The k00k will, without any trace of irony, lie, manipulate, impersonate, censor, and declare themselves powerful in ways ranging from the ability to have an account shut down to being God Herself, in order to convince people that they are not liars, manipulators, censors, or insane.
Abuse women while telling how many hundreds you've loved. Nevermind that you're one ugly motherfucker and that there were 30,000 femininas that thought you were a scumbag with bad teeth.
Remember that your ko0ky klaims are 'facts', and that 'facts' do not require proof.
Do not neglect to poast your responses to forums that the originator doesn't read. This will make the people in that forum very impressed with how you tear him to shreds without him being able to respond. They like it even better if you are off-topic for that forum.
Keep in mind that lack of evidence supporting your konspiracy theory actually _is_ evidence, of how effective the konspiracy is in hiding.
(06-Oct-05) When spanked, always retreat to the safety of the Ad Hominem.
(04-Aug-2005) When spanked mercilessly for days on end, proving with

each poast just what an illiterate and ignorant fool you are, ALWAYS claim ownership of [person(s),froup(s)]. This works on so many levels. It inspires dread in your opponents that they will no longer be able to poast in their home froup and that they will eventually have to pay rent, to name just two.
Any problems with your poasts are the fault of the konspirators, who are trying to stop you from preventing the extinction of humanity.
Konspiracies that are able to subvert whole governments are always unable to silence konspiracy ko0ks.
The entire United States government is willing to spend millions of dollars for the sole purpose of harassing you.
Hollywood is making movies based on your personal life.
Do not consult psychiatrists or other mental health professionals. They are part of the konspiracy, and will sedate you and lock you away and keep you drugged if you tell them the truth.
Numerology and Astrology are respectable sciences and are useful for proving your case.
Everyone is Tim Hill, or David Green, or...
There is a fine line between trolling and kookery. Find that line and cross it repeatedly. When you are killfiled and/or LARTed for net.abuse as a result, claim victory. If you lose multiple accounts, this merely proves that you are indeed a world-class troll, with a black-belt in manipulation.
If you respond to every post someone else makes, they're obsessed. If they respond to less than 1% of your posts, they're even more obsessed.
Publishing people's real names, addresses, and phone numbers when there's no other way for you to come out of a flamewar with any dignity is cool, and proves that you are a master of secret internet information stores, and absolutely not to be fucked with.
Everyone is out to get you. You can put a stop to this by telling everyone that they're out to get you at every available opportunity.
You are the only sane one.
Those that give you a hard time about morally bankrupt things you yourself admit to are just persecutioners of the new inquisition.
Yelling in all caps and cursing at your detractors is debate. Your detractors laughing at you with sarcastic remarks is obvious anger and jealousy.
If doing something results in the loss of your account, legal hassles, or blunt trauma injury, do it again. It always works better the second time.
Asterisks, lots and lots of Asterisks.
Poking holes in kookscreed is stalking, and is a felony.
K00ks LOVE to "connect the dots". They are, of course, dots that only the k00k can see.
"They laughed at Einstein, too!"

...with thanks to Aratzio, Dr. Flonkenstein, Dan Baldwin, Cujo, CJ Osterwald, Jade, Bookman, and John Henry, of AUK. Meow. Original thread at http://tinyurl.com/3fsho - some posts may not appear due to x-no-archive headers. The spelling mistakes are intentional, dolt.

1905. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:51:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mnoti2teceh1j9417ao9h8jhrhugl70d3u@4ax.com>
Source record: 167921
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:fAhXg.62292$5o5.48391@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:htgXg.8078$Y24.6683@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.1f9611e2e91c939f989a3f@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>> In article <gACVg.5940$Y24.1159@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:MPG.1f9086eb5bc7bd06989a3d@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> > In article <VEgVg.7674$o71.6241@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>>>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>>> >> Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> >> news:R8dVg.263$zy2.260@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> > AC7PN wrote:
>>>>> >> >> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>> >> >>> Or is it like talking to someone on CB?
>>>>> >> >>>
>>>>> >> >>> SC
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> The answer is "yes it is a real contact" and so far as you
>>>>> >> >> were communicating with some one using a radio it also is "like
>>>>> >> >> talking to someone on CB."
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> Dude, your arrogance is showing.
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > I would have said ignorance ;)
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > 73, Phil W7OX
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Don't tell me You want to dumb down ham radio now as well. Where
>>>>> >> have all the communicators gone?
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> SC
```

>>>>> >>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Any 12 year old can learn code in 2 weeks. Getting rid of it would
>>>>> > not dumb down Ham radio at all. Code is a good thing to know.
>>>>> > Whether you use it or not is a personal preference. I think
>>>>> > programming you're own software to send code yourself and building
>>>>> > your own radio is a lot more technical than learning code.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 73
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Chris
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> But people don't want to be skilled at anything anymore. They don't
>>>>> want to make an effort to learn CW, they may not make an effort to
>>>>> learn other things to advance themselves technically either.
>>>>>
>>>>> SC
>>>>>
>>>> If a 12 year old can learn it I don't consider it much of a technical
>>>> skill. Nothing at all technical about CW. Building a transmitter,
>>>> now that takes somw technical know how.
>>>>
>>>> Chris
>>>
>>>
>>> Well if it's not a problem to learn, let's keep the requirement and
>>> raise it to 13WPM. It's a bit boring doing code at 5 words per minute,
>>> and at 13 WPM you can copy someones call for help almost three times as
>>> fast.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Name one real/valid/probable scenario for this. Let me guess, you crash
>> land on the moon, have only a D-cell battery, some wire, a butter knife,
>> happen to find some crystals, some silicon, somehow beyond your
>> knowledge or abilities, manage to build a transmitter, and send your SOS
>> back to Earth. Is that about right? Nah, you'd die trying to make it
>> iambic.
>
>
>That's terrible logic.
>
>You sound like you would be the sort of person people see along side a
>highway with a flat tire and no spare.

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
and you want to run on just a spare tire
>
>SC

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1906. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:52:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ipoti2hnvv7ihg0rqi2e10dkc76pr31k2l@4ax.com>
Source record: 167922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
>news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>> The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
>> notes on things.
>>
>>
>> Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
>> 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
>>
>> Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
>>
>> Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
>> class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
>>
>> This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
>>
>> Ace - WH2T
>
>
>It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the
>service.
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1907. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:53:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uroti2dv2kcafauen1mld2qkqrka34dfj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Gropus <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:7c9ri21p136061hhabebo7c6of6o64opun@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:00:57 GMT, Blow a Toad <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>
>>>I just wish all you people would take a stand against dumbing things
>>>down any further. If it continues, it going to make hams irrelevant and
>>>our bands will sound like CB.
>>
>> Right now, CB is totally dead. Nothing. Nada. Zip. Zilch.
>>
>> Behavior like yours will push ham that way too. Who wants to be
>> associated with the likes of you?
>
>
>Everyone that desires to be a good ham and valuable asset to the radio
>service and to their country do.
>
>Now how's your CW practice coming along? Are you practicing or did you
>decide to pack it in and just talk on a mic like other lazy KKKanadians.
>Eh?
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1908. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:54:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cuoti2dqf32di7rnkn3ur228pc15069ct3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>>
>>>
>>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>>
>>
>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
>> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
>> disappointed.
>>
>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>
>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
>> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
>> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
>> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
>> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>>
>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
>> beyond:
>>
>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>
>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>
>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>
>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>>
>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned

>> the code.
>>
>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on the
>> air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC. I
>> haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is much
>> better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the early 70's.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
>Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five years
>ago?
>
>Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave dipole
>should be.

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1909. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:55:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ovoti29eb274t4f6jcv48532v8abvohrdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 167925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:C0hXg.21927$Ij.17787@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> But the written tests have been dumbed down as well. You don't need to
>>> answer as many questions over-all for the General and Extra as you used
>>
>> Are you ever capable of telling the truth?
>> My 1957 ARRL License Manual contains 279
>> Extra questions in 25 pages. My 2000 ARRL
>> Extra License Manual contains 439 questions
>> and 350 pages just for the Extra exam.
>
>
>When you sit down at a VE test session the number of questions you have to
>answer over-all to be a Tech, General, or Extra class is less than it used to
>be

so what?
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1910. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:56:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c1pti2ljp7aj0fn1v1o2hr1r0k5umcl74m@4ax.com>
Source record: 167928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:jkhXg.62116$5o5.52493@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>>
>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>>> to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to
>>> boiling point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to
>>> communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Anyone got some of what he's smoking?
>
>
>I smoke Marlboro, but you're not man enough to smoke'em. You should stick
>with your Virginia Slims.

you just proven yourself stupid ...for smoking

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>Make any CW contacts lately?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1911. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:56:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <j2pti29a3p5sheeg22r7rdg9du6egkj214@4ax.com>
Source record: 167927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>my.mail@no.spam (Slow Code) wrote in
>news:VACg.5952$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>>
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 00:57:35 +0000 (UTC), Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>> I been triyng to knock of a littl ewieght and just saw the hoem
>>> liposukction kit that QVC seels. you get a vacume sweepr sucktion
>>> tool to put on yoer vacuume sweepre, four sterile plastc scaples, and
>>> some bandages. Does the kit realy work? Can isuck the fat outof my
>>> ass and legs and looky ounger? Will I bleed alot? Coudl I passout?
>>> How many of you haev used the QVC hoem lipocsuction kit?
>>
>> ROTFLMAO!
>>
>> SC
>
>
>Ditto.
clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>:)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1912. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:57:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <43pti25gjm7mgm8cdpmhoqf0ng13ntv2s4@4ax.com>
Source record: 167926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160669218.493927.259870@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If you go to http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com, Google won't let you see
>>> Todd's cartoon kiddie porn. I wonder if the Feds told Google to yank
>>> the pages down? I wonder if Todd is going to Joliet Prison next to
>>> become a guest of the Feds?
>>>
>>> Either that, or DeLorean hacked yet another web server. DeLorean is
>>> an 31337 hax0r.
>>
>> no they didn't, and secondly the feds would do shit, cartoons,
>> including the ones on my site are perfectly legal.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
>
>Please oh please Todd, please bring Omega One back to HF. I miss hearing
>your shitty 1980's music and your psychotic Hate FCC rants. It's better
>than Liberty net. Did your mom take her records and 8-Tracks back? Is
>that why Omega One is off the air?

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1913. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:57:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n3pti2th18rcuk4tc4s3c9ap6e5b2qfjmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 167929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Chris" <whitmanc238@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
>news:3def1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:
>
>> DeLorean let Roger know that, if he keeps on posting the same old
>> junk, DeLorean will publish the URLs of the security webcams which
>> show parts of Roger's house and garage. Ever since DeLorean's NIM
>> Busters post, Roger has been silent.
>>
>> Thanks, DeLorean!
>
>
>Or he was having so much fun with Bubba, he decided to stay in jail
>all week.

clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1914. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 00:59:52 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 167930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in

>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
>> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
>> disappointed.
>>
>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>
>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
>> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
>> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
>> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
>> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>>
>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
>> beyond:
>>
>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>
>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>
>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>
>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>>
>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
>> the code.
>>
>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on the
>> air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC. I
>> haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is much
>> better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the early 70's.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
> Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five years
> ago?

Oh, easily. 25 years ago, technical conversations were dominated by such earth shatteringly important stuff as having a ham down at the repeater site helping other hams tune their transmitters to be on frequency. Other wonderkind were hitting the repeater with a full quieting signal, and turning their power up to try and get a better signal to that DX mobile that breaking up.

If it wasn't that, it was an endless sea of autopatches calling the xyl to tell her that traffic was bad, could she start dinner... or ordering pizza.

>
> Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave dipole
> should be.

I heard the same things 25 years ago from Generals that got their licenses at the offices of the FCC.

Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL handbook as my guide.

You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.

-Chuck

1915. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:08:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452eda11$0$19608$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1160699936.029071.253060@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
I jack
> off over dead daughters grave
>
taht sound hot tood

nice pic off you on in my blog two.

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1916. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:10:02 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2fljv8@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2fljv8@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 167947

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
>
> - The available space for *data* modes is decreased.
>
>

As if *data* modes were being crowded anyhow! The few users are clustered around a couple of frequencies, like small watering holes on the Sonoran desert, talking to pretty much nobody except the few other lonesome prospectors. As a measure of how little attention is paid to this, I haven't heard a single complaint about the fact that the 75m "automatic" data freqs are now smak-dab in the middle of the proposed 80m Extra phone band! Nobody even noticed!

The Man in The Maze
QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

1917. Id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:18:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <abqti215npnf789j45iqdosdu42g8vj5v6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 21:08:20 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:

>

Id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1918. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:28:36 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160702916.353189.248610@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

an old friend wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> id theft is acrime

you breathing the same air as the rest of the world should be a
crime,you filthy stinking faggot!

1919. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:30:40 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4%BXg.22222$lj.12681@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 167934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> You sound like you would be the sort of person people see along side a
> highway with a flat tire and no spare.

Exactly what happens to all Harley riders by choice.
Why are you so against freedom of choice? There's
an article in Worldradio about how ham radio saved
a guy's life. I guess FM saving someone's life doesn't
count with you, huh?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

1920. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:40:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ohrti29320lu5jee75sbhsra91v7fuf4sg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.men,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 12 Oct 2006 18:28:36 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>> id theft is acrime
>
>you breathing the same air as the rest of the world should be a
>crime,you filthy stinking faggot!
funny how the majoity of american and a CONSERVETIVE supreme court not
agree
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1921. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:41:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
> [snip]
>
> Nope. You plagiarized that from http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>
> At least take the time to think up your own material.

it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.

Todd N9OGL

1922. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:45:32 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160703931.596135.112400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.sex.dogs,alt.baldspot

On Oct 12, 8:40 pm, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 12 Oct 2006 18:28:36 -0700, slow_code_bitch_...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> M...@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > <M...@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> >> id theft is acrime
>
> >you breathing the same air as the rest of the world should be a
> >crime,you filthy stinking faggot!funny how the majoity of american and a CONSERVETIVE
supreme court not
> agreehttp://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account fromhttp://www.teranews.com

you still a cum slurping fruit

1923. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:49:59 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1160704199.874118.221280@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On Oct 12, 7:52 pm, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
> >"Dr.Ace" <A...@WH2T.com> wrote in
> >news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
> >>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
> >> The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
> >> notes on things.
>
> >> Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
> >> 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
>
> >> Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
>
> >> Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
> >> class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
>
> >> This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
>
> >> Ace - WH2T
>
> >It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the
> >service. clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your
licensehttp://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account fromhttp://www.teranews.com- Hide quoted text -- Show
quoted text -

SC, have you been fucking mork??

1924. Re: clean up ham radio SC start by truning in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 01:54:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kcsti25rujr431ovhphc52k9bdmens0rcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 167939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On 12 Oct 2006 18:49:59 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>
>On Oct 12, 7:520m, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.m...@no.spam> wrote:
>> >"Dr.Ace" <A...@WH2T.com> wrote in
>> >news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>>
>> >>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>> >> The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
>> >> notes on things.
>>
>> >> Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
>> >> 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
>>
>> >> Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
>>
>> >> Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
>> >> class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
>>
>> >> This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
>>
>> >> Ace - WH2T
>>
>> >It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the
>> >service. #lean up ham radio SC start by truning in your
licensehttp://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account fromhttp://www.teranews.com- Hide quoted text -- Show
quoted text -
>
>
>SC, have you been fucking mork??
nope woger get over your obession with sex
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1925. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:05:18 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167940
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
thusly:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>>>> thusly:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
>>>>>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving
>>>>>>>>> > ham radio forward and doing anything technical wise.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who
>>>>>>>> is
>>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>>>> ago
>>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
>>>>>>>> tell
>>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply
>>>>>>>to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>>
>>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>>
>>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>>> arguments".
>>>>
>>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>>> argument" make.
>>>>
>>>> Hope this helps.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
>>>Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>>>You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>>>just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>>>right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>>>KKKanaduh. Eh.
>>
>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>
>
>KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care

>system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still, if
>you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so you
>can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with ya.

Your blunt refusal to back up anything you claim simply proves to the
world what kind of loser you are. Can you deduct your mortgage
interest from your income tax? You can? Nice handout because I can't.

Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
of the little trolls hiding under bridges.

You're depriving a village somewhere of an idiot.

--
Opus62@gmail.com
(Jim, single dad to Lesleigh [Autistic] 04/20/94)

"What, Me Worry?" A. E. Newman

Please note: All unsolicited e-mail sent to me may, at
my discretion, be posted in this newsgroup verbatim.

1926. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:06:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167941
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
> >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >> thusly:
> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> >>>> thusly:
> >>>>
> >>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> >>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> >>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
> >>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
> >>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have everything
> >>>>>> > over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the one's moving ham
> >>>>>> > radio forward and doing anything technical wise.

> >>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
> >>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
> >>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
> >>>>>> technical expertise.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else who is
> >>>>> equally ill informed?
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century ago
> >>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF circles...
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin and
> >>>>> tell
> >>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
> >>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Try again.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Steve, K4YZ

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
> >>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter reply to
> >>>>>try to be-little the original argument.
> >>>>
> >>>> Pot, meet kettle.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
> >>
> >> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
> >> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
> >> handout is a good sound argument?
> >>
> >> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
> >> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
> >> arguments".
> >>
> >> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
> >> argument" make.
> >>
> >> Hope this helps.
>
> >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
> >Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.

"Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
assumes.

Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.

> >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
> >how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
> >about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.

Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.

> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>
> You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>
> Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>
> You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.

Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
taking "Slow Code" seriously.

1927. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:18:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160705931.290488.205800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,alt.baldspot

marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> On Oct 12, 8:40?pm, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 12 Oct 2006 18:28:36 -0700, slow_code_bitch_...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account fromhttp://www.teranews.com
>
> you still a cum slurping fruit
and you are afraid to give even your name

1928. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:22:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160706135.579599.307090@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167943
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > thusly:

> > >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and you're
> > >Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to to use it.
>
> "Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
> *assumes*.
of course
>
> Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.
>
> > >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's just
> > >how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're right
> > >about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.

indeed which is the standard in procode wrold these days
>
> > Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
> > sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
> >
> > You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
> >
> > Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
> >
> > You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
>
> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.
why not he posts just like Robeson and Wismen and Heil

1929. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:27:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <b5idnXOUArU2ZLPYnZ2dnUVZ_u2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167944

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>

Why? There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least. As a matter of fact, it encourages more CW use now that the Novices and Tech+ folks will be able to mingle and join the main CW activities.

Dee, N8UZE

1930. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 02:30:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lhuti297nvmo9ulsnuu8garve55cmk7s8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 167945

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 22:27:41 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>
>Why? There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least. As a
>matter of fact, it encourages more CW use now that the Novices and Tech+
>folks will be able to mingle and join the main CW activities.
what are reading?
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1931. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:07:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160708852.167189.3440@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167946

Dee Flint wrote:

>There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.

Well, actually there is.

At present, 3500 to 3750 kHz is CW/data space - no 'phone or image. In that space, 3525 to 3750 is available to Generals, Advanceds and Extras. 3500-3525 is for Extras only.

When the changes take effect, only 3500-3600 will be free of 'phone. The space available for data modes will be reduced from 250 kHz to 100 kHz for Extras, and from 225 to 75 kHz for Advanceds and Generals.

Now it may come to pass that 3600-3750 is still used for CW by some Extras and Advanceds. But I think it is far more likely that those freqs will be used for 'phone, with CW being frowned upon. And they cannot be used for data.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1932. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:14:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160709279.164458.44110@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167948

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm

>> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
>> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
>
>> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
>
>> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
>> > history of it.
>
>> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
>
>You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
>you haven't is a lie.

True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
"I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.

>I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
>your problems.

Imposter has so many problems he needs all the "care"
he can get.

>No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
>were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
>take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
>idiot.

Brian, it matters not.

1. Imposter was long ago divorced from reality and
knowledge of right and wrong.

2. As a result of (1) he usually looks like an idiot.

3. (1) and (2) repeated endlessly until his Google message
total exceeds that of Cecil Moore.

>Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
>thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
>hit the news big time.

Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?

Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
patients from a nurse there who did it?

>You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
>you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
>fear you.

Must be fear.

They can't control him, one of their OWN.

>What you posted is plain for anyone to see.

Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.

>Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?

Probably he will. Amateur extras will have all sorts of
"permissions" versus ordinary folk in the "moderation"
period. Those who disagree with amateur extras will
never have their posts shown in public.

>But you can't ever confess making a mistake, so you are suck in the
>Robesin Conundrum of defending the indefensible.

That happened years and years ago. Imposter has never
been able to rise out of his ooze.

>What has my wife got to do with your problem, creep?

His own (SECOND) wife can't control his 5-hour sessions
on his computer while he is busy shoveling shit on his
"enemies."

A real head case he is...

1933. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:17:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1qSdnYc2VZXFmLLYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167949

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1160708852.167189.3440@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>>There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
>
> Well, actually there is.
>
> At present, 3500 to 3750 kHz is CW/data space - no 'phone or image. In
> that space, 3525 to 3750 is available to Generals, Advanceds and
> Extras. 3500-3525 is for Extras only.
>
> When the changes take effect, only 3500-3600 will be free of 'phone.
> The space available for data modes will be reduced from 250 kHz to 100
> kHz for Extras, and from 225 to 75 kHz for Advanceds and Generals.
>
> Now it may come to pass that 3600-3750 is still used for CW by some
> Extras and Advanceds. But I think it is far more likely that those
> freqs will be used for 'phone, with CW being frowned upon. And they
> cannot be used for data.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Well yes that segment has been shortened a bit but on the whole, the changes should be beneficial. I particularly like the Novice/Tech+ getting more CW bandwidth.

Dee, N8UZE

1934. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:19:06 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160709546.150716.156620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167950

From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 9 2006 6:20 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

>> Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
>> computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
>> not even 12AU7s.
>
>You didn't look very hard:
>
>http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,106388-page,1/article.html

ERROR on "correction," Jimmie.

That's a 2002 ad-promo, four years OLD.

A click on the link for more data turns up blank with
the small advisory of no suppliers for this item. :-)

Search all you want of the HP, Dell, Compaq, the
independents such as PC Club...or the big warehouse
suppliers such as CDC or Frys. You won't find any
with vacuum tubes in them on the market this year
or the year before.

Now try to be a MAN, Jimmie, acknowledge your failure
to followup on the one-time "deal" of a single audio
output tube in a single specialty personal computer.

>So what? It's only been 60 years since ENIAC was announced...

Tsk. You've been around for a decade less and your
THINKING is obsolete and self-centered.

BTW, what did ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?

Anything at all?

ENIAC and the amateur code test deserve a place in
MUSEUMS, not the reality of life in today's world.

Please direct any more hero worship of ENIAC to the
ACM historian. You DO have an ACM membership, don't
you?

1935. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:22:00 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160709720.773901.239720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am

>Opus- wrote:
>> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>> >> >Opus- wrote:

>But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
>limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
>people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
>tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
>skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
>that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.

A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
"skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]

Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
faster.

>> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
>> issue.
>
>I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
>rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
>SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?

AN/PRC-104...back-pack HF SSB transceiver, operational
since 1984. Built by (then) Hughes Aircraft Ground
Systems (Hughes purchased by Raytheon).

For civilian-only, try the SGC 2020 SSB HF transceiver
used by private boat owners as well as hams.

>For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
>But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
>differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
>difference in low power performance really matters.

The PRC-104 has an integral automatic antenna matching
package (to the right of the transceiver itself). This
insures that the manpack set's whip antenna is always
tuned for optimum radiated transmission power.

SGC has several antenna autotuner models available;
separate equipments.

>Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
>modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
>brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
>straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
>all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
>makes a big difference.

You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)

[of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]

Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?

I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
heater. Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
"good driving," you've got your head up your ass.

Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
at everything from "smooth straight highways" through
"winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING. I will
WIN. Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.

That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.

HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
Include auto kits if you need to.

HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven? Over "winding
country roads?" [I don't think so unless you count the
old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
Bomber") from/to highway.

>> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
>> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
>
>I disagree - for two reasons!
>
>First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse

>Code.

If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
like a nail to you...

>I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
>presented correctly.

Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.

>Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
>phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
>Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.

Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
reasons.

>However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
>to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
>voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
>reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.

One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
so in a public statement in 2004.

Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications. Been
there, seen that, see no difference now.

> But
>Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
>- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.

So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)

BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

* M A G I C M O R S E *

BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
>people and places change.

Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.

Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
radio through federal license testing for morse code in
only AMATEUR radio?

YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...

Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.

1936. Re: Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:24:34 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160709874.693131.70260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167952

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 10 2006 3:40 am

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>Len never attended a Roman Catholic parochial school. Was never taught
>by nuns or smacked around by them.

Was the parochial school *required*...like morse code
ability *required* to transmit on HF? :-)

Lutherans don't go to parochial schools, Jimmie. :-)

Jimmie ever nail some theses to church doors? :-)

Jimmie NEVER served in any military, not even as chaplain.
Not even as Charlie Chaplin.

Poor Jimmie can't stand the mental picture his own mind
generated. :-)

>> Why not, poor baby. I've pointed out that your post wasn't funny and
>> wasn't worthy of an adult male.
>
>Wasn't worthy of a grade schooler.

True, it IS worthy of grown-up sarcasm tossed at smug,
arrogant, self-defined amateur extra morsemen gods of
radio. :-)

>First Rule of Comedy: The audience determines what's funny. If the
>audience doesn't think it's funny, it's not funny.

Jimmie is "expert" in show business?!?

Jimmie can come out west and tell Mitzi Shore how to run
her Comedy Store Club. :-)

When Jimmie be on Letterman's show? (all should know so
they can tune in to Leno instead...)

Jimmie have SAG card? SEG card? AFTRA card?

>Len thinks he is either the Pope or royalty.

Neither. :-)

I am for DEMOCRACY...all to be heard on subjects.

Such will end soon as "moderation" begins in here.

>He's all about "rank, status, and privilege".

YES! TO the smug, arrogant, self-defined gots of radio,
better known as the amateur extra morsemen.

Poor amateur extra morsemen have no sense of humor
about their own selves and their life work. Tsk.

>First Rule of Comedy invoked.

ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

>> > Morsemanship makes you superhuman.
>
>Well, since Len won't describe what "morsemanship" is, here's a working
>definition:

NOT the correct "definition."

I coined the word. YOU don't get to mint copies (knockoffs).
You can try, but it will be in ERROR as your usual failure
to recognize that others don't think like you do.

A MORSEMAN lives, breathes, eats morse code as the main topic
of his/her life.

A MORSEMAN wants to freeze time back in the 1930s when code
was king of small station communications.

A typical MORSEMAN has the callsign K4YZ, N2EY, or K8MN but
those are otherwise indistinguishable from one another.

Anonymous MORSEMEN are represented by "Slow Code" and his
general angry attitude towards anyone not worshipping him.

As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

1937. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 03:31:18 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160710278.785000.124190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
> > On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > thusly:
> > >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
> > >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > >> thusly:
> > >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
> > >>>
> > >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> > >>>> thusly:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
> > >>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > >>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:

> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.

Are we supposed to take YOU "seriously?" :-)

Now keep hunting for those PCs that use vacuum tubes, old
computerist...

1938. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 04:01:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fs3ui2h906j1pj7563bsj0k41c0a2i9iv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 167954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 14:30:14 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Aug 2006 21:07:15 -0500, "wa0kbz" <wa0kbz@charter.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Glen
>>Don't know if I 100% agree with your code speeds but I do thing the NO Code
>>should be for 1 year and none renewable.
>>I had to take my license in front of the FCC and not so VE who may or may
>>not help you out to get a license.
>>As I am a big VHFer I don't know how these NO Code guys are going to
>>understand beacons. They are all code and most at 10 ~ 20 wpm. I guess the
>>next thing will be, they will want all beacons either digital or voice. YUK!
>>Not up in the GHZ range yet but maybe some day.
>>73, Bill, WA0KBZ
>
>Right on, Bill! Thanks for your thoughts.
why is there any need decode the beacon simply hearing the beacon tell
you that the band is open somewhere
>
>NT
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1939. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 04:25:12 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IyEXg.8650$Y24.7498@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167955

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1qSdnYc2VZXFmLLYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com...

> I particularly like the Novice/Tech+ getting more CW bandwidth.

On 80m they used to have 50kHz pretty much to themselves. (Of course you could have dropped a bucket of grenades on 3675-3725 and never touched a single Novice or Tech 99% of the time.)

Now they'll have 75kHz on 80m, (a whopping increase of 25kHz) and they'll have to share it with a host of pissed-off displaced traffic nets, RTTY/data operators, all the other Generals who were already there, probably some displaced Canadian phone nets, and the W1AW bulldozer. What few who migrate down there (I deem it less than 2 dozen anyhow) may not share your "particular like" for the move.

The Man in the Maze
QRX from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

1940. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 04:26:55 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2fm0j6@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2fm0j6@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 167958

Dee Flint Wrote:
>
> There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
>

Imagine this. Imagine all the CW and digital NTS traffic nets that now operate in the 150KHz between 3600 and 3750, relocated down to the 75KHz between 3525 and 3600. Imagine all the PSK and RTTY operators also relocated down there. Imagine the Canadian SSB nets migrating down there to avoid the US extras and Advanced. Imagine the folks already operating there welcoming them with open arms --- NOT!. Then imagine it is a domestic contest weekend, like SS or FD!

What could be the harm to CW?

The Man in the Maze
QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

1941. FCC Releases "Omnibus" Act...No Change To CW Yet...FCC Says "We'd have Done It Yesterday But We Like Watching Mork Moron Squirm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 06:35:47 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160721347.754193.262310@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167956

Or words to that effect.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

1942. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) A Direct Question?...Who's "Robesin" and What's The Question?...Can Brain Not Answer For Himself How HE Should Feel? Does His Mommy Still Wipe His Butt And Change His Diapers For Him Too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 07:08:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160723335.970204.56350@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167957

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> > > > >
> > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> > > >
> > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.

Nope. Just called em like I see them.

You're a little snot trying to scam his way through posts with
nothing but sleaze.

See...?!?!

It works.

> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> >
> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> > from hurting your feelings.....
>
> No one here is worried about my "feelings."

I did once upon a time.

But you didn't care about mine.

Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
treated...

> You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
> excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).

No...You've not been able to rub my nose in anything.

You TRY to make issues with out-of-context quotes and out-and-out
lies, but you've not "rubbed" anything of mine in anything, Brain.

If you THINK that's what you've been doing, well then, I guess we
just found once more thing that you've been confused and deluded about,
brain.

> > > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
> > >
> > > Steven J. Robesin
> >
> > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
>
> Is it?

You tell me. The question was posed to you.

> > We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
> > REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
> > MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
>
> I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement...

Nor have I.

Would you be willing to provide the post wherein I allegedly said
that others "eat my excrement"...?!?!

> Robesin has!

He has? Where?

> Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?

If you were TRYING to quote me, Brain, you failed.

> > WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
> > when YOU are the one with failure issues?
>
> "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

Still out of context.

Still as lame as ever.

As usual.

> > > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
> > >
> > > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
> >
> > Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.

> >
> > Just a lame dodge.
>
> Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.

What lies?

> > > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brain probably is not
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Robesin probably is.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > > Probably is.
> > >
> > > Nope.
>
> Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
> every RRAP posting.

Are you addressing me or this "Robesin" person, Brain?

Ask your doctor for a script of "Aricept". It might help with your
confusion issues.

> > > > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > > > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And a male nurse?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> > > > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
> > >
> > > An "announcement"...?!?!
>
> As in a public admission.

I know what an "announcement" is, Brain.

You ahve yet to define what this alleged "announcement" is about.

> > I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
> > what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
>
> Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...

Yep. But they are gay. I'm not...Not even "pretend".

> > > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
> > >
> > > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> > > wife swapper, too.
> >
> > I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
> > just CAN'T imagine.......
>
> Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
> mine.

Oh?

Where did you get THIS idea from, Brain?

From the same mind that said "Unlicensed devices play a major role
in emergency communications?"

> So why is my wife a part of your discussion?

It's not a discussion.

I've asked you if you'd be as equally dishonest and deceitful if
your spouse was party to your actions herein.

> > > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> > > > name to your posts, Brain.
> > > >
> > > > Coward?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Should I be afraid of you?
> >
> > The question is WHY are you afraid...???

> No, that is not the question.

Sure it is. I asked it.

You don't seem to be able to make an adequate self-determination
as to what you should be feeling.

> I asked if I should be afraid of you.

That's only a question that you can answer yourself. I can't
answer it for you. I don't know (nor do I care) what scares you and
what doesn't.

Personally, there's not a whole lot that scares me. Certainly
nothing that you or your Feeble Five bretheren could muster up, even if
you were all able to show up at my house simultaneously.

> Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
> coward to do so?

There's nothing cowardly about me not being able to answer a question that is not for ME to answer. It's for YOU to answer as to whether you should be afraid of ANYthing...

I pretty much figure you for a Don Knotts type. Backfiring dump trucks probably give yo cause to hit the deck.

Steve, K4YZ

1943. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 10:44:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160736287.495557.85750@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167959

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 9 2006 6:20 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

> >> Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
> >> computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
> >> not even 12AU7s.

> >You didn't look very hard:
> >
> >http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,106388-page,1/article.html
>
> ERROR on "correction,"

Yes, *you* made an error, Len.

> That's a 2002 ad-promo, four years OLD.

You wrote:

"I can't find ANY relatively modern
computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
not even 12AU7s."

2002 is certainly "relatively modern" compared to 1946.

You made a mistake, Len.

> A click on the link for more data turns up blank with
> the small advisory of no suppliers for this item. :-)

You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".

2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
brand-new in 2002.

> Search all you want of the HP, Dell, Compaq, the
> independents such as PC Club...or the big warehouse
> suppliers such as CDC or Frys. You won't find any
> with vacuum tubes in them on the market this year
> or the year before.

So what? You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".
2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
brand-new in 2002.

You cannot change the criteria after the fact.

> >So what? It's only been 60 years since ENIAC was announced...
>
> Tsk. You've been around for a decade less and your
> THINKING is obsolete and self-centered.

You mean, like someone who doesn't want the zoning in their
neighhborhood to change in any way at all? Who wants the standards of
the very early 1960s to be enshrined forever in his neighborhood?

Like someone who wants to stop development of land he does not own?

> BTW, what did ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?

That it was practical in its time.

What do your ramblings about non-amateur-radio subjects have to do with
amateur radio, Len?

> Anything at all?

Oh yes. Many of those who worked on ENIAC were hams. You did not work
on ENIAC and have never been a ham....

> ENIAC and the amateur code test deserve a place in
> MUSEUMS, not the reality of life in today's world.

In your *opinion*.

> Please direct any more hero worship of ENIAC to the
> ACM historian.

Why deal with second handers when the real stuff is out there?

Did you finish reading the US Army historical monograph I linked to?

Here are the links again:

Electronic Computers Within the Ordnance Corps

Historical Monograph from 1961

Karl Kempf
Historical Officer
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
November 1961

Index:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/index.html

Chap 2 on ENIAC:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

Tree of Computing:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap7.html

That's the real stuff, straight from the Army. Covers not only ENIAC but its successors. Read what the US Army Historical Officer wrote in the official US Army documents.

The "Tree of Computing" sums it up nicely.

btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things like how to do artillery barrages....

1944. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 10:58:18 UTC
From: Chris <millerc238@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <4nic8d1b7f.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 167960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 12 Oct 2006 18:41:40 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>
> Todd N9OGL

You have to admit that he does look an awful lot like you, though.

http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434

1945. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go ballistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 11:18:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0-udnYX62a9k6LLYnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167961

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:IyEXg.8650$Y24.7498@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1qSdnYc2VZXFmLLYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>> I particularly like the Novice/Tech+ getting more CW bandwidth.
>
> On 80m they used to have 50kHz pretty much to themselves. (Of course you
> could have dropped a bucket of grenades on 3675-3725 and never touched a
> single Novice or Tech 99% of the time.)
>
> Now they'll have 75kHz on 80m, (a whopping increase of 25kHz) and they'll
> have to share it with a host of pissed-off displaced traffic nets,
> RTTY/data operators, all the other Generals who were already there,
> probably some displaced Canadian phone nets, and the W1AW bulldozer. What
> few who migrate down there (I deem it less than 2 dozen anyhow) may not
> share your "particular like" for the move.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRX from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
>

Still no problem for the CW ops. They can operate the entire band. This may be the band where they choose not to limit themselves to the traditional CW portion.

Besides that, generally the only time the CW ops go as high as 3.600 is during a contest. Typically they stay between 3.500 and 3.550. So looking at the current ARRL band plan, they digital guys may end up moving down a bit but probably not clear down to the 3.550 but more likely to perhaps 3.560. Still though it may be the digital guys who feel a bit pinched. So while the CW ops probably will not go ballistic, the digital ops might.

Dee, N8UZE

1946. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 11:19:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X7idna0DFOrf67LYnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167962

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:IyEXg.8650$Y24.7498@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1qSdnYc2VZXFmLLYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>> I particularly like the Novice/Tech+ getting more CW bandwidth.
>
> On 80m they used to have 50kHz pretty much to themselves. (Of course you
> could have dropped a bucket of grenades on 3675-3725 and never touched a
> single Novice or Tech 99% of the time.)
>
> Now they'll have 75kHz on 80m, (a whopping increase of 25kHz) and they'll
> have to share it with a host of pissed-off displaced traffic nets,
> RTTY/data operators, all the other Generals who were already there,
> probably some displaced Canadian phone nets, and the W1AW bulldozer. What
> few who migrate down there (I deem it less than 2 dozen anyhow) may not
> share your "particular like" for the move.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRX from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

By the way, the Canadian phone nets will not need to move.

Dee, N8UZE

1947. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 11:23:50 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NdudndeoIq_C6rLYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167963

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.2fm0j6@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.2fm0j6@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Dee Flint Wrote:
>>
>> There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
>>
>
> Imagine this. Imagine all the CW and digital NTS traffic nets that now
> operate in the 150KHz between 3600 and 3750, relocated down to the 75KHz
> between 3525 and 3600. Imagine all the PSK and RTTY operators also
> relocated down there. Imagine the Canadian SSB nets migrating down
> there to avoid the US extras and Advanced. Imagine the folks already
> operating there welcoming them with open arms --- NOT!. Then imagine
> it is a domestic contest weekend, like SS or FD!
>
> What could be the harm to CW?
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>

CW ops can carry on as before since that is legal in all parts of the band.
They may now choose to spread out a bit instead of staying in the
traditional area.

Same with the NTS CW traffic nets.

It will be the digital guys who feel cramped.

Sweepstakes (voice) and FD only occur once a year each so not a significan
issue. Besides that, FD is all modes so the bands get nearly full top to
bottom anyway.

Dee, N8UZE

1948. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 12:08:00 UTC
From: delorean@nim.bstrs
Message-ID: <699936.029071@nim.bstrs>
Source record: 167964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 12 Oct 2006 17:38:56 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> First off dumbfuck, my mom don't have 8 track and records I do.....and
> yeah bitch I'm that old...and if you love Hate the FCC rant (after all
> we know the FCC are all a bunch of FUCKING lying scum bags) I'll be
> more then happy then to flood this USENET with anti FCC Shit. As for
> Omega one radio, we are very happy on FM..that way your little gay
> boyfriends DeWhorean, Roger "I'm a bastard child" and Steve "I jack
> off over dead daughters grave" Robbieson can't harass or jam my signal

On the other hand, all it takes is one Taylorville resident to put up
a low power FM transmitter, and I know people in your area who would
be willing to do that for me. Like me, they belong to the Illuminati,
and most of them will gladly help me out.

Don't press your luck. Luck, plus being an insignificant small fry,
is the only thing that has kept you out of court.

Luck apparently ran out for Roger this week. Some skinheads he is
supposed to have insulted on a web board somewhere are rumored to have
paid him a visit a few days ago. Don't make the same mistake he did
and mouth off at people you don't really know. Some of them might be
violent.

1949. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 13:50:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160747433.846326.257210@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167965

Iitoi wrote:
> Dee Flint Wrote:
> >
> > There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
> >
>
> Imagine this. Imagine all the CW and digital NTS traffic nets that now
> operate in the 150KHz between 3600 and 3750, relocated down to the 75KHz
> between 3525 and 3600. Imagine all the PSK and RTTY operators also
> relocated down there. Imagine the Canadian SSB nets migrating down
> there to avoid the US extras and Advanced. Imagine the folks already
> operating there welcoming them with open arms --- NOT!. Then imagine
> it is a domestic contest weekend, like SS or FD!
>
> What could be the harm to CW?

In a sense the 3600Khz "band edge" simply "aligns" the traditional 80M
CW operating space with those spaces on 40 & 20. Both of which are
~100Khz wide as will be the case on 80. The sky never fell on 40 and 20
CW and it won't fall on 80 CW either.

w3rv

> The Man in the Maze
> QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

1950. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 14:36:25 UTC
From: Der Fuehrer Mahmoud Ahmadinejad <dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir>
Message-ID: <ego88g$l18$1@emma.aioe.org>
Source record: 167966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"DeLorean" <delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:699936.029071@nim.bstrs...
>
> On the other hand, all it takes is one Taylorville resident to put up
> a low power FM transmitter, and I know people in your area who would
> be willing to do that for me. Like me, they belong to the Illuminati,
> and most of them will gladly help me out.
>
> Don't press your luck. Luck, plus being an insignificant small fry,
> is the only thing that has kept you out of court.
>
> Luck apparently ran out for Roger this week. Some skinheads he is
> supposed to have insulted on a web board somewhere are rumored to have
> paid him a visit a few days ago. Don't make the same mistake he did
> and mouth off at people you don't really know. Some of them might be
> violent.

Why don't you take your "skinheads" along with your bravado and go find
yourselves some raghead Islamo-facists to rough up. You would have a "field
day" in Detroit. You would likely make it on CNN.

1951. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 14:59:20 UTC
From: kc4uai@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1160751560.249946.238650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> >You may
> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> > we have a problem. Right?
> notice you have gotten no reply
> >

Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...

The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..

-= bob =-

1952. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:25:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <F5PXg.8861$Y24.489@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167968

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

> Why? There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.

As others here have pointed out, the current cw/rtty/data 225kHz segment between 3525-3750 is being compressed to just 75kHz between 3525-3600. That's a 3:1 compression ratio.

Now before you start your chant about "CW can transmit anywhere", consider that this R&O puts 25% of the 75/80m band in the exclusive hands of Extras and another 20% in the exclusive hands of Extra/Advanced. So almost half of the band isn't available under *any mode* to Generals. As Iitoi pointed out, 80m CW has long been a hotbed of public service and traffic handling nets (which depend on Generals for manpower) --- good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

1953. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:28:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <akfvi2lp9fdbr7u8df9316ujri0ts12e3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 167969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >You may
>> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
>> > we have a problem. Right?
>> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >
>
>Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>
>The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>
>-= bob =-
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1954. Re: It looks like Google took N9OGL's web pages down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:29:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tmfvi21dtehia8eb6qkn5jmv9mi94t8hio@4ax.com>
Source record: 167970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 09:36:25 -0500, "Der Fuehrer Mahmoud Ahmadinejad"
<dr-ahmadinejad@president.ir> wrote:

>
>"DeLorean" <delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
>news:699936.029071@nim.bstrs...
>>
>> On the other hand, all it takes is one Taylorville resident to put up
>> a low power FM transmitter, and I know people in your area who would
>> be willing to do that for me. Like me, they belong to the Illuminati,
>> and most of them will gladly help me out.
>>
>> Don't press your luck. Luck, plus being an insignificant small fry,
>> is the only thing that has kept you out of court.
>>
>> Luck apparently ran out for Roger this week. Some skinheads he is
>> supposed to have insulted on a web board somewhere are rumored to have
>> paid him a visit a few days ago. Don't make the same mistake he did
>> and mouth off at people you don't really know. Some of them might be
>> violent.
>
>Why don't you take your "skinheads" along with your bravado and go find
>yourselves some raghead Islamo-facists to rough up. You would have a "field
>day" in Detroit. You would likely make it on CNN.

he has no baravdo he pick up and fujrther provoke a nervous wrecking
hiding in his home making more vile threats
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1955. Robeson rrturns but just to lie some more...FCC Says "We'd have Done It Yesterday But We Like Watching Mork Moron Squirm"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:31:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8pfvi2pg0qg3ls30818snanfoj4qt74o87@4ax.com>
Source record: 167971

On 12 Oct 2006 23:35:47 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>Or words to that effect........
showing up just to tell teone lies certainly (i have not read the
other post yet but it is likely nothing but lies as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1956. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:35:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6rfvi2ddrtmpo6gek0ghck4f4s2f38asm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 167972

On 13 Oct 2006 00:08:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> > > > >
>> > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> > > >
>> > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>> > >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
>
> Nope. Just called em like I see them.'
no you comited forgery

>> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>> >
>> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> > from hurting your feelings.....
>>
>> No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>
> I did once upon a time.
you have not truely cared about anybody feeling in the 8 years you
have been posting
>
> But you didn't care about mine.
>
> Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
>to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
>treated...

you seem to think that only you have any right to privacy steve

what right tdo you have to harrass any of us In private and expect us not to take it public you the same to me several time before I just dumped that last out on the net

nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as publicaly harassthem steve
flushing the rest before I bark on the keyboard
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1957. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:36:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d3gvi2pt3pc2p3qv9l1pa0kou8ta9mgbpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 167973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:49 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1958. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson to which he addes Smegma in the posts I guess our suspsition that Robeson is not straight are prooven the gay basher outs himself as gay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:36:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b4gvi21dieba3p124r4j9sbjkagcu3qpfs@4ax.com>
Source record: 167974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 09:46:35 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> > news:CMqdnXLtRIz7nWPZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>>
>> SNIP
>>
>> > > Try 6925 KHz in either AM mode or USB evenings. Weekends and holiday
>> > > evenings are most likely to produce a station.
>> >
>> > You can hear a pirate on 13.556 MHz LSB, 24 hours a day seven days
>> > a week called Omega One. Be warned, the music is ######.
>>
>> Smegma One...And with good reason...The operator of Smegma One is
>> an idiot.
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson
>self hating gay basher get help
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1959. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson and more lies on top of that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:36:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p4gvi2105rmhehs6qugn6bdbll57c8cfb3@4ax.com>
Source record: 167976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 09:35:32 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz-can't-get-it-straight-yet.com wrote:
>> > On 4 Sep 2006 22:29:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson
>
> " Nothing I said was untrue."
>yopu are clearing claiming to have shad sex with me and that some other
>man sodomized YOU
>
>> My keyboard's not foul, Morkie.
>sure it
>>
>> Unlike you, I don't swill beer and potato chips while posting.
>and another lie
>
>is amy firproof
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1960. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:36:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <75gvi2hsq463f48jtvrsnkve8cvbqm8oak@4ax.com>
Source record: 167975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:04:30 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>> Funny how Morkie can claim that his alleged dyslexia keeps him
>> from passing the General, yet he claims he successfully completed not
>> one, but three college degrees.
>nothing in consitant in those statements
>
>you just lied again
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1961. Re: is amy fireproof

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:37:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m5gvi2t0oeulo18dptub7klg3j7i8hj2sb@4ax.com>
Source record: 167977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 26 Aug 2006 15:39:26 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > W5AMY@aol.com wrote:
>> >
>> > > Overall, CAP has over 58,000 members in over 1,700 local units across
>> > > the United States. CAP members are civilians and are not paid by the
>> > > U.S. government for their CAP service. They do not have command
>> > > authority over members of the United States military, nor are they
>> > > subject to command. As part of recognition of CAP's service to the
>> > > USAF, however, CAP members are allowed to wear "U.S." as part of their
>> > > uniform, and CAP members are required to render military courtesy to
>> > > all members of U.S. and friendly foreign military personnel.
>> >
>> > why Does the CAP suport and endorse Officers of the CAP lying about the
>> > existance of whole military iuntis and support their offcier hounding
>> > USA army vet and the libel of US Navy vet
>>
>> CAP does?
>yes it does
>>
>> Where does CAP express this support, and where did anyone represent
>> themselves to be acting on CAP's behalf in such actions?
> by refusing to take action against a CAP officer that fails to grant
>military coutestsy to veterans of the real armed forces
>
>that being you
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1962. Re: If you had to use CW... is amy Fireproof Steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:37:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <46gvi21rjcv1p0ud8cvjfe42pm8lvqjkuk@4ax.com>
Source record: 167978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 26 Aug 2006 12:40:05 -0700, "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
>> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > an old freid to some a nightmare to steve wrote:
>> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > > In my case I using about 10 percent on the money from a selective cut
>> > > of my forest and I decided that it a good use for it and not going over
>> > > board
>> >
>> > Timber or pulp?
>>
>> Does it matter?
>sure it does
>
>is amy Fireproof Steve?
>>
>> Fiction is fiction.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
you want to keep showing making a pack of lies and then leave for the
well strikes
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

1963. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 16:59:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160758762.006341.107470@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167979

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1160650581.717234.69500@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
> >
> >> >> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement
> >> >> > > > > > from another man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not
> >> >> > > > > > gay.
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to
> >> >> > > > > > have the
> >> >> > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up
> >> >> > > > > > in Google
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your
> >> >> > > > > > sickness is real.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge
> >> >> > > > of
> >> >> > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > > It fails.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a
> >> >> > nurse. Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be
> >> >> > a doctor? At least in Tennessee?
> >> >>
> >> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between
> >> >> the
> >> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
> >> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
> >> >>
> >> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in

> >> >> Ohio, either...
> >> >
> >> >OK, Mark.
> >>
> >> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
> >> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
> >> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
> >> was to in some medical NGs)
> >
> > Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
> >
> > "The tests are too hard in RN school...."
>
>
> ROFL! Well, Steve can still change peoples bedpans.
>
> On clinic loud speaker: "Steve, we need a pan change in room 235."
>
> Heee Heeeee Heeeee!
>
> SC

That's where the 1000 yard stare comes in... wishing he were 1000
yards away.

1964. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 17:15:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160759743.437911.178500@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167980

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
>
> >> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> >> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> >
> >> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
> >
> >> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
> >> > history of it.
> >
> >> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
> >
> >You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
> >you haven't is a lie.
>
> True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
> my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
> about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
> "I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.

If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.

> >I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
> >your problems.
>
> Imposter has so many problems he needs all the "care"
> he can get.

There's one over-arching problem.

> >No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
> >were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
> >take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
> >idiot.
>
> Brian, it matters not.
>
> 1. Imposter was long ago divorced from reality and
> knowledge of right and wrong.
>
> 2. As a result of (1) he usually looks like an idiot.
>
> 3. (1) and (2) repeated endlessly until his Google message

> total exceeds that of Cecil Moore.

Pretty much.

> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
> >hit the news big time.
>
> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?

It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.

> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
> patients from a nurse there who did it?

Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.

> >You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
> >you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
> >fear you.
>
> Must be fear.
>
> They can't control him, one of their OWN.

"Loose cannon" doesn't begin to describe Robesin.

> >What you posted is plain for anyone to see.
>
> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.

He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.

> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
>
> Probably he will.

The hell he will.

> Amateur extras will have all sorts of
> "permissions" versus ordinary folk in the "moderation"
> period. Those who disagree with amateur extras will
> never have their posts shown in public.

I disagree with that opinion. And if it turns out that way, there is
recourse. Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
resolved. The value of this forum is tied to that single issue.
Paul's moderation will, like the code exam, become an unnecessary
regulation.

> >But you can't ever confess making a mistake, so you are suck in the
> >Robesin Conundrum of defending the indefensible.
>
> That happened years and years ago. Imposter has never
> been able to rise out of his ooze.
>
> >What has my wife got to do with your problem, creep?
>
> His own (SECOND) wife can't control his 5-hour sessions
> on his computer while he is busy shoveling shit on his
> "enemies."
>
> A real head case he is...

He's nuts.

1965. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 17:26:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a%PXg.8875$Y24.8049@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167981

<kelly@dvol.com> wrote

>
> In a sense the 3600Khz "band edge" simply "aligns" the traditional 80M
> CW operating space with those spaces on 40 & 20. Both of which are
> ~100Khz wide as will be the case on 80. The sky never fell on 40 and 20
> CW and it won't fall on 80 CW either.
>

Apples and cumquats, Brian. Do ALL the math.....

80m 3.5-3.6 CW/rtty/data = 100kc
40m 7.0-7.125 CW/rtty/data = 125kc
20m 14.0-14.15 CW/rtty/data = 150kc

73, de Hans, K0HB

1966. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 20:13:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160770421.160626.226850@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167982

KØHB wrote:
> <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > In a sense the 3600Khz "band edge" simply "aligns" the traditional 80M
> > CW operating space with those spaces on 40 & 20. Both of which are
> > ~100Khz wide as will be the case on 80. The sky never fell on 40 and 20
> > CW and it won't fall on 80 CW either.
> >
>
> Apples and cumquats, Brian. Do ALL the math.....
>
> 80m 3.5-3.6 CW/rtty/data = 100kc
> 40m 7.0-7.125 CW/rtty/data = 125kc
> 20m 14.0-14.15 CW/rtty/data = 150kc

The raw numbers don't mean a thing without taking into account the
other differences in the three bands. Specifically the differences in
the volume of activity per Khz per hour. Doesn't take much tuning
around the lower 100 Khz of each of the three bands to come to the
conclusion that the overall levels of activity on 40 & 20 are some
multiples of the level of activity on 80 and it's been that way since
before Hector was a pup. For the most part 80 is a great place to
indulge in pleasant in-country ragchews because there's seldom any DX
cw, DX SSB or foreign broadcast activity audible on 80 vs. all the QRM
40 & 20

So on this basis I'll stick: The little amount of 80M CW and data
activity which is found now above 3.600 will easily fit below 3.600 if
they chose to move and they'll still have more "elbow room" than we've
ever had on 40 & 20.

And no I'm not bleeding for the CW traffic nets either, they lost their
relevance on VJ day.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1967. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 20:31:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160771482.790263.63850@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167983

KØHB wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
> > Why? There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
>
> As others here have pointed out, the current cw/rtty/data 225kHz segment between
> 3525-3750 is being compressed to just 75kHz between 3525-3600. That's a 3:1
> compression ratio.
>
> Now before you start your chant about "CW can transmit anywhere", consider that
> this R&O puts 25% of the 75/80m band in the exclusive hands of Extras and
> another 20% in the exclusive hands of Extra/Advanced. So almost half of the
> band isn't available under *any mode* to Generals. As Iitoi pointed out, 80m CW
> has long been a hotbed of public service and traffic handling nets (which depend
> on Generals for manpower) --- good luck on this one now!

Somebody somewhere wrote "This is Incentive Licensing all over again".

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1968. Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 20:52:14 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.2fmxv6@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.2fmxv6@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 167985

"ARRL website" Wrote:
>
> "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
> Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
>

Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
after observing the scene of a train wreck?

The Man in the Maze
QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

1969. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:08:25 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160773705.687817.52480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167984

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Oct 13 2006 3:44am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 9 2006 6:20 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

>> >> Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
>> >> computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
>> >> not even 12AU7s.
>
>> >You didn't look very hard:
>> >
>> >http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,106388-page,1/article.html
>>
>> ERROR on "correction,"
>
>Yes, *you* made an error, Len.
>
>> That's a 2002 ad-promo, four years OLD.
>
>You wrote:
>
>"I can't find ANY relatively modern
>computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
>not even 12AU7s."
>
>2002 is certainly "relatively modern" compared to 1946.
>
>You made a mistake, Len.

Only under Jimmie's whiny little REdefinition of the
word "mistake." :-)

The original IBM PC that debuted in 1980 (26 years ago)
did NOT have any vacuum tubes in it. Neither did any
subsequent IBM PC...right on up to the total emptying
of IBM's Boca Raton, FL, PC operations.

Did IBM ever produce any AMATEUR RADIO products?

No? Then why do you go on and on and on and on
about this niche subject and the "glory" that was
ENIAC? Did ENIAC ever serve AMATEUR RADIO in any

way?

If you look back at personal computing, you will NOT find any vacuum tubes used in them...except in your absolute world a couple of short-lived PC systems that incorporated a CRT (a vacuum tube) into the PC package. [CP/M OS systems using an 8080 or Z80 CPU]

The original Apple (6502 processor based) didn't use vacuum tubes. The original Apple Macintosh packaged a CRT into the Mac's box since it brought out the icon-based GUI display that was possible only with CRTs at that time. Did ANY of the Apple computers use a vacuum tube for SOUND output? No?

Look to the earlier personal computers such as the Commodore, Atari, Sinclair, etc., etc., etc. NONE had any vacuum tubes in them for SOUND output. NONE of the pocket calculators had vacuum tubes. Some of the earlier desktop calculators had GAS displays for alphanumerics; HP and Tektronix both had PCs with incorporated CRTs (in which the very earliest models had some vacuum tubes for the CRT HV supply circuits). NONE had any tubes for SOUND output.

There's a niche area of guitarists who prefer tubes for the particular "warm sound" (distorted) they associate with over-driving amplifiers. That "tube sound" MYTH has been 'over-driven' to the point of nausea, about like the "gold-coated speaker cable" myth that is claimed to produce "golden sound" from music amplifiers. :-)

Tube amps and gold-coated "monster cable" is a triumph of Public Relations bullshit warping the minds of the buying public. Not unlike the mythos of morse that was CREATED in earlier radio. :-)

>> A click on the link for more data turns up blank with
>> the small advisory of no suppliers for this item. :-)
>
>You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".
>
>2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
>brand-new in 2002.

That ONE system was DEFUNCT before 2005. :-)

Go back to the personal computer bellweather year of 1980. Any of those personal computers on the market use vacuum tubes? No?

26 years ago is NOT "current production" nor is it
hardly "relatively modern." :-)

>> Search all you want of the HP, Dell, Compaq, the
>> independents such as PC Club...or the big warehouse
>> suppliers such as CDC or Frys. You won't find any
>> with vacuum tubes in them on the market this year
>> or the year before.
>
>So what? You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".
>2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
>brand-new in 2002.
>
>You cannot change the criteria after the fact.

Your whining, foot stamping, and crying out "mistake!
mistake!" about a SINGLE exception in the millions upon
millions of personal computers based on the original
IBM architecture PC of 26 years ago is a lot of your
bullshit, Jimmie. That your SINGLE exception went
DEFUNCT after a year on the market only proves that you
are a whiny, foot-stamping, cryer who is bound and
determined to attempt humiliation of anyone disagreeing
with you. You've proved that activity for years in
here. :-)

>> BTW, what did ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?
>
>That it was practical in its time.

ENIAC did something for RADIO? [I don't think so...]

>What do your ramblings about non-amateur-radio subjects have to do with
>amateur radio, Len?

"Non-amateur-radio subjects?" Like ENIAC? An early
mainframe computer that was really a programmable
calculator? :-)

>> Anything at all?
>
>Oh yes. Many of those who worked on ENIAC were hams.

Name them. :-)

Did they become hams JUST to work on ENIAC?

How was ENIAC used in RADIO?

>You did not work on ENIAC and have never been a ham....

I've never claimed to... :-)

However, I was alive in 1946 and you were not. :-)

YOU never worked on ENIAC. You've never claimed to have
worked on ANY computer, main-frame, minicomputer, nor
personal computer.

Are you a member of the ACM? [Association for Computing
Machinery, the first and still-existing professional
association for computing and information technology] I was
a voting member of the ACM for a few years.

Jimmie is NOT a military veteran. Jimmie can never be
a military veteran. Jimmie has never done anything on
computers except to operate personal computers in
endless tirades against no-coders.

>> ENIAC and the amateur code test deserve a place in
>> MUSEUMS, not the reality of life in today's world.
>
>In your *opinion*.

...yes, an OPINION shared by thousands and thousands and
thousands of others.

As of 2004 the US Census Bureau stated that 1 out of 5
Americans had SOME access to the Internet. That involves
access via a personal computer (or its cousin, the "work-
station"). That is roughly 50 to 60 MILLION Americans.

The original (and only) ENIAC used an architecture that
is NOT common to present-day personal computers. About
the only term that IS common is that ENIAC used "digital
circuits." That's about the end of it for commonality
with MILLIONS and MILLIONS of personal computers in the
daily use worldwide.

The ONLY radio service in the USA still requiring tested
morse code skill to permit operation below 30 MHz is the
AMATEUR radio service. ALL of the other radio services
have either dropped morse code for communications or never
considered it when that radio service was formed. There is
NO wired or wireless communications service in the USA that
uses manual telegraphy means today. Defunct. Kaput.

>> Please direct any more hero worship of ENIAC to the
>> ACM historian.
>
>Why deal with second handers when the real stuff is out there?

"Real stuff?!?" ENIAC is a MUSEUM PIECE, Jimmie. It is
NOT "real stuff" except in your mind. It serves ONLY
the Moore School of Engineering as an EXHIBIT for PR
purposes. It is a dinosaur. Defunct. Kaput.

>Did you finish reading the US Army historical monograph I linked to?

No. I rank that along with some "US Army historical" things
that described George Armstrong Custer as a "hero" of the
June 1876 Battle of the Little Big Horn. Some "hero." A
loose cannon who was LAST in his West Point class, a poor
tactician who made a tragic, fatal mistake for the 7th
Cavalry. Thank you, but NO, I'd rather read the NON-PR
historical references that described things as the REALLY
were without the orgasmic after-glow of hero worship.

ENIAC never saw battle, Jimmie. It was never close to the
battlefields like the Brit's Colossus nor did it "solve
ciphers" (decryption) like Colossus did. The US military
DOES have fielded computers (plural) and systems which
ARE useable today and ARE in use. You can read about
those if you wish...but you won't since none of them are
directly related to ENIAC.

Indeed, NONE of today's computers are related to ENIAC
any more than WE are "related" to some proto-humans of
Africa.

>btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things
>like how to do artillery barrages....

No, Jimmie Noserve, the "ordnance" folks maintain the
ammunition and weaponry. The ARTILLERY folks do the
actual laying-in and firing. Really. Had you ever served
in the military (you didn't) you would be informed of that.
In the US Army, the "line" (those who are the most involved
with actual battle) units are INFANTRY, ARTILLERY, and
ARMOR. All other units exist to serve them.

As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.

1970. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:12:24 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160773944.811854.321550@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167986

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
>
>> >> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
>> >> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> >
>> >> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
> >
>> >> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
>> >> > history of it.
> >
>> >> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
> >
>> >You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
>> >you haven't is a lie.
>
>> True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
>> my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
>> about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
>> "I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.
>
>If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
>starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.

He doesn't know right from wrong.

If we say he rightly violates reality (he does), he turns right
around and says we do that. It is a non-argument. All it does
is delude him in his non-justification of his actions.

His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
into certifiable insanity.

>> >I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
>> >your problems.
>
>> Imposter has so many problems he needs all the "care"
>> he can get.
>
>There's one over-arching problem.

There are several but all inter-related. With the Imposter
everything boils down to him always saying he is "right"
which then "justifies" (in his mind) all of his insults of

others. Everything then becomes a very "personal" battle
with him. The subject of a thread is tossed aside in his
tirades and he focusses sharply on "battling" his opponents.

>> >No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
>> >were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
>> >take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
>> >idiot.
>
>> Brian, it matters not.
>
>> 1. Imposter was long ago divorced from reality and
>> knowledge of right and wrong.
>
>> 2. As a result of (1) he usually looks like an idiot.
>
>> 3. (1) and (2) repeated endlessly until his Google message
>> total exceeds that of Cecil Moore.
>
>Pretty much.

Cecil was here years before the Imposter. Cecil Moore is
not an offensive person. The Imposter is an offensive
person.

>> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
>> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
>> >hit the news big time.
>
>> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
>
>It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.

Ah so! :-)

>> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
>> patients from a nurse there who did it?
>
>Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.

Imposter may have already started in TN?

>> >You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
>> >you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
>> >fear you.
>
>> Must be fear.
>
>> They can't control him, one of their OWN.
>
>"Loose cannon" doesn't begin to describe Robesin.

I know, I know...but if I call him an asshole, I'm insulting
the real assholes!

>> >What you posted is plain for anyone to see.
>
>> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
>> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
>> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
>> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
>> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
>
>He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.

It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
before reading..." :-)

>> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
>
>> Probably he will.
>
>The hell he will.

We will have to wait and see...

>> Amateur extras will have all sorts of
>> "permissions" versus ordinary folk in the "moderation"
>> period. Those who disagree with amateur extras will
>> never have their posts shown in public.
>
>I disagree with that opinion. And if it turns out that way, there is
>recourse.

At best that "recourse" would be NOT posting and NOT
accessing the newsgroup. There is NO sign that anyone
"in charge" today or the last few years has done
anything in regards to "offensive" postings. There is
a curious, prolonged NON-response in Google whenever
anyone clicks on their message option button.

>Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
>resolved.

Might not even be done this year. The R&O on the
"omnibus" issue began in 2004 and didn't get settled
until 2006. The code test NPRM of last year (05-235)
might be handled in 2007.

>The value of this forum is tied to that single issue.
>Paul's moderation will, like the code exam, become an unnecessary
>regulation.

Interesting viewpoint! I like it.

>> His own (SECOND) wife can't control his 5-hour sessions
>> on his computer while he is busy shoveling shit on his

>> "enemies."
>>
>> A real head case he is...
>
>He's nuts.

I agree but there are others in here who must have
ABSOLUTES to justify such opinions. If he's not "nuts" in
the absolute sense, he will be soon.

1971. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:23:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160774634.282556.166390@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167987

Iitoi wrote:
> "ARRL website" Wrote:
> >
> > "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
> > Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
> >
>
> Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
> after observing the scene of a train wreck?
>

that is the ARRL too little way too late

1972. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:39:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bITXg.13455$UG4.11776@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167988

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things
> like how to do artillery barrages....
>

Nope. The Ordnance Corps counts and stores the 'bullets' and such chores. The Artillery folks take care of pointing and shooting 'em.

Sunnuvagun!

boom boom
de Hans, K0HB

1973. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:45:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <452ffc13$0$19671$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 167989

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160774634.282556.166390@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Iitoi wrote:
>> "ARRL website" Wrote:
>> >
>> > "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
>> > Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
>> >
>>
>> Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
>> after observing the scene of a train wreck?
>>
>
> that is the ARRL too little way too late
>
>
Gay or strate they all want teh blow jobs an you must andmit I is stuning in
my mini skirt

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1974. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:54:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160776440.698458.258220@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167990

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
> > >

> >If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
> >starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.
>
> He doesn't know right from wrong.
mental ill in a legal sense

>
> If we say he rightly violates reality (he does), he turns right
> around and says we do that. It is a non-argument. All it does
> is delude him in his non-justification of his actions.
>
> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
> into certifiable insanity.

I think he is there but that hardly matter
>
>
> >> >I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
> >> >your problems.
> > >
> >> Imposter has so many problems he needs all the "care"
> >> he can get.
> > >
> >There's one over-arching problem.
>
> There are several but all inter-related. With the Imposter
> everything boils down to him always saying he is "right"
> which then "justifies" (in his mind) all of his insults of
> others. Everything then becomes a very "personal" battle
> with him. The subject of a thread is tossed aside in his
> tirades and he focusses sharply on "battling" his opponents.

or or just smearing them when he has been bested
>
>
> >> >No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
> >> >were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,

> >> >take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
> >> >idiot.
> >
> >> Brian, it matters not.
> >
> >> 1. Imposter was long ago divorced from reality and
> >> knowledge of right and wrong.
> >
> >> 2. As a result of (1) he usually looks like an idiot.
> >
> >> 3. (1) and (2) repeated endlessly until his Google message
> >> total exceeds that of Cecil Moore.
> >
> >Pretty much.
>
> Cecil was here years before the Imposter. Cecil Moore is
> not an offensive person. The Imposter is an offensive
> person.
>
>
> >> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
> >> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
> >> >hit the news big time.
> >
> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> >
> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>
> Ah so! :-)

indeed he keps chalnging folks to meet him at dayton
>
>
> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?
> >
> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
>
> Imposter may have already started in TN?
>
>
> >> >You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
> >> >you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
> >> >fear you.
> >
> >> Must be fear.
> >
> >> They can't control him, one of their OWN.
> >
> >"Loose cannon" doesn't begin to describe Robesin.

>
> I know, I know...but if I call him an asshole, I'm insulting
> the real assholes!
>
>
> >> >What you posted is plain for anyone to see.
> >
> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
> >
> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
>
> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
> before reading..." :-)
yep and eys only
>
>
> >> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
> >
> >> Probably he will.
> >
> >The hell he will.
>
> We will have to wait and see...
>
>
> >> Amateur extras will have all sorts of
> >> "permissions" versus ordinary folk in the "moderation"
> >> period. Those who disagree with amateur extras will
> >> never have their posts shown in public.
> >
> >I disagree with that opinion. And if it turns out that way, there is
> >recourse.
>
> At best that "recourse" would be NOT posting and NOT
> accessing the newsgroup. There is NO sign that anyone
> "in charge" today or the last few years has done
> anything in regards to "offensive" postings. There is
> a curious, prolonged NON-response in Google whenever
> anyone clicks on their message option button.
>
>
> >Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
> >resolved.
>
> Might not even be done this year. The R&O on the
> "omnibus" issue began in 2004 and didn't get settled

> until 2006. The code test NPRM of last year (05-235)
> might be handled in 2007.
>
> >The value of this forum is tied to that single issue.
> >Paul's moderation will, like the code exam, become an unnecessary
> >regulation.
>
> Interesting viewpoint! I like it.
>
>
> >> His own (SECOND) wife can't control his 5-hour sessions
> >> on his computer while he is busy shoveling shit on his
> >> "enemies."
> >>
> >> A real head case he is...
> > >
> >He's nuts.
>
> I agree but there are others in here who must have
> ABSOLUTES to justify such opinions. If he's not "nuts" in
> the absolute sense, he will be soon.

1975. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 21:54:10 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160776449.997894.312720@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167991

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things
> > like how to do artillery barrages....

> Nope. The Ordnance Corps counts and stores the 'bullets' and such chores. The
> Artillery folks take care of pointing and shooting 'em.

But somebody has to tell them *how* and *where* to point 'em and shoot
'em, right?

>From what it says in

"Electronic Computers Within the Ordnance Corps"

Index:
http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/index.html

the computation/preparation of firing tables was the primary official
reason for the design and construction of ENIAC.

Did the Historical Officer at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds get it
wrong?

> Sunnuvagun!

HAW! Perfect setuo!

> boom boom

73 de Jim, N2EY

1976. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 22:01:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vsKdnRDlDvx9ka3YnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167992

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:F5PXg.8861$Y24.489@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>> Why? There is nothing there that is harmful to CW in the least.
>
> As others here have pointed out, the current cw/rtty/data 225kHz segment
> between 3525-3750 is being compressed to just 75kHz between 3525-3600.
> That's a 3:1 compression ratio.
>
> Now before you start your chant about "CW can transmit anywhere", consider
> that this R&O puts 25% of the 75/80m band in the exclusive hands of Extras
> and another 20% in the exclusive hands of Extra/Advanced. So almost half
> of the band isn't available under *any mode* to Generals. As Iitoi
> pointed out, 80m CW has long been a hotbed of public service and traffic
> handling nets (which depend on Generals for manpower) --- good luck on
> this one now!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Good reason to upgrade then. After reading the various FCC items, it looks like they really want people to upgrade clear to the top license anyway.

Dee, N8UZE

1977. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 22:17:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XfUXg.13474$UG4.8356@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 167993

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:vsKdnRDlDvx9ka3YnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...

>
> Good reason to upgrade then.

Ah, yes..... "let them eat cake"

73, de Hans, K0HB

1978. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 22:42:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160779347.746137.54770@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167994

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Oct 13 2006 3:44am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 9 2006 6:20 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sun, Oct 8 2006 5:29 am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Sat, Oct 7 2006 6:39 am

> >> >> Try as hard as I can, I can't find ANY relatively modern
> >> >> computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
> >> >> not even 12AU7s.

> >> >You didn't look very hard:

> >> >http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,106388-page,1/article.html

> >> ERROR on "correction,"

> >Yes, *you* made an error, Len.
> >
> >> That's a 2002 ad-promo, four years OLD.
> >
> >You wrote:
> >
> >"I can't find ANY relatively modern
> >computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
> >not even 12AU7s."
> >
> >2002 is certainly "relatively modern" compared to 1946.
> >
> >You made a mistake, Len.
>
> Only under
> whiny little REdefinition of the
> word "mistake." :-)

Nope. You made a mistake, pure and simple. That is, unless you
deliberately wrote an untruth with the intent to deceive, in which case
it was a lie.

> The original IBM PC that debuted in 1980 (26 years ago)
> did NOT have any vacuum tubes in it.

The display that came with it had a CRT. The portable IBM PC, with
built-in display, had a CRT as well.

> Neither did any
> subsequent IBM PC...right on up to the total emptying
> of IBM's Boca Raton, FL, PC operations.

But you didn't ask about the "IBM PC"

You wrote that you "can't find ANY relatively modern computer" with
vacuum tubes.

Not just IBM PCs, but "ANY relatively modern computer".

> Did IBM ever produce any AMATEUR RADIO products?

> No? Then why do you go on and on and on and on
> about this niche subject and the "glory" that was
> ENIAC?

To prove a point, Len: That a thing can be practical in its time even
if it is considered impractical in other times, and even if it is never
repeated.

That's true whether the device is ENIAC, Fessenden's early AM voice
work with modulated alternators, or something completely different.

I proved my point. You are now trying to misdirect, rather than admit
you were flat-out wrong.

> Did ENIAC ever serve AMATEUR RADIO in any
> way?

Yes.
>
> If you look back at personal computing, you will NOT
> find any vacuum tubes used in them...except in your
> absolute world a couple of short-lived PC systems that
> incorporated a CRT (a vacuum tube) into the PC package.
> [CP/M OS systems using an 8080 or Z80 CPU]

The computer I referenced used a vacuum tube. The portable IBM PC used
a CRT, too.

> The original Apple (6502 processor based) didn't use
> vacuum tubes. The original Apple Macintosh packaged
> a CRT into the Mac's box since it brought out the icon-
> based GUI display that was possible only with CRTs
> at that time. Did ANY of the Apple computers use a
> vacuum tube for SOUND output? No?

You didn't ask about the "original Apple"

You wrote that you "can't find ANY relatively modern computer" with
vacuum tubes.

Not just Apples, but "ANY relatively modern computer".

> Look to the earlier personal computers such as the
> Commodore, Atari, Sinclair, etc., etc., etc. NONE had
> any vacuum tubes in them for SOUND output. NONE of
> the pocket calculators had vacuum tubes. Some of the
> earlier desktop calculators had GAS displays for
> alphanumerics; HP and Tektronix both had PCs with
> incorporated CRTs (in which the very earliest models
> had some vacuum tubes for the CRT HV supply circuits).
> NONE had any tubes for SOUND output.

Doesn't matter, Len. You could have found the link I provided with just
a few keystrokes.
>
> There's a niche area of guitarists who prefer tubes
> for the particular "warm sound" (distorted) they
> associate with over-driving amplifiers.

Are you a musician, Len?

> That "tube
> sound" MYTH has been 'over-driven' to the point of
> nausea, about like the "gold-coated speaker cable"
> myth that is claimed to produce "golden sound" from
> music amplifiers. :-)

Tell it to those who actually play the things.

> Tube amps and gold-coated "monster cable" is a
> triumph of Public Relations bullshit warping the
> minds of the buying public.

You are confusing audiophools with audiophiles.

> Not unlike the mythos
> of morse that was CREATED in earlier radio. :-)

By whom?

As I have shown, voice radio was practical as early was 1906, and in
regular use for broadcasting by 1921. Yet Morse Code on radio was used
by many radio services for many more decades after 1921. The use of
Morse Code by the US Coast Guard and the maritime radio services lasted
well into the 1990s. That's more than 90 years after Fessenden's voice
transmissions, and more than 75 vears after 1921.

Morse Code is still in wide use in Amateur Radio today - almost 100
years after Fessenden.

It wasn't "mythos" that kept Morse Code in use.

> >> A click on the link for more data turns up blank with
> >> the small advisory of no suppliers for this item. :-)
> >
> >You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".
> >

> >2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
> >brand-new in 2002.
>
> That ONE system was DEFUNCT before 2005. :-)

How do you know? Are there none in use today?

> Go back to the personal computer bellweather year of
> 1980.

Why?

> Any of those personal computers on the market
> use vacuum tubes?

Yes - in the CRTs.

> No?

Are you confused?
>
> 26 years ago is NOT "current production" nor is it
> hardly "relatively modern." :-)

2002 is relatively modern, Len.
>
> >> Search all you want of the HP, Dell, Compaq, the
> >> independents such as PC Club...or the big warehouse
> >> suppliers such as CDC or Frys. You won't find any
> >> with vacuum tubes in them on the market this year
> >> or the year before.
> >
> >So what? You specified "relatively modern", not "current production".
> >2002 is "relatively modern" compared to 1946. And that system was
> >brand-new in 2002.
> >
> >You cannot change the criteria after the fact.
>
> Your whining, foot stamping, and crying out "mistake!
> mistake!" about a SINGLE exception in the millions upon
> millions of personal computers based on the original
> IBM architecture PC of 26 years ago is a lot of your
> bullshit,

Gee, Len, you're the one carrying on like an overtired two-year-old.
I'm calm, cool and collected. Not whining, foot stamping, or crying out
anything. I'm just correcting your mistakes with facts.

Basic Logic 101, Len: If you make an absolute statement that something
never happens, does not exist, or always happens, and someone provides
one or more exceptions, your statement is proved false. That's all
there is to it. Doesn't matter if there is just one exception or many,
the absolute statement is proved false - invalid - a mistake - if there

is an exception.

> That your SINGLE exception went
> DEFUNCT after a year on the market only proves that you
> are a whiny, foot-stamping, cryer who is bound and
> determined to attempt humiliation of anyone disagreeing
> with you.

It seems that you consider any correction of your mistakes to be a
humiliation. Why is that?

> You've proved that activity for years in here. :-)
>
You keep making mistakes and I keep correcting some of them.

> >> BTW, what did ENIAC have to do with AMATEUR RADIO?
> >
> >That it was practical in its time.
>
> ENIAC did something for RADIO? [I don't think so...]

Actually, it did.

> >What do your ramblings about non-amateur-radio subjects have to do with
> >amateur radio, Len?
>
> "Non-amateur-radio subjects?"

Yes.

> Like ENIAC?

Like your experiences in Japan, real estate, "computer modem
communications", and a host of other non-amateur-radio subjects.

> An early
> mainframe computer that was really a programmable
> calculator? :-)

Did the Aberdeen Proving Ground Historical Officer get it wrong?

"ELECTRONIC COMPUTERS WITHIN THE ORDNANCE CORPS

CHAPTER II -- ENIAC

The World's First Electronic Automatic Computer"

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

> >You did not work on ENIAC and have never been a ham....
>
> I've never claimed to... :-)
>
> However, I was alive in 1946 and you were not. :-)

> YOU never worked on ENIAC. You've never claimed to have
> worked on ANY computer, main-frame, minicomputer, nor
> personal computer.

You are mistaken.

> Are you a member of the ACM? [Association for Computing
> Machinery, the first and still-existing professional
> association for computing and information technology] I was
> a voting member of the ACM for a few years.

And now you're not?

>
> >> ENIAC and the amateur code test deserve a place in
> >> MUSEUMS, not the reality of life in today's world.
> >
> >In your *opinion*.
>
> ...yes, an OPINION shared by thousands and thousands and
> thousands of others.

Yet when it came time to express that opinion to FCC, there were *more*
who held the opinion that the Morse Code test should remain as a
requirement for at least some US amateur radio licenses.

Do you believe in democracy, Len? The majority of those who expressed
an opinion on the Morse Code test to FCC want at least some Morse Code
testing to remain.
>
> As of 2004 the US Census Bureau stated that 1 out of 5
> Americans had SOME access to the Internet. That involves
> access via a personal computer (or its cousin, the "work-
> station"). That is roughly 50 to 60 MILLION Americans.

Old news. Are you still tied to dialup?

> The original (and only) ENIAC used an architecture that
> is NOT common to present-day personal computers. About
> the only term that IS common is that ENIAC used "digital
> circuits." That's about the end of it for commonality
> with MILLIONS and MILLIONS of personal computers in the
> daily use worldwide.

Nope. Wrong.

See:

The Tree of Computing:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap7.html

> The ONLY radio service in the USA still requiring tested
> morse code skill to permit operation below 30 MHz is the
> AMATEUR radio service.

Because the amateur radio service *uses* the mode extensively.

> ALL of the other radio services
> have either dropped morse code for communications or never
> considered it when that radio service was formed.

So what? Amateurs use it. Why should the test for an amateur license
not cover what amateurs actually do?

> There is
> NO wired or wireless communications service in the USA that
> uses manual telegraphy means today.

Are you sure?

And even if it's true - so what? That's not amateur radio.

> >> Please direct any more hero worship of ENIAC to the
> >> ACM historian.
> >
> >Why deal with second handers when the real stuff is out there?
>
> "Real stuff?!?"

Yes - like this:

"ELECTRONIC COMPUTERS WITHIN THE ORDNANCE CORPS

CHAPTER II -- ENIAC

The World's First Electronic Automatic Computer"

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

> ENIAC is a MUSEUM PIECE,

Now it is. But for almost a decade it was used by the US Army for a
wide variety of calculations. And it was the root of the Tree of
Computing.

Didn't you read the monograph?

> It is
> NOT "real stuff" except in your mind.

It's real, Len. A part of it still works, too.

> It serves ONLY
> the Moore School of Engineering as an EXHIBIT for PR
> purposes. It is a dinosaur. Defunct. Kaput.

Part of it still works, though.

> >Did you finish reading the US Army historical monograph I linked to?
>
> No.

Then you are hiding from the truth.

> I rank that along with some "US Army historical" things
> that described George Armstrong Custer as a "hero" of the
> June 1876 Battle of the Little Big Horn. Some "hero." A
> loose cannon who was LAST in his West Point class, a poor
> tactician who made a tragic, fatal mistake for the 7th
> Cavalry.

Custer had nothing to do with ENIAC.

And if you didn't read the monograph, how do you know what it says?

> Thank you, but NO, I'd rather read the NON-PR
> historical references that described things as the REALLY
> were without the orgasmic after-glow of hero worship.

I think you're afraid of reading a history that disproves your
cherished opinions and biases, Len. The facts presented in the
monograph are too upsetting to you for you to even read them.
>
> ENIAC never saw battle,

Why should it?

> It was never close to the
> battlefields like the Brit's Colossus nor did it "solve
> ciphers" (decryption) like Colossus did. The US military
> DOES have fielded computers (plural) and systems which
> ARE useable today and ARE in use. You can read about
> those if you wish...but you won't since none of them are
> directly related to ENIAC.

They're all directly related to ENIAC because they are its descendants.

> Indeed, NONE of today's computers are related to ENIAC
> any more than WE are "related" to some proto-humans of
> Africa.

More than 95% of human DNA is identical to that of chimpanzees, Len.

> >btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things
> >like how to do artillery barrages....
>
> No,
> the "ordnance" folks maintain the
> ammunition and weaponry.

Then who makes up the firing tables?

> The ARTILLERY folks do the
> actual laying-in and firing.

The Ordnance Corps tells them how to do that. Firing tables - remember?

> Really. Had you ever served
> in the military (you didn't) you would be informed of that.
> In the US Army, the "line" (those who are the most involved
> with actual battle) units are INFANTRY, ARTILLERY, and
> ARMOR. All other units exist to serve them.
>
>
> As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.

What phrase is that, Len?

"Klaatu barada necto"?

"All your base are belong to us"?

"Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel"?

Which phrase is it?

1979. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 22:42:27 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OZednT_Jrb__i63YnZ2dnUVZ_qSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 167995

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:XfUXg.13474$UG4.8356@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:vsKdnRDlDvx9ka3YnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>
>>
>> Good reason to upgrade then.
>
> Ah, yes..... "let them eat cake"
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Not really. Based on everything that I have read, the object of having a series of license classes was so that people could take the journey in smaller, more manageable steps. It does not seem like it was intended that people would only go part way and say "good enough".

I have recently elmered a woman to her Extra class license. She had no technical background and little to no experience in amateur radio even though she had a license (she never really got active). She passed with flying colors. So any one can do it if they so choose. By the way she is now quite active in the club, is getting on the air, and she will be joining me to participate in the November Sweeps. While we will try for the Clean Sweep, our main goal is to get all states so she can apply for WAS.

Dee, N8UZE

1980. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-13 22:55:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160780114.163814.181990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167996

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
id theft is crime

1981. Re: Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 01:27:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160789236.036274.91690@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167997

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 10 2006 3:40 am
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >Len never attended a Roman Catholic parochial school. Was never taught
> >by nuns or smacked around by them.
> >
> Was the parochial school *required*...

How many kids get to choose what school they attend?

> like morse code
> ability *required* to transmit on HF? :-)

> Lutherans don't go to parochial schools,

Then you cannot know what Roman Catholic parochial school was like,
Len.

> >> Why not, poor baby. I've pointed out that your post wasn't funny and
> >> wasn't worthy of an adult male.
> >>
> >Wasn't worthy of a grade schooler.
> >
> True,

That's right. You're just not funny, Len.

> it IS worthy of grown-up sarcasm tossed at smug,
> arrogant, self-defined amateur extra morsemen gods of
> radio. :-)

No, it's just pathetic.

> >First Rule of Comedy: The audience determines what's funny. If the
> >audience doesn't think it's funny, it's not funny.
> >
> is "expert" in show business?!?

Don't have to be an expert to know the First Rule.

> can come out west and tell Mitzi Shore how to run
> her Comedy Store Club. :-)

She will tell you that the First Rule applies. If the audience doesn't
laugh, the act isn't funny.

> be on Letterman's show? (all should know so
> they can tune in to Leno instead...)

A couple of Morse-code-skilled radio amateurs were on Leno a while
back. They made mincemeat of the *world champion* text messager.

> have SAG card? SEG card? AFTRA card?
>
Cards do not make one funny.

Those cards are effectively union membership cards, even if the unions
call themselves "guilds".
Liberals love unions. Are you a liberal, Len? Sure seems like it.

> >Len thinks he is either the Pope or royalty.
>
> Neither. :-)

Then why do you use the first person plural pronoun to refer to
yourself?
>
> I am for DEMOCRACY...all to be heard on subjects.

Really?

Then why did you tell another citizen:

"shut the hell up, you USMC feldwebel"?

Recently you said you wanted rrap to be shut down for an indefinite
length of time. Is that the action of someone who really believes that
all should be heard?
>
> Such will end soon as "moderation" begins in here.

Not at all.

Every freedom carries with it a related responsibility. Freedom of
Speech carries with it the Responsibility of Truth. You want the
freedom but not the responsibility.

> >He's all about "rank, status, and privilege".
>
> YES!

Well, there you have it.

> TO the smug, arrogant, self-defined gots of radio,
> better known as the amateur extra morsemen.

"gots of radio"?

I *have* several radios....

> Poor amateur extra morsemen have no sense of humor
> about their own selves and their life work. Tsk.

> >First Rule of Comedy invoked.
>
> ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

"Who is John Galt?"

> >> > Morsemanship makes you superhuman.
> >
> >Well, since Len won't describe what "morsemanship" is, here's a working
> >definition:
>
> NOT the correct "definition."

Says who?

> I coined the word.

But you didn't define it, even after several requests. So others get to
define it.

You snooze, you lose.

> YOU don't get to mint copies (knockoffs).

Language is constantly evolving, Len. You got left behind.

> You can try, but it will be in ERROR as your usual failure
> to recognize that others don't think like you do.

I think more people agree with my definition than with yours.

> A MORSEMAN lives, breathes, eats morse code as the main topic
> of his/her life.

Well, that leaves me out. Morse Code is just one small part of my life.

>
> A MORSEMAN wants to freeze time back in the 1930s when code
> was king of small station communications.

Not me. I'm right here in 2006.

> A typical MORSEMAN has the callsign K4YZ, N2EY, or K8MN but
> those are otherwise indistinguishable from one another.

How about K0HB, WK3C, W7RT....?

> Anonymous MORSEMEN are represented by "Slow Code" and his
> general angry attitude towards anyone not worshipping him.

You mean the way you have a general angry attitude towards anyone who
disagrees with you?
>
> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

What phrase is that, Len?

Could it be the one about getting an Extra right out of the box?

1982. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 01:53:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160790832.930323.168290@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167998

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 12 Oct 2006 16:15:53 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 12 Oct 2006 03:56:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > > More forging of attributes, I see.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from another
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
> >> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
> >> >> >> > > > > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
> >> >> >> > > > > > > > is real.
> >> >> >> > > > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
> >> >> >> > > > > > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
> >> >> >> > > > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > > > It fails.
> >> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
> >> >> >> > > > > > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
> >> >> >> > > > > > At least in Tennessee?
> >> >> >> > > > >
> >> >> >> > > > > Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
> >> >> >> > > > > different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
> >> >> >> > > > > sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
> >> >> >> > > >
> >> >> >> > > > And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
> >> >> >> > > > Ohio, either...
> >> >> >> > >

> >> >> >OK, Mark.
> >> >>
> >> >> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
> >> >> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
> >> >> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
> >> >> was to in some medical NGs)
> >> >
> >> >Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
> >> >
> >> >"The tests are too hard in RN school...."
> >> >
> >> >So what school did he complain about?
> >
> >> he did not name a name for a school
> >
> >He's very secretive about his real credentials. I would be, too, if I
> >had no real credentials.

> esp when it is likely that somebody could check normaly one can verify
> enrolement with a name and I think it would show flunked out of RN
> school

It was probably too hard for him. He didn't want to put forth the
effort...

> OTOH in Michigan at least I (or anyone) coul;d complete a
> corespondence course that would one to test for the LPN license no
> hands on practical requirement for the license

Lessee. Correspondence course could mean that someone else was doing
the material, and he was sending it in.

> >> just the genral quip that the
> >> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
> >> to that effect
> >
> >Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?

> nah just on the gay guys that are hiding it

There you have it! And the ones that protest too loudly.

1983. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 02:09:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160791766.517579.231580@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 167999

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
> >
> >> >> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> >> >> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> > >
> >> >> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
> > >
> >> >> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
> >> >> > history of it.
> > >
> >> >> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
> > >
> >> >You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
> >> >you haven't is a lie.
> >
> >> True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
> >> my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
> >> about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
> >> "I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.
> >
> >If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
> >starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.
>
> He doesn't know right from wrong.
>
> If we say he rightly violates reality (he does), he turns right
> around and says we do that. It is a non-argument. All it does
> is delude him in his non-justification of his actions.

Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
out-assholing him.

> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
> into certifiable insanity.

The rubber room is waiting.

> >> >I obviously don't care for your tactics involving peoples families in
> >> >your problems.

> >
> >> Imposter has so many problems he needs all the "care"
> >> he can get.
> >
> >There's one over-arching problem.
>
> There are several but all inter-related. With the Imposter
> everything boils down to him always saying he is "right"
> which then "justifies" (in his mind) all of his insults of
> others. Everything then becomes a very "personal" battle
> with him. The subject of a thread is tossed aside in his
> tirades and he focusses sharply on "battling" his opponents.

He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.

> >> >No, you've made tons of typo's this week. This typo was made as your
> >> >were calling someone stupid. If you're going to call someone stupid,
> >> >take extra care that in the process you don't end up looking like an
> >> >idiot.
> >
> >> Brian, it matters not.
> >
> >> 1. Imposter was long ago divorced from reality and
> >> knowledge of right and wrong.
> >
> >> 2. As a result of (1) he usually looks like an idiot.
> >
> >> 3. (1) and (2) repeated endlessly until his Google message
> >> total exceeds that of Cecil Moore.
> >
> >Pretty much.
>
> Cecil was here years before the Imposter. Cecil Moore is
> not an offensive person. The Imposter is an offensive
> person.

I liked Cecil a lot. I especially liked him when he was 100 feet tall.
(Now Robesin will say I was hot for Cecil.)

> >> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
> >> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
> >> >hit the news big time.
> >
> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> >
> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>
> Ah so! :-)

He'll have to file a travel voucher requesting circuitious travel.

> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?
> >
> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
>
> Imposter may have already started in TN?

Did the Man-Nurse in NJ ever get convicted?

> >> >You are largely ignored by the pro-code test contingent. They despise
> >> >you, but you aren't likely to hear that because you are a bully. They
> >> >fear you.
> >
> >> Must be fear.
> >
> >> They can't control him, one of their OWN.
> >
> >"Loose cannon" doesn't begin to describe Robesin.
>
> I know, I know...but if I call him an asshole, I'm insulting
> the real assholes!

They will be highly offended.

> >> >What you posted is plain for anyone to see.
> >
> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
> >
> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
>
> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
> before reading..." :-)

Wonder if he ever used the Code Name "Mr. Phelps?"

> >> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
> >
> >> Probably he will.
> >
> >The hell he will.
>
> We will have to wait and see...

I'll give him the benefit...

> >> Amateur extras will have all sorts of
> >> "permissions" versus ordinary folk in the "moderation"
> >> period. Those who disagree with amateur extras will
> >> never have their posts shown in public.
> >

> >I disagree with that opinion. And if it turns out that way, there is
> >recourse.
>
> At best that "recourse" would be NOT posting and NOT
> accessing the newsgroup. There is NO sign that anyone
> "in charge" today or the last few years has done
> anything in regards to "offensive" postings. There is
> a curious, prolonged NON-response in Google whenever
> anyone clicks on their message option button.

Long delayed echoes... (RADAR term involving range folding).

> >Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
> >resolved.
>
> Might not even be done this year. The R&O on the
> "omnibus" issue began in 2004 and didn't get settled
> until 2006. The code test NPRM of last year (05-235)
> might be handled in 2007.

True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
classify me as a newbie.

> >The value of this forum is tied to that single issue.
> >Paul's moderation will, like the code exam, become an unnecessary
> >regulation.
>
> Interesting viewpoint! I like it.

Hopefully Paul won't generate the "hard-feelings" that the code debate
has.

> >> His own (SECOND) wife can't control his 5-hour sessions
> >> on his computer while he is busy shoveling shit on his
> >> "enemies."
> >>
> >> A real head case he is...
> >>
> >He's nuts.
>
> I agree but there are others in here who must have
> ABSOLUTES to justify such opinions. If he's not "nuts" in
> the absolute sense, he will be soon.

He's nuts now.

1984. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 03:18:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160795894.526838.278460@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am
> >Opus- wrote:
> >> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >Opus- wrote:
> > >> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >> >> >Opus- wrote:

> >But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
> >limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
> >people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
> >tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
> >skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
> >that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.
>
> A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
> radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
> "skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]

Listen to the way *most people* speak, Len. There's a lot of redundancy
in the way many
people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next.

> Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
> telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
> faster.

So? Most people don't speak like they're reading a script.

> >> With todays electronics, size and weight really aren't much of an
> >> issue.
> >
> >I disagree to a point! Look at the size, weight and performance of HF
> >rigs that you can carry with you. Is there any HF ham rig that's
> >SSB-capable that can compete with the Elecraft KX-1?
>
> AN/PRC-104...back-pack HF SSB transceiver, operational
> since 1984. Built by (then) Hughes Aircraft Ground
> Systems (Hughes purchased by Raytheon).

Let's see...

The AN/PRC-104 weighs at least 14 pounds, according to the literature.
Some writeups say as much as 28 pounds. It's a pretty big set - you

don't just slip it in a pocket.

How much do the batteries weigh? How long will the set will run on one set of batteries?

Battery voltage is nominally 24 volts, and the thing draws about 350 mA on *receive*. So you can't just hook it to a 12 volts source, and the power consumption on receive is about 8 watts.

Now the biggie: How much does one cost new? I found some for $2500 - reconditioned.

> For civilian-only, try the SGC 2020 SSB HF transceiver
> used by private boat owners as well as hams.

Weighs 8 pounds without batteries. Not as big as a PRC-104 but still a lot bigger than a KX-1. Draws over 300 mA on receive, but runs on 12 volts. Costs $800 new, last time I looked. Tuner and such are extra.

The KX-1 weighs under a pound and is much smaller and lighter. Receive current is less than 50 mA. Costs $299 new.

So the rigs that "compete" with the KX-1 cost a lot more money (twice to ten times the price or more), are far larger and heavier (8 to 20 times the weight or more), and the battery life is much less.

Thanks for proving my point, Len.

> >For fixed-station use, there isn't much size/weight difference, if any.
> >But when you need to carry the rig and batteries any real distance, the
> >differences become apparent. This is also when you will find that the
> >difference in low power performance really matters.
>
> The PRC-104 has an integral automatic antenna matching
> package (to the right of the transceiver itself). This
> insures that the manpack set's whip antenna is always
> tuned for optimum radiated transmission power.

The KX1 can be equipped with an ATU. Costs a lot less, weighs a lot less, takes up a lot less space.
>
> SGC has several antenna autotuner models available;
> separate equipments.

All weigh more, use more power, cost more money.

> >Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
> >modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
> >brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
> >straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
> >all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
> >makes a big difference.
>
> You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)

Yes. Do you?

> [of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]
>
> Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?

Why not?

> I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
> mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
> control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
> heater.

Sounds like the car I learned to drive in.

> Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
> which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
> think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
> comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
> to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
> "good driving," you've got your head up your ass.

It's not about *you*, Len.

> Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
> challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
> at everything from "smooth straight highways" through
> "winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING.

Why would you give up a nice, comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy
Malibu MAXX just
to "rough it", Len?

> I will WIN.

Maybe. Maybe not. You don't really know, you're just bragging because
you know it won't happen.

> Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.

That doesn't mean you would win.

> That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
> post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
> control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
> transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
> strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.

What's your point - that you spit at Jeeps?

> HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
> Include auto kits if you need to.

What does it matter? I could tell you about the time I took two junker
cars and made one good one out of them, but you'd find fault with that,
somehow.

> HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven?

Gosh, Len, I don't really know. Probably more than you, though.

> Over "winding
> country roads?"

Enough.

> [I don't think so unless you count the
> old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
> the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
> working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
> Bomber") from/to highway.

What does that have to do with anything, Len?
>
> >> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
> >> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
> >
> >I disagree - for two reasons!
> >
> >First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
> >Code.
>
> If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
> like a nail to you...

I've got a lot more tools than just a hammer. I know how to use them,
too.

> >I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
> >presented correctly.
>
> Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.

And yet you claim you have no problem with people using Morse Code....
>
> >Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
> >phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
> >Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.
>
> Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
> reasons.

"Amateur" is derived from the Latin word for "love". Means to do
something for the love of the thing alone. Emotional reasons, IOW.

The fact is that there are plenty of young people who like Morse Code
and learn it readily. I think that's one reason you want an age limit
for an amateur radio license - so those code-skilled young folks can't
get a license until they're 14.

> >However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
> >to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen

> >voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
> >reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.
>
> One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
> so in a public statement in 2004.

So what?

When I was a teenager, practically everyone had a telephone. Why should
anyone have a ham rig at home when they can just talk on the telephone?

> Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
> computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
> great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications.

Sure there was. Ham radio was growing by leaps and bounds then. You
were not part of it.

> Been
> there, seen that, see no difference now.

IOW, nobody should do what *you* don't enjoy.

> > But
> >Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
> >- just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.
>
> So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
> her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> * M A G I C M O R S E *
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

??
>
>
> >But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
> >people and places change.
>
> Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.

Preservation of values, skills and culture is everyone's job.

> Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
> radio through federal license testing for morse code in
> only AMATEUR radio?

It's not a living museum.

> YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...

Nope. Morse Code should be a license requirement because amateurs use it. The skill is part of being a qualified radio amateur. Simple as that.

> Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
> except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.

It's not about hazing, Len. It's about being qualified. You're not qualified.

1985. Re: Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 04:15:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HvZXg.11237$o71.6267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168001

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> Lutherans don't go to parochial schools, Jimmie. :-)
>

Gee, I wonder who goes to these schools..... Pisscopalians?

http://www.faithlutheran.net/phpw/phpw/index.php?module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_page&PAGE_id=14
http://www.stpetermodesto.org/mainschool.htm
http://stmarkslutheran.com/School/index.htm
http://www.stpaulsfirst.org/school_index.cfm

(Just a few of thousands you could Google up.)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

1986. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 05:36:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uot0j2t2rhqn51gasj2992c9h1r9l85plo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168002

```
On 13 Oct 2006 18:53:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 12 Oct 2006 16:15:53 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 12 Oct 2006 03:56:21 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> >> On 11 Oct 2006 17:56:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > More forging of attributes, I see.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >> > > > > > He puts forth word images of men eating his own excrement from
another
>> >> >> >> > > > > > man's genitals, but says he is, somehow, not gay.
>> >> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> >> > > > > Here's the quote for you, greaseball, since you seem to have the
>> >> >> >> > > > > same tendency to avoid the truth but keep getting caught up in Google
>> >> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> >> > > > > Take your best shot! You'll probably just confirm that your sickness
>> >> >> >> > > > > is real.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > Just like Lennie the Loser, you try to infer some knowledge of
>> >> >> >> > > "sicknesses" without an education in healthcare.
>> >> >> >> > >
>> >> >> >> > > It fails.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > You're the one who claims that no degree is required to be a nurse.
>> >> >> >> > Wouldn't it also possible that no degree is required to be a doctor?
>> >> >> >> > At least in Tennessee?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Uhhhhhh....Brain....You need to learn the difference between the
>> >> >> >> different levels of Nurisng licensure before you stick open that
>> >> >> >> sewerpit of a mouth of yours and PROVE that you're an idiot.
```

>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> And Brain...No degree is required to achie the title of Nurse in
>> >> >> >> Ohio, either...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >OK, Mark.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> he is LPN basicaly the NoCode Tech of the nursing world the most of
>> >> >> the RN look down their noses at LPN's like Robeson and Robeson had to
>> >> >> drop out of RN school (and he complianed about how unfair the school
>> >> >> was to in some medical NGs)
>> >> >
>> >> >Hah! A No-Code Nurse!!!
>> >> >
>> >> >"The tests are too hard in RN school...."
>> >> >
>> >> >So what school did he complain about?
>> >
>> >> he did not name a name for a school
>> >
>> >He's very secretive about his real credentials. I would be, too, if I
>> >had no real credentials.
>
>> esp when it is likely that somebody could check normaly one can verify
>> enrolement with a name and I think it would show flunked out of RN
>> school
>
>It was probably too hard for him. He didn't want to put forth the
>effort...

indeed or was simply unable to
>
>> OTOH in Michigan at least I (or anyone) coul;d complete a
>> corespondence course that would one to test for the LPN license no
>> hands on practical requirement for the license
>
>Lessee. Correspondence course could mean that someone else was doing
>the material, and he was sending it in.

indeed but their is test one would have to bluff ones way through but
it is published (the pool) so the mightly moresmen could surly
memorize it
>
>> >> just the genral quip that the
>> >> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
>> >> to that effect
>> >
>> >Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?
>
>> nah just on the gay guys that are hiding it
>
>There you have it! And the ones that protest too loudly.

which are generaly the one hiding it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1987. Re: Mark in Costume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 05:38:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <itt0j2dh0171m2bl1mjmifhghukkhd9btr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 23:43:52 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>Mark likes to *dress up* when he goes to hamfests. He has other costumes,
>too.

I raely go to hamfest at all and I go in simple "costume' plain pants
and tshirt I blend right in
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1988. Hey Mark Morgan, what are you wearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:00:09 UTC
From: mfoley@congress.gov
Message-ID: <891680.898548@congress.gov>
Source record: 168007

Just a small question from a horny guy.

1989. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:25:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d7b1j2pq534n0q67vao6io7q8501vknq1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 168008

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 04:36:25 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>
>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160780114.163814.181990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>id theft is crime
>
>
>Being a dumbass is a crime..l
your point?
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1990. Re: Mark in Costume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:25:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d8b1j2heo3mclblt3fujih9gks07nitocj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 04:28:01 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>
>
>
>I raely go to hamfest at all and I go in simple "costume' plain pants
>and tshirt I blend right in
so you like to be ahrrasing sob
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1991. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:26:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m9b1j21855k1c7leb8kn4kdqvmef00f5rj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168010

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 09:00:09 +0000 (UTC), mfoley@congress.gov (Mark Foley) wrote:

>
>Just a small question from a horny guy.
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

1992. Re: Mark in Costume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:28:01 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <cmshb.i58.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I raely go to hamfest at all and I go in simple "costume' plain pants
and tshirt I blend right in

www.markspamblog.blogspot.com

1993. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:36:25 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <cmt0v.huj.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168006

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160780114.163814.181990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
id theft is crime

Being a dumbass is a crime..l

1994. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 09:52:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160819572.546357.315530@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168011

Dee Flint wrote:

> Based on everything that I have read, the object of having a
> series of license classes was so that people could take the journey in
> smaller, more manageable steps. It does not seem like it was intended that
> people would only go part way and say "good enough".
>
> I have recently elmered a woman to her Extra class license. She had no
> technical background and little to no experience in amateur radio even
> though she had a license (she never really got active). She passed with
> flying colors. So any one can do it if they so choose. By the way she is
> now quite active in the club, is getting on the air, and she will be joining
> me to participate in the November Sweeps. While we will try for the Clean
> Sweep, our main goal is to get all states so she can apply for WAS.

All good stuff - but that's not really the issue.

I'm all for multiple license classes, incentives, increasing
privileges, etc.

But I think the problem K0HB is pointing out has to do with how those
incentives are generated.

It's one thing to generate incentive by offering more privileges than
you have now.

It's a very different thing to generate incentive by taking away some
privileges, then requiring an upgrade to get them back.

Right now all Generals and Advanceds (something like 200,000 amateurs)
can use 3600-3750 for CW/data. After the new rules, they will not be
able to use those freqs at all.

Yes, they gain 3800-3850, but that's a gain of 50 kHz phone/image for a
loss of 150.kHz CW/data.

Or look at it percentagewise. Right now, Generals have access to
3525-3750 and 3850-4000. That's 375 kHz total - 75% of the total band.
They have access to 90% of the non-phone bandspace and 60% of the
'phone bandspace.

After the change, Generals will have access to 3525-3600 and 3800-4000.
That's 275 kHz total - 55% of the total band. They will have access to
75% of the non-phone bandspace and 50% of the 'phone bandspace.

Back in the 1960s, the thing that ticked off some hams was not that the
idea of upgrading. It was the idea that the "incentive" to upgrade came
from having existing privileges taken away, rather than new ones added.

History repeats.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1995. Re: Hey Mark Morgan, what are you wearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 10:25:00 UTC
From: mfoley@congress.gov
Message-ID: <901680.898548@congress.gov>
Source record: 168012

Want to fukc?

1996. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 11:21:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160824876.094008.149180@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168013

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Indeed, NONE of today's computers are related to ENIAC
> any more than WE are "related" to some proto-humans of
> Africa.

Today's computers are the direct descendants of ENIAC - the world's very first fully operational, high speed, general purpose, electronic digital computer.

There are far fewer generations between ENIAC and "today's computers" than there are between modern humans and the species those humans evolved from.

btw, Len, more than 95% of *your* DNA is identical to the DNA of a chimpanzee. Have a banana and calm down.

> As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.

Is that the phrase:

"Open the pod bay doors, HAL" ?

Or how about:

"I wouldn't call it *intelligent* life, Jim...." ?

Or:

"Why does the porridge bird lay his egg in the air?"

Or:

"How can you be in two places at once if you're not anywhere at all?"

1997. MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 11:40:07 UTC
From: mfoley@congress.gov
Message-ID: <A01680.898548@congress.gov>
Source record: 168014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:

(__)_):::D

Interested?

1998. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 11:54:37 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <esKdnW8fupSQTa3YnZ2dnUVZ_vSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168015

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1160819572.546357.315530@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> Based on everything that I have read, the object of having a
>> series of license classes was so that people could take the journey in
>> smaller, more manageable steps. It does not seem like it was intended
>> that
>> people would only go part way and say "good enough".
>>

[snip]

>
> I'm all for multiple license classes, incentives, increasing
> privileges, etc.
>
> But I think the problem K0HB is pointing out has to do with how those
> incentives are generated.
>
> It's one thing to generate incentive by offering more privileges than
> you have now.
>
> It's a very different thing to generate incentive by taking away some
> privileges, then requiring an upgrade to get them back.
>
> Right now all Generals and Advanceds (something like 200,000 amateurs)
> can use 3600-3750 for CW/data. After the new rules, they will not be
> able to use those freqs at all.
>
> Yes, they gain 3800-3850, but that's a gain of 50 kHz phone/image for a
> loss of 150.kHz CW/data.
>
> Or look at it percentagewise. Right now, Generals have access to
> 3525-3750 and 3850-4000. That's 375 kHz total - 75% of the total band.
> They have access to 90% of the non-phone bandspace and 60% of the
> 'phone bandspace.
>
> After the change, Generals will have access to 3525-3600 and 3800-4000.
> That's 275 kHz total - 55% of the total band. They will have access to
> 75% of the non-phone bandspace and 50% of the 'phone bandspace.
>
> Back in the 1960s, the thing that ticked off some hams was not that the
> idea of upgrading. It was the idea that the "incentive" to upgrade came
> from having existing privileges taken away, rather than new ones added.

>
>
> History repeats.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I certainly agree that no one should lose privileges. Let's hope that was a mistake and not intentional. And if it was a mistake that they fix it soon. I've seen some info on the ARRL site where they are seeking clarification of the R & O.

Dee, N8UZE

1999. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D / LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 12:59:13 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <egqmvc$8mt$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 168016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass NOVFP Lloyd Davies posing as Mark Foley wrote.....
"Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
>
> Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
>
> (__)_):::D
>
> Interested?
>
Why not show everyone what you really look like Lloyd Davies NOVFP
http://i9.tinypic.com/48f7y1x.jpg

Are you ashamed of your apperance you bloated lardass retard? You should
be.

2000. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 14:47:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jM6Yg.11323$o71.7184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168017

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> Based on everything that I have read, the object of having a series of license
> classes was so that people could take the journey in smaller, more manageable
> steps.

That's all well and good --- and if each "manageable step" has a corresponding increase in privilege, we could call that "Incentive Licensing".

But what we have here (and had in the 1960's) is "Dis-incentive Licensing" --- that's when you climb to a certain step (let's say "General") to enjoy a level of privs. Then along comes an FCC R&O which knocks away some of those privs and requires you to take another test in order to regain what you lost.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2001. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 15:30:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zo7Yg.7063$Lv3.2561@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168018

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am
>>> Opus- wrote:
>>>> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>>>>> Opus- wrote:
>>>>> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
>>>>>>> Opus- wrote:
>
>>> But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
>>> limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
>>> people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
>>> tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
>>> skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
>>> that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.
>> A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
>> radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
>> "skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]
>
> Listen to the way *most people* speak, Len. There's a lot of redundancy
> in the way many
> people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
> tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next.

Not mentioned are the fact that voice mode radio ops often do repeats
and phonetic spellings. "My name is Mike--Mike(??????) India Kilo
Echo--Mike."

>> Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
>> telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
>> faster.
>
> So? Most people don't speak like they're reading a script.

>>> Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
>>> modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
>>> brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
>>> straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without
>>> all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
>>> makes a big difference.
>> You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)
>
> Yes. Do you?

Note that Len seems to think he is making a joke.

>> [of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]

Lots of people who aren't radio amateurs, drive on winding country roads.

>> Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?
>
> Why not?

Why not indeed?

>> I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
>> mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
>> control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
>> heater.
>
> Sounds like the car I learned to drive in.

It sounds better than my first car, though mine had advanced climate control--a dashboard vent, crank windows and vent windows.

>> Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
>> which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
>> think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
>> comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
>> to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
>> "good driving," you've got your head up your ass.
>
> It's not about *you*, Len.

To Len, it is always about Len.

>> Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
>> challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
>> at everything from "smooth straight highways" through
>> "winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING.
>
> Why would you give up a nice, comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy
> Malibu MAXX just
> to "rough it", Len?

It doesn't matter, Jim. Len has thrown the gauntlet. He's out for blood.

>> I will WIN.
>
> Maybe. Maybe not. You don't really know, you're just bragging because
> you know it won't happen.

You saw through the bluster?

>> Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.
>
> That doesn't mean you would win.

It just means that Len has some really old T-shirts.

>> That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
>> post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
>> control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
>> transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
>> strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.
>
> What's your point - that you spit at Jeeps?

He thought they were technologically challenged then and he still thinks so?

>> HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
>> Include auto kits if you need to.
>
> What does it matter? I could tell you about the time I took two junker
> cars and made one good one out of them, but you'd find fault with that,
> somehow.

But that doesn't answer the question "HOW MANY".

>> HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven?
>
> Gosh, Len, I don't really know. Probably more than you, though.

I think I've driven more miles in the past six years than Len has logged
over the past twenty. Is there a prize for miles driven?

>> Over "winding
>> country roads?"
>
> Enough.

Three quarters of mine have been over winding and hilly country roads.
Then again, I live in the country. Go figure. What's the prize?

>> [I don't think so unless you count the
>> old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
>> the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
>> working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
>> Bomber") from/to highway.
>
> What does that have to do with anything, Len?

That was a detour on a winding country road.

>>>> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
>>>> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
>>> I disagree - for two reasons!
>>>
>>> First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
>>> Code.
>> If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
>> like a nail to you...
>
> I've got a lot more tools than just a hammer. I know how to use them,

> too.

If you're a nail, everything headed your way appears to be a hammer.

>>> I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
>>> presented correctly.

>> Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.
>
> And yet you claim you have no problem with people using Morse Code....

But we knew from his past posts, that simply isn't true.

>>> Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
>>> phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
>>> Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.

>> Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
>> reasons.
>
> "Amateur" is derived from the Latin word for "love". Means to do
> something for the love of the thing alone. Emotional reasons, IOW.

Len is primarily motivated by those things which generate money.

> The fact is that there are plenty of young people who like Morse Code
> and learn it readily. I think that's one reason you want an age limit
> for an amateur radio license - so those code-skilled young folks can't
> get a license until they're 14.
>
>>> However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
>>> to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
>>> voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
>>> reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.

>> One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
>> so in a public statement in 2004.
>
> So what?

The "so" is that two out of three American *don't* have a cellular phone.

> When I was a teenager, practically everyone had a telephone. Why should
> anyone have a ham rig at home when they can just talk on the telephone?

My dad discouraged me from talking on the phone when I was a teen. He
didn't do that with amateur radio unless I was trying to operate on 15m
and there was a football game on TV.

>> Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
>> computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
>> great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications.
>
> Sure there was. Ham radio was growing by leaps and bounds then. You

> were not part of it.

Len must have missed a couple of decades.

>> Been
>> there, seen that, see no difference now.
>
> IOW, nobody should do what *you* don't enjoy.

I'm more concerned that Len thinks that because he is ignorant of the
historical facts of amateur radio in the late 1940's, everyone is ignorant.

>>> But
>>> Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
>>> - just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.
>> So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
>> her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)
>>
>> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> * M A G I C M O R S E *
>>
>> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> ??

It's a California thing.

>>> But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
>>> people and places change.

>> Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.
>
> Preservation of values, skills and culture is everyone's job.

Len doesn't seem to think that it is his job.

>> Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
>> radio through federal license testing for morse code in
>> only AMATEUR radio?
>
> It's not a living museum.

Far from it. Radio amateurs use many modes daily. CW is one of 'em.

>> YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...
>
> Nope. Morse Code should be a license requirement because amateurs use
> it. The skill is part of being a qualified radio amateur. Simple as
> that.

There are thousands and thousands of morse QSOs taking place on the ham bands daily.

>> Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
>> except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.
>
> It's not about hazing, Len. It's about being qualified. You're not
> qualified.

There's been enough false material penned here about hazing, hoops, rituals and the like to last a lifetime.

Len may use all the cellular phones, cordless phones, Family Radio Service HT's and Citizen's Band transceivers he likes. He isn't a radio amateur and likely will never become a radio amateur. All of his talk has been bluster and boast.

Dave K8MN

2002. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 15:34:08 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns985C75A0BD0CAelektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 168019

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159115288.817053.317270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Sep 23 2006 1:29 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > > > > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > > > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > > > > > > K&#65533;HB wrote:
>>> > > > > > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>> > > > > I wasn't *trying* to insult anyone, Len.
>>
>>> > > > I wonder what you would have said if you were actually
>>> > > > "trying?"
>>
>>> > > > Equating military service with welfare is about all I can take.
>>
>>> > > I'm not "taking" any of it.
>>
>>> > > Jimmie can go over to his nearest military recruitment station
>>> > > and repeat his "subsidy" crack to any military person in
>>> > > there.
>>
>>> > > After that, the "fourth morseketeer" would be absent from
>>> > > RRAP.
>>
>>> > I disagree.
>>
>>> > I think your average service person knows that their is a large
>>> > segment of US society that looks down on them. But they are
>>> > intelligent enough to know just how wrong that segment of society
>>> > is. The servicemen and women stand guard without thought to their
>>> > popularity.
>
> Yes, they are what you say, Brian.
>
>
>>Nobody's interested in radiotelegraphy.

>
> Actually, the olde-tymers seem to love it...especially those
> who gave up learning the technical side of amateur radio
> some 30 to 40 years back. Radiotelegraphy reminds them of
> their youth and youthful dreams of being "top-notch radio ops"
> of the 1930s in the 1960s.
>
>> Not even Val Germann who
>>talked a good talk. He sure fooled a lot of people who desperately
>>wanted to believe that a newbie was interested in learning the code.
>>Hi, hi!
>
> Heh heh heh. Of course. He got all the regular morseketeers
> in here almost to a morsegasm. :-)
>
>
>>> It's still a puzzle why an ex-USN type would be at any Army
>>> recruiting office as a civilian...as Robesin once stated. But
>>> that can be relegated to just-another-delusional-dream of the
>>> Imposter.
>>
>>Robesin is a habitual liar. If he were ever to tell a truth, no one
>>would beleive it.
>
> Most true! But, the imposter is going to continue with his
> lying until this newsgroup becomes moderated. But, from Paul
> Schleck's information bulletin, WE can't call him a liar any
> more! That will "violate" some "rules" (of engagement or
> whatever) wherein no one can speak nasty of others. Doesn't
> matter if they are military imposters, we won't be able to
> say much of anything against such posturing liars. It's not
> "nice."
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

I haven't posted here for a while. Each time I visit I killfile loads of sock puppets, and next time they reappear as someone else. I hate all trolls.

73s to Len, Jim and other real people de Alun, N3KIP/G8VUK

2003. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 15:34:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6r02j2l5u9mbvcr6sqqg6ahu4aoun3shrq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168020

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 10:25:00 +0000 (UTC), mfoley@congress.gov (Mark Foley) wrote:

>
>Want to fukc?

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2004. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 15:35:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <et02j21lgb7e5ehk666t7b8ocjiim3c7ue@4ax.com>
Source record: 168021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 11:40:07 +0000 (UTC), mfoley@congress.gov (Mark
Foley) wrote:

>
>Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
>
>(__)_)::D
>
>Interested?
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2005. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 17:17:41 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160846261.730910.85090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168022

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> 'phone bandspace.

<massive snip>

> Back in the 1960s, the thing that ticked off some hams was not that the
> idea of upgrading. It was the idea that the "incentive" to upgrade came
> from having existing privileges taken away, rather than new ones added.
>
>
> History repeats.

Dead on and woe be those who don't understand the principle. Close to 40 years on Mother FCC has apparently spanked us again, some of us anyway, "because upgrading is in the best long-term interest of the service". Or some such. God forbid they have the gonads to put it in those terms in writing. I keep wondering which organization would win a spin doctoring championship, the FCC or the ARRL.

I had a General ticket for 13-14 years when I lost ~ 70% of my customary DX-chasing spectrum haunts. It was not pretty. Having to take the 20wpm code test which back then meant getting 100 characters right on paper in front of a steely-eyed FCC Examiner and even worse having to trudge uphill both ways in a blizzard to the FCC office just to take the test . . <sob!>

Bleh.

Thousands of us accepeted Mother's stick-and-carrot challenge back then and it worked for us. If today's Generals really care about regaining the frequecies they're about to lose their way out was clearly demonstrated decades ago. My bet is that, for instance, very few Generals will get off their butts and upgrade just to get back their 80M CW/Data space, they'll jump all over their new 50Khz phone space on 75 and never look back. Ask one which he/she would rather have, the CW/Data freqs or the new phone privs . . talk about non-incentives . .

There is of course an outside chance that some intern screwed up the R&O . . they've been there and done that before . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2006. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 17:29:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <jImdnQDT44bng6zYnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168023

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jM6Yg.11323$o71.7184@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Based on everything that I have read, the object of having a series of
>> license classes was so that people could take the journey in smaller,
>> more manageable steps.
>
> That's all well and good --- and if each "manageable step" has a
> corresponding increase in privilege, we could call that "Incentive
> Licensing".
>
> But what we have here (and had in the 1960's) is "Dis-incentive
> Licensing" --- that's when you climb to a certain step (let's say
> "General") to enjoy a level of privs. Then along comes an FCC R&O which
> knocks away some of those privs and requires you to take another test in
> order to regain what you lost.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

As I remarked to N2EY, let's hope that was a mistake and that they fix it.
I agree they shouldn't lose privileges.

Dee, N8UZE

2007. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 18:14:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uO9Yg.11399$o71.9354@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168024

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote

>
> As I remarked to N2EY, let's hope that was a mistake and that they fix it. I
> agree they shouldn't lose privileges.
>

There's nothing in the 46-page R&O to make it look accidental or inadvertent.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2008. Re: Mark Morgan Hooters Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:03:01 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <egrc9g$b1u$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 168028
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NOVFP <*.*@anon> wrote in message news:co084.k6d.19.1@news.alt.net...
> This is a Hooters just made for bisexuals...right, Mark?
>
> http://www.123mycodes.com/myspaceprank/boobflash.swf
>
>
You should know Lllllllllyyoodddie

http://i9.tinypic.com/4507u6h.jpg

2009. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:03:15 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns985C9922571A9elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 168027

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in news:1160605956.790621.133150
@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> K&#65533;HB wrote:
>> litoi wrote:
>> > 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>> >
>> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>> Why will they go "balistic" (maybe you meant "ballistic")?
>
> I don't see any reason to go ballistic.
>
>> I can still operate CW on all the freqs I could before,
>
> In theory, yes.
>
> But in practice, how much CW operation actually happens in the US
> 'phone/image subbands? Practically none that I can hear.
>
>> I've picked up
>> some additional phone spectrum on a couple of crowded bands (hopefully
>> in time for SS phone), and all my Novice and Tech (w/Morse test)
>> friends gained a new bunch of HF spectrum.
>
> Yup.
>
>> What's to "bo balistic" about?
>>
> Nothing I can see. But there are some other things to consider:
>
> - I don't think FCC will do much with "regulation by bandwidth". This
> R&O reinforces the basic subbands-by-mode idea, and just moves the
> dividing line.
>
> - I don't think the FCC will do anything with CTT's "no
> subbands-by-mode" proposal, for the same reasons.
>
> - The available space for *data* modes is decreased.
>
> - The foreign 'phones will move even lower in the band, to get away
> from USA 'phone QRM.
>

> - We'll probably hear more "hi-fi SSB" and AM on 75 meters, because
> there will be more room for them.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

The foreign phone ops won't be able to move lower to escape us on 80, as we have been given the whole phone subband. OK, in some countries the CW subband isn't obligatory, but I doubt if they will go down there.

One down, three more to go (40, 20 and 15). True we got 25kHz more phone on 40, which I am grateful for, but that's still well short of what any other country has, and on 15 only the subbands for the lower classes moved, not the bottom edge of phone. Of course, on 20 there was no change, as there was no Novice subband to begin with.

73 de Alun, N3KIP

2010. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:05:57 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <egrcf2$b73$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 168029
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NOVFP <*.*@anon> wrote in message news:co0aq.kek.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
> news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
>
> Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
>
> (__)_):::D
>
> Interested?
> ...
>
> He sure is.
>
> :-O
>
>
You sure are Llllloooyydiee!

http://i9.tinypic.com/4507u6h.jpg

2011. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:11:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0jd2j2hecjk72shikr3tkrb6dlea36m9fv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 14:39:01 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>
>"Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
>news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2012. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:12:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6kd2j21r4vqo5a4ovtdbl991jf822jb448@4ax.com>
Source record: 168031
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 14:37:35 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2013. Re: Pong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:14:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160853296.443062.141400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168032

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am

> >> Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
> >> except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.
> >
> > It's not about hazing, Len. It's about being qualified. You're not
> > qualified.
>
> There's been enough false material penned here about hazing, hoops,
> rituals and the like to last a lifetime.
and they are told by the MM in isnsiting the Morse Code testing is
nohing but a frat house game

2014. Mark Morgan Hooters Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:37:35 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <co084.k6d.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168025
Cross-posted to:
alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is a Hooters just made for bisexuals...right, Mark?

http://www.123mycodes.com/myspaceprank/boobflash.swf

2015. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:39:01 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <co0aq.kek.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...

Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:

(__)_):::D

Interested?
...

He sure is.

:-O

2016. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:58:08 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160855888.422396.155820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.religion

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 10:25:00 +0000 (UTC), mfoley@congress.gov (Mark
> Foley) wrote:
>
> >
> >Want to fukc?
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

mork is a bottom

2017. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 19:59:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2cg2j2ttbn50l668imoakfdov020a9i36r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,s,rec.radio.cb,alt.religion

On 14 Oct 2006 12:58:08 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
id theft is a crime

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2018. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D / LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 20:02:31 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160856151.209082.286510@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

```
Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
> Lardass NOVFP Lloyd Davies posing as Mark Foley wrote........
> "Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
> news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
> >
> > Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
> >
> > (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::D
> >
> > Interested?
> >
> Why not show everyone what you really look like Lloyd Davies NOVFP
> http://i9.tinypic.com/48f7y1x.jpg
>
> Are you ashamed of your apperance you bloated lardass retard? You should
> be.

maybe you should act kind and slid your pecker in the tard's man-pus
```

2019. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 20:05:47 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

```
LC wrote:
> HOMO TEST
> Tests to determine if you are a Homo
>
> 1. [TRUE/FALSE]
>
> Hundreds of homos lead normal, happy lives.
>
> There is no shame in being a homo.
>
> Homos are weak and easily beat up.
>
> Groups of homos are dangerous and will try
> to take off your clothes and kill you.
>
> Homos like to kidnap little boys and marry
> them.
>
> Homos know all the latest dance steps and
> have great stereo equipment.
>
> Homos never say their prayers.
>
> Homos cry if you're mean to them.
>
> The only way to kill a homo is with a silver
> bullet.
>
> 2. [WORD ANALYSIS]
>
> Do you think of yourself as:
>
> A Man?
> A Human Being?
> A Homo Sapiens?
>
> When you order a glass of milk, do you ask
> for:
>
> Skim?
> Pasteurized?
> Homogenized?
>
```

> When you are with friends, do you think of
> the group as:
>
> Compatible?
> Simpatico?
> Homogeneous?
>
> Do you think of the indigent as:
>
> Panhandlers?
> Deadbeats?
> Homeless Hoboes?
>
> If you were travelling, would you stay at a:
>
> Bed & Breakfast?
> Motel?
> Hotel?
>
> 3. [MATCHING]
>
> pair the items that go together best
> A B
> maroon velvet drapes tan chamois bodyshirt
> black chintz bedspread wheat colored jeans
> mauve colored turtleneck white satin sheets
> U.S. Marines uniform Spandex jockstrap
> taupe corduroy knickers Oriental scatter rugs
> powder-blue pullover navy-blue double-breasted blazer
> black leather briefs hickory riding crop
>
> 4. [BIBICAL LITERATURE]
>
> identify the top five characters you identify with
>
> Abel Cain Ham
> Lot Onan Esau
> Sodomites Gomorrahns Nebudchanezzar
> Jesus King Herod Judas
> Samson Delilah John the Baptist
>
> 5. [OTHER LITERATURE AND AUTHORS]
>
> Godot Capt. Ahab Hamlet
> Puck Tinkerbell Peter Pan
> Sherlock Holmes Dr. Watson Mr. Hyde
> Lestat Dr. Frankenstein Marquis de Sade
> Truman Capote Oscar Wilde Socrates
>
> 6. [LINGERING LATENCY]

>
> When you were a child, did you believe in:
>
> God?
> Santa Claus?
> The Tooth Fairy?
>
> When you were a child, and played doctor, what kind of
> doctor were you:
>
> Gynecologist?
> Throat specialist?
> Proctologist?
>
> Who's death did you find most upsetting:
>
> Richard Nixon?
> John Belushi?
> Rock Hudson?
>
> When did you stop breast feeding:
>
> Eighteen months?
> Eighteen years?
> Ooh, ick?
>
> What is your favorite fruit:
>
> Whole grapes?
> Sliced peaches?
> Whole bananas?
>
> 7. [HAVE YOU EVER?]
>
> Slipped and fallen while taking a shower
> and landed on a lemon?
>
> Accidently sat on a shot glass while
> playing strip poker?
>
> Gotten "trapped" while vacuuming in the nude?
>
> Been tied face down to a bed, and abandoned.
>
> [SCORING]
>
> If this test makes you think about scoring, then
> you are probably a homo.

2020. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 20:05:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kog2j2hd62beclrlko4f9lsbndpdegbgdr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Oct 2006 13:02:31 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2021. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 20:07:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <frg2j25lh7e0iepcupikf206qmat8fvh42@4ax.com>
Source record: 168038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On 14 Oct 2006 13:05:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2022. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 20:07:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bsg2j2tpa8d72tlaspbu10m16g2rbfot50@4ax.com>
Source record: 168039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 16:05:50 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2023. Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 21:36:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
http://n9ogl.blogspot.com

OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING

OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
and that will be hopefully done this week.

2024. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 22:03:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160863409.913965.308700@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168041

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
> >
> > >> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
> > >> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
> > >> >hit the news big time.
> > >
> > >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> > >
> > >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
> >
> > Ah so! :-)
>
> indeed he keeps challenging folks to meet him at Dayton

Then he doesn't show. Some tuff guy this Robesin. Everyone else is a
coward.

2025. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) A Direct Question?...Who's "Robesin" and What's The Question?...Can Brain Not Answer For Himself How HE Should Feel? Does His Mommy Still Wipe His Butt And Change His Diapers For Him Too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 22:30:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160865058.045157.69660@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168042

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
> >
> > Nope. Just called em like I see them.

Nope. You're just forging attributes and lying about it.

> You're a little snot trying to scam his way through posts with
> nothing but sleaze.
>
> See...?!?!
>
> It works.

No, it doesn't work. You're a forger and a liar. See where forging
and lying gets you?

> > > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> > >
> > > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> > > from hurting your feelings.....
> >
> > No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>
> I did once upon a time.

Another lie.

> But you didn't care about mine.

Another lie. You talk about my children and my wife. I don't bring
your children or your wife into the discussion.

> Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
> to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
> treated...

Kindly point to this post about your single hostile action.

> > You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
> > excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).
>
> No...You've not been able to rub my nose in anything.

Denial gets you nowhere.

> You TRY to make issues with out-of-context quotes and out-and-out
> lies, but you've not "rubbed" anything of mine in anything, Brain.

If you quote yourself, then how have I manipulated what you said?

> If you THINK that's what you've been doing, well then, I guess we
> just found once more thing that you've been confused and deluded about,
> brain.

No delusion. No confusion. Your nose has your excrement on it.

> > > > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
> > > >
> > > > Steven J. Robesin
> > >
> > > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
> >
> > Is it?
>
> You tell me. The question was posed to you.

Is Brain the name I'm using now?

> > > We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
> > > REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
> > > MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
> >
> > I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement...
>
> Nor have I.

>From your quote of yourself, it sure looks like you've made such
claims.

> Would you be willing to provide the post wherein I allegedly said
> that others "eat my excrement"...?!?!

I have no need to do so. You made the original claim, then your
requoted yourself. Don't go blaming me for your screwups.

> > Robesin has!
>
> He has? Where?

In RRAP.

> > Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?
>
> If you were TRYING to quote me, Brain, you failed.

If I was "TRYING" to quote Robesin, then I would have failed. I need
not quote him because he quoted himself. Don't go blaming me for your
screwups.

> > > WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
> > > when YOU are the one with failure issues?
> >
> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
>
> Still out of context.

It is not what you meant?

> Still as lame as ever.

It wasn't my claim.

> As usual.

As usual.

> > > > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
> > > > >
> > > > > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
> > > >
> > > > Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
> > > >
> > > > Just a lame dodge.
> > >
> > Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.
>
> What lies?

The untrue ones. You've stacked them up like cordwood.

> > > > > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Brain probably is not
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Robesin probably is.

> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > Probably is.
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
> > every RRAP posting.
>
> Are you addressing me or this "Robesin" person, Brain?

Am I?

> Ask your doctor for a script of "Aricept". It might help with your
> confusion issues.

Is the erection supposed to last for more than 4 hours?

> > > > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> > > > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And a male nurse?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > >
> > > > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> > > > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
> > > >
> > > An "announcement"...?!?!
> > >
> > As in a public admission.
>
> I know what an "announcement" is, Brain.

Then why did you ask?

> You ahve yet to define what this alleged "announcement" is about.

That is for Robesin to decide.

> > > I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
> > > what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
> > >
> > Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...
>
> Yep. But they are gay. I'm not...Not even "pretend".

Robesin pretends not to be.

> > > > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> > > > > wife swapper, too.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
> > > > > just CAN'T imagine.......
> > > >
> > > > Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
> > > > mine.
> > >
> > > Oh?
> > >
> > > Where did you get THIS idea from, Brain?

Out of three billion women in the world, mine is the only one that you
wouldn't swap with. That leaves 2,999,999,999 that are in your zone.

> From the same mind that said "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> in emergency communications?"

They haven't?

> > So why is my wife a part of your discussion?
> >
> > It's not a discussion.

So why is my wife a part of your postings?

> I've asked you if you'd be as equally dishonest and deceitful if
> your spouse was party to your actions herein.

Why is my wife a part of your postings?

> > > > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> > > > > name to your posts, Brain.
> > > > >
> > > > > Coward?
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Should I be afraid of you?
> > > >
> > > > The question is WHY are you afraid...???
> > >
> > > No, that is not the question.
> >
> > Sure it is. I asked it.

Are you a coward?

> You don't seem to be able to make an adequate self-determination
> as to what you should be feeling.

I think you're a coward. Is that adequate enough?

> > I asked if I should be afraid of you.
>
> That's only a question that you can answer yourself. I can't
> answer it for you. I don't know (nor do I care) what scares you and
> what doesn't.

Should I be afraid of you?

> Personally, there's not a whole lot that scares me. Certainly
> nothing that you or your Feeble Five bretheren could muster up, even if
> you were all able to show up at my house simultaneously.

Is that an invitation for five RRAPpers to visit you?

> > Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
> > coward to do so?
>
> There's nothing cowardly about me not being able to answer a
> question that is not for ME to answer. It's for YOU to answer as to
> whether you should be afraid of ANYthing...

Should I be afraid of you?

> I pretty much figure you for a Don Knotts type. Backfiring dump
> trucks probably give yo cause to hit the deck.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Yo yourself sawed-off man.

2026. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 22:42:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160865772.611432.255930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168043

```
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 13 Oct 2006 00:08:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> >> > >
> >> > Nope.
> >>
> >> Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
> >
> > Nope. Just called em like I see them.'

> no you comited forgery

Yes, Robesin is a forger.

> >> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> >> >
> >> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> >> > from hurting your feelings.....
> >>
> >> No one here is worried about my "feelings."
> >
> > I did once upon a time.

> you have not truely cared about anybody feeling in the 8 years you
> have been posting

Another truth. Jeez! If I had know a truth fest was about to break
out I would have dressed for the occassion.

> > But you didn't care about mine.
```

Wha, wha, wha! It's all about Robesin's feelings.

> > Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
> >to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
> >treated...
>
> you seem to think that only you have any right to privacy steve

Don't talk about Amy. Don't talk about Taelor.

But Robesin can say anything he wants about other people's wives and kids.

> what right do you have to harrass any of us In private and expect us
> not to take it public you the same to me several time before I just
> dumped that last out on the net

Some CBer probably shut him down for "agitating" and he had to find another "creative" outlet...

> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
> publicaly harass them steve

What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will????? There must be something terribly wrong with you.

> flushing the rest before I bark on the keyboard

I haven't barked in a while, bit if there were ever the right moment for barking, this is it! Some growling, too.

2027. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:01:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> more.

What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???

Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.

> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> the legs of a three leg stool.

Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

2028. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:13:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160867590.822398.110250@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168045

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 13 Oct 2006 18:53:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> >It was probably too hard for him. He didn't want to put forth the
> >effort...
>
> indeed or was simply unable to

Ditto all the unworthy reasons for not learning The Code.

> >> OTOH in Michigan at least I (or anyone) coul;d complete a
> >> corespondence course that would one to test for the LPN license no
> >> hands on practical requirement for the license
> >
> >Lessee. Correspondence course could mean that someone else was doing
> >the material, and he was sending it in.
>
> indeed but their is test one would have to bluff ones way through but
> it is published (the pool) so the mightly moresmen could surly
> memorize it

A freebie exam? Robesin got his "licensure" from a Cracker Jacks box?

> >> >> just the genral quip that the
> >> >> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
> >> >> to that effect
> >> >
> >> >Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?
> >
> >> nah just on the gay guys that are hiding it
> >
> >There you have it! And the ones that protest too loudly.

> which are generaly the ones hiding it

That would be him.

2029. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:34:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1vs2j2tuh7r915inc69mjufv8sv183hb6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 168046

On 14 Oct 2006 15:03:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>> >
>> > >> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
>> > >> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after you
>> > >> >hit the news big time.
>> > >
>> > >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
>> > >
>> > >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>> >
>> > Ah so! :-)
>>
>> indeed he keeps challenging folks to meet him at Dayton
>
>Then he doesn't show. Some tuff guy this Robesin. Everyone else is a
>coward.
everyone but him is alir and a coward and he never lies never makes a
mistake and never ever does any thing wrong
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2030. Re: "No-Code Nurse"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:35:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <91t2j2ldmufg2irq096cl2ojorh0jda6lc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168047

On 14 Oct 2006 16:13:10 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 13 Oct 2006 18:53:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >It was probably too hard for him. He didn't want to put forth the
>> >effort...
>>
>> indeed or was simply unable to
>
>Ditto all the unworthy reasons for not learning The Code.
indeed
>
>> >> OTOH in Michigan at least I (or anyone) coul;d complete a
>> >> corespondence course that would one to test for the LPN license no
>> >> hands on practical requirement for the license
>> >
>> >Lessee. Correspondence course could mean that someone else was doing
>> >the material, and he was sending it in.
>>
>> indeed but their is test one would have to bluff ones way through but
>> it is published (the pool) so the mightly moresmen could surly
>> memorize it
>
>A freebie exam? Robesin got his "licensure" from a Cracker Jacks box?

that is myunderstand My mother loked with open comtempt at LPN she was
an RN
>
>> >> just the genral quip that the
>> >> Nursing schools pick on some of their students like himself (or words
>> >> to that effect
>> >>
>> >Ever notice that they always pick on the gay guys?
>> >
>> nah just on the gay guys that are hiding it
>> >
>> >There you have it! And the ones that protest too loudly.
>
>> which are generaly the ones hiding it
>
>That would be him.
yep
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2031. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) A Direct Question?...Who's "Robesin" and What's The Question?...Can Brain Not Answer For Himself How HE Should Feel? Does His Mommy Still Wipe His Butt And Change His Diapers For Him Too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:47:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l5t2j296ilk1h5t6b9dsgps96lv3u5cegn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168048

On 14 Oct 2006 15:30:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>> > > >
>> > > Nope.
>> >
>> > Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
>>
>> Nope. Just called em like I see them.
>
>Nope. You're just forging attributes and lying about it.
but of course it is Ok he is allowed by secert clause of the ITU traty
>
>> You're a little snot trying to scam his way through posts with
>> nothing but sleaze.
>>
>> See...?!?!
>>
>> It works.
>
>No, it doesn't work. You're a forger and a liar. See where forging
>and lying gets you?

indeed He used to be a dominatn and some what respected poster today
he is a beaten fugitive from RRAP beaten by a man he claims is
completely imcopenant
>

>> > > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>> > >
>> > > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> > > from hurting your feelings.....
>> >
>> > No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>>
>> I did once upon a time.
>
>Another lie.
>
>> But you didn't care about mine.
>
>Another lie. You talk about my children and my wife. I don't bring
>your children or your wife into the discussion.
>
>> Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
>> to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
>> treated...
>
>Kindly point to this post about your single hostile action.

he can't
>
>> > You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
>> > excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).
>>
>> No...You've not been able to rub my nose in anything.
>
>Denial gets you nowhere.

have to correct you it gets him somewhere ...in deeper
>
>> You TRY to make issues with out-of-context quotes and out-and-out
>> lies, but you've not "rubbed" anything of mine in anything, Brain.
>
>If you quote yourself, then how have I manipulated what you said?

indeed I quote what seems enough but granting him the larger quote he
still claims I ate his shit which has to be a lie since I have never
met him
>
>> If you THINK that's what you've been doing, well then, I guess we
>> just found once more thing that you've been confused and deluded about,
>> brain.
>
>No delusion. No confusion. Your nose has your excrement on it.

and we are not interested in learning exactly how it got there
>
>> > > > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?

>> > > >
>> > > > Steven J. Robesin
>> > >
>> > > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
>> >
>> > Is it?
>>
>> You tell me. The question was posed to you.
>
>Is Brain the name I'm using now?
>
>> > > We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
>> > > REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
>> > > MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
>> >
>> > I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement...
>>
>> Nor have I.
>
>>From your quote of yourself, it sure looks like you've made such
>claims.
>
>> Would you be willing to provide the post wherein I allegedly said
>> that others "eat my excrement"...?!?!
>
>I have no need to do so. You made the original claim, then your
>requoted yourself. Don't go blaming me for your screwups.

iindeed there are more 50 posts of Robeson own authorship where make
the claim that I personal have eaten his shit
>
>> > Robesin has!
>>
>> He has? Where?
>
>In RRAP.
>
>> > Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?
>>
>> If you were TRYING to quote me, Brain, you failed.
>
>If I was "TRYING" to quote Robesin, then I would have failed. I need
>not quote him because he quoted himself. Don't go blaming me for your
>screwups.

noe me Robeson
>
>> > > WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
>> > > when YOU are the one with failure issues?
>> >
>> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."

>>
>> Still out of context.
>
>It is not what you meant?

very likely but that is why they call em freadian slips
>
>> Still as lame as ever.
>
>It wasn't my claim.

or mine
>
>> As usual.
>
>As usual.
>
>> > > > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
>> > > >
>> > > > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
>> > >
>> > > Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
>> > >
>> > > Just a lame dodge.
>> >
>> > Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.
>>
>> What lies?
>
>The untrue ones. You've stacked them up like cordwood.

indeed you have stackem high to keep me warm for week were it real
cordwood
>
>> > > > > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Brain probably is not
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > Robesin probably is.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > Nope.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Probably is.
>> > > >
>> > > > Nope.
>> > >
>> > > Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
>> > > every RRAP posting.
>>

>> Are you addressing me or this "Robesin" person, Brain?
>
>Am I?
>
>> Ask your doctor for a script of "Aricept". It might help with your
>> confusion issues.
>
>Is the erection supposed to last for more than 4 hours?
>
>> > > > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
>> > > > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > And a male nurse?
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Nope.
>> > > > >
>> > > > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
>> > > > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
>> > >
>> > An "announcement"...?!?!
>> >
>> As in a public admission.
>>
>> I know what an "announcement" is, Brain.
>
>Then why did you ask?

becuase he igmainise it diverts atention from his acts
>
>> You ahve yet to define what this alleged "announcement" is about.
>
>That is for Robesin to decide.
it seems to be a confession of homosexaulity
>
>> > > I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
>> > > what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
>> >
>> > Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...
>>
>> Yep. But they are gay. I'm not...Not even "pretend".
>
>Robesin pretends not to be.

he certainly seems to be confessing to being gay
>
>> > > > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
>> > > >
>> > > > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
>> > > > wife swapper, too.

>> > >
>> > > I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
>> > > just CAN'T imagine.......
>> >
>> > Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
>> > mine.
>>
>> Oh?
>>
>> Where did you get THIS idea from, Brain?
>
>Out of three billion women in the world, mine is the only one that you
>wouldn't swap with. That leaves 2,999,999,999 that are in your zone.
>
>> From the same mind that said "Unlicensed devices play a major role
>> in emergency communications?"
>
>They haven't?
>
>> > So why is my wife a part of your discussion?
>>
>> It's not a discussion.
>
>So why is my wife a part of your postings?

and mine and my father todds perants and...
>
>> I've asked you if you'd be as equally dishonest and deceitful if
>> your spouse was party to your actions herein.
>
>Why is my wife a part of your postings?

because he lacks any real point to make
>
>> > > > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
>> > > > > name to your posts, Brain.
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Coward?
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > > >
>> > > > Should I be afraid of you?
>> > >
>> > > The question is WHY are you afraid...???
>>
>> > No, that is not the question.
>>
>> Sure it is. I asked it.
>
>Are you a coward?

seems to be
>
>> You don't seem to be able to make an adequate self-determination
>> as to what you should be feeling.
>
>I think you're a coward. Is that adequate enough?
>
>> > I asked if I should be afraid of you.
>>
>> That's only a question that you can answer yourself. I can't
>> answer it for you. I don't know (nor do I care) what scares you and
>> what doesn't.
>
>Should I be afraid of you?
>
>> Personally, there's not a whole lot that scares me. Certainly
>> nothing that you or your Feeble Five bretheren could muster up, even if
>> you were all able to show up at my house simultaneously.
>
>Is that an invitation for five RRAPpers to visit you?

personaly if it is. I respetfully decline
>
>> > Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
>> > coward to do so?
>>
>> There's nothing cowardly about me not being able to answer a
>> question that is not for ME to answer. It's for YOU to answer as to
>> whether you should be afraid of ANYthing...
>
>Should I be afraid of you?
>
>> I pretty much figure you for a Don Knotts type. Backfiring dump
>> trucks probably give yo cause to hit the deck.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Yo yourself sawed-off man.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2032. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-14 23:52:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uqt2j2l5k1dte9d7qhq69bd7aiu5m8jd30@4ax.com>
Source record: 168049

```
On 14 Oct 2006 15:42:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 13 Oct 2006 00:08:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Nope.
>> >> >
>> >> Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
>> >
>> > Nope. Just called em like I see them.'
>
>> no you comited forgery
>
>Yes, Robesin is a forger.
big time the only question is forgering himself
>
>> >> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>> >> >
>> >> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> >> > from hurting your feelings.....
>> >>
>> >> No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>> >
>> > I did once upon a time.
>
>> you have not truely cared about anybody feeling in the 8 years you
>> have been posting
```

>
>Another truth. Jeez! If I had know a truth fest was about to break
>out I would have dressed for the occassion.

I normaly tell the truth I merely am honest enough to give the
parameter where one should assume I may be lying
>
>> > But you didn't care about mine.
>
>Wha, wha, wha! It's all about Robesin's feelings.

indeed he is very concerned about his own feeling and one elses
>
>> > Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
>> >to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
>> >treated...
>>
>> you seem to think that only you have any right to privacy steve
>
>Don't talk about Amy. Don't talk about Taelor.
>
>But Robesin can say anything he wants about other people's wives and
>kids.

futher I said nothing about them that doesn't come straight from
Robeson own posting or webpages
>
>> what right do you have to harrass any of us In private and expect us
>> not to take it public you the same to me several time before I just
>> dumped that last out on the net
>
>Some CBer probably shut him down for "agitating" and he had to find
>another "creative" outlet...

yep or maybe a Tech worked a piece of dx he could not mange or his dog
peed on his amp
>
>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>> publicaly harass them steve
>
>What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will????? There must be
>something terribly wrong with you.

you are I submit to few people trying to force themselves on me
>
>> flushing the rest before I bark on the keyboard
>
>I haven't barked in a while, bit if there were ever the right moment
>for barking, this is it! Some growling, too.
ah well I meant to say barf but barking is good too
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2033. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 02:48:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pa83j2502hetum3j7vb4s6hqguqm7ofngm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168051

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 22:23:54 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:1vs2j2tuh7r915inc69mjufv8sv183hb6u@4ax.com...
>On 14 Oct 2006 15:03:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>

>>Then he doesn't show. Some tuff guy this Robesin. Everyone else is a
>>coward.
>everyone but him is alir and a coward and he never lies never makes a
>mistake and never ever does any thing wrong
>.
>
>Whereas, on the other hand, you are just a freak.
you are a coward you hide your name and identity to attack a amn you
don't know and for no particular reaosn that can be discerned
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2034. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 03:03:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g793j2dcch0du8lpfpqnemradsdab0mec4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 22:55:38 -0500, "Hylee Pistov" <*.*@anon> wrote:

>Now we know where Woger has been!
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2035. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 03:23:54 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <corif.q9k.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168050

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:1vs2j2tuh7r915inc69mjufv8sv183hb6u@4ax.com...
On 14 Oct 2006 15:03:29 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>> >
>> > >> >Nope. One day some university is going to get a couple hundred
>> > >> >thousand bucks to study your profile on RRAP. Probably just after
you
>> > >> >hit the news big time.
>> > >
>> > >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
>> > >
>> > >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>> >
>> > Ah so! :-)
>>
>> indeed he keeps challenging folks to meet him at Dayton
>
>Then he doesn't show. Some tuff guy this Robesin. Everyone else is a
>coward.
everyone but him is alir and a coward and he never lies never makes a
mistake and never ever does any thing wrong
.

Whereas, on the other hand, you are just a freak.
www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com

2036. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 03:38:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q7b3j29p4nueu202f8s1tg5o89ditr40si@4ax.com>
Source record: 168054
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 23:32:33 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
wrote:

>Woger Wabbit is angwy
why anger him further he is a pathetci worthless mass why poke him
further

oh the title just tosing it in the threash
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2037. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 03:40:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160883656.835934.215660@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> > know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> > message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> > more.
>
> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
any traffic to be passed by many OT station can only be passed by CW
since they rfuse to use that new fangled device the microphone even to
save lives
>
> Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
> > When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> > might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> > the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
him more person to berate

2038. Wiseman Video?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 03:55:38 UTC
From: Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon>
Message-ID: <cotdv.4v7.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now we know where Woger has been! He's been off making a music video!
You will like this one, Mark. It is right down your "alley".

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pvZVsWUprfk&NR

2039. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 04:08:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bviYg.7368$Lv3.3203@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168056

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>> publicaly harass them steve
>
> What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????

There isn't any "Robesin".

> There must be
> something terribly wrong with you.

Yes, there is.

Dave K8MN

2040. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 04:25:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rud3j29tokh7qarqdgvcv1pchujttrkolb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168057

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:08:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>>> publicaly harass them steve
>>
>> What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????
>
>There isn't any "Robesin".
what dave only the Procoders get to assign nick names dave

there most certainly is a robesin and his sins are hip deep

the bigest of which is his refusuale to adknowlegde them
>
>> There must be
>> something terribly wrong with you.
>
>Yes, there is.
and then you turn around and agree in context that Robeson is deeply
flawed
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2041. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 07:19:15 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
Message-ID: <cp9bp.jcc.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>> There must be
>> something terribly wrong with you.
>
>Yes, there is.
and then you turn around and agree in context that Robeson is deeply
flawed
>
>Dave K8MN

Mark, when it comes to flaws you have no reason to hold your head above the crowd. You have a psychotic, built-in need to respond to each and every post made here. No matter that some of the posts have nothing to do with you. You simply cannot resist, can you?

I pity you. While you are mildly entertaining, you have "issues", Mark. You and your nemesis, Roger Wiseman, are not actually all that different. You and Roger are more alike than you are separate. Heck, you two could be brothers. Or sisters. Or both.

I feel sorry for you, Mark.

2042. Mark's Blog has no visitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 07:55:11 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
Message-ID: <cpbf4.r73.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168059

I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
Your blog is kind of like when you were a kid being chosen for sandlot baseball and you were always the last one chosen. You were "there" but invisible.
Now I see why you tout your blog as part of your sig, but really Mark, you should cease doing so. How long will it take you to get the hint that nobody is interested? Huh? You and your blog are simply not interesting. Boredom comes to mind.

Perhaps if you weren't such a spammer/idiot, if you had something to say that was pertinent to the topics at hand you'd be taken more seriously. You don't. As a result, Mark, you've been relegated to the Kill Files of most of the Usenet visitors. I wonder why? Would 100 or more daily posts have anything to do with it? Would stalking Steve have any bearing on why you have been Killfiled?

Yet you persist day in and day out. Your excuse? You claim you are defending your "virtue". Pure poppycock, Mark. You have no virtue to defend. You have no "good name" to defend.
You are an idiot, Mark. Pure and simple.
The only reason that Len responds to you is that Len feels sorry for you. Len feels he is doing you a favor in his condescending way. Len responds to you, you answer. Len feels good because he is doing his part to lend credence to a known idiot and all the while Len views you as a mental inferior. You are his "pet puppy" for the time being. He pats you on the head and you happily wag your tail. Apparently Lennie is more than familiar with the Pavlovian response.

2043. Eh?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 09:00:28 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
Message-ID: <cpf9i.9ko.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168060

"but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
him more person to berate"

Care to try that in English, Mark?

2044. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 10:01:40 UTC
From: galaxyguy <richardthorne@hotmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1160906499.954756.275720@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

N9OGL wrote:
> OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:

Galaxy Guy:
Nigel, May I ask is there any connection between this Omega 1 and the radio stations which
have until quite recently been broadcasting across Europe on analogue transponders from one of the many Astra satellites? On analague sat. there was Omega 1-3 for basic analogue set top boxes but Omega 4 covered the High Telecom bands as was only receivable on a good mid-price Analogue box aimed at reaching the Telecom bands. All these Omega stations played music at quite low power (for satellite). I just wondered if there was any connection at all?

2045. Re: Who sent Roger the pizza??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 11:43:16 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <99017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168062
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Only one person has an "in" with the pizza places, and he's
District Sales Representative for Papa John's now.

In message <1152408561.426921.160450@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
Mark Morgan autolamed himself when he asked "why do you need yet
another sock puppet"

2046. Re: Wiseman Video?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 11:59:02 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <87017833.248810789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 22:55:38 -0500, Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon> wrote:
> Now we know where Woger has been! He's been off making a music video!
> You will like this one, Mark. It is right down your "alley".
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pvZVsWUprfk&NR

Mark and Todd need to collaborate on a video project like that and put it on youtube. Todd could do all of the video production and story boarding, and Mark could stand in front of the camera and do the Steve, CTITECH and FCC bashing. Think about how many people Todd's hate speech would reach then.

And there's also the lolicon cartoon art. Maybe they could make something that included animated material. The possibilities are endless.

"lean how to spell it write, moron" -- N9OGL in message
<1143982923.052054.100770@z34g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>

2047. Re: Mark's Blog has no visitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 13:07:52 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <egtbrk$92l$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 168064

NOVFP <Pizzas4Wog@anon> wrote in message news:cpbf4.r73.17.1@news.alt.net...
>I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> comments.
>
>
Poor lardass lloyd davies up all saturday night trolling usenet newsgroups.
What a no life loser.

http://i9.tinypic.com/2mnhkbk.jpg

2048. Re: Who sent Roger the pizza??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 13:15:12 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <egtc9c$a1p$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 168065
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:99017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> Only one person has an "in" with the pizza places, and > he's been fired
> from more than one delivery job.
>
He's a hog too.

http://i9.tinypic.com/2mnhkbk.jpg

2049. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 13:57:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160920659.502170.257960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168066

Iitoi wrote:
> "ARRL website" Wrote:
> >
> > "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
> > Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
>
> Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
> after observing the scene of a train wreck?

Yes.

> The Man in the Maze
> QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> --
> Iitoi

And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
the petitions.

Go figure.

2050. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 14:05:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160921155.085743.59320@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168067

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
> >> publicaly harass them steve
> >
> > What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????
>
> There isn't any "Robesin".

There isn't any Feeble Five
There isn't any Morkie.
There isn't any Brain.

Pointless Dave, did you have anything else to add?

2051. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 14:13:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160921633.644940.81910@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168068

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:08:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>
> >>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
> >>> publicaly harass them steve
> >>
> >> What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????
> >>
> >There isn't any "Robesin".

> what dave only the Procoders get to assign nick names dave

Dave participates in the name calling.

> there most certainly is a robesin and his sins are hip deep

Yes, he is a sinner of high order.

> the bigest of which is his refusuale to adknowlegde them

St Peter will point them out to him.

> >> There must be
> >> something terribly wrong with you.
> >>
> >Yes, there is.

> and then you turn around and agree in context that Robeson is deeply
> flawed

Dave should stick to working DX, even if its just out-of-band Frenchmen
on six meters.

2052. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 14:40:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160923246.147414.166500@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168069

Iitoi wrote:
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:1qSdnYc2VZXFmLLYnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> > I particularly like the Novice/Tech+ getting more CW bandwidth.
>
> On 80m they used to have 50kHz pretty much to themselves.

Yep, and that was just the problem. They were there pretty much by themselves. Oh, you heard the stories about the benevolent Extras cruising by and handing out that first QSO like Santa Claus in the Macy's Parade tossing candy to the kiddies, but those were just stories.

> (Of course you could
> have dropped a bucket of grenades on 3675-3725 and never touched a single Novice
> or Tech 99% of the time.)

Litpoi wants to kill off the Novices and Tech plusses with a bucket of grenades.

> Now they'll have 75kHz on 80m, (a whopping increase of 25kHz) and they'll have

That's a 50% increase. In any other context, N2EY would claim that 3% was ultra-significant. I guess different people have different ideas about what is significant.

> to share it with a host of pissed-off displaced traffic nets,

CW traffic nets? Don't kid yourself. They can look at it as a recruitment opportunity. Best thing that ever happened to them.

> RTTY/data
> operators, all the other Generals who were already there, probably some
> displaced Canadian phone nets,

Out of the FCC's jurisdiction.

> and the W1AW bulldozer.

Well within the FCC's jurisdiction. Or you could look at the bright side. When W1AW asks if the frequency is in use, the Novice could answer, "Yes, but we've never have mattered."

> What few who migrate
> down there (I deem it less than 2 dozen anyhow) may not share your "particular
> like" for the move.

Fair enough. The ARRL told the FCC to get off the pot and address the petitions. They did.

2053. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 14:46:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >You may
> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> >> > we have a problem. Right?
> >> notice you have gotten no reply
> >> >
> >
> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> >
> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..

> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever

Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
any valid reasoning.

2054. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 15:56:49 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns985D797CB4368elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 168071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>
> SC
>

Here's a reality check.

On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.

On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the change).

US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is doing.

At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag on a while longer. How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies that were off limits to the DX?

On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or 20).

73 de Alun, N3KIP

(20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

2055. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:40:57 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 168072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>
>
> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> the phone users.

Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
overcrowded (or have you been listening there).

73, Phil w7ox

2056. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:42:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <06p4j2tdoeufdhj09udf4cif13kv0jqboh@4ax.com>
Source record: 168073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 11:59:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
wrote:

>On Sat, 14 Oct 2006 22:55:38 -0500, Hylee Pistov <*.*@anon> wrote:
>> Now we know where Woger has been! He's been off making a music video!
>> You will like this one, Mark. It is right down your "alley".
>>
>> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pvZVsWUprfk&NR
>
>Mark and Todd need to collaborate on a video project like that and put
>it on youtube.
why todd and I hardly know each other
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2057. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:43:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c7p4j2d6vv96e3f5v3qtaptdp9vqhes249@4ax.com>
Source record: 168074
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 11:43:16 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
wrote:

>Only one person has an "in" with the pizza places, and he's
>District Sales Representative for Papa John's now.

right only one person manges all the piza

give it a rest wisemen seems quiet be thankfull and move one
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2058. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:44:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i9p4j2p5sk1iqfl7j4mp0q0bu0p672u9v8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:19:15 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
wrote:

>
>>> There must be
>>> something terribly wrong with you.
>>
>>Yes, there is.
>and then you turn around and agree in context that Robeson is deeply
>flawed
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>Mark, when it comes to flaws you have no reason to hold your head above the
>crowd. You have a psychotic, built-in need to respond to each and every post
>made here
this a NG one is suposed to respond to the posts that is the entire
point of the excerise
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2059. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:45:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9BtYg.9807$Y24.7086@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>

Alun,

With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US. On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's outrageous!

73, de Hans, K0HB

2060. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:46:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6bp4j2pm0t70u6iv6pur3g0m3eie6nogpv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168077

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
wrote:

>I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
>comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
are ones I allowed

many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing

that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
not mean it ha no vistors

you really could use to learn how to use english
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2061. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:47:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sep4j2t0h48r2ibridh0lrmvrsohtr0k7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168078

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:00:28 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
wrote:

>"but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
>him more person to berate"
>
>Care to try that in English, Mark?
it is in english pity you can't gfigure it out

indeeds as typos go it quite mild one letter missing
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2062. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:48:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rhp4j2dr3don550pu2irvsv5ev9ralgb9v@4ax.com>
Source record: 168079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 16:40:57 GMT, Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users.
>
>Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
>overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
nosense is the substance of most of Slowcodes posts
>
>73, Phil w7ox
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2063. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:49:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160930978.024081.269210@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168080

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > "ARRL website" Wrote:
> > >
> > > "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
> > > Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
> > >
> > Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
> > after observing the scene of a train wreck?
>
> Yes.
>
> > The Man in the Maze
> > QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> > --
> > Iitoi
>
> And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
> the petitions.
yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem relavant
>
> Go figure.

2064. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:51:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160931064.554467.210550@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> >You may
> > > >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> > > >> > we have a problem. Right?
> > > >> notice you have gotten no reply
> > > >> >
> > > >
> > > >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> > > >
> > > >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
> >
> > indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> > than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
> >
> Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> any valid reasoning.
not that isn't fair BB there is No valid reasoning for the Code test
any more

the cllosest is boils down to "Tradition" and is fine as far as it goes
but they all fall down toin todays world

2065. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 16:53:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hqp4j2tvqqf51rpv45kun2r4eh8sjr4b4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 168082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 16:45:57 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>>
>
>Alun,
>
>With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
>aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
>talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
>On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
>outrageous!

funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band

frankly I favoring ending the regulated subbadns alltogether
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2066. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:12:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5_tYg.9815$Y24.6820@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote

>
> funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>

That' may be true in theory, but not in practice. You can't use CW on frequencies which your license class prohibits. Generals just lost 150kHz of prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".

73, de Hans, K0HB

2067. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:16:35 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <T1uYg.50824$DU3.17155@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 168084

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:sep4j2t0h48r2ibridh0lrmvrsohtr0k7r@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:00:28 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> wrote:
>
>>"but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
>>him more person to berate"
>>
>>Care to try that in English, Mark?
> it is in english pity you can't gfigure it out
>
> indeeds as typos go it quite mild one letter missing
>>

Read it again, moron. One letter? Fucking tard!

2068. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:24:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tjr4j2985he203jckh0t1uvg8vud50h1e3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168085

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 17:16:35 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:sep4j2t0h48r2ibridh0lrmvrsohtr0k7r@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 04:00:28 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>"but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
>>>him more person to berate"
>>>
>>>Care to try that in English, Mark?
>> it is in english pity you can't gfigure it out
>>
>> indeeds as typos go it quite mild one letter missing
>>>
>
>Read it again, moron. One letter? Fucking tard!
yes tom exactly one letter missing

Sc refuses to speak to anybody by implaication and need to force more
hams to deal code in order to have mor eperson to berate
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2069. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:25:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hmr4j2duu4d26gvmde37hftq22tdlo74lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 17:12:33 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
>>
>> funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>>
>
>That' may be true in theory, but not in practice.
how so?
> You can't use CW on
>frequencies which your license class prohibits.
obviously
> Generals just lost 150kHz of
>prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band
>their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".

sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2070. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:31:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IfuYg.13117$GR.10791@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 168087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds.

Hans, has CW been barred from parts of 75m? I haven't
read the R&O.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

2071. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:32:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AguYg.14169$UG4.13735@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote

>
> sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
> looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
>

No, the rules concerning CW use have not changed. Those rules have never allowed a General to transmit CW (or anything else) in frequencies reserved for Extra and Advanced. In the case we're discussing the 150kHz from 3600 to 3750 have now been reserved for Extra and Advanced, and are now off limits to General who previously could transmit CW/rtty/data in that segment.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2072. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:32:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160933553.379988.312740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

KØHB wrote:
> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > 73 de Alun, N3KIP

> > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

> Alun,
>
> With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> outrageous!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Here, I'll have a go.

When the new changes go into effect:

Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
of gained/lost bandspace)
Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)

Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?

Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
1:1 ratio for everyone.

If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?

How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?

One more question:

Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,

particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?

It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact, with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2073. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:36:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rkuYg.14172$UG4.10890@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> Hans, has CW been barred from parts of 75m? I haven't
> read the R&O.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>

No, but Generals will be evicted from 3600-3750 if the R&O stands as written.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2074. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:36:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160933791.224029.100270@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

KØHB wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
> >
> > sorry they may well have lost spectrum wher they can data i have n't
> > looked at the details but the rules concerning CW use have not changed
> > >
>
> No, the rules concerning CW use have not changed. Those rules have never
> allowed a General to transmit CW (or anything else) in frequencies reserved for
> Extra and Advanced. In the case we're discussing the 150kHz from 3600 to 3750
> have now been reserved for Extra and Advanced, and are now off limits to General
> who previously could transmit CW/rtty/data in that segment.
hmm they lost acutals hz did they? is that what you are tlaking around
or did things just get shuffed around same number hz justchanging the
mode avalable

in the later I say where the beef if they lose acutaul hz for any use
(total number for all modes then I have to wonder
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2075. Re: Mark's Blog has no visitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:55:26 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <891097833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168092

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:46:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> stuttered:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> wrote:
>
> >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
> well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
> are ones I allowed
>
> many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
>
> that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
> not mean it ha no vistors

It's the same thing. No comments means no one will bother to comment further.
Furthermore, they don't come back to your "blog" because it's a waste of time.

> you really could use to learn how to use english

PKB.

> http:/<STOMP!>

http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com

>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Too cheap to pay for a real news server, aren't you?

2076. Re: Wiseman Video?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 17:58:44 UTC
From: Lloyd Morgan <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <99017833.245888189@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:42:04 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
[NOTHING]

http://marksspamblog.blogspot.com

2077. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 18:01:44 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cluYg.11004$TV3.3668@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 168094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote
>> Hans, has CW been barred from parts of 75m? I haven't
>> read the R&O.

> No, but Generals will be evicted from 3600-3750 if the R&O stands as written.

I thought there was an unwritten law that no new
rule would cause any loss of privileges. Are you
sure the FCC actually did that?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

2078. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 18:29:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <m6vYg.11642$o71.8305@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote

>
> I thought there was an unwritten law that no new
> rule would cause any loss of privileges. Are you
> sure the FCC actually did that?
>

If it wasn't the FCC it was someone who very much looks like them. Full R&O is at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc or you can get a short version at http://www.arrl.org

73, de Hans, K0HB

2079. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 18:40:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bsydndK3J747Ha_YnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns985D797CB4368elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20...
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
>> the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
>> point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
>> the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> Here's a reality check.
>
> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>
> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
> Region
> 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> change).
>
> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am
> on
> record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's
> hard
> to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> doing.
>
> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to

> 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will
> be
> late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag
> on a while longer. How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on
> frequencies that were off limits to the DX?
>
> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other
> countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15
> (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or
> 20).
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

Keep in mind that the US has more hams than the entire rest of the world combined if you don't include Japan in that count. So there is the potential for problems if our voice allocations go too low. Our regulations need to take that into account. As far as 40m goes, it will be a better solution if they can move the broadcasters out and make it a worldwide ham allocation up to 7.300. If the rest of the world could go to 7.3, that would open up a tremendous amount of space for other countries.

Dee, N8UZE

2080. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 19:09:54 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12j51s2d276t393@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 168098
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote
>
>
>>funny I thought they could still CW everywhere in band
>>
>
>
> That' may be true in theory, but not in practice. You can't use CW on
> frequencies which your license class prohibits. Generals just lost 150kHz of
> prime CW/rtty/data spectrum from 3.6 to 3.75MHz, the other parts of the band
> their license class doesn't allow. So much for "CW everywhere in band".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
The comment is that you can use CW anywhere within the allowable
frequencies for you class of license.

Dave WD9BDZ

2081. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 19:13:53 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RLvYg.7$T_1.6@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 168099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> Full R&O is
> at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc or you can
> get a short version at http://www.arrl.org

I personally would like to see all amateur frequencies
open to CW operation by any and all classes of licenses.
Want an incentive? There it is.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

2082. Frankly...we don't care!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 19:19:44 UTC
From: Wussy Woger <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <cqjik.j4e.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168095
Cross-posted to:
alt.morons,alt.pedophile.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

frankly I favoring ending the regulated subbadns alltogether

--
nor can he spell his insults correctly if he is going to attack my
spellinghis should be beyond repaorch
Mark Morgan playing Pot/Kettle

2083. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 19:34:53 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6735j2t7foc1grhqp8nuqek7pomhvt3722@4ax.com>
Source record: 168100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 18:01:44 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote
>>> Hans, has CW been barred from parts of 75m? I haven't
>>> read the R&O.
>
>> No, but Generals will be evicted from 3600-3750 if the R&O stands as written.
>
>I thought there was an unwritten law that no new
>rule would cause any loss of privileges. Are you
>sure the FCC actually did that?

Can you say, "Eleven meters" or "Incentive Licensing?"

2084. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:05:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160942713.957213.58860@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168101

Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:46:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> stuttered:
> > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> > >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
> > well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
> > are ones I allowed
> >
> > many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
> >
> > that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
> > not mean it ha no vistors
>
> It's the same thing.
no it is is not

if you ever want to discuss something you can start by being even
vaguely honest

2085. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:06:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160942768.329363.183610@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:42:04 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> [NOTHING]

fuuny you have said nothing
not I but then you are not honets and hide behind others

2086. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:07:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160942827.557110.251540@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Wussy Woger wrote:

id theft is a crime

2087. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:31:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160944279.420411.18720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm

>Slow Code wrote:
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>
>What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
>Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.

Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
Army then. :-)

>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> the legs of a three leg stool.
>
>Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
aviation communications on airways has been English
spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
well as controllers, even in and over their native
country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
native language but the civil airways can have many
nations' aircraft in it.

Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
century.

The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)

2088. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:35:51 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160944551.723892.245490@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168105

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 7:09pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
> >
>> >> >> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
>> >> >> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
>> > >
>> >> >> > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
>> > >
>> >> >> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
>> >> >> > history of it.
>> > >
>> >> >> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
>> > >
>> >> >You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
>> >> >you haven't is a lie.
>> >
>> >> True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
>> >> my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
>> >> about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
>> >> "I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.
>> >
>> >If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
>> >starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.
>
>> He doesn't know right from wrong.
>
>> If we say he rightly violates reality (he does), he turns right
>> around and says we do that. It is a non-argument. All it does
>> is delude him in his non-justification of his actions.
>
>Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
>says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
>out-assholing him.

It's a weird, delusional circular-logic thing of his.

He is too deluded about himself now to change, though.

>> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
>> into certifiable insanity.
>

>The rubber room is waiting.

Perhaps the stainless steel tables in the autopsy room would
be better?

>He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.

That's all in his delusional syndrome.

What he "sees" on his screens seems to be different than
what everyone else sees. It is all part of his delusion.

>> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> >
>> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>
>> Ah so! :-)
>
>He'll have to file a travel voucher requesting circuitious travel.

"Circuitous," as in traveling in circles. :-)

>> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
>> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?
> >
>> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
>
>> Imposter may have already started in TN?
>
>Did the Man-Nurse in NJ ever get convicted?

I think so. Leastways, he was arrested and had a hearing
with a psych exam ordered.

Elfren Saldivar, the "angel of death" at a Glendale, CA,
hospital, was tried and convicted (with reasons of
insanity) and is very locked up now.

>> I know, I know...but if I call him an asshole, I'm insulting
>> the real assholes!
>
>They will be highly offended.

I hearby apologize for any unintended future use of "asshole"
to all the OTHER real assholes in here. :-)

>> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
>> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
>> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
>> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
>> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
> >
>> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
>

>> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
>> before reading..." :-)
>
>Wonder if he ever used the Code Name "Mr. Phelps?"

Could be...except there is another "Jim" in here. :-)

In the Imposter's case he still screwed up...the tape
self-destructed BEFORE he heard it... :-)

>> >> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
> >
>> >> Probably he will.
> >
>> >The hell he will.
>
>> We will have to wait and see...
>
>I'll give him the benefit...

I give Paul NO "benefit." I've gone round-and-around with him
both in public and private. That gives me a good feeling of
how he will "moderate" this group.

>> At best that "recourse" would be NOT posting and NOT
>> accessing the newsgroup. There is NO sign that anyone
>> "in charge" today or the last few years has done
>> anything in regards to "offensive" postings. There is
>> a curious, prolonged NON-response in Google whenever
>> anyone clicks on their message option button.
>
>Long delayed echoes... (RADAR term involving range folding).

Parts of Google are doing "stealth" handling?

Ever since they bought out DejaNews they've fallen down
on handling newsgroups. Not just in RRAP but other
groups.

>> >Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
>> >resolved.
>
>> Might not even be done this year. The R&O on the
>> "omnibus" issue began in 2004 and didn't get settled
>> until 2006. The code test NPRM of last year (05-235)
>> might be handled in 2007.
>
>True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
>Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
>classify me as a newbie.

In the minds of some olde-tymers they think they invented
ham radio. <shrug>

On a trip a couple years ago, I met an "old-timer" ham
who was in his mid-fifties. He went on and on and on
about ham radio, straight from the Book of St. Hiram,
sounding off as if all around him were clueless
civilians. I didn't say too much at first, but began
with some of his claims...and demolished each one by
the referencible facts of the radio-electronics industry
and military electronics-radio. Finally he got down to
his "trump card" which was He was "federally authorized
to transmit by the government!" I happened to have my
old First 'Phone ID card in my wallet along with IEEE
membership card (current) and showed that, adding that
He was NOT authorized to transmit OUTSIDE of the ham
bands on "shortwave." [I couldn't believe he still used
that old term "shortwave" instead of HF]

Through all of that I was cool and collected and never
personally insulted him. His reaction was AS IF I did.
I had to defuse the non-discussion for the sake of the
host. Pity that for this guy was really looking for a
personal combat situation, but was a bit too sloshed to
pose any physical threat to me. Moral of this story is
that there are lots of those self-important hams who are
hams mostly for the rank-status-privilege of that "federal
authorization" and have lost any sense of cameraderie or
fun of just communications with other hobbyists.

The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.

>> >> A real head case he is...
> >
>> >He's nuts.
>
>> I agree but there are others in here who must have
>> ABSOLUTES to justify such opinions. If he's not "nuts" in
>> the absolute sense, he will be soon.
>
>He's nuts now.

OK. :-)

2089. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:36:13 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1ZwYg.51131$DU3.17946@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 168106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160942827.557110.251540@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Wussy Woger wrote:
>
> id theft is a crime
>

No one stole any ID. Being an idiot *SHOULD* be a crime. In that case, you'd
be serving a life sentence.

2090. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:40:04 UTC
From: art <aunwin@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <1160944804.591356.27710@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

It is very usefull to all the good hams in prison when they are only
allowed a tin cup to rattle
in leau of a good conversation. They usually discard the tin cup when
released into the real world, except when begging!
Art

Slow Code wrote:
> "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
> news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
> > http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> >
> > The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
> > notes on things.
> >
> >
> > Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
> > 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
> >
> > Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
> >
> > Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
> > class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
> >
> > This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
> >
> > Ace - WH2T
>
>
> It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the
> service. Little by little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the
> bands and hand it over to the appliance operators. Like a frog in a pot of
> water that is raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we
> have no place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound like CB.
> Apparently, I'm the only one seeing it coming. A ham who will stand for
> nothing will sit for anything.
>
> SC

2091. Re: Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:44:52 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160945092.097534.291170@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168108

From: "KØHB" on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:15am

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> Lutherans don't go to parochial schools, Jimmie. :-)
>
>Gee, I wonder who goes to these schools..... Pisscopalians?
>
>http://www.faithlutheran.net/phpw/phpw/index.php?>module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_page&PAGE_id=14
>http://www.stpetermodesto.org/mainschool.htm
>http://stmarkslutheran.com/School/index.htm
>http://www.stpaulsfirst.org/school_index.cfm
>
>(Just a few of thousands you could Google up.)
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

Tsk, I was thinking of the "parochial school" run by
the Church of St. Hiram. You can Google it at:

http://www.arrl.org

Beepity beep

2092. Re: Some Computer History - Military & Otherwise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:48:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160945323.868702.283950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168109

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Oct 13 2006 3:42pm

[...who, in a desperate effort to prove he is "right" - always -
mumbles on...]

>> >"I can't find ANY relatively modern
>> >computer that needs 6SN7s (a dual triode, octal base),
>> >not even 12AU7s."
>> >
>> >2002 is certainly "relatively modern" compared to 1946.
>> >
>> >You made a mistake, Len.
>
>> Only under
>> whiny little REdefinition of the
>> word "mistake." :-)
>
>Nope. You made a mistake, pure and simple. That is, unless you
>deliberately wrote an untruth with the intent to deceive, in which case
>it was a lie.

What happened, Jimmie, you see an old TV movie about
the glorious USMC heroes and are attempting to out-do
Robeson in the "YOU LIE, YOU LIE!" department? :-)

Are you trying to pull out a SINGLE exception (from
millions of PCs in use daily) which went DEFUNCT less
than two years from its announcement? Of course you
are! You HAVE to. Your ego depends on it.

>> The original IBM PC that debuted in 1980 (26 years ago)
>> did NOT have any vacuum tubes in it.
>
>The display that came with it had a CRT.

It was NOT integral with the main unit. In 1980 one could
purchase the main box without the CRT display.

>The portable IBM PC, with built-in display, had a CRT as well.

That "portable" didn't last long on the market, did it?

Can you name the CP/M-based PCs that preceded the
original IBM PC? No? Why not? Tens of thousands
were in use, including in businesses.

>You wrote that you "can't find ANY relatively modern computer" with
>vacuum tubes.

That's true, Jimmie. In row upon row of many makes and
models of personal computers at Frys in Burbank, CA,
(intersection of Hollywood Way and Van Owen street)
there isn't a single one with a vacuum tube in it.
The same thing happens at Best Buy at the Empire Center
(where the main Lockheed factory had been) in Burbank.
I can go to Office Depot or Office Max and see the
same...or Circuit City or Comp USA or PC Club (the one
on Victory Blvd in Burbank at one corner of the OSH
center)...or dozens of smaller shops handling computers.

>> Did IBM ever produce any AMATEUR RADIO products?
>
>> No? Then why do you go on and on and on and on
>> about this niche subject and the "glory" that was
>> ENIAC?
>
>To prove a point, Len: That a thing can be practical in its time even
>if it is considered impractical in other times, and even if it is never
>repeated.

Tsk, tsk, more REdefinition of the word "practical." :-)

>That's true whether the device is ENIAC, Fessenden's early AM voice
>work with modulated alternators, or something completely different.

Only in Jimmieworld. :-)

Now you just hop on over to your nearest AM BC station
and convince the station manager and chief engineer that
sticking a high-power, special carbon microphone in
series with the antenna feedline is "PRACTICAL."

Then you run over to the nearest ACM group and tell them
that 10 KHz clock rates on computers is "better" and "more
PRACTICAL" than 1 GHz clock rates. Good luck on that.

>> Did ENIAC ever serve AMATEUR RADIO in any
>> way?
>
>Yes.

HOW? Name the applications of ENIAC that served ham radio.

>You wrote that you "can't find ANY relatively modern computer" with
>vacuum tubes.

Absolutely right.

I've had a keen interest in personal computing (as well
as numerical calculation) for over a quarter century.
The ONLY exceptions to personal computers having vacuum

tubes involve the DISPLAY, NOT the "sound output."

Vacuum tubes are just TOO SLOW to be of value in either
computation or the memory sections of computers. The
Information Technology folks found that out a half
century ago and never looked on vacuum tubes as worthy
of computation technology.

>Doesn't matter, Len. You could have found the link I provided with just
>a few keystrokes.

Oh, my, ruler-spank from Mother Superior again! :-)

Yes, "a few keystrokes" could have brought up "new age-ism"
of "pyramid power" or "ancient astronauts" tales from
author Erich von Daniken, not to mention lots and lots of
PR dreck from all sorts of hustlers.

>Are you a musician, Len?

Yes.

>> That "tube
>> sound" MYTH has been 'over-driven' to the point of
>> nausea, about like the "gold-coated speaker cable"
>> myth that is claimed to produce "golden sound" from
>> music amplifiers. :-)
>
>Tell it to those who actually play the things.

I have. I get the same response as from morsemen who
believe in the myth that OOK CW telegraphy is the
"best" way to communicate.

It is impossible to communicate with the stone walls of
morsemen Believers.

>Gee, Len, you're the one carrying on like an overtired two-year-old.
>I'm calm, cool and collected. Not whining, foot stamping, or crying out
>anything. I'm just correcting your mistakes with facts.

Tsk, tsk, the Robeson syndrome again. You are "always right"
and your challengers are "always wrong." :-)

>You keep making mistakes and I keep correcting some of them.

Tsk, tsk, for years I've shown you YOUR mistakes and
you've never acknowledged them. Hypocrite.

>> ENIAC did something for RADIO? [I don't think so...]
>
>Actually, it did.

NAME IT. This is the second time you've claimed something
and NOT followed through with specifics.

>> YOU never worked on ENIAC. You've never claimed to have
>> worked on ANY computer, main-frame, minicomputer, nor
>> personal computer.
>
>You are mistaken.

"Mistaken" in WHAT?

You CANNOT have worked on ENIAC prior to 1955.

What mainframe computer did you work on or with?

What minicomputer did you work on or with?

That you USE a personal computer for message communication
isn't valid for "working on" a personal computer.

>> Are you a member of the ACM? [Association for Computing
>> Machinery, the first and still-existing professional
>> association for computing and information technology] I was
>> a voting member of the ACM for a few years.
>
>And now you're not?

Yes.

Are YOU now, or have you ever been a member of the ACM?

Answer the question I posed. Directly. No misdirection.

>Do you believe in democracy, Len?

So much so that I volunteered to serve in the military of
the United States to DEFEND that right guaranteed by the
Constitution of the United States.

YOU never volunteered to serve in the military. YOU haven't
even volunteered to join a civilian government agency to do
support of democracy.

> The majority of those who expressed
>an opinion on the Morse Code test to FCC want at least some Morse Code
>testing to remain.

A very slim margin of "majority," Jimmie. *I* am the one
who bothered to read EVERY comment on FCC 05-235 *and* to
post the updated results in here. Remember? You should,
you were in there heckling me at every turn...:-)

In the first months of Comment on FCC 05-235 the MAJORITY
of respondents were AGAINST the morse code test. You
don't want to remember that, do you? Of course not, it
goes against your morseodist Beliefs.

You MUST have that code test in federal law. You MUST,
you MUST (whiny foot-stamping, arm-waving, and crying

by you against the 'evil' eliminators).

Why do you persist with your must-have-code-test
obsession? There's NOTHING stopping anyone from USING
OOK CW telegraphy, so why is the code test a requirement
for amateur privileges below 30 MHz? You can't give any
rational answer to that, only the EMOTIONAL one, the
personal one where YOU think all must take that test.

>> As of 2004 the US Census Bureau stated that 1 out of 5
>> Americans had SOME access to the Internet. That involves
>> access via a personal computer (or its cousin, the "work-
>> station"). That is roughly 50 to 60 MILLION Americans.
>
>Old news.

The year 2004 is "relatively modern," isn't it? :-)

The Internet went public in 1991. Is 1991 "relatively
modern?"

The US government, all agencies and officers were on
the Internet since the mid-1990s. Even the US military
(that you never served in) was on the Internet.

>Are you still tied to dialup?

No and yes.

>> The original (and only) ENIAC used an architecture that
>> is NOT common to present-day personal computers. About
>> the only term that IS common is that ENIAC used "digital
>> circuits." That's about the end of it for commonality
>> with MILLIONS and MILLIONS of personal computers in the
>> daily use worldwide.
>
>Nope. Wrong.

Sorry, Jimmie, you made one HORRENDOUS technological ERROR!

Do your homework on IT technology, say from 1955 onwards.
Try some basic subjects like "Harvard Architecture" and
all-binary registers, fetch-and-carry, addressing and data.
Forget the old IBM plugboards and patch cords of pre-WW2
technology (what you think as "ROM"?) and all-machine-
language programming transition to "high-level" languages.
That's several HUNDRED specific technological areas that
lie between 1946 through 1980 and on to 2006. Big, big BIG
changes in ALL of them.

I don't claim any "expertise" on computers...but what I DO
know is way, Way, WAY ahead of your misconception of
"practical" computing technology being that of ENIAC.

Your whole tirade in here is tied to YOUR emotional state
about ONE of the first WORKING computers at the Moore
School of Engineering. Emotional infatuation that is
bordering on the religious belief akin to sects.

Sex is still better than sects.

>> >btw, the "Ordnance Corps" are the nice folks who take care of things
>> >like how to do artillery barrages....
>
>> No, the "ordnance" folks maintain the ammunition and weaponry.
>
>Then who makes up the firing tables?

Little computers on or with the field pieces and anti-
aircraft weaponry.

The only exception is the field mortars which have been
using the same elementary "firing tables" in use prior to
any ENIAC...i.e., since before WW2.

You can begin by studying "TACFIRE" and its evolution but
RELATIVELY MODERN (since 1980) artillery aiming and firing
has been systemized with field computers doing the "on-line"
tasks of aiming, especially with moving targets. The Abrams
tank turret gun is computer-controlled as one example, that
one may be responsible for its very high "kill" ratio that
ranks among the best of the world's military. Try the AEGIS
missle cruiser fire control system, able to track several
targets simultaneously and direct gun fire as well as missle
firing. Try the modern aircraft fire control systems, in
everything from the old F-102 on up to the F-18 and F-22,
systems which are an integral part of the whole airframe's
control. Cruise missles (around since the 1970s) don't use
"firing tables" or even "sectional charts" to fly to a pre-
set target all by themselves. ALL of those are about as
"related" to ENIAC as the modern word processor-computer-
printer is to clay tablets and cuneiform writing, perhaps
what you would call "practical" writing-recording of data.

Jimmie, you REALLY need to get your head out of your ass
and into the modern world.

>> As ever to you, the ByteBrothers famous phrase is invoked.
>
>What phrase is that, Len?

"Just a few keystrokes" will get you that phrase, Jimmie.

The late Jimmy Pearson coined it. Lots of us old
ByteBrothers remember it.

2093. Re: trolling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:51:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160945502.785625.81300@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168110

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Sat, Oct 14 2006 5:34pm

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in

>I haven't posted here for a while. Each time I visit I killfile loads of
>sock puppets, and next time they reappear as someone else. I hate all
>trolls.

Trolls are supposed to live under bridges.

This newsgroup has become "A Bridge Too Far." :-)

[old WW2 movie book and movie title]

Thank you for considering me as "real people." Yes, I am.
The IRS thinks so, as does the Franchise Tax Board of
California, the DMV, the FBI, the CIA, and other good
alphabet-soup agencies. I just wish the telemarketers
wouldn't think I was real. :-)

Best regards to you Alun.

2094. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 20:54:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160945686.650837.304840@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1160942827.557110.251540@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Wussy Woger wrote:
> >
> > id theft is a crime
> >
>
> No one stole any ID.
yes Wogers id was being stolen you lying sack of shit

2095. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 21:17:55 UTC
From: Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4532A74F.10805@yahoo.com>
Source record: 168112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.

Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them selves without senting it to a repair place ?

2096. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 21:46:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w_xYg.11712$o71.1195@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168113

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>>>> publicaly harass them steve
>>> What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????
>> There isn't any "Robesin".
>
> There isn't any Feeble Five

I haven't referred to any feeble five.

> There isn't any Morkie.

I haven't referred to any Morkie.

> There isn't any Brain.

My condolences.

Dave K8MN

2097. Re: Morsemanship and other things

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 21:47:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160948839.640958.170460@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168114

From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Oct 13 2006 6:27 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 10 2006 3:40 am
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: Dave Heil on Sun, Oct 8 2006 3:22 am
>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >Len never attended a Roman Catholic parochial school. Was never taught
>> >by nuns or smacked around by them.
>
>> Was the parochial school *required*...
>
>How many kids get to choose what school they attend?

Some 14-year-olds chose the "parochial school" run by
the Church of St. Hiram. Google it at www.arrl.org :-)

>Then you cannot know what Roman Catholic parochial school was like,
>Len.

Is it a deep, dark SECRET, Jimmie? :-)

Something like the Masons?

Is it something like a NON-serving civilian telling
a real veteran "all about" the military?

>That's right. You're just not funny, Len.

Awwww...you got hit by a ripe tomato of sarcasm? Tsk, tsk.

>No, it's just pathetic.

Nein, alte doppleganger...it was RIGHT ON THE MONEY. :-)

>> can come out west and tell Mitzi Shore how to run
>> her Comedy Store Club. :-)
>
>She will tell you that the First Rule applies. If the audience doesn't
>laugh, the act isn't funny.

Tsk, tsk, Mitzi let her son Pauly do stand-up there
and he BOMBED. :-) Not once but several times.

His "films" were kept alive only by PR.

Have you EVER been to a comedy club, Jimmie? An
Improv group? Ever DO stand-up comedy? [obviously
not...:-)]

>A couple of Morse-code-skilled radio amateurs were on Leno a while
>back. They made mincemeat of the *world champion* text messager.

"World champion?!?"

BWAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

No "mincemeat" occurred, Jimmie. Really. If it did
then NBC and KNBC-TV (where the show is taped) would
have made headlines on page 1 with civil suits against
them.

>Then why do you use the first person plural pronoun to refer to
>yourself?

Is Mother Superior warming up her knuckle-spanking
ruler again? :-)

Yes, she IS!

Bad habit, Jimmie.

>Recently you said you wanted rrap to be shut down for an indefinite
>length of time. Is that the action of someone who really believes that
>all should be heard?

Considering the ANARCHY that has happened in this newsgroup
it sure as hell is NOT "democracy." :-)

>Every freedom carries with it a related responsibility.

No problem with me. I volunteered for US Army military
service. You have NEVER volunteered for any military
service. You have NEVER volunteered for any government
service as a civilian.

Do you see enjoying your radio hobby as a "service to
the nation?" Does your license say you are "defending
the Constitution of the United States?

>Freedom of Speech carries with it the Responsibility of Truth.

Who said so (besides yourself)?

Have you knuckle-spanked any Political Party member
lately? :-)

You really should do that, Jimmie. Their "responsibility
of truth" is stretched way beyond even your strict
REdefinitions of "truth!" :-)

>I *have* several radios....

Ech. MATERIAL things, Jimmie. Can you communicate on
them simultaneously by yourself?

>"Who is John Galt?"

I don't know (Ayn Rand did, but she is dead). What was his
(or her) call?

>> I coined the word.
>
>But you didn't define it, even after several requests.

It should be SELF-DEFINING to anyone with more than four
working neurons.

>Language is constantly evolving, Len. You got left behind.

Morse code is a STATIC representation of the English
language, numbers, and common punctuation. It hasn't
"evolved." :-)

>I think more people agree with my definition than with yours.

Tsk, your EGO is bigger than your bread box... :-)

>Well, that leaves me out. Morse Code is just one small part of my life.

Tsk, tsk. You are OBSESSED with keeping that morse code
test for a US amateur radio license having below-30-MHz
privileges.

That and attempting to defame any no-code-test advocate in
here seem to be the highlight of your free time. :-)

>Not me. I'm right here in 2006.

Really? I thought you were still back in 1946 worshipping
ENIAC...

<chant> "Hail ENIAC, full of grace, wonder of the world..."

>> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>
>What phrase is that, Len?

"If you don't know that information, all of your latest
diatribe is rather pointless."

Just do a few keystrokes, Jimmie, you will eventually turn
it up. You DO know how to use a search engine, don't you?
Of course you do...you are an "engineer." :-)

Here's a hint: You might - if searching properly - turn
up the common asterisk-spaced acronym of it. Since you
were never a ByteBrother you will have to ask one. :-)

Ptui.

2098. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 21:50:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168115
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> > >
> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >>
> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> > >
> > >
> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> > ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> > they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> > the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> > point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> > the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
> > >
> > SC
> > >
>
> Here's a reality check.
>
> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>
> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region
> 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> change).
>
> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on
> record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard
> to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> doing.
>
> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to
> 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be
> late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag

> on a while longer.

Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
again but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.

Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.

> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on
> frequencies that were off limits to the DX?

The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.

> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all other
> countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone subbands on 15
> (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't move on 15 (or 20).
>
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

There's your real problem.

w3rv

2099. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 21:55:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <phb5j2p3gkv9je2dj6btrb2pign3pnh57g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168116

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 21:46:04 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>>>>> publicaly harass them steve
>>>> What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will?????
>>> There isn't any "Robesin".
>>
>> There isn't any Feeble Five
>
>I haven't referred to any feeble five.
but you endorse Robeson use of the term
>
>> There isn't any Morkie.
>
>I haven't referred to any Morkie.
but endorse Robesons use of the term
>
>> There isn't any Brain.
>
>My condolences.
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2100. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 22:37:10 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160951830.554377.322910@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

galaxyguy wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:
>
> Galaxy Guy:
> Nigel, May I ask is there any connection between this Omega 1 and the
> radio stations which
> have until quite recently been broadcasting across Europe on analogue
> transponders from one of the many Astra satellites? On analague sat.
> there was Omega 1-3 for basic analogue set top boxes but Omega 4
> covered the High Telecom bands as was only receivable on a good
> mid-price Analogue box aimed at reaching the Telecom bands. All these
> Omega stations played music at quite low power (for satellite). I just
> wondered if there was any connection at all?

none...this is a low power / Pirate radio station on the shortwave
bands....we've been off the air for a while because our transmitter
went out and were replacing it.

Todd N9OGL

2101. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 22:50:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1160952613.666241.120950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168118

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> wrote:
>
> >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
> well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
> are ones I allowed
>
> many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
>
> that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
> not mean it ha no vistors
>
> you really could use to learn how to use english
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I know what you mean...I'm looking to shut down my comment
section...beacuse people posting the same thing over and over and
simply harassing me...so i'm going to shut it down (the comment section
not the blog) if they continue.

Todd N9OGL

2102. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:01:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160953263.079775.55350@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168119

N9OGL wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> > >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
> > well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
> > are ones I allowed
> >
> > many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
> >
> > that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
> > not mean it ha no vistors
> >
> > you really could use to learn how to use english
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> I know what you mean...I'm looking to shut down my comment
> section...beacuse people posting the same thing over and over and
> simply harassing me...so i'm going to shut it down (the comment section
> not the blog) if they continue.
I use the moderate coment setting ymself I see em before any body else
and most are simple deleted these day

"not loyd is just pissed that I don't allow my blog as a platfrom for
harrasment as well as RRap
>
> Todd N9OGL

2103. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:13:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160954022.111371.111510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> > @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >
> > > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> > > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> > >
> > >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> > >>
> > >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
> > > ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
> > > they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over to
> > > the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water that's raised to boiling
> > > point, one day us real hams will find we have to place to communicate on
> > > the ham bands that doesn't sound like Citizens Band.
> > >
> > > SC
> > >
> >
> > Here's a reality check.
> >
> > On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down to
> > 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them. The VE
> > phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
> >
> > On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in Region
> > 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you can't use
> > phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125 after the
> > change).
> >
> > US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I am on
> > record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland US. It's hard
> > to see why not when you actually look at what the rest of the world is
> > doing.
> >
> > At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access to
> > 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but for
> > those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still doesn't
> > even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of them will be

> > late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split working will drag
> > on a while longer.
>
> Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
>
the FCC does not care about protecting DX forgien or domestic the ARRL
may well have when they sugested this sort of arngementmany years (part
of incentive licening I supose)

2104. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:17:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160954227.062064.193590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168121

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 7:09pm
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
> >> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
> > >
> >> >> > > > Nothing's changed with you. You still always go after the women folk
> >> >> > > > who have nothing to do with your inability to get along with others.
> >> > >
> >> >> > > > I'm not "going after" anyone, Brain.
> >> > >
> >> >> > Sure you are. You go after other people's wives and kids. You have a
> >> >> > history of it.
> >> > >
> >> >> Nope. No one's been "gone after".
> >> > >
> >> >> >You've gone after my wife. You've gone after my kids before. To say
> >> >> >you haven't is a lie.
> >> >
> >> >> True. The USMC Imposter has gone after my wife, insulted
> >> >> my parents, insulted my wife's universities, insulted just
> >> >> about anything I've mentioned in here. Imposter then says
> >> >> "I lie" since he doesn't know right from wrong.
> >> >
> >> >If Robesin adjudicates that someone or thing is wrong, the persecution
> >> >starts, and there are no legal bounds to his methods.
> >
> >> He doesn't know right from wrong.
> >
> >> If we say he rightly violates reality (he does), he turns right
> >> around and says we do that. It is a non-argument. All it does
> >> is delude him in his non-justification of his actions.
> >
> >Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
> >says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
> >out-assholing him.
>
> It's a weird, delusional circular-logic thing of his.

Yeh, its hard to explain.

> He is too deluded about himself now to change, though.

His postings have the full support of his wife, he says.

> >> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
> >> into certifiable insanity.
> >
> >The rubber room is waiting.
>
> Perhaps the stainless steel tables in the autopsy room would
> be better?

At least it can be sanitized.

> >He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.
>
> That's all in his delusional syndrome.

There is no logic in the stuff he says.

> What he "sees" on his screens seems to be different than
> what everyone else sees. It is all part of his delusion.

If he would quit forging the attributes maybe he could keep it all
straight.

> >> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> > >
> >> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
> >
> >> Ah so! :-)
> >
> >He'll have to file a travel voucher requesting circuitious travel.
>
> "Circuitous," as in traveling in circles. :-)

The shoelace on his jump boots is caught on something.

> >> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
> >> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?
> > >
> >> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
> >
> >> Imposter may have already started in TN?
> >
> >Did the Man-Nurse in NJ ever get convicted?
>
> I think so. Leastways, he was arrested and had a hearing
> with a psych exam ordered.
>
> Elfren Saldivar, the "angel of death" at a Glendale, CA,
> hospital, was tried and convicted (with reasons of
> insanity) and is very locked up now.

Good. We need to keep these crazies off the streets and out of our
health care systems.

> >> I know, I know...but if I call him an asshole, I'm insulting
> >> the real assholes!
> >
> >They will be highly offended.
>
> I hearby apologize for any unintended future use of "asshole"
> to all the OTHER real assholes in here. :-)

That should cover just about everyone.

> >> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
> >> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
> >> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
> >> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
> >> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
> > >
> >> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
> >
> >> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
> >> before reading..." :-)
> >
> >Wonder if he ever used the Code Name "Mr. Phelps?"
>
> Could be...except there is another "Jim" in here. :-)
>
> In the Imposter's case he still screwed up...the tape
> self-destructed BEFORE he heard it... :-)

Singed his mustache?

> >> >> >Is Paul going to let you play "Excrement Commando" in RRAP?
> > >
> >> >> Probably he will.
> > >
> >> >The hell he will.
> >
> >> We will have to wait and see...
> >
> >I'll give him the benefit...
>
> I give Paul NO "benefit." I've gone round-and-around with him
> both in public and private. That gives me a good feeling of
> how he will "moderate" this group.

It will just end up with the Coders patting each other on the back for
their heroics.

> >> At best that "recourse" would be NOT posting and NOT
> >> accessing the newsgroup. There is NO sign that anyone
> >> "in charge" today or the last few years has done
> >> anything in regards to "offensive" postings. There is
> >> a curious, prolonged NON-response in Google whenever
> >> anyone clicks on their message option button.

> >
> >Long delayed echoes... (RADAR term involving range folding).
>
> Parts of Google are doing "stealth" handling?
>
> Ever since they bought out DejaNews they've fallen down
> on handling newsgroups. Not just in RRAP but other
> groups.

I never was able to find Heil's comments about Veteran's always having
their hands out.

> >> >Besides, the Code Test issue is moments away from being
> >> >resolved.
> >
> >> Might not even be done this year. The R&O on the
> >> "omnibus" issue began in 2004 and didn't get settled
> >> until 2006. The code test NPRM of last year (05-235)
> >> might be handled in 2007.
> >
> >True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
> >Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
> >classify me as a newbie.
>
> In the minds of some olde-tymers they think they invented
> ham radio. <shrug>

Even Hiram didn't invent ham radio.

> On a trip a couple years ago, I met an "old-timer" ham
> who was in his mid-fifties. He went on and on and on
> about ham radio, straight from the Book of St. Hiram,
> sounding off as if all around him were clueless
> civilians. I didn't say too much at first, but began
> with some of his claims...and demolished each one by
> the referencible facts of the radio-electronics industry
> and military electronics-radio. Finally he got down to
> his "trump card" which was He was "federally authorized
> to transmit by the government!" I happened to have my
> old First 'Phone ID card in my wallet along with IEEE
> membership card (current) and showed that, adding that
> He was NOT authorized to transmit OUTSIDE of the ham
> bands on "shortwave." [I couldn't believe he still used
> that old term "shortwave" instead of HF]

Well, hell, Len, they >>>ARE<<< shorter than our ONE (1) MW band!

> Through all of that I was cool and collected and never
> personally insulted him. His reaction was AS IF I did.
> I had to defuse the non-discussion for the sake of the
> host. Pity that for this guy was really looking for a
> personal combat situation,

By chance was he wearing a flight suit?

> but was a bit too sloshed to
> pose any physical threat to me. Moral of this story is
> that there are lots of those self-important hams who are
> hams mostly for the rank-status-privilege of that "federal
> authorization" and have lost any sense of cameraderie or
> fun of just communications with other hobbyists.
>
> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.

Mitty sure gets around.

> >> >> A real head case he is...
> > >
> >> >He's nuts.
> >
> >> I agree but there are others in here who must have
> >> ABSOLUTES to justify such opinions. If he's not "nuts" in
> >> the absolute sense, he will be soon.
> >
> >He's nuts now.
>
> OK. :-)

2105. Re: cock theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:19:31 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1160954371.344232.215940@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1160942827.557110.251540@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Wussy Woger wrote:
> > >
> > > id theft is a crime
> > >
> >
> > No one stole any ID.
> yes Wogers id was being stolen you lying sack of shit

Marqueer! Get your lazy ass over here and lick my balls! Titty fuck me,
too, you cocksucker!
```

2106. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:19:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160954387.029486.216820@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> > > know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> > > message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> > > more.
> >
> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> any traffic to be passed by many OT station can only be passed by CW
> since they rfuse to use that new fangled device the microphone even to
> save lives
> >
> > Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> > bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
> >
> > > When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> > > might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> > > the legs of a three leg stool.
> >
> > Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> > communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
> but SC can't speak to anybody on air and he neds code testing to allow
> him more person to berate

He's just another grumpy old ham.

2107. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:24:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160954675.072329.231890@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >> more.
> >>
> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >>
> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
> Army then. :-)

It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.

> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >>
> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
> aviation communications on airways has been English
> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
> well as controllers, even in and over their native
> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
> native language but the civil airways can have many
> nations' aircraft in it.
>
> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> century.

With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
that.

> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)

Slow is, well, slow.

2108. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:26:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160954789.055614.183110@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
id theft is a crime

2109. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:29:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <20h5j2lmcf3u683aks1r4mvm157ckqbno7@4ax.com>
Source record: 168126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>>
>>
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> >> more.
>> >>
>> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >
>> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>>
>> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
>> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
>> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
>> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
>> Army then. :-)
>
>It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.
not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
I have wasted on Morse
>
>> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
>> >>
>> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>>
>> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
>> aviation communications on airways has been English
>> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
>> well as controllers, even in and over their native
>> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
>> native language but the civil airways can have many
>> nations' aircraft in it.
>>

>> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> century.
>
>With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>that.

well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
air
>
>> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>
>Slow is, well, slow.
realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
faint praise
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2110. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:35:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160955329.459039.268310@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168127

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > > "ARRL website" Wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "The ARRL is requesting members' input concerning the FCC's Amateur
> > > > Radio proceeding, WT Docket 04-140, released on October 10."
> > >
> > > Isn't it a little late for 'input', like asking for safety suggestions
> > > after observing the scene of a train wreck?
> >
> > Yes.
> >
> > > The Man in the Maze
> > > QRM from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> > > --
> > > Iitoi
> >
> > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
> > the petitions.

> yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem relavant

Ouch! And me an ARRL member.

2111. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-15 23:49:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160956152.889997.280280@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > >> >You may
> > > > >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> > > > >> > we have a problem. Right?
> > > > >> notice you have gotten no reply
> > > > >> >
> > > > >
> > > > >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> > > > >
> > > > >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
> > >
> > > indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> > > than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
> > >
> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> > any valid reasoning.

> not that isn't fair BB there is No valid reasoning for the Code test
> any more

That's why I like to see them try to justify it.

> the cllosest is boils down to "Tradition" and is fine as far as it goes
> but they all fall down toin todays world

Good Grief! It's as if the US Navy really does control the ARS, just
like they wanted to do in the early days. With the Navy, its all about
tradition.

2112. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 00:59:09 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1160960349.050716.312960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168129

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 7:09pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm

>> >Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
>> >says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
>> >out-assholing him.
>
>> It's a weird, delusional circular-logic thing of his.
>
>Yeh, its hard to explain.
>
>> He is too deluded about himself now to change, though.
>
>His postings have the full support of his wife, he says.

Which one, I wonder? He's paid alimony to the first,
makes nice-nice claims about his second's amateur license,
but it probably in some delusional phase thinking about
wifey #3 that doesn't exist.

However, he IS condoned by all the amateur extras in
here with exceptions only of Hans and Alun. He is "A-OK"
with Jimmie Noserve and that Waffen SS guy...

>> >> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
>> >> into certifiable insanity.
>
>> >The rubber room is waiting.
>
>> Perhaps the stainless steel tables in the autopsy room would
>> be better?
>
>At least it can be sanitized.

Well, he could come out here and hire on as a "corpse"
on one of the CSI shows. [all three are mostly filmed
here in southern California...:-)]

>> >He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.
>

>> That's all in his delusional syndrome.
>
>There is no logic in the stuff he says.

Such might exist in his delusional Stevieworld...?

>> What he "sees" on his screens seems to be different than
>> what everyone else sees. It is all part of his delusion.
>
>If he would quit forging the attributes maybe he could keep it all
>straight.

He can't keep himself straight.

Just think, 18 years in a supposed career and he has NO
documentation of it, not even a snapshot!

>> >> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
>
>> >> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
>
>> >> Ah so! :-)
>
>> >He'll have to file a travel voucher requesting circuitious travel.
>
>> "Circuitous," as in traveling in circles. :-)
>
>The shoelace on his jump boots is caught on something.

Yeah, a hole in his tooth...

>> >> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
>> >> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?
>
>> >> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
>
>> >> Imposter may have already started in TN?
>
>> >Did the Man-Nurse in NJ ever get convicted?
>
>> I think so. Leastways, he was arrested and had a hearing
>> with a psych exam ordered.
>
>> Elfren Saldivar, the "angel of death" at a Glendale, CA,
>> hospital, was tried and convicted (with reasons of
>> insanity) and is very locked up now.
>
>Good. We need to keep these crazies off the streets and out of our
>health care systems.

...they keep entering, though. :-(

>> >> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
>> >> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
>> >> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
>> >> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
>> >> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
>
>> >> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
>
>> >> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
>> >> before reading..." :-)
>
>> >Wonder if he ever used the Code Name "Mr. Phelps?"
>
>> Could be...except there is another "Jim" in here. :-)
>
>> In the Imposter's case he still screwed up...the tape
>> self-destructed BEFORE he heard it... :-)
>
>Singed his mustache?

Seems to have gotten his head hair instead...

>> I give Paul NO "benefit." I've gone round-and-around with him
>> both in public and private. That gives me a good feeling of
>> how he will "moderate" this group.
>
>It will just end up with the Coders patting each other on the back for
>their heroics.

Sigh...a whole newsgroup devoted to MORSE MYTHS. :-(

>> >True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
>> >Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
>> >classify me as a newbie.
>
>> In the minds of some olde-tymers they think they invented
>> ham radio. <shrug>
>
>Even Hiram didn't invent ham radio.

Really? :-)

I guess we need a "clarification" on that from Mister ham radio,
Jimmie Noserve. He always claims the "correct" definition on
just about everything. :-)

>> Through all of that I was cool and collected and never
>> personally insulted him. His reaction was AS IF I did.
>> I had to defuse the non-discussion for the sake of the
>> host. Pity that for this guy was really looking for a
>> personal combat situation,
>
>By chance was he wearing a flight suit?

Heh heh heh...no such luck. His faded summer shirt had some
kind of red sauce spilled on it.

>> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
>> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.
>
>Mitty sure gets around.

Hmmm... "What goes around comes around?" [perish the thought!]

2113. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 01:16:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <can5j25cgcb7dvoul1ek72k60sbs0fhs6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 168130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 01:13:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
<lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:

>On 15 Oct 2006 13:05:14 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> drooled:
>
>> Lloyd Morgan wrote:
>> > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:46:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net stuttered:
>> > > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
>> > > wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
>> > > >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
>> > > well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
>> > > are ones I allowed
>> > >
>> > > many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
>> > >
>> > > that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
>> > > not mean it ha no vistors
>> >
>> > It's the same thing.
>> no it is is not
>
>Indeed it is.
nope
nor do I especaly care if you visit or not
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2114. Hey Mark Morgan, I want my Ben Wa balls back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 01:33:48 UTC
From: mfoley@congress.gov
Message-ID: <8981206.2300868@congress.gov>
Source record: 168131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Remember, you promised. And besides they're Len Anderson's and
have the IEEE logo on them. He wants them back.

2115. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 01:37:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bio5j2h98ovo14acb5fsgtisdkkgickfpr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 01:33:48 +0000 (UTC), mfoley@congress.gov (Mark
Foley) wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2116. Re: Ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 02:12:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160964733.824440.81730@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168133

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed, Oct 11 2006 3:38 am
> >>> Opus- wrote:
> >>>> On 5 Oct 2006 17:05:58 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >>>>> Opus- wrote:
> >>>>> On 5 Oct 2006 04:26:28 -0700, N...@AOL.COM spake thusly:
> >>>>>>> Opus- wrote:
> > >
> >>> But when you really listen to the way most people speak, the speed is
> >>> limited by many things. There's a lot of redundancy in the way many
> >>> people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
> >>> tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next. Meanwhile, the
> >>> skilled Morse Code operator is using abbreviations and other shortcuts
> >>> that effectively increase the speed way beyond the raw wpm.
> >> A comparison between a poor speaker and a skilled
> >> radiotelegrapher is worthy HOW? To shine up the
> >> "skilled radiotelegrapher?" [of course...]
> > >
> > Listen to the way *most people* speak, Len. There's a lot of redundancy
> > in the way many
> > people speak, pauses, repeats, "ums" and "ahs', and little phrases
> > tossed in while the person thinks of what to say next.
>
> Not mentioned are the fact that voice mode radio ops often do repeats
> and phonetic spellings. "My name is Mike--Mike(??????) India Kilo
> Echo--Mike."

Of course.

Also, if the transmission is something that needs to be written down,
like a formal message, the effective speed drops to the writing speed
of the person receiving the message.

> >> Compare a good speaker and a poor, unskilled radio-
> >> telegrapher's sending and speech becomes way, way
> >> faster.
> > >
> > So? Most people don't speak like they're reading a script.
>
> >>> Or consider this analogy: It's one thing to drive a car with all the
> >>> modern conveniences - power steering, automatic transmission, power
> >>> brakes, cruise control, climate control, etc., and doing it on a smooth
> >>> straight highway. It's a different experience to drive a car without

> >>> all those things, on a winding country road where the driver's skill
> >>> makes a big difference.
> >> You have much experience on "winding country roads?" :-)
> >
> > Yes. Do you?
>
> Note that Len seems to think he is making a joke.

Len does not accept the First Rule of Comedy, even though it applies to
him.

He seems to think that putting a smiley at the end makes something
funny, even when it doesn't.

> >> [of course you do, you are an amateur extra morseman...]
>
> Lots of people who aren't radio amateurs, drive on winding country roads.
>
> >> Are you advocating "no-frills" personal vehicles? Why?
> >
> > Why not?
>
> Why not indeed?
>
> >> I learned to drive in a 1939 Ford, NO automatic trans-
> >> mission, NO power steering, NO power brakes, No cruise
> >> control, NO "climate control" other than the standard
> >> heater.
> >
> > Sounds like the car I learned to drive in.
>
> It sounds better than my first car, though mine had advanced climate
> control--a dashboard vent, crank windows and vent windows.

The car I learned on didn't have vent windows.

> >> Training ground was an abandoned army camp, one
> >> which DID have a few "winding (dirt) roads." If you
> >> think for one minute that I would give up a nice,
> >> comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy Malibu MAXX just
> >> to "rough it" for SOMEONE ELSE'S IDEA of what constitutes
> >> "good driving," you've got your head up your ass.
> >
> > It's not about *you*, Len.
>
> To Len, it is always about Len.

And being better than anyone else.

> >> Having earned my Army driving license, I will personally
> >> challenge you to a Jeep gymkhana (Jeep circa 1940s-1960s)
> >> at everything from "smooth straight highways" through

> >> "winding country roads" on to OFF-ROAD ANYTHING.

Ah, a "challenge" - one that has nothing to do with amateur radio.

> > Why would you give up a nice, comfortable, well-equipped 2005 Chevy
> > Malibu MAXX just
> > to "rough it", Len?
>
> It doesn't matter, Jim. Len has thrown the gauntlet. He's out for blood.

What if he got hurt doing this gymkhana thing? He seems ready to bust a gasket just posting here. What would he do if I actually bested him at it?

> >> I will WIN.

> > Maybe. Maybe not. You don't really know, you're just bragging because
> > you know it won't happen.
>
> You saw through the bluster?

Pretty transparent.

> >> Been there, did that, got T-shirts, etc.
> >
> > That doesn't mean you would win.
>
> It just means that Len has some really old T-shirts.

I've probably got more than he does. Mine say things like "Philadelphia Independence Marathon" and "Broad Street 10 miler" and such.

> >> That standard issue Jeep had NO amenities except for the
> >> post-1950 winch and cable over the front bumper. "Climate
> >> control" was whatever the climate was outside. The "power
> >> transmission" was a couple gear shifts operated by arm
> >> strength and experienced clutch operation. Ptui.
> >
> > What's your point - that you spit at Jeeps?
>
> He thought they were technologically challenged then and he still thinks so?

I dunno. Len's living in the past - again.

> >> HOW MANY personal vehicles have YOU DESIGNED and BUILT?
> >> Include auto kits if you need to.
> >
> > What does it matter? I could tell you about the time I took two junker
> > cars and made one good one out of them, but you'd find fault with that,
> > somehow.
>
> But that doesn't answer the question "HOW MANY".

As many as Len has, probably.

> >> HOW MANY thousands of miles have YOU driven?
> >
> > Gosh, Len, I don't really know. Probably more than you, though.
>
> I think I've driven more miles in the past six years than Len has logged
> over the past twenty. Is there a prize for miles driven?

> >> Over "winding
> >> country roads?"
> >
> > Enough.
>
> Three quarters of mine have been over winding and hilly country roads.
> Then again, I live in the country. Go figure. What's the prize?

Who knows?

> >> [I don't think so unless you count the
> >> old driveway to the Doylestown Barn Cinema...] I've driven
> >> the VERY winding country road (rough surface) to a Wyoming
> >> working ranch (cattle brand registered in Wyoming is "B-1
> >> Bomber") from/to highway.
> >
> > What does that have to do with anything, Len?
>
> That was a detour on a winding country road.

Where's John Denver when ya need him?

Now about challenges:

Here's one for Len - tell me what ya think:

Field Day 2007. Entry class 1B-1 (one transmitter, one operator). The challenge is to assemble, transport, set up, operate, and take down a complete FD station - singlehanded, no outside help - and make the highest score. Field Day location must not be owned or rented by the participant and must not be a licensed amateur station location. All equipment used must be legitimately owned by the operator. All FCC regulations and ARRL rules that apply to Field Day must be complied with by all involved. Results report must be submitted to ARRL before the deadline. Highest official score wins.

Now of course this would mean that Len would actually have to get his license out of the box.

How's that for a challenge?

> >>>> Perhaps the typical ages of people who prefer code could be a factor.
> >>>> It does tend to be considerably older people who prefer code.
> >>> I disagree - for two reasons!
> >>>
> >>> First I have found amateurs of all ages who are interested in Morse
> >>> Code.

> >> If all you have is a hammer, naturally everything looks
> >> like a nail to you...
> >
> > I've got a lot more tools than just a hammer. I know how to use them,
> > too.
>
> If you're a nail, everything headed your way appears to be a hammer.

Yup.

> >>> I have found that young people are interested *if* Morse Code is
> >>> presented correctly.
>
> >> Sado-masochism is still prevalent in the human condition.

I think we just found out what Len is *really* all about!

> > And yet you claim you have no problem with people using Morse Code....
>
> But we knew from his past posts, that simply isn't true.

Y'know, for somebody who claims to have such a good life, Len does an
awful lot of complaining.

> >>> Some say that, in the modern world, young people who grew up with cell
> >>> phones and the internet aren't going to sit still for something like
> >>> Morse Code - or amateur radio. And many won't.
>
> >> Unquantified numbers. You are waffling on your emotional
> >> reasons.
> >
> > "Amateur" is derived from the Latin word for "love". Means to do
> > something for the love of the thing alone. Emotional reasons, IOW.
>
> Len is primarily motivated by those things which generate money.

I am reminded of the chant from "In Living Color"...

> > The fact is that there are plenty of young people who like Morse Code
> > and learn it readily. I think that's one reason you want an age limit
> > for an amateur radio license - so those code-skilled young folks can't
> > get a license until they're 14.
> >
> >>> However, the very fact that Morse Code is unusual is a big attraction
> >>> to some of them - *because* it's so different and unusual. They've seen
> >>> voice comms - they all have cellphones! Typing on a keyboard and
> >>> reading a screen is something they've seen since they were babies.
>
> >> One in three Americans has a cell phone. Census Bureau said
> >> so in a public statement in 2004.
> >
> > So what?
>

> The "so" is that two out of three American *don't* have a cellular phone.

Who cares? It's a short-range radio transceiver that connects to the
telephone network.

> > When I was a teenager, practically everyone had a telephone. Why should
> > anyone have a ham rig at home when they can just talk on the telephone?
>
> My dad discouraged me from talking on the phone when I was a teen. He
> didn't do that with amateur radio unless I was trying to operate on 15m
> and there was a football game on TV.

HAW!

> >> Back in the late 1940s - a time well before cell phones, personal
> >> computers, with (mostly) only sound broadcasting - there was NO
> >> great "novelty" or "interest" in morse code communications.
> >
> > Sure there was. Ham radio was growing by leaps and bounds then. You
> > were not part of it.
>
> Len must have missed a couple of decades.

Len doesn't like having his version of history shown to be mistaken

> >> Been
> >> there, seen that, see no difference now.
> >
> > IOW, nobody should do what *you* don't enjoy.
>
> I'm more concerned that Len thinks that because he is ignorant of the
> historical facts of amateur radio in the late 1940's, everyone is ignorant.

It's all about Len.

> >>> But
> >>> Morse Code is completely different. That's what draws many young people
> >>> - just look at the acceptance of the Harry Potter books.
> >> So, write the author of the "Harry Potter" series and have
> >> her (J. K. Rowling) "introduce" morse code as "magic." :-)
> >>
> >> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> >> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> * M A G I C M O R S E *
> >>
> >> BWAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHAAAAAAAAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAAHAA
> >> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > ??
>

>
> It's a California thing.

Maybe. More like a Lanark thing.

> >>> But some things can be preserved - values, skills, culture. Even if the
> >>> people and places change.
>
> >> Preservation of the Past is the job of MUSEUMS.
> >
> > Preservation of values, skills and culture is everyone's job.
>
> Len doesn't seem to think that it is his job.

Nothing is Len's job unless it pays money.
>
> >> Why do you insist on keeping a "living museum" in amateur
> >> radio through federal license testing for morse code in
> >> only AMATEUR radio?
> >
> > It's not a living museum.
>
> Far from it. Radio amateurs use many modes daily. CW is one of 'em.
>
> >> YOU had to test for it so everyone else has to...
> >
> > Nope. Morse Code should be a license requirement because amateurs use
> > it. The skill is part of being a qualified radio amateur. Simple as
> > that.
>
> There are thousands and thousands of morse QSOs taking place on the ham
> bands daily.
>
> >> Fraternal order HAZING having NO tangible value
> >> except to amuse those ALREADY tested for code.
> >
> > It's not about hazing, Len. It's about being qualified. You're not
> > qualified.
>
> There's been enough false material penned here about hazing, hoops,
> rituals and the like to last a lifetime.
>
> Len may use all the cellular phones, cordless phones, Family Radio
> Service HT's and Citizen's Band transceivers he likes. He isn't a radio
> amateur and likely will never become a radio amateur. All of his talk
> has been bluster and boast.
>
Well, maybe.

I think that Len equates being corrected with being humiliated. When he
posts something that is incorrect, and someone corrects it, he feels

humiliated and angry. It's even worse when the person doing the correcting is someone he considers to be inferior to him - which is almost everybody.

Thus he behaves according to the profile, driven by anger and humiliation at being proved wrong.

Really quite sad, when you think about it.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2117. kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 03:45:49 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160970349.755557.18200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168134

Mark wrote at qrz.com:

well myself it was alomst that easy but then I have Master degree in
Geo-physics and do most of my work looking at sesmis wave progation

Well, now, Mark, that's interesting. A few questions:

What institution granted your degree, and what year?

What is the title of your Masters thesis?

Why do you hyphenate the word "geophysics?" It isn't hyphenated in
normal usage. A geophysicist would know that.

What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
"progate?"

There's an old saying in baseball. You can bullshit the fans but you
can't bullshit the players.

That wasn't even a good try, Mark.

2118. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 04:05:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160971503.740510.95880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>
> > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>
> > Alun,
> >
> > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> > outrageous!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Here, I'll have a go.
>
> When the new changes go into effect:
>
> Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
> of gained/lost bandspace)
> Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
> Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
> Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
> lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
>
> Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?

Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.

> Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
> lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
> license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
> 1:1 ratio for everyone.
>
> If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
> the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
> The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?

The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.

Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?

> How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?

We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
"streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?

>
> ---
>
> One more question:
>
> Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
> the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,
> particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are
> those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?
>
> It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts
> of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and
> being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact,
> with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on
> the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode
> like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is
> allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for
> *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2119. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 04:14:55 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1160972095.022644.322220@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> the FCC does not care about protecting DX forgien or domestic

. . . domestic DX . . ?

> the ARRL
> may well have when they sugested this sort of arngementmany years (part
> of incentive licening I supose)

Doesn't matter where the concept came from originally, it's been in
place for decades.

w3rv

2120. Re: cock theft is a crime,marqueer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 04:24:10 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1160972650.890020.24090@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 01:13:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Morgan
> <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>
> >On 15 Oct 2006 13:05:14 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> drooled:
> >
> >> Lloyd Morgan wrote:
> >> > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 12:46:07 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net stuttered:
> >> > > On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any visitor
> >> > > >comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
> >> > > well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
> >> > > are ones I allowed
> >> > >
> >> > > many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
> >> > >
> >> > > that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
> >> > > not mean it ha no vistors
> >> >
> >> > It's the same thing.
> >> no it is is not
> >>
> >Indeed it is.
> nope
> nor do I especaly care if you visit or not
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2121. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 06:46:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fja6j2dqum9ef9c15j4s4o78lkl2qfno3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 15 Oct 2006 21:05:03 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
>> > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>>
>> > Alun,
>> >
>> > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
>> > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
>> > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
>> > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
>> > outrageous!
>> >
>> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>> Here, I'll have a go.
>>
>> When the new changes go into effect:
>>
>> Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
>> of gained/lost bandspace)
>> Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
>> Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
>> Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
>> lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
>>
>> Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
>> exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>
>Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>
>> Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
>> lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
>> license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
>> 1:1 ratio for everyone.
>>
>> If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
>> the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!

>> The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?
>
>The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
>as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.
>Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
>the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
>space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
>subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
>why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
ddin't you know the extras have been telling us all what to think for
years

like the code deabte many are not listening
>
>> How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?
>
>We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
>could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
>another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
>oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
>"streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
>pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
>and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
>more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>
>>
>> ---
>>
>> One more question:
>>
>> Why isn't rtty/data - particularly wider-than-1 kHz-data - allowed in
>> the 'phone bands? Or rather, why should that restriction remain,
>> particularly on a band that will be 4:1 phone/narrow modes? How are
>> those modes any different from SSTV or CW in terms of compatibility?
>>
>> It seems to me that a combination voice-data mode would have all sorts
>> of uses in amateur radio. Imagine being in QSO with someone on SSB, and
>> being able to send a data file to them without having to QSY. In fact,
>> with a properly-designed rig, the data could be sent simultaneously on
>> the opposite sideband, or on the suppressed-carrier frequency if a mode
>> like PSK31 were used for data. Yet under current rules none of that is
>> allowed, and the 80/75 bandspace where data modes will be allowed for
>> *any* class of license will decrease from 250 kHz to 100 kHz - why?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2122. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 06:48:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hma6j2l0rkj019shbjnucs5o5dq87i3i4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 168139

On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>What institution granted your degree, and what year?

you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment

particularly some one unknown
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2123. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 06:49:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dpa6j29vrja9qs4rtfscp8nbvgkmjbee42@4ax.com>
Source record: 168140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On 15 Oct 2006 21:24:10 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
interesting that had nothing to say just want ing to repaet the title
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2124. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 06:56:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160981783.466949.266700@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168141

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Iitoi wrote:

> > > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
> > > the petitions.
>
> > yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem relavant
>
> Ouch! And me an ARRL member.

I don't how you can stanbd being memebr but we each different for
diferent types of shit

2125. why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 07:06:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <amb6j2tbodv64dg1f2uc7nq9kvki40bk0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 168142

all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
get though

but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management

why is this happening where the CW op to save the day?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2126. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 07:13:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160982809.786975.19440@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > the cllosest is boils down to "Tradition" and is fine as far as it goes
> > but they all fall down toin todays world
>
> Good Grief! It's as if the US Navy really does control the ARS, just
> like they wanted to do in the early days. With the Navy, its all about
> tradition.
I was thinking of jews esp east european jews ala fiddler on the roof
```

2127. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 07:19:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160983187.481650.300390@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168144

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
>

> >> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
> >> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.
> >
> >Mitty sure gets around.
>
> Hmmm... "What goes around comes around?" [perish the thought!]

I believ in karma but I truly hope that the Procoder do not have to endue what simple justice says they should endure for there decades of outright opression they have been party to

Id like to move on but I am sure the Procoders will never allow that

2128. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 07:21:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1160983318.732493.307390@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Ron wrote:
> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>
> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
> selves without senting it to a repair place ?

the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts

2129. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 10:01:15 UTC
From: galaxyguy <richardthorne@hotmail.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1160992875.872076.158060@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

toddaug555@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:
>
> none...this is a low power / Pirate radio station on the shortwave
> bands....we've been off the air for a while because our transmitter
> went out and were replacing it.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Galaxy Guy replied:
Thanks for the news and I'll have to look out for your future
transmissions.
Could you let us know what time UTC/GMT the programmes will air?
Do you concentrate on speech, music or both and if music what genre?
Cheers in advance.

2130. Re: cock theft is a crime,marqueer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 10:14:23 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.16.10.14.20.223164@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 168147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 21:24:10 -0700, bill_newport.4land did most oddly state:

Only if one is organ-legging.

--
_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

2131. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 10:40:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1160995200.662243.45980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote
> > > > 73 de Alun, N3KIP
> > > > (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)

> > > Alun,

> > > With all due respect, your signature line kind of reveals your agenda. We
> > > aren't talking about Extra's who don't use CW/rtty/data. The folks we're
> > > talking about here are Generals, the most populous HF license class in the US.
> > > On 75m they have just had their CW/rtty/data spectrum reduced by 2/3rds. That's
> > > outrageous!
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Here, I'll have a go.
> >
> > When the new changes go into effect:
> >
> > Generals gain 50 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:3 ratio
> > of gained/lost bandspace)
> > Advanceds gain 75 kHz of 'phone but lose 100 kHz of cw/rtty (3:4 ratio)
> > Extras gain 150 kHz of 'phone but lose 150 kHz of cw/rtty (1:1)
> > Novices and codetested Techs gain 75 kHz in one part of the band but
> > lose 75 kHz elsewhere (3:2)
> >
> > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>
> Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.

Maybe so!

Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
> > lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
> > license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
> > 1:1 ratio for everyone.
> >

> > If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
> > the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
> > The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?
>
> The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
> as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.
> Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
> the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
> space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?

It sets a bad precedent.
>
> > How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?
>
> We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
> could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
> another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
> oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
> "streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?

I think we're on to the same idea.

I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams. Sure, they say certain
things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
like to at least some of them:

We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
more enforcement.

Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
amateurs are wrong.

And now the big one:

We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
cannot agree about what we really want.

I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
easy.

Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed! CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against for both of them).

Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC resources. But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*, so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.

The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of them. One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and reply comments, which they have to wade through.

Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.

When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL) got widespread support in the comments?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2132. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 10:51:22 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3def6cd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 168149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>
> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment

Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?

I thought not, you lying sack of shit.

2133. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 11:10:21 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <t0q6j2tkekdb8lgag4a9pq9f0cjg21ucjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Ron wrote:
>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>
>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>
>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts

Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
he is busy working at Buger King.

2134. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 11:25:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160997934.296804.97490@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168151

Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Well, now, Mark, that's interesting. A few questions:

> What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>
> What is the title of your Masters thesis?
>
> Why do you hyphenate the word "geophysics?" It isn't hyphenated in
> normal usage. A geophysicist would know that.
>
> What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> "progate?"
>
> There's an old saying in baseball. You can bullshit the fans but you
> can't bullshit the players.
>
> That wasn't even a good try, Mark.

Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:

Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?

Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
happened to be RRAP?

There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
amateur radio?

Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?

I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.

2135. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 11:48:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160999304.827048.165850@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168152

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 7:09pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
>
> >> >Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
> >> >says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
> >> >out-assholing him.
> >
> >> It's a weird, delusional circular-logic thing of his.
> >
> >Yeh, its hard to explain.
> >
> >> He is too deluded about himself now to change, though.
> >
> >His postings have the full support of his wife, he says.
>
> Which one, I wonder? He's paid alimony to the first,
> makes nice-nice claims about his second's amateur license,
> but it probably in some delusional phase thinking about
> wifey #3 that doesn't exist.
>
> However, he IS condoned by all the amateur extras in
> here with exceptions only of Hans and Alun. He is "A-OK"
> with Jimmie Noserve and that Waffen SS guy...

She has a ham license and she has an RRAP account. And she's posted
here before. Yet she remains silent on he husbands heinous activities
on RRAP.

Or maybe she's a figment of his imagination and Robesin would have to
roll out her old RRAP account when he's much too busy creating new
accounts for our geophysicist visitors.

> >> >> His behavior is a syndrome of someone who will shortly fall
> >> >> into certifiable insanity.
> >
> >>The rubber room is waiting.
> >
> >> Perhaps the stainless steel tables in the autopsy room would
> >> be better?

> >
> >At least it can be sanitized.
>
> Well, he could come out here and hire on as a "corpse"
> on one of the CSI shows. [all three are mostly filmed
> here in southern California...:-)]

They want good looking corpses, not the crash-test dummy types.
Anyway, he would have a reason other than stalking for being there.

> >> >He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.
> >
> >> That's all in his delusional syndrome.
> >
> >There is no logic in the stuff he says.
>
> Such might exist in his delusional Stevieworld...?

Precisely. I'm sure that everything makes sense... in his mind.

> >> What he "sees" on his screens seems to be different than
> >> what everyone else sees. It is all part of his delusion.
> >
> >If he would quit forging the attributes maybe he could keep it all
> >straight.
>
> He can't keep himself straight.
>
> Just think, 18 years in a supposed career and he has NO
> documentation of it, not even a snapshot!

Certainly "Combat Camera" has some footage of his seven hostile
actions?

> >> >> >> Imposter is traveling to Amish country of Pennsylvania?
> >
> >> >> >It's on the way to the Dayton Hamvention.
> >
> >> >> Ah so! :-)
> >
> >> >He'll have to file a travel voucher requesting circuitious travel.
> >
> >> "Circuitous," as in traveling in circles. :-)
> >
> >The shoelace on his jump boots is caught on something.
>
> Yeah, a hole in his tooth...

And he goes round and round until he runs out of bootlace, then he has
to reverse direction...

> >> >> >> Imposter is going to NJ to learn methods of offing
> >> >> >> patients from a nurse there who did it?

> >
> >> >> >Sounds like a circle-jerk in the making.
> >
> >> >> Imposter may have already started in TN?
> >
> >> >Did the Man-Nurse in NJ ever get convicted?
> >
> >> I think so. Leastways, he was arrested and had a hearing
> >> with a psych exam ordered.
> >
> >> Elfren Saldivar, the "angel of death" at a Glendale, CA,
> >> hospital, was tried and convicted (with reasons of
> >> insanity) and is very locked up now.
> >
> >Good. We need to keep these crazies off the streets and out of our
> >health care systems.
>
> ...they keep entering, though. :-(

Yikes! That's right.

> >> >> >> Thousands of posts worth of insults and failure to discern
> >> >> >> right from wrong...not to mention the infamous IMPOSTER of
> >> >> >> the USMC act carried on for years...years in which the
> >> >> >> Imposter NEVER supplied a single item of proof, not even
> >> >> >> a snapshot of himself on "active duty" during 18 years.
> >
> >> >> >He was probably in "Secret Ops." No photos allowed.
> >
> >> >> It was so "secret" that all documents were marked "burn
> >> >> before reading..." :-)
> >
> >> >Wonder if he ever used the Code Name "Mr. Phelps?"
> >
> >> Could be...except there is another "Jim" in here. :-)
> >
> >> In the Imposter's case he still screwed up...the tape
> >> self-destructed BEFORE he heard it... :-)
> >
> >Singed his mustache?
>
> Seems to have gotten his head hair instead...

His eyebrows should be trimmed to balance things.

> >> I give Paul NO "benefit." I've gone round-and-around with him
> >> both in public and private. That gives me a good feeling of
> >> how he will "moderate" this group.
> >
> >It will just end up with the Coders patting each other on the back for
> >their heroics.

>
> Sigh...a whole newsgroup devoted to MORSE MYTHS. :-(

When hasn't it been so?

> >> >True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
> >> >Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
> >> >classify me as a newbie.
> >
> >> In the minds of some olde-tymers they think they invented
> >> ham radio. <shrug>
> >
> >Even Hiram didn't invent ham radio.
>
> Really? :-)

Sad, but true.

> I guess we need a "clarification" on that from Mister ham radio,
> Jimmie Noserve. He always claims the "correct" definition on
> just about everything. :-)

Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
factual.

> >> Through all of that I was cool and collected and never
> >> personally insulted him. His reaction was AS IF I did.
> >> I had to defuse the non-discussion for the sake of the
> >> host. Pity that for this guy was really looking for a
> >> personal combat situation,
> >
> >By chance was he wearing a flight suit?
>
> Heh heh heh...no such luck. His faded summer shirt had some
> kind of red sauce spilled on it.

He probably came directly from the hamfest instead of stopping at home
and changing his shirt.

> >> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
> >> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.
> >
> >Mitty sure gets around.
>
> Hmmm... "What goes around comes around?" [perish the thought!]

And around and around and around (that shoelace).

2136. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 11:53:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160999623.529325.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168153

an_old_friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
> > > >> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
> > > >> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.
> > > >
> > > >Mitty sure gets around.
> > >
> > > Hmmm... "What goes around comes around?" [perish the thought!]
>
> I believ in karma but I truly hope that the Procoder do not have to
> endue what simple justice says they should endure for there decades of
> outright opression they have been party to

Even if there is karma, they won't understand it or tie it to their
unreasonable desire to make everyone learn code.

> Id like to move on but I am sure the Procoders will never allow that

Ignore the spoil-sports. The actuarial tables will eventually silence
them.

2137. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 11:57:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1160999826.144836.194250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168154

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Iitoi wrote:
>
> > > > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
> > > > the petitions.
> >
> > > yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem relavant
> >
> > Ouch! And me an ARRL member.
>
> I don't how you can stanbd being memebr but we each different for
> diferent types of shit

I'm an optimist.

2138. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 12:09:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161000540.724865.25060@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> >> >Slow Code wrote:

> >> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
> >> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
> >> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
> >> >> more.
> >> >
> >> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >> >
> >> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> >> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
> >>
> >> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
> >> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
> >> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
> >> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
> >> Army then. :-)
> >
> >It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.

> not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
> I have wasted on Morse

It took me about 7 weeks and lots of hours to get to about 10 words per
minute. I couldn't get past that, so I got the consolation prize...
Technician.

> >> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
> >> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
> >> >> the legs of a three leg stool.
> >> >
> >> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> >> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
> >>
> >> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
> >> aviation communications on airways has been English
> >> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
> >> well as controllers, even in and over their native
> >> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
> >> native language but the civil airways can have many

> >> nations' aircraft in it.
> >>
> >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> >> century.
> >
> >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> >that.
>
> well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> air

We should hear a loud "pop."

> >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> >
> >Slow is, well, slow.

> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> faint praise.

He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?

2139. Re: Hey Mark Morgan, I want my Ben Wa balls back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 12:18:00 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.bb1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 168156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 01:33:48 +0000 (UTC), Mark Foley <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote:
>
> Remember, you promised. And besides they're Len Anderson's and
> have the IEEE logo on them. He wants them back.

Yeah, Mark. Give them back. What a geophysicist like you really
wants are the Ben Wa balls with an SEG logo on them. You can get them
at the Society of Exploration Geophysicists web pages as a perk for
paying your dues on line (see http://www.seg.org/join).

2140. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) A Direct Question?...Who's "Robesin" and What's The Question?...Can Brain Not Answer For Himself How HE Should Feel? Does His Mommy Still Wipe His Butt And Change His Diapers For Him Too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 12:47:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161002831.646457.124690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168158

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 14 Oct 2006 15:30:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> >> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Nope.
> >> > >
> >> > > Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
> >> >
> >> Nope. Just called em like I see them.
> >
> >Nope. You're just forging attributes and lying about it.

> but of course it is Ok he is allowed by secert clause of the ITU traty

Hi! I've heard rumor of this secret clause, but have never seen it.
Maybe now that we've got someone from the home team in the UN, that
secret clause can see the light of day.

> >> You're a little snot trying to scam his way through posts with
> >> nothing but sleaze.
> >>
> >> See...?!?!
> >>
> >> It works.
> >
> >No, it doesn't work. You're a forger and a liar. See where forging
> >and lying gets you?
>

> indeed He used to be a dominatn and some what respected poster today
> he is a beaten fugitive from RRAP beaten by a man he claims is
> completely imcopenant

It would have been better for him to have left on his own, with
dignity.

> >> > > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> >> > >
> >> > > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> >> > > from hurting your feelings.....
> >> >
> >> > No one here is worried about my "feelings."
> >>
> >> I did once upon a time.
> >
> >Another lie.
> >
> >> But you didn't care about mine.
> >
> >Another lie. You talk about my children and my wife. I don't bring
> >your children or your wife into the discussion.
> >
> >> Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
> >> to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
> >> treated...
> >
> >Kindly point to this post about your single hostile action.
>
> he can't

He won't.

> >> > You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
> >> > excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).
> >>
> >> No...You've not been able to rub my nose in anything.
> >
> >Denial gets you nowhere.
>
> have to correct you it gets him somewhere ...in deeper

Deeper in his own excrement that we've heard too much about.

> >> You TRY to make issues with out-of-context quotes and out-and-out
> >> lies, but you've not "rubbed" anything of mine in anything, Brain.
> >
> >If you quote yourself, then how have I manipulated what you said?
>
> indeed I quote what seems enough but granting him the larger quote he
> still claims I ate his shit which has to be a lie since I have never
> met him

Had he never made this "excrement eating" claim, he'd be far, far ahead
in the game. But he had to be clever and post something so off topic
and so very, very disgusting in order to smear you. And in his
cleverness, he got it wrong.

He's a self-declared, excrement eating idiot.

> >> If you THINK that's what you've been doing, well then, I guess we
> >> just found once more thing that you've been confused and deluded about,
> >> brain.
> >
> >No delusion. No confusion. Your nose has your excrement on it.
>
> and we are not interested in learning exactly how it got there

Uh, yeh. His outrages have absolutely no place on RRAP.

> >> > > > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Steven J. Robesin
> >> > >
> >> > > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
> >> >
> >> > Is it?
> >>
> >> You tell me. The question was posed to you.
> >
> >Is Brain the name I'm using now?
> >
> >> > > We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,
> >> > > REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
> >> > > MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
> >> >
> >> > I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement...
> >>
> >> Nor have I.
> >
> >>From your quote of yourself, it sure looks like you've made such
> >claims.
> >
> >> Would you be willing to provide the post wherein I allegedly said
> >> that others "eat my excrement"...?!?!
> >
> >I have no need to do so. You made the original claim, then your
> >requoted yourself. Don't go blaming me for your screwups.
>
> iindeed there are more 50 posts of Robeson own authorship where make
> the claim that I personal have eaten his shit

He's so clever that he srewed up his smear attack on you. He's an
idiot.

> >> > Robesin has!
> >>
> >> He has? Where?
> >
> >In RRAP.
> >
> >> > Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?
> >>
> >> If you were TRYING to quote me, Brain, you failed.
> >
> >If I was "TRYING" to quote Robesin, then I would have failed. I need
> >not quote him because he quoted himself. Don't go blaming me for your
> >screwups.
>
> noe me Robeson
> >
> >> > > WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
> >> > > when YOU are the one with failure issues?
> >> >
> >> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
> >>
> >> Still out of context.
> >
> >It is not what you meant?
>
> very likely but that is why they call em freadian slips

I don't know what kind of slips he wears under his nurses uniform, but
he really needs to start saying what he means, and mean what he says.

> >> Still as lame as ever.
> >
> >It wasn't my claim.
>
> or mine

It was self-declared, by him.

> >> As usual.
> >
> >As usual.
> >
> >> > > > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
> >> > >
> >> > > Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
> >> > >
> >> > > Just a lame dodge.
> >> >
> >> > Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.
> >>

> >> What lies?
> >
> >The untrue ones. You've stacked them up like cordwood.
>
> indeed you have stackem high to keep me warm for week were it real
> cordwood

If only Robesin's thoughts were warm.

> >> > > > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
> >> > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Brain probably is not
> >> > > > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > > Robesin probably is.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > Nope.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Probably is.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Nope.
> >> >
> >> > Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
> >> > every RRAP posting.
> >>
> >> Are you addressing me or this "Robesin" person, Brain?
> >
> >Am I?
> >
> >> Ask your doctor for a script of "Aricept". It might help with your
> >> confusion issues.
> >
> >Is the erection supposed to last for more than 4 hours?
> >
> >> > > > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
> >> > > > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > And a male nurse?
> >> > > > > > >
> >> > > > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
> >> > > > > >
> >> > > > > Nope.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
> >> > > > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
> >> > > >
> >> > > An "announcement"...?!?!
> >> >
> >> > As in a public admission.
> >>

> >> I know what an "announcement" is, Brain.
> >
> >Then why did you ask?
>
> becuase he igmainise it diverts atention from his acts

It doesn't. It just makes him look more stupid, if that's possible.

> >> You ahve yet to define what this alleged "announcement" is about.
> >
> >That is for Robesin to decide.

> it seems to be a confession of homosexaulity

We'll have to wait for him to make his announcement..., but it doesn't
look good from here.

> >> > > I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
> >> > > what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
> >> >
> >> > Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...
> >>
> >> Yep. But they are gay. I'm not...Not even "pretend".
> >
> >Robesin pretends not to be.
>
> he certainly seems to be confessing to being gay

I don't care if he is or isn't, but he sure does protest a lot.

> >> > > > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
> >> > > > wife swapper, too.
> >> > >
> >> > > I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
> >> > > just CAN'T imagine.......
> >> >
> >> > Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
> >> > mine.
> >>
> >> Oh?
> >>
> >> Where did you get THIS idea from, Brain?
> >
> >Out of three billion women in the world, mine is the only one that you
> >wouldn't swap with. That leaves 2,999,999,999 that are in your zone.
> >
> >> From the same mind that said "Unlicensed devices play a major role
> >> in emergency communications?"
> >
> >They haven't?

> >
> >> > So why is my wife a part of your discussion?
> >>
> >> It's not a discussion.
> >
> >So why is my wife a part of your postings?
>
> and mine and my father todds perants and...

Don't forget the free UP attorney's wife...

Just how stupid can Robesin be?

> >> I've asked you if you'd be as equally dishonest and deceitful if
> >> your spouse was party to your actions herein.
> >
> >Why is my wife a part of your postings?
>
> because he lacks any real point to make

Because he's a creep.

> >> > > > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
> >> > > > > name to your posts, Brain.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Coward?
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Should I be afraid of you?
> >> > >
> >> > The question is WHY are you afraid...???
> >>
> >> No, that is not the question.
> >>
> >> Sure it is. I asked it.
> >
> >Are you a coward?
>
> seems to be

He sees that he can't get to me, so he starts in on the wife and
kids...

> >> You don't seem to be able to make an adequate self-determination
> >> as to what you should be feeling.
> >
> >I think you're a coward. Is that adequate enough?
> >
> >> > I asked if I should be afraid of you.
> >>
> >> That's only a question that you can answer yourself. I can't

> >> answer it for you. I don't know (nor do I care) what scares you and
> >> what doesn't.
> >
> >Should I be afraid of you?
> >
> >> Personally, there's not a whole lot that scares me. Certainly
> >> nothing that you or your Feeble Five bretheren could muster up, even if
> >> you were all able to show up at my house simultaneously.
> >
> >Is that an invitation for five RRAPpers to visit you?
>
> personaly if it is. I respetfully decline

I've got better things to do, Memphis/Graceland for one. I wonder if
Elvis had a flight suit?

> >> > Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
> >> > coward to do so?
> >>
> >> There's nothing cowardly about me not being able to answer a
> >> question that is not for ME to answer. It's for YOU to answer as to
> >> whether you should be afraid of ANYthing...
> >
> >Should I be afraid of you?
> >
> >> I pretty much figure you for a Don Knotts type. Backfiring dump
> >> trucks probably give yo cause to hit the deck.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Yo yourself sawed-off man.

2141. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 12:47:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lbLYg.11899$o71.2050@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168157

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote

>> Why can't the low end of 75 be moved 50 kHz instead of 150 kHz, and the
>> lower limit of each 'phone subband moved down 50 kHz? Then, each
>> license class would gain as many kHz of 'phone as they lose CW/rtty.
>> 1:1 ratio for everyone.
>>
>> If you don't think 50 kHz is enough, make it 75 kHz. The point is that
>> the most populous license class on the band *loses* the most total kHz!
>> The ratio is 1 kHz gained for 3 kHz lost - why?
>
> The error is attaching the same value to General cw/rtty space per Khz
> as you're attaching to their phone space per Khz. Which it is not.
> Unless I'm 'way off the mark the vast majority of Generals won't miss
> the cw/rtty space at all and they'll be delighted with their new phone
> space. Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
>
>> How much will 3600-3700 be used when it is Extra-only?
>
> We'll find out soon enough won't we? Then again the new 3600 band edge
> could well be just a placeholder for "things to come" rather than just
> another conventional expansion of phone space. This R&O has too many
> oddities in it like this one for me to believe that the FCC is finished
> "streamlining the service". Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?

I see something a bit less nefarious. I think the FCC made a decision
without thinking it through--perhaps pushed to do so by the ARRL call
for action to be taken. What will be interesting is to see if the
Commission corrects its action or simply attempts to justify it.

You're certainly correct that there seems to have been almost no raising
of the alarm by General Class licensees.

Dave K8MN

2142. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 15:56:57 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 168159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1158983174.967897.172510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Opus- wrote:
>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake up
>> >> and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does NOTHING
>> >> to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you explain
>> >> what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>> >>
>> >Jeez,
>> >Chill out, eh?
>>
>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>> taken as personal insults.
>
> "Stuff happens."
>
> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>
>
>> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>>
>> I found it to be cold and impersonal.
>
> I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
> or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
> person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
> very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
> no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.
>
>
>> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>>
>> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.
>>
>> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
>>
>> Probably not.

>
> Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
> anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
> silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
> fingers! :-)
>
>
>> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to it.
>>
>> Let them cling, they are free to do so.
>
> I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."
>
> "Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
> in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
> number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
> General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.
>
>
>> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>> >with or without code.
>>
>> I totally agree.
>
> In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
> with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
> for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
> new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
> supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
> steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.
>
>
>> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams will
>> >take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun mode. I
>> >hope people will WANT to learn it.
>>
>> I always found it to be boring.
>
> "Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
> like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.
>
>
>> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a good
>> >requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its day.
>> >
>> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>> >replacement. True?

>>
>> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
>> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
>> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
>> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
>> communication.
>
> That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
> does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
> FCC does for US civil radio services.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
the licence expires.

2143. Re: why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:00:00 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161014399.931542.94370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168160

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
> the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
> get though
>
> but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
> the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management

Anyone translate what this moron is trying to say?

2144. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:00:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161014410.890946.33790@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > the cllosest is boils down to "Tradition" and is fine as far as it goes
> > > but they all fall down toin todays world
> >
> > Good Grief! It's as if the US Navy really does control the ARS, just
> > like they wanted to do in the early days. With the Navy, its all about
> > tradition.

> I was thinking of jews esp east european jews ala fiddler on the roof

I don't know much about them. Do they oppress their own people?

2145. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:04:23 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161014663.507055.287520@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168162

Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark wrote at qrz.com:
>
> well myself it was alomst that easy but then I have Master degree in
> Geo-physics and do most of my work looking at sesmis wave progation

That is about the most absurd and funniest thing I have heard yet.
Morkie wouldn't know a "sesmis" wave from a doorknob, much less about
it's "progation". What an idiot.

2146. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:04:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161014685.850587.193480@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jimmie D wrote:
>
> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> the licence expires.

Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
Didn't show the proper dedication.

2147. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:06:54 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161014814.216147.259210@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168164
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

an_old_friend wrote:
> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> >
> id theft is a crime

It should have been a crime for your parents to breed.

2148. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:22:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cec7j29erlchrhvc2t58da81dn3lfbio78@4ax.com>
Source record: 168165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 16 Oct 2006 09:06:54 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>> >
>> id theft is a crime
>
>It should have been a crime for your parents to breed.
why ? they let yours
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2149. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:26:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <shc7j2pkvq2vfjtcnoglgaenfbusk797pi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Oct 2006 05:09:00 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>> >> >> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> >> >> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> >> >> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> >> >> more.
>> >> >
>> >> >What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >> >
>> >> >Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
>> >> >bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>> >>
>> >> Since 1955 for international civil aviation. It's taken
>> >> from the NATO approved phonetic alphabet which came out
>> >> earlier the same year. I remember it well since I had to
>> >> learn the "new" phonetic alphabet in a hurry while in the
>> >> Army then. :-)
>> >
>> >It took me about 20 minutes during a mid-shift to learn it.
>
>> not it took that long or maybe a bit longer but nothing like the time
>> I have wasted on Morse
>
>It took me about 7 weeks and lots of hours to get to about 10 words per
>minute. I couldn't get past that, so I got the consolation prize...
>Technician.
5 year at 40 minutes per day 5 days per week and nothing not even the
novice license the only thing I got out of the exercise was that
somebody spotted my dyslexia before things got to the point of
believing my fellows of the days charges of being stupid (the guys
thinks they are putting me they have nothing on prettens attacking a
felow pretten
>
>> >> >> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> >> >> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one of
>> >> >> the legs of a three leg stool.

>> >> >
>> >> >Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> >> >communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>> >>
>> >> Ever since 1955 the worldwide common language for civil
>> >> aviation communications on airways has been English
>> >> spoken as well as (now) data. That includes pilots as
>> >> well as controllers, even in and over their native
>> >> country; a non-English speaking country MAY use their
>> >> native language but the civil airways can have many
>> >> nations' aircraft in it.
>> >>
>> >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> >> century.
>> >
>> >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> >that.
>>
>> well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> air
>
>We should hear a loud "pop."
>
>> >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> >
>> >Slow is, well, slow.
>
>> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> faint praise.
>
>He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
he might maybe another current RRAPer
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2150. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:28:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qmc7j21bde4ppps3m7lc0608dqvr4n2mg0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Oct 2006 09:00:10 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > > the cllosest is boils down to "Tradition" and is fine as far as it goes
>> > > but they all fall down toin todays world
>> >
>> > Good Grief! It's as if the US Navy really does control the ARS, just
>> > like they wanted to do in the early days. With the Navy, its all about
>> > tradition.
>
>> I was thinking of jews esp east european jews ala fiddler on the roof
>
>I don't know much about them. Do they oppress their own people?
at times if they step out of line and dare to be different the fear
was being different would cause harm to everyone for the jews it was
not completely irrational as the Czars and Adolf have shown over the
year
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2151. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:28:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <epc7j2ter0erdtkuvkadsi5rmb7n6i6tam@4ax.com>
Source record: 168168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Ron wrote:
>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>
>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>
>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>
>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>he is busy working at Buger King.
I suspect he is arround posting under yet another nick
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2152. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) A Direct Question?...Who's "Robesin" and What's The Question?...Can Brain Not Answer For Himself How HE Should Feel? Does His Mommy Still Wipe His Butt And Change His Diapers For Him Too?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:36:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mqc7j29u27loibnvoike8d3hkfic23bpi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168169

On 16 Oct 2006 05:47:11 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 14 Oct 2006 15:30:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> >> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Nope.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
>> >> >
>> >> Nope. Just called em like I see them.
>> >
>> >Nope. You're just forging attributes and lying about it.
>
>> but of course it is Ok he is allowed by secert clause of the ITU traty
>
>Hi! I've heard rumor of this secret clause, but have never seen it.
>Maybe now that we've got someone from the home team in the UN, that
>secret clause can see the light of day.

indeed I have hope for more daylight at least at the un maybe it will
take mim jung ill blowing the roof off the place though
>
>> >> You're a little snot trying to scam his way through posts with
>> >> nothing but sleaze.
>> >>
>> >> See...?!?!

>> >>
>> >> It works.
>> >
>> >No, it doesn't work. You're a forger and a liar. See where forging
>> >and lying gets you?
>>
>> indeed He used to be a dominatn and some what respected poster today
>> he is a beaten fugitive from RRAP beaten by a man he claims is
>> completely imcopenant
>
>It would have been better for him to have left on his own, with
>dignity.

or better stil he could of tried acting like a human being and stayed
>
>> >> > > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>> >> > >
>> >> > > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> >> > > from hurting your feelings.....
>> >> >
>> >> > No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>> >>
>> >> I did once upon a time.
>> >
>> >Another lie.
>> >
>> >> But you didn't care about mine.
>> >
>> >Another lie. You talk about my children and my wife. I don't bring
>> >your children or your wife into the discussion.
>> >
>> >> Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
>> >> to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
>> >> treated...
>> >
>> >Kindly point to this post about your single hostile action.
>>
>> he can't
>
>He won't.

can't it would destory his self image
>
>> >> > You only laugh to keep from crying as I rub your nose in your own
>> >> > excrement (in another man's lap - you sick bastard).
>> >>
>> >> No...You've not been able to rub my nose in anything.
>> >
>> >Denial gets you nowhere.
>>
>> have to correct you it gets him somewhere ...in deeper

>
>Deeper in his own excrement that we've heard too much about.

yep
>
>> >> You TRY to make issues with out-of-context quotes and out-and-out
>> >> lies, but you've not "rubbed" anything of mine in anything, Brain.
>> >
>> >If you quote yourself, then how have I manipulated what you said?
>>
>> indeed I quote what seems enough but granting him the larger quote he
>> still claims I ate his shit which has to be a lie since I have never
>> met him
>
>Had he never made this "excrement eating" claim, he'd be far, far ahead
>in the game. But he had to be clever and post something so off topic
>and so very, very disgusting in order to smear you. And in his
>cleverness, he got it wrong.

he had to try to be clever
>
>He's a self-declared, excrement eating idiot.
yep

>
>> >> If you THINK that's what you've been doing, well then, I guess we
>> >> just found once more thing that you've been confused and deluded about,
>> >> brain.
>> >
>> >No delusion. No confusion. Your nose has your excrement on it.
>>
>> and we are not interested in learning exactly how it got there
>
>Uh, yeh. His outrages have absolutely no place on RRAP.

indeed if we still fighting the issues out they would have torpedoed
them he has become the best advocate for what he claims to depise
>
>> >> > > > What do you call a guy who can't post to RRAP without lying?
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Steven J. Robesin
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Oh...Is THAT the name you're using now, Brain...?!?!
>> >> >
>> >> > Is it?
>> >>
>> >> You tell me. The question was posed to you.
>> >
>> >Is Brain the name I'm using now?
>> >
>> >> > > We're S T I L L wating on some validation of all the really,

>> >> > > REALLY stupid assertions YOU have made vis-a-vis "emergency comms",
>> >> > > MARS, ARES, MARS, etc.
>> >> >
>> >> > I've never claimed that other people eat my excrement...
>> >>
>> >> Nor have I.
>> >
>> >>From your quote of yourself, it sure looks like you've made such
>> >claims.
>> >
>> >> Would you be willing to provide the post wherein I allegedly said
>> >> that others "eat my excrement"...?!?!
>> >
>> >I have no need to do so. You made the original claim, then your
>> >requoted yourself. Don't go blaming me for your screwups.
>>
>> iindeed there are more 50 posts of Robeson own authorship where make
>> the claim that I personal have eaten his shit
>
>He's so clever that he srewed up his smear attack on you. He's an
>idiot.

the worst of it he too stupid to see what he has done even now
>
>> >> > Robesin has!
>> >>
>> >> He has? Where?
>> >
>> >In RRAP.
>> >
>> >> > Do we need validation, or should we take it at face value?
>> >>
>> >> If you were TRYING to quote me, Brain, you failed.
>> >
>> >If I was "TRYING" to quote Robesin, then I would have failed. I need
>> >not quote him because he quoted himself. Don't go blaming me for your
>> >screwups.
>>
>> noe me Robeson
>> >
>> >> > > WHY do you seem intnet on trying to "diss" me over these issues
>> >> > > when YOU are the one with failure issues?
>> >> >
>> >> > "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio."
>> >>
>> >> Still out of context.
>> >
>> >It is not what you meant?
>>
>> very likely but that is why they call em freadian slips

>
>I don't know what kind of slips he wears under his nurses uniform, but
>he really needs to start saying what he means, and mean what he says.

yep
>
>> >> Still as lame as ever.
>> >
>> >It wasn't my claim.
>>
>> or mine
>
>It was self-declared, by him.
>
>> >> As usual.
>> >
>> >As usual.
>> >
>> >> > > > > Nor is there anything untrue in my suggestions.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Untrue lies? More Robesin conundrums?
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Still no validation from you that anything I said was untrue.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Just a lame dodge.
>> >> >
>> >> > Your lies >>>ARE<<< untrue.
>> >>
>> >> What lies?
>> >
>> >The untrue ones. You've stacked them up like cordwood.
>>
>> indeed you have stackem high to keep me warm for week were it real
>> cordwood
>
>If only Robesin's thoughts were warm.

they are ahtefull but the sort of heat just sucks energy out of the
situation
>
>> >> > > > > > > > > BB is not homosexaul for that matter neither am I
>> >> > > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > > Brain probably is not
>> >> > > > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > > Robesin probably is.
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > Nope.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > Probably is.

>> >> > >
>> >> > > Nope.
>> >> >
>> >> > Tendencies, Robesin, tendencies... The ones you exhibit in nearly
>> >> > every RRAP posting.
>> >>
>> >> Are you addressing me or this "Robesin" person, Brain?
>> >
>> >Am I?
>> >
>> >> Ask your doctor for a script of "Aricept". It might help with your
>> >> confusion issues.
>> >
>> >Is the erection supposed to last for more than 4 hours?
>> >
>> >> > > > > > His constant references to male genitalia and fixation on all things of
>> >> > > > > > a homosexual nature pretty much overcome his claims of being butch.
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > And a male nurse?
>> >> > > > > > >
>> >> > > > > > C'mon. He's only kidding himself.
>> >> > > > > >
>> >> > > > > Nope.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > Yup. One day you'll make an announcement and no one will be surprised.
>> >> > > > All that secrecy and suffering for nothing.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > An "announcement"...?!?!
>> >> > >
>> >> > As in a public admission.
>> >>
>> >> I know what an "announcement" is, Brain.
>> >
>> >Then why did you ask?
>>
>> becuase he igmainise it diverts atention from his acts
>
>It doesn't. It just makes him look more stupid, if that's possible.

it is possible but just barring
>
>> >> You ahve yet to define what this alleged "announcement" is about.
>> >
>> >That is for Robesin to decide.
>
>> it seems to be a confession of homosexaulity
>
>We'll have to wait for him to make his announcement..., but it doesn't
>look good from here.

indeed if he just comes out of the cloest he will feel better about
himself and his fellow man
>
>> >> > > I'm already married and unless I hit the Lottery, I can't imagine
>> >> > > what "announcement" you'd make reference to.
>> >> >
>> >> > Foley was married. Ditto the NJ Governor. Ditto you...
>> >>
>> >> Yep. But they are gay. I'm not...Not even "pretend".
>> >
>> >Robesin pretends not to be.
>>
>> he certainly seems to be confessing to being gay
>
>I don't care if he is or isn't, but he sure does protest a lot.

I don't care other than wanting him to confess so he can feel better
and have less bile to use in attacking everyone
>
>> >> > > > > But your wife's married to a pathological liar. Pity her.
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Why is my wife part of your discussion? I hope you're not gay and a
>> >> > > > wife swapper, too.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I'd not "swap wives" for a woman that would marry you, Brain. I
>> >> > > just CAN'T imagine.......
>> >> >
>> >> > Apparently you ARE a wife swapper, just claim not to be interested in
>> >> > mine.
>> >>
>> >> Oh?
>> >>
>> >> Where did you get THIS idea from, Brain?
>> >
>> >Out of three billion women in the world, mine is the only one that you
>> >wouldn't swap with. That leaves 2,999,999,999 that are in your zone.
>> >
>> >> From the same mind that said "Unlicensed devices play a major role
>> >> in emergency communications?"
>> >
>> >They haven't?
>> >
>> >> > So why is my wife a part of your discussion?
>> >>
>> >> It's not a discussion.
>> >
>> >So why is my wife a part of your postings?
>>
>> and mine and my father todds perants and...
>
>Don't forget the free UP attorney's wife...

yea the one he lied about
>
>Just how stupid can Robesin be?
>
>> >> I've asked you if you'd be as equally dishonest and deceitful if
>> >> your spouse was party to your actions herein.
>> >
>> >Why is my wife a part of your postings?
>>
>> because he lacks any real point to make
>
>Because he's a creep.
>
>> >> > > > > And I see you're still without adequate spine as to attach your
>> >> > > > > name to your posts, Brain.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Coward?
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >> > > >
>> >> > > > Should I be afraid of you?
>> >> > >
>> >> > > The question is WHY are you afraid...???
>> >>
>> >> > No, that is not the question.
>> >>
>> >> Sure it is. I asked it.
>> >
>> >Are you a coward?
>>
>> seems to be
>
>He sees that he can't get to me, so he starts in on the wife and
>kids...

and all behind the safety of a computer screen
>
>> >> You don't seem to be able to make an adequate self-determination
>> >> as to what you should be feeling.
>> >
>> >I think you're a coward. Is that adequate enough?
>> >
>> >> > I asked if I should be afraid of you.
>> >>
>> >> That's only a question that you can answer yourself. I can't
>> >> answer it for you. I don't know (nor do I care) what scares you and
>> >> what doesn't.
>> >
>> >Should I be afraid of you?
>> >
>> >> Personally, there's not a whole lot that scares me. Certainly

>> >> nothing that you or your Feeble Five bretheren could muster up, even if
>> >> you were all able to show up at my house simultaneously.
>> >
>> >Is that an invitation for five RRAPpers to visit you?
>>
>> personaly if it is. I respetfully decline
>
>I've got better things to do, Memphis/Graceland for one. I wonder if
>Elvis had a flight suit?

frankly I have better things to do ...taking out the trash and burning
qualifies
>
>> >> > Can you answer a direct question, or are you too much of a sawed-off
>> >> > coward to do so?
>> >>
>> >> There's nothing cowardly about me not being able to answer a
>> >> question that is not for ME to answer. It's for YOU to answer as to
>> >> whether you should be afraid of ANYthing...
>> >
>> >Should I be afraid of you?
>> >
>> >> I pretty much figure you for a Don Knotts type. Backfiring dump
>> >> trucks probably give yo cause to hit the deck.
>> >>
>> >> Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >Yo yourself sawed-off man.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2153. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:37:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g9d7j2dcegciefok40flgkokaumdq0urh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168170

On 16 Oct 2006 04:57:06 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > Iitoi wrote:
>>
>> > > > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all of
>> > > > the petitions.
>> >
>> > > yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem relavant
>> >
>> > Ouch! And me an ARRL member.
>>
>> I don't how you can stanbd being memebr but we each different for
>> diferent types of shit
>
>I'm an optimist.
fair enough I simply could not take anymore was thinking about giving
them another try to til Carl
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2154. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:38:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9bd7j2l0c7kqolhoakbqfuq42au6pqd00s@4ax.com>
Source record: 168171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 11:41:55 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 16:30:02 +0000 (UTC), Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
>> Woger hasn't done his usual drive-by shooting of the newsgroups this
>> morning, so I assume he's had to report to Northern Regional Jail
>> early, in order to make up for getting last Saturday off.
>>
>> Once Woger is released on Monday morning, he'll show up on NIM Busters
>> to crow about how much good sex he gets over the weekend, while his
>> nemesis Lloyd Davies has to spend the weekend alone.
>>
>> Now we know who Woger's "high class models" really are.
>
>There's a Wiseman in Tygart Valley Regional Jail, but the web site won't
>give any additional info right now because of server maintenance work.
>It does say that Wiseman is 5'2" tall, and that sounds about right for
>Woger's height. I will be checking http://www.wvrja.com/ from time to
>time until it starts working again. I wonder if they cut Woger's long,
>greasy hair when he went to jail?
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2155. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:39:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ocd7j2pvofi51k2jrtcmhtbhalqhe33uib@4ax.com>
Source record: 168172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>>
>> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>
>Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
movement
>
>I thought not, you lying sack of shit.
indeed you think not at all
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2156. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:41:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nfd7j2d90d13i7kfvmml685d14jlac10on@4ax.com>
Source record: 168173

On 16 Oct 2006 04:25:34 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> Well, now, Mark, that's interesting. A few questions:
>
>> What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>>
>> What is the title of your Masters thesis?
>>
>> Why do you hyphenate the word "geophysics?" It isn't hyphenated in
>> normal usage. A geophysicist would know that.
>>
>> What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
>> "progate?"
>>
>> There's an old saying in baseball. You can bullshit the fans but you
>> can't bullshit the players.
>>
>> That wasn't even a good try, Mark.
>
>Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
>
>Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?
harassment
>
>Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
>happened to be RRAP?

and off topic
>
>There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
>amateur radio?
>
>Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?
>
>I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.
indeed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2157. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:45:03 UTC
From: Rhonda Lea Kirk <rhondalea@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh0d75gsc1@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

```
cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>>>
>> id theft is a crime

Froggery is not identity theft.

> It should have been a crime for your parents to breed.

The problem probably goes back more than one generation.

--
Rhonda Lea Kirk
```

Happiness limits the amount of suffering one is
willing to inflict on others. Ph&#65533;dre n&#65533; Delaun

2158. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:45:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161017153.843097.122010@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:

> > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
> >
> > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>
> Maybe so!

Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
"restructuring".

> Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
> little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
> down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
> making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
>
> It sets a bad precedent.

In what respect?

> > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>
> I think we're on to the same idea.

> I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.

PIAs. Their problem.

> Sure, they say certain
> things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
> like to at least some of them:
>
> We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
> treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
> services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for

> more enforcement.
>
> Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
> it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
> lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
> raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
> state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
> amateurs are wrong.

The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.

>
> And now the big one:
>
> We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
> cannot agree about what we really want.
>
> I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
> work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
> petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
> an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
> easy.
>
> Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
> called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
> comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
> CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
> for both of them).
>
> Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
> angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
> what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC
> resources.

> But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
> so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.

> The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> them.

No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.

> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
> reply comments, which they have to wade through.

No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado. He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his nonsense.

> Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
> worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
> and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
> proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
> in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.

> When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
> got widespread support in the comments?

Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans. We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is, the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2159. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:52:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017578.258601.260990@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 21:24:10 -0700, bill_newport.4land did most oddly
> state:
>
> Only if one is organ-legging.

not a bad rejioner of course you are not likely to see this reply

2160. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:53:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017606.484402.262740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 01:33:48 +0000 (UTC), Mark Foley <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote:
> >
> > Remember, you promised. And besides they're Len Anderson's and
> > have the IEEE logo on them. He wants them back.
>
> Yeah, Mark. Give them back.
hi steve.

2161. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:54:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017683.639215.11260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Jimmie D wrote:
> >
> > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> > Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> > the licence expires.
>
> Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
> Didn't show the proper dedication.

and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
bullshit they must endure from other hams

2162. Re: why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:57:56 UTC
From: Mark <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
Message-ID: <4533ad28$0$19683$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168179

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1161014399.931542.94370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
>> the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
>> get though
>>
>> but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
>> the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management
>
> Anyone translate what this moron is trying to say?
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2163. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:59:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017946.052155.284940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Rhonda Lea Kirk wrote:
> cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> >>>
> >> id theft is a crime
> >
> Forgery is not identity theft.

sure it is but since the poster of that is a self proclamed kkok she
would not know that

forgery is the eseense of Id theft and is prt of it's legal defination

2164. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 16:59:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161017983.503957.123050@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168181

Mark wrote:
>
id theft is crime

2165. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:01:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fke7j21e4jse1nok9v8556gfet260a726s@4ax.com>
Source record: 168182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Oct 2006 09:45:53 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>> > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
>> > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
>> >
>> > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
>>
>> Maybe so!
>
>Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
>"restructuring".
luddit
>
>> Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
>> little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
>> down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
>> making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.
>
>> > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
>> > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
>> > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
>>
>> It sets a bad precedent.
>
>In what respect?
>
>> > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
>> > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
>> > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
>> > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
>>
>> I think we're on to the same idea.
>
>> I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
>
>PIAs. Their problem.

>
>> Sure, they say certain
>> things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
>> like to at least some of them:
>>
>> We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
>> treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
>> services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
>> more enforcement.
>>
>> Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
>> it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
>> lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
>> raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
>> state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
>> amateurs are wrong.
>
>The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
>overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
>crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
>the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
>brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
>our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
>all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
>and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
>public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
>
>
>>
>> And now the big one:
>>
>> We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
>> cannot agree about what we really want.
>>
>> I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
>> work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
>> petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
>> an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
>> easy.
>>
>> Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
>> called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
>> comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
>> CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
>> for both of them).
>>
>> Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
>> angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
>> what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC
>> resources.

>
>> But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
>> so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.
>
>> The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
>> gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
>> virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
>> them.
>
>No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
>
>> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
>> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
>> reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
>No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
>vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
>their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since
>gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
>rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
>trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
>nonsense.
>
>> Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
>> worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
>> and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
>> proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
>> in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.
>
>> When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
>> got widespread support in the comments?
>
>Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real
>eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't
>understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different
>from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and
>the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my
>take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus
>than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans.
>We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
>preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
>the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2166. Re: Beer is for drinking.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:01:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533ade4$0$19646$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168183
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:cqoqo.v5j.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
>
>
i dee tehft is a chrime

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2167. why isn't Cw saving the Day in the big island

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:01:55 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161018115.454613.28500@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168184

all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
get though

but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management

why is this happening where the CW op to save the day?

2168. Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:07:38 UTC
From: "brentoli@gmail.com" <brentoli@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161018458.399299.214130@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
don't respect it. And there are many other people like that. So please
dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.

73's
KC0DOH

Brent Jensen

2169. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:08:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533afb1$0$19606$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >You may
>> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
>> >> > that
>> >> > we have a problem. Right?
>> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >> >
>> >
>> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>> >
>> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>
>> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>
> Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> any valid reasoning.
If he and I wanted to have sex I'd invite him over, for that matter if
Brian Burke is in the nighboorhood he is invited to drop by for a blow job
two

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2170. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:11:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533b068$0$19602$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168187

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160999826.144836.194250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > Iitoi wrote:
>>
>> > > > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all
>> > > > of
>> > > > the petitions.
>> >
>> > > yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem
>> > > relavant
>> >
>> > Ouch! And me an ARRL member.
>>
>> I don't how you can stanbd being memebr but we each different for
>> diferent types of shit
>
> I'm an optimist.
If he and I wanted to have sex I'd invite him over, for that matter if
Brian Burke is in the nighboorhood he is invited to drop by for a blow job
two
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2171. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:13:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533b0e6$0$25332$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168188

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:g9d7j2dcegciefok40flgkokaumdq0urh0@4ax.com...
> them another try to til Carl
>
whos giong two rototill carl tem bastreds!
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2172. Re: cock theft is a crime,marqueer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:19:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533b237$0$19735$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"The God of Odd Statements" <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.16.10.14.20.223164@statements.likeyours...
> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 21:24:10 -0700, bill_newport.4land did most oddly
> state:
>
> Only if one is organ-legging.
>
> --
>
__1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops.
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction
ex-shemale
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on USENET.

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

_____
> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
> Demon Lord of Confusion
> COOSN-029-06-71069
> Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
> Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!
>
> "Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring,
> and well-read?
> A: A tourist." -- Anonymous
>
> "It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I
> have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool
> of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the
> group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has
> bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine..

> 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur
> reached new heights, in Message-ID:
> <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
> "Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H.
> L. Mencken
>
> "Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially
> supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the
> beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly
> impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by
> this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a
> hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other
> part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'
>
> "If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be
> deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the
> United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against
> Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall
> you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House
> members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize
> investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same
> designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them
> up." -- William Rivers Pitt

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2173. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 17:24:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4533b35d$0$19638$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2174. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 18:07:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161022029.208903.112980@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

galaxyguy wrote:
> toddaug555@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:
> >
> > none...this is a low power / Pirate radio station on the shortwave
> > bands....we've been off the air for a while because our transmitter
> > went out and were replacing it.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> Galaxy Guy replied:
> Thanks for the news and I'll have to look out for your future
> transmissions.
> Could you let us know what time UTC/GMT the programmes will air?
> Do you concentrate on speech, music or both and if music what genre?
> Cheers in advance.

Were on on 24/7 and the vast majority of it is music, however I do from
time to time talk

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

2175. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 18:51:34 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168192

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
>
> Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?

The qrz topic is radio related. It had to do with how easy the
Technician exam is these days and how it is so easy that people who
know nothing about ham radio can pass it. Markie just HAD to respond
and flaunt his superior intellectual capabilities.

> Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
> happened to be RRAP?

You have a problem with that? Life ain't fair. Learn to deal with it.

> There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
> amateur radio?

If you can't see the relevance then I'll translate: it's a lot easier
to lie to spectators about what's happening on the playing field than
it is to lie to the people actually playing the game.

> Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?

I've seen some of your posts. You have no room to talk. Besides,
since this is RRAP, I figured I should use words you are used to.

> I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.

It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?

2176. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 19:07:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161025640.240496.126150@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168193

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> KØHB wrote:

> > > . . . Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> > > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> > > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> > > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
> >
> > I see something a bit less nefarious. I think the FCC made a decision
> > without thinking it through--perhaps pushed to do so by the ARRL call
> > for action to be taken.

That's another possibility. By whatever means it happened this one
seems to have a "quality control problem" . . . strange . .

> What will be interesting is to see if the
> Commission corrects its action or simply attempts to justify it.

Or they just publish and go do something else. I don't remember them
ever doing a post-publishing "explanation". Then comes the fact that
this flap is about a slice of currently very underutilized spectrum
space. Which is to say it really doesn't much matter how it gets
"refarmed".

> You're certainly correct that there seems to have been almost no raising
> of the alarm by General Class licensees.

If I was a General I certainly wouldn't complain about getting access
to 3800-3850. Which *does* matter.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2177. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 19:14:59 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <igm7j2dtbfooo3ckggqt6s6rb8u6tp3kgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:28:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>>Ron wrote:
>>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>>
>>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>>
>>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>>
>>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>>he is busy working at Buger King.
>I suspect he is arround posting under yet another nick
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Do you know his call sign?

2178. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 19:53:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161028415.259323.74540@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168195

Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
> >
> > Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?
>
> The qrz topic is radio related.

What part of your carry over to RRAP is radio related?

> It had to do with how easy the
> Technician exam is these days and how it is so easy that people who
> know nothing about ham radio can pass it. Markie just HAD to respond
> and flaunt his superior intellectual capabilities.

Again, why do you bring a non-topic to RRAP?

> > Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
> > happened to be RRAP?
>
> You have a problem with that? Life ain't fair. Learn to deal with it.

Sorry Robesin, I'm not buying any.

> > There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
> > amateur radio?
>
> If you can't see the relevance then I'll translate: it's a lot easier
> to lie to spectators about what's happening on the playing field than
> it is to lie to the people actually playing the game.

What game?

> > Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?
>
> I've seen some of your posts. You have no room to talk. Besides,
> since this is RRAP, I figured I should use words you are used to.

Robesin sins when he thinks God can't hear him.

> > I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.
>
> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?

Thanks for playing, Robesin.

2179. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 19:55:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161028541.011401.243620@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168196

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark wrote at qrz.com:
> >
> > well myself it was alomst that easy but then I have Master degree in
> > Geo-physics and do most of my work looking at sesmis wave progation
>
> That is about the most absurd and funniest thing I have heard yet.

I disagree. "Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" takes the cake.

> Morkie wouldn't know a "sesmis" wave from a doorknob, much less about
> it's "progation". What an idiot.

Robesin wouldn't know MARS from Mercury.

2180. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:01:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Jimmie D wrote:
> > >
> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> > > Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long befor
> > > the licence expires.
> >
> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first place.
> > Didn't show the proper dedication.
>
> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> bullshit they must endure from other hams

Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
on RRAP n't ham radio.

2181. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:11:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161029506.184877.70850@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168198

```
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 14 Oct 2006 15:42:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 13 Oct 2006 00:08:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
> >> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
> >> >> > > > > >
> >> >> > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
> >> >> > > >
> >> >> > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Nope.
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
> >> >
> >> > Nope. Just called em like I see them.'
> >
> >> no you comited forgery
> >
> >Yes, Robesin is a forger.

> big time the only question is forgering himself

He's forged his on-screen life, why wouldn't he forge his off-screen
life?

> >> >> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
> >> >> > from hurting your feelings.....
> >> >>
> >> >> No one here is worried about my "feelings."
> >> >
> >> > I did once upon a time.
> >
> >> you have not truely cared about anybody feeling in the 8 years you
> >> have been posting
```

> >
> >Another truth. Jeez! If I had know a truth fest was about to break
> >out I would have dressed for the occassion.
>
> I normaly tell the truth I merely am honest enough to give the
> parameter where one should assume I may be lying

Sometimes I stretch the truth like when I say Robesin is nuts. He's
actually crazy, but I try to be polite.

> >> > But you didn't care about mine.
> >
> >Wha, wha, wha! It's all about Robesin's feelings.
>
> indeed he is very concerned about his own feeling and one elses

Poor thing.

> >> > Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
> >> >to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
> >> >treated...
> >>
> >> you seem to think that only you have any right to privacy steve
> >
> >Don't talk about Amy. Don't talk about Taelor.
> >
> >But Robesin can say anything he wants about other people's wives and
> >kids.
>
> futher I said nothing about them that doesn't come straight from
> Robeson own posting or webpages

Hey, he finds what people have said elsewhere to be fair game on RRAP.

> >> what right do you have to harrass any of us In private and expect us
> >> not to take it public you the same to me several time before I just
> >> dumped that last out on the net
> >
> >Some CBer probably shut him down for "agitating" and he had to find
> >another "creative" outlet...
>
> yep or maybe a Tech worked a piece of dx he could not manage or his dog
> peed on his amp

Yowee! Wouldn't want to be that dog.

> >> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
> >> publicaly harass them steve
> >
> >What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will????? There must be
> >something terribly wrong with you.
>
> you are I submit to few people trying to force themselves on me

Robesin can force himself on a different audience - one that doesn't
know him. It's too late for the folks on rrap.

> >> flushing the rest before I bark on the keyboard
> >
> >I haven't barked in a while, bit if there were ever the right moment
> >for barking, this is it! Some growling, too.

> ah well I meant to say barf but barking is good too

I know what you meant - it was funny.

2182. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:21:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bdq7j29ibs46gr2fclpig92baprue8gde0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168199
Cross-posted to: alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:01:04 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

id theft is a crime

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2183. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:22:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pfq7j2ln7bt6nl2cj2ura81gshgk9bnnk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 168200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:24:24 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2184. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:22:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ngq7j215fi20u6kt07tlsn6jrc4ln0ud0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:08:45 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2185. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:23:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mhq7j21qaq7ri7ti7onr1iu74taqjldcd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 14:14:59 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:28:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Ron wrote:
>>>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>>>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>>>
>>>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>>>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>>>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>>>
>>>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>>>
>>>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>>>he is busy working at Buger King.
>>I suspect he is arround posting under yet another nick
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>Do you know his call sign?
no I do not know his call sign I suspect it is k4yz but that is mere
suspision
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2186. Re: Why Is Robesin Afraid Of Answereing (Answereing...?!?!) stop the lying and admit that is the problem you are a coward Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:29:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <viq7j2tgpiv83v2mgv5embl4kmfdlvc4dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168203

```
On 16 Oct 2006 13:11:46 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 14 Oct 2006 15:42:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 00:08:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > > > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wote:
>> >> >> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > > > >
>> >> >> > > > > > Still forging attributes, Robesin?
>> >> >> > > > > >
>> >> >> > > > > Nothing "forged" about it.
>> >> >> > > > >
>> >> >> > > > Sure there is. And you lied again.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > Nope.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Yes, Robesin. You forged the attributes. No one else did it for you.
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope. Just called em like I see them.'
>> >
>> >> no you comited forgery
>> >
>> >Yes, Robesin is a forger.
>
>> big time the only question is forgering himself
>
>He's forged his on-screen life, why wouldn't he forge his off-screen
>life?

iindeed I suspect his has I sometimes wonder if ran over the real
robeson and stole hthe dead amns id
>
>> >> >> > > Hey, I've got a joke for you...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You ARE a joke, Brain...One that everyone "laughs" at only to keep
>> >> >> from hurting your feelings.....
```

>> >> >>
>> >> >> No one here is worried about my "feelings."
>> >> >
>> >> > I did once upon a time.
>> >
>> >> you have not truely cared about anybody feeling in the 8 years you
>> >> have been posting
>> >
>> >Another truth. Jeez! If I had know a truth fest was about to break
>> >out I would have dressed for the occassion.
>>
>> I normaly tell the truth I merely am honest enough to give the
>> parameter where one should assume I may be lying
>
>Sometimes I stretch the truth like when I say Robesin is nuts. He's
>actually crazy, but I try to be polite.

there someething to oversimplfing In rrap I often gloss over minor
points in on radio matters to get to the point

everyone steches or abuses the truth a bit

robeson rapes the truth
>
>> >> > But you didn't care about mine.
>> >
>> >Wha, wha, wha! It's all about Robesin's feelings.
>>
>> indeed he is very concerned about his own feeling and one elses
>
>Poor thing.

indeed with micron thin skin
>
>> >> > Brought private e-mail content that you said was "private straight
>> >> >to RRAP >>>THEN<<< have the temerity to whine about how YOU get
>> >> >treated...
>> >>
>> >> you seem to think that only you have any right to privacy steve
>> >
>> >Don't talk about Amy. Don't talk about Taelor.
>> >
>> >But Robesin can say anything he wants about other people's wives and
>> >kids.
>>
>> futher I said nothing about them that doesn't come straight from
>> Robeson own posting or webpages
>
>Hey, he finds what people have said elsewhere to be fair game on RRAP.

again he think the rules to apply to him. when taken far enough is
becomes sociopthaic beahavoir robeson seems to be far enough down that

road
>
>> >> what right do you have to harrass any of us In private and expect us
>> >> not to take it public you the same to me several time before I just
>> >> dumped that last out on the net
>> >
>> >Some CBer probably shut him down for "agitating" and he had to find
>> >another "creative" outlet...
>>
>> yep or maybe a Tech worked a piece of dx he could not manage or his dog
>> peed on his amp
>
>Yowee! Wouldn't want to be that dog.

yea was reading a cautionary tale while I coaching ym wife through her
tech stuff gordo gave the advice not to place a vertical where fido
might think it was a tree for fiods sake
>
>> >> nobody has to respect your wish to privately harrass them as well as
>> >> publicaly harass them steve
>> >
>> >What??? You don't readily submit to Robesin's will????? There must be
>> >something terribly wrong with you.
>>
>> you are I submit to few people trying to force themselves on me
>
>Robesin can force himself on a different audience - one that doesn't
>know him. It's too late for the folks on rrap.

way too late. I hope he has found and that they find pleasenter than
we have
>
>> >> flushing the rest before I bark on the keyboard
>> >
>> >I haven't barked in a while, bit if there were ever the right moment
>> >for barking, this is it! Some growling, too.
>
>> ah well I meant to say barf but barking is good too
>
>I know what you meant - it was funny.
indeed an interesting slip though was it dylexia or freud I realy
don't know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2187. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:29:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <isq7j2ta6g9sbnblh6agbturusgqt1no1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 168204

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:13:47 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2188. Re: Member input WT 04-140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:29:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1tq7j21eekssgt4clqqv45ns61d8vms1ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 168205

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:11:45 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160999826.144836.194250@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > > Iitoi wrote:
>>>
>>> > > > And just a week earlier the ARRL demanded an Omnibus Action to all
>>> > > > of
>>> > > > the petitions.
>>> >
>>> > > yep but they ARRL has to enage is this crap to contiue to seem
>>> > > relavant
>>> >
>>> > Ouch! And me an ARRL member.
>>>
>>> I don't how you can stanbd being memebr but we each different for
>>> diferent types of shit
>>
>> I'm an optimist.
>If he and I wanted to have sex I'd invite him over, for that matter if
>Brian Burke is in the nighboorhood he is invited to drop by for a blow job
>two
>http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2189. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:30:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rtq7j2t37lsfk6vppkripf5tphtlanabe2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168206

On 16 Oct 2006 11:51:34 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
>>
>> Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?
>
>The qrz topic is radio related. It had to do with how easy the
>Technician exam is these days and how it is so easy that people who
>know nothing about ham radio can pass it. Markie just HAD to respond
>and flaunt his superior intellectual capabilities.
no I was comenting on the subject steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2190. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:31:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qvq7j29t8mbadofl782n2buphkk96v012g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:19:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:

>
>"The God of Odd Statements" <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote in message
and you are a criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2191. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:32:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <73r7j2hrur6um9n30sc84meveddgv20brv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 16 Oct 2006 10:07:38 -0700, "brentoli@gmail.com"
<brentoli@gmail.com> wrote:

>First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...
>
>I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
>that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
>in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
>don't respect it. And there are many other people like that. So please
>dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.

wel said
>
>73's
>KC0DOH
>
>Brent Jensen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2192. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:38:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161031139.456361.277660@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168209

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
> > >
> > > Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?
> >
> > The qrz topic is radio related.
>
> What part of your carry over to RRAP is radio related?

BTW he nor anybody said anything my coment in the qrz.com in the thread
where it seemed relavant
>
> > It had to do with how easy the
> > Technician exam is these days and how it is so easy that people who
> > know nothing about ham radio can pass it. Markie just HAD to respond
> > and flaunt his superior intellectual capabilities.
>
> Again, why do you bring a non-topic to RRAP?

you know why it is steve conducting his campaign of harrasment
>
> > > Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
> > > happened to be RRAP?
> >
> > You have a problem with that? Life ain't fair. Learn to deal with it.
>
> Sorry Robesin, I'm not buying any.

yep it would easier to respect if he would sign the post properly
>
> > > There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
> > > amateur radio?
> >
> > If you can't see the relevance then I'll translate: it's a lot easier
> > to lie to spectators about what's happening on the playing field than
> > it is to lie to the people actually playing the game.
>
> What game?
>
> > > Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?
> >
> > I've seen some of your posts. You have no room to talk. Besides,

> > since this is RRAP, I figured I should use words you are used to.
>
> Robesin sins when he thinks God can't hear him.

which make him a real fool soince god always hears
>
> > > I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.
> >
> > It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
> > Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?
>
> Thanks for playing, Robesin.

indeed he can
't know robeson or robesin is unless he is a long time reg here on rrap
and no real long regular is just making his first post on raap

2193. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 20:43:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Jimmie D wrote:

> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>
> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> on RRAP n't ham radio.
realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
sad frequency
```

2194. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 21:25:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

"Grandfathered"? How?

> I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
> that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
> in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
> don't respect it.

Thanks!

But consider that you could probably do it, too.

Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
more complex than that?

> And there are many other people like that. So please
> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>
No license class is meaningless.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2195. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 22:37:13 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161038233.251818.94530@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168212

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 7:09pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri, Oct 13 2006 10:15am
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed, Oct 11 2006 5:56 pm
>
>> >> >Its also interesting that when you use one of his tactics on him, he
>> >> >says that justifies him doing it in the first place. Such as Mark
>> >> >out-assholing him.
> >
>> >> It's a weird, delusional circular-logic thing of his.
> >
>> >Yeh, its hard to explain.
> >
>> >> He is too deluded about himself now to change, though.
> >
>> >His postings have the full support of his wife, he says.
>
>> Which one, I wonder? He's paid alimony to the first,
>> makes nice-nice claims about his second's amateur license,
>> but it probably in some delusional phase thinking about
>> wifey #3 that doesn't exist.
>
>> However, he IS condoned by all the amateur extras in
>> here with exceptions only of Hans and Alun. He is "A-OK"
>> with Jimmie Noserve and that Waffen SS guy...
>
>She has a ham license and she has an RRAP account. And she's posted
>here before.

Only once as I recall. Of course that could also have been
one of his alternate personalities. There's no easy way to
tell just from the posting.

>Yet she remains silent on her husbands heinous activities on RRAP.
>
>Or maybe she's a figment of his imagination and Robesin would have to
>roll out her old RRAP account when he's much too busy creating new
>accounts for our geophysicist visitors.

"Geophysicists?" :-)

Must have missed those. <shrug> Hawaii is the new
rock-and-roll state. Newington, CT, will ignore it as it
does all other things west of the Mississippi River...

>> >> >He quotes himself in here, then claims I have misquoted him.
> >
>> >> That's all in his delusional syndrome.
> >
>> >There is no logic in the stuff he says.
>
>> Such might exist in his delusional Stevieworld...?
>
>Precisely. I'm sure that everything makes sense... in his mind.

Problem is he tries to "fit in" with reality and that
doesn't work. He can't get by on bluffing and LIES.

For years he has tried to avoid posting anything
anywhere to document his alleged 18-year active USMC
career. He won't even make it available as private
e-mail.

>> >> What he "sees" on his screens seems to be different than
>> >> what everyone else sees. It is all part of his delusion.
> >
>> >If he would quit forging the attributes maybe he could keep it all
>> >straight.
>
>> He can't keep himself straight.
>
>> Just think, 18 years in a supposed career and he has NO
>> documentation of it, not even a snapshot!
>
>Certainly "Combat Camera" has some footage of his seven hostile
>actions?

Do they count tavern fights in Escondido or the Mojave?

>> >> I give Paul NO "benefit." I've gone round-and-around with him
>> >> both in public and private. That gives me a good feeling of
>> >> how he will "moderate" this group.
> >
>> >It will just end up with the Coders patting each other on the back for
>> >their heroics.
>
>> Sigh...a whole newsgroup devoted to MORSE MYTHS. :-(
>
>When hasn't it been so?

Ah, so true, so true... :-(

>> >> >True, but in ham-years, that is is just a blink away. I passed my
>> >> >Novice exams twenty (20) years ago this month and the Old-Timers still
>> >> >classify me as a newbie.
> >
>> >> In the minds of some olde-tymers they think they invented
>> >> ham radio. <shrug>
> >
>> >Even Hiram didn't invent ham radio.
>
>> Really? :-)
>
>Sad, but true.

Sic transit gloria mundi. ["so goes the glory of the world"]

>> I guess we need a "clarification" on that from Mister ham radio,
>> Jimmie Noserve. He always claims the "correct" definition on
>> just about everything. :-)
>
>Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
>factual.

Ol' Jimmie really gets off on the Philadelphia area "firsts."

He went into fantasy orgasm on ENIAC of 1946. He conveniently
left out the Colossus work in the UK from 1942 onwards and
made NO mention of the Atanasoff-Berry Computer at Iowa State
University in 1939. The "ABC" was recreated (almost exactly
as the original) in 1998 at ISU in Ames, IA.

I had originally mixed up 'Ohio' and 'Iowa' and 'OSU' v 'ISU.'
[thanks to a private e-mail from another, non-RRAP person]
My apologies to Iowa State and its grads and fans. Ohio State
had a good computer science section in 1970s and 1980s,
probably still has. Just the same, Professor John V. Atanasoff
had the first idea that was put into practice with the help
of graduate student Clifford Berry...in 1939 to 1942. Iowa
State has some very good pages on the "ABC" in the Internet,
including the working replica.

Jimmie also left out an important patent civil suit between
Honeywell and Sperry-Rand (which had bought out the ENIAC
rights to bail out a near-bankrupt Eckert and Mauchly).
ENIAC had claimed to be the "first." Problem is, Mauchly
had visited ISU, even staying at Atanasoff's hourse for days,
learning about the "ABC," seeing it, talking to Cliff Berry.
In 1941. All of that came out in court and ISU has copies
of the judge's 19 October 1973 final decision paper.

That sort of SELECTIVE highlighting with the sin of
omission or related facts is what the ARRL does most of
the time. Naturally, those who attended the "parochial
school" of the Church of St. Hiram will pick up on the

technique.

>> >> The Imposter is just another common carbon-copy of that
>> >> mid-life-crisis guy, but one on speed or uppers.
>> >
>> >Mitty sure gets around.
>>
>> Hmmm... "What goes around comes around?" [perish the thought!]
>
>And around and around and around (that shoelace).

Imposter is fit to be tied...or has a fit when tied too tight.
).

2196. Re: why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 22:45:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AYTYg.7896$Lv3.1829@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168213

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
>> the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
>> get though
>>
>> but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
>> the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management
>
> Anyone translate what this moron is trying to say?

I'm not sure about the last part but, as I understand it, the first paragraph means that all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to get through.

Dave K8MN

2197. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:06:55 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161040015.269643.319340@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168214

From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 9:45am

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > KØHB wrote:

>> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
>> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
>> reply comments, which they have to wade through.

"Self-proclaimed?" No, according to several corporations,
the IRS, the Franchise Tax Board of California, DCAS, and
the professional association known as the IEEE have all
said I was a professional...professional as in accepting
monetary compensation for work performed. :-)

>No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
>vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
>their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since
>gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
>rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.

Really?!? :-)

Kelly is now an "insider" at the FCC? :-)

No, sweetums, what you wrote is what YOU want to believe
happens. Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it
is true. :-)

>He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
>trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
>nonsense.

Poor baby, still hurting over past go-arounds in RRAP?

"Impact" is a very subjective word. What you really
want is to "impact" me with some heavy blunt object. :-)

Unfortunately, Kelly isn't able to get what he wants so
HE trolls with nastygrams on his perceived "enemies."

The FCC is supposed to accept input from *ALL* citizens.
That doesn't mean it accepts input only from special-
interest groups such as the ARRL in regards to amateur
radio. Nor is that input limited to radio manufacturers
whether their offices are in the USA or on foreign soil.

Since you don't seem to have observed any OTHER radio services' on-going agendas, you would be surprised at the number of individual citizen inputs the FCC DOES receive. Spend some time on those other issues, old-timer, get some better education on what the FCC gets (and must show to the rest of the public).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2198. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:12:35 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> > >> >Slow Code wrote:

> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> > >> century.
> > >
> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> > >that.
> >
> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> > air
>
> We should hear a loud "pop."
>
> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> > >
> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>
> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> > faint praise.
>
> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?

I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

2199. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:20:28 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0tUYg.44$xF1.2@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 168216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Jimmie D wrote:
>
>> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
> realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
> sad frequency
>

Well then Mark, do you think, just for a second, that possibly, just maybe,
that it could be YOU that brings out the best in everyone?
```

2200. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:20:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161040857.293628.98100@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:

> > > > Do you see the disparity, particularly for Generals? Why should it
> > > > exist? What did Generals do to merit losing so much spectrum?
> > > >
> > > Failed to upgrade is the trite answer.
> > >
> > Maybe so!
> >
> Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> "restructuring".

The more things change....

The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

> > Note how, in more than one Report and Order, FCC has pointed out how
> > little testing it takes to upgrade to Extra. FCC has repeatedly turned
> > down all proposals that would have granted instant upgrades, like
> > making all Advanceds into Extras. Maybe it's time to take the hint.

> > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > >
> > It sets a bad precedent.
> >
> In what respect?

That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.

> > > Maybe it's the result of the ARRLs
> > > pestering the FCC to publish a response to the NPRMs. So the FCC did
> > > and damn the torpedoes so this is what we got. For now. Bought the FCC
> > > more time to quietly come up with their "real" omnibus NPRM/R&O?
> > >
> > I think we're on to the same idea.
> >
> > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> >
> PIAs. Their problem.

Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.

> > Sure, they say certain
> > things - because they have to. But consider what amateur radio may look
> > like to at least some of them:

> > We have huge amounts of spectrum set aside for us by international
> > treaty, and we yelp at the loss of even small amounts of it to other
> > services.We don't generate any real revenue, yet we keep asking for
> > more enforcement.
> >
> > Users of other services complain about interference from us (even when
> > it's not our fault) and we complain about interference from power
> > lines, appliances, etc., that don't seem to bother anybody else. We
> > raise holy heck over new technologies like BPL, even though the
> > state-of-the-art experts who design the new technologies say we
> > amateurs are wrong.
>
> The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
> public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.

Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.

> > And now the big one:
> >
> > We amateurs send in way too many petitions/proposals to FCC, and then
> > cannot agree about what we really want.
> >
> > I think what FCC expects is for us hams to argue amongst ourselves and
> > work out what rules we really want *before* sending in any
> > petitions/proposals. Then, when a proposal is sent in and FCC gives it
> > an RM number, the comments are overwhelmingly positive and FCC's job is
> > easy.
> >
> > Look at the recent "bandwidth" proposals. ARRL sends in one, a group
> > called CTT sends in another. Both are given RM numbers and very short
> > comment periods. Both get a ton of comments - overwhelmingly opposed!
> > CTT is opposed even more than ARRL (something like 7 or 8 to 1 against
> > for both of them).
> >
> > Could be that the folks at FCC who have to go through all that are
> > angry at having to deal with it? Two groups who both claim to know
> > what's best for amateur radio sent in proposals that cost a lot of FCC

> > resources.
>
> > But neither group gets widespread amateur support *first*,
> > so the comments are an overwhelming "NO!" to both proposals.
>
> > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > them.
>
> No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.

There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
'em, though.

> > One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
> > doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
> > reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
> No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
> vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
> their inboxes and they know how to handle it.

Agreed.

> By now they've long since
> gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.

They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.

> He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> nonsense.

I doubt he knows it.

As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.

FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.

But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.

> > Now look at what Industry Canada dealt with. The national society
> > worked out a compromise on the issue, got consensus from its members
> > and the general Canadian amateur radio community, *then* made a
> > proposal - complete with detailed poll results. Proposal was supported
> > in comments and sailed right through. RAC made IC's work easy.
>
> > When's the last time *any* proposal sent to FCC by hams (not just ARRL)
> > got widespread support in the comments?

>
> Back when I worked in Canada for a number of months and it was a real
> eye-opener. One big lesson I learned is that Yanks in general don't
> understand that in many respects Canada is culturally quite different
> from the U.S. even though the language is functionally identical and
> the border is wide open by international standards. In a nutshell my
> take has been that Canadians are far more likely to come to a consensus
> than we are by their general nature. So are most of the Europeans.
> We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.

Yup.

But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
breath.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2201. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:27:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >>
>> >> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> >> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>> >
>> >Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>> >geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>> >what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>> >tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
>> sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
>> slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
>> movement
>[subject line restored]
>
>Wrong, but nice try.
what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
harrasment steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2202. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:32:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161041555.107286.208830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168219

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
> >factual.

Give us an example.

>
> He went into fantasy orgasm on ENIAC of 1946.

Just stating facts, Len. Nothing I stated about that machine was
incorrect.

> He conveniently
> left out the Colossus work in the UK from 1942 onwards and
> made NO mention of the Atanasoff-Berry Computer at Iowa State
> University in 1939. The "ABC" was recreated (almost exactly
> as the original) in 1998 at ISU in Ames, IA.

Was the Colossus a general purpose machine? Was it electronic, or
partly electromechanical? Did it have a jump instruction?

Or was it a custom-made special-purpose decryption machine?

As for the ABC, it was not completely electronic. More importantly, the
original was never completed. ENIAC was fully operational for over a
decade - ABC was not finished until 1998.

> I had originally mixed up 'Ohio' and 'Iowa' and 'OSU' v 'ISU.'
> [thanks to a private e-mail from another, non-RRAP person]
> My apologies to Iowa State and its grads and fans. Ohio State
> had a good computer science section in 1970s and 1980s,
> probably still has. Just the same, Professor John V. Atanasoff
> had the first idea that was put into practice with the help
> of graduate student Clifford Berry...in 1939 to 1942. Iowa
> State has some very good pages on the "ABC" in the Internet,
> including the working replica.

But the original ABC was never fully operational, nor complete.
>
> left out an important patent civil suit between
> Honeywell and Sperry-Rand (which had bought out the ENIAC
> rights to bail out a near-bankrupt Eckert and Mauchly).
> ENIAC had claimed to be the "first." Problem is, Mauchly
> had visited ISU, even staying at Atanasoff's hourse for days,
> learning about the "ABC," seeing it, talking to Cliff Berry.
> In 1941. All of that came out in court and ISU has copies

> of the judge's 19 October 1973 final decision paper.

Doesn't change the validity of what I wrote. The ENIAC was completed and operational by 1946. ABC was not. The patent in question was not relevant to which machine was the first general purpose, high speed, electronic digital computer.

> That sort of SELECTIVE highlighting with the sin of
> omission or related facts is what the ARRL does most of
> the time.

That's just sour graoes in your part, Len. Completely untrue.

2203. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:37:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lr58j25spc5irgfa136vqle4gbmak44611@4ax.com>
Source record: 168220

On 16 Oct 2006 16:32:35 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
>> >factual.
>
>Give us an example.
>
>>
>> He went into fantasy orgasm on ENIAC of 1946.
>
>Just stating facts, Len. Nothing I stated about that machine was
>incorrect.
>
>> He conveniently
>> left out the Colossus work in the UK from 1942 onwards and
>> made NO mention of the Atanasoff-Berry Computer at Iowa State
>> University in 1939. The "ABC" was recreated (almost exactly
>> as the original) in 1998 at ISU in Ames, IA.
>
>Was the Colossus a general purpose machine? Was it electronic, or
>partly electromechanical? Did it have a jump instruction?
why are you carring on like this you claim it is improper for len to
do and then do it yourself

newsflash Len has the same rights as you do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2204. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-16 23:59:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7578j2t4mmnpin8ml6uqp3co4e04e9abjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 19:56:38 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message

>Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to
>accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?
no lies to be called on

he posed his questioion as steve always no matter what answer he gets
calls it lie just more of the standard steve attack crap
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2205. id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:23:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qg88j255gk26ubo9a05ik588j61u36f4o3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:14:42 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
><daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
>wrote:

>>Wrong, but nice try.
>what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
>harrasment steve
>
>>
>Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?
no thread to hijack

just another of steve effort to conuct harrassment and get an answer
to question I refuse to answer and one that is off topic and not
proper to begin with
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2206. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:24:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4k88j2di204r3eepocga7f92v7b38nv8lv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:14:42 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
><daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
ect line restored]
>>
>>Wrong, but nice try.
>what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
>harrasment steve
>
>>
>Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?
indeed your obessive interest is proof that only prupose is harrasment
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2207. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:27:36 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8q88j2ln62r807nlg78kqdqm7lkgl98v5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 168226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > >that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

Does anybody know Slow Codes call? Thanks

2208. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:35:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ga98j2dbpdcitbhbfdrf6jk3ccorquh0ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 168227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 19:27:36 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
>>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>>> > >> century.
>>> > >
>>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>>> > >that.
>>> >
>>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>>> > air
>>>
>>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>>
>>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>>> > >
>>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>>
>>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>>> > faint praise.
>>>
>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

>
>
>Does anybody know Slow Codes call? Thanks
I doubt we will ever know for sure he too much of a coward
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2209. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TDVYg.10338$Y24.2823@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
news:4533CE1A.F115294@milestones.com:

> Th ARRL Auction is now open for preview. Auction begins October 23, 2006
> at 10AM ET.
>
> http://arrl.auctionanything.com/
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA
>
>

They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to support
policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what it used to
be before all the dumbing down.

SC

2210. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WDVYg.10340$Y24.5133@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>
>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>>
>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>> to the phone users.
>
> Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
> overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
>
> 73, Phil w7ox

You can thank the ITU and Nickle licenses for that.

SC

2211. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZDVYg.10342$Y24.7335@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
>> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...
>
> "Grandfathered"? How?

Techs that had passed elements 1A and 3 were grandfathered to general
after restructuring. I used to be a VE.

>> I know many people who are not Extras and who have not passed the 20wpm
>> that respect those OM very much. I love to sit at field day and watch
>> in awe at a CW operator. Just because I can't do it doesn't mean I
>> don't respect it.
>
> Thanks!

Ditto on the thanks.

>
> But consider that you could probably do it, too.
>
> Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
> kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
> more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
> people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
> more complex than that?
>
>> And there are many other people like that. So please
>> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>>
> No license class is meaningless.

Ahhhh...

2212. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%DVYg.10343$Y24.1750@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB QST ARL ARLB019
> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>
> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
> Report and Order in
> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
> 2006. In it, the FCC
> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
> Notice of Proposed
> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>
> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
> meter bands;
>
> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
> portions of the 2
> meter band;
>
> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>
> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
> from the
> International Space Station;
>
> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
> specific Amateur Radio
> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>
> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
> one application for
> a specific vanity call sign;
>
> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
> manufacturers;
>

> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
> surrounding waters
> more flexibility in providing emergency
> communications;
>
> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
> times and on all
> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
> make transmissions
> necessary to meet essential communication needs
> and to facilitate
> relief actions'';
>
> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
> specified for RACES
> stations;
>
> and
>
> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
> of test locations
> and times.
>
> In addition, the FCC took several other
> miscellaneous actions.
>
> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
> authorized to Novice and
> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
> increased the voice
> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
> licensees.
>
> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
> from 3800-4000 kHz,
> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
> will be able to use
> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
> Amateur Extras will
> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
> generous increase of
> 150 kHz.
>
> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
> will be able to use
> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> General class
> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
> kHz, an increase of
> 50 kHz.

>
> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
> phone privileges on
> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>
> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
> ARRL's gratitude
> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
> 11: ''On behalf of
> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
> Amateur Radio Service
> I want to express appreciation for your release
> yesterday of the
> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
> amending Part 97
> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
> in clearing this
> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
> Service in meeting
> its objectives, particularly with regard to
> providing emergency and
> public service communications.''
>
> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
> R&O is published
> in the Federal Register.
> NNNN
> /EX

It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.

SC

2213. I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1EVYg.10345$Y24.6111@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.

SC

2214. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2EVYg.10346$Y24.4259@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168234
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:4%BXg.22222$Ij.12681@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> You sound like you would be the sort of person people see along side a
>> highway with a flat tire and no spare.
>
> Exactly what happens to all Harley riders by choice.
> Why are you so against freedom of choice? There's
> an article in Worldradio about how ham radio saved
> a guy's life. I guess FM saving someone's life doesn't
> count with you, huh?

I'm not against choice. They can choose to be a ham, or they can choose
to be a CB'er. What they have to decide is how bad do they want to be a
ham. I enjoy hams on the bands, if I didn't, I'd buy a CB.

SC

2215. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6EVYg.10347$Y24.4038@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net:

>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1158983174.967897.172510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Opus- wrote:
>>> On Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:19:27 -0400, jawod <jawod@fuse.net> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>> >> Who the FUCK are YOU to make such a statement? You snot nosed,
>>> >> arrogant PRICK! You do NOT know the kind of person that I am!! Wake
>>> >> up and smell the cappuccino! Code is obsolete! Knowing code does
>>> >> NOTHING to make somebody an "asset to the service". And, could you
>>> >> explain what makes a person an "asset to the service"?
>>> >>
>>> >Jeez,
>>> >Chill out, eh?
>>>
>>> Sorry, but I get upset with people who make statements that are easily
>>> taken as personal insults.
>>
>> "Stuff happens."
>>
>> BTW, this "Jawod" signed a message on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
>> as "AB8O." I found a blank on that call sign at QRZ.
>>
>>
>>> >Yes it's obsolete. Yes, it's fun.
>>>
>>> I found it to be cold and impersonal.
>>
>> I agree. Manual radiotelegraphy has NONE of the body language
>> or tone of voice or much of anything that is normal in everyday
>> person-to-person contacts. Using this monotonic form of
>> very early radio allows any user to be anything they want with
>> no real references to anything but the ability to send telegraphy.
>>
>>
>>> >Should it be used to qualify? Let the FCC decide (soon).
>>>
>>> Here in Canada, they already have. I believe the FCC will soon.

>>>
>>> >If it is eliminated, will that change the "Service"? Maybe.
>>>
>>> Probably not.
>>
>> Heh heh...if the test is eliminated the expressed outrage,
>> anguish, and horror will be a horrendous wail never to be
>> silenced until the last code key is pried from cold, dead
>> fingers! :-)
>>
>>
>>> >Will CW disappear? Probably not.
>>> >Historically, it defined ham radio, so it has a special place in the
>>> >hearts of very many hams. It's natural that they sort of cling to
>>> >it.
>>>
>>> Let them cling, they are free to do so.
>>
>> I'd say "clog" as in cholesterol clogging those "hearts."
>>
>> "Jawod" uses "many" AS IF it were quantitative. Not so much
>> in the USA now. The US Technician class licensees now
>> number about 49% of all, twice as large a number as the
>> General class. I doubt they want to hear such facts.
>>
>>
>>> >Will CW's elimination be the end of ham radio? Of course not.
>>> >Ham radio will cease when all the hams die off. New hams are needed,
>>> >with or without code.
>>>
>>> I totally agree.
>>
>> In the USA the number of newcomers is not able to keep pace
>> with the expirations of licensees. That trend has been evident
>> for more than a year. [see www.hamdata.com] The majority of
>> new licensees are Technician class. Novice class, the
>> supposed traditional "beginner" license has been expiring at a
>> steady rate for years before the US changes in 2000.
>>
>>
>>> >My personal hope is that a significant minority of these new hams
>>> >will take up CW and learn to enjoy this mode. It truly is a fun
>>> >mode. I hope people will WANT to learn it.
>>>
>>> I always found it to be boring.
>>
>> "Jawod" and other morsemen think that all will "like" what they
>> like. They really don't understand what other citizens want.
>>
>>

>>> >Compulsory things are seldom welcome,,,some are necessary. Is CW a
>>> >good requirement for ham radio? I guess it has probably outlived its
>>> >day.
>>> >
>>> >A requirement related to other digital modes would make a good
>>> >replacement. True?
>>>
>>> I completely agree. If you want to filter out the less serious, then
>>> use a relevant method. Here in Canada, in order to get a no-code
>>> licence, you must get at least 80% on the technical. And technical
>>> prowess will always be important regardless of the mode of
>>> communication.
>>
>> That sounds fair. In general I've approved what Industry Canada
>> does on communications regulations...a bit more than what the
>> FCC does for US civil radio services.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>
> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long
> befor the licence expires.

That's what happens when something gets dumbed down. It cheapens it, and
people find no value in maintaining or continuing with it.

SC

2216. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7EVYg.10348$Y24.2842@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Jimmie D wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>> >
>> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
>> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
>> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
>> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>>
>> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>
> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> on RRAP n't ham radio.

But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.

SC

2217. Re: Is the no-code license letting really stupid people into ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8EVYg.10349$Y24.4163@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161031387.179319.198490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Jimmie D wrote:
>
>> > and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> > bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
> realy it isn't that much different from what i encounters on air with a
> sad frequency
>

Yes.

2218. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0i98j2tg2updr152osqujo4e6kbk6m5g8e@4ax.com>
Source record: 168240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:39:00 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...

>The question is only "not proper" because it put you on the spot as being a
>liar and you are uncomfortable about being exposed as such.
it is imporper becuase it is none of his or your affair
>Who are YOU to determine what is on topic or not on topic, anyway?
I am who i need to be myself
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2219. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aEVYg.10350$Y24.4231@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't
>> know each other language they still could have passed it by CW and the
>> message could have been delivered to someone that could read it. Not no
>> more.
>
> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
> Adhere to the ICAO's phonetic alphabet, and there need be no
> bi-lingualism nor a CW requirement.
>
>> When things start failing communication wise worldwide, amateur radio
>> might be all there is to relay messages, and the ITU just removed one
>> of the legs of a three leg stool.
>
> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!

Right. Pilots and ATC have to pass tests too. Do you think it would
be good if their tests were dumbed down?

SC

2220. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bEVYg.10351$Y24.10181@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
>> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
>> > >hit ake on that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are

you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?

SC

2221. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dEVYg.10352$Y24.1480@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
> thusly:
>
>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>news:b49ri2tjro2up3okv064v9k07nvoffed67@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>>>> thusly:
>>>>
>>>>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>>>>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>>>> spake thusly:
>>>>>
>>>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>>>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>>>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>>>>> It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have
>>>>>>>>> everything over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the
>>>>>>>>> one's moving ham radio forward and doing anything technical
>>>>>>>>> wise.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>>>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>>>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>>>>>>>> technical expertise.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else
>>>>>>>>> who is
>>>>>>>>> equally ill informed?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>>>>>>>>> ago
>>>>>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF
>>>>>>>>> circles...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin
>>>>>>>>> and tell
>>>>>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>>>>>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Try again.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>>>>>>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter
>>>>>>>>>reply to try to be-little the original argument.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>>>>>
>>>>> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>>>>> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>>>>> handout is a good sound argument?
>>>>>
>>>>> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>>>>> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>>>>> arguments".
>>>>>
>>>>> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>>>>> argument" make.
>>>>>
>>>>> Hope this helps.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and
>>>>you're Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to
>>>>to use it. You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every
>>>>way. That's just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an
>>>>insult. You're right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant
>>>>to type KKKanaduh. Eh.
>>>

>>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!
>>
>>
>>KKKanadian licenses are all hand-outs now, just like your health care
>>system, but that's not your fault and I don't blame you for it. Still,
>>if you want to be a real ham you might want to get your code speed up so
>>you can communicate with other real hams. We'd love communicating with
>>ya.
>
> Your blunt refusal to back up anything you claim simply proves to the
> world what kind of loser you are. Can you deduct your mortgage
> interest from your income tax? You can? Nice handout because I can't.
>
> Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
> of the little trolls hiding under bridges.

He's upset because he knows CW makes a real ham, and he can't cut it.
That's OK, I encouraged him to try to learn CW while I could before I
ended up in the killfile.

NEXT!

SC

2222. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fEVYg.10353$Y24.1373@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> Opus- wrote:
>> On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 01:01:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>> >Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> >news:d4soi25f9fp1kg65l51m713abij9h7kpsa@4ax.com:
>> >> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> >> thusly:
>> >>>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> >>>news:iovli2lg2oiscbvcg0ej0eq723cpithf94@4ax.com:
>> >>>
>> >>>> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>> >>>> spake thusly:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote in
>> >>>>>news:1160392657.932021.251780@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> >>>>>> Cecil Moore wrote:
>> >>>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>> >>>>>>> > It's funny, and most telling. The no-code hams have
>> >>>>>>> > everything over 30 MHz, but it's the code hams that are the
>> >>>>>>> > one's moving ham radio forward and doing anything technical
>> >>>>>>> > wise.
>
>> >>>>>>> If that's true, it's certainly a change from half a
>> >>>>>>> century ago when we HF hams observed the VHF/UHF
>> >>>>>>> hams revolutionizing amateur radio with their
>> >>>>>>> technical expertise.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Uhhhhhhhh...Cecil...??!?!
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Did you actually make that up, or just quote someone else
>> >>>>>> who is
>> >>>>>> equally ill informed?
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Most of the people who were "moving forward" half a century
>> >>>>>> ago
>> >>>>>> were ALSO folks who were active on and well known in HF
>> >>>>>> circles...
>> >>>>>>

>> >>>>>> Unless, of course, you're now going to take Lennie's spin
>> >>>>>> and tell
>> >>>>>> us that any / everything reported in QST, CQ, etc, was only
>> >>>>>> "self-serviing rhetoric"...?!?!
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Try again.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Anyone that puts out an argument to keep the code requirement gets
>> >>>>>teased by Cecil. He loves to throw out some goof-ball counter
>> >>>>>reply to try to be-little the original argument.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Pot, meet kettle.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>No. All my arguments are good sound arguments.
>> >>
>> >> Oh PuhlEEEZE!!! Spelling Canada with a "K" is a good sound argument?
>> >> Calling me a "lazy ass" is a good sound argument? Claiming I get a
>> >> handout is a good sound argument?
>> >>
>> >> You have NEVER put out ANY kind of argument at all!!! Why don't you
>> >> try to cite some source that back up any of your "good sound
>> >> arguments".
>> >>
>> >> Free hint: Hurling insults and innuendo does NOT a "good sound
>> >> argument" make.
>> >>
>> >> Hope this helps.
> >
>> >I'm sorry Opus, but I refuse to lie. You did get a hand-out, and
>> >you're Lazy because you refuse to learn CW to 20wpm, and too lazy to
>> >to use it.
>
> "Slow Code" does not know what code speed "Opus" can do. He simply
> *assumes*.
>
> Note that we do not know "Slow Code's" code speed, either.
>
>> >You don't want to be a good capable communicator in every way. That's
>> >just how it is. I'm sorry if the truth seems like an insult. You're
>> >right about one thing, I spelled Kanada wrong, I meant to type
>> >KKKanaduh. Eh.
>
> Xenophobic attack rather than reasoned argument.
>
>> Tell me right now what "handout" I have received. Back up your "good
>> sound arguments" or forever be a PROVEN liar!

>>
>> You have lied and have been caught. You can't wiggle out of it.
>>
>> Once again..you are a proven liar and a fool.
>>
>> You are a disgrace to the amateur radio community.
>
> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
> taking "Slow Code" seriously.

He's upset because he knows CW helps makes a real communicator, and he can't cut it. That's OK, I encouraged him to try to learn CW while I could before I ended up in the killfile.

SC

2223. KB9RQZ takes a crap in every thread again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gEVYg.10354$Y24.8735@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I was just reading through all the weekend RRAP traffic and I noticed something.

Markie could learn a lot from Steve. Ham-and-cheese, Markie, and a few others attacked Steve, But did Steve follow-up to it? No.

Markie, you can learn from Steve. Don't follow-up to the attack threads. We don't care to see your one line of mis-spelled shit anyway, so it's not like we're missing anything important.

Markie, Take a break from RRAP for a while, go learn to spell, Learn CW. Then come back. I'm sure Ham-and-Cheese will welcome you back.

SC

2224. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hEVYg.10355$Y24.3631@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168245

Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:
>>
>> Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?
>
> The qrz topic is radio related. It had to do with how easy the
> Technician exam is these days and how it is so easy that people who
> know nothing about ham radio can pass it. Markie just HAD to respond
> and flaunt his superior intellectual capabilities.
>
>
>> Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
>> happened to be RRAP?
>
> You have a problem with that? Life ain't fair. Learn to deal with it.
>
>
>> There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
>> amateur radio?
>
> If you can't see the relevance then I'll translate: it's a lot easier
> to lie to spectators about what's happening on the playing field than
> it is to lie to the people actually playing the game.
>
>
>> Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?
>
> I've seen some of your posts. You have no room to talk. Besides,
> since this is RRAP, I figured I should use words you are used to.
>
>
>> I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.
>
> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?

Ham-and-cheese and Markie could put their cards together and they still
wouldn't be playing with a full deck.

SC

2225. Re: Mark's Blog has no visitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <iEVYg.10356$Y24.8846@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160952613.666241.120950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any
>> >visitor comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
>> well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
>> are ones I allowed
>>
>> many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
>>
>> that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
>> not mean it ha no vistors
>>
>> you really could use to learn how to use english
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> I know what you mean...I'm looking to shut down my comment
> section...beacuse people posting the same thing over and over and
> simply harassing me...so i'm going to shut it down (the comment section
> not the blog) if they continue.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Most hams with blogs don't have a problem with visitor comments. The hams that visit mine don't leave nasty comments. Must be something unique to you and Markie. I was going to leave a nice thank you note on your blog as soon as you played a good song on Omega One, but I haven't heard you play a good song yet.

I do give you Five Stars on your Omega one anti-FCC rants and RRAP flame monlogues. LOL, those were funny as hell. I should have recorded them and sent them to a medical university so instructors could show their psych students a variety of different forms of mental illness, paranoia, and instability.

SC

2226. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Ron <wa0kds@yahoo.com> wrote in news:4532A74F.10805@yahoo.com:

> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>
> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
> selves without senting it to a repair place ?

Anyone that made or is making an effort to be able to copy CW at 20 WPM, isn't going to have a problem having fun releasing a little solder smoke building things. The old 20 wpm extras seem to me to get more out of being a radio amateur than these latter day no-codes and nickle hams. Maybe that is why we see people getting licensed and they leave the service before their license even expires.

Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.

SC

2227. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lEVYg.10358$Y24.6817@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:t0q6j2tkekdb8lgag4a9pq9f0cjg21ucjk@4ax.com:

> On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Ron wrote:
>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC
>>> are listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>
>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and
>>> CW operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it
>>> them selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>
>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>
> Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
> he is busy working at Buger King.

Yah, ready to eat? I got your whopper hang'en.

SC

2228. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nEVYg.10359$Y24.4098@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
> http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
> 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
> up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
> up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
> and that will be hopefully done this week.
>

Thanks Todd. I look forward to hearing your moms shitty records and
8-Track tapes again. Your're monologues are hilarious too. 73, Keep up
the good work.

I'm stocking up beer for the N9OGL NAL party. Plenty of beer enemas to go
around for everyone. I hope you don't mind if K4YZ gives the beer
enema's. Steve said he wouldn't come unless he could give the enemas.
Steve is a nurse, so I'm sure he probably knows how to give someone an
enema.

SC

2229. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qEVYg.10360$Y24.4477@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.

No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.

Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
Cobra 148GTL for HF.

SC

2230. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:40:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vEVYg.10361$Y24.8642@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Chris wrote:
>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>> [snip]
>>
>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>
>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>
> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>
> Todd N9OGL

I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.

SC

2231. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:41:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AEVYg.10362$Y24.1904@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>
>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
>>> be disappointed.
>>>
>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>
>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
>>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
>>> since.
>>>
>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
>>> anything beyond:
>>>
>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>>
>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>>
>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>>
>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>>>
>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
>>> the code.
>>>
>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.
>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
>>> early 70's.
>>>
>>> -Chuck

>>
>>
>> Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five
>> years ago?
>
> Oh, easily. 25 years ago, technical conversations were dominated by
> such earth shatteringly important stuff as having a ham down at the
> repeater site helping other hams tune their transmitters to be on
> frequency. Other wonderkind were hitting the repeater with a full
> quieting signal, and turning their power up to try and get a better
> signal to that DX mobile that breaking up.
>
> If it wasn't that, it was an endless sea of autopatches calling the xyl
> to tell her that traffic was bad, could she start dinner... or ordering
> pizza.
>
>>
>> Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave
>> dipole should be.
>
> I heard the same things 25 years ago from Generals that got their
> licenses at the offices of the FCC.
>
> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
> handbook as my guide.
>
> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>
> -Chuck

Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?

SC

2232. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:41:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GEVYg.10364$Y24.7542@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1160449999.364794.193450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.
>>
>> That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
>> things. Or is that ruining things?
>>
>
> Naw, ARRL isn't trying to do that. I haven't seen anything in their
> current goals that would lead me to believe that they are trying to
> send the rest of our spectrum the way of 11 meters. I may be wrong, but
> I don't think the FCC would do that again (if any of the current
> commissioners are students of FCC history that is) even if the ARRL
> suggested it.
>
> The numbers of Hams out there and how it's been dropping though the
> more recent years is an alarming trend. With the age of the average ham
> creeping higher, this hobby is set to all but die out in the USA within
> a generation unless something changes.
>
> Surely you see the problem that the ARRL is trying to address. You may
> not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> we have a problem. Right?
>
> -= Bob =-

ARRL is willing to let the problem get worse by not supporting policy that
will improve or at least maintain the quality of individuals getting
licensed. Maybe they don't care if hams are like CB'ers as long as the
memberships keep rolling in.

Can you say: Breaker Breaker 19? That's where we're headed.

It's started going down hill about the mid-eighties and the ARRL pushed to
make it go down hill faster. That crash at the bottom ain't gonna look
pretty... or sound pretty.

SC

2233. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:41:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OEVYg.10365$Y24.5284@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >You may
>> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
>> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
>> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >> >
>> >
>> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>> >
>> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>
>> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>
> Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> any valid reasoning.

Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like you
and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to be
real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators, therefore
you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW to save a
life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to use a
microphone to help save anyone too.

I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the being
entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice class again.
Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra again.

People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem to
sing the same tune.

SC

2234. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:41:21 UTC
From: ah <splifingate@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <453418a8$0$15907$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 168302
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Art Deco wrote:
> The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>><snip>
>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *
```

>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>*plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>>*plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk
--
ah

2235. Re: why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:41:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WEVYg.10366$Y24.4208@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168305

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
news:1161014399.931542.94370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
>> the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
>> get though
>>
>> but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
>> the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management
>
> Anyone translate what this moron is trying to say?

Maybe laugh at him, but we don't try to translate what Markie says
anymore, we ignore it.

SC

2236. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:43:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nn98j21bj9es1ip369oubdh7rddkn1dbm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Jimmie D wrote:
>>> > >
>>> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>>> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>>> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>>> >
>>> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
>>> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
>>> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
>>> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>>>
>>> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>>> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>>
>> Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> on RRAP n't ham radio.
>
>
>But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
>SC
BB areadly does I believe (can't recal his full call or Id look it up
for you

as to myself I can easily state I am a better ham than you are and
have a better staion likely even for HF sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2237. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:45:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qr98j21ku4ch05e6qhjpdbu6e28asv0m15@4ax.com>
Source record: 168307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:4ZNYg.45999$tT6.14263@bignews7.bellsouth.net:

>>
>> Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired sooner.
>> Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of years, long
>> befor the licence expires.
>
>
>That's what happens when something gets dumbed down. It cheapens it, and
>people find no value in maintaining or continuing with it.
>
when are you turing in your licnse SC
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2238. Re: Is a contact with a no-code ham a real QSO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:46:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lt98j2dqlc33tebvmjd1ns9915pojr0kri@4ax.com>
Source record: 168308
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:4%BXg.22222$Ij.12681@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> You sound like you would be the sort of person people see along side a
>>> highway with a flat tire and no spare.
>>
>> Exactly what happens to all Harley riders by choice.
>> Why are you so against freedom of choice? There's
>> an article in Worldradio about how ham radio saved
>> a guy's life. I guess FM saving someone's life doesn't
>> count with you, huh?
>
>
>
>I'm not against choice. They can choose to be a ham, or they can choose
>to be a CB'er. What they have to decide is how bad do they want to be a
>ham. I enjoy hams on the bands, if I didn't, I'd buy a CB.

f;lalse choice SC

stop lying
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2239. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:47:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bv98j2hv5gloshd0gkcq4nfnsn1m5shtgl@4ax.com>
Source record: 168309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:lqsti29qnfqt7cmsorhgmcjd5c1757hoq7@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> spake
>> thusly:
>>

>> Welcome to the killfile, you pathetic little turd, along with the rest
>> of the little trolls hiding under bridges.
>
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW makes a real ham,
stop lying sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2240. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:48:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <41a8j2ptlru44oggst8ol0p3fufaq867jn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>
>
>And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
>the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
>you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
that is his choice sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2241. Re: It's amazing what people will say to try to justify dumbing down Amateur Radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:49:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m3a8j2tucls01ec5fpbnc8mhodlhobe7oo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1160705194.947777.295270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>

>> Opus, you are making a simple but common logical mistake: You are
>> taking "Slow Code" seriously.
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW helps makes a real communicator,
stop lying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2242. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:50:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r5a8j2du1rks8rv2tfc75sj9ogveft38bj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1160866901.027928.221130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> If an amateur had to relay a through a country and the amateurs didn't

>> Dear Slow, air traffic controllers don't use Morris Code, and they
>> communicate with foreign speakers JUST FINE!
>
>
>Right. Pilots and ATC have to pass tests too. Do you think it would
>be good if their tests were dumbed down?
nobody but you and the procoder wants dumb tests
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2243. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:51:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f7a8j2t9igumhcl4ctd045q9km2vfv1rp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:twtYg.2574$zy2.818@tornado.socal.rr.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>>> news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>>
>>>> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>>>>
>>>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and the
>>> ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by little
>>> they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and hand it over
>>> to the phone users.
>>
>> Nonsense. The CW bands are not exactly
>> overcrowded (or have you been listening there).
>>
>> 73, Phil w7ox
>
>
>You can thank the ITU and Nickle licenses for that.
how do you figure that

or are you thinking at all
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2244. Re: Ham Radio and Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:52:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <29a8j2ps9dqmpkcb2hfbk1u3ps42ufmulk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1161033935.216218.122960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> brentoli@gmail.com wrote:
>>> First off... this is coming from General... who was Grandfathered...

>>
>> But consider that you could probably do it, too.
>>
>> Nobody is born knowing Morse Code. Those who know it, learned it and
>> kept on learning it. They all started from zero. Sure, some people have
>> more innate skill/talent than others, but that's just one factor. Most
>> people learn to understand speech at more than 100 wpm - is 20 wpm code
>> more complex than that?
>>
>>> And there are many other people like that. So please
>>> dont lump all of us "meaningless generals" into one please.
>>>
>> No license class is meaningless.
>
>
>Ahhhh...
a concept you refuse to endorse which is why you are such a poor ham
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2245. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:53:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pba8j2dd8o6d1kkb1b94n7vjrjcil41ge0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
>news:4533CE1A.F115294@milestones.com:
>
>> Th ARRL Auction is now open for preview. Auction begins October 23, 2006
>> at 10AM ET.
>>
>> http://arrl.auctionanything.com/
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>>
>
>They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
>ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to support
>policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what it used to
>be before all the dumbing down.
that is waht we are trying to do make the ars repectable not the
laughing stock it is now
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2246. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:54:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cda8j2depapisss2qkaptihlh1air0fate@4ax.com>
Source record: 168316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>

>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
you are the interesting in KILLING off the ars
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2247. clean up ham radio SC trun in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:55:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jea8j2lmtmn8as9e98vvahc4pvher92hgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>
clean up the ars turn in your license
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2248. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:55:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ufa8j2htg02ql0k4f9a7gkts0b1tevrnvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

clean up the ars turn in your license
>Yes.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2249. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:56:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jga8j2hn3imj4s685lovd83o18srg9lqhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 168319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>I was just reading through all the weekend RRAP traffic and I noticed
>something.
>
>Markie could learn a lot from Steve. Ham-and-cheese, Markie, and a few
>others attacked Steve, But did Steve follow-up to it? No.
yes steve did follow up on it you just did so steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2250. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:56:38 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >>
>> >> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> >> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>> >
>> >Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>> >geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>> >what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>> >tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
>> sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
>> slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
>> movement
>[subject line restored]
>
>Wrong, but nice try.
what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
harrasment steve

>
Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?

Looks as if Chris put you in a corner when he said, "And before you go any
further, be aware that I used to work with Falvey back in the 80's when I
was employed as a geophysicist by the Gulf Research Company both in
Harmarville, Pennsylvania and in Houston, Texas. We sponsored part of
Falvey's research.

In short, I'm a real geophysicist. That's why I seriously doubt that you
are."

Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?

2251. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:58:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hka8j2pbjgcbfj6vlbtsb6gmdu0c1eo359@4ax.com>
Source record: 168320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:41:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in

>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
>Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
>insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
no you need to answer the question steve
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2252. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:59:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1ma8j2dhd87aur0j900166d361crfdn4eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 168322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:41:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1160449999.364794.193450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.

>Can you say: Breaker Breaker 19? That's where we're headed.
>

clean up the ars turn in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2253. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 00:59:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ana8j29k4ftmqcf79i68a5r5ko2202q740@4ax.com>
Source record: 168321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:t0q6j2tkekdb8lgag4a9pq9f0cjg21ucjk@4ax.com:
>
>> On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Ron wrote:
>>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC
>>>> are listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>>
>>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and
>>>> CW operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it
>>>> them selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>>
>>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>>
>> Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>> he is busy working at Buger King.
>
>
>Yah, ready to eat? I got your whopper hang'en.
clean up the ars turn in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2254. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:00:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8oa8j21oer37e9efm7vu3ebjfqcvbjdu0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 168323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>>
>>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>>
>> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.

>clean up the ars turn in your license
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2255. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:00:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jpa8j2lfoaq37k3gh245k13v30166vaupp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
>> http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
>>
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
>>
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
>> 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
>> up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
>> up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
>> and that will be hopefully done this week.
>>
>
>
>
>Thanks Todd. I look forward to hearing your moms shitty records and
>8-Track tapes again. Your're monologues are hilarious too. 73, Keep up
>the good work.
>
>I'm stocking up beer for the N9OGL NAL party. Plenty of beer enemas to go
>around for everyone.

clean up the ars turn in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2256. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:01:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fqa8j21tlcdddfaubq3jh0jkguq9d9taoj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160952613.666241.120950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On Sun, 15 Oct 2006 02:55:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <Pizzas4Wog@anon>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> >I just looked at your blog, Mark. I noted that NOBODY has made any
>>> >visitor comments. Not a single one. Zero. Zilch. Nada.
>>> well since coments are moderated the only coment you would get to see
>>> are ones I allowed
>>>
>>> many vistor coments have existed I simply found to to be harrassing
>>>
>>> that I choose not allow you to use the blog for futher harrasment does
>>> not mean it ha no vistors
>>>
>>> you really could use to learn how to use english
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> I know what you mean...I'm looking to shut down my comment
>> section...beacuse people posting the same thing over and over and
>> simply harassing me...so i'm going to shut it down (the comment section
>> not the blog) if they continue.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>Most hams with blogs don't have a problem with visitor comments. The hams
>that visit mine don't leave nasty comments.
your blog SC

clean up the ars turn in your license
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2257. clean up the ars turn in your license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:02:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pra8j29d8ouebnk2aonqroi6a13la25kaq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168326

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>

>> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
>> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?
>
>
>Ham-and-cheese and Markie could put their cards together and they still
>wouldn't be playing with a full deck.

funny you are 7 can short of a sixpack

clean up the ars turn in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2258. Re: why are the como problem in todays quakesafter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:03:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1ua8j2h5faqel15s3968hb9t9emsem30pi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168327

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:41:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in
>news:1161014399.931542.94370@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> all even vhf comos should conect the island a little trobo action and
>>> the islands should stable enough and of CW should have been able to
>>> get though
>>>
>>> but I still keep hearing from the islands are having trouble keeping
>>> the assesment teams in touch with emergncy management
>>
>> Anyone translate what this moron is trying to say?
>
>
>Maybe laugh at him, but we don't try to translate what Markie says
>anymore, we ignore it.
indeed you ignore the fact that CW does not EVER save the day
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2259. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:04:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a0b8j29vv8aeg19edi5rm60gua90qnoahc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>
>
>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>
>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
stop SC you are ba dham go reform yourself

clean up the ars turn in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2260. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:06:57 UTC
From: ah <splifingate@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <45341ea9$0$15888$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 168329
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Art Deco wrote:
> The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*
--
ah

2261. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:07:27 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9df6c6ce462e30989a43@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <qEVYg.10360$Y24.4477@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>
>
> No, You missed another ten questions,

No I didn't take the code test. You're absolutely no help. You just
try and stir the pot. If you have so much knowledge why don't you share
it with the group instead of just causing trouble.

> the CW questions, but since the
> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.

I took the test the government of my country prescribed I take. If you
don't like it call the FCC. I've long since tired of your whining.
>
> Don't know which HT to recommend,

I thought you knew everything there is to know about Ham radio. I
thought you were the upmost authority on everything.

> but a lot of No-codes like the
> Cobra 148GTL for HF.

Sounds like you know from experience. Now we know who the CB expert is
on the group.
>
> SC
>

73

Chris

2262. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:08:15 UTC
From: ah <splifingate@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <45341ef6$0$15888$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 168331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings

```
Art Deco wrote:
> Text Medium No. 5 <mhm29x21@meow.flonk> wrote:
>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 21:42:07 -0400, ah jabbered inanely:
>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>> Hail Eris! On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 20:40:04 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>> inanely:
>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 03 Sep 2006 08:48:55 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 02 Sep 2006 08:39:57 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 02 Sep 2006 07:43:24 -0400, ah did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 17:28:03 -0400, ah did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 22:03:27 -0600, Art Deco sat in thee
>>>>>>>>>>>> Comfee Chaire, and didst finally confess, after taking
>>>>>>>>>>>> Muche Tea:
>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:13:07 -0600, Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:30:40 -0600, Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:27:48 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 18:05:05 -0600, Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:26:33 -0600, Art Deco sat
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>in thee Comfee Chaire, and didst finally confess,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>after taking Muche Tea:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 22:07:44 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:53:51 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> TransWench wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>I was busily flonking away in
>>alt.usenet.kooks, when The Goddess Eris
>>>Herself suddenly made me reply to Art Deco:
>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 05:08:03
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Suffering from attribution problems again? -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous presupposition --
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic illogic -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Non sequitur -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Famous last words -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> How ironic -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Typical -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Non sequitur -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>What does that have to do with Art Bell fandom, ah? -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic evasion of the point -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>How does one allegedly endure while writing, Deco? -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> What does your question have to do with classical music,
>>>>>>>>>> Absurdity? Not that I'm expecting an answer from you -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Classic erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> How ironic -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Where is the alleged ironicism? -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Classic hypocrisy -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>Classic erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>> Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous presupposition, laced with
>>>> invective, as is expected from someone with no logical argument --
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> btw, I sure wish you'd stop messin' with my Newsreader's scrollbar.
>>
>><casts more spells on ah's newsreader>
>
> <tosses paprika over shoulder>

<microwaves popcorn>
--
ah

2263. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:08:17 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9df71197ed1dc3989a44@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

In article <nEVYg.10359$Y24.4098@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> > from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
> > http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
> >
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
> >
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
> > 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
> > up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
> > up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
> > and that will be hopefully done this week.
> >
>
>
>
> Thanks Todd. I look forward to hearing your moms shitty records and
> 8-Track tapes again. Your're monologues are hilarious too. 73, Keep up
> the good work.
>
> I'm stocking up beer for the N9OGL NAL party. Plenty of beer enemas to go
> around for everyone. I hope you don't mind if K4YZ gives the beer
> enema's. Steve said he wouldn't come unless he could give the enemas.
> Steve is a nurse, so I'm sure he probably knows how to give someone an
> enema.
>
> SC
>
You are extremely gross!

Chris

2264. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:10:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tbb8j2trd7q0dgs97cnhsjkcvl3cl1kc1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 168333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 01:08:17 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <nEVYg.10359$Y24.4098@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
>> > http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
>> >
>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
>> >
>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
>> > 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
>> > up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
>> > up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
>> > and that will be hopefully done this week.
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks Todd. I look forward to hearing your moms shitty records and
>> 8-Track tapes again. Your're monologues are hilarious too. 73, Keep up
>> the good work.
>>
>> I'm stocking up beer for the N9OGL NAL party. Plenty of beer enemas to go
>> around for everyone. I hope you don't mind if K4YZ gives the beer
>> enema's. Steve said he wouldn't come unless he could give the enemas.
>> Steve is a nurse, so I'm sure he probably knows how to give someone an
>> enema.
>>
>> SC
>>
>You are extremely gross!
gross is his whole arsenal
>
>Chris
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2265. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:11:51 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9df7e75294c322989a45@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <OEVYg.10365$Y24.5284@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >You may
> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
> >> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> >> >
> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
> >
> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
> >
> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> > any valid reasoning.
>
>
> You see no value in being effective communicators,

The most effective communication is plain english!

73

Chris
```

2266. Re: Google spewers enter their final days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:12:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gfb8j2p6u3tkr110gh6ti9he6js331q671@4ax.com>
Source record: 168334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
<delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote:

>As of today, clicking on http://www.google.com doesn't show the option
>to connect to Google groups until you click on the "more>>" link.
>That's because Google is starting to downplay its groups as the
>possibility looms that groups will be sold to another company.
>
>That also explains why Google hasn't been policing its users in recent
>months. They've been anticipating handing their Usenet problems over
>to someone else, so why should they spend their own money on them?
>
>The first thing that the new owner will do will be to shut down
>posting while a new interface is built, and I don't think it can
>happen too soon.
>
>Goodbye, Wiseman.
>
>Goodbye, Morgan.
>
>Goodbye, K4YZ.
>
>Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.
funny delorean it more than 3 months later and I am here althouh
wismen and robeson seem mostly gone
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2267. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:14:41 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9df88fc5fc2106989a46@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <igm7j2dtbfooo3ckggqt6s6rb8u6tp3kgr@4ax.com>, john@nospam.net
says...
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:28:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
> >
> >>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Ron wrote:
> >>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
> >>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
> >>>>
> >>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
> >>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
> >>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
> >>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
> >>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
> >>>
> >>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
> >>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
> >>>
> >>>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
> >>>he is busy working at Buger King.
> >I suspect he is arround posting under yet another nick
> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> Do you know his call sign?
>
>
Are you sure he has one? If he did I would suspect it has been revoked.

73

Chris

2268. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:14:42 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <ctso5.eh4.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >>
>> >> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> >> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>> >
>> >Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>> >geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>> >what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>> >tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
>> sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
>> slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
>> movement
>[subject line restored]
>
>Wrong, but nice try.
what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
harrasment steve

>
Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?

Looks as if Chris put you in a corner when he said, "And before you go any
further, be aware that I used to work with Falvey back in the 80's when I
was employed as a geophysicist by the Gulf Research Company both in
Harmarville, Pennsylvania and in Houston, Texas. We sponsored part of
Falvey's research.

In short, I'm a real geophysicist. That's why I seriously doubt that you
are."

Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to
accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?
>

he posed his questioion as steve always no matter what answer he gets
calls it lie just more of the standard steve attack crap
..
No, it is not. Chris caught you in a bold-faced lie and now you are trying
to change the topic so as to weasel your way around being outed.
You've been busted, pure and simple.

2269. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:15:43 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <VfydnTfUCd8vs6nYnZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 168336
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:45341ea9$0$15888$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Art Deco wrote:
> > The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
> >>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
> >>>>>>inanely:
> >>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
> >>>>>>>>screamed:
> >>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
confuse
> >>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
jabbered
> >>>>>>>>>>>inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>
> >>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>
> >>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >
> > Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
Response.

2270. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:16:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <klb8j2954rd45r8umjqmmuh7nd02siaasr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 01:14:41 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <igm7j2dtbfooo3ckggqt6s6rb8u6tp3kgr@4ax.com>, john@nospam.net
>says...
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:28:49 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> >>wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>>Ron wrote:
>> >>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>> >>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>> >>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>> >>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>> >>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>> >>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>> >>>
>> >>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>> >>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>> >>>
>> >>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>> >>he is busy working at Buger King.
>> >I suspect he is arround posting under yet another nick
>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> Do you know his call sign?
>>
>>
>Are you sure he has one? If he did I would suspect it has been revoked.
>
>73
>
>Chris
not sure he does but his refusual to provide one is becuase he KNOW if
he gives his call he knows he will be hammers
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2271. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:16:21 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9df8f3b0099a28989a47@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...

>
> Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.
>
> SC
>
>
How many have you personally run off the air?

73

Chris

2272. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:19:27 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <6tydnQIxk7EOsqnYnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 168338
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:453418a8$0$15907$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Art Deco wrote:
> > The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
> >>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
inanely:
> >>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
> >>>>>>screamed:
> >>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse
the
> >>>>>>>>issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
> >>>><snip>
> >><snip>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

```
*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
```

> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >

> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>
> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>>*plonk*
> >>>
> >>> *plonk*
> >>
> >>*plonk*
> >
> > *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
klonk

2273. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:25:25 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <_8udndbGRLZkranYnZ2dnUVZ_uudnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 168341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings

"ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:45341ef6$0$15888$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Art Deco wrote:
> > Text Medium No. 5 <mhm29x21@meow.flonk> wrote:
> >>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 21:42:07 -0400, ah jabbered inanely:
> >>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>> Hail Eris! On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 20:40:04 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
> >>>> inanely:
> >>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 03 Sep 2006 08:48:55 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
confuse
> >>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 02 Sep 2006 08:39:57 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
and
> >>>>>>>>>>>screamed:
> >>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 02 Sep 2006 07:43:24 -0400, ah did most oddly state:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 01 Sep 2006 17:28:03 -0400, ah did most oddly
state:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 22:03:27 -0600, Art Deco sat in
thee
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Comfee Chaire, and didst finally confess, after taking
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Muche Tea:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:13:07 -0600, Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:30:40 -0600, Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:27:48 -0600, Art
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 18:05:05 -0600, Art Deco
wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
wrote:

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:26:33 -0600, Art Deco
sat
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>in thee Comfee Chaire, and didst finally
confess,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>after taking Muche Tea:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 22:07:44 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:53:51 -0600, Art
Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> TransWench wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>I was busily flonking away in
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>alt.usenet.kooks, when The Goddess Eris
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Herself suddenly made me reply to Art
Deco:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 17 Aug 2006
05:08:03
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Lola Stonewall Riot wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Wed, 16 Aug 2006
20:17:32
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0400, ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> FreeSpeechStore wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Tue, 15 Aug 2006
19:36:20 -0400,
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Mars wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> "Art Deco" wrote...
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> Classic "oar pin"
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> Tholenator(tm)
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
<"tholen@antispam.ham">
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> tholed:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Classic rationalisation -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Non sequitur -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Inconsistent -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> No response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Still suffering from attribution
problems? --
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic evasion of the point -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>What does this have to do with classical
music
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>recordings, Deco? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic hypocrisy -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Non sequitur -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Where is the alleged non-relevance? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Classic erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> On what basis do you make this claim? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Who is Tholok00k, Deco? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Suffering from attribution problems again? --
plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous
presupposition --
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic illogic -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Non sequitur -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Famous last words -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> How ironic -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Typical -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Non sequitur -- *plonk*

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>What does that have to do with Art Bell fandom, ah? --
plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Classic evasion of the point -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>What does one allegedly endure while writing, Deco? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>> What does your question have to do with classical music,
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Absurdity? Not that I'm expecting an answer from you --
plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Classic erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> How ironic -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Where is the alleged ironicism? -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Classic hypocrisy -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Classic erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous presupposition -- *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>> Classic unsubstantiated and erroneous presupposition, laced with
> >>>> invective, as is expected from someone with no logical argument --
> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>
> >>> btw, I sure wish you'd stop messin' with my Newsreader's scrollbar.
> >>
> >><casts more spells on ah's newsreader>
> >
> > <tosses paprika over shoulder>
>
> <microwaves popcorn>
>
Yum.

2274. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:31:42 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <vgc8j29hbfgipbsi58i2n5c64vq0sdpul1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code just got done working at Buger King. Welcome back

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:t0q6j2tkekdb8lgag4a9pq9f0cjg21ucjk@4ax.com:
>
>> On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Ron wrote:
>>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC
>>>> are listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>>
>>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and
>>>> CW operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it
>>>> them selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>>
>>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>>
>> Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>> he is busy working at Buger King.
>
>
>Yah, ready to eat? I got your whopper hang'en.
>
>SC

2275. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:35:41 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <aqc8j253j0mhecad898uq3op00rrg38d01@4ax.com>
Source record: 168343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
you.

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> To all radio amateurs
>>
>> SB QST ARL ARLB019
>> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
>> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>>
>> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
>> Report and Order in
>> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
>> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
>> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
>> 2006. In it, the FCC
>> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
>> Notice of Proposed
>> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>>
>> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
>> meter bands;
>>
>> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
>> portions of the 2
>> meter band;
>>
>> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>>
>> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
>> from the
>> International Space Station;
>>
>> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
>> specific Amateur Radio
>> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>>
>> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
>> one application for
>> a specific vanity call sign;

>>
>> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
>> manufacturers;
>>
>> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
>> surrounding waters
>> more flexibility in providing emergency
>> communications;
>>
>> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
>> times and on all
>> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
>> make transmissions
>> necessary to meet essential communication needs
>> and to facilitate
>> relief actions'';
>>
>> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
>> specified for RACES
>> stations;
>>
>> and
>>
>> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
>> of test locations
>> and times.
>>
>> In addition, the FCC took several other
>> miscellaneous actions.
>>
>> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
>> authorized to Novice and
>> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
>> increased the voice
>> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
>> licensees.
>>
>> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
>> from 3800-4000 kHz,
>> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
>> Amateur Extras will
>> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
>> generous increase of
>> 150 kHz.
>>
>> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.

>> General class
>> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
>> kHz, an increase of
>> 50 kHz.
>>
>> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
>> phone privileges on
>> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>>
>> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
>> ARRL's gratitude
>> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
>> 11: ''On behalf of
>> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
>> Amateur Radio Service
>> I want to express appreciation for your release
>> yesterday of the
>> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
>> amending Part 97
>> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
>> in clearing this
>> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
>> Service in meeting
>> its objectives, particularly with regard to
>> providing emergency and
>> public service communications.''
>>
>> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
>> R&O is published
>> in the Federal Register.
>> NNNN
>> /EX
>
>
>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
>
>SC

2276. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:36:55 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9dfdc41af33a9e989a48@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1EVYg.10345$Y24.6111@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>
> SC
>
Can you say pot Kettle Black. All you ever do is whine whine whine.

73

Chris

2277. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:39:00 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <ctu5n.jie.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >>
>> >> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> >> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>> >
>> >Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>> >geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>> >what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>> >tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
>> sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
>> slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
>> movement
>[subject line restored]
>
>Wrong, but nice try.
what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
harrasment steve

>
Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?

Looks as if Chris put you in a corner when he said, "And before you go any
further, be aware that I used to work with Falvey back in the 80's when I
was employed as a geophysicist by the Gulf Research Company both in
Harmarville, Pennsylvania and in Houston, Texas. We sponsored part of
Falvey's research.

In short, I'm a real geophysicist. That's why I seriously doubt that you
are."

Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to
accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?

>Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?
no thread to hijack

just another of steve effort to conuct harrassment and get an answer
to question I refuse to answer and one that is off topic and not
proper to begin with
....

The question is only "not proper" because it put you on the spot as being a
liar and you are uncomfortable about being exposed as such.
Who are YOU to determine what is on topic or not on topic, anyway?
You have no credibility. No life, no common sense, and certainly no degree
in geophysics.
All you have is Usenet and a sick fixation on Steve.

2278. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:42:27 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <55d8j2phv70pi1cun8m3b6go9avfm6553r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
>news:4533CE1A.F115294@milestones.com:
>
>> Th ARRL Auction is now open for preview. Auction begins October 23, 2006
>> at 10AM ET.
>>
>> http://arrl.auctionanything.com/
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>>
>
>They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
>ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to support
>policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what it used to
>be before all the dumbing down.
>
>SC

2279. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:42:51 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <g8d8j25r896va0reit442hemcjd2kmhfor@4ax.com>
Source record: 168346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 06:10:21 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:

>On 16 Oct 2006 00:21:58 -0700, "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Ron wrote:
>>> This needed to be down years ago. Good to see the ARRL and the FCC are
>>> listening to the active Amateurs and doing what the majority want.
>>>
>>> Interesting to know that Phone operators are appliance operators and CW
>>> operators are not. I guess the CW operators are all using Homebrew
>>> equipment and if not then I hope at least that every one of them that
>>> own a Yaesu FT-1000MP / D have done the CW modification and did it them
>>> selves without senting it to a repair place ?
>>
>>the CW ops don't even need radios to get through remeber CW knowledge
>>is all you need not even radios according to some of Slow Codes posts
>
>Where is Slow Code? Haven't seen any posts from him all weekend. Maybe
>he is busy working at Buger King.

2280. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:07 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On 16 Oct 2006 16:12:35 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>
>
>> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > >> century.
>> > >
>> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > >that.
>> >
>> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > air
>>
>> We should hear a loud "pop."
>>
>> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > >
>> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>>
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>>
>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

2281. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:23 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <l9d8j21g355mbnk7tg00hd652vjc26mnhu@4ax.com>
Source record: 168348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote in
>news:4533CE1A.F115294@milestones.com:
>
>> Th ARRL Auction is now open for preview. Auction begins October 23, 2006
>> at 10AM ET.
>>
>> http://arrl.auctionanything.com/
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>> USA
>>
>>
>
>They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
>ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to support
>policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what it used to
>be before all the dumbing down.
>
>SC

2282. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:36 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <2ad8j25n09bjf4vdi7uajt7loeodifmgd0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
>news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> To all radio amateurs
>>
>> SB QST ARL ARLB019
>> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
>> Amateur Radio Report and Order
>>
>> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
>> Report and Order in
>> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
>> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
>> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
>> 2006. In it, the FCC
>> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
>> Notice of Proposed
>> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
>>
>> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
>> meter bands;
>>
>> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
>> portions of the 2
>> meter band;
>>
>> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
>>
>> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
>> from the
>> International Space Station;
>>
>> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
>> specific Amateur Radio
>> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;
>>
>> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
>> one application for
>> a specific vanity call sign;
>>

>> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
>> manufacturers;
>>
>> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
>> surrounding waters
>> more flexibility in providing emergency
>> communications;
>>
>> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
>> times and on all
>> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
>> make transmissions
>> necessary to meet essential communication needs
>> and to facilitate
>> relief actions'';
>>
>> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
>> specified for RACES
>> stations;
>>
>> and
>>
>> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
>> of test locations
>> and times.
>>
>> In addition, the FCC took several other
>> miscellaneous actions.
>>
>> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
>> authorized to Novice and
>> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
>> increased the voice
>> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
>> licensees.
>>
>> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
>> from 3800-4000 kHz,
>> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
>> Amateur Extras will
>> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
>> generous increase of
>> 150 kHz.
>>
>> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
>> will be able to use
>> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>> General class

>> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
>> kHz, an increase of
>> 50 kHz.
>>
>> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
>> phone privileges on
>> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
>>
>> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
>> ARRL's gratitude
>> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
>> 11: ''On behalf of
>> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
>> Amateur Radio Service
>> I want to express appreciation for your release
>> yesterday of the
>> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
>> amending Part 97
>> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
>> in clearing this
>> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
>> Service in meeting
>> its objectives, particularly with regard to
>> providing emergency and
>> public service communications.''
>>
>> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
>> R&O is published
>> in the Federal Register.
>> NNNN
>> /EX
>
>
>
>It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
>interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
>the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
>
>SC

2283. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:43:56 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <mad8j21k9m095vk1gq6a0jomfj3ut83vu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>
>
>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>
>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>
>SC

2284. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:44:59 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com>
Source record: 168251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1160703700.656208.156620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>>> On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> > Definately got some Mark Morgan type DNA in the family tree
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> Nope. You plagiarized that from
>>> http://www.blogger.com/profile/20477434.
>>>
>>> At least take the time to think up your own material.
>>
>> it's not my picture...it's someone pretending to be me.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>I didn't think it was you. It looks like DeLorean.
>
>SC

2285. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 01:45:49 UTC
From: john <john@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <5ed8j2het7semlb64mkl1tlleu5bb7qbb0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:41:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >You may
>>> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
>>> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
>>> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>>> >> >
>>> >
>>> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>>> >
>>> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>>
>>> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>>> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>>
>> Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>> enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
>> any valid reasoning.
>
>
>Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like you
>and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to be
>real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators, therefore
>you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW to save a
>life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to use a
>microphone to help save anyone too.
>
>I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the being
>entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice class again.
>Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra again.
>
>People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should

>provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem to
>sing the same tune.
>
>SC

2286. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 02:20:33 UTC
From: Steve <stevel23@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EAG017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

Let Slow Code post, and "john" comes stumbling in while stepping on
his crank, with both cap guns blazing.

2287. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 02:23:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rjf8j2dq5q4hb656aejidl5deei6vfjqop@4ax.com>
Source record: 168254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:20:33 +0000 (UTC), "Steve" <stevel23@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0500, john <john@nospam.net> wrote:
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>Let Slow Code post, and "john" comes stumbling in while stepping on
>his crank, with both cap guns blazing.
realy i had not realy notcied such a patern steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2288. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 02:52:28 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bLydncmhdskF2KnYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 168255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>> handbook as my guide.
>>
>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?

Oh, I agree, but if you do too, then I have trouble understanding why
you are ragging on folks that are using store bought radios.

-Chuck

2289. Re: clean up your ass turn in your beer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:02:19 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1161054139.153452.173230@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.democrats

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote in
> >news:1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
>
> >> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
> >> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?
> >
> >
> >Ham-and-cheese and Markie could put their cards together and they still
> >wouldn't be playing with a full deck.
>
> funny you are 7 can short of a sixpack
>
> clean up the ars turn in your license
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2290. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:05:16 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1161054316.924226.154200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:19:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"The God of Odd Statements" <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote in message
> and you are a criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2291. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:07:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m7i8j2pofomij8fijsmdlsu94qphe0vdvp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

```
On 16 Oct 2006 20:05:16 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:19:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"The God of Odd Statements" <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote in message
>> and you are a criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
no content?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2292. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:08:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a9i8j2plq40j8sgugnlrq181685le1m3jm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 22:52:28 -0400, Chuck Harris
<cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>
>>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>>> handbook as my guide.
>>>
>>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>>
>>> -Chuck
>>
>>
>> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
>> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
>
>Oh, I agree, but if you do too, then I have trouble understanding why
>you are ragging on folks that are using store bought radios.

he is waving his hands tso fast that they are catching on fire
>
>-Chuck
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2293. Re: clean up your ham radio turn in yourlicense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:11:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cdi8j2lu7o674b1jusporjfhc53ifppfic@4ax.com>
Source record: 168260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 16 Oct 2006 20:02:19 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >news:1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >>
>>
>> >> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
>> >> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?
>> >
>> >
>> >Ham-and-cheese and Markie could put their cards together and they still
>> >wouldn't be playing with a full deck.
>>
>> funny you are 7 can short of a sixpack
>>
>> clean up the ars turn in your license
>> >
>> >SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
why do you pethicaly try to troll in the kook of auk
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2294. Re: You must read these " google groups " BEFORE you enlist for military service in any muslim country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:12:03 UTC
From: marqueer@mail2world.com
Message-ID: <1161054723.001371.324400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168261
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Pepperoni wrote:
> "kangarooistan" <kangarooistan@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161047545.237041.115920@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Its amazing why any sane person would ever enlist to serve as a soldier
> > in any muslim country under occupation by western countrys
> >
> > Befor you enlist , take a look at the filth your mates are posting on
> > islamic internet sites , you will be killed because of their crimes
> >
> > Why die for clowns that think its fun to sit in their longe room
> > abusing ISLAM
> >
> > Then expect YOU to go and die for ther crimes
> > " alt.religion.islam " soc.culture.iran " are spammed to death with
> > racist filth and then YOU get to pay for their " FUN "
> >
> > If you are silly enough to ever go into a muslim country " YOU " will
> > pay , as muslims can read and every message is used to remind muslims
> > what white christian capitalists really think
> >
> > OK , go on , DIE for these viel spammers , but dont expect me to cry
> > for you , The real enemy is plain for all to see , If you do choose
> > to go into a muslim country , we assume you ENJOY murdering muslim
> > babies and the spammers that could easily be arrested are in fact the
> > REAL western personality showing through
> >
> > 600,000 iraqis murdered by oil nazis , another million more iraqis
> > born everyyear , its sure going to be a long war
> >
> > The drive by shootings and fire bombings and riots have already started
> > in Australia , silly soldiers will not even defend their own country
> > but are happy to go kill muslim babies in iraq and afghanistan for a
> > bit of PRACTICE
> >
>
> We cannot stop idiots from posting to the Islam groups, nor can we stop
> idiots from cross-posting inflammatory racist ranting trolls here. In your
> case, as an Aussie, we don't expect you to have gracious manners, but do
> please try not to irritate the Canadians.

FUCK the Canadians.....Americans are lucky to have Australia as a
friend. The Aussies have balls but the Canadians are pussies!

Australia is the only country that is also a continent. In area, Australia ranks as the sixth largest country and smallest continent. It lies between the South Pacific Ocean and the Indian Ocean, about 7,000 miles (11,000 kilometers) southwest of North America and about 2,000 miles (3,200 kilometers) southeast of mainland Asia. Australia is often referred to as being "down under" because it lies entirely within the Southern Hemisphere. The name Australia comes from the Latin word australis, which means southern. The official name of the country is the Commonwealth of Australia.

Picture
Australian outback Australia has many different kinds of environment and climates, from tropical in the north to cool and temperate in the south. The huge interior of Australia is mostly desert or dry grassland and has few settlements. Australia is the second driest continent. Only Antarctica gets less precipitation than Australia. However, Australia has numerous areas with a pleasant climate and enough rainfall and fertile soil for agriculture.

Picture
Australia flag and coat of arms The southeastern coastal region has the most people by far. Australia's two largest cities-Sydney and Melbourne-lie in this region. Canberra, the national capital, lies only a short distance inland. The country as a whole averages only about 6.5 people per square mile (2.5 people per square kilometer). Most Australians live in urban or suburban areas in the major cities. Australia is famous for its vast open spaces, bright sunshine, and unusual wildlife. Kangaroos, koalas, platypuses, and wombats are only a few of the many unusual animals that live in Australia.

Sound
Australia's national anthem The country was once a group of British colonies, and most Australian people have British ancestry. When people first moved to Australia from Great Britain (now the United Kingdom), they took many British customs with them. For example, Australians drive on the left side of the road, as do British drivers. Since the 1950's, immigration from many different countries has made the population more mixed and the way of life more varied. Australia now considers itself a multicultural nation.

Australians have developed a way of life all their own. Australia has a warm, sunny climate. People spend much of their free time out of doors. Australians love outdoor sports and outdoor living in general. Many Australians also like to travel overseas for study, work experience, and holidays. See Life expectancy (table: Life expectancy at birth for selected countries).

Australia has a thriving economy that makes it one of the world's developed countries. It has busy cities, modern factories, and highly productive farms and mines. Australia is the world's leading producer and exporter of wool and bauxite (the ore from which aluminum is made). Australia also produces and exports large amounts of other minerals and farm goods. Income from these exports makes it possible for most

Australians to have a high standard of living. Australia's chief trading partners are Japan and the United States.

The first Australians were a people known today as Aborigines «AB uh RIHJ uh neez». The Aborigines had lived in Australia for at least 50,000 years before the first white settlers arrived. The Torres Strait Islands and Papua New Guinea are the home of Australia's second indigenous (native) people, known today as the Torres Strait Islanders.

Great Britain settled Australia as a prison colony in 1788. After British settlement, the number of whites steadily increased while the Aboriginal population declined dramatically. The Aboriginal population slowed its decline in the early 1900's and has been increasing since the mid-1900's. Today, the majority of Australians are white or have mixed European ancestry, although there are now also many Australians from Asian and Middle Eastern backgrounds.

Tables
Australia in brief
States and territories of Australia This article discusses Australia (People) (Ways of life) (The land) (Climate) (Geology) (Animals and plants) (Economy). For government and history information, see Australia, Government of, and Australia, History of.

2295. id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:15:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2li8j2dq28ho67v5bm5k5hfkd5eun5n7lb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168262
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:

stop hiding stand up in your name
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2296. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 03:36:23 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XcYYg.900$um6.99@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 168263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:AEVYg.10362$Y24.1904@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>
>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
>>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
>>>> be disappointed.
>>>>
>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>>
>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
>>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
>>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
>>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
>>>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
>>>> since.
>>>>
>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
>>>> anything beyond:
>>>>
>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>>>
>>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>>>
>>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>>>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>>>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>>>
>>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>>>>
>>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
>>>> the code.
>>>>
>>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
>>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.
>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
>>>> early 70's.

>>>>
>>>> -Chuck
>>>
>>>
>>> Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five
>>> years ago?
>>
>> Oh, easily. 25 years ago, technical conversations were dominated by
>> such earth shatteringly important stuff as having a ham down at the
>> repeater site helping other hams tune their transmitters to be on
>> frequency. Other wonderkind were hitting the repeater with a full
>> quieting signal, and turning their power up to try and get a better
>> signal to that DX mobile that breaking up.
>>
>> If it wasn't that, it was an endless sea of autopatches calling the xyl
>> to tell her that traffic was bad, could she start dinner... or ordering
>> pizza.
>>
>>>
>>> Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave
>>> dipole should be.
>>
>> I heard the same things 25 years ago from Generals that got their
>> licenses at the offices of the FCC.
>>
>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>> handbook as my guide.
>>
>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
>
> SC

SC, tell us all, and don't lie. Do you not agree that a LOT of hams today
were CB'ers in the '70's and chose to advance their radio skills by
advancing to ham radio? If you disagree, then 1) you're a liar, and 2) did
this not help the service? And thusly, if you disagree I suspect you fit
into this mold, and choose to deny your past.

2297. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 04:34:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <f3ZYg.10553$Y24.996@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168264

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 9:45am
>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
>
>
>>> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
>>> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
>>> reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
> "Self-proclaimed?" No, according to several corporations,
> the IRS, the Franchise Tax Board of California, DCAS, and
> the professional association known as the IEEE have all
> said I was a professional...professional as in accepting
> monetary compensation for work performed. :-)
>
>> No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
>> vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
>> their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since
>> gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
>> rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>
> Really?!? :-)
>
> Kelly is now an "insider" at the FCC? :-)

Are you an insider at the FCC, Len?

> No, sweetums, what you wrote is what YOU want to believe
> happens. Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it
> is true. :-)
>
>> He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
>> trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
>> nonsense.
>
> Poor baby, still hurting over past go-arounds in RRAP?

"No, sweetums, what you wrote is what YOU want to believe
happens. Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it
is true. :-)"

--Len Anderson

> "Impact" is a very subjective word. What you really
> want is to "impact" me with some heavy blunt object. :-)

"No, sweetums, what you wrote is what YOU want to believe
happens. Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it
is true. :-)"
--Len Anderson

> Unfortunately, Kelly isn't able to get what he wants so
> HE trolls with nastygrams on his perceived "enemies."

Actually, Leonard, that pretty well describes you to a tee.

> The FCC is supposed to accept input from *ALL* citizens.
> That doesn't mean it accepts input only from special-
> interest groups such as the ARRL in regards to amateur
> radio. Nor is that input limited to radio manufacturers
> whether their offices are in the USA or on foreign soil.

Your input has been accepted. Now what?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

2298. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:11:51 UTC
From: Revolution <Revolution@truthandjustice.com>
Message-ID: <eh2v65$9eo$1@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 168275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
Heterosexual Terrorism and Heterosexual Dictatorship

Heterosexual people are terrorists. They have always attacked and agressed people. They have always molested, bullied and criticised others. When the others criticise them, they threaten them. They want to silence them. They do not accept criticism. They claim the right and the freedom to attack and criticise others but they refuse others this same right to criticise them.

Heterosexual people terrorize others by violence and repression. They terrorize people also by passing discriminatory laws against others. This heterosexual terrorism and dictatorship have been legalised and institutionalized. There is something common in heterosexual people, terrorists and religious extremists and fanatics. Their psychologies are more or less the same.

Heterosexual people are like dictators and religious fanatics. They do not accept that people question and criticise them. They are tyrannical. They want to make people believe that heterosexuality cannot be questionned, analyzed, criticised and challenged. This shows that heterosexuals are totalitarian.

Heterosexual people have oppressed others for centuries and if we suggest making them feel for only a week (or even a day) what they have done to others, they rant and threaten with violence. This confirms the terrorist nature and mentality of heterosexual people. They are the source of all evils in this world. The only solution is to remove them from the world. All hetero bandits, hetero pigs, hetero fornicators, hetero perverts, hetero fanatics
and hetero murderers should be condemned to death. The world will be a better place without those hetero shit.

Heterosexual people are terrorists. They like to resort to violence. They don't care about children. They just use children as an arm and a shield to justify and defend their lustful and lascivious heterosexual desires.

All dictators and terrorists are heterosexual.

The talibans who were dictatorial and who tortured women were also heterosexual. All those black dictators of african origin are heterosexual.

Heterosexuals have always been on the offensive. They have always attacked others without good arguments. Their attacks are based on lies, violence, vulgarity and injustice. Gays and other groups of people have always

been on the defensive. This is why heterosexuals have become arrogant and tyrannical. Gays and other groups of people should strike back more often. They should counter attack They should be more on the offensive also. In fact it is heterosexuals who have not respected others. They have oppressed others. The others have the right to strike back. Heterosexuals have always acted like terrorists. This is Heterosexual Terrorism. They should be condemned.

Five articles are reproduced below to show how heterosexuals are murderous, abnormal, perverted, sinful and dirty.

Heterosexuals are beasts and filthy

Heterosexuals like to criticise others when they have themselves many more faults, defects, vices and perversions.

Heterosexuals are monsters. In 1999, in a few days heterosexuals committed many monstrous crimes. In Egypt a man stabbed the lover of his wife 300 times and then cut his head. In Israel a man set fire to the house of his wife, killing his wife and his children. In atlanta, USA a man killed his wife and children and then killed 9 other people.
Heterosexuals are beasts. Heterosexuality is bestiality.

Some time ago, in belgium, a pastor and his daughter with whom he had an incestuous relationship killed 10 people. 5 were members of their family. The two heterosexuals got rid of their victims by dissolving their bodies in acid. The heterosexual man was a pastor and a theology teacher.

In Gloucester, Great Britain, frederick and rosemary West who were husband and wife, killed and buried 18 persons. Some were their children.

In the eighties, a heterosexual man in california raped, tortured and killed more than 15 women. the man took sadistic pleasures in seeing the women suffered. One of his methods was to cut them with an electric saw. The man took pleasure in seeing the women shouting in terrible pain.

Several years ago in South America (Colombia) a heterosexual man raped and killed more than 100 girls.

In France the parents of a 5 year old boy martyrized the little boy for years. They beated him and imprisoned him in a little cage. They took pleasure in seeing the little boy suffered.

A few years ago in France a Head of school killed the prostitute he was frequenting because the prostitute no longer wanted him.

There are many other cases of heterosexual atrocities.

Every week (sometimes every day) in the media of the world you can read and hear about heinous crimes committed by heterosexuals.

Heterosexuals like to brag and threaten people about many things. Then for offences and crimes they must be punished more severely because they have no extenuating circumstances.

There are only three possibilities for them. Either they are shut in psychiatric hospitals or they are shut in prisons or they are executed.

It is not because many parents are heterosexual that they are perfect. Many parents and heterosexuals want only to satisfy their vicious desires. Children are just the result of their vices. It is not that because something is necessary that it is perfect. Excrement is necessary and it is not perfect. Heterosexuals are like excrement. They are not perfect, they are disgusting and wicked.

Heterosexuality is no longer necessary to have children. Artificial Insemination is replacing it.

Some heterosexuals say that they have to fornicate to ensure the survival of humankind. Their argument does not convince at all. First we do not need so many children on this earth. On the contrary it will be better if they stop copulating and fornicating. There will be less vices and less problems caused by heterosexuals and overpopulation. If those heterosexuals stop copulating and do not have children we will not regret at all. We will rejoice because there will be less problems and more resources available for people existing on earth. It is better to have quality than quantity, that is, it is better to have fewer people who are better and having a better quality of life than having too many people who are bad and who degrade the quality of life.
Secondly to have children, heterosexuals do not need to have so many copulations. They have thousands times more copulations than necessary. It is as if an employee is asking thousands times more money for the real value of a job or a seller is making people pay thousands times more than the
real value of an article.

If those heterosexuals stop copulating the world will be a better place. The world do not need those type of people. There are people more than necessary on this earth. There are also enough smart people on this earth. Many
problems (crimes, vices, theft, exploitation, etc) caused by heterosexuals will disappear. So we say, let them stop copulating and having children.

Heterosexuals are the greatest killers and the greatest perverts

Heterosexuals are the greatest killers. Since the beginning of the world heterosexuals have killed as many as the increase in population at a particular period and sometimes much more. For example in 1970 there were 4 billions people. Now there are 6 billions people. In 30 years the population has increased by 2 billions. In the same period heterosexuals have killed approximately the same number of people.

From 1970 to 1999 an average of 50 millions abortions were perpetrated each year by heterosexuals (Data from United Nations and World Health Organization). This means that in 30 yrs, 50x30=1500 millions abortions have been committed. Heterosexuals are guilty of 1.5 billions abortions in t hat
period of time. Heterosexuals are guilty of this holocaust and genocide of

children. Heterosexuals are worst than the Nazis.

Heterosexuals committed about 150 crimes per year per million people. From 1970 to 1999 the average world population was 5 billions, that is 5000 millions people. Therefore each year heterosexuals committed 5000x150=750000 crimes. For 30 years they committed 30x750000=22,500,000 crimes.

During that period heterosexuals killed also about 100 millions people in wars (soldiers and civil population).

During that period heterosexuals also killed about 100 millions people by various diseases such as syphilis, gonorrhea, herpes, AIDS, tuberculosis, leprosy, etc. In the world 85% of AIDS are caused and transmitted by heterosexuals. AIDS is a heterosexual disease. AIDS means heterosexuality.

During that period heterosexuals have killed and maimed about 100 millions children through wars, molestation, child batterings, ill treatment, lack of care and abandonment. Heterosexuals had also killed and are still killing
millions of children in the bellies of their mothers by their furious and savage copulations.

During that period heterosexuals have killed about 1,825 millions people, that is, 1.825 billions (by abortions+crimes+wars+diseases+child batterings and lack of care,etc).

Heterosexuals are therefore the greatest killers, the greatest murderers, the greatest perverts and the greatest monsters.
Heterosexuals are the most dangerous people.

Shame on heterosexuals.

Heterosexuals say they give life to babies but they must remember that for every person alive, they have killed another person. They give life but at what cost. The cost is violence, crimes, vices, perversions, wars, agressions and sins. The price of their life giving is very high. They must also remember that most heterosexuals want only to satisfy their sexual and vicious desires. Children are just the consequences. Heterosexuals have nothing to be proud about having children. On the contrary they should be ashamed of themselves for all the negative and catastrophic effects of their selfish and vicious copulations and fornications.

Heterosexuals commit crimes against children and against humanity

Heterosexuals are perverted people. They are also child abusers and molesters. They have perpetrated almost all of the crimes against children and against humanity. Throughout history they have committed millions of crimes against children. Today too they commit thousands of crimes against children. Thousands more go unnoticed since they are not reported to the police or the press. They have used children only for their own interests.

The children have not asked to be born. Therefore the parents have more responsibility and duty towards the children than the children towards

their parents. The parents say they do things for the children. The children too
do things for their parents. They give meaning to their lives, they strengthen the relationships of the parents and they give the parents a justification to indulge in sex. Often too the children look after the parents when they get old. The parents have obtained more than they have given. They have been paid back more than enough.
In spite of this, heterosexuals have beaten and ill-treated children for centuries.

They are also responsible of child labour. Many heterosexuals want only to satisfy their sexual desires without caring about the consequences. They have caused 300 millions children to be born in miserable and dangerous conditions. They have put these children to slavery. The
figures in million on child labour by United Nations are thus:

Brazil : 25 millions
Colombia : 3 M
Mexico : 10 M Pakistan : 3 M
United States : 1 M Philippines : 3.5 M
Italy : 0.5 M India : 50 M
Thailand : 3 M

and many millions in other countries also.

Battered children most of the times happen in families and are caused by heterosexuals. The parents are not punished sufficiently. Here is a heart breaking case among thousands others:

Police detective breaks down in child abuse case

A couple tied their son to a door for two years because he was not born a girl, a court heard. Police found the four year old boy and his two year old brother with
extensive injuries in a house in suburban Sydney, police woman Lola Scott told the court.

The parents, Donald Cappeti, 39, and his wife Robyn, 38, face eight charges of grievous bodily harm. The prosecution said they were the worst child abusers Australia had seen.

Scott wept as she told a preliminary hearing how David Capetti, who sufferered from gangrene in one foot, was tied to a door for two years as punishment for not being born a girl. He weighed only 25 lbs and had severe rope burns on his body, she said.

David and his brother Darren had not been bathed for two months and were fed only occasionally. The younger child had extensive burns, Scott added. The parents will appear in court again in September 12. Magistrate John Hiatt refused bail, saying the offences were "too horrific".

Heterosexuals are liars and lascivious

Heterosexuals hide themselves behind children. Heterosexuals always use children as pretext. In the past they had 10 to 20 children. If they had really wanted all those children, they should continue to have 20 children. But nowadays contraceptives exist and they have only 1 to 3 children. This is proof that they place the satisfaction of their desires before everything. Heterosexuals are uncontrollable. If contraceptives do not exist, they would still have 20 children, with all the disastrous consequences for the family, society, the country and nature.

Moreover, all those sexual relationships are not necessary. To have 3 children only about 10 copulations are sufficient. But heterosexual couples have on average 4000 copulations in their lives. This means that the 3990 relationships are not necessary. They use children to justify them. They hide themselves behind children.

Artificial Insemination must be popularized. All the companies manufacturing contraceptives will be converted into Artificial Insemination clinics. Then the sexual act will be a thing of the past, just as the washing machine is replacing more and more washing by hand.

Heterosexuals are uncontrollable (compulsive desire - a mental illness). They are also egoist, irresponsible, vicious and lascivious. They commit millions of abortions which are premeditated crimes. They are thus criminals.

The ugliness of heterosexuality

The perversities of heterosexual people

When the woman becomes a wheelbarrow
The man stands between the legs of the woman and holds her legs with his hands. The woman has her head down with her hands on the floor. The woman ressembles a wheelbarrow that the man is going to push. As the woman moves in front with her hands, the man follows her and strikes her with his penis in her hole. Both get excited viciously and perversely. The man pushes the woman-wheelbarrow. Now and then they stop and the man strikes the woman more furiously and viciously with his penis in her hole. When the woman moves with her hands on the floor, the man follows her. When the man pushes the woman, she moves by making steps with her hands. After being perversely satisfied, they stop. From time to time they perform this vicious sexuality.

This is only one example of the perversities of heterosexuals.

Heterosexuals are the greatest fuckers and the most vicious and dirty. They fuck women in front, at the back, at the top (mouth), in the middle (between breasts). They are cunt lickers, ass lickers, shit eater and urine drinker. Their houses are like brothels and toilets. Their houses are stinky of shit and urine. They have turned the world into brothels.
They are also the greatest child abusers and the greatest rapists. They are also the greatest murderers. They commit almost all abortions which are premeditated crimes. They fill the world with their shit and their filth. Almost all prostitutes and brothels are heterosexual ones.
Their riches and their comfort are based greatly on theft, exploitation and domination.

Heterosexuality is bestiality
Heterosexuals are violent, vicious, criminal, depraved
Heterosexuals are perverted
Heterosexuals are thieves
Heterosexuals are fornicators
Heterosexuals are rapists
Heterosexuals are vampires. They like to bite their partners like vampires.
Heterosexuals are sinners. They commit all sorts of sins.
Heterosexuals are cunt lickers
Heterosexuals are ass lickers
Heterosexual men are hungry of women. They want to devour women.
Heterosexual men want to stab women between their legs with their penises.
Heterosexuals are corrupting and rotting the world.
Heterosexuality is despicable and repulsive.
Heterosexuality is beastly and sick.
Heterosexuals are bestial
Heterosexuality is bestiality
Heterosexuals are disgusting and nauseating.
Their lifestyles are disgusting, nauseating and repulsive.

Heterosexual men are so vicious on women's breasts and in their vaginas that
they cause breast and uterine cancers in women.

Heterosexuals are abominable
Heterosexuals will be condemned to hell

Artificial Insemination Clinics should be encouraged to be set up.
Artificial Insemination will replace heterosexuality.

2299. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:13:40 UTC
From: Revolution <Revolution@truthandjustice.com>
Message-ID: <eh2v66$9eo$2@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 168276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
Heterosexual Terrorism

A man chases a woman, catches her, throws her on the floor. He pulls down his trousers, lifts her dress and removes her slip. He then starts to stab her between her legs with his penis. He stabs her furiously, savagely, bestially, viciously and perversely. He continues to stab her, stabs her again and again and now and then insulting her with obscene words. He takes vicious pleasure in seeing the woman at his mercy and enduring his perverse sexual assault.

2300. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:15:52 UTC
From: Revolution <Revolution@truthandjustice.com>
Message-ID: <eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 168277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.

Let Heterosexuals Die from Calamities

African and islamic countries are homophobic. So when AIDS, earthquakes, wars and other calamities strike them, don't help them. Let them die. Let heteros die because by helping them, you will help your enemies and your oppressors to oppress you more. You will save your oppressors and give them the chance to attack and oppress you more and again. Therefore don't help them. Let heteros die.

More than 90% of AIDS cases in the world are heterosexual ones. Let heteros die of AIDS. Let AIDS remove those hetero viruses and hetero pests. AIDS, earthquakes and other calamities are the anti-viruses to eliminate and reduce the heterosexual viruses and heterosexual pests. Let those hetero fornicators get destroyed. They would otherwise spread their filth in the world.

Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.

Countries and States that oppress gays are terrorist states. They should be attacked like Afghanistan and Irak. They have no right to exist in a modern civilized world. The Vatican, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Zimbabwe, Namibia, Nigeria, Malaysia, Poland, etc are terrorist states. They deserve the treatment reserved for terrorist states.

Hetero pervert, you are rotting the world. All hetero bandits, hetero terrorists and hetero fornicators should be nuked. The world will be better without those hetero shit and hetero pests.

2301. Re: id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:37:16 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161063436.071048.43830@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168265
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> stop hiding stand up in your name
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake

2302. Re: clean up your nasty ass--> Don't slam the ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:47:08 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161064028.827320.316350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 16 Oct 2006 20:02:19 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >news:1161024694.205225.220860@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> It's "Robeson" and "incognito." And I'm not Robeson. How come you see
> >> >> Steve in every post that isn't illiterate or grossly misspelled?
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Ham-and-cheese and Markie could put their cards together and they still
> >> >wouldn't be playing with a full deck.
> >>
> >> funny you are 7 can short of a sixpack
> >>
> >> clean up the ars turn in your license
> >> >
> >> >SC
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> why do you pethicaly try to troll in the kook of auk
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU coward

2303. Re: HOT ASS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 05:50:31 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161064231.520551.16390@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168267
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.email,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexual,rec.radio.cb

Cletis KKK Perkins wrote:
> Fuck I had fun today fuck I fucked fuck 5 hot bitches at the fuck KKK
> Klan meeting fuck we had fuck today fuck it was awsome!!!
>
> Cletis.

Hey Cletis........Was Marqueer the bend over billy there?

2304. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 06:01:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161064892.250959.320790@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> > "restructuring".
>
> The more things change....
>
> The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

I was there the first night we were allowed to operate below 3800,
worked an EA. Lotta ticked off VEs, Helluva mess. Lotta fun.

> > > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > >
> > > It sets a bad precedent.
> >
> > In what respect?
>
> That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.

You're almost 40 years late. The precedent was carved in stone as a
result of the Incentive Licensing R&Os of the late 1960s and they just
did it again. I'm not familiar with the 'wayback history of it but
maybe there were similar occurrences before 1950.

> > > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> >
> > PIAs. Their problem.
>
> Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.

Which, in the final analysis, is probably in our best interests.

> > The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> > overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> > crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> > the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> > brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> > our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> > all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> > and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the

> > public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
>
> Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.

That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL flap excepted.

> > > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > > them.
> >
> > No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
>
> There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
> 'em, though.

Sen. Goldwater, Art Collins, Gen. LeMay, hams in high places within the FCC . . Golden days . . heh.

> > By now they've long since
> > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>
> They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.

Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer: There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.

You're the local manager of the FCC group which totes up the comments on ham radio NPRMs, you also have a budget and a calander to manage and you know you gotta plow through the piles of comments in as efficient a maner as you can. Ordinary common sense and business smarts indicate that you'll pass out some informal set of criteria or another about who's comments to spend serious time on and who's to just give a quick scan and toss into the "agree"or "disagree" stacks with all sorts of variations in between. Considering the fact that Anderson's only inputs to the process have been a stream of workload for them from a non-ham bush-leaguer it wouldn't surprise me if they also had a stack for his drivel marked "cranks". Ten second scan, done, flick, into the stack it goes.

> > He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> > trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> > nonsense.
>
> I doubt he knows it.

Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.

> As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.

Then howcum you brought him up? Or were you trolling ME?!

> FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
>
> But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.

(a) They're toying with us for jollies.
(b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
(c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
(d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.

> > We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> > preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> > the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
>
> Yup.
>
> But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

> But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
> all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
> breath.

Not in our lifetimes.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2305. Re: id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 06:01:44 UTC
From: Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cuh55.omq.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168269
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161063436.071048.43830@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>
>> stop hiding stand up in your name
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake

Do you have any idea to discuss? Any one, just one of them. I bet you will
be laughed at.

>

2306. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 06:51:10 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.06.51.06.890219@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168270
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Art Deco wrote:
>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>><snip>
>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>*plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power

that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2307. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 07:30:56 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161070256.872770.98590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john wrote:
> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>
>
>
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Jim - NN7K <NN7K@NOWAY.NET> wrote in
> >news:rtBXg.13555$e66.13064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
> > >
> > >> To all radio amateurs
> > >>
> > >> SB QST ARL ARLB019
> > >> ARLB019 FCC releases long-awaited ''Omnibus''
> > >> Amateur Radio Report and Order
> > >>
> > >> Ending a protracted waiting period, the FCC's
> > >> Report and Order in
> > >> the so-called ''Omnibus'' Amateur Radio
> > >> proceeding, WT Docket 04-140,
> > >> was adopted October 4 and released October 10,
> > >> 2006. In it, the FCC
> > >> adopted nearly all of the proposed changes in the
> > >> Notice of Proposed
> > >> Rulemaking released back in 2004. The FCC has:
> > >>
> > >> + expanded the phone subbands in the 75 and 40
> > >> meter bands;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted auxiliary stations to transmit on
> > >> portions of the 2
> > >> meter band;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted the use of spread spectrum on 222-225 MHz;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted amateurs to retransmit communications
> > >> from the
> > >> International Space Station;
> > >>
> > >> + permitted amateur licensees to designate a
> > >> specific Amateur Radio
> > >> club to receive their call sign in memoriam;

> >>
> >> + prohibited an applicant from filing more than
> >> one application for
> >> a specific vanity call sign;
> >>
> >> + eliminated certain restrictions on equipment
> >> manufacturers;
> >>
> >> + permitted Amateur Radio stations in Alaska and
> >> surrounding waters
> >> more flexibility in providing emergency
> >> communications;
> >>
> >> + clarified that ''amateur stations may, at all
> >> times and on all
> >> frequencies authorized to the control operator,
> >> make transmissions
> >> necessary to meet essential communication needs
> >> and to facilitate
> >> relief actions'';
> >>
> >> + deleted the frequency bands and segments
> >> specified for RACES
> >> stations;
> >>
> >> and
> >>
> >> + deleted the requirement for public announcement
> >> of test locations
> >> and times.
> >>
> >> In addition, the FCC took several other
> >> miscellaneous actions.
> >>
> >> In ''refarming'' the frequencies currently
> >> authorized to Novice and
> >> Technician Plus licensees, the Commission
> >> increased the voice
> >> segments for General, Advanced and Amateur Extra
> >> licensees.
> >>
> >> On 75 meters, Generals will be able to use voice
> >> from 3800-4000 kHz,
> >> an increase of 50 kHz. Advanced class licensees
> >> will be able to use
> >> voice from 3700-4000, an increase of 75 kHz, and
> >> Amateur Extras will
> >> be able to use voice from 3600 to 4000 kHz, a
> >> generous increase of
> >> 150 kHz.

> >>
> >> On 40 meters, Advanced and Extra Class licensees
> >> will be able to use
> >> voice from 7125-7300 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> >> General class
> >> licensees will be able to use voice on 7175-7300
> >> kHz, an increase of
> >> 50 kHz.
> >>
> >> On 15 meters, General class operators will have
> >> phone privileges on
> >> 21275-21450 kHz, an increase of 25 kHz.
> >>
> >> ARRL President Joel Harrison, W5ZN, expressed the
> >> ARRL's gratitude
> >> to the FCC Commissioners in a letter dated October
> >> 11: ''On behalf of
> >> the ARRL and the Commission's licensees in the
> >> Amateur Radio Service
> >> I want to express appreciation for your release
> >> yesterday of the
> >> Report and Order in WT Docket 04-140 (FCC 06-149)
> >> amending Part 97
> >> of the Commission's Rules. The Commission's action
> >> in clearing this
> >> pending proceeding will assist the Amateur Radio
> >> Service in meeting
> >> its objectives, particularly with regard to
> >> providing emergency and
> >> public service communications.''
> >>
> >> The changes will go into effect 30 days after the
> >> R&O is published
> >> in the Federal Register.
> >> NNNN
> >> /EX
> >
> >
> >
> >It friggen figures the president of the ARRL would say that. They're only
> >interested in selling memberships, they're not interested in the quality of
> >the radio amateur. Ham radio can be like CB for all they care.
> >
> >SC

Ya know, The more I read SC's post the more respect I have for SC. He's
right. Trash like "John" and the "retarded no-code wonder" are what
will rid the bands of the ARS.

Maybe Mork should clean up his act and try to behave a little more like
Steve.

2308. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 07:35:45 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

john wrote:
> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
> you.
>
>

John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play with your little HT, faggot!

2309. Re: Why do Marqueer and No-Code PSYCHOPATHS USE EXTRA STRENGTH PERFUMES and COLOGNES in their rectums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 07:49:39 UTC
From: bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161071379.051510.87840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168273
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer"
> <AmerGovtCriminalsExposer@WhiteHouse.Gov> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Sampath's mom" <sampathmommymommy@sampathmom.osibisa> wrote in message
> >news:45343c05$0$19618$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> >>
> >> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" <AmerGovtCriminalsExposer@WhiteHouse.Gov> wrote
> >> in message news:45342041$0$19703$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> >>> Question:
> >>>
> >>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
> >>>
> >>>
> >> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
> >> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u hv no
> >> gf
> >>>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED the
> >WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
> > > 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
> > >
> >Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and ARREST
> >ME.
> > >
> >ROFLMAO.............................
> > >
> > >
> >QUESTION:
> > >
> >How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter PENISES
> >which
> >AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY ?
> > >
> > >
> >ANSWER:
> > >

> >
> >NEVER.....AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS
> >PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS FOREVER.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from her
> >classroom in california for posting
> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.
> >
> >
> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS
> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.
> >ROFLMAO.....
> >
> >
> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face and we
> >will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
> >
> >
> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
> >
> >
> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you need a
> >THOUSAND
> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your PUSSY.
> >
> >
> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5 milli meter
> >IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
> >
> >
> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz, cheney and
> >cunty rice.
> >
> >
> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and then I
> >can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL, PEDOPHILIC, MASS
> >MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE 300 PROGRAMMED
> >AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
> >
> >
> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT FBI and
> >NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey, you dont even
> >need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go to local FBI
> >office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, BLOOD THIRSTY,
> >PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL EXPERIMENTS being done on
> >TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS LIKE ME.

> >
> >
> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and a
> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will DROP their
> >WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
> >
> >
> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
> >
> >
> >MYTH:
> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
> >
> >
> >FACT:
> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
> >
> >
> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
> >government."
> >Edward Abbey
> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html
> >
> >
> >Signature:
> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
> >
> >
> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into a SLAVE
> >like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
> >
> >
> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for being
> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
>
> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2310. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 07:51:14 UTC
From: Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cuni9.4mh.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

<bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
> with your little HT, faggot!

The true truth is you have nothing to offer for entertainment by being a
truly malicious asshole. Prove me I am wrong, if you can.

>

2311. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:21:45 UTC
From: Smooth <smooth@flat.surface>
Message-ID: <Xns985F2C487336610853243@207.14.116.130>
Source record: 168278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
news:eh2v66$9eo$2@news.intnet.mu:
>
> A man chases a woman, catches her, throws her on the floor. He pulls
> down his trousers, lifts her dress and removes her slip. He then
> starts to stab her between her legs with his penis. He stabs her
> furiously, savagely, bestially, viciously and perversely. He continues
> to stab her, stabs her again and again and now and then insulting her
> with obscene words. He takes vicious pleasure in seeing the woman at
> his mercy and enduring his perverse sexual assault.

Yuo got all exctied whislt wiritng that, huh.

Sicko.

2312. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:23:51 UTC
From: Smooth <smooth@flat.surface>
Message-ID: <Xns985F2CA3EF09510853243@207.14.116.130>
Source record: 168279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
>
> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
> message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
> Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them
> and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and
> defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the
> nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's
> cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.

Have you ever fcuked a kitten?

2313. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:39:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ik59j25v4ils59v7qes9rq5kr9hgr503he@4ax.com>
Source record: 168280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cbs,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:11:51 -0300, "Revolution"
<Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote:

>
>"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in message
>news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>Heterosexual Terrorism and Heterosexual Dictatorship

stop your troling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2314. Re: id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:41:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7n59j2pfv8mioo9p76fa74984ru2t8skd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168281
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Oct 2006 22:37:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>
>> stop hiding stand up in your name
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake
lest certainly nothining cowardly about me where as you imply but will
not give your 4 area call

that make you the filthy coward
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2315. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:42:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <np59j25r7e4mvq6ahurlabe09755st3b4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 168282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 17 Oct 2006 00:35:45 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
>John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
>knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
>with your little HT, faggot!
so anyone is that opposes Code testing is homosexual maening accordin
got you that roughly the halkf the ARS is homosexaul

how dod explain that annymouse coward or most likely or old friend
k4yz
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2316. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:44:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4t59j25giept1da7f749khjh0krbuii1jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Oct 2006 00:30:56 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>john wrote:
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>> >SC
>
>Ya know, The more I read SC's post the more respect I have for SC. He's
>right. Trash like "John" and the "retarded no-code wonder" are what
>will rid the bands of the ARS.
>
>Maybe Mork should clean up his act and try to behave a little more like
>Steve.
no steve I will never act more like you

I will never except after years of provokaion suggest someone is child
molestor and even not not without the words you provided in your BIO
steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2317. Re: clean up your nasty ass--> Don't slam the ham<Sc is noo ham to be slamed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:45:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2069j25n16kmdo5194cb0n77ef8r0kl5vd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.dogs,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 16 Oct 2006 22:47:08 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:02:19 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:40:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> why do you pethicaly try to troll in the kook of auk
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>STFU coward
funny how I not you provide my name and call
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2318. id tehft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:46:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9269j2puvsqbo4of1q2o3f7hblclbiqssi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168285
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.email,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexual,rec.radio.cb

On 16 Oct 2006 22:50:31 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Cletis KKK Perkins wrote:
>> Fuck I had fun today fuck I fucked fuck 5 hot bitches at the fuck KKK
>> Klan meeting fuck we had fuck today fuck it was awsome!!!
>>
>> Cletis.
>
>Hey Cletis........Was Marqueer the bend over billy there?
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2319. id tehft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:47:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k369j2ttji6jsbjdt4bcsaaud1d8qng9k8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
why do you repatee without adding a word the drivel I jst cut steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2320. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:50:26 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.08.50.22.823321@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:05:16 -0700, marqueer attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:19:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> >"The God of Odd Statements" wrote
>> and you are a criminal

You know, if you're going to leave my nick in the attributions, Morgan,
leaving a bit of context wouldn't hurt. Otherwise, one would get the
idea that you are (falsely, I might add) accusing me of being a
"criminal". Just so you know, RRAP isn't "yours", it's mine, or at least
AUK's. You and your pet troll just happen to have some big ideas.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2321. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:54:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161075277.856421.11850@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:05:16 -0700, marqueer attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 13:19:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> >> >"The God of Odd Statements" wrote
> >> and you are a criminal
>
> You know, if you're going to leave my nick in the attributions, Morgan,
> leaving a bit of context wouldn't hurt.
but I did not post the coment in question someone comiting id theft
using my name by forgeyr did
to which I replied calling the flase mark a criminal which he is

>Otherwise, one would get the
> idea that you are (falsely, I might add) accusing me of being a
> "criminal". Just so you know, RRAP isn't "yours", it's mine, or at least
> AUK's. You and your pet troll just happen to have some big ideas.

RRAP barely notices you demon

2322. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 08:56:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161075374.544724.289780@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

Smooth wrote:
> "Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
> news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
> >
> > "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
> > message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

bandwidth is such a terrible thing to waste

2323. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 09:00:51 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.09.00.48.834387@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168290
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Art Deco wrote:
>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power

that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2324. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 09:32:29 UTC
From: Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cutg4.qo0.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Smooth" <smooth@flat.surface> wrote in message
news:Xns985F2CA3EF09510853243@207.14.116.130...
> "Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
> news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
>>
>> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
>> message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>> Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them
>> and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and
>> defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the
>> nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's
>> cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.
>
> Have you ever fcuked a kitten?

Don't you have a dog?

2325. id theftbis acrine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 09:38:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a399j21q84s1o7p780ogirjfc4ns06muhr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:32:29 -0700, "Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote
Monger" <yyyyiiiinnnnggggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Smooth" <smooth@flat.surface> wrote in message
>news:Xns985F2CA3EF09510853243@207.14.116.130...
>> "Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
>> news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
>>>
>>> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
>>> message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>> Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them
>>> and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and
>>> defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the
>>> nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's
>>> cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.
>>
>> Have you ever fcuked a kitten?
>
>Don't you have a dog?
why do people want to trollin these kooks
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2326. Re: Why do Marqueer and No-Code PSYCHOPATHS USE EXTRA STRENGTH PERFUMES and COLOGNES in their rectums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 10:28:34 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168293
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote:
>> >"Sampath's mom" wrote...
>> >> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote...
>> >>> Question:
>> >>>
>> >>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
>> >>
>> >> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
>> >> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u hv
>> >> no gf
>> >
>> >I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED the
>> >WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
>> > 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
>> >
>> >Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and
>> >ARREST ME.
>> >
>> >ROFLMAO.............................
>> >
>> >QUESTION:
>> >
>> >How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter
>> >PENISES which
>> >AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY ?
>> >
>> >ANSWER:
>> >
>> >NEVER...........AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA
>> >PSYCHOPATHS PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS
>> >FOREVER.
>> >
>> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
>> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from her
>> >classroom in california for posting
>> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.
>> >
>> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS
>> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.

>> >ROFLMAO.....
>> >
>> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face
>> >and we will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
>> >
>> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
>> >
>> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you need
>> >a THOUSAND
>> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your
>> >PUSSY.
>> >
>> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5 milli
>> >meter IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
>> >
>> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz,
>> >cheney and cunty rice.
>> >
>> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and
>> >then I can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL, PEDOPHILIC,
>> >MASS MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE 300
>> >PROGRAMMED AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
>> >
>> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT FBI
>> >and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey, you
>> >dont even need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go to
>> >local FBI office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED,
>> >BLOOD THIRSTY, PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL
>> >EXPERIMENTS being done on TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS LIKE
>> >ME.
>> >
>> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and a
>> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will DROP
>> >their WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
>> >
>> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
>> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
>> >
>> >MYTH:
>> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
>> >
>> >FACT:
>> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
>> >
>> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
>> >government."
>> >Edward Abbey
>> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html
>> >
>> >Signature:

>> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
>> >
>> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into a
>> >SLAVE like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
>> >
>> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
>> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for being
>> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
>>
>> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.

Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were added
by "bill" (he's another in the aforementioned company, due to his raving,
raging homophobia (an obvious case of repression), for example).

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2327. Re: Why do Marqueer and No-Code PSYCHOPATHS USE EXTRA STRENGTH PERFUMES and COLOGNES in their rectums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 10:46:37 UTC
From: Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cv1r6.bfp.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168294
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

"Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused...
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
> confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote:
>>> >"Sampath's mom" wrote...
>>> >> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote...
>>> >>> Question:
>>> >>>
>>> >>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
>>> >>
>>> >> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
>>> >> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u hv
>>> >> no gf
>>> >
>>> >I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED the
>>> >WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
>>> > 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
>>> >
>>> >Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and
>>> >ARREST ME.
>>> >
>>> >ROFLMAO.............................
>>> >
>>> >QUESTION:
>>> >
>>> >How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter
>>> >PENISES which
>>> >AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY ?
>>> >
>>> >ANSWER:
>>> >
>>> >NEVER...........AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA
>>> >PSYCHOPATHS PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS
>>> >FOREVER.
>>> >
>>> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
>>> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from her
>>> >classroom in california for posting
>>> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.
>>> >

>>> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS
>>> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.
>>> >ROFLMAO.....
>>> >
>>> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face
>>> >and we will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
>>> >
>>> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
>>> >
>>> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you need
>>> >a THOUSAND
>>> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your
>>> >PUSSY.
>>> >
>>> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5 milli
>>> >meter IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
>>> >
>>> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz,
>>> >cheney and cunty rice.
>>> >
>>> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and
>>> >then I can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL, PEDOPHILIC,
>>> >MASS MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE 300
>>> >PROGRAMMED AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
>>> >
>>> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT FBI
>>> >and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey, you
>>> >dont even need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go to
>>> >local FBI office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED,
>>> >BLOOD THIRSTY, PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL
>>> >EXPERIMENTS being done on TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS LIKE
>>> >ME.
>>> >
>>> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and a
>>> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will DROP
>>> >their WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
>>> >
>>> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
>>> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
>>> >
>>> >MYTH:
>>> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
>>> >
>>> >FACT:
>>> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
>>> >
>>> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
>>> >government."
>>> >Edward Abbey
>>> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html

>>> >
>>> >Signature:
>>> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
>>> >
>>> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into a
>>> >SLAVE like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
>>> >
>>> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
>>> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for being
>>> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
>>>
>>> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.
>
> Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were added

Aren't you the one who operates the bot for confusion?

> by "bill" (he's another in the aforementioned company, due to his raving,
> raging homophobia (an obvious case of repression), for example).
>
> --
> ____
> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
>
> "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
> A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
>
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>

> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>
> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>
> "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
> since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
> combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
> Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
> describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
> hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
> money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
> reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
> up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
> journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
> careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
> wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
> to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2328. Re: id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 11:17:21 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.11.17.18.594246@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168295
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 22:37:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>
>> stop hiding stand up in your name
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake

Well, at least Mark Morgan is standing up in his own name...unlike you,
"bill".

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2329. Re: id theftbis acrine (yebbo tis trudat)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 11:45:57 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161085557.456149.103710@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:32:29 -0700, "Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote
> Monger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Smooth" <smooth@flat.surface> wrote in message
> >news:Xns985F2CA3EF09510853243@207.14.116.130...
> >> "Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
> >> news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
> >>>
> >>> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
> >>> message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>> Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them
> >>> and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and
> >>> defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the
> >>> nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's
> >>> cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.
> >>
> >> Have you ever fcuked a kitten?
> >
> >Don't you have a dog?
> why do people want to trollin these kooks

The kooks will do what they want to. Whatcha gonna do?

BTW I've seen your blog. It has absolutely 0 comments. Are you keeping
it for a laywer?

2330. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 12:22:00 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.12.21.56.264885@hell.corn>
Source record: 168297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast,alt.politics.homosexuality

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:11:51 -0300, Revolution did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> "MarQueerMyDear" wrote...
>>
> Heterosexual Terrorism and Heterosexual Dictatorship
>
> Heterosexual people are terrorists. They have always attacked and agressed
> people. They have always molested, bullied and criticised others. When the
> others criticise them, they threaten them. They want to silence them. They
> do not accept criticism. They claim the right and the freedom to attack
> and criticise others but they refuse others this same right to criticise
> them.
>
> Heterosexual people terrorize others by violence and repression. They
> terrorize people also by passing discriminatory laws against others. This
> heterosexual terrorism and dictatorship have been legalised and
> institutionalized. There is something common in heterosexual people,
> terrorists and religious extremists and fanatics. Their psychologies are
> more or less the same.
>
> Heterosexual people are like dictators and religious fanatics. They do not
> accept that people question and criticise them. They are tyrannical. They
> want to make people believe that heterosexuality cannot be questionned,
> analyzed, criticised and challenged. This shows that heterosexuals are
> totalitarian.
>
> Heterosexual people have oppressed others for centuries and if we suggest
> making them feel for only a week (or even a day) what they have done to
> others, they rant and threaten with violence. This confirms the terrorist
> nature and mentality of heterosexual people. They are the source of all
> evils in this world. The only solution is to remove them from the world.
> All hetero bandits, hetero pigs, hetero fornicators, hetero perverts,
> hetero fanatics
> and hetero murderers should be condemned to death. The world will be a
> better place without those hetero shit.
>
> Heterosexual people are terrorists. They like to resort to violence. They
> don't care about children. They just use children as an arm and a shield
> to justify and defend their lustful and lascivious heterosexual desires.
>
> All dictators and terrorists are heterosexual.
>
> The talibans who were dictatorial and who tortured women were also

> heterosexual. All those black dictators of african origin are
> heterosexual.
>
> Heterosexuals have always been on the offensive. They have always attacked
> others without good arguments. Their attacks are based on lies, violence,
> vulgarity and injustice. Gays and other groups of people have always been
> on the defensive. This is why heterosexuals have become arrogant and
> tyrannical. Gays and other groups of people should strike back more often.
> They should counter attack They should be more on the offensive also. In
> fact it is heterosexuals who have not respected others. They have
> oppressed others. The others have the right to strike back. Heterosexuals
> have always acted like terrorists. This is Heterosexual Terrorism. They
> should be condemned.
>
> Five articles are reproduced below to show how heterosexuals are
> murderous, abnormal, perverted, sinful and dirty.
>
> Heterosexuals are beasts and filthy
>
> Heterosexuals like to criticise others when they have themselves many more
> faults, defects, vices and perversions.
>
> Heterosexuals are monsters. In 1999, in a few days heterosexuals committed
> many monstrous crimes. In Egypt a man stabbed the lover of his wife 300
> times and then cut his head. In Israel a man set fire to the house of his
> wife, killing his wife and his children. In atlanta, USA a man killed his
> wife and children and then killed 9 other people. Heterosexuals are
> beasts. Heterosexuality is bestiality.
>
> Some time ago, in belgium, a pastor and his daughter with whom he had an
> incestuous relationship killed 10 people. 5 were members of their family.
> The two heterosexuals got rid of their victims by dissolving their bodies
> in acid. The heterosexual man was a pastor and a theology teacher.
>
> In Gloucester, Great Britain, frederick and rosemary West who were husband
> and wife, killed and buried 18 persons. Some were their children.
>
> In the eighties, a heterosexual man in california raped, tortured and
> killed more than 15 women. the man took sadistic pleasures in seeing the
> women suffered. One of his methods was to cut them with an electric saw.
> The man took pleasure in seeing the women shouting in terrible pain.
>
> Several years ago in South America (Colombia) a heterosexual man raped and
> killed more than 100 girls.
>
> In France the parents of a 5 year old boy martyrized the little boy for
> years. They beated him and imprisoned him in a little cage. They took
> pleasure in seeing the little boy suffered.
>
> A few years ago in France a Head of school killed the prostitute he was

> frequenting because the prostitute no longer wanted him.
>
> There are many other cases of heterosexual atrocities.
>
> Every week (sometimes every day) in the media of the world you can read
> and hear about heinous crimes committed by heterosexuals.
>
> Heterosexuals like to brag and threaten people about many things. Then for
> offences and crimes they must be punished more severely because they have
> no extenuating circumstances.
>
> There are only three possibilities for them. Either they are shut in
> psychiatric hospitals or they are shut in prisons or they are executed.
>
> It is not because many parents are heterosexual that they are perfect.
> Many parents and heterosexuals want only to satisfy their vicious desires.
> Children are just the result of their vices. It is not that because
> something is necessary that it is perfect. Excrement is necessary and it
> is not perfect. Heterosexuals are like excrement. They are not perfect,
> they are disgusting and wicked.
>
> Heterosexuality is no longer necessary to have children. Artificial
> Insemination is replacing it.
>
> Some heterosexuals say that they have to fornicate to ensure the survival
> of humankind. Their argument does not convince at all. First we do not
> need so many children on this earth. On the contrary it will be better if
> they stop copulating and fornicating. There will be less vices and less
> problems caused by heterosexuals and overpopulation. If those
> heterosexuals stop copulating and do not have children we will not regret
> at all. We will rejoice because there will be less problems and more
> resources available for people existing on earth. It is better to have
> quality than quantity, that is, it is better to have fewer people who are
> better and having a better quality of life than having too many people who
> are bad and who degrade the quality of life.
> Secondly to have children, heterosexuals do not need to have so many
> copulations. They have thousands times more copulations than necessary. It
> is as if an employee is asking thousands times more money for the real
> value of a job or a seller is making people pay thousands times more than
> the
> real value of an article.
>
> If those heterosexuals stop copulating the world will be a better place.
> The world do not need those type of people. There are people more than
> necessary on this earth. There are also enough smart people on this earth.
> Many
> problems (crimes, vices, theft, exploitation, etc) caused by heterosexuals
> will disappear. So we say, let them stop copulating and having children.
>
>

> Heterosexuals are the greatest killers and the greatest perverts
>
> Heterosexuals are the greatest killers. Since the beginning of the world
> heterosexuals have killed as many as the increase in population at a
> particular period and sometimes much more. For example in 1970 there were
> 4 billions people. Now there are 6 billions people. In 30 years the
> population has increased by 2 billions. In the same period heterosexuals
> have killed approximately the same number of people.
>
> From 1970 to 1999 an average of 50 millions abortions were perpetrated
> each year by heterosexuals (Data from United Nations and World Health
> Organization). This means that in 30 yrs, 50x30=1500 millions abortions
> have been committed. Heterosexuals are guilty of 1.5 billions abortions in
> t hat
> period of time. Heterosexuals are guilty of this holocaust and genocide of
> children. Heterosexuals are worst than the Nazis.
>
> Heterosexuals committed about 150 crimes per year per million people. From
> 1970 to 1999 the average world population was 5 billions, that is 5000
> millions people. Therefore each year heterosexuals committed
> 5000x150=750000 crimes. For 30 years they committed 30x750000=22,500,000
> crimes.
>
> During that period heterosexuals killed also about 100 millions people in
> wars (soldiers and civil population).
>
> During that period heterosexuals also killed about 100 millions people by
> various diseases such as syphilis, gonorrhea, herpes, AIDS, tuberculosis,
> leprosy, etc. In the world 85% of AIDS are caused and transmitted by
> heterosexuals. AIDS is a heterosexual disease. AIDS means heterosexuality.
>
> During that period heterosexuals have killed and maimed about 100 millions
> children through wars, molestation, child batterings, ill treatment, lack
> of care and abandonment. Heterosexuals had also killed and are still
> killing
> millions of children in the bellies of their mothers by their furious and
> savage copulations.
>
> During that period heterosexuals have killed about 1,825 millions people,
> that is, 1.825 billions (by abortions+crimes+wars+diseases+child
> batterings and lack of care,etc).
>
> Heterosexuals are therefore the greatest killers, the greatest murderers,
> the greatest perverts and the greatest monsters. Heterosexuals are the
> most dangerous people.
>
> Shame on heterosexuals.
>
> Heterosexuals say they give life to babies but they must remember that for
> every person alive, they have killed another person. They give life but at

> what cost. The cost is violence, crimes, vices, perversions, wars,
> agressions and sins. The price of their life giving is very high. They
> must also remember that most heterosexuals want only to satisfy their
> sexual and vicious desires. Children are just the consequences.
> Heterosexuals have nothing to be proud about having children. On the
> contrary they should be ashamed of themselves for all the negative and
> catastrophic effects of their selfish and vicious copulations and
> fornications.
>
>
> Heterosexuals commit crimes against children and against humanity
>
> Heterosexuals are perverted people. They are also child abusers and
> molesters. They have perpetrated almost all of the crimes against children
> and against humanity. Throughout history they have committed millions of
> crimes against children. Today too they commit thousands of crimes against
> children. Thousands more go unnoticed since they are not reported to the
> police or the press. They have used children only for their own interests.
>
> The children have not asked to be born. Therefore the parents have more
> responsibility and duty towards the children than the children towards
> their parents. The parents say they do things for the children. The
> children too
> do things for their parents. They give meaning to their lives, they
> strengthen the relationships of the parents and they give the parents a
> justification to indulge in sex. Often too the children look after the
> parents when they get old. The parents have obtained more than they have
> given. They have been paid back more than enough. In spite of this,
> heterosexuals have beaten and ill-treated children for centuries.
>
> They are also responsible of child labour. Many heterosexuals want only to
> satisfy their sexual desires without caring about the consequences. They
> have caused 300 millions children to be born in miserable and dangerous
> conditions. They have put these children to slavery. The
> figures in million on child labour by United Nations are thus:
>
> Brazil : 25 millions
> Colombia : 3 M
> Mexico : 10 M Pakistan : 3 M
> United States : 1 M Philippines : 3.5 M Italy : 0.5 M India : 50 M
> Thailand : 3 M
>
> and many millions in other countries also.
>
> Battered children most of the times happen in families and are caused by
> heterosexuals. The parents are not punished sufficiently. Here is a heart
> breaking case among thousands others:
>
> Police detective breaks down in child abuse case
>

> A couple tied their son to a door for two years because he was not born a
> girl, a court heard. Police found the four year old boy and his two year
> old brother with
> extensive injuries in a house in suburban Sydney, police woman Lola Scott
> told the court.
>
> The parents, Donald Cappeti, 39, and his wife Robyn, 38, face eight
> charges of grievous bodily harm. The prosecution said they were the worst
> child abusers Australia had seen.
>
> Scott wept as she told a preliminary hearing how David Capetti, who
> sufferered from gangrene in one foot, was tied to a door for two years as
> punishment for not being born a girl. He weighed only 25 lbs and had
> severe rope burns on his body, she said.
>
> David and his brother Darren had not been bathed for two months and were
> fed only occasionally. The younger child had extensive burns, Scott added.
> The parents will appear in court again in September 12. Magistrate John
> Hiatt refused bail, saying the offences were "too horrific".
>
>
> Heterosexuals are liars and lascivious
>
> Heterosexuals hide themselves behind children. Heterosexuals always use
> children as pretext. In the past they had 10 to 20 children. If they had
> really wanted all those children, they should continue to have 20
> children. But nowadays contraceptives exist and they have only 1 to 3
> children. This is proof that they place the satisfaction of their desires
> before everything. Heterosexuals are uncontrollable. If contraceptives do
> not exist, they would still have 20 children, with all the disastrous
> consequences for the family, society, the country and nature.
>
> Moreover, all those sexual relationships are not necessary. To have 3
> children only about 10 copulations are sufficient. But heterosexual
> couples have on average 4000 copulations in their lives. This means that
> the 3990 relationships are not necessary. They use children to justify
> them. They hide themselves behind children.
>
> Artificial Insemination must be popularized. All the companies
> manufacturing contraceptives will be converted into Artificial
> Insemination clinics. Then the sexual act will be a thing of the past,
> just as the washing machine is replacing more and more washing by hand.
>
> Heterosexuals are uncontrollable (compulsive desire - a mental illness).
> They are also egoist, irresponsible, vicious and lascivious. They commit
> millions of abortions which are premeditated crimes. They are thus
> criminals.
>
>
> The ugliness of heterosexuality

>
> The perversities of heterosexual people
>
> When the woman becomes a wheelbarrow
> The man stands between the legs of the woman and holds her legs with his
> hands. The woman has her head down with her hands on the floor. The woman
> ressembles a wheelbarrow that the man is going to push. As the woman moves
> in front with her hands, the man follows her and strikes her with his
> penis in her hole. Both get excited viciously and perversely. The man
> pushes the woman-wheelbarrow. Now and then they stop and the man strikes
> the woman more furiously and viciously with his penis in her hole. When
> the woman moves with her hands on the floor, the man follows her. When the
> man pushes the woman, she moves by making steps with her hands. After
> being perversely satisfied, they stop. From time to time they perform this
> vicious sexuality.
>
> This is only one example of the perversities of heterosexuals.
>
> Heterosexuals are the greatest fuckers and the most vicious and dirty.
> They fuck women in front, at the back, at the top (mouth), in the middle
> (between breasts). They are cunt lickers, ass lickers, shit eater and
> urine drinker. Their houses are like brothels and toilets. Their houses
> are stinky of shit and urine. They have turned the world into brothels.
> They are also the greatest child abusers and the greatest rapists. They
> are also the greatest murderers. They commit almost all abortions which
> are premeditated crimes. They fill the world with their shit and their
> filth. Almost all prostitutes and brothels are heterosexual ones. Their
> riches and their comfort are based greatly on theft, exploitation and
> domination.
>
> Heterosexuality is bestiality
> Heterosexuals are violent, vicious, criminal, depraved Heterosexuals are
> perverted
> Heterosexuals are thieves
> Heterosexuals are fornicators
> Heterosexuals are rapists
> Heterosexuals are vampires. They like to bite their partners like
> vampires. Heterosexuals are sinners. They commit all sorts of sins.
> Heterosexuals are cunt lickers
> Heterosexuals are ass lickers
> Heterosexual men are hungry of women. They want to devour women.
> Heterosexual men want to stab women between their legs with their penises.
> Heterosexuals are corrupting and rotting the world. Heterosexuality is
> despicable and repulsive. Heterosexuality is beastly and sick.
> Heterosexuals are bestial
> Heterosexuality is bestiality
> Heterosexuals are disgusting and nauseating. Their lifestyles are
> disgusting, nauseating and repulsive.
>
> Heterosexual men are so vicious on women's breasts and in their vaginas

> that they cause breast and uterine cancers in women.
>
> Heterosexuals are abominable
> Heterosexuals will be condemned to hell
>
> Artificial Insemination Clinics should be encouraged to be set up.
> Artificial Insemination will replace heterosexuality.

IAWTP!

--

_____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join

I own "James C Cracked is God!!!":
MID: <1161060410.704020.285410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

"Q: How many Bush administration officials does it take to change a
light bulb?
A: None. There is no need to change anything. We made the right decision
to stick with that light bulb. People who say that it is burned out are
giving aid and encouragement to the Forces of Darkness." -- Anon.

"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

"I am incapable of original thoughts" -- Ctrlï¿½/Altï¿½/Delï¿½ has an honest moment, in MID: <0h59i25ejlthqeeitdp0hlk4kvo1ejpkt9@4ax.com>

"But now the end is near. Now Mark Foley comes along and is making almost all liberal dreams come true and seriously, I'm sorry for it. See, I believe in karma. I believe what comes around goes around and I know full well that it's just bad juju to wish such a level of turmoil and ill upon other humans, warmongering gay-hating maladroits or no, and that the real path of enlightenment is paved with forgiveness and progress and white-hot love and turning the other cheek and scotch.

"In fact, Jesus said something about that, I do believe. He said, "Knock it off already with the warmongering and the hating of each other and let's all get some wine and party like it's 2012." Then again, he never saw Karl Rove stab the nation with the dull ice pick of bogus fear. He never heard George W. Bush describe brutal war and the death of tens of thousands as "just a comma" in world history.

"Check that. Maybe I'm not so sorry after all." -- Mark Morford, http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/gate/archive/2006/10/11/notes101106.DTL&nl=fix
http://tinyurl.com/kusmr

2331. geophysicsts who can't count

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 13:13:16 UTC
From: Stuart <stuartl23@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7017833.2458878@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 168298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:12:57 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
> <delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote:
>
> >As of today, clicking on http://www.google.com doesn't show the option
> >to connect to Google groups until you click on the "more>>" link.
> >That's because Google is starting to downplay its groups as the
> >possibility looms that groups will be sold to another company.
> >
> >That also explains why Google hasn't been policing its users in recent
> >months. They've been anticipating handing their Usenet problems over
> >to someone else, so why should they spend their own money on them?
> >
> >The first thing that the new owner will do will be to shut down
> >posting while a new interface is built, and I don't think it can
> >happen too soon.
> >
> >Goodbye, Wiseman.
> >
> >Goodbye, Morgan.
> >
> >Goodbye, K4YZ.
> >
> >Goodbye Google lamers in general. We won't miss any of ya.

> funny delorean it more than 3 months later and I am here althouh
> wismen and robeson seem mostly gone

Learn to count, Morkie. It is two months and a few days since 13 Aug;
not three months! Imagine that: a geophysicist who can't count.

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

2332. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 13:28:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161091728.610995.236060@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> SC

Dear Slow, I'm a new ham. I passed my Novice Exams in November of 1986, so in Ham Years, I'm a new ham.

What do I like best about appliance operating? I like the features and the ease of cleanup. The new GE range we have allows me to scroll up to the desired operating temperature, and push the "ON" button. Then "Pre" is shown on the temp readout until the oven comes up to temperature. And the smooth glass stove top is a snap to cleanup. If something should boil over, you don't have to lift up the burners and take the spill pans out, etc. Just a quick wipe with a damp dish cloth. It doesn't get much better than that.

Thanks for asking.

2333. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 13:32:09 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh2m0osct41@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168350
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ah <splifingate@gmail.com> verbally sodomised in
news:453418a8$0$15907$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com:

> Art Deco wrote:
>> The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:
>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>><snip>
>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>*plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

2334. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 13:32:35 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh2m1isct42@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168351
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> verbally sodomised
in news:pan.2006.10.17.06.51.06.890219@verwirren.confused:

> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
>> Art Deco wrote:
>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>><snip>
>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>

```
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *

>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>> >*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>*plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>*plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o

i u e n
g r s g

2335. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 15:15:06 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <EIKdneatMpBabqnYnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 168352
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.17.09.00.48.834387@verwirren.confused...
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
> > Art Deco wrote:
> >> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
> >>>>>>>inanely:
> >>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
> >>>>>>>>>screamed:
> >>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
> >>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art Deco
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>>
> >>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >>
> >> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
> >
> > Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
Woof,,,*plop*

2336. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 16:02:23 UTC
From: Stan de SD <standesd_DIGA_NO_A_SPAM@covad.net>
Message-ID: <cd930$4534fe92$45035f0d$5327@msgid.meganewsservers.com>
Source record: 168353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in message
news:eh2v65$9eo$1@news.intnet.mu...
>
> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in message
> news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> Heterosexual Terrorism and Heterosexual Dictatorship
>
> Heterosexual people are terrorists. They have always attacked and agressed
> people. They have always molested, bullied and criticised others. When the
> others criticise them, they threaten them. They want to silence them. They
> do not accept criticism. They claim the right and the freedom to attack
and
> criticise others but they refuse others this same right to criticise them.

So your response to somebody posting some infantile gay-baiting was to post
something equally lame? Sheesh...

2337. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:41:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <id5aj21sp1vj2j1fuqotcropm0nl8gih20@4ax.com>
Source record: 168354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast,alt.politics.homosexuality

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 12:22:00 GMT, The Demon Prince of Absurdity
<absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote:

>On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:11:51 -0300, Revolution did the cha-cha, and
>screamed:
>> "MarQueerMyDear" wrote...

whotrolled the kook back in
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2338. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:42:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tf5aj2t58m8o657pujddriv50pjavfi3j8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168355
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:17:21 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
<verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 22:37:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>>>
>>> stop hiding stand up in your name
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake
>
>Well, at least Mark Morgan is standing up in his own name...unlike you,
>"bill".
and my call an important point in Ham radio NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2339. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:43:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ph5aj299n7l18ln68j56hpvnl0iouaksiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 03:46:37 -0700, "Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote
Monger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in message
>news:pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused...
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to

>>
>> Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were added
>
>Aren't you the one who operates the bot for confusion?
>

who trol in the kooks?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2340. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:46:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9j5aj2d16vqjljr3513leapr8dmoabhbqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 17 Oct 2006 04:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
<thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 02:32:29 -0700, "Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote
>> Monger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Smooth" <smooth@flat.surface> wrote in message
>> >news:Xns985F2CA3EF09510853243@207.14.116.130...
>> >> "Revolution" <Revolution@truthandjustice.com> wrote in
>> >> news:eh2v67$9eo$3@news.intnet.mu:
>> >>>
>> >>> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
>> >>> message news:1160856347.373675.295680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>>>
>> >>> Let women chain heterosexual men, lead them like animals, whip them
>> >>> and strike them with their needle heel shoes. Let women urinate and
>> >>> defecate on heterosexual men. They will like it because it is in the
>> >>> nature of heterosexual men to live under women's cunts. For women's
>> >>> cunts they will be on their knees and crawl like an animal.
>> >>
>> >> Have you ever fcuked a kitten?
>> >
>> >Don't you have a dog?
>> why do people want to trollin these kooks
>
>The kooks will do what they want to. Whatcha gonna do?
>
>BTW I've seen your blog. It has absolutely 0 comments.
nope you you are corect i stating it has none recently (although I
have checked if some one has post more fil;thy that will be deleted as
I moderate them

>Are you keeping
>it for a laywer?
that is of course one prupose it serves a record of Robeson and Wismen
insane threat might indeed prove usefull if they take that last stepp
of the deep end
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2341. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:46:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mn5aj259311et3bs0s2n34tq1q0dag0ri1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:13:16 +0000 (UTC), "Stuart" <stuartl23@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:12:57 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>> On Sun, 13 Aug 2006 16:40:00 +0000 (UTC), "DeLorean"
>> <delorean@nim.bstrs> wrote:
>>

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2342. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:52:36 UTC
From: "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161107555.928570.233940@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>
> SC
""''''''

2343. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 17:56:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s96aj2d8d5ftk1esfsrqv2l1gvrl5r0vb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Oct 2006 10:52:36 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>>
>> SC
>""''''''

2344. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 18:06:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161108371.016803.159340@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > What do you like best about appliance operating?
> >
> > SC
>
> Dear Slow, I'm a new ham. I passed my Novice Exams in November of
> 1986, so in Ham Years, I'm a new ham.
>
> What do I like best about appliance operating? I like the features and
> the ease of cleanup. The new GE range we have allows me to scroll up
> to the desired operating temperature, and push the "ON" button. Then
> "Pre" is shown on the temp readout until the oven comes up to
> temperature. And the smooth glass stove top is a snap to cleanup. If
> something should boil over, you don't have to lift up the burners and
> take the spill pans out, etc. Just a quick wipe with a damp dish
> cloth. It doesn't get much better than that.
>
> Thanks for asking.
amzing the thing these procoders want to know. they keep reenforceing
the notion OOKed cw must have harmfull effects on the brain

2345. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 18:29:38 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pi9Zg.46947$nm1.8930@fed1read04>
Source record: 168362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator period -- plain and simple.

Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham

Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or not - you are a Ham

Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a Ham

Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for elitism is snobbery - plain and simple

This is 2006, not 1920

CL

2346. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 19:04:29 UTC
From: "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161111868.995365.207950@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 10:52:36 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
> >>
> >> SC
> >""''''''

2347. Re: Kook Awards! LATE! *AGAIN*!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 20:19:47 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161116387.137580.184670@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.art-bell,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aratzio wrote:
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:31:09 -0400, Daedalus <jade@netk00ks.org>
> transparently proposed:
>
> >On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 14:32:13 +0000, Sean Monaghan <sean@fnvw.info>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Yeppers. The award results are late, again - however, the situation shall
> >>be fix0red.
> >>
> >>Expect a *major* announcement this evening.
> >>
> >>:-)
> >
> >AUK has finally been destroyed by the kooks, hasn't it?
> >
> >
> >FUCK!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >I knew this was going to happen when I went to the fridge for a beer
> >and the fridge was gone.
>
> UUUUURRRP!
> That wars me. *HICK*
>
> >
> >You could have warned me about this, you know! I just had all my sex
> >toy catalogues forwarded to this address. I was planning to buy some
> >drapes and make the place look pretty! I EVEN HAD A ROMANTIC SURPRISE
> >DINNER PLANNED FOR YOU GUYS!
>
> PIZZA!!!!!!!
>
> >
> >GOD DAMMIT!
> >
>
> No.
>
> >I'm outta here!
>
> YAY JADE!!!!!

>
> >
> >PLONK!
> PLONK!
>
> >
> >Jade
> Jade
>
>
> --
>
> Does the name Pavlov ring a bell?

PISS ON KOOKS!
you guys are the reason my morkins is on the dam computer all of the time. leave my little man alone so he'll get back to servicing me properly.

2348. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 20:25:43 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161116743.866234.90290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:

<bitch slap>

if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.

2349. Re: id tehft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 20:56:08 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161118568.924075.155980@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168366
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.email,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexual,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 16 Oct 2006 22:50:31 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Cletis KKK Perkins wrote:
> >> Fuck I had fun today fuck I fucked fuck 5 hot bitches at the fuck KKK
> >> Klan meeting fuck we had fuck today fuck it was awsome!!!
> >>
> >> Cletis.
> >
> >Hey Cletis........Was Marqueer the bend over billy there?
> id theft is crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

WHAT ???
Marqueer is my man cletis!

2350. Re: There are no true Christians

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:00:51 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161118850.964336.220850@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168367
Cross-posted to: sci.med.cardiology,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.atheism,alt.usenet.kooks

usenet_trash@yahoo.de wrote:
> Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote:
> > usenet_tr...@yahoo.de wrote:
> > > Andrew B. Chung, MD/PhD wrote:
> > > > The Holy Spirit has guided me to open a wallet and take out a $10 bill
> > > > that HE had entrusted to me to steward and to place it next to this
> > > > computer work station here in this medical center building.
> > > >
> > > > Would gladly give this to you when we meet in person. You will have to
> > > > call for an appointment and find your way to my office, however:
> > >
> > > The person who owns the website I quoted asked to pay by paypal.
> > > "And whosoever shall compel thee to go a mile, go with him twain."
> >
> > "Those who do the will of MY Father are MY family." -- LORD Jesus
> > Christ
>
> The word does not say to give only to family or those in need but just
> to anybody who asks, even the evil.

Marqueer! Stop playing with the christians and get back to licking my
nut sac and sucking my massive boobs!

2351. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:08:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Caveat Lector wrote:
> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator period --
> plain and simple.
>
> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
>
> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or not - you
> are a Ham
>
> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a Ham
>
> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for elitism
> is snobbery - plain and simple
>
> This is 2006, not 1920
>
> CL

You got that right.

2352. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:40:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9djaj2l9h4rev1i7ol2vt0mv6vkl78qb3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 168370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 10:52:36 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >""''''''

2353. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:42:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pejaj2l7ku497rjvelo4p4pc8sqc7m9g1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On 17 Oct 2006 13:25:43 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
>Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>
><bitch slap>
>
>if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.

id theft is a crime
one the pposting is comitting a crime becuase it is not posted by Gav

two the poster would be lying even if it was Gav I have sex with whome
I please and don't ask here permision (unless it is her I am going to
have sex with
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2354. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:43:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dijaj29ri5i4djva2q50423b4010l34o1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 168372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 17:36:43 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...

>Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to
>accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?
>
>
>>Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?
>no thread to hijack
just trying to make something of nothing Not lloyd
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2355. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:43:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tjjaj215t4t5oejp5utjjqpou237paccsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 168373
Cross-posted to: news.admin.net-abuse.email,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexual,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2356. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:45:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fmjaj2986vcog5qapo5144rj3s23d3ac2k@4ax.com>
Source record: 168374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.art-bell,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 17 Oct 2006 13:19:47 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2357. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 21:45:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <enjaj2t7o5cs2qqe0puhria97uu0et5sk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168375
Cross-posted to: sci.med.cardiology,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.atheism

On 17 Oct 2006 14:00:51 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2358. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 22:02:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161122534.513340.243530@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in

> >>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
> >>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
> >>> be disappointed.

> >>> Did the code help you with the design?

> >>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
> >>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
> >>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
> >>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
> >>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
> >>> since.

> >>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
> >>> anything beyond:

> >>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK

That's not the case when I operate Morse Code.

> >>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?

> >>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
> >>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
> >>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.

> >>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?

> >>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
> >>> the code.

> >>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
> >>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.

I don't remember that at all.

> >>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
> >>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
> >>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
> >>> early 70's.

There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.

> >> Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five
> >> years ago?
> >
> > Oh, easily. 25 years ago, technical conversations were dominated by
> > such earth shatteringly important stuff as having a ham down at the
> > repeater site helping other hams tune their transmitters to be on
> > frequency. Other wonderkind were hitting the repeater with a full
> > quieting signal, and turning their power up to try and get a better
> > signal to that DX mobile that breaking up.
> >
> > If it wasn't that, it was an endless sea of autopatches calling the xyl
> > to tell her that traffic was bad, could she start dinner... or ordering
> > pizza.
> >
> >> Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave
> >> dipole should be.
> >
> > I heard the same things 25 years ago from Generals that got their
> > licenses at the offices of the FCC.
> >
> > Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
> > pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
> > questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
> > handbook as my guide.

Do you mean the License Manual?

It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.

> > You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
> >
> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important.

Yes they are!

> Working to
> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?

BOTH are important.

If you're not running a homebrew or at least home-assembled rig, who
are you to call someone else an appliance operator?

What good are technical *discussions* if they don't translate into
actual working radio systems?

2359. kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 22:36:43 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d07ru.12e.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:ctrm9.adr.19.1@news.alt.net...

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:5958j2h1o3eicg6lbhh9jhcghfqnd1echd@4ax.com...
On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 23:21:30 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 12:39:58 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 10:51:22 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 02:48:05 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net <Mark@kb9rqz.net>
wrote:
>> >> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >>
>> >> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> >> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>> >
>> >Ah, but you should be able to answer some simple questions that any
>> >geophysicist in training would know. For example: Can you tell us
>> >what the Falvey subduction model is, and how it is related to plate
>> >tectonic theory? Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm?
>> sure I it is the basis of the how of plate techtechtonics it strike
>> slip ing and spreading center are basis of the mechanics of plate
>> movement
>[subject line restored]
>
>Wrong, but nice try.
what is wrong is you trying to prettend you are not enaging is
harrasment steve

>
Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?

Looks as if Chris put you in a corner when he said, "And before you go any
further, be aware that I used to work with Falvey back in the 80's when I
was employed as a geophysicist by the Gulf Research Company both in
Harmarville, Pennsylvania and in Houston, Texas. We sponsored part of
Falvey's research.

In short, I'm a real geophysicist. That's why I seriously doubt that you are."

Somebody called you out on your lies and now you have no comment save to accuse "Steve" of harrassing you again. You have no shame, do you?

>Trying to hijack the thread again, Mark?
no thread to hijack

just another of steve effort to conuct harrassment and get an answer to question I refuse to answer and one that is off topic and not proper to begin with
....

The question is only "not proper" because it put you on the spot as being a liar and you are uncomfortable about being exposed as such.
Who are YOU to determine what is on topic or not on topic, anyway?
You have no credibility. No life, no common sense, and certainly no degree in geophysics.
All you have is Usenet and a sick fixation on Steve.

2360. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 22:52:33 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.17.22.52.30.411786@hell.corn>
Source record: 168377
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>> further by squeaking:
>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>><snip>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--

_____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join

I own "James C Cracked is God!!!":
MID: <1161060410.704020.285410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

"Q: How many Bush administration officials does it take to change a
light bulb?
A: None. There is no need to change anything. We made the right decision
to stick with that light bulb. People who say that it is burned out are
giving aid and encouragement to the Forces of Darkness." -- Anon.

"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy
former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or
working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

"I am incapable of original thoughts" -- Ctrlï¿½/Altï¿½/Delï¿½ has an honest
moment, in MID: <0h59i25ejlthqeeitdp0hlk4kvo1ejpkt9@4ax.com>

"But now the end is near. Now Mark Foley comes along and is making almost all liberal dreams come true and seriously, I'm sorry for it. See, I believe in karma. I believe what comes around goes around and I know full well that it's just bad juju to wish such a level of turmoil and ill upon other humans, warmongering gay-hating maladroits or no, and that the real path of enlightenment is paved with forgiveness and progress and white-hot love and turning the other cheek and scotch.

"In fact, Jesus said something about that, I do believe. He said, "Knock it off already with the warmongering and the hating of each other and let's all get some wine and party like it's 2012." Then again, he never saw Karl Rove stab the nation with the dull ice pick of bogus fear. He never heard George W. Bush describe brutal war and the death of tens of thousands as "just a comma" in world history.

"Check that. Maybe I'm not so sorry after all." -- Mark Morford,
http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/gate/archive/2006/10/11/notes101106.DTL&nl=fix
http://tinyurl.com/kusmr

2361. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:31:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KJdZg.10770$Y24.8861@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

bg998@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Martin Potter) wrote in
news:eh1agejm1@theodyn.ncf.ca:

> Slow Code (my.mail@no.spam) writes:
>>
>> They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
>> ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to
>> support policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what
>> it used to be before all the dumbing down.
>>
>
> So, run for the office. Put your time and hard work where your mouth
> is. If your ideas are so good, everyone will vote for you.
>
> ... Martin VE3OAT

I can't, I canned my League membership when they started pushing for
dumbed down Hams. I didn't want my continued dues payments to seem
like I agreed with their policies.

SC

2362. I don't hear Omega One Todd, turn up the power.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:31:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LJdZg.10771$Y24.3205@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks.

2363. Re: What Is Ham Radio?.....The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:31:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MJdZg.10772$Y24.4999@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168380
Cross-posted to:
uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"david" <david_perry@btconnect.com> wrote in
news:lJOdnYUUm9AnBanYnZ2dnUVZ8tCdnZ2d@bt.com:

> Someday, when we all pay for our posts (it's
>> coming, believe me)
>
> That'll be the day when ham radio comes back to life then. Paying for
> posts? That's paying for toilet paper on a wipe by wipe basis.
>
> D

Unfortunately, the Dumb-It-Down no-code ham radio crowd are too lazy to
wipe. They'll still hang around and the rest of us will have to smell
the stink.

SC

2364. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:37:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l8qaj25vp2i66hrplnjmm3c9sjc0c6dh1i@4ax.com>
Source record: 168381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:05:25 +0000 (UTC), Slow Code <me@my.mail>
wrote:

>Those of you who want everything handed to you instead of working for

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2365. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:37:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b9qaj2plu4eak6o069lo9okudqihvtea72@4ax.com>
Source record: 168382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>Thanks.
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2366. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:38:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <s9qaj29iahgq6t2fj7nurjq5bqaes3u607@4ax.com>
Source record: 168383
Cross-posted to:
uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"david" <david_perry@btconnect.com> wrote in
>news:lJOdnYUUm9AnBanYnZ2dnUVZ8tCdnZ2d@bt.com:
>
>> Someday, when we all pay for our posts (it's
>>> coming, believe me)
>>
>> That'll be the day when ham radio comes back to life then. Paying for
>> posts? That's paying for toilet paper on a wipe by wipe basis.
>>
>> D
>
>
>Unfortunately, the Dumb-It-Down no-code ham radio crowd are too lazy to
>wipe. They'll still hang around and the rest of us will have to smell
>the stink.
>
you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
worst since you just whine on and on
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2367. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:40:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161128408.207707.69380@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> bg998@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Martin Potter) wrote in
> news:eh1agejm1@theodyn.ncf.ca:
>
> > Slow Code (my.mail@no.spam) writes:
> >>
> >> They should auction off the president and board of directors too. They
> >> ain't worth anything but maybe the new board will do something to
> >> support policy that will increase the integrity of the service to what
> >> it used to be before all the dumbing down.
> >>
> >
> > So, run for the office. Put your time and hard work where your mouth
> > is. If your ideas are so good, everyone will vote for you.
> >
> > ... Martin VE3OAT
>
>
> I can't,
indeed becuase you lack even the corage to give your name
>I canned my League membership when they started pushing for
> dumbed down Hams. I didn't want my continued dues payments to seem
> like I agreed with their policies.
>
> SC

2368. Re: I don't hear Omega One Todd, turn up the power.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-17 23:51:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161129093.138023.89770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

Slow Code wrote:
> Thanks.

2369. Re: F/O Faggot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:00:45 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161129645.007933.166230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168386
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
> why do you repatee without adding a word the drivel I jst cut steve

kind of like what you do, huh?
You stupid cocksucker!

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Oh, and fuck you and your shit eating kook pals!

2370. Re: Why do Marqueer and No-Code PSYCHOPATHS USE EXTRA STRENGTH PERFUMES and COLOGNES in their rectums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:03:33 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161129813.845192.149640@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168387
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.homosexual

Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
> "Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in message
> news:pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused...
> > On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
> > confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >> SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
> >>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote:
> >>> >"Sampath's mom" wrote...
> >>> >> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote...
> >>> >>> Question:
> >>> >>>
> >>> >>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
> >>> >>
> >>> >> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
> >>> >> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u hv
> >>> >> no gf
> >>> >
> >>> >I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED the
> >>> >WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
> >>> > 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
> >>> >
> >>> >Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and
> >>> >ARREST ME.
> >>> >
> >>> >ROFLMAO.............................
> >>> >
> >>> >QUESTION:
> >>> >
> >>> >How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter
> >>> >PENISES which
> >>> >AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY ?
> >>> >
> >>> >ANSWER:
> >>> >
> >>> >NEVER...........AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA
> >>> >PSYCHOPATHS PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS
> >>> >FOREVER.
> >>> >
> >>> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
> >>> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from her
> >>> >classroom in california for posting
> >>> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.

> >>> >
> >>> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS
> >>> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.
> >>> >ROFLMAO.....
> >>> >
> >>> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face
> >>> >and we will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
> >>> >
> >>> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
> >>> >
> >>> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you need
> >>> >a THOUSAND
> >>> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your
> >>> >PUSSY.
> >>> >
> >>> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5 milli
> >>> >meter IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
> >>> >
> >>> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz,
> >>> >cheney and cunty rice.
> >>> >
> >>> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and
> >>> >then I can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL, PEDOPHILIC,
> >>> >MASS MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE 300
> >>> >PROGRAMMED AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
> >>> >
> >>> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT FBI
> >>> >and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey, you
> >>> >dont even need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go to
> >>> >local FBI office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED,
> >>> >BLOOD THIRSTY, PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL
> >>> >EXPERIMENTS being done on TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS LIKE
> >>> >ME.
> >>> >
> >>> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and a
> >>> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will DROP
> >>> >their WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
> >>> >
> >>> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
> >>> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
> >>> >
> >>> >MYTH:
> >>> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
> >>> >
> >>> >FACT:
> >>> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
> >>> >
> >>> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
> >>> >government."
> >>> >Edward Abbey

> >>> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html
> >>> >
> >>> >Signature:
> >>> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
> >>> >
> >>> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into a
> >>> >SLAVE like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
> >>> >
> >>> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
> >>> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for being
> >>> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
> >>>
> >>> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.
> >
> > Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were added
>
> Aren't you the one who operates the bot for confusion?
>
> > by "bill" (he's another in the aforementioned company, due to his raving,
> > raging homophobia (an obvious case of repression), for example).
> >
> > --
> > ____
> > Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> > The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> > Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> >
> > "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
> > A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
> >
> > Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> > George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> > and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> > outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> > "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> > their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> > pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> > You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> > by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> > obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> > information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> > You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> > different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
> >
> > "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> > coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> > are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> > way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> > that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> > to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you

> > choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
> >
> > "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> > -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
> >
> > "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> > Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> > 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
> >
> > "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
> > since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
> > combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
> > Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
> > describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
> > hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
> > money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
> > reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
> > up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
> > journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
> > careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
> > wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
> > to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Real Friendit Naybourhood loves nigger cock in the ass

2371. Re: MARQUEER is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:05:45 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161129945.555322.182630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168388
Cross-posted to:
uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"david" <david_perry@btconnect.com> wrote in
> >news:lJOdnYUUm9AnBanYnZ2dnUVZ8tCdnZ2d@bt.com:
> >
> >> Someday, when we all pay for our posts (it's
> >>> coming, believe me)
> >>
> >> That'll be the day when ham radio comes back to life then. Paying for
> >> posts? That's paying for toilet paper on a wipe by wipe basis.
> >>
> >> D
> >
> >
> >Unfortunately, the Dumb-It-Down no-code ham radio crowd are too lazy to
> >wipe. They'll still hang around and the rest of us will have to smell
> >the stink.
> >
> you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
> worst since you just whine on and on

FUCK YOU AND THE WHORE THAT GAVE YOU BIRTH, MORK-QUEER!

> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2372. Re: I don't hear Omega One Todd, turn up the power.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:08:27 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161130107.409034.53570@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168389
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

N9OGL wrote:
> Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Thanks.

The toad has been busy packing marqueer's man=puss

2373. Re: MARQUEER's ass packing is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:11:56 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161130316.384825.225370@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,ba.broadcast

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 17 Oct 2006 10:52:36 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >""''''''

2374. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:29:38 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
> >> >
> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
> >>
> >> You have a filthy mouth.
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> > Pot Kettle Black!
>
>
> Wrong.
>
> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see what
> the filth in RRAP looks like.

I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!

>
> How's your CW practice coming along? Are you going to be a ham soon?
>
I am a Ham. You're a mean nasty old cuss who doesn't have a friend in
the world.

> SC
>

2375. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:36:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cotaj29kk9764ek3ugdgv85pmhi8cmp0bc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.homosexual

On 17 Oct 2006 17:03:33 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2376. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:37:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <eptaj298ag9hm9et2u8q98avn9e4kanr5k@4ax.com>
Source record: 168393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

On 17 Oct 2006 17:08:27 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > Thanks.
>
>The toad has been busy packing marqueer's man=puss
you realy should get a name of your own
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2377. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:38:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <drtaj2hl0fr95kjpjrcari28jm22oc3tm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168394
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

```
On 17 Oct 2006 17:00:45 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>> why do you repatee without adding a word the drivel I jst cut steve
>
>kind of like what you do, huh?
>You stupid cocksucker!
>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>Oh, and fuck you and your shit eating kook pals!
you sir are the one that keeps trying to troll in the kooks
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2378. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:39:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jstaj2dsgaod9jr8ku5m5kbhk9n632tnhb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168396
Cross-posted to:
uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 17 Oct 2006 17:05:45 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>> you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
>> worst since you just whine on and on
>
>FUCK YOU AND THE WHORE THAT GAVE YOU BIRTH, MORK-QUEER!

no you may not have sex with me I have told and told you that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2379. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:39:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161131959.233533.5020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >You may
> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
> >> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
> >> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> >> >
> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
> >
> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
> >
> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> > any valid reasoning.
>
> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like you
> and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to be
> real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators, therefore
> you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW to save a
> life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to use a
> microphone to help save anyone too.
>
> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the being
> entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice class again.
> Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra again.
>
> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem to
> sing the same tune.
>
> SC

Why do you say I'm a low-life?
```

2380. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:39:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mttaj2lgmrf8bmfc555to7t5595dfbgd9s@4ax.com>
Source record: 168397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 17 Oct 2006 17:11:56 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>I enjoyed my stay in canada, plus their flag is cool.

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2381. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:40:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rutaj218p35cd1ljdd32lst3a2gunlg7jt@4ax.com>
Source record: 168398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 00:20:44 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
>suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
>of worth to say.
>
personaly i feel killfile just mask problems like SC anbd let them
fester
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2382. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:43:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h5uaj292a6mvqjtku2dm40mj5e7evsk0n7@4ax.com>
Source record: 168399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 17 Oct 2006 17:39:19 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >You may
>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
>> >> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>> >> >
>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>> >
>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>> >
>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
>> > any valid reasoning.
>>
>> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like you
>> and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to be
>> real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators, therefore
>> you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW to save a
>> life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to use a
>> microphone to help save anyone too.
>>
>> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the being
>> entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice class again.
>> Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra again.
>>
>> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
>> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem to
>> sing the same tune.
>>
>> SC
```

>
>Why do you say I'm a low-life?
according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2383. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:47:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132451.006173.281260@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Jimmie D wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
> >> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
> >> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
> >> >
> >> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in the
> >> > first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the tests
> >> > were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the first
> >> > place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
> >>
> >> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
> >> bullshit they must endure from other hams
> >
> > Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
> > on RRAP n't ham radio.
>
> But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.

Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
just as well on 6 Meters.

2384. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:51:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132683.380812.160430@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> > > >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >
> > > > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> > > > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> > > > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> > > > >> century.
> > > > >
> > > > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
> > > > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
> > > > >that.
> > > >
> > > > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> > > > air
> > >
> > We should hear a loud "pop."
> > >
> > > > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> > > > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> > > > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> > > > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> > > > >
> > > > >Slow is, well, slow.
> > >
> > > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> > > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> > > faint praise.
> > >
> > He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

Robesin is absent. Lots of uniques showing up. Maybe we need to run
RRAP through a contest logging program.

2385. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 00:56:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161132976.272099.210400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
> >> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >
> >
> >> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
> >> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
> >> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
> >> > >> century.
> >> > >
> >> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
> >> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
> >> > >hit ake on that.
> >> >
> >> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
> >> > air
> >>
> >> We should hear a loud "pop."
> >>
> >> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
> >> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
> >> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
> >> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
> >> > >
> >> > >Slow is, well, slow.
> >>
> >> realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
> >> Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
> >> faint praise.
> >>
> >> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >
> > I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> > is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>

> And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
> the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
> you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
>
> SC

Mad Dog Deignan of the infamous RF Commandos?

2386. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:14:28 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <give.woger.an.sc.award@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 168403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:05:25 +0000 (UTC), Slow Code <me@my.mail> wrote:
> Those of you who want everything handed to you instead of working for
> it will be glad to hear that I am going to start giving away an award
> that you lids, kids, and space cadets can prominently display anywhere
> that you like. Only the lids, kids, and space cadets will be
> eligible, and they will win the beautiful logo shown below. Right
> now, the first awards are likely to go to Mark, hot-ham-and-spam, and
> the ever-entertaining "john." Stay tuned to learn about the winning
> losers.
>
> begin 644 scaward.png
[snip]

Isn't Woger eligible for one of those awards? He's over on N9OGL's
web site yelling that he wasn't beaten up by skinheads last week, and
I think that ought to at least earn him an honorable mention. N9OGL
had no clue about what Woger meant, because there was no previous
mention of skinheads anywhere on Todd's web site. That didn't stop
Woger from telling Todd off about the skinheads anyway.

That's real kookism. That's definitely award material.

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia,
hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related
to his sister."

2387. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:15:41 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9f4a4841512330989a4b@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <rutaj218p35cd1ljdd32lst3a2gunlg7jt@4ax.com>, Mark@kb9rqz.net
says...
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 00:20:44 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
> >suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
> >of worth to say.
> >
> personaly i feel killfile just mask problems like SC anbd let them
> fester
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
If we all killfiled him he'd go away in a week or 2. I've seen it on
many other groups.

73

Chris

2388. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161135373.371022.14880@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Absolutely so. This thing is a watered-down repeat of the 1960s
> > > "restructuring".

> > The more things change....

> > The old General phone band was 3800-4000. The circle is complete.

> I was there the first night we were allowed to operate below 3800,
> worked an EA. Lotta ticked off VEs, Helluva mess. Lotta fun.

Indeed!
>
> > > > > Note the lack of Generals expressing any opinions on the
> > > > > subject. If they're not in here complaining about losing cw/rtty space
> > > > > why should us OF Extras care about the subject one way or the other?
> > > >
> > > > It sets a bad precedent.
> > >
> > > In what respect?
> >
> > That they will reduce privileges of existing licenses.
>
> You're almost 40 years late. The precedent was carved in stone as a
> result of the Incentive Licensing R&Os of the late 1960s and they just
> did it again.

The point is that since 1969 they haven't.

> I'm not familiar with the 'wayback history of it but
> maybe there were similar occurrences before 1950.

Not one that I know of since the inception of the ABC system.
>
> > > > I wonder what FCC really thinks of us hams.
> > >
> > > PIAs. Their problem.
> >
> > Naw, it's ours, because we can't vote them out.
>
> Which, in the final analysis, is probably in our best interests.

Consider how qualified the head of FEMA was to handle Katrina...

> > > The "relationship" between ham radio and the FCC is no different
> > > overall than the way the FAA gets pounded by the recreational aviation
> > > crowd and the Department of the Interior gets Excedrin headaches from
> > > the hiking, camping, hunting and fishing bunch. The ongoing regulatory
> > > brawls between the skimobile/ATV users vs. the preservationists make
> > > our little code test dispute look laughable in comparison. When it's
> > > all said and done the regulatory agencies have been instructed by law
> > > and funded by Congress to deal with the sometimes goofy issues we the
> > > public stick them with - since it's *us* who are paying them to do it.
> >
> > Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> > worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> > dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.
>
> That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive
> types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL
> flap excepted.

Maybe. If ya think BPL isn't political, ya haven't been paying
attention.

> > > > The Morse Code test issue is even more of a mess. Treaty changes, FCC
> > > > gets *18* proposals! Huh? Some are poles apart while others are
> > > > virtually identical. They gotta deal with 18 RMs and comments on all of
> > > > them.
> > >
> > > No sympathy. See above about who gets paid to do what.
> >
> > There's no modern-day equivalent of K7UGA to put a bit of a scare into
> > 'em, though.
>
> Sen. Goldwater, Art Collins, Gen. LeMay, hams in high places within the
> FCC . . Golden days . . heh.

Yup.
>
> > > By now they've long since
> > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> >
> > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
>
> Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.

They do read all of the comments. What they conclude is another matter.

>
> You're the local manager of the FCC group which totes up the comments
> on ham radio NPRMs, you also have a budget and a calander to manage and
> you know you gotta plow through the piles of comments in as efficient a
> maner as you can. Ordinary common sense and business smarts indicate

> that you'll pass out some informal set of criteria or another about
> who's comments to spend serious time on and who's to just give a quick
> scan and toss into the "agree"or "disagree" stacks with all sorts of
> variations in between.

Bingo. Particularly if there's nothing new in the 200+ pages of
comments and reply comments from one person!

> Considering the fact that Anderson's only inputs
> to the process have been a stream of workload for them from a non-ham
> bush-leaguer it wouldn't surprise me if they also had a stack for his
> drivel marked "cranks". Ten second scan, done, flick, into the stack it
> goes.

They gotta read 'em. Doesn't mean they gotta agree with 'em or act on
'em.

> > > He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> > > trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> > > nonsense.
> >
> > I doubt he knows it.
>
> Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.

Not my problem. Not yours, either.

> > As for knickers, it's not mine that are in a twist.
>
> Then howcum you brought him up?

Just to show what FCC has to put up with and why they *might* be a
little ticked...

> Or were you trolling ME?!

Not trolling anybody. Besides, have you submitted hundreds of pages?
>
> > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> >
> > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
>
> (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.

I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of

(e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
mountains of commentary.

> > > We're the global odd jobs, we're notorious all over the planet for
> > > preferring food fights to regulatory peace and quiet. We is what we is,
> > > the old "herding cats" syndrome in play.
> >
> > Yup.
> >
> > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.

> Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
long to get anything from FCC and why the result is so unlike what is
proposed.

> > But that's a lot of work. You think an outfit like NCI is going to do
> > all the legwork and compromising to get consensus? Don't hold yer
> > breath.

> Not in our lifetimes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2389. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <281bj2lenaldtm3k68g1f27qofbae5h1vs@4ax.com>
Source record: 168406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
On 17 Oct 2006 17:56:16 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>> news:1161040355.357240.163210@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> >> > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >> > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> >> > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> >> > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> >> > >> century.
>> >> > >
>> >> > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own
>> >> > >excrement off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us
>> >> > >hit ake on that.
>> >> >
>> >> > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> >> > air
>> >>
>> >> We should hear a loud "pop."
>> >>
>> >> > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> >> > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> >> > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> >> > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> >> > >
>> >> > >Slow is, well, slow.
>> >>
>> >> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> >> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> >> > faint praise.
>> >>
>> >> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>> >
```

>> > I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> > is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>>
>> And you haven't changed a bit either Len. You should be taking part in
>> the more technical groups and not arguing policy with pro-coders, or are
>> you hoping to be the second No-Code Extra after Markie?
>>
>> SC
>
>Mad Dog Deignan of the infamous RF Commandos?
could be esp if it isn't Robbeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2390. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:36:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <691bj2lplt1qk6e24tp3cioukcu19aa6jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 168407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 17 Oct 2006 17:51:23 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > On 15 Oct 2006 16:24:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 14 2006 4:01 pm
>> > > >> >Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>> > > >> Blowcode is just another troll who has his head up his
>> > > >> ass in regards to radio communications. That head just
>> > > >> hasn't been aware of what happened in radio for a half
>> > > >> century.
>> > > >
>> > > >With his head up his own ass, he wouldn't need to eat his own excrement
>> > > >off of another man's genitals. Maybe Robesin can give us hit ake on
>> > > >that.
>> > >
>> > > well BB it must a tight fit so I suspect he wait to he puls out for
>> > > air
>> >
>> > We should hear a loud "pop."
>> >
>> > > >> The ITU didn't "just remove" anything. The ITU-R made
>> > > >> the code test for an amateur license with below-30-MHz
>> > > >> privileges OPTIONAL to each administration. In 2003.
>> > > >> THREE years ago, not "just now." :-)
>> > > >
>> > > >Slow is, well, slow.
>> >
>> > realy slwo but according to one of post another of Sock puppets "hey
>> > Stupid" so he is more honest the Robeson (which is truly damning with
>> > faint praise.
>> >
>> > He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>Robesin is absent. Lots of uniques showing up. Maybe we need to run

>RRAP through a contest logging program.
think it would be able to keep tack here
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2391. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:37:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <na1bj21mrd8uv6scsotqci5u55a0tamb7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 01:15:41 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <rutaj218p35cd1ljdd32lst3a2gunlg7jt@4ax.com>, Mark@kb9rqz.net
>says...
>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 00:20:44 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
>> >suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
>> >of worth to say.
>> >
>> personaly i feel killfile just mask problems like SC anbd let them
>> fester
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>If we all killfiled him he'd go away in a week or 2. I've seen it on
>many other groups.
he would just be back under another name si a few days to week
>
>73
>
>Chris
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2392. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 01:40:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:

> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> just as well on 6 Meters.
BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
you could tell me

2393. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 02:10:08 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 168410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
get into his face about CW.

Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.

Slow Code wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>
>
>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>
>>
>
>
>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>
>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>
>SC
>
>
Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
get into his face about CW.

Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.

--

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

2394. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 02:28:17 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 168411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>
>>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>
>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>
>>
>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>really need a theory exam for?
> you tell me
>
> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>
> don't you think it does that?
>
>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>
> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
> it was found to be to our benifit
>
>> They feel they
>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station

>>operation?
>>
>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>
> pput on such hats as you please
>>
>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>
> agreed
>>One has to
>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
> or to real;ize where we are today
>> Having said that, CW
>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>
> it is obslete
>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>
> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>
> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
> come
>
> it is none the less obeslete
>> It's spectrum efficient
>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>
> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
> wish to use it
>> It's just
>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>
> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>
>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>obtuse.
> and insulting
>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.

>
> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
> today
>> It needs operators with
>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>highest bidder.
>>
>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
> to achive your end
>
> for that matter so will I
>>
>>- - . . . . . - -
>>
>>NT
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what, someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a keyboard.

2395. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 02:46:38 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9AgZg.62283$KR1.42304@bignews2.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 168412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1159681014.774892.184650@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>
>
>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>stpupid
>>
>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>requirement.
>
> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
> per year ever since]
>
> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>as a response.
>
> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
> "technical people." :-)
>
> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
> as a communications medium. The technology of early
> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
> mature and a new branch of communications was open
> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the

>>face of modern telecommunications.
>
> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
> different than the technology available in the 20s and
> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
> technological environment.
>
>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become

> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
> everyone from government agencies to private boat
> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

2396. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 03:20:55 UTC
From: Robert Kubichek <bobn9lvu@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4plksmFje1pnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 168413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

THE-RFI-EMI-GUY wrote:

> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
> get into his face about CW.
>
> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>
>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>
>>SC
>>
>>
> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
> get into his face about CW.
>
> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
> --
>
> "Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
> For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."
>
> "Follow The Money" ;-P

>
>
Thats all they know how to do, griping is their pastime. 8-)
I don't think they know how to say something constructive with a positive attitude. It is their attitude thats helping the hobby to die.

And I am NOT ashamed to post my REAL name or call sign, nor that I have never felt the need to learn code.

Robert Kubichek N9LVU

BTW: I help people when they have problems on their computers, whether by teaching, or actually fixing the equipment.. It's more rewarding.. I used to feel the same about ham radio..

2397. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 03:28:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <obhZg.17462$6S3.13068@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 168414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Jimmie D wrote:
> Total agreement here, our obligation of service to earn our privlegdes
> doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

The "service" provided by the Amateur Radio Service
is a service provided *by* the federal government
to the citizens who meet the amateur radio licensing
requirements. There is no governmental requirement or
obligation that amateur radio operators render any public
service at all. Amateur radio licensees are not even
required to own a radio.

"Service", in this context, is just an administrative
division of government.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

2398. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 03:36:34 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <RL6dncpml9TnPKjYnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 168415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>
>>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
>>>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
>>>>> be disappointed.
>
>>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>
>>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
>>>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
>>>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
>>>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
>>>>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
>>>>> since.
>
>>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
>>>>> anything beyond:
>
>>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>
> That's not the case when I operate Morse Code.

I have listened to hundreds of CW contacts, and the above is mostly the norm.
I exaggerate a bit, but it is rare that anyone talks about anything other
than a few very simple things. I have yet to listen to a complex conversation
on CW... The most complicated thing I have heard is W1AW code practice, and
some of the traffic nets.

>
>>>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>
>>>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>>>>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>>>>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>
>>>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>
>>>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
>>>>> the code.

>
>>>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
>>>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.
>
> I don't remember that at all.

Well, you wouldn't if you spent all of your time on CW. Things are very
polite on those subbands. If however, you ever listened to 20 meters
around 14.313, you might have a different idea of what ham radio was about.
For some reason that frequency was full of profane garbage mouthed hams,
and lots of infighting in the '70s and '80s.

>
>>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
>>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
>>>>> early 70's.
>
> There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.

Perhaps, but nothing like some of the very cheap sweep tube transceivers of
the late 1960's, and early '70's. Swans that drifted furiously, and practically
invented the term TVI...

What are the bad HF SSB rigs of today? I would bet that even the absolute
worst is cleaner than anything that was available in the '60's, and '70's...
If only because the regulations got tighter on spurious emissions from new
gear.

...
>>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>>> handbook as my guide.
>
> Do you mean the License Manual?

Nope, I did my Advanced from basic principles. I used the ARRL Radio
Amateur's Handbook as my guide to rules and regulations. The technical
side of my studying came from the handbook, and a variety of other radio
and engineering sources.

>
> It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.

I looked at friend's copy of one of the the license manuals that was available
after my test, and the questions and answers were very close. It was nothing
like the manuals that are available today, but still so close as to be a cheat.

>
>>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>>
>> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important.

>
> Yes they are!

That was Slow Code talking, not me. Are you perhaps confusing attributions?
>
>> Working to
>> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
>
> *BOTH* are important.

Again, Slow Code...
>
> If you're not running a homebrew or at least home-assembled rig, who
> are you to call someone else an appliance operator?
>
> What good are technical *discussions* if they don't translate into
> actual working radio systems?

I have built and operated a number of entirely home brew radios. Slow Code
is the one complaining about appliance operators, of which it appears
he is one.

-Chuck

2399. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 03:50:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7m8bj2d7761if23g8tncirasmgf5a44akf@4ax.com>
Source record: 168416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 22:28:17 -0400, "Jimmie D"
<jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>
>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>
>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>>>
>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>really need a theory exam for?
>> you tell me
>>
>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>
>> don't you think it does that?
>>
>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>
>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>
>>> They feel they
>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why

>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>operation?
>>>
>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>
>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>
>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>
>> agreed
>>>One has to
>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>> Having said that, CW
>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>
>> it is obslete
>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>
>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>
>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>> come
>>
>> it is none the less obeslete
>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>
>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>> wish to use it
>>> It's just
>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>
>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>
>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one

>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>obtuse.
>> and insulting
>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>
>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>> today
>>> It needs operators with
>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>highest bidder.
>>>
>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>> to achive your end
>>
>> for that matter so will I
>>>
>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>
>>>NT
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some day.
>The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer is
>competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
>interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
>spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when all
>equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot proofed
>gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has failed. You think
>you have something idiot proof and then guess what, someone makes a better
>idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of theory going away.
indeed neither am I nor any thinking ham the theory will likely gorwo
to eb aa smaller part over time in favor the rules and pruely safety
realted issues as well which is much as it should be IMO (and
apreantly ythe FCC)

> As far a
>CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams have today they had to show they
>were a national asset. Part of being that asset was our ability to process
>emergency traffic should the need arrive. At the time CW was needed to
>accomplish this. It is no longer needed to meet our obligation of service.
>OF course this begs the question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I
>believe we can, but are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other

>technologies, it would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an
>idea I dont think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm
>on a keyboard.

You Know i think we can and general do fufill our service obligation
althought I see wht we wil as More holding the fanks and protecting
the abilty of EMS to do it jobs and leave us to keep the gal looking
for here cat off their backs. I honestly think that much of what the
EMS see as our value in most areas

but typing (with maybe the option of some other test like solderiring
or anttenan making the biggest problem with the CW is IMO the fact it
tries to stamp us the hams out in single mold

which explains the vitriol of the some the ProCoder the Nocoders are
rejecting the mold they fit so well into. I would love to see a system
where there were severlal possible tracks to advance (asumming we stay
with something like the incentive license system the current system
and any likely future of it ivolves tring to make us all the same
which we never were and will be less and less of in the future. Trying
to dicuss my efforts and sucesses and failures with EME ops is like
trying to speak in sancript to crowd speaking latin
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2400. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 03:54:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h89bj2539tm0gbi9fudsrk7vhg5objjvin@4ax.com>
Source record: 168417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 02:10:08 GMT, **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**
<rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote:

>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>get into his face about CW.

is there any wonder the Slow code is rightly ashamed to give his call
>
>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
indeed Slow Code know CB models and number far better than I do and he
insits I am CB'er and want to turn the ARS into CB
>
>Slow Code wrote:
>
>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>
>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>
>>SC
>>
>>
>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>get into his face about CW.
>
>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2401. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 04:51:14 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1f9f7ccedcf143e989a4c@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <na1bj21mrd8uv6scsotqci5u55a0tamb7r@4ax.com>, Mark@kb9rqz.net
says...
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 01:15:41 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <rutaj218p35cd1ljdd32lst3a2gunlg7jt@4ax.com>, Mark@kb9rqz.net
> >says...
> >> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 00:20:44 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
> >> >suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
> >> >of worth to say.
> >> >
> >> personaly i feel killfile just mask problems like SC anbd let them
> >> fester
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >>
> >If we all killfiled him he'd go away in a week or 2. I've seen it on
> >many other groups.
> he would just be back under another name si a few days to week
> >
> >73
> >
> >Chris
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
Then you ignore and keep kill filing. If he has no one to talk to he'll
quit. I've seen it work in other newsgroups.

73

Chris

2402. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 07:43:31 UTC
From: VIVA MEXICO CABRONES! <vivamexico@migente.com.ar>
Message-ID: <d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>
> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
That was classic!!!!!

2403. Re: Glendale Drag Race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 07:45:39 UTC
From: VIVA MEXICO CABRONES! <vivamexico@migente.com.ar>
Message-ID: <d1bk6.1tr.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:c45oc.q5r.17.1@news.alt.net...
>
>
>

2404. Re: Why do Marqueer and No-Code PSYCHOPATHS USE EXTRA STRENGTH PERFUMES and COLOGNES in their rectums?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 10:00:50 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d1jh7.1od.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168421
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.homosexual

<slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161129813.845192.149640@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>> "Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in
>> message
>> news:pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused...
>> > On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
>> > confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> >> SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>> >>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote:
>> >>>>"Sampath's mom" wrote...
>> >>>> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote...
>> >>>>> Question:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
>> >>>> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u
>> >>>> hv
>> >>>> no gf
>> >>>
>> >>>I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED
>> >>>the
>> >>>WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
>> >>> 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
>> >>>
>> >>>Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and
>> >>>ARREST ME.
>> >>>
>> >>>ROFLMAO.............................
>> >>>
>> >>>QUESTION:
>> >>>
>> >>>How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter
>> >>>PENISES which
>> >>>AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY
>> >>>?
>> >>>
>> >>>ANSWER:
>> >>>
>> >>>NEVER...........AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA

>> >>> >PSYCHOPATHS PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS
>> >>> >FOREVER.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
>> >>> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from
>> >>> >her
>> >>> >classroom in california for posting
>> >>> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA
>> >>> >PSYCHOPATHS
>> >>> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.
>> >>> >ROFLMAO.....
>> >>> >
>> >>> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face
>> >>> >and we will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you
>> >>> >need
>> >>> >a THOUSAND
>> >>> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your
>> >>> >PUSSY.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5
>> >>> >milli
>> >>> >meter IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz,
>> >>> >cheney and cunty rice.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and
>> >>> >then I can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL,
>> >>> >PEDOPHILIC,
>> >>> >MASS MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE
>> >>> >300
>> >>> >PROGRAMMED AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT
>> >>> >FBI
>> >>> >and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey,
>> >>> >you
>> >>> >dont even need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go
>> >>> >to
>> >>> >local FBI office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED,
>> >>> >BLOOD THIRSTY, PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL
>> >>> >EXPERIMENTS being done on TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS
>> >>> >LIKE
>> >>> >ME.

```
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and
>> >>> >a
>> >>> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will
>> >>> >DROP
>> >>> >their WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
>> >>> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >MYTH:
>> >>> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
>> >>> >
>> >>> >FACT:
>> >>> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
>> >>> >
>> >>> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
>> >>> >government."
>> >>> >Edward Abbey
>> >>> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Signature:
>> >>> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into
>> >>> >a
>> >>> >SLAVE like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
>> >>> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for
>> >>> >being
>> >>> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
>> >>>
>> >>> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.
>> >
>> > Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were
>> > added
>>
>> Aren't you the one who operates the bot for confusion?
>>
>> > by "bill" (he's another in the aforementioned company, due to his
>> > raving,
>> > raging homophobia (an obvious case of repression), for example).
>> >
>> > --
>> > _____________________________________________________________________
>> > Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
>> > The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
>> > Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
>> >
```

>> > "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
>> > A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
>> >
>> > Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
>> > George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
>> > and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
>> > outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
>> > "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
>> > hide
>> > their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
>> > pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
>> > You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
>> > by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
>> > obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
>> > information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
>> > You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
>> > about
>> > different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>> >
>> > "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
>> > coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
>> > are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
>> > way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
>> > power
>> > that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
>> > chose
>> > to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
>> > choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>> >
>> > "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
>> > -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>> >
>> > "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
>> > Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
>> > 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>> >
>> > "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
>> > since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
>> > combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
>> > and
>> > Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
>> > to
>> > describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
>> > stoned
>> > hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
>> > money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
>> > reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
>> > up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
>> > journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
>> > careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin

>> > Laden
>> > wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
>> > wanted
>> > to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v
>
>
> Real Friendit Naybourhood loves nigger cock in the ass

You might think you are white, your rectum will eventually become black.

>

2405. Re: F/O Faggot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 10:02:29 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d1jka.254.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168422
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.usenet.kooks

<slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161129645.007933.166230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>> why do you repatee without adding a word the drivel I jst cut steve
>
> kind of like what you do, huh?
> You stupid cocksucker!

You can butch your slow_code in a crookpot.

>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> Oh, and fuck you and your shit eating kook pals!
>

2406. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 10:14:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161166484.704844.34910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
> >> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
> >>> Slow Code wrote:
> >>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
> >>
> >>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
> >>>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
> >>>>> be disappointed.
> >>
> >>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
> >>
> >>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
> >>>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
> >>>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
> >>>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
> >>>>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
> >>>>> since.
> >>
> >>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
> >>>>> anything beyond:
> >>
> >>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
> >>
> > That's not the case when I operate Morse Code.
>
> I have listened to hundreds of CW contacts, and the above is mostly the norm.
> I exaggerate a bit, but it is rare that anyone talks about anything other
> than a few very simple things. I have yet to listen to a complex conversation
> on CW... The most complicated thing I have heard is W1AW code practice, and
> some of the traffic nets.

Listening is one thing, participating is another. I've had many, many
CW QSOs that were far more complex than your example. Discussions of
rigs, antennas, jobs, family, plans for the near future (vacation, home
improvement, etc.), experiences in the other's location, and much more.

The stereotypical hello/goodbye QSO is usually the result of these
factors: poor conditions, unskilled operator(s), nature of the QSO (DX,
contest, just checking a new rig)

Of course somebody has to initiate - to say something beyond hello...

> >>>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
> >
> >>>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
> >>>>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
> >>>>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
> >
> >>>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?

Well, I don't know about you. But for me, knowing Morse Code meant I
could build and use simple(r) radio systems to try out an idea.

If someone who isn't a trained electronics person wants to design and
build their amateur radio station, what sort of project should they
build? A complete multiband SSB transceiver? Or a simple CW rig?

> >>>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
> >>>>> the code.
> >
> >>>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
> >>>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.
> >
> > I don't remember that at all.
>
> Well, you wouldn't if you spent all of your time on CW.

Good point!

> Things are very
> polite on those subbands.

Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?

> If however, you ever listened to 20 meters
> around 14.313, you might have a different idea of what ham radio was about.
> For some reason that frequency was full of profane garbage mouthed hams,
> and lots of infighting in the '70s and '80s.

Sure. But how many hams were involved, out of the hundreds of thousands
on the air?

> >>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
> >>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
> >>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
> >>>>> early 70's.
> >
> > There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.
>
> Perhaps, but nothing like some of the very cheap sweep tube transceivers of
> the late 1960's, and early '70's. Swans that drifted furiously, and practically
> invented the term TVI...

Sure - but remember that those rigs were designed 40+ years ago. They
should be judged by the standards of their time.
>

> What are the bad HF SSB rigs of today? I would bet that even the absolute
> worst is cleaner than anything that was available in the '60's, and '70's...
> If only because the regulations got tighter on spurious emissions from new
> gear.

It depends on what you consider "bad". Last FD we had some rigs that
were unusable because they put out wideband phase noise that messed up
stations on adjacent bands! Those rigs might have met the letter of the
law when new, but they sure made a lot of hash in the real world.

OTOH, serviceability of many ham rigs is very low. Even if you can deal
with SMT, a lot of them use house-numbered parts that become unobtanium
in a few years.
> ...
> >>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
> >>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
> >>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
> >>> handbook as my guide.
> >
> > Do you mean the License Manual?
>
> Nope, I did my Advanced from basic principles. I used the ARRL Radio
> Amateur's Handbook as my guide to rules and regulations. The technical
> side of my studying came from the handbook, and a variety of other radio
> and engineering sources.

Same here - all the way to Extra in 1970.

> > It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.
>
> I looked at friend's copy of one of the the license manuals that was available
> after my test, and the questions and answers were very close. It was nothing
> like the manuals that are available today, but still so close as to be a cheat.

There were a couple of different license manuals available back then.

The ARRL LM was a reprint of FCC's study guide. Those FCC study guides
were produced by FCC to indicate the areas of knowledge you needed to
have for the test. They were essay format even though the tests were
multiple-choice.

AMECO and others rewrote them into multiple choice format.

A fellow named Dick Bash stationed himself outside FCC offices and
bought information from people who had just taken the tests. He was
able to recreate a pretty close version of the actual test by that
method. FCC decided not to prosecute him even though he published books
that were very close to the actual tests.

Then it all became academic with the VE system.

> >>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
> >>>

> >> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important.
> >
> > Yes they are!
>
> That was Slow Code talking, not me. Are you perhaps confusing attributions?

I was responding to both of you. I disagree with "Slow Code"'s claim.

> >> Working to
> >> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
> >
> > *BOTH* are important.
>
> Again, Slow Code...

Yup.

> > If you're not running a homebrew or at least home-assembled rig, who
> > are you to call someone else an appliance operator?
> >
> > What good are technical *discussions* if they don't translate into
> > actual working radio systems?
>
> I have built and operated a number of entirely home brew radios.

Exactly. *You* are not an appliance operator - nor are you calling
anybody else an appliance operator.

> Slow Code
> is the one complaining about appliance operators, of which it appears
> he is one.

Exactly. I didn't mean to imply that *you* were an appliance operator,
Chuck. Just that if "Slow Code" is going to call other people names, he
should be ready to back up his claims with actions. So far, we see
nothing.

Of course, one should not take "Slow Code" too seriously - if at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2407. Re: HOMO TEST FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 10:18:11 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d1khp.3cu.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

<Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
news:1161116743.866234.90290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>
> <bitch slap>
>
> if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.

If I want to bitch slap you, who dares to not give me the go ahead?

>

2408. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:37:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161175077.203870.68930@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 17:39:19 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> >> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> >You may
> >> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree
> >> >> >> > that we have a problem. Right?
> >> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
> >> >
> >> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
> >> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
> >> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code with
> >> > any valid reasoning.
> >>
> >> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like you
> >> and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to be
> >> real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators, therefore
> >> you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW to save a
> >> life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to use a
> >> microphone to help save anyone too.
> >>
> >> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the being
> >> entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice class again.
> >> Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra again.
> >>
> >> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
> >> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem to
> >> sing the same tune.
> >>
```

> >> SC
> >
> >Why do you say I'm a low-life?

> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie

That's really sad.

2409. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:38:23 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <3fpZg.3343$Ka1.3007@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 168426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
> news:Z4cXg.2828$ya1.2518@news02.roc.ny:
>
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>>>news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>>>
>>>>All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere beep-beep-beeping
>>>>away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower half of the 80m phone
>>>>band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to someone who enjoys ham
>>>>radio as it is instead of whining about how it was.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>
>>It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how much
>>you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>
>
>
> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I appliance
> did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?

Kenwood TS-820S. I got a good deal because it had a few bugs. I spent
some of the evenings between the exam session and my ticket arriving
(that would be my GENERAL ticket, I skipped Novice and Technician) going
through it cleaning switches, adding ground straps to a couple circuit
boards and adding 17m to the 'AUX' position on the band switch. By the
time my ticket arrived in the mail it was ready. I worked Clipperton
Island the second day I was on the air and didn't realize it was quite
the DX catch until months later.

So, after your license came in the mail what did you start weenie whining about first?

W8LNA

2410. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:40:59 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <-7CdnaP-NvGNvKvYnZ2dnUVZ_qqdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 168427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Chuck Harris wrote:

>>> I don't remember that at all.
>> Well, you wouldn't if you spent all of your time on CW.
>
> Good point!
>
>> Things are very
>> polite on those subbands.
>
> Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?

To promote the mode, sure, but to require it no. I could make the same claim
about RTTY, and Slow Scan. When they were popular, folks on those modes were
all as polite as could be.

The impolite behavior seems to center around SSB. Probably because the mode
requires essentially no effort.

>> If however, you ever listened to 20 meters
>> around 14.313, you might have a different idea of what ham radio was about.
>> For some reason that frequency was full of profane garbage mouthed hams,
>> and lots of infighting in the '70s and '80s.
>
> Sure. But how many hams were involved, out of the hundreds of thousands
> on the air?

It only takes a few. As I remember from those SSB wars in the '70's and
'80's, a several hams were prosecuted. They were all General Class or higher.
And because of the time frame, in which they were licensed, they had passed
the CW hurdle. I recall that playing with their keyers on the SSB segment was
part of their hijinks. A whole lot of "FU" and other acronyms were mixed in
with the echo boxes, and microphone raking.
>
>>>>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>>>>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
>>>>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
>>>>>>> early 70's.
>>> There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.
>> Perhaps, but nothing like some of the very cheap sweep tube transceivers of
>> the late 1960's, and early '70's. Swans that drifted furiously, and practically
>> invented the term TVI...
>
> Sure - but remember that those rigs were designed 40+ years ago. They

> should be judged by the standards of their time.

And I was. But today's rigs, when judged by the ear are more pleasant to
listen to.

>> What are the bad HF SSB rigs of today? I would bet that even the absolute
>> worst is cleaner than anything that was available in the '60's, and '70's...
>> If only because the regulations got tighter on spurious emissions from new
>> gear.
>
> It depends on what you consider "bad". Last FD we had some rigs that
> were unusable because they put out wideband phase noise that messed up
> stations on adjacent bands! Those rigs might have met the letter of the
> law when new, but they sure made a lot of hash in the real world.

Fortunately, that wideband phase noise doesn't carry beyond a few hundred
yards from the radio. It used to be a really big problem with the early
solid state radios... But not so much so with the newer rigs. Field Day was
where I first noticed the effect.... Key the mike, and all bands were awash
in hiss, even before the first word was spoken. Icom comes to mind.
Having a Clegg FM27B around on field day is a real treat too...not!

> OTOH, serviceability of many ham rigs is very low. Even if you can deal
> with SMT, a lot of them use house-numbered parts that become unobtanium
> in a few years.

Entirely the result of meeting the customer's demands for in expensive
feature laden radios that fit in packages smaller than a dictionary.

...
>>> It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.
>> I looked at friend's copy of one of the the license manuals that was available
>> after my test, and the questions and answers were very close. It was nothing
>> like the manuals that are available today, but still so close as to be a cheat.
>
> There were a couple of different license manuals available back then.
>
> The ARRL LM was a reprint of FCC's study guide. Those FCC study guides
> were produced by FCC to indicate the areas of knowledge you needed to
> have for the test. They were essay format even though the tests were
> multiple-choice.
>
> AMECO and others rewrote them into multiple choice format.

I think my friends "manual" might have been Ameco.

> A fellow named Dick Bash stationed himself outside FCC offices and
> bought information from people who had just taken the tests. He was
> able to recreate a pretty close version of the actual test by that
> method. FCC decided not to prosecute him even though he published books
> that were very close to the actual tests.

I don't think Bash was doing that until after the VE system came on line...
but I don't recall for sure.

...
>>> What good are technical *discussions* if they don't translate into
>>> actual working radio systems?
>> I have built and operated a number of entirely home brew radios.
>
> Exactly. *You* are not an appliance operator - nor are you calling
> anybody else an appliance operator.

Actually, these days for what little operating I do, I am an appliance
operator. Because of my early ham experience, and the fact that I am
an EE, I know how to design and build, even if I don't chose to do so
right now. Ham radio probably figures heavily in my being an EE.

My general belief is if you have passed the test that is in force, you
are a ham... period. Beyond passing whatever is required, I don't care
how you got here, just what you do now that you are here.

-Chuck

2411. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:43:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161175382.907853.310250@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
> > >
> > Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> > just as well on 6 Meters.

> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
> you could tell me

I passed the Novice exam in Nov 1986. 5WPM. I'm presently a General,
so I'm fully qualified to work out of band Frenchmen on 6M or on HF.
Maybe they'll even put me in for the ARRL's A-1 Operator Club.

2412. More Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:49:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161175774.762060.7640@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168429

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

Just quoting Brain's Stuff...Snipped out the REALLY stupid stuff
which, as you cna see, greatly cut down on "content".

> Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
> using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
> infringement?

No...You just deliver an unprofessional looking scrap of paper.

WE use the forms.

> Hi, hi!

> You're 59, OM.

> QSL.

> Roger.

> Every 10 minutes.

> 10-4.

> Authenticate.

> Amateur Radio Service = GI Bill.

> "Sorry Jim, MARS is Amateur Radio."

> Jim knows nothing of military radio.

Here Brain utters out-and out lies, but why stop him when he's on
a roll?

> I suspect that Jim was an Extra in "Pump Up The Volume."

Which would mean he has 100% more movie credits than you, Brain.

> > You know NOTHING of Public Land Mobile Radio Services, never
> > had one. I did.
>
> When you was LMR, Jim was VFR.

Lennie applied for a license. Plugged in a radio. Now he's a
radio hero and Brain is his cheering section.

> > You know NOTHING of Aircraft Radio Service, protocal or
> > procedures, or of actual air-air or air-ground comms.

> > I've done that, both air-air and air-ground.
>
> Maybe Jim wasn't VFR.

Uhhhhhh...Lennie's knowledge of "Aircraft Radio Service" dates
from before his CB radio "experience", which was pretty lame
in-and-of-itself.

> > You know NOTHING of Maritime Radio Service, what goes on
> > and what is used. I've used it on the water, both in
> > harbors and inland waterways.
>
> Jim is on CH16.

Lennie admitted to talking on the radio OF A
FRIEND....Whooooooopie. That's like me borrowing my daughters cellphone
and claiming I have cellphone service.

No doubt Lennie's "on land" experience was an illiegal use of
Marine Band HT's to keep in touch with Mrs Correspondence School.

> > You MIGHT know something of Citizens Band Radio Service.
> > CBers out-number amateurs by at least 4:1, could be twice
> > that. I've been doing that since 1959.
>
> Jim is on CH19.

Lennie's a CB'er, alright. As if we didn't know already?

> Yowsa!

> Jim isn't involved in Gov't Radio. But he reads about it.

> Jim's Giga Hurts.

> I prefer smooth.

> Jim once incorrectly calculated the distance to the moon. I think
> maybe Coslo aided him with the calculations.

> You should have gotten a QSL manager and with the greenstamps earned,
> bought both sides of the QSO.

> I suspect that Jim is subsidized in many ways.

> Perhaps Jim will loan you some tube-type equipment ...

> Jim insulted me. Jim insulted Hans. Jim insulted Mark. Jim insulted
> Len.

Lennie and Brain insist everyone EXCEPT them inuslts "someone".

Makes them feel better about themselves.

> Jim did not insult Dave who apparently thinks little of his service.

> But, but, but he has greenlee punches...

That's "Greenlee", actually....

> Jim is a follower.

> Owch!!!

> I guess that was before the days of instant gratification.

> Do they require greenlee punches?

That's "Greenlee", acutally...

> > Come back when you've actually DESIGNED some solid-state
> > ham radio, not just assembled a kit designed by someone
> > else.
>
> Plans from a Ham Radio magazine.

We could ask Lennie to live up to his own rhetoric, but hey, it's
been over 10 years now, he's not likely to ever do it now......

> He can post attrition numbers on hobby radio.

Which will never include Lennie the Liar.

Steve, K4YZ

2413. More Brain Bouncing Blindly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:53:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176034.098189.49780@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168430

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, better known as Brian P Burke, N0IMD
wrote:

> Robesin is absent.

Who's "Robesin"...?!?!

> Lots of uniques showing up.

Nothing unique about you, Brain...Liars and creeps have been around
for centuries. You
re in "good company".

> Maybe we need to run RRAP through a contest logging program.

Would love to have one...Then could cull you, Morkie and Lennie
with greater ease.

Steve, K4YZ

2414. KB9RQZ Says Learning COde is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:56:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176161.833653.7960@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168431

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> id theft is a crime

So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.

But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

Steve, K4YZ

2415. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:58:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176298.305452.51210@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168432

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 17:05:45 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >> you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
> >> worst since you just whine on and on
> >
> >##### YOU AND THE WHORE THAT GAVE YOU BIRTH, MORK-QUEER!
>
> no you may not have sex with me I have told and told you that

Only you would see a solicitation in that, Morkie.

Steve, K4YZ

2416. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 12:59:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176381.755157.157880@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168433

Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> you realy should get a name of your own

So says one of the RRAPCreeps who won't sing his posts.

Steve, K4YZ

2417. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:01:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176481.823719.166450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> you realy should get a name of your own

So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
posts.

Steve, K4YZ

2418. Smegma One Can't Get It Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:02:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176551.738154.84560@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168435

N9OGL wrote:
> Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.

No...You have an incompetent operator....YOU!

Steve, K4YZ

2419. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:08:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161176912.860049.190240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.
> > >
> > > That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
> > > things. Or is that ruining things?
> > >
> >
> > Naw, ARRL isn't trying to do that. I haven't seen anything in their
> > current goals that would lead me to believe that they are trying to
> > send the rest of our spectrum the way of 11 meters. I may be wrong, but
> > I don't think the FCC would do that again (if any of the current
> > commissioners are students of FCC history that is) even if the ARRL
> > suggested it.
> >
> > The numbers of Hams out there and how it's been dropping though the
> > more recent years is an alarming trend. With the age of the average ham
> > creeping higher, this hobby is set to all but die out in the USA within
> > a generation unless something changes.
>
> indeed

What an inciteful response (No...I didn't mispell that...Pun
intended...)

> > Surely you see the problem that the ARRL is trying to address.
> honestly I don't think he does
> >You may
> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
> > we have a problem. Right?
>
> notice you have gotten no reply

He didn't get a response because :

(a) His comments were concise, well written and in proper
English...Traits that make it difficult for YOU to understand or
"respond" to.

(b) Accurate and able to stand on their own merits.

Steve, K4YZ

2420. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:10:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161177034.042657.97840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168437

an_old_friend wrote:

> indeed becuase you lack even the corage to give your name

You lack the "courage" to sign YOUR name to posts, Morkie...So
where do you get off trying to ride someone else about it?

Steve, K4YZ

2421. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:11:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161177098.340671.93930@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168438

Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> id theft is a crime

So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.

But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

Steve, K4YZ

2422. Gummy Sargeant Hijacks Another Thread: Re: Smegma One Can't Get It Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:11:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161177098.773389.200980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168439

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

> > Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.
>
> No...You have an incompetent operator....YOU!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The Gummy Sargeant hijacks another thread, makes sexual inuendo, and diagnoses a radio problem with a very long-handled screwdriver. Now that's talent! We are blessed to have the Gummy among us, one of our own.

2423. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:12:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161177146.450650.120840@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168440

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
>
> id theft is a crime

So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.

But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

Steve, K4YZ

2424. KB9RQZ: Your Incessant Lying Is Why You Get "Hjassed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:21:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161177674.874057.87490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168441

Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>
> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment

So here we have Morkie propagating his RRAP lies to QRZ.com
forums thinking he can get away with it THERE better than HERE....

And again, he get's called on his alleged college degrees and
STILL perpetuates the LIE that he has a college degree of ANY level.

You get "hjassed" becuase you're an INCESSANT LIAR!

> particularly some one unknown

"Charles P Steinmetz" is "unknown"...?!?!

He put his name out there in plain English and you STILL "don't
get it"...?!?!

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2425. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:22:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <45362AAE.3C182BB9@milestones.com>
Source record: 168442

"ve3..." wrote:

> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > >>"""''''''

Who cares? Ontario obviously has more dumbasses.

> (8)How many provinces can you name.

Hey, dumbass... see #4 above.

> (9)How many Canadians are fighting in Afghanistan

None as far as I know. I do know that they hand out candy there.

>
> (10) Why aren't there any Canadians fighting in Iraq

Because Canucks haven't fought since WW II.

> I wrote these of the top of my head as being things that Canadians
> would know.

Before or after you took off your pointy hat?

2426. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:29:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161178171.198071.271790@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168443

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Well, now, Mark, that's interesting. A few questions:
>
> > What institution granted your degree, and what year?
> >
> > What is the title of your Masters thesis?
> >
> > Why do you hyphenate the word "geophysics?" It isn't hyphenated in
> > normal usage. A geophysicist would know that.

Hence the reason Morkie didn't know it....

> > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > "progate?"

They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.

> > There's an old saying in baseball. You can bull#### the fans but you
> > can't bull#### the players.
> >
> > That wasn't even a good try, Mark.
>
> Well, now, Charlie, that's interesting. A few questions:

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh....Just like Lennie....

Charles didn't sign anything "Charlie"...So why the familiar
retort, Brain?

> Why is a non-radio related topic on QRZ now on RRAP?

Why not?

And since YOU are responding to it, YOU legitimized it, ergo stop
your whining.

> Why is this your first posting EVER on any newsgroup, and it just
> happened to be RRAP?

Why not? Did it matter that it wasn't on a trucking site? Or
basket weaving? Or ex-Air Farce Weather Guessers?

> There may be old sayings in baseball, but of what relevance are they to
> amateur radio?

The question I have is what relevence do YOU have in Amateur Radio, Brain?

So far just about every topic you've cared to express an opinion on as an alleged informed respondent has been WRONG.

> Why is profanity a large part of your first message to RRAPpers?

Why not? Morkie's been using that language for a decade now and I've not seen YOU chastize him once about it.

And my preference for avoiding such language notwithstanding, the quote is spot-on. Morkie got caught lying again.

> I guess Robesin doesn't mind profanity as long as he's ingonito.

Who's Robesin and what's "ingonito"...?!?! Taking more "engilhs" lessons from Morkie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2427. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:31:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161178275.731141.204960@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168444

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> id theft is crime

So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molestation and tax
evasion.

None of that seems to deter you.

Steve, K4YZ

2428. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:37:37 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161178657.496726.168190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

K4YZ wrote:
> Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > you realy should get a name of your own
>
> So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> posts.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

R R A P

Get more coffee, effendi.

2429. More Morkie Mularkie (Subtitled: Why KB9RQZ Is An Idiot And No Yanks Like Him)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:41:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161178896.532661.123080@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168446
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:17:21 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
> <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 22:37:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
> >confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>> stop hiding stand up in your name
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake
> >>
> >Well, at least Mark Morgan is standing up in his own name...unlike you,
> >"bill".

No...Mark is NOT standing up "in his own name"...He hasn't signed a single post since he "returned" to RRAP...Yet he demands others do it...

> and my call an important point in Ham radio NG

Your call is the butt of jokes in almost every State of the Union that has a respondant in this forum, Morkie. And now in Australia and New Zealand....You have entirely new audiences to learn about your lies, deceit, cheating, perversion and cowardice.

Perhaps someone there will find interest in your lies about your military service, multiple college degrees (yet can't spell correctly), business ownership, or your multiple instances of run-in's with the law over your poor care of your elderly father...?!?!

But I doubt it.

Steve, K4YZ

2430. Please do not fed the trolls (was: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:43:38 UTC
From: William Warren <william_warren_nonoise@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <XNadnQqnOKUWsqvYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 168447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>
> SC



2431. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:43:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161179022.558210.130480@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Steven wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > > you realy should get a name of your own
> >
> > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > posts.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> R R A P
>
> Get more coffee, effendi.

I think I shall.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

2432. Robesin Uses Retarded Children To Get a Laugh, was: Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:43:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161179032.732663.251760@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168449

K4YZ wrote:
> > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > "progate?"
>
> They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.

2433. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:44:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161179044.841586.223690@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Steven wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > > you realy should get a name of your own
> >
> > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > posts.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> R R A P
>
> Get more coffee, effendi.

I think I shall.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

2434. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:45:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161179145.342607.199680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168451

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > > "progate?"
> >
> > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.

Who used retarded children, Brain?

ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?

Didn't you?

Steve, K4YZ

2435. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:48:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161179299.873240.235440@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168452

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> you are the interesting in KILLING off the ars

Re-read that sentence, fatboy, and see if you can't figure out why
people continue to laugh at your assertion of having three college
degrees.

Steve, K4YZ

2436. You must have fun making this up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:50:37 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161179437.568278.243300@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,ba.broadcast

Unfortunately 'whose' fun hasn't been ascertained yet.

Twit. Made up twit at that.

Maybe you're ALL Mark.

2437. Re: Smegma Scum Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:52:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161179522.372148.209810@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

N9OGL wrote:
> galaxyguy wrote:
> > toddaug555@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > SMEGMA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:
> > >
> > > none...this is a low power / Pirate radio station on the shortwave
> > > bands....we've been off the air for a while because our transmitter
> > > went out and were replacing it.
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> >
> > Galaxy Guy replied:
> > Thanks for the news and I'll have to look out for your future
> > transmissions.
> > Could you let us know what time UTC/GMT the programmes will air?
> > Do you concentrate on speech, music or both and if music what genre?
> > Cheers in advance.
>
> Were on on 24/7 and the vast majority of it is music, however I do from
> time to time talk
>
> Todd N9OGL
> SMEGMA SCUM RADIO

And hopefully Toiddie will have the mic open when the Federal
Marshals and the FCC Field Engineer inspect his "station" and close him
down.

Steve, K4YZ

2438. re:RE RE RE RE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 13:53:23 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161179603.519940.215830@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

I DO

Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger wrote:
> <Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
> news:1161116743.866234.90290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
> >
> > <bitch slap>
> >
> > if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.
>
> If I want to bitch slap you, who dares to not give me the go ahead?
>

<earth-rendering bitch slap>

2439. It Didn't Take QRZ.COM Forum Readers Long To "Clue In" On What An Idiot KB9RQZ Is.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:25:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161181535.248516.38700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168456

QUOTE:

AG4YO wrote:
>Group: Ham Members
>Posts: 4698
>Joined: May 2003 Posted: Oct. 05 2006,15:41
>Quote (kb9rqz @ Oct. 05 2006,13:36)
>Quote (AB2MH @ Oct. 04 2006,14:32)
>What does this have to do with NCTs?

>it is simply the efort odff clearly poor ham (per the standards of the amtaeur Code) to to be a bad >ham and attack have the memebrs of the ARS

ROFL!! What?

UNQUOTE

Morkie's been equally idiotic in other posts within QRZ.COM, and he
get's the same "learn English" responses there that he dfoes here, but
STILL doesn't get the hint!

Anyone want to take bets on how many USENET and private forums
that Morkie can make a fool out of himslef in a 30-day period?

Steve, K4YZ

2440. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:27:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161181672.524957.17690@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168457

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 14 Oct 2006 13:05:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> id theft is a crime

So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molestation and tax
evasion.

But none of those seem to deter you.

Steve, K4YZ

2441. Re: It Didn't Take QRZ.COM Forum Readers Long To "Clue In" On What An Idiot KB9RQZ Is.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:34:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161182046.010366.90270@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168458

K4YZ wrote:
> QUOTE:
>
> AG4YO wrote:
> >Group: Ham Members
> >Posts: 4698
> >Joined: May 2003 Posted: Oct. 05 2006,15:41
> >Quote (kb9rqz @ Oct. 05 2006,13:36)
> >Quote (AB2MH @ Oct. 04 2006,14:32)
> >What does this have to do with NCTs?
>
> >it is simply the efort odff clearly poor ham (per the standards of the amtaeur Code) to
to be a bad >ham and attack have the memebrs of the ARS
>
> ROFL!! What?
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Morkie's been equally idiotic in other posts within QRZ.COM, and he
> get's the same "learn English" responses there that he dfoes here, but
> STILL doesn't get the hint!
>
> Anyone want to take bets on how many USENET and private forums
> that Morkie can make a fool out of himslef in a 30-day period?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Nor did it take long for him to start calling opinions he doesn't
like "lies"''

QUOTE:

kb9rqz

Group: Ham Members
Posts: 100
Joined: May 2006 Posted: Sep. 24 2006,13:27

---
the simple answer to your question I think is frakly no not everyone
can

I spent 5 years in my teens back in the 70 trying on a regaular with
the aid of licensed code tested hams I took more than 100 code test
(then the final straw was I could never pass both transmisting and

freceiving on a single day) so for today I would have to say it is basicaly impossible for to USE the mode, althought if I had the sort of time I hade 30 years ago I might manage to pass a test on the subject

what proportion suffers as badly as myself few I grant you, ut how mcuh suffering is enough to inflict and how can we imagine it does not affect the service (or the hobby as you lie)

UNQUOTE

Steve, K4YZ

2442. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:45:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161182739.327769.156060@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168459

dxAce wrote:
> "ve3..." wrote:

> > (9)How many Canadians are fighting in Afghanistan
>
> None as far as I know. I do know that they hand out candy there.

dxAce just lost any/all credibility.

> > (10) Why aren't there any Canadians fighting in Iraq
>
> Because Canucks haven't fought since WW II.

And "dxAce" calls others "dumb###"...

From someone who still appreciates the sacrifices that Canada made
to save American lives in 1980.

Merci.

Steve, K4YZ

2443. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:46:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5hfcj2p46kjddfqvnkbfku3vgr7tad6902@4ax.com>
Source record: 168460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 06:08:32 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.
>> > >
>> > > That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
>> > > things. Or is that ruining things?
>> > >
>> >
>> > Naw, ARRL isn't trying to do that. I haven't seen anything in their
>> > current goals that would lead me to believe that they are trying to
>> > send the rest of our spectrum the way of 11 meters. I may be wrong, but
>> > I don't think the FCC would do that again (if any of the current
>> > commissioners are students of FCC history that is) even if the ARRL
>> > suggested it.
>> >
>> > The numbers of Hams out there and how it's been dropping though the
>> > more recent years is an alarming trend. With the age of the average ham
>> > creeping higher, this hobby is set to all but die out in the USA within
>> > a generation unless something changes.
>>
>> indeed
>
> What an inciteful response (No...I didn't mispell that...Pun
>intended...)

>
>> > Surely you see the problem that the ARRL is trying to address.
>> honestly I don't think he does
>> >You may
>> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to agree that
>> > we have a problem. Right?
>>
>> notice you have gotten no reply
>
> He didn't get a response because :
>

no steve he did not get a response because there is no honest repsonse
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2444. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:47:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ajfcj29lrrqqaankfcjia8fbm7a7d1qnq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 03:18:11 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
><Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
>news:1161116743.866234.90290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>>
>> <bitch slap>
>>
>> if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.
>
>If I want to bitch slap you, who dares to not give me the go ahead?
I do
>
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2445. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:48:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6kfcj2hr1nn7h0hvc53k71cck6j4ubm8qn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168462

On 18 Oct 2006 06:48:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> you are the interesting in KILLING off the ars
>
> Re-read that sentence, fatboy, and see if you can't figure out why
>people continue to laugh at your assertion of having three college
>degrees.
it is prefectly clear althought yuou have done your best to kill off
the context

you also prefer killing to the ARS to allowing it to change
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2446. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:48:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <olfcj29rngh995n6gh9442bgsk75170qav@4ax.com>
Source record: 168463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.homosexual

```
On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 03:00:50 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
><slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161129813.845192.149640@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>>> "Demon Lord of Confusion" <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in
>>> message
>>> news:pan.2006.10.17.10.28.31.801441@verwirren.confused...
>>> > On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
>>> > confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>> >> SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>>> >>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:27:55 -0700, "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote:
>>> >>> >"Sampath's mom" wrote...
>>> >>> >> "AmerGovtCriminalsExposer" wrote...
>>> >>> >>> Question:
>>> >>> >>>
>>> >>> >>> WHY DO FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS USE COLOGNES and PERFUMES ?
>>> >>> >>
>>> >>> >> bcoz i stink when i fu*ck them doggy style. u shd also put colone
>>> >>> >> narayanan when u com home with 2 cm penis i know u fu*cj me bcoz u
>>> >>> >> hv
>>> >>> >> no gf
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >I am the ONLY AMERICAN in the HISTORY OF UNITED STATES that TURNED
>>> >>> >the
>>> >>> >WORLDS MOST POWERFUL EVIL ENTITIES FBI and NSA into
>>> >>> > 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS and TRANSVESTITES.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Here I publicly said "KILL BUSH" and they WOULDNT DARE to COME and
>>> >>> >ARREST ME.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >ROFLMAO.............................
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >QUESTION:
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >How can we FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATHS ENLARGE our 2 millimeter
>>> >>> >PENISES which
>>> >>> >AmerGovtCriminalsExposer SHRUNK with his AUDACITY, GUTS and BRAVERY
>>> >>> >?
>>> >>> >
```

>>> >>> >ANSWER:
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >NEVER...........AmerGovtCriminalsExposer TURNED FBI, CIA and NSA
>>> >>> >PSYCHOPATHS PERMANENTLY into 2 millimeter DICKED IMPOTENT EUNUCHS
>>> >>> >FOREVER.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >From ABC 20/20 last night:
>>> >>> >FBI PUSSIES went and INTERROGATED a 14 yr old girl pulling her from
>>> >>> >her
>>> >>> >classroom in california for posting
>>> >>> >a picture with title "KILL BUSH" on her myspace website.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >But here, I POSTED "POUR GAS and BURN ALL FBI, CIA and NSA
>>> >>> >PSYCHOPATHS
>>> >>> >ALIVE" and these IMPOTENT US GOVT PSYCHOPATHS cant do a thing to me.
>>> >>> >ROFLMAO..........
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >How about you and me with the SAME EXACT WEAPON meeting face to face
>>> >>> >and we will see who is a GUY and who is a TRANSVESTITE.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >I am ready ANYTIME ANYWHERE.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >But you are a FUCKING EVIL AMERICAN GOVT FBI PUSSY which means you
>>> >>> >need
>>> >>> >a THOUSAND
>>> >>> >FBI TRANSVESTITES with body armour and machine guns COVERING your
>>> >>> >PUSSY.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Here I am UPPING THE ANTE again because I want to SHRINK your 5
>>> >>> >milli
>>> >>> >meter IMPOTENT PENISES into 2 milli meter IMPOTENT PENISES.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >KILL the MASS MURDERERS and TERRORISTS bush, rumsfeld, wolfowitz,
>>> >>> >cheney and cunty rice.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >COME and ARREST and INTERROGATE ME. That is exactly what I want and
>>> >>> >then I can EXPOSE the EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED, CRIMINAL,
>>> >>> >PEDOPHILIC,
>>> >>> >MASS MURDERING, TERRORIST FBI and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to the GULLIBLE
>>> >>> >300
>>> >>> >PROGRAMMED AMERICAN SLAVES, MEDIA and JUDGES.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >I am WAITING with bated breath for 2 milli meter penised IMPOTENT
>>> >>> >FBI
>>> >>> >and NSA PSYCHOPATHS to come and ARREST ME and INTERROGATE ME. Hey,
>>> >>> >you
>>> >>> >dont even need to come to my home, just give me a call and I will go
>>> >>> >to
>>> >>> >local FBI office myself and EXPOSE YOUR EVIL, SADISTIC, PERVERTED,
>>> >>> >BLOOD THIRSTY, PYSCHOPATHIC, PEDOPHILIC, INCESTUAL MIND CONTROL

>>> >>> >EXPERIMENTS being done on TENS of THOUSANDS of INNOCENT AMERICANS
>>> >>> >LIKE
>>> >>> >ME.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Give exactly the SAME WEAPON to any FBI, CIA and NSA PSYCHOPATH and
>>> >>> >a
>>> >>> >NON-AMERICAN to FIGHT and these US GOVT IMPOTENT PSYCHOPATHS will
>>> >>> >DROP
>>> >>> >their WEAPONS and RUN like HELL crying Mommy, Mommy, Mommy HELP ME.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >The WORST PART is 300 mil AMERICAN PROGRAMMED SLAVES "WORSHIP" these
>>> >>> >IMPOTENT FBI, CIA and NSA PUSSIES as BRAVE WARRIORS.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >MYTH:
>>> >>> >America is a LAND of the FREE and HOME of the BRAVE
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >FACT:
>>> >>> >America is a LAND of GOVT PROGRAMMED SLAVES and HOME of the PUSSIES
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >A patriot must always be ready to defend his country against his
>>> >>> >government."
>>> >>> >Edward Abbey
>>> >>> >http://thirdworldtraveler.com/Authors/QuotationsToMakeUSThink.html
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Signature:
>>> >>> >Pax anti-american imperialism and terrorism is a philosophy.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Making sure evil, imperialistic american govt does not turn me into
>>> >>> >a
>>> >>> >SLAVE like majority of the americans, is liberty building.
>>> >>> >
>>> >>> >Think of it as somebody beating the shit out of EVIL AMERICAN GOVT
>>> >>> >CRIMINALS, MURDERERS, PEDOPHILES, PSYCHOPATHS and TERRORISTS for
>>> >>> >being
>>> >>> >FASCIST, BLOOD THIRSTY and GENOCIDAL
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Your posts are a pathological infection of usenet.
>>> >
>>> > Sampath has a lot of company, and I don't just mean the two who were
>>> > added
>>>
>>> Aren't you the one who operates the bot for confusion?
>>>
>>> > by "bill" (he's another in the aforementioned company, due to his
>>> > raving,
>>> > raging homophobia (an obvious case of repression), for example).
>>> >
>>> > --
>>> > ____
>>> > Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069

>>> > The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
>>> > Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
>>> >
>>> > "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
>>> > A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
>>> >
>>> > Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
>>> > George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
>>> > and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
>>> > outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
>>> > "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
>>> > hide
>>> > their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
>>> > pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
>>> > You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
>>> > by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
>>> > obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
>>> > information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
>>> > You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
>>> > about
>>> > different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>>> >
>>> > "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
>>> > coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
>>> > are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
>>> > way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
>>> > power
>>> > that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
>>> > chose
>>> > to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
>>> > choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>>> >
>>> > "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
>>> > -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>>> >
>>> > "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
>>> > Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
>>> > 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>>> >
>>> > "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
>>> > since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
>>> > combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
>>> > and
>>> > Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
>>> > to
>>> > describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
>>> > stoned
>>> > hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
>>> > money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
>>> > reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

>>> > up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
>>> > journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
>>> > careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
>>> > Laden
>>> > wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
>>> > wanted
>>> > to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v
>>
>>
>> Real Friendit Naybourhood loves nigger cock in the ass
>
>You might think you are white, your rectum will eventually become black.

who trolled the kooks back
>
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2447. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:49:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <umfcj2phjj286d9nq1bl1io5l05if7eiv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168464
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

```
On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 03:02:29 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnnggggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
><slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161129645.007933.166230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On 17 Oct 2006 00:49:39 -0700, bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >SAMPSYCHOPATH-COPROPHAGE-TOURETTE'S wrote:
>>> why do you repatee without adding a word the drivel I jst cut steve
>>
>> kind of like what you do, huh?
>> You stupid cocksucker!
>
who trolling the kooks back
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2448. Re: why do you sexaul stalk men steve?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:50:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0pfcj21ucca09ihiq1b51hfqsc94ugtitc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 10:26:48 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>On 9 Oct 2006 03:48:24 -0700, k4yz_05@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>> Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will never
>>know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>>genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan.
>
>why do you sexaul stalk men steve?
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
and when will you stopp
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2449. Re: The Truth That stev keeps lying about "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:50:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <npfcj2dslb0cf2of2rbj7d4bgvpnqviql2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2450. Re: The Truth That steve is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:50:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4qfcj2h8s52qtv60v7pcuctf6rf9htfij4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2451. Re: The WHOLE Truth That stave is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gqfcj2ti6lt81uuddq6bcqaruqi85fvv06@4ax.com>
Source record: 168470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:19:50 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
>he cofessed to homosexauls and insits he never posts anything un ture
>therefore steve is a homosexual by his own words
>
>does Amy Know
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2452. Re: The Truth is that stev makes confession of homsexaul behavoir and then calls other lfruad for quoting HIM "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nqfcj2l2emn8o2ijkfk7l7qid1qn0uotor@4ax.com>
Source record: 168471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 7 Sep 2006 12:18:13 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2453. Re: The Truth That steve will not accept "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uqfcj21s9sqlpni3vj6c64dajn894l55qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 168472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:16:50 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2454. Re: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6rfcj2155uvn08lo4hgas0cv3tmtpnhh8f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:27 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2455. Re: The Turht << steve isn't even bother to spell right"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <crfcj2h87kpfiil4geidvkmijsig0p7151@4ax.com>
Source record: 168467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:04 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2456. Re: The Truth is that Steve can't face the the truth,"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lrfcj29ukodf8jsohkv1gmdbnvge943536@4ax.com>
Source record: 168473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:02:06 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2457. Re: The Truth That is that Steve has confessed to being a faggot "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:51:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rrfcj2d6j4oebehplt214juabnge0fe5vl@4ax.com>
Source record: 168474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:01:29 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2458. Re: The Truth "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:52:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fsfcj2lgunaa1c5cg4mmrj8c8riall1fth@4ax.com>
Source record: 168477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 11:59:37 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2459. Re: The Truth is what Robeson wll not face"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:52:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lsfcj25rj2u9r7hpd1t0j94thqb6rqf4pt@4ax.com>
Source record: 168476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 11:59:11 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>steve_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2460. Re: steve tries to avoid his words by starting new attack thread Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:52:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tsfcj29b6m9dj2hgai37rmmm7vldfgauf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 07:33:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze (N0IMD) wrote:
>
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2461. Re: Why Does Steven Robeson Participate In Blatant Lying In Public? as proven by claiming"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robesonis a misquotey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:53:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kufcj29mbrgfokngpg02d65bbaq9d3eukc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 10:25:26 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Sep 2006 00:41:13 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> an old freind wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> > lets see, Robseson outs himself as gay and quite a week here in rrap
>>
>> Oh...?!?!
>>
>> Where did that happen?
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
>>
>>> Hi! Years and years of complaining and he finally decided to do
>>> something about it...
>>>
>>> He became a participant.
>>
>> Participant?
>>
>> In what?
>>
>> Why do you insist on lying in public, Brian?
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
>>
>> Does Mrs Brain know about your on-line behaviour?
>>
>> Perhaps some snail mail is overdue.
>more of YOUR stalking Robeson
>
>and a threat to comit mail fraud it seems
>>
>>> > > Like working out of band frenchmen on six meters...
>>> > >

>>> > > > > If you take Carls remarks in context, there are a lot of hams that
>>> > > > > would agree with him...
>>> > > >
>>> > > > There's not much evidence of that. Who might they be--the MoveOn.org of
>>> > > > ham radio?
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Dave K8MN
>>> > > >
>>> > > > > and would welcome a scrapper in the white house,
>>> > > > > err volunteer office.
>>> > > >
>>> > > I'm unfamiliar with the MoveOn.org of ham radio.
>>> >
>>> > indeed it is red herring the oher standard attack of the proocders
>>>
>>> Maybe he'll have some crackers with that red herring.
>>
>> Maybe Brian P Burke will stop his two-faced on-line behaviour?
>>
>> But I doubt it.
>>
>>Stev,e K4YZ
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2462. Robeson back for another day of lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:55:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161183305.288419.182980@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168479

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > id theft is a crime
>
> So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.

no stve Sodomy is not a crime you lied again the rest are
>
> But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

The state of being ilegal does not deter (except in the case of tax
evasion) the other crimes I refrain from out of mroal charter
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2463. robeson back for more lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:56:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g2gcj29asej3pjhbqncobn6gl2ffh4lbnj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168480

On 23 Sep 2006 02:42:29 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> >
>> Robeson lies again
>
> Nope.

but not incontext

no took you out of context when you lied and cxlaimed I eat you shit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2464. robeson back for more lying did he finaly make bail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:56:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a4gcj25a7usrllnm8lkma6ruegedicod5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 168481

On 18 Oct 2006 05:59:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> you realy should get a name of your own
>
> So says one of the RRAPCreeps who won't sing his posts.
way should I sing my posts

I sign them
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2465. the ARS is barred from being profesional right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:57:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q5gcj2prap72nmn9m2jlsmvagcm7ma2edk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168482

On 18 Oct 2006 05:49:34 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Just quoting Brain's Stuff...Snipped out the REALLY stupid stuff
>which, as you cna see, greatly cut down on "content".
>
>> Why must the format be sold? Is it copy righted? If I send a message
>> using THE FORMAT without purchasing the form, am I guilty of copyright
>> infringement?
>
> No...You just deliver an unprofessional looking scrap of paper.
>
well this Amateur radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2466. Steve talking a murder again? get some therapy robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:58:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a7gcj2p3nv54jhk447h28gfkcg1vljfnk5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168483

On 18 Oct 2006 05:53:54 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com, better known as Brian P Burke, N0IMD
>wrote:
>
>> Robesin is absent.
>
> Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
>> Lots of uniques showing up.
>
> Nothing unique about you, Brain...Liars and creeps have been around
>for centuries. You
>re in "good company".
he and I are in your company the cheif lier of RRAP
>
>> Maybe we need to run RRAP through a contest logging program.
>
> Would love to have one...Then could cull you, Morkie and Lennie
>with greater ease.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2467. Re: Smegma One Can't Get It Up!<< more of steve sexual harrasment of other Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:59:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u8gcj2lapotutsrunl5ltjgdctsmb93fgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168484

On 18 Oct 2006 06:02:31 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.
>
> No...You have an incompetent operator....YOU!
you have sex on your sex with men it seems
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2468. Re: Gummy Sargeant Hijacks Another Thread: Re: Smegma One Can't Get It Up!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 14:59:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sagcj25br1vvfiai86tkvlcg3bglr92h3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 168485

On 18 Oct 2006 06:11:38 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> > Were having problems with the transmitter, but we are working on it.
>>
>> No...You have an incompetent operator....YOU!
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>The Gummy Sargeant hijacks another thread, makes sexual inuendo, and
>diagnoses a radio problem with a very long-handled screwdriver. Now
>that's talent! We are blessed to have the Gummy among us, one of our
>own.
it is all he can do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2469. Re: k4yz: Your Incessant Lying Is Why You Get "Hjassed"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:01:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8bgcj250vfavh7em0qmkplq5n3o6dspl03@4ax.com>
Source record: 168486

On 18 Oct 2006 06:21:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 15 Oct 2006 20:45:49 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>>
>> you can ask all you like as is made I am not answering such a question
>> since the data can be used by robeson to contiue his hjassment
>
> So here we have Morkie propagating his RRAP lies to QRZ.com
>forums thinking he can get away with it THERE better than HERE....

fuuny I no problem sating things there indeed he made no answer in the
thread where the subject cam up in QRZ
>
> And again, he get's called on his alleged college degrees and
>STILL perpetuates the LIE that he has a college degree of ANY level.
>
> You get "hjassed" becuase you're an INCESSANT LIAR!
funy I almost never lie I do occasional
>
>> particularly some one unknown
>
> "Charles P Steinmetz" is "unknown"...?!?!
no call

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2470. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:02:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3egcj2dhjrpmqg06ul9396ulfijicve3c8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168487

On 18 Oct 2006 06:29:31 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> > Well, now, Mark, that's interesting. A few questions:
>>
>> > What institution granted your degree, and what year?
>> >
>> > What is the title of your Masters thesis?
>> >
>> > Why do you hyphenate the word "geophysics?" It isn't hyphenated in
>> > normal usage. A geophysicist would know that.
>
> Hence the reason Morkie didn't know it....
no I simply disagree with the usage
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2471. Robeson contiues his Gobbels ese lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:04:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ggcj2d4q54atmrd05s46342vndr9ifo2m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168488
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,can.politics,nz.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 06:41:36 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 11:17:21 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
>> <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 22:37:16 -0700, bill_newport.4land attempted to
>> >confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> >> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>> On 16 Oct 2006 20:12:03 -0700, marqueer@mail2world.com wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> stop hiding stand up in your name
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> STFU you filthy cowardly fruit cake
>> >
>> >Well, at least Mark Morgan is standing up in his own name...unlike you,
>> >"bill".
>
> No...Mark is NOT standing up "in his own name"...He hasn't signed a
>single post since he "returned" to RRAP...Yet he demands others do
>it...

I have sign Every single post with my name and or call in fashion
>
>> and my call an important point in Ham radio NG
>
> Your call is the butt of jokes in almost every State of the Union
>that has a respondant in this forum, Morkie. And now in Australia and
>New Zealand....You have entirely new audiences to learn about your
>lies, deceit, cheating, perversion and cowardice.
>
> Perhaps someone there will find interest in your lies about your
>military service, multiple college degrees (yet can't spell correctly),
>business ownership, or your multiple instances of run-in's with the law
>over your poor care of your elderly father...?!?!
over the run in YOU created by falsely reporting that I take poor care
of him

today those complaints of your' are investagated by a mere phone call
so they can say they investagted and the filed and forggoten till the
next of your letter
>

> But I doubt it.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2472. Re: Robesin Uses Retarded Children To Get a Laugh,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:07:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <okgcj2dt4ar0l3k3f0t0d2d2pvl644ibca@4ax.com>
Source record: 168489

On 18 Oct 2006 06:43:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
>> > > "progate?"
>>
>> They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
>use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.

No I am not I suspect he found riding as one of them very humiliating
it is sad that sort of thing happen but he is almost as obessed with
his no doubt tramitic experence on the short bus they he must lash out
at the rest of us

he will retatrded chilren death threat poking funy at the handicapped
they are part of his stock in trade here on RRAP
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2473. but not incontext

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:08:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9pgcj2h18q92dt3kkptrefiuanntuamevj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

On 18 Oct 2006 06:52:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> galaxyguy wrote:
>> > toddaug555@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > > > SMEGMA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING:
>> > >
>> > > none...this is a low power / Pirate radio station on the shortwave
>> > > bands....we've been off the air for a while because our transmitter
>> > > went out and were replacing it.
>> > >
>> > > Todd N9OGL
>> >
>> > Galaxy Guy replied:
>> > Thanks for the news and I'll have to look out for your future
>> > transmissions.
>> > Could you let us know what time UTC/GMT the programmes will air?
>> > Do you concentrate on speech, music or both and if music what genre?
>> > Cheers in advance.
>>
>> Were on on 24/7 and the vast majority of it is music, however I do from
>> time to time talk
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> SMEGMA SCUM RADIO
>
> And hopefully Toiddie will have the mic open when the Federal
>Marshals and the FCC Field Engineer inspect his "station" and close him
>down.
assuming he is brereaking the ruls I suspect that day is still years
in the future

wht you fail to see is that Hams cry Work so often on such matters
that the FCC doesn't realy listen
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2474. ah yes K4yz breking the law again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:09:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ksgcj299tnb3hvuuo28r2cp6mhhucluboq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168491

On 18 Oct 2006 07:25:35 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>QUOTE:
>
>AG4YO wrote:
you don't have the right to repost my stuff
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2475. you don't have the right to repost my stuff.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:10:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <stgcj2d7p85hrr239p1hnds6f25mll434i@4ax.com>
Source record: 168492

On 18 Oct 2006 07:34:06 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> QUOTE:
>>
>> AG4YO wrote:
>> >Group: Ham Members
>> >Posts: 4698
>> >Joined: May 2003 Posted: Oct. 05 2006,15:41
>> >Quote (kb9rqz @ Oct. 05 2006,13:36)
>> >Quote (AB2MH @ Oct. 04 2006,14:32)
>> >What does this have to do with NCTs?
>>
>> >it is simply the efort odff clearly poor ham (per the standards of the amtaeur Code) to
to be a bad >ham and attack have the memebrs of the ARS
>>
>> ROFL!! What?
>>
>> UNQUOTE
>>
>> Morkie's been equally idiotic in other posts within QRZ.COM, and he
>> get's the same "learn English" responses there that he dfoes here, but
>> STILL doesn't get the hint!
>>
>> Anyone want to take bets on how many USENET and private forums
>> that Morkie can make a fool out of himslef in a 30-day period?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Nor did it take long for him to start calling opinions he doesn't
>like "lies"''
you don't have the right to repost my stuff
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2476. Re: Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:11:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <l0hcj2lop1b3jakp299ppbrvpcptav6qr6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 7 Sep 2006 07:03:40 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>Stop lying about being misquoted "What it's like to lick my excrement
>off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted
>exactly
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2477. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:11:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <11hcj2dpoc7dt6h2ah2oiq917j194o2ou2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:37:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > that is the simplest and most logical inference it is is not the only
>> > answer He could have been refered to the proccess of just him
>> > defeacting on another man a kinky act (not my kink but I try not to be
>> > too judgemental of such things) in any event Robeson statesdescribes a
>> > Sex act involving himself and 2 Men one of them he claims is ME
>>
>> Morkie, Morkie, MORKIE!
>be a man not a worm Robeson
>>
>> STILL NOT GETTING IT RIGHT after three days of having your faulty
>> English pointed out to you.
>if there is any faulty english it was yours
>>
>> Idiot.
>>
>> > is sick lying and and down right gros in his efforts to disrupt the NG
>>
>> We have YOU to thank for that, Morkie....And your Feeble Five
>> bretheren.
>nope you are the one that types
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2478. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:11:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <d1hcj2h26gmp860t0qm2lroualn5oqktf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:31:31 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>> It's only implication in this context is your idiotic
>> misinterpretation of simple English, Morkie.
>if you had left out the word "my" you woluld have a point but you did
>not
>
>the only inferences are that you have been sodmized or that you enage
>in the fetish or shiting on mmens gentials or that YOU fucked the use
>of English and lack the moral courage to admit it
>
>you might want to try backing down before someone passes the original
>note to your wife
>
>I hear that that IS in the works
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2479. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t1hcj25jao0v1fsq4fplj3d2qoht5qt960@4ax.com>
Source record: 168495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:28:35 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>> Nothing relative to the subject...Just another threadjacking.
>nah it is exactly on the subject which was you trying to divert
>attention from your fuck up
>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>I can keep this up for days you know
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2480. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x15

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <42hcj2p35mne7trk156hottksr1kvcf99o@4ax.com>
Source record: 168496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:27:10 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>your fuck up you eat your words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2481. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words and will anything to avoid fessing up x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b2hcj2t0jnj4cqgnjkims2o3e80hmi0mpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 168498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:26:34 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you fucked up
>
>you have stated that you have commited a homsexual act and in your
>follow up inst you said nothing unture admiting again to a homsexaul
>act
>
>you could have just admitted your mistake but you lack the ball to do
>so and try to put the pblame on others for YOUR fuckup (which eveither
>it surely was)
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2482. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h2hcj2pai9i54le3iktokkpql81jmdop8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 168499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:23:47 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you are a homosexaul steve
>
>now get comforatable with it
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2483. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x13

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q2hcj21bj2j6oc86tunntrlmk09bbg0083@4ax.com>
Source record: 168500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:22:13 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>stev stop trying to escape it you have outed yourself as a homosexaul
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2484. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:12:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m3hcj2132i2aqht9csmj0900d0s00mbm10@4ax.com>
Source record: 168501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
>> > another mans genital
>>
>> No, that's not what I wrote.
>yes is is
>the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
>another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
>
>your shit is your shit get used to used to it homo boy
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2485. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u3hcj2tcnkrl9d0l4nb4vmhpuu70hvd09s@4ax.com>
Source record: 168504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:16:46 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you words you wrote
>
>are you homosexaul or bisexual well you say thei r is no such thing as
>Bisexual so you must a homosexaul steve face your words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2486. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <54hcj29pbaduuqh03svknlt3h1juhsdrli@4ax.com>
Source record: 168505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:37 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz-can't-get-it-straight-yet.com wrote:
>> > > > On 4 Sep 2006 22:29:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>> > Robeson
>>
>> Nope.
>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you typed it not me
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2487. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b4hcj25tveiqt1iuiamj13c7ic2r7hika3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:21 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>> You've misquoted them, Morkie.
>quoted them exactly
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2488. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words X8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k4hcj25sthfstfncvka0ci6ribtjuuifl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:12:24 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>
> your words you eat them
>
>congrats at coming out of the closet
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2489. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p4hcj2l62gse3bmpl5ahuepv6amgc1he6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 168506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:07:32 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Mark-likes-to-lie-and-deceive@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > On 5 Sep 2006 14:45:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
>> Stop misquoting.
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>>
>> Stop quoting out of context.
>>
>no out of context quote made
>> Act human.
>I do
>
>you don't
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2490. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <25hcj21337e8flm9t4ghs9mcuo2q7qi5gj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2491. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <85hcj2939v19d7po7jeebkdis29u242m72@4ax.com>
Source record: 168508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:01 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2492. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e5hcj2ll5hmgvd1jrkgpi7l1tc7683ho1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 168509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:04:30 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>> Funny how Morkie can claim that his alleged dyslexia keeps him
>> from passing the General, yet he claims he successfully completed not
>> one, but three college degrees.
>nothing in consitant in those statements
>
>you just lied again
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2493. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:13:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k5hcj2dus52ctjifsgie10026ramp47181@4ax.com>
Source record: 168510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:03:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2494. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:14:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q5hcj29rcpte8u9bi751chjs1hqjd5fo5i@4ax.com>
Source record: 168511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:49 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2495. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:14:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <16hcj2tpmha5pjnqsjbgutfg7mg3b3anb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2496. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve avoiding the full text of his own self outing again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:14:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <76hcj2hv8qhkan1h80hp1imelkh00vn32m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 09:48:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> > > " Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will
>> > >never
>> > >know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> > >genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. "
>> > >
>> > >Steve states that I have had contact with his shit on the gentials of
>> > >another man
>>
>> No, I said nothing about YOU having had contact with anything of
>> mine.
>steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shit of
>another mans genital
>
>your shit is well your shit
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2497. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:16:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161184582.772835.268470@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Chuck Harris wrote:
>
> >>> I don't remember that at all.
> >> Well, you wouldn't if you spent all of your time on CW.
> >
> > Good point!
> >
> >> Things are very
> >> polite on those subbands.
> >
> > Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?
>
> To promote the mode, sure, but to require it no. I could make the same claim
> about RTTY, and Slow Scan. When they were popular, folks on those modes were
> all as polite as could be.
>
> The impolite behavior seems to center around SSB. Probably because the mode
> requires essentially no effort.
funny how the result now is to transfer a lot of the rudeness to CW

2498. back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:21:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161184896.324334.194090@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > id theft is crime
>
> So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molestation and tax
> evasion.
>
> None of that seems to deter you.

back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes

the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.

moral fibir which I have and you clearly lack Robeson

2499. back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:22:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161184932.064550.304100@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168516

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > id theft is a crime
>
> So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
>
> But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes

the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.

moral fibir which I have and you clearly lack Robeson

2500. more lie from Gobbels student Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:23:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161184980.508060.307380@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > indeed becuase you lack even the corage to give your name
>
> You lack the "courage" to sign YOUR name to posts, Morkie...So
> where do you get off trying to ride someone else about it?

nosense I sign everyone of them

2501. back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:23:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161185001.355548.296780@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

K4YZ wrote:
> Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > id theft is a crime
>
> So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
>
> But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.

back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes

the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.

moral fibir which I have and you clearly lack Robeson

2502. a rminder of steve obession with making fun of the disabled

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:25:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hphcj2t7ag41j0qfcjtopelfqhfl4msr0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168519

On 11 Jul 2006 22:31:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend lied to Brain:
>> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com enjouyed being lied to:

more of robeson forgery
>
>> > Did you ever find out who his "gratis" UP attorney was?
>> nope
>>
>> I don't think is has an attorney one
>
> There you go pushing the limits of your abilities again,
>Morkie...."thinking".
>
>> the best I think he had is talking in his normal half truths to one and
>> then when his "evidence" showed up the guy told him to hit the hit but
>> steve had already shot off his keybaoord
>
> More random words in seek of a meaning.
>
> If I were you, Morkie, I'd sue who ever it was that let you out of
>the short yellow bus without being able to communicate effectively in
>English.

No Robeson Youd threaten to sue everybody involed but never ever do
anything about
>
>> I would feel sorry for him were not such a jerk
>
> Lennie's not here Mork.

is amy Fireproof?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2503. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:26:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161185212.294474.315110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

VIVA MEXICO CABRONES! wrote:
> > No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
> > Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
> >
> > Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
> > Cobra 148GTL for HF.
> That was classic!!!!!
agared a classic example of the moral bankruptcy of the ProCoders

2504. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!<< more lies from k4yz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:28:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161185310.278160.93100@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168521

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 17 Oct 2006 17:05:45 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > >> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > >
> > > >> you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
> > > >> worst since you just whine on and on
> > > >
> > > >##### YOU AND THE WHORE THAT GAVE YOU BIRTH, MORK-QUEER!
> > >
> > > no you may not have sex with me I have told and told you that
> >
> Only you would see a solicitation in that, Morkie.
I did not see a solictation in that but tin the text before your
vandalism yes I did
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2505. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!<<<<more robeson lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:31:03 UTC
From: an old freind <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161185463.679956.105850@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Steven wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > > you realy should get a name of your own
> >
> > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > posts.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> R R A P
>
> Get more coffee, effendi.
he needs way more than coffee

just geussing but Tharzine or cynide might be able to help Robeson

2506. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:34:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161185670.415283.118740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168523

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 11 Jul 2006 22:31:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >an old friend lied to Brain:
> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com enjouyed being lied to:
>
> more of robeson forgery

Nope.

Just correcting an error.

> >> > Did you ever find out who his "gratis" UP attorney was?
> >> nope
> >>
> >> I don't think is has an attorney one
> >
> > There you go pushing the limits of your abilities again,
> >Morkie...."thinking".
> >
> >> the best I think he had is talking in his normal half truths to one and
> >> then when his "evidence" showed up the guy told him to hit the hit but
> >> steve had already shot off his keybaoord
> >
> > More random words in seek of a meaning.
> >
> > If I were you, Morkie, I'd sue who ever it was that let you out of
> >the short yellow bus without being able to communicate effectively in
> >English.
>
> No Robeson Youd threaten to sue everybody involed but never ever do
> anything about

Once again you get the attributes wrong and demonstrate that your
English comprehension skills are the pile of mud I claim them to be.

> >> I would feel sorry for him were not such a jerk
> >
> > Lennie's not here Mork.
>
> is amy Fireproof?

You didn't answer the question, fatboy.

Coward.

Steve, K4YZ

2507. well Robeson is back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:36:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161185763.293330.30350@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168524

it was nice while it lated but it seems that Steve simply can't face
life without lying and harrassing and other on RRAP

he pulls out most of tool to do it too

lie ineudo sexaul remarks flase accuastion of crimenal behavoir all the
hallmark of his slime attacks

2508. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:36:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161185814.383897.29900@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

nobodys old freind wrote:
> Steven wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > you realy should get a name of your own
> > >
> > > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > > posts.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > R R A P
> >
> > Get more coffee, effendi.
>
> he needs way more than coffee
>
> just geussing but Tharzine or cynide might be able to help Robeson

You're "geussing"..?!?! What's "geussing"...??!

What's "Tharzine"...?!?! What's "cynide"...?!?!?

Why are you such an idiot?

Why do you continue to make such idiotic mistakes that only leave
you subject to humiliation and ridicule?

Steve, K4YZ

2509. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:40:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161186053.218816.275150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168526

nobodys old friend wrote:

> it was nice while it lated but it seems that Steve simply can't face
> life without lying and harrassing and other on RRAP

What's "lated"...?!?!

And what lies? What harrassment, fatboy?

> he pulls out most of tool to do it too

No...I leave my tool for women.

> lie

Yes, you do.

>ineudo

What's "ineudo"...?!?!

> sexaul remarks

What's a 'sexaul" remark, Morkie?

> flase accuastion of crimenal behavoir

What's a "flase" accusation, Morkie?

What's "crimenal"...?!?!?

> all the hallmark of his slime attacks

No slime attacks here, Morkie...Just feeding you your own
behaviour.

Steve, K4YZ

2510. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:42:00 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161186120.526262.52420@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

```
K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old freind wrote:
> > Steven wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > you realy should get a name of your own
> > > >
> > > > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > > > posts.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > R R A P
> > >
> > > Get more coffee, effendi.
> >
> > he needs way more than coffee
> >
> > just geussing but Tharzine or cynide might be able to help Robeson
>
> You're "geussing"..?!?! What's "geussing"...??!
>
> What's "Tharzine"...?!?! What's "cynide"...?!?!?
>
> Why are you such an idiot?
>
> Why do you continue to make such idiotic mistakes that only leave
> you subject to humiliation and ridicule?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hay! You don't need to read and write to use an M-16!
```

2511. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:43:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161186191.123031.54840@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168528

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 17 Oct 2006 17:05:45 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > >> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >> you are the one that refuses to wipe and it is your nose that needs it
> > > > >> worst since you just whine on and on
> > > > >
> > > > >#### YOU AND THE WHORE THAT GAVE YOU BIRTH, MORK-QUEER!
> > > >
> > > > no you may not have sex with me I have told and told you that
> > >
> > > Only you would see a solicitation in that, Morkie.
> >
> > I did not see a solictation in that but tin the text before your
> > vandalism yes I did

"tin the text"...?!?!

You want me to apply solder to my posts?

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2512. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:45:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161186318.611530.66690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Steven wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > Steven wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you realy should get a name of your own
> > > > > >
> > > > > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > > > > posts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > R R A P
> > > > >
> > > > Get more coffee, effendi.
> > > >
> > > he needs way more than coffee
> > > >
> > > just geussing but Tharzine or cynide might be able to help Robeson
> > >
> > You're "geussing"..?!?! What's "geussing"...??!
> > >
> > What's "Tharzine"...?!?! What's "cynide"...?!?!?
> > >
> > Why are you such an idiot?
> > >
> > Why do you continue to make such idiotic mistakes that only leave
> > you subject to humiliation and ridicule?
> > >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Hay! You don't need to read and write to use an M-16!

Morkie couldn't accurately identify the "business end" of an M-16.

Steve, K4YZ

2513. HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:45:57 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161186357.281886.69630@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168530
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!

2514. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:47:40 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161186460.336297.75140@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

K4YZ wrote:
> Steven wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > > Steven wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you realy should get a name of your own
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So says one of the RAAPCreeps who won't sign his own name to his
> > > > > > posts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > R R A P
> > > > > >
> > > > > Get more coffee, effendi.
> > > > >
> > > > he needs way more than coffee
> > > > >
> > > > just geussing but Tharzine or cynide might be able to help Robeson
> > > >
> > > You're "geussing"..?!?! What's "geussing"...??!
> > >
> > > What's "Tharzine"...?!?! What's "cynide"...?!?!?
> > >
> > > Why are you such an idiot?
> > >
> > > Why do you continue to make such idiotic mistakes that only leave
> > > you subject to humiliation and ridicule?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Hay! You don't need to read and write to use an M-16!
>
> Morkie couldn't accurately identify the "business end" of an M-16.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And you cannot identify the end of a thread.

(HINT: This is it)

2515. Robesin Uses Retarded Children To Get a Laugh, was: Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:48:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161186510.390526.31170@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168532

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > > > "progate?"
> > > >
> > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
>
> Who used retarded children, Brain?

Robesin did.

> ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?

And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.

> Didn't you?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
despicable.

2516. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:50:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161186630.992783.108820@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168533

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > > id theft is a crime
> >
> > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> >
> > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
>
> back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

You mean like your "id theft is a crime" responses?

You mean like your idiotic "extrement" subject lines, of which
there are a doesn or more of today?

> as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
> sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes

The Supremes declared sodomy isn't a crime?

Was that before or after "Baby Love" or "Ain't No Mountian High
Enough"...?!?!

> the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
> ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
> were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
> me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.

You are NOT a "moral" merson, Morkie.

You HAVE commmited or been under investigation for all of those
save tax evasion, and according to what I've been able to find so far,
you're probably guilty of that, too.

> moral fibir which I have and you clearly lack Robeson

What's "fibir", fatboy?

You mean "FIBBER"...?!?! In that case I'd agree since you're the
biggest fibber in USENET.

Steve, K4YZ

2517. Re: Robesin Uses Retarded Children To Get a Laugh,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:51:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161186696.180624.94680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168534

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 06:43:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> >> > > "progate?"
> >>
> >> They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> >use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
>
> No I am not I suspect he found riding as one of them very humiliating
> it is sad that sort of thing happen but he is almost as obessed with
> his no doubt tramitic experence on the short bus they he must lash out
> at the rest of us
>
> he will retatrded chilren death threat poking funy at the handicapped
> they are part of his stock in trade here on RRAP

Everything is fair game for Robesin's jokes, even dead people and
retarded children.

2518. steve bback for more lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:52:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rcjcj2tpnp9cj7tatksarsebi3st2nr47h@4ax.com>
Source record: 168535

On 18 Oct 2006 08:34:30 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 11 Jul 2006 22:31:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an old friend lied to Brain:
>> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com enjouyed being lied to:
>>
>> more of robeson forgery
>
> Nope.
>
> Just correcting an error.
>
no forgery
>> No Robeson Youd threaten to sue everybody involed but never ever do
>> anything about
>
> Once again you get the attributes wrong and demonstrate that your
>English comprehension skills are the pile of mud I claim them to be.
>
>> >> I would feel sorry for him were not such a jerk
>> >
>> > Lennie's not here Mork.
>>
>> is amy Fireproof?
>
> You didn't answer the question, fatboy.
it is not derected to me
>
> Coward.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2519. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:53:32 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh5ilsojo27@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168538
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> verbally
sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.17.22.52.30.411786@hell.corn:

> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
> screamed:
>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>> further by squeaking:
>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>><snip>
> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

2520. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:54:12 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161186852.714492.126800@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168536

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scan-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > > > > "progate?"
> > > >
> > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
> >
> > Who used retarded children, Brain?
>
> Robesin did.

He did?

Who's "Robesin"...?!?!

> > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
>
> And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.

That's "aide", Brain...But you've not shown where anyone got a
"cheap laugh" yet.

> > Didn't you?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
> despicable.

Yes, you are. So why not stop it?

Steve, K4YZ

2521. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:54:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lgjcj25beve086604g2f5kf2hffj6ol31c@4ax.com>
Source record: 168537
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
<thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
Robeson set the standard years ago
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2522. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:56:50 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187010.533288.68430@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168539

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
>
> Robeson set the standard years ago

Nope...Sorry...I can't "claim" credit for this Morkie...You and
Lennie should be takling the bows here...Don't be so shy...

Steve, K4YZ

2523. robeson back for more lie part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:56:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sijcj2p6hgc71hlmsullhci22tausa5r3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 168540

On 18 Oct 2006 08:54:12 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > snot-scan-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
>> > > > > > "progate?"
>> > > >
>> > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
>> > > >
>> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >
>> > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
>> > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
>> >
>> > Who used retarded children, Brain?
>>
>> Robesin did.
>
> He did?
>
> Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
you are

you can't even call me by my name on QRZ steve but your name must be
inviolate
>
>> > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
>>
>> And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
>
> That's "aide", Brain...But you've not shown where anyone got a
>"cheap laugh" yet.

that seemed obvious but I atest to it

I got a cheap laugh out of it
>
>> > Didn't you?
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>

>> Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
>> despicable.
>
> Yes, you are. So why not stop it?

no you are

why don't you stop it
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2524. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:58:04 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161187084.400110.73770@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168541
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
> Robeson set the standard years ago

I don't give a FUCK! Quit acting like a 2nd grader and deal with your shit like a man.

Bringing it here is the biggest way to be ignored or yelled at. I'm not going back to this stupid blog of yours either.

Grow up.

2525. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:58:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161187094.043640.120970@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168542
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!

> Robeson set the standard years ago

Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
for cheap laughs.

He deserves our thanks.

2526. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:58:24 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187103.950380.125270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168543

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:34:30 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 11 Jul 2006 22:31:48 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an old friend lied to Brain:
> >> >> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com enjouyed being lied to:
> >>
> >> more of robeson forgery
> >>
> > Nope.
> >
> > Just correcting an error.
> >
> no forgery
>
> >> No Robeson Youd threaten to sue everybody involed but never ever do
> >> anything about
> >
> > Once again you get the attributes wrong and demonstrate that your
> >English comprehension skills are the pile of mud I claim them to be.
> >
> >> >> I would feel sorry for him were not such a jerk
> >> >
> >> > Lennie's not here Mork.
> >>
> >> is amy Fireproof?
> >>
> > You didn't answer the question, fatboy.
>
> it is not derected to me

Sure it is. I "derected" it to you.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

2527. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:59:25 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161187165.902827.134830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168544
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
>
> > Robeson set the standard years ago
>
> Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
> though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
> for cheap laughs.
>
> He deserves our thanks.

Fucking deal with him.

2528. robeson threadjacker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 15:59:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187174.947116.135650@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

```
Steven wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Steven wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > > > Steven wrote:

> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> And you cannot identify the end of a thread.
>
> (HINT: This is it)
nor can he refrain from hijacking threads and he lives it seems to
attack the disabled I guess having to help them at work get to him
```

2529. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:01:36 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187296.210523.43290@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168546

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:54:12 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > snot-scan-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> >> > > > > > "progate?"
> >> > > >
> >> > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > >
> >> > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> >> > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
> >> >
> >> > Who used retarded children, Brain?
> >>
> >> Robesin did.
> >
> > He did?
> >
> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
> you are

Nope.

> you can't even call me by my name on QRZ steve but your name must be
> inviolate

But you ARE "Morkie", and I've explained why.

You act like Mork...You talk like Mork...You "rationalize" things
like Mork.

You ARE Mork.

> >> > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
> >>
> >> And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
> >
> > That's "aide", Brain...But you've not shown where anyone got a

> >"cheap laugh" yet.
>
> that seemed obvious but I atest to it
>
> I got a cheap laugh out of it

That's because you're cheap, Mork.

> >> > Didn't you?
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
> >> despicable.
> >
> > Yes, you are. So why not stop it?
>
> no you are

Nope.

> why don't you stop it

Why don't YOU stop giving me so much material to work with?

Steve, K4YZ

2530. Robeson threadjacker and lying SoB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:01:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187310.099849.144210@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168547

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>

> > all the hallmark of his slime attacks
>
> No slime attacks here, Morkie...Just feeding you your own
> behaviour.

you make em by the hunderd stevee you are clearlyy a lier or sociopath
if you truly state you can't see em
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2531. robesin threader and forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:01:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187311.895181.139050@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168548

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
stop the forgery

and show some manners at least on QRZ

2532. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:03:48 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187428.360940.112840@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Steven wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Steven wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > > > > Steven wrote:
>
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > And you cannot identify the end of a thread.
> >
> > (HINT: This is it)
>
> nor can he refrain from hijacking threads and he lives it seems to
> attack the disabled I guess having to help them at work get to him

I've not "attacked" the disabled due to disability, Mork.

I roundly humiliate YOU due to your stupidity, chronic lying and
inane claims of educational achievement in the face of evidence to the
contrary.

Steve, K4YZ

2533. Who the hell is Robesin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:04:12 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161187452.483767.148260@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Is it YOU, Mark?

an old friend wrote:
> Steven wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Steven wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > > > > Steven wrote:
>
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > And you cannot identify the end of a thread.
> >
> > (HINT: This is it)
> nor can he refrain from hijacking threads and he lives it seems to
> attack the disabled I guess having to help them at work get to him

2534. KB9RQZ Says learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:04:59 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187498.941960.145390@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168551

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> stop the forgery
>
> and show some manners at least on QRZ

I've showed you far more manners than you ever showed me.

And Mork...WHERE in QRZ was I not "well mannered"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2535. Re: Who the hell is Robesin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:06:49 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187609.738597.165510@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168552

Steven wrote:
> Is it YOU, Mark?

That's Morkie's attempt to dig in at me. It doesn't work and it really get's his goat. Of course Morkie doesn't like the idea of anyone except HIM getting his goat.

Steve, K4YZ

2536. Robesin Uses Retarded Children To Get a Laugh, was: Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:07:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161187667.396413.173410@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168553

k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheaee@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-han-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > > > > > "progate?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> > > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
> > > >
> > > Who used retarded children, Brain?
> > >
> > Robesin did.
> >
> He did?
>
> Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>
> > > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
> > >
> > And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
> >
> That's "aide", Brain...

It may be. But it doesn't detract from your despicable attempts at a
laugh.

> But you've not shown where anyone got a
> "cheap laugh" yet.

Because it wasn't funny.

> > > Didn't you?
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....

> > despicable.
>
> Yes, you are. So why not stop it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'm not the one using retarded kids in an effort to make fun of someone else.

2537. Re: KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:08:03 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161187683.580107.187590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

```
k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Steven wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Steven wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys old freind wrote:
> > > > > > > Steven wrote:
> > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > And you cannot identify the end of a thread.
> > >
> > > (HINT: This is it)
> >
> > nor can he refrain from hijacking threads and he lives it seems to
> > attack the disabled I guess having to help them at work get to him
>
> I've not "attacked" the disabled due to disability, Mork.
>
> I roundly humiliate YOU due to your stupidity, chronic lying and
> inane claims of educational achievement in the face of evidence to the
> contrary.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Would all of you inside Mark's head die now?
```

2538. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:08:34 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187714.594215.129870@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168556

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >
>
> > > all the hallmark of his slime attacks
> >
> > No slime attacks here, Morkie...Just feeding you your own
> > behaviour.
>
> you make em by the hunderd stevee you are clearlyy a lier or sociopath
> if you truly state you can't see em

What's a "lier" Morkie?

There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
behaviour.

Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?

Steve, K4YZ

2539. stil more lies from robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:08:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jbkcj29fi03qgrp2c7aknbc253ad2v0m2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 168555

On 18 Oct 2006 08:56:50 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
>> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
>>
>> Robeson set the standard years ago
>
> Nope...Sorry...I can't "claim" credit for this Morkie...You and
>Lennie should be takling the bows here...Don't be so shy...
stop lying steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2540. is amy fireproof

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:08:49 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187729.918859.190340@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168557

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 18 Oct 2006 08:34:30 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> > > You didn't answer the question, fatboy.
> >
> > it is not derected to me
>
> Sure it is. I "derected" it to you.

then the answer is I don't know since I have never met amy except
thought the emails she sends me from titme to time

but you have not answered the question Is she fireproof she needs to be
with your flaiming pants for all your lies

2541. k4yz back for more lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:08:51 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187731.615244.71580@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168558

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > id theft is a crime
> > >
> > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > >
> > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> >
> > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
>
> You mean like your "id theft is a crime" responses?
no I don't they are but true stament repsonding to a forgery of my name
or my wifes

nor are they cut and pasted they are typed out every time
> > the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
> > ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
> > were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
> > me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.
>
> You are NOT a "moral" merson, Morkie.
sure I am. I don't go around enaging in a trirades trying to restrict
other people freedom I don't willfully break the law you do both
>
> You HAVE commmited or been under investigation for all of those
> save tax evasion, and according to what I've been able to find so far,
> you're probably guilty of that, too.
being under investagation after beiung flasely accused is not a sign of
a lack of morality steve

2542. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:09:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qckcj2dn12o2k8bh9eb77u5cirternj3d4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168559
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 18 Oct 2006 08:59:25 -0700, "Steven"
<thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
>> > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
>>
>> > Robeson set the standard years ago
>>
>> Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
>> though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
>> for cheap laughs.
>>
>> He deserves our thanks.
>
>Fucking deal with him.
we can't not without breaking the law and murdering him

he is not worth going to jail for
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2543. Re: Who the hell is Robesin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:10:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <uekcj2ljmj7pg3racnebgg4djh109b2cio@4ax.com>
Source record: 168560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
<thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Is it YOU, Mark?
>
no it isn't
>an old friend wrote:
>> Steven wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > Steven wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > nobodys old freind wrote:
>> > > > > > Steven wrote:
>> >
>> > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >
>> > > And you cannot identify the end of a thread.
>> > >
>> > > (HINT: This is it)
>> nor can he refrain from hijacking threads and he lives it seems to
>> attack the disabled I guess having to help them at work get to him
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2544. Re: Who the hell is Robesin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:10:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hfkcj2tl2d1mthae5v25ftgrgji7fo0vnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 168563

On 18 Oct 2006 09:06:49 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Steven wrote:
>> Is it YOU, Mark?
>
> That's Morkie's attempt to dig in at me. It doesn't work and it
>really get's his goat. Of course Morkie doesn't like the idea of
>anyone except HIM getting his goat.
>
no steve Robeson is Brain line not mine I never use
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2545. Re: robeson back for more lie part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:11:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161187876.701893.141460@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168561

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:54:12 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> >> > > > > > "progate?"
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > > >
> >> > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> >> > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
> >> >
> >> > Who used retarded children, Brain?
> >>
> >> Robesin did.
> >
> > He did?
> >
> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
> you are
>
> you can't even call me by my name on QRZ steve but your name must be
> inviolate
> >
> >> > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
> >>
> >> And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
> >
> > That's "aide", Brain...But you've not shown where anyone got a
> >"cheap laugh" yet.
>
> that seemed obvious but I atest to it
>
> I got a cheap laugh out of it
> >
> >> > Didn't you?
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ

> >>
> >> Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
> >> despicable.
> >
> > Yes, you are. So why not stop it?
>
> no you are
>
> why don't you stop it
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ

I don't understand how anyone in his situation can make fun of retarded
kids. He's not human.

2546. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist <<<< Yeah...RIGHT ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:11:32 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187891.419657.82190@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168562

snot-scam-and-sleaze continues to try to make a point:
> k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheaee@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-han-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
> > > > > > > > "progate?"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
> > > > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
> > > >
> > > > Who used retarded children, Brain?
> > >
> > > Robesin did.
> >
> > He did?
> >
> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
> >
> > > > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
> > >
> > > And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
> >
> > That's "aide", Brain...
>
> It may be. But it doesn't detract from your despicable attempts at a
> laugh.

Where was I trying to "get a laugh", greaseball?

> > But you've not shown where anyone got a
> > "cheap laugh" yet.
>
> Because it wasn't funny.

I didn't say it was. Nor was it my attempt.

YOUR attempt to make it otherwise is idiotic.

> > > > Didn't you?
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
> > > despicable.
> > >
> > Yes, you are. So why not stop it?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'm not the one using retarded kids in an effort to make fun of someone
> else.

Nor am I. That YOU are too blockheaded to get the point doesn't
make ME "despicable", Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

2547. stop the slime robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:11:58 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161187917.872915.184820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168564

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:

> There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
> behaviour.
>
> Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?
steve to stop you I would have to kill you

you have made it just that plain
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2548. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:12:05 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161187925.609457.131630@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168565
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 08:59:25 -0700, "Steven"
> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> >> > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
> >>
> >> > Robeson set the standard years ago
> >>
> >> Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
> >> though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
> >> for cheap laughs.
> >>
> >> He deserves our thanks.
> >
> >Fucking deal with him.
> we can't not without breaking the law and murdering him

OH BULLSHIT! You have his headers, his ISP or at least his posting
host's name and the number of a lawyer. Are you from Canada or
something?

2549. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code ius EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:12:48 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161187968.894102.177170@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Is it YOU, Mark?
> >
> no it isn't

I bet it is! FORGER!

Steve, K4YZ

2550. stil more lie but the K4yz keyboard is typing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:13:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ujkcj2p77ka53hc3oq3suchc9ch70vqb2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 168567

On 18 Oct 2006 09:11:32 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze continues to try to make a point:

forgering again
>> I'm not the one using retarded kids in an effort to make fun of someone
>> else.
>
> Nor am I.
lying again
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2551. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:14:35 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161188075.657904.152810@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168568

MorkTheDork.@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:06:49 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Steven wrote:
> >> Is it YOU, Mark?
> >
> > That's Morkie's attempt to dig in at me. It doesn't work and it
> >really get's his goat. Of course Morkie doesn't like the idea of
> >anyone except HIM getting his goat.
> >
> no steve Robeson is Brain line not mine I never use

P U N C T U A T I O N ! ! ! !

Sheeesh, Mork! That made Zero-Point-Zero sense!

Idiot!

Steve, K4YZ

2552. Re: robeson back for more lie part 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:14:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6mkcj2ld2jimsn6rl2nq6ho0d5iiglqckc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168569

```
On 18 Oct 2006 09:11:17 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 08:54:12 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > > > > Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > > > > > What are "sesmis" waves? Or do you mean "seismic?" And how do they
>> >> > > > > > > "progate?"
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > They didn't teach Morkie those big words on the short yellow bus.
>> >> > > > >
>> >> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Considering your circumstances in life, I'm surprised that you would
>> >> > > use retarded children to get a cheap laugh.
>> >> >
>> >> > Who used retarded children, Brain?
>> >>
>> >> Robesin did.
>> >
>> > He did?
>> >
>> > Who's "Robesin"...?!?!
>> you are
>>
>> you can't even call me by my name on QRZ steve but your name must be
>> inviolate
>> >
>> >> > ONLY "retarded" children ride the short yellow bus?
>> >>
>> >> And other disabled children, usually an Aid, and the Bus Driver.
>> >
>> > That's "aide", Brain...But you've not shown where anyone got a
>> >"cheap laugh" yet.
>>
>> that seemed obvious but I atest to it
>>
>> I got a cheap laugh out of it
>> >
```

>> >> > Didn't you?
>> >> >
>> >> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >>
>> >> Another attempt at a cheap laugh using retarded children....
>> >> despicable.
>> >
>> > Yes, you are. So why not stop it?
>>
>> no you are
>>
>> why don't you stop it
>> >
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>
>I don't understand how anyone in his situation can make fun of retarded
>kids. He's not human.

he isn't even close he is lucky to live in a small town hed never
surviive in big city hed end up dead and the the investagtors would
write it of with the tag "NHI" no humans involved
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2553. steve lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:16:01 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188160.998828.149980@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168570

```
k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > stop the forgery
> >
> > and show some manners at least on QRZ
>
> I've showed you far more manners than you ever showed me.
nope
>
> And Mork...WHERE in QRZ was I not "well mannered"...?!?!
caloling some morkie is not well mannered esp as you wwhine here about
being called Robesin
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2554. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:16:16 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161188176.171622.193630@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168571

MorkTheIlliterateIdiot@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:11:32 -0700, k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >snot-scam-and-sleaze continues to try to make a point:
>
> forgering again

What's "forgering", Morkie?

> >> I'm not the one using retarded kids in an effort to make fun of someone
> >> else.
> >
> > Nor am I.
>
> lying again

We can SEE you're lying again, fatboy. Why not stop it now?

Steve, K4YZ

2555. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:17:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lqkcj2d79mhffbuttdq5fo6c7f6hhm8dn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168572
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

On 18 Oct 2006 09:12:05 -0700, "Steven"
<thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 08:59:25 -0700, "Steven"
>> <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
>> >> > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
>> >>
>> >> > Robeson set the standard years ago
>> >>
>> >> Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
>> >> though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
>> >> for cheap laughs.
>> >>
>> >> He deserves our thanks.
>> >
>> >Fucking deal with him.
>> we can't not without breaking the law and murdering him
>
>
>OH BULLSHIT! You have his headers, his ISP or at least his posting
>host's name and the number of a lawyer. Are you from Canada or
>something?
I have spoken to lawyers

nothing I can to stop him from posting short of muder

I can extract judgement that he has not got the assets to pay but that
does not stop him from posting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2556. more lies but robeson has not fished skipping to harrass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:18:48 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188328.574215.305160@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168573

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> MorkTheIlliterateIdiot@kb9rqz.net wrote:

more vandalism

2557. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:19:00 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161188340.395714.170700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168574

kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > stop the forgery
> > >
> > > and show some manners at least on QRZ
> >
> > I've showed you far more manners than you ever showed me.
> nope
> >
> > And Mork...WHERE in QRZ was I not "well mannered"...?!?!
>
> caloling some morkie is not well mannered esp as you wwhine here about
> being called Robesin

What's "caloling", Morkie?>

And I'm not "whining" about being called "Robesin". I keep asking
you who he (or she) is. You've not adequately answered the question.

Steve, K4YZ

2558. more from Robeson the cybervandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:19:26 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188366.677429.307390@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168575

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> MorkTheDork.@kb9rqz.net wrote:
stop the vandalsim steve

2559. Re: more lies but robeson has not fished skipping to harrass <<< KB9RQZ CAN'T EVEN SPELL HIS INSULTS CORRECTLY! BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:20:06 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161188406.441392.220420@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168576

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MorkTheIlliterateIdiot@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> more vandalism

Nope.

Steve, K4YZ

2560. steve the cyber vandal strikes again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:20:54 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188454.370515.314130@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168577

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
stop the cyber vandalism

2561. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code Is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:21:54 UTC
From: k4yz_01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161188514.162339.242610@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168578

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MorkTheDork.@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> stop the vandalsim steve

What's "vandalsim", Mork?

Steve, K4YZ

2562. Re: more lies but robeson has not fished skipping lunch to harrass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:22:05 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188525.739006.228450@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168579

k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > MorkTheIlliterateIdiot@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > more vandalism
>
> Nope.
yep you are a vandal and vandal are not moral people steve
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2563. stop being a vandal steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:23:16 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188596.277620.182190@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168580

```
k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > MorkTheDork.@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >
> > stop the vandalsim steve
>
> What's "vandalsim", Mork?
it is whartt you do to RRAP stev and have done for years
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2564. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code Is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:24:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161188652.599300.236350@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168581

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> stop the cyber vandalism

You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?

Take your own advice, fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

2565. well steve Id stay and trade posts with you some but My dad does a physcal therapy appointment sopne

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:25:27 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161188725.682386.240970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168582

and it is part of what i do to defned myself from those charges you
keep making that I abuse him

I had just called erands but...

2566. steve the shit feeder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:26:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pblcj2hb6kmhlbfd4epid2qku18kdc75u2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168583

On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> stop the cyber vandalism
>
> You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies

I have no diea what it is like to eat your shit nor will I ever know
that is one of your big lies
>
> Take your own advice, fatboy.
how does it aply?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2567. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code Is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:29:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161188981.720425.206720@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168584

kb9rqz-1-Big-Idiot@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > MorkTheDork.@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> > > stop the vandalsim steve
> >
> > What's "vandalsim", Mork?
>
> it is whartt you do to RRAP stev and have done for years

What is "whartt", Morkie?

Is that a cousin of Worf?

Who's "stev"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2568. Re: steve the #### feeder <<< Didn't Take Morkie Long To Sink To Profaity In Subject Lines Today, Did It...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:32:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161189157.852534.273940@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168585

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> stop the cyber vandalism
> >
> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
>
> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies

What lies?

> I have no diea what it is like to eat your #### nor will I ever know
> that is one of your big lies

What lie?

> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
>
> how does it aply?

You call me a threadjacker, Morkie...Yet there's 100's of threads
with your "excrement" lines. Yet you call ME a "threadjacker".

Take your own advice, fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

2569. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:33:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161189189.793937.166930@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > Sure - but the results may be very different. I doubt FCC is too
> > > worried about the amateur community as "the public". They're too busy
> > > dealing with wardrobe malfunctions and Howard Stern wannabes.
> >
> > That sort of stuff is handled by the upper-level politically sensitive
> > types at the FCC, our fates are crafted by the OET jorneymen. The BPL
> > flap excepted.
>
> Maybe. If ya think BPL isn't political, ya haven't been paying
> attention.

?? . . that's why I stated "the BPL flap excepted".

> > > > By now they've long since
> > > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> > >
> > > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
> >
> > Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> > consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> > There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.
>
> They do read all of the comments.

Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
the contrary or you've been there yourself.

> What they conclude is another matter.

Yeah, they conclude on sight that they're not gonna actually read his
crap . .

> > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
>
> Not my problem. Not yours, either.

That I agree with.

> > > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say

> > > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> > >
> > > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
> >
> > (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> > (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> > (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> > (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.
>
> I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of
>
> (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> mountains of commentary.

I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS. In any event thee and me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.

'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
. .

> > > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.
>
> > Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.
>
> I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
> long to get anything from FCC.

Eternity is not a particulary long time in gummint buracracies like the FCC. Been there, been part of it.

> and why the result is so unlike what is
> proposed.

. . . "Mother knows best" . . .

Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until God knows when. Reset: Game started over.

I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something akin to that. It's an ancient convention which is conceptually useful in a number of ways and is not even close to "numerically rigorous". It's a simplistic way of identifying the ham bands and nothing more.

The real numbers go like this:

3.5 Mhz = 86M
3.75 Mhz = 80M
3.8M hz = 79M
4.0 Mhz = 75M
7.0 Mhz = 42.8M
7.5 Mhz = 40M
14 Mhz = 21.4M
15 Mhz = 20M

. . all I care about is that when I punch the button which sez "80" I hear a lotta beeping going on and when I hit the button marked "75" it's the motormouths at play . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2570. stop the vandalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:33:14 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161189194.147267.276900@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168587

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-Big-Idiot@hotmail.com wrote:

stop the vandalism
they called and pushed back the apointment for a halfhour

2571. Re: steve the shit feeder <steve I can spell shit and you get so offended if I msipell anaything

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:35:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <erlcj259b3iveqj057379521927hue4lkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168588

On 18 Oct 2006 09:32:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> stop the cyber vandalism
>> >
>> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
>>
>> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies
>
> What lies?
amoug your stament I know what it is like to eat your shit
>
>> I have no diea what it is like to eat your shit nor will I ever know
>> that is one of your big lies
>
> What lie?
that I don't know what it is like to eat your shit
>
>> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
>>
>> how does it aply?
>
> You call me a threadjacker, Morkie...Yet there's 100's of threads
>with your "excrement" lines. Yet you call ME a "threadjacker".

lieing again steve
>
> Take your own advice, fatboy.

how does it aply
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2572. Re: steve the #### feeder <<< Didn't Take Morkie Long To Start With Profanity In The Subject Lines Today, Did It...?!?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:36:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161189404.372592.286030@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168589

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> stop the cyber vandalism
> >
> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
>
> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies

No lies, Morkie. You call me a "threadjacker" for chainging
subject lines, yet you have posted literally 1000's of subjectlines
about "excrement".

It was bad enough that you tried to quote it out of context, and
even worse that you make allegations per others that YOU are CLEARLY
guilty of.

> I have no diea what it is like to eat your #### nor will I ever know
> that is one of your big lies

No one ever said you would, Morkie.

But thanks for once again proving MY assertions about YOUR
incompetence with the English language.

> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
>
> how does it aply?

You mean "apply"...?!?!

It means don't call others "threadjackers" when YOU are clearly
guilty of it for over a DECADE!

Steve, K4YZ

2573. Steve goes of deep in dry swiming pool bets I am posting in his name

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:39:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161189540.930249.293610@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
> > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Is it YOU, Mark?
> > >
> > no it isn't
>
> I bet it is! FORGER!

I ahve said before

get help

get some serious therapy

I am not posting as you never have that is one of the most disgusting
things you have ever dared to claim
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2574. Re: steve the #### feeder <steve I can spell #### and you get so offended if I msipell anaything <<< THREE COLLEGES TOOK KB9RQZ'S MONEY AND RAN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:40:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161189620.278733.69250@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168591

MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:32:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> stop the cyber vandalism
> >> >
> >> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
> >>
> >> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies
> >
> > What lies?
>
> amoug your stament I know what it is like to eat your ####

I NEVER SAID that you know what it's like to eat ANYthing of mine,
Morkie

> >> I have no diea what it is like to eat your shit nor will I ever know
> >> that is one of your big lies
> >
> > What lie?
>
> that I don't know what it is like to eat your ####

I NEVER said that you know what ANYthing of mine tastes like,
Morkie.

That's YOUR incompetence in ENGLISH, fatboy!

> >> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
> >>
> >> how does it aply?
> >
> > You call me a threadjacker, Morkie...Yet there's 100's of threads
> >with your "excrement" lines. Yet you call ME a "threadjacker".
>
> lieing again steve

Nope.

It's there for all to see.

> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
>
> how does it aply

Your "excrement" subject lines make you guilty of the same thing
you accuse others of, fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

2575. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code Is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:42:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161189769.623674.326190@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqzIsAFool@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
> > > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Is it YOU, Mark?
> > > >
> > > no it isn't
> >
> > I bet it is! FORGER!
>
> I ahve said before

You've said a LOT of things before, fatboy...Not too many of them
made sense.

> get help

For?

> get some serious therapy

For?

> I am not posting as you never have that is one of the most disgusting
> things you have ever dared to claim

Still talking to yourself, fatboy?

And you suggest OTHERS need "help"...!??!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHa!!

Steve, K4YZ

2576. Re: steve the shit feeder <<<gee you can't even let me use words I can spell steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:43:03 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161189783.477074.204720@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168593

K4YZ wrote:
> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> stop the cyber vandalism
> > > >
> > > > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
> > >
> > > no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies
> >
> > No lies, Morkie.
yes your leis
>You call me a "threadjacker" for chainging
> subject lines, yet you have posted literally 1000's of subjectlines
> about "excrement".

another lie steve I have only posted a few hunderd and mostly in
repsonse your shit I

I am simpley being honest and calling shit, shit
>
> It was bad enough that you tried to quote it out of context, and
> even worse that you make allegations per others that YOU are CLEARLY
> guilty of.

no out of context quote

that is your work
>
> > I have no diea what it is like to eat your shit nor will I ever know
> > that is one of your big lies
> >
> No one ever said you would, Morkie.

you said I already had
>
> But thanks for once again proving MY assertions about YOUR
> incompetence with the English language.

you are the one still brazening out your fuck up not me
>
> > > Take your own advice, fatboy.
> >
> > how does it aply?
>
> You mean "apply"...?!?!
>
> It means don't call others "threadjackers" when YOU are clearly
> guilty of it for over a DECADE!

anothe rlie steve I have been psoting to USENET at for more than a
decade my first usenet post was 1998 or maybe very late 1997

therefore you lied again
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2577. steve is insane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:44:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bdmcj2d584hg0l00esj7aj9r99maaeurfb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 09:42:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqzIsAFool@hotmail.com wrote:
>> k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
>> > > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >Is it YOU, Mark?
>> > > >
>> > > no it isn't
>> >
>> > I bet it is! FORGER!
>>
>> I ahve said before
>
> You've said a LOT of things before, fatboy...Not too many of them
>made sense.
>
>> get help
>
> For?
being insane
>
>> get some serious therapy
>
> For?
for being insane
>
>> I am not posting as you never have that is one of the most disgusting
>> things you have ever dared to claim
>
> Still talking to yourself, fatboy?

nope steve I am talking to you
>
> And you suggest OTHERS need "help"...!??!
cuting the manicaly crying of steves sick mind
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2578. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years and The Browbeating He's Gotten In RRAP Made Him See The Error Of His Maltreatment Of His Father

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:47:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190066.134535.100240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168595

kb9rqz-1-big-liar@hotmail.com wrote:

"Re: well steve Id stay and trade posts with you some but My dad
does a physcal therapy appointment sopne"

I am sure your dad DOES need physical therapy, Mork. The
maltreatment he get's at home probably leaves him weak and in pain.

> and it is part of what i do to defned myself from those charges you
> keep making that I abuse him

Then Morkie, If my browbeating of you about your mistreatment has
FINALLY got you to do right by your father, then it's ALL been worth
it!

> I had just called erands but...

Not finishing your sentences again, fatboy...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2579. Re: steve the shit feeder <steve I can spell shit and you get so offended if I msipell anaything <<< THREE COLLEGES TOOK KB9RQZ'S MONEY AND RAN!<< steve agree I went to college progress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:48:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0hmcj2h5p8aq1hqfjgj1qd328e0h2choc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168596

On 18 Oct 2006 09:40:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 09:32:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> stop the cyber vandalism
>> >> >
>> >> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
>> >>
>> >> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies
>> >
>> > What lies?
>>
>> amoug your stament I know what it is like to eat your ####
>
> I NEVER SAID that you know what it's like to eat ANYthing of mine,
>Morkie

yes you did your sated".."What it's like to lick my excrement off of
another man's genitals"
there it your wioords and your shit

>> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
>>
>> how does it aply
>
> Your "excrement" subject lines make you guilty of the same thing
>you accuse others of, fatboy.
have I denied that I enage in threadjacking steve?

not that I recall

you lie claim you don't do that then do it
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2580. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years <<<< stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:49:31 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161190171.721634.13090@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168597

```
K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-big-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> "Re: well steve Id stay and trade posts with you some but My dad
> does a physcal therapy appointment sopne"
>
> I am sure your dad DOES need physical therapy, Mork. The
> maltreatment he get's at home probably leaves him weak and in pain.
>
more lies Robeson

why do you enage in this despeictable behavoir
```

2581. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:50:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190220.246826.7900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:42:50 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqzIsAFool@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> k4yz_01@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> > > On 18 Oct 2006 09:04:12 -0700, "Steven"
> >> > > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >Is it YOU, Mark?
> >> > > >
> >> > > no it isn't
> >> >
> >> > I bet it is! FORGER!
> >>
> >> I ahve said before
> >
> > You've said a LOT of things before, fatboy...Not too many of them
> >made sense.
> >
> >> get help
> >
> > For?
>
> being insane

So...Now you've gone from being a geophysicist to a psychiatrist?

> >> get some serious therapy
> >
> > For?
>
> for being insane

Same question.

> >> I am not posting as you never have that is one of the most disgusting
> >> things you have ever dared to claim
> >
> > Still talking to yourself, fatboy?
>
> nope steve I am talking to you

Not according to the context of the quoted message you're not.

> > And you suggest OTHERS need "help"...!??!
>
> cuting the manicaly crying of steves sick mind

What crying, Morkie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2582. stop the vandalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:52:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161190335.828221.31070@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
stop the vandalism steve

stop lying about your vandalism

tell the truth for a change robeson

2583. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:52:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190337.934996.293940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168600

LiarMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 09:40:20 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2006 09:32:37 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> On 18 Oct 2006 09:24:12 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >> >> > kb9rqz-is-1-fat-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> stop the cyber vandalism
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You mean like your "excrement" subject lines, Morkie?
> >> >>
> >> >> no I don't mean they are just truely feeding bac to your own lies
> >> >
> >> > What lies?
> >>
> >> amoug your stament I know what it is like to eat your ####
> >
> > I NEVER SAID that you know what it's like to eat ANYthing of mine,
> >Morkie
>
> yes you did your sated".."What it's like to lick my excrement off of
> another man's genitals"
>
> there it your wioords and your ####>

No, Morkie...

There YOU are trying to misquote again.

IDIOT!

> >> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
> >>
> >> how does it aply
> >
> > Your "excrement" subject lines make you guilty of the same thing
> >you accuse others of, fatboy.
>
> have I denied that I enage in threadjacking steve?
>
> not that I recall
>

> you lie claim you don't do that then do it

I'm not lying, Morkie.

YOU are.

Steve, K4YZ

2584. Hey Morkie: Your Daddie is Waiting To Go To Therapy...Or Are You Making Him Wait So You Can "Battle It Out" in RRAP...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:54:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190478.326690.20250@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-1-is-lying-again@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > PorkieMorkie@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> stop the vandalism steve

Stop snipping out questions that you don't want to answer, fatboy.

> stop lying about your vandalism

There's no vandalism here, fatboy.

> tell the truth for a change robeson

I am.

Steve, K4YZ

2585. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:56:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190567.642047.28400@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168602

kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1-big-liar@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > "Re: well steve Id stay and trade posts with you some but My dad
> > does a physcal therapy appointment sopne"
> >
> > I am sure your dad DOES need physical therapy, Mork. The
> > maltreatment he get's at home probably leaves him weak and in pain.
> >
> more lies Robeson
>
> why do you enage in this despeictable behavoir

What's "despeictable", Morkie?

Yet another made up word because you're too stupid or too lazy to
open a dictionary?

Steve, K4YZ

2586. stop the vandalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:57:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <u6ncj2dqrq6gqh4luqrejvpccns4uknapb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 09:54:38 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-1-is-lying-again@hotmail.com wrote:
stop the vandalism steve

>> stop lying about your vandalism
>
> There's no vandalism here, fatboy.
right it is your cyber vandislm
>
>> tell the truth for a change robeson
>
> I am.
never you never to the truth
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2587. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:58:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190687.062096.58920@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168603

kb9rqz-1-can't-get-it-up-for-real-women@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > MorkTheIlliterateIdiot@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > more vandalism
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yep you are a vandal and vandal are not moral people steve

You're not qualified to judge "morality", Morkie.

Steve, K4YZ

2588. stop the lies steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:58:28 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161190708.676468.38790@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168604

K4YZ wrote:
> LiarMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
stop the vandalism
> > >> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
> > >>
> > >> how does it aply
> > >
> > > Your "excrement" subject lines make you guilty of the same thing
> > >you accuse others of, fatboy.
> >
> > have I denied that I enage in threadjacking steve?
> >
> > not that I recall
> >
> > you lie claim you don't do that then do it
>
> I'm not lying, Morkie.
you tell more and more lies each another hot one from today is your lie
that I have admitted to abusing my father

you lier contantly when your posting on RRAP indeed it would be easier
to try and count the number of time your say somthing truthfull

2589. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years<< stop lying robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 16:59:36 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161190775.412781.149490@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168606

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:

"KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
stop lying Robeson

2590. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"new stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:00:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pbncj25stn47abso1iu4jglh0asjdikfe6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168607

KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2591. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:00:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5dncj25o7k5uc44n3un596bqf59bctvl70@4ax.com>
Source record: 168608

```
KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2592. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:00:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190844.769286.16250@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168609

kb9rqz-1-is-a-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> > There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
> > behaviour.
> >
> > Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?
>
> steve to stop you I would have to kill you

You can't.

You don't have the skills or the dinero to pay someone else to do
it for you.

> you have made it just that plain

Nope. It's much simpler than that....

Stop lying.

Period.

Steve, K4YZ

2593. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie over and over he lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:00:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <edncj2tv8i0h7f0td8gcm4eiaf4dldcod1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168610

```
KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2594. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie x2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:00:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0encj29g1pehcro775qvh7qr27bav9qrpe@4ax.com>
Source record: 168611

```
KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2595. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:01:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hencj214h1grvcl2016bgmccauvvst9l4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168612

```
KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2596. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:01:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6fncj2h8grki1i7cjn53aflegbmkuv1m7m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168613

```
KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
the new steveie lie
stop lying Robeson
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2597. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:02:19 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161190939.533169.58930@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168614

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-can't-get-it-up-for-real-women@hotmail.com wrote:
adding gay bashing to your vadalism I see

2598. KB9RQZ Claims Taking Daddie To "Therapy" At 11:15. Still Posting to RRAP at 12 Noon...Guess Daddie Takes Back Seat To RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:02:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190944.305492.163310@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168615

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> the new steveie lie

Nope.

> stop lying Robeson

Robeson's not lying.

Steve, K4YZ

2599. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:03:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_02@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161190991.852484.65750@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168616

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> the new steveie lie

Nope.

> stop lying Robeson

Robeson's not lying.

Steve, K4YZ

2600. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:03:27 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161191007.638332.90730@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168617

```
K4YZ wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> > the new steveie lie
>
> Nope.
>
> > stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson's not lying.
yes you are
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2601. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:04:04 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161191044.023446.169730@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168618

```
K4YZ wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> > the new steveie lie
>
> Nope.
>
> > stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson's not lying.
yes you are
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2602. KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is the new steveie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:06:28 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161191188.008763.38190@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168619

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-is-a-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
> > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
> > > behaviour.
> > >
> > > Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?
> >
> > steve to stop you I would have to kill you
>
> You can't.
I could but I am not willing to go jail to do so
>
> You don't have the skills or the dinero to pay someone else to do
> it for you.
skills? killing is easy enough I have done in the line of duty
>
> > you have made it just that plain
>
> Nope. It's much simpler than that....
>
I rarely lie
> Stop lying.

I have not told a lie today in several day on RRAP
>
> Period.

and the only way to stop you from lying is murder you are not worth and

now I am off
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2603. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:09:02 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191342.430254.40080@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168620

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> > > the new steveie lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson's not lying.
>
> yes you are

Nope.

You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
are, posting to RRAP.

Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

Steve, K4YZ

2604. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:10:03 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191403.719710.86440@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168621

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
> stop lying Robeson

Robeson's not lying.

KB9RQZ is.

You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
are, posting to RRAP.

Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

Steve, K4YZ

2605. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:10:52 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191452.571936.115860@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168622

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> the new steveie lie

Nope/

> stop lying Robeson

Robeson hasn't started.

You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
posting to RRAP.

Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

Steve, K4YZ

2606. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:11:43 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191503.358615.95710@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168623

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> the new steveie lie

Nope.

> stop lying Robeson

Robeson hasn't started.

You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
posting to RRAP.

Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

Steve, K4YZ

2607. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:13:51 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191631.524244.98270@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168624

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1-can't-get-it-up-for-real-women@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> adding gay bashing to your vadalism I see

But YOU said you're NOT GAY...(not that we had any doubts...)

So what lie are YOU telling today...?!?!

And so far, you've not proven otherwise.

You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
are, posting to RRAP.

Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

Steve, K4YZ

2608. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:17:00 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191820.396404.78450@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168625

kb9rqz-2-stupid-to-see-how-foolish-he-really-looks@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz-1-is-a-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
> > > > behaviour.
> > > >
> > > > Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?
> > >
> > > steve to stop you I would have to kill you
> >
> > You can't.
>
> I could but I am not willing to go jail to do so

You mean you're not willing to go to jail AGAIN, don't you...?!?!

> > You don't have the skills or the dinero to pay someone else to do
> > it for you.
>
> skills? killing is easy enough I have done in the line of duty

No, you've not. Yet ANOTHER lie!

> > > you have made it just that plain
> >
> > Nope. It's much simpler than that....
> >
> I rarely lie

You mean you rarely lie when you're asleep.

> > Stop lying.
>
> I have not told a lie today in several day on RRAP

Yes, You have.

> > Period.
>
> and the only way to stop you from lying is murder you are not worth and

The way to "stop" me ios for YOU to stop making such blatant and transparent lies, Morkie.

> now I am off

You are ALWAYS "off", Morkie...Have been for decades.

Steve, K4YZ

2609. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:18:07 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161191887.118615.82180@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168626

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> the new steveie lie

Nope. It's fact.

> stop lying Robeson

Robeson hasn't started.

But YOU are on a roll.

Steve, K4YZ

2610. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:20:29 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161192029.672022.147690@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168627

kb9rqz-2-dumb-to-get-it@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LiarMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> stop the vandalism

What vandalism?

> > > >> > Take your own advice, fatboy.
> > > >>
> > > >> how does it aply
> > > >
> > > > Your "excrement" subject lines make you guilty of the same thing
> > > >you accuse others of, fatboy.
> > >
> > > have I denied that I enage in threadjacking steve?
> > >
> > > not that I recall
> > >
> > > you lie claim you don't do that then do it
> >
> > I'm not lying, Morkie.
>
> you tell more and more lies each another hot one from today is your lie
> that I have admitted to abusing my father

I've not told a lie...THIS VERY DAY >>>YOU<<< admittted that you
are taking your father to "physical therapy" as a result of the posts
made here.

> you lier contantly when your posting on RRAP indeed it would be easier
> to try and count the number of time your say somthing truthfull

Huh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

2611. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:21:42 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161192101.705942.97110@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168628

kb9rqz-2-still-behind-the-power-cure@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> > > the new steveie lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson's not lying.
>
> yes you are

No, I am not.

Steve, K4YZ

2612. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Charade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:30:05 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161192605.333297.195840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168629

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >
> >> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
> >> > another mans genital
> >>
> >> No, that's not what I wrote.
>
> >yes is is

Nope. You've quoted me out of context.

In other words, you've been CAUGHT LYING AGAIN!

> >the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
> >another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
> >
> >your #### is your #### get used to used to it homo boy

Now who's allegedly "gay bashing", Mork?

"homo boy" won a civil rights violation suit for a man in SFO that
found an e-mail referencing him....A figure in six figures, as I
remember.

So...Ready to right a check?

> your words

YOUR words, Morkie..You've been repeatedly advised that your
comments are out-of-context yet you continue to misuse them.

YOUR violation, fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

2613. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Charade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:32:22 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161192742.155971.82490@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168630

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> your words

The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.

Steve, K4YZ

2614. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:37:50 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161193069.952283.163230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168631

kb9rqz-1-major-idiot@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > id theft is a crime
> > > >
> > > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > > >
> > > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> > >
> > > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
> >
> > You mean like your "id theft is a crime" responses?
>
> no I don't they are but true stament repsonding to a forgery of my name
> or my wifes

How can anyone "forge" your "wifes" name, Morkie...?!?!

Forst of all, YOU have mispelled it in this forum more often than
anyone else has, and secondly, YOU'RE NOT MARRIED!

> nor are they cut and pasted they are typed out every time

We can tell....The typos are rampant...

Funny, though...All of MY posts are "typed out" yet your typos
outnumber me 10,000 to 1. Sheeeeesh.

> > > the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
> > > ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
> > > were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
> > > me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.
> >
> > You are NOT a "moral" prson, Morkie.

> sure I am.

No, you're not.

> I don't go around enaging in a trirades trying to restrict
> other people freedom I don't willfully break the law you do both

No one has "restricted" you freedom of speech in this forum,
Morkie...

Just made a fool out of you for the dumb things you DO say.... ! !
! ! ! !
\
> > You HAVE commmited or been under investigation for all of those
> > save tax evasion, and according to what I've been able to find so far,
> > you're probably guilty of that, too.
>
> being under investagation after beiung flasely accused is not a sign of
> a lack of morality steve

It is when you're jumping state lines to avoid the investigations,
Morkie.

And besides...YOU have admitted THIS VERY DAY that you've started
taking your daddie to "physical therapy" as a result of being taunted
about your MIStreatment of your father.

So...LIVE WITH IT, FATBOY!

Steve, K4YZ

2615. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:40:54 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161193254.578331.214460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168632

MorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 02:10:08 GMT, **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY**

> >Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
> >read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
> >always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
> indeed Slow Code know CB models and number far better than I do and he
> insits I am CB'er and want to turn the ARS into CB

What does knowing CB radio models have to do with YOU being an
idiot, Mork?

Steve, K4YZ

2616. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:43:36 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161193416.754630.234540@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168633

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > indeed becuase you lack even the corage to give your name
> >
> > You lack the "courage" to sign YOUR name to posts, Morkie...So
> > where do you get off trying to ride someone else about it?
>
> nosense I sign everyone of them

No, You don't.

The very post that you made that lie in is evidence.

>>>I<<< sign posts.

IE:

Steve, K4YZ

2617. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:45:51 UTC
From: k4yz_03@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161193551.244754.258430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168634

kb9rqz-1-dumb-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
> k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 18 Oct 2006 08:34:30 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> > > > You didn't answer the question, fatboy.
> > >
> > > it is not derected to me
> >
> > Sure it is. I "derected" it to you.
>
> then the answer is I don't know since I have never met amy except
> thought the emails she sends me from titme to time

My Amy, W5AMY, does not send you any e-mails.

> but you have not answered the question Is she fireproof she needs to be
> with your flaiming pants for all your lies

My pants aren't on fire...Mostly because I am not the liar liar
whose pants are on fire...That's YOU, fatboy...

Perhaps washing that hair more often would cut down on the
flammability.

Steve, K4YZ

2618. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Charade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:48:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161193696.200169.253820@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168635

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:49 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> >own words
>
> your words

The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.

Steve, K4YZ

2619. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Mayhem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:51:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161193911.068925.268940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168636

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:03:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> >own words
>
> your words

Misquoted.

The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.

Steve, K4YZ

2620. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Charade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:54:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194059.989778.31460@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168637

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 6 Sep 2006 18:04:30 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> >>own words
> >>
> >> Funny how Morkie can claim that his alleged dyslexia keeps him
> >> from passing the General, yet he claims he successfully completed not
> >> one, but three college degrees.
> >
> >nothing in consitant in those statements
> >>
> >>you just lied again
> >
> your words

MY WORDS prove that YOUR WORDS are lies, Morkie.

Stop doing it and you'll find your silly posts will be better
tolerated.

Continue as you are, continue to get your silliness rubbed in your
nose.

Period.

Steve, K4YZ

2621. Re: The Turht << steve isn't even bother to spell right"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:56:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0nqcj2dn6l1kc5s3vlnvspeskn93g7cner@4ax.com>
Source record: 168640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:04 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2622. Re: The Truth That Morkie Won't Quote Accurately"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:57:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fnqcj2p9p0h8c245v5r8tu0024v5sjdg4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 168638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:27 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2623. Re: The Truth That stev keeps lying about "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:57:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3oqcj25lpmn5nkgink6nup96ukj5f75lkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2624. Re: The Truth That steve is afraid of "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:57:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <aoqcj2h4gn38li3kr0k130b7o9bb3n93o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2625. KB9RQZ Says Learning Code is EASY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:58:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194283.886980.265880@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168642

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > id theft is a crime
> > >
> > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> >
> > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
>
> back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve

No lies here, Morkie.

You're a tax evading, child molesting, welfare cheating sodomizer.

> as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
> sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes

THE SUPREMES said that sodomy was not a crime?

Was that before "Baby Love" or "Ain't No Mountain Hig
Enough"...?!?!

> the rest of your list are crimes but you get right that there being
> ilegal is not what stops me from comiting them (all but tax evasion
> were not evasion not ilgeal I would comit it) being a Moral peron deter
> me from comting the rest of the crimes on the list.
>
> moral fibir which I have and you clearly lack Robeson

If you meant FIBBER, I agree, Morkie...

You are the CLEAR WINNER when it comes to RRAP FIBBING!

Steve, K4YZ

2626. Re: KB9RQZ Claims Taking Daddie To "Therapy" At 11:15.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:58:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <spqcj216usud0enscne6uagpee5eob3fja@4ax.com>
Source record: 168645

On 18 Oct 2006 10:02:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> the new steveie lie
>
> Nope.
>
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson's not lying.
yes you are Da is at PT does not mean i have to be there
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2627. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Mayhem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:59:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194351.421615.183320@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168643

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> your words

The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.

Steve, K4YZ

2628. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is cargive ing lieing now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 17:59:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tqqcj29i8199jg7rms5uiha8qimq8tri69@4ax.com>
Source record: 168644

On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> the new steveie lie
>
> Nope.
>
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson's not lying.
yes you are
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2629. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years<<stop lying steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:00:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vrqcj2dnfkqa3759qn4kt7fnefi83hr5jn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168647

On 18 Oct 2006 10:09:02 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> > > the new steveie lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > > stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson's not lying.
>>
>> yes you are
>
> Nope.
>
> You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
>are, posting to RRAP.

Steve I took tot he session is there as I type this
>
> Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2630. KB9RQZ Continues Misquoting Mayhem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:00:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194420.313858.286200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168646

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >>
> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> >genitals"sayth Robeson
> your words

The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.

Steve, K4YZ

2631. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years<<<stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:00:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6tqcj2h3gsnsgc0k892drqvu6nb99751a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168648

On 18 Oct 2006 10:10:52 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> the new steveie lie
>
> Nope/
>
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson hasn't started.
>
> You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
>posting to RRAP.
yes and he is there as we type

you lie and keep ling
>
> Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2632. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years<<<<<stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:01:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <euqcj2lsd1m8br718ame3ha8isd6u48p7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 168649

On 18 Oct 2006 10:13:51 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz-1-can't-get-it-up-for-real-women@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> adding gay bashing to your vadalism I see
>
> But YOU said you're NOT GAY...(not that we had any doubts...)
I am not a homosexaul stev correct
>
> So what lie are YOU telling today...?!?!
>
> And so far, you've not proven otherwise.

steve I am not going ro prove my peotecail for errection to you
>
> You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
>are, posting to RRAP.
he is in PT as we type does not mean I have to be there
>
> Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2633. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years,,,,,stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:03:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g0rcj2tbrjm5p0ehhk5hrl2jc0mupfe7kv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168650

```
On 18 Oct 2006 10:17:00 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2-stupid-to-see-how-foolish-he-really-looks@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz-1-is-a-fool@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > k4yz...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > There's no "slime attacks here. Just feeding you your own
>> > > > behaviour.
>> > > >
>> > > > Why not stop now and act like the man you claim to be?
>> > >
>> > > steve to stop you I would have to kill you
>> >
>> > You can't.
>>
>> I could but I am not willing to go jail to do so
>
> You mean you're not willing to go to jail AGAIN, don't you...?!?!
never been to jail (except long enough to processed and released from
politcal action of course
>
>> > You don't have the skills or the dinero to pay someone else to do
>> > it for you.
>>
>> skills? killing is easy enough I have done in the line of duty
>
> No, you've not. Yet ANOTHER lie!
yes I have

>
>> > > you have made it just that plain
>> >
>> > Nope. It's much simpler than that....
>> >
>> I rarely lie
>
> You mean you rarely lie when you're asleep.
no you just lied a agin I mean no such thing
```

>
>> > Stop lying.
>>
>> I have not told a lie today in several day on RRAP
>
> Yes, You have.

nope
>
>> > Period.
>>
>> and the only way to stop you from lying is murder you are not worth and
>
> The way to "stop" me ios for YOU to stop making such blatant and
>transparent lies, Morkie.

steve even when I don't post for years you contiued to enaging in your
lying and abusvise behavoir

>
>> now I am off
>
> You are ALWAYS "off", Morkie...Have been for decades.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2634. Re: KB9RQZ Finally Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years...stop lying no such admission made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:04:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <r3rcj2tvkv3jueht69uko985vkc33hd9q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 168651

On 18 Oct 2006 10:21:42 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2-still-behind-the-power-cure@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> > > the new steveie lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> > > stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson's not lying.
>>
>> yes you are
>
> No, I am not.

yes you are

anyone that reads the post know I have not admitted to abusing my old
man

indeed the only RRAPer tha abuses my old man is you
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2635. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years.....stil lying I see steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:04:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <g5rcj2l9qhc7k3e8m3j2tuoh4rj8rkj356@4ax.com>
Source record: 168653

On 18 Oct 2006 10:10:03 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson's not lying.
yes he is
>
> KB9RQZ is.
nope
>
> You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
>are, posting to RRAP.
and I have done so

he is there right now
>
> Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2636. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years..stop the lying steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:05:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f6rcj2hg0qfghesi59ee05sjdselg1acei@4ax.com>
Source record: 168652

On 18 Oct 2006 10:11:43 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> the new steveie lie
>
> Nope.
yes another lie steve
>
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson hasn't started.
sure you have
>
> You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
>posting to RRAP.
and he is there there
>
> Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2637. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years.....stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:05:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f7rcj259qb5a8mlmo79u9rnprd7bn5d0nc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168655

On 18 Oct 2006 10:18:07 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> the new steveie lie
>
> Nope. It's fact.
no you are lying
>
>> stop lying Robeson
>
> Robeson hasn't started.
sure you have
>
> But YOU are on a roll.
what kind?
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2638. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:06:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194782.168384.261480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168654

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> the new steveie lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >> stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson's not lying.
>
> yes you are

Nope...YOU are.

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another
dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2639. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:07:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194834.356786.7410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168656

M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 10:11:43 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> the new steveie lie
> >>
> > Nope.
> yes another lie steve
> >
> >> stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson hasn't started.
> sure you have
> >
> > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
> >posting to RRAP.
> and he is there there
> >
> > Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another
dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2640. steve lies some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:08:15 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161194895.596489.267820@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168657

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > id theft is a crime
> > >
> > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > >
> > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> >
> > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
>
> No lies here, Morkie.
>
> You're a tax evading, child molesting, welfare cheating sodomizer.
nope you are just lying again
>
> > as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
> > sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes
>
> THE SUPREMES said that sodomy was not a crime?
yep

guess you missed it was big news

2641. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:08:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194898.925558.17090@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168658

> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> the new steveie lie
> >
> > Nope. It's fact.
> no you are lying
> >
> >> stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson hasn't started.
> sure you have
> >
> > But YOU are on a roll.
>
> what kind?

It doesn't matter. We've caught you abusing your father again.

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another
dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2642. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:09:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161194963.345709.15810@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168659

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Dork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > > On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > id theft is a crime
> > > >
> > > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > > >
> > > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> > >
> > > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
> >
> > No lies here, Morkie.
> >
> > You're a tax evading, child molesting, welfare cheating sodomizer.
> nope you are just lying again
> >
> > > as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
> > > sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes
> >
> > THE SUPREMES said that sodomy was not a crime?
> yep
>
> guess you missed it was big news

Guess you snipped the bulk of the message to hide your lies....

Steve, K4YZ

2643. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years.....more lie why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:09:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8drcj2t2ptorcdjhj10b7gcrq4pt1fv2tm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168660

On 18 Oct 2006 11:06:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> the new steveie lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> >
>> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson's not lying.
>>
>> yes you are
>
> Nope...YOU are.
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2644. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years..why do you lie steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:09:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <rercj2pl736sbljaablb4gfc2dfft6g894@4ax.com>
Source record: 168664

On 18 Oct 2006 11:07:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 10:11:43 -0700, k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> the new steveie lie
>> >
>> > Nope.
>> yes another lie steve
>> >
>> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson hasn't started.
>> sure you have
>> >
>> > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL are,
>> >posting to RRAP.
>> and he is there there
>> >
>> > Poor ole' daddie takes a back sat to your fatboy ego again!
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
15 mintues
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2645. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:10:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161195013.976816.25000@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168661

> >kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
> >> stop lying Robeson
> >
> > Robeson's not lying.
> yes he is
> >
> > KB9RQZ is.
> nope
> >
> > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
> >are, posting to RRAP.
>
> and I have done so
>
> he is there right now

Liar.

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another
dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2646. just the ruth steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:10:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jfrcj2dj2k0v23d3i4q442k5fruu9pv252@4ax.com>
Source record: 168662

On 18 Oct 2006 10:59:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:03 -0700, "an old freind"
>> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>> your words
>
> The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.
nope just quoting you steve
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2647. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:10:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bgrcj2hp3i0uka2ojgv5e14uhcsf0l8dpp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168663

On 18 Oct 2006 11:00:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 7 Sep 2006 12:20:25 -0700, "an old freind"
>> <kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >>
>> >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> >genitals"sayth Robeson
>> your words
>
> The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.
nope just quoting you steve
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2648. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:11:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lhrcj2t495jahefidnelen97e5sjipbg10@4ax.com>
Source record: 168665

On 18 Oct 2006 11:09:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > Dork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > > > > On 17 Oct 2006 13:56:08 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > id theft is a crime
>> > > >
>> > > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
>> > > >
>> > > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
>> > >
>> > > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
>> >
>> > No lies here, Morkie.
>> >
>> > You're a tax evading, child molesting, welfare cheating sodomizer.
>> nope you are just lying again
>> >
>> > > as I said you lied in claiming sodomy is a crime it is not and the
>> > > sodomy were ruled unconstititonal by the Supremes
>> >
>> > THE SUPREMES said that sodomy was not a crime?
>> yep
>>
>> guess you missed it was big news
>
> Guess you snipped the bulk of the message to hide your lies....
nope just tried of the same hsit steve
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2649. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:11:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161195115.634192.284040@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168666

> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> >> the new steveie lie
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >> >
> >> >> stop lying Robeson
> >> >
> >> > Robeson's not lying.
> >>
> >> yes you are
> >
> > Nope...YOU are.
> >
> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> >11:15 CDT today
> >
> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
> >
> > You're now back before 1PM.
> >
> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>
> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues

Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
you!

Idiot!

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2650. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:12:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161195120.377303.33160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168667

> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> >> the new steveie lie
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >> >
> >> >> stop lying Robeson
> >> >
> >> > Robeson's not lying.
> >>
> >> yes you are
> >
> > Nope...YOU are.
> >
> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> >11:15 CDT today
> >
> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
> >
> > You're now back before 1PM.
> >
> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>
> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues

Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
you!

Idiot!

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2651. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:12:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161195122.441174.236510@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168668

> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
> >> >> the new steveie lie
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >> >
> >> >> stop lying Robeson
> >> >
> >> > Robeson's not lying.
> >>
> >> yes you are
> >
> > Nope...YOU are.
> >
> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> >11:15 CDT today
> >
> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
> >
> > You're now back before 1PM.
> >
> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>
> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues

Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
you!

Idiot!

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an
hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the
procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2652. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years,,,and more lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:12:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fircj2dsvhg8kg3gjct508uu13norpt4tf@4ax.com>
Source record: 168669

On 18 Oct 2006 11:08:19 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> the new steveie lie
>> >
>> > Nope. It's fact.
>> no you are lying
>> >
>> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson hasn't started.
>> sure you have
>> >
>> > But YOU are on a roll.
>>
>> what kind?
>
> It doesn't matter. We've caught you abusing your father again.
where?
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
just 15 mintues but then you once claimed chassel was right outside
Marquette
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2653. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years...sto[lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:13:41 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195221.418632.33470@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168670

K4YZ wrote:
> > >kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>
> > >> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
> > >> stop lying Robeson
> > >
> > > Robeson's not lying.
> > yes he is
> > >
> > > KB9RQZ is.
> > nope
> > >
> > > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
> > >are, posting to RRAP.
> >
> > and I have done so
> >
> > he is there right now
>
> Liar.
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> 11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>stop lying about houghton county geographiy steve

2654. stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:14:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195242.661714.98240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168671

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-2-dumb-to-get-it@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LiarMorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> > > stop the vandalism
> >
> > What vandalism?
yours

2655. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years,,,stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:14:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gnrcj2hms3fg9kb94bkq59dk7930uej4sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168672

On 18 Oct 2006 11:10:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> >kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
>> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >
>> > Robeson's not lying.
>> yes he is
>> >
>> > KB9RQZ is.
>> nope
>> >
>> > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
>> >are, posting to RRAP.
>>
>> and I have done so
>>
>> he is there right now
>
> Liar.
nope
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
steve you don't know what you are typing about but then when do you?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2656. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:15:41 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195341.236286.305750@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168673

K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Sep 2006 18:04:30 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> > >own words
> > >
> > >> Funny how Morkie can claim that his alleged dyslexia keeps him
> > >> from passing the General, yet he claims he successfully completed not
> > >> one, but three college degrees.
> >
> > >nothing in consitant in those statements
> > >
> > >you just lied again
> >
> > your words
>
> MY WORDS prove that YOUR WORDS are lies, Morkie.
nope

2657. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:15:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz_04@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161195345.731229.253680@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168674

kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > > >kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> > kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> "KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years"
> > > >> stop lying Robeson
> > > >
> > > > Robeson's not lying.
> > > yes he is
> > > >
> > > > KB9RQZ is.
> > nope
> > >
> > > > You said you were taking daddie to therapy, but here you STILL
> > > >are, posting to RRAP.
> > >
> > > and I have done so
> > >
> > > he is there right now
> >
> > Liar.
> >
> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> > 11:15 CDT today
> >
> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
> >
> > You're now back before 1PM.
> >
> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> > County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
> >stop lying about houghton county geographiy steve

What lies about Houghton's geography, Porkie?

Liar.

You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
11:15 CDT today

You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.

You're now back before 1PM.

It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton County where a licensed physical therapist practices.

Any GOOD physical therapist qould take at least 45 minutes to an hour with the patient to assess their curent state, prep them for the procedure, do the procedure, then discharge them from the office.

So...We have Morkie in yet ANOTHER lie...Most likely yet another dodge so KB9RQZ can further STEAL his daddie's Medicare benifits.

Steve, K4YZ

2658. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:16:05 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195365.624253.254750@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168675

```
K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Sep 2006 18:03:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > > >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> > > >own words
> > >
> > > your words
>
> Misquoted.
>
> The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.
nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2659. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:16:51 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195408.377411.28110@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168676

```
K4YZ wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:49 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> > > >genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
> > > >own words
> > >
> > > your words
>
> The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.
nope just quoting you steve
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

2660. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years....stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:18:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6urcj2do15fdg373pcqqpfnmmc2l5mh5at@4ax.com>
Source record: 168677

```
On 18 Oct 2006 11:11:55 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> >> the new steveie lie
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope.
>> >> >
>> >> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >> >
>> >> > Robeson's not lying.
>> >>
>> >> yes you are
>> >
>> > Nope...YOU are.
>> >
>> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>> >11:15 CDT today
>> >
>> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>> >
>> > You're now back before 1PM.
>> >
>> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>>
>> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues
>
> Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
>you!
>
> Idiot!
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
```

> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
you "afcts " are fucked so the math is GIGO
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2661. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years,,,,on and on you lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:19:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dvrcj2lsarfdo2ecb7phbi3a4uucd3t77g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168678

On 18 Oct 2006 11:12:00 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> >> the new steveie lie
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope.
>> >> >
>> >> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >> >
>> >> > Robeson's not lying.
>> >>
>> >> yes you are
>> >
>> > Nope...YOU are.
>> >
>> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>> >11:15 CDT today
>> >
>> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>> >
>> > You're now back before 1PM.
>> >
>> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>>
>> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues
>
> Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
>you!
>
> Idiot!
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
so?
>
> You're now back before 1PM.

>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
no it is 15 mintes or less if I am push it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2662. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years,,, steve never stops lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:19:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <21scj25tiqh8bhldkv9sl2b1nmjtkidiqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 168679

On 18 Oct 2006 11:12:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_04@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 18 Oct 2006 10:03:11 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz_02@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> >M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> >> KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years" is
>> >> >> the new steveie lie
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope.
>> >> >
>> >> >> stop lying Robeson
>> >> >
>> >> > Robeson's not lying.
>> >>
>> >> yes you are
>> >
>> > Nope...YOU are.
>> >
>> > You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>> >11:15 CDT today
>> >
>> > You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>> >
>> > You're now back before 1PM.
>> >
>> > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>> >County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
>>
>> you are lying steve it takes 15 mintues
>
> Hey Mr 3-College Degree guy.....The math STILL doesn't woirk for
>you!
>
> Idiot!
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
>11:15 CDT today
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>

> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
>County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
nope you are just wrong 15 minute or less
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2663. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:19:47 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195586.938869.56700@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168680

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-major-idiot@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > id theft is a crime
> > > > >
> > > > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > > > >
> > > > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> > > >
> > > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
> > >
> > > You mean like your "id theft is a crime" responses?
> >
> > no I don't they are but true stament repsonding to a forgery of my name
> > or my wifes
>
> How can anyone "forge" your "wifes" name, Morkie...?!?!
I don't know how you can do that but you do
>
> Forst of all, YOU have mispelled it in this forum more often than
> anyone else has, and secondly, YOU'RE NOT MARRIED!
stop lying steve I am amrried

2664. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:20:17 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195617.558408.26610@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168681

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-major-idiot@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Mork@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > id theft is a crime
> > > > >
> > > > > So is rape, sodomy, welfare fraud, child molesting and tax evasion.
> > > > >
> > > > > But those don't seem to deter you, Mork.
> > > >
> > > back to cut and paste the same lie over and over I see steve
> > >
> > You mean like your "id theft is a crime" responses?
> >
> > no I don't they are but true stament repsonding to a forgery of my name
> > or my wifes
>
> How can anyone "forge" your "wifes" name, Morkie...?!?!
I don't know how you can do that but you do
>
> Forst of all, YOU have mispelled it in this forum more often than
> anyone else has, and secondly, YOU'RE NOT MARRIED!
stop lying steve I am married I set you the certifacte to prove it

but you refused to sign for it

2665. stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:21:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195704.344615.130380@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168682

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz-1-dumb-fool@hotmail.com wrote:

> > then the answer is I don't know since I have never met amy except
> > thought the emails she sends me from titme to time
>
> My Amy, W5AMY, does not send you any e-mails.
\then you are the one behind those emails after all I rathert thought so

2666. nope just quoting you steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:24:41 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195881.570153.141040@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168683

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >K4YZ wrote:
> > >>
> > > >
> > > >> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
> > > >> > another mans genital
> > > >>
> > > >> No, that's not what I wrote.
> > >
> > > >yes is is
> >
> Nope. You've quoted me out of context.
no it is an exactly word for word quote in context
that you fucked up and said something you did not mean is your fuck up

2667. stop your vandalism,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:24:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161195884.723591.83590@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168684

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> MorkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
stop the vandalism

2668. Re: KB9RQZ Fianlly Admits He's Been Abusing His Father All These Years....stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:26:48 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161196008.495542.91140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168685

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz-2@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:

> > > It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> > > County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
> > >stop lying about houghton county geographiy steve
>
> What lies about Houghton's geography, Porkie?
>
> Liar.
>
> You claimed to be taking daddie to "physical therapy" at about
> 11:15 CDT today
steve I am not on CDT I am on EDT is this whole triade just another of
your fuckup?
>
> You were still posting to RRAP well after 12:30.
>
> You're now back before 1PM.
>
> It's more than 30 minutes from your QTH to any place in Houghton
> County where a licensed physical therapist practices.
wrong steve you just don't know what you are talking about

2669. stop lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:27:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161196064.030272.23800@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168686

k4yz_03@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>
What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
genitals"sayth Robeson
your words
>
> The Mork Moron Misquoting Mayhem continues.
your words you claim I eat your shit

you are sick bastard
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2670. a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:32:02 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161196322.590926.104880@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168687

a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time

2671. since steve will surely try to cover his latest fuck up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:34:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <usscj29sbl7psmga2vg25rc7mu1u663f5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 168689

a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time and thus fuckup the
math of how long I have to travel to take my dad to physcial therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2672. justing buring though stev certain effort to jam this message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:35:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <juscj21vjcb5lal0m5kugfhcd9udbetec1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168688

a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time and thus fuckup the
math of how long I have to travel to take my dad to physcial therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2673. yes I am repaying myself so it does not get lost is steve shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:35:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8vscj2ll23cgfhkhdsujn5ckr4orlfht3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 168690

a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time and thus fuckup the
math of how long I have to travel to take my dad to physcial therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2674. Steve learn to tell time please 9brun through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 18:36:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <30tcj29h10fb5dm2cjk1p1fnao2c603ibr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168691

a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time and thus fuckup the
math of how long I have to travel to take my dad to physcial therapy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2675. Re: Heh, Heh:You FUCKED UP AGAIN, DEWHOREAN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 19:44:27 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161200667.896041.38510@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
> DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and
> changed Woger's passwords again.

BZZZZZT~! Pizza face fucks up again!

> I wonder if Woger will ever find the
> key logger that the NIM Busters web site installed on Woger's computer?

BWHAHAHAHAHA Dewhorean can barely turn on his own computer, he sure is
an amateur hacker wannabe at best.....

> Can you say "vml vulnerability," Woger?

Can you say you fucked up again? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

2676. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 19:47:19 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161200839.649974.69840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Saggytits Lee wrote:

>Isn't <nothing of importance>

Isn't that web cam able to let you tell us what the make and color of
Roger 's car is?

Saggytits Lee (Stagger Lee@houston-hunks.com) fails to back up his
"webcam story" when he changes the subject with lame excuses when asked
what type of car Roger drives and it's color in
<night.vision.woger@houston-hunks.com>:
"Those newer webcams include automatic switchover to night vision mode
when it gets dark, but the pictures are in that typical blue-green
monochrome mode, and it's tough to make out fine details."

2677. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 19:48:58 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161200938.396724.121340@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Billy Smith wrote:

> The only skin heads

Billy knows all about foreskin, his lips quiver at the prospect of
touching his daddy's.
BILLY SMITH STEPS ON HIS OWN DICK THUSLY WITH THESE FUCK-UPS:

Billy "I can't read" Smith fucks up again when he claims in
<gsJRg.2244$o71.124@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"By the way, weren't you required to retest per a letter from FCC
enforcement bureau? Shall we post the record for all to see again? They

don't make people retest unless you have been accused or proven to have

committed rules violations."
Billy can't read the ARRL website which states:
NOTE: Issuance by the FCC of a Warning Notice indicates that the FCC
has what it believes to be reliable evidence of possible rules
infractions and not necessarily that the recipient has violated FCC
rules. The FCC has the authority, pursuant to §97.519(d)(2) of the
rules to readminister any examination element previously administered
by a volunteer examiner.

Billy "Dumbass who can't put together a sentence" Smith shows off those
English skills, quite ironically in
<VfQHf.14581$rH5.8121@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"That's interesting there idiot. I bet you really did flunk high school
math and probably elementary too. Oh thats right, wasn't that when was
in
Floriduh. Spelled Florida but the DUH is for your Dumb Ass."

"wasn't that when was in Floriduh" - Billy makes good fuck up!

Billy "shoots" himself in his palsied club foot once again in
Message-ID <Q0bJf.19641$vU2.7735@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Roger, shots himself in the foot"

Billy the "Rhodes" scholar fucks up again and even demonstrates he is
an imbecile in <8uqwg.8275$vO.7632@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Right you are, wannabe Rhode's Scholar!"

Billy the illiterate retard Smith screws up again, and his illiteracy
is exposed. "It serves him right."
in<hauKf.1410$S25.940@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Deserves him right."

Billy "retard" Smith confesses to his generally accepted retarded
status in <LwpLf.2321$F56.2317@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"I'm a general retard. bwhahaha"

Billy "spelling champ of the general retards" Smith admits his bad
hygiene but is still in denial about his English skills in
<QxpLf.2322$F56.1169@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
"Sure I can spell and smell."

"Billy" the NOtwordSmith fucks up again with this incompete sentence in
<qgyNf.5576$S25.341@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"So when you got divorced boy, did your finally figure out that your
more"

Billy "cumgargler" Smith managed to awaken from his drunken stupor to
slobber
in <fbIOf.442$sL2.25@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Isn't that you mom weighed"

Mr."Education" Billy Smith steps on his own dick again when he talks
about others' "educations" (or educatiion" as he spells it) in
<w7IOf.436$sL2.167@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Uh, huh. Right LOSER. Still smoking that dope again? By the way how is
your
so called educatiion actually doing for you? Those online classes."

Billy Smith shows off his Pulitzer Prize writing skills in
<B9IOf.439$sL2.255@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"You're whole life has been exhaled before."

Billy "illiterate retard" Smith spikes the "HUH?" factor in this
slobbering in
<MGqUf.16833$S25.8455@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Come on, do it just have you some spiked Kool Aid."

"Didn't" your special-Ed teacher teach you how to spell, Billy?
in <OCqUf.16826$S25.10695@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"...didnt Marie teach you to play nice"

"Rhodes scholar" Billy Lardass Davies Smith blunders and blows his
cover again in <Et%0g.6340$sq5.1769@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Rhode's scholar Scheissman blows his cover again."

Billy "dumbass" Smith steps on his own tiny dick when he tries to
criticize others' typos,but he doesn't "perform" well as usual with his
blatant "stupidity" in
<oCk1g.5958$Es3.3907@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>:
"Noteed!!!!!!!!!!!!! Bwhahahahahahah. You're a brilliant one
Scheissman.
You even peform stupid acts on your blatant stupidty"

Billy "dropout Lardass" Smith steps on his own dick when he tries to
comment on others' spelling abilities, but he "can't" do any better in
Message-ID: <mQr3g.8970$sq5.4914@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>:

"Woger cant spell very well anyway."

Billy "dropout pizza BOY" Smith fucks up his sentence again when he blathered in <WdZfg.629$lp.259@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"How about those retest letter you received for jamming."

Billy "illiterate " Smith claims to have been in the Library, but he can't even spell "I've" correctly, maybe Billy should check out the English tutoring books there in WpFgg.1310$lf4.1288@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Ive been to quite a few libraries on Sunday and a lot of them are open."

Billy Smith "the" ill-educated moron fucks up again in <4zxLg.15720$Qf.5115@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Roger is about to finally have th final episode where..."

Billy Smith stumbles on his club foot in his fat mouth when he tries to claim "hillbillies" are somehow inferior(he squeaks from "experience"?) in <7CdRg.11366$v%4.8492@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Is that another fine quality of the Hillbilly state? You know, that third world one where you live full of miscegenation, inbreeding, and the like. Speaking from experrience again?"

Billy the spelling bee champ Smith fucks up again while trying to make fun of others' typos and pegs the irony meter in <my5Sg.1536$Y24.130@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
"Would that by "that" Woger? How abou that Todd rather than "Taod"?"

2678. Re: Slow Code takes another healthy crap on the group again. Markie is fed another day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 19:55:07 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161201307.565991.143150@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.
>
> you and Robeson and Wismen are the crappers

And you are the eater of shit that eats it all up!

2679. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D / LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 19:57:18 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161201438.324708.91840@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
> Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
> > Lardass NOVFP Lloyd Davies posing as Mark Foley wrote........
> > "Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
> > news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
> > >
> > > Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
> > >
> > > (__)_):::D
> > >
> > > Interested?
> > >
> > Why not show everyone what you really look like Lloyd Davies NOVFP
> > http://i9.tinypic.com/48f7y1x.jpg
> >
> > Are you ashamed of your apperance you bloated lardass retard? You should
> > be.
>
> maybe you should act kind and slid your pecker in the tard's man-pus

Here's a recent picture of Lardass:
http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg

2680. Re: On Monday morning, on any morning Saggytits Lee still is the source for unreliable information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 20:01:32 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161201692.553646.61140@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Stagger Lee wrote:
> Once again, <nothing of importance>

Once again, Saggytits is the source of least reliable information! A call to the NRJ indicated that Roger was never a weekend guest of the facility or at ANY OTHER TIME.

ng.google.com>

2681. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 20:02:52 UTC
From: revfoxweek9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161201772.874724.117200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

N9OGL felt the urge to drop trou and lay a steamy
pile all over rec.radio.shortwave when he wrote:
> from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
> http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
> 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
> up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
> up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
> and that will be hopefully done this week.

OH BOY - OH BOY - OH BOY!!!!

Does this mean that we will get to hear those great
recorded audio tapes of Lloyd, Woger and Mularkie Makkie
in the HOT TUB together on GREEK NIGHT FRIDAY NIGHTS ????

You know! The one where you three and Bertha Bazooms and Sheena Silky
(two 300 pould black wimmen who used to hang out at Brother Stair's
farm)
all get together and perform acts of PRE-VERSION on each other? I don't
know
about you...but I just LOVE IT when Lloyd hows like a COON DOG that
just
tree'd a racoon in the heat of climax while Bertha works her 19" long
green
day-glow STRAP-ON JELLY SEX TOY up inside his PUCKERED STARFISH !!!!!!

Man oh man it sounds like the coon dogs runnin on a scent in the
mississippi backwaters.

2682. Re: Blind Boy Eric whines loudly!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 20:04:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161201899.890116.53480@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >
> > "N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
> >> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?
> >>
> >>
> > I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two
> > have sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh two
> > satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for man
> > sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom tish
> > post?
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl
> >
> >
> >
> wow. he *thinks* he's smart.

While you can only hope, huh, you blind gimp?

> dragging it in here to the az. newsgroups won't help you any, nym shifter!

It sure made you whine like a cunt, cunt.

> its is no fun having a battle of wits with an unarmed "man".

Then life must really suck for you, unarmed one

2683. Re: On Monday morning, on any morning Saggytits Lee still is the source for unreliable information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 20:46:24 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.checks.if.he.has.been.in.jail@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 168700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 13:01:32 -0700, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: Stagger Lee wrote:
: > Once again, <nothing of importance>
:
: Once again, Saggytits is the source of least reliable information! A
: call to the NRJ indicated that Roger was never a weekend guest of the
: facility or at ANY OTHER TIME.

Once again, Woger telephones the Northern Regional Jail and asks,
"Have I ever been in your jail?" You'd think he would have figured it
out the last time he called the NRJ and asked the same question.

DeLorian claims that somebody threw a scare into you last week, Woger.
Did Laila knock on your front door again? Did you go to the back of
your house and hide again?

==========
"If a man have long hair it is a shame unto him." -- Paul, I Cor. 11:14

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't
mean everybody else does, imbecile." -- Secwet Woger in message-id
<5a35ee4960f14f45c1d016124d00573c@mail.futureworlds.it>

2684. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 20:56:57 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fa05f2b30056766989a4d@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

In article <1161201772.874724.117200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
revfoxweek9@yahoo.com says...
>
<garbage snipped>

You are
sick!!!

2685. Re: MARK MORGAN: (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::D / LLOYD DAVIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:19:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061018172056.824$s0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 168702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:
> MarQueerMyDear wrote:
> > Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
> > > Lardass NOVFP Lloyd Davies posing as Mark Foley wrote........
> > > "Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
> > > news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
> > > >
> > > > Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
> > > >
> > > > (__)_):::
> > > > :::D
> > > >
> > > > Interested?
> > > >
> > > Why not show everyone what you really look like Lloyd Davies NOVFP
> > > http://i9.tinypic.com/48f7y1x.jpg
> > >
> > > Are you ashamed of your apperance you bloated lardass retard? You
> > > should be.
> > >
> > maybe you should act kind and slid your pecker in the tard's man-pus
>
> Here's a recent picture of Lardass:
> http://img127.imageshack.us/img127/9474/im000759qm5.jpg
>
He looks like my cock, after I'm done fucking.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2686. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:24:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eYwZg.15580$UG4.12881@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168703

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>
> That's really sad.

...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.

(extraneous groups deleted)

Dave K8MN

2687. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:33:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061018173443.981$fz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 168704
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com> wrote:
> The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> verbally
> sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.17.22.52.30.411786@hell.corn:
>
> > On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
> > screamed:
> >> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
> >>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
> >>> further by squeaking:
> >>>> Art Deco wrote:
> >>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
> >>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> >>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
> >>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
> >>>>>>>>inanely:
> >>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
> >>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
> >>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
> >>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>><snip>
> >>>>>>><snip>
> > <snip>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >

> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>>
> >>>>> *plonk*
> >>>>
> >>>> *plonk*
> >>>
> >>> *plonk*
> >>
> >> *plonk*
> >
> > *plonk*
> >
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2688. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:35:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061018173654.532$QI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 168705
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

"Steven" <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> > > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
> > >
> > > Robeson set the standard years ago
> >
> > Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
> > though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
> > for cheap laughs.
> >
> > He deserves our thanks.
>
> Fucking deal with him.
>
Fucking you say fuck too fucking much.

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2689. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:48:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EixZg.13099$o71.10661@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168706

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Chuck Harris wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>>> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
>>>>>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to
>>>>>>> be disappointed.
>>>>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
>>>>>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
>>>>>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
>>>>>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never
>>>>>>> made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a couple
>>>>>>> since.
>>>>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
>>>>>>> anything beyond:
>>>>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK

>>> That's not the case when I operate Morse Code.

For me, it depends on what I'm doing. If I'm chasing DX, I don't expect
the other op to give me his life's story or to go into detail on the
weather in the Kermadecs. On the other hand, I nice a nice, long
ragchew with K8ATM in Michigan on 80m CW just a couple of evenings ago.
Our chat laster about 35 minutes.

>> I have listened to hundreds of CW contacts, and the above is mostly the norm.
>> I exaggerate a bit, but it is rare that anyone talks about anything other
>> than a few very simple things. I have yet to listen to a complex conversation
>> on CW... The most complicated thing I have heard is W1AW code practice, and
>> some of the traffic nets.
>
> Listening is one thing, participating is another. I've had many, many
> CW QSOs that were far more complex than your example. Discussions of
> rigs, antennas, jobs, family, plans for the near future (vacation, home
> improvement, etc.), experiences in the other's location, and much more.

Ditto.

> The stereotypical hello/goodbye QSO is usually the result of these
> factors: poor conditions, unskilled operator(s), nature of the QSO (DX,
> contest, just checking a new rig)

...or you just might have run into one of the silent types. I run into
them on the Fone modes too.

> Of course somebody has to initiate - to say something beyond hello...

Yep.

>>>>>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>>>>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters, many
>>>>>>> are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed many
>>>>>>> different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>>>>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>
> Well, I don't know about you. But for me, knowing Morse Code meant I
> could build and use simple(r) radio systems to try out an idea.

I don't think that every thing we do needs to be toward "helping the
cause of amateur radio".

>>>>>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I learned
>>>>>>> the code.
>>>>>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
>>>>>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the FCC.
>>> I don't remember that at all.
>> Well, you wouldn't if you spent all of your time on CW.
>
> Good point!

Well, it *may* be a good point but I listened to both fone and CW as a
kid, before obtaining my license. I continued to listen to the fone
bands as a new ham. I don't recall much in way of rudeness or profanity
in those days, certainly not as we see it now. I didn't meet more than
a couple of hams who would not go out of their way to provide an assist
either.

>> Things are very
>> polite on those subbands.
>
> Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?

That's one very good reason to do so.

>> If however, you ever listened to 20 meters
>> around 14.313, you might have a different idea of what ham radio was about.
>> For some reason that frequency was full of profane garbage mouthed hams,
>> and lots of infighting in the '70s and '80s.
>
> Sure. But how many hams were involved, out of the hundreds of thousands
> on the air?

...and isn't it nice that they generally worked their unpleasant magic
on a few spot frequencies.

>>>>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
>>>>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is

>>>>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
>>>>>>> early 70's.

>>> There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.

>> Perhaps, but nothing like some of the very cheap sweep tube transceivers of
>> the late 1960's, and early '70's. Swans that drifted furiously, and practically
>> invented the term TVI...

Swan gear mostly drifted. It gave rise to some Cincinnati-area hams
telling ops with drifting gear that they were "swanning". Most other
gear had pretty good frequency stability. Back in those heady days, I
had a Hallicrafters HT-32B which was stable, a Hammarlund HX-50 which
was stable and a National NCX-5 which was very stable. The NCX-5 and
HX-50 used sweep tubes in their output stages and the signals they
transmitted were as clean as anything else on the air.

> Sure - but remember that those rigs were designed 40+ years ago. They
> should be judged by the standards of their time.

Absolutely, but my point is that there were sweep tube rigs with
stability and clean signals. Remember the Drake TR-3, TR-4 and T-4
series? Sweep tubes all and very clean.

>> What are the bad HF SSB rigs of today? I would bet that even the absolute
>> worst is cleaner than anything that was available in the '60's, and '70's...
>> If only because the regulations got tighter on spurious emissions from new
>> gear.
>
> It depends on what you consider "bad". Last FD we had some rigs that
> were unusable because they put out wideband phase noise that messed up
> stations on adjacent bands! Those rigs might have met the letter of the
> law when new, but they sure made a lot of hash in the real world.

Even some of the better rigs of the eighties and nineties had that
problem. The TS-930, 940 and 950 and the IC-751 put out plenty of phase
noise. The Yaesu FT-1000MP (and all Mark-anything versions) put out key
clix like nobody's business.

> OTOH, serviceability of many ham rigs is very low. Even if you can deal
> with SMT, a lot of them use house-numbered parts that become unobtanium
> in a few years.

...and many of those older rigs can still be kept on the air.

>>>>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>>>>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>>>>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>>>>> handbook as my guide.

>>> Do you mean the License Manual?

>> Nope, I did my Advanced from basic principles. I used the ARRL Radio
>> Amateur's Handbook as my guide to rules and regulations. The technical

>> side of my studying came from the handbook, and a variety of other radio
>> and engineering sources.
>
> Same here - all the way to Extra in 1970.

I used both the License Manual and the Handbook.

>>> It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.

>> I looked at friend's copy of one of the the license manuals that was available
>> after my test, and the questions and answers were very close. It was nothing
>> like the manuals that are available today, but still so close as to be a cheat.

> There were a couple of different license manuals available back then.
>
> The ARRL LM was a reprint of FCC's study guide. Those FCC study guides
> were produced by FCC to indicate the areas of knowledge you needed to
> have for the test. They were essay format even though the tests were
> multiple-choice.

The FCC didn't consider the License Manual to be a "cheat". To do so,
their own study guide would have been a "cheat".

> AMECO and others rewrote them into multiple choice format.

I remember the AMECO books. I've glanced through them but never used 'em.

> A fellow named Dick Bash stationed himself outside FCC offices and
> bought information from people who had just taken the tests. He was
> able to recreate a pretty close version of the actual test by that
> method. FCC decided not to prosecute him even though he published books
> that were very close to the actual tests.

But a very large flap ensued over Bash.

> Then it all became academic with the VE system.

(extraneous newsgroups deleted)

Dave K8MN

2690. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 21:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fmxZg.13100$o71.2363@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168707

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.

I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks to advise you what I've
told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet. The same
cannot be said for you, Avery.

Dave K8MN

2691. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:06:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6AxZg.11223$Y24.7107@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168708

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

>> Or maybe she's a figment of his imagination and Robesin would have to
>> roll out her old RRAP account when he's much too busy creating new
>> accounts for our geophysicist visitors.
>
> "Geophysicists?" :-)

Why sure, Leonard. Your pal, Col. Mark Morgan has now confessed to being a geophysicist. From some of his message headers, he is missing his id.

> Must have missed those. <shrug> Hawaii is the new
> rock-and-roll state. Newington, CT, will ignore it as it
> does all other things west of the Mississippi River...

There aren't many of your posts in which you don't tell some outright falsehood. Both CNN and Fox News carried accounts of cellular phone service being disrupted. I'll bet some of those radio hams end up handling communication in the aftermath of the earthquake. Texas is west of the Mississippi. Do you think hams will be a part of the action in the wake of the flooding there?

> For years he has tried to avoid posting anything
> anywhere to document his alleged 18-year active USMC
> career. He won't even make it available as private
> e-mail.

I served in the military only four years, Len. I've posted nothing here in documenting my active duty service. I've not, nor would I ever make any such documentation available to you in an e-mail. Look what kind of things you've written about my active duty military service. Go figure!

> That sort of SELECTIVE highlighting with the sin of
> omission or related facts is what the ARRL does most of
> the time. Naturally, those who attended the "parochial
> school" of the Church of St. Hiram will pick up on the
> technique.

You haven't attended catechism studies and haven't been confirmed. You don't pledge, you don't tithe. You may not partake. You're still an unbaptized heathen in the world of amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

2692. ah wogers back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:15:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lq9dj2109fvfjo05427mp80ijfin75v57g@4ax.com>
Source record: 168709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

On 18 Oct 2006 13:04:59 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > "N7ZZT" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
>> > news:9435635.tVQ2u2crho@www.usenetzone.com...
>> >> Thats amusing..... you haven't a clue who your dealing with here, do you?
>> >>
>> >>
>> > I no who u are erica u are teh guy lkie men tahts looking four man two
>> > have sex wtih I no how taht is sometime my shemale wife in knot eonugh two
>> > satisfie my man on man urgeis so I go two bi newsgropes sreaching for man
>> > sex. Did u find a man you looking four two have teh sex wtih yet fom tish
>> > post?
>> >
>> > http://tinyurl.com/m5lsl
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> wow. he *thinks* he's smart.
>
>While you can only hope, huh, you blind gimp?
get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2693. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:15:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hs9dj21v75nbjh2gqtk035l0vteccrck50@4ax.com>
Source record: 168710

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:52:11 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks to advise you what I've
>told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet. The same
>cannot be said for you, Avery.
>
so you claim dave so you claim
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2694. ah wogers back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:16:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ht9dj29ic6fuaoeg7uivgfggp7c2o0pjcq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On 18 Oct 2006 12:57:18 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>> Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
>> > Lardass NOVFP Lloyd Davies posing as Mark Foley wrote........
>> > "Mark Foley" <mfoley@congress.gov> wrote in message
>> > news:A01680.898548@congress.gov...
>> > >
>> > > Hey Mark, I look like this when I'm turned on:
>> > >
>> > > (__)_):::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::D
>> > >
>> > > Interested?
>> > >
>> > Why not show everyone what you really look like Lloyd Davies NOVFP
>> > http://i9.tinypic.com/48f7y1x.jpg
>> >
>> > Are you ashamed of your apperance you bloated lardass retard? You should
>> > be.
>>
>> maybe you should act kind and slid your pecker in the tard's man-pus
>
>Here's a recent picture of Lardass:
stil troling the AUK boys i se
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2695. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:17:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ru9dj21sgdp9maoj9e38oh65osf0988g20@4ax.com>
Source record: 168712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:56:57 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <1161201772.874724.117200@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
>revfoxweek9@yahoo.com says...
>>
><garbage snipped>
>
>You are
>sick!!!

2696. ah woger is back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:17:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <lv9dj2po1demuf49fqljgdqmjo7b5l828f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Oct 2006 12:47:19 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Saggytits Lee wrote:
>
>>Isn't <nothing of importance>
>
>Isn't that web cam able to let you tell us what the make and color of
>Roger 's car is?
still blinding trolling I se
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2697. ah woger is back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:19:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <92adj25fd0o2fbh5t2qjdkbkaoubp2alms@4ax.com>
Source record: 168714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 12:44:27 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Lloyd Wiseman wrote:
>> DeLorean must have logged into Woger's Google Groups accounts and
>> changed Woger's passwords again.
>
>BZZZZZT~! Pizza face fucks up again!
and stil as stupid as ever
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2698. ah woger is back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:19:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <43adj2l69e5ai1qenbl0tdtfr2djpgaqef@4ax.com>
Source record: 168715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 12:55:07 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.
>>
>> you and Robeson and Wismen are the crappers
>
>And you are the eater of shit that eats it all up!
no that is what steve says but he is lying again
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2699. ah woger is back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:20:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <44adj25f6bt5sjjnb5ncqpd7bnhq95gurb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 13:01:32 -0700, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Stagger Lee wrote:
>> Once again, <nothing of importance>
>
>Once again, Saggytits is the source of least reliable information! A
>call to the NRJ indicated that Roger was never a weekend guest of the
>facility or at ANY OTHER TIME.
>
claen up ham radio get flushed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2700. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson and more lies on top of that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:26:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hfadj2529fr7lilv0ctusbbgpu7gdcb5ac@4ax.com>
Source record: 168717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 09:35:32 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz-can't-get-it-straight-yet.com wrote:
>> > On 4 Sep 2006 22:29:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson
>
> " Nothing I said was untrue."
>yopu are clearing claiming to have shad sex with me and that some other
>man sodomized YOU
>
>> My keyboard's not foul, Morkie.
>sure it
>>
>> Unlike you, I don't swill beer and potato chips while posting.
>and another lie
>
>is amy firproof
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2701. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson to which he addes Smegma in the posts I guess our suspsition that Robeson is not straight are prooven the gay basher outs himself as gay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:26:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3hadj2l9lkergIls94pdutkfhp7g5o8g6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 168718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 09:46:35 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > "DesignGuy" <dontbother@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> > news:CMqdnXLtRIz7nWPZnZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@insightbb.com:
>>
>> SNIP
>>
>> > > Try 6925 KHz in either AM mode or USB evenings. Weekends and holiday
>> > > evenings are most likely to produce a station.
>> >
>> > You can hear a pirate on 13.556 MHz LSB, 24 hours a day seven days
>> > a week called Omega One. Be warned, the music is ######.
>>
>> Smegma One...And with good reason...The operator of Smegma One is
>> an idiot.
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson
>self hating gay basher get help
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2702. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve avoiding the full text of his own self outing again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:26:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <dhadj2ti3ueogce9tnup1efvrqf14kauq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 09:48:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> MorkTheDork@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>
>> > > " Morkie, I will conceed that you know two things that I will
>> > >never
>> > >know...What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>> > >genitals, and the going welfare rates in Michigan. "
>> > >
>> > >Steve states that I have had contact with his shit on the gentials of
>> > >another man
>>
>> No, I said nothing about YOU having had contact with anything of
>> mine.
>steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shit of
>another mans genital
>
>your shit is well your shit

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2703. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <khadj21ictj805068ioia4vkankdeb3csm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:22 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2704. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <qhadj2hnb75onk3od7sgrosoc1k27mqdt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 168720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:01:49 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2705. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0iadj2t5eukgv0vq5239990k7710ki2fhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:03:27 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2706. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8iadj2pun37c8ettjpl61a516uogm4plnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:04:30 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>> Funny how Morkie can claim that his alleged dyslexia keeps him
>> from passing the General, yet he claims he successfully completed not
>> one, but three college degrees.
>nothing in consitant in those statements
>
>you just lied again

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2707. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fiadj21lf39k3glr48p8ka2h11gts6jbcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 168724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:01 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2708. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <niadj2d16mhmo6lhiinso2vakl6e1m3r58@4ax.com>
Source record: 168725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:05:12 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2709. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tiadj29o4hce2l4m2a6v0adnkmj4gbte7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 168727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:07:32 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> Mark-likes-to-lie-and-deceive@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > On 5 Sep 2006 14:45:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
>> Stop misquoting.
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>>
>> Stop quoting out of context.
>>
>no out of context quote made
>> Act human.
>I do
>
>you don't
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2710. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words X8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:27:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5jadj2tpg8glablhhv9fehpqf2f319p5ds@4ax.com>
Source record: 168726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:12:24 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>
>
> your words you eat them
>
>congrats at coming out of the closet

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2711. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fjadj2ddbmdh561umdk7pk2fop683ucf6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 168728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

```
On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:21 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>> You've misquoted them, Morkie.
>quoted them exactly

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2712. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mjadj2tqn06h6imsefjra7rpe3ma794ml1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:14:37 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > MorkTheDork@kb9rqz-can't-get-it-straight-yet.com wrote:
>> > > > On 4 Sep 2006 22:29:41 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>> > Robeson
>>
>> Nope.
>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you typed it not me

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2713. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x11

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tjadj2tks72og8p2j27p6fqh02ggjn93dk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:16:46 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words
>you words you wrote
>
>are you homosexaul or bisexual well you say thei r is no such thing as
>Bisexual so you must a homosexaul steve face your words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2714. Re: "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5kadj2dd1ubcioj8v7vdnm9ctijds26g2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 168731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:21:01 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > steve you stated that I know what it is like to lick YOU shitof
>> > another mans genital
>>
>> No, that's not what I wrote.
>yes is is
>the exact quote being "What it's like to lick my excrement off of
>another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly
>
>your shit is your shit get used to used to it homo boy

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2715. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x13

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <bkadj21o4roem88vc63mir9405pch01ja1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:22:13 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>stev stop trying to escape it you have outed yourself as a homosexaul

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2716. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <hkadj21o45is2djciee5eh7ajn273bh1ki@4ax.com>
Source record: 168733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:23:47 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you are a homosexaul steve
>
>now get comforatable with it

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2717. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words and will anything to avoid fessing up x14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <okadj2ljsv0aksa7hodoed88e7vrfstnv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:26:34 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>face you fucked up
>
>you have stated that you have commited a homsexual act and in your
>follow up inst you said nothing unture admiting again to a homsexaul
>act
>
>you could have just admitted your mistake but you lack the ball to do
>so and try to put the pblame on others for YOUR fuckup (which eveither
>it surely was)

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2718. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x15

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <vkadj2dlnlc0if4gjsg2212g0jf4s5o8r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:27:10 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>your fuck up you eat your words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2719. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:28:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5ladj25lgm78l8fr7m1sgu3s74n5bu3auf@4ax.com>
Source record: 168737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:28:35 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>> Nothing relative to the subject...Just another threadjacking.
>nah it is exactly on the subject which was you trying to divert
>attention from your fuck up
>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>I can keep this up for days you know

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2720. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x18

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:29:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <cladj29tlgilqho0tshl63jgvn0mvks2v3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:31:31 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth
>Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words
>
>> It's only implication in this context is your idiotic
>> misinterpretation of simple English, Morkie.
>if you had left out the word "my" you woluld have a point but you did
>not
>
>the only inferences are that you have been sodmized or that you enage
>in the fetish or shiting on mmens gentials or that YOU fucked the use
>of English and lack the moral courage to admit it
>
>you might want to try backing down before someone passes the original
>note to your wife
>
>I hear that that IS in the works

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2721. Re: What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his own words x19

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:29:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mladj21d1bhadnmrdtbf7a8to92pj280gg@4ax.com>
Source record: 168738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 6 Sep 2006 18:37:04 -0700, "an old freind" <kb9rqz_ars@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> > that is the simplest and most logical inference it is is not the only
>> > answer He could have been refered to the proccess of just him
>> > defeacting on another man a kinky act (not my kink but I try not to be
>> > too judgemental of such things) in any event Robeson statesdescribes a
>> > Sex act involving himself and 2 Men one of them he claims is ME
>>
>> Morkie, Morkie, MORKIE!
>be a man not a worm Robeson
>>
>> STILL NOT GETTING IT RIGHT after three days of having your faulty
>> English pointed out to you.
>if there is any faulty english it was yours
>>
>> Idiot.
>>
>> > is sick lying and and down right gros in his efforts to disrupt the NG
>>
>> We have YOU to thank for that, Morkie....And your Feeble Five
>> bretheren.
>nope you are the one that types
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson steve has been quoted exactly but can't face his
>own words

sionce you still refuse to admdit your told the lie that I eat your
shit it is repated for in the seperate it has beenseen before
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2722. Now robeson you can stop being the subject of this sort of posting very easily just aplogize

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:33:32 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161210812.226932.45740@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168739

appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to

calling me a child molestor

calling me a rapist

call me a welfare fraud

calling me a tax cheat

clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
abusing him

calling my father a rapist

calling my wife male

calling tood gay

calling todd perents gay

and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future

2723. Now robeson you can stop being the subject of this sort of posting very easily just aplogize

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:36:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161210999.621272.45830@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168740

appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to

calling me a child molestor

calling me a rapist

call me a welfare fraud

calling me a tax cheat

clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
abusing him

calling my father a rapist

calling my wife male

calling tood gay

calling todd perents gay

and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future

you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are in
and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on wedndays
it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also consider
the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does
anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here

2724. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 22:49:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ap-dnXC1d5QNMqvYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>
>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
> That was classic!!!!!

However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He tries to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.

Dee, N8UZE

2725. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:05:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3pcdj29ohp3kl8bu6s5ec2a1fauptkt116@4ax.com>
Source record: 168742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 18:49:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
>news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>
>>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>> That was classic!!!!!
>
>However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He tries
>to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.

you are only slightly better Dee
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2726. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:14:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161213265.621459.226780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Chuck Harris wrote:

> >> Things are very
> >> polite on those subbands.
> >
> > Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?
>
> To promote the mode, sure, but to require it no.

One way to promote a mode is to give it significant band space.

> I could make the same claim
> about RTTY, and Slow Scan. When they were popular, folks on those modes were
> all as polite as could be.

The difference is that only Morse Code requires skills that the average
person does not have.

> The impolite behavior seems to center around SSB. Probably because the mode
> requires essentially no effort.

Maybe. I think there are many causes.

> >> If however, you ever listened to 20 meters
> >> around 14.313, you might have a different idea of what ham radio was about.
> >> For some reason that frequency was full of profane garbage mouthed hams,
> >> and lots of infighting in the '70s and '80s.
> >
> > Sure. But how many hams were involved, out of the hundreds of thousands
> > on the air?
>
> It only takes a few. As I remember from those SSB wars in the '70's and
> '80's, a several hams were prosecuted. They were all General Class or higher.
> And because of the time frame, in which they were licensed, they had passed
> the CW hurdle. I recall that playing with their keyers on the SSB segment was
> part of their hijinks. A whole lot of "FU" and other acronyms were mixed in
> with the echo boxes, and microphone raking.

They were a few out of hundreds of thousands.

And yes, they all passed the Morse Code test - supposedly, anyhow. But
they also passed one or more *written* tests which included questions
on acceptable on-air behavior. Why don't the written tests get the
blame?

Look at the recent case of Jack Gerritsen, ex-KG6IRO. What possible
reason is there for his behavior?

> >>>>>>> I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the only real
> >>>>>>> difference is in the quality of the gear folks are running. It is
> >>>>>>> much better than the crappy stuff that was on the air back in the
> >>>>>>> early 70's.
> >>> There were good and bad rigs then as well as now.
> >> Perhaps, but nothing like some of the very cheap sweep tube transceivers of
> >> the late 1960's, and early '70's. Swans that drifted furiously, and practically
> >> invented the term TVI...
> >
> > Sure - but remember that those rigs were designed 40+ years ago. They
> > should be judged by the standards of their time.
>
> And I was. But today's rigs, when judged by the ear are more pleasant to
> listen to.

Some are, some aren't - IMHO.
>
> >> What are the bad HF SSB rigs of today? I would bet that even the absolute
> >> worst is cleaner than anything that was available in the '60's, and '70's...
> >> If only because the regulations got tighter on spurious emissions from new
> >> gear.
> >
> > It depends on what you consider "bad". Last FD we had some rigs that
> > were unusable because they put out wideband phase noise that messed up
> > stations on adjacent bands! Those rigs might have met the letter of the
> > law when new, but they sure made a lot of hash in the real world.
>
> Fortunately, that wideband phase noise doesn't carry beyond a few hundred
> yards from the radio. It used to be a really big problem with the early
> solid state radios... But not so much so with the newer rigs.

The rigs that gave us headaches were only a few years old.

> Field Day was
> where I first noticed the effect.... Key the mike, and all bands were awash
> in hiss, even before the first word was spoken. Icom comes to mind.

Bingo.

> Having a Clegg FM27B around on field day is a real treat too...not!
>
> > OTOH, serviceability of many ham rigs is very low. Even if you can deal
> > with SMT, a lot of them use house-numbered parts that become unobtanium
> > in a few years.
>
> Entirely the result of meeting the customer's demands for in expensive
> feature laden radios that fit in packages smaller than a dictionary.

Are those really customer demands, or are they driven by the
manufacturers who want to sell more radios?

> ...
> >>> It did not have the exact questions and answers in it.
> >> I looked at friend's copy of one of the the license manuals that was available
> >> after my test, and the questions and answers were very close. It was nothing
> >> like the manuals that are available today, but still so close as to be a cheat.
> >
> > There were a couple of different license manuals available back then.
> >
> > The ARRL LM was a reprint of FCC's study guide. Those FCC study guides
> > were produced by FCC to indicate the areas of knowledge you needed to
> > have for the test. They were essay format even though the tests were
> > multiple-choice.
> >
> > AMECO and others rewrote them into multiple choice format.
>
> I think my friends "manual" might have been Ameco.

Very possible.

>
> > A fellow named Dick Bash stationed himself outside FCC offices and
> > bought information from people who had just taken the tests. He was
> > able to recreate a pretty close version of the actual test by that
> > method. FCC decided not to prosecute him even though he published books
> > that were very close to the actual tests.
>
> I don't think Bash was doing that until after the VE system came on line...
> but I don't recall for sure.

It was the other way around. Bash did his thing in the early 1970s. The
VE system came to be in the early 1980s. The VE system put Bash out of
business because the question pools became public then.

> ...
> >>> What good are technical *discussions* if they don't translate into
> >>> actual working radio systems?
> >> I have built and operated a number of entirely home brew radios.
> >
> > Exactly. *You* are not an appliance operator - nor are you calling
> > anybody else an appliance operator.
>
> Actually, these days for what little operating I do, I am an appliance
> operator. Because of my early ham experience, and the fact that I am
> an EE, I know how to design and build, even if I don't chose to do so
> right now. Ham radio probably figures heavily in my being an EE.

Same here - except I'm still homebrewing.

> My general belief is if you have passed the test that is in force, you
> are a ham... period.

Agreed!

> Beyond passing whatever is required, I don't care
> how you got here, just what you do now that you are here.

Agreed again!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2727. Re: HAY! Keep the titles to one thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:16:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161213388.302689.193620@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168744
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.democrats

Steveo wrote:
> "Steven" <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > > > On 18 Oct 2006 08:45:57 -0700, "Steven"
> > > > <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Geebus Rice! My ass hurts just reading all of this!
> > >
> > > > Robeson set the standard years ago
> > >
> > > Robesin set the gold standard in thread jacking and calling people out,
> > > though he has taken a turn at using dead people and retarded children
> > > for cheap laughs.
> > >
> > > He deserves our thanks.
> >
> > Fucking deal with him.
> >
> Fucking you say fuck too fucking much.

Too many verbs.

2728. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:19:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161213594.748454.75520@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168745

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >
> > That's really sad.
>
> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>
> (extraneous groups deleted)
>
> Dave K8MN

So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?

2729. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:29:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <m5edj29mr0a5m8vs7ujn1l4093i2qhg0ml@4ax.com>
Source record: 168746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 18 Oct 2006 16:14:25 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Chuck Harris wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Chuck Harris wrote:
>
>> >> Things are very
>> >> polite on those subbands.
>> >
>> > Isn't that a reason to promote the mode?
>>
>> To promote the mode, sure, but to require it no.
>
>One way to promote a mode is to give it significant band space.
>
>> I could make the same claim
>> about RTTY, and Slow Scan. When they were popular, folks on those modes were
>> all as polite as could be.
>
>The difference is that only Morse Code requires skills that the average
>person does not have.

why does that justify the rudeness of it operators Jim?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2730. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:30:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i7edj25u5qauo2bgi3vjfdnrhqf75h05n9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168747

On 18 Oct 2006 16:19:54 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>> >> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >
>> > That's really sad.
>>
>> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>>
>> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
my money would on robeson although MD would be a good guss to
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2731. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:42:51 UTC
From: Spokesman <his@spam.net>
Message-ID: <p_KdnUSN5KmdIavYnZ2dnUVZ_qqdnZ2d@wightman.ca>
Source record: 168748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Chuck Harris" <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in message
news:bLydncmhdskF2KnYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@rcn.net...
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
> >> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
> >> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
> >> handbook as my guide.
> >>
> >> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
> >>
> >> -Chuck
> >
> >
> > Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
> > insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
>
> Oh, I agree, but if you do too, then I have trouble understanding why
> you are ragging on folks that are using store bought radios.

Slow Mind does not know that a Real Ham only uses a radio he built
himself. A Real Ham would not use one of those crappy store-bought
things.

>
> -Chuck

2732. why is simple honesty beyond Robeson?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:49:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6bdj2p784dlvs9v1oei2e3fj4gh4d5otj@4ax.com>
Source record: 168749

appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to

calling me a child molestor

calling me a rapist

call me a welfare fraud

calling me a tax cheat

clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
abusing him

calling my father a rapist

calling my wife male

calling tood gay

calling todd perents gay

and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future

you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are
in
and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on
wedndays
it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also
consider
the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does
anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2733. what is asked of Robeson simply honesty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-18 23:49:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <e6bdj2193rpn5ssmjhnotdu85gh3aeotac@4ax.com>
Source record: 168750

appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to

calling me a child molestor

calling me a rapist

call me a welfare fraud

calling me a tax cheat

clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
abusing him

calling my father a rapist

calling my wife male

calling tood gay

calling todd perents gay

and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future

you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are
in
and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on
wedndays
it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also
consider
the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does
anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2734. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZnzZg.8933$Lv3.3189@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >""''''''

(11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?

A province.

SC

2735. Re: 14.195 usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_nzZg.8934$Lv3.2671@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Wolfgang Fartbubble <swift240@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
news:GyuZg.175665$wg.170600@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk:

> Whats going on, at 14.195 USB ?
> For a few days now, there has been some one using this frequency and
> there is some one else bent on getting him off, by doing all sorts of
> things to mess it up.

Probably just a couple nickle hams fighting. It's going to get a lot
worse after CW is eliminated.

SC

2736. AB8MQ is out of jail and back on the internet again, Markie is going to be so thrilled. Re: Slow Code takes another healthy crap on the group again. Markie is fed another day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0ozZg.8935$Lv3.8330@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1161201307.565991.143150@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.
>>
>> you and Robeson and Wismen are the crappers
>
> And you are the eater of shit that eats it all up!

Woger, Woger, Woger,

Markie has been waitin fer ya ta cum and abuse him. I'm sure he's glad to
see you. He's getting tired of K4YZ.

WAIT! Are you really Woger or his mother? Markie hates getting abused
by women. How's your code practice coming along Mrs Wiseman? You gonna
be a General in a month? That's much faster than Woger ever was.

SC

2737. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2ozZg.8937$Lv3.3914@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code <me@my.mail> wrote in
news:BACVg.595224.6936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:

> Those of you who want everything handed to you instead of working for
> it will be glad to hear that I am going to start giving away an award
> that you lids, kids, and space cadets can prominently display anywhere
> that you like. Only the lids, kids, and space cadets will be
> eligible, and they will win the beautiful logo shown below. Right
> now, the first awards are likely to go to Mark, hot-ham-and-spam, and
> the ever-entertaining "john." Stay tuned to learn about the winning
> losers.
>
> begin 644 scaward.png

Forgot. I want to get one to Chris and Lenny too.

Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
lots more fun when you're a real ham.

SC

2738. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3ozZg.8938$Lv3.1664@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:55d8j2phv70pi1cun8m3b6go9avfm6553r@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>
>
>

Thanks John.

People that don't want to have to learn anything for a license
really hate me.

SC

2739. CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5ozZg.8940$Lv3.5959@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

New Hams just don't want to modernize, they're lazy and don't want to have to learn anything. I'm a 20 wpm Extra and the code requirement didn't stop me from modernizing beyond a microphone and CW. So don't believe anyone that says CW is killing ham radio. They're just sick, lame or Lazy.

SC

2740. Re: FINALLY...I worked Jay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <6ozZg.8941$Lv3.4293@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in
news:DyeYg.8304$484.5075@twister.nyroc.rr.com:

> Jay in the Mohave was an easy 5/9 into Upstate New York here tonight at
> 23:30Z on 38LSB. Jay, you sounded GREAT! That antenna you've put
> together ROCKS !!!

Is lower 38 like the good-buddy CB SSB calling freq?

SC

2741. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8ozZg.8942$Lv3.7316@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9f3d68fcedebb9989a49@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
> suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
> of worth to say.

Chris is just upset because he knows CW makes a real ham, and he couldn't
cut it. That's OK, I encouraged him to try to learn CW while I
could before I ended up in the killfile...

NEXT!

SC

2742. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9ozZg.8943$Lv3.7722@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

charlie <wehatespam@wymsey.co.uk> wrote in
news:jeqdndcLCcsP5avYRVnysQ@pipex.net:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> <SNIP>
>> personaly i feel killfile just mask problems like SC anbd let them
>> fester
> <SNIP>
> At least he festers somewhere that I can't see - until some spoiler
> quotes the twit.
>
>
> Charlie.

Whaaaaaaaaaaa!!!

2743. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aozZg.8944$Lv3.8635@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.usenet.kooks

bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> john wrote:
>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>> you.
>>
>>
>
> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
> with your little HT, faggot!
>
>

ROFLMAO, that was good. Can you make him stop humping my leg?

SC

2744. Re: I don't hear Omega One Todd, turn up the power.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bozZg.8945$Lv3.6507@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:b9qaj2plu4eak6o069lo9okudqihvtea72@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Thanks.
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark you idiot. I don't know why I even follow-up to your dumb ass posts anymore you're such a retard. Learn CW, Make the best of your ham license, and Learn how to spell.

SC

2745. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dozZg.8946$Lv3.4879@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>> >> >
>> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
>> >>
>> >> You have a filthy mouth.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >>
>> > Pot Kettle Black!
>>
>>
>> Wrong.
>>
>> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see
>> what the filth in RRAP looks like.
>
> I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!

He's upset because he knows CW makes a valuable ham, and he couldn't cut
it. That's OK, I encouraged him to at least try to learn CW while I could
before I ended up in his killfilters...

NEXT!

SC

2746. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <fozZg.8948$Lv3.8603@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9dfdc41af33a9e989a48@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <1EVYg.10345$Y24.6111@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>>
>> SC
>>
> Can you say pot Kettle Black. All you ever do is whine whine whine.
>
> 73
>
> Chris
>

How's your code practice coming along, or are you hoping for the easy road
to HF like Markie?

SC

2747. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hozZg.8949$Lv3.3667@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_odd_freak" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help
>> > us.
>>
>> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
>> just as well on 6 Meters.
> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
> you could tell me

The FCC still has the old CB calls in a database?

SC

2748. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lozZg.8950$Lv3.141@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
> news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>
>>>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>
>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>
>>>
>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>really need a theory exam for?
>> you tell me
>>
>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>
>> don't you think it does that?
>>
>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>
>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>
>>> They feel they
>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why

>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>operation?
>>>
>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>
>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>
>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>
>> agreed
>>>One has to
>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>> Having said that, CW
>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>
>> it is obslete
>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>
>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>
>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>> come
>>
>> it is none the less obeslete
>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>
>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>> wish to use it
>>> It's just
>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>
>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>
>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one

>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>obtuse.
>> and insulting
>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>
>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>> today
>>> It needs operators with
>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>highest bidder.
>>>
>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>> to achive your end
>>
>> for that matter so will I
>>>
>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>
>>>NT
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
> Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some
> day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer
> is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
> interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
> spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when
> all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot
> proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has
> failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what,
> someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of
> theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams
> have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being
> that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need
> arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer
> needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the
> question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but
> are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it
> would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont
> think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a
> keyboard.

If CW has been replaced by other technologies, why aren't more amateurs doing the modernized modes? It's because they don't want too. Ham radio has been dumbed down and we can't even force hams to use them to be proficient communicators.

CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio, Laziness is.

SC

2749. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mozZg.8951$Lv3.7197@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161132451.006173.281260@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Jimmie D wrote:
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Im sure the numbers would look even wose if the licenses expired
>> >> > > sooner. Most of the new hams I know lose interest in a couple of
>> >> > > years, long befor the licence expires.
>> >> >
>> >> > Those darned new hams. They never should have been licensured in
>> >> > the first place. Didn't have the ooomph to get licensed when the
>> >> > tests were harder so they weren't really that innerested in the
>> >> > first place. Didn't show the proper dedication.
>> >>
>> >> and of course none of the failure of hams to reamin hams is due to
>> >> bullshit they must endure from other hams
>> >
>> > Of course not! Ham radio is a swell fellowship of men. What you see
>> > on RRAP n't ham radio.
>>
>> But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help us.
>
> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
> just as well on 6 Meters.

And on CB.

SC
```

2750. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:10:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <nozZg.8952$Lv3.6700@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>

Thanks John.

I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.

SC

2751. Jeez Markie, get a grip you tard. Do what Steve does.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <pozZg.8953$Lv3.6180@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When Steve gets teased he doesn't follow-up, he ignores it. You need to learn to do that same thing, but you don't. You take a crap in every single thread, and if you think you missed crapping in a thread, you crap on it twice.

We're never going to get policy discussions going here again if you keep posting like a friggen retard. Just ignore steve. Learn to Spell, and Learn CW.

SC

2752. Len Anderson wants to be the second no-code Extra right after Markie.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qozZg.8954$Lv3.1403@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sometimes it's funny to watch who gets in line for a free hand-out.

SC

2753. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wozZg.8956$Lv3.4298@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9df8f3b0099a28989a47@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>
>>
>> Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.
>>
>> SC
>>
>>
> How many have you personally run off the air?
>
> 73
>
> Chris
>

No one. I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
like my proposals can stay on CB.

SC

2754. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AozZg.8957$Lv3.2679@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:g8d8j25r896va0reit442hemcjd2kmhfor@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>

Thanks John.

People that don't want to have to learn anything for a ham
license really hate me. 11 meters dead or something? You
seem to be spending a lot more time on the internet lately.

SC

2755. Outcome based licensing.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FozZg.8958$Lv3.1279@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's what you all want. An easy way to get a lot of people a ticket. You don't care if they can communicate or if they will be an asset to the service. Sometimes I wonder if you're not all getting kick backs from the ARRL.

SC

2756. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MozZg.8959$Lv3.8077@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

THE-RFI-EMI-GUY <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:

> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
> get into his face about CW.
>
> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>
>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>
>>SC
>>
>>
> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
> get into his face about CW.
>
> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.

WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?

If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.

SC

2757. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TozZg.8960$Lv3.6401@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:mad8j21k9m095vk1gq6a0jomfj3ut83vu0@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>

Thanks John.

Is 11 meters dead or did your CB radio break again? You
seem to be spending a lot more time on the internet lately.

SC

2758. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0pzZg.8961$Lv3.8153@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:ap-dnXC1d5QNMqvYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
> news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>
>>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>> That was classic!!!!!
>
> However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He
> tries to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

I'm motivating them. And when I catch them on the air, they have an
enjoyable and educational contact.

When they find out CW, RTTY, packet, PSK, SSTV, are fun, they have just
stepped in to a much larger ham radio, than one with just a ham talking on
a microphone.

SC

2759. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:11:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9pzZg.8962$Lv3.4390@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:

> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
>
>

Thanks John.

Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
CW practice coming along?

SC

2760. Re: Please do not fed the trolls (was: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:12:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lpzZg.8963$Lv3.6474@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

William Warren <william_warren_nonoise@speakeasy.net> wrote in
news:XNadnQqnOKUWsqvYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@speakeasy.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>
>> SC
>
> +------------------+ .:\:\:/:/:.
> | PLEASE DO NOT | :.:\:\:/:/:.:
> | FEED THE TROLLS | :=.' - - '.=:
> | | '=(\ 9 9 /)='
> | Thank you, | ((_))
> | Management | /`-vvv-'\
> +------------------+ / \
> | | @@@ / /|,,,,,|\ \
> | | @@@ /_// /^\ _\
> @x@@x@ | | |/ WW(( ))WW
> \|||||/ | | \| __\,,\ /,,/__
> \|||/ | | | jgs (______Y______)
> /\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\//\
> > ==========

****
****
ATTENTION USENET VISITORS, THIS AREA HAS BEEN
DECLARED A TROLL WILDLIFE REFUGE. TO LEARN ABOUT
THE TROLL, ITS HABITAT, AND ITS WAY OF LIFE, PLEASE
READ THE SIGN-POST BELOW. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO LEARN
MORE ABOUT THE TROLL, YOU CAN PICK UP BOOKS AND
SOUVENIRS IN THE GIFT SHOP AT THE END OF THE TOUR.
THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR OBSERVING ALL TROLL WILDLIFE
REFUGE RULES, HAVE A NICE DAY.

****
****

| Common name: Woodland Troll |
| Scientific name: Trollus Useneticus |

```
##################################################################
# #
# PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS: #
# #
# The common woodland troll (Trollus Useneticus) is an #
# approximately 1.7m long nocturnal furry creature that #
# has been found to exist in nearly every climate and #
# latitude. Predominant features include: a very pale #
# complexion, a large bony ridge above the eyes, a dense #
# cranium, dragging knuckles, and a pungent odor. #
# #
##################################################################

##################################################################
# #
# HABITAT: #
# #
# Most trolls spend the daylight hours under a large rock #
# sleeping. Unfortunately, the natural habitat of the #
# troll has been encroached by development (as is all too #
# common these days). The modern troll has to make due #
# with a slimy, moss covered rock. Often, trolls are #
# forced to endure poor sanitation and filthy living #
# conditions. Combined with the general lack of hygiene #
# among trolls, this results in a very unpleasant odor. #
# #
##################################################################

##################################################################
# #
# ACTIVITIES AND DIET: #
# #
# During their active period at night, the common troll #
# engages in numerous activities, though the most #
# important of these is foraging for food. The one #
# characteristic that ties all different troll species #
# and sub-species together is their diet. Trolls #
# invariably survive off of a combination of cheetos, #
# arguments, and annoying others. A typical troll #
# requires approximately 10 arguments and flames per day #
# just to stay alive. With the increasing use of #
# killfiles and just regular ignoring of trolls, it has #
# become more and more difficult for trolls to eke out a #
# basic sustenance. #
# #
##################################################################

##################################################################
# #
# KNOWN PREDATORS: #
# #
# Unfortunately, trolls have many predators. Most common #
```

```
# among them are the helpful researcher, the informative #
# poster, the cool headed responder, and the kill-filer. #
# The cool header responder is technically not a troll #
# predator however. Trolls are typically unfazed by #
# logical counter arguments and cool headed reason. #
# However, even though their posts do not deliver the #
# same level of sustenance that a "flamer" or an "annoyed #
# poster" may provide, they still provide a valuable #
# source of dietary fiber for the troll. #
# #
# The most dangerous predators for the trolls are the #
# helpful researcher, the informative poster, and the #
# kill-filer. The common troll is highly allergic to #
# fact, real data, and research. Upon skin contact with #
# actual hard evidence and real data, the troll will #
# experience intense itching and burning at the site of #
# contact, followed by lesions and blisters. Eventually, #
# this results in loss of skin near the area of contact, #
# and usually to loss of a limb (in the example of #
# contact near the arm or leg). Contact with facts and #
# data near the facial area usually results in a slow #
# painful death. Contact with extremely high doses of #
# fact and hard data can cause temporary loss of #
# consciousness and even permanent brain damage for the #
# troll. #
# #
# The kill-filer poses a much more insidious threat to #
# the troll. Not posing a direct threat to the troll per #
# se, the kill-filer simply deprives the troll of yet #
# another source of nourishment. Some trolls have #
# developed counter measures to thwart the kill-filers, #
# usually this takes the form of a type of camoflage. #
# #
# The trolls' predators have reaped a terrible slaughter #
# over the years, resulting in the death of great hordes #
# of trolls. Trolls continue to breed rapidly though and #
# their population is stable. However, it is unknown how #
# long this situation can persist. Eventually, natural #
# predators and poachers may result in lower numbers of #
# trolls. Already we are seeing lower diversity among #
# the different troll species. That is why this troll #
# habitat refuge exists, to ensure the preservation of #
# the troll for not only our future, but the future of #
# our children. #
# #
#################################################################

 ========================================================
/ This troll habitat refuge has been paid for in part by /
/ the anti Troll-Defamation League, the International /
```

/ Society for the Advancement of Trolls, and the Troll /
/ Habitat Preservation Alliance. /
==========

2761. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:12:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xpzZg.8965$Lv3.3214@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:XcYYg.900$um6.99@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:AEVYg.10362$Y24.1904@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>> news:NN-dnexaGIaKeLPYnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>>
>>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and
>>>>> build for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting
>>>>> to be disappointed.
>>>>>
>>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>>>
>>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I
>>>>> had to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error
>>>>> free at 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the
>>>>> sending test, as I had never spent much time doing that. I had
>>>>> never made a code contact before my test, and I have only made a
>>>>> couple since.
>>>>>
>>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say
>>>>> anything beyond:
>>>>>
>>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>>>>
>>>>> How does that help the cause of amateur radio?
>>>>>
>>>>> I have designed and built numerous rf receivers and transmitters,
>>>>> many are employed by the US Army for various uses. I have fixed
>>>>> many different radios from tube stuff through DSP driven affairs.
>>>>>
>>>>> How exactly did the code help me to do this?
>>>>>
>>>>> For me code was a means to an end. I wanted my license, so I
>>>>> learned the code.
>>>>>
>>>>> There were plenty of rude, profane, and generally unpleasant hams on
>>>>> the air back when all had to pass the test in the offices of the

>>>>> FCC. I haven't noticed that things are any worse now. About the
>>>>> only real difference is in the quality of the gear folks are
>>>>> running. It is much better than the crappy stuff that was on the
>>>>> air back in the early 70's.
>>>>>
>>>>> -Chuck
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Are conversations on repeaters as technical as they were twenty-five
>>>> years ago?
>>>
>>> Oh, easily. 25 years ago, technical conversations were dominated by
>>> such earth shatteringly important stuff as having a ham down at the
>>> repeater site helping other hams tune their transmitters to be on
>>> frequency. Other wonderkind were hitting the repeater with a full
>>> quieting signal, and turning their power up to try and get a better
>>> signal to that DX mobile that breaking up.
>>>
>>> If it wasn't that, it was an endless sea of autopatches calling the
>>> xyl to tell her that traffic was bad, could she start dinner... or
>>> ordering pizza.
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Me? I hear no-codes and nickle extras arguing how long a half wave
>>>> dipole should be.
>>>
>>> I heard the same things 25 years ago from Generals that got their
>>> licenses at the offices of the FCC.
>>>
>>> Even 34 years ago, there were study guides that had questions from the
>>> pool used by the FCC. If you could memorize the answers to those
>>> questions, you were virtually assured of passing. I used the ARRL
>>> handbook as my guide.
>>>
>>> You didn't answer my questions about the home brew rig you are using.
>>>
>>> -Chuck
>>
>>
>> Construction projects you or I have done aren't important. Working to
>> insure ham radio doesn't turn into CB is important. Agreed?
>>
>> SC
>
> SC, tell us all, and don't lie. Do you not agree that a LOT of hams
> today were CB'ers in the '70's and chose to advance their radio skills
> by advancing to ham radio? If you disagree, then 1) you're a liar, and
> 2) did this not help the service? And thusly, if you disagree I suspect
> you fit into this mold, and choose to deny your past.

I'm sure a lot of hams migrated from citizens band, but up until about the last 16 years, they had to pass a real ham test to get in. Not dumbed down tests like we have today.

SC

2762. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:12:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JpzZg.8966$Lv3.8914@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Caveat Lector wrote:
>> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator period
>> -- plain and simple.
>>
>> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
>>
>> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or not
>> - you are a Ham
>>
>> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a Ham
>>
>> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for
>> elitism is snobbery - plain and simple
>>
>> This is 2006, not 1920
>>
>> CL
>
> You got that right.

It's nice to see a couple 11 meter jockeys agreeing with each other.

SC

2763. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:12:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WpzZg.8967$Lv3.8193@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:3fpZg.3343$Ka1.3007@news01.roc.ny:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>> news:Z4cXg.2828$ya1.2518@news02.roc.ny:
>>
>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>>>>news:nOLWg.2685$Ka1.1928@news01.roc.ny:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>What do you like best about appliance operating?
>>>>>
>>>>>All the crotchety old farts are either off somewhere
>>>>>beep-beep-beeping away on CW or bitching to each other on the lower
>>>>>half of the 80m phone band, so it's easy to avoid them and talk to
>>>>>someone who enjoys ham radio as it is instead of whining about how it
>>>>>was.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>>
>>>It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>>much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>
>>
>>
>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>
> Kenwood TS-820S. I got a good deal because it had a few bugs. I spent
> some of the evenings between the exam session and my ticket arriving
> (that would be my GENERAL ticket, I skipped Novice and Technician) going
> through it cleaning switches, adding ground straps to a couple circuit

> boards and adding 17m to the 'AUX' position on the band switch. By the
> time my ticket arrived in the mail it was ready. I worked Clipperton
> Island the second day I was on the air and didn't realize it was quite
> the DX catch until months later.
>
> So, after your license came in the mail what did you start weenie
> whining about first?
>
> W8LNA

I made a lot of contacts on CW. I knew it was what I had to do to be a valuable asset to the service and I had a lot of fun communicating with other CW ops around the world. I was always surprised at how good the Russians were at CW. Doing CW helped me get get my skills up and I quickly passed my 20 wpm Extra. (the real Extra)

Now I try to help other hams and potential hams on radio and on usenet, but the usenet hams are so lazy they don't want to learn anything. They want licensing to be dumbed down some more.

SC

2764. Re: what is asked of Robeson simply honesty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:13:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oqzZg.8969$Lv3.7765@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168781

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:e6bdj2193rpn5ssmjhnotdu85gh3aeotac@4ax.com:

> appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to
>
> calling me a child molestor
>
>
> calling me a rapist
>
>
> call me a welfare fraud
>
>
> calling me a tax cheat
>
>
> clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
> abusing him
>
>
> calling my father a rapist
>
>
> calling my wife male
>
>
> calling tood gay
>
>
> calling todd perents gay
>
>
> and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future
>
>
> you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
> keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are
> in
> and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
> something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on
> wedndays
> it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also
> consider
> the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does

> anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
> appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Markie, if you cared for RRAP, you would ignore the teases, and not re-post what you're being teased about. Just ignore it, and stop acting like a retard. They tease you because you act retarded. Just learn CW and ignore the teases.

SC

2765. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:13:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EqzZg.8970$Lv3.6452@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1f9df7e75294c322989a45@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <OEVYg.10365$Y24.5284@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >You may
>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>> >> >
>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>> >
>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>> >
>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>
>>
>> You see no value in being effective communicators,
>
> The most effective communication is plain english!
>
> 73
>
> Chris

Yes you're right, unless you're trying to pass a message through someone
that doesn't know how to speak english.

CW is a wonderful thing. Real communicators know CW and know how to use it.

SC

2766. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:13:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VqzZg.8971$Lv3.2544@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161131959.233533.5020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> >You may
>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>> >> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>> >> >
>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>> >
>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>> >
>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>
>> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like
>> you and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to
>> be real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators,
>> therefore you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW
>> to save a life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to
>> use a microphone to help save anyone too.
>>
>> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the
>> being entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice
>> class again. Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra
>> again.
>>
>> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
>> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem
>> to sing the same tune.

>>
>> SC
>
> Why do you say I'm a low-life?

Did I say low-life? I meant to say lazy.

SC

2767. Re: Woger on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:14:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <przZg.8973$Lv3.8604@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in
news:d1c13.651.19.1@news.alt.net:

> I could have sworn I heard Woger on HF calling CQ on AM 27.185
>
> Then it hit me... Thats CB channel 19! He was asking for something
> called a lot lizzard. CQ CQ CQ for lot lizzards from AB8MQ

Did Markie answer him?

SC

2768. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:20:41 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r7qdnVqOZY11WavYnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:0pzZg.8961$Lv3.8153@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
> news:ap-dnXC1d5QNMqvYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
>> news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>>
>>>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>> That was classic!!!!!
>>
>> However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He
>> tries to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
> I'm motivating them. And when I catch them on the air, they have an
> enjoyable and educational contact.
>

Comments like the dumbed down item and the CB item will NOT motivate them.
To motivate them, simply say "Great, how can I help you explore all the
aspects of ham radio".

> When they find out CW, RTTY, packet, PSK, SSTV, are fun, they have just
> stepped in to a much larger ham radio, than one with just a ham talking on
> a microphone.
>

That is a much better approach so long as you don't go on and on about
"dumbed down" licenses. The people taking the test have no control over the
level of tests required. Simply show them the wonders of ham radio without
comment on your personal opinions about dumbing down, CB, etc.

Dee, N8UZE

2769. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:38:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161218323.226640.158640@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Slow Code wrote:
> "Telstar Electronics" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:1161173042.346442.147660@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> > http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZtelstar-electronicsQQhtZ-1QQfrppZ50QQfso
> > pZ1QQfsooZ1QQrdZ0?
> >
> > www.telstar-electronics.com
>
>
> Wouldn't it suck if someone posted that brochure to usenet. ROFL
>
> Can someone loan me fifty cents?
>
> SC

I wuold suck you

2770. Re: what is asked of Robeson simply honesty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:43:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161218620.163498.128610@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:e6bdj2193rpn5ssmjhnotdu85gh3aeotac@4ax.com:
>
> > appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to
> >
> > calling me a child molestor
> >
> >
> > calling me a rapist
> >
> >
> > call me a welfare fraud
> >
> >
> > calling me a tax cheat
> >
> >
> > clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
> > abusing him
> >
> >
> > calling my father a rapist
> >
> >
> > calling my wife male
> >
> >
> > calling tood gay
> >
> >
> > calling todd perents gay
> >
> >
> > and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future
> >
> >
> > you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
> > keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are
> > in
> > and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
> > something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on
> > wedndays
> > it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also
```

> > consider
> > the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does
> > anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
> > appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here
> >
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
> Markie, if you cared for RRAP, you would ignore the teases, and not
> re-post what you're being teased about. Just ignore it, and stop acting
> like a retard. They tease you because you act retarded. Just learn CW
> and ignore the teases.
>
> SC

pack me,sc

2771. Re: more lie from Gobbels student Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:52:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161219162.801837.173340@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > indeed becuase you lack even the corage to give your name
> >
> > You lack the "courage" to sign YOUR name to posts, Morkie...So
> > where do you get off trying to ride someone else about it?
>
> nosense I sign everyone of them

I wsh stev wuold just lte me scuk himm off

2772. Re: not swollowing load is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 00:55:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161219300.769776.35850@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Sometimes it's funny to watch who gets in line for a free hand-out.
>
> SC

i id wehn i punce youre peter

2773. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:01:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9jjdj2dut1jq3c103hgandn71mma950qvn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"an_odd_freak" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161135623.020165.120820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> > news:1161028898.573069.307150@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> > But if you and Markie ever get full HF privledges, God & Hiram Help
>>> > us.
>>>
>>> Why? Do you fear us working out of band Frenchmen? We could do that
>>> just as well on 6 Meters.
>> BB I belieeve you have mentioned passing a code test at some point that
>> would allow you fullaccess to hf today I could look up your license or
>> you could tell me
>
>
>
>The FCC still has the old CB calls in a database?
i would not know but Brain has an HF cappable license which he is on
the loose in HF
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2774. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:03:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <okjdj29snd9v98mk8n41mcdjj266m52b47@4ax.com>
Source record: 168792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:57 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in
>news:%igZg.62280$KR1.12110@bignews2.bellsouth.net:
>
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>> news:tk4uh2dh82m53rpoucr8nduc0ous56rf0e@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 19:27:33 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 30 Sep 2006 16:39:47 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>And you probably answered some theory questions about modes you'll
>>>>>never use and formulas you'll never see again. Maybe we should just
>>>>>eliminate the theory exam, too.
>>>>
>>>>and what do we gain by doing that it is certainly an option when
>>>>eleimate code testing we eleimate something that makes the ARS look
>>>>stpuid obviously we gain by that
>>>>
>>>>Look stupid? Oh, excuse me!
>>> yes you are stupid and anothe rof the usenet cowards
>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are a lot of people who don't want to be bothered with the
>>>>theory exam, either. And when it comes right down to it, what do we
>>>>really need a theory exam for?
>>> you tell me
>>>
>>> I think it benifits the ARS by insuring that new hams reconize the
>>> term and the rules involved in the ARS
>>>
>>> don't you think it does that?
>>>
>>>> Most CB'ers and electronic hobbyists
>>>>have the technical expertise to put a multi-band rig and antenna on
>>>>the air and start operating without any trouble at all.
>>>
>>> and many so Indeed we could despense with the technical question sif
>>> it was found to be to our benifit
>>>
>>>> They feel they

>>>>shouldn't need to take a test in order to do that, and a good case may
>>>>be made in favor of that approach. Homebrew and experiment? Sure, why
>>>>not? They can do that too. I did when I was on CB, so why can't
>>>>others? Why should I take a test that includes superflous questions
>>>>about operating modes and aspects of electronics and computers that I
>>>>have absolutely no intention of employing in my day to day station
>>>>operation?
>>>>
>>>>Now allow me to put on the "other hat".
>>>
>>> pput on such hats as you please
>>>>
>>>>CW is a part of amateur radio's heritage and history.
>>>
>>> agreed
>>>>One has to
>>>>embrace the past to realize where one is today.
>>> that is merely one method but one is not required to emabrace the past
>>> or to real;ize where we are today
>>>> Having said that, CW
>>>>is not an obsolete mode by any means;
>>>
>>> it is obslete
>>>>it is timeless. It was a viable
>>>>communications mode 50 years ago, it still is today, and it will still
>>>>be perfectly viable 10,000 years from now.
>>>
>>> which does not prevent it from being oselte
>>>
>>> the Longbow it is still a vaible weapon today will be for some time to
>>> come
>>>
>>> it is none the less obeslete
>>>> It's spectrum efficient
>>>>and highly effective under adverse conditions. So what if it happens
>>>>to be dated? There is absolutlely nothing wrong with the preservation
>>>>and continued use of old but perfectly good technologies.
>>>
>>> and I don't object to YOU doing so but I do object to your insistance
>>> on public specturm being used to do as a complution on all those that
>>> wish to use it
>>>> It's just
>>>>too bad if some operators feel that a certain operating mode reflects
>>>>badly on the amateur community solely because it's been around for a
>>>>long time. There is nothing "stupid" about this issue.
>>>
>>> what is stupid is this insistance that I must help to preserve some
>>> thing that YOU value and wish preserved and that I think we would be
>>> better off leting it go the way of Spark
>>>>

>>>>Am I supporting the elimination of the theory exam and promoting the
>>>>testing of morse skills? Of course not, although I can see how one
>>>>would reach that conclusion from my statements. I'm being purposely
>>>>obtuse.
>>> and insulting
>>>> What amateur radio needs is BALANCE.
>>>
>>> which it will lack as long as the ARS insist on worshiping the ONE
>>> mode CW above the rest of the ARS combined as the leicense system does
>>> today
>>>> It needs operators with
>>>>a rich set of skills and traits that will set it apart from the other
>>>>radio services. When those skills and traits cease to exist, the
>>>>service will perish, and eventually the spectrum will be sold to the
>>>>highest bidder.
>>>>
>>>>My $.02 Draw your own conclusions.
>>> my conclusion is that you will twist truth and logic anyway you like
>>> to achive your end
>>>
>>> for that matter so will I
>>>>
>>>>- - . . . . . - -
>>>>
>>>>NT
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>
>> Indeed we could dispense with much of the technical qualifications some
>> day. The technical part is basically an attempt to make sure the amatuer
>> is competent enough to operate their equipment in a maner that does not
>> interfere with other services outside of his designated allocation of
>> spectrum, in other words, within FCC regulations. At such a time when
>> all equipment is made idiot proof and all hams use store bought idiot
>> proofed gear we may see this.So far the one experiment at this has
>> failed. You think you have something idiot proof and then guess what,
>> someone makes a better idiot. So I am not worried about requirement of
>> theory going away. As far a CW is concerned to gain the privledges hams
>> have today they had to show they were a national asset. Part of being
>> that asset was our ability to process emergency traffic should the need
>> arrive. At the time CW was needed to accomplish this. It is no longer
>> needed to meet our obligation of service. OF course this begs the
>> question, can we fullfil our obligation. To this I believe we can, but
>> are we really needed. CW has been replaced by other technologies, it
>> would make more sense to require typing skills than CW, an idea I dont
>> think is so bad even though I may have trouble with twenty wpm on a
>> keyboard.

>
>
>If CW has been replaced by other technologies, why aren't more amateurs
>doing the modernized modes?
becuase the are turely antitech luddites like yourself
> It's because they don't want too.
that is there choice
> Ham radio
>has been dumbed down and we can't even force hams to use them to be
>proficient communicators.

"froce" you advocate force to compeel ARS ops to do as you think best
>
>CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio, Laziness is.
and CW is laziness
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2775. Re: Jeez Markie, get a grip you tard. Do what Steve does.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:03:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161219807.612291.108990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> When Steve gets teased he doesn't follow-up, he ignores it. You need to
> learn to do that same thing, but you don't. You take a crap in every
> single thread, and if you think you missed crapping in a thread, you
> crap on it twice.
>
> We're never going to get policy discussions going here again if you keep
> posting like a friggen retard. Just ignore steve. Learn to Spell, and
> Learn CW.
>
> SC
clen-up the ars an let me punce yuorm rectum

2776. Re: I listen to Omega One Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:03:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <8njdj21838japnitd3tgfo9vjl8lko6kql@4ax.com>
Source record: 168793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9f3f85b75ed590989a4a@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <ftgXg.8076$Y24.1470@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.1f9612a4234542aa989a40@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>> > In article <eACVg.5938$Y24.3847@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> >> "Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> >> news:1160134904.164213.315210@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> He doesn't have intellect.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Why are you answering your own sockpuppets, Sniveling Cunt?
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> Mrs. Wiseman.
>>> >>
>>> >> You have a filthy mouth.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >>
>>> > Pot Kettle Black!
>>>
>>>
>>> Wrong.
>>>
>>> Maybe you should undo your killfilter for a day or two so you can see
>>> what the filth in RRAP looks like.
>>
>> I'm just adding you to it. Cleans up the noise ratio on all the groups!
>
>
>
>He's upset because he knows CW makes a valuable ham,

no he know you are no good coawrdly troll
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2777. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:04:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <fojdj2d1h31am5kj8s3jg7l8ibmta27l06@4ax.com>
Source record: 168794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:12:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>news:3fpZg.3343$Ka1.3007@news01.roc.ny:
>

>Now I try to help other hams and potential hams on radio and on usenet,
>but the usenet hams are so lazy they don't want to learn anything. They
>want licensing to be dumbed down some more.
speack for yourself only please
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2778. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:04:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gpjdj2tbf3utl2i6ump09jrvmp59fn1429@4ax.com>
Source record: 168795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.
cw has clearly fried your brain
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2779. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:06:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4qjdj296u246l4vhofgf2eol82ebi341c5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:13:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9df7e75294c322989a45@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <OEVYg.10365$Y24.5284@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >> >You may
>>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>>> >> >
>>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>>> >
>>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>>
>>>
>>> You see no value in being effective communicators,
>>
>> The most effective communication is plain english!
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Chris
>
```

>
>Yes you're right, unless you're trying to pass a message through someone
>that doesn't know how to speak english.
that isn't impossble either it is called Pheonetics or did n't they
test you on that
>
>CW is a wonderful thing. Real communicators know CW and know how to use
>it.
gee the NSA is not made of real comicators

yea right
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2780. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:06:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1sjdj25frk7v818blfi4d06taogmem3q41@4ax.com>
Source record: 168797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:13:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161131959.233533.5020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >> >You may
>>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>>> >> >
>>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>>> >
>>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>>
>>> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like
>>> you and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to
>>> be real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators,
>>> therefore you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW
>>> to save a life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to
>>> use a microphone to help save anyone too.
>>>
>>> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the
>>> being entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice
>>> class again. Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra
>>> again.
>>>
>>> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
```

>>> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem
>>> to sing the same tune.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Why do you say I'm a low-life?
>
>
>Did I say low-life? I meant to say lazy.
you said lowlife

and to a code tsted and aproved ham too
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2781. Re: Fuck steveo homofob

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:07:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161220021.557524.199570@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> Fuck the FBI
>
> Fuck the CIA
>
> I'm living in the mother fucking USA. ($1 Steve Earle)
i punce

2782. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:07:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7tjdj2p87a4r86r4vujta44h03e0j1l5kb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9df8f3b0099a28989a47@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>>>
>>> Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>>
>> How many have you personally run off the air?
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Chris
>>
>
>
>No one. I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
>licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
>like my proposals can stay on CB.

anyone who like them can't stay on CB too

I know hate cb (although you seem to know all about it is not an
either or thing with Ham radio

a real ham would know that
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2783. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:07:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <5vjdj2pmdqoobr7a1r84f17qkgl0kdni3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 168801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
>CW practice coming along?
why practice CW at all?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2784. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:08:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mvjdj2h9agu7k12v4s0qcisunnraktvjvn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:55d8j2phv70pi1cun8m3b6go9avfm6553r@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>People that don't want to have to learn anything for a license
>really hate me.
no any one human hates you
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2785. Re: Here the R&O-- FCC if interested.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:08:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <f0kdj2pv2g0g72jsjn7nn5jd4mcu5srm6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 168803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>bill_newport.4land@yahoo.com wrote in
>news:1161070545.110589.149070@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> john wrote:
>>> Slow Code if you act like this on the radio I wouldn't want to know
>>> you.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> John, the truth is if you talked to SC in person you'd drop to your
>> knees trying to kiss his ass. You're a typical welfare ham. Now go play
>> with your little HT, faggot!
>>
>>
>
>
>ROFLMAO, that was good.
get help I mean it truly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2786. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:09:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <71kdj29ttdk2e1e5nohbp5uffv6bkm53oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 168802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9dfdc41af33a9e989a48@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <1EVYg.10345$Y24.6111@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> Can you say pot Kettle Black. All you ever do is whine whine whine.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Chris
>>
>
>
>How's your code practice coming along, or are you hoping for the easy road
>to HF like Markie? \

no just a fair raod

>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2787. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:09:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p1kdj2tjiq6ql3l33cshnbbq4gt8jnqpuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:mad8j21k9m095vk1gq6a0jomfj3ut83vu0@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Is 11 meters dead or did your CB radio break again? You
>seem to be spending a lot more time on the internet lately.
trolling right along ham hater
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2788. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:09:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h2kdj2dtngo2c3b716ob9j3un7kq3mcq20@4ax.com>
Source record: 168805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>
>> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>> get into his face about CW.
>>
>> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>
>>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>
>>>SC
>>>
>>>
>> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>> get into his face about CW.
>>
>> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
>
>
>WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?

>
>If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.
nah it will be arronat soB's like you
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2789. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:11:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <n3kdj2pou90gkb13avm9412cfo89ab62r5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:20:41 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:0pzZg.8961$Lv3.8153@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>> news:ap-dnXC1d5QNMqvYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
>>> news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>> That was classic!!!!!
>>>
>>> However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He
>>> tries to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.
>>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>> I'm motivating them. And when I catch them on the air, they have an
>> enjoyable and educational contact.
>>
>
>Comments like the dumbed down item and the CB item will NOT motivate them.
>To motivate them, simply say "Great, how can I help you explore all the
>aspects of ham radio".
pity you don't know anymore about mtoivating with bit about inferoir
hams
>
>> When they find out CW, RTTY, packet, PSK, SSTV, are fun, they have just
>> stepped in to a much larger ham radio, than one with just a ham talking on
>> a microphone.
>>
>
>That is a much better approach so long as you don't go on and on about
>"dumbed down" licenses. The people taking the test have no control over the
>level of tests required. Simply show them the wonders of ham radio without

>comment on your personal opinions about dumbing down, CB, etc.

you might try the same but
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2790. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:11:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k5kdj2dnr0rjguah5mjvq83agdfon8qom1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Slow Code <me@my.mail> wrote in
>news:BACVg.595224.6936@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
>> Those of you who want everything handed to you instead of working for
>> it will be glad to hear that I am going to start giving away an award
>> that you lids, kids, and space cadets can prominently display anywhere
>> that you like. Only the lids, kids, and space cadets will be
>> eligible, and they will win the beautiful logo shown below. Right
>> now, the first awards are likely to go to Mark, hot-ham-and-spam, and
>> the ever-entertaining "john." Stay tuned to learn about the winning
>> losers.
>>
>> begin 644 scaward.png
>
>
>
>Forgot. I want to get one to Chris and Lenny too.
>
>Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
>lots more fun when you're a real ham.
when do you plan to becoem a real ham?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2791. Re: I don't hear Omega One Todd, turn up the power.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:11:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <b6kdj2l698qkid777q5h5k67crpg8uvusp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:b9qaj2plu4eak6o069lo9okudqihvtea72@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 23:31:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Thanks.
>> id theft is a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>Mark you idiot. I don't know why I even follow-up to your dumb ass posts
>anymore you're such a retard.
becuase you know I am winning
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2792. Re: FIX SC ONCE AND FOR ALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:12:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <a7kdj2t3svjguddg54rakthhirqdakvfv9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9f3d68fcedebb9989a49@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> I've had enough of you. PLONK!! Thats you going in my filter. I
>> suggest everyone else just ignore him or killfile him. He has nothing
>> of worth to say.
>
>
>
>Chris is just upset because he knows CW makes a real ham
nope he know you are not a real ham
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2793. Re: more lie from Gobbels student Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:13:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h8kdj2lh32819s9vaebtdfajqprnvjoggn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap,rec.radio.cb

On 18 Oct 2006 17:52:42 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2794. Re: what is asked of Robeson simply honesty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:13:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <i9kdj21fsbihbg17d90tlcg0rlj7jjabup@4ax.com>
Source record: 168811

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:13:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote in news:e6bdj2193rpn5ssmjhnotdu85gh3aeotac@4ax.com:
>
>> appolgize for all your lies such but not limited to
>>
>> calling me a child molestor
>>
>>
>> calling me a rapist
>>
>>
>> call me a welfare fraud
>>
>>
>> calling me a tax cheat
>>
>>
>> clying about me abusing my father and lying about me admiting to
>> abusing him
>>
>>
>> calling my father a rapist
>>
>>
>> calling my wife male
>>
>>
>> calling tood gay
>>
>>
>> calling todd perents gay
>>
>>
>> and you need to refrain from such nbehavoir in the future
>>
>>
>> you also need to get you facts straight before you shot off your
>> keboard such but not limited lerning what timezone your targets are
>> in
>> and learning where thing are before you start insiting you know
>> something about where PT is done in this couty as matter of on
>> wedndays
>> it is a distance i could easily walk from here, you might also

>> consider
>> the fact I don't have to stay with him all the time he in PT nor does
>> anybody leave at 11:15 am for 1;300pm papointment, es when that
>> appointment is some where just bearing out sight from here
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>Markie, if you cared for RRAP,
I don't care for RRAP perse I care about justice, a concept beyons
your ability to understand
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2795. Re: 14.195 usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:14:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <1bkdj2dc2gj4k2k13nbl3fqmodtn6frhh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Wolfgang Fartbubble <swift240@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>news:GyuZg.175665$wg.170600@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk:
>
>> Whats going on, at 14.195 USB ?
>> For a few days now, there has been some one using this frequency and
>> there is some one else bent on getting him off, by doing all sorts of
>> things to mess it up.
>
>
>Probably just a couple nickle hams fighting. It's going to get a lot
>worse after CW is eliminated.
not likely
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2796. Re: AB8MQ is out of jail and back on the internet again,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:15:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sbkdj25kia8fnc4gf8sud3d58m90cgo5ou@4ax.com>
Source record: 168813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Cocksucker Lloyd" <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1161201307.565991.143150@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> MarkMoron@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On Wed, 11 Oct 2006 00:44:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>> >I don't thing he missed crapping on a single thread.
>>>
>>> you and Robeson and Wismen are the crappers
>>
>> And you are the eater of shit that eats it all up!
>
>
>
>Woger, Woger, Woger,
>
>Markie has been waitin fer ya ta cum and abuse him.
wrong
> I'm sure he's glad to
>see you. He's getting tired of K4YZ.
you got something right
>
>w
woger is code tested and aproved Extra
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2797. more shit from slow code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:16:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jdkdj2hjfu2i8gtglq9ut6dl6vpqq9gtc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>New Hams just don't want to modernize
no it is the old that don't want to modernize
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2798. slowcode tries troling the cber he claims to hate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:16:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tekdj292cbm56n8tf82a7u48626k5i2c1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 168815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in
>news:DyeYg.8304$484.5075@twister.nyroc.rr.com:
>
>> Jay in the Mohave was an easy 5/9 into Upstate New York here tonight at
>> 23:30Z on 38LSB. Jay, you sounded GREAT! That antenna you've put
>> together ROCKS !!!
>
>
>Is lower 38 like the good-buddy CB SSB calling freq?
this was aCB thread SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2799. . Do what Steve does. No SC I am not going to go around making wild acusation of criminal behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:18:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <sfkdj2tp8mof3lj0atv0ue4vvm8c5f9n86@4ax.com>
Source record: 168816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>When Steve gets teased he doesn't follow-up, he ignores it.
have you bveen reading the same Ng as me SC he goes away for a few day
then when he can't stand anymorehe come with new lies and more of his
obession with shit

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2800. Sc oposes news hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:19:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <2jkdj2ts8ci070b1mrvdtfscrmt8a3bik3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

> Sometimes it's funny to watch who gets in line for a free hand-out.

i doubt I will be the fist ve sessions are bit sparse in these parts
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2801. fair licening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:20:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ikkdj25pvpqfmtd7muse66ksgics52751m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>That's what you all want.
nope just license requirements with a legal basis and regulatory
validness
>An easy way to get a lot of people a ticket.
don't care it fair works out to easy or hard
>You don't care if they can communicate or if they will be an asset to the
>service.
neither is a requiremtn something for which you should be gratefull
since obbvious you are neither
> Sometimes I wonder if you're not all getting kick backs from the
>ARRL.
then you are a fool in yet new ways than I thought
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2802. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:21:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161220879.494759.251950@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > > > > By now they've long since
> > > > > gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> > > > > rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
> > > > >
> > > > They gotta accept 'em and read 'em. They don't have to act on them.
> > > >
> > > Who audits this process to make sure they all get "equal reads" and
> > > consideration? Where's the published policies on the topic? Answer:
> > > There ain't neither. You can fill in the rest.
> > >
> > They do read all of the comments.
> >
> Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
> I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
> the contrary or you've been there yourself.

Yer missing the point.

Somebody at FCC reads 'em. That's all.

My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
thing more than once.

Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").

What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading. Much
smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?

> > What they conclude is another matter.
> >
> Yeah, they conclude on sight that they're not gonna actually read his
> crap . .

All I'm saying is that they read it. Taking it seriously is another
thing...

> > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
> >
> > Not my problem. Not yours, either.
>
> That I agree with.

Ya broke da code.
>
> > > > FCC could have just dropped Element 1 in August 2003. Memorandum Report
> > > > and Order, coupla paragraphs and done. All they'd have to do is say
> > > > that the issue was thoroughly discussed before the 2000 restructuring,
> > > > and the treaty was the only reason they kept Element 1.
> > > >
> > > > But they didn't, and now it's almost 3-1/2 years later.
> > >
> > > (a) They're toying with us for jollies.
> > > (b) They know it doesn't matter one way or another.
> > > (c) They're internally deadlocked on the subject just like we are.
> > > (d) It'll show up in Omnibus II.
> >
> > I'd say (a) and (b), plus a bit of
> >
> > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> > mountains of commentary.
>
> I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
> down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
> used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.

Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.

> In any event thee and
> me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
> bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.

Code test is a completely different issue.

I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
did. Or something else.
>
> 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
> . .

Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
fuss was about. I still do.
>
> > > > But that makes no difference when it comes to getting what we want out
> > > > of FCC. I think we'd do a lot better to get a consensus *before*
> > > > deluging them with proposals and comments, that's all.
> >
> > > Idealism and standard motherhood get you nowhere.

> >
> > I'm not saying it'll ever happen, just that it explains why it takes so
> > long to get anything from FCC.
>
> Eternity is not a particulary long time in gummint buracracies like the
> FCC. Been there, been part of it.

Good point. Why should they hurry?

> > and why the result is so unlike what is
> > proposed.
>
> . . . "Mother knows best" . . .
>
> Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
> contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
> the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
> Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
> God knows when. Reset: Game started over.

Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.
>
> I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
> 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
> akin to that.

I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
that's all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2803. Re: Woger on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:21:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <onkdj2li37ki7rbs9urr3m4g5p4n4d0flo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:14:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in
>news:d1c13.651.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> I could have sworn I heard Woger on HF calling CQ on AM 27.185
>>
>> Then it hit me... Thats CB channel 19! He was asking for something
>> called a lot lizzard. CQ CQ CQ for lot lizzards from AB8MQ
>
>
>Did Markie answer him?
no I did not I obey the rules sc (nor did i hear him)
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2804. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:21:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <mokdj2ldb072nescp9dds9q5ol4vahqiuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2805. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:21:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6pkdj2tvnqvuut39e16sbe4mt576aeccrv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 18 Oct 2006 17:55:00 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2806. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:22:13 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <fnkdj2pbopfhiqtkmls2d73mgq5ugc6adc@4ax.com>
Source record: 168822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9df8f3b0099a28989a47@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>>>
>>> Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>>
>> How many have you personally run off the air?
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Chris
>>
>
>
>No one. I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
>licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
>like my proposals can stay on CB.
>
>SC

2807. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:22:27 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <dqkdj2dvv6iqrso00us44289ogjg53n2ob@4ax.com>
Source record: 168823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:g8d8j25r896va0reit442hemcjd2kmhfor@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>People that don't want to have to learn anything for a ham
>license really hate me. 11 meters dead or something? You
>seem to be spending a lot more time on the internet lately.
>
>SC

2808. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:07 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <jrkdj2l54jjo5i7iefecaamog10bp39lof@4ax.com>
Source record: 168825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> >> >""''''''

>> I wrote these of the top of my head as being things that Canadians
>> would know. If you can answer most of these questions, you would have
>> enough knowledge to discuss Canadian topics. I will supply the answers
>> if there is any interest.
>
>
>(11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?
>
>A province.
>
>SC

2809. Re: 14.195 usb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:18 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <1skdj2994leadfmrk2v7j7ndreaep0p6ct@4ax.com>
Source record: 168826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Wolfgang Fartbubble <swift240@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in
>news:GyuZg.175665$wg.170600@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk:
>
>> Whats going on, at 14.195 USB ?
>> For a few days now, there has been some one using this frequency and
>> there is some one else bent on getting him off, by doing all sorts of
>> things to mess it up.
>
>
>Probably just a couple nickle hams fighting. It's going to get a lot
>worse after CW is eliminated.
>
>SC

2810. Re: ARRL Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:33 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <bskdj217egg1s14d7h20mp53ce98orqokg@4ax.com>
Source record: 168827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:55d8j2phv70pi1cun8m3b6go9avfm6553r@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>People that don't want to have to learn anything for a license
>really hate me.
>
>SC

2811. Re: CW isn't preventing the modernization of ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:23:58 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8tkdj2lpncu2fsgvgarns76v1bimb9kr9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>New Hams just don't want to modernize, they're lazy and don't want to have
>to learn anything. I'm a 20 wpm Extra and the code requirement didn't
>stop me from modernizing beyond a microphone and CW. So don't believe
>anyone that says CW is killing ham radio. They're just sick, lame or
>Lazy.
>
>SC

2812. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:24:10 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <itkdj2p4lmpjhmu08v6sufmppio4hc5it2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:10:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:49d8j2h7lm1pqcc2bpt9keju598bpm1qtm@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>I'm glad you enjoy my policy posts.
>
>SC

2813. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:24:23 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <0ukdj25k0rugnfliuh8dc1trf8ti7hg0m9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>
>> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>> get into his face about CW.
>>
>> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>
>>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>
>>>SC
>>>
>>>
>> Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>> get into his face about CW.
>>
>> Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>> read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>> always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>
>

>
>WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?
>
>If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.
>
>SC

2814. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:24:39 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <jukdj25tp5ucre26128ot0uhr0i3p3c5iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 168831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:mad8j21k9m095vk1gq6a0jomfj3ut83vu0@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Is 11 meters dead or did your CB radio break again? You
>seem to be spending a lot more time on the internet lately.
>
>SC

2815. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:24:50 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tukdj29959p0obu8ujpn1moil8s711scgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:ap-dnXC1d5QNMqvYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in message
>> news:d1bg6.467.19.1@news.alt.net...
>>>> No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>>
>>>> Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>> Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>> That was classic!!!!!
>>
>> However, the way Slow Code slams new hams is totally unacceptable. He
>> tries to drive them out instead of encouraging them to go for the gusto.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>I'm motivating them. And when I catch them on the air, they have an
>enjoyable and educational contact.
>
>When they find out CW, RTTY, packet, PSK, SSTV, are fun, they have just
>stepped in to a much larger ham radio, than one with just a ham talking on
>a microphone.
>
>SC

2816. Re: Plagiarism: Is this N9OGL's, K4YZ's, or N0VFP's high school pic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:25:02 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <8vkdj2his390hb6c0glokbqchcvv4ppod3@4ax.com>
Source record: 168833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>john <john@nospam.net> wrote in
>news:ecd8j29slfjrtsfop0tm1ha2qdc251bcln@4ax.com:
>
>> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>Thanks John.
>
>Did Markie teach you how to cut and paste? How your
>CW practice coming along?
>
>SC

2817. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:25:12 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <jvkdj2l3fulng817dc1g7c1rh47s54tibo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:13:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:MPG.1f9df7e75294c322989a45@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>
>> In article <OEVYg.10365$Y24.5284@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >> >You may
>>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>>> >> >
>>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>>> >
>>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>>
>>>
>>> You see no value in being effective communicators,
>>
>> The most effective communication is plain english!
>>
>> 73
>>
```

>> Chris
>
>
>Yes you're right, unless you're trying to pass a message through someone
>that doesn't know how to speak english.
>
>CW is a wonderful thing. Real communicators know CW and know how to use
>it.
>
>SC
>

2818. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:25:23 UTC
From: Thats Right_ 20wpm <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <tvkdj2dbtgsf3dgoo744q1n3nf1s3jkh2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 168835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
the Jammer because no one listens to him.

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:13:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161131959.233533.5020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1160923577.020314.134650@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >> On 13 Oct 2006 07:59:20 -0700, kc4uai@gmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >kb9rqz-1@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> bob_deep@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >> >You may
>>> >> >> > not agree with their suggested solutions, but you'd have to
>>> >> >> > agree that we have a problem. Right?
>>> >> >> notice you have gotten no reply
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Having waited almost a week for a reasonable response...
>>> >> >
>>> >> >The silence is telling. I believe you are right, he doesn't care..
>>> >
>>> >> indeed and it gets worse I am sure he believes the solution is worse
>>> >> than the problem because it does not promote cw forever
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code is only here to troll. He's a fan of CW, but not a big
>>> > enough fan to actually defent the continued testing of Morse Code
>>> > with any valid reasoning.
>>>
>>> Here's a valid reason for continued CW testing: To keep lowlifes like
>>> you and Markie out of ham radio and off HF. You're too lazy to try to
>>> be real hams. You see no value in being effective communicators,
>>> therefore you're both worthless to the service and if you had to use CW
>>> to save a life that person would die. You're both probably too lazy to
>>> use a microphone to help save anyone too.
>>>
>>> I'd like to see the No-code Tech class go away with a 5 wpm Tech the
>>> being entry level to the service, or bring back a code only Novice
>>> class again. Require 13 wpm code test for general and 20 wpm an Extra
>>> again.

>>>
>>> People that don't like it should stay on CB. Ten-Four? This should
>>> provide you and Markie a lot to stroke each other with. You both seem
>>> to sing the same tune.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Why do you say I'm a low-life?
>
>
>Did I say low-life? I meant to say lazy.
>
>SC

2819. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:25:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <pvkdj21cjbpao2cj5i7mqrp43mvf9cj39a@4ax.com>
Source record: 168836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:22:13 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm
<20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net> wrote:

>Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>the Jammer because no one listens to him.
that is likely why he is on here so much nobody lsitens to him on air
>
>
>On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>news:MPG.1f9df8f3b0099a28989a47@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>>> In article <kEVYg.10357$Y24.4085@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Getting a license and then leaving, that's not how it should be.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>>
>>>>
>>> How many have you personally run off the air?
>>>
>>> 73
>>>
>>> Chris
>>>
>>
>>
>>No one. I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
>>licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
>>like my proposals can stay on CB.
>>
>>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2820. id tehft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 01:29:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <v6ldj258op90ilqgnteokg9sbt0h8vn45f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 18 Oct 2006 18:07:01 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2821. Re: STOP YOUR SHIT MORK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:04:59 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161223499.279244.45410@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> id theft is crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2822. Best of Best Radio Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:08:43 UTC
From: slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161223723.701281.44760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.shortwave

lots of great no-code gear
http://www.bozotheclown.net/

2823. Re: STOP YOUR SHIT WOGER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:08:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <jgndj2he2an12r19657113c46h53nnl4bn@4ax.com>
Source record: 168840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Oct 2006 19:04:59 -0700, slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:

>
you and stev are the one filling the NG with shit
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2824. Re: Best of Best Radio Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:10:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20061018221130.303$Tx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 168841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.shortwave

slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> lots of great no-code gear
> http://www.bozotheclown.net/
>
Blondie has some legs eh?

http://www.amateurlinearamplifiers.com/cgi-bin/cart.cgi

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

2825. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:49:16 UTC
From: "Sal M. Onella" <salmonella@food.poisoning.org>
Message-ID: <MIBZg.5883$gM1.1548@fed1read12>
Source record: 168842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

< snip >

> I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
>licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
>like my proposals can stay on CB.
>
>SC

Such a bitter, inflexible, "my-way or the high-way" attitude might be coming
from a very unhappy person. Pleasant people know how to compromise.

This guy could have inflamed hemorhhoids or a bad home life ... maybe both.

[I've had him blocked for weeks; I caught this little gem in a quote-back.]

2826. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:50:39 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161226239.620401.189010@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168843

From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 9:52pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>
>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
>I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks to advise you what I've
>told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet.

Hmmm...that alone makes you "rude and insulting." Tsk, tsk.

Who is "the red-hatted monkey?"

Who is "the old organ-grinder?"

Who is "Jimmie Noserve?"

Who is "the Waffen SS guy?"

>The same cannot be said for you, Avery.

My name is NOT "Avery."

Where do you find this "Avery?" Have you seen this "Avery"
on some Internet service provider? Lately? In the last
year? [other than the Avery Company which makes lots and
lots of labels and other office supplies]

Why are you being so rude and insulting in your remarks?

Who cares? You WILL be rude and insulting every time
someone writes anything against your opinions! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2827. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:51:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <c0qdj2t1jbftl17pjhp2crs125ifbnh333@4ax.com>
Source record: 168844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 13 Oct 2006 00:18:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
>news:42ea1$452e7990$4fc6760$7984@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
>> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>>
>> The R&O released yesterday covers a plethora of stuff. Here are some
>> notes on things.
>>
>>
>> Novice bands eliminated. Novices/Tech+ have same CW Freqs as General on
>> 80, 40, 15M. 80 and 40M.
>>
>> Phone bands expanded, down to 3600 on 75M, down to 7125 on 40M.
>>
>> Extra class phone segment on 75 expanded to 100kc (3600-3700), Advanced
>> class similarly gets 100kc (3700-3800), General will start at 3800.
>>
>> This isn't in effect yet !!! But will be soon.
>>
>> Ace - WH2T
>
>
>It's clear the FCC and the ARRL want to drive out all good hams from the
>service. Little by little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the
>bands and hand it over to the appliance operators.

funny how CW is losing now BW at all
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2828. Re: New FCC Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:52:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <t1qdj2pgsd9ldgo3pdbtd5ebcoio1qns34@4ax.com>
Source record: 168845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 19:49:16 -0700, "Sal M. Onella"
<salmonella@food.poisoning.org> wrote:

>
>On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:11:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>
>< snip >
>
>> I'm proposing changes that will help individuals that get
>>licensed, stay licensed and use their license. Anyone that doesn't
> >like my proposals can stay on CB.
> >
>>SC
>
>Such a bitter, inflexible, "my-way or the high-way" attitude might be coming
>from a very unhappy person. Pleasant people know how to compromise.
it is coming from a very unhappy fellow
>
>This guy could have inflamed hemorhhoids or a bad home life ... maybe both.
honestly he does make it hard to care
>
>[I've had him blocked for weeks; I caught this little gem in a quote-back.]
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2829. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:55:02 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161226502.097440.214780@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168846

From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

>There aren't many of your posts in which you don't tell some outright
>falsehood.

"Outright falsehoods?" Illuminate the group with your
godly light and tell me that OPINIONS are "factual." :-)

>Both CNN and Fox News carried accounts of cellular phone
>service being disrupted. I'll bet some of those radio hams end up
>handling communication in the aftermath of the earthquake.

Have CNN or Fox News carried acounts of hams "up handling
communication" in the aftermath of the [Hawaii] earthquake?
How about ABC, CBS, or NBC? Any major newspapers?

The Hawaii 6.7-Richter earthquake is OVER, senior. No
loss of life. Property damage, some. Utility damage,
some. The Governor of Hawaii has been quoted (several
times) as saying "Hawaii is open for business!" :-)

Is amateur radio about business? Sorry, it can't be.
FCC defines USA amateur radio as being done WITHOUT
pecuniary interest. No money for services rendered.
That doesn't sound like any "business" in the normal sense.

>Texas is west of the Mississippi.

Fantastic bit of TRIVIA, mister atlas. :-)

Or do we call you Rand or McNally? :-)

>I served in the military only four years, Len.

That's four MORE years than some civilian in PA served. :-)

>I've posted nothing here
>in documenting my active duty service.

Not a word? Oh, THAT is a complete FALSEHOOD! :-)

>I've not, nor would I ever make
>any such documentation available to you in an e-mail.

How about making it available to law enforcement? :-)

>Look what kind of
>things you've written about my active duty military service.

Tsk, I've failed to acknowledge your glorious heroism
in "a country at war?" Oh, my. Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk...

You need some medal or ribbon to highlight your "active
duty military service?" BUY some. They are easy to
purchase. Somebody with the license call K4YZ has done
that, hasn't he? :-)

Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
that. Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
Gilliland has made it available. I've got a whole
20-page photo essay posted on what I did.

>You haven't attended catechism studies and haven't been confirmed. You
>don't pledge, you don't tithe. You may not partake. You're still an
>unbaptized heathen in the world of amateur radio.

Sorry, but I Pledge from time to time. Good furniture
polish and dusting treatment.

I don't tithe amateur radio. It is NOT a religion.
It isn't a recognized religion. Except maybe in a
small town in Connecticutt? :-)

Tsk, tsk, I've been a hobbyist in radio and electronics
for 59 years, still doing that. I've been a professional
(as in accepting compensation for work performed) for 54
years, still doing that (but not now in regular office
hours).

I partake all I want, anytime I want. Fascinating thing
this radio-electronics thing. Made it my career choice.

I have a COMMERCIAL radio operator license, even had a
BUSINESS radio license. I got "baptised" (using your
ugly and insulting term) back in 1953 doing high-power
long-distance HF transmitting. I've since transmitted
legally from the air (at the controls of an aircraft)
and from the sea (from a private boat on HF SSB). I've
worked a station ON the moon...something amateurs have
NEVER done. :-)

Well, yes, I would imagine YOU think of ham radio as
some kind of "religion" where mantra is everything but
the technology is too ethereal for you to understand.
Take heart, your epiphany may come, even without anyone
kicking your phany.

As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2830. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:55:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <46qdj29g4f1fq0gr6d1j9n32ghblqecc4t@4ax.com>
Source record: 168847

On 18 Oct 2006 19:50:39 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 9:52pm
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>
>>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>>
>>I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks to advise you what I've
>>told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet.
>
> Hmmm...that alone makes you "rude and insulting." Tsk, tsk.
>
> Who is "the red-hatted monkey?"
Lenn that is Stevism I think never seen Dave use it
>
> Who is "the old organ-grinder?"
>
> Who is "Jimmie Noserve?"
>
> Who is "the Waffen SS guy?"
>
>>The same cannot be said for you, Avery.
>
> My name is NOT "Avery."
>
> Where do you find this "Avery?" Have you seen this "Avery"
> on some Internet service provider? Lately? In the last
> year? [other than the Avery Company which makes lots and
> lots of labels and other office supplies]
>
> Why are you being so rude and insulting in your remarks?

becuase I suspect he is not a ahppy man
>
> Who cares? You WILL be rude and insulting every time
> someone writes anything against your opinions! :-)
he is rude and insulting when one does agree with him unless you agree
with him for exactly the same reason as he favors

>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2831. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:57:49 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161226669.353769.221860@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168848

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:32pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
>> >factual.
>
>Give us an example.

You GOT the "example."

>> He went into fantasy orgasm on ENIAC of 1946.
>
>Just stating facts, Len. Nothing I stated about that machine was
>incorrect.

You copied off the Moore School website PR material.
In itself that material is either incomplete or
erroneous...such as the extravagant claim that
"ENIAC changed the world."

The FACT is that tomorrow, Thursday, 19 October, marks
the 33rd anniversary of a federal court decision on
who had the first electronic computer. The judge's
decision was that Iowa State University had it, the
Atanasoff-Berry Computer (familiarly called "ABC").

Not only that, court transcripts indicate that John
Mauchly had already seen the "ABC" but talked to both
Atanasoff and Berry in detail on that "ABC." Further,
Mauchly and Atanasoff exchanged mail following Mauchly's
trip to Iowa to see the "ABC."

If you wish to see more and in detail on the REAL
"first electronic computer," just go to:

http://www.iastate.edu

And follow the links. There's many pages of information
on the "ABC" plus that famous trial about "the ENIAC
patents." Sperry-Rand (who had purchased the rights to
the ENIAC patents) LOST that trial. TS for Sperry-Rand.
Not only that, the judge chided Mauchly in his decision
paper, stating that Mauchly had taken a priori knowledge
from the "ABC" and tried to pass it off as "his" for the
ENIAC.

>As for the ABC, it was not completely electronic.

Really?!? WTF are you talking about? Look at the Iowa State "ABC" pages. Nice illustrations of it.

>More importantly, the original was never completed.

"Never completed?!?" WTF are you talking about? It was "completed" in the 1939 to 1942 period. As far as a federal court is concerned it was most definitely COMPLETED, completed well enough for the judge to declare it, the "ABC", was the FIRST electronic computer. [TRY to get used to that, Jimmie, I know it is damn difficult for you but for 33 years the "first" electronic computer title has gone to the Atanasoff-Berry Computer of 1939]

>ENIAC was fully operational for over a
>decade -

NOT "fully operational" by Moore School or the short-lived 'company' of Mauchly and Eckert (they went broke and Sperry-Rand had to bail them out by buying rights to the machine). The US Army took it over (having paid for it in the first place) and John Von Neuman suggested the Army should CHANGE certain parts of it.

ENIAC was *NOT* the "First" electronic computer. Get used to it.

>ABC was not finished until 1998.

Bullshit. What *I* described was a REPLICA. Built by the Computer Sciences Department of Iowa State. Between 1942 and about 1994 (a mere 52 years), the original "ABC" had been scrounged for parts for other projects. All that remained of the original (in the 1990s) was one memory drum. Atanasoff and Berry kept good notes and diagrams, even wrote some internal papers about the "ABC." Those were used to build the REPLICA.

"ABC" used a revolving drum holding capacitors for electronic memory storage...each capacitor storing one binary bit. "ABC" had a "recharge" section which would keep the bit capacitors' charges up for as long as it was on. Note: In the 1939-1942 time frame there was no such thing as a magnetic memory drum to use by anyone. [magnetic recording was not yet mature in that time frame, but it was available...barely] Atanasoff and Berry had to use what was available. "High-speed" mass memory didn't exist until the invention of the "Williams tube" in the UK, the one using a CRT faceplate with conductive foil in small patches on it to form an equivalent charge storage for each bit.

ENIAC was NEVER replicated in its original form. At best
is a Moore School internal project for "ENIAC on a chip,"
putting the whole thing on a single IC. That info is on
the ENIAC website, perhaps of interest, perhaps not since
Intel had the FIRST CPU-on-a-chip decades ago.

>But the original ABC was never fully operational, nor complete.

It was COMPLETE. It was FULLY OPERATIONAL as to its
intended tasks.

The "ABC" was intended to be used to solve certain
problems. It did that. While it was NEVER intended
to solve "all-purpose" computing problems (as if the
modern mainframes had existed in 1939 to use as a
model of that), it was FULLY OPERATIONAL enough for a
court to decide which electronic computer was FIRST.

>Doesn't change the validity of what I wrote. The ENIAC was completed
>and operational by 1946. ABC was not.

False. Firstly, YOU NEVER mentioned the "ABC." Secondly,
"ABC" was completed and operational by 1942, four years
prior to the ENIAC first running.

>The patent in question was not
>relevant to which machine was the first general purpose, high speed,
>electronic digital computer.

The TIME FRAME is the relevant item, Jimmie, the TIME FRAME.
1939 to 1942 is WELL BEFORE the ENIAC.

Further, John Mauchly essentially committed intellectual
property theft of certain aspects of "ABC" to use in ENIAC.

Trashcan the "high speed" adjectives for ENIAC, Jimmie.
It is NOT "high speed" at all. It was slower in operation
than my Apple][+ of 1980. It was slower than ALL of the
first personal electronic computers made in the 1960s and
1970s. "Programming" of ENIAC sometimes "took weeks" for
a single task according to some REAL computer history sites
and textbooks.

>> That sort of SELECTIVE highlighting with the sin of
>> omission or related facts is what the ARRL does most of
>> the time.
>
>That's just sour graoes in your part, Len. Completely untrue.

I've never tasted a "sour graoe." What is it?

The ARRL *DOES* 'sin by omission' of lots of radio-electronic
history. 'Sin of omission' refers to mentioning ONLY what
the ARRL thinks is relevant for amateur radio and to make
prospective members think they can join a completely 'honest'

organization. ARRL is also a POLITICAL ENTITY, Jimmie, it lobbies for things *it* wants, but says what it wants is "for the good of amateur radio." [typical POLITICAL spin]

Sorry, Jimmie, but what I wrote *IS* completely TRUE. Try untwisting your knickers a bit and quit trying to defend the ARRL as if you were an army of one. [you've never served in any military, don't know how to fight for your or anyone else's life] ARRL *IS* a political entity and deserves every comment it gets, good or bad.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2832. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 02:59:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <7bqdj25gkubl0jdcog2baopcvdokg71rjm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168849

On 18 Oct 2006 19:55:02 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
>
>>There aren't many of your posts in which you don't tell some outright
>>falsehood.
>
> "Outright falsehoods?" Illuminate the group with your
> godly light and tell me that OPINIONS are "factual." :-)
>
>>Both CNN and Fox News carried accounts of cellular phone
>>service being disrupted. I'll bet some of those radio hams end up
>>handling communication in the aftermath of the earthquake.
>
> Have CNN or Fox News carried acounts of hams "up handling
> communication" in the aftermath of the [Hawaii] earthquake?
> How about ABC, CBS, or NBC? Any major newspapers?
has even Qrz or the ARRL

all i have heard is that the sate emergency were having serious troble
geting reports from the back country
>
> The Hawaii 6.7-Richter earthquake is OVER, senior. No
> loss of life. Property damage, some. Utility damage,
> some. The Governor of Hawaii has been quoted (several
> times) as saying "Hawaii is open for business!" :-)
>
> Is amateur radio about business? Sorry, it can't be.
> FCC defines USA amateur radio as being done WITHOUT
> pecuniary interest. No money for services rendered.
> That doesn't sound like any "business" in the normal sense.
>
>>Texas is west of the Mississippi.
>
> Fantastic bit of TRIVIA, mister atlas. :-)
>
> Or do we call you Rand or McNally? :-)
>

>
>>I served in the military only four years, Len.
>
> That's four MORE years than some civilian in PA served. :-)
>
>
>>I've posted nothing here
>>in documenting my active duty service.
>
> Not a word? Oh, THAT is a complete FALSEHOOD! :-)
>
>
>>I've not, nor would I ever make
>>any such documentation available to you in an e-mail.
>
> How about making it available to law enforcement? :-)
>
>
>>Look what kind of
>>things you've written about my active duty military service.
>
> Tsk, I've failed to acknowledge your glorious heroism
> in "a country at war?" Oh, my. Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk...
>
> You need some medal or ribbon to highlight your "active
> duty military service?" BUY some. They are easy to
> purchase. Somebody with the license call K4YZ has done
> that, hasn't he? :-)

nah he sent his wfe for that task, to leave fewer traces you know
>
> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
> that. Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
> Gilliland has made it available. I've got a whole
> 20-page photo essay posted on what I did.
>
>
>>You haven't attended catechism studies and haven't been confirmed. You
>>don't pledge, you don't tithe. You may not partake. You're still an
>>unbaptized heathen in the world of amateur radio.
>
> Sorry, but I Pledge from time to time. Good furniture
> polish and dusting treatment.
>
> I don't tithe amateur radio. It is NOT a religion.
> It isn't a recognized religion. Except maybe in a
> small town in Connecticutt? :-)
>

> Tsk, tsk, I've been a hobbyist in radio and electronics
> for 59 years, still doing that. I've been a professional
> (as in accepting compensation for work performed) for 54
> years, still doing that (but not now in regular office
> hours).
>
> I partake all I want, anytime I want. Fascinating thing
> this radio-electronics thing. Made it my career choice.
>
> I have a COMMERCIAL radio operator license, even had a
> BUSINESS radio license. I got "baptised" (using your
> ugly and insulting term) back in 1953 doing high-power
> long-distance HF transmitting. I've since transmitted
> legally from the air (at the controls of an aircraft)
> and from the sea (from a private boat on HF SSB). I've
> worked a station ON the moon...something amateurs have
> NEVER done. :-)
>
> Well, yes, I would imagine YOU think of ham radio as
> some kind of "religion" where mantra is everything but
> the technology is too ethereal for you to understand.
> Take heart, your epiphany may come, even without anyone
> kicking your phany.
>
> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2833. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 03:01:22 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161226881.975499.218860@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>>stpupid
>
>>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>>requirement.
>
>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
>> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>
>> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
>> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
>> per year ever since]
>
>> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>
>>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>>as a response.
>
>> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
>> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
>> "technical people." :-)
>
>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
>> mature and a new branch of communications was open
>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>
>>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>>face of modern telecommunications.
>

>> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>
>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>
>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
>> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>
>> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
>> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
>> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
>> different than the technology available in the 20s and
>> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>> technological environment.
>
>>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>
>> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
>> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
>> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
>> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
>> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
>> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>
>> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
>> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
>> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
>> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
>> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
>> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
>> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
>> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
>> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>
>> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
>> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,
>> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
>> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
>> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
>> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
>> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their

>> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
>> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
>> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
>> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>
>> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
>> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
>> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
>> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
>> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
>> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
>> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
>> everyone from government agencies to private boat
>> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
>> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
>> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>
>> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
>> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
>> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
>> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
>> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
>> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
>> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
>> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
>> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>
>Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
>doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.

Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
some service [to the nation or community]." That is one
of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
[see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
NOT the other way around.

If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
there is some law requiring it. Personally, I think all
citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
Service. In California there is a state law that eligible
citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
(with some special conditions not permitting certain

trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a HOBBY. It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens engaged in it. But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT "essential" for the good of the nation. It is high time that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to look at what it IS in the real world.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2834. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 03:03:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0kqdj2l140qqf3ohu5h6hf3haof4kie8o8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168901

On 18 Oct 2006 19:57:49 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:32pm
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >
>>> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are

> Sorry, Jimmie, but what I wrote *IS* completely TRUE. Try
> untwisting your knickers a bit and quit trying to defend
> the ARRL as if you were an army of one. [you've never
> served in any military, don't know how to fight for your
> or anyone else's life] ARRL *IS* a political entity and
> deserves every comment it gets, good or bad.

Len I must take issue with you while I have come to dislike the ARRL
it doesnot deserve many of the coments it gets

It does not deserve (although it tries to claim cridet for the current
tech license) it does not deservse cridet (or blame) for the fact we
all know (but maybe jim that NoCode Hf (at least for the general class
and likely extra as well) is coming soon (at least in ham radio terms)

there is plenty put on the ARRL good and bad that it realy has nothing
to do with
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2835. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 03:14:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <p1rdj29mprl4sfu14ceobj8c803te1f7q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Oct 2006 20:01:22 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
>
>><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>>> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
>>>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>
>>>>>no slow code the number are down because with Code testing looks so
>>>>>stpupid
>>
>>>>The numbers are down for a variety of reasons, but I suspect that
>>>>computers and the internet are the major factors, not the CW
>>>>requirement.
>>
>>> The ready-built Personal Computer first appeared in 1976,
>>> 30 years ago (the "IBM PC" debuted in 1980, 26 years ago).
>>> The Internet went public in 1991, 15 years ago.
>>> "Restructuring" to drop the morse test rate to 5 WPM
>>> for all such tests happened only 6 years ago.
>>
>>> The peak licensing of 737,938 happened on 2 Jul 03, just
>>> 3 years ago. [they've been dropping at an average of 7K
>>> per year ever since]
>>
>>> I disagree on your reasons stated in your quote above.
>>
>>>>When I ask technical people about why they haven't
>>>>acquired an interest in amateur radio, I never get the CW requirement
>>>>as a response.
>>
>>> Strange, I hear that response. Having been IN radio-
>>> electronics for over a half century, I DO know some
>>> "technical people." :-)
>>
>>> Manual radiotelegraphy was a MUST to use early radio
>>> as a communications medium. The technology of early
>>> radio was primitive, simple, and not yet developed.
>>> On-off keying was the ONLY practical way to make it
>>> possible to communicate. Morse code was then already
>>> mature and a new branch of communications was open

>>> to use by downsized landline telegraphers.
>>
>>>> They simply view the whole service as outmoded in the
>>>>face of modern telecommunications.
>>
>>> PART of that IS true. NOT all of it.
>>
>>> What IS outmoded (technically) is sitting only on HF
>>> and "working" other stations with morse radiotelegraphy.
>>> Amateur radio is the ONLY radio service still using
>>> morse radiotelegraphy for communications purposes.
>>
>>> Another thing outmoded is the strict "necessity" to use
>>> a formalism in "procedure" AS IF it was "professional"
>>> radio. That formalism was established between 50 to 70
>>> years ago. Amateur radio, by definition, is NOT
>>> professional. Too many olde-tymers want to PRETEND
>>> they are pros in front of their ham rigs.
>>
>>> But, there is still an enormous area of the EM spectrum
>>> that is still open for experimentation, for just the fun
>>> of doing something out of the ordinary above 30 MHz.
>>> That can be a very different RF environment, much much
>>> different than the technology available in the 20s and
>>> 30s. It has exciting possibilities...except for the
>>> rutted and mired olde-tymers unable to keep up with new
>>> things, secure in their own dreams of youth and simple
>>> technological environment.
>>
>>>>Let's face it.. the romance is gone.
>>
>>> Oh, boo hoo...the "romance" of the 1930s is gone? Yes,
>>> it IS. The "pioneering of the airwaves" below 30 MHz
>>> has been DONE...mostly by the pros of radio (despite what
>>> the ARRL claims). DONE a long time ago. The solid-state
>>> era came into being about 45 years ago and has
>>> revolutionized ALL electronics (radio is a subset of that).
>>
>>> Except as memorabilia trinkets of the past, GONE is the
>>> analog VFO, GONE is the one-tube regenerative receiver,
>>> GONE is the single-crystal-single-frequency Tx, GONE is
>>> the big, bulky AM modulator amplifier, GONE is the not-
>>> knowing-when-the-bands-are-open (solar activity and
>>> ionosonding solved that and HF MUF is a predictable
>>> item that can be found by a computer program). Except
>>> for the boatanchor afficionados, vacuum tubes are GONE
>>> for nearly everything but high-power transmitters.
>>
>>> The radio world of today is NOT that of 1950, nor of
>>> 1960, nor 1970, nor even 1980s. It keeps changing,

>>> advancing, the state of the art never static. For the
>>> stuck-in-the-mud olde tymers that is terrible...they
>>> feel insecure on not being able to keep up, become
>>> aggressive to newcomers ("no kids, lids or space
>>> cadets") and retreat to the "secure" mode of their
>>> youth, "CW." But, they want to make sure They get
>>> the respect they feel they've "earned" (as if) so
>>> they try and try and try to bring all down to THEIR
>>> level...the code test MUST stay..."because."
>>
>>> There are 100 million two-way radios in use in the USA
>>> alone, millions more in other countries. Those are the
>>> cellular telephones. There are millions of VHF and UHF
>>> transceivers in the USA, working daily for public
>>> safety agencies, ships, private boats, air carriers as
>>> well as private airplanes. There are tens of thousands
>>> of HF transceivers in use in the USA, users being
>>> everyone from government agencies to private boat
>>> owners, ALL exclusive of amateur radio users. Where is
>>> the "romance" in all this Plenty from a cornucopia that
>>> all have grabbed? It is GONE, yes.
>>
>>> But, NEW "romances" await. DIFFERENT ones, I'd say a
>>> helluva lot more complex than old, simple "radio." We
>>> can't relive old "romances" except in our minds and we
>>> can't grow physically younger. Only person-to-person
>>> romance is TRUE, the other "romance" is of the
>>> imagination, of the fantasy of what was once there.
>>> This fantasy "romance" can't be brought back. It can't
>>> be legislated into remaining static. The rules and
>>> regulations have to change to keep up with the NOW.
>>
>>Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
>>doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]." That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

you left out something there is one other type of service to oneself

I do think we are obligated to preform one type of service and
learning something counts

additional in an emergency if we find we can be a of service I'd say
we have a moral duty to serve as humans and citizens and our radios
give ways not avalable to many

another type of real service we can perfrom (although some hams like
Robeson seem unable to do so) is simply one to one diplomacy

I know during the elian Matter I enjyed tlaking abit to few cubans
(helped that I was vsiting florida for part of that it drew an
interesting response from them when I said something like you know if
the case were of people totaly within the USA the father would have to
go the florida himself most likely if he wanted his kid back and
givent he US does not trust the cuban govt...
>
> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.
>
> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it. Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service. In California there is a state law that eligible
> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.
>
> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY. It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it. But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation. It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

I agree it is not esssentcail to the nation although it is often
helpfull to nation excetra
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2836. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 03:37:44 UTC
From: Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition <inquisition@smof.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.19.03.37.41.626156@smof.org>
Source record: 168903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire, and
didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>
> id theft is crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as "ID
theft". Until then, you might want to shut yer yap and let your froggers
earn their kook awards, instead of risking becoming interesting enough to
be nominated again yourself.

--

Hail Eris!
Demon Prince of Absurdity

"And no, I did not have sex with my son. But if I did I certainly
wouldn't tell you. Something so beautiful and precious should be kept
private." -- Kathy L. Mosesian, or possibly not really her, confesses
she may be a liar and committer of incest with her own son, in MID:
<cfcd3f4660694e3afeaadaa2723e9ab1@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>

The reporter asked Colin Powell, "What proof do you have that Iraq has
weapons of mass destruction?"
He replied, "We kept the receipts." -- Anon.

Looney Maroon nominee for August 2006 Johnny D Wentzky foamed:
"You never asked someone who goes into areas of the internet that are
only for adults who has an underage id somehow or another if they are a
cop posing as an underage person online?
I guess lots of people just don't watch dateline or read stories much.
Why don;t you go to pervertedjustice,com and see what they do. They are
awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they lied to membners of the
public.
They are awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they posed as an
underage person and agreed to do all sorts of sex acts wioth adult
males, and they are adults posing as teenager themselves. They make
themsleves into liars by falsely impersonating underage persons and by
not fuilfilling the words they tell the victims online in their chats.
Why don't you read it where they tell these victims of their deceit
about how they have been with grown men and such? Why don't you read it
where they say, "That would be cool." after someone makes an advance
towards an adult who is posing as a teenager? And, where they agree to
meet the person, etc.

Lost control, didn't you?
Is that why you feel as if you need to lie so much now? I see where lots of these false impersonation games are not sticking. They feel as if they can lie and then order the victims to get counseling in the gayblade, governmental, pro-choice tax leech counseling centers. They are doing nothing more than usury and fraud in many cases." -- Wentzky almost comes out of the closet as a pedo/ephebophile in MID: <H%%Eg.28916$Uq1.22411@bignews6.bellsouth.net>

2837. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 03:52:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <gitdj294sf1ij59uc2d3to7le6kbdolimi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 03:37:44 GMT, Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish
Inquisition <inquisition@smof.org> wrote:

>On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire, and
>didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>>
>> id theft is crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as "ID
>theft". Until then, you might want to shut yer yap and let your froggers
>earn their kook awards, instead of risking becoming interesting enough to
>be nominated again yourself.
you advice is note and flushed since of course I don't deal with
persons lacking moral courage
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2838. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 04:32:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161232368.347961.163020@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> > > They do read all of the comments.
> >
> > Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
> > I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
> > the contrary or you've been there yourself.
>
> Yer missing the point.
>
> *Somebody* at FCC reads 'em. That's all.

Some of course but like I sed I'll believe it when I see it in many
cases.

> My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
> after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
> some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
> thing more than once.
>
> Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
> cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
> simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").
>
> What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading.

Seems probable.

> Much
> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?

Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .

> > > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
> > >
> > > Not my problem. Not yours, either.
> >
> > That I agree with.
>
> Ya broke da code.

You'd think he wouldn't bend over so far backward to make such an ass
of himself in public but nah . .

> > > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
> > > mountains of commentary.
> >
> > I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
> > down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
> > used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.
>
> Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.

Neither are we and neither is ham radio. But don't underestimate their
grasp on history and current realities and the relationships between
the two.

> > In any event thee and
> > me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
> > bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.
>
> Code test is a completely different issue.

Not when it comes to the likelihood of ever having to take another code
test to upgrade in order to retain our operating privleges.

> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
> did. Or something else.

Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
way out of that swamp.

> > 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
> > . .
>
> Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
> fuss was about. I still do.

I'd probably see Incentive Licensing the same way if I was a kid in
your shoes. However you came into ham radio when it was already old
news and were something like 14 years late to the Novice game I played
in in all it's nooks and crannies. You can read about it and talk about
early '50s ham radio it but since you didn't actually live it I
wouldn't expect you to fully appreciate what a huge deal Incentive
Licensing was to my Novice class. It was even more of a kick in the
butt for for the old-timers of those days.

> > Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
> > contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
> > the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
> > Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
> > God knows when. Reset: Game started over.
>
> Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
> gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.

The FCC doesn't play silly games like that. If the guy in QRZ.com has
it right they screwed up a bit and need to tweak the R&O. No relections
on the ARRL one way or the other but the FCC will come out of it a bit
of egg on it's face.

> > I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
> > 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
> > akin to that.
>
> I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
> that's all.

Because historically we've also been treating 80 & 75 as two separate
bands. Convenient ancient custom.

Time to bail away from the trashheads, I'm outta here and back to the
paint, varnish and antenna work.

<poof:gone>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2839. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 04:40:02 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d3l3v.n0p.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition" <inquisition@smof.org> wrote in
message news:pan.2006.10.19.03.37.41.626156@smof.org...
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire, and
> didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>>
>> id theft is crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as "ID
> theft".

Are you feeling sorry that you didn't commit a crime to its fullest extend?

>Until then, you might want to shut yer yap and let your froggers
> earn their kook awards, instead of risking becoming interesting enough to
> be nominated again yourself.
>
> --
> ____
> Hail Eris!
> Demon Prince of Absurdity
>
> "And no, I did not have sex with my son. But if I did I certainly
> wouldn't tell you. Something so beautiful and precious should be kept
> private." -- Kathy L. Mosesian, or possibly not really her, confesses
> she may be a liar and committer of incest with her own son, in MID:
> <cfcd3f4660694e3afeaadaa2723e9ab1@msgid.frell.theremailer.net>
>
> The reporter asked Colin Powell, "What proof do you have that Iraq has
> weapons of mass destruction?"
> He replied, "We kept the receipts." -- Anon.
>
> Looney Maroon nominee for August 2006 Johnny D Wentzky foamed:
> "You never asked someone who goes into areas of the internet that are
> only for adults who has an underage id somehow or another if they are a
> cop posing as an underage person online?
> I guess lots of people just don't watch dateline or read stories much.
> Why don;t you go to pervertedjustice,com and see what they do. They are
> awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they lied to membners of the
> public.
> They are awash in their self-proclaimed glory after they posed as an
> underage person and agreed to do all sorts of sex acts wioth adult
> males, and they are adults posing as teenager themselves. They make

> themsleves into liars by falsely impersonating underage persons and by
> not fuilfilling the words they tell the victims online in their chats.
> Why don't you read it where they tell these victims of their deceit
> about how they have been with grown men and such? Why don't you read it
> where they say, "That would be cool." after someone makes an advance
> towards an adult who is posing as a teenager? And, where they agree to
> meet the person, etc.
> Lost control, didn't you?
> Is that why you feel as if you need to lie so much now? I see where lots
> of these false impersonation games are not sticking. They feel as if
> they can lie and then order the victims to get counseling in the
> gayblade, governmental, pro-choice tax leech counseling centers. They
> are doing nothing more than usury and fraud in many cases." -- Wentzky
> almost comes out of the closet as a pedo/ephebophile in MID:
> <H%%Eg.28916$Uq1.22411@bignews6.bellsouth.net>

2840. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 05:07:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UJDZg.11412$Y24.10654@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168907

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>> That's really sad.
>> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>>
>> (extraneous groups deleted)

> So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?

I haven't the slightest idea.

Dave K8MN

2841. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 05:38:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <3n3ej2poi7992jng9urq62r6ctspuor9if@4ax.com>
Source record: 168908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> > > They do read all of the comments.
>> >
>> > Highly unlikely particulary in cases like Anderson's nonsense *and*
>> > I'll only believe it when I see it. Unless you gots documentation to
>> > the contrary or you've been there yourself.
>>
>> Yer missing the point.
>>
>> *Somebody* at FCC reads 'em. That's all.
>
>Some of course but like I sed I'll believe it when I see it in many
>cases.
>
>> My guess is that the FCC doesn't even start reading the comments until
>> after the comments close. Then they sort them by commenter, because
>> some folks send multiple copies and there's no point reading the same
>> thing more than once.
>>
>> Then, I think, somebody goes through them weeding out the obvious
>> cranks and fakes, as well as the dupes. Also, they probably pile up the
>> simple "rubber stamp" ones ("I agree with ARRl/NCI/NCVEC").
>>
>> What's left are the ones that need a bit of serious reading.
>
>Seems probable.
>
>> Much
>> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
>
>Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .
why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>
>> > > Then he's even more off the wall than I've given him credit for.
>> > >
>> > Not my problem. Not yours, either.

>> >
>> > That I agree with.
>>
>> Ya broke da code.
>
>You'd think he wouldn't bend over so far backward to make such an ass
>of himself in public but nah . .
>
>> > > (e) They are ticked off at the 18 petitions, lack of consensus and
>> > > mountains of commentary.
>> >
>> > I doubt that there's much (e) involved, they've been patrolling up and
>> > down our back road in the RF wilderness for decades and they're quite
>> > used to it. Used to us and our level of noisy BS.
>>
>> Today's FCC isn't the FCC of 20-30-40 years ago.
>
>Neither are we and neither is ham radio. But don't underestimate their
>grasp on history and current realities and the relationships between
>the two.
>
>> > In any event thee and
>> > me are bulletproof, we're 20WPM Extras so I could care less when they
>> > bite the bullet and do whatever they're gonna do about the code test.
>>
>> Code test is a completely different issue.
>
>Not when it comes to the likelihood of ever having to take another code
>test to upgrade in order to retain our operating privleges.
>
>> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
>> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
>> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
>> did. Or something else.
>
>Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
>way out of that swamp.

nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>
>> > 'Course I thought I was bulletproof in 1967 too when I had a General .
>> > . .
>>
>> Heck I was just a Novice and I saw it coming. I wondered what all the
>> fuss was about. I still do.
>
>I'd probably see Incentive Licensing the same way if I was a kid in
>your shoes. However you came into ham radio when it was already old
>news and were something like 14 years late to the Novice game I played

>in in all it's nooks and crannies. You can read about it and talk about
>early '50s ham radio it but since you didn't actually live it I
>wouldn't expect you to fully appreciate what a huge deal Incentive
>Licensing was to my Novice class. It was even more of a kick in the
>butt for for the old-timers of those days.
>
>> > Somebody wrote in a post in QRZ.com that they'd been in direct e-mail
>> > contact with the FCC about the anomalies and confusion in the R&O and
>> > the FCC agreed that the R&O needs more work before it goes to the
>> > Register. That'll push implemetation of a cleaned-up version out until
>> > God knows when. Reset: Game started over.
>>
>> Roger that! The whole thing may have been a bit of a mind-game. ARRL
>> gripes, so they put out a messy R&O that makes ARRL look bad.
>
>The FCC doesn't play silly games like that. If the guy in QRZ.com has
>it right they screwed up a bit and need to tweak the R&O. No relections
>on the ARRL one way or the other but the FCC will come out of it a bit
>of egg on it's face.
>
>> > I noticed in another of your posts that you didn't understand why the
>> > 80M CW band and 75M phone band are called what they are. Or something
>> > akin to that.
>>
>> I was asking why FCC treats them as two separate bands in Part 97,
>> that's all.
>
>Because historically we've also been treating 80 & 75 as two separate
>bands. Convenient ancient custom.
>
>Time to bail away from the trashheads, I'm outta here and back to the
>paint, varnish and antenna work.
>
><poof:gone>
>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2842. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 05:38:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <oq3ej2lr65jgr8nbv175q2udddd5265s60@4ax.com>
Source record: 168909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:40:02 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition" <inquisition@smof.org> wrote in
>message news:pan.2006.10.19.03.37.41.626156@smof.org...
>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire, and
>> didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
>>> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>>>
>>> id theft is crime
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as "ID
>> theft".
>
>Are you feeling sorry that you didn't commit a crime to its fullest extend?
well he certainly is a sorry SoB but all the kooks are like that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2843. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 06:02:44 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161237764.347773.135790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:40:02 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
> Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition" <inquisition@smof.org> wrote in
> >message news:pan.2006.10.19.03.37.41.626156@smof.org...
> >> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire, and
> >> didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
> >>> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
> >>>
> >>> id theft is crime
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as "ID
> >> theft".
> >
> >Are you feeling sorry that you didn't commit a crime to its fullest extend?
> well he certainly is a sorry SoB but all the kooks are like that
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

And yet my little man is so afraid of the kooks he couldn't post his
coment about the kooks "to the kooks"!

so much for my little morkins "COWAGE".

2844. MARQUEER !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 06:07:12 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161238032.576054.130600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian,alt.usenet.kooks

ابانم خداوندە بخشندە و مەربان
;-(╠۞╣Ü∑§â€ÍÐ_SBR_X|||) wrote:
> kangarooistan@gmail.com wrote:
> > This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.

CUM home my little man!

2845. Re: my little man on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 06:15:36 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161238535.967825.208160@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 00:14:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"VIVA MEXICO CABRONES!" <vivamexico@migente.com.ar> wrote in
> >news:d1c13.651.19.1@news.alt.net:
> >
> >> I could have sworn I heard Woger on HF calling CQ on AM 27.185
> >>
> >> Then it hit me... Thats CB channel 19! He was asking for something
> >> called a lot lizzard. CQ CQ CQ for lot lizzards from AB8MQ
> >>
> >>
> >Did Markie answer him?
> no I did not I obey the rules sc (nor did i hear him)
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

MORKINS!

get off the dam computer and get back to sucking my boobs and cock!

2846. Re: cancel <1161231618.620404.154950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>Death to all shitskin moslems - moslem cartoon character muhammad was a child molester and goat fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 06:16:40 UTC
From: "\"ابنام خدادن۵ بخشنده و مهربان ;-(╠◎╣Ü∑§â€IÐ_SBR_X |||)\"" <SimonBeik@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh7598$9of$1@news.yaako.com>
Source record: 168913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian,alt.usenet.kooks

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> ابنام خدادن۵ بخشنده و مهربان
> ;-(╠◎╣Ü∑§â€IÐ_SBR_X|||) wrote:
>
>>kangarooistan@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>
>
> CUM home my little man!

You want more of my CUM ?

moslem cartoon character muhammad was a child molester and goat fucker

2847. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 06:55:16 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d3t40.lai.17.6@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.shortwave

<Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
news:1161237764.347773.135790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:40:02 -0700, "Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote
>> Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition" <inquisition@smof.org>
>> >wrote in
>> >message news:pan.2006.10.19.03.37.41.626156@smof.org...
>> >> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 21:21:35 -0400, Mark sat in thee Comfee Chaire,
>> >> and
>> >> didst finally confess, after taking Muche Tea:
>> >>> On 18 Oct 2006 17:38:43 -0700, "an_old_friend" wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> id theft is crime
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> And if someone forges a post from Mark Morgan, that might qualify as
>> >> "ID
>> >> theft".
>> >
>> >Are you feeling sorry that you didn't commit a crime to its fullest
>> >extend?
>> well he certainly is a sorry SoB but all the kooks are like that
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> And yet my little man is so afraid of the kooks he couldn't post his
> coment about the kooks "to the kooks"!

When your little man is afraid, does it grow or shrink in the mension?

>
> so much for my little morkins "COWAGE".
>

2848. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 08:02:20 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.19.08.02.17.140172@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 168915
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>> screamed:
>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>>> further by squeaking:
>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>><snip>
>> <snip>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >
```

```
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

2849. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 08:14:54 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <frGZg.521$%l3.30@newsfe13.phx>
Source record: 168916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:ZnzZg.8933$Lv3.3189@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
"ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >""''''''

> enough knowledge to discuss Canadian topics. I will supply the answers
> if there is any interest.

(11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?

A province.

SC

I don't get it..... ??
k35454.

2850. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 08:37:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161247068.428977.284750@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168917

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kelly@dvol.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 9:45am
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > KØHB wrote:

> >> One self-proclaimed "PROFESSIONAL", who isn't even a ham and
> >> doesn't intend to be one, sends them hundreds of pages of comments and
> >> reply comments, which they have to wade through.
>
> "Self-proclaimed?"

Yes.

> No, according to several corporations,
> the IRS, the Franchise Tax Board of California, DCAS, and
> the professional association known as the IEEE have all
> said I was a professional...professional as in accepting
> monetary compensation for work performed. :-)

They said you were a professional, Len. You proclaim yourself to be a
PROFESSIONAL.

> >No way. The FCC staffers who deal with these things don't live in a
> >vacuum, they're not stupid and they know bullshit when it lands in
> >their inboxes and they know how to handle it. By now they've long since
> >gotten wise to Anderson's childish antics and his "comments" just get
> >rubber-stamped "READ" and tossed into the outbox without further ado.
>
> Really?!? :-)

Ya, rly! ;-) ;-)
>
> Kelly is now an "insider" at the FCC? :-)

No more than you are, Len. And no less.

> No, sweetums, what you wrote is what YOU want to believe
> happens. Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it
> is true. :-)

Let's remember that phrase.....

> >He's not having any impact at all at the FCC and he knows it, he's
> >trolling for folk like you who get their knickers in a twist over his
> >nonsense.

>
> Poor baby, still hurting over past go-arounds in RRAP?

"what you wrote is what YOU want to believe happens.
Just because you WANT something doesn't mean it is true. :-)"

- Leonard H. Anderson

> "Impact" is a very subjective word. What you really
> want is to "impact" me with some heavy blunt object. :-)

That's *your* solution, Len.

> Unfortunately, Kelly isn't able to get what he wants so
> HE trolls with nastygrams on his perceived "enemies."

Are you his friend?

> The FCC is supposed to accept input from *ALL* citizens.

Of course. Has any of your input been rejected?

"Accept" doesn't mean "agree with" or "act on".

> That doesn't mean it accepts input only from special-
> interest groups such as the ARRL in regards to amateur
> radio. Nor is that input limited to radio manufacturers
> whether their offices are in the USA or on foreign soil.

You're a special interest group, Len. A group of one ;-)
>
> Since you don't seem to have observed any OTHER radio
> services' on-going agendas, you would be surprised at
> the number of individual citizen inputs the FCC DOES
> receive. Spend some time on those other issues, old-
> timer, get some better education on what the FCC gets
> (and must show to the rest of the public).

All they have to do is accept the stuff and put it on the website.
Doesn't mean they take you seriously, Len.

Besides, it was *you* who gave W3RV the idea about how FCC treats your
"input".

Remember this?:

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/b31fcc8398024c56?dmode=source

Some quotes:

"In 1951 there was no Internet, no easy way to "talk" to the FCC except
through legal outfits and lobbying organizations all using the "proper"
format in their paperwork. Everything was surface mail if you couldn't
afford special couriers. The League could afford a legal firm then and
they filed nice legalese documents with the Commission. With a relative
scarcity of correspondence incoming they could pay attention to the

League then. The League enjoyed a high place on amateur regulation correspondence with the FCC then. Any individual writing longhand, without legal terms or in any "approved" format got chuckled at."

You weren't at FCC then or now, but you claim to know how FCC reacted to "input" back then.

"Things were more "patrician" then. Things are a bit different now."

How do you know for sure they're not chuckling at - or groaning over - your "input"?

"Internet access to ALL government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has to accept ALL filings. By law."

That was true in 1951 as well as today.

Besides, "accept" doesn't mean "take seriously",

"The correspondence on hot- ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half century ago. ALL radio has increased in scope and the FCC is stuck with having to regulate an enormous set of radio services affecting millions and millions more than existed in 1951."

All the more reason for them to sort out the comments worth reading....

If the FCC "chuckled at" the comments of some individuals in 1951, why wouldn't they do so today?

2851. Re: re:RE RE RE RE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 08:58:36 UTC
From: Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d448g.9p3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Steven" <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161179603.519940.215830@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>I DO

ARe you going to stick round for the consequences?

>
> Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger wrote:
>> <Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
>> news:1161116743.866234.90290@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Real Friendit Naybourhood Wote Monger wrote:
>> >
>> > <bitch slap>
>> >
>> > if you're trying to fuck my man morkins you have to ask me first.
>>
>> If I want to bitch slap you, who dares to not give me the go ahead?
>>
>
> <earth-rendering bitch slap>
>

2852. Not Cocksucker Lloyd *thinks* he's smart.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 09:37:40 UTC
From: N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3097302.HIh1SFp1xT@www.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 168919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,seattle.politics,az.general

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:

>> wow. he *thinks* he's smart.
>
> While you can only hope, huh, you blind gimp?
>
>> dragging it in here to the az. newsgroups won't help you any, nym
>> shifter!
>
> It sure made you whine like a cunt, cunt.
>
>> its is no fun having a battle of wits with an unarmed "man".
>
> Then life must really suck for you, unarmed one

it is absolutely amazing that it took you this long to respond. that posting
was well over 3 weeks ago. you're slipping!

and you still haven't a clue with whom you are dealing...

2853. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 09:46:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161251171.523384.230240@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168920

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
> >There aren't many of your posts in which you don't tell some outright
> >falsehood.
>
> "Outright falsehoods?"

Yup. Mistakes, errors, things that aren't true.

> Illuminate the group with your
> godly light and tell me that OPINIONS are "factual." :-)

Opinions are not sacred, Len. Not even yours. The mere fact that
someone holds an opinion does prevent it from being a falsehood.

For example, you may be of the opinion that the moon is made of green
cheese, or that it is 500 miles from Vladivostok to Tokyo. Both are
falsehoods.

Also, you are not clear about when you are providing an opinion and
when you are providing an alleged fact. You do not usually qualify your
statements with phrases like 'I think' or 'I feel' or 'IMHO'. Instead,
you state your opinions as if they are unquestionably true facts. They
aren't.

> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
> that.

Yet you call him "an imposter" without any proof.

> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
> Gilliland has made it available.

So? Who are *you* to demand proof?

> I've got a whole
> 20-page photo essay posted on what I did.

Is it on what *you* did or on what the 700-man military unit that you
were assigned to did?

2854. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 11:15:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161256531.775267.123630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
> >doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]."

Not for any particular individual, anyway.

> That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

That's a falsehood, Len. ARRL talks about the service provided by
amateur radio, but does not say there is an obligation to do so.

> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.

Irrelevant.

> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent.

And they do!

> There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it.

Agreed!

However, one of the justifications for the continued existence of the
Amateur Radio Service is the public service performed by radio amateurs
using amateur radio.

> Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service. In California there is a state law that eligible

> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

Irrelevant.

> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY.

It's not just a hobby, though.

> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it.

Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.

> But, it is still a HOBBY.

It's not just a hobby, though.

> It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation.

How do you know for sure?

> It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

What does that mean?

Amateur Radio does indeed perform public service - voluntarily. That's
a fact, not an opinion.

2855. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 11:41:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161258061.234200.214490@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm
> >>>On Fri, 29 Sep 2006 20:56:08 -0400, M...@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >
> >Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
> >doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
> some service [to the nation or community]."

That you don't see it doesn't mean it doesn't exist.

> That is one
> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.

Where? You have just spouted a falsehood, Len.
>
> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
> NOT the other way around.

Irrelevant.

>
> If an individual WANTS to VOLUNTARILY perform some service,
> then excellent. There is NO "obligation" to do so unless
> there is some law requiring it.

What about a moral obligation?

Suppose I were driving on a winding country road and came upon the
scene of a one-car accident that had occurred only a few minutes before
I arrived.

And suppose the occupants of the car in the accident needed help, and I
had the means to call for help.

Would I not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to
call for help?

Suppose the only available communication was by Amateur Radio - would I not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to use Amateur Radio to call for help?

> Personally, I think all
> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
> Service.

Even those who are not mentally or physically competent to do so? Would you want to be judged by a jury composed of the mentally ill? They're citizens.

> In California there is a state law that eligible
> citizens shall serve, for a time and times as stated by
> law. [I've done five terms of Jury Service here] Anyone
> who WANTS to voluntarily sit in on a court is allowed to
> (with some special conditions not permitting certain
> trials). Those spectators are NOT obligated to do so.

Irrelevant.

> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
> HOBBY.

But it's not just a hobby.

> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
> engaged in it.

Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.

> But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT
> "essential" for the good of the nation.

Says who?

> It is high time
> that everyone quit dreaming about imaginary glory of
> "serving the community" through amateur radio...time to
> look at what it IS in the real world.

Does amateur radio not perform any service to the community, Len?

2856. Re: Lids, Kids and Space Cadets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 11:52:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BACVg.9000212$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
> the Jammer because no one listens to him.

Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
netins.net. Bye bye, john and sockpuppets. Here are the Xnews rules in
case anyone else is interested:

```
[rec.radio.*]
score:: -9999
Path: news\.netins\.net![^!]+$
```

2857. Re: i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:49:12 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <JD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45376762$0$19731$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2858. Re: Lids, Kids and Space Cadets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:54:05 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <JD@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <45376887$0$19724$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:BACVg.9000212$Y24.5826@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>
> Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
> netins.net. Bye bye, john and sockpuppets. Here are the Xnews rules in
> case anyone else is interested:
>
> [rec.radio.*]
> score:: -9999
> Path: news\.netins\.net![^!]+$
>
>
well ta least I no What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
ganitals.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2859. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:56:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <betej2977pm42tc6dl7aneqt63pftdtlcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 08:49:12 -0400, "Markie Morgan" <JD@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do

id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2860. Re: Always Follow FCC Rules & Regulations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:56:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9gtej2111ki1clhbnavm8n3tjnhqm2sj6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 168927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Oct 2006 23:02:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2861. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:58:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <9itej25jmsuhkn6vu7lvmh2sb2ulidb9t5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On 18 Oct 2006 23:07:12 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2862. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:58:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ejtej2tfi3okji0352927ln4eqb98l7rca@4ax.com>
Source record: 168930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 18 Oct 2006 23:15:36 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2863. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 12:59:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <kktej2tls2bphqmeeehc6sur896bmsnfgi@4ax.com>
Source record: 168929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 14:16:40 +0800, "????? ??????? ?????? ? ??????
;-(?????ï¿½ï¿½Iï¿½_SBR_ï¿½X|||)" <SimonBeik@gmail.com> wrote:

>Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2864. Re: Not Cocksucker Lloyd *thinks* he's smart.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:00:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <0mtej2dmbengf71gsodfot15jgsf81j4eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 168931

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 02:37:40 -0700, N7ZZT <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
>>> wow. he *thinks* he's smart.
>>
>> While you can only hope, huh, you blind gimp?
>>
>>> dragging it in here to the az. newsgroups won't help you any, nym
>>> shifter!
>>
>> It sure made you whine like a cunt, cunt.
>>
>>> its is no fun having a battle of wits with an unarmed "man".
>>
>> Then life must really suck for you, unarmed one
>
>it is absolutely amazing that it took you this long to respond. that posting
>was well over 3 weeks ago. you're slipping!
>
>and you still haven't a clue with whom you are dealing...

he has not a clue who HE is let alone who is a dealing with
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2865. Space Cadets like SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:01:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nntej25pc95n4p6jhpnajr35rc41kiqtno@4ax.com>
Source record: 168932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 11:52:21 +0000 (UTC), Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 20:24:10 -0500, Thats Right_ 20wpm <john> wrote:
>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>
>Oh, my. I'm afraid you've forced me to killfile everything coming from
>netins.net.
lying again Sc and if you can't face the heat bail form the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2866. Space Cadets like sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:01:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <6ptej21svt1134hcabmlt2p7oclqsga2f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 168933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 08:54:05 -0400, "Markie Morgan" <JD@yahoo.com>
wrote:

id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2867. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:25:53 UTC
From: "\"ابنام خدادنده بخشنده و مهربان ;-(╠◎╣ÜΣ§â€ÍÐ_SBR_X |||)\"" <SimonBeik@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh7ue1$58v$1@news.yaako.com>
Source record: 168934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 23:07:12 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

2868. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:37:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ksvej2hpp6dr4507mhnm2pcrneo607n850@4ax.com>
Source record: 168935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 21:25:53 +0800, "????? ??????? ?????? ? ??????
;-(?????ï¿½ï¿½Iï¿½_SBR_ï¿½X|||)" <SimonBeik@gmail.com> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 23:07:12 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> id theft is a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
yes we know you think crime is funny
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2869. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:39:57 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d4koh.ee5.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Why do you always whine with the same shit. ID THEFT IS A CRIME. Shut the fuck up you moron.

2870. Re: Woger on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:40:46 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d4kq1.ele.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Did Markie answer him?

Mark was to busy trying to figure out how to mod his CB for the uppers and lowers

2871. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:47:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ge0fj2p75je8gnogio8k37f9q4sea9cgnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 06:39:57 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>> id theft is a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>Why do you always whine with the same shit. ID THEFT IS A CRIME. Shut the
>fuck up you moron.
I don't I only use that coment to point someone forging ym name (or my
wife)

as I am such a feared person (for reasons unknown to me) this sort of
forgery happens often
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2872. Re: Woger on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:50:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tg0fj2l6egumtuqr1cndnuv3sp35t523ub@4ax.com>
Source record: 168939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 06:40:46 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>> Did Markie answer him?
>
>
>Mark was to busy trying to figure out how to mod his CB for the uppers and
>lowers
why would I need to modify it

I am not a cheapstake like most hams my cb can handle upper and Lower
side bands at will

but you show what your other message was just more of the same
harrassment by folks that are ashamed of what they are saying if they
were no they would post under their name
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2873. Re: Woger on HF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:57:48 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d4lq0.a5h.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> but you show what your other message was just more of the same
> harrassment by folks that are ashamed of what they are saying if they
> were no they would post under their name

Again retard, your words dont form a complete thought in the english
language you fucking retard

2874. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 13:59:24 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d4lt0.aih.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

> as I am such a feared person (for reasons unknown to me) this sort of
> forgery happens often
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

No, you are a fucking idiot who cant speak english.

2875. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:00:21 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161266421.553932.122740@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

10-4 Good Buddy wrote:

> Again retard, your words dont form a complete thought in the english
> language you fucking retard
hi you doing this morning SC

not enough hours in the days for you try to run Ham radio down I see

this is not an english class SC get over it and grow up

2876. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:02:19 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161266539.415055.132620@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

10-4 Good Buddy wrote:

> No, you are a fucking idiot who cant speak english.
given we have never spoken at all you can't realy say that with there
being any reason to believe you

have you decided to wever stop trying to kill the ARS SC

2877. Re: cancel <1161231618.620404.154950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>Death to all shitskin moslems - moslem cartoon character muhammad was a child molester and goat fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:21:15 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45377cf5$0$19704$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

""????? ??????? ?????? ? ?????? ;-(???U?��?I�_SBR_�X|||)""
<SimonBeik@gmail.com> wrote in message news:eh7598$9of$1@news.yaako.com...
> Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>> ????? ??????? ?????? ? ??????
>> ;-(???U?��?I�_SBR_�X|||) wrote:
>>
>>>kangarooistan@gmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>>
>>
>> CUM home my little man!
>
> You want more of my CUM ?
>
>
> moslem cartoon character muhammad was a child molester and goat fucker
>
>
well ta least I no What it like to lick my excrement off of another man's
ganitals.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2878. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:23:47 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161267827.464157.206880@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

Markie Morgan wrote:
> ""????? ??????? ?????? ? ?????? ;-(???U?§â?IÐ_SBR_X|||)""
id theft is a crime
> well ta least I no What it like to lick my excrement off of another man's
> ganitals.

I am sure you do

does not alter the fact your are comiting a crime and I don't what what
that is like stveve

2879. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:23:56 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45377d96$0$19605$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1160861767.674579.131040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> from OMEGA ONE RADIO BLOG:
> http://n9ogl.blogspot.com
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO WILL BE RETURNING
>
> OMEGA ONE RADIO will be returning either tonight or tommorrow on
> 13.556.00 MHz we've got another transmitter and we will be getting it
> up soon...we have to change the wires in the interface and it should be
> up and running....were also in the process of putting up a 6Mhz antenna
> and that will be hopefully done this week.
>
well ta least I no What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
ganitals.

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2880. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 14:34:27 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161268467.826179.271250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> >> Much
> >> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
> >
> >Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .

> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly

Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.

> >> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
> >> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
> >> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
> >> did. Or something else.
> >
> >Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
> >way out of that swamp.
>
> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
> chalenge to code testing and that will be that

Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
and stay above 30 Mhz.

w3rv

2881. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 16:50:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e1OZg.13350$o71.8874@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168948

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???

A Morse Code exam.

(exraneous newsgroups removed)

Dave K8MN

2882. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:14:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <tdcfj25ahdcfqbhjdlebdapc2hh2mm3an2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 18 Oct 2006 21:32:48 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> >> Much
>> >> smaller pile. You think Len's stuff makes it to that pile?
>> >
>> >Scan, identify commenter, flick his garbage on sight . .
>
>> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>
>Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
>to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
>years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.

where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
he is a citizen?

and what abuse? did you read a different constituions than I
>
>> >> I used to think the code test thing was a slam dunk. Maybe it still is.
>> >> But the fact that FCC has taken so long so far makes me wonder. For all
>> >> we know, they could keep it just because. Or they could do what Canada
>> >> did. Or something else.
>> >
>> >Or they could simply sit on it forever which would be the really easy
>> >way out of that swamp.
>>
>> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
>> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>
>Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
>mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
>and stay above 30 Mhz.

indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
it

the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
(the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
before the end of the years
>

>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2883. Re: Omega One Radio Returning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:14:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ljcfj2d52min2tu0f13a4ju1n8ud4604q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.broadcasting

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 10:23:56 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

id theft is a crime
>>
>well ta least I no What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>ganitals.
glad you know it but I do not
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2884. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:15:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <nkcfj21sp3s1egj01isr5bf9gnhd8m1359@4ax.com>
Source record: 168851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 10:21:15 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

id theft is a crime
>>
>well ta least I no What it like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>ganitals.
that sound like your personal problem to me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2885. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:28:20 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161278900.627975.13690@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168852

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
> A Morse Code exam.
wrong again I believe you can indeed pass a CW test by voice that is
speaking out the letter for somebody to write down

but the quesion was taken out of context dave the Code test is not a
message
>
> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>
> Dave K8MN

2886. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:34:23 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4537aa3a$0$19742$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in message
news:d4koh.ee5.17.1@news.alt.net...
>> id theft is a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> Why do you always whine with the same shit. ID THEFT IS A CRIME. Shut the
> fuck up you moron.
>
1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops.
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction for
ex-shemale wife
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on USENET.

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2887. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 17:38:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <4udfj21molcsh4sv2r0o4t9jhdjtcf071r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 13:34:23 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

You know you can keep up your spam all day 9 and likely will) but it
it does not afect the fact you are comiting a crime and thereby
enhancing My creditbility
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2888. Re: MARQUEER !===get off the dam computer!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:03:44 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161288224.635826.285790@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian,alt.usenet.kooks

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
> ابنام خدا وندە بخشندە و مەربان
> ;-(╠◌╣Ü∑§â€ÍÐ_SBR_X|||) wrote:
> > kangarooistan@gmail.com wrote:
> > > This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>
> CUM home my little man!

my tits need attention and my man-puss is throbing!

2889. Re: GET OFF THE DAM COMPUTER, BOI ,AND MOUNT ME!!!!!!!!! BITCH!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:07:19 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161288439.905074.269780@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Telstar Electronics wrote:
> Where is the cheapest place to buy one of these antennas?
>
> http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZtelstar-electronicsQQhtZ-1QQfrppZ50QQfsopZ1QQfsooZ1QQrdZ0?

2890. Re: Anyone familar with....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:12:15 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161288735.269599.269760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168857
Cross-posted to: alt.underground,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chip Hicks wrote:
> Scott Smith?
> A friend of mine told me he's "really good" and has been given the seal of
> approval from King himself. When I asked what he writes about, Brian just
> said "Scary Shit." I checked Ebay and lucked onto his two books in ARC
> edition.
> I now have both his books, "A Simple Plan" and "The Ruins", both published
> by Knoph, which is probably where the King tie in comes from. He's
> apparently not very prolific, as there's 13 years between his two
> publications.
>
> Any suggestions on which I should read first?
>
> BTW, I'm currently reading a book that I'd highly recommend to anyone. It's
> a great "pass the time" behemoth called "Uncle John's Gigantic Bathroom
> Reader". It's 750 pages of facts, lists, the strange and unusual, Americana,
> business, food for thought, and generally interesting shit, one or two pages
> at a time. It's perfect for when you may have 5 minutes or two hours to
> kill, like while waiting in the Doc's office.
>
> Chip

CHIP........
could you pleeze get mork to get off the dam computer and get back to
bed to service me?

2891. Re: Space Cadets like my little man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:15:15 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161288915.246859.282400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 08:54:05 -0400, "Markie Morgan" <JD@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> id theft is acrime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

SC......would you try to get morkins to get off the computer and get on
me?

2892. Re: Best of Best Radio Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:18:12 UTC
From: Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com
Message-ID: <1161289092.363445.298960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo wrote:
> slow_code_bitch_boi@yahoo.com wrote:
> > lots of great no-code gear
> > http://www.bozotheclown.net/
> >
> Blondie has some legs eh?
>
> http://www.amateurlinearamplifiers.com/cgi-bin/cart.cgi
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com/

Steveo.........Morkins really REALLY likes you. Would you talk to him
and ask him to stop playing on the computer and start playing with my
boobs and cock?

2893. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:47:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <h2pfj2lmqruv23innaohjgrlpodu8ki9a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 168860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.shortwave

On 19 Oct 2006 13:18:12 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2894. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:48:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <14pfj217id71hl4vgickl90qunir7p2fcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 168861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On 19 Oct 2006 13:03:44 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2895. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:48:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <25pfj2lhesjd4ls31727c67d7usmjpdr22@4ax.com>
Source record: 168862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Oct 2006 13:07:19 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2896. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:49:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <q5pfj2h63bpo4fm8mm6b57oq897ijsclkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168863
Cross-posted to: alt.underground,rec.radio.cb,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 19 Oct 2006 13:12:15 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2897. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 20:49:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <k6pfj2t18sic551cvatq49ja5amsb1q6g5@4ax.com>
Source record: 168864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 19 Oct 2006 13:15:15 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2898. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 21:11:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161292305.593308.211110@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168865

From: Mark@kb9rqz.net on Wed, Oct 18 2006 11:03pm

>On 18 Oct 2006 19:57:49 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:32pm
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>>> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
>
>> Sorry, Jimmie, but what I wrote *IS* completely TRUE. Try
>> untwisting your knickers a bit and quit trying to defend
>> the ARRL as if you were an army of one. [you've never
>> served in any military, don't know how to fight for your
>> or anyone else's life] ARRL *IS* a political entity and
>> deserves every comment it gets, good or bad.
>
>Len I must take issue with you while I have come to dislike the ARRL
>it doesnot deserve many of the coments it gets

The ARRL is three things in one. It is a national membership
organization; it is a publishing company; it is a political
entity with both a Lobbying firm and a Legal firm on retainer
in DC.

The publishing effort of the triad makes enough profit to
sustain the 'services' it offers to members and to keep the
Lobbying and Legal firms billing payments. Membership dues
won't pay for even a tenth of the total upkeep of the ARRL.
The publishing part offers the ARRL a virtual monopoly of
control of US amateur's opinions via graphics and text.
You don't see any conditioned thinking possible with having
a virtual control of US amateurs' opinions?

Since the Lobbying and Legal part of the triad is a POLITICAL
effort, the ARRL is also a POLITICAL ENTITY. In the grand
old American tradition for over two centuries, political
entities are fair game for any person, any time, any where.

>It does not deserve (although it tries to claim cridet for the current
>tech license) it does not deservse cridet (or blame) for the fact we
>all know (but maybe jim that NoCode Hf (at least for the general class
>and likely extra as well) is coming soon (at least in ham radio terms)

Details of the ARRL's 92-year history are simply details of
history. PRESENT-DAY facts are that the ARRL is also a
POLITICAL ENTITY...a SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP.

I WILL stay with what I've said about the ARRL.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2899. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 21:13:33 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161292413.615596.247710@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168866

From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am

[Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

>Opinions are not sacred, Len.

Yes they are, Jimmie. Amateur extra morsemen hold the code
test to be SACRED forever and ever. All those against it
tell "falsehoods" because they are against it. :-)

>Not even yours. The mere fact that
>someone holds an opinion does prevent it from being a falsehood.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, you are arguing against yourself there!

So, I state an OPINION that you don't like and it is a
"falsehood." But, because it IS an opinion, PREVENTS it
from being a "falsehood." :-)

>Also, you are not clear about when you are providing an opinion and
>when you are providing an alleged fact. You do not usually qualify your
>statements with phrases like 'I think' or 'I feel' or 'IMHO'. Instead,
>you state your opinions as if they are unquestionably true facts. They
>aren't.

WTF are you babbling about?

Did you put on the Mother Superior habit already, knuckle-
spank ruler at the ready to correct "improper grammar?"

>> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
>> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
>> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
>> that.
>
>Yet you call him "an imposter" without any proof.

PRECISELY! [it took a while for that to sink in your brain,
but it did!]

BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.

>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
>> Gilliland has made it available.
>
>So? Who are *you* to demand proof?

A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
veteran.

When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
military service it becomes very serious indeed. One
helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
hobby with imagined self-glory.

>Is it on what *you* did or on what the 700-man military unit that you
>were assigned to did?

Both. You can read it via:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

About 6 MB file size, takes 19 minutes download on dial-up.
Lots of photos in there in case you have trouble with the
technological words.

Its about something YOU can NEVER have, Jimmie. You never
volunteered for military service...even when you had the
chance. You are NO JUDGE over any military unit activities.

As ever to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2900. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 21:16:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161292589.792468.212090@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168867

From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 4:41 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
>> ><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> >> From: Nada Tapu on Sat, Sep 30 2006 2:23 pm

>> >Total agreement here, our obligation of service to to earn our privlegdes
>> >doesnt end with what we have done but with what we have done lately.
>
>> Sorry, but I see absolutely NO "obligation to perform
>> some service [to the nation or community]."
>
>That you don't see it doesn't mean it doesn't exist.

HAAAAAAA!!!!!

That YOU "see something" doesn't mean it is reality.
It can be just your own delusion projecting on your
cranium.

>> That is one
>> of the myths promulgated by the ARRL and its followers.
>
>Where? You have just spouted a falsehood, Len.

...all throughout the ARRL's own self-promotion, Jimmie.

>> The word "service" used by the FCC all throughout Title
>> 47 C.F.R., all Parts, is a regulatory term referring to
>> a type and kind of radio activity being regulated.
>> [see Citizens Band Radio SERVICE or Radio Control Radio
>> SERVICE as two examples in Part 95] Also, as Cecil Moore
>> mentioned, the government is doing its citizens a service,
>> NOT the other way around.
>
>Irrelevant.

"Irrelevant?!?" Talk about Jimmie and VAPORWARE!

Jimmie, the FCC grants licenses to US radio amateurs.
The FCC regulates *ALL* of US civil radio. They do that
by LAW, not from some moralistic jingoism spouted by an
amateur organization.

If you (politely) ask the FCC for a definition of how THEY
use the word 'service' you will get the one that I got, the

one I have to repeat every once in a while in here to keep
some of you straight.

Still unconvinced after reviewing the Communications Act of
1934? The Telecommunications Act of 1996? Okay, you just
consider the FCC and the US government "IRRELEVANT."

>What about a moral obligation?

What about it? You are going to PREACH something to the
'heathen' in here? :-)

>Suppose I were driving on a winding country road and came upon the
>scene of a one-car accident that had occurred only a few minutes before
>I arrived.

Question should be "why did you force that car off the road?"

Law enforcement people will ask you that after they survey
your damaged fender and bumper.

>And suppose the occupants of the car in the accident needed help, and I
>had the means to call for help.

Is your throat and larynx working properly? Feel free to call
out all you want.

>Would I not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to
>call for help?

I don't know the state of your supposed goody-two-shoes "morals"
but I would imagine that law enforcement folks WILL want to
know WHY you are driving on winding country roads at night?

>Suppose the only available communication was by Amateur Radio - would I
>not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to use
>Amateur Radio to call for help?

You will NATURALLY do so using radiotelegraphy. :-)

Your "morality" is all tied up in some obsessive knot having
to do with morse code telegraphy...for AMATEURS.

So, law enforcement folks will still want to know WHY you
forcing other cars off the winding country roads...is it to
play 'rescueman' on your little hobby radio?

>> Personally, I think all
>> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
>> Service.
>
>Even those who are not mentally or physically competent to do so?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, California state law is strict about WHO
can be on a jury panel. The mentally ill won't be on one.

Neither will previously-convicted felons.

However, the mentally-challenged have managed to keep the
morse code test in place by lobbying the FCC about it. So
far.

Why do you want to be associated with the mentally ill?

>> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
>> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
>> HOBBY.
>
>But it's not just a hobby.

Sorry, it IS...despite the imaginary pipe-dreaming of being
some kind of 'hero' rescuing folks in times of disaster or
reading ARRL news squibs and associating that imagination
with some 'vital service to the nation' or other pap.

>> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
>> engaged in it.
>
>Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.

Including the MENTALLY ILL. :-)

Certainly the over-imaginative self-deluded souls who
describe themselves as 'heroes' without ever doing ONE
thing to PROVE their 'heroism.' :-)

>> But, it is still a HOBBY. It is NOT
>> "essential" for the good of the nation.
>
>Says who?

Says the FCC, says every public safety agency and radio service,
including the maritime world, the aviation world, the railroad
world, the trucking industry, etc. etc. etc.

If amateur radio were "essential for the good of the nation,"
there would be NO need for public safety radio services in
the PLMRS. There would be NO need for any government radio
services. AMATEURS could 'do it all.' Except they can't.

Amusing thing is that US amateur radio was SHUT DOWN for two
World Wars. During times of the greatest NEED for all citizens
to do their part, ham radio was SHUT DOWN. Reconcile that.

>Does amateur radio not perform any service to the community, Len?

Yes, it keeps some of the mentally ill busy, occupied with
ham radiotelegraphy so that they won't bother the rest of us
normal citizens...normal citizens who ARE doing services to
their community, their state, their nation.

Now you just keep reading the ARRL newsletters like a good little member, absorbing all the 'praise' for 'your' efforts in having a radio hobby. That should fuel your ego for a century or two.

As ever to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

2901. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 21:20:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <R_RZg.9394$Lv3.5726@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168868

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
>
>> There aren't many of your posts in which you don't tell some outright
>> falsehood.
>
> "Outright falsehoods?" Illuminate the group with your
> godly light and tell me that OPINIONS are "factual." :-)

Many opinions are factual, Leonard. Didn't you know that? Not
everything is subjective. Sometimes you make factual errors. Sometimes
you tell falsehoods because you don't know the facts. Sometimes you
know the facts and write something false despite your knowing.

>> Both CNN and Fox News carried accounts of cellular phone
>> service being disrupted. I'll bet some of those radio hams end up
>> handling communication in the aftermath of the earthquake.
>
> Have CNN or Fox News carried acounts of hams "up handling
> communication" in the aftermath of the [Hawaii] earthquake?
> How about ABC, CBS, or NBC? Any major newspapers?

Not to my knowledge. Then again, I'm not tuned in 24/7. This wouldn't
be the first time that network news did not report something. That
doesn't mean that it didn't take place.

> The Hawaii 6.7-Richter earthquake is OVER, senior.

Yes, it is. That's good news.

> No
> loss of life. Property damage, some. Utility damage,
> some. The Governor of Hawaii has been quoted (several
> times) as saying "Hawaii is open for business!" :-)

There was quite a bit of property damage and utility damage. Some
people were injured.

> Is amateur radio about business? Sorry, it can't be.

You must be addressing yourself. I didn't write anything about business.

> FCC defines USA amateur radio as being done WITHOUT
> pecuniary interest.

Thanks, but I already knew that. I'm a radio amateur.

> No money for services rendered.

Thanks, but I knew what "pecuniary interest" meant. I'm a radio amateur.

> That doesn't sound like any "business" in the normal sense.

I didn't write anything about business. Do you have a business fetish too?

>> Texas is west of the Mississippi.
>
> Fantastic bit of TRIVIA, mister atlas. :-)

It isn't TRIVIA or trivia, Len. It is pretty much common knowledge.
I don't think the ARRL will ignore what happened in Texas. Do you?

> Or do we call you Rand or McNally? :-)

"Well they often call me Speedo but my real name is Mister Earl."

>
>> I served in the military only four years, Len.
>
> That's four MORE years than some civilian in PA served. :-)

Is it?

>
>> I've posted nothing here
>> in documenting my active duty service.
>
> Not a word? Oh, THAT is a complete FALSEHOOD! :-)

Sorry, Len. I've documented nothing. I've offered no proof. You have
only my claims. I've discussed my service on some web sites. You could
find them if you're on the ball. Still, there's nothing on those web
sites in the way of actual documentation. There is an easily found web
site which does confirm my time in the Air Force through an online
database. Did you ever find it?

>
>> I've not, nor would I ever make
>> any such documentation available to you in an e-mail.
>
> How about making it available to law enforcement? :-)

Why would I need to do so? Are you the law, Len?

>
>> Look what kind of
>> things you've written about my active duty military service.

> Tsk, I've failed to acknowledge your glorious heroism
> in "a country at war?" Oh, my. Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk...

I didn't write anything of glorious heroism, Len. I did serve in war time. I was in the country where the war was taking place. I drew combat pay for my entire stay in that country. I'm choosing not to document it for you. Now what? Are you going to tell a recruiter about me? Will you suggest to Brian Burke that he contact the "Stolen Valor" folks.

> You need some medal or ribbon to highlight your "active
> duty military service?"

Thanks, Len, but I've already got them.

> BUY some. They are easy to
> purchase.

Thanks, I have them already. I appreciate your concern.

> Somebody with the license call K4YZ has done
> that, hasn't he? :-)

Has he?

> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
> bragging in here.

You don't know exactly what I did either. You've erroneously claimed that I was assigned to a MARS unit. Now what?

> Not ONE SINGLE item to document
> that.

Yeah? Now what? What does Steve owe you in the way of documentation? I found his name on that web site I mentioned. It even had his rank.

> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available.

And?

> Frank
> Gilliland has made it available.

Oh yes, Frank Gilliland. He had not one, but two courts martial, didn't he? I'm sure he's a fine, upstanding fellow.

> I've got a whole
> 20-page photo essay posted on what I did.

Great. I'm sure you delight in the memories.

>
>> You haven't attended catechism studies and haven't been confirmed. You
>> don't pledge, you don't tithe. You may not partake. You're still an
>> unbaptized heathen in the world of amateur radio.

>
> Sorry, but I Pledge from time to time. Good furniture
> polish and dusting treatment.

Do you wash your duds with "Tithe"?

> I don't tithe amateur radio. It is NOT a religion.

You've called it such. You even told us where the services are held.

> It isn't a recognized religion.

You seem to recognize it.

> Except maybe in a
> small town in Connecticutt? :-)

Milford? "Connecticutt"?

> Tsk, tsk, I've been a hobbyist in radio and electronics
> for 59 years, still doing that.

Poor baby. You *are* in a rut. All this time and you still haven't
obtained an amateur radio license. Tsk, tsk.

> I've been a professional
> (as in accepting compensation for work performed) for 54
> years, still doing that (but not now in regular office
> hours).

There have been hints as to your irregularity, Len.

> I partake all I want, anytime I want.

You partake in something entirely different than amateur radio. Now what?

> Fascinating thing
> this radio-electronics thing. Made it my career choice.

Many of us did, Len. Many of us became radio amateurs too. You didn't.

> I have a COMMERCIAL radio operator license, even had a
> BUSINESS radio license.

So? What has any of that to do with amateur radio?

> I got "baptised" (using your
> ugly and insulting term) back in 1953 doing high-power
> long-distance HF transmitting.

You find baptism to be ugly and insulting? What is it that you
practice, Leonard?

> I've since transmitted
> legally from the air (at the controls of an aircraft)
> and from the sea (from a private boat on HF SSB).

So? You're still not a participant in amateur radio. Are you trying to impress us?

> I've
> worked a station ON the moon...something amateurs have
> NEVER done. :-)

I know. You've told us that quite a number of times. I've used the moon as a passive reflector. My signal traveled twice as far as yours. Did I mention that my effort was done by radio amateurs?

> Well, yes, I would imagine YOU think of ham radio as
> some kind of "religion" where mantra is everything but
> the technology is too ethereal for you to understand.

Actually, all of the imagining was yours, Len. You're the insufferable boor who seems desperate for attention. What've you done lately?

> Take heart, your epiphany may come, even without anyone
> kicking your phany.

Yeah, it might, Len. I doubt you'll be a witness.

> As always to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.

"QSL via OH2BH with an IRC"?

> LenAnderson@ieee.org
See IEEE Code of Ethics

Dave K8MN

2902. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 22:27:18 UTC
From: Ken Finney <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com>
Message-ID: <J7EMDH.5G7@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 168869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Papa Dog" <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1f9df6c6ce462e30989a43@news-server.carolina.rr.com...
> In article <qEVYg.10360$Y24.4477@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>> > Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>
>>
>> No, You missed another ten questions,
>
> No I didn't take the code test. You're absolutely no help. You just
> try and stir the pot. If you have so much knowledge why don't you share
> it with the group instead of just causing trouble.
>
>> the CW questions, but since the
>> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>
> I took the test the government of my country prescribed I take. If you
> don't like it call the FCC. I've long since tired of your whining.
>>
>> Don't know which HT to recommend,

Most people I hang with bought either the Icom IC-T90 or the Kenwood TH-F6A.

2903. 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I might propose that to the FCC.

20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.

SC

2904. Re: CHALLENGE FOR MARK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U3UZg.13493$o71.7419@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:<d4mt5.e6h.19.1@news.alt.net>:

> IM TELLING YOU IDIOT I WILL TELL YOU WHO I AM! I AM NOT SC. Once you
> hear my voice, you will know who I am.............

ROFLMAO!

SC

2905. Re: CONFERENCE BRIDGE CHALLENGE FOR MARKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <V3UZg.13494$o71.10222@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:d4mml.lmt.17.1@news.alt.net:

> Come on Mark! Why wont you call and let me hear your tough guy voice! Im
> sitting here waiting for you!
>
>
> "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in message
> news:d4mg6.l01.17.1@news.alt.net...
>> Phone is 712-432-3000
>>
>> Conference Code is 365091
>>
>> Call in and see if you have some gutts... lets hear your tough guy
>> voice!!!!!

ROFL

This is good. LOL

SC

2906. Everyone waiting for code to be eliminated doesn't want to be a real ham very bad.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <W3UZg.13495$o71.5433@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We need people in the service that want to be hams.

SC

2907. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <X3UZg.13496$o71.9838@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:d4mot.lu7.17.1@news.alt.net:

> Again, im not SC.... you are to chicken shit to dial a phone you sack of
> shit!!! You are a pussy!!!!!!
>
>
> <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161267117.045869.160270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> 10-4 Good Buddy wrote:
>>>
>> guts over the phone please
>> thank for the laugh anyway
>>
>> you want to show your guts come and face the legals problems of your
>> deds SC
>>

ROFLMAO

SC

2908. Make no-code license one year non-renewable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y3UZg.13497$o71.7986@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and be better elmers to the new ones.

SC

2909. Most RRAPers want ham radio to keep going the direction it's going.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z3UZg.13498$o71.12887@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Downhill.

Sad.

We need to get more pro ham-radio hams here who'll take a stand to defend
the service from the dumb-it-downers.

SC

2910. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%3UZg.13499$o71.12365@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:

> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>>
>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>>>2 transistors.
>>
>>
>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive heat
>> event has passed.
>>
>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking a
> shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind dont
> waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are up to?

Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
They ain't the brightest people on the planet.

SC

2911. Passing scores on the written exams should be raised to 85%.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <04UZg.13500$o71.9166@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As it turns out, I didn't miss anything when I took my General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Missed a few on the Novice written, but I was just starting out and I didn't have a novice theory book that was up to date. Still, if the score to pass a written exam would have been 85%, I would have studied a lot harder.

Studying harder makes you better.

73, de Slow Code

2912. Repeater ID speeds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <24UZg.13501$o71.6585@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The CW speed for repeater ID's should be lowered so new hams can feel pride in knowing what repeaters they're kerchunking. The ARRL recommends 5 WPM for repeater ID's but the FCC disagrees and suggests the speed should be lower because some individuals may have tested with W5YI and might only know CW to 2 WPM. Repeaters with voice ID's must be programed to talk slower to accomodate hams like Markie who are still learning the alphabet. Elmers who want to help new hams with their CW repeater ID skills, should focus on the letters found in phrases like "Welfare check" or "Food Stamps" as restructured hams are more familier with these terms. When a restructured ham can correctly identify the words "government-handout" or "breaker one nine", they're ready to ID repeaters on their own.

We should welcome new hams all that we can by slowing down repeater ID's.

SC

2913. Re: Space Cadets like my little man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <34UZg.13502$o71.5657@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote in
news:1161288915.246859.282400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> SC......would you try to get morkins to get off the computer and get on
> me?

Sure thing Steve.

Markie, Get off the Computer and mount Steve this instant you tard.

SC

2914. Still no Omega One on 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:42:56 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <44UZg.13503$o71.6525@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I think maybe it's about time Todd should ask a 20 wpm Extra in
Taylorville to fix his transmitter for him.

SC

2915. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-19 23:48:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NPmdnXowNplGk6XYnZ2dnUVZ_tudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I might propose that to the FCC.
>
> 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
> SC

Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.

Dee, N8UZE

2916. Re: re:RE RE RE RE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:02:34 UTC
From: Stan de SD <standesd_DIGA_NO_A_SPAM@covad.net>
Message-ID: <70a97$4538121c$45035f0d$21184@msgid.meganewsservers.com>
Source record: 168883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

"Real Friendly Neibourhood Vote Ranger" <yyyyiiiinnnngggg@yahoo.com> wrote
in message news:d448g.9p3.19.1@news.alt.net...
>
> "Steven" <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1161179603.519940.215830@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >I DO
>
> ARe you going to stick round for the <PLONK!!!>

2917. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:03:09 UTC
From: Stan de SD <standesd_DIGA_NO_A_SPAM@covad.net>
Message-ID: <90775$4538123f$45035f0d$21190@msgid.meganewsservers.com>
Source record: 168884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:ajfcj29lrrqqaankfcjia8fbm7a7d1qnq0@4ax.com...

<plonk>

2918. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:07:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161302840.311218.228460@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> >I might propose that to the FCC.

> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.

> Hocky Puck.

Well, that's putting it mildly.

> From a 20wpm Extra.

Not really.

"Slow Code" does not use his real name or call here. He's obviously looking for a reaction - aka trolling. He could be anybody. He could be Len Anderson, Brian Burke, Mark Morgan or someone else like Vshah101 or Cutie Boy. The fact that he says he's a 20 wpm Extra doesn't mean he (or she) is.

2919. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:09:31 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fa1ddcac72a4f9c989a4e@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <J7EMDH.5G7@news.boeing.com>, kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com
says...
>
> "Papa Dog" <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1f9df6c6ce462e30989a43@news-server.carolina.rr.com...
> > In article <qEVYg.10360$Y24.4477@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> > my.mail@no.spam says...
> >> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
> >>
> >> > Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
> >>
> >>
> >> No, You missed another ten questions,
> >
> > No I didn't take the code test. You're absolutely no help. You just
> > try and stir the pot. If you have so much knowledge why don't you share
> > it with the group instead of just causing trouble.
> >
> >> the CW questions, but since the
> >> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
> >
> > I took the test the government of my country prescribed I take. If you
> > don't like it call the FCC. I've long since tired of your whining.
> >>
> >> Don't know which HT to recommend,
>
> Most people I hang with bought either the Icom IC-T90 or the Kenwood TH-F6A.
>
>
>
>
Thanks for the advice. I already went with Yaseu. Got a good deal on
it. Listening to it right now. I'm picking up 12 repeaters on the duck
antenna. Complicated to figure out to begin with but pretty cool. It
even picks up 800 MHz police and am air. I'm in hog heaven.

73
Chris

2920. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:20:58 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fa1e07b691e2cf989a51@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 168887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <1161302840.311218.228460@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
N2EY@AOL.COM says...

>
> "Slow Code" does not use his real name or call here. He's obviously
> looking for a reaction - aka trolling. He could be anybody. He could be
> Len Anderson, Brian Burke, Mark Morgan or someone else like Vshah101 or
> Cutie Boy. The fact that he says he's a 20 wpm Extra doesn't mean he
> (or she) is.
>
>
He uses 20 cuss words a minute. Thats about it!

2921. Re: Add another chickenshit TROLL alias to parasiticplagiaristjohntoilet gilTURD's mental meltdown dossier: Hoity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:22:40 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161303760.282193.61650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168888
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Daedalus wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2006 10:23:26 -0700, "Shrike!" <hoodoo@spamcop.net> wrote:
>
> >Add another chickenshit TROLL alias to parasiticplagiaristjohntoilet
> >gilTURD's mental meltdown dossier:
> >
> >
> >Hoity wrote:
> >
> >> On 19 Oct 2006 05:19:22 -0700, "Shrike!" <hoodoo@spamcop.net> wrote:
>
> Thanks for this update. Keep 'em coming.
>
> Jade

I PUNCE

2922. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:28:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <ts5gj2t13er7422hhcqn7fhkron0q5k1i9@4ax.com>
Source record: 168889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.religion,ba.broadcast

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 17:03:09 -0700, "Stan de SD"
<standesd_DIGA_NO_A_SPAM@covad.net> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
>news:ajfcj29lrrqqaankfcjia8fbm7a7d1qnq0@4ax.com...
>
><plonk>
>
as t it is a resp[onse to forgeries issued in my name that this plonk
occours I repsond by chaning tmy nym

Id thefts do not have th e right to engage in such tactics to deny
others the use of USEnet
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2923. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:28:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 168890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>
>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>>>
>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>>>>2 transistors.
>>>
>>>
>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive heat
>>> event has passed.
>>>
>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>
>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking a
>> shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind dont
>> waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are up to?
>
>
>
>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
so
>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2924. Re: Space Cadets like Slow Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:30:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <636gj296jl9cjbc6od4up36292ct2mblib@4ax.com>
Source record: 168891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote in
>news:1161288915.246859.282400@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> SC......would you try to get morkins to get off the computer and get on
>> me?
>
>
>
>Sure thing Steve.
>
>Markie, Get off the Computer and mount Steve this instant you tard.
interesting you claim to opose homosexaul condcut now you are
promoting such in radio NG and you are trolling in the KOOK gruop

why do you hate ham radio SC
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2925. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:32:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <r66gj2pbu4lja7mkrvvsd9a1ve7bssoh1r@4ax.com>
Source record: 168892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Oct 2006 17:07:20 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>
>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
>> Hocky Puck.
>
>Well, that's putting it mildly.
>
>> From a 20wpm Extra.
>
>Not really.
>
>"Slow Code" does not use his real name or call here. He's obviously
>looking for a reaction - aka trolling. He could be anybody. He could be
>Len Anderson, Brian Burke, Mark Morgan or someone else like Vshah101 or
>Cutie Boy. The fact that he says he's a 20 wpm Extra doesn't mean he
>(or she) is.
Jim he spells to well to be me you know that

you have no reason to believe a NoCoder is responble you are now
enging in the same sort of attack as Robeson yourself
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2926. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:32:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <196gj2930grn04ajpmfj2e1en1cotvs9gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>news:<d4mt5.e6h.19.1@news.alt.net>:
>
>> IM TELLING YOU IDIOT I WILL TELL YOU WHO I AM! I AM NOT SC. Once you
>> hear my voice, you will know who I am.............
>
>
>
>ROFLMAO!
the sugestion i know your voice is funny
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2927. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:33:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <r96gj2ta8628f861qi702bomktrh1j01i8@4ax.com>
Source record: 168893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>news:d4mml.lmt.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> Come on Mark! Why wont you call and let me hear your tough guy voice! Im
>> sitting here waiting for you!
>>
>>
>> "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in message
>> news:d4mg6.l01.17.1@news.alt.net...
>>> Phone is 712-432-3000
>>>
>>> Conference Code is 365091
>>>
>>> Call in and see if you have some gutts... lets hear your tough guy
>>> voice!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>ROFL
>
>This is good. LOL
the sugestion that I could you from yourself by voice is indeed funny
>
>SC
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2928. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:33:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <3b6gj25enikieu9q0hdg1vjm65f40b0nv1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>We need people in the service that want to be hams.
Funny I am a ham
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2929. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:34:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <nb6gj290pv6bh5sg212e9icgvarqm5ehpr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>news:d4mot.lu7.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> Again, im not SC.... you are to chicken shit to dial a phone you sack of
>> shit!!! You are a pussy!!!!!!
>>
>>
>> <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1161267117.045869.160270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> 10-4 Good Buddy wrote:
>>>>
>>> guts over the phone please
>>> thank for the laugh anyway
>>>
>>> you want to show your guts come and face the legals problems of your
>>> deds SC
>>>
>
>
>ROFLMAO
indeed laughing at your own joke sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2930. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:34:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <cc6gj2thgil3alcki4o002951shb43n8p0@4ax.com>
Source record: 168897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they want
>to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes coming in
>because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and be better
>elmers to the new ones.

why is it that you want to kill the ARS
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2931. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:35:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <7d6gj2hv2n2o8ah1m1jlv5v48h6u3h1eqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Downhill.
>
>
>Sad.
>
>We need to get more pro ham-radio hams here who'll take a stand to defend
>the service from the dumb-it-downers.

I do I opose your effort to dumb down the testing procedure by
increasing code test speeds
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2932. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:36:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <oe6gj2hmal42h0cr5novmsjqbpf45k78vk@4ax.com>
Source record: 168899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>As it turns out, I didn't miss anything when I took my General, Advanced,
>and Extra writtens. Missed a few on the Novice written, but I was just
>starting out and I didn't have a novice theory book that was up to date.
>Still, if the score to pass a written exam would have been 85%, I would
>have studied a lot harder.
so?
>
>Studying harder makes you better.

I know you are just a maschistic do you support flogging as part of
licensing
>73, de Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2933. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:36:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <8g6gj25j2ne7b0n9ii2jsp8hh7g7g88ijd@4ax.com>
Source record: 168950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>The CW speed for repeater ID's should be lowered so new
>hams can feel pride in knowing what repeaters they're
>kerchunking.
we know by the fequency and loction and pl we are using
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2934. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:37:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <eh6gj2hgbmvgn2d8f5pfh1nimmh8gev4jm@4ax.com>
Source record: 168951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:56 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>I think maybe it's about time Todd should ask a 20 wpm Extra in
>Taylorville to fix his transmitter for him.
he wants it to tansmit not beep
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2935. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:40:03 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161304803.874320.265320@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog wrote:
> In article <J7EMDH.5G7@news.boeing.com>, kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com
> says...
> >

> >
> Thanks for the advice. I already went with Yaseu. Got a good deal on
> it. Listening to it right now. I'm picking up 12 repeaters on the duck
> antenna. Complicated to figure out to begin with but pretty cool. It
> even picks up 800 MHz police and am air. I'm in hog heaven.
good to hear

have a good time
>
> 73
> Chris

2936. Re: hi marqueer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:45:39 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161305139.178130.230120@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >We need people in the service that want to be hams.
> Funny I am a ham
> >

You're less of a ham than a cb'er that had to pay twenty bucks for a
license.
you shit stain wanna be cocksucker.

> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE

2937. Re: marqueer is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 00:53:59 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161305639.673973.53410@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2006 13:07:19 -0700, Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com wrote:
>
> >
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE

2938. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:00:48 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >I might propose that to the FCC.
> >
> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
> >
> > SC
>
> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>

didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
fat whore!

> Dee, N8UZE

UZE is what drips from your box, tramp!

2939. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:14:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <fn8gj2tgh065a51vutlhc728fn8h0buvbr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 19 Oct 2006 18:00:48 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>> >
>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>> >
>> > SC
>>
>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>
>
>didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>fat whore!
wow looks like yet another wismen sockpuppet
>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>UZE is what drips from your box, tramp!
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2940. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:16:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <2p8gj29hp1a363incf6i6usnma2sfu59m6@4ax.com>
Source record: 168957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 19 Oct 2006 17:45:39 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >We need people in the service that want to be hams.
>> Funny I am a ham
>> >
>
>You're less of a ham than a cb'er that had to pay twenty bucks for a
>license.
no i spent 6 buck to take the test
>you shit stain wanna be cocksucker.

No wanna be for me
>
>> >SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>I PUNCE
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2941. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:16:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <6r8gj21pt3d0ih9jlnp85g20tlknp0hkfq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Oct 2006 17:53:59 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2942. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:18:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161307086.356614.230380@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I PUNCE wrote:
>
id theft is crime

2943. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:19:18 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161307158.646701.12710@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I PUNCE wrote:
>
id theft is a crime

2944. ot but amsuing and relavant to RRAP in light of the obession with spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:45:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <kdagj2pvutov3c9cs6aa0sp1f74s1h1vtp@4ax.com>
Source record: 168961

I ehard tonight on the news that one of the K-stans is issuing new
money money on which the word for bank is mispelled
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2945. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 01:58:04 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ftCdnQ9JYMCgsKXYnZ2dnUVZ_omdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1161302840.311218.228460@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>
>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
>> Hocky Puck.
>
> Well, that's putting it mildly.
>
>> From a 20wpm Extra.
>
> Not really.
>
> "Slow Code" does not use his real name or call here. He's obviously
> looking for a reaction - aka trolling. He could be anybody. He could be
> Len Anderson, Brian Burke, Mark Morgan or someone else like Vshah101 or
> Cutie Boy. The fact that he says he's a 20 wpm Extra doesn't mean he
> (or she) is.
>

I meant that I, a 20wpm Extra myself, was calling it Hocky Puck.

Dee, N8UZE

2946. Re: STFU ---> MAEQUEER,,,After the VE team packed you they said they "slammed the ham",,,, "not you're a ham"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 02:29:33 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161311372.971171.28000@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> I ehard tonight on the news that one of the K-stans is issuing new
> money money on which the word for bank is mispelled
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE AGAIN

2947. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 02:34:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161311653.830171.24080@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168964

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:32pm

> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >Even though Jim posts with authority, not all of his postings are
> >> >factual.

> >Give us an example.

> You GOT the "example."

Where? I think you can't provide one, and are just dodging the facts.

> >> He went into fantasy orgasm on ENIAC of 1946.

> >Just stating facts, Len. Nothing I stated about that machine was
> >incorrect.

> You copied off the Moore School website PR material.

Nope. Not at all. You are mistaken.

Here's one source:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

And another:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eniac

There's also the book about ENIAC, which you probably haven't/won't
read.

> In itself that material is either incomplete or
> erroneous...such as the extravagant claim that
> "ENIAC changed the world."

Nope. Not at all. You are mistaken.

ENIAC *did* change the world. It was the true beginning of modern
computing. It's the root of the tree:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap7.html

Is the US Army wrong?

> The FACT is that tomorrow, Thursday, 19 October, marks
> the 33rd anniversary of a federal court decision on
> who had the first electronic computer.

So what? That does not contradict what I wrote.

ENIAC was the world's very first fully operational, high speed, general
purpose, electronic
digital computer.

That's what I wrote before, and it's a fact.

> The judge's
> decision was that Iowa State University had it, the
> Atanasoff-Berry Computer (familiarly called "ABC").

The judge was ruling on the patents, not on which machine was the
world's very first fully operational, high speed, general purpose,
electronic digital computer. Which was ENIAC

> Not only that, court transcripts indicate that John
> Mauchly had already seen the "ABC" but talked to both
> Atanasoff and Berry in detail on that "ABC." Further,
> Mauchly and Atanasoff exchanged mail following Mauchly's
> trip to Iowa to see the "ABC."

No one denied that - not even Mauchly himself.

> If you wish to see more and in detail on the REAL
> "first electronic computer," just go to:
>
> http://www.iastate.edu

The Iowa State PR site.

> And follow the links.

What links? Can't you provide something more direct?

> There's many pages of information
> on the "ABC" plus that famous trial about "the ENIAC
> patents." Sperry-Rand (who had purchased the rights to
> the ENIAC patents) LOST that trial. TS for Sperry-Rand.
> Not only that, the judge chided Mauchly in his decision
> paper, stating that Mauchly had taken a priori knowledge
> from the "ABC" and tried to pass it off as "his" for the
> ENIAC.

The ABC was not even a true computer.
>
> >As for the ABC, it was not completely electronic.
>
> Really?!? WTF are you talking about?

The facts, Len. It was part electronic and part electromechanical.
Motors and switching drums, storage of intermediate results on paper,
and much more.

> Look at the Iowa
> State "ABC" pages. Nice illustrations of it.

Which prove my point.
>
> >More importantly, the original was never completed.
>
> "Never completed?!?" WTF are you talking about?
> It was "completed" in the 1939 to 1942 period.

Nope. It was never fully operational. It never completed a full-scale calculation.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atanasoff-Berry_Computer

> As far
> as a federal court is concerned it was most definitely
> COMPLETED, completed well enough for the judge to
> declare it, the "ABC", was the FIRST electronic
> computer.

Was the judge an engineer?

The judge was ruling on the patents, not on which machine was the world's very first fully operational, high speed, general purpose, electronic digital computer. Which was ENIAC.

> [TRY to get used to that, Jimmie, I know it
> is damn difficult for you but for 33 years the "first"
> electronic computer title has gone to the Atanasoff-
> Berry Computer of 1939]

The ABC was not a computer in the modern sense. Or even in the 1945 sense.

All the ABC was designed to do was to solve systems of linear equations. It could not do anything else.

By definition, a true computer is Turing-complete. ENIAC was Turing-complete, ABC was not. ENIAC was a true computer, ABC was not. End of story.

> >ENIAC was fully operational for over a
> >decade -
>
> NOT "fully operational" by Moore School or the short-
> lived 'company' of Mauchly and Eckert (they went broke
> and Sperry-Rand had to bail them out by buying rights
> to the machine).

Boy, Len, you really are on a roll with the mistakes. You make 'em in quantity!

ENIAC was fully operational at the Moore School *and* at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds. That's not an opinion - it's the official Army history:

http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

> The US Army took it over (having paid
> for it in the first place) and John Von Neuman suggested
> the Army should CHANGE certain parts of it.

Eckert and Mauchly came up with the idea and convinced the Army to fund
it. The Army accepted and used ENIAC for almost a decade.

The improvements suggested by John Von Neumann (note the spelling) were
later incorporated, as were other improvements by the original
inventors.

> ENIAC was *NOT* the "First" electronic computer.

Who said it was? Not me.

ENIAC was the world's very first fully operational, high speed, general
purpose, electronic
digital computer.

ABC was a special purpose calculator.

> Get used to it.

Take your own advice.

> >ABC was not finished until 1998.
>
> Bullshit.

No, a fact.

> What *I* described was a REPLICA. Built by
> the Computer Sciences Department of Iowa State. Between
> 1942 and about 1994 (a mere 52 years), the original "ABC"
> had been scrounged for parts for other projects. All that
> remained of the original (in the 1990s) was one memory
> drum. Atanasoff and Berry kept good notes and diagrams,
> even wrote some internal papers about the "ABC." Those
> were used to build the REPLICA.

Because the original was never fully operational. Explain how something
that is not fully operational can be complete.

See

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atanasoff-Berry_Computer

> "ABC" used a revolving drum holding capacitors for
> electronic memory storage...each capacitor storing one
> binary bit. "ABC" had a "recharge" section which would
> keep the bit capacitors' charges up for as long as it
> was on.

An electromechanical system. Not electronic.

> Note: In the 1939-1942 time frame there was
> no such thing as a magnetic memory drum to use by

> anyone. [magnetic recording was not yet mature in that
> time frame, but it was available...barely] Atanasoff
> and Berry had to use what was available.

So they used an electromechanical system rather than an electronic
system. They also used paper storage for intermediate results.

> "High-speed"
> mass memory didn't exist until the invention of the
> "Williams tube" in the UK, the one using a CRT faceplate
> with conductive foil in small patches on it to form an
> equivalent charge storage for each bit.

So? What's your point?
>
> ENIAC was NEVER replicated in its original form.

Doesn't matter. Even as ENIAC was being built, Eckert and Mauchly
thought up improvements, which wound up in EDVAC. They could not be
included in ENIAC because the design phase was over. Had to meet the
schedules.

ENIAC worked for almost a decade. The original ABC was never fully
functional and was abandoned for more than five decades.

> At best
> is a Moore School internal project for "ENIAC on a chip,"
> putting the whole thing on a single IC. That info is on
> the ENIAC website, perhaps of interest, perhaps not since
> Intel had the FIRST CPU-on-a-chip decades ago.

Irrelevant.

> >But the original ABC was never fully operational, nor complete.
>
> It was COMPLETE. It was FULLY OPERATIONAL as to its
> intended tasks.

Incorrect on both counts. See:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atanasoff-Berry_Computer

All the ABC could do was solve systems of linear equations. That's all
Atanasoff intended, and he abandoned the machine for other work. He and
Berry did not challenge the ENIAC patents - Honeywell did, because IBM
was trying to use them to monopolize the industry. That monopoly
attempt backfired on Big Blue.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Honeywell_v._Sperry_Rand

> The "ABC" was intended to be used to solve certain
> problems. It did that.

Systems of linear equations and nothing else. That's a special-purpose
calculator, not a computer. ENIAC was a true computer, ABC was not. End

of story.

> While it was NEVER intended
> to solve "all-purpose" computing problems (as if the
> modern mainframes had existed in 1939 to use as a
> model of that), it was FULLY OPERATIONAL enough for a
> court to decide which electronic computer was FIRST.

The court was about the patents.

A patent does not require a fully operational example, either. All it
requires is that the concept not contradict known science.

> >Doesn't change the validity of what I wrote. The ENIAC was completed
> >and operational by 1946. ABC was not.
>
> False.

No, true.

> Firstly, YOU NEVER mentioned the "ABC." Secondly,
> "ABC" was completed and operational by 1942, four years
> prior to the ENIAC first running.

Not according to

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atanasoff-Berry_Computer

> >The patent in question was not
> >relevant to which machine was the first general purpose, high speed,
> >electronic digital computer.
>
> The TIME FRAME is the relevant item, Jimmie,

Who is this person you call "Jimmie", Len?

My name is Jim. "Jimmie" isn't me.

> the TIME FRAME.
> 1939 to 1942 is WELL BEFORE the ENIAC.
>
> Further, John Mauchly essentially committed intellectual
> property theft of certain aspects of "ABC" to use in ENIAC.

How? What elements were used? The addition-subtraction method was
completely different. ENIAC did not use an electromechanical drum and
did not use binary.

If anything, Mauchly was more influenced by the counting circuits he
had used previously for other purposes.
>
> Trashcan the "high speed" adjectives for ENIAC,

No.

> It is NOT "high speed" at all.

Not by today's standards.

> It was slower in operation
> than my Apple][+ of 1980.
> It was slower than ALL of the
> first personal electronic computers made in the 1960s and
> 1970s.

Irrelevant.

ENIAC was high speed because it was orders of magnitude faster than anything that had existed before. ABC used a 60 Hz clock - ENIAC was more than 100 times faster. Faster than any previous machine by at least an order of magnitude, usually by several orders of magnitude.

> "Programming" of ENIAC sometimes "took weeks" for
> a single task according to some REAL computer history sites
> and textbooks.

Writing software takes time, Len. That's what they were doing.
>
> >> That sort of SELECTIVE highlighting with the sin of
> >> omission or related facts is what the ARRL does most of
> >> the time.
> >
> >That's just sour graoes in your part, Len. Completely untrue.
>
> I've never tasted a "sour graoe." What is it?

Sour grapes, You should know.

> The ARRL *DOES* 'sin by omission' of lots of radio-electronic
> history.

Nope.

> 'Sin of omission' refers to mentioning ONLY what
> the ARRL thinks is relevant for amateur radio and to make
> prospective members think they can join a completely 'honest'
> organization.

You're really on a roll with the nonsense, Len. Give a concrete, factual example for a change. Oh wait - you can't. Facts aren't your style.

> ARRL is also a POLITICAL ENTITY,

> it
> lobbies for things *it* wants, but says what it wants is
> "for the good of amateur radio." [typical POLITICAL spin]

"The good of amateur radio" is an opinion, Len. Aren't they allowed to put forth an opinion?

> Sorry,

> but what I wrote *IS* completely TRUE.

No, it isn't. It's a typical bunch of your errors, mistakes, and
general attention-seeking nonsense.

> Try
> untwisting your knickers a bit and quit trying to defend
> the ARRL as if you were an army of one. [you've never
> served in any military, don't know how to fight for your
> or anyone else's life] ARRL *IS* a political entity and
> deserves every comment it gets, good or bad.

Why should you let facts stand in the way, Len? That's not your style.

Here are those references again:

ELECTRONIC COMPUTERS WITHIN THE ORDNANCE CORPS
Index:
http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/index.html

Chap 2 on ENIAC:
http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap2.html

Tree of Computing:
http://ftp.arl.mil/~mike/comphist/61ordnance/chap7.html

Other references:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Honeywell_v._Sperry_Rand

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atanasoff-Berry_Computer

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eniac

2948. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 02:36:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161311793.160320.293530@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

> >> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
> > >
> > >Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
> > >to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
> > >years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.
> >
> > where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
> > he is a citizen?

We can all toss comments at all NPRMs, that's not the point. The topic under discussion is Anderson's behavior during ham NPRM commenting periods and the way the FCC probably handles his "comments". As far as stakes go Anderson himself made it transparently clear years ago that he has no stake whatsoever in the regulation of the amateur radio service when he stated that he is not a ham and does not have any intention of becoming a ham in the future.

> and what abuse?

Flooding the FCC with 200 comments on one ham radio NPRM is juvenile and abusive behavior every way you look at it. And it's not in the direction of supporting the cause of you NCTAs.

> did you read a different constituions than I

Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted by the ARRL.

> > >> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
> > >> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
> > > >
> > > >Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
> > > >mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
> > > >and stay above 30 Mhz.
> > >
> > > indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
> > > then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
> > > it

Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you either walk the walk or you don't.

> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
> before the end of the years

Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.

w3rv

2949. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 02:58:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rXWZg.13553$o71.5661@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168966

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>>>> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>>> Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
>>> to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
>>> years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.
>> where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
>> he is a citizen?
>
> We can all toss comments at all NPRMs, that's not the point. The topic
> under discussion is Anderson's behavior during ham NPRM commenting
> periods and the way the FCC probably handles his "comments". As far as
> stakes go Anderson himself made it transparently clear years ago that
> he has no stake whatsoever in the regulation of the amateur radio
> service when he stated that he is not a ham and does not have any
> intention of becoming a ham in the future.
>
>> and what abuse?
>
> Flooding the FCC with 200 comments on one ham radio NPRM is juvenile
> and abusive behavior every way you look at it. And it's not in the
> direction of supporting the cause of you NCTAs.
>
>> did you read a different constituions than I
>
> Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
> regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance
> with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a
> newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
> Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
> by the ARRL.

Len sometimes seems to think that he can woo the Commission with sheer
volume rather than substance.

>>>> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
>>>> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>>> Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
>>> mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
>>> and stay above 30 Mhz.
>> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
>> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
>> it

>
> Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
> either walk the walk or you don't.

Gee, Brian, maybe you and I need financial backers.

>> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
>> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
>> before the end of the years
>
> Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.

Is the "end of the years" a sort of "end days" scenario?

Chasin' the XF4?

Dave K8MN

2950. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 03:08:55 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3P6dnURhAe1VoKXYnZ2dnUVZ_oednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 168967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone who would make such a post pretty much wins the retard contest.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:1EVYg.10345$Y24.6111@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I can't believe the issues you Cannuks pick to whine about.
>
> SC

2951. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 03:15:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DbXZg.11778$Y24.7238@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168968

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 4:41 am
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Jimmie D on Tues, Oct 17 2006 7:46 pm
>>>> <LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote in message

> I don't know the state of your supposed goody-two-shoes "morals"
> but I would imagine that law enforcement folks WILL want to
> know WHY you are driving on winding country roads at night?

Well, Len, I drive on winding country roads at night quite frequently.
In six years, the police have never been curious as to why I was driving
on such a road. Is there some sort of prohibition in your area?

>> Suppose the only available communication was by Amateur Radio - would I
>> not have at least a moral obligation, if not a legal one, to use
>> Amateur Radio to call for help?
>
> You will NATURALLY do so using radiotelegraphy. :-)
>
> Your "morality" is all tied up in some obsessive knot having
> to do with morse code telegraphy...for AMATEURS.
>
> So, law enforcement folks will still want to know WHY you
> forcing other cars off the winding country roads...is it to
> play 'rescueman' on your little hobby radio?

Is this some profile fulfillment thing on your part, Len?
How would you handle the reporting of an accident. Would you use your
little cellular phone? If you lived out my way, you wouldn't be able
to. You'd have to be at least fifteen or sixteen miles away for the
cellular phone to work. If you drove the other direction, it'd be
twenty or thirty miles.

>
>
>>> Personally, I think all
>>> citizens of the USA should do at least one term of Jury
>>> Service.

>> Even those who are not mentally or physically competent to do so?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, California state law is strict about WHO
> can be on a jury panel. The mentally ill won't be on one.
> Neither will previously-convicted felons.

> However, the mentally-challenged have managed to keep the
> morse code test in place by lobbying the FCC about it. So
> far.

You lobbied the FCC about it. You submitted plenty of material. What happened?

>>> USA amateur radio service is a VOLUNTARY activity. It is
>>> an avocation, not an occupation. In other words it is a
>>> HOBBY.

>> But it's not just a hobby.
>
> Sorry, it IS...despite the imaginary pipe-dreaming of being
> some kind of 'hero' rescuing folks in times of disaster or
> reading ARRL news squibs and associating that imagination
> with some 'vital service to the nation' or other pap.

Just point us to anywhere in Part 97 where the word "hobby" is used. A single instance will be fine.

>>> It's a fine hobby, tens of thousands of citizens
>>> engaged in it.

>> Hundreds of thousands of US citizens.
>
> Including the MENTALLY ILL. :-)

That's right, Len. I'll bet there are some mentally ill folks driving cars out near you. I'll bet some live in your neighborhood. The law says that they may roam freely unless they are judged to be a danger to themselves or others. Why, we've even got one of the local crazies posting here.

> Certainly the over-imaginative self-deluded souls who
> describe themselves as 'heroes' without ever doing ONE
> thing to PROVE their 'heroism.' :-)

Was that a joke, Len? Who has done that here? Does your sphincter post count?

Dave K8MN

2952. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 03:20:47 UTC
From: if you say so <noboudy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4538409b@news101.his.com>
Source record: 168969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

The FCC say's that the 20 wpm extras or no more important than someone with just 5 wpm. Isn't that why they changed it from 20 to 5 wpm for ALL HF LICENSE CLASSES. What your talking about is that you don't want to follow FCC rules. If there is a QSO on a frequency YOU should move not the people already there. Unless you have emergency traffic you have NO priority over anyone who is licensed to operate on any giving frequency. Have you even past a ham test? Maybe your license should be revoked until you can prove you have read and fully understand the part 97 FCC rules.

2953. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 03:30:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lpXZg.16211$UG4.4811@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 168970

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
>
> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
>
> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
>
>
>>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
>>> Gilliland has made it available.

>> So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>
> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.

MILITARY VETERANS get to demand proof? Really? I never saw myself as
superior to other citizens who never donned a military uniform.

> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
> veteran.

I'm a veteran. Dan from W4-land is a veteran. Do we get to demand
proof for your sphincter post? It'd be nice to know where and when the
artillery barrage took place and if your friend Gene can confirm it.

> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
> military service it becomes very serious indeed.

Well, it could become very serious if you ever actually had occasion to
put your life on the line.

> One
> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
> hobby with imagined self-glory.

...only if you were called upon to actually put your life on the line,
Len. Otherwise, you need to quit wrapping yourself in bunting and
stepping up on that soapbox.

Would the term "self-glory" come into play in discussing a guy who went into great detail describing what it was like to undergo an artillery barrage, except that he had never been involved in such an event? Would you be inclined to describe such an individual as a sort of imposter? It is certainly something for you to ponder.

Dave K8MN

2954. RE: Why Do People Hate Us Jews?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 04:50:08 UTC
From: Fag-Hag-Gav <Fag_Hag_Gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161319808.511896.148730@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168971
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message
> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
in
> >> >> >message

> >> >> (circ'ed)

> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> >You
> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
to
> >> >carry
> >> >> >this out?

> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
more
> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> town.

> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> >thing.

> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

> >Why, you, phony rev.

> Why me, Rosenberg?

Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
they
never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: \"MIDIcian\" \(tm\) - view profile
Date: Mon, Mar 22 2004 11:38 pm
Email: "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)" <S...@NeverFalls.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
alt.music.weird-al
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...

> ... YOU ARE SHIT.

Straw man.

Stan,
www.thesequencers.us
nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)

Reply Rate this post:

From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
Date: Tues, Mar 23 2004 7:28 am
Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
alt.music.weird-al, alt.fan.madonna, alt.tv.snl
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"\"MIDIcian\" (tm)" AKA Stan the putz wrote:

> "Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> > ... YOU ARE SHIT.

> Straw man.

Straw shit.

> Stan,
> www.thesequencers.us
> nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)

--
Freddie 'fag' Shorts

I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!

Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
FS Newssite Inc.
101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.

Check out my current web sites -
http://www.orwellian.org
http://www.miscstuff.org
http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/

And my latest creation -
http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message
>> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

>> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> message
>> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> > >Terrorists don't.

>> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.

>> And you claim to be a Revd.????

>He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican Church,
>and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
>from the Church was that they never heard of him.

That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message
>> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>in
>> >> >> >message

>> >> >> (circ'ed)

>> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
>> >You
>> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

>> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
>to
>> >> >carry
>> >> >> >this out?

>> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
>> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

>> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
>> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

>> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

>> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>more
>> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

>> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> town.

>> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

>> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

>> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
>> >thing.

>> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

>> >Why, you, phony rev.

>> Why me, Rosenberg?

>Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
think this is - McDonald's or something?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Ed - view profile
Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:53 pm
Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message
news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> message
> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> > >Terrorists don't.

> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.

> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????

> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
Church,
> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.

> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their
church,
they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Ed - view profile
Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:54 pm
Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message
news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"

> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
wrote
> >in
> >> >> >> >message

> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
humanity.
> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
wish
> >to
> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >this out?

> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
while.
> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
perverted
> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >more
> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> town.

> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such
a
> >> >thing.

> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> think this is - McDonald's or something?

It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of
the
Anglican Church.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 11:04 pm
Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
triangle.general
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message

> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message

> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> >> >>You
> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >> >this out?

> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >> >more
> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> >> town.

> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> >> >thing.

> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> think this is - McDonald's or something?

If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
still in your car!

--
Freddie 'fag' Shorts

I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!

Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
FS Newssite Inc.
101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.

Check out my current web sites -
http://www.orwellian.org
http://www.miscstuff.org
http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/

And my latest creation -
http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:36 am
Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in message
>news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

>> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> >> > >Terrorists don't.

>> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.

>> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????

>> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>Church,
>> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
>> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.

>> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

>Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
>they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.

As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:37 am
Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in message
>news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>wrote
>> >in
>> >> >> >> >message

>> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

>> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>humanity.
>> >> >You
>> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

>> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>wish
>> >to
>> >> >> >carry
>> >> >> >> >this out?

>> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>while.
>> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

>> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>perverted
>> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>> >more
>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> >> town.

>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such
>a
>> >> >thing.

>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> think this is - McDonald's or something?

>It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of the
>Anglican Church.

See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:38 am
Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
triangle.general
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
<Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:
>The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:

>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >in
>> >> >> >> >message

>> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

>> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
>> >> >You
>> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

>> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
>> >> >to
>> >> >> >carry
>> >> >> >> >this out?

>> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
>> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

>> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
>> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>> >more
>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> >> town.

>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
>> >> >thing.

>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> think this is - McDonald's or something?

>If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
>still in your car!

You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
Anglican Church does exactly that.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 9:42 am
Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
triangle.general
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts
> <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:

> >The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:

> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message

> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> >> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> >> >to
> >> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >> >this out?

> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >> >more

> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> >> town.

> >> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

> >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

> >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> >> >> >thing.

> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?

> >If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
> >still in your car!

> You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
> Anglican Church does exactly that.

LOL!!! Stupid pillowbiters! LOL!!!

--
Freddie 'fag' Shorts

I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!

Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
FS Newssite Inc.
101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.

Check out my current web sites -
http://www.orwellian.org
http://www.miscstuff.org
http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/

And my latest creation -
http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Ed - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message
> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
in
> >> >> message
> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.

> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.

> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????

> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
> >Church,
> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
answer
> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.

> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.

> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".

Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Ed - view profile
Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
message
> >news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote
in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
wrote
> >in
> >> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:

> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> >wrote
> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message

> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)

> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
> >humanity.
> >> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.

> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do
you
> >wish
> >> >to
> >> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >> >this out?

> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
> >while.
> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.

> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
> >perverted
> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.

> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?

> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
yourself
> >> >more
> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
in
> >> >> town.

> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

> >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

> >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.

> >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
such
> >a
> >> >> >thing.

> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?

> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.

> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?

> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
they
> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?

> >It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of the
> >Anglican Church.

> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.

And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:21 am
Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -
On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:09 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message
>> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...

>> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

>> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>in
>> >> >> message
>> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.

>> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.

>> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????

>> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>> >Church,
>> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
>answer
>> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.

>> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

>> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
>> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.

>> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".

>Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.

So who exactly "asked them", Rosenberg? Be specific, now.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:22 am
Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
Not yet rated
Rating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:48 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...

(circumcised)

>> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.

>And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.

Do you often talk to piles of sewage, Rosenberg? Are you a rabbi?

2955. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 07:20:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161328838.545811.301630@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168972

Dave Heil wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> > Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
> > regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance
> > with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a
> > newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
> > Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
> > by the ARRL.
>
> Len sometimes seems to think that he can woo the Commission with sheer
> volume rather than substance.

A child in a geezer's pants. If he keeps playing that stupid game he's
liable to find out the hard way that the feds have some very unpleasant
ways of "calling games off".

> >> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
> >> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
> >> it
> >
> > Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
> > either walk the walk or you don't.
>
> Gee, Brian, maybe you and I need financial backers.

Yeah why didn't we think of that? 'Course the Colonel has all those
contacts in high places . .

> >> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
> >> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
> >> before the end of the years
> >
> > Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.
>
> Is the "end of the years" a sort of "end days" scenario?

Nah it's sorta like the last yawn of daylight.

> Chasin' the XF4?

No, I'm still not up and running. I'm very close to having half-wave
verticals for 20 & 15 strung up but this place has turned out to be a
whole lot more work than I bargained for when I moved in. For now radio
is and has been taking a back seat to buckets of paint and varnish and
beyond but there's no way I won't be on the air within the month. Even

if it's only on 20 & 15 with the 847, P/S the mic and the paddles. Next up will be 2M via a quickie whip. Getting on 40 & 30 is a possibility but that'll be a race against the WX and I doubt that I'll beat Mother Nature so I'm not banking on it. "Wait'll next year!" <grumble>

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2956. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 08:29:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <lt1hj2lg2tbt67d5eobd64769jv322f884@4ax.com>
Source record: 168973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 19 Oct 2006 19:36:33 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2006 07:34:27 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>
>> >> why do you presume to think they don't read len ader particualrly
>> >
>> >Because he's a non-stakeholder who has been blatantly abusing his right
>> >to comment on matters before the FCC involving the amateur service for
>> >years. A presumption based on applied common sense and experience.
>>
>> where has he iditeifed himself as a no stakeholder as far as I know
>> he is a citizen?
>
>We can all toss comments at all NPRMs, that's not the point. The topic
>under discussion is Anderson's behavior during ham NPRM commenting
>periods and the way the FCC probably handles his "comments".
and for some reason you chose to imply that Len coments are treated
differently than say mine
> As far as
>stakes go Anderson himself made it transparently clear years ago that
>he has no stake whatsoever in the regulation of the amateur radio
>service when he stated that he is not a ham and does not have any
>intention of becoming a ham in the future.

and what has that got to do with anythiung at all
>
>> and what abuse?
>
>Flooding the FCC with 200 comments on one ham radio NPRM is juvenile
>and abusive behavior every way you look at it. And it's not in the
>direction of supporting the cause of you NCTAs.
sas I understand he filed one coment during the main period and a
bunch (i'll accept your count till len says different) of reply coment
in the period set aside for that

that is on paper at aleast how the system is suposed to work

doing things the right way is abusive in your mind?
>
>> did you read a different constituions than I
>
>Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
>regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance

>with all the laws under which they operate.
it is precisely the point

> You gotta be as naive as a
>newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
>Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
>by the ARRL.

and where was it claimed that Len was taken as seriously as the ARRL
he should have been in theory but that is theory
>
>> >> nope they know full well they wait long and someone will mount a legal
>> >> chalenge to code testing and that will be that
>> >
>> >Could be but until somebody actually lays down the cash it takes to
>> >mount the challenge you're waiting for y'all behave yourself Colonel
>> >and stay above 30 Mhz.
>>
>> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
>> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
>> it
>
>Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
>either walk the walk or you don't.

your point? that one should waste money forcing the FCC to act in
accordance with the laws of the USA?

>
>> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
>> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
>> before the end of the years
>
>Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.

I don't esp as there is no supense we are just waiting on the dates of
actgion
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2957. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 08:31:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <g82hj2hlk3mraude7beiq54cp0630mtumq@4ax.com>
Source record: 168974

On 20 Oct 2006 00:20:38 -0700, kelly@dvol.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> > Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
>> > regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance
>> > with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a
>> > newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
>> > Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
>> > by the ARRL.
>>
>> Len sometimes seems to think that he can woo the Commission with sheer
>> volume rather than substance.
>
>A child in a geezer's pants. If he keeps playing that stupid game he's
>liable to find out the hard way that the feds have some very unpleasant
>ways of "calling games off".
what are you smoking? the feds are going to DO something unpleasent to
len for following the procedures of the FCC
>
>> >> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
>> >> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
>> >> it
>> >
>> > Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
>> > either walk the walk or you don't.
>>
>> Gee, Brian, maybe you and I need financial backers.
>
>Yeah why didn't we think of that? 'Course the Colonel has all those
>contacts in high places . .

I had enough before the NPRM and am working on repaeting it if
neccasary
>
>> >> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
>> >> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
>> >> before the end of the years
>> >
>> > Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.
>>
>> Is the "end of the years" a sort of "end days" scenario?

>
>Nah it's sorta like the last yawn of daylight.
>
>> Chasin' the XF4?
>
>No, I'm still not up and running. I'm very close to having half-wave
>verticals for 20 & 15 strung up but this place has turned out to be a
>whole lot more work than I bargained for when I moved in. For now radio
>is and has been taking a back seat to buckets of paint and varnish and
>beyond but there's no way I won't be on the air within the month. Even
>if it's only on 20 & 15 with the 847, P/S the mic and the paddles. Next
>up will be 2M via a quickie whip. Getting on 40 & 30 is a possibility
>but that'll be a race against the WX and I doubt that I'll beat Mother
>Nature so I'm not banking on it. "Wait'll next year!" <grumble>
>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>w3rv
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2958. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 08:32:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com>
Source record: 168975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:20:47 -0400, "if you say so"
<noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>The FCC say's that the 20 wpm extras or no more important than someone with
>just 5 wpm. Isn't that why they changed it from 20 to 5 wpm for ALL HF
>LICENSE CLASSES. What your talking about is that you don't want to follow
>FCC rules. If there is a QSO on a frequency YOU should move not the people
>already there. Unless you have emergency traffic you have NO priority over
>anyone who is licensed to operate on any giving frequency. Have you even
>past a ham test? Maybe your license should be revoked until you can prove
>you have read and fully understand the part 97 FCC rules.
that would serve the interests of the ars

OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
license
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2959. Re: ot but amsuing and relavant to RRAP in light of the obession with spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 08:34:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <6g2hj2pa4pc5vf6aekm6sq3s68nad3psos@4ax.com>
Source record: 168976

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 21:45:59 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:

>I ehard tonight on the news that one of the K-stans is issuing new
>money money on which the word for bank is mispelled
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
why was this simply message worth jaming by forgery and gay bashing?

I realy don't understand
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2960. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 08:36:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <rh2hj29cv103369gg4tshj3fl99jtff5jo@4ax.com>
Source record: 168977
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 19 Oct 2006 21:50:08 -0700, "Fag-Hag-Gav" <Fag_Hag_Gav@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2961. Re: WINNERS! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 09:36:51 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.20.09.36.47.47168@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 168978
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.atheism,alt.agnosticism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.baldspot,sci.physics,alt.freemasonry,alt.war.vietnam

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:52:01 +0000, Friendly Neighborhood Vote Wrangler
attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:

> What you've all been waiting for!
>
> <music>"20th Century Fox Fanfare"
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDfy1ZLeh5Q </music>
>
> The time has come to announce the winners of the September 2006 Usenet
> Kook Awards. Envelopes, please!
>
> <music>drumroll</music>
>
> RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
>
> The winner is...PAMELA K. RUSSELL!
>
> 15 votes Pamela K Russell
> 07 votes Richard M Scoville
> 05 votes Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> 05 votes Ernest Primeau
> 03 votes Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> 02 votes Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> 02 votes Steve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> 02 votes Will Dockery
> 01 votes Daryl Hunt
> 01 votes Hyerdahl of soc.men
> 01 votes The Big Eight Management Board
> 00 votes none of the above
>
> Congratulations, Fangirlfriend! If the TRVTH wins marathons, then you,
> in your corpulence and delusional mendacity, never left the starting
> line.

Man, she's looking like the odds-on fave for KOTY! Too bad, Ernie, but at
least you came in tied for third! With Wollkook, no less, and two votes
behind Sco-vile.

> KOOK OF THE MONTH
>
> The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
>
> 17 votes Dustin Cook

> 09 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> 06 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> 02 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> 02 votes none of the above
>
> Congratulations, Dustbin! After months and months of Kadaitcha Man
> presenting you to AUK yet not convincing anyone to vote you an award,
> all it took was KM and Rhonda falling in love to make you melt down
> spectacularly. What's the matter, jealous much?

Only when he's lying. Which is all the time...

> CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
>
> The winner is...JILL OF SOC.MEN!
>
> 13 votes Jill of soc.men
> 11 votes Dustin Cook
> 06 votes Vinny Barbarino
> 02 votes none of the above
>
> Congratulations, Jill! That's not applause you hear, but the sound of
> irony meters exploding all over USENET.

When's the next shipment due? The Muslim and anti-Muslim spammers, racists
all, have been using them up at a _phenomenal_ rate...

> COWARD OF THE MONTH
>
> The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
>
> 31 votes YES
> 03 votes NO
>
> Congratulations again, Dustbin! So, how did poasting Rhonda's emails
> work out for you?

He doesn't care, he just loves all the attention. Well, he said so. Over
and over. And over, and over, and over...

> LOONEY MAROON AWARD
>
> The winner is...ALAN JONES!
>
> 17 votes Alan Jones
> 06 votes William Barwell
> 02 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, Fish Food! So, someone who claims to be an expert on
> pop music when The King of Pop was at the top of his game doesn't
> recognize the other big names in pop music at the same time? Pleace to
> be clicking on the link for the best unintentional commentary on MJ by

> someone who actually has talent.
>
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U3AeHgtothc

Too bad for Mr. "I _PROVED_ there's no such thing as a god!" Barwell, I guess.

> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>
> The winner is...
>
> 08 votes Wabbit
> 07 votes neither of the above
> 06 votes George Maloney, a.k.a. flutyl@msn.com
>
> Congratulations, Wabbit! Fr0gging someone the other regulars in your
> home froup know to be in prison? Yep, pathetic.

I knew there was a reason I stopped calling him "Woger". "Wabbit" is just so much more descriptive of his character, anyway...Now Mark and Wabbit can bop each other with the other's respective awards! Should be fun to watch..."You're boring!" "your a pain"

> TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
>
> The winner is...
>
> 16 votes Duane Arnold
> 07 votes Ernest Primeau
> 01 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, Duane! Pleace to be telling us how repeatedly claiming
> to be ignoring others isn't any more dramatic or silly than "Talk to the
> hand."

Of course, that line was *intentionally* humorous, unlike DUH-uane.

> VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
>
> We (tinw) hace a tie. The winners are..."DR." AVENGER, J. TAYLOR, AND
> DON FINDLAY!
>
> 06 votes Dr. Avenger
> 06 votes J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> 04 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, you motley crew of cranks! First, "Dr." Avenger, are you
> sure that claiming descent from the man who took credit for "discovering"
> the clitoris is such a good thing? Next, how much do you really know about
> women? Pleace to be clicking on the link and taking the test, then get
> back to us (tinu).
>

> The How Much You Know About Women Test
> http://www.okcupid.com/tests/take?testid=16632578631264830153
>
> Next, Taylor and Findlay, pleace to be explaining how an expanding Earth
> would be able to account for the formation and destruction of the
> supercontinent Rodinia during the late Proterozoic and the formation of
> Pangaea during the late Paleozoic.

<insert dodge about how Plate Tectonics is nonsense, blah blah>

> PALMJOB PADDLE
>
> The winner is...DARYL HUNT!
>
> 23 votes Daryl Hunt
> 08 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> 02 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, Daryl! Auto-flagellations are such fun to watch,
> especially yours.

Aren't they, though?! He kicked his own arse in a most righteous manner.

> BALSA GAVEL
>
> The winner is...TIM BOLEN!
>
> 25 votes YES
> 03 votes NO
>
> Congratulations, Tim! Now, how do you expect a US Court to have
> jurisdiction over a British company and why should the Brits follow the
> US "opt-out" convention when UK law dictates "opt-in?"

"My newsletter is opt-in anyway...Steve Linford's case is in shambles!"

> GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
>
> The winner is...Richard M. Scoville
>
> 38 votes YES
> 01 votes NO
>
> Congratulations, Chicken Dickie! Pleace to be burying your dead kookshite
> up on Cemetary Ridge before you retreat.

<crickets chirping, 'cause Dickie's still offline>

> GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
>
> The winner is...ALT.ATHEISM!
>
> 09 votes alt.atheism

> 08 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> 03 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, freethinkers! Your belief that you have won against the
> theists must make the Invisible Pink Unicorn and the Flying Spaghetti
> Monster proud.

Well, to be fair, it's mostly Septic and Barwell who think they've
"won"...

> BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
>
> The winner is...Dustin Cook
>
> 30 votes YES
> 03 votes NO
>
> Congratulations a third time, Dustbin! Explain again how you were
> "trolled" by Rhonda.

"She has my emails, and she was going to reveal them, so I outed her
FIRST! I know she was going to reveal them, it's what I'd do to a troll
like myself...
BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"

> UNABOMBER SURPRISE
>
> The winner is...DEAN ROGER RAY!
>
> 19 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> 10 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> 03 votes neither of the above
>
> Congratulations, Teslafartz! You wrote your anti-Masonic screeds as if
> there was an award for maximum number of words. Well, this is it!

<insert long, rambling, top-poasted screed about teh Masons being behind
the nomination, the second, the ballot, and all the voters who chose
him>

> KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
>
> The winner is...Ernest Primeau
>
> 21 votes YES
> 01 votes NO
>
> Congratulations, Ernie! Whatever you've been doing on alt.baldspot the
> past few years, it's been enough to make the regulars want to run you out
> of town, over a canyon, with the bridge out.

"crazier and crazier"

> BUSTED URINAL AWARD
>
> The winner is...BOB&CAROLE!
>
> 17 votes bob&carole
> 15 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> 01 votes Kantica22
> 00 votes none of the above
>
> Congratulations, opportunistic homophobic spammers! How does it feel to
> make the regulars of more than 100 froups mad at you?

He's going for the Bullis, the Bartlo, and the Custer next, I think. He's
not a dark horse to win them, either.

> BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
>
> The winner is...ROBERTA "MOP JOCKEY" WOLFE!
>
> 19 votes Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> 07 votes Double-A, trained by Art Deco
> 04 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> 01 votes none of the above
>
> Congratulations, Unixd0rk! Was it worth it to purchase a domain name
> and host it just so you could claim "victolly" over Jade? Too bad the
> only thing she had to do was change netkooks to netk00ks in order to
> escape your "trap."

Alas, no one else stood a chance against the Mop Jockey/Daedalus
juggernaut! Once that nomination was made, I knew there was little hope
for the Kenny Kakes and Chuckles double act.

> OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
>
> The winner is...
>
> 26 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> 04 votes Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
>
> Congratulations on defending your office, Kenny Kakes! Your absolutely
> breathtaking ability to misunderstand the written word certainly helped
> you win re-election.

Damn! Two losses for the alt.atheism duo -- and Alford is _much_ more
idiotic than Barwell, who at least is attempting a facade of an
argument, unlike Septic, who seems completely unable to discern the
difference between agnosticism and theism.

> OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
>
> The winner is...PSYLVIA!
>

> 24 votes Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> 05 votes William Barwell

OMFGsGss, she wasn't even _x-poasting_ to AUK, or getting her stuff
x-poasted here, and she won by a landslide...Yeeesh.

> Congratulations, PSYLVIA! You're winning elections by margins almost as
> large as the margins you lost by in meatspace.

With no campaigning in AUK *at all*, this time. That's sheer k00ktalent,
that is.

> MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
>
> The winner is...THE BIG-8 MANAGEMENT BOARD!
>
> 21 votes The Big-8 Management Board
> 07 votes Marcus Cox and Russ H
>
> Congratulations, Team Calvin! The RFD for news.groups.proposals with
> B8MBy as the moderators was a great piece of campaign literature!

Marcus and Russ must simply try harder, I think. But they're new at
kooking-out, so let's give 'em a break.

> This concludes the announcement of the winners of the September 2006
> Usenet Kook Awards. Fifty people submitted fifty-one ballots. Both
> duplicate ballots were discarded (and both were sent from an anonymous
> remailer, too). Another voter used an altered ballot, and thus was
> disqualified. Finally, a notorious disenfranchised net-kook also voted
> and I discarded his ballot, too. Consequently forty-seven ballots were
> counted and four were discarded.
>
> See you all early next month, when the Halloween candy is still fresh.
> Until then, enjoy and all hail Ming, Bob, and Fluffy!
>
> <music>"They're Coming to Take Me Away"
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oNLSzNdKdG8 </music>

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

2962. Re: Why Do People Hate Us Jews?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 11:01:10 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161342070.485468.98290@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168979
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Fag-Hag-Gav wrote:
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> > On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> > <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> > >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message
> > >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
> > >> >> >message
>
> > >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> > >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> > >> >You
> > >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> > >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> to
> > >> >carry
> > >> >> >this out?
>
> > >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.

> > >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> > >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> > >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> > >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> > >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> > >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> more
> > >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> > There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> > town.
>
> > >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> > >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> > >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> > >thing.
>
> > >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> > >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> > Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
> they
> never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: \"MIDIcian\" \(tm\) - view profile
> Date: Mon, Mar 22 2004 11:38 pm
> Email: "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)" <S...@NeverFalls.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
> alt.music.weird-al

> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>
> > ... YOU ARE SHIT.
>
> Straw man.
>
> Stan,
> www.thesequencers.us
> nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)
>
> Reply Rate this post:
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Tues, Mar 23 2004 7:28 am
> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
> alt.music.weird-al, alt.fan.madonna, alt.tv.snl
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "\"MIDIcian\" (tm)" AKA Stan the putz wrote:
>
> > "Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> > > ... YOU ARE SHIT.
>
> > Straw man.
>
> Straw shit.
>
> > Stan,
> > www.thesequencers.us
> > nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>

> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>
> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> message
> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> > >Terrorists don't.

>
> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican Church,
> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
> >
> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
> >
> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
> >
> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"

> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >in
> >> >> >> >message
>
> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> >to
> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >more
> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> town.
>
> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >>No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> >>You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> >> >thing.
>
> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> >>Why, you, phony rev.
>

> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:53 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> > On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> > >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> > >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message
> > >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> > >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
> > >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> message
> > >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
> > >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
> > >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
> > >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican

> Church,
> > >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
> > >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> > That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their
> church,
> they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:54 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> > On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message
> > >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"

> > >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
> > >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> wrote
> > >in
> > >> >> >> >message
>
> > >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> > >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
> humanity.
> > >> >You
> > >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> > >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
> wish
> > >to
> > >> >> >carry
> > >> >> >> >this out?
>
> > >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
> while.
> > >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> > >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
> perverted
> > >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> > >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> > >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> > >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> > >more
> > >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> > >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> > >> town.
>
> > >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> > >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?

>
> > >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> > >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such
> a
> > >> >thing.
>
> > >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> > >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> > >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> > >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> > >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> > People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> > think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of
> the
> Anglican Church.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 11:04 pm
> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>

> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >message
> > >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> > >> >in
> > >> >> >> >> >message
>
> > >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> > >> >> >You
> > >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> > >> >> >to
> > >> >> >> >> >carry
> > >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> > >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> > >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> > >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> > >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> > >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> > >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> > >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> > >> >more
> > >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.

>
> > >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> > >> town.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> > >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> > >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> > >> >thing.
>
> > >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> > >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> > >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> > >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> > >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> > People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> > think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
> still in your car!
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>
> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>

> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:36 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in message
> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> message
> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
> >Church,
> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.

>
> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:37 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in message
> >news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message
> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"

> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> >wrote
> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message
>
> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
> >humanity.
> >> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
> >wish
> >> >to
> >> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
> >while.
> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
> >perverted
> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >> >more
> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> >> town.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >> >>No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such
> >a

> >> >> >thing.
>
> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> >It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of the
> >Anglican Church.
>
> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:38 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:
> >The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >message

> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >> >> >in
> >> >> >> >> >message
>
> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> >> >> >You
> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> >> >> >to
> >> >> >> >> >carry
> >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> >> >more
> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> >> >> town.
>
> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?

>
> >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> >> >> >thing.
>
> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> >If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
> >still in your car!
>
> You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
> Anglican Church does exactly that.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 9:42 am
> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts
> > <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:

>
> > >The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> > >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> > >> >> >in
> > >> >> >> >> >> >message
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
> > >> >> >> >> >You
> > >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you wish
> > >> >> >to
> > >> >> >> >> >> >carry
> > >> >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
> > >> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted perverted
> > >> >> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> > >> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify

> > >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> > >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> > >> >more
> > >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> > >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
> > >> >> town.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> > >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
> > >> >> >thing.
>
> > >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> > >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> > >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> > >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
> > >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> > >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> > >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> > >If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
> > >still in your car!
>
> > You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
> > Anglican Church does exactly that.
>
> LOL!!! Stupid pillowbiters! LOL!!!
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>
> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!

> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>
> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> > On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> > >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> > >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> > >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> > >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
> > >> >> message

> > >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
> > >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
> > >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
> > >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
> > >Church,
> > >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
> answer
> > >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> > >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> > >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
> > >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> > As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
> Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> > On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message

> > >news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> > >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> > >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
> > >> >message
> > >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
> > >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> wrote
> > >> >in
> > >> >> >> >> >message
> > >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
> > >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
> > >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> > >> >> >wrote
> > >> >> >> >> >> >in
> > >> >> >> >> >> >message
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
> > >> >> >humanity.
> > >> >> >> >> >You
> > >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do
> you
> > >> >> >wish
> > >> >> >> >> >to
> > >> >> >> >> >carry
> > >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
> > >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a

> > >while.
> > >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
> > >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
> > >perverted
> > >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
> > >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
> > >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
> > >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
> yourself
> > >> >more
> > >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
> > >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
> in
> > >> >> town.
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
> > >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
> > >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> > >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
> such
> > >a
> > >> >> >thing.
>
> > >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
> > >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
> > >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> > >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
> they
> > >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> > >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> > >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> > >It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of the
> > >Anglican Church.
>

> > See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
> And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:21 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:09 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message
> >> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
> >> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >> >> >message
> >> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
> >> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> >in
> >> >> >> message
> >> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
> >> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
> >> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>

> >> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
> >> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
> >> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
> >> >Church,
> >> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
> >answer
> >> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> >> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> >> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
> >> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> >> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
> >Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.
>
> So who exactly "asked them", Rosenberg? Be specific, now.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:22 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:48 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> >message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> (circumcised)
>
> >> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
> >And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.
>
> Do you often talk to piles of sewage, Rosenberg? Are you a rabbi?
WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree

From: policy-ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open, unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future, will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>

Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users

> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905$1br$1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>

Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
big
picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from

>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

2963. Re: WINNERS! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 11:10:00 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161342600.352367.200970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168980
Cross-posted to: sci.geo.geology,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:52:01 +0000, Friendly Neighborhood Vote Wrangler
> attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>
> > What you've all been waiting for!
>

WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
From: policy-ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
*.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,

unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future, will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,

> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:

show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address big
picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

>
> > <music>"20th Century Fox Fanfare"
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDfy1ZLeh5Q </music>
> >
> > The time has come to announce the winners of the September 2006 Usenet
> > Kook Awards. Envelopes, please!
> >
> > <music>drumroll</music>
> >
> > RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...PAMELA K. RUSSELL!
> >
> > 15 votes Pamela K Russell
> > 07 votes Richard M Scoville

> > 05 votes Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> > 05 votes Ernest Primeau
> > 03 votes Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> > 02 votes Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> > 02 votes S

WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
From: policy-ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open, unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future, will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options

Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
big
picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

teve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> > 02 votes Will Dockery
> > 01 votes Daryl Hunt
> > 01 votes Hyerdahl of soc.men
> > 01 votes The Big Eight Management Board
> > 00 votes none of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Fangirlfriend! If the TRVTH wins marathons, then you,
> > in your corpulence and delusional mendacity, never left the starting
> > line.
>
> Man, she's looking like the odds-on fave for KOTY! Too bad, Ernie, but at
> least you came in tied for third! With Wollkook, no less, and two votes
> behind Sco-vile.
>
> > KOOK OF THE MONTH
> >
> > The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
> >
> > 17 votes Dustin Cook
> > 09 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > 06 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > 02 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> > 02 votes none of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Dustbin! After months and months of Kadaitcha Man

> > presenting you to AUK yet not convincing anyone to vote you an award,
> > all it took was KM and Rhonda falling in love to make you melt down
> > spectacularly. What's the matter, jealous much?
>
> Only when he's lying. Which is all the time...
>
> > CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
> >
> > The winner is...JILL OF SOC.MEN!
> >
> > 13 votes Jill of soc.men
> > 11 votes Dustin Cook
> > 06 votes Vinny Barbarino
> > 02 votes none of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Jill! That's not applause you hear, but the sound of
> > irony meters exploding all over USENET.
>
> When's the next shipment due? The Muslim and anti-Muslim spammers, racists
> all, have been using them up at a _phenomenal_ rate...
>
> > COWARD OF THE MONTH
> >
> > The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
> >
> > 31 votes YES
> > 03 votes NO
> >
> > Congratulations again, Dustbin! So, how did poasting Rhonda's emails
> > work out for you?
>
> He doesn't care, he just loves all the attention. Well, he said so. Over
> and over. And over, and over, and over...
>
> > LOONEY MAROON AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...ALAN JONES!
> >
> > 17 votes Alan Jones
> > 06 votes William Barwell
> > 02 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Fish Food! So, someone who claims to be an expert on
> > pop music when The King of Pop was at the top of his game doesn't
> > recognize the other big names in pop music at the same time? Pleace to
> > be clicking on the link for the best unintentional commentary on MJ by
> > someone who actually has talent.
> >
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U3AeHgtothc
>

> Too bad for Mr. "I _PROVED_ there's no such thing as a god!" Barwell, I
> guess.
>
> > JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...
> >
> > 08 votes Wabbit
> > 07 votes neither of the above
> > 06 votes George Maloney, a.k.a. flutyl@msn.com
> >
> > Congratulations, Wabbit! Fr0gging someone the other regulars in your
> > home froup know to be in prison? Yep, pathetic.
>
> I knew there was a reason I stopped calling him "Woger". "Wabbit" is just
> so much more descriptive of his character, anyway...Now Mark and Wabbit
> can bop each other with the other's respective awards! Should be fun to
> watch..."You're boring!" "your a pain"
>
> > TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...
> >
> > 16 votes Duane Arnold
> > 07 votes Ernest Primeau
> > 01 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Duane! Pleace to be telling us how repeatedly claiming
> > to be ignoring others isn't any more dramatic or silly than "Talk to the
> > hand."
>
> Of course, that line was *intentionally* humorous, unlike DUH-uane.
>
> > VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
> >
> > We (tinw) hace a tie. The winners are..."DR." AVENGER, J. TAYLOR, AND
> > DON FINDLAY!
> >
> > 06 votes Dr. Avenger
> > 06 votes J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> > 04 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, you motley crew of cranks! First, "Dr." Avenger, are you
> > sure that claiming descent from the man who took credit for "discovering"
> > the clitoris is such a good thing? Next, how much do you really know about
> > women? Pleace to be clicking on the link and taking the test, then get
> > back to us (tinu).
> >
> > The How Much You Know About Women Test
> > http://www.okcupid.com/tests/take?testid=16632578631264830153

> >
> > Next, Taylor and Findlay, pleace to be explaining how an expanding Earth
> > would be able to account for the formation and destruction of the
> > supercontinent Rodinia during the late Proterozoic and the formation of
> > Pangaea during the late Paleozoic.
>
> <insert dodge about how Plate Tectonics is nonsense, blah blah>
>
> > PALMJOB PADDLE
> >
> > The winner is...DARYL HUNT!
> >
> > 23 votes Daryl Hunt
> > 08 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > 02 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Daryl! Auto-flagellations are such fun to watch,
> > especially yours.
>
> Aren't they, though?! He kicked his own arse in a most righteous manner.
>
> > BALSA GAVEL
> >
> > The winner is...TIM BOLEN!
> >
> > 25 votes YES
> > 03 votes NO
> >
> > Congratulations, Tim! Now, how do you expect a US Court to have
> > jurisdiction over a British company and why should the Brits follow the
> > US "opt-out" convention when UK law dictates "opt-in?"
>
> "My newsletter is opt-in anyway...Steve Linford's case is in shambles!"
>
> > GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
> >
> > The winner is...Richard M. Scoville
> >
> > 38 votes YES
> > 01 votes NO
> >
> > Congratulations, Chicken Dickie! Pleace to be burying your dead kookshite
> > up on Cemetary Ridge before you retreat.
>
> <crickets chirping, 'cause Dickie's still offline>
>
> > GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...ALT.ATHEISM!
> >

> > 09 votes alt.atheism
> > 08 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> > 03 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, freethinkers! Your belief that you have won against the
> > theists must make the Invisible Pink Unicorn and the Flying Spaghetti
> > Monster proud.
>
> Well, to be fair, it's mostly Septic and Barwell who think they've
> "won"...
>
> > BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
> >
> > The winner is...Dustin Cook
> >
> > 30 votes YES
> > 03 votes NO
> >
> > Congratulations a third time, Dustbin! Explain again how you were
> > "trolled" by Rhonda.
>
> "She has my emails, and she was going to reveal them, so I outed her
> FIRST! I know she was going to reveal them, it's what I'd do to a troll
> like myself...
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"
>
> > UNABOMBER SURPRISE
> >
> > The winner is...DEAN ROGER RAY!
> >
> > 19 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > 10 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > 03 votes neither of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Teslafartz! You wrote your anti-Masonic screeds as if
> > there was an award for maximum number of words. Well, this is it!
>
> <insert long, rambling, top-poasted screed about teh Masons being behind
> the nomination, the second, the ballot, and all the voters who chose
> him>
>
> > KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...Ernest Primeau
> >
> > 21 votes YES
> > 01 votes NO
> >
> > Congratulations, Ernie! Whatever you've been doing on alt.baldspot the
> > past few years, it's been enough to make the regulars want to run you out

> > of town, over a canyon, with the bridge out.
>
> "crazier and crazier"
>
> > BUSTED URINAL AWARD
> >
> > The winner is...BOB&CAROLE!
> >
> > 17 votes bob&carole
> > 15 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > 01 votes Kantica22
> > 00 votes none of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, opportunistic homophobic spammers! How does it feel to
> > make the regulars of more than 100 froups mad at you?
>
> He's going for the Bullis, the Bartlo, and the Custer next, I think. He's
> not a dark horse to win them, either.
>
> > BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
> >
> > The winner is...ROBERTA "MOP JOCKEY" WOLFE!
> >
> > 19 votes Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> > 07 votes Double-A, trained by Art Deco
> > 04 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> > 01 votes none of the above
> >
> > Congratulations, Unixd0rk! Was it worth it to purchase a domain name
> > and host it just so you could claim "victolly" over Jade? Too bad the
> > only thing she had to do was change netkooks to netk00ks in order to
> > escape your "trap."
>
> Alas, no one else stood a chance against the Mop Jockey/Daedalus
> juggernaut! Once that nomination was made, I knew there was little hope
> for the Kenny Kakes and Chuckles double act.
>
> > OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
> >
> > The winner is...
> >
> > 26 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> > 04 votes Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
> >
> > Congratulations on defending your office, Kenny Kakes! Your absolutely
> > breathtaking ability to misunderstand the written word certainly helped
> > you win re-election.
>
> Damn! Two losses for the alt.atheism duo -- and Alford is _much_ more
> idiotic than Barwell, who at least is attempting a facade of an

> argument, unlike Septic, who seems completely unable to discern the
> difference between agnosticism and theism.
>
> > OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
> >
> > The winner is...PSYLVIA!
> >
> > 24 votes Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> > 05 votes William Barwell
>
> OMFGsGss, she wasn't even _x-poasting_ to AUK, or getting her stuff
> x-poasted here, and she won by a landslide...Yeeesh.
>
> > Congratulations, PSYLVIA! You're winning elections by margins almost as
> > large as the margins you lost by in meatspace.
>
> With no campaigning in AUK *at all*, this time. That's sheer k00ktalent,
> that is.
>
> > MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
> >
> > The winner is...THE BIG-8 MANAGEMENT BOARD!
> >
> > 21 votes The Big-8 Management Board
> > 07 votes Marcus Cox and Russ H
> >
> > Congratulations, Team Calvin! The RFD for news.groups.proposals with
> > B8MBy as the moderators was a great piece of campaign literature!
>
> Marcus and Russ must simply try harder, I think. But they're new at
> kooking-out, so let's give 'em a break.
>
> > This concludes the announcement of the winners of the September 2006
> > Usenet Kook Awards. Fifty people submitted fifty-one ballots. Both
> > duplicate ballots were discarded (and both were sent from an anonymous
> > remailer, too). Another voter used an altered ballot, and thus was
> > disqualified. Finally, a notorious disenfranchised net-kook also voted
> > and I discarded his ballot, too. Consequently forty-seven ballots were
> > counted and four were discarded.
> >
> > See you all early next month, when the Halloween candy is still fresh.
> > Until then, enjoy and all hail Ming, Bob, and Fluffy!
> >
> > <music>"They're Coming to Take Me Away"
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oNLSzNdKdG8 </music>
>
> --
> ____
> Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe

> Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
>
> If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
> http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
> Or watch it here:
> http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
> Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
> http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
>
> "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
> A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
>
> Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
>
> "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
>
> "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
>
> "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
>
> "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
> since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
> combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
> Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
> describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
> hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
> money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
> reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

> up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
> journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
> careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
> wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
> to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
From: policy-ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
*.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
From: policy-ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open, unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future, will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile

Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address big
picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905$1br$1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:

show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address big

picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
From: policy-ham - view profile

Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
View comments about this article!

Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams

(fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any

topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open, unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were

any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a

less restrictive forum to wreck.

As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),

but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of

a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future, will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Herb - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

They will only shit and fall back in it again.

--
http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>

Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

policy-ham wrote:
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!

> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.

> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> less restrictive forum to wreck.

> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users

> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Big Red Ham - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

P.S.

fuck eham and qrz,too.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
on e-hambone.

POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

"Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
news:e3o905$1br$1@emma.aioe.org...

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: an old friend - view profile
Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>

Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

get help

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:

> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:

> >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> >>--
> >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?

> Scheissman,

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the queen of knob jobs in Maryland.

> when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> out of your butt.

When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
wife leave you?
From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

assfucked by an old friend wrote:

> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.

> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> get

Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: get help
From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year

old hag shemale wife leave you?

well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something

she said she did not know she was leaving

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
the
moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
or
less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
password,
and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
than on
usenet.

You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
in
this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
issue to
something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
big
picture questions.

You lose the ability to cross post.

Etc... there are many others

"Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

> policy-ham wrote:
>> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
>> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
>> View comments about this article!

>> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
>> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
>>....
>> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
>> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
>> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
>> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
>> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from

>> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.

>> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
>> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
>> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
>> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
>> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>> any.

>> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
>> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
>> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
>> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
>> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
>> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.

>> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
>> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>> something is being lost here.

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

2964. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 11:31:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161343899.634482.66800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168981

kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > > Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> > > Again that's not the point, the point is how his submissions are
> > > regarded and dealt with after the FCC has processed them in accordance
> > > with all the laws under which they operate. You gotta be as naive as a
> > > newborn if you think the FCC attaches as much value to any of
> > > Anderson's nonsense as they do, for instance, to the inputs submitted
> > > by the ARRL.

> > Len sometimes seems to think that he can woo the Commission with sheer
> > volume rather than substance.

A version of the 'say it enough times and some people will think it's
true" ploy. Except it doesn't work.

Heck, Len argues with and insults those who *agree* with him. I suppose
former FCC Chairman Michael Powell would have taken warmly to being
called "Mikey" by Len....

> A child in a geezer's pants.

A *spoiled* child....

> If he keeps playing that stupid game he's
> liable to find out the hard way that the feds have some very unpleasant
> ways of "calling games off".

More likely he's just delaying or defeating the changes he says he
wants.

btw, didn't FCC issue a ruling of some sort about how many proposals a
person or group could submit per year?

I know that there are vanity rules changes in the R&O intended to
eliminate multiple applications for vanity calls by the same person, to
avoid gaming the odds....

> > >> indeed the cash is problem I was well on the way leading to the NPRM
> > >> then the backer back out thinking the FCC is finaly goign to take care
> > >> it
> > >
> > > Usta be's, shoulda done's and could've beens are a dime a dozen, you
> > > either walk the walk or you don't.
> >
> > Gee, Brian, maybe you and I need financial backers.

>
> Yeah why didn't we think of that? 'Course the Colonel has all those
> contacts in high places . .

Uh-huh....

> > >> the longer thay wait the more likely the challange become but they
> > >> (the ffc) are on record as saying it will be out after the onibus and
> > >> before the end of the years
> > >
> > > Don't let the suspense keep you awake at night.
> >
> > Is the "end of the years" a sort of "end days" scenario?
>
> Nah it's sorta like the last yawn of daylight.

The end of 2006 is only 72 days away, give or take. What's the
prize if FCC doesn't release the Morse Code R&O by then?

> > Chasin' the XF4?

> No, I'm still not up and running. I'm very close to having half-wave
> verticals for 20 & 15 strung up but this place has turned out to be a
> whole lot more work than I bargained for when I moved in. For now radio
> is and has been taking a back seat to buckets of paint and varnish and
> beyond but there's no way I won't be on the air within the month. Even
> if it's only on 20 & 15 with the 847, P/S the mic and the paddles. Next
> up will be 2M via a quickie whip. Getting on 40 & 30 is a possibility
> but that'll be a race against the WX and I doubt that I'll beat Mother
> Nature so I'm not banking on it. "Wait'll next year!" <grumble>
>
".......ze barracuda iz verry patient, zen strikez whan leazt
exzpected....."

73 de Jim, N2EY

2965. Re: Repeater ID speeds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 12:12:04 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d73vo.o2d.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Repeaters with voice ID's
> must be programed to talk slower to accomodate hams like

Welcome Amateurs to the KB9RQZ No Code repeater. For information on CW practice enter *1 for the top 10 reasons we dont ID with CW. Also remember to say 73's and use 10 codes during your QSO. Thank you

2966. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 12:13:35 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d742j.oel.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>I might propose that to the FCC.
>
> 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.

I agree. I HATE when I have finishing a contact and some calls me at 5 WPM
when I was operating at 20 plus. I dont even respond.

2967. Re: Make no-code license one year non-renewable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 12:17:40 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they want
> to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes coming in
> because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and be better
> elmers to the new ones.

Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.

2968. Re: hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 12:20:21 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <d74f8.pqn.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 168985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> this is not an english class SC get over it and grow up
>

Keep calling me SC... im NOT SC!

2969. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 12:59:30 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <SK3_g.3523$ya1.3365@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 168986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
...
>
> Now I try to help other hams and potential hams on radio and on usenet,

No you don't, you come here and grind your ax for some reason. You want to help? Be positive about ham radio, all of ham radio and not just the niche you want to inhabit. Open your eyes, see the whole hobby and realize those that don't share your tastes are just as competent or incompetent as you.

> but the usenet hams are so lazy they don't want to learn anything. They
> want licensing to be dumbed down some more.

Translation: They want to keep up with technology and eliminate testing on methods that are plainly antiquated and waning in usefulness and I don't want things to change so I don't lose my relative (perceived) status among hams.

W8LNA

2970. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:17:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1161353838.040045.169280@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168987

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > Len sometimes seems to think that he can woo the Commission with sheer
> > > volume rather than substance.
>
> A version of the 'say it enough times and some people will think it's
> true" ploy. Except it doesn't work.

Sure it works - backward.

> Heck, Len argues with and insults those who *agree* with him. I suppose
> former FCC Chairman Michael Powell would have taken warmly to being
> called "Mikey" by Len....

I don't have a particularly bad time with that one.

> > A child in a geezer's pants.
>
> A *spoiled* child....

Lotta negative adjectives fit him.

> > If he keeps playing that stupid game he's
> > liable to find out the hard way that the feds have some very unpleasant
> > ways of "calling games off".
>
> More likely he's just delaying or defeating the changes he says he
> wants.

I'd say not much, gets back to the crux of my contention, they won't
let him clog the process.

> btw, didn't FCC issue a ruling of some sort about how many proposals a
> person or group could submit per year?

If they did it went over my head. Either way they probably have
something like that in place which they keep to themselves.

> I know that there are vanity rules changes in the R&O intended to
> eliminate multiple applications for vanity calls by the same person, to
> avoid gaming the odds....

> > > Gee, Brian, maybe you and I need financial backers.
> >
> > Yeah why didn't we think of that? 'Course the Colonel has all those
> > contacts in high places . .

>
> Uh-huh....

He'd fit right in on the Elwyn Express.

> The end of 2006 is only 72 days away, give or take. What's the
> prize if FCC doesn't release the Morse Code R&O by then?

Anderson pays for a dinner with Britney in a private room at the
Dilworthtown Inn.

Speaking of Britney . . there's some scuttlebutt going around to the
effect that the FCC is working on a compromise deal on the code test.
No Code Techs upgrading to get HF privs will have a choice. Pass the
13wpm code test or take a written on the material in this website.
Passing grade = 75 min.

http://britneyspears.ac/physics/basics/basics.htm

> . . . . . against the WX and I doubt that I'll beat Mother
> > Nature so I'm not banking on it. "Wait'll next year!" <grumble>
> >
> ".......ze barracuda iz verry patient, zen strikez whan leazt
> exzpected....."

ze barracuda ran outta tricks this time!

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2971. Re: hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:50:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <hfohj2h82a6jq7mvad36f14drkh8hae19v@4ax.com>
Source record: 168988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:20:21 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>
>> this is not an english class SC get over it and grow up
>>
>
>Keep calling me SC... im NOT SC!
right you are most like Robeson why don't you just admit it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2972. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:51:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <6hohj21958kjtt51kj6ibk9q89pog6btjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 168989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:13:35 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>>I might propose that to the FCC.
>>
>> 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
>
>I agree. I HATE when I have finishing a contact and some calls me at 5 WPM
>when I was operating at 20 plus. I dont even respond.
right meaning you don't suppport or encourage even the hams that have
learned cw
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2973. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:51:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <uiohj2dk3fd3gl0jhq2s8hio6l8vn5hc2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 168990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:17:40 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they want
>> to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes coming in
>> because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and be better
>> elmers to the new ones.
>
>Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.

why do you hate the ARS SC?
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2974. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:53:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <skohj2t5rai1fcodn8rtehom6is94lga9j@4ax.com>
Source record: 168991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:12:04 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

> Repeaters with voice ID's
>> must be programed to talk slower to accomodate hams like
>
>Welcome Amateurs to the KB9RQZ No Code repeater. For information on CW
>practice enter *1 for the top 10 reasons we dont ID with CW. Also remember
>to say 73's and use 10 codes during your QSO. Thank you
how can you complain I don't make any sense when you post garabge like
that

although if I ever put a repeater it is very unlikely to use a CW id
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2975. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 14:54:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <5nohj2lakh7ic3cmeiiv1h88cb4mosl58d@4ax.com>
Source record: 168992
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Oct 2006 04:01:10 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2976. id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:00:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <rtohj2528d98d9g53h9gjh8ileujr9fh6m@4ax.com>
Source record: 168993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>

stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2977. I punced in aigian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:21:25 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538dc91$0$19742$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2978. Re: Wilson 1000 magnet Mount Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:33:24 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538df61$0$19622$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 168995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:3vphj2hfou2komof5r5s40d9acqnoibobc@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:52:56 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>"Telstar Electronics" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>>news:1161262154.768514.137410@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>>> Where is the cheapest place to buy one of these antennas?
>>>
>>> http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZtelstar-electronicsQQhtZ-1QQfrppZ50QQfsopZ1QQfsooZ1QQ
rdZ0?
>>
>>
>>Has nothing to do with a Wilson antenna Brian, you really don't value
>>your ISP.
> who peed in your cherrios "landshark"?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
I lkie wehn my shemale wife pee onmy face I into solid waste know too
tahnks to tood.
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

2979. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:48:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <dtrhj2hb6hhm25up6t43npa34pblnh6c88@4ax.com>
Source record: 168996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
it is you know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2980. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:49:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <curhj2pedqo2s4vkj2l4b1b8m6gpuijpvs@4ax.com>
Source record: 168997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:33:24 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message

it is you know
>>
> I lkie wehn my shemale wife pee onmy face I into solid waste know too
>tahnks to tood.
get help you need
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2981. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:54:04 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eharer$3kq$35@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168998
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> verbally sodomised in
news:20061018173443.981$fz@newsreader.com:

> Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
>> verbally sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.17.22.52.30.411786@hell.corn:
>>
>> > On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>> > screamed:
>> >> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>> >>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>> >>> issue further by squeaking:
>> >>>> Art Deco wrote:
>> >>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>> >>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>> >>>>>>state:
>> >>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>> >>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>> >>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>> >>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>> >>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>><snip>
>> >>>>>><snip>
>> > <snip>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>*plonk >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >

>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*

>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> *plonk*
>> >>>>
>> >>>> *plonk*
>> >>>
>> >>> *plonk*
>> >>
>> >> *plonk*
>> >
>> > *plonk*
>> >
>> *plonk*
>>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

2982. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 15:54:12 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eharf4$3kq$36@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 168999
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> verbally
sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.19.08.02.17.140172@statements.likeyours:

> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>> screamed:
>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>> <snip>
> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

2983. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:00:16 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538e5af$0$19622$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169000
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:rh2hj29cv103369gg4tshj3fl99jtff5jo@4ax.com...
> On 19 Oct 2006 21:50:08 -0700, "Fag-Hag-Gav" <Fag_Hag_Gav@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
id tehft is teh crime

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2984. woger weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:08:05 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538e786$0$13234$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169001

http://www.animationstand.com/gallery/rubberco/Jail.jpg

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2985. recap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:10:00 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538e7f6$0$19710$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction four
ex-shemale wife
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on teh USENET.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2986. warts on my pee pee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:11:30 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538e850$0$19750$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?

thanks n avdace for teh hple

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2987. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:23:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <uuthj2liqk2eu39qm09vlmcu4qivc02j6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 169004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:11:30 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
is is you know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2988. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:23:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ovthj25n2ca694j671td425a63h2afp1mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169005
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:00:16 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
so why do you keep comitng it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2989. id theft isa crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:24:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <90uhj2dao2d8cmushnpnr7esgbcqaqffgq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:10:00 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
why do you enage in crimnal behavoir
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2990. id theft is ...so what it is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:24:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <r1uhj25slkdepithjkvani7ujpl5ok8p1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169007

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:08:05 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
but you keep commiting it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2991. Re: Add another chickenshit TROLL alias to parasiticplagiaristjohntoilet gilTURD's mental meltdown dossier: Hoity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 16:37:59 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538ee84$0$19747$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161303760.282193.61650@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Daedalus wrote:
>> On 19 Oct 2006 10:23:26 -0700, "Shrike!" <hoodoo@spamcop.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Add another chickenshit TROLL alias to parasiticplagiaristjohntoilet
>> >gilTURD's mental meltdown dossier:
>> >
>> >
>> >Hoity wrote:
>> >
>> >> On 19 Oct 2006 05:19:22 -0700, "Shrike!" <hoodoo@spamcop.net> wrote:
>>
>> Thanks for this update. Keep 'em coming.
>>
>> Jade
>
> I PUNCE
>
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2992. Re: Why Do People Hate Us Jews?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:18:43 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538f810$0$19685$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169010
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Fag-Hag-Gav" <Fag_Hag_Gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161319808.511896.148730@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
>> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >message
>
>> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with humanity.
>> >You
>> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>> >> >> >wish
```

> to
>> >> >carry
>> >> >> >this out?
>
>> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a while.
>> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>> >> >perverted
>> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
>> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
> more
>> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> town.
>
>> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such a
>> >thing.
>
>> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
> Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
> they
> never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>

> From: \"MIDIcian\" \(tm\) - view profile
> Date: Mon, Mar 22 2004 11:38 pm
> Email: "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)" <S...@NeverFalls.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
> alt.music.weird-al
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>
>> ... YOU ARE SHIT.
>
> Straw man.
>
> Stan,
> www.thesequencers.us
> nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)
>
> Reply Rate this post:
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Tues, Mar 23 2004 7:28 am
> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, rec.music.country.western,
> alt.music.weird-al, alt.fan.madonna, alt.tv.snl
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "\"MIDIcian\" (tm)" AKA Stan the putz wrote:
>
>> "Samson" <sam...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:405eac6d@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>> > ... YOU ARE SHIT.
>
>> Straw man.
>
> Straw shit.
>
>> Stan,

>> www.thesequencers.us
>> nl: Seether on Rockline!!!! (www.rocklineradio.com)
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>
> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>
> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>>news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>>> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message
>>> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>>> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>

>>> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> message
>>> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>>> > >Terrorists don't.
>
>>> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
>>> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
>>He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>>Church,
>>and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
>>from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 2:18 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message
>>> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message
>>> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...

>>> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>>> >> >> >in
>>> >> >message
>>> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>> >> >> >> >wrote
>>in
>>> >> >> >message
>
>>> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>>> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>>> >> >> >> humanity.
>>> >You
>>> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>>> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>>> >> >> >wish
>>to
>>> >> >carry
>>> >> >> >this out?
>
>>> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>>> >> >> while.
>>> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>>> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>>> >> >perverted
>>> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
>>> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>>> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>>> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>>more
>>> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>>> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>>> town.
>
>>> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>

>>> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>>> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>>> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do such
>>> >> >a
>>> >thing.
>
>>> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>>> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>>> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>>Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>>never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:53 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"

>> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
>> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >> message
>> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
>> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
>> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
>> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
> Church,
>> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the answer
>> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
>> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
> Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their
> church,
> they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 10:54 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
> news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in

>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> wrote
>> >in
>> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >news:405c8e3a.3944000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 15:23:38 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c7e1e.3931000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 14:19:58 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c6e0e.3917000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 13:15:10 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c5dc6.3903000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 12:10:46 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c4d7e.3889000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 11:06:22 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c3d3e.3875000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 10:01:50 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c2cfe.3861000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 08:57:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c1c6e.3847000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 07:53:18 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405c0b3e.3833000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 06:48:46 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405bfa0e.3819000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 05:44:14 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405be8ce.3805000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 04:39:42 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405bd76e.3791000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 03:34:10 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405bc6ce.3777000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 02:29:38 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405bb5ce.3763000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 01:24:46 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >news:405ba46e.3749000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 00:19:54 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >news:405b9b0e.3735000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 23:14:30 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b8a0e.3721000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 22:09:22 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b78ce.3707000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 21:04:14 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b6a0e.3693000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 19:59:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b58ce.3679000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 18:54:02 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b4a0e.3665000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 17:48:58 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b38ce.3651000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 16:43:54 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b2a0e.3637000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 15:38:50 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b18ce.3623000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 14:33:42 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405b0a0e.3609000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 13:28:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405ae8ce.3595000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 12:23:26 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405ad6ce.3581000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 11:18:18 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
> in
>> >message
>> >news:405ac4ce.3567000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 10:13:10 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405ab2ce.3553000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 09:08:02 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405aa0ce.3539000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 08:02:54 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a8e0e.3525000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 06:57:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a7cce.3511000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 05:52:26 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a6a0e.3497000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 04:47:10 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a58ce.3483000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 03:41:58 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a490e.3469000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 02:36:46 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a38ce.3455000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 01:31:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a290e.3441000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Fri, 19 Mar 2004 00:26:22 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a18ce.3427000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Thu, 18 Mar 2004 23:21:10 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >>wrote
> in
>> >>message
>> >>news:405a090e.3413000@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> On Thu, 18 Mar 2004 22:15:58 -0500, "Ed"
>> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>

>
>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>> >more
>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> >> town.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
>> >> >> >such
> a
>> >> >thing.
>
>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of
> the
> Anglican Church.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Fri, Mar 26 2004 11:04 pm

> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>> >message
>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >wrote in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >wrote
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >> >message
>
>> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with

>> >> >> >> >> humanity.
>> >> >You
>> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>> >> >> >> >wish
>> >to
>> >> >> >carry
>> >> >> >> >this out?
>
>> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>> >> >> >> while.
>> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>> >> >> >perverted
>> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose yourself
>> >more
>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game in
>> >> town.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
>> >> >> >such a
>> >> >thing.
>
>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said they
>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?

>
>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
> If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
> still in your car!
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>
> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>
> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:36 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message
>>news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>>> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>>> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>>> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message
>>> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>>> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>>> >> > >in
>>> >> message
>>> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>>> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
>>> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
>>> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
>>> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>>Church,
>>> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
>>> >answer
>>> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
>>> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
>>Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their church,
>>they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:37 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -

> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message
>>news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message
>>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>>> >> >> >in
>>> >> >message
>>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>> >> >> >> >wrote
>>in
>>> >> >> >message
>>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>wrote
>>> >in
>>> >> >> >> >message
>>> >> >> >
>
>>> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>>> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>>humanity.
>>> >> >You
>>> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>>> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>>wish
>>> >to
>>> >> >> >carry
>>> >> >> >> >this out?

>
>>> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>>while.
>>> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>>> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>>perverted
>>> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
>>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
>>> >> >yourself
>>> >more
>>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
>>> >> in
>>> >> town.
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
>>> >> >> >such
>>a
>>> >> >thing.
>
>>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
>>> >they
>>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
>>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>>> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
>>It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of the

>>Anglican Church.
>
> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 1:38 am
> Email: running...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:
>>The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
>>> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>> >message
>>> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>>> >> >> >in
>>> >> >message
>>> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>> >> >> >> >wrote in
>>> >> >> >message
>>> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...

>>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>> >> >> >> >> >wrote
>>> >in
>>> >> >> >> >message
>
>>> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>>> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>>> >> >> >> >> humanity.
>>> >> >You
>>> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>>> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do you
>>> >> >> >> >wish
>>> >to
>>> >> >> >carry
>>> >> >> >> >this out?
>
>>> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>>> >> >> >> while.
>>> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>>> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>>> >> >> >perverted
>>> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound abode.
>
>>> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>>> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>>> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
>>> >> >yourself
>>> >more
>>> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>>> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
>>> >> in
>>> >> town.
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>>> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>>> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>>> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.

>
>>> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
>>> >> >> >such a
>>> >> >thing.
>
>>> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>>> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>>> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>>> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
>>> >they
>>> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
>>> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>>> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
>>If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
>>still in your car!
>
> You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
> Anglican Church does exactly that.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Frederick Shorts - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 9:42 am
> Email: Frederick Shorts <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy, alt.pets.rabbits,
> triangle.general
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
>> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 21:04:41 -0700, Frederick Shorts
>> <Cypherp...@nyc.rr.com> wrote:
>
>> >The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe wrote:
>
>> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >in
>> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >> >in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >wrote in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >> >wrote in
>> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe"
>> >> >> >> >> >> ><renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >in
>> >> >> >> >> >message
>
>> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>> >> >> >> >> >> humanity.
>> >> >> >You
>> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do
>> >> >> >> >> >you wish
>> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >> >carry
>> >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
>> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>> >> >> >> >> while.
>> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>

>> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>> >> >> >> >perverted
>> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound
>> >> >> >> >abode.
>
>> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
>> >> >> >yourself
>> >> >more
>> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
>> >> >> in
>> >> >> town.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>> >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
>> >> >> >> >such a
>> >> >> >thing.
>
>> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
>> >> >they
>> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
>> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
>> >If it was there'd be a drive-thru so youz could get your wafers while
>> >still in your car!
>
>> You may laugh. butt the shirtlifter-infested American branch of the
>> Anglican Church does exactly that.
>

> LOL!!! Stupid pillowbiters! LOL!!!
>
> --
> Freddie 'fag' Shorts
>
> I'm loud and I'm proud. I'm gay and I like it that way!
> Another proud buttplug owner. Honk if your horny!
> I support Gay Pride! The Ramrod rocks! Go Kerry!
>
> Wanna hire me for web site development? I'm way under-employed!
> Contact me by email (mailto:cypherp...@nyc.rr.com) or mail me at
> FS Newssite Inc.
> 101 West 23rd St. Suite 2237, New York, NY, 10011
> On second thoughts, don't bother. Just sign me up for subscriptions.
>
> Check out my current web sites -
> http://www.orwellian.org
> http://www.miscstuff.org
> http://home.nyc.rr.com/cypherpunk/
>
> And my latest creation -
> http://easysite.superb.net/websites/shortass/
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
>> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message

>> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >> in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
>> >> >> message
>> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
>> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
>> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
>> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>> >Church,
>> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
> answer
>> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
>> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
>> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their
>> >church,
>> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
>> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
> Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Ed - view profile
> Date: Sat, Mar 27 2004 3:34 pm
> Email: "Ed" <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com>
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options

> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:54:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
> message
>> >news:4063d92f.5718122@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:14:08 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennagga...@anglickan.org> wrote
>> >> >in
>> >> >message news:405e8a38.3297851@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 16:53:48 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <reenag...@anglickan.org> wrote
> in
>> >> >message
>> >> >> >news:405e0bb6.11631986@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> On Sun, 21 Mar 2004 14:29:34 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >> >> >> >wrote
> in
>> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 17:25:06 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
> wrote
>> >in
>> >> >> >> >> >message
>> >> >> >> >> >news:405cbabf.3953680@news.onetel.net.uk...
>> >> >> >> >> >> On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 16:24:04 -0500, "Ed"
>> >> >> >> >> >> <do-not-spam...@go.away.spammer.com> wrote:
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe"
>> >> >> >> >> >> ><renag...@anglickan.org>
>> >wrote
>> >> >> >> >> >> >in
>> >> >> >> >> >> >message
>
>

>> >> >> >> >> (circ'ed)
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> I was merely trying to be kind by associating you with
>> >humanity.
>> >> >> >You
>> >> >> >> >> >> obviously didn't deserve it.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >And THAT is STILL infinitely superior to you. How long do
> you
>> >wish
>> >> >to
>> >> >> >> >carry
>> >> >> >> >> >this out?
>
>> >> >> >> >> Until you finally see the light, Rosenberg. It could take a
>> >while.
>> >> >> >> >> You're a bit slow, shall we say.
>
>> >> >> >> >I'm in the game until you either admit that you're a bigoted
>> >perverted
>> >> >> >> >asshole, or you just slink away to your little dung mound
>> >> >> >> >abode.
>
>> >> >> >> What game might that be, Rosenberg? The game where you identify
>> >> >> >> yourself as an illiterate imbecile?
>
>> >> >> >No, the one where with every single word you post you expose
> yourself
>> >> >more
>> >> >> >and more as the bigoted pervert that you are.
>
>> >> >> There is no such game. The game I described above is the only game
> in
>> >> >> town.
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Why aren't you observing the jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >>Why aren't you out raping 7 year old boys?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >> Is that your idea of how to spend a jew sabbath?
>
>> >> >> >> >> >No, but it's obviously yours.
>
>> >> >> >> >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>> >> >> >> >You don't recognize ANY sabbath. A phony rev wouldn't dare do
> such
>> >a
>> >> >> >thing.
>

>> >> >> >> What "phony rev" are you babbling about?
>
>> >> >> >Why, you, phony rev.
>
>> >> >> Why me, Rosenberg?
>
>> >> >Yes you, sir, of the Anglican Church.... you know the one that said
> they
>> >> >never heard of you after you stated that is the church you work for?
>
>> >> People don't "work for" the Church, Rosenberg. What the fuck do you
>> >> think this is - McDonald's or something?
>
>> >It might as well be for you, since you certainly aren't a minister of
>> >the
>> >Anglican Church.
>
>> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
> And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:21 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated
> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
> On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:09 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message news:406520da.2430196@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> On Fri, 26 Mar 2004 22:53:36 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>> >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renig...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message
>>> >news:4063d91e.5701467@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> On Mon, 22 Mar 2004 18:13:23 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>>> >> >""MIDIcian" (tm)" <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >news:c3lshflmn0@pita.alt.net...
>>> >> >> "The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <rennig...@anglickan.org> wrote
>>> >> >> in
>>> >> >message
>>> >> >> news:405d895d.1819747@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> > On Sat, 20 Mar 2004 23:25:52 -0600, "\"MIDIcian\" \(tm\)"
>>> >> >> > <S...@NeverFalls.com> wrote:
>
>>> >> >> > >"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <renag...@anglickan.org>
>>> >> >> > >wrote
>>in
>>> >> >> message
>>> >> >> > >news:405d2539.4004103@news.onetel.net.uk...
>>> >> >> > >> I don't recognise the jew sabbath.
>
>>> >> >> > >Terrorists don't.
>
>>> >> >> > Nor does anyone other than jew assholes.
>
>>> >> >> And you claim to be a Revd.????
>
>>> >> >He is a phony rev. Some time ago he claimed to be with the Anglican
>>> >Church,
>>> >> >and someone contacted the Anglican Church to verify this, and the
>>answer
>>> >> >from the Church was that they never heard of him.
>
>>> >> That is total horseshit, Rosenberg. Of course they've heard of me.
>
>>> >Sure....after someone asked them if you were a minister for their
>>> >church,
>>> >they "heard of you." Now they know you for the fake rev that you are.
>
>>> As always, you're full of shit, Rosenberg. Nobody "asked them".
>
>>Of course you would say it, living in the land of Denial as you do.
>
> So who exactly "asked them", Rosenberg? Be specific, now.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe - view profile
> Date: Sun, Mar 28 2004 12:22 am
> Email: renig...@anglickan.org (The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe)
> Groups: alt.revisionism, soc.culture.jewish, soc.culture.israel,
> soc.culture.palestine, alt.conspiracy
> Not yet rated

> Rating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
> On Sat, 27 Mar 2004 15:34:48 -0500, "Ed" <nes...@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>"The Revd Terence Fformby-Smythe" <running...@anglickan.org> wrote in
>>message news:40652105.2473146@news.onetel.net.uk...
>
> (circumcised)
>
>>> See other post. Talking to you is like talking to Lassie.
>
>>And talking to you is like talking to a pile of sewage.
>
> Do you often talk to piles of sewage, Rosenberg? Are you a rabbi?
>
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2993. Re: ot but amsuing and relavant to RRAP in light of the obession with spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:19:16 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538f835$0$19641$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169009

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:kdagj2pvutov3c9cs6aa0sp1f74s1h1vtp@4ax.com...
>I ehard tonight on the news that one of the K-stans is issuing new
> money money on which the word for bank is mispelled
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2994. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:19:52 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538f855$0$19712$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:8g6gj25j2ne7b0n9ii2jsp8hh7g7g88ijd@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>The CW speed for repeater ID's should be lowered so new
>>hams can feel pride in knowing what repeaters they're
>>kerchunking.
> we know by the fequency and loction and pl we are using
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2995. Re: MARQUEER !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:20:42 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538f88b$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

<Gavrielah@mail2mrright.com> wrote in message
news:1161238032.576054.130600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> ????? ??????? ?????? ? ??????
> ;-(???U?��?I�_SBR_�X|||) wrote:
>> kangarooistan@gmail.com wrote:
>> > This message was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>
> CUM home my little man!
>
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2996. Re: justing buring though stev certain effort to jam this message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:25:28 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4538f9a6$0$19619$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169013

<Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote in message
news:juscj21vjcb5lal0m5kugfhcd9udbetec1@4ax.com...
>a note to the group Hotughton couty is in the Eastern time zone
> not the cntral timezone robeson has treated us to another triade this
> times becuase he doesn't know how to tell time and thus fuckup the
> math of how long I have to travel to take my dad to physcial therapy
id crime is a tefth

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2997. Re: justing buring though stev certain effort to jam this message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:38:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <jc2ij2d8r51v8rleslte8j5mn1mskg115t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169014

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:25:28 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
>
such criminal behavoir why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2998. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:39:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <cd2ij2l9ba1dl19d81b1erq6o7kfrobrq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 169015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.pakistan,soc.culture.iranian

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:20:42 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
why do you choose a life of online crime?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

2999. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:39:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ee2ij25mds9tvkdnv9shm6e6m369qhf7i8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:19:52 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
more and more online cime you poor frightened child
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3000. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:40:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <7f2ij2tbip833ibgbaf1lmme02kmls019f@4ax.com>
Source record: 169017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:37:59 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
I know your own is so pathetic that you want to steal mine but it just
doesn't work
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3001. Re: ot but amsuing and relavant to RRAP in light of the obession with spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:40:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ig2ij2hci2fngb2bvl8jv7tip3o47471mt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169018

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:19:16 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
poor frightened little boy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3002. id theft isa crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:42:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <5h2ij2lhrs9pmsfjop67pk1anpfvedsmin@4ax.com>
Source record: 169019
Cross-posted to: alt.revisionism,rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.palestine,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:18:43 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
>"Fag-Hag-Gav" <Fag_Hag_Gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161319808.511896.148730@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...

I tld you get a life not try to steal one
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3003. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 17:42:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <0k2ij2hkilaejh3embevhrdvdsj6gso87r@4ax.com>
Source record: 169020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 13:19:52 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
I suppose you are trying in your stupid to follow my advice and get a
life but you can't stal mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3004. Re: warts on my pee pee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:07:58 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161367678.003631.116570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169021
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Markie Morgan wrote:
> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>
> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>
>
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The name is Benjo - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net>
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!

So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options

On Fri, 22 Oct 1999 04:18:03 +0200, "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net> wrote:

::Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
::
::So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
::can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
::the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Groovey

You rock

::
::

::Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

::news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::>
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@vv
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
::
::

>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3005. Re: hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:09:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <j54ij29i31l6o23h6b14tqj6iodmbjt3ic@4ax.com>
Source record: 169022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>news:d4mot.lu7.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> Again, im not SC.... you are to chicken shit to dial a phone you sack of
>> shit!!! You are a pussy!!!!!!
>>
>>
>> <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1161267117.045869.160270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> 10-4 Good Buddy wrote:
>>>>
>>> guts over the phone please
>>> thank for the laugh anyway
>>>
>>> you want to show your guts come and face the legals problems of your
>>> deds SC
>>>
>
>
>ROFLMAO
you find the your won meyhem to be funny we knwo you are sick SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3006. Re: sodomy is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:10:39 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161367838.994627.5410@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:33:24 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>
> it is you know
> >>
> > I lkie wehn my shemale wife pee onmy face I into solid waste know too
> >tahnks to tood.
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The name is Benjo - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net>
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!

So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:

show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Fri, 22 Oct 1999 04:18:03 +0200, "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net> wrote:

::Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
::
::So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
::can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
::the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Groovey

You rock

::
::

::Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

::news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS

LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::>
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@vv
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
::
::
> get help you need
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3007. id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:11:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <494ij21vsocc0j4tplbdck1rqvm5ps06gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169024
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On 20 Oct 2006 11:07:58 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Markie Morgan wrote:
>> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>>
>> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>>
>>
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>>

I know you need a life but you may not steal mine or my wfies

i know you are sick obessive stalker but help is avable if you will
only take it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3008. Re: WINNERS! Used penis cocksucker Awards, September 2006---> IT'S MARQUEER!!!! and his KooKy blow buddies!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:15:51 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161368151.693751.78190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169025
Cross-posted to: sci.geo.geology,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@
All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The name is Benjo - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net>
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!

So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!@vv
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Fri, 22 Oct 1999 04:18:03 +0200, "The name is Benjo"
<famav...@casema.net> wrote:

::Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
::
::So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I

::can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
::the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Groovey

You rock

::
::

::Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

::news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!@
::>
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@vv
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
::
::

show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> > On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 05:52:01 +0000, Friendly Neighborhood Vote Wrangler
> > attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
> >
> > > What you've all been waiting for!
> >
>
>
> WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
> WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: policy-ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
> Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!
>
> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
>
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
>
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
>
> less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
>
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.

>
>
> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Herb - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
> Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
> Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> policy-ham wrote:
> > Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> > Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> > View comments about this article!
>
> > Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> > was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> > organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> > researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> > File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> > a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> > mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> > (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> > Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> > *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> > in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> > topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> > short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from

> > what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> > What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> > attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> > and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> > unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> > competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> > any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> > sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> > simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> > hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> > amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> > unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> > less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> > As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> > newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> > meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> > but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> > This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> > have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> > who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> > contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> > behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> > site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> > a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> > on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> > I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> > better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> > something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> > will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> > logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> > constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> > converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> > status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
>
> you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
> is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
> entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
> breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!

>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> P.S.
>
>
> fuck eham and qrz,too.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??
>
> E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
> what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
> professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
> Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
> on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
> on e-hambone.
>
>
> POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>

> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> "Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
> news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
> in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
> Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
>
> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>

> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: an old friend - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
> Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
>
> get help
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
> Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -

> - Show quoted text -
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
> > >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> > >>--
> > >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
> > Scheissman,
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
> queen of knob jobs in Maryland.
>
>
> > when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> > out of your butt.
>
>
> When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
> Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
> wife leave you?
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>

>
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > > --
> > > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> > get
>
>
>
> Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
> Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year
>
>
> old hag shemale wife leave you?
>
> well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something
>
>
> she said she did not know she was leaving
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
> Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am

> Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
> the
> moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
> or
> less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
> password,
> and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
> than on
> usenet.
>
> You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
> in
> this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
> issue to
> something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
> big
> picture questions.
>
>
> You lose the ability to cross post.
>
>
> Etc... there are many others
>
>
> "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > policy-ham wrote:
> >> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> >> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> >> View comments about this article!
>
>
> >> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> >> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...

> >>....
> >> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> >> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> >> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> >> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> >> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> >> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> >> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> >> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> >> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> >> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> >> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> >> any.
>
>
> >> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> >> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> >> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> >> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> >> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> >> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> >> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> >> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> >> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> >> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> >> something is being lost here.
>
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> > > <music>"20th Century Fox Fanfare"
> > > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDfy1ZLeh5Q </music>
> > >
> > > The time has come to announce the winners of the September 2006 Usenet
> > > Kook Awards. Envelopes, please!

> > >
> > > <music>drumroll</music>
> > >
> > > RICHARD M NIXON MEMORIAL "PATHOLOGICAL LIAR" AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...PAMELA K. RUSSELL!
> > >
> > > 15 votes Pamela K Russell
> > > 07 votes Richard M Scoville
> > > 05 votes Edmond Heinz Wollmann
> > > 05 votes Ernest Primeau
> > > 03 votes Raymond R Karczewski, a.k.a. "Kazoo teh Convict"
> > > 02 votes Richard "the st00pid" Bullis
> > > 02 votes S
>
>
>
> WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
> WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: policy-ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
> Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!
>
> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
>
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>

> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
>
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
>
> less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
>
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?

>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Herb - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
> Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
> Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>

>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> policy-ham wrote:
> > Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> > Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> > View comments about this article!
>
> > Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> > was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> > organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> > researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> > File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> > a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> > mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> > (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> > Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> > *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> > in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> > topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> > short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> > what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> > What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> > attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> > and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> > unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> > competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> > any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> > sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> > simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> > hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> > amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> > unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> > less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> > As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> > newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> > meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> > but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>

> > This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> > have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> > who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> > contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> > behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> > site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> > a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> > on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> > I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> > better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> > something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> > will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> > logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> > constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> > converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> > status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
>
> you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
> is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
> entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
> breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> P.S.
>
>
> fuck eham and qrz,too.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>

>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??
>
> E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
> what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
> professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
> Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
> on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
> on e-hambone.
>
>
> POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> "Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
> news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
> in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.
>

>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
> Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
>
> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: an old friend - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
> Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>

> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
>
> get help
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
> Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
> > >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> > >>--
> > >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
> > Scheissman,
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the

> queen of knob jobs in Maryland.
>
>
> > when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> > out of your butt.
>
>
> When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
> Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
> wife leave you?
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>
>
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > > --
> > > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> > get
>
>
>
> Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am

> Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year
>
>
> old hag shemale wife leave you?
>
> well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something
>
>
> she said she did not know she was leaving
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
> Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
> Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
> the
> moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
> or
> less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
> password,
> and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
> than on
> usenet.
>
> You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
> in
> this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
> issue to

> something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
> big
> picture questions.
>
>
> You lose the ability to cross post.
>
>
> Etc... there are many others
>
>
> "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > policy-ham wrote:
> >> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> >> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> >> View comments about this article!
>
>
> >> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> >> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
> >>....
> >> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> >> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> >> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> >> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> >> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> >> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> >> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> >> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> >> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> >> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> >> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> >> any.
>
>
> >> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> >> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> >> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> >> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> >> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The

> >> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> >> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> >> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> >> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> >> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> >> something is being lost here.
>
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> teve "BowTie"/"Rasta Khan"/"Fly Cooter" Young
> > > 02 votes Will Dockery
> > > 01 votes Daryl Hunt
> > > 01 votes Hyerdahl of soc.men
> > > 01 votes The Big Eight Management Board
> > > 00 votes none of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Fangirlfriend! If the TRVTH wins marathons, then you,
> > > in your corpulence and delusional mendacity, never left the starting
> > > line.
> >
> > Man, she's looking like the odds-on fave for KOTY! Too bad, Ernie, but at
> > least you came in tied for third! With Wollkook, no less, and two votes
> > behind Sco-vile.
> >
> > > KOOK OF THE MONTH
> > >
> > > The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
> > >
> > > 17 votes Dustin Cook
> > > 09 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > > 06 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > > 02 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> > > 02 votes none of the above
> > >

> > > Congratulations, Dustbin! After months and months of Kadaitcha Man
> > > presenting you to AUK yet not convincing anyone to vote you an award,
> > > all it took was KM and Rhonda falling in love to make you melt down
> > > spectacularly. What's the matter, jealous much?
> >
> > Only when he's lying. Which is all the time...
> >
> > > CLUELESS NEWBIE OF THE MONTH
> > >
> > > The winner is...JILL OF SOC.MEN!
> > >
> > > 13 votes Jill of soc.men
> > > 11 votes Dustin Cook
> > > 06 votes Vinny Barbarino
> > > 02 votes none of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Jill! That's not applause you hear, but the sound of
> > > irony meters exploding all over USENET.
> >
> > When's the next shipment due? The Muslim and anti-Muslim spammers, racists
> > all, have been using them up at a _phenomenal_ rate...
> >
> > > COWARD OF THE MONTH
> > >
> > > The winner is...DUSTIN COOK!
> > >
> > > 31 votes YES
> > > 03 votes NO
> > >
> > > Congratulations again, Dustbin! So, how did poasting Rhonda's emails
> > > work out for you?
> >
> > He doesn't care, he just loves all the attention. Well, he said so. Over
> > and over. And over, and over, and over...
> >
> > > LOONEY MAROON AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...ALAN JONES!
> > >
> > > 17 votes Alan Jones
> > > 06 votes William Barwell
> > > 02 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Fish Food! So, someone who claims to be an expert on
> > > pop music when The King of Pop was at the top of his game doesn't
> > > recognize the other big names in pop music at the same time? Pleace to
> > > be clicking on the link for the best unintentional commentary on MJ by
> > > someone who actually has talent.
> > >
> > > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U3AeHgtothc

> >
> > Too bad for Mr. "I _PROVED_ there's no such thing as a god!" Barwell, I
> > guess.
> >
> > > JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...
> > >
> > > 08 votes Wabbit
> > > 07 votes neither of the above
> > > 06 votes George Maloney, a.k.a. flutyl@msn.com
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Wabbit! Fr0gging someone the other regulars in your
> > > home froup know to be in prison? Yep, pathetic.
> >
> > I knew there was a reason I stopped calling him "Woger". "Wabbit" is just
> > so much more descriptive of his character, anyway...Now Mark and Wabbit
> > can bop each other with the other's respective awards! Should be fun to
> > watch..."You're boring!" "your a pain"
> >
> > > TONY SIDAWAY MEMORIAL "DRAMA QUEEN" AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...
> > >
> > > 16 votes Duane Arnold
> > > 07 votes Ernest Primeau
> > > 01 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Duane! Pleace to be telling us how repeatedly claiming
> > > to be ignoring others isn't any more dramatic or silly than "Talk to the
> > > hand."
> >
> > Of course, that line was *intentionally* humorous, unlike DUH-uane.
> >
> > > VICTOR VON FRANKENSTEIN "WEIRD SCIENCE" AWARD
> > >
> > > We (tinw) hace a tie. The winners are..."DR." AVENGER, J. TAYLOR, AND
> > > DON FINDLAY!
> > >
> > > 06 votes Dr. Avenger
> > > 06 votes J. Taylor & Don Findlay
> > > 04 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, you motley crew of cranks! First, "Dr." Avenger, are you
> > > sure that claiming descent from the man who took credit for "discovering"
> > > the clitoris is such a good thing? Next, how much do you really know about
> > > women? Pleace to be clicking on the link and taking the test, then get
> > > back to us (tinu).
> > >
> > > The How Much You Know About Women Test

> > > http://www.okcupid.com/tests/take?testid=16632578631264830153
> > >
> > > Next, Taylor and Findlay, pleace to be explaining how an expanding Earth
> > > would be able to account for the formation and destruction of the
> > > supercontinent Rodinia during the late Proterozoic and the formation of
> > > Pangaea during the late Paleozoic.
> >
> > <insert dodge about how Plate Tectonics is nonsense, blah blah>
> >
> > > PALMJOB PADDLE
> > >
> > > The winner is...DARYL HUNT!
> > >
> > > 23 votes Daryl Hunt
> > > 08 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > > 02 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Daryl! Auto-flagellations are such fun to watch,
> > > especially yours.
> >
> > Aren't they, though?! He kicked his own arse in a most righteous manner.
> >
> > > BALSA GAVEL
> > >
> > > The winner is...TIM BOLEN!
> > >
> > > 25 votes YES
> > > 03 votes NO
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Tim! Now, how do you expect a US Court to have
> > > jurisdiction over a British company and why should the Brits follow the
> > > US "opt-out" convention when UK law dictates "opt-in?"
> >
> > "My newsletter is opt-in anyway...Steve Linford's case is in shambles!"
> >
> > > GEORGE PICKETT MEMORIAL TROPHY
> > >
> > > The winner is...Richard M. Scoville
> > >
> > > 38 votes YES
> > > 01 votes NO
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Chicken Dickie! Pleace to be burying your dead kookshite
> > > up on Cemetary Ridge before you retreat.
> >
> > <crickets chirping, 'cause Dickie's still offline>
> >
> > > GEORGE ARMSTRONG CUSTER "KICKED @$$" AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...ALT.ATHEISM!

> > >
> > > 09 votes alt.atheism
> > > 08 votes Douglas of alt.magick
> > > 03 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, freethinkers! Your belief that you have won against the
> > > theists must make the Invisible Pink Unicorn and the Flying Spaghetti
> > > Monster proud.
> >
> > Well, to be fair, it's mostly Septic and Barwell who think they've
> > "won"...
> >
> > > BOLO BULLIS FOAM DUCK
> > >
> > > The winner is...Dustin Cook
> > >
> > > 30 votes YES
> > > 03 votes NO
> > >
> > > Congratulations a third time, Dustbin! Explain again how you were
> > > "trolled" by Rhonda.
> >
> > "She has my emails, and she was going to reveal them, so I outed her
> > FIRST! I know she was going to reveal them, it's what I'd do to a troll
> > like myself...
> >
BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!"
> >
> > > UNABOMBER SURPRISE
> > >
> > > The winner is...DEAN ROGER RAY!
> > >
> > > 19 votes Dean Roger Ray, a.k.a. teslacoils2006
> > > 10 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > > 03 votes neither of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Teslafartz! You wrote your anti-Masonic screeds as if
> > > there was an award for maximum number of words. Well, this is it!
> >
> > <insert long, rambling, top-poasted screed about teh Masons being behind
> > the nomination, the second, the ballot, and all the voters who chose
> > him>
> >
> > > KLUCK LYSAGHT "TAR AND FEATHERS" AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...Ernest Primeau
> > >
> > > 21 votes YES
> > > 01 votes NO
> > >

> > > Congratulations, Ernie! Whatever you've been doing on alt.baldspot the
> > > past few years, it's been enough to make the regulars want to run you out
> > > of town, over a canyon, with the bridge out.
> >
> > "crazier and crazier"
> >
> > > BUSTED URINAL AWARD
> > >
> > > The winner is...BOB&CAROLE!
> > >
> > > 17 votes bob&carole
> > > 15 votes Jamie "the Lamie" Baille
> > > 01 votes Kantica22
> > > 00 votes none of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, opportunistic homophobic spammers! How does it feel to
> > > make the regulars of more than 100 froups mad at you?
> >
> > He's going for the Bullis, the Bartlo, and the Custer next, I think. He's
> > not a dark horse to win them, either.
> >
> > > BARBARA WOODHOUSE MEMORIAL DOG WHISTLE
> > >
> > > The winner is...ROBERTA "MOP JOCKEY" WOLFE!
> > >
> > > 19 votes Roberta "Mop Jockey" Wolfe, trained by Daedalus
> > > 07 votes Double-A, trained by Art Deco
> > > 04 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, trained by Chas Nemo
> > > 01 votes none of the above
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Unixd0rk! Was it worth it to purchase a domain name
> > > and host it just so you could claim "victolly" over Jade? Too bad the
> > > only thing she had to do was change netkooks to netk00ks in order to
> > > escape your "trap."
> >
> > Alas, no one else stood a chance against the Mop Jockey/Daedalus
> > juggernaut! Once that nomination was made, I knew there was little hope
> > for the Kenny Kakes and Chuckles double act.
> >
> > > OFFICE OF VILLAGE IDIOT
> > >
> > > The winner is...
> > >
> > > 26 votes Kenneth R Pangborn, a.k.a. Kenny Kakes
> > > 04 votes Donald "Skeptic"/"TJ"/"Your Logic Tutor" Alford
> > >
> > > Congratulations on defending your office, Kenny Kakes! Your absolutely
> > > breathtaking ability to misunderstand the written word certainly helped
> > > you win re-election.
> >

> > Damn! Two losses for the alt.atheism duo -- and Alford is _much_ more
> > idiotic than Barwell, who at least is attempting a facade of an
> > argument, unlike Septic, who seems completely unable to discern the
> > difference between agnosticism and theism.
> >
> > > OFFICE OF KOOKI INFORMATION MINISTER
> > >
> > > The winner is...PSYLVIA!
> > >
> > > 24 votes Sylvia Sullivan, a.k.a. "PSYLVIA"
> > > 05 votes William Barwell
> >
> > OMFGsGss, she wasn't even _x-poasting_ to AUK, or getting her stuff
> > x-poasted here, and she won by a landslide...Yeeesh.
> >
> > > Congratulations, PSYLVIA! You're winning elections by margins almost as
> > > large as the margins you lost by in meatspace.
> >
> > With no campaigning in AUK *at all*, this time. That's sheer k00ktalent,
> > that is.
> >
> > > MINISTRY OF CIRCLE JERKS
> > >
> > > The winner is...THE BIG-8 MANAGEMENT BOARD!
> > >
> > > 21 votes The Big-8 Management Board
> > > 07 votes Marcus Cox and Russ H
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Team Calvin! The RFD for news.groups.proposals with
> > > B8MBy as the moderators was a great piece of campaign literature!
> >
> > Marcus and Russ must simply try harder, I think. But they're new at
> > kooking-out, so let's give 'em a break.
> >
> > > This concludes the announcement of the winners of the September 2006
> > > Usenet Kook Awards. Fifty people submitted fifty-one ballots. Both
> > > duplicate ballots were discarded (and both were sent from an anonymous
> > > remailer, too). Another voter used an altered ballot, and thus was
> > > disqualified. Finally, a notorious disenfranchised net-kook also voted
> > > and I discarded his ballot, too. Consequently forty-seven ballots were
> > > counted and four were discarded.
> > >
> > > See you all early next month, when the Halloween candy is still fresh.
> > > Until then, enjoy and all hail Ming, Bob, and Fluffy!
> > >
> > > <music>"They're Coming to Take Me Away"
> > > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oNLSzNdKdG8 </music>
> >
> > --
> > _____

> > Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
> > The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
> > Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.
> >
> > If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
> > http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
> > http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
> > Or watch it here:
> > http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
> > Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
> > http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
> >
> > "Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
> > A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.
> >
> > Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
> > George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
> > and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
> > outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
> > "Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
> > their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
> > pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
> > You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
> > by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
> > obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
> > information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
> > You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
> > different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.
> >
> > "Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
> > coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
> > are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
> > way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
> > that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
> > to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
> > choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.
> >
> > "What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
> > -- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
> >
> > "I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
> > Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
> > 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com
> >
> > "When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
> > since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
> > combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
> > Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
> > describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
> > hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

> > money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
> > reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
> > up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
> > journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
> > careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
> > wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
> > to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v
>
> WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
> WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: policy-ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
> Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!
>
> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
>
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no

> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
>
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
>
> less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
>
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
> WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
> WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: policy-ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
> Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!
>
> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of

> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
>
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
>
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
>
> less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
>
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>

> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Herb - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
> Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
> Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>

> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> policy-ham wrote:
> > Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> > Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> > View comments about this article!
>
> > Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> > was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> > organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> > researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> > File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> > a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> > mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> > (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> > Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> > *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> > in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> > topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> > short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> > what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> > What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> > attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> > and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> > unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> > competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> > any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> > sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that

> > simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> > hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> > amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> > unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> > less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> > As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> > newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> > meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> > but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> > This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> > have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> > who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> > contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> > behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> > site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> > a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> > on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> > I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> > better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> > something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> > will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> > logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> > constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> > converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> > status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
>
> you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
> is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
> entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
> breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:

> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> P.S.
>
>
> fuck eham and qrz,too.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??
>
> E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
> what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
> professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
> Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
> on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
> on e-hambone.
>
>
> POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>

> "Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
> news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
> in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
> Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
>
> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: an old friend - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
> Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options

> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
>
> get help
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
> Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
> > >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>

> > >>--
> > >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
> > Scheissman,
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
> queen of knob jobs in Maryland.
>
>
> > when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> > out of your butt.
>
>
> When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
> Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
> wife leave you?
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>
>
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > > --
> > > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>

> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> > get
>
>
>
> Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
> Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year
>
>
> old hag shemale wife leave you?
>
> well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something
>
>
> she said she did not know she was leaving
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
> Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
> Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on

> the
> moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
> or
> less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
> password,
> and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
> than on
> usenet.
>
> You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
> in
> this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
> issue to
> something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
> big
> picture questions.
>
>
> You lose the ability to cross post.
>
>
> Etc... there are many others
>
>
> "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > policy-ham wrote:
> >> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> >> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> >> View comments about this article!
>
>
> >> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> >> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
> >>....
> >> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> >> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> >> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> >> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> >> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> >> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>

> >> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> >> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> >> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> >> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> >> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> >> any.
>
>
> >> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> >> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> >> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> >> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> >> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> >> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> >> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> >> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> >> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> >> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> >> something is being lost here.
>
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
>
>
> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Herb - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
> Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
> Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> policy-ham wrote:
> > Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> > Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> > View comments about this article!
>
> > Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there

> > was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> > organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> > researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> > File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> > a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> > mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> > (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> > Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> > *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> > in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> > topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> > short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> > what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> > What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> > attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> > and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> > unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> > competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> > any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> > sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> > simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> > hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> > amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> > unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> > less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> > As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> > newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> > meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> > but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> > This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> > have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> > who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> > contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> > behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> > site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> > a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> > on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> > I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> > better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that

> > something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> > will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> > logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> > constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> > converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> > status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
>
> you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
> is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
> entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
> breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> P.S.
>
>
> fuck eham and qrz,too.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??

> E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
> what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
> professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap
> Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
> on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
> on e-hambone.
>
>
> POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> "Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
> news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
> in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
> Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

>
>
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
>
> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: an old friend - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
> Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
>
> get help
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile

> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
> Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
> > >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> > >>--
> > >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
> > Scheissman,
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
> queen of knob jobs in Maryland.
>
>
> > when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> > out of your butt.
>
>
> When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
> Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>

>
> Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
> wife leave you?
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>
>
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > > --
> > > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> > get
>
>
>
> Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
> Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year
>
>

> old hag shemale wife leave you?
>
> well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something
>
>
> she said she did not know she was leaving
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
> Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
> Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
> the
> moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
> or
> less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
> password,
> and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
> than on
> usenet.
>
> You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
> in
> this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
> issue to
> something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
> big
> picture questions.
>
>
> You lose the ability to cross post.
>
>
> Etc... there are many others
>
>
> "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > policy-ham wrote:
> >> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> >> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> >> View comments about this article!
>
>
> >> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> >> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
> >>....
> >> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> >> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> >> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> >> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> >> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> >> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> >> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> >> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> >> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> >> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> >> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> >> any.
>
>
> >> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> >> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> >> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> >> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> >> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> >> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> >> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> >> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> >> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> >> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> >> something is being lost here.
>
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

>
> WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY AND ITS NOT GOOD
> WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> All 13 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: policy-ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 11:50 am
> Email: "policy-ham" <hamop...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> View comments about this article!
>
> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make
> a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
>
> (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
>
> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
>
> any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the

>
> unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
>
> less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
>
> but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
>
> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
>
> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
>
> something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as
> converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Herb - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 12:15 pm
> Email: "Herb" <her...@maroonherbie.net>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Schleck must be playing NetKKKop again.

>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Your Great Hero, Steve - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 3:17 pm
> Email: shols...@nim.bstrs (Your Great Hero, Steve)
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> --
> http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:10 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> policy-ham wrote:
> > Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> > Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> > View comments about this article!
>
> > Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> > was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet
> > organized a mailing list known as Info-Hams. In 1979, a couple of
> > researchers at Duke and UNC developed a system that used "Unix to Unix
> > File Copy" or "uucp" to copy files from one system to another, to make

> > a broadcast bulletin-board system called "Usenet." The Info-Hams
> > mailing list eventually gatewayed to this system, becoming fa.info-hams
> > (fa = "From ARPANet"), then rec.ham-radio, then rec.radio.amateur.*.
>
>
> > Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> > and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> > *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> > in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> > topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> > short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> > what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> > What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> > attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> > and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> > unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> > competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> > any. Further fanning the flames are free, and anonymous, news posting
> > sites like Google Groups, Yahoo, etc., as well as any news site that
> > simply doesn't care to enforce any net etiquette among its users. Some
> > hams have even offered the explanation that better enforcement of
> > amateur radio regulations by Riley Hollingsworth at the FCC has had the
> > unintended consequence of driving problem hams off the bands and onto a
> > less restrictive forum to wreck.
>
>
> > As for Google Groups, it certainly deserves credit for archiving most
> > newsgroup discussions since 1981 (thanks to old backup tapes
> > meticulously maintained by Henry Spencer at the University of Toronto),
> > but also a big raspberry for contributing to this problem.
>
>
> > This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> > have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> > who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> > contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> > behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> > site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> > a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> > on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> > I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> > better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> > something is being lost here. For example, 20 years into the future,
> > will we be able to read archived and indexed articles from most web
> > logs that exist today, as we now have with Google Groups? Are there
> > constructive solutions to the meltdown on rec.radio.amateur.*, such as

> > converting newsgroups to moderated status, even if such moderated
> > status is simply a self-approval, or anonymous user filter, mechanism?
>
>
>
> you dumb bastard.....you want total melt down? just wait. the code
> is about to be gone. THE NO-CODE EXTRAS ARE COMMING!! the
> entertainment watching you silly motherfuckers squirm is priceless.
> breaker,breaker 3.875 !!!!!!! AUUUUDDDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOOOOOOO
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> hahahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Big Red Ham - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:12 pm
> Email: "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> P.S.
>
>
> fuck eham and qrz,too.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:52 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Who gives a FLYING FCUK what they say on e-ham...??
>
> E-ham is nothing but a cheap, Dollar Store copy of QRZ.com
> what makes the bullshi+ published by K1MAN look more
> professional by comparison. Christ...I'd rather listen to Hap

> Holly with his PERPETUALLY BAD COFFEE CAN AUDIO
> on the old Westling broadcasts that read the fishwrap published
> on e-hambone.
>
>
> POOO ---> PFHBBBBLLLTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Turd Divers 1 - view profile
> Date: Mon, May 8 2006 5:46 pm
> Email: "Turd Divers 1" <blap...@blappserster.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> "Your Great Hero, Steve" <shols...@nim.bstrs> wrote in message
> news:e3o905lbr1@emma.aioe.org...
>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> The above is probably the best one-liner I've read
> in this NG for quite some time. Thanks! We needed it.
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 9:12 am
> Email: peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>

>
>
> > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > --
> > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
>
> Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: an old friend - view profile
> Date: Tues, May 9 2006 11:02 am
> Email: "an old friend" <kb9...@hotmail.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > --
> > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
>
> get help
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:48 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Whining about another man at 3:50 AM the queer
> Billy "piiza BOY" Smith wrote:
>
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
>
>
> > >>They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
>
> > >>--
> > >>http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
>
>
> > Scheissman,
>
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> Lardass plagiarizes this lame from Ray Chason, KB3HWB. Chason is the
> queen of knob jobs in Maryland.
>
>
> > when are you going to stop that ooze that consistently comes
> > out of your butt.
>
>
> When you get back down on your knees to your rightful place , eating
> Wiseman's shit up like a good little shiteater, Davies.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: Retard Marky when will your 60 year old hag shemale
> wife leave you?
> From: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com - view profile

> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 8:50 am
> Email: whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> assfucked by an old friend wrote:
>
>
>
> > peewee_lloyd_dav...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > Your Great Hero, Steve wrote:
> > > > They will only shit and fall back in it again.
>
> > > > --
> > > > http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/450012194/1.gif
>
>
> > > Hey, Pedo Holsten, when is your son's child molesting trial coming up?
> > get
>
>
>
> Get to massaging your wife's wrinkled sagging man-tits, Markie.
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: get help
> From: kb9rqz_ars@yahoo.com - view profile
> Date: Wed, May 10 2006 11:29 am
> Email: "kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com" <kb9rqz_...@yahoo.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
>
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:Retard Marky when will your 60 year
>
>
> old hag shemale wife leave you?
>
> well i posed your question to my wife who female and 30 something
>

>
> she said she did not know she was leaving
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> Subject changed: WWW.EHAM.NET TALKING ABOUT REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY
> AND ITS NOT GOOD WHAT THEY ARE SAYING
> From: Hirgon Ninnyhammar - view profile
> Date: Sun, Jun 18 2006 12:02 am
> Email: "Hirgon Ninnyhammar" <no_addr...@nowhere.com>
> Groups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> rec.radio.cb, alt.radio.cb.skip
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> If I might answer your question, what did we lose: what you lose on
> the
> moderated web blogs is annonymity. Yes, you can have annonymity more
> or
> less, but somebody somewhere has to approve your posting and your
> password,
> and they have your email, it is a bit more of a digital paper chain
> than on
> usenet.
>
> You also lose the ability to go off topic. Some fora are more strict
> in
> this regards than others, but there it is: sometimes relating one
> issue to
> something seemingly off-topic is lost, with it, the freedom to address
> big
> picture questions.
>
>
> You lose the ability to cross post.
>
>
> Etc... there are many others
>
>
> "Big Red Ham" <redballo...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1147126241.303040.239860@u72g2000cwu.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>

> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> > policy-ham wrote:
> >> Amateur Radio Newsgroups: Total Meltdown
> >> Paul W. Schleck (K3FU) on April 26, 2006
> >> View comments about this article!
>
>
> >> Way back in 1972, before there was a World-Wide Web, even before there
> >> was Usenet News, amateur radio enthusiasts on the then-ARPANet...
> >>....
> >> Fast-forward to the present, and the newsgroups rec.radio.amateur.misc
> >> and rec.radio.amateur.policy are now in complete meltdown (the
> >> *.antenna, *.dx, *.equipment, and *.space newsgroups still seem to be
> >> in good shape, though). Both forums are almost completely bereft of any
> >> topic discussion. Instead, one can find run-on threads consisting of
> >> short, sniping, and personal attacks containing obscene language from
> >> what must be fewer than a dozen, mostly anonymous, users.
>
>
> >> What happened? -- Maybe too many run-on arguments about code, or
> >> attacks on individual ham's personal character. Maybe just the anarchy
> >> and Tragedy of the Commons that is encouraged by totally-open,
> >> unmoderated forums where no one is obliged to obey any rules, and no
> >> competent authority seems able or willing to enforce them if there were
> >> any.
>
>
> >> This hasn't happened to every newsgroup. Even though other newsgroups
> >> have trolls, there seems to be a "immune system" of constructive users
> >> who step in both to ostracize problem users, and continue positive
> >> contributions in the face of such troublemakers. Certainly this
> >> behavior is not tolerated on web logs such as qrz.com and eHam.net. The
> >> site owners simply would not allow it. There is at least one example of
> >> a licensed radio amateur who is Dr. Jekyll on the blogs, but Mr. Hyde
> >> on rec.radio.amateur.policy. There may even be others.
>
>
> >> I'm sure some would argue that Usenet is obsolete, and we are all
> >> better off going to moderated blogs. Still, I can't help but think that
> >> something is being lost here.
>
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

3009. Re: Another gay pedo nabbed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:18:44 UTC
From: show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161368324.452593.4140@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.flame.faggots,alt.homosexual,alt.usenet.kooks

bob&carole wrote:
> Accused Child Molester Nabbed in Panama
> Oct 16, 04:22 PM EDT
>
> HARRISBURG, Pa. (AP) -- A former university professor accused of
> molesting boys in four states was arrested in Panama and deported to
> Miami, where he was in custody, federal authorities said.
>
> Richard W. Gibbs, who taught at Shippensburg University's journalism
> and communications department, was apprehended Thursday at a bar in
> Bocas Del Toro, Panama, U.S. Marshal Peter Elliott said in a statement
> Sunday.
>
> In Pennsylvania, Gibbs, 65, is charged with 14 felony counts of sexual
> abuse of children for pornographic images police said they found on his
> computers at Shippensburg University. He retired this spring, the day
> his computers were searched.
>
> He also is charged with molesting a child in Santee, Calif., six years
> ago and with 10 counts of gross sexual imposition in Geauga County,
> Ohio, for allegedly molesting a child there in the 1980s. Another
> victim reported Gibbs molested him in North Carolina and California.
>
> Gibbs previously pleaded guilty to indecent assault and corruption of
> minors involving a 13-year-old boy on a 1987 church camping trip in
> Warren County, Pa. He received a six-to-23 1/2-month sentence.

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@
All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@

4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: The name is Benjo - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net>
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!

So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@vv
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS

> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@

Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
Groups: alt.flame.faggots
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Fri, 22 Oct 1999 04:18:03 +0200, "The name is Benjo"
<famav...@casema.net> wrote:

::Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
::
::So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
::can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
::the nekked male? Just wait and find out.

Groovey

You rock

::
::

::Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message

::news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...

::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
ASS!!!!!!!@
::>
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@vv
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

::ASS!!!!!!!@
::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
LOVE
::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
::
::

3010. Re: id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:20:52 UTC
From: Don Stockbauer <don.stockbauer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161368452.180033.43040@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169027
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

> >Markie Morgan wrote:
> >> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
> >> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
> >>
> >> thanks n avdace for teh hple
> >>

Guy goes to the doctor. He says, "Doc, my problem is that I like to
have sexual intercourse a lot. A whole lot . In fact, I have it so
often it makes blisters and scabs on my wang. I compulsively pick at
them, and they bleed. What am I to do?????"

The doctor said, "Don't fuck with it. That'll be 90 bucks for the
office visit. Thank you."

3011. Re: Repeater ID speeds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 18:38:45 UTC
From: kc4uai@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1161369525.524486.159040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
>
> We should welcome new hams all that we can by slowing down
> repeater ID's.
>

Hey, it doesn't really matter anyway.. Legal ID's can be done via a computer voiced audio stream which doesn't require that you know code to understand. Most of the repeaters in my area ID both ways and both are usually just pre-recorded audio files that get played by the repeater controller. It's the wave of the future....

But you knew that..

3012. Re: warts on my pee pee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:05:14 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45391107$0$19622$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169029
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

<show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161367678.003631.116570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Markie Morgan wrote:
>> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>>
>> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>>
>>
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>>
>
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
> Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
> Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
> Groups: alt.flame.faggots
> Not yet ratedRating:
> hide options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE

> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
>
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@vv
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
>
>
> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: The name is Benjo - view profile
> Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
> Email: "The name is Benjo" <famav...@casema.net>

> Groups: alt.flame.faggots
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
>
> So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
> can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
> the nekked male? Just wait and find out.
>
>
>
> Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message
>
>
> news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...
>
>
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN

>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>> ASS!!!!!!!@
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>> ASS!!!!!!!@
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>

>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>> ASS!!!!!!!@
>
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
>
>
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
>
>> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@vv
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
>
>> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
>> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
>
>
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
>

> Reply Rate this post: Text for clearing space
>
>
> From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
> Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
> Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
> Groups: alt.flame.faggots
> Not yet ratedRating:
> show options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
>
>
> On Fri, 22 Oct 1999 04:18:03 +0200, "The name is Benjo"
> <famav...@casema.net> wrote:
>
> ::Oh my, I have some things to do to make to 4500, isn't it?!
> ::
> ::So... 100 new pics are not enough, let me see how many I
> ::can post tomorrow..... will I really carried away with the beauty
> ::the nekked male? Just wait and find out.
>
>
> Groovey
>
>
> You rock
>
>
> ::
> ::
>
>
> ::Nacho Cheesier <nacho-chees...@superstick.com> wrote in message
>
>
> ::news:AB442928316423CD.2310059F38B22FF4.E12D3CEEA225A9A4@lp.airnews.net...
>
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::>
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@v4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ::> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@vv
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ::ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> ::> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR

> ::ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS
> LOVE
> ::YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> ::
> ::
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3013. Re: id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:05:50 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4539112b$0$19602$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169030
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

"Don Stockbauer" <don.stockbauer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161368452.180033.43040@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>> >Markie Morgan wrote:
>> >> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> >> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>> >>
>> >> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>> >>
>
> Guy goes to the doctor. He says, "Doc, my problem is that I like to
> have sexual intercourse a lot. A whole lot . In fact, I have it so
> often it makes blisters and scabs on my wang. I compulsively pick at
> them, and they bleed. What am I to do?????"
>
> The doctor said, "Don't fuck with it. That'll be 90 bucks for the
> office visit. Thank you."
>
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3014. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:08:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ek7ij217j3nb05tvvgdieg14lep48f6359@4ax.com>
Source record: 169031
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:05:14 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
><show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161367678.003631.116570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...

id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3015. Re: sodomy is crime< no it si not but id theft is a cirme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:09:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <dm7ij21cms8ifmjmn839tlah2fpn7c6cfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 20 Oct 2006 11:10:39 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>

and you therefore are a criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3016. Re: id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:10:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ho7ij2de3njk5a82k83l66b236s73rjo98@4ax.com>
Source record: 169033
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:05:50 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
>"Don Stockbauer" <don.stockbauer@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
and you keep on comting it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3017. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:13:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ep7ij2hnubv113nikhlpv0j8pnbbiunte8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169034
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 20 Oct 2006 11:15:51 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>
aand you are a criminal I know you can't face that but them is the
facts
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3018. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 19:14:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <fv7ij297v3qjfkd48l66j447pedko9tbuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169035

On 20 Oct 2006 11:18:44 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:

>

and you keep comting it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3019. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:24:10 UTC
From: Markie Morgan <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45392387$0$19701$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169036
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:ek7ij217j3nb05tvvgdieg14lep48f6359@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:05:14 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1161367678.003631.116570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> id theft is acrime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
an you kep comting itu tefht cime is id

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3020. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:31:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <bfcij2t2195pho9u0vk9m194uaoj10v26g@4ax.com>
Source record: 169037
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:24:10 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>

you realy need to get help for obviously criminal complusion (and like
as not other isses as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3021. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:32:26 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45392577$0$19626$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169038

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:fv7ij297v3qjfkd48l66j447pedko9tbuc@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 11:18:44 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>
> and you keep comting it
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3022. Re: id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:38:42 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <453926f0$0$19708$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169039
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,soc.men,24hoursupport.helpdesk

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:494ij21vsocc0j4tplbdck1rqvm5ps06gr@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 11:07:58 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>>Markie Morgan wrote:
>>> high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>>> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
>>>
>>> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>>>
>>>
>>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>>>
>
> I know you need a life but you may not steal mine or my wfies
>
> i know you are sick obessive stalker but help is avable if you will
> only take it
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

show-na-to-va say no two speel chekers two

i punce gocha
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3023. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:41:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <32dij2d79recagidgliobd06ao8g1vsk3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 169040

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:32:26 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
>
does mot matter how much you try you are not mark morgan and are
obviously jealous

get a life but don't try to steal mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3024. Re: id theft is crime but you know that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:42:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <t4dij21ig4b7hjik49kj8ubs6glururmu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169041
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:38:42 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>

I know you are jealous but you need to get over it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3025. my knew speel cechker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:46:51 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <453928d6$0$19709$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,24hoursupport.helpdesk

http://i11.tinypic.com/2v0eq79.jpg

- -
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3026. i took

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 20:59:37 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45392bd5$0$19637$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169043

i tok sum syrip of dxylexicock an now i punce
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3027. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 21:07:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <gjeij2pf3lg0oqcvb2ve2r0vcgvq5o5hvt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169044

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:46:51 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
I knwo your life is apthetic but you can't have mine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3028. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 21:08:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <1leij2l0nfasc96tdv4hn4hq450vkec250@4ax.com>
Source record: 169045

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:59:37 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
we all know you are pethetic looser needing to steal a life
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3029. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 21:08:23 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <45392de4$0$19657$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:uuthj2liqk2eu39qm09vlmcu4qivc02j6b@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 12:11:30 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
>>
> is is you know
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
crap violation of there coprright as well i sea
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

YZ

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3030. Re: id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 21:09:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <ooeij2l32mpo0vpg2oiccraka9nqq515ct@4ax.com>
Source record: 169047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 17:08:23 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
>
and you keep comiting it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3031. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 22:01:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161381672.083634.237790@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169048

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
>
> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
> >> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>
> >Opinions are not sacred, Len.

Tell it to Robesin. If you hold the wrong opinion, you are a" LIAR!"

> Yes they are, Jimmie. Amateur extra morsemen hold the code
> test to be SACRED forever and ever. All those against it
> tell "falsehoods" because they are against it. :-)

LIAR!

> >Not even yours. The mere fact that
> >someone holds an opinion does prevent it from being a falsehood.

And so it starts...

Actually, it started long, long ago in a DX location far, far away...

> Tsk, tsk, tsk, you are arguing against yourself there!
>
> So, I state an OPINION that you don't like and it is a
> "falsehood." But, because it IS an opinion, PREVENTS it
> from being a "falsehood." :-)

Exactly! Any you're a LIAR so don't forget it.

> >Also, you are not clear about when you are providing an opinion and
> >when you are providing an alleged fact. You do not usually qualify your
> >statements with phrases like 'I think' or 'I feel' or 'IMHO'. Instead,
> >you state your opinions as if they are unquestionably true facts. They
> >aren't.
>
> WTF are you babbling about?

So now you're using CW abbreviations...

> Did you put on the Mother Superior habit already, knuckle-
> spank ruler at the ready to correct "improper grammar?"

I saw Dave make a typo last weekend, but I didn't draw attention to it.

> >> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
> >> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
> >> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
> >> that.
> >
> >Yet you call him "an imposter" without any proof.
>
> PRECISELY! [it took a while for that to sink in your brain,
> but it did!]
>
> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.

When someone draws attention to themselves with wild claims, it usually
follows that someone else will ask for documentation.

> >> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
> >> Gilliland has made it available.
> >
> >So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>
> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
> veteran.

Stolen Valor. It gives anyone the right to ask for documentation when
preposterous claims have been made. Robesin

> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
> military service it becomes very serious indeed. One
> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
> hobby with imagined self-glory.

That should be a given, but apparently it isn't.

> >Is it on what *you* did or on what the 700-man military unit that you
> >were assigned to did?
>
> Both. You can read it via:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> About 6 MB file size, takes 19 minutes download on dial-up.
> Lots of photos in there in case you have trouble with the
> technological words.
>
> Its about something YOU can NEVER have, Jimmie. You never
> volunteered for military service...even when you had the
> chance. You are NO JUDGE over any military unit activities.

Other ways... other ways...

> As ever to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim want's to think that his other ways are a substitute for military service, kind of like Clinton's "AmericCorps..." Jim was just ahead of his time in avoiding military service.

3032. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 22:11:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161382282.193751.318340@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169049

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
> >
> > [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
> >
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
> >>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
> > >
> > >
> > > BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
> > >
> > >
> >>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
> >>> Gilliland has made it available.
>
> >> So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
> >
> > A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
>
> MILITARY VETERANS get to demand proof? Really?

Actually, employers get to, and people that are witness to exaggerated
claims of "hostile actions" get to. Anyone witnessing exaggerated
claims OUGHT to demand proof.

> I never saw myself as
> superior to other citizens who never donned a military uniform.

It's a lot like Len being a professional radio, and being told that
he'll never be an amateur.

> > Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
> > veteran.
>
> I'm a veteran. Dan from W4-land is a veteran. Do we get to demand
> proof for your sphincter post? It'd be nice to know where and when the
> artillery barrage took place and if your friend Gene can confirm it.

Fair enough. When does Robesin provide documentation of his claims of
participating in seven (7) hostile actions?

> > When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
> > military service it becomes very serious indeed.
>
> Well, it could become very serious if you ever actually had occasion to
> put your life on the line.

Would any of seven (7) hostile actions be such an occasion?

> > One
> > helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
> > hobby with imagined self-glory.
>
> ...only if you were called upon to actually put your life on the line,
> Len. Otherwise, you need to quit wrapping yourself in bunting and
> stepping up on that soapbox.

No bunting. By serving (in the military), you are in the que for
whatever comes up. Lots of folks weren't up to it. Jim/N2EY is one
such person.

> Would the term "self-glory" come into play in discussing a guy who went
> into great detail describing what it was like to undergo an artillery
> barrage, except that he had never been involved in such an event?

Prolly no more than a guy that got caught claiming participation in
seven (7) hostile actions.

> Would you be inclined to describe such an individual as a sort of
> imposter? It is certainly something for you to ponder.
>
> Dave K8MN

It is certainly something for Robesin to ponder, wouldn't you agree?

3033. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 22:34:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161383641.433517.252760@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169050

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> My name is NOT "Avery."

Yet you have posted here under the screen names "Avery Fine" and "Avery Fineman".

Then you denied ever using the screen name "Avery Fine".
>
> Where do you find this "Avery?" Have you seen this "Avery"
> on some Internet service provider? Lately? In the last
> year? [other than the Avery Company which makes lots and
> lots of labels and other office supplies]

You've posted here under at least seven different screen names, Len.
I've lost track of how many different screen names N0IMD has used. Etc.

For all anyone knows, "Slow Code" is *you*, Len, masquerading.

3034. Re: warts on my pee pee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:00:04 UTC
From: HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
Message-ID: <Uxc_g.1365$T_1.1216@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169051
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
> Markie Morgan wrote:
>
>>high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
>> tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?
> thanks n avdace for teh hple
>>
>>http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@
> All 3 messages in topic - view as tree
> From: Nacho Cheesier - view profile
> Date: Fri, Oct 22 1999 12:00 am
> Email: nacho-chees...@superstick.com (Nacho Cheesier)
> Groups: alt.flame.faggots
> Not yet ratedRating:
> hide options
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author
> 4000 POSTS IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE
> YOUR ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS
> IN ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!@4000 POSTS IN
> ALT>FLAME>FAGGOTS!!!!!! FAGS ROCK YOUR ASS!!!!! FAGS LOVE YOUR
> ASS!!!!!!!

WORST POST ON RAISING LUFFHA GOURDS *EVER*.

--

HellPope Huey
Do I look inflamed to you?

The odor of kerosene repels snakes
and for good reason.
Kerosene is a natural degreaser.
Snakes are covered with a fine coating of oil.
Kerosene would suck dry the snake's body oil,
which probably feels the same as if someone poured
hydrochloric acid on your hand. Not very pleasant.
So when a snake smells kerosene,

or more accurately tastes-
-a snake breaths with its tongue-
-they slither in the other direction.
No word on kerosene's ability to tame a wild mullet.
~ Bret Terrill and Greg Dierkers,
"The Unofficial MacGyver How-To Handbook"

A wise man's question contains half the answer.
~ Solomon Ibn Gabirol

3035. Re: id teft an copright votons are cries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:04:14 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <4539490c$0$19744$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,soc.men,alt.binaries.fetish.scat

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:rtohj2528d98d9g53h9gjh8ileujr9fh6m@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>
> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
well ta least I no What it like to lick my excrement off of another man's
ganitals.
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3036. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:41:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <O8d_g.12215$Y24.10948@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169053

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
>>>
>>> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
>>>
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>>>
>>> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
>>>>> Gilliland has made it available.
>>>> So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>>> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
>> MILITARY VETERANS get to demand proof? Really?
>
> Actually, employers get to, and people that are witness to exaggerated
> claims of "hostile actions" get to. Anyone witnessing exaggerated
> claims OUGHT to demand proof.
>
>> I never saw myself as
>> superior to other citizens who never donned a military uniform.
>
> It's a lot like Len being a professional radio, and being told that
> he'll never be an amateur.

I never knew that Len was a professional radio. I'll make a note of it.

>>> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
>>> veteran.

>> I'm a veteran. Dan from W4-land is a veteran. Do we get to demand
>> proof for your sphincter post? It'd be nice to know where and when the
>> artillery barrage took place and if your friend Gene can confirm it.
>
> Fair enough. When does Robesin provide documentation of his claims of
> participating in seven (7) hostile actions?

I'd say that a good time would be right after Len bares his soul and
admits that he engaged in being an imposter in regard to what it is like
to go through an artillery barrage. I'm guessing that won't happen.

>>> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
>>> military service it becomes very serious indeed.

>> Well, it could become very serious if you ever actually had occasion to
>> put your life on the line.
>
> Would any of seven (7) hostile actions be such an occasion?

I'd say it would.

>>> One
>>> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
>>> hobby with imagined self-glory.

>> ...only if you were called upon to actually put your life on the line,
>> Len. Otherwise, you need to quit wrapping yourself in bunting and
>> stepping up on that soapbox.
>
> No bunting.

I see bunting. Len is wrapped in it.

> By serving (in the military), you are in the que for
> whatever comes up.

I didn't like queues when I was in the military. I sure don't have to
queue for anything now.

> Lots of folks weren't up to it. Jim/N2EY is one
> such person.

That's a pretty bold supposition on your part. What indicates that
someone "wasn't up to it"?

>> Would the term "self-glory" come into play in discussing a guy who went
>> into great detail describing what it was like to undergo an artillery
>> barrage, except that he had never been involved in such an event?
>
> Prolly no more than a guy that got caught claiming participation in
> seven (7) hostile actions.

I've seen no detailed description on Steve's part. Len's description
was quite detailed, right down to sphincter.

>> Would you be inclined to describe such an individual as a sort of
>> imposter? It is certainly something for you to ponder.

> It is certainly something for Robesin to ponder, wouldn't you agree?

Would I?

Dave K8MN

3037. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>> >
>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>> >
>> > SC
>>
>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>
>
> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
> fat whore!
>
>> Dee, N8UZE

I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down more.

SC

3038. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lbd_g.10056$Lv3.8611@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:d742j.oel.17.1@news.alt.net:

>>I might propose that to the FCC.
>>
>> 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
>
> I agree. I HATE when I have finishing a contact and some calls me at 5
> WPM when I was operating at 20 plus. I dont even respond.

I hear you,

Normally I just tell them: "Catch ya channel 19" and they QSY to
11 meters, but since I don't own a CB I don't show up. It helps
clear the freq fast for your next QSO. Try it Sometime.

SC

3039. AB8MQ wins an award!! Re: WINNERS! Usenet Kook Awards, September 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Kbd_g.10057$Lv3.4962@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in
news:pan.2006.10.20.09.36.47.47168@verwirren.confused:

>> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>>
>> The winner is...
>>
>> 08 votes Wabbit
>> 07 votes neither of the above
>> 06 votes George Maloney, a.k.a. flutyl@msn.com
>>
>> Congratulations, Wabbit! Fr0gging someone the other regulars in your
>> home froup know to be in prison? Yep, pathetic.
>
> I knew there was a reason I stopped calling him "Woger". "Wabbit" is
> just so much more descriptive of his character, anyway...Now Mark and
> Wabbit can bop each other with the other's respective awards! Should be
> fun to watch..."You're boring!" "your a pain"

Now Woger and Markie have something else in common they can talk about
while waiting for Lloydie Davies to bring the pizza to their threesome
parties. They eat the pizza, then make "ham sandwiches." This is Wogers
nite to be in the middle.

SC

3040. Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Mbd_g.10059$Lv3.6359@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hee Hee Heeeeee

Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little Morkie Morgan.

SC

3041. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Obd_g.10060$Lv3.8396@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:

> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>
> Have a look at
> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>
> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>
> Ian.

Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
still someone out there that knew code.

SC

3042. Re: hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Qbd_g.10061$Lv3.629@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Markie Morgan" <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote in
news:4538e614$0$27306$88260bb3@free.teranews.com:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
> news:vgphj2hcu4nkpk63irp0640lmgdcj2kff4@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 07:52:57 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
>> <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>> tkae you meds
>>>>
>>>> You mean "Take your meds."
>>>>
>>>> You are a retard!
>>>
>>>
>>>contact him at jay@southwesternelec.com
>>>
>>>jay@southwesternelec.com
>> boy you are a ahted filled SoB
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
> id tfeht is teh crine

ROFL

3043. I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.

SC

3044. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Tbd_g.10064$Lv3.7479@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Brian Denley" wrote in
news:3P6dnURhAe1VoKXYnZ2dnUVZ_oednZ2d@comcast.com:

> Anyone who would make such a post pretty much wins the retard contest.

When ya moving to Kanada or did you just come from there?

SC

3045. If they're too Lazy to learn CW, they're too lazy to learn anything else too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Ubd_g.10065$Lv3.7982@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That's how it is, you know it's true.

If I was wrong, you wouldn't be able to find anywhere that
someone wasn't doing some modern type mode, but all you hear
is talking like they were on a CB or something.

SC

3046. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Wbd_g.10066$Lv3.4069@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1161383641.433517.252760@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> My name is NOT "Avery."
>
> Yet you have posted here under the screen names "Avery Fine" and "Avery
> Fineman".
>
> Then you denied ever using the screen name "Avery Fine".
>>
>> Where do you find this "Avery?" Have you seen this "Avery"
>> on some Internet service provider? Lately? In the last
>> year? [other than the Avery Company which makes lots and
>> lots of labels and other office supplies]
>
> You've posted here under at least seven different screen names, Len.
> I've lost track of how many different screen names N0IMD has used. Etc.
>
> For all anyone knows, "Slow Code" is *you*, Len, masquerading.

I've never been so insulted in all my life. Calling me Len. May you be
cursed with six weeks of HF QRN and your antenna tip over.

Slow Code

3047. Re: Make no-code license one year non-renewable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Xbd_g.10067$Lv3.7411@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:

>> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
>> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
>> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and
>> be better elmers to the new ones.
>
> Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.

No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns from
the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the code test
they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the CB one.

SC

3048. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:44:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%bd_g.10069$Lv3.9365@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>>
>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>>>>>2 transistors.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
>>>> heat event has passed.
>>>>
>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>>
>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
>>> up to?
>>
>>
>>
>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
> so
>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>>
>>SC

Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
They ain't the brightest people on the planet.

SC

3049. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:45:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <1cd_g.10070$Lv3.3441@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:MPG.1fa1ddcac72a4f9c989a4e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:

> In article <J7EMDH.5G7@news.boeing.com>, kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com
> says...
>>
>> "Papa Dog" <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:MPG.1f9df6c6ce462e30989a43@news-server.carolina.rr.com...
>> > In article <qEVYg.10360$Y24.4477@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> > my.mail@no.spam says...
>> >> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> >> news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>> >>
>> >> > Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> No, You missed another ten questions,
>> >
>> > No I didn't take the code test. You're absolutely no help. You just
>> > try and stir the pot. If you have so much knowledge why don't you
>> > share it with the group instead of just causing trouble.
>> >
>> >> the CW questions, but since the
>> >> Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>> >
>> > I took the test the government of my country prescribed I take. If
>> > you don't like it call the FCC. I've long since tired of your
>> > whining.
>> >>
>> >> Don't know which HT to recommend,
>>
>> Most people I hang with bought either the Icom IC-T90 or the Kenwood
>> TH-F6A.
>>
>>
>>
>>
> Thanks for the advice. I already went with Yaseu. Got a good deal on
> it. Listening to it right now. I'm picking up 12 repeaters on the duck
> antenna. Complicated to figure out to begin with but pretty cool. It
> even picks up 800 MHz police and am air. I'm in hog heaven.
>
> 73

> Chris

What did you go with for HF, the Cobra 148GTL with echo mic and buddy beep?

SC

3050. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:45:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2cd_g.10071$Lv3.763@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:SK3_g.3523$ya1.3365@news02.roc.ny:

> Slow Code wrote:
> ...
>>
>> Now I try to help other hams and potential hams on radio and on usenet,
>
> No you don't, you come here and grind your ax for some reason. You want
> to help? Be positive about ham radio, all of ham radio and not just the
> niche you want to inhabit. Open your eyes, see the whole hobby and
> realize those that don't share your tastes are just as competent or
> incompetent as you.
>
>> but the usenet hams are so lazy they don't want to learn anything. They
>> want licensing to be dumbed down some more.
>
> Translation: They want to keep up with technology and eliminate testing
> on methods that are plainly antiquated and waning in usefulness and I
> don't want things to change so I don't lose my relative (perceived)
> status among hams.
>
> W8LNA
>

What has everyone got against having good effective communicators?
Sheesh, I'm I the only one that wants good hams?

SC

3051. Re: Repeater ID speeds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:45:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <4cd_g.10072$Lv3.2152@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kc4uai@gmail.com wrote in
news:1161369525.524486.159040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> We should welcome new hams all that we can by slowing down
>> repeater ID's.
>>
>
> Hey, it doesn't really matter anyway.. Legal ID's can be done via a
> computer voiced audio stream which doesn't require that you know code
> to understand. Most of the repeaters in my area ID both ways and both
> are usually just pre-recorded audio files that get played by the
> repeater controller. It's the wave of the future....
>
> But you knew that..

Yes.

I was thinking about various ways we can help new hams. This would help
them know what repeaters they're kerchunking.

SC

3052. Why does Mark keep shitting on the newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:45:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <7cd_g.10074$Lv3.1244@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It wouldn't take much for him to wipe shit off his face and clean up his act, but he refuses. I try to be nice because I know he's a retarded no-code, but even retards can learn how to use a spell checker. Even people that don't know him see he not palying with a full deck. It was bad the FCC come up with the codeless license. When Markie gets HF the bands will never be the same, a bunch of good hams doing what they can to keep from calling him a Lid, and Markie coming back after every reply with mindless retard babble.

3053. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-20 23:59:28 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161388768.401482.98590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
>
> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

What the hell are you trying to say?

3054. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:18:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <6qpij29lsnn6qab7rsis6747ltbbrfg2v1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169071
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
wrote:

>show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Markie Morgan wrote:

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3055. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:19:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <drpij256d7ip4sfaa4hbub1uerudvjei4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>>>
>>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>>>>>>2 transistors.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
>>>>> heat event has passed.
>>>>>
>>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>>>
>>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
>>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
>>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
>>>> up to?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
>> so
>>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>>>
>>>SC
>
>
>
>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
more bashing your fellow hams withotu reason
>
>SC

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3056. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:20:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <fspij291c7ulmrfoe6h6uogqe8j62os27s@4ax.com>
Source record: 169074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:45:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in
>news:SK3_g.3523$ya1.3365@news02.roc.ny:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> ...
>>>
>>> Now I try to help other hams and potential hams on radio and on usenet,
>>
>> No you don't, you come here and grind your ax for some reason. You want
>> to help? Be positive about ham radio, all of ham radio and not just the
>> niche you want to inhabit. Open your eyes, see the whole hobby and
>> realize those that don't share your tastes are just as competent or
>> incompetent as you.
>>
>>> but the usenet hams are so lazy they don't want to learn anything. They
>>> want licensing to be dumbed down some more.
>>
>> Translation: They want to keep up with technology and eliminate testing
>> on methods that are plainly antiquated and waning in usefulness and I
>> don't want things to change so I don't lose my relative (perceived)
>> status among hams.
>>
>> W8LNA
>>
>
>
>What has everyone got against having good effective communicators?
no body but you is aginst effective comms
>Sheesh, I'm I the only one that wants good hams?

you are one those that wants to exclude Good hams
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3057. Re: Un prêtre décapité en Irak car il refusait de se convertir à l'islam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:20:31 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161390031.878637.65760@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169073
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fr.politique.europe,alt.fr.politique.france,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy

john wrote:
> Un prêtre raconte le calvaire d'un prêtre orthodoxe assassiné en Irak
>
> Le prêtre orthodoxe enlevé début octobre à Mossoul (Irak) et qui a été retrouvé décapité,
> a été assassiné car il avait "refusé de se convertir à l'Islam", a affirmé vendredi un de ses
> amis prêtre sur l'antenne de Douai (Nord) des Radios chrétiennes en France (RCF Radio TO).
> Paulos Amer Iskandar "était marié et père de quatre enfants (...) Il a été enlevé en pleine
> rue de Mossoul et trois jours après on a retrouvé son corps séparé de sa tête dans la rue",
> a raconté le frère Nageeb Mekhail, prêtre au couvent dominicain de Mossoul qui effectue
> une visite en France avant de retourner en Irak la semaine prochaine.
>
>
> Selon lui, "les extrémistes musulmans qui l'ont assassiné ont téléphoné à sa femme et
> lui ont expliqué que son mari méritait la mort car il avait refusé de se convertir à l'islam",
> a-t-il poursuivi.
>
> Les assassins "ont mis son corps dans un récipient pour que son sang ne touche pas
> la terre de l'Islam et ne la salisse pas", a-t-il raconté.
> La mort du prêtre orthodoxe est "vraiment un coup dur" pour la communauté chrétienne
> de Mossoul que les extrémistes veulent "chasser du territoire musulman", a-t-il confié.
>
> Des enlèvements de chrétiens assortis de fortes demandes de rançon surviennent mais
> "personne ne peut payer. Alors on les tue, on les jette dans la rue".
>
>
> http://www.lemonde.fr/web/depeches/0,14-0,39-28569287@7-60,0.html

Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.

3058. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:23:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <tupij2553jh73sfgdjov2mdvrpautm1hjv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169075

On 20 Oct 2006 15:01:12 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
>>
>> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
>>
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>> >> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>> >>
>> >> >Opinions are not sacred, Len.
>>
>>Tell it to Robesin. If you hold the wrong opinion, you are a" LIAR!"
and a child molestor sheep raping pedophile
>
>> Yes they are, Jimmie. Amateur extra morsemen hold the code
>> test to be SACRED forever and ever. All those against it
>> tell "falsehoods" because they are against it. :-)
>
>LIAR!
>
>> >Not even yours. The mere fact that
>> >someone holds an opinion does prevent it from being a falsehood.
>
>And so it starts...
>
>Actually, it started long, long ago in a DX location far, far away...
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, you are arguing against yourself there!
>>
>> So, I state an OPINION that you don't like and it is a
>> "falsehood." But, because it IS an opinion, PREVENTS it
>> from being a "falsehood." :-)
>
>Exactly! Any you're a LIAR so don't forget it.
>
>> >Also, you are not clear about when you are providing an opinion and
>> >when you are providing an alleged fact. You do not usually qualify your
>> >statements with phrases like 'I think' or 'I feel' or 'IMHO'. Instead,

>> >you state your opinions as if they are unquestionably true facts. They
>> >aren't.
>>
>> WTF are you babbling about?
>
>So now you're using CW abbreviations...
>
>> Did you put on the Mother Superior habit already, knuckle-
>> spank ruler at the ready to correct "improper grammar?"
>
>I saw Dave make a typo last weekend, but I didn't draw attention to it.
>
>> >> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
>> >> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
>> >> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
>> >> that.
>> >
>> >Yet you call him "an imposter" without any proof.
>>
>> PRECISELY! [it took a while for that to sink in your brain,
>> but it did!]
>>
>> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
>
>When someone draws attention to themselves with wild claims, it usually
>follows that someone else will ask for documentation.

and when he fails to produce
>
>> >> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
>> >> Gilliland has made it available.
>> >
>> >So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>>
>> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
>> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
>> veteran.
>
>Stolen Valor. It gives anyone the right to ask for documentation when
>preposterous claims have been made. Robesin

and another military Veteran here, one that posted proof that meets
steve stanard but was rejuected by steve
>
>> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
>> military service it becomes very serious indeed. One
>> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
>> hobby with imagined self-glory.
>
>That should be a given, but apparently it isn't.

indeed
>
>> >Is it on what *you* did or on what the 700-man military unit that you
>> >were assigned to did?
>>
>> Both. You can read it via:
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> About 6 MB file size, takes 19 minutes download on dial-up.
>> Lots of photos in there in case you have trouble with the
>> technological words.
>>
>> Its about something YOU can NEVER have, Jimmie. You never
>> volunteered for military service...even when you had the
>> chance. You are NO JUDGE over any military unit activities.
>
>Other ways... other ways...
>
>> As ever to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Jim want's to think that his other ways are a substitute for military
>service, kind of like Clinton's "AmericCorps..." Jim was just ahead
>of his time in avoiding military service.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3059. Re: I'm not going to say, being a Canadian makes you a retard, but it helps.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:23:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <s3qij2huv0gri1tfms75ln2puvon17b0ju@4ax.com>
Source record: 169076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:51 GMT, Slow Code wrote:

>"Brian Denley" wrote in
>news:3P6dnURhAe1VoKXYnZ2dnUVZ_oednZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> Anyone who would make such a post pretty much wins the retard contest.
>
>
>When ya moving to Kanada or did you just come from there?
please turn in your license SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3060. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:24:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <65qij2tma79kfqv458pj9ubs7nd0aplgg2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>>> >
>>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>>> >
>>> > SC
>>>
>>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>>
>>
>> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>> fat whore!
>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand
>all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to
>take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
>more.
she is a Procdoer SC

BTw you post plenty of Hom crap yourself

but you realy hate all other hams it seems
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3061. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:25:41 UTC
From: if you say so <noboudy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4539690d@news101.his.com>
Source record: 169078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
> license.

That is true.

The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing them down.

3062. Re: Repeater ID speeds.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:25:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <a7qij2584rnhov2ct3jtv72cqns8ib6fik@4ax.com>
Source record: 169080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:45:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>kc4uai@gmail.com wrote in
>news:1161369525.524486.159040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> We should welcome new hams all that we can by slowing down
>>> repeater ID's.
>>>
>>
>> Hey, it doesn't really matter anyway.. Legal ID's can be done via a
>> computer voiced audio stream which doesn't require that you know code
>> to understand. Most of the repeaters in my area ID both ways and both
>> are usually just pre-recorded audio files that get played by the
>> repeater controller. It's the wave of the future....
>>
>> But you knew that..
>
>
>Yes.
>
>I was thinking about various ways we can help new hams. This would help
>them know what repeaters they're kerchunking.

why does anybody need to what repeater they are using beyond frequency
and pl tones?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3063. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:26:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <j8qij259svpq61ljngqg8mbuh200mqacsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 20 Oct 2006 16:59:28 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>>
>> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
>> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>What the hell are you trying to say?
you are not able to figure it out ?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3064. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:28:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <0bqij21eat2u80aicmq058a3i5o9bpeh9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 169081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 20:25:41 -0400, "if you say so"
<noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>> license.
>
>That is true.
>
>The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
>the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
>doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
>hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
>higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing them
>down.

i think it ot hit by the zombie making gas that you in some of the
Living Dead movies but other than the assitance of VE's runing test
session I have never been ofered assiatnace
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3065. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:28:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <cdqij2tjp0adoq3kaiji4ij2tfmh19i284@4ax.com>
Source record: 169082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,soc.men

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 19:04:14 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3066. sSc troling on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:29:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <meqij250ro7g14m6g9nn24b1fvgo533dmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,l,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote in
>news:pan.2006.10.20.09.36.47.47168@verwirren.confused:
>
>>> JOSEPH BARTLO "PATHETIC ANAL PINEAPPLE" AWARD
>>>
>>> The winner is...
>>>
>>> 08 votes Wabbit
>>> 07 votes neither of the above
>>> 06 votes George Maloney, a.k.a. flutyl@msn.com
>>>
>>> Congratulations, Wabbit! Fr0gging someone the other regulars in your
>>> home froup know to be in prison? Yep, pathetic.
>>
>> I knew there was a reason I stopped calling him "Woger". "Wabbit" is
>> just so much more descriptive of his character, anyway...Now Mark and
>> Wabbit can bop each other with the other's respective awards! Should be
>> fun to watch..."You're boring!" "your a pain"
>
>
>Now Woger and Markie have something else in common they can talk about
>while waiting for Lloydie Davies to bring the pizza to their threesome
>parties. They eat the pizza, then make "ham sandwiches." This is Wogers
>nite to be in the middle.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3067. no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:30:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <hfqij2lqqlpktq15dm1kh79bd7sn8jp23v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Hee Hee Heeeeee
>
>Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
>looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
>Morkie Morgan.
if the FCC sent him somethign it would be on their website

and mark does not run
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3068. no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:30:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 169085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>
>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>
>> Have a look at
>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>
>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>
>> Ian.
>
>
>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>still someone out there that knew code.
no CW involved SC you lose again
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3069. no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:31:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <uhqij2hpq1esqglei92cgpcch959519ldk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
>lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>
you don't make policy posts you just trol and enageg in abusive
beahvoir
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3070. no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:32:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <9jqij2l3rjbq66ukpeqk10ds82urnu5r78@4ax.com>
Source record: 169087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>That's how it is, you know it's true.
>
>If I was wrong, you wouldn't be able to find anywhere that
>someone wasn't doing some modern type mode, but all you hear
>is talking like they were on a CB or something.

please list YOUR jt65 contacts SC
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3071. Re: Why does Slow Code keep shitting on the newsgroup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:33:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <gkqij2trbivlun26eghk15q7lu0rs8lfbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:45:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It wouldn't take much for him to wipe shit off his face and clean up his
>act, but he refuses. I try to be nice because I know he's a retarded
>no-code, but even retards can learn how to use a spell checker. Even
>people that don't know him see he not palying with a full deck. It was
>bad the FCC come up with the codeless license. When Markie gets HF the
>bands will never be the same, a bunch of good hams doing what they can to
>keep from calling him a Lid, and Markie coming back after every reply with
>mindless retard babble.

I am flatered byt eh importance you is due me but realy i must decline
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3072. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:34:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.org
Message-ID: <jnqij2ts0g7tdjs9b15hs0hsr0gue68ub0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169089

On 20 Oct 2006 17:20:31 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
stop stealing
>Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.
and stop being a bigot
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3073. It's the weekend. Woger has returned to NR Jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:38:09 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <stay.away.mr.skinhead@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 169090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

At last he'll be safe from the skinheads.

==========
"The feminist agenda is not about equal rights for women. It is about a socialist, anti-family political movement that encourages women to leave their husbands, kill their children, practice witchcraft, destroy capitalism and become lesbians." -- Pat Robertson

3074. Re: It's the weekend. Woger has returned to NR Jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 00:45:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <tbrij2tim0hmtq6emfr7l0gs6488igie6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 169091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:38:09 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>At last he'll be safe from the skinheads.
>
>
you sure about that I hear skin gangs are worse and more violent
behind bars than outside
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3075. Re: faggots and their dis-eases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:27:51 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161394071.704421.278620@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.homosexual,alt.politics.homosexuality

RamRod Sword of Baal wrote:
> " Death" <Death@yourdoor.net> wrote in message
> news:rYWZg.514$kI6.19@bignews4.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > The Australian - HealthHIV cases highest in 10 years
> > Adam Cresswell, Health editor
> > October 12, 2006
> >
> > THE number of Australians being diagnosed with HIV is the highest in 10
> > years and infections
> > from other sexually transmitted infections have doubled since 2001.
> > Experts expressed alarm at the infection rates, saying it could reflect a
> > growing complacency
> > over safe sex.
> >
> > The latest figures, contained in a report to be released today, show 928
> > people were diagnosed
> > with HIV last year, a 9.3 per cent increase on 2004 and a 41 per cent rise
> > on 2000.
> >
> > John Kaldor, deputy director of the National Centre in HIV Epidemiology
> > and Clinical Research
> > at the University of NSW, which compiled the annual report, said the
> > figures were "a reminder
> > that HIV is very much with us".
> >
> > New gonorrhoea cases have doubled since the mid-1990s, rising 11.5 per
> > cent, to 8015, last
> > year. Syphilis diagnoses rose 19per cent in five years, from 1851 in 2001
> > to 2203 last year.
> >
> > The increases in cases of gonorrhoea and syphilis are, like HIV, largely
> > confined to gay men
> > and are an extra concern because having one of these STIs makes it easier
> > to transmit and
> > contract HIV.
> >
> > Chlamydia is now the most commonly reported STI in Australia. Diagnoses of
> > chlamydia increased
> > fourfold in 10 years. Just over 41,300 people were diagnosed last year, a
> > 14per cent increase
> > on 2004.
> >

> > Indigenous people had 171 cases of syphilis per 100,000 population last
> > year, compared with
> > nine per 100,000 for the non-indigenous population. For syphilis, the rate
> > was 1155 per 100,000
> > for indigenous people compared with 25 per 100,000 for non-indigenous.
>
> ==========
>
> Not for one moment putting down the alarming increase in HIV infections,
> which IMHO opinion is a big worry for Australia.
>
> I do note that while the new HIV infections number 928 persons, up 41% in
> six years, and they say around 70% were gay people, but they did not break
> down the Chlamydia rate of 41,300 infections showing just how many gays
> were infected with Chlamydia, seeing that there was an alarming 400%
> increase in people who have Chlamydia infections, compared to a 41% increase
> in HIV infections.
>
> One has to wonder why the Gay infections were not separated as in the HIV
> infection rate? Could it be that most Chlamydia infections are heterosexual?
> IE are all those heterosexuals having unsafe sex?
>
> Here in this information sheet for Chlamydia infections in Australia, shows
> more woman than me get the disease.
>
> http://www.mja.com.au/public/issues/179_03_040803/che10178_fm-1.html
>
> In the USA there is an estimated 3 million infections annually and as many
> as one in 10 adolescent females test positive for Chlamydia.
>
> http://www.ashastd.org/learn/learn_chlamydia.cfm#2
>
>
> So it seems that all those woman are having unsafe sex.............
>
> Now one has to wonder where they get that disease from?
>
> Could it possibly be that the hets are more promiscuous that we are led to
> believe here, and having unsafe sex, or are we to believe all those women
> got the disease through sleeping with gay guys :-)

Marqueer, do these guys know you?

3076. Re: SO YOU'RE SAYING YOUR NAME IS "PUNCE"??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:30:36 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161394236.765745.273830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 17:20:31 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> stop stealing
> >Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.
> and stop being a bigot
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Stupid fruit!
```

3077. Re: hello marqueer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:39:32 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161394772.406099.70010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
> >lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
> >
> you don't make policy posts you just trol and enageg in abusive
> beahvoir
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

SC and Steve are almost the only people who post about policy.

You know marqueer, if you looked to k4yz as a role model that would be
your first step in getting the help you need.

3078. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:39:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <uhuij2500oqibuieroruim8cuu73df234q@4ax.com>
Source record: 169095

On 20 Oct 2006 18:27:51 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:

you are not gav thef
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3079. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:41:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nVe_g.14126$o71.7514@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169097

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

>> Both CNN and Fox News carried accounts of cellular phone
>> service being disrupted. I'll bet some of those radio hams end up
>> handling communication in the aftermath of the earthquake.
>
> Have CNN or Fox News carried acounts of hams "up handling
> communication" in the aftermath of the [Hawaii] earthquake?
> How about ABC, CBS, or NBC? Any major newspapers?
>
> The Hawaii 6.7-Richter earthquake is OVER, senior. No
> loss of life. Property damage, some. Utility damage,
> some. The Governor of Hawaii has been quoted (several
> times) as saying "Hawaii is open for business!" :-)
>
> Is amateur radio about business? Sorry, it can't be.
> FCC defines USA amateur radio as being done WITHOUT
> pecuniary interest. No money for services rendered.
> That doesn't sound like any "business" in the normal sense.

From the ARRL today:
quote

==>HAWAIIAN HAMS RESPOND AS EARTHQUAKES STRIKE "BIG ISLAND"

Amateur Radio volunteers scrambled to provide emergency communication and assist with relief efforts after earthquakes October 15 on the "Big Island" of Hawaii. The initial jolt of the so-called "Kona Earthquake" just after 7 AM local time roused many residents from sleep; another followed soon after. Widespread power outages as well as structural and highway damage resulted throughout the Hawaiian Islands, although a feared tsunami never developed and no deaths were reported.

"ARES and RACES operators responded to Hawaii State and Oahu Civil Defense Emergency Operation Centers," reports Hawaii State RACES Coordinator and ARRL State Civil Defense (SCD) Emergency Coordinator Ron Hashiro, AH6RH. Right after Oahu stopped shaking, Hashiro put out a call on the Honolulu 146.88 MHz repeater seeking reports.

"Other stations confirmed the violent shaking," he said. Hashiro started emergency operations on the Honolulu repeater, then proceeded to the inter-island 147.06 MHz repeater system and repeated the sequence. Hashiro, Robin Liu, AH6CP, and Mitch Pinkerton, KH6MP, arrived at the State Emergency Operations Center (EOC) in short order, and RACES operations from KH6HPZ commenced within a half hour of the first earthquake. After checking into HF and VHF nets, ARRL Pacific Section Emergency Coordinator Kevin Bogan, AH6QO, responded to the SCD EOC.

Bogan said the primary focus was on the Big Island, where most damage occurred because the epicenter was so close. "Although buildings on Oahu suffered only minor structural damage, the biggest problem was the power outage due to generators for the island powering down as a safety precaution," he said. "Within minutes of the first earthquake, telephone calls on landline and many cell phone carriers were difficult due to congestion."

Hashiro said operators at the EOC rotated among the HF and VHF operating positions, running messages with the EOC operations desk and checking with staff on their various needs and concerns. The Hawaii Emergency Net on 7088 kHz provided the main HF link in the earthquakes' aftermath. Communication around the Big Island was handled on 7095 kHz. Where Internet remained available, radio amateurs were able to take advantage of Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) modes such as EchoLink and IRLP.

At Oahu Civil Defense Agency, RACES Coordinator Ray Moody, AH6LT, responded, while Adrian Ditucci, KH7GK, handled net control service duties from his home a few miles away, operating on battery power.

Other Amateur Radio emergency communication volunteers did "the heavy lifting in the field," Hashiro said. "The key to their success was that they had varying degrees of portable and mobile capabilities with emergency power -- using VHF and HF," he said. "They were able to drive right to the scene and pass along assessments, status reports and messages through us, right into State CD operations."

"For example, Steve, WH6N, passed formal traffic on the condition of a hospital and the closure of a neighboring highway due to a landslide," Hashiro said. "AH6RR and KH7MS passed information on the condition and evacuation of Kona Community Hospital, while WH6WI updated us on the progress and availability of a 1000-person American Red Cross Shelter at the old Kailua-Kona airport." As a precaution, officials evacuated several hospitals in Hawaii until they could check the safety of the structures.

Dozens of tremors followed the initial quake, on the west side of the Island of Hawaii, which measured at 6.7 on the Richter scale. It was the first major earthquake in Hawaii in 20 years. A second quake measured 6.0, Bogan said, and there were many aftershocks. Hashiro says State CD RACES/ARES operations wrapped up at 5:20 PM, while Oahu RACES operations from KH6OCD ended at 10:55 PM. He reports upward of a dozen stations on the Big Island provided HF and VHF communication with SCD, while another seven radio amateurs were active on the island of Maui.

The Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network (SATERN) activated for a short time Sunday afternoon on 14.265 MHz to assist with emergency communication to handle health-and-welfare inquiries and traffic.

Hashiro says that unlike some other areas of the US, radio amateurs in Hawaii stress and believe in joint operation -- a collaboration of ARES, RACES, SKYWARN, HealthComm, the American Red Cross, Volunteers Organizations Active in Disaster (VOAD), and SATERN.

"We become one operation, one team to our emergency management partners," he said. "We help each other out and work and train together. That's the only way to operate; there's simply not enough equipped, capable and available operators to go around. Isolated in the middle of the Pacific Ocean, there's not a lot of missteps and mistakes we can afford."
unquote

Dave K8MN

3080. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:45:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JYe_g.14129$o71.4093@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169099

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
>> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> What the hell are you trying to say?

I think Mark is tell us that stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines of unrelated crap i violation of there corprright as well?

Dave K8MN

3081. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:46:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <2vuij2lj714qr9je9mnjle4ij6dqarapk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 21:41:05 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
>lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>
>SC
>
>Hmmm...where does that leave Mark, since I thought he was all three of the
>above? You ain't exactly number one on his list of friends, you know.
Sc is one of the few posters les consitant than you
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3082. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:47:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <q0vij21njqet1n1trjmnfoad4mtpo1v3ps@4ax.com>
Source record: 169151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Oct 2006 18:39:32 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
and you are a repeat offenders
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3083. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:48:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <l2vij2dalsnfvel0061jnkrg0lkt8smdgd@4ax.com>
Source record: 169152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 21:45:00 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:6qpij29lsnn6qab7rsis6747ltbbrfg2v1@4ax.com...
>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
>wrote:
>
>>show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>
>id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3084. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 01:49:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <54vij21r16cu20to4na2u1693hsdjvnm72@4ax.com>
Source record: 169153

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 01:45:13 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>cmdr buzz corey wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
>>> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> What the hell are you trying to say?
>
>I think Mark is tell us that stealing ym wifes name is not enough you
>have to add 2000 plus lines of unrelated crap i violation of there
>corprright as well?
indeed you had no trouble dave but then damoug tyour flaws is not
included calling yoiurself a canceled cartoon charter
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3085. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:00:35 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <7bf_g.175$GR2.50@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:q0vij21njqet1n1trjmnfoad4mtpo1v3ps@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 18:39:32 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
> and you are a repeat offenders
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

Troll

3086. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:01:18 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Obf_g.178$GR2.116@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169155
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:6qpij29lsnn6qab7rsis6747ltbbrfg2v1@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
> wrote:
>
>>show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

troll

3087. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:01:34 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2cf_g.179$GR2.75@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169156

"cmdr buzz corey" <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:1161388768.401482.98590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>>
>> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
>> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> What the hell are you trying to say?
>

3088. Re: id theft and copyright viotions are crimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:01:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jcf_g.180$GR2.104@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169157

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:j8qij259svpq61ljngqg8mbuh200mqacsk@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 16:59:28 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
> <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2006 04:10:00 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>>
>>> stealing ym wifes name is not enough you have to add 2000 plus lines
>>> of unrelated crap i violation of there coprright as well?
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>What the hell are you trying to say?
> you are not able to figure it out ?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3089. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:02:53 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hdf_g.182$GR2.128@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4539690d@news101.his.com...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
> news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>> license.
>
> That is true.
>
> The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
> the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
> doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
> hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
> higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing
> them down.
>

troll
```

3090. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:03:17 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Fdf_g.183$GR2.2@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:0bqij21eat2u80aicmq058a3i5o9bpeh9e@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 20:25:41 -0400, "if you say so"
> <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>>news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>>> license.
>>
>>That is true.
>>
>>The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
>>the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
>>doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
>>hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
>>higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing
>>them
>>down.
>
> i think it ot hit by the zombie making gas that you in some of the
> Living Dead movies but other than the assitance of VE's runing test
> session I have never been ofered assiatnace
>>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

troll

3091. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:04:03 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <nef_g.184$GR2.153@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169160

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:drpij256d7ip4sfaa4hbub1uerudvjei4p@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>>>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>>>>
>>>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>>>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>>>>>>>2 transistors.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>>>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
>>>>>> heat event has passed.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>>>>
>>>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
>>>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
>>>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
>>>>> up to?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
>>> so
>>>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>>> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>
>>
>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
> more bashing your fellow hams withotu reason
>>

>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

STFU

3092. Re: hello marqueer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:04:32 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Qef_g.185$GR2.31@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169161
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161394772.406099.70010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>> >I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
>> >lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>> >
>> you don't make policy posts you just trol and enageg in abusive
>> beahvoir
>> >SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> SC and Steve are almost the only people who post about policy.
>
> You know marqueer, if you looked to k4yz as a role model that would be
> your first step in getting the help you need.
>

troll
```

3093. Re: SO YOU'RE SAYING YOUR NAME IS "PUNCE"??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:04:56 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <cff_g.186$GR2.133@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169162
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161394236.765745.273830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 17:20:31 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> stop stealing
>> >Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.
>> and stop being a bigot
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> Stupid fruit!
>

Agreed
```

3094. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:06:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <u40jj256cda3t523r1d93fq887ijb34e75@4ax.com>
Source record: 169163
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:01:18 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:6qpij29lsnn6qab7rsis6747ltbbrfg2v1@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>>
>> id theft is a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>troll
no tom simply infroming the public that a forger is a braod tonight
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3095. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:07:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <q50jj2lqgrhpah8c2oad6rr2l1gmgckea2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:00:35 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:q0vij21njqet1n1trjmnfoad4mtpo1v3ps@4ax.com...
>> On 20 Oct 2006 18:39:32 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>> and you are a repeat offenders
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>Troll
you are trolling it seems tom but not me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3096. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:07:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <n60jj2lhdmp2ifu7sb65557t7vkl2416i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:02:53 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:4539690d@news101.his.com...
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>> news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>>> license.
>>
>> That is true.
>>
>> The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
>> the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
>> doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
>> hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
>> higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing
>> them down.
>>
>
>troll
yes I agre tom you are a troll tonight
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3097. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:09:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <l90jj2t0578fhur7onpudshka126nmgn34@4ax.com>
Source record: 169166

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:04:03 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:drpij256d7ip4sfaa4hbub1uerudvjei4p@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:

>>>
>>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
>>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>> more bashing your fellow hams withotu reason
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>STFU
you are such a negtive person Tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3098. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:09:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <pa0jj2dko8vfvamk7e643a96h2dft7dr8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 169168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:03:17 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:0bqij21eat2u80aicmq058a3i5o9bpeh9e@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 20:25:41 -0400, "if you say so"
>> <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>>>news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>>>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>>>> license.
>>>
>>>That is true.
>>>
>>>The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
>>>the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
>>>doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
>>>hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
>>>higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing
>>>them
>>>down.
>>
>> i think it ot hit by the zombie making gas that you in some of the
>> Living Dead movies but other than the assitance of VE's runing test
>> session I have never been ofered assiatnace
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>troll
you certainly are a troll tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3099. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:10:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ub0jj2tfgrnq4308ighak1s5taush4lcqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:04:56 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161394236.765745.273830@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2006 17:20:31 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> stop stealing
>>> >Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.
>>> and stop being a bigot
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> Stupid fruit!
>>
>
>Agreed
such a hatefilled person you are tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3100. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:11:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <vc0jj2l2037qjbigu57khkj0vus6l4lcbt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:04:32 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161394772.406099.70010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>> >I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
>>> >lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>>> >
>>> you don't make policy posts you just trol and enageg in abusive
>>> beahvoir
>>> >SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> SC and Steve are almost the only people who post about policy.
>>
>> You know marqueer, if you looked to k4yz as a role model that would be
>> your first step in getting the help you need.
>>
>
>troll

you need to work on your people skills tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3101. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:12:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <og0jj2hsqpuppf4h64tcq9j81s419joeee@4ax.com>
Source record: 169170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 02:03:17 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:0bqij21eat2u80aicmq058a3i5o9bpeh9e@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 20:25:41 -0400, "if you say so"
>> <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>>>news:gc2hj2ts6d1ggqk6q6m9ocvd18gfsn66i1@4ax.com...
>>>> OTOH merely advocating something stupid is not cause for revoking his
>>>> license.
>>>
>>>That is true.
>>>
>>>The cause for revoking his license is that he has a problem with following
>>>the FCC's rules. The rules are there for the benefit all of us. If he
>>>doesn't like the rules he should turn in his license in protest not spread
>>>hate. What ever happened to the true sprit of ham radio where those with
>>>higher knowledge would help left up those with less instead of pushing
>>>them
>>>down.
>>
>> i think it ot hit by the zombie making gas that you in some of the
>> Living Dead movies but other than the assitance of VE's runing test
>> session I have never been ofered assiatnace
>>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>troll
yes know you are a troll Tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3102. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:18:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161397086.717870.55210@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> > news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> > >I might propose that to the FCC.
>
> > > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> > > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>
> > Hocky Puck.
>
> Well, that's putting it mildly.
>
> > From a 20wpm Extra.
>
> Not really.

Dee's not a 20wpm Extra?

> "Slow Code" does not use his real name or call here. He's obviously
> looking for a reaction - aka trolling. He could be anybody. He could be
> Len Anderson, Brian Burke, Mark Morgan or someone else like Vshah101 or
> Cutie Boy. The fact that he says he's a 20 wpm Extra doesn't mean he
> (or she) is.

He could be N2EY, W3RV, K3LT, or any of Deignan's many, many callsigns.

3103. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:19:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>>> >
>>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>>> >
>>> > SC
>>>
>>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>>
>>
>> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>> fat whore!
>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
> I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
> doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand
> all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to
> take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
> more.
>
> SC

1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.

2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in control of
the testing policy.

3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with derogatory
comments.

We can all do our part to create good hams.

Dee, N8UZE

3104. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:25:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <i71jj2102dbvnt5o6oshp6c831pgof6h0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 22:19:48 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>>>> >
>>>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>>>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>>>> >
>>>> > SC
>>>>
>>>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>>>
>>>
>>> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>>> fat whore!
>>>
>>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>> I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>> doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand
>> all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to
>> take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
>> more.
>>
>> SC
>
>1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
lying again DEE you have n't filtered SC
>
>2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in control of
>the testing policy.
and yet you do so how come?

>
>3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
>skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with derogatory
>comments.
>
>We can all do our part to create good hams.
I am not sure all you can dee
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3105. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:25:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161397540.884332.280330@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
> >> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
> >>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
> >>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
> >>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
> >>>>>2 transistors.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
> >>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
> >>>>> heat event has passed.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
> >>>>>
> >>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
> >>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
> >>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
> >>>> up to?
> >>>
> >>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.

> >> so

> >>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
> >> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
> >>>
> >>>SC
> >>
> >Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
> >They ain't the brightest people on the planet.

> More bashing your fellow hams without reason.

Notice that Robesin has no problem with this kind of "ham bashing," but
if you even hint that people use cell phones or that there might not be
enough volunteers...

3106. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:27:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <4b1jj21mjuk6r2nksp3dglid7sikq9gjkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
>> >> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>> >>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>> >>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>> >>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>> >>>>>2 transistors.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>> >>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
>> >>>> heat event has passed.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>> >>>>
>> >>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
>> >>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
>> >>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
>> >>>> up to?
>> >>>
>> >>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
>
>> >> so
>
>> >>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>> >> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>> >>>
>> >>>SC
>> >>
>> >Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
>> >They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>
>> More bashing your fellow hams without reason.
>
>Notice that Robesin has no problem with this kind of "ham bashing," but
>if you even hint that people use cell phones or that there might not be
>enough volunteers...

you could tangle spriders in the webs steve weaves

anything is ok with him to bash those he does not like
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3107. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:34:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161398046.537114.28340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169176

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
> >>>
> >>> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
> >>>
> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
> >>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
> >>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
> >>>
> >>> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
> >>>
> >>>>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
> >>>>> Gilliland has made it available.
> >>>> So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
> >>> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
> >> MILITARY VETERANS get to demand proof? Really?
> >
> > Actually, employers get to, and people that are witness to exaggerated
> > claims of "hostile actions" get to. Anyone witnessing exaggerated
> > claims OUGHT to demand proof.
> >
> >> I never saw myself as
> >> superior to other citizens who never donned a military uniform.
> >
> > It's a lot like Len being a professional radio, and being told that
> > he'll never be an amateur.
>
> I never knew that Len was a professional radio. I'll make a note of it.

Op is the operative word. Why would anyone as smug as you make a note
of anything?

> >>> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
> >>> veteran.
>
> >> I'm a veteran. Dan from W4-land is a veteran. Do we get to demand
> >> proof for your sphincter post? It'd be nice to know where and when the
> >> artillery barrage took place and if your friend Gene can confirm it.
> >

> > Fair enough. When does Robesin provide documentation of his claims of
> > participating in seven (7) hostile actions?
>
> I'd say that a good time would be right after Len bares his soul and
> admits that he engaged in being an imposter in regard to what it is like
> to go through an artillery barrage. I'm guessing that won't happen.

Why after?

> >>> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
> >>> military service it becomes very serious indeed.
>
> >> Well, it could become very serious if you ever actually had occasion to
> >> put your life on the line.
> >
> > Would any of seven (7) hostile actions be such an occasion?
>
> I'd say it would.

If it actually happened.

> >>> One
> >>> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
> >>> hobby with imagined self-glory.
>
> >> ...only if you were called upon to actually put your life on the line,
> >> Len. Otherwise, you need to quit wrapping yourself in bunting and
> >> stepping up on that soapbox.
> >
> > No bunting.
>
> I see bunting. Len is wrapped in it.
>
> > By serving (in the military), you are in the que for
> > whatever comes up.
>
> I didn't like queues when I was in the military. I sure don't have to
> queue for anything now.

We're aware of your dislike for military service, and you're certainly
not in the que any longer. My inactive service committment ends this
year.

> > Lots of folks weren't up to it. Jim/N2EY is one
> > such person.
>
> That's a pretty bold supposition on your part. What indicates that
> someone "wasn't up to it"?

You'll have to ask Jim what the problem was. He hasn't been
forthcoming with that information.

> >> Would the term "self-glory" come into play in discussing a guy who went
> >> into great detail describing what it was like to undergo an artillery
> >> barrage, except that he had never been involved in such an event?
> >
> > Prolly no more than a guy that got caught claiming participation in
> > seven (7) hostile actions.
>
> I've seen no detailed description on Steve's part. Len's description
> was quite detailed, right down to sphincter.

Everyone's got one. They all stink.

> >> Would you be inclined to describe such an individual as a sort of
> >> imposter? It is certainly something for you to ponder.
>
> > It is certainly something for Robesin to ponder, wouldn't you agree?
>
> Would I?
>
> Dave K8MN

No, maybe you wouldn't. Len says something and he's a liar. Robesin
says the same thing seven different ways and he's good to go. It's not
hard to figure you out.

3108. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:40:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161398443.472837.124330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169177

Dave Heil wrote:

> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>
> A Morse Code exam.
>
> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>
> Dave K8MN

Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.

3109. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:41:05 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.

SC

Hmmm...where does that leave Mark, since I thought he was all three of the
above? You ain't exactly number one on his list of friends, you know.

3110. Re: id theft is not a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:45:00 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d8jhc.ej7.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:6qpij29lsnn6qab7rsis6747ltbbrfg2v1@4ax.com...
On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
wrote:

>show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Markie Morgan wrote:

id theft is a crime

I am flatered byt eh importance you is due me but realy i must decline
www.marksspamblog.blotspot.com

3111. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:45:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161398709.391751.11800@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
> >> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
> >> >> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
> >> >>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
> >> >>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
> >> >>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
> >> >>>>>2 transistors.
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
> >> >>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
> >> >>>>> heat event has passed.
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
> >> >>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
> >> >>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
> >> >>>> up to?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
> >> >
> >> >> so
> >> >
> >> >>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
> >> >> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
> >> >>>
> >> >>>SC
> >> >>
> >> >Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
> >> >They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
> >> >
> >> More bashing your fellow hams without reason.
> >>
> >Notice that Robesin has no problem with this kind of "ham bashing," but
> >if you even hint that people use cell phones or that there might not be
> >enough volunteers...

> You could tangle spriders in the webs steve weaves.

Spiders from MARS.

> Anything is ok with him to bash those he does not like.

Robesin knows no ethical, moral, nor legal bounds when he's got it in
for someone.

3112. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:45:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <nb2jj21n9sf0nup8shknnj27dl4d2sse57@4ax.com>
Source record: 169179

On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>>
>> A Morse Code exam.
>>
>> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
>on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible to
ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough to
justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make a
stab at it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3113. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:51:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161399084.533138.155360@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169180

M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >>
> >> A Morse Code exam.
> >>
> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
> >on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.

> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible to
> ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough to
> justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make a
> stab at it

If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?

3114. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:55:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161399345.536411.291510@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169181

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >
> > I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> > is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>
> I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks

Are you sure those aren't "goose steps?"

> to advise you what I've
> told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet. The same
> cannot be said for you, Avery.
>
> Dave K8MN

Quitefine and Darktower made a big splash a while back. Do you
remember or do you choose to ignore that FACT?

3115. interesting articale on "claoking" tech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 02:58:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <g43jj2povfr8rc45ngbpk1r9jpmmb53gnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169182

http://www.rxpgnews.com/research/article_5088.shtml
describes the abilt to simply remove a refi nery from view or trap
completely em radition a whole new class of shield and camoflague tech
obvious a DARPA project but an interesting deversetion
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3116. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:01:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161399719.261497.55100@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169183

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >>> That's really sad.
> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
> >>
> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>
> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>
> I haven't the slightest idea.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't believe you, and you seem to have an opinion on everything
else...

It's probably Quitefine again.

3117. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:04:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator period
> >> -- plain and simple.
> >>
> >> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
> >>
> >> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or not
> >> - you are a Ham
> >>
> >> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a Ham
> >>
> >> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for
> >> elitism is snobbery - plain and simple
> >>
> >> This is 2006, not 1920
> >>
> >> CL
> >
> > You got that right.
>
> It's nice to see a couple 11 meter jockeys agreeing with each other.
>
> SC

Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
```

3118. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:07:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161400029.290868.196010@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:

> Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
> lots more fun when you're a real ham.
>
> SC

This guy's imagination is even more vivid than Robesin's.

3119. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:10:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <lq3jj212p1ek7sh72kq2326rmcs1jn1cqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 169186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 20 Oct 2006 19:45:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>> >> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote in news:d16gj2t8lnmul3c8r81g8rt5bad23lhd0a@4ax.com:
>> >> >> On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in
>> >> >>>news:veAZg.1266$tT6.357@newsfe12.lga:
>> >> >>>> Telstar Electronics wrote:
>> >> >>>>> Jan Panteltje wrote:
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>>>Even a 60 cent one time thermal fuse... so much nicer to replace then
>> >> >>>>>>2 transistors.
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>> I hear what you're saying... but my future design will only include
>> >> >>>>> thermal protection that will restore operation, once the excessive
>> >> >>>>> heat event has passed.
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>> >> >>>>>
>> >> >>>> So what you are saying is consumer beware considering your amp taking
>> >> >>>> a shit because of excessive heat? Why not build your amp so the blind
>> >> >>>> dont waste their bux? Or is it <cough> a money making scheme you are
>> >> >>>> up to?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with CB'ers here.
>> >> >
>> >> >> so
>> >> >
>> >> >>>They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>> >> >> they are brighter than you (which isn't hard
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>SC
>> >> >>
>> >> >Jim does have a point Brian. Remember, we're dealing with no-coders here.
>> >> >They ain't the brightest people on the planet.
>> >>
>> >> More bashing your fellow hams without reason.
>> >
>> >Notice that Robesin has no problem with this kind of "ham bashing," but
```

>> >if you even hint that people use cell phones or that there might not be
>> >enough volunteers...
>
>> You could tangle spriders in the webs steve weaves.
>
>Spiders from MARS.
>
>> Anything is ok with him to bash those he does not like.
>
>Robesin knows no ethical, moral, nor legal bounds when he's got it in
>for someone.
did you catch his last outburst ? the reader digest version is that I
was abusing my father by not not leaving at 11:15 Anm for a 1:30 pm
appointment and that I was abusiing my dad by leadrop him off at the
physcial threapy place and siting down somewhere with wireless
interent
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3120. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:12:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <1v3jj2hd397hkhv811s9fgot4itu2qjh43@4ax.com>
Source record: 169187

On 20 Oct 2006 19:51:24 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >>
>> >> A Morse Code exam.
>> >>
>> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>> >>
>> >> Dave K8MN
>> >
>> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
>> >on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
>
>> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible to
>> ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough to
>> justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make a
>> stab at it
>
>If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
>the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
>testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
>license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?
you are right that doesn't work but it is closeyr to a valid arguement
for code testingthan anything proposed by the ProCoder in my memerory
althought one could do the practice on and exam on vhf but then they
would still have to deeal with the codeless techs in their specrum
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3121. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:13:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161400393.497342.34560@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> did you catch his last outburst ? the reader digest version is that I
> was abusing my father by not not leaving at 11:15 Anm for a 1:30 pm
> appointment and that I was abusiing my dad by leadrop him off at the
> physcial threapy place and siting down somewhere with wireless
> interent

Let's face it... Robesin is a screwup on so many different levels.

3122. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:13:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161400434.314629.88270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> did you catch his last outburst ? the reader digest version is that I
> was abusing my father by not not leaving at 11:15 Anm for a 1:30 pm
> appointment and that I was abusiing my dad by leadrop him off at the
> physcial threapy place and siting down somewhere with wireless
> interent

Let's face it... Robesin is a screwup on so many different levels.

3123. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:19:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161400761.465568.198720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169190

M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 19:51:24 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >> >>
> >> >> A Morse Code exam.
> >> >>
> >> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
> >> >on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
> >
> >> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible to
> >> ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough to
> >> justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make a
> >> stab at it
> >
> >If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
> >the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
> >testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
> >license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?

> You are right that doesn't work but it is closer to a valid arguement
> for code testing than anything proposed by the ProCoder in my memerory.

Slow Code doesn't know code.

> Although one could do the practice and exam on vhf but then they
> would still have to deal with the codeless techs in their specrum

It would just be too awful for them to share spectrum with the unwashed
and the unworthy.

3124. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:21:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161400900.714829.273510@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
>
> I hear you,
>
> Normally I just tell them: "Catch ya channel 19" and they QSY to
> 11 meters, but since I don't own a CB I don't show up. It helps
> clear the freq fast for your next QSO. Try it Sometime.
>
> SC

Yeh, sure you do.

You don't know code, and you're not on HF, except for your Part 95
authorization.

3125. Re: New SkyWave almost ready to fire up...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:23:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ci4jj2dfubmgr26q5g1cm6l2ir7hradnk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 20 Oct 2006 20:13:13 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> did you catch his last outburst ? the reader digest version is that I
>> was abusing my father by not not leaving at 11:15 Anm for a 1:30 pm
>> appointment and that I was abusiing my dad by leadrop him off at the
>> physcial threapy place and siting down somewhere with wireless
>> interent
>
>Let's face it... Robesin is a screwup on so many different levels.
I have I have not fully wraped my brain around the extent of his
madness and this started because I dared disagree with on code testing
I wish I get a professional with thepower to confine a dangerous
person to mental hospital to read all of Robesons posting here he
would the help he needs and we would get a break (or the pro would
lose it and go postal)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3126. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:24:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Npg_g.10136$Lv3.8222@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169193

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am
>>>>>
>>>>> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]
>>>>>
>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
>>>>>>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm
>>>>> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yet Hans Brakob has made it available. Frank
>>>>>>> Gilliland has made it available.
>>>>>> So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>>>>> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.
>>>> MILITARY VETERANS get to demand proof? Really?
>>> Actually, employers get to, and people that are witness to exaggerated
>>> claims of "hostile actions" get to. Anyone witnessing exaggerated
>>> claims OUGHT to demand proof.
>>>
>>>> I never saw myself as
>>>> superior to other citizens who never donned a military uniform.
>>> It's a lot like Len being a professional radio, and being told that
>>> he'll never be an amateur.
>> I never knew that Len was a professional radio. I'll make a note of it.
>
> Op is the operative word.

That word wasn't included.

> Why would anyone as smug as you make a note
> of anything?

I'd make a note, as I wrote, because I never knew that Len was a
professional radio. Should the term be "PROFESSIONAL radio"?

>>>>> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
>>>>> veteran.
>>>> I'm a veteran. Dan from W4-land is a veteran. Do we get to demand

>>>> proof for your sphincter post? It'd be nice to know where and when the
>>>> artillery barrage took place and if your friend Gene can confirm it.

>>> Fair enough. When does Robesin provide documentation of his claims of
>>> participating in seven (7) hostile actions?

>> I'd say that a good time would be right after Len bares his soul and
>> admits that he engaged in being an imposter in regard to what it is like
>> to go through an artillery barrage. I'm guessing that won't happen.
>
> Why after?

Why do you think it might be? It might be that the individual making
countless statements of "IMPOSTER" regarding the military service of
another, is himself guilty of being an imposter. What do you think
about Len's description of what it is like to go through an artillery
barrage?

>>>>> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
>>>>> military service it becomes very serious indeed.

>>>> Well, it could become very serious if you ever actually had occasion to
>>>> put your life on the line.

>>> Would any of seven (7) hostile actions be such an occasion?

>> I'd say it would.
>
> If it actually happened.

Ok. Now what?

>>>>> One
>>>>> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
>>>>> hobby with imagined self-glory.

>>>> ...only if you were called upon to actually put your life on the line,
>>>> Len. Otherwise, you need to quit wrapping yourself in bunting and
>>>> stepping up on that soapbox.

>>> No bunting.

>> I see bunting. Len is wrapped in it.

>>> By serving (in the military), you are in the que for
>>> whatever comes up.

>> I didn't like queues when I was in the military. I sure don't have to
>> queue for anything now.

> We're aware of your dislike for military service, and you're certainly
> not in the que any longer.

If you're aware of my dislike, kindly fill me in on it. How did my
dislike manifest itself? After all, unlike many, I got the job I

wanted. I was able to skip technical school.

> My inactive service committment ends this
> year.

I don't know what to make of your statement. What am I supposed to
feel, think or write?

I noticed that you made a spelling error. I've decided not to comment
on it. Turn about is fair play.

>>> Lots of folks weren't up to it. Jim/N2EY is one
>>> such person.

>> That's a pretty bold supposition on your part. What indicates that
>> someone "wasn't up to it"?
>
> You'll have to ask Jim what the problem was.

Is that what you did? You asked him and he told you that he wasn't up
to it?

> He hasn't been
> forthcoming with that information.

That didn't seem to stop you from ascribing motivation or lack thereof.

>>>> Would the term "self-glory" come into play in discussing a guy who went
>>>> into great detail describing what it was like to undergo an artillery
>>>> barrage, except that he had never been involved in such an event?

>>> Prolly no more than a guy that got caught claiming participation in
>>> seven (7) hostile actions.

>> I've seen no detailed description on Steve's part. Len's description
>> was quite detailed, right down to sphincter.
>
> Everyone's got one. They all stink.

I've not thought to check.

>>>> Would you be inclined to describe such an individual as a sort of
>>>> imposter? It is certainly something for you to ponder.
>>> It is certainly something for Robesin to ponder, wouldn't you agree?

>> Would I?

> No, maybe you wouldn't.

Then there's the chance that I would.

> Len says something and he's a liar.

I think you may be on to something. Do you think that artillery barrage
took place in peacetime Japan? Could it have taken place near Greenlee
Industries? Might it have been in Len's neighborhood when Len and

others in his area were stirred up over zoning changes?

> Robesin
> says the same thing seven different ways and he's good to go.

What did Steve say about his actions? Did he ever describe an action which you know did not take place? Do you see a difference? I see an old codger with egg on his face, calling another military veteran an IMPOSTER without a shred of evidence. I did find a web site which lists Steve by full name and USMC rank. Did you find it?

> It's not
> hard to figure you out.

It is if you take the time to do it right.

Dave K8MN

3127. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:32:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%wg_g.10137$Lv3.6120@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169194

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> A Morse Code exam.
>>
>> (extraneous newsgroups removed)
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
> on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.

Passed=obtaining a passing grade

Good luck@

3128. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:33:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qyg_g.10138$Lv3.7341@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169195

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
>>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
>>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
>> I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks
>
> Are you sure those aren't "goose steps?"
>
>> to advise you what I've
>> told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet. The same
>> cannot be said for you, Avery.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Quitefine and Darktower made a big splash a while back. Do you
> remember or do you choose to ignore that FACT?

Why? Were they Len too?

Dave K8MN
```

3129. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 03:36:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HAg_g.10139$Lv3.3189@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169196

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>>>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>>>> That's really sad.
>>>> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>>>>
>>>> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>> So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> I haven't the slightest idea.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> I don't believe you, and you seem to have an opinion on everything
> else...

There's no pleasing some folk. You asked. I answered.

> It's probably Quitefine again.

I don't think you'll be able to pin it on Dick Carroll.

Dave K8MN

3130. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:17:28 UTC
From: pltrgyst <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org>
Message-ID: <ep7jj2p97phad9f0bcu0k3o6ui8rkb9bu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 169197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:48:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
><kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
>it is you know
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
sporting a call sign.

{plonk}

-- Larry

3131. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:30:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0i8jj2d51fm1tna9psgu1dskqmqh9p24p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 169198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst
<pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:48:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.org wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 11:21:25 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
>><kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>>
>>it is you know
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
>sporting a call sign.
funny no call no ham

>
>{plonk}
>
>-- Larry
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3132. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:44:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <3d9jj2dosdce1087ol1kihlm0v60mlmqjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Oct 2006 20:07:09 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
>> lots more fun when you're a real ham.
>>
>> SC
>
>This guy's imagination is even more vivid than Robesin's.
and just as twisted
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3133. Re: Everyone waiting for code to be eliminated doesn't want to be a real ham very bad.<< blew it again sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:46:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <rf9jj2lgthqe94qfhqeauu6qm0vn7e110e@4ax.com>
Source record: 169100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 19 Oct 2006 23:42:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>We need people in the service that want to be hams.

well if they are waiting for the code test to removed then since
acording to you they don't want to be ham at all

you can't even keep your insanity straight
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3134. hi sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:56:24 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161406584.799153.43990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
> news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
> >> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
> >> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
> >> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and
> >> be better elmers to the new ones.
> >
> > Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.
>
>
>
> No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns from
> the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the code test
> they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the CB one.
what is it with your obession with CB?
>
> SC

3135. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 04:58:23 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161406703.404299.30150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:MPG.1fa1ddcac72a4f9c989a4e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>

>
> What did you go with for HF, the Cobra 148GTL with echo mic and
> buddy beep?
show a trace of class and leave the new hams alone if you have
nothering helpfull to say
>
> SC

3136. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 05:03:20 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161407000.030149.162810@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169104

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> > Len says something and he's a liar.
>
> I think you may be on to something. Do you think that artillery barrage
> took place in peacetime Japan? Could it have taken place near Greenlee
> Industries? Might it have been in Len's neighborhood when Len and
> others in his area were stirred up over zoning changes?
>
> > Robesin
> > says the same thing seven different ways and he's good to go.
>
> What did Steve say about his actions? Did he ever describe an action
> which you know did not take place?
yes you have or rather you called a peiece of equipment that was part
of your job a col

> Do you see a difference? I see an
> old codger with egg on his face, calling another military veteran an
> IMPOSTER without a shred of evidence.
it is his lack of evidence that is at issue steve
> I did find a web site which lists
> Steve by full name and USMC rank. Did you find it?

yes I did but it was your own creation Robeson
>

> As I've noted in the past, Len has many talents, flatus being his ultimate
> trademark.
alwaays with the body funtions steve you are truly disguting

3137. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 06:00:32 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <d8v00.5k6.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169103

> Len says something and he's a liar.

I think you may be on to something. Do you think that artillery barrage took place in peacetime Japan? Could it have taken place near Greenlee Industries? Might it have been in Len's neighborhood when Len and others in his area were stirred up over zoning changes?

> Robesin
> says the same thing seven different ways and he's good to go.

What did Steve say about his actions? Did he ever describe an action which you know did not take place? Do you see a difference? I see an old codger with egg on his face, calling another military veteran an IMPOSTER without a shred of evidence. I did find a web site which lists Steve by full name and USMC rank. Did you find it?

> It's not
> hard to figure you out.

It is if you take the time to do it right.

Dave K8MN

>
Please forgive Len, Dave. He is on a "mission" and obviously considers himself to be humorist with an ability to color the written word. His facts are oft askew, but he is entertaining from time to time.
If Len blends facts with fiction, so what? He is an entertainer seeking self-gratification by needling those whom he considers to be the dregs of his inferiors on Usenet. Nothing more, nothing less.
Len is, by and large, a non-entity, one best ignored.
As I've noted in the past, Len has many talents, flatus being his ultimate trademark.

3138. Re: marqueer is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 06:57:42 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161413862.769754.33690@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.faggots,alt.skinheads,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On 20 Oct 2006 18:27:51 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> you are not gav thef
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU you sick fag

3139. Re: Wherefore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 07:03:55 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161414235.578294.169390@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169106
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,alt.skinheads

john f winston wrote:
> Subject: Mistake I Made. Oct. 28, 2006.
>
> In my discussion I made about the Bristle Cone Pine I made a statement
> that I agreed with Carl Sagan that the World is 6.7 billion years old.
> I misquoted him. He said that it was about 4.5 billion years old.
> A person on the internet pointed this out to me.
> Also in the study of the Bristle Cone Pine they have found that
> certain trees are now living that are thousands of years old but
> part of these trees have fallen off from the main tree and can be
> traced back for about 100,000 years. So I still contend that the
> Earth is as old as it is and not only 6 to 7 thousand years old.
>
> John Winston. johnfw@mlode.com

john, are you one of marqueer's many lovers?

3140. Re: hi stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 07:13:12 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161414792.508223.76080@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169107
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fr.politique.europe,alt.fr.politique.france,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
> > news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:
> >
> > >> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
> > >> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
> > >> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and
> > >> be better elmers to the new ones.
> > >
> > > Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.
> >
> >
> >
> > No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns from
> > the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the code test
> > they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the CB one.
> what is it with your obession with CB?
> >
> > SC

Did you mean "Obsession"? You stupid motherfucker!
BTW, whats your "obsession" with pretending you're a ham?

3141. Unadulteraded Idoicy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 09:54:57 UTC
From: Rod Bowers <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <d9cnl.h9h.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169108

Mark persists. Sheer idiocy...

3142. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 10:18:40 UTC
From: JIMMIE <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM>
Message-ID: <1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>
> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >
> > Have a look at
> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >
> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >
> > Ian.
>
>
> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> still someone out there that knew code.
>
> SC

I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
unless its in morse.

3143. Re: id theft is a crim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 11:11:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvlort85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:
> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 4.0/32.1071
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
> Lines: 14

> As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
> sporting a call sign.
>
> {plonk}
to bad since I haev rpeorted you abuse to supernews.com ashole.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3144. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 11:46:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161431219.618068.308400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169111

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of October 17, 2006:

Novice - 24,187 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,142]
Technician - 286,314 (43.6%) [increase of 80,920]
Technician Plus - 35,056 (5.3%) [decrease of 93,804]
General - 131,866 (20.1%) [increase of 19,189]
Advanced - 70,623 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,159]
Extra - 108,647 (16.5%) [increase of 29,897]

(percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,370 (49.0%) [decrease of 12,884]

Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,136 (47.4%) [increase of 19,927]

Total all classes - 656,513 (decrease of 18,279)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore informative to consider the totals of the two classes, since the Technician class includes a significant number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3145. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:17:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4rKdnRFPAYtpkqfYnZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>
>> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>> >
>> > Have a look at
>> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>> >
>> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>> >
>> > Ian.
>>
>>
>> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>> still someone out there that knew code.
>>
>> SC
>
> I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
> you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
> unless its in morse.
>

Unless one does know the distress signal in Morse, why would anyone consider
that someone was signaling a problem. They could just as easily have
thought it was some kids goofing off.

Dee, N8UZE

3146. Re: ATTN: Mrs x: You Let Him Lie Like This In Public?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:23:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161433380.220848.94950@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169113

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 19 2006 2:46 am

> [Jimmie and Davie are interchangeable entities now?]

Len:

Who are "Jimmie" and "Davie"? There is someone who posts here as
"Jimmie D" - do you mean him?

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Wed, Oct 18 2006 3:06 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Mon, Oct 16 2006 4:48am
> >> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 15 2006 4:17 pm

> >Opinions are not sacred, Len.
>
> Yes they are, Jimmie.

Who is "Jimmie", Len?

The fact remains that opinions are not sacred. I know that you want
your opinions treated as absolutely sacrosanct, and that anyone who
challenges your statements has broken a taboo (to you, anyway).

Post your opinions here and they will be challenged, Len. That's the
way it works.

> Amateur extra morsemen hold the code
> test to be SACRED forever and ever.

What are "amateur extra morsemen", Len?

Some people think a Morse Code test is a good idea. Others do not.
Neither opinion is sacred.

> All those against it tell "falsehoods" because they are against it. :-)

That's a falsehood, Len.

> >Not even yours.

I know that you want *your* opinions treated as absolutely sacrosanct,
and that anyone who challenges your statements has broken a taboo (to
you, anyway). But they are not sacred.

> >The mere fact that
> >someone holds an opinion does prevent it from being a falsehood.

Typo alert: The above should read:

The mere fact that someone holds an opinion does *not* prevent it from
being a falsehood.

IOW, an opinion can be false. You can hold the opinion that the moon of
made of green cheese, but in fact it is not, and that opinion is false.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk, you are arguing against yourself there!

(nonsensical diatribe over a typo snipped).

> >Also, you are not clear about when you are providing an opinion and
> >when you are providing an alleged fact. You do not usually qualify your
> >statements with phrases like 'I think' or 'I feel' or 'IMHO'. Instead,
> >you state your opinions as if they are unquestionably true facts. They
> >aren't.
>
> WTF are you babbling about?

You state your opinions as if they are unquestionable facts. But they
are just opinions, and are often unsupported by facts.

> >> Of course, WE don't know EXACTLY WHAT this "K4YZ" call
> >> sign person ever did...except for his bluffing and
> >> bragging in here. Not ONE SINGLE item to document
> >> that.
> >
> >Yet you call him "an imposter" without any proof.
>
> PRECISELY! [it took a while for that to sink in your brain,
> but it did!]
>
> BECAUSE he has NO proof AT ALL he gets called an IMPOSTER.

That's another falsehood, Len.

You call K4YZ an imposter because he has not provided you with the
proof you seek and dares to disagree with you. It's pretty clear that
if he agreed with you on some things, you would tout him as a hero.

You've been asked to provide more details about your experience with a
particular artillery barrage you described. But you have not done so.
Does that make you an imposter, Len?
Or just someone who chooses not to provide proof - same as K4YZ?

> >> Yet Hans Brakob

K0HB

> >> has made it available. Frank
> >> Gilliland has made it available.
> >

> >So? Who are *you* to demand proof?
>
> A MILITARY VETERAN, Jimmie, something you will NEVER be.

Who is "Jimmie", Len? How do you know for sure whether this "Jimmie"
person was in the military or not?

> Hans is a veteran, Frank is a veteran, Brian Burke is a
> veteran.

How do you know for sure who is and is not a veteran? Is Mark Morgan a
veteran? Or is he an imposter? (Please note that I am not calling
anyone an imposter - I'm just asking how Len can be so sure).

> When one takes an Oath putting their LIFE on the line in
> military service it becomes very serious indeed. One
> helluva lot MORE SERIOUS than having some amateur radio
> hobby with imagined self-glory.

> >Is it on what *you* did or on what the 700-man military unit that you
> >were assigned to did?
>
> Both. You can read it via:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> About 6 MB file size, takes 19 minutes download on dial-up.

Are you still stuck with dialup, Len?

> Lots of photos in there in case you have trouble with the
> technological words.

I don't have trouble with technological words, Len.

> Its about something YOU can NEVER have, Jimmie.

Who is this "Jimmie" person, Len? Why do you keep addressing him/her?

> You never
> volunteered for military service...even when you had the
> chance.

How do you know for sure?

> You are NO JUDGE over any military unit activities.

Why not?

You seem to be saying that if a person has not been in the military,
they cannot judge anything about the military. Yet many of our
country's lawmakers do just that.

You have never been a radio amateur, yet you pronounce all sorts of
judgements on amateur radio.

> As ever to you, ByteBrothers famous phrase invoked.
>
Which phrase is that, Len? Don't you have the guts to spell it out?

btw, Len, you've made it easy to tell when you know you have lost the argument.

Whenever you start wrapping yourself in the bunting of having served in the military, rather than actually debating the issue at hand, it's a sure and clear sign that you know you've lost the argument. Just a variation of the behavior in your profile.

3147. watch me punce with gav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:32:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161433930.453390.157100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.faggots,alt.politics.democrats

http://www.boysthatgag.com/index2.html?nats=MTAyODUzOjM6MTI,0,0,0,0

3148. i punce in aigain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:32:13 UTC
From: Morkie Morgan the Moron <kb9qrz@hummer.com>
Message-ID: <453a066c$0$19692$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3149. i took sum syrip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:35:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <453a0734$0$19665$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169116

i tok sum syrip of dxylexicock am now i punce
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3150. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:40:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161434444.560351.187100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169117

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >>> That's really sad.
> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
> >>
> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>
> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>
> I haven't the slightest idea.
>
Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.

Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least seven different screen names here - that we know of. "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD, who has used a wide variety of screen names here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few) usually without including his name or callsign.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3151. LeChaim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:44:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <453a0953$0$19660$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?

thanks n avdace for teh hple

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3152. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 12:58:09 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wbadnTWFA4H8hKfYnZ2dnUVZ_vadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not dropped here.

Even 9 year olds can learn the code.

Barry OGrady wrote:
> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>
> Barry
> =====
> Home page
> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og

3153. Re: sodomy is crime< no it si not but id theft is a cirme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 14:15:51 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a1eb7$0$19609$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:dm7ij21cms8ifmjmn839tlah2fpn7c6cfi@4ax.com...
> On 20 Oct 2006 11:10:39 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>
> and you therefore are a criminal
>
STFU HOMO!

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3154. Re: interesting articale on "claoking" tech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 14:19:01 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a1f77$0$19713$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169121

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:g43jj2povfr8rc45ngbpk1r9jpmmb53gnq@4ax.com...
> http://www.rxpgnews.com/research/article_5088.shtml
> describes the abilt to simply remove a refi nery from view or trap
> completely em radition a whole new class of shield and camoflague tech
> obvious a DARPA project but an interesting deversetion
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
STFU YOU WELFARE ABUSING HOMO!

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3155. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 14:36:35 UTC
From: just john <teuy13002@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <12jkbuhqtc5d060@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169122
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

```
On 10/20/2006 08:18 PM, Mark@kb9rqz.org told the world:
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
> wrote:
>
>> show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>
> id theft is a crime

Identity is theft!!

--
* Radio Free Entropy: http://just-john.com/cn/rfe.shtml *
```

3156. Re: id is teh cime teft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 15:39:05 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a3238$0$19623$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169123
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

"just john" <teuy13002@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
news:12jkbuhqtc5d060@corp.supernews.com...
> On 10/20/2006 08:18 PM, Mark@kb9rqz.org told the world:
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>>
>> id theft is a crime
>
> Identity is theft!!
>
>
> --
> * Radio Free Entropy: http://just-john.com/cn/rfe.shtml *
>
I'm married to a homo.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3157. My fat homo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 15:45:16 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a33aa$0$19652$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169124

http://photos1.blogger.com/blogger/2053/3274/1600/Dildo%20Bunny.jpg

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3158. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:13:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <hohkj2du8e6pcunaqivdvq27e9ce0iqood@4ax.com>
Source record: 169125
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 10:36:35 -0400, just john
<teuy13002@sneakemail.com> wrote:

>On 10/20/2006 08:18 PM, Mark@kb9rqz.org told the world:
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> Markie Morgan wrote:
>>
>> id theft is a crime
>
>Identity is theft!!
no trol it isn't
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3159. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:13:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com>
Source record: 169126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Not dropped here.
>
>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>
>Barry OGrady wrote:
>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>
>> Barry
>> =====
>> Home page
>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3160. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:14:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <6qhkj2lhjd0d7fsm0lmmjvjkhms5es335b@4ax.com>
Source record: 169127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:32:13 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
<kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3161. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:15:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <4rhkj251uug5jebbo2se6v9sfqp1is9j9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 169128

On 21 Oct 2006 05:40:44 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >>> That's really sad.
>> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>> >>
>> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>
>> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>
>> I haven't the slightest idea.
>>
>Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
so you claim but you can't prove it and you are the one ccasting
aspertion at the NoCoders
>
>Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson,
and you contiue to cast aspertion on your oppentents
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3162. Re: sodomy is crime< no it si not but id theft is a cirme

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:16:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <rthkj2t5tm37bhegc683pnl08r9svvtm7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 169129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 10:15:51 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:
you are not gav
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:dm7ij21cms8ifmjmn839tlah2fpn7c6cfi@4ax.com...
>> On 20 Oct 2006 11:10:39 -0700, show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>
>>
>> and you therefore are a criminal
>>
>STFU HOMO!
no which is the point
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3163. Re: interesting articale on "claoking" tech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:17:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <gvhkj2taj0cuualflues3fe6tfv1vhde2p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169130

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 10:19:01 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
>STFU YOU WELFARE ABUSING HOMO!
not abusing welfare nor even a homo nor will I shut up steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3164. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:18:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <o2ikj21gs4qkqieprqbs30nvlj4hdtk3s5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169131
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.skinheads

On 21 Oct 2006 00:03:55 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
di theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3165. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:20:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <r3ikj2pq6034j9jeh1kjufiv4tri3vf5cr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169132

On 21 Oct 2006 00:13:12 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:
it is a crime you know
>
>kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>> > "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>> > news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>> >
>> > >> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
>> > >> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
>> > >> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more and
>> > >> be better elmers to the new ones.
>> > >
>> > > Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns from
>> > the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the code test
>> > they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the CB one.
>> what is it with your obession with CB?
>> >
>> > SC
>
>Did you mean "Obsession"? You stupid motherfucker!
>BTW, whats your "obsession" with pretending you're a ham?
no pretending here I am a Ham and a pretty good besides

you are a pthological criminal in desperate need of mental help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3166. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:20:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <a6ikj25dt38vnis6pvhng6n4roc4ddlb87@4ax.com>
Source record: 169133

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 04:54:57 -0500, "Rod Bowers" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark persists. Sheer idiocy...
yes not lloyd I persist in defending my name

get over it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3167. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:21:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <m7ikj2tnqkakf4cnp5scubmqp676sq0fap@4ax.com>
Source record: 169134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 13:11:22 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

>
>On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:
>> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 4.0/32.1071
>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
>> Lines: 14
>
>> As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever seen
>> sporting a call sign.
>>
>> {plonk}
>to bad since I haev rpeorted you abuse to supernews.com ashole.
>
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
an interesting Forge since I have done nothing of the sort
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3168. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:22:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <p8ikj25fitb0e0s227ianvognu32pabf8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 169135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2006 05:32:10 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
and you are aserail criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3169. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:22:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <u9ikj29eqo9hdkuv6codv785tf3uqld5mc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169136

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:35:34 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote:

>
nope another forgery
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3170. Re: LeChaim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:23:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <2bikj2tb5e4jkpgmp96if8hh37s7pnlu66@4ax.com>
Source record: 169138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:44:37 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime you are also antisemtic to use that title for it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3171. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:23:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Hee Hee Heeeeee
>
> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
> Morkie Morgan.
>
> SC

I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm restocking the music.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

3172. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:24:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0cikj2dvo858evfrnnilbf6nlqms7t32ui@4ax.com>
Source record: 169140
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 11:39:05 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
>I'm married to a homo.
if you are get over your homophoibia
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3173. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:24:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <edikj293p8q8s2o8qriri3bejpevl701hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169139

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 11:43:43 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>http://photos1.blogger.com/blogger/2053/3274/1600/Dildo%20Bunny.jpg
why do you keep showing that stpud phto
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3174. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:26:25 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161447985.468523.201220@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169141

Hojnacki wrote:
> http://photos1.blogger.com/blogger/2053/3274/1600/Dildo%20Bunny.jpg
as is stlaking your only defense is you are doing it so badly

3175. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 16:29:06 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161448146.918906.139640@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

gav wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> > On 20 Oct 2006 18:27:51 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > you are not gav thef
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> STFU you sick fag
you are still not gav and therfore are a theif not sick here nor am I
going to shut up on your say so

3176. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 17:15:12 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a48c0$0$19602$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

more teets on hum? Do you enjoy splapping your big baloney man teets on a
mans back you sick fag?

You even look like a pervert morkie.

http://photos1.blogger.com/blogger/2053/3274/1600/Dildo%20Bunny.jpg

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:3nikj2tme597vs0i80veinns8baqauvvda@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 04:35:09 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
> <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:
>
>>Mark,
>>
>>You need your ass kicked you retarded dyslexic fuck
> well you are not hoinh to do that is certian Slow Code you and your
> ilk lack the nerve of Cockraoches
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3177. Re: my knew speel cechker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 18:09:56 UTC
From: "Gary G. Taylor" <knotgary@knotdonavan.org>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.21.18.09.55.93524@knotdonavan.org>
Source record: 169144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,24hoursupport.helpdesk

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:46:51 -0400, Morkie Morgan the Moron wrote:

> http://i11.tinypic.com/2v0eq79.jpg
>
>
> - -
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

Thank #DEITY for glurds, even if they don't like sausages.

--
Gary G. Taylor * Pomona, CA * 34.074i¿½N 117.754i¿½W
gary [] donavan [] org * http://www [] donavan [] org
"The two most abundant substances in the Universe are hydrogen
and stupidity." --Frank Zappa, R.A. Heinlein and many others

3178. Re: It's the weekend. Woger has returned to NR Jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 19:03:18 UTC
From: Hojnacki <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a6215$0$19748$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:tbrij2tim0hmtq6emfr7l0gs6488igie6l@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:38:09 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
> <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
>>At last he'll be safe from the skinheads.
>>
>>
> you sure about that I hear skin

You chew foreskin.

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3179. Re: i took sum syrip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 19:33:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161459184.794197.153320@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> i tok sum syrip of dxylexicock am now i punce
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
soemtyems i rub dxylexicock syrip on my menn-pus cauze gav hes a big
cock

3180. Re: punce theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 19:36:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161459404.013805.10160@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169147
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:32:13 -0400, "Morkie Morgan the Moron"
> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>
> >
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3181. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 20:28:34 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KvGdnV7OPMtsH6fYnZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>
>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>
>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>

"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.

No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it "keeping good people out of ham radio?"

NOPE!!!

/s/ DD

3182. Re: Why so much niggativity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 20:29:58 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161462598.108524.60330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

Landshark wrote:

<bitch snip>

I PUNCE

3183. Re: my knew speel cechker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 20:30:20 UTC
From: Mork Morgan <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a767d$0$19654$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,24hoursupport.helpdesk

"Gary G. Taylor" <knotgary@knotdonavan.org> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.21.18.09.55.93524@knotdonavan.org...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 16:46:51 -0400, Morkie Morgan the Moron wrote:
>
>> http://i11.tinypic.com/2v0eq79.jpg
>>
>>
>> - -
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
> Thank #DEITY for glurds, even if they don't like sausages.
>
more gay basing I sea with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't
bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3184. Re: forger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 20:34:09 UTC
From: Mork Morgan <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a7760$0$19712$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169201

<Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message
news:b1ceh2563fqtm8a3kb9ltseinmh3l0puj3@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 23 Sep 2006 14:14:22 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> forgery poor fakes
>
crine tefht id is
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3185. Re: i took sum syrip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 20:40:02 UTC
From: Mork Morgan <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453a78c2$0$19716$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

```
"an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161459184.794197.153320@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> i tok sum syrip of dxylexicock am now i punce
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> soemtyems i rub dxylexicock syrip on my menn-pus cauze gav hes a big
> cock
>
i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3186. Re: Why so much niggativity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 21:47:11 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161467231.183604.237920@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

I PUNCE wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
>
> <bitch snip>
>
> I PUNCE

Because of so many no code cb types pretending to be hams.

3187. Re: Hearing west coast....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 22:14:18 UTC
From: gav <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161468858.456226.36970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

if you say so wrote:
> "A.E. 352" <
SHUT UP, STUPID!

3188. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 22:44:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161470679.515240.227730@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169205

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >>>>> That's really sad.
> >>>> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
> >>>>
> >>>> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >>> So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >> I haven't the slightest idea.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > I don't believe you, and you seem to have an opinion on everything
> > else...
>
> There's no pleasing some folk. You asked. I answered.
>
> > It's probably Quitefine again.
>
> I don't think you'll be able to pin it on Dick Carroll.
>
> Dave K8MN

I have not intention of doing so.

3189. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 22:57:07 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <dau56.hs4.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

When I find your address Markie, I am going to fill the police in on ALL your illegal activities. I have been emailing hams in your area so sack of shit.

By the way, I am building a website for you! Ill give you the address as soon as I get it complete

"Hojnacki" <Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote in message news:453a48c0$0$19602$88260bb3@free.teranews.com...
> more teets on hum? Do you enjoy splapping your big baloney man teets on a
> mans back you sick fag?
>
> You even look like a pervert morkie.
>
> http://photos1.blogger.com/blogger/2053/3274/1600/Dildo%20Bunny.jpg
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
> news:3nikj2tme597vs0i80veinns8baqauvvda@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 04:35:09 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
>> <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark,
>>>
>>>You need your ass kicked you retarded dyslexic fuck
>> well you are not hoinh to do that is certian Slow Code you and your
>> ilk lack the nerve of Cockraoches
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3190. Re: It's the weekend. Woger has returned to NR Jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 22:59:31 UTC
From: 10-4 Good Buddy <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com>
Message-ID: <dau9l.ifh.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> you sure about that I hear skin gangs are worse and more violent
> behind bars than outside
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

You should know you sick child molester

3191. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:01:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169208

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> > >>> That's really sad.
> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
> > >>
> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> > >
> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> > >
> > I haven't the slightest idea.
> >
> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>
> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> seven different screen names here - that we know of.

How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?

> "Slow Code" could
> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,

Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him. Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to be absent from RRAP.

> who has used a wide variety of screen names
> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
> usually without including his name or callsign.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.

3192. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:09:48 UTC
From: **THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <0Nx_g.3374$GN5.579@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 169209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or bottom posting!

Slow Code wrote:

>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>
>
>
>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>get into his face about CW.
>>
>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>>always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>
>>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>
>>>SC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>get into his face about CW.
>>
>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I am
>>always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers etc.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?
>
>If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.
>
>SC
>
>
No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or
bottom posting!

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
"The RFI-EMI-GUY"ï¿½

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason?
For if it prosper, none dare call it treason."

"Follow The Money" ;-P

3193. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:17:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161472677.122039.164600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>
> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >
> > Have a look at
> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >
> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.

> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >
> > Ian.
>
> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> still someone out there that knew code.
>
> SC

Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?

Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS. Bring your
lunch and fire extinguisher.

3194. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:27:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161473249.877069.185350@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169211

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >> A Morse Code exam.
> >>
> >> (extraneous newsgroups removed)
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> > Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
> > on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
>
> Passed=obtaining a passing grade
>
> Good luck@

Now you claim the VE gives your score in a CW transmission?

Keep digging.

3195. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <x6y_g.10625$Lv3.974@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:4539690d@news101.his.com:

> What ever happened to the true sprit of ham
> radio where those with higher knowledge would help left up those with
> less instead of pushing them down.

When is requiring study to make a better ham pushing someone down?

Those that work hardest get most. Those that are too lazy to work get
welfare checks and CB.

SC

3196. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <z6y_g.10626$Lv3.2756@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>>>> >
>>>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>>>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>>>> >
>>>> > SC
>>>>
>>>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>>>
>>>
>>> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>>> fat whore!
>>>
>>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>> I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>> doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to
>> stand all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung
>> around to take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio
>> dumbed down more.
>>
>> SC
>
> 1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
>
> 2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in
> control of the testing policy.
>
> 3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary

> skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with
> derogatory comments.
>
> We can all do our part to create good hams.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

I read everything, even Mark in the Dark's gibberish.

SC

3197. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <A6y_g.10627$Lv3.3979@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161400029.290868.196010@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
>> lots more fun when you're a real ham.
>>
>> SC
>
> This guy's imagination is even more vivid than Robesin's.

11 meters must be dead, hot-ham-and-cheese is back on the net
looking for Howto radio tips again.

SC

3198. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:51 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <D6y_g.10628$Lv3.2213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1161431219.618068.308400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
> the total number of active licenses that
> class contains:
>
> As of May 14, 2000:
>
> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>
> Total all classes - 674,792
>
> As of October 17, 2006:
>
> Novice - 24,187 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,142]
> Technician - 286,314 (43.6%) [increase of 80,920]
> Technician Plus - 35,056 (5.3%) [decrease of 93,804]
> General - 131,866 (20.1%) [increase of 19,189]
> Advanced - 70,623 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,159]
> Extra - 108,647 (16.5%) [increase of 29,897]
>
> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>
> Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,370 (49.0%) [decrease of 12,884]
>
> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,136 (47.4%) [increase of 19,927]
>
> Total all classes - 656,513 (decrease of 18,279)
>
> Note that these totals do not include licenses
> that have expired but are in the grace period.

>
> They also do not include club, military
> or other station-only licenses.
>
> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
> are no longer issued.
>
> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of
> 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop
> to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as
> Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore
> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
> since the Technician class includes a significant
> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
> Technician.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks Jim,

Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.

SC

3199. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <E6y_g.10629$Lv3.6163@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Hee Hee Heeeeee
>>
>> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
>> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
>> Morkie Morgan.
>>
>> SC
>
> I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
> transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
> restocking the music.
>
>
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

What? No more spike Jones? Damn.

SC

3200. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <F6y_g.10630$Lv3.6806@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in
news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>
>> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>> >
>> > Have a look at
>> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>> >
>> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>> >
>> > Ian.
>>
>>
>> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>> still someone out there that knew code.
>>
>> SC
>
> I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
> you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
> unless its in morse.

No, Only if you were in the boat.

SC

3201. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <G6y_g.10631$Lv3.10145@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161472677.122039.164600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>
>> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>> >
>> > Have a look at
>> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>> >
>> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>
>> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>> >
>> > Ian.
>>
>> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>> still someone out there that knew code.
>>
>> SC
>
> Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>
> Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS. Bring your
> lunch and fire extinguisher.

ROFL! What a dipshit.

In the UK, a flashlight is a torch. You're getting to be as bad as Mark
in the Dark. I knew if you two put your cards together you still wouldn't
be playing with a full deck.

SC

3202. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <K6y_g.10634$Lv3.6151@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
> lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>
> SC
>
> Hmmm...where does that leave Mark, since I thought he was all three of
> the above? You ain't exactly number one on his list of friends, you
> know.

Markie is all three all right. His brain doesn't seem to be able to connect the dots. If KB9RQZ would KF all the people he hates, RRAP would be a policy group again instead of a Laugh-at-the-Retard forum.

I'm not against trying to help Markie, but Markie refuses to be helped. That's why from now on he's: "Mark in the Dark"

SC

3203. Re: id theft is a crim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:32:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <L6y_g.10635$Lv3.8687@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Mark@kb9rqz.net (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote in
news:754gi2tmfvlort85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com:

>
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst
> <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:
>> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 4.0/32.1071
>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
>> Lines: 14
>
>> As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever
>> seen sporting a call sign.
>>
>> {plonk}
> to bad since I haev rpeorted you abuse to supernews.com ashole.

ROFL, Mark in the Dark

That's my new phrase for Markie: "Mark in the Dark"

We can overlook typo's now and then, but Mark butchers the
english language.

LEARN CW, LEARN TO SPELL Markie.

SC

3204. Re: If they're too Lazy to learn CW, they're too lazy to learn anything else too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <M6y_g.10636$Lv3.4858@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark: wrote in
news:9jqij2l3rjbq66ukpeqk10ds82urnu5r78@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>That's how it is, you know it's true.
>>
>>If I was wrong, you wouldn't be able to find anywhere that
>>someone wasn't doing some modern type mode, but all you hear
>>is talking like they were on a CB or something.
>
> please list YOUR jt65 contacts SC
>>
>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Please list your CB contacts, Mark in the Dark.

SC

3205. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <O6y_g.10637$Lv3.3061@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark in the Dark" wrote in
news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>

Eliminating CW will let in more people like 'Mark in the Dark'. That
won't be good for the rest of us if we enjoy having good intelligent
QSO's.

SC

3206. Re: Is the no code license letting really stupid people in to ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <P6y_g.10638$Lv3.4775@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote in news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>Not dropped here.
>>
>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>
>>> Barry
>>> =====
>>> Home page
>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

It let Mark in the Dark in.

SC

3207. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q6y_g.10639$Lv3.266@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161398443.472837.124330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>>
>> A Morse Code exam.
>>
>> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
> on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.

Sorry,
I guess you're just not good HF material, unless it's 11 meters.

SC

3208. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <R6y_g.10640$Lv3.8340@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161400761.465568.198720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 19:51:24 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> >> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>> >> >>
>> >> >> A Morse Code exam.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dave K8MN
>> >> >
>> >> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not
>> >> >actual on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE
>> >> >material.
>> >>
>> >> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible
>> >> to ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough
>> >> to justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make
>> >> a stab at it
>> >
>> >If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
>> >the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
>> >testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
>> >license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?
>>
>> You are right that doesn't work but it is closer to a valid arguement
>> for code testing than anything proposed by the ProCoder in my memerory.
>
> Slow Code doesn't know code.

Wrong.

You do you like to get everything wrong all the time?

SC

3209. Make a few CW contacts this weekend.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <S6y_g.10641$Lv3.10032@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Re-discover ham radio.

SC

3210. Re: Make no-code license one year non-renewable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U6y_g.10642$Lv3.7606@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mark in the Dark" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161406584.799153.43990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>> news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>>
>> >> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
>> >> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
>> >> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more
>> >> and be better elmers to the new ones.
>> >
>> > Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.
>>
>>
>>
>> No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns
>> from the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the
>> code test they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the
>> CB one.
> what is it with your obession with CB?

CB'ers = Untested = No-code = No Skills. Ten-Four?

SC

3211. Mark in the Dark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <V6y_g.10643$Lv3.1721@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes, that's it.

'Mark in the Dark'

SC

3212. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <W6y_g.10644$Lv3.2668@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
news:dau56.hs4.17.1@news.alt.net:

> When I find your address Markie, I am going to fill the police in on ALL
> your illegal activities. I have been emailing hams in your area so sack
> of shit.
>
> By the way, I am building a website for you! Ill give you the address as
> soon as I get it complete

Mark in the Dark wouldn't hurt a fly, Look at all of them he's feedin in
his trash filled yard.

You'd think he would have time to learn CW, he ain't doing nothing else
but gaining weight.

SC

3213. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z6y_g.10646$Lv3.794@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Mark in the Dark" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161406703.404299.30150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:MPG.1fa1ddcac72a4f9c989a4e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>
>
>>
>> What did you go with for HF, the Cobra 148GTL with echo mic and
>> buddy beep?

> show a trace of class and leave the new hams alone if you have
> nothering helpfull to say

Learn CW, Mark in the Dark.

SC

3214. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <07y_g.10647$Lv3.6563@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

THE-RFI-EMI-GUY <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
news:0Nx_g.3374$GN5.579@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:

> No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or
> bottom posting!
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>>news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>>get into his face about CW.
>>>
>>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I
>>>am always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers
>>>etc.
>>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>>
>>>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the
>>>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>>

>>>>SC
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>>get into his face about CW.
>>>
>>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I
>>>am always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers
>>>etc.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?
>>
>>If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.
>>
>>SC
>>
>>
> No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or
> bottom posting!

So you do swing both ways then.

Say hi to Woger for me.

SC

3215. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <37y_g.10648$Lv3.6001@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Caveat Lector wrote:
>> >> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator
>> >> period -- plain and simple.
>> >>
>> >> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
>> >>
>> >> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or
>> >> not - you are a Ham
>> >>
>> >> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a
>> >> Ham
>> >>
>> >> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for
>> >> elitism is snobbery - plain and simple
>> >>
>> >> This is 2006, not 1920
>> >>
>> >> CL
>> >
>> > You got that right.
>>
>> It's nice to see a couple 11 meter jockeys agreeing with each other.
>>
>> SC
>
> Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...

I hope 11 meters opens up so you can get back on the band again and leave
the net for awhile. You're a bad influence on Mark in the Dark.
```

3216. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <87y_g.10649$Lv3.4567@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1161434444.560351.187100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >>> That's really sad.
>> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> >> lowlfie.
>> >>
>> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>
>> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>
>> I haven't the slightest idea.
>>
> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>
> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> seven different screen names here - that we know of. "Slow Code" could
> be Brian Burke, N0IMD, who has used a wide variety of screen names
> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
> usually without including his name or callsign.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I've never been so insulted in all my life. Calling me Len. May you be
cursed with six weeks of HF QRN and your antenna tip over.

Slow Code

3217. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e7y_g.10650$Lv3.10115@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> > >> lowlfie.
>> > >>
>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >
>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >
>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >
>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>
>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>
> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>
>> "Slow Code" could
>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>
> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him. Ditto
> Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
> be absent from RRAP.

Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who everyone
is.

73
de Slow Code

3218. Why are you people against good hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:33:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <k7y_g.10651$Lv3.1659@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why?

3219. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:34:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161473643.649186.233860@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> >
> > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> > >
> > > Have a look at
> > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> > >
> > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>
> > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> > >
> > > Ian.
> >
> > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> > still someone out there that knew code.
> >
> > SC
>
> Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>
> Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.

In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
a "flashlight".

> Bring your
> lunch and fire extinguisher.

This may explain your confusion:

Story:

http://www.local6.com/education/10097181/detail.html

Rankings:

http://www.local6.com/education/10097048/detail.html

PA: 10th
MN: 13th

TN: 30th
OH: 34th
CA: 47th

3220. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-21 23:38:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161473921.231795.279980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161400761.465568.198720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> >> On 20 Oct 2006 19:51:24 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> >> >> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> A Morse Code exam.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Dave K8MN
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not
> >> >> >actual on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE
> >> >> >material.
> >> >
> >> >> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible
> >> >> to ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough
> >> >> to justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make
> >> >> a stab at it
> >> >
> >> >If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
> >> >the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
> >> >testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
> >> >license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?
> >
> >> You are right that doesn't work but it is closer to a valid arguement
> >> for code testing than anything proposed by the ProCoder in my memerory.
> >
> > Slow Code doesn't know code.

>
>
> Wrong.
>
> You do you like to get everything wrong all the time?
>
> SC

You do?

3221. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 00:30:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161477022.496618.45360@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161472677.122039.164600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> >> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> >>
> >> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> >> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >> >
> >> > Have a look at
> >> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >> >
> >> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> >
> >> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> >> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >> >
> >> > Ian.
> >>
> >> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> >> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> >> still someone out there that knew code.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
> >
> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS. Bring your
> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
>
> ROFL! What a dipshit.
>
> In the UK, a flashlight is a torch.

Must be a plastic one. My aluminum maglight won't catch fire unless
you jack it up in a 225 amp lincoln stick welder.

3222. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 00:37:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> > >
> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> > > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> > > >
> > > > Have a look at
> > > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> > > >
> > > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> >
> > > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> > > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> > > >
> > > > Ian.
> > >
> > > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> > > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> > > still someone out there that knew code.
> > >
> > > SC
> >
> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
> >
> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
>
> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
> a "flashlight".

I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.

> > Bring your
> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
>
> This may explain your confusion:

I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
Cavemen carry torches.

3223. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 00:44:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161477896.847454.66970@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >> > >>> That's really sad.
> >> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
> >> > >> lowlfie.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >> >
> >> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >> >
> >> > I haven't the slightest idea.
> >> >
> >> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
> >>
> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
> >
> > How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
> >
> >> "Slow Code" could
> >> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
> >
> > Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him. Ditto
> > Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
> > be absent from RRAP.
>
> Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who everyone
> is.
>
> 73
> de Slow Code

OK, Len. I'll stop worrying.

3224. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 00:54:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161478451.718701.91410@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hojnacki wrote:

> Do you enjoy splapping your big baloney man teets on a
> mans back you sick fag?

Looks like Robesin learned how to rephrase a question.

3225. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:02:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
> >>
> >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
> >> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
> >> Morkie Morgan.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
> > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
> > restocking the music.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
>
>
> SC

Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,
we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
Germany.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB

3226. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:50:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <sijlj29cu181aelqd41up38rl2feaaepld@4ax.com>
Source record: 169243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:51 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>news:1161431219.618068.308400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>> the total number of active licenses that
>> class contains:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
>> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
>> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
>> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
>> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
>> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of October 17, 2006:
>>
>> Novice - 24,187 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,142]
>> Technician - 286,314 (43.6%) [increase of 80,920]
>> Technician Plus - 35,056 (5.3%) [decrease of 93,804]
>> General - 131,866 (20.1%) [increase of 19,189]
>> Advanced - 70,623 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,159]
>> Extra - 108,647 (16.5%) [increase of 29,897]
>>
>> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,370 (49.0%) [decrease of 12,884]
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,136 (47.4%) [increase of 19,927]
>>
>> Total all classes - 656,513 (decrease of 18,279)
>>

>> Note that these totals do not include licenses
>> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>>
>> They also do not include club, military
>> or other station-only licenses.
>>
>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
>> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued.
>>
>> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of
>> 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop
>> to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as
>> Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore
>> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
>> since the Technician class includes a significant
>> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
>> Technician.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>Thanks Jim,
>
>Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.
it is a bad idea that is why we need to stop dumbing ham radio and end
code testing
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3227. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:51:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ekjlj2p8ksv5he6g32bp2a0bvff6t8ov6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 169244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:28:34 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>>
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>
>>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>>
>"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the
>question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.

I did read it indeed the coment was unrelated to the title
>
>No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it
>"keeping good people out of ham radio?"
>
>NOPE!!!
>
>/s/ DD
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3228. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:52:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <mljlj25nep8i8thhho99njlpa0rfk6usb5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169245

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:34:09 -0400, "Mork Morgan"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3229. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:52:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <fmjlj29sdkb2a3137g56ee2mgpv72em5g9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Caveat Lector wrote:
>>> >> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator
>>> >> period -- plain and simple.
>>> >>
>>> >> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
>>> >>
>>> >> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or
>>> >> not - you are a Ham
>>> >>
>>> >> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a
>>> >> Ham
>>> >>
>>> >> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for
>>> >> elitism is snobbery - plain and simple
>>> >>
>>> >> This is 2006, not 1920
>>> >>
>>> >> CL
>>> >
>>> > You got that right.
>>>
>>> It's nice to see a couple 11 meter jockeys agreeing with each other.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>
>
>I hope 11 meters opens up so you can get back on the band again and leave
>the net for awhile. You're a bad influence on Mark in the Dark.
BB is a general class opertor with access to ham HF
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3230. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:53:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <mnjlj2l0gjnqtft1d3hnbc0icik6dd6mcq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161400761.465568.198720@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2006 19:51:24 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >M...@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>>> >> On 20 Oct 2006 19:40:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> A Morse Code exam.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Dave K8MN
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not
>>> >> >actual on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE
>>> >> >material.
>>> >>
>>> >> indeed if the test were based on air use it might even be possible
>>> >> to ctruct a rational for keeping the test although it would be rough
>>> >> to justify why it is was the only mode so tested but one might make
>>> >> a stab at it
>>> >
>>> >If you have to "send by hand and receive by ear" over the air without
>>> >the license you're testing for, you'd be llike W3RV bypassing all the
>>> >testing and regulatory material and just getting on the air without a
>>> >license. And we wouldn't want that, would we?
>>>
>>> You are right that doesn't work but it is closer to a valid arguement
>>> for code testing than anything proposed by the ProCoder in my memerory.
>>

>> Slow Code doesn't know code.
>
>
>Wrong.
then prove it wiby proving you have a call
>
>You do you like to get everything wrong all the time?
I like he is onn the money I doubt you currently can use code
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3231. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:53:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <dpjlj2lmglj8fnelvadlvlknbu9hu2gun5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161398443.472837.124330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>> > What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>>>
>>> A Morse Code exam.
>>>
>>> (exraneous newsgroups removed)
>>>
>>> Dave K8MN
>>
>> Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
>> on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
>
>
>
>Sorry,
>I guess you're just not good HF material, unless it's 11 meters.
he is a general class opertor
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3232. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:55:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>>> > >> lowlfie.
>>> > >>
>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>> > >
>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>> > >
>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>> >
>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>>
>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>
>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>
>>> "Slow Code" could
>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>
>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him. Ditto
>> Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>> be absent from RRAP.
>
>
>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who everyone
>is.

whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license
>

>73
>de Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3233. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:55:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <csjlj2p24qqoqr40doc4s1c68nbsnunqec@4ax.com>
Source record: 169250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mark in the Dark" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161406703.404299.30150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:MPG.1fa1ddcac72a4f9c989a4e@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>
>>
>>>
>>> What did you go with for HF, the Cobra 148GTL with echo mic and
>>> buddy beep?
>
>> show a trace of class and leave the new hams alone if you have
>> nothering helpfull to say
>
>
>Learn CW, Mark in the Dark.
no not interested all but impossible
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3234. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:56:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <mtjlj2tpan3qj3bslp3slqd49nm6jaut0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>news:0Nx_g.3374$GN5.579@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>
>> No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or
>> bottom posting!
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>**THE-RFI-EMI-GUY** <rhyolite@nettally.com> wrote in
>>>news:42gZg.29116$YO.19085@tornado.tampabay.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>>>get into his face about CW.
>>>>
>>>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I
>>>>am always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers
>>>>etc.
>>>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:MPG.1f9b72ea52e3e77f989a42@news-server.carolina.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Passed my Tech Exam today. Only missed one question.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No, You missed another ten questions, the CW questions, but since the
>>>>>Tech license is dumbed down you weren't tested on them.
>>>>>
>>>>>Don't know which HT to recommend, but a lot of No-codes like the

>>>>>Cobra 148GTL for HF.
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>Amazing; A new ham is excited about getting his ticket and these clowns
>>>>get into his face about CW.
>>>>
>>>>Next we will hear more griping about the hobby dying. No wonder. Just
>>>>read these posts, who would want to be associated with such clowns. I
>>>>am always amazed these clowns are so familiar with CB model numbers
>>>>etc.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>WOW, Top and bottom posting, Are you bi like Markie?
>>>
>>>If ham radio dies it will be the dumbing down that killed it.
>>>
>>>SC
>>>
>>>
>> No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top or
>> bottom posting!
>
>
>So you do swing both ways then.
get hellp hate hating sicko
>
>Say hi to Woger for me.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3235. Re: Announcing the new 2006 Slow Code awards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:56:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <9ujlj2lghddo8pessvv1bnk86vfqeekj0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 169252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161400029.290868.196010@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>> Well, 73 to all, back to my CW & homebrew projects. Ham radio is
>>> lots more fun when you're a real ham.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> This guy's imagination is even more vivid than Robesin's.
>
>
>11 meters must be dead, hot-ham-and-cheese is back on the net
>looking for Howto radio tips again.
He has access to all the bands SC not all of the freqs on all the band
but all of them he is general class
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3236. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:58:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <pvjlj2dmrmggeusb0tquev844kbbvd8p15@4ax.com>
Source record: 169253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"if you say so" <noboudy@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:4539690d@news101.his.com:
>
>> What ever happened to the true sprit of ham
>> radio where those with higher knowledge would help left up those with
>> less instead of pushing them down.
>
>
>When is requiring study to make a better ham pushing someone down?
it isn't except you don't do that
>
>Those that work hardest get most.
Bullshit SC I am siure I have worked harder on Code than anybody here

got me nothing but headaches and a d9ianoos of dyslexia
> Those that are too lazy to work get
>welfare checks and CB.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3237. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:58:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <32klj25vhft4rmh8ov2spak5e47dnelio6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>>>>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>>>>> >
>>>>> > SC
>>>>>
>>>>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>>>> fat whore!
>>>>
>>>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>>
>>>
>>> I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>>> doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to
>>> stand all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung
>>> around to take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio
>>> dumbed down more.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> 1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
>>
>> 2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in
>> control of the testing policy.

>>
>> 3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
>> skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with
>> derogatory comments.
>>
>> We can all do our part to create good hams.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>I read everything, even Mark in the Dark's gibberish.
but you process noe of mentaly
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3238. Re: Make no-code license one year non-renewable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:59:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <r2klj2df22il7m258af6jti0uot3hpdtuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mark in the Dark" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161406584.799153.43990@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "10-4 Good Buddy" <slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote in
>>> news:d74a8.9i2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>>>
>>> >> This will give no-codes motivation to study more about radio if they
>>> >> want to continue to be a radio amateur. This helps new no-codes
>>> >> coming in because the old no-codes that are studying will know more
>>> >> and be better elmers to the new ones.
>>> >
>>> > Yeah, and waste call signs on people who most likely wont learn code.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> No, The one year non-renewable no-code hams would be issued callsigns
>>> from the old CB callsign blocks of the mid 1970's. After they pass the
>>> code test they get a real ham call unless they prefer to keep using the
>>> CB one.
>> what is it with your obession with CB?
>
>
>CB'ers = Untested = No-code = No Skills. Ten-Four?
but you are an expert on CB rigs
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3239. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 01:59:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <m3klj2hu24lppvmvn7mdm89ph682pfoaan@4ax.com>
Source record: 169255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,24hoursupport.helpdesk

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:30:20 -0400, "Mork Morgan"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3240. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:00:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <m4klj255dcsvumpervqplgerh2784ps5df@4ax.com>
Source record: 169257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in
>news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>
>>> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>> >
>>> > Have a look at
>>> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>> >
>>> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>> >
>>> > Ian.
>>>
>>>
>>> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>> still someone out there that knew code.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
>> you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
>> unless its in morse.
>
>
>No, Only if you were in the boat.
meaning you are sick human being

clean up ham radio sc trun in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3241. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:01:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <r6klj29f6e8send0s17plbrfo4cglsc0f6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
>> lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>>
>> SC
>>
>> Hmmm...where does that leave Mark, since I thought he was all three of
>> the above? You ain't exactly number one on his list of friends, you
>> know.
>
>
>Markie is all three all right. His brain doesn't seem to be able to
>connect the dots. If KB9RQZ would KF all the people he hates, RRAP would
>be a policy group again instead of a Laugh-at-the-Retard forum.

If I kill filed those I hated no one would be killfiled

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3242. Re: If they're too Lazy to learn CW, they're too lazy to learn anything else too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:02:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <f8klj2116ktlavh5g37jkhtdbgrd3a6ts2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:00 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark: wrote in
>news:9jqij2l3rjbq66ukpeqk10ds82urnu5r78@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:52 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>That's how it is, you know it's true.
>>>
>>>If I was wrong, you wouldn't be able to find anywhere that
>>>someone wasn't doing some modern type mode, but all you hear
>>>is talking like they were on a CB or something.
>>
>> please list YOUR jt65 contacts SC
>>>
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>Please list your CB contacts, Mark in the Dark.
list them in the last week None

now your turn
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3243. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:02:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <f9klj2trfh7hsod246ps821sottmoaoobq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 15:03:18 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3244. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:03:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <5aklj29k5rj2rd414n96aqb7e38bckhsc1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 15:59:31 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>> you sure about that I hear skin gangs are worse and more violent
>> behind bars than outside
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>You should know you sick child molester

fuuny how people claiming that but never come up with any prove if I
had ever been convicted it would be public record

it could also get my license revoked as ham but nobodt can prove it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3245. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:04:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <qcklj2pb6klj63v17p8ui54d4k12s6q9gs@4ax.com>
Source record: 169264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.net (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote in
>news:754gi2tmfvlort85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst
>> <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:
>>> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 4.0/32.1071
>>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
>>> Lines: 14
>>
>>> As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever
>>> seen sporting a call sign.
>>>
>>> {plonk}
>> to bad since I haev rpeorted you abuse to supernews.com ashole.
>
>
>
>ROFL, Mark in the Dark
>
>That's my new phrase for Markie: "Mark in the Dark"
>
>We can overlook typo's now and then, but Mark butchers the
>english language.
I did not write the post you are repling to
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3246. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:05:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <7eklj2daofs8tggd87td3hoor6l27ciodr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

On 21 Oct 2006 12:33:04 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3247. Re: i took sum syrip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:05:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <teklj2hto39jl1fegqo6rmn39ltnkpvaq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:40:02 -0400, "Mork Morgan"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3248. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:06:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ufklj293pkori6jfmc1tlngmgqnl9ntv2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 13:15:12 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3249. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:08:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <sgklj29r61ole8f0mnn2bmgjm4lg9sm7mm@4ax.com>
Source record: 169268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 15:57:07 -0700, "10-4 Good Buddy"
<slowcodesux@cleanitup.com> wrote:

>When I find your address Markie, I am going to fill the police in on ALL
>your illegal activities. I have been emailing hams in your area so sack of
>shit.
>
>By the way, I am building a website for you! Ill give you the address as
>soon as I get it complete
>

it will be a realy short list with no entires on it but my address is
well know you need to derect your "report" to the Houghton County
shreffs the sheriffs email is bmclean@houghtonsheriff.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3250. Re: more theats oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:09:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <4mklj2dbv3o7ddopo63pu4sh9j1ls9g7fl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 21 Oct 2006 17:54:11 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Hojnacki wrote:
>
>> Do you enjoy splapping your big baloney man teets on a
>> mans back you sick fag?
>
>Looks like Robesin learned how to rephrase a question.
indeed it does
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3251. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:09:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <kmklj2tnodq6ahm2jjkidpp06sk5abglnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169270

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 14:59:06 -0400, "Hojnacki"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3252. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:10:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <tnklj29qt2i44phcltj1f3inpsuvsfadjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2006 12:36:44 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3253. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:10:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <joklj2tto957rk33m6hmo56gerojjvedjt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

On 21 Oct 2006 13:29:58 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3254. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:11:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <bpklj29uncdbroj7ltcp51j0viv1th018m@4ax.com>
Source record: 169274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

On 21 Oct 2006 14:47:11 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3255. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:11:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <vpklj212inlgc9pp1ouqp2naund6sbcr4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 169273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.flame.faggots

On 21 Oct 2006 15:14:18 -0700, "gav" <show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3256. Re: Is the no code license letting really stupid people in to ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:11:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <nqklj2dejckfb12tdhc13lm9s3srk1imqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 169275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote in news:fphkj2hrs3ov8hdap7oohi0br3j8mo7gql@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>>
>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>> Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>>>> Barry
>>>> =====
>>>> Home page
>>>> http://members.iinet.net.au/~barry.og
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>
>
>It let Mark in the Dark in.
so Mark is former member of Mensa
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3257. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:12:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <crklj2t8ofoskgdknlidushqo4jj5l71sn@4ax.com>
Source record: 169276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Re-discover ham radio.
CW is not the whole of ham radio

make some digtal or phone contact sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3258. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:13:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <esklj2t41q99srs390f4pbqa0je3glvf2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Yes, that's it.
>
>'Mark in the Dark'
you think fidning new "pet names" for me is going to persaude me that
you are anything other than a ham hating SoB?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3259. Re: Why are you people against good hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:13:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ptklj2h84svmi7vk1ikm5hfhua9hitcvog@4ax.com>
Source record: 169278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Why?
when are you going to answer yuour own question

WHY do oppose letting good on the air?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3260. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:15:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <41llj2pa83t1t8aq6j9f72hvp3lqc95qil@4ax.com>
Source record: 169279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:06:07 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
>> >>
>> >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
>> >> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
>> >> Morkie Morgan.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
>> > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
>> > restocking the music.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>
>>
>> What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
>>
>>
>> SC
>
>Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,
>we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
>wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
>heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
>Germany.
>
```

>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>13.556.00 LSB
>-
>
>Nobody cares, Toad. What you really need to do is grow up, cease your warped
>interest in cartoon porn, and move out of mommy's house.

why is Todd's live any of your affair
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3261. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:18:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <l2llj2d39er44nqcslm8fb0mmoo33vg7sh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:02:42 -0500, "Goonie Bird" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:K6y_g.10634$Lv3.6151@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net:

>The problem with this is that Mark In The Dark doesn't feel he needs help.
got that much right
>In his jumbled mind he is just "defending" his honor. Makes no difference
>that HE started most of the angst to begin with, does it?
nope I did not Robeson did years agoo with threats to uurder me
> That simply does
>not compute in his dyslexic brain. Mark In The Dark doesn't feel that
>eighty, ninety, or a hundred posts a day is spam.
no I don't becuase simply number is not the deciding factor if it were
you folks would be Robeson a spammer and you aren't

indeed steve you are just ibessed with trying to selince those voices
you dislike

you can't do it
>I wonder if he abused drugs when he was a kid?
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3262. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:29:27 UTC
From: ah <splifingate@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <453ac978$0$15872$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 169281
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Phil Kyle wrote:
> The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> verbally
> sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.19.08.02.17.140172@statements.likeyours:
>
>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>>> screamed:
>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>> <snip>
>> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>>
> *plonk*

plonk
--
ah

3263. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:46:27 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <wYudnW04VYoeRqfYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Slow Code wrote:

It didnt keep you out

3264. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:53:34 UTC
From: ah <splifingate@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <453acf20$0$15910$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 169285
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
>> Art Deco wrote:
>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>>>>>screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*
--
ah

3265. CQCQCQ de The Great Liberty Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:53:59 UTC
From: Big Al <Al@hohoboyhoho.net>
Message-ID: <dbc04.6ht.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

10 PM.......time for all nuts, fruits, psychos, sociopaths, and
all other assorted misfits to assemble on 3950 plus/minus for
hours of paranoia and QRM.............HO HO

3266. simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:58:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161485913.823500.194240@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message

> It is not an attempt to "selince" voices we dislike.
sure it
>Don't flatter yourself
> and don't put words in my statement that simply were not there.

it is is not there becase you are honest to put it there

> And once again for the record. I am NOT Steve. Kapiche?
I understand you are making the claim you are also a proven lier

3267. Re: CQCQCQ de The Great Liberty Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 02:59:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161485979.085566.91680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Big Al wrote:
> 10 PM.....time for all nuts, fruits, psychos, sociopaths, and
> all other assorted misfits to assemble on 3950 plus/minus for
> hours of paranoia and QRM.....HO HO
all code tested and aproved I note

3268. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 03:02:42 UTC
From: Goonie Bird <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <db8uj.qh4.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:K6y_g.10634$Lv3.6151@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Rbd_g.10062$Lv3.5939@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I actually prefer being killfiled, then I don't have to worry about
> lazy asses, lids, and retards attacking my policy posts.
>
> SC
>
> Hmmm...where does that leave Mark, since I thought he was all three of
> the above? You ain't exactly number one on his list of friends, you
> know.

Markie is all three all right. His brain doesn't seem to be able to
connect the dots. If KB9RQZ would KF all the people he hates, RRAP would
be a policy group again instead of a Laugh-at-the-Retard forum.

I'm not against trying to help Markie, but Markie refuses to be helped.
That's why from now on he's: "Mark in the Dark"

SC

The problem with this is that Mark In The Dark doesn't feel he needs help.
In his jumbled mind he is just "defending" his honor. Makes no difference
that HE started most of the angst to begin with, does it? That simply does
not compute in his dyslexic brain. Mark In The Dark doesn't feel that
eighty, ninety, or a hundred posts a day is spam.
I wonder if he abused drugs when he was a kid?

3269. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 03:06:07 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <db94t.r7g.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...

Slow Code wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
> >>
> >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
> >> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
> >> Morkie Morgan.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
> > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
> > restocking the music.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
>
>
> SC

Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,
we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
Germany.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00 LSB
-

Nobody cares, Toad. What you really need to do is grow up, cease your warped interest in cartoon porn, and move out of mommy's house.

3270. Re: CQCQCQ de The Great Liberty Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 03:40:53 UTC
From: Big Al <Al@hohoboyhoho.net>
Message-ID: <dbeo3.g52.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161485979.085566.91680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Big Al wrote:
>> 10 PM.......time for all nuts, fruits, psychos, sociopaths, and
>> all other assorted misfits to assemble on 3950 plus/minus for
>> hours of paranoia and QRM.............HO HO
> all code tested and aproved I note
>

AND, from Maryland too.......HO HO

3271. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 03:51:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <dhqlj256rosrhn164tr5p4145g32hrtlsl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:35:15 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:41llj2pa83t1t8aq6j9f72hvp3lqc95qil@4ax.com...

>Might ask YOU the same question, dolt.
indeed you might and your answer foolws the formal asking of the
question
> Why is what I said to Todd any of
>YOUR affair?

becuase you said it in an open forum
>See?
see what
> It is because of your unwarranted comments that you are so disliked in
>these groups.
no it is because you and others don't like being opposed in even the
slightest manner
>
>You just HAVE to say something, don't you?
when an injustice is being comitted yes I MUST. it is a moral duty to
do so

to do otherwise is to betray the covenent made by God with my ancestor
Abraam Issac and Jacob and the rest
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3272. Re: I like being killfiled.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 03:52:23 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbbrm.4dh.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:l2llj2d39er44nqcslm8fb0mmoo33vg7sh@4ax.com...
On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:02:42 -0500, "Goonie Bird" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:K6y_g.10634$Lv3.6151@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:d8ja1.nk7.19.1@news.alt.net:

>The problem with this is that Mark In The Dark doesn't feel he needs help.
got that much right
>In his jumbled mind he is just "defending" his honor. Makes no difference
>that HE started most of the angst to begin with, does it?
nope I did not Robeson did years agoo with threats to uurder me
> That simply does
>not compute in his dyslexic brain. Mark In The Dark doesn't feel that
>eighty, ninety, or a hundred posts a day is spam.
no I don't becuase simply number is not the deciding factor if it were
you folks would be Robeson a spammer and you aren't

indeed steve you are just ibessed with trying to selince those voices
you dislike

you can't do it
>I wonder if he abused drugs when he was a kid?

It is not an attempt to "selince" voices we dislike. Don't flatter yourself
and don't put words in my statement that simply were not there.
As for the rest of your comment, I'll have to hand it over to Slow Code to
figure out what "ibessed" is supposed to mean. That word simply does not
exist in the dictionaries I've looked at.

And once again for the record. I am NOT Steve. Kapiche?

3273. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:07:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <bhrlj291g8pi3p8tto32d9jtahooclooed@4ax.com>
Source record: 169293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 00:04:00 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>when an injustice is being comitted yes I MUST. it is a moral duty to
>do so
>..
>Oh, yeah! Watch now as the self appointed Knight in Shining Armor from
>Michigan stumbles in on his three-legged white horse and defends what He
>percieves to be an "injustice". Hi Yo Silver, Away!
yes I am I have the cowardage of my convictions
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3274. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:12:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bdC_g.10759$Lv3.4478@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169294

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> What can be passed by CW that cannot be passed by voice???
>>>> A Morse Code exam.
>>>>
>>>> (extraneous newsgroups removed)
>>>>
>>>> Dave K8MN
>>> Sorry Dave, but you're wrong. The Morse Exam uses audio, not actual
>>> on-the-air transmissions. I guess you're just not VE material.
>> Passed=obtaining a passing grade
>>
>> Good luck@
>
> Now you claim the VE gives your score in a CW transmission?
>
> Keep digging.

You're a little thick, Brian.

Dave K8MN

3275. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:13:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ksrlj25t4kkmcjp3j45a6um2lk6p21h10f@4ax.com>
Source record: 169295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 00:08:40 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161485913.823500.194240@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

>It is NOT there because I said no such thing.
it certainly is there becuase you are not honest to state it outright
>YOU put it there and are
>therefore the one being dishonest.
nope
>
>> And once again for the record. I am NOT Steve. Kapiche?
>I understand you are making the claim you are also a proven lier
>........
>For one who has just blatantly lied about a post I made, you take the cake.
no lie told by me

you hate to opposed and are just a bully hiding behind his keyboard
>
>
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3276. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:18:46 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <2pOdnZJKltsmaKfYnZ2dnUVZ_qadnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 169298
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message

> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
OK

3277. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:26:37 UTC
From: honestjohn <honestjohn@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <uf6dnTxNVucFaqfYnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net>
Source record: 169299
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message

> *plonk*
>
Hi, pop.

3278. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:35:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <u2tlj2deotri8qlg3n550t2nlp2faid7gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 00:26:27 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>Bully? Moi? Not so. If you oppose me, that is just fine, right? It is your
>self-appointed moral duty, right?

> BUT, if I oppose you I am being a bully?
but you don't oppose you harrass
>Get your disjointed thoughts together, Mark. You are contradicting yourself
>in essence.
no it is you that lack a coherant thought

you attack someone for defending himself from anothers attack the
first attack was unun warrented as was yours I defend him from attack
that is what a moral person does when he come to a mugging
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3279. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:35:15 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbec1.eio.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:41llj2pa83t1t8aq6j9f72hvp3lqc95qil@4ax.com...
On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:06:07 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
>> >>
>> >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
>> >> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
>> >> Morkie Morgan.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
>> > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
>> > restocking the music.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>
>>
>> What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
>>
>>
>> SC
>
>Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,
>we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
>wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
>heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
```

>Germany.
>
>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>13.556.00 LSB
>-
>
>Nobody cares, Toad. What you really need to do is grow up, cease your
warped
>interest in cartoon porn, and move out of mommy's house.

why is Todd's live any of your affair
..
Might ask YOU the same question, dolt. Why is what I said to Todd any of
YOUR affair?
See? It is because of your unwarranted comments that you are so disliked in
these groups.

You just HAVE to say something, don't you?

3280. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 04:51:46 UTC
From: Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fa4c2f125fff24f989a53@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 169300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
In article <-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com>, deeflint01
@comcast.net says...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >>
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
> >>> >
> >>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
> >>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
> >>> >
> >>> > SC
> >>>
> >>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
> >>>
> >>
> >> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
> >> fat whore!
> >>
> >>> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
> > doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand
> > all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to
> > take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
> > more.
> >
> > SC
>
> 1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
>
> 2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in control of
> the testing policy.
>
> 3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
> skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with derogatory
```

> comments.
>
> We can all do our part to create good hams.
>
> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>
That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!

Thanks for your good attitude,

Chris (a new ham)

3281. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:00:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com>
Source record: 169301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com>, deeflint01
>@comcast.net says...
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> >>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> >>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>> >>> >
>> >>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> >>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>> >>> >
>> >>> > SC
>> >>>
>> >>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>> >> fat whore!
>> >>
>> >>> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> >
>> > I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>> > doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to stand
>> > all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around to
>> > take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
>> > more.
>> >
>> > SC
>>
>> 1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
>>
>> 2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in control of
>> the testing policy.

>>
>> 3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
>> skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with derogatory
>> comments.
>>
>> We can all do our part to create good hams.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
walk the talk
>
>Thanks for your good attitude,
>
>Chris (a new ham)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3282. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:04:00 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbg1u.m2o.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Might ask YOU the same question, dolt.
indeed you might and your answer foolws the formal asking of the
question
> Why is what I said to Todd any of
>YOUR affair?

becuase you said it in an open forum

And I addressed my comments to Todd. Not you. Then you, like the dolt you
are, tried to take ME to task while at the same time doing the very thing
you accused me of. Hypocrite? Yes.
>See?
see what
> It is because of your unwarranted comments that you are so disliked in
>these groups.
no it is because you and others don't like being opposed in even the
slightest manner
>
Oh, Markie, stop flattering yourself. I don't mind being opposed at all.
Unlike yourself.

>You just HAVE to say something, don't you?
when an injustice is being comitted yes I MUST. it is a moral duty to
do so
..
Oh, yeah! Watch now as the self appointed Knight in Shining Armor from
Michigan stumbles in on his three-legged white horse and defends what He
percieves to be an "injustice". Hi Yo Silver, Away!
I'm quite sure Todd will now look up to you as his Hero!
....
to do otherwise is to betray the covenent made by God with my ancestor
Abraam Issac and Jacob and the rest
...
Oh? Were they bisexuals, too? You have an odd family tree.
You ARE funny Mark, but not in the humorous sense.

3283. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:08:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbgan.m1d.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161485913.823500.194240@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message

> It is not an attempt to "selince" voices we dislike.
sure it
>Don't flatter yourself
> and don't put words in my statement that simply were not there.

it is is not there becase you are honest to put it there
.....
It is NOT there because I said no such thing. YOU put it there and are
therefore the one being dishonest.

> And once again for the record. I am NOT Steve. Kapiche?
I understand you are making the claim you are also a proven lier
....
For one who has just blatantly lied about a post I made, you take the cake.

3284. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:26:27 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbhc1.qse.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:ksrlj25t4kkmcjp3j45a6um2lk6p21h10f@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 00:08:40 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161485913.823500.194240@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

>It is NOT there because I said no such thing.
it certainly is there becuase you are not honest to state it outright
>YOU put it there and are
>therefore the one being dishonest.
nope
>
>> And once again for the record. I am NOT Steve. Kapiche?
>I understand you are making the claim you are also a proven lier
>........
>For one who has just blatantly lied about a post I made, you take the cake.
no lie told by me

you hate to opposed and are just a bully hiding behind his keyboard
...

Bully? Moi? Not so. If you oppose me, that is just fine, right? It is your
self-appointed moral duty, right? BUT, if I oppose you I am being a bully?
Get your disjointed thoughts together, Mark. You are contradicting yourself
in essence.

"yes I am I have the cowardage of my convictions"

I like that..."cowardage"...neat word and one worth remembering.

Now, isn't it time to put your three-legged horse in the stall? You've gone
above and beyond most folks' moral convictions by defending a purveyor of
kiddie porn. You are a Hero, Mark. Roger could only hope to live up to your
moral standards, such as they are.

Hi Yo Silver! Awayyyyyyyy!!

3285. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:39:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <u70mj29365t0oujsr4ar7vke4cqp7v1ttr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:25:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message

>You are blathering again, Mark. Your "justification" for taking me and
>others to task is circuitous at best,
the truth is often cirutous

but iif you do not want to be taken to task for attacking other epeople then the answer is simple stop attacking other people with your lies and bullshit. I fTood were a pruveyor of Kiddy porn your efforts would have him busted and in jail jail byt now that you have failed proves you have NO case

you claim (or was that deloeron who may still be you) claim I am going to be busted off hughes net been pormising that for roughly a year now it doesn't happen

do you do the same thing and stop and rethink your actions? no you go right being a bully You attack Tood becuase sometimes you can provake into a reation you like to make fun of,,, that is the sole reason you attack you prod Roger because his response amusses you and you find watching him foama t the mouth amusing

that makes one sick bastard whatever your name is

leave roger alone leave Todd alone Id like you to leave me alone but if you want to fight fine bring it on, but if you make fighting with me YOUR choice don't blame me for the resulting mess in the NG

you want policy debate in the NG then post something on radio policy or at least on radio

Todd posts on Omega One are at least radio topics even if not exactly policy topics thereffore as a postive comtributor to the NG he deserves my support and will get it

I act defensively defending myself and others I have even often defended Roger although I frankly think he is beyond all real help beside giving the Noddle as the NAZI's called it and honest for Roger the noodle might well be an act of mercy

all persons have the right and moral duty of self defense, all person have to duty to protect those unfairly attacked to the extent they can

you have never been unfairly attacked nor has Robeson or Roger or Hiel or anybody else, BY ME. no actiontion of mine has been anything but defensive.

nothing unclear about the the notion that defense is always a good thing , it gets a bit murky at time when it become clear the only defense is a good offense
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3286. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 05:41:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>wrote:

>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>walk the talk
>.........
>
>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
why was no coment due?

Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc

>Stop mugging Dee.
never started
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3287. Re: Passed Tech Exam- Which HT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 06:08:28 UTC
From: sapper <billvet6@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1161497308.349207.301490@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

No its double sideband. I do it for the kooks that are hung up on top
or
bottom posting!

Now thats funny!
KC9IRR

3288. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 06:25:11 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbkq6.879.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:u2tlj2deotri8qlg3n550t2nlp2faid7gr@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 00:26:27 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>Bully? Moi? Not so. If you oppose me, that is just fine, right? It is your
>self-appointed moral duty, right?

> BUT, if I oppose you I am being a bully?
but you don't oppose you harrass
>Get your disjointed thoughts together, Mark. You are contradicting yourself
>in essence.
no it is you that lack a coherant thought

you attack someone for defending himself from anothers attack the
first attack was unun warrented as was yours I defend him from attack
that is what a moral person does when he come to a mugging
...

You are blathering again, Mark. Your "justification" for taking me and
others to task is circuitous at best, confusing at worst.
Your line of thought is again wandering in circles Mark, and you are
tripping over your mental shoelaces in the process.
You have no right to define that what is moral or not moral. Save that for
alt.morons or the bisexual groups. Who are YOU to preach of morals?
Mugging? Who mentioned a "mugging"? That is your descriptor, Mark. I merely
commented about Todd's addiction to cartoon kiddie porn. While YOU may think
that what Todd does is fine, his addiction to same is viewed by 99% of us as
being abhorrent and perverted. Like you, I have the "moral duty" to call it
as I see it and I don't have Abraham or Isaac behind me.

Hi Yo Silver! Awayyyy!

3289. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 06:27:10 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbkts.7nq.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>In article <-oqdnUByaqpLHqTYnZ2dnUVZ_radnZ2d@comcast.com>, deeflint01
>@comcast.net says...
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Hbd_g.10055$Lv3.6555@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > news:1161306048.101834.283400@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> >>> news:S3UZg.13492$o71.3186@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> >>> >I might propose that to the FCC.
>> >>> >
>> >>> > 20 wpm Extra's have more important QSO's than nickle hams and
>> >>> > no-coders do, that's why we should get spectrum priority.
>> >>> >
>> >>> > SC
>> >>>
>> >>> Hocky Puck. From a 20wpm Extra.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> didn't you mean to say mean to say a "2 min extra"??, you cocksucking
>> >> fat whore!
>> >>
>> >>> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> >
>> > I've always liked Dee. She has always been one of the few here that
>> > doesn't mind arguing policy. I don't know how she has been able to
stand
>> > all the Woger and Markie homo crap posts, but it's good she hung around
to
>> > take policy. I just wish she didn't want to see ham radio dumbed down
>> > more.
>> >
>> > SC
>>

>> 1. I filter the idiots out so I don't see their posts.
>>
>> 2. I do not believe in attacking the new hams as they are not in control
of
>> the testing policy.
>>
>> 3. The way to get good hams is to elmer the newcomers in the necessary
>> skills and show them how great it can be not drive them out with
derogatory
>> comments.
>>
>> We can all do our part to create good hams.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>>
>>
>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
walk the talk
.....

There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
Stop mugging Dee.

3290. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 07:11:09 UTC
From: Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <STXUjeBNmxOFFwNR@g3ohx.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 169309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
In message <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com writes
>
>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>> > >
>> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> > > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>> > > >
>> > > > Have a look at
>> > > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>> > > >
>> > > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>> >
>> > > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> > > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>> > > >
>> > > > Ian.
>> > >
>> > > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>> > > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>> > > still someone out there that knew code.
>> > >
>> > > SC
>> >
>> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>> >
>> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
>>
>> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
>> a "flashlight".
>
>I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.
>
>> > Bring your
>> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
>>
>> This may explain your confusion:
>
>I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
```

>Cavemen carry torches.
>

Oops! I didn't spot that problem. I should realised that 'torch' would cause confusion among you benighted Merkins. Being British, but having a Merkin daughter-in-law, I am reasonably bilingual these days. It's very interesting how the English language has diverged. However, be assured that, in the UK, we have progressed to using flashlights and torches interchangeably these days.
Ian.
--

3291. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 07:33:46 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dboqp.lq6.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:u70mj29365t0oujsr4ar7vke4cqp7v1ttr@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:25:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message

>You are blathering again, Mark. Your "justification" for taking me and
>others to task is circuitous at best,
the truth is often cirutous
...
In your mind, the truth is twisted to nonexistent.
...
but iif you do not want to be taken to task for attacking other
epeople then the answer is simple stop attacking other people with
your lies and bullshit. I fTood were a pruveyor of Kiddy porn your
efforts would have him busted and in jail jail byt now that you have
failed proves you have NO case
...
I've not attacked others. I've made statements of fact. I've made NO
attempts to rat Todd out to any authority. Not my style. I need no "case".
Todd has a fondness for cartoons of little, naked girls having sex with
grown men. What part of that do you not find objectionable?
...
you claim (or was that deloeron who may still be you) claim I am going
to be busted off hughes net been pormising that for roughly a year now
it doesn't happen
...
I've made no such claim or attempt. I cannot care less who your IP is, Mark.
Don't flatter yourself and stop looking for ghosts in your closet. You are
making false accusations again. Simply put? You are not important enough for
me to undertake such an attempt.
...
do you do the same thing and stop and rethink your actions? no you go
right being a bully You attack Tood becuase sometimes you can provake
into a reation you like to make fun of,,, that is the sole reason you
attack you prod Roger because his response amusses you and you find
watching him foama t the mouth amusing
...
Yes, I do. We all do. We all take a bit of perverse pleasure in watching
Roger fly off the deep end. He is amusing. You, on the other hand, are not.
....
that makes one sick bastard whatever your name is
...

Getting a bit "testy" here, Markie? Are you taking umbrage at your intellect
being challenged?
...

leave roger alone leave Todd alone Id like you to leave me alone but
if you want to fight fine bring it on, but if you make fighting with
me YOUR choice don't blame me for the resulting mess in the NG
...
Oh, wow! The Knight In Shining Armor rides again! Leave Roger alone? You
first.
Leave Todd alone? Who are YOU to demand this? You, a nobody who spams
hundreds of times each week? Pot/Kettle, Markie. You've not any room to
preach.
...
you want policy debate in the NG then post something on radio policy
or at least on radio
...
If you want policy debate, you should initiate same. Stop your inane and
hundreds of pointless posts. Simple as that. And don't even attempt to
dictate that which I post. You have no right, spammer. May I suggest that
you sweep your own doorstep first.
....

Todd posts on Omega One are at least radio topics even if not exactly
policy topics thereffore as a postive comtributor to the NG he
deserves my support and will get it
...
I see. Kiddie porn included. You really DO fancy yourself as Todd's Knight
In Shining Armor coming to his rescue. Congratulations, Lone Ranger.
Apparently you deputized yourself as the latest Deputy Dog of Usenet. All
you need now is a badge and a spell check program.
...
I act defensively defending myself and others I have even often
defended Roger although I frankly think he is beyond all real help
beside giving the Noddle as the NAZI's called it and honest for Roger
the noodle might well be an act of mercy
...
Again, who are YOU to say? Your opinion counts for little. Continue
"defending" Roger...see where that gets you. Or maybe you could simply post
two hundred more posts of "id tehft is a crime".
...
all persons have the right and moral duty of self defense, all person
have to duty to protect those unfairly attacked to the extent they
can
...
Again, who deputized you? Stop presenting yourself as a moral authority.
Coming from an admitted bisexual, Mark, that simply does not wash.
...
you have never been unfairly attacked nor has Robeson or Roger or Hiel
or anybody else, BY ME. no actiontion of mine has been anything but
defensive.

....
You are incorrect. More Mark Morgan Poppycock from your end. Of course I expect nothing less from you.
.
nothing unclear about the the notion that defense is always a good thing , it gets a bit murky at time when it become clear the only defense is a good offense
...
Unfortunately, you have no defense other than to post hundreds of times over that which does not concern you. You antagonize Wiseman far more than he does you. Wiseman posts ten times, you post ten times that. Steve posts three or four comments and you bounce off your Michigan Basement walls and rebut with another seventy or eighty posts.
In short? You have easily earned the reputation of being a Newsgroup idiot, Mark.
What you think to be a "good offense" is not. It is merely offensive.
And now to wait to see how you justify defending a kiddie porn addict...

Hi Yo Silver! Awaayyy!

3292. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 07:38:14 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dbp35.o67.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>wrote:

>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>walk the talk
>.....
>
>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
why was no coment due?

(not unlike your defense of Todd...you insinuated I had no right to comment,
remember?)

Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
...
Feeling a bit self-conscious are you? She has said nothing to you or about
you. Leave her out of your idiotic meanderings.

3293. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 08:23:13 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 16:28:34 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 08:58:09 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Not dropped here.
>>>
>>>>Even 9 year olds can learn the code.
>>
>> some can maening it is worhtless and unneeded for the rest
>>
>>>>Barry OGrady wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Not since it was dropped completly 3 years ago.
>>>>
>>
>"Worthless and Unneeded"? That was NOT the question!! Learn to answer the
>question! READ THE SUBJECT LINE.
>
>No one asked whether it is useful, it absolutely is, the question is: is it
>"keeping good people out of ham radio?"
>
>NOPE!!!

Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.

Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a

mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses", which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her first steps.

Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?

3294. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 10:29:44 UTC
From: scooter <scooter@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sKH_g.19386$ta3.1313@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169311
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

"just john" <teuy13002@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
news:12jkbuhqtc5d060@corp.supernews.com...
> On 10/20/2006 08:18 PM, Mark@kb9rqz.org told the world:
> > On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:00:04 GMT, HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >> show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>> Markie Morgan wrote:
> >
> > id theft is a crime
>
> Identity is theft!!
>
First they steal your id, then they come after your ego, then finally, your
super-ego. But the joke's on them , because all they get is a bunch of
conflicts, and you are left with total enlightenment.

-s

3295. 10-4 Good Buddy is agay bahsing sack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 11:09:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol99apqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 15:57:07 -0700, 10-4 Good Buddy wrote:
> When I find your address Markie, I am going to fill the police in on ALL
> your illegal activities. I have been emailing hams in your area so sack of
> shit.
>
> By the way, I am building a website for you! Ill give you the address as
> soon as I get it complete
you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate
them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3296. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 11:20:27 UTC
From: JIMMIE <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM>
Message-ID: <1161516027.211840.221040@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> "JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
> news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> >> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> >>
> >> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> >> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >> >
> >> > Have a look at
> >> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >> >
> >> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> >> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> >> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >> >
> >> > Ian.
> >>
> >>
> >> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> >> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> >> still someone out there that knew code.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
> > you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
> > unless its in morse.
> >
>
> Unless one does know the distress signal in Morse, why would anyone consider
> that someone was signaling a problem. They could just as easily have
> thought it was some kids goofing off.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

3297. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 11:22:59 UTC
From: JIMMIE <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM>
Message-ID: <1161516179.173330.155010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> "JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
> news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> >> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> >>
> >> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> >> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >> >
> >> > Have a look at
> >> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >> >
> >> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> >> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> >> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >> >
> >> > Ian.
> >>
> >>
> >> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> >> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> >> still someone out there that knew code.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
> > you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
> > unless its in morse.
> >
>
> Unless one does know the distress signal in Morse, why would anyone consider
> that someone was signaling a problem. They could just as easily have
> thought it was some kids goofing off.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

Good thing you dont stand watch.

3298. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 12:47:14 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <nqKdndO0747-9abYnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...

[snip]

> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>
> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
> first steps.
>
> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?

Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have experienced people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that they had done it.

So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%

hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I know people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the deaf have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the competition.

Dee, N8UZE

3299. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 12:47:38 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <uLWdnQPAQv_x9abYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@bright.net>
Source record: 169316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I haven't really been following this thread, so I'll assume it
originated by someone who thinks the code requirement (here in the USA)
should be removed from the license requirements. Personally, I don't
think it needs to be removed because with a little practice, anyone can
do 5 WPM. In fact, I recall a young man of about 5 years old getting his
license many years ago...it used to be written up in one of the chapters
of the ARRL handbook. If you don't want to learn code, we have the
Technician class license for that. Anyhow, where in the rules does it
say a ham is required to know code to possibly save a life one day?
It's just something they came up with to use in testing for a license.
From the FCC rules:

97.3 Definitions.
(a) The definitions of terms used in Part 97 are:

(4) Amateur service. A radiocommunication service for the purpose of
self-training,
intercommunication and technical investigations carried out by amateurs,
that is,
duly authorized persons interested in radio technique solely with a
personal aim
and without pecuniary interest.

>>Unless one does know the distress signal in Morse, why would anyone consider
>>that someone was signaling a problem. They could just as easily have
>>thought it was some kids goofing off.
>>
>>Dee, N8UZE
>
>
> Good thing you dont stand watch.
>

3300. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 12:48:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OfmdnSDe_6Zf9abYnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Ian Jackson" <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:STXUjeBNmxOFFwNR@g3ohx.demon.co.uk...
> In message <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com writes
>>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>> > >
>>> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse
>>> > > had
>>> > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>> > >
>>> > > Have a look at
>>> > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>> > >
>>> > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>> >
>>> > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch
>>> > > to
>>> > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>> > >
>>> > > Ian.
>>> >
>>> > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>> > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>> > still someone out there that knew code.
>>> >
>>> > SC
>>> >
>>> Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>>> >
>>> Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
>>>
>>> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
>>> a "flashlight".
>>
>>I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.
>>
>>> Bring your
>>> lunch and fire extinguisher.

>>>
>>> This may explain your confusion:
>>
>>I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
>>Cavemen carry torches.
>>
>
> Oops! I didn't spot that problem. I should realised that 'torch' would
> cause confusion among you benighted Merkins. Being British, but having a
> Merkin daughter-in-law, I am reasonably bilingual these days. It's very
> interesting how the English language has diverged. However, be assured
> that, in the UK, we have progressed to using flashlights and torches
> interchangeably these days.
> Ian.
> --

One place I worked where we sometimes bid on jobs from the UK kept a list of terms with the UK versus US definitions because of the differences in terminology.

Dee, N8UZE

3301. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 12:51:20 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wt-dna4ccsrE9KbYnZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
news:1161516179.173330.155010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "JIMMIE" <JIMMIEDEE123@YAHOO.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1161425920.825865.62130@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>> >> news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>> >>
>> >> > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>> >> > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>> >> >
>> >> > Have a look at
>> >> > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>> >> >
>> >> > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>> >> > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>> >> > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>> >> >
>> >> > Ian.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>> >> of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>> >> still someone out there that knew code.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > I guess this means if you were in the tower others would die because
>> > you cant recongnise a flashing light on a boat as a distrress call
>> > unless its in morse.
>> >
>>
>> Unless one does know the distress signal in Morse, why would anyone
>> consider
>> that someone was signaling a problem. They could just as easily have
>> thought it was some kids goofing off.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> Good thing you dont stand watch.

Since I know Morse code, it wouldn't be a problem. Just because a light is being flashed, doesn't mean it is a distress signal. If I were standing watch, I'd make sure that I was conversant with the various distress signals that might be used.

Dee, N8UZE

3302. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 12:57:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FKednbfUtupE96bYnZ2dnUVZ_qmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Scott" <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote in message
news:uLWdnQPAQv_x9abYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@bright.net...
>I haven't really been following this thread, so I'll assume it originated
>by someone who thinks the code requirement (here in the USA) should be
>removed from the license requirements. Personally, I don't think it needs
>to be removed because with a little practice, anyone can do 5 WPM. In fact,
>I recall a young man of about 5 years old getting his license many years
>ago...it used to be written up in one of the chapters of the ARRL handbook.
>If you don't want to learn code, we have the Technician class license for
>that. Anyhow, where in the rules does it say a ham is required to know
>code to possibly save a life one day? It's just something they came up with
>to use in testing for a license.

[snip]

Personally I happen to believe it should be kept simply because it is one of the basics of ham radio. Even if one never uses it after, it should be learned at a basic (i.e. 5wpm) level. This later enables the individual to later decide if they want to pursue it to a higher level. If they are not required to learn the basic level, they may forever falsely believe that code is too hard for them.

Dee, N8UZE

3303. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 13:48:48 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4FK_g.768$GR2.519@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:D6y_g.10628$Lv3.2213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1161431219.618068.308400@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>> These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>> amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>> on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>> the total number of active licenses that
>> class contains:
>>
>> As of May 14, 2000:
>>
>> Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
>> Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
>> Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
>> General - 112,677 (16.7%)
>> Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
>> Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 291,209 (43.2%)
>>
>> Total all classes - 674,792
>>
>> As of October 17, 2006:
>>
>> Novice - 24,187 (3.7%) [decrease of 25,142]
>> Technician - 286,314 (43.6%) [increase of 80,920]
>> Technician Plus - 35,056 (5.3%) [decrease of 93,804]
>> General - 131,866 (20.1%) [increase of 19,189]
>> Advanced - 70,623 (10.8%) [decrease of 29,159]
>> Extra - 108,647 (16.5%) [increase of 29,897]
>>
>> (percentages may not add up to exactly 100.0% due to rounding)
>>
>> Total Tech/TechPlus - 321,370 (49.0%) [decrease of 12,884]
>>
>> Total General/Advanced/Extra - 311,136 (47.4%) [increase of 19,927]
>>
>> Total all classes - 656,513 (decrease of 18,279)
>>

>> Note that these totals do not include licenses
>> that have expired but are in the grace period.
>>
>> They also do not include club, military
>> or other station-only licenses.
>>
>> Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
>> Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
>> are no longer issued.
>>
>> Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
>> Technician Plus licenses as Technician. By May of
>> 2010, the number of Technician Plus licenses will drop
>> to zero, because all of them will have been renewed as
>> Technician or allowed to expire. It is therefore
>> informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
>> since the Technician class includes a significant
>> number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
>> Technician.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
> Thanks Jim,
>
> Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.
>
> SC

Well, hopefully it really won't matter too much. I personally hope they take your coveted HF bands for worldwide data communications, and outlaw HF ham radio altogether. You can then hook your key up to a buzzer and code yourself into the grave.

HTH

3304. woger weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 13:59:57 UTC
From: Mork Morgan <Gavrielah@genderbender.net>
Message-ID: <453b6c6b$0$19676$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169321

http://www.animationstand.com/gallery/rubberco/Jail.jpg

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3305. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 14:43:20 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dee Flint wrote:
> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> understanding of the requirements.

Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
intelligence.

Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."

Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"

Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
of the technical exams. Problem solved.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3306. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 14:46:07 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PuL_g.15106$GR.215@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Scott wrote:
> ... with a little practice, anyone can do 5 WPM.

Please prove that by teaching 5 WPM to my 96 year
old aunt, suffering from Alzheimer's.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3307. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 14:54:45 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MbadnYmuV4XbG6bYnZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>> understanding of the requirements.
>
> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
> intelligence.
>
> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>
> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>
> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
> of the technical exams. Problem solved.

Nope, won't solve the problem.

The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!

Dee, N8UZE

3308. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 14:57:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <u6CdnYtZtc5JG6bYnZ2dnUVZ_umdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PuL_g.15106$GR.215@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Scott wrote:
>> ... with a little practice, anyone can do 5 WPM.
>
> Please prove that by teaching 5 WPM to my 96 year
> old aunt, suffering from Alzheimer's.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Since she would also not be able to pass the writtens, it's a moot point.

The discussion is only relevant to those that can pass the written but say
that they cannot pass the code.

Dee, N8UZE

3309. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 15:35:24 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehg33r$9ur$15@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169327
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ah <splifingate@gmail.com> verbally sodomised in
news:453ac978$0$15872$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com:

> Phil Kyle wrote:
>> The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> verbally
>> sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.19.08.02.17.140172@statements.likeyours:
>>
>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>>>> screamed:
>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>> <snip>
>>> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >*plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3310. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 15:35:34 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehg346$9ur$16@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169326
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"honestjohn" <honestjohn@centurytel.net> verbally sodomised in
news:uf6dnTxNVucFaqfYnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@centurytel.net:

>
> "ah" <splifingate@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> *plonk*
>>
> Hi, pop.
>
Bye plop.

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3311. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 15:55:27 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehg49f$9ur$61@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169328
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ah <splifingate@gmail.com> verbally sodomised in
news:453acf20$0$15910$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com:

> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>> further by squeaking:
>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>

>>>

>>
>> Note: still no response --
>>>*plonk* >>>>>>>>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

3312. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 16:15:10 UTC
From: HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
Message-ID: <iOM_g.15131$GR.14702@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169329
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

scooter wrote:

> First they steal your id, then they come after your ego, then finally, your
> super-ego. But the joke's on them , because all they get is a bunch of
> conflicts, and you are left with total enlightenment.

I got off easy. When they stole my Id, it went apeship and pounded
their fucking asses into mulch. The rest went buggo on its own.

--

HellPope Huey
Suddenly... ah, big deal

The whole purpose of places like Starbucks
is for people with no decision-making ability whatsoever
to make six decisions just to buy one cup of coffee.
Short, tall, light, dark, caf, decaf, low-fat,
non-fat, etc.
So people who don't know what the hell they're doing
or who on earth they are, can, for only $2.95,
get not just a cup of coffee
but an absolutely defining sense of self.
~ Tom Hanks, "You've Got Mail"

"Giant frogs, giant frogs, what can I say?
Back to you."
~ Lewis Black

http://www.beat-factory.net/hellpope/Symphesis2.mp3

3313. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 16:25:26 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <andoldfriend@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <453b8e83$0$19734$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169330
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb,alt.morgan.homo

"HellPopeHuey" <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net> wrote in message
news:iOM_g.15131$GR.14702@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> scooter wrote:
>
>> First they steal your id, then they come after your ego, then finally,
>> your
>> super-ego. But the joke's on them , because all they get is a bunch of
>> conflicts, and you are left with total enlightenment.
>
> I got off easy. When they stole my Id, it went apeship and pounded their
> fucking asses into mulch. The rest went buggo on its own.
>
>
I wantyou two ponud my ass intwo mluch two I lkie sloid waste now tanks to
tood.

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
>
> HellPope Huey
> Suddenly... ah, big deal
>
> The whole purpose of places like Starbucks
> is for people with no decision-making ability whatsoever
> to make six decisions just to buy one cup of coffee.
> Short, tall, light, dark, caf, decaf, low-fat,
> non-fat, etc.
> So people who don't know what the hell they're doing
> or who on earth they are, can, for only $2.95,
> get not just a cup of coffee
> but an absolutely defining sense of self.
> ~ Tom Hanks, "You've Got Mail"
>
> "Giant frogs, giant frogs, what can I say?
> Back to you."
> ~ Lewis Black
>
> http://www.beat-factory.net/hellpope/Symphesis2.mp3
>

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3314. nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 16:30:10 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <andoldfriend@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <453b8fa0$0$19715$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

ist from mi blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3315. tihngs taht get me piss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 16:34:05 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <andoldfriend@yahoo.net>
Message-ID: <453b908b$0$19663$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

lying

my wife she male

a child molestor

welfare fraud

libelous accusations against others to harrass them

calling my DAD a rapist

the non existance of the 47th my old unit

the non existance of the 214 fa of which it
was part in punce

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3316. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 16:50:17 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jn8a99luvt96f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Ian Jackson wrote:
> In message <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com writes
>
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>> > >
>>> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether
>>> morse had
>>> > > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Have a look at
>>> > > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>> > > >
>>> > > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>> >
>>> > > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his
>>> torch to
>>> > > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Ian.
>>> > >
>>> > > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>> > > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>> > > still someone out there that knew code.
>>> > >
>>> > > SC
>>> >
>>> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>>> >
>>> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
>>>
>>> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
>>> a "flashlight".
>>
>>
>> I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.
>>
```

>>> > Bring your
>>> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
>>>
>>> This may explain your confusion:
>>
>>
>> I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
>> Cavemen carry torches.
>>
>
> Oops! I didn't spot that problem. I should realised that 'torch' would
> cause confusion among you benighted Merkins. Being British, but having a
> Merkin daughter-in-law, I am reasonably bilingual these days. It's very
> interesting how the English language has diverged. However, be assured
> that, in the UK, we have progressed to using flashlights and torches
> interchangeably these days.
> Ian.
Ian;

Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
said" We are one people divided by a common language".

Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot

3317. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:12:28 UTC
From: HellPopeHuey <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net>
Message-ID: <0EN_g.15147$GR.5706@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169334
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb,alt.morgan.homo

Mark Morgan wrote:
> "HellPopeHuey" <HellPopeHuey@Boom.net> wrote in message
> news:iOM_g.15131$GR.14702@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...

>> I got off easy. When they stole my Id, it went apeship and pounded their
>>fucking asses into mulch. The rest went buggo on its own.
>>
> I wantyou two ponud my ass intwo mluch two I lkie sloid waste now tanks to
> tood.
>> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

Sorry, I don't fuck retards or guys or retarded guys. *I* have
STANDARDS. Of course, that may not be saying much, since I once
stump-fucked a calf on a dare after twice the legal limit of tequila.
These days, I am more restrained and just stick to Nyquil and pills.

--

HellPope Huey
Suddenly... ah, big deal

The whole purpose of places like Starbucks
is for people with no decision-making ability whatsoever
to make six decisions just to buy one cup of coffee.
Short, tall, light, dark, caf, decaf, low-fat,
non-fat, etc.
So people who don't know what the hell they're doing
or who on earth they are, can, for only $2.95,
get not just a cup of coffee
but an absolutely defining sense of self.
~ Tom Hanks, "You've Got Mail"

"Giant frogs, giant frogs, what can I say?
Back to you."
~ Lewis Black

http://www.beat-factory.net/hellpope/Symphesis2.mp3

3318. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:36:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <30bnj2h76g6hbr5vdrhama3k268rbk953p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169335
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb,alt.morgan.homo

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 12:25:26 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<andoldfriend@yahoo.net> wrote:

>
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3319. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:37:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <b2bnj29gh4gkq40lneprqb780u0jocme8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements.
back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
DEE
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3320. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:38:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <v3bnj29ualpbhk69bctokb6bn8r19kr0a2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>> intelligence.
>>
>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>
>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>
>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>
>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>
>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
when you planing on starting Dee?
>
>
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3321. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:38:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <l4bnj2d2kse719591psksa1m4lco3kreac@4ax.com>
Source record: 169338

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 09:59:57 -0400, "Mork Morgan"
<Gavrielah@genderbender.net> wrote:

>
why try to steal what you can't have
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3322. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:39:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <p5bnj2dv2mmnmdq19kvfvkem0bv3r5e7v5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 12:30:10 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<andoldfriend@yahoo.net> wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3323. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:40:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <17bnj2h79oaf4f10urn1n6csm1u3n3rppr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 02:38:14 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>
>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>walk the talk
>>.........
>>
>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
>why was no coment due?
>
>(not unlike your defense of Todd...you insinuated I had no right to comment,
>remember?)
no i satted you had no right to ATTACK and harrass him (or anybody
>
>Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
>the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
>...
>Feeling a bit self-conscious are you? She has said nothing to you or about
>you. Leave her out of your idiotic meanderings.
not lately but asgain I merely attack her record as google provides

you have enaged in gereanl harrassment
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3324. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:41:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <5abnj2ds3n9374gdus04o5uva9298ph62c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:57:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Scott" <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote in message
>news:uLWdnQPAQv_x9abYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@bright.net...
>>I haven't really been following this thread, so I'll assume it originated
>>by someone who thinks the code requirement (here in the USA) should be
>>removed from the license requirements. Personally, I don't think it needs
>>to be removed because with a little practice, anyone can do 5 WPM. In fact,
>>I recall a young man of about 5 years old getting his license many years
>>ago...it used to be written up in one of the chapters of the ARRL handbook.
>>If you don't want to learn code, we have the Technician class license for
>>that. Anyhow, where in the rules does it say a ham is required to know
>>code to possibly save a life one day? It's just something they came up with
>>to use in testing for a license.
>
>[snip]
>
>Personally I happen to believe it should be kept simply because it is one of
>the basics of ham radio.
bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3325. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:43:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <fcbnj2h0uko2t7bqv6eagf39g7allgv37a@4ax.com>
Source record: 169342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 11:50:17 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Ian Jackson wrote:
>> In message <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com writes
>>
>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>>> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>> > >
>>>> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether
>>>> morse had
>>>> > > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > Have a look at
>>>> > > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>> >
>>>> > > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his
>>>> torch to
>>>> > > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>> > > >
>>>> > > > Ian.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>> > > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>> > > still someone out there that knew code.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > SC
>>>> >
>>>> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
>>>> >
>>>> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
>>>>
>>>> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
>>>> a "flashlight".
>>>

>>>
>>> I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.
>>>
>>>> > Bring your
>>>> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
>>>>
>>>> This may explain your confusion:
>>>
>>>
>>> I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
>>> Cavemen carry torches.
>>>
>>
>> Oops! I didn't spot that problem. I should realised that 'torch' would
>> cause confusion among you benighted Merkins. Being British, but having a
>> Merkin daughter-in-law, I am reasonably bilingual these days. It's very
>> interesting how the English language has diverged. However, be assured
>> that, in the UK, we have progressed to using flashlights and torches
>> interchangeably these days.
>> Ian.
>Ian;
>
>Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>said" We are one people divided by a common language".
BUZZ that was Bernard Shaw that wrote that bit
>
>Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3326. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:44:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <idbnj2hlvvo9dj30kir14s0592jf4m72s2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 02:33:46 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>I've not attacked others.
bullshit yOne learnt o post correctly if you want to discuss something

you attack Tood and myself and others

you do not ever contribe anything to the NG those are the afacts you
just seek to spread chaos for your amusment
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3327. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:45:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <6hbnj2pobuu6q80d6lplkj1tp9ehk6b4s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 13:09:16 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

>
>
even when you a better job than normal of forgering

but of course I no worries about any accurate account to law
enforcement
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3328. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 17:46:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <2jbnj2hkflenv23p2gci5nlca68f0svcjt@4ax.com>
Source record: 169344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 12:34:05 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
<andoldfriend@yahoo.net> wrote:

>

more forgery more crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3329. id theft uis a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:14:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <45dnj2lbkkrncmsml5kc7cp0kroggm9qc4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169348
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:00:39 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>"you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate
>them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in."
>
>Boy, The self-righteous Knight In Shining Armor sure told you, didn't he?
no if you read youd kknow I did not post it very likely you did so you
could attack it
> I
>guess you got under Morkie's skin with that one.
then you are clearly a fool or lier to bleive that

Indeed anybody is welcome to waste a bit more my local LE officers
time (or rather drag em away form the local doughnut shop) with the
wild and unsupported charges you like to make
>
>Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3330. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:20:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161541215.955648.196700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
>

> And what have YOU contributed other than several hundred mindless posts?
I have comtribted a sense of justice and made hunderds of on topic
posts. I havemade no mindless posts at all perhaps you are couting the
posts of the forgers as mine they are not. I agree one can't come out
with anything particular enlightening to say about the hunderds of
forgeries made in my name or my wifes but that does not make them
mindless

your total of on topic post is zero therefore my record is infinitly
better than yours

3331. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:23:50 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <K9OdnYVedO2oKqbYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

What lie??

No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
It is a fact.

Example: you can call yourself a marine two days after enlistment. But, you
become a Marine after completion of basic training! The commitment to success
through a period of trial and learning makes the Marine not the uniform.

No-coders have enlisted in the hobby. But, ...

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>
>>>Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>>kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>>am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>>work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>>building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>>>back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>>allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>
>>>Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>>with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>>railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>>steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>>or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>>locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>>theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>>propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>>were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>>mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>>machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>>which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>>first steps.
>>>

>>>Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>
>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>understanding of the requirements.
>
> back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
> DEE
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

3332. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:32:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161541926.043189.256600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
> What lie??
>
> No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
> It is a fact.

have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
metor scater contacts

OTOH you are lying

all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
only apllies if you can touch type in the first place

3333. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:33:20 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r6WdnaHWGJ7yJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

SNIPPED

> bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Ignoring the profanity [when profanity surfaces in a discussion it is evidence that you are losing the argument], Maxwell's Equations are first of all NOT Maxwell's equations. They are Heaviside's Equations [go do a rigorous check].

Secondly, the equations describe physics, not operating practice.

Thirdly, the equations and their understanding are not requirements for a license. Most hams cannot perform the vector calculus or solve the second order differential equations necessary to solve those equations [Many professional broadcast engineers probably can't solve them either]. After 40+ years from my EE degree I know I can't solve them without some refresher math courses.

Finally, increasing one's personal skills in the radio communications art and technique for personal improvement, not $ gain, is the requirement for a ham license, at least here in the USA.

Increasing personal skills ... does not mean becoming an appliance operator.

3334. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:34:55 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
> said" We are one people divided by a common language".
>
> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot

A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????

3335. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:36:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161542161.345555.324500@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:D6y_g.10628$Lv3.2213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

> > Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.
> >
> > SC
>
> Well, hopefully it really won't matter too much. I personally hope they take
> your coveted HF bands for worldwide data communications, and outlaw HF ham
> radio altogether.
such a negitve attaudte tom you are nothing but hate filled why don't
seek treament a few drugs and you will feel better

3336. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:38:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161542281.049864.244960@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
> > bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
>
> Ignoring the profanity [when profanity surfaces in a discussion it is evidence
> that you are losing the argument], Maxwell's Equations are first of all NOT
> Maxwell's equations. They are Heaviside's Equations [go do a rigorous check].
nope he lost any credit years ago

and Bullshit is not profanity is is vulagrity and the spellcop has lost
befor e he starts

3337. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:39:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161542369.890195.249060@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
> SNIPPED

> Thirdly, the equations and their understanding are not requirements for a
> license.
never said they were

3338. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:41:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161542484.117763.257030@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>

> Finally, increasing one's personal skills in the radio communications art and
> technique for personal improvement, not $ gain, is the requirement for a ham
> license, at least here in the USA.

no it is gaol not a requirement but Morse Code in no way advances the
radio anymore that went out decades ago

I have seen 3 point and 3 lies from you on my posts today other than
making a another decent about churchill your fact seems to be off at
best

3339. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 18:51:16 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnfd4qmqjasfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:57:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"Scott" <acepilot@bloomer.net> wrote in message
>>news:uLWdnQPAQv_x9abYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@bright.net...
>>
>>>I haven't really been following this thread, so I'll assume it originated
>>>by someone who thinks the code requirement (here in the USA) should be
>>>removed from the license requirements. Personally, I don't think it needs
>>>to be removed because with a little practice, anyone can do 5 WPM. In fact,
>>>I recall a young man of about 5 years old getting his license many years
>>>ago...it used to be written up in one of the chapters of the ARRL handbook.
>>>If you don't want to learn code, we have the Technician class license for
>>>that. Anyhow, where in the rules does it say a ham is required to know
>>>code to possibly save a life one day? It's just something they came up with
>>>to use in testing for a license.
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>Personally I happen to believe it should be kept simply because it is one of
>>the basics of ham radio.
>
> bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

"BASICS" AND "BASIS" are two different words with different meanings.

Basics include Basis among other topics, while Basis is Basic to any topic.

What is meant here, which you deliberately misunderstand, is that to
obtain the title of "Amateur Radio Operator" you must first understand
"Basic" electronics, Elementary communications "LAW", "Basic" radio
operating procedures, "Basic" radio theory, multiple operating
techniques, including: Voice, Digital, various modes i.e. AM, FM, etc.
Those of us that support the inclusion of a "BASIC" understanding of CW
communications do so because CW is "BASIC" to Amateur Radio
Communications. With out the CW ability you are just a partial HAM. With
the CW ability you have a more rounder understanding of our hobby. There
is no necessity to use CW at anytime in your Amateur Radio lifetime
after you demonstrate the ability to receive 25 letters/numbers/punction

characters within one minute with out error.
One of the reasons that I don't use CW is that years ago when I got my Novice I was in a QSO with a station and it took me atleast 5 minutes to correctly "HEAR" his call sign. I kept running the letters together. So much for my CW ability. I did , however, pass the Element 1 CW test twice. Once for my novice and once when I went for Tech before I got my Novice ticket. This was taken at the FCC examination site.

Remember your opinions are just as valuable as mine and are of equal status no matter what you may think of mine.

Dave WD9BDZ

3340. you scak of shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:00:39 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd12k.bfl.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169346
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in."

Boy, The self-righteous Knight In Shining Armor sure told you, didn't he? I guess you got under Morkie's skin with that one.

Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!

3341. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:07:37 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd1fm.gee.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:idbnj2hlvvo9dj30kir14s0592jf4m72s2@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 02:33:46 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>I've not attacked others.
bullshit yOne learnt o post correctly if you want to discuss something

you attack Tood and myself and others

you do not ever contribe anything to the NG those are the afacts you
just seek to spread chaos for your amusment
..,
Pot, Kettle.
And what have YOU contributed other than several hundred mindless posts?

3342. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:16:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <krgnj2l0clqqt6k60goullu2ehb7jcou1u@4ax.com>
Source record: 169412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:54:16 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh, wait.
>You can't.

and you just serve up proof that code keep good people out and lets
the trash in

you can't even proeprly format a USENET posting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3343. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:18:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <5ugnj25fmc2gjv6hk6nq6oejedihanu99j@4ax.com>
Source record: 169413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:50:37 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161541215.955648.196700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>Not Lloyd wrote:
>>
>
>> And what have YOU contributed other than several hundred mindless posts?
>I have comtribted a sense of justice and made hunderds of on topic
>posts. I havemade no mindless posts at all perhaps you are couting the
>posts of the forgers as mine they are not. I agree one can't come out
>with anything particular enlightening to say about the hunderds of
>forgeries made in my name or my wifes but that does not make them
>mindless
>
>your total of on topic post is zero therefore my record is infinitly
>better than yours
>..
>More idiocy from your end. You have contributed NOTHING, nada!
wrong again you like to dismiss it but I have contitbuted you have not
it is just that simple
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3344. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:20:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161544805.213674.94910@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169414
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161542065.467683.262330@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>

> Is this another example of your "on topic" posts, Markie? Hmmmm?
not particularly no

> You lose.
nope I lose nothing
>
> I win.
what is it that you think you have won?

I keep asking that and you and your ilk never answer

3345. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:34:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161545642.029397.185660@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169417
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
>

>
> Oh, but I do Mark.

nope you do not format them corectly you can proerply atrute your
quotes and it seesm you can even read

3346. Re: you scak of shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:35:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161545742.735571.112470@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169418
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
> "

> Now Markie is trying to jihack the thread...
nop you are repsonding to a forgery most likely of your own making
>
> Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!

3347. Re: KB9RQZ the geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:43:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161546188.552768.30320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
>

> The only thing Mark knows about plate shifting is going to the smorgasboard.
and you claim you never attack anybody

3348. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:47:47 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnin4edipmle0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dave wrote:

> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>
>>
>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>> said" We are one people divided by a common language".
>>
>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>
>
>
> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>

Well, he did do his statesmanship in Great Britain but he was the First and so far only "Honorary American Citizen".

See Wikipedia. Appropriate extract: In 1963 U.S. President John F. Kennedy acting under authorization granted by an Act of Congress, proclaimed Churchill the first Honorary Citizen of the United States. Churchill was too ill to attend the White House ceremony, so his son and grandson accepted the award for him.

Besides his mother was an American Citizen conferring upon Winnie eligibility for his own status of "American Citizen" should he have chosen to exercise it.

Dave N.

3349. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:47:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161546473.459981.46630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169423
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
>

>
> Try that again, Mark.
no you got it the first and you can't propely format your usenet
posting meaning you are pretty stupid

3350. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:50:37 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd40a.pn2.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161541215.955648.196700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Not Lloyd wrote:
>

> And what have YOU contributed other than several hundred mindless posts?
I have comtribted a sense of justice and made hunderds of on topic
posts. I havemade no mindless posts at all perhaps you are couting the
posts of the forgers as mine they are not. I agree one can't come out
with anything particular enlightening to say about the hunderds of
forgeries made in my name or my wifes but that does not make them
mindless

your total of on topic post is zero therefore my record is infinitly
better than yours
..
More idiocy from your end. You have contributed NOTHING, nada! Can you
explain why so many out here have killfiled you if you "contribute" so much?
You live in some strange kind of alternate world, Mark. Sense of justice?
Justice? Funny, that. Most out here see your mindless drivel as spam.

Hi Yo Silver! Awaaayyyy!!!

3351. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:51:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ssinj2ti3krgspl5je09hjvjn7h2niso3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 169424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:47:47 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Dave wrote:
>
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>
>>>
>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>> said" We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>
>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>
>>
>>
>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>
>
>
>Well, he did do his statesmanship in Great Britain but he was the First
>and so far only "Honorary American Citizen".
>
well that is a nice comeback
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3352. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:54:16 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd476.qf2.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161541926.043189.256600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...

Dave wrote:
> What lie??
>
> No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a
lie!
> It is a fact.

have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
metor scater contacts

OTOH you are lying

all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
...

Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh, wait.
You can't.
You're too busy making "scater" contacts. I guess you really do talk scat.
Ho hum.

3353. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 19:58:39 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnjbid50e7814@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

an_old_friend wrote:

> Dave wrote:
>
>>What lie??
>>
>>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>>It is a fact.
>
>
> have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
> metor scater contacts
>
> OTOH you are lying
>
> all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
> Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
> nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
> keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
> only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
>

I have not experienced EME or FS-ATV or a great many other areas of amateur radio but I have done CW. I don't do it anymore but I have experienced it. CW is the one mode that can get through under almost any condition. You can (I can't anyway) copy CW in the middle of a thunder storm, using the Aurora as a reflector, using meteor ionization trails as a reflector or just direct point to point contact to accomplish this. Try that with FM, AM, SSB voice or digital.

The problem with this and similar threads is a number of individuals do not feel that they need to learn CW because they don't intend to use it.

A person of "Quality" may never need the proper etiquette to greet the Queen but you had better know how to be considered as such a person.

Dave N. WD9BDZ

3354. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:04:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <8ejnj2d582bp1838mbnaoj9dh4b3na0tb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:58:39 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> Dave wrote:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>>
>>>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>>>It is a fact.
>>
>>
>> have you done EME or FS-ATV? or used ham radio ofor RC or enaged in
>> metor scater contacts
>>
>> OTOH you are lying
>>
>> all Nocode test persons don't use code is imlied by your statement.
>> Indeed I one of the stauchest nocoders have explored it. I am missing
>> nothing it is like the compliants I heard in my youth about membrane
>> keyboards it was said that you can't toauch type with em, well that
>> only apllies if you can touch type in the first place
>>
>
>
>I have not experienced EME or FS-ATV or a great many other areas of
>amateur radio but I have done CW.
then you aremi ssing out when you have stopped then come back and
skold me

> I don't do it anymore but I have
>experienced it. CW is the one mode that can get through under almost any
>condition.
so? why is this such a virtue?
> You can (I can't anyway) copy CW in the middle of a thunder
>storm, using the Aurora as a reflector....
preahps I am being picky but your use of lang is flawed One can or
some can but I certainly CAN"T
>..., using meteor ionization trails
>as a reflector or just direct point to point contact to accomplish this.
>Try that with FM, AM, SSB voice or digital.

I do digital signals off meter trails most days that I operate I have
been there done that got the qsl card

>
>The problem with this and similar threads is a number of individuals do
>not feel that they need to learn CW because they don't intend to use it.

indeed if they simply don't want to use it (in my it is can't use it
or close enough for any reasonable person) why should they have to
learn it
>
>A person of "Quality" may never need the proper etiquette to greet the
>Queen but you had better know how to be considered as such a person.

your point?
>
>Dave N. WD9BDZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3355. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:04:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161547493.458203.252850@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Ian Jackson wrote:
> In message <1161477421.402301.137240@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com writes
> >
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> > > Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
> >> > > news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
> >> > >
> >> > > > There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
> >> > > > saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Have a look at
> >> > > > http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
> >> > > >
> >> > > > "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
> >> >
> >> > > > Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
> >> > > > flash an SOS message in Morse code."
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Ian.
> >> > >
> >> > > Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
> >> > > of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
> >> > > still someone out there that knew code.
> >> > >
> >> > > SC
> >> >
> >> > Is it still Morse Code if the speed is measured in words per hour?
> >> >
> >> > Imagine lighting and extinguishing a torch to send SOS.
> >>
> >> In the UK, one of the common meanings of "torch" is what Americans call
> >> a "flashlight".
> >
> >I guess that's the difference between Homo Sapiens and Neanderthals.
> >
> >> > Bring your
> >> > lunch and fire extinguisher.
> >>
> >> This may explain your confusion:
> >
```

> >I happen to know the difference between flashlights and torches.
> >Cavemen carry torches.
>
> Oops! I didn't spot that problem. I should realised that 'torch' would
> cause confusion among you benighted Merkins. Being British, but having a
> Merkin daughter-in-law, I am reasonably bilingual these days. It's very
> interesting how the English language has diverged. However, be assured
> that, in the UK, we have progressed to using flashlights and torches
> interchangeably these days.
> Ian.

Just having a little fun with a people divided by a common language.

3356. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:05:17 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd4rr.sv9.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169411
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161542065.467683.262330@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...

Not Lloyd wrote:
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
> news:6lbnj254it5ubdj0jatv8ghqrtri59844k@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 02:42:49 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >nah Roger is a self hating homosexaul it is obvious I am wel
> >adjuested bisexaul very little in comon
> >...
> >That is rich, Markie. I like that. You are a hoot.
> is is accurate unlike your postings
> >
>
> Nobody who posts hundreds of times per diem on Usenet is "well adjusted".
why is that?

whjere did you get your medical degree

you just want to selince those voices that refuse to oe your line

and set your dam clock right asshole
...

Is this another example of your "on topic" posts, Markie? Hmmmm?
Worrying about my clock and then resorting to profanity??? Hmmmm?

You lose.

I win.

3357. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:24:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161548646.485339.266680@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169428

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>> He might be a former RRAPper. Can you guess which one?
> >>> I'll go with Mark and say it is a current RRAPer...a pro-coder who
> >>> is wrapped too tight...either Jimmie Noserve or that Waffen SS guy.
> >> I'll sidestep your rude and insulting remarks
> > >
> > > Are you sure those aren't "goose steps?"
> > >
> >> to advise you what I've
> >> told others here: I post as no one but myself in usenet. The same
> >> cannot be said for you, Avery.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Quitefine and Darktower made a big splash a while back. Do you
> > > remember or do you choose to ignore that FACT?
> >
> > Why? Were they Len too?
> >
> > Dave K8MN

The stuff on Comedy Central is much funnier.

3358. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:31:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd6da.vcg.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169415
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.hillary,alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:krgnj2l0clqqt6k60goullu2ehb7jcou1u@4ax.com...
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:54:16 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh, wait.
>You can't.

and you just serve up proof that code keep good people out and lets
the trash in

you can't even proeprly format a USENET posting
...

Oh, but I do Mark. You can read my posts (unlike the unreadable drivel YOU
post) so apparently they are formatted properly.
Now, if only you'd get off your lazy arse and learn to spell....

Hey! Here you go!
http://www.thefreedictionary.com/add2ie.htm

This little freebie program rides right along with you, your big white
gelding, Tonto, and your email program to help you correct spelling gaffes
on the fly. Get it, use it. That is how you post "proeprly".

I'm glad I could be of assistance.

3359. you scak of shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:34:29 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd6ii.a9.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169416
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in."

Boy, The self-righteous Knight In Shining Armor sure told you, didn't he? I guess you got under Morkie's skin with that one.
Now Markie is trying to jihack the thread...

Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!

3360. KB9RQZ the geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:41:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd702.57l.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark lied once again when he tried to type:
"well myself it was alomst that easy but then I have Master degree in
Geo-physics and do most of my work looking at sesmis wave progation"

The only thing Mark knows about plate shifting is going to the smorgasboard.

3361. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:43:51 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dd744.5mb.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169420
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161545642.029397.185660@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
Not Lloyd wrote:
>

>
> Oh, but I do Mark.

nope you do not format them corectly you can proerply atrute your
quotes and it seesm you can even read
...

Try that again, Mark. But please use English the next time. Deciphering your
posts is not unlike dealing with somebody from a third world country.

3362. Re It's the weekend. Woger has returned to NR Jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:55:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754iftskrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:38:09 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee wrote:
> At last he'll be safe from the skinheads.
he is asik sonofabtich who need mentla help. someday I mitgh loes
control andb eat the siht out of him but mostly I pity the sad scak of
shit.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3363. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 20:58:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161550683.387041.164320@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:38:09 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee wrote:
> >
it remain a crime

3364. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:27:43 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 169431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
thusly:

>What lie??

It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.

>No-coders are missing a major portion of the HR experience. That is NOT a lie!
>It is a fact.

It's too easy to find hams that know code, used code and hated it.

>Example: you can call yourself a marine two days after enlistment. But, you
>become a Marine after completion of basic training! The commitment to success
>through a period of trial and learning makes the Marine not the uniform.

Poor analogy. The Marines NEED harsh training to be able to do their
difficult jobs properly as lives and the nation depend on them. The
training is not a test of commitment, it is a harsh reality.
Ham radio is just a hobby.

>No-coders have enlisted in the hobby. But, ...

Did you not read my last post? It's right below. The guys who built
their own locomotives did NOT go up to the guys who bought theirs and
say "You are not a real model steam train operator!!!"

It's just a hobby.

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>
>>>
>>>>Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>>>kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>>>am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>>>work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>>>building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the

>>>>back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>>>allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>>
>>>>Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>>>with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>>>railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>>>steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>>>or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>>>locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>>>theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>>>propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>>>were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>>>mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>>>machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>>>which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>>>first steps.
>>>>
>>>>Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>>
>>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> back to lying about the motives and knowledge of the NoCoders again
>> DEE
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>

3365. Lloyd Davies NOVFP brags about being a bootlegger no code on 20 meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:30:27 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgntl$o5m$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Lloyd Davies NOVFP posing as"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message
news:dd476.qf2.17.1@news.alt.net...
> Hey, Lone Ranger. I'm up on 20 meters right now. Care to join me? Oh,
> wait.
> You can't.
>
You can't either, legally, lardass lloyd-tard.

http://i14.tinypic.com/2iqgw3p.jpg

3366. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:35:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 169433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3367. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:39:24 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>> intelligence.
>>
>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>
>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>
>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>
>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>
>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!

The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
weather or not you agree with the test method.

My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
"That will be $1 please".

I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

3368. Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:39:58 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgofg$oo6$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
> <LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:
>
>>
> and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
Get back to being led around by Lloyd Davies, you clueless retard. You have
to be the easiest play on the net.
```

3369. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:43:32 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <bfpnj2tu3g3a6iuk3qu48v0o7qhrr0d82r@4ax.com>
Source record: 169436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:39:58 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
>
and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3370. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:45:52 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <m9pnj2h8k815lc7eiaad651riqnjnot6vo@4ax.com>
Source record: 169437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have experienced
>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet
>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that
>they had done it.

That does NOT justify the snot-nosed attitude.

>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable
>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%
>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I know
>people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the deaf
>have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

Bravo for them. But that does not explain why some pro-coders are such
snot-rags.

>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who
>don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the
>competition.

No competitions, just a bunch of guys who like live steam model
trains. The club did not exist for that.

3371. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 21:55:17 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lopnj2h3hk72v0asbd5fousbb89c195ujk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 21:39:24 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> spake thusly:

>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
><deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>
>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>> intelligence.
>>>
>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>
>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>
>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>
>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>
>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams existing
>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>
>The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
>weather or not you agree with the test method.
>
>My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
>just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
>"That will be $1 please".
>
>I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
>tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
>to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
>at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

I forgot to mention that my dad has had a perfect driving record, not one ticket in 63 years. [yes, I did ask him] As for me, I have been known to fracture a traffic law or two. I ended up paying a hefty price for that but my record is clean now.

So, you see, lack of testing did not make my dad a bad driver while training and testing did not make me a good driver [at least not back in my teen years]. But then, I am a mechanic and I can rebuild a transmission in my back yard and you're not a real driver if you can't.

3372. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:03:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- wrote:
> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.

It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
the whole problem.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3373. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:11:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <23rnj25up9olfi1itlsv1gr3ariv322d8q@4ax.com>
Source record: 169440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:03:36 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Opus- wrote:
>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>
>It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
>and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
>obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
>less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
>the whole problem.
but would also exclude a number of good and decent ops as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3374. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:16:54 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnrelt7us2652@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>>
>>SNIPPED
>>
>>
>>>bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>>Ignoring the profanity [when profanity surfaces in a discussion it is evidence
>>that you are losing the argument], Maxwell's Equations are first of all NOT
>>Maxwell's equations. They are Heaviside's Equations [go do a rigorous check].
>
> nope he lost any credit years ago
>
> and Bullshit is not profanity is is vulagrity and the spellcop has lost
> befor e he starts
>

It doesn't matter which. It's use indicated an inability to express ones
self in an informed and educated manner. Such use is generally
indicative of losing ones side of the argument.

a different Dave

3375. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:20:36 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jnrlks567m413@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:47:47 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Dave wrote:
>>
>>
>>>David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>
>>>SNIPPED
>>>
>>>
>>>>Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>said" We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>
>>>>Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>
>>
>>
>>Well, he did do his statesmanship in Great Britain but he was the First
>>and so far only "Honorary American Citizen".
>>
>
> well that is a nice comeback
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Thank you.

BTW it seems that I have been wrong on the attribution of the
observation. Someone else pointed out the another great person made the
comment not Churchill. At least I can't find where Churchill may have
made it.

My apologies for the error but the sentiment still stands.

Dave N

3376. Re; Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:23:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.net
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufiglskackj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:39:58 -0400, Lloyd Davies Slappa wrote:
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
> news:dvonj2p3idn1utu6874kdqmfv4e2lrqm6e@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:30:27 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
> > <LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:
> >
> >>
> > and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> Get back to being led around by Lloyd Davies, you clueless retard. You have
> to be the easiest play on the net.
you need to have llyod kikc you ass you stpuid scak of shit.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3377. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:23:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <oqrnj29rcd1oqo55ctrcrjhe77l80h0cgs@4ax.com>
Source record: 169444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:16:54 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave wrote:
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>>>
>>>SNIPPED
>>>
>>>
>>>>bullshit Maxwell equations are the true basis of Radio
>>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>
>>>Ignoring the profanity [when profanity surfaces in a discussion it is evidence
>>>that you are losing the argument], Maxwell's Equations are first of all NOT
>>>Maxwell's equations. They are Heaviside's Equations [go do a rigorous check].
>>
>> nope he lost any credit years ago
>>
>> and Bullshit is not profanity is is vulagrity and the spellcop has lost
>> befor e he starts
>>
>
>
>It doesn't matter which.
it certainly the inablity to accurately coment on the usage is sign of
a lack of something in the one who has the failing
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3378. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:25:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <dsrnj2duup49tmd63l2eroadam24qb3t3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 169445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 17:20:36 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:47:47 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dave wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>
>>>>SNIPPED
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>>said" We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, he did do his statesmanship in Great Britain but he was the First
>>>>>and so far only "Honorary American Citizen".
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> well that is a nice comeback
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>Thank you.
>
>BTW it seems that I have been wrong on the attribution of the
>observation. Someone else pointed out the another great person made the
>comment not Churchill. At least I can't find where Churchill may have
>made it.

Beanard Shaw a leftw ing from england I believe I know Patton quote
him at hsi fmaous speech where he left the russains out of the list of
powers that would rule the post war world
>
>My apologies for the error but the sentiment still stands.
>
>Dave N
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3379. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:29:17 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.22.22.29.14.402439@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169446
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:29:27 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Phil Kyle wrote:
>> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>>>> screamed:
>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>> <snip>
>>> <snip>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>

```
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>>
>> *plonk*
>>
> *plonk*
>

>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3380. Re: Re; Mark Morgan is a retard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:29:32 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehgrce$sj5$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>Lardass Davies posing as kb9rqz
>wrote:news:754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufiglskackj9@4ax.com...
>
>> you need to have llyod kikc you ass you stpuid scak of shit.
>
Hi lardass NOVFP

http://i13.tinypic.com/29xhqoo.jpg

3381. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:31:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <29snj2lqimf9r911kdl32kmft03p20vvhp@4ax.com>
Source record: 169448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:23:04 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.net
(Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote:

>

and remains one no matter whose id is being stolen

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3382. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:49:32 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0AS_g.10280$0L1.156@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 169449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

You just like to keep this going, don't you?

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:2jbnj2hkflenv23p2gci5nlca68f0svcjt@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 12:34:05 -0400, "Mark Morgan"
> <andoldfriend@yahoo.net> wrote:
>
>>
>
> more forgery more crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3383. well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 22:56:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <vltnj29ga8er4sb57ff0f9v0iss2et0hul@4ax.com>
Source record: 169350

one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
a liar a liar

shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
allowed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3384. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:00:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0vtnj251054q08ctg788vvmh0gunp5fqi7@4ax.com>
Source record: 169351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:49:32 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
<patstevens2@rochester.rr.com> wrote:

>You just like to keep this going, don't you?

nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
to enforce that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3385. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:36:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161560164.490535.114070@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Not Lloyd wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
> > >>
> > >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
> > >> looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
> > >> Morkie Morgan.
> > >>
> > >> SC
> > >
> > > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
> > > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
> > > restocking the music.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Todd N9OGL
> > > OMEGA ONE RADIO
> >
> >
> > What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
> >
> >
> > SC
>
> Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,
> we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
> wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
> heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
> Germany.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO
```

> 13.556.00 LSB
> -
>
> Nobody cares, Toad. What you really need to do is grow up, cease your warped
> interest in cartoon porn, and move out of mommy's house.

I perfere the Cartoons over the real shit....LOVE THOSE CARTOON
IMAGES!!

Todd N9OGL

3386. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <uiT_g.11048$Lv3.5412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>
>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>walk the talk
>>.........
>>
>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
> why was no coment due?
>
> Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
> the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc

Dee is right.
You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
class at all.

SC

3387. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xiT_g.11050$Lv3.1647@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:4FK_g.768$GR2.519@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:D6y_g.10628$Lv3.2213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Thanks Jim,
>>
>> Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.
>>
>> SC
>
> Well, hopefully it really won't matter too much. I personally hope they
> take your coveted HF bands for worldwide data communications, and outlaw
> HF ham radio altogether. You can then hook your key up to a buzzer and
> code yourself into the grave.
>
> HTH

I'd rather hook my key to a cattle prod and teach your lazy ass some spark.

SC

3388. Be sure to welcome Woger back tomorrow.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <yiT_g.11051$Lv3.8446@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And don't forget to congratulate him on the k00k award he won.

SC

3389. CW helped keep lazy people out of ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ziT_g.11052$Lv3.9302@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If they were too lazy to learn the code, then they probably weren't really all that interested in building other radio's skills either. If they were, they'd learn code so they could do it.

SC

3390. Do you like listening to no-coders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BiT_g.11053$Lv3.11013@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yes?

There's lots of them on CB.

SC

3391. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <DiT_g.11054$Lv3.9190@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dbec1.eio.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
> news:41llj2pa83t1t8aq6j9f72hvp3lqc95qil@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:06:07 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1161478950.292637.123050@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:1161447813.778589.265510@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> Hee Hee Heeeeee
>>> >>
>>> >> Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when
>>> >> they looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared
>>> >> little Morkie Morgan.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> > I don't think so....were still working on our humming noise in the
>>> > transmitter. BTW, I've cleaned the music out of the computer and I'm
>>> > restocking the music.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Todd N9OGL
>>> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>>
>>>
>>> What? No more spike Jones? Damn.
>>>
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>Oh we will have Spike Jones and all the others but were adding a bunch,

>>we will have over 3,000 songs on file...Were working on it as I type, I
>>wish it was up and running right now...the 20 meter band is open....I
>>heard some locals say they heard Japan and talk to a few guys in
>>Germany.
>>
>>Todd N9OGL
>>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>>13.556.00 LSB
>>-
>>
>>Nobody cares, Toad. What you really need to do is grow up, cease your
> warped
>>interest in cartoon porn, and move out of mommy's house.
>
> why is Todd's live any of your affair
> ..
> Might ask YOU the same question, dolt. Why is what I said to Todd any of
> YOUR affair?
> See? It is because of your unwarranted comments that you are so disliked
> in these groups.

Mark in the Dark ain't content until he has taken a crap on every thread.

SC

3392. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JiT_g.11059$Lv3.7782@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:qcklj2pb6klj63v17p8ui54d4k12s6q9gs@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:32:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.net (Mark@kb9rqz.net) wrote in
>>news:754gi2tmfvlort85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com:
>>
>>>
>>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 00:17:28 -0400, pltrgyst
>>> <pltrgyst@spamlessxhost.org> wrote:
>>>> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 4.0/32.1071
>>>> MIME-Version: 1.0
>>>> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>>>> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>>> X-Complaints-To: abuse@supernews.com
>>>> Lines: 14
>>>
>>>> As a ham since 1959, you've got to be the biggest asshole I've ever
>>>> seen sporting a call sign.
>>>>
>>>> {plonk}
>>> to bad since I haev rpeorted you abuse to supernews.com ashole.
>>
>>
>>
>>ROFL, Mark in the Dark
>>
>>That's my new phrase for Markie: "Mark in the Dark"
>>
>>We can overlook typo's now and then, but Mark butchers the
>>english language.
> I did not write the post you are repling to

I know that Mark in the Dark. I'm laughing at all the amunition you give
everyone to tease you with. It wouldn't be funny if there wasn't a little
truth in it.

Learn CW!

SC

3393. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KiT_g.11060$Lv3.1090@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:0vtnj251054q08ctg788vvmh0gunp5fqi7@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:49:32 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
> <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>You just like to keep this going, don't you?
>
> nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
> to enforce that
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark in the Dark, If you really hate the forgers so much why do you let
them pack your fudge? Stop following up to them. They're just playing a
game with you called poke the tard and make him squeal. If you stop,
they'll stop.

SC

3394. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
> thusly:
>
>>What lie??
>
> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.

Whaaaaaaaaa!

SC

3395. Just remember, when things get dumbed down...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <MiT_g.11062$Lv3.4754@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's a harder to raise standards afterwards to correct the problems it caused. We can't risk dumbing things down anymore. If Mark in the Dark can get a license, we've dumbed things down too far already.

SC

3396. My dog wants to get a license after code is eliminated.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NiT_g.11063$Lv3.1425@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He likes barking at retards.

SC

3397. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:39:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <RiT_g.11066$Lv3.1398@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>>>> > >> lowlfie.
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>>> > >
>>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>>> > >
>>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>>> >
>>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>>>
>>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>>
>>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>>
>>>> "Slow Code" could
>>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>>
>>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>>
>>
>>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who

>>everyone is.
>
> whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license

Mark in the Dark.

He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.

Why does everyone only want to do the minimum and not improve. It's because they're lazy. They don't care to be good communicators, all they want is a hand-out license that doesn't require skill or knowledge. If that's what they want they should have stayed on CB.

SC

3398. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:58:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <vc1oj25aoolo7cv03q4itcr6cpncdhm027@4ax.com>
Source record: 169366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:09 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:4FK_g.768$GR2.519@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:D6y_g.10628$Lv3.2213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Thanks Jim,
>>>
>>> Maybe now people will see dumbing down ham radio was a bad idea.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Well, hopefully it really won't matter too much. I personally hope they
>> take your coveted HF bands for worldwide data communications, and outlaw
>> HF ham radio altogether. You can then hook your key up to a buzzer and
>> code yourself into the grave.
>>
>> HTH
>
>
>I'd rather hook my key to a cattle prod and teach your lazy ass some spark.
there goes Sc making freinds for the ars
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3399. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:58:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <md1oj2tipgaoc50cihrintfk13s4vsign0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
id theft reamins a crime sc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3400. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-22 23:59:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ce1oj21e03vn1v8d99sc3r7tiudhmmc2nb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
forgery is a crime SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3401. why does SC ahte ham radioi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <0f1oj21rrtpfhgf2hfnqdbmt72l2re9ul2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:23 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>
>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>
>
>Whaaaaaaaaa!
there he goes fouling the image some more
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3402. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:00:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <6g1oj2lbevra8dq85aleepu22tjv0tbvhe@4ax.com>
Source record: 169368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
forgery reamins a crime SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3403. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:00:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <rg1oj2ltmukum4jm419tnaraoai2of0hak@4ax.com>
Source record: 169370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>'Mark in the Dark' wrote in

>You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
>class at all.
there you go making freind for the ARS
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3404. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:01:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <ph1oj2dkf3gg47iud5nm8scbqkdh9n124v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dbec1.eio.19.1@news.alt.net:

>Mark in the Dark ain't content until he has taken a crap on every thread.
you are the are the one shiting sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3405. slow code the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:02:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <bj1oj25oqs8f7gf353h4jvp80gb97raod3@4ax.com>
Source record: 169372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>And don't forget to congratulate him on the k00k award he won.
why do you provoke him and then complain about the results
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3406. slow code the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:02:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <6k1oj2hqkpjkepblbqciba4rbub1l4828m@4ax.com>
Source record: 169373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
it let you in so it does not keep the lazy out
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3407. slow code the torl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:02:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <5l1oj2lj5mdrnlaqamqllcld5bt8ra3bqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 169374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Yes?
>
>There's lots of them on CB.
stop trolling
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3408. slow code the trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:03:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <pl1oj2dgrdn0rtua3hluubu0dpo0scsh15@4ax.com>
Source record: 169375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It's a harder to raise standards afterwards to correct the problems it
>caused. We can't risk dumbing things down anymore. If Mark in the Dark
>can get a license, we've dumbed things down too far already.

it was too late for standards when you got YOURs sc
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3409. slwo code the trol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:03:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <lm1oj2hv78rm0s4mi30r6v19sqna6nknvs@4ax.com>
Source record: 169376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>He likes barking at retards.
>
then he must never shut up around you
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3410. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:07:48 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oJT_g.22919$7I1.6617@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 169377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>> thusly:
>>
>>>What lie??
>>
>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>
>
> Whaaaaaaaaa!
>
> SC

It is obvious that it hasn't kept unpleasant people out of Amateur Radio..

Harold
KD5SAK

3411. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:12:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <i72oj2d53ujue59sn5be7j65lspps573m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:07:48 GMT, "kd5sak" <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:LiT_g.11061$Lv3.10086@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:06onj218q30flpvmfpqlnapnv718i9jqvu@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:23:50 -0400, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> spake
>>> thusly:
>>>
>>>>What lie??
>>>
>>> It's not a lie that code has kept good people out of ham radio.
>>
>>
>> Whaaaaaaaaa!
>>
>> SC
>
>It is obvious that it hasn't kept unpleasant people out of Amateur Radio..
aiont that the truth
>
>Harold
>KD5SAK
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3412. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:16:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <X9WdnbjX6dl5lKHYnZ2dnUVZ_vWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:uiT_g.11048$Lv3.5412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>>wrote:
>>
>>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>>walk the talk
>>>.........
>>>
>>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
>> why was no coment due?
>>
>> Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
>> the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
>
>
> Dee is right.
> You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
> class at all.
>
> SC

By the way, I've never referred to any person as being a lesser or lower class than another. He is unable to differentiate between personal descriptions and regulatory use of terms such as Technicians being a lower class of LICENSE than Generals. He seems to think references of regulatory distinctions are the same as referring to persons.

Dee, N8UZE

3413. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:19:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <8i2oj2t5ottic25kr1q0jtvj6vagmfglff@4ax.com>
Source record: 169380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:16:19 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:uiT_g.11048$Lv3.5412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>>>>>>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>>>>>>>walk the talk
>>>>>>>>.........
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
>>> why was no coment due?
>>>
>>> Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering to
>>> the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
>>
>>
>> Dee is right.
>> You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
>> class at all.
>>
>> SC
>
>By the way, I've never referred to any person as being a lesser or lower
>class than another.
stop your lying dee flint you chose to refer to 300,00 people as
lessor hams then refuse to apoligize for or even adknowledge why
someone might be offended
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3414. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:23:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xeadnYk0_JsElqHYnZ2dnUVZ_qKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>
>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>> intelligence.
>>>
>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>
>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>
>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>
>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>
>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams
>>existing
>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>
> The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
> weather or not you agree with the test method.
>

Which is exactly what I said.

> My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
> just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
> "That will be $1 please".
>
> I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
> tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up

> to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
> at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.

Not according to my logic. He met the requirements of the time and you have
met the requirements of yours.

Dee

3415. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:26:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ibWdnVpDkqnbkaHYnZ2dnUVZ_qCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:m9pnj2h8k815lc7eiaad651riqnjnot6vo@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 08:47:14 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>
>>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>news:f88mj25h232km6eil2s69jbs1j8m1htgmq@4ax.com...
>>
>>[snip]
>>
>>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>>>
>>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>>> first steps.
>>>
>>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>>
>>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>each other. The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience,
>>or
>>understanding of the requirements. In addition, most of us have
>>experienced
>>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly. Yet

>>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>>upgrade. Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad
>>that
>>they had done it.
>
> That does NOT justify the snot-nosed attitude.

No it doesn't but there are a lot of "better than thou" types in all areas
of human endeavor. Ignore them or you'll drive yourself nuts.

>
>>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>>problem having gone ahead and done it. The 5wpm level is obtainable
>>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example). My ex-OM had 70%
>>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm. I
>>know
>>people with dyslexia who have passed. The blind have passed. Even the
>>deaf
>>have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.
>
> Bravo for them. But that does not explain why some pro-coders are such
> snot-rags.
>

As I said, human nature has a lot of negative aspects no matter what the
activity. All one can do is ignore it and do their personal best.

>>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>>exceptions. If it is a competition for the "homebrewers", then those who
>>don't do so can enjoy viewing them but they cannot enter into the
>>competition.
>
> No competitions, just a bunch of guys who like live steam model
> trains. The club did not exist for that.

Still my point is that when there are rules, one is obliged to follow them
whether they like them or not.

Dee, N8UZE

3416. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:28:09 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <7MWdndUxX4c3kaHYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> Opus- wrote:
>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>
> It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
> and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
> obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
> less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
> the whole problem.

Not hardly. A couple of the most obnoxious people I know rate as geniuses
on IQ tests. Brain power and social graces are independent attributes.

Dee, N8UZE

3417. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:29:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <063oj2pilok7q5sbp4aquisf712lr6hj1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:23:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>news:kionj25f6egsmkjatubgmdrnhhpt5emdsh@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 10:54:45 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> spake thusly:
>>
>>>
>>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:csL_g.15105$GR.7863@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders appear to
>>>>> want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>>>>
>>>> Speaking as a ham licensed for 50+ years, I would say a
>>>> lot of the divisiveness stems from coded hams trying to
>>>> substitute Morse code skill for technical knowledge and
>>>> intelligence.
>>>>
>>>> Ham#1: "I've got a PhD in RF Engineering."
>>>>
>>>> Ham#2: "Who cares, I flunked out of high school but
>>>> I can do 40 wpm at Morse code. So there!"
>>>>
>>>> Eliminate the code requirement and triple the difficulty
>>>> of the technical exams. Problem solved.
>>>
>>>Nope, won't solve the problem.
>>>
>>>The solution is to accept people as true hams that passed the exams
>>>existing
>>>at the time they were licensed. PERIOD!!
>>
>> The solution is to accept the people who are licensed, regardless of
>> weather or not you agree with the test method.
>>
>
>Which is exactly what I said.

and exactly what you don't do yourself
>

>> My dad got his drivers license back in 1943 with NO test at all! They
>> just asked him "Are you blind?" He said "No" and the response was
>> "That will be $1 please".
>>
>> I got my license in 1978 and I had to take driver training and pass 2
>> tests. According to some of the pro-code logic here, I should walk up
>> to him and tell him that he is not a real driver and that I am better
>> at driving than him. That would go over like a lead balloon.
>
>Not according to my logic. He met the requirements of the time and you have
>met the requirements of yours.
and yet that does not apply in your posts

according to you only those already code tested and experenced may
even comment on code testing
>
>Dee
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3418. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:46:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <j64oj2p6nfrh8hhjfku3mqgrbbsiq5lhjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:28:09 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:YUR_g.15226$GR.692@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
>> Opus- wrote:
>>> ... that does not explain why some pro-coders are such snot-rags.
>>
>> It is usually because they don't have a clue technically
>> and base their entire level of self esteem on skill in an
>> obsolete mode. Kicking out all the people with an IQ of
>> less than 110 out of amateur radio would probably solve
>> the whole problem.
>
>Not hardly. A couple of the most obnoxious people I know rate as geniuses
>on IQ tests. Brain power and social graces are independent attributes.
indeed aand code skill is also an indenpenat variable but you like to
claim that you know anybody can learn Morse Code dee
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3419. Re: Re: Un prêtre décapité en Irak car il refusait de se convertir à l'islam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 00:48:05 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.23.00.47.59.669520@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169386
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fr.politique.europe,alt.fr.politique.france,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 17:20:31 -0700, I PUNCE, aka Wabbit, the September 2006 Joseph Bartlo Pathetic Anal Pineapple, attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:

> john wrote:
>> Un prêtre raconte le calvaire d'un prêtre orthodoxe assassiné en
>> Irak
>>
>> Le prêtre orthodoxe enlevé début octobre à Mossoul (Irak) et qui a
>> été retrouvé décapité, a été assassiné car il avait "refusé de
>> se convertir à l'Islam", a affirmé vendredi un de ses amis prêtre
>> sur l'antenne de Douai (Nord) des Radios chrétiennes en France (RCF
>> Radio TO). Paulos Amer Iskandar "était marié et père de quatre
>> enfants (...) Il a été enlevé en pleine rue de Mossoul et trois
>> jours après on a retrouvé son corps séparé de sa tête dans la
>> rue", a raconté le frère Nageeb Mekhail, prêtre au couvent
>> dominicain de Mossoul qui effectue une visite en France avant de
>> retourner en Irak la semaine prochaine.
>>
>> Selon lui, "les extrémistes musulmans qui l'ont assassiné ont
>> téléphoné à sa femme et lui ont expliqué que son mari méritait la
>> mort car il avait refusé de se convertir à l'islam", a-t-il
>> poursuivi.
>>
>> Les assassins "ont mis son corps dans un récipient pour que son sang
>> ne touche pas la terre de l'Islam et ne la salisse pas", a-t-il
>> raconté. La mort du prêtre orthodoxe est "vraiment un coup dur" pour
>> la communauté chrétienne de Mossoul que les extrémistes veulent
>> "chasser du territoire musulman", a-t-il confié.
>>
>> Des enlèvements de chrétiens assortis de fortes demandes de rançon
>> surviennent mais "personne ne peut payer. Alors on les tue, on les
>> jette dans la rue".
>>
>> http://www.lemonde.fr/web/depeches/0,14-0,39-28569287@7-60,0.html
>
> Fuck the cowardly french. Canada should be run by white Englishmen.

Fuck you, and fuck off, racist. Nationalism is for brain-dead cretins.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden

wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3420. Re: marqueer is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 01:50:25 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.23.01.50.22.418977@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.faggots,alt.skinheads,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:57:42 -0700, gav, aka Wabbit, the September 2006
Joseph Bartlo Pathetic Anal Pineapple, attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> On 20 Oct 2006 18:27:51 -0700, "gav" wrote:
>>
>> you are not gav thef
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> STFU you sick fag

You go first, sick repressed fag.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3421. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 01:52:28 UTC
From: sechumlib <sechumlib@liberal.net>
Message-ID: <20061022215228168 07-sechumlib@liberalnet>
Source record: 169388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On 2006-10-22 19:00:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.ars said:

> nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
> to enforce that

Who'd want to forge anything having to do with YOU? You're an
illiterate, ignorant dodo.

3422. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 01:55:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <478oj290edeco1f35p0ff03b7k21hd58oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 169389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 01:52:28 GMT, sechumlib <sechumlib@liberal.net>
wrote:

>On 2006-10-22 19:00:08 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.ars said:
>
>> nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
>> to enforce that
>
>Who'd want to forge anything having to do with YOU?
gee I don't know why they do do it but they do 20-30 time a day it
seems
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3423. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 01:56:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <aa8oj2tg6qv6tle91t6hrq6h7l1jiah7jg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,s,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 01:50:25 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
<verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:57:42 -0700, gav, aka Wabbit, the September 2006
>Joseph Bartlo Pathetic Anal Pineapple, attempted to confuse the issue
>further by squeaking:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>>> On 20 Oct 2006 18:27:51 -0700, "gav" wrote:
>>>
>>> you are not gav thef
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> STFU you sick fag
>
>You go first, sick repressed fag.
both you could use to shut up
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3424. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 03:33:49 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.23.03.33.45.882395@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169391
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> ah verbally sodomised:
>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>> further by squeaking:
>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco jabbered
>>>>>>>>>>inanely:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
<snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
```

>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a

pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it. You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3425. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 04:42:26 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161578546.807770.141550@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169392

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
> a liar a liar

QRZ didn't ban the truth, they banned YOU. Nobody would ever mistake
you for the truth.

> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
> allowed

Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.

3426. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 04:42:56 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161578576.418735.142990@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169393

Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
> a liar a liar

QRZ didn't ban the truth, they banned YOU. Nobody would ever mistake
you for the truth.

> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
> allowed

Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.

3427. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 04:45:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t5ioj2ttavkbvfd9li2ktbridvoo85m474@4ax.com>
Source record: 169395

On 22 Oct 2006 21:42:26 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
>> a liar a liar
>
>QRZ didn't ban the truth, they banned YOU. Nobody would ever mistake
>you for the truth.
no they banned the truthfull expresion that the disabled are not all
of a kind

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3428. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 04:45:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 169394

On 22 Oct 2006 21:42:56 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
>> a liar a liar
>
>QRZ didn't ban the truth, they banned YOU. Nobody would ever mistake
>you for the truth.
>
>
>> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
>> allowed
>
>Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
>moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.
you posted the same drivel twice?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3429. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 04:47:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p9ioj2dl1dgnj6id4qk3klj2bpnal26a6h@4ax.com>
Source record: 169396

On 22 Oct 2006 21:42:26 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> one may be threatened on QRZ by the pother poster but one may not call
>> a liar a liar
>
>QRZ didn't ban the truth, they banned YOU. Nobody would ever mistake
>you for the truth.

fuuny how you have no basis for making that claim

you show up here only to attack me
>
>
>> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
>> allowed
>
>Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
>moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3430. Charles_P_Steinmetz is not ham according the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 05:09:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p8joj21equp9fu44jv2vggarhca8m620ng@4ax.com>
Source record: 169397

it is interesting that he come making claims from QRZ a ham site to
rRRAP also a ham site but isn't not a ham himself accroding to the FCC
there are 80 Steinmetz's in the data base no charles p the only 2
chrales are comercail license holdes
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2213352
for chrales e

and
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=2041711
for charles r
but no chrales p at all

some much for his claims to be honest and accroding to steve he
therefore can't be trusted in hthe claims he was makeing to be a
geo-physisit as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3431. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 05:13:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161580419.484285.146590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169398

Charles_P_Steinm...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark wrote at qrz.com:
>

funy how a check of the FCC databases reveal no one by your name as
being any kind of Radio licnesee and yet you hang out at qrz.com enough
to notice me

3432. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:50:27 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T71%g.10460$0L1.8727@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 169450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Insanity=when someone does the same thing over and over, expecting different
results each time.

<Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
news:0vtnj251054q08ctg788vvmh0gunp5fqi7@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:49:32 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
> <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>You just like to keep this going, don't you?
>
> nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
> to enforce that
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3433. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:50:36 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.81f1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169399

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:45:35 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> you posted the same drivel twice?

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHA!!! Sigged.

3434. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:52:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161600753.246009.294060@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169451

an_old_friend wrote:
> Charles_P_Steinm...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark wrote at qrz.com:
> >
>
> funy how a check of the FCC databases reveal no one by your name as
> being any kind of Radio licnesee and yet you hang out at qrz.com enough
> to notice me

With a hotmail account made up on the fly, it could be Jim.

3435. Re: id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:52:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <am7pj2l8dksrobo0t43vjvv2cf3t25r8qn@4ax.com>
Source record: 169452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 10:50:27 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
<patstevens2@rochester.rr.com> wrote:

>Insanity=when someone does the same thing over and over, expecting different
>results each time.
your point? or are you claiming to know no what I expect?

more of these mind reeaders
>
><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>news:0vtnj251054q08ctg788vvmh0gunp5fqi7@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 22:49:32 GMT, "Pat Stevens"
>> <patstevens2@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>>>You just like to keep this going, don't you?
>>
>> nop Id like to see the masive forgery of my name stop I lack the power
>> to enforce that
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3436. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:53:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8o7pj256ok7s132nnjbppopo59bt3fjmln@4ax.com>
Source record: 169453

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 10:50:36 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 00:45:35 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> you posted the same drivel twice?
>
>Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHA!!! Sigged.
and people complam about my english
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3437. Re: kb9rqz, geophysicist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:55:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161600934.942441.225320@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169454

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Charles_P_Steinm...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Mark wrote at qrz.com:
> > >
> >
> > funy how a check of the FCC databases reveal no one by your name as
> > being any kind of Radio licnesee and yet you hang out at qrz.com enough
> > to notice me
>
> With a hotmail account made up on the fly, it could be Jim.
indeed it could be Jim or steve or most anybody but in anyncase it the
work of a fraud

3438. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:55:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161600955.409095.70260@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169455

Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

> Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
> moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.

We will? I've never heard of you.

A quick check reveals that your first posting EVER was on October 15.

Do you have a call sign?

3439. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:58:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.ars
Message-ID: <754gi2tffgtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

id theft is a crim
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3440. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 10:59:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <238pj2h81vksbn4bef28tbbp1am0u7vtt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169457

On 23 Oct 2006 03:55:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
>> moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.
>
>We will? I've never heard of you.
>
>A quick check reveals that your first posting EVER was on October 15.
>
>Do you have a call sign?
BBTW anybody heard more of when the moderated RRAp is supose to arive
I heard fall but. ruuning out of time for that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3441. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:00:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161601254.507316.321040@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> news:1161119301.113067.200270@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Caveat Lector wrote:
> >> >> When you passed the FCC exam - you are an Amateur Radio Operator
> >> >> period -- plain and simple.
> >> >>
> >> >> Whether you passed a 20 wpm code test or no code - you are a Ham
> >> >>
> >> >> Whether you had to draw the schematic for a Colpitts Oscillator or
> >> >> not - you are a Ham
> >> >>
> >> >> Whether you faced the steely-eyed FCC examiner or a VE -- you are a
> >> >> Ham
> >> >>
> >> >> Those who say otherwise are shrouded in elitism and a synonym for
> >> >> elitism is snobbery - plain and simple
> >> >>
> >> >> This is 2006, not 1920
> >> >>
> >> >> CL
> >> >
> >> > You got that right.
> >>
> >> It's nice to see a couple 11 meter jockeys agreeing with each other.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>
> I hope 11 meters opens up

I'm sure that you do.

3442. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:03:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8a8pj2hf4q7lhlqnvd52uk1d7cqkhobguf@4ax.com>
Source record: 169459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 12:58:52 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.ars
(Mark@kb9rqz.ars) wrote:

>
>id theft is a crim
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
you missed the last change to my id and are thus caught comeing the
crime and admiting you know it is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3443. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:09:41 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3def81b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169460

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 06:59:25 -0400, MarkQueer <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2006 03:55:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >> Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
> >> moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.
> >
> >We will? I've never heard of you.
> >
> >A quick check reveals that your first posting EVER was on October 15.
> >
> >Do you have a call sign?
> BBTW anybody heard more of when the moderated RRAp is supose to arive
> I heard fall but. ruuning out of time for that

Did your success at QRZ make you anxious to be banned from the new
rrap too?

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

In message <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>,
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs autolamed himself with the question "you posted the
same drivel twice?"

3444. Re: well Qrz.com banned thte truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:15:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ku8pj2the2nodo2ojvou96dqpd1aruldgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169461

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:09:41 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 06:59:25 -0400, MarkQueer <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2006 03:55:55 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> Yes, what a dull world it will be when Markie gets banned from the new
>> >> moderated rrap. But we'll learn to live with it.
>> >
>> >We will? I've never heard of you.
>> >
>> >A quick check reveals that your first posting EVER was on October 15.
>> >
>> >Do you have a call sign?
>> BBTW anybody heard more of when the moderated RRAp is supose to arive
>> I heard fall but. ruuning out of time for that
>
>Did your success at QRZ make you anxious to be banned from the new
>rrap too?

no
it merely means I expect no fairness in any moderated schemme on QRZ
one see hunderds of cases of Name calling from the Procode side but a
no Coder abrred if he dares call a sheme to you the abilities of one
gruop of the disabled as weapon against the rest of those that are
disable and that arguement he is attacking is the claim of ProCoders
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3445. Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:19:29 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.aad1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.

Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?

In message <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>,
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs autolamed himself with the question "you posted the
same drivel twice?"

3446. each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:21:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ub9pj2lse1cf0h4v2ccl6koobmtcjpjdik@4ax.com>
Source record: 169463

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:19:29 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.
>
>Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?

nope my time here will depend on how drivel people like post
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3447. each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:25:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161602701.786333.324590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris wrote:
>
why do you play these usenet game fool

3448. Re: Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:34:33 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6.91075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks

On 23 Oct 2006 04:25:01 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Chris wrote:
> >
> why do you play these usenet game fool

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!! Sigged.

(And I'm not the one who was just banned by qrz.com.)

In message <1152408561.426921.160450@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
Mark Morgan autolamed himself when he asked "why do you need yet
another sock puppet"

3449. my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:37:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161603452.514988.99930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169466

I post this morning at al 6:59 and by 7'08 is is in one th action and wating to hurl out more drivel

If I had done the resverse well the cry would go that i sat there all night waiting

all the little sock puppets trying to give the impresion there are several people

3450. each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:40:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161603629.776320.208500@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris wrote:
>
still play games with the redercts is that all you got?

3451. well at least "chris is help get back to sleep from being rudely awakened localy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:46:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161603994.907477.130340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169468

```
but he must be feverishly trying to work out some new trick of
rederected and desperate to the AUK boys
```

3452. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 11:52:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161604361.899607.251240@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> > >
> > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
> >
> > I hope 11 meters opens up
>
> I'm sure that you do.

indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC

3453. , banned by qrz.com. thank you for helping me spread the word the QRZ.com is biased

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 12:37:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fpdpj2lh689th66o8uvqltt3sqqhralvt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:55:43 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>

>Doesn't that tell you anything? (That's only a rhetorical question.)
>Steve can still post to qrz.com.
no in point of fact steve can't or rather he does not dare but thank
you for helping to spread the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3454. , banned by qrz.com. thank you fro spreading the word the qrz is biased in it's moderation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 12:38:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <msdpj21546e6m8g0qo7aqjfff8um0htihf@4ax.com>
Source record: 169471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 12:08:02 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On 23 Oct 2006 04:40:29 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> >
>> still play games with the redercts is that all you got?
>
>I can post to qrz.com all I want.
no you can't you don't exist

but thank you for helping to spread the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3455. Re: , banned by qrz.com. thank you fro spreading the word the qrz is biased in it's moderation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 12:40:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161607233.786524.325990@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 12:08:02 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On 23 Oct 2006 04:40:29 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> Chris wrote:
> >> >
> >> still play games with the redercts is that all you got?
> >>
> >I can post to qrz.com all I want.
> no you can't you don't exist
>
> but thank you for helping to spread the word
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
it truly amses me the trouble you go to to spread the words out over
wider and wider areas but thank you for your support

3456. Re: , banned by qrz.com. thank you for helping me spread the word the QRZ.com is biased

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 12:43:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161607398.932179.11260@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:55:43 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >Doesn't that tell you anything? (That's only a rhetorical question.)
> >Steve can still post to qrz.com.
> no in point of fact steve can't or rather he does not dare but thank
> you for helping to spread the word
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
how did you link this back to tohe june posting chris ?

and why did you bother?

3457. Neener, neener, neener! Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 13:04:57 UTC
From: daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net
Message-ID: <3def1be6.991905257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 Oct 2006 04:40:29 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Chris wrote:
> >
> still play games with the redercts is that all you got?

I can post to qrz.com all I want. Can you? Any place where people
can control goofs like you, you wind up getting banned.

Doesn't that tell you anything? (That's only a rhetorical question.)
Steve can still post to qrz.com. He should look at you and say, "Neener,
neener, neener!"

In message <1161602701.786333.324590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
Mark Morgan responds to his own admission that qrz.com banned him
with, "why do you play these usenet game fool"

3458. , banned by qrz.com.thank you for your help in spreading the word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 13:09:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161608952.659287.118480@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris wrote:
>
thank you for effort si spreading the word

3459. Boy, I'm really enjoying qrz.com now that Mark is banned.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 14:35:54 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3def1be6.881005257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Isn't qrz.com wonderful? They dump kook spawn.

In message <1161602701.786333.324590@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
Mark Morgan responds to his own admission that qrz.com banned him
with, "why do you play these usenet game fool"

3460. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 14:49:40 UTC
From: Christy D <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dfgcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:50:37 -0500, Not Lloyd <anon@anon> wrote:
>
> More idiocy from your end. You have contributed NOTHING, nada! Can you
> explain why so many out here have killfiled you if you "contribute" so much?
> You live in some strange kind of alternate world, Mark. Sense of justice?
> Justice? Funny, that. Most out here see your mindless drivel as spam.
>

So does qrz.com. They just banned him from their site. Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHA!!

In message <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>,
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs autolamed himself with the question "you posted the
same drivel twice?"

3461. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 14:55:55 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehil5q$7n$13@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169478
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> verbally sodomised
in news:pan.2006.10.22.22.29.14.402439@verwirren.confused:

> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:29:27 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
> further by squeaking:
>> Phil Kyle wrote:
>>> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>> screamed:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>> <snip>
>>>> <snip>
> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk* >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>

```
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3462. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 15:15:14 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehima2$4eb$5@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169479
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> verbally sodomised
in news:pan.2006.10.23.03.33.45.882395@verwirren.confused:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>>> further by squeaking:
>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3463. Re: simple truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 15:22:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <afnpj25l9m38tbstiac2m4bg4ut9no4fq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:49:40 GMT, "Christy D"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:50:37 -0500, Not Lloyd <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>So does qrz.com.
no they obejct to my aneming I called a lier a lier that isn't ok
there you can call your opentnt lazy good for nothing welfare cheats
if you are procode but you can't call a aporcoder anything
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3464. thank you for your asiitance in pojt ning out QRZ uneven moderation of the Code deabte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 15:24:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vinpj25uo4bdvg89g8aanb9ihkb3n80bmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:35:54 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>Isn't qrz.com wonderful?
thank for you knd effort in allowing to respond yet again and yet
spread the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3465. Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 15:44:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mr5%g.15529$o71.14647@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169482

At least poster has gone on a wild goose chase in searching the FCC database of amateur radio licensees in the search for Charles P. Steinmetz. The poster would do better to search cemetary records or history books.

From: http://chem.ch.huji.ac.il/~eugeniik/history/steinmetz.html

quote
Charles Proteus Steinmetz, German-American electrical engineer and inventor, was a pioneer in the field of electrical engineering, who invented a commercially successful alternating current motor. He himself considered his three most important accomplishments to be: (1) his work in the field of electromagnetism, (2) the development of a practical, simplified method of managing and calculating values for alternating current using complex numbers, and (3) his research on lightning phenomena. Steinmetz also invented the three-phase electrical circuit. His work made possible the expansion of the electric power industry in the United States. Among his many inventions is the metallic electrode arc lamp. Charles P. Steinmetz was considered the leading electrical engineer in the United States.

Originally named Karl August Rudolf Steinmetz, he was born in Breslau, Germany, the son of a railroad lithographer, Karl Heinrich Steinmetz (who was also a cripple) and his wife Caroline nee Neubert. (Breslau is in the region known as Niederschlesien, Lower Silesia, which has been part of Bohemia, Austria and Prussia. Today the city is Wroclaw, Poland.) At birth Steinmetz was afflicted with a physical deformity, hunchback. Steinmetzï¿½ early school performance was poor and at the age of eight he was having trouble with multiplication tables. However, by the time he was ten, he had made a turnaround and was one of the schoolï¿½s brightest pupils. He showed an unusual capability in mathematics, physics, and classical literature. On graduating from the gymnasium with honours, he entered the University of Breslau in 1883, where he devoured books on every subject from mathematics and economics to literature and medicine. An example of his mind and memory was his memorization of the logarithmic tables which he could manipulate mentally to solve problems in a few seconds. He was fascinated with the study of electricity, but the courses in Breslau were short on detail and completely lacking in the applied and practical. He did not see a transformer until he came to America.
unquote

Dave Heil

3466. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz are the dead now posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:38:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161621482.351388.101000@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169483

Dave Heil wrote:
> At least poster has gone on a wild goose chase in searching the FCC
> database of amateur radio licensees in the search for Charles P.
> Steinmetz. The poster would do better to search cemetary records or
> history books.
>
> From: http://chem.ch.huji.ac.il/~eugeniik/history/steinmetz.html
>
> quote
> Charles Proteus Steinmetz,
well we have prove he isn't posting that is for sure I will always
neglected the obits when looking for the id of a poster on RRAP

3467. Re: thank you for your asiitance in pojt ning out QRZ uneven moderation of the Code deabte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:40:56 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161621656.615134.76360@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:35:54 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >Isn't qrz.com wonderful?
> thank for you knd effort in allowing to respond yet again and yet
> spread the word
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

So just how does anyone reach you by "eail" off your webpage? What an
idiot.

3468. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:41:14 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ehirba$3hf$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 169486

Dave Heil (k8mn@earthlink.net) writes:
> At least poster has gone on a wild goose chase in searching the FCC
> database of amateur radio licensees in the search for Charles P.
> Steinmetz. The poster would do better to search cemetary records or
> history books.
>
There's so much junk here, I wasn't sure what the previous bit about
Steinmetz was about.

I assumed it was someone using a pseudonym. Because I had doubts
that the real one would have been around to get a ham license.

I see he died in 1923, so he could have got an early license, though
I recall nothing about an interest in radio. Back when I read
"The Man Who Tamed Lightning" in grade 5 or 6, I recall nothing
about an interest in radio. And I think I would have taken note
of such things, since I'd already decided at that point that I'd
get a ham license.

Michael VE2BVW

3469. Re: Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:46:31 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161621991.138422.281180@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169485

Chris wrote:
> QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.
>
> Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?
>
>
> ----
> In message <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>,
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs autolamed himself with the question "you posted the
> same drivel twice?"

Can you post a link to one of the forums were he was banned. Would love
to read about it.

3470. Re: thank you for your asiitance in pojt ning out QRZ uneven moderation of the Code deabte

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:52:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161622359.385691.310880@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
>
thnak you for your efforts

3471. Nocoder banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:53:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161622439.171460.315300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169488

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> thank you for your assiantce in getting the word out

3472. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 16:58:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161622682.575605.95100@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169489

Michael Black wrote:
> Dave Heil (k8mn@earthlink.net) writes:
> > At least poster has gone on a wild goose chase in searching the FCC
> > database of amateur radio licensees in the search for Charles P.
> > Steinmetz. The poster would do better to search cemetary records or
> > history books.
> >
> There's so much junk here, I wasn't sure what the previous bit about
> Steinmetz was about.
>
> I assumed it was someone using a pseudonym. Because I had doubts
> that the real one would have been around to get a ham license.
well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer

3473. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 17:51:38 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <ef3dcd1b6e.aaf1075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 169490

On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer

Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?

3474. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 17:53:58 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161626038.481893.217120@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169491

an_old_friend wrote:

> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer

Took you long enough to figure it out, Markie. If you knew anything about the history of science, which you obviously don't, you would have recognized the name of the father of electrical engineering. I would have used Hiram_P_Maxim but it was already taken. Neither of them wouldn't have put up with your foolishness, either.

Not that it matters. Had I used the nym "John Q. Smith" you would still have responded with your kneejerk "id theft is acirme" or something similarly literate.

Why should I post under my real name and callsign knowing that people like you would spam and flame me with mindless dreck if I did? This is Usenet, after all. Most people here use nyms for just that reason.

By the way, were you born with the name "an old friend?" Pots and kettles, dreckmeister.

Seriously, Markie, I now realize that it would be a good thing if the FCC were to eliminate the code test so you could get your Extra. Then you could get on the air and talk to people in real time. I can't wait for you to try to crash some of the 75m nets. You think we're rough on you here? Just wait until those good ol boys on 75m get hold of you. You ain't seen nothin' yet. You'll quickly make yourself into a pariah on HF just like you have on Usenet and QRZ.

I would like to continue this discussion but since I work for a living I don't have time right now. It must be nice to be able to spend 24/7 on message boards. What's it like to be independently wealthy?

3475. Aaron Burr is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 18:22:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754gi2tmft85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

this time ileave reasrh to readers but thereis no Aaron Burr
in FCC datebases so probaly his claim he wolud duel me is a lie just
like all tothers.

3476. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:01:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Jc9%g.13963$Y24.541@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169493

Chris wrote:
> On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer
>
> Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
> by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?

Colonel Morgan must not be aware that I can still see his message headers despite his being killfiled. His message are simply grayed out. I choose to ignore him because he is a twit.

He has called numerous people "lier", "lair" or "liar". It is quite obvious that he had no clue as to who Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was.

Dave K8MN

3477. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:21:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <b19qj2p41rp2umcf3q0kvee0bh5ab752sq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169494

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 17:51:38 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer
>
>Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
>by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?
no it took being nocoder and saying liar procoder get to do to do it
all day
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3478. Re: my does this NOVFP hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:21:50 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehj88v$8hl$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169495
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161603452.514988.99930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I post this morning at al 6:59 and by 7'08 is is in one th action and
> wating to hurl out more drivel
>
> If I had done the resverse well the cry would go that i sat there all
> night waiting
>
> all the little sock puppets trying to give the impresion there are
> several people
>
>
Hey brainless twit, that "Chris" and most every other fake ID on here
fucking with you is none other than Lloyd Davies N0VFP .
http://i14.tinypic.com/2mq27mf.jpg

He OWNS you! You can't stop yourself from feeding him your endless retarded
babble. Ingrone the trolls for once, stupid.

3479. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:22:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n29qj2pg8uaep7o75u4qoi98qb8o9uvqag@4ax.com>
Source record: 169496

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:01:45 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Chris wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer
>>
>> Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
>> by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?
>
>Colonel Morgan must not be aware that I can still see his message
>headers despite his being killfiled. His message are simply grayed out.
>I choose to ignore him because he is a twit.
anstop your lying dave you do it poorly
>
>He has called numerous people "lier", "lair" or "liar". It is quite
>obvious that he had no clue as to who Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was.
indeed I did not know of that person but I know who the poster by that
name is and that is yourself Dave

you have all but confessed
>
>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3480. Re: well at least "chris is help get back to sleep from being rudely awakened localy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:23:49 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehj8cl$8j7$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169497

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161603994.907477.130340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> but he must be feverishly trying to work out some new trick of
> rederected and desperate to the AUK boys
>
Here he is, the guy that OWNS YOU!

http://i14.tinypic.com/2mq27mf.jpg

3481. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz dead posting in RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:25:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <459qj2p4ifbarttt1aighu345ggke23ap8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169498

On 23 Oct 2006 10:53:58 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

hi Dave
>Seriously, Markie, I now realize that it would be a good thing if the
>FCC were to eliminate the code test so you could get your Extra. Then
>you could get on the air and talk to people in real time. I can't wait
>for you to try to crash some of the 75m nets. You think we're rough on
>you here? Just wait until those good ol boys on 75m get hold of you.
>You ain't seen nothin' yet. You'll quickly make yourself into a pariah
>on HF just like you have on Usenet and QRZ.
I doubt since I hard plan on Talking on HF at all

and if they finaly want to lose thier Licenses then they are welcome I
will do my part to clean up Ham radio

meanwell you are now a proven fraud
>
>I would like to continue this discussion but since I work for a living
>I don't have time right now. It must be nice to be able to spend 24/7
>on message boards. What's it like to be independently wealthy?

I am not indepandant ly wealthy Dave, But I work online . I get to sit
if their is no work to do I get to play and get paid for it that is
nice Dave
you OTOH are dead and can't work for a living
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3482. Re: well at least "chris is help get back to sleep from being rudely awakened localy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:27:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4d9qj2lq3ltmangtull9pg1irdb2kklplr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169499

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 16:23:49 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161603994.907477.130340@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> but he must be feverishly trying to work out some new trick of
>> rederected and desperate to the AUK boys
>>
>Here he is, the guy that OWNS YOU!
>
>http://i14.tinypic.com/2mq27mf.jpg
nobody owns me
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3483. Re: Aaron Burr is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:28:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3e9qj29f3rk75vhnu5coid6bnr66ic161d@4ax.com>
Source record: 169500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:22:41 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
while agree that aron burr is no ham the post is a forgery
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3484. Re: my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 20:30:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jg9qj2d5bhd4s4kqi4s2r27dik38jjpdpu@4ax.com>
Source record: 169501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,s,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 16:21:50 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
<LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161603452.514988.99930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I post this morning at al 6:59 and by 7'08 is is in one th action and
>> wating to hurl out more drivel
>>
>> If I had done the resverse well the cry would go that i sat there all
>> night waiting
>>
>> all the little sock puppets trying to give the impresion there are
>> several people
>>
>>
>Hey brainless twit, that "Chris" and most every other fake ID on here
>fucking with you is none other than Lloyd Davies N0VFP .

who care who he is He may lloyd may not be I realy don't care
heel we have dead men posting on here that guy is mostly likely Dav
Heil
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3485. only dumbass`s...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 21:26:25 UTC
From: the watchman <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161638785.317507.70470@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169502
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...

3486. Re: only dumbass`s...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 21:35:29 UTC
From: Norman P Triantafilos <KB9YGD@lycos.net>
Message-ID: <453d28b0$0$19660$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169503
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"the watchman" <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com> wrote:
> ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
> ``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...
>
I know what you mean Watchman I'm here and I'm as dumb as they cum!

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3487. Spiderman is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 21:36:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754g314iamft85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

this time ileave reasrh to readers but thereis no spiderman in FCC datebases so probaly his claim he wolud EME me is a lie just like all tothers. I checkon batman next.

3488. Re: Spiderman is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 21:39:17 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehjcq7efs1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.cocksucker.lardass.lloyd-davies

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:754g314iamft85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com...
>
> this time ileave reasrh to readers but thereis no spiderman in FCC
> datebases so probaly his claim he wolud EME me is a lie just like all
> tothers. I checkon batman next.
>
Hi lardass Davies!

http://i14.tinypic.com/2mq27mf.jpg

3489. Nocde banned , banned by qrz.com.thank you for your aid and assiatance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:04:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eiiqj295b4l73rnitro0apv1i5cotgsqrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:34:26 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
thank you for your assitance in geting the word out
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3490. Re: my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:05:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vjiqj25knue8bjpaqv3qucpu4b22b5d72b@4ax.com>
Source record: 169513

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:35:51 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Of course the fact that you have nine or ten accounts is of no bearing, is
>it?
indeed it is of no bearing what ishas bearing is that you and woger
use account intended to deceice epoeple into beleifing you are more
than one person all Of Mine identify as as ME and only ME
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3491. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:06:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fmiqj25sfkjhbip738m9kdno2maskpuv00@4ax.com>
Source record: 169515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:36:16 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
anagin you don't even bother with a a point
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3492. Re: well at least "chris is help get back to sleep from being rudely awakened localy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:06:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <cniqj21o0u2p66f6m1oj556vneaf0kj5eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 169514

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:36:33 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
your messaage 'lloyd you seem to have forgotten to post it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3493. Re: Spiderman is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:08:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <opiqj2lrb28bg1qf98icnomornkvlle34d@4ax.com>
Source record: 169516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:36:05 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>

agreed spider man if existed is no hame

but Id theft reamin a crime that you have comited
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3494. anti Nocoder basis at by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:09:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1riqj25fuppv4kubt6ln41ndu1pq055hjd@4ax.com>
Source record: 169517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:39:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Now, if only he'd be banned from Usenet!
thanks again for help to spread the word
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3495. Re: Charles P. Steinmetzdead man posting nah it is just dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:12:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8viqj29hri1llsfnsfb23704rc2hj34ntg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 19:06:07 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message

>BUAHAHAHAHAHA!! How much time did you spend searching the QRZ database,
>Doofus?
not a single sec did I spend search the QRZ database if you could read
"lloyd" youd know I search the FCC database took abour 10 second to
find nobody by the full name and a bout 4 minutes to broaden the
search and find t
>Then you say, "I will always neglected the obits when looking for the id of
>a poster on RRAP."
>
>Check the obits? For Usenet posters?
that is what Dave to did to find him if we beleive Heil which I do not
>
>Somebody put one over on you again, Mark.
and you
>Clueless in Chassell.....
funny only BB and I detected the fraud at all
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3496. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:16:12 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.23.23.16.09.238443@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 169519
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:55:55 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:29:27 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>> further by squeaking:
>>> Phil Kyle wrote:
>>>> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha, and
>>>>>>> screamed:
>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>> <snip>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--
_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the

United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

3497. Re: only dumbass`s...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:16:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161645412.881518.155260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169520
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

the watchman wrote:
> ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
> ``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...
watching is all you can do you lack the balls to try anything else

how is ewatching going

3498. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:22:50 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.23.23.22.47.213625@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169521
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>> issue further by squeaking:
>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>>>> further by squeaking:
>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>>>>>and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>> <snip messed-up quoting>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
```

>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--

_____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on

outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it. You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3499. Re: Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:34:26 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg5fu.701.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169506
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3dcd1b6.91075257@alvillage.net...
On 23 Oct 2006 04:25:01 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Chris wrote:
> >
> why do you play these usenet game fool

Bwa HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!! Sigged.

(And I'm not the one who was just banned by qrz.com.)

In message <1152408561.426921.160450@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
Mark Morgan autolamed himself when he asked "why do you need yet
another sock puppet"

....

Poor shunned Mark. No more QRZ, eh? I dare say he deserved same.

Now he's trying to hijack the thread.

3500. Re: my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:35:51 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg5ij.sda.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169507

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161603452.514988.99930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
I post this morning at al 6:59 and by 7'08 is is in one th action and
wating to hurl out more drivel

If I had done the resverse well the cry would go that i sat there all
night waiting

all the little sock puppets trying to give the impresion there are
several people
.....

Of course the fact that you have nine or ten accounts is of no bearing, is
it?
Hypocrite.

3501. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:36:16 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg5jd.7de.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:19:29 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.
>
>Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?

nope my time here will depend on how drivel people like post
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3502. Re: well at least "chris is help get back to sleep from being rudely awakened localy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:36:33 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg5jt.sj5.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169509

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:19:29 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.
>
>Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?

nope my time here will depend on how drivel people like post
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3503. Re: ‚Mark Morgan kb9rqz banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:39:11 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg5or.7tn.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:msdpj21546e6m8g0qo7aqjfff8um0htihf@4ax.com...
On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 12:08:02 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On 23 Oct 2006 04:40:29 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> >
>> still play games with the redercts is that all you got?
>
>I can post to qrz.com all I want.
no you can't you don't exist

but thank you for helping to spread the word

.....

Now, if only he'd be banned from Usenet!

3504. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ppc%g.14012$Y24.3333@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
news:ceb%g.5973$_u4.2808@tornado.southeast.rr.com:

> OK, the next problem I will be haveing is The interaction between my 10
> meter whip and the 11 meter whip.
> Removeing the CB is not an option, I need it for work.
>
> I will be adding the 10 meter whip to a 4door Dodge ram pickup.The two
> antennas that are on the truck now are mounted with stake hole mounts
> (the holes in the bed rail behind the driver and passenger)
>
> The 11 meter and the 10 meter rigs will not be on at the same time.
>
> How would you place the antennas to keep the interaction as low as
> possible or use the ineraction in some way.
>
> Thanks
> joe

Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?

Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
are you too lazy to learn and use CW?

SC

3505. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qpc%g.14013$Y24.267@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:8i2oj2t5ottic25kr1q0jtvj6vagmfglff@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:16:19 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:uiT_g.11048$Lv3.5412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>> news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>>>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>>>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>>>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>>>>walk the talk
>>>>>.........
>>>>>
>>>>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
>>>> why was no coment due?
>>>>
>>>> Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering
>>>> to the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
>>>
>>>
>>> Dee is right.
>>> You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
>>> class at all.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>By the way, I've never referred to any person as being a lesser or lower
>>class than another.
> stop your lying dee flint you chose to refer to 300,00 people as
> lessor hams then refuse to apoligize for or even adknowledge why
> someone might be offended

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

But they are a lesser class, Mark in the Dark. The more exams you take and pass, the harder you work, the farther up the ladder you go.

The problem you have Mark, is that you're so lazy people have to slap your silly ass off the ladder so they can climb up. You're against having good hams.

SC

3506. A well balanced ham knows and uses CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:08 UTC
From: slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <spc%g.14015$Y24.6278@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you want to be well balanced?

SC

3507. Re: About time they canned your ass. , Mark banned by qrz.com. Thank you QRZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <upc%g.14016$Y24.9871@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:fpdpj2lh689th66o8uvqltt3sqqhralvt9@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 11:55:43 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>Doesn't that tell you anything? (That's only a rhetorical question.)
>>Steve can still post to qrz.com.
> no in point of fact steve can't or rather he does not dare but thank
> you for helping to spread the word
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

QRZ isn't the problem Markie, You're the problem.

SC

3508. Re: anti Nocoder basis at by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <vpc%g.14017$Y24.12229@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:1riqj25fuppv4kubt6ln41ndu1pq055hjd@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:39:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>Now, if only he'd be banned from Usenet!
> thanks again for help to spread the word

WRONG!

Why do you always have to be wrong all the time?

SC

3509. Re: Boy, I'm really enjoying qrz.com now that Mark is banned.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wpc%g.14018$Y24.12610@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:3def1be6.881005257@alvillage.net:

> Isn't qrz.com wonderful?

ROFLMAO!!

Heee Heee Heeeeeeeeeeee!

SC

3510. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:13 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xpc%g.14019$Y24.5802@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in in the Dark' wrote in
news:ph1oj2dkf3gg47iud5nm8scbqkdh9n124v@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 23:39:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dbec1.eio.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>
>>Mark in the Dark ain't content until he has taken a crap on every
>>thread.
> you are the are the one shiting sc
>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark in the Dark,

You crapped on every single thread again which pisses good people off.
Then you wonder why your retarded ass gets banned by message boards. It's
not the board that's the problem, it's you!

SC

3511. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Apc%g.14021$Y24.12484@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:fmiqj25sfkjhbip738m9kdno2maskpuv00@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:36:16 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
> anagin you don't even bother with a a point

Mark in the Dark has a point. It's on top of his head.

Learn CW Mark in the Dark, Don't get banned from more sites.

SC

3512. Email this to President Bush, Let's have good hams again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Bpc%g.14022$Y24.8937@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.

Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Thanks for your help.

73
de Slow Code

3513. I think it's about time I send qrz.com a thank-you donation.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Cpc%g.14023$Y24.8398@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you give nickle hams or radical no-coders like KB9RQZ a chance, they'll gladly destroy ham radio. It's nice qrz.com decided to put on stop on radio terrorism.

SC

3514. If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.

Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy, retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB to stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.

I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned it.

73
Slow Code

3515. KB9RQZ only has himself to blame. QRZ.com silences an asshole. ROFL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Fpc%g.14026$Y24.10247@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Maybe now Mark in the Dark will see the light and stop acting like an
ass.

SC

3516. Lesser hams like no-codes shouldn't be allowed to post on QRZ anyway.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Gpc%g.14027$Y24.1888@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And nickle hams should only be allowed to post qrz.com after they've passed the code and Extra written.

QRZ is a high traffic site, and we don't want potential hams to see the riff-raff and think ham radio is like CB.

73
de Slow Code

3517. Mark in the Dark can start his own forum and ban pro-coders.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Hpc%g.14028$Y24.9399@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He could call it Mark in the Dark's lazy-ass ham forum for lard-ass tards. I can propably name about five RRAP'ers that would sign right up. Some of them havent got their no-code callsigns yet, but they can still visit with others like themselves on Markies board.

They can talk about hating code and other things only they can understand.

SC

3518. Maybe N9OGL should hire a 20 wpm Extra to get Omega One transmitter going again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lpc%g.14029$Y24.9926@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
can't keep a station on the air...

even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!

SC

3519. Re: my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Kpc%g.14030$Y24.7261@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:jg9qj2d5bhd4s4kqi4s2r27dik38jjpdpu@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 16:21:50 -0400, "Lloyd Davies Slappa"
> <LDS@nomail.invalid> wrote:
>
>>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1161603452.514988.99930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>I post this morning at al 6:59 and by 7'08 is is in one th action and
>>> wating to hurl out more drivel
>>>
>>> If I had done the resverse well the cry would go that i sat there all
>>> night waiting
>>>
>>> all the little sock puppets trying to give the impresion there are
>>> several people
>>>
>>>
>>Hey brainless twit, that "Chris" and most every other fake ID on here
>>fucking with you is none other than Lloyd Davies N0VFP .
>
> who care who he is He may lloyd may not be I realy don't care
> heel we have dead men posting on here that guy

And he also could be you. You're so retarded you might be having your own
little private flame-fest with yourself and not realize it.

SC

3520. Re: only dumbass`s...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Lpc%g.14031$Y24.10390@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"the watchman" <NAMHCTAW@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1161638785.317507.70470@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
> ``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...

I noticed that too.

SC

3521. Re: only dumbass`s...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Npc%g.14032$Y24.11134@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169539
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Mark in the Dark" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1161645412.881518.155260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> the watchman wrote:
>> ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
>> ``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...
> watching is all you can do you lack the balls to try anything else
>
> how is ewatching going

Yah, Markie. How is e-watching qrz.com going?

Bwhaaahaaahaahaaahaa!!!!!!!!!!!! ROFL!

SC

3522. Re: well Qrz.com banned the retard.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Xpc%g.14036$Y24.10296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169540

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:vltnj29ga8er4sb57ff0f9v0iss2et0hul@4ax.com:

> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
> allowed

No Mark in the Dark, You just wouldn't be able to crap on the newsgroup
anymore.

SC

3523. Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:40:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0qc%g.14037$Y24.149@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Welcome to the new world of ham radio. That'll be thirty-nine dollars
and here's your complimentary QST and CB radio.

SC

3524. truth banned by qrz.com. Thank you for spreading the word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:46:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161647178.165700.22460@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
>
thank you for hel in spreading the word

3525. Re: anti Nocoder basis at by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:48:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161647283.350315.174650@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
>
thank for your suport in spreading the word

3526. slow code trolls some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:48:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <36lqj21js8jpehtd1224sr6m8am988ftmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Hee Hee Heeeeee
>
>Todd talks bad about the FCC from behind a microphone, but when they
>looked Todd in the eye he flinched and ran away like a scared little
>Morkie Morgan.
>
troling right along I see
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3527. Re: my does this chris hang on my every word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:49:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <a7lqj2lnk3o6aqg3hopvanboluu10edu4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:jg9qj2d5bhd4s4kqi4s2r27dik38jjpdpu@4ax.com:
>

>
>And he also could be you.
from your PoV I conceed you could be right
>You're so retarded you might be having your own
>little private flame-fest with yourself and not realize it.
since I am not retarded at all you are wrong there
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3528. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:50:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <89lqj29062mr7dgqrq7ockofco5i86fdqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:fmiqj25sfkjhbip738m9kdno2maskpuv00@4ax.com:
>

>Learn CW Mark in the Dark,
not wasting another minute on CW itself
> Don't get banned from more sites.
I don't care it is almost a releif
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3529. Re: Boy, I'm really enjoying qrz.com now that the truth is banned.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:51:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lalqj2t4di8itqq6foedllktbhgrn232ff@4ax.com>
Source record: 169547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
thank for your suport in spreading the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3530. qrz.com banns balnce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:52:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hblqj2hghve6ldhkrohpqgnuv2vun73odh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169548
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mark in the Dark" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161645412.881518.155260@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>> the watchman wrote:
>>> ah ha ha shit no not good people jsut the DUMBASS ones!
>>> ``The Watchman`` I Am Watching You...
>> watching is all you can do you lack the balls to try anything else
>>
>> how is ewatching going
>
>
>Yah, Markie. How is e-watching qrz.com going?
i just the headlines for any news of the R&O almost a releif not to be
able to do anything about the shit they allow to posted on the ProCode
side

thank for your suport in spreading the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3531. slkow trying and failing to win friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:53:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3elqj2d8v1cpa21e0d3qm7fla2l52adubi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
>news:ceb%g.5973$_u4.2808@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>

>Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna.
why?
>Be a ham, not a CB'er, or

it isn't an either or thing
>are you too lazy to learn and use CW?

just too smart lok what your years of using it have done to your
brain
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3532. Re: A well balanced ham knows and usesmany modes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:53:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7glqj2p4t2nqvm7befrqgeeqb6i9sash80@4ax.com>
Source record: 169550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:08 GMT, slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Do you want to be well balanced?

I am you are not
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3533. give this troll an honable retirement it stink Bad SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:54:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0hlqj2dhaqhahpmtd1cl84sc48qqamatv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>
>The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 85%.
>
>
>Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
>
>Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
>
>
>
>
>Thanks for your help.
stink bad SC
>
>
>73
>de Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3534. Re: I think it's about time I send qrz.com a thank-you donation for there bold stand against being unbaised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:56:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bilqj2l220l2cgs3ph2ulkdompgi0vrfin@4ax.com>
Source record: 169553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:18 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>If you give nickle hams or radical no-coders like KB9RQZ a chance, they'll
>gladly destroy ham radio. It's nice qrz.com decided to put on stop on
>radio terrorism.
radio terrism wow sc

are you on something when you write these posts? or maybe you are NOT
on something but in either case you are one wacked out dude and still
afraid to sign your name or call to anything
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3535. Slow copluates on his keybaord

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:56:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3llqj2dpfgd1r79erchemcb9aguc053q93@4ax.com>
Source record: 169554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>
>Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy,
>retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB to
>stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>
>I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned it.
ah yes

why is that you want to kill off the ars?
>
>73
>Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3536. QRZ.com silencesthe trhuth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:57:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bmlqj25k8nnpr18q54s6ieu1spsl8tvp9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 169556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
thank for your suport in spreading the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3537. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:57:34 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jqlndoq68nr06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> "Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
> news:ceb%g.5973$_u4.2808@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>
>
>> OK, the next problem I will be haveing is The interaction between my 10
>>meter whip and the 11 meter whip.
>> Removeing the CB is not an option, I need it for work.
>>
>>I will be adding the 10 meter whip to a 4door Dodge ram pickup.The two
>>antennas that are on the truck now are mounted with stake hole mounts
>>(the holes in the bed rail behind the driver and passenger)
>>
>>The 11 meter and the 10 meter rigs will not be on at the same time.
>>
>>How would you place the antennas to keep the interaction as low as
>>possible or use the ineraction in some way.
>>
>> Thanks
>> joe
>
>
>
>
> Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?
>
> Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
> are you too lazy to learn and use CW?
>
> SC

Sc;

The man said he uses CB for his work not his play. Either help him or
get lost.

Dave WD9BDZ

3538. Re: Lesser hams like no-codes shouldn't be allowed to post on QRZ anyway. Slow promotes a lack of balance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:58:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <anlqj2tcntdndnrdma1ngkfio3n2earmsb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>And nickle hams should only be allowed to post qrz.com after they've
>passed the code and Extra written.
>
>QRZ is a high traffic site, and we don't want potential hams to see the
>riff-raff and think ham radio is like CB.
then they shoudl bar you at once have they?
>
>73
>de Slow Code
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3539. Re: Mark can start his own forum and ban pro-coders. I could but I never would

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-23 23:59:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <folqj2l2r6k92nu6dvgpaifmven333ufdh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:23 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>He could call it Mark in the Dark's lazy-ass ham forum for lard-ass tards.
>I can propably name about five RRAP'ers that would sign right up. Some of
>them havent got their no-code callsigns yet, but they can still visit with
>others like themselves on Markies board.
>
>They can talk about hating code and other things only they can understand.
unlike you I would have no problem with prococder posting indeed I
would not even Bann you just make you post under your name and call
and cnesor all your coments about NoCoder being lazy and such you
would not be post a full sentence it seesm but youd be welcome
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3540. slow code the trol makes more freind s NOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:00:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <srlqj2huuf3l133i7fajdp9vrhdjrdue91@4ax.com>
Source record: 169559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
>can't keep a station on the air...
>
>even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!
way to influnce somebody to do what you want
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3541. Re: well Qrz.com banned the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:01:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <atlqj2di4bl08iqn61arjgaid41vjvlirc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169561

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:vltnj29ga8er4sb57ff0f9v0iss2et0hul@4ax.com:
>
>> shows what would be instore for a Moderated RRAp one sided view are
>> allowed
>
>
>No Mark in the Dark, You just wouldn't be able to crap on the newsgroup
>anymore.
RRAP isn't goign away and alegedly in the new Moderated you would NOT
be allowed to post at all since you don't give your name or call
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3542. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:02:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0vlqj29p1agbau4mah6dfkrdadf28hc9nf@4ax.com>
Source record: 169562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Welcome to the new world of ham radio. That'll be thirty-nine dollars
>and here's your complimentary QST and CB radio.
well if they infact gave a CB I might rejion
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3543. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:03:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r0mqj21h4jt8dn12i44g09r90gjcnunlu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark' wrote in
>news:8i2oj2t5ottic25kr1q0jtvj6vagmfglff@4ax.com:

>
>But they are a lesser class, Mark in the Dark. The more exams you take
>and pass, the harder you work, the farther up the ladder you go.
bullshit I am sure I worked harder than working on code just is not a
pay off for some people
>
>The problem you have Mark, is that you're so lazy people have to slap your
>silly ass off the ladder so they can climb up. You're against having good
>hams.
nope I am against bad one like yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3544. Re: Do you think the FCC told N9OGL to cease and desist Omega One operations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:04:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <v3mqj21o45p90h7eq07g0v8pgpjln61dpc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:13 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in in the Dark' wrote in
violting the ToS of your provider again SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3545. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:06:07 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dg7bc.dsl.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:n29qj2pg8uaep7o75u4qoi98qb8o9uvqag@4ax.com...
On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:01:45 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Chris wrote:
>> On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer
>>
>> Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
>> by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?
>
>Colonel Morgan must not be aware that I can still see his message
>headers despite his being killfiled. His message are simply grayed out.
>I choose to ignore him because he is a twit.
anstop your lying dave you do it poorly
>
>He has called numerous people "lier", "lair" or "liar". It is quite
>obvious that he had no clue as to who Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was.
indeed I did not know of that person but I know who the poster by that
name is and that is yourself Dave

you have all but confessed
>
>Dave K8MN

>
BUAHAHAHAHAHA!! How much time did you spend searching the QRZ database,
Doofus?
Then you say, "I will always neglected the obits when looking for the id of
a poster on RRAP."

Check the obits? For Usenet posters?

Somebody put one over on you again, Mark.
Clueless in Chassell.....

3546. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:07:55 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jqmaqekbr7d00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:

> Welcome to the new world of ham radio. That'll be thirty-nine dollars
> and here's your complimentary QST and CB radio.
>
>
> SC

SC;

Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
post them or drop the topic.

Dave WD9BDZ

3547. Re: Mark in the Dark can start his own forum and ban pro-coders. But mark is fair minded unlike the Procoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:10:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161648628.988093.262270@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Not Lloyd wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Hpc%g.14028$Y24.9399@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> He could call it Mark in the Dark's lazy-ass ham forum for lard-ass tards.
> I can propably name about five RRAP'ers that would sign right up. Some of
> them havent got their no-code callsigns yet, but they can still visit with
> others like themselves on Markies board.
>
> They can talk about hating code and other things only they can understand.
>
> SC
>
> (that's assuming anybody can understand Mark to begin with...)
funny all of you can when you want to you are just too lazy to do so
```

3548. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:11:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <sgmqj2hjh7j0jmknc4i2ds78u6eh757v34@4ax.com>
Source record: 169569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 18:57:34 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>> "Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
>> news:ceb%g.5973$_u4.2808@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>>
>>
>>> OK, the next problem I will be haveing is The interaction between my 10
>>>meter whip and the 11 meter whip.
>>> Removeing the CB is not an option, I need it for work.
>>>
>>>I will be adding the 10 meter whip to a 4door Dodge ram pickup.The two
>>>antennas that are on the truck now are mounted with stake hole mounts
>>>(the holes in the bed rail behind the driver and passenger)
>>>
>>>The 11 meter and the 10 meter rigs will not be on at the same time.
>>>
>>>How would you place the antennas to keep the interaction as low as
>>>possible or use the ineraction in some way.
>>>
>>> Thanks
>>> joe
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?
>>
>> Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
>> are you too lazy to learn and use CW?
>>
>> SC
>
>
>Sc;
>
>The man said he uses CB for his work not his play. Either help him or
>get lost.
>
>Dave WD9BDZ
an excelant point he can't legaly use his Ham gear for work
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3549. . QRZ.com silences the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:12:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vhmqj2di7aev0nls9qee4c7q715vb5cfaq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 19:56:14 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
thank you for your help in spreading the word
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3550. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:12:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <djmqj2hppbhrgnk4mgntv901v53setidg0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 19:07:55 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Slow Code wrote:
>
>> Welcome to the new world of ham radio. That'll be thirty-nine dollars
>> and here's your complimentary QST and CB radio.
>>
>>
>> SC
>
>
>SC;
>
>Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>post them or drop the topic.
>
>Dave WD9BDZ
proof is alien to this mans mind
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3551. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:14:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ulmqj2d673p4nhutmj4vnhrtl1hn0c537q@4ax.com>
Source record: 169572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:12:18 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>

>>
>
>Well, Mark. You can rest assured it IS a relief for the QRZ members!
you over play my importance which is flatering

i posted on avergae about once per day on QRZ
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3552. Re: QRZ.com silencesthe trhuth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:16:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161648989.172904.250770@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Not Lloyd wrote:
>
thannk you for your support in spreading the word

3553. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:31:43 UTC
From: Merlin-7 KI4ILB <merlin-7@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <P9d%g.4465$337.3385@southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 169574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It seems you were to lazy to find out that I already passed my code class.
For your information I use the CB to talk to truckers when I go into areas
Hit by hurricanes.
I was in gulf port mississippi 2 days after the hurricane hit that area
last year. The year befor I was in Florida 2 days after the hurricanes hit.
You see I dry out flooded buildings ...mostly hospitals...BTW I gave My 2
meter rig to the local EOC in gulf port last year. They needed it more than
I did.

So I use the CB to find out what roads are open, where the last place to
get fule is etc. We haul in most of our own fuel but we have to be able to
get fule for our big generators... And we also use the CB to talk to each
other on the road. we have had convoys of over 20 trucks ....
>
So the CB has to stay...
Joe
>
>
> Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?
>
> Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
> are you too lazy to learn and use CW?
>
> SC

3554. Re: KB9RQZ only has himself to blame. QRZ.com silences an asshole. ROFL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 00:56:14 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dga9d.dr1.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Fpc%g.14026$Y24.10247@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Maybe now Mark in the Dark will see the light and stop acting like an
ass.

SC

>

Nahhhh...not possible. Besides, it's not his fault. Never is. QRZ is simply
biased toward no-coders. Everybody knows that, right?
So what that Markie called a few good Hams "liers" and was given his walking
papers? So?
I rather think it is funny.

Now Mark is whining like a petulant little girl.

3555. Re: Mark in the Dark can start his own forum and ban pro-coders.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 01:02:32 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dgal5.f97.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Hpc%g.14028$Y24.9399@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
He could call it Mark in the Dark's lazy-ass ham forum for lard-ass tards.
I can propably name about five RRAP'ers that would sign right up. Some of
them havent got their no-code callsigns yet, but they can still visit with
others like themselves on Markies board.

They can talk about hating code and other things only they can understand.

SC

(that's assuming anybody can understand Mark to begin with...)

3556. Re: QRZ.com silencesthe trhuth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 01:10:47 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dgb4k.h20.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

QRZ didn't silence the "trhuth".
They silenced Mark.

WAY TO GO, QRZ!

BUAHAHAHAHAHA!

3557. Re: each time you add new attack thread I will swat them down and point out your error if not outright lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 01:12:18 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dgb7i.scf.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:89lqj29062mr7dgqrq7ockofco5i86fdqk@4ax.com...
On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:40:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:fmiqj25sfkjhbip738m9kdno2maskpuv00@4ax.com:
>

>Learn CW Mark in the Dark,
not wasting another minute on CW itself
> Don't get banned from more sites.
I don't care it is almost a releif
>

Well, Mark. You can rest assured it IS a relief for the QRZ members!

3558. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 01:37:41 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jqrj5dktafu89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
> It seems you were to lazy to find out that I already passed my code class.
> For your information I use the CB to talk to truckers when I go into areas
> Hit by hurricanes.
> I was in gulf port mississippi 2 days after the hurricane hit that area
> last year. The year befor I was in Florida 2 days after the hurricanes hit.
> You see I dry out flooded buildings ...mostly hospitals...BTW I gave My 2
> meter rig to the local EOC in gulf port last year. They needed it more than
> I did.
>
> So I use the CB to find out what roads are open, where the last place to
> get fule is etc. We haul in most of our own fuel but we have to be able to
> get fule for our big generators... And we also use the CB to talk to each
> other on the road. we have had convoys of over 20 trucks ....
>
> So the CB has to stay...
> Joe
>
>>
>>Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?
>>
>>Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
>>are you too lazy to learn and use CW?
>>
>>SC
>
>
>

Joe;

I was wondering what you did for hurricanes.

Don't get to upset over Slow Code. It seems that he has a problem. Just
exactly what it is I don't know but he has one. This is his way of
working off his aggressions generated by those problems.

Keep up the good work and I hope you go broke. HI HI....
Just kidding.

Dave WD9BDZ

3559. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 02:17:07 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <f4-dndZxbewo6qDYnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:qpc%g.14013$Y24.267@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:8i2oj2t5ottic25kr1q0jtvj6vagmfglff@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 20:16:19 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>news:uiT_g.11048$Lv3.5412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>>> news:q11mj25iktn87l36svnpqq68sqf006dm2t@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 01:27:10 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.ars> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:klulj2tcmkejnh69l3blguhf5j5r5u2uop@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 04:51:46 GMT, Papa Dog <papadogbg@yahoo.com>
>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>That was a GREAT post!!!!!!!
>>>>>>>she si fine for words pity that from experence I know she does not
>>>>>>>walk the talk
>>>>>>>.........
>>>>>>
>>>>>>There you go again, Mark. Commenting where no comment was due.
>>>>> why was no coment due?
>>>>>
>>>>> Dee flint's psting history contains many occasions of her reffering
>>>>> to the lessor ham lesser classes of ham etc
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dee is right.
>>>> You are a lesser class of ham Mark in the Dark. In fact, you have no
>>>> class at all.
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>>By the way, I've never referred to any person as being a lesser or lower
>>>class than another.
>> stop your lying dee flint you chose to refer to 300,00 people as
```

>> lessor hams then refuse to apoligize for or even adknowledge why
>> someone might be offended
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
> But they are a lesser class, Mark in the Dark. The more exams you take
> and pass, the harder you work, the farther up the ladder you go.
>
> The problem you have Mark, is that you're so lazy people have to slap your
> silly ass off the ladder so they can climb up. You're against having good
> hams.
>
> SC

As you can see, he is unable to separate license classes from people. I referred only to the licenses not the people. He seems to think that a definition of the license is also a definition of the person. That is absolutely inane. Afterall most of us, including myself, hold a standard driver's license. It is a lower class license than a chauffer's license, CDL B, or CDL A. None of us are "insulted" by the fact the we hold a lower class license.

Dee, N8UZE

3560. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 02:40:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 169577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message

>> SC
>
>As you can see, he is unable to separate license classes from people.

> no you lack that skill I
>referred only to the licenses not the people. He seems to think that a
>definition of the license is also a definition of the person. That is
>absolutely inane. Afterall most of us, including myself, hold a standard
>driver's license. It is a lower class license than a chauffer's license,
>CDL B, or CDL A. None of us are "insulted" by the fact the we hold a lower
>class license.
but you would if also told that your lower class was the result of
being lazy you do not understand the subject of CoNTEXT it is a word
you should try learning
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3561. Re: Maybe N9OGL should hire a 20 wpm Extra to get Omega One transmitter going again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 03:15:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161659742.803636.257890@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
> can't keep a station on the air...
>
> even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!
>
> SC

We found the problem, it will be fixed tommorrow.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO
13.556.00

3562. Re: Maybe N9OGL should hire a 20 wpm Extra to get Omega One transmitter going again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 03:49:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f83rj2hpvmooitrm7ea4plhfdqs7u2182g@4ax.com>
Source record: 169579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 23 Oct 2006 20:15:42 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
>> can't keep a station on the air...
>>
>> even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!
>>
>> SC
>
>We found the problem, it will be fixed tommorrow.
coongrats after all if you took sc sugstion I doubt the station could
have done anything but beeped when he was done with it
>
>Todd N9OGL
>OMEGA ONE RADIO
>13.556.00
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3563. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 04:29:09 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pEg%g.15803$GR2.1466@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>
> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy,
> retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB to
> stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>
> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned it.
>
> 73
> Slow Code

You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.

3564. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 04:35:05 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:P9d%g.4465$337.3385@southeast.rr.com...
> It seems you were to lazy to find out that I already passed my code class.
> For your information I use the CB to talk to truckers when I go into areas
> Hit by hurricanes.
> I was in gulf port mississippi 2 days after the hurricane hit that area
> last year. The year befor I was in Florida 2 days after the hurricanes
> hit.
> You see I dry out flooded buildings ...mostly hospitals...BTW I gave My 2
> meter rig to the local EOC in gulf port last year. They needed it more
> than
> I did.
>
> So I use the CB to find out what roads are open, where the last place to
> get fule is etc. We haul in most of our own fuel but we have to be able to
> get fule for our big generators... And we also use the CB to talk to each
> other on the road. we have had convoys of over 20 trucks ....
>>
> So the CB has to stay...
> Joe

Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W Code.

3565. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 04:44:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ESg%g.14239$Y24.13266@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169582

Not Lloyd wrote:
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>> news:n29qj2pg8uaep7o75u4qoi98qb8o9uvqag@4ax.com...
>>>On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:01:45 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:

>>>> Chris wrote:
>>>>> On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>>>>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>>>>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer

>>>> Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
>>>> by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?

>>> Colonel Morgan must not be aware that I can still see his message
>>> headers despite his being killfiled. His message are simply grayed out.
>>> I choose to ignore him because he is a twit.

>> anstop your lying dave you do it poorly

There were no lies uttered by me, Colonel.

1. I use Mozilla. Your message headers are grayed out, just like
messages which have been read.

2. You are a twit.

>>> He has called numerous people "lier", "lair" or "liar". It is quite
>>> obvious that he had no clue as to who Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was.

>> indeed I did not know of that person...

It was obvious.

>> ...but I know who the poster by that
>> name is and that is yourself Dave

That isn't correct. As I've explained to the very UnWiseman, I post
here as no one but myself. I never have. I never will.

>> you have all but confessed

In topsy-turvy "Mark World" it may appear that anyone who knows who
Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was, must be guilty of posting as that
person. I submit that there are large numbers of people who have
learned about Steinmetz. Any one of them might have posted those
messages. I did not post them.

> BUAHAHAHAHAHA!! How much time did you spend searching the QRZ database,
> Doofus?
> Then you say, "I will always neglected the obits when looking for the id of
> a poster on RRAP."
>
> Check the obits? For Usenet posters?

Yeah, if you can't find them on the FCC database, go straight for lists
of the dead.

> Somebody put one over on you again, Mark.
> Clueless in Chassell.....

Like *that's* something new.

Dave K8MN

3566. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 05:55:42 UTC
From: Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161669342.480439.51070@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169583

Dave Heil wrote:

> In topsy-turvy "Mark World" it may appear that anyone who knows who
> Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was, must be guilty of posting as that
> person. I submit that there are large numbers of people who have
> learned about Steinmetz. Any one of them might have posted those
> messages. I did not post them.

Not that he'll believe you, Dave. We can play Markie like a cheap
fiddle any time we want to and he'll never get a clue.

What makes Markie think that Charles_P_Steinmetz is only ONE person,
hmmmm?

3567. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 10:43:22 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff@local.host>
Message-ID: <453df019$0$1355$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com>
Source record: 169584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
>> Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>> post them or drop the topic.
>
> I'm afraid that you can't order SC around that way. He's a *real* 20
> wpm extra, whereas you're only a nickel extra. Show some respect or
> else get off Usenet.
>

Respect has to be earned. That comes from a person's actions and what they
say, not from the fact that they possess a particular mechanical skill
(Morse in this case). So far SC had said and done very little to gain
anyone's respect; quite the opposite in fact; continual spamming of this
news group with bigoted off-topic garbage.

Jeff
```

3568. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz for the clueless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 11:44:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl988kj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169585

On 23 Oct 2006 22:55:42 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > In topsy-turvy "Mark World" it may appear that anyone who knows who
> > Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was, must be guilty of posting as that
> > person. I submit that there are large numbers of people who have
> > learned about Steinmetz. Any one of them might have posted those
> > messages. I did not post them.
>
> Not that he'll believe you, Dave. We can play Markie like a cheap
> fiddle any time we want to and he'll never get a clue.
>
> What makes Markie think that Charles_P_Steinmetz is only ONE person,
> hmmmm?
hi Steve's sokcpuppet.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

3569. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz the Deaman posting yea right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:08:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mu3sj25mnspvomeu7h2lj00bd9cff11l30@4ax.com>
Source record: 169586

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:44:20 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Not Lloyd wrote:
>>> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>> news:n29qj2pg8uaep7o75u4qoi98qb8o9uvqag@4ax.com...
>>>>On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 20:01:45 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>>> wrote:
>
>>>>> Chris wrote:
>>>>>> On 23 Oct 2006 09:58:02 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>> well he certainly isn't arround to be posting on RRAP but the nam is
>>>>>> one that could have belonged to a live person today Dave BTW has porevn
>>>>>> himself a lier in claiming I am killfiled so it it is a 2 fer
>
>>>>> Your abuse of the word "liar" is one of the things that got you banned
>>>>> by qrz.com. You haven't learned much, have you?
>
>>>> Colonel Morgan must not be aware that I can still see his message
>>>> headers despite his being killfiled. His message are simply grayed out.
>>>> I choose to ignore him because he is a twit.
>
>>> anstop your lying dave you do it poorly
>
>There were no lies uttered by me, Colonel.

you lie often Dave it is amazing that you are so brazen

as to the rest as the ONLY to reconize such an obscure person it makes
it quite likely that you set him up in the first place
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3570. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz Dead man posting? yea right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:11:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <934sj2po62rqaa285hhkqghv0panubk8mr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169587

On 23 Oct 2006 22:55:42 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> In topsy-turvy "Mark World" it may appear that anyone who knows who
>> Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was, must be guilty of posting as that
>> person. I submit that there are large numbers of people who have
>> learned about Steinmetz. Any one of them might have posted those
>> messages. I did not post them.
>
>Not that he'll believe you, Dave. We can play Markie like a cheap
>fiddle any time we want to and he'll never get a clue.
>
>What makes Markie think that Charles_P_Steinmetz is only ONE person,
>hmmmm?
why noy just confess Dave as to you "chrales you have proven yourself
a liar in anyevent you claim to be a geophysist and you imply your are
a ahm and you claim to be a dead man posting one of these claims is
clearly a lie what about the rest

could there be more than one p"person posting a Chrales sure but who
realy cares you wether one or several area proven Procder fraud
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3571. Re: Charles P. SteinmetzDaed man posting and forgery to boot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:12:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <494sj2du6isun0sk37pke5ogoiccetopfh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169588

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 13:44:41 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
>On 23 Oct 2006 22:55:42 -0700, Charles_P_Steinmetz@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> > In topsy-turvy "Mark World" it may appear that anyone who knows who
>> > Charles Prometheus Steinmetz was, must be guilty of posting as that
>> > person. I submit that there are large numbers of people who have
>> > learned about Steinmetz. Any one of them might have posted those
>> > messages. I did not post them.
>>
>> Not that he'll believe you, Dave. We can play Markie like a cheap
>> fiddle any time we want to and he'll never get a clue.
>>
>> What makes Markie think that Charles_P_Steinmetz is only ONE person,
>> hmmmm?
>hi Steve's sokcpuppet.
>
you aaint me who ever posted this OTOH you might be right
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3572. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:14:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mb4sj2tgpgbb4q09it6s3naif9u89n4pcl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:29:09 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>
>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy,
>> retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB to
>> stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>>
>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned it.
>>
>> 73
>> Slow Code
>
>You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.
idoit certainly vgiven the time of day he generaly posts more likely
the user of different drugs but you may be right Tom
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3573. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:15:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1f4sj21kaa9riksejr1fhvfsdfvjvmst1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:43:22 +0100, "Jeff" <jeff@local.host> wrote:

>>> Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>>> post them or drop the topic.
>>
>> I'm afraid that you can't order SC around that way. He's a *real* 20
>> wpm extra, whereas you're only a nickel extra. Show some respect or
>> else get off Usenet.
>>
>
>Respect has to be earned. That comes from a person's actions and what they
>say, not from the fact that they possess a particular mechanical skill
>(Morse in this case). So far SC had said and done very little to gain
>anyone's respect; quite the opposite in fact; continual spamming of this
>news group with bigoted off-topic garbage.
I would say instead theat respect is given out on credit but Slow
Codes Account on that subject is SERIOUSLY over drawn
>
>Jeff
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3574. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 13:45:22 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12js67itdc8jqad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:43:22 +0100, Jeff <jeff@local.host> wrote:
>
> [bad attributions due to jeff@local.host}
>
>>>>Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>>>>post them or drop the topic.
>>>
>>>I'm afraid that you can't order SC around that way. He's a *real* 20
>>>wpm extra, whereas you're only a nickel extra. Show some respect or
>>>else get off Usenet.
>>>
>
> [end of bad attributions]
>
>
>>Respect has to be earned. That comes from a person's actions and what they
>>say, not from the fact that they possess a particular mechanical skill
>>(Morse in this case). So far SC had said and done very little to gain
>>anyone's respect; quite the opposite in fact; continual spamming of this
>>news group with bigoted off-topic garbage.
>>
>
>
> Is your name David? Do you think that he can't supply his own
> answers, so you'll do it for him?
>
> You can't even quote a Usenet post properly. Work on that before
> attempting more complicated things like critiquing SC.
>

My name is David and you are not displaying anything deserving on
respect either.

David N

3575. whine whine whine. how about some cheese?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:26:53 UTC
From: anonymous <anonymous@anonymous.fi>
Message-ID: <453e2475$0$1355$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com>
Source record: 169592

you guys are a bunch of grade A, certified, 100% nutjobs. all you do is whine. in fact, you whine so much, I had to hire every cheese factory in Wisconsin in order to make enough cheese to soak up all this whine. I just hope they can deleiver by next week.

3576. Batman mgiht be a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:30:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754gi2tmfv230985pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

sveral people playd batman, and it looks like oneof thme migt
be a ham. I amch ecking moreso into it and thne there will
b eabig anouncment here if it is.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com

3577. Re: whine whine whine. how about some cheese?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:32:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1v8sj2l1v6lvqkdfqn2jp7cflnm0428jto@4ax.com>
Source record: 169594

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 07:26:53 -0700, anonymous <anonymous@anonymous.fi>
wrote:

>you guys are a bunch of grade A, certified, 100% nutjobs. all you do is
>whine. in fact, you whine so much, I had to hire every cheese factory in
>Wisconsin in order to make enough cheese to soak up all this whine. I just
>hope they can deleiver by next week.
nothing can saok justbwogers whining let alone sSlow so call and
cancel
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3578. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:32:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <709sj2d65ajfjr7hjoff3ia0ho2objhgdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 16:30:37 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
but you knew that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3579. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz the Deaman posting yea right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:43:38 UTC
From: Nickola Tesla <teslan@no.spam>
Message-ID: <30981833.24588789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 169596

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 09:08:39 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.apos wrote:
>
> you lie often Dave it is amazing that you are so brazen
>
> as to the rest as the ONLY to reconize such an obscure person it makes
> it quite likely that you set him up in the first place

Not so. I set up the phony name so that we could laugh at you.

Nicola
http://nicolasnest.blogspot.com

P.S. Dave posts as himself, and I post as everyone else.

3580. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:49:19 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff@local.host>
Message-ID: <453e29c4$0$1355$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com>
Source record: 169597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> [bad attributions due to jeff@local.host}
>> >> Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>> >> post them or drop the topic.
>> >
>> > I'm afraid that you can't order SC around that way. He's a *real* 20
>> > wpm extra, whereas you're only a nickel extra. Show some respect or
>> > else get off Usenet.
>> >
> [end of bad attributions]

If you bothered to read my post there were NO attributions at all , just
quotes from the previous message in the thread that required no attribution.
So none of them were "Bad".

>> Respect has to be earned. That comes from a person's actions and what
>> they
>> say, not from the fact that they possess a particular mechanical skill
>> (Morse in this case). So far SC had said and done very little to gain
>> anyone's respect; quite the opposite in fact; continual spamming of this
>> news group with bigoted off-topic garbage.
>>
>
> Is your name David? Do you think that he can't supply his own
> answers, so you'll do it for him?

No my name is not David, as it clearly said at the end of the message. I was
not replying on David's behalf, merely commenting on the fact that respect
is not something that comes merely with an ability or a qualification; it
has to be earned by words and deeds. SC has not demonstrated anything here
that would earn respect; quite the opposite in fact.

> You can't even quote a Usenet post properly. Work on that before
> attempting more complicated things like critiquing SC.

Critiquing SC is not difficult, his rantings make that task very easy, Even
if his arguments did have any merit, his off-topic spam like postings debase
the whole point of view. It just looks like very childish behaviour.

73
Jeff
>

3581. Re: whine whine whine. how about some cheese?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 14:50:08 UTC
From: Nicola Tesla <teslan@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2309.87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 169598

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 10:32:28 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> nothing can saok justbwogers whining let alone sSlow so call and
> cancel

Exactly. Nothing can soak just boogers, and he should have known that.

Nicola
http://nicolasnest.blogspot.com

3582. Tesla more dead men psoting give it break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 15:04:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hqasj2tq6sfc387km3vc4bu4v2g9p3lijj@4ax.com>
Source record: 169599

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 14:50:08 +0000 (UTC), Nicola Tesla
<teslan@no.spam> wrote:

not sure if stealing a daed man id is cimre come to think of it

it is stupid and pretentious
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3583. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 15:23:30 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sdq%g.21193$e66.15893@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Jeff wrote:
> It just looks like very childish behaviour.

You figured it out, Jeff. SC is my 8 year old
trouble-making grand-nephew who doesn't know
a single character of Morse code.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3584. Re: Tesla more dead men psoting give it break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 15:36:33 UTC
From: Nikola Tesla <teslan@no.spam>
Message-ID: <2098117833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 169651

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:04:35 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 14:50:08 +0000 (UTC), Nicola Tesla
> <teslan@no.spam> wrote:
>
> not sure if stealing a daed man id is cimre come to think of it

Then all of those Spanish people who name their boys "Jesus" are
in REAL trouble, aren't they?

> it is stupid and pretentious

Indeed it would be pretentious if I could always remember how to spell
my first name. But I'm not so stupid that I think Dave Heil is
Charlie Steinmetz either. If you could read headers, you would see
that the person you claim is Dave is located in the New Orleans area.

Not to have noticed that little fact, IS stupid. As you so aptly put
it in an earlier post, "nothing can saok justbwogers."

Nikola
http://nikolasnest.blogspot.com

P.S. Dave Heil posts as himself; I post as everyone else.

3585. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 17:10:02 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1161709802.442429.126750@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:

>
> Breaker, Breaker, good-buddy, got yer ears on?
>
> Get rid of the eleven meter rig and antenna. Be a ham, not a CB'er, or
> are you too lazy to learn and use CW?
>
> SC

I guess you are a slow reader too. He already says he needs it for
work. Get a grip. Get a life. Go work some slow CW. Whatever..
Just go somewhere else to blather on about CW. I'd probably smoke
your lame ass at CW. Do you see me whining about your "slow code"?
Nope. This ain't the place for it.
MK

3586. Re: Spiderman is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 19:13:07 UTC
From: alunlpalmer@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1161717187.279480.107170@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:36:05 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
> (Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:
>
> >
>
> agreed spider man if existed is no hame
>
> but Id theft reamin a crime that you have comited
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

What do you mean? According to the FCC, Peter Parker is KC0JXD !!!

3587. Re: Spiderman is not ham according to FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 19:15:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pipsj2huoua5q9e02d465rpqj0ije4tjp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 24 Oct 2006 12:13:07 -0700, alunlpalmer@gmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 23:36:05 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
>> (Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:
>>
>> >
>>
>> agreed spider man if existed is no hame
>>
>> but Id theft reamin a crime that you have comited
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>What do you mean? According to the FCC, Peter Parker is KC0JXD !!!
there original poster is just forgering anyway
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3588. Re: Tesla more dead men psoting give it break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 19:17:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jkpsj2954nkgfm0l9vhv11mjbkjru225i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 169655

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 15:36:33 +0000 (UTC), Nikola Tesla
<teslan@no.spam> wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:04:35 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 14:50:08 +0000 (UTC), Nicola Tesla

>Not to have noticed that little fact, IS stupid. As you so aptly put
>it in an earlier post, "nothing can saok justbwogers."
I simply give him creidt as a cappable fraud
>
>Nikola
>http://nikolasnest.blogspot.com
>
>P.S. Dave Heil posts as himself; I post as everyone else.
right dave
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3589. Re: Batman mgiht is a ham his call AB8MQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 19:26:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u4qsj25f5hkovo9iqhapi2k5pdcfa4at3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 16:30:37 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
>sveral people playd batman, and it looks like oneof thme migt
wheil I did not infact make the eiarlier uppon reflection I do realize
that Bat Man has call it is AB8MQ who truely does have bats in his
belfrey
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3590. Re: Charles P. Steinmetz the Deaman posting yea right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 19:54:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161719659.426379.243040@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169657

Nickola Tesla wrote:
> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 09:08:39 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.apos wrote:
> >
> > you lie often Dave it is amazing that you are so brazen
> >
> > as to the rest as the ONLY to reconize such an obscure person it makes
> > it quite likely that you set him up in the first place
>
> Not so. I set up the phony name so that we could laugh at you.

meaning you now confess to being fraud

given that you have been outed as a fraud it seems only fiting
>
> Nicola
> http://nicolasnest.blogspot.com
>
> P.S. Dave posts as himself, and I post as everyone else.

3591. id theft is a cime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 21:29:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nd1tj2p3atm80alg7jg5hfalfjarf16hgk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 23:15:48 +0200 (CEST), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
but you knew that
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3592. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:13:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161731627.595612.71000@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Jeff wrote:
> > It just looks like very childish behaviour.
>
> You figured it out, Jeff. SC is my 8 year old
> trouble-making grand-nephew who doesn't know
> a single character of Morse code.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

Cecil,

I don't believe you. I think you're kidding us.

Everybody knows that 8 year olds don't act *that* childish....

73 de Jim, N2EY

3593. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:16:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5k7tj2dtfu58ttggu162n7ltjmhg555b0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 169660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Oct 2006 16:13:47 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Jeff wrote:
>> > It just looks like very childish behaviour.
>>
>> You figured it out, Jeff. SC is my 8 year old
>> trouble-making grand-nephew who doesn't know
>> a single character of Morse code.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com
>
>Cecil,
>
>I don't believe you. I think you're kidding us.
>
>Everybody knows that 8 year olds don't act *that* childish....

my word a ppost of your I can agree with every word
indeed no kid can be that childish and it is a rare adult too

aany chance you will Top this feat Jim by apologizing for accusing a
Nocoder of being behind Slow Code truly No NoCoder could his lunch
long to be Slow code
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3594. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:18:25 UTC
From: Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.24.23.21.27.535273@pan>
Source record: 169661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:34:28 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

> [snip]
>
> You can't even quote a Usenet post properly. Work on that before
> attempting more complicated things like critiquing SC.

PKB.

You aren't buying, selling, or swapping anything, so why are you
crossposting to rec.radio.swap? More to the point, why aren't you setting
a followup to your crosspost?

There's a plethora of information on Usenet at http://snipurl.com/10buv
Search for the word "crossposting".

HTH

HAND

F'up set.

--
To design the perfect anti-Unix, write an operating system that thinks
it knows what you're doing better than you do. And then adds injury to
insult by getting it wrong.
- esr

3595. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:27:42 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ojx%g.22822$GR2.5403@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:mb4sj2tgpgbb4q09it6s3naif9u89n4pcl@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:29:09 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>>
>>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy,
>>> retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB
>>> to
>>> stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>>>
>>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned
>>> it.
>>>
>>> 73
>>> Slow Code
>>
>>You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.
> idoit certainly vgiven the time of day he generaly posts more likely
> the user of different drugs but you may be right Tom
>>

Did I ask you anything?
```

3596. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:32:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <si8tj2db2fl5155lc75o258ra45fccaabs@4ax.com>
Source record: 169663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 23:27:42 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:mb4sj2tgpgbb4q09it6s3naif9u89n4pcl@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:29:09 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>>>
>>>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either lazy,
>>>> retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB
>>>> to
>>>> stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>>>>
>>>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned
>>>> it.
>>>>
>>>> 73
>>>> Slow Code
>>>
>>>You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.
>> idoit certainly vgiven the time of day he generaly posts more likely
>> the user of different drugs but you may be right Tom
>>>
>
>Did I ask you anything?
just now yes

do you suffer from the delusion that Usenet is place for PRIVATE
conversation Tom?

it certainly seems you do
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3597. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-24 23:48:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dh9tj257p091446b8q24bjuspdn2thoh8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169664

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 23:46:46 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 23:18:25 GMT, Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid>
wrote:
>> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:34:28 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> > [snip]
>> >
>> > You can't even quote a Usenet post properly. Work on that before
>> > attempting more complicated things like critiquing SC.
>>
>> PKB.
>>
>> You aren't buying, selling, or swapping anything, so why are you
>> crossposting to rec.radio.swap? More to the point, why aren't you setting
>> a followup to your crosspost?
>>
>> There's a plethora of information on Usenet at http://snipurl.com/10buv
>> Search for the word "crossposting".
>
>My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
what is your point given the fact you followed up on his post and just
posted to policy he will see your words
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3598. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <9Ux%g.12442$Lv3.3541@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:

> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W Code.

No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced to pass
a code test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13
for General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.

SC

3599. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <bUx%g.12443$Lv3.1109@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>
>>> SC
>>
>>As you can see, he is unable to separate license classes from people.
>
>> no you lack that skill I
>>referred only to the licenses not the people. He seems to think that a
>>definition of the license is also a definition of the person. That is
>>absolutely inane. Afterall most of us, including myself, hold a
>>standard driver's license. It is a lower class license than a
>>chauffer's license, CDL B, or CDL A. None of us are "insulted" by the
>>fact the we hold a lower class license.
> but you would if also told that your lower class was the result of
> being lazy you do not understand the subject of CoNTEXT it is a word
> you should try learning

But you are lazy Mark in the Dark, you refuse to do what it takes to
upgrade. You're waiting for the FCC hand-out licenses.

SC

3600. I remember when there was a sense of pride in passing the 20 wpm CW test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gUx%g.12447$Lv3.2784@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.

SC

3601. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hUx%g.12448$Lv3.3287@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:pEg%g.15803$GR2.1466@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>
>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either
>> lazy, retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back
>> to CB to stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned
>> for life.
>>
>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned
>> it.
>>
>> 73
>> Slow Code
>
> You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.

Just for that you're banished to the CB group. Don't let the door hit you
in the butt on the way out.

SC

3602. Re: Maybe N9OGL should hire a 20 wpm Extra to get Omega One transmitter going again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <jUx%g.12449$Lv3.1346@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:f83rj2hpvmooitrm7ea4plhfdqs7u2182g@4ax.com:

> On 23 Oct 2006 20:15:42 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
>>> can't keep a station on the air...
>>>
>>> even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>>We found the problem, it will be fixed tommorrow.

> coongrats after all if you took sc sugstion I doubt the station could
> have done anything but beeped when he was done with it

Or CB after you were done with it, Mark in the Dark.

SC

3603. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <mUx%g.12451$Lv3.11473@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:

> Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>
>> It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or he
>> would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB for
>> local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
>> Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last place
>> to
>> get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks pulling
>> generators and drying equipment.
>> SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
>> spent
>> months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings. Many
>> time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
>> Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is nothing
>> else
>> you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are going,
>> I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
>> Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
>> I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to
>> give Ham
>> radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
>> Joe
>>
>>
> Joe;
>
> I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
> Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
> about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
> highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
> traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
> traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>
> Dave WD9BDZ
> former KBH1602

The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.

SC

3604. Re: whine whine whine. how about some cheese?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <qUx%g.12454$Lv3.6368@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169671

anonymous <anonymous@anonymous.fi> wrote in
news:453e2475$0$1355$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com:

> you guys are a bunch of grade A, certified, 100% nutjobs. all you do is
> whine. in fact, you whine so much, I had to hire every cheese factory in
> Wisconsin in order to make enough cheese to soak up all this whine. I
> just hope they can deleiver by next week.

There's plenty of cheese here. Mark in the Dark cuts the cheese on every
thread.

SC

3605. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <rUx%g.12455$Lv3.1220@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:3dcd1b6f.91075257@alvillage.net:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 19:07:55 -0500, David G. Nagel <nagel@core.com>
> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> > Welcome to the new world of ham radio. That'll be thirty-nine
>> > dollars and here's your complimentary QST and CB radio.
>> >
>> >
>> > SC
>>
>>
>> SC;
>>
>> Do you have any positive proof of what you are saying here? If you do
>> post them or drop the topic.
>
> I'm afraid that you can't order SC around that way. He's a *real* 20
> wpm extra, whereas you're only a nickel extra. Show some respect or
> else get off Usenet.

ROFL!

That was good, Damn that was good.

SC

3606. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:06:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <sUx%g.12456$Lv3.3527@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:Sdq%g.21193$e66.15893@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> Jeff wrote:
>> It just looks like very childish behaviour.
>
> You figured it out, Jeff. SC is my 8 year old
> trouble-making grand-nephew who doesn't know
> a single character of Morse code.

I always knew Uncle Cecil was the Black sheep of the family. He hates ham radio. I'm going to tell Grandpa Hiram not to invite him to the next family reunion.

SC

3607. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:13:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1vatj2117vhj88qkjb1c2ov82hgdksh6ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 169674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>
>But you are lazy Mark in the Dark, you refuse to do what it takes to
>upgrade.
no I simply can't do it, certainly in the legal sens eof it
> You're waiting for the FCC hand-out licenses.

not at all that you can't understand is why you are an idoit
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3608. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:14:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s1btj25jmlmsqo212g4r53mj9gqfpbajiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
>> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
>> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W Code.
>
>
>
>No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced
forced that is what you are all about FORCE you can't persaude anybody
so you have to try and make em
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3609. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:15:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <l3btj2dnvpu4nrstakvcrghav0es4fsq9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:pEg%g.15803$GR2.1466@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>>
>>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either
>>> lazy, retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back
>>> to CB to stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned
>>> for life.
>>>
>>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned
>>> it.
>>>
>>> 73
>>> Slow Code
>>
>> You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.
>
>
>Just for that you're banished to the CB group. Don't let the door hit you
>in the butt on the way out.
more of your delusion of ganduer

of course if you are going to have delsuion you might as well have the
truly satifing kind" Marcus B5
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3610. Re: Maybe N9OGL should hire a 20 wpm Extra to get Omega One transmitter going again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:16:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <g5btj29oi4hc27hroo4vd8nh8tvf2q9hv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:f83rj2hpvmooitrm7ea4plhfdqs7u2182g@4ax.com:
>
>> On 23 Oct 2006 20:15:42 -0700, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>> It's easy to see why the FCC won't grant Todd a waiver. They know he
>>>> can't keep a station on the air...
>>>>
>>>> even a pirate one. Heee Heeeee Heeeeeeeee!!!
>>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>>We found the problem, it will be fixed tommorrow.
>
>> coongrats after all if you took sc sugstion I doubt the station could
>> have done anything but beeped when he was done with it
>
>
>Or CB after you were done with it, Mark in the Dark.
so you igamine While I never work on CB not realy sure it is legal at
all I have built several of my own transceivers
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3611. sc geting groos again oh hum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:17:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m7btj2hllv4crap3eac81igql5pepcn50a@4ax.com>
Source record: 169678

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>anonymous <anonymous@anonymous.fi> wrote in
>news:453e2475$0$1355$834e42db@reader.greatnowhere.com:
>
>> you guys are a bunch of grade A, certified, 100% nutjobs. all you do is
>> whine. in fact, you whine so much, I had to hire every cheese factory in
>> Wisconsin in order to make enough cheese to soak up all this whine. I
>> just hope they can deleiver by next week.
>
>
>There's plenty of cheese here. Mark in the Dark cuts the cheese on every
>thread.
you claim any form of superioity SC

you need mental help bad
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3612. sc troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:18:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eabtj25bfipctjfj4kqoiti8vshfhn9f8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.

you are just a liar SC and an ignorant fool
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3613. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:19:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mcbtj2l547mtmd3613qb9vuhihhoqu586h@4ax.com>
Source record: 169680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>>

>> Joe;
>>
>> I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
>> Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
>> about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
>> highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
>> traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
>> traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>>
>> Dave WD9BDZ
>> former KBH1602
>
>
>The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
and neither were the Coders it seems
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3614. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:27:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hqbtj29hl849qjbdardp1bf4oulag3ubrg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169681

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:23:31 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 19:48:40 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> what is your point given the fact you followed up on his post and just
>> posted to policy he will see your words
>
>Watch for developments, my insignificant friend.
well not likely to be any devolpements and you are not my firend only
batting 100 percent on dishoenestly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3615. Re: I remember when there was a sense of pride in passing the 20 wpm CW test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:38:25 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <wt6uh-ng7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5my%g.12448$8C4.2660@tornado.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 169682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Slow Code wrote:
> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>
> SC

They just appreciate different things. The hobby
has advanced greatly since the rotary spark gap.

Not having to take a test doesn't mean you can't
operate code; or did you misread the regs?

Phil w7ox

3616. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:50:50 UTC
From: Merlin-7 KI4ILB <merlin-7@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Kxy%g.3485$2h.981@tornado.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 169683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I would be surprised if SC even knows code, more surprised if he even had a rig up and running.
After all, why would someone that can do 20+wpm spend there time here flameing everyone?
Besides, none of the Hams I know would never insult anyone new to ham radio.
And they would not cross post like this......
Joe

CW is not a true ham, he has no idea what being a ham means...
Just curious
Anyone know what his call is?

3617. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 00:54:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vadtj2tdhn9mn1hbam6ap5ijd3c56846n1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:50:50 GMT, "Merlin-7 KI4ILB"
<merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote:

> I would be surprised if SC even knows code, more surprised if he even had a
>rig up and running.
I doubt he does or can even make a rig run at best he is likely stuck
in CCRed place that he thought he could bully
> After all, why would someone that can do 20+wpm spend there time here
>flameing everyone?
> Besides, none of the Hams I know would never insult anyone new to ham
>radio.
> And they would not cross post like this......
> Joe
>
>CW is not a true ham, he has no idea what being a ham means...
>Just curious
>Anyone know what his call is?
know it No I don't he is too cowardly to give one
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3618. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 01:03:28 UTC
From: Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan>
Source record: 169685

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:12:30 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
>
> 1. The newsgroups were selected by one of your own.

No; they were selected by a malevolent troll who presents a data point
indicating that W2NSD/1 might have been on to something, assuming he
really is an Extra.

> I merely followed up
> to the thread. Talk to the original poster if you are really serious
> (which of course you are not);

He has been challenged on his behavior before. He has replied with his
five lifetime goals. He is a one-trick pony.

He is boring.

> 2. The URI you list is non-authoritative, and probably unreliable. The
> primary authoritative sources on Usenet posting are to be found in the
> news.answers group.

http://snipurl.com/10buv

(That expands to
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-hierarchy/news/newusers/questions/How_to_find_the_right_place_to_post_(FAQ),
by the way.)

Newsgroups:
news.announce.newusers,news.groups.questions,news.groups.reviews,
news.groups,news.newusers.questions,alt.config,alt.answers,NEWS.ANSWERS

Seems it *was* posted to NEWS.ANSWERS.

> Accept nothing else (I suggest that you take a look
> at the posting FAQ, because you've already violated several of its
> guidelines), and

Really. Several?

Which ones, praytell? Posting to a newsgroup I don't read? I know where
rrap is; I choose not to read it. Nevertheless, it is dragged willy nilly
into a newsgroup I *do* read.

The questions were rhetorical, by the way. You needn't answer.

> 3. Remove the hook that's dangling from your mouth. Everybody can
> see that you've been snapping at troll bait.

He says, to my first response to this incessant interminable internecine
war.

Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other company.

--
To design the perfect anti-Unix, write an operating system that thinks
it knows what you're doing better than you do. And then adds injury to
insult by getting it wrong.
- esr

3619. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 01:51:09 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jtgoc4hcp4e3b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
> news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
>
>
>>Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>>
>>
>>> It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or he
>>>would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB for
>>>local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
>>> Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last place
>>> to
>>>get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks pulling
>>>generators and drying equipment.
>>> SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
>>> spent
>>>months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings. Many
>>>time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
>>> Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is nothing
>>> else
>>>you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are going,
>>>I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
>>>Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
>>> I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to
>>> give Ham
>>>radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
>>> Joe
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Joe;
>>
>>I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
>>Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
>>about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
>>highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
>>traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
>>traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>>
>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>former KBH1602
>

>
>
> The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>
> SC
Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
service is better for road conditions?

Dave WD9BDZ

3620. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 02:40:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6kjtj2p93su9eedplm735q4oqpa1f5k8n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169687

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 02:17:19 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 01:03:28 GMT, Robert Grizzard wrote:
>[nothing important]

>I'll bet that he can't even receive 10 wpm.
so?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3621. Re: Mark Morgan, kb9rqz, banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:05:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161745512.282127.53390@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.democrats

Chris wrote:
> QRZ.COM will no longer allow Mark Morgan to post in their forums.
>
> Now we will see even more of him here. Aren't we lucky?
>
>
> ----
> In message <47ioj29tvo6o93smik8uccphb043jd9l7i@4ax.com>,
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs autolamed himself with the question "you posted the
> same drivel twice?"

i wil againe myy onw stie

3622. Re: I wanna be a KKKATHY groupie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:09:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161745782.625379.244930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.gossip.celebrities,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fashion,rec.radio.cb

Gladys Pip wrote:
> can someone tell me where i can sign up ?
>
> btw my dog's name is armani
>
> i never been to seattle or puget sound
>
> but i love to listen to martha and the vandellas
>
> and i live in a split level home
>
> it's not a mcmansion but maybe one day

Let agine fore qzr

3623. truth banned by qrz.com.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:12:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9fltj2hktd2b29ldlad3ujh9m9hp240b6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 169690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.democrats

On 24 Oct 2006 20:05:12 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>

but your id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3624. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:14:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161746078.923767.75890@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

David G. Nagel wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
> > news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
> >
> >
> >>Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or he
> >>>would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB for
> >>>local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
> >>> Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last place
> >>> to
> >>>get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks pulling
> >>>generators and drying equipment.
> >>> SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
> >>> spent
> >>>months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings. Many
> >>>time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
> >>> Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is nothing
> >>> else
> >>>you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are going,
> >>>I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
> >>>Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
> >>> I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to
> >>> give Ham
> >>>radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
> >>> Joe
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Joe;
> >>
> >>I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
> >>Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
> >>about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
> >>highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
> >>traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
> >>traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
> >>
> >>Dave WD9BDZ
> >>former KBH1602

> >
> >
> >
> > The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
> >
> > SC
> Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
> I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
> where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
> trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
> service is better for road conditions?
>
> Dave WD9BDZ

Id tfeth on qzr

3625. id tehft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:15:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4lltj2ha0t7sn5p54tqn09u3nrpvs34fof@4ax.com>
Source record: 169692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.gossip.celebrities,alt.fashion,rec.radio.cb

On 24 Oct 2006 20:09:42 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>
id theft is acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3626. Re: I remember when there was a sense of pride in passing the 20 wpm CW test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:17:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161746253.858141.126300@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Slow Code wrote:
> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>
> SC

wyh do yiou

3627. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:17:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <epltj25ig6933rh3o83rlm5hs24c79ptj4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

On 24 Oct 2006 20:14:38 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>
id theft reamins a crime you wannaa be me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3628. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:22:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <q2mtj219ehdcp6ecfs5bh93j305llum3e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 169695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On 24 Oct 2006 20:17:33 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id tehft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3629. Re: Batman mgiht be a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:24:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161746677.335201.199000@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> sveral people playd batman, and it looks like oneof thme migt
> be a ham. I amch ecking moreso into it and thne there will
> b eabig anouncment here if it is.
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com

batmman hsa a bigg dcik

3630. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:27:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4cmtj21sa9a4cvhnps30qm4b06e5da0eou@4ax.com>
Source record: 169697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 24 Oct 2006 20:24:37 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3631. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 03:31:12 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12jtmjv9nmlnfa3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:

> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>>>news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or he
>>>>>would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB for
>>>>>local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
>>>>>Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last place
>>>>>to
>>>>>get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks pulling
>>>>>generators and drying equipment.
>>>>>SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
>>>>>spent
>>>>>months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings. Many
>>>>>time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
>>>>>Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is nothing
>>>>>else
>>>>>you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are going,
>>>>>I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
>>>>>Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
>>>>>I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to
>>>>>give Ham
>>>>>radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
>>>>>Joe
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Joe;
>>>>
>>>>I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
>>>>Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
>>>>about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the

>>>>highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
>>>>traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
>>>>traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>>>>
>>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>>>former KBH1602
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>>>
>>>SC
>>
>>Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
>>I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
>>where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
>>trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
>>service is better for road conditions?
>>
>>Dave WD9BDZ
>
>
> Id tfeth on qzr
>
?? Say What??

3632. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 10:44:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.

You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
permission.

> They earned it.

They think they have....

> 73
> Slow Code

3633. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 11:04:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161774247.872077.272590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Slow Code wrote:
> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>
> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >>>
> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
> >>>> > >>
> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >>>> >
> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >>>> >
> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
> >>>> >
> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
> >>>>
> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
> >>>
> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
> >>>
> >>>> "Slow Code" could
> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
> >>>
> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
> >>
> >>
```

> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
> >>everyone is.
> >
> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license
>
> Mark in the Dark.
>
> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.

Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.

3634. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 15:11:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jivuj254or5ij6kriur0r493vobd7u2e2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 169701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 25 Oct 2006 04:04:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
>> >>>> > >>
>> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> >>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>> >>>
>> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>> >>>
>> >>>> "Slow Code" could
>> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >>>
>> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
```

>> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>> >>everyone is.
>> >
>> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license
>>
>> Mark in the Dark.
>>
>> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.
>
>Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
>operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
>HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.
be fair they equate Code and some even promote code acuratcy the
rest you got spot on and since SC has never said slpooy fast is ok we
should give him the benifit of the doubt
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3635. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 15:15:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hlvuj25e3rvd87vab06e4ev1d4mvh9092p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 22:31:12 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>>>>news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:

>>
>> Id tfeth on qzr
>>
>?? Say What??

the statement is in posted forged to seem to be me

what it means I can translate exactly it means the poster is so afraid
of Me that he must try to discredit by making my dyslexia look worse
than it is
you also need to watch the NG in such a post you post this to
Alt.usenet.kooks like the poster youu responded the purpose is that
AUK is filled nut jobs that will sometimes come in theis NG and spend
day acting like morons the poster you responded (thing it was me)
wants to disrupt further the NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3636. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 16:15:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161792942.156408.135160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am

>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>[snip]
>
>> Sorry, but I can say for an absolute fact that your 're wrong. It had
>> kept me out of ham radio and I know exactly what kind of person that I
>> am. And before the obligatory "lazy" word is trotted out, I have to
>> work for the pay cheque that buys the radio, pays the rent for the
>> building that the radio is in and pays for the tower that is in the
>> back yard. I have to work extra to pay MORE for a place where I am
>> allowed a tower, as opposed to less expensive digs.
>
>> Honestly, I can't believe how some pro-coders look down on no-coders
>> with such contempt. I was once a member of a "live steam" model
>> railroad club. These were larger model steam trains that ran with real
>> steam instead of electric power. They could be run with either propane
>> or coal, depending on the individual. The guys who built their
>> locomotives from scratch NEVER looked down on the guys who bought
>> theirs from a classified ad. The guys burning coal did NOT call the
>> propane guys "lazy". We all enjoyed a fine hobby and club meetings
>> were always good fun and most informative. It was great for a
>> mechanic, like myself, to rub shoulders with experienced retired
>> machinists who built these locomotives. At one of our "open houses",
>> which were located outdoors at the club track, my daughter took her
>> first steps.
>
>> Isn't ham radio supposed to be like that? Is there no camaraderie?
>
>Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>each other.

Then why don't they?

>The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>understanding of the requirements.

Nonsense. Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
Requirements Should Be. They never did, despite all the
pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.

It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
has either given up on using morse code for communications

or never considered it in the first place. Manual radio-telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak English.

Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs to communicate with foreigners. NB: Non-English speakers using International Morse Code are, essentially, required to learn parts of English to understand the English alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic or has a different alphabet).

The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for any license having below-30-MHz privileges. The major (in population) nation administrations have dropped their morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of morse code. Since some of those nations do not have English as a primary language, those will have some future difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.

>In addition, most of us have experienced
>people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.

More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.

The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of radio. Indeed, all other US radio services operating below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy. Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some special significance?

Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater skill in radiotelegraphy.

The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all" have some innate ability to learn morse code. That has been disproven as far back as World War II when the US military began screening new recruits for the aptitude to learn morse code. That fallacy has been disproven by countless other tales of individuals who tried the so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train

correctly" (even under strict supervision).

>Yet
>when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>upgrade.

The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history. It
would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship." Yet
there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
eliminate the morse code test entire. That is not some
strict USA effort since the ITU did change international
amateur Radio Regulations in 2003...under pressure from
the IARU. Your sentence is written with an obvious
pro-coder bias.

>Then finding out how useful it was in ham pursuits were glad that
>they had done it.

Another fallacy and another pro-coder bias statement. It is
obvious that many, many US radio amateurs were NOT favorites
of morse radiotelegraphy and never used it after they received
their first license.

>So far of each of the reasons that people put forth as to why they can't
>learn it have been disproven by the example of other people with the same
>problem having gone ahead and done it.

Yet another fallacy and a repetition of the earlier fallacy
that all US human beings are somehow able to learn morse
code...provided they have some (mysterious) "attitude"
adjustment in favor of radiotelegraphy as an "absolute"
requirement in radio?

>The 5wpm level is obtainable
>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).

Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."

The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
neither.

>My ex-OM had 70%
>hearing loss in both ears and severe tinnitus. He passed the 5wpm.

It would seem that one of you (perhaps both) at lost the
ability to understand the "I do" at your marriage ceremony?

Did your "EX" pass using flashing lights or vibrating pads?

>I know people with dyslexia who have passed.

I knew people with terminal cancer who "passed." [just not
the code test]

I "know people" ranging from PhD aerospace gurus to never-
make-star-quality bimbo actresses and thousands of shades
of personal abilities in between. I know few dyslexics.

>The blind have passed.

I am acquainted with several blind people through the
Braille Institute. None of them had any desire to learn
morse code. They were thankful enough to be able to get
around by themselves and be reasonably productive in life.

>Even the deaf have passed using flashing lights or vibrating pads.

In the year that Ham Radio magazine sold out to CQ, I
interviewed 11 licensed radio amateurs preparing an article
for that magazine. ALL of them passed their code tests
for amateur radio licenses when they could still hear.
None of them "passed using flashing lights or vibrating
pads." All were male. One was a practicing dentist.

>Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>exceptions.

Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.

As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
licensed to be listened to over and above all other
interested citizens.

The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
affects the non-licensed US citizens. It does NOT affect
those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur. If

they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).

I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of the art in rail transport.

I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers. [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA) is a membership organization (about a quarter million members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both competition flying and for safety; there is special liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote control. No code test nor license was required. You may read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio Control Radio Service.

"Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From the size of that industry the number of modelers would easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not exceeding it.

Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations in the USA, any radio service.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3637. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 16:59:22 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161795562.466399.307060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.democrats,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steveo wrote:
> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
> > > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
> > > today?
> >
> > Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
> >
> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is wore
> out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the Maco comet
> would be much of an upgrade:
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>
> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>
> I wish the PDL II was still being made.

I PUNCE
```

3638. Re: The Heinrich conchairto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 17:01:44 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161795704.415406.233460@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169705
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.israel,soc.culture.jewish,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

NefeshBarYochai wrote:
> Now Heinrich you're a dumb son of bitch.
> You've got a little Nazi twitch.
> And the Vagina of your wife does itch.
> Because in her brain is a Nazi glitch.
> I once saw her pussy on the screen.
> Next to it was your little tiny ween.
> And in the middle of her twat was a gleam.
> That looked like she just split a seam.
> Now I say to you Heinrich the scum
> Why does your wife give her pleasure to a bum?
> Yaser Arafat was your chum.
> And in your mouth he did cum.
> Now Heinrich I have one last thing to say.
> Why were you born a frigging gay?
> No body wants you to stay.
> In the dark you live and not the day.

I PUNCE

3639. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 17:03:49 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161795829.264042.46440@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169706
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 24 Oct 2006 20:14:38 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> id theft reamins a crime you wannaa be me
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

I PUNCE
```

3640. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 17:28:18 UTC
From: amdx <amdx@knology.net>
Message-ID: <5e980$453f9e94$45011502$31488@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 169707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

> Slow Code wrote:

>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.

I don't remember,
cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
Mike

3641. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 17:42:10 UTC
From: kd5sak <kd5sak@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <SIN%g.1095$s6.882@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in message
news:5e980$453f9e94$45011502$31488@KNOLOGY.NET...
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>
> I don't remember,
> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
> Mike
>
>
I have helped cut wood for winter heating. I have also foraged for berries, actually Granny knew where to look and took me along to help pick berries. I have never hand cranked a model T, but have watched others do it (was tied up with winter wood cutting, the "T" drove a belt with a rear axle wheel to drive a large circular saw) I never did any of the other things listed, but if Granny were still here, I'd bet she has and could describe the process. Except the spear hunting, that was before Grannys time and on the other side of the family.

Harold
KD5SAK

3642. What's the average amount of semen for a 13-year-old boy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 17:47:22 UTC
From: "blueboyz13@gmail.com" <blueboyz13@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161798442.609011.102980@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169709

dear friend....

this is to inform about the story that i lost everything

i was conducting some groups in a very good and perfect condition

prince_of_kids

beauty_kid13

lovely_pop13

i had more than 5000 members

in this 5000 adresses i just selected some of them

those who found that thet they love boys of age 10-15

if you love to see boyz in their natural beauty

it made just guess work from your EmailID

two weeks before somebody brute hacked my passwords

(yahoo password server uses an old technology,

anybody can get password reset ,of any yahoo email ID foolig by
sending

a fake request)

as a result i am not able to get back in and continue with moderating
these groups

with the help of yahoo i try to retrieve some of your mail IDs

i am going to start one website

free nembership for all my old members

forward this message to your friends who has the same taste..

hope you will enjoy it

copy and paste the below typed address in the address bar and click
go...

http://wvw.awardspace.biz/

and one more thing important,dont delete this message

if problem occure in future to access the site please report to it to
any of the following mail IDs

blueboyz13@aol.com,

blueboyz13@safe-mail.net,

eyelids2003@fastmail.fm,

moderator

3643. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 18:09:26 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>> each other.
>
> Then why don't they?

Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
no-coders than help them?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3644. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 18:12:07 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XNN%g.1103$s6.910@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

amdx wrote:
> ... turning the crank on a model T, ...

It wasn't just the Model-T. I learned to drive
on a 37 Chevrolet. It had a crank hole just under
the grill.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3645. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 18:24:17 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies Slappa <LDS@nomail.invalid>
Message-ID: <ehoa4o$61d$1@news.albasani.net>
Source record: 169712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.democrats,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161795562.466399.307060@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
>> > > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
>> > > today?
>> >
>> > Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
>> >
>> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is
>> wore
>> out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the Maco
>> comet
>> would be much of an upgrade:
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>>
>> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>>
>> I wish the PDL II was still being made.
>
> I PUNCE
>
Hi Lardass Lloyd Davies!

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N0VFP

http://i13.tinypic.com/2hr1p4n.jpg

3646. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 18:42:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fa348$0$19605$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:12jtmjv9nmlnfa3@corp.supernews.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>>>>news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or he
>>>>>>would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB
>>>>>>for
>>>>>>local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
>>>>>>Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last place
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks pulling
>>>>>>generators and drying equipment.
>>>>>>SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
>>>>>>spent
>>>>>>months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings. Many
>>>>>>time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
>>>>>>Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is nothing
>>>>>>else
>>>>>>you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are going,
>>>>>>I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
>>>>>>Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
>>>>>>I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to
>>>>>>give Ham
>>>>>>radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
>>>>>>Joe
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Joe;
>>>>>

>>>>>I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
>>>>>Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
>>>>>about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
>>>>>highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
>>>>>traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
>>>>>traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>>>>former KBH1602
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>>
>>>Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
>>>I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
>>>where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
>>>trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
>>>service is better for road conditions?
>>>
>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>
>>
>> Id tfeth on qzr
>>
> ?? Say What??
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3647. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 18:44:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fa3a7$0$19620$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Ojx%g.22822$GR2.5403@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> news:mb4sj2tgpgbb4q09it6s3naif9u89n4pcl@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 04:29:09 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>news:Dpc%g.14024$Y24.5270@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>> I'd ban Mark in the Dark from posting in RRAP too.
>>>>
>>>> Basically, I would ban posting privledges of everyone who's either
>>>> lazy,
>>>> retarded, or both. That means many of you would have to go back to CB
>>>> to
>>>> stay in touch with each other. If you hate CW you're banned for life.
>>>>
>>>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP. They earned
>>>> it.
>>>>
>>>> 73
>>>> Slow Code
>>>
>>>You're an idiot, and I strongly suspect, a drunk.
>> idoit certainly vgiven the time of day he generaly posts more likely
>> the user of different drugs but you may be right Tom
>>>
>
> Did I ask you anything?
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty impoving my
spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3648. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 19:33:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453faf32$0$19697$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169715
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Robert Grizzard" <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in message
news:pan.2006.10.24.23.21.27.535273@pan...
> On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 11:34:28 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>> [snip]
>>
>> You can't even quote a Usenet post properly. Work on that before
>> attempting more complicated things like critiquing SC.
>
> PKB.
>
> You aren't buying, selling, or swapping anything, so why are you
> crossposting to rec.radio.swap? More to the point, why aren't you setting
> a followup to your crosspost?
>
> There's a plethora of information on Usenet at http://snipurl.com/10buv
> Search for the word "crossposting".
>
> HTH
>
> HAND
>
> F'up set.
>
> --
> To design the perfect anti-Unix, write an operating system that thinks
> it knows what you're doing better than you do. And then adds injury to
> insult by getting it wrong.
> - esr
>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3649. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 19:34:32 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehoe87$4bf$14@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169716
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused> verbally sodomised
in news:pan.2006.10.23.23.22.47.213625@verwirren.confused:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
> issue further by squeaking:
>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>> <snip messed-up quoting>

> <snip>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--
Phil Kyle&#xFFFD;

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3650. nice pikture of tood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:15:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fb8e9$0$19731$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

ist from mi blogs wit tood
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3651. LeChaim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:16:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fb931$0$19652$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

high erverybody i no its sum what offtopic four the gropes but can u
tellme if compoundf W hples control gantial warts?

thanks n avdace for teh hple
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3652. recap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:19:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fb9ee$0$19605$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz the
FBI, DOD, and CIA have all told me I cando it.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal attraction four
ex-shemale wife
6) I was recently, paying $5/hr. to access my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but can't figure out how to use a spell checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask people on teh USENET.
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3653. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:27:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fbbc0$0$19650$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

> <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>>>
>
Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
ganitals.
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3654. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:31:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fbca9$0$4500$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169721
Cross-posted to: alt.slack,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:ek7ij217j3nb05tvvgdieg14lep48f6359@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 15:05:14 -0400, "Markie Morgan"
>> <kb9qrz@hummer.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><show_na_to_gav@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1161367678.003631.116570@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> id theft is acrime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
an you kep comting itu tefht cime is id
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3655. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:35:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tgivj2hl2aqklbai7uj2h4kit3tv7dd78m@4ax.com>
Source record: 169722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 25 Oct 2006 09:15:42 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>
>>"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>
>>[snip]
>>

>>The 5wpm level is obtainable
>>although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>>tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>
> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>
> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
> neither.
you left out the 3rd body to be satifiased Dept of state advised the
treaty REQUIRED morse and the FCC satfieied niether ham body fully
although I think the Nocoder were more or satifed with the FCC's
action till 2003 warc
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3656. id theft reamins a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:35:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ekivj2lr4h23fcpcumd5aecaf80ie75tba@4ax.com>
Source record: 169723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:19:37 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3657. id theft is cirime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:36:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <flivj2h7ifc367ohc4l9h7fvipkcael5df@4ax.com>
Source record: 169724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:27:23 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
that you comit daily
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3658. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:36:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8mivj2duqk1niruk8vn9l9a5bhustomtuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 169725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:15:15 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

that you keep comiting
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3659. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:37:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1nivj2l66qptkp1epfa8d9h10avl32k96g@4ax.com>
Source record: 169726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.support.skin-diseases.psoriasis

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:16:28 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
and reamins so to this day
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3660. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:37:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pnivj2tfamg9f247i46k1js055qunjpo5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 169727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 14:44:34 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3661. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:37:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <goivj2dbrdm93qslgs7g070embao6056gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169728
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 15:33:49 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>

id theft remains a crime
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3662. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:38:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2pivj294p37cbci263g1r9qj5eufl68vje@4ax.com>
Source record: 169730
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Oct 2006 10:03:49 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3663. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:38:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rpivj2l6busf55ufuo0nra415m1s1v8o0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 169729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 14:42:59 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft and forgery remains crimes
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3664. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:40:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fbed0$0$19688$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message news:...
>
> "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
> news:12jtmjv9nmlnfa3@corp.supernews.com...
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>
>>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:12jqrtpkhnni38e@corp.supernews.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Merlin-7 KI4ILB wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It seems that SC is too lazy to use qrz.com or read entire posts or
>>>>>>>he
>>>>>>>would know that I have my general and he would know that I use A CB
>>>>>>>for
>>>>>>>local information when I am in hurricane damaged areas.
>>>>>>>Truck drivers always know what roads are open and where the last
>>>>>>>place
>>>>>>>to
>>>>>>>get fuel is. A CB is also nice when your in a convoy of trucks
>>>>>>>pulling
>>>>>>>generators and drying equipment.
>>>>>>>SC you ever been in an area right after a major hurricane? I have
>>>>>>>spent
>>>>>>>months in them drying out hospitals and medical office buildings.
>>>>>>>Many
>>>>>>>time haveing to sleep in the halls of the buildings we are drying.
>>>>>>>Say what you will but a CB has its uses and sometimes there is
>>>>>>>nothing
>>>>>>>else
>>>>>>>you could use in a hurry. When I get the phone call that we are
>>>>>>>going,
>>>>>>>I have between 3 and 6 hours to pack up and go. Besides what ever
>>>>>>>Tractor Trailers that are going with us already have CBs.
>>>>>>>I could go into more detail about SC but I think he is starting to

>>>>>>>give Ham
>>>>>>>radio a bad name by his ignorant and insulting comments.
>>>>>>>Joe
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Joe;
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I can testify as to the usefulness of CB to obtain traffic conditions.
>>>>>>Last year I was coming up I57 when the truck drivers started talking
>>>>>>about a traffic jam just up the road. They mentioned dropping of the
>>>>>>highway and taking side roads. This information saved me over an hour
>>>>>>traffic delay. My wife had taken her own car and was stuck in the
>>>>>>traffic jam. CB radio has it's uses.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>>>>>former KBH1602
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>>
>>>>Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
>>>>I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
>>>>where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
>>>>trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
>>>>service is better for road conditions?
>>>>
>>>>Dave WD9BDZ
>>>
>>>
>>> Id tfeth on qzr
>>>
>> ?? Say What??
>>
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple dont brother to ty impoving
my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
ttp://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3665. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:42:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161808972.294818.205470@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > <Mark@kb9rqz.com> wrote in message news:...
> >>
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1158792905.114418.230970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>
> Well at laest I no waht it is like two lick my xcremnent off anoter man
> ganitals.
> http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

i gte arested for pubic punce and i shud be abl to punce wheirevir i
want becuze i haev constapational wrights

3666. Re: excremnt on the loose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:46:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <69jvj2l7oonoqdij8t6s6vhjrjcelkbfsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,s,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Oct 2006 13:42:52 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is still a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3667. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:47:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7ajvj212ckto5h77c13qm7usq9ig7qmf3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 169734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 16:40:26 -0400, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message news:...
id theft will alwasy be a crime and you will never be me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3668. Re: The Heinrich conchairto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:47:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9bjvj2l4ev16oh2pdlav21h12rdgmi1kdr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169735
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.israel,soc.culture.jewish,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 25 Oct 2006 10:01:44 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3669. Re: SMM Even More Feminised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:48:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161809319.621504.116780@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169736
Cross-posted to: soc.men,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fucknozzles,rec.arts.poems,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Masculist wrote:
> Daedalus wrote:
> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 12:59:57 -0500, Jill <asker_w@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >On 25 Oct 2006 16:59:18 GMT, dg411@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Andre Lieven)
> > >wrote:
> > >
> > >>The Kathy Morgan useful idiot is now a co moderator of
> > >>alt.usenet.kooks.moderated&polite.
> > >
> > >Yes, MS. Morgan has been quite busy asserting her new "authority" over
> > >there. By the looks of things she's posted today, she's going to be
> > >more of a dictator than a moderator. That should really make the
> > >place buzz with posts. LOL.
> > >
> > >>So, the group is NOW even *more* of a pussy fest. Just
> > >>the thing for anyone who likes to see women controlling
> > >>men...
> > >>
> > >><laughs> Man, they just keep proving Jill and myself right.
> > >>
> > >>Andre
> >
> > Oh look! Andrea and Bill the bitter cackling hens of soc.men are still
> > whining about smm.
> >
> > Maybe we'll get to see the rare cackling hen courtship dance where
> > they strut around crowing about how long they've been on usenet and
> > make up lies about AUKers so they can feel superior.
> >
> > If only the courtship dance ended with them both declaring they
> > belonged beside God and shooting themselves in the head. That would be
> > a true spectacle of the tameless passion of nature.
>
> Huh? You want to clarify that Jaded? When you try to pack too many
> concepts into one sentence clarity is often lost. Now what was that
> you were saying about you AUKers shooting eachother in the head?
>
> Smitty
> >
> > Jade

I used to punce in auk but they to much gay bashing biggots in auk

3670. id thefts remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:53:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2mjvj25n5uai24fnrvrsdpim7embos35uv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169737
Cross-posted to: rec.arts.poems,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 25 Oct 2006 13:48:39 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3671. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 20:54:47 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161809687.662805.249530@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2006 04:50:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market today?
>
>
> I drive around alot, and find myself looking at antenna often. I almost never
> see quads. I always see 3 element beams, and usualy with a combo of other ham
> antennas (2M and such).
>
> Vinnie S.

vinnie i droive arund to sumtimes wehn i punce but the maco quard is
whet i maed moss of my eme qso whit but the jammeres haev a bot so
indeed my contents were infractured by a few hat hams who want to gte
rid of the ars.

3672. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 21:00:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p1kvj2dkub85gc520ht66a22scucljfjl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 25 Oct 2006 13:54:47 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is always acrime
pity your effort to trool in auk are not crimes as well

they are pathetic
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3673. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 21:55:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161813356.781266.295790@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:5ed8j2het7semlb64mkl1tlleu5bb7qbb0@4ax.com...
> > Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!
>
> I doubt that SC even has a call sign .
> Ace - WH2T
you may be right But honestly I don't think so I beleive that SC is a
older hams who moved to some retirement village, did not read the fine
print, and so can't go on the air anymore and having put his saving in
it is just plain screwed andf unable to move and not driving much can't
go HF mobile even

and he come on here and takes it out on us

3674. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 22:29:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161815375.813611.182970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2006 04:04:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> >> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
> >> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
> >> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
> >> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
> >> >>>> > >>
> >> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >> >>>> >
> >> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >> >>>> >
> >> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
> >> >>>> >
> >> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >> >>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>> "Slow Code" could
> >> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
> >> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
> >> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
> >> >>
> >> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
> >> >>everyone is.
```

> >> >
> >> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license
> >>
> >> Mark in the Dark.
> >>
> >> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.
> >
> >Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
> >operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
> >HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.

> be fair they equate Code and some even promote code acuratcy

The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on him.

BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes, inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.

3675. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 22:36:15 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <5897f$453fd675$4e08770$14064@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 169740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"john" <john@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:5ed8j2het7semlb64mkl1tlleu5bb7qbb0@4ax.com...
> Slow Code is a disgrace to Amateur Radio!

I doubt that SC even has a call sign .
Ace - WH2T

3676. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 22:37:52 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com>
Message-ID: <50b85$453fd6d1$4e08770$14069@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 169741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Thats Right_ 20wpm" <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:jvkdj2l3fulng817dc1g7c1rh47s54tibo@4ax.com...
> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>

Probably because he doesn't have an amateur radio license .
Ace - WH2T

3677. Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 22:50:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power output of 50 to 100 watts

3678. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:07:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bfrvj2d7uldfbp7sla48qphq5ahqlqtooi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 25 Oct 2006 15:29:35 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2006 04:04:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> >> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>> >> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> >> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> >> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> >> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
>> >> >>>> > >>
>> >> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> >> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> >> >>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>> "Slow Code" could
>> >> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>> >> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>> >> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
```

>> >> >>
>> >> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>> >> >>everyone is.
>> >> >
>> >> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class license
>> >>
>> >> Mark in the Dark.
>> >>
>> >> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.
>> >
>> >Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
>> >operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
>> >HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.
>
>> be fair they equate Code and some even promote code acuratcy
>
>The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
>poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
>order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
>him.

Iconceed you point and therefore the one that would also be promoting
accuracy would not th ones we hear here screaming their keyboards off

I sit corrected
>
>BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
>cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
>Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
>that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
>inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3679. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:32:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453fe713$0$19618$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
u cuold ust this pic ofyou on ur qs card if u wan two tood it fom my blog
http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3680. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:36:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161819387.393112.198300@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

<M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
your crude effort at forgery might be nmore sucessfull fi you paid
attention to the Fact I dont post such picture links ever (might also
help if you posts a pic that looked even vaguely like me

3681. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:49:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <453feb3b$0$19732$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 169748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161819387.393112.198300@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> <M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>>
> your crude effort at forgery might be nmore sucessfull fi you paid
> attention to the Fact I dont post such picture links ever (might also
> help if you posts a pic that looked even vaguely like me
>
that knot u u ptaetic forge that is tood you know teft is a id cime.

tis is pic of tood fom my blog.

http://i12.tinypic.com/2v809k3.jpg

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3682. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:50:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CLS%g.19208$UG4.17290@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:s1btj25jmlmsqo212g4r53mj9gqfpbajiv@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
>>> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
>>> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W
>>> Code.
>>
>>
>>
>>No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced to pass
>>a code test before you're allowed to use it.

> forced that is what you are all about FORCE you can't persaude anybody
> so you have to try and make em

And if they still won't learn it, we should be allowed to use a cattle
prod to force'em.

SC

3683. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:50:59 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <DLS%g.19209$UG4.11871@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20061025064758.032$bv@newsreader.com:

> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
>> > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
>> > today?
>>
>> Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
>>
> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is
> wore out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the
> Maco comet would be much of an upgrade:
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>
> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>
> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>
> I wish the PDL II was still being made.

I'm glad they quit making it. CB'ers should be seen, not heard.

SC

3684. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:01 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FLS%g.19210$UG4.13407@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:1vatj2117vhj88qkjb1c2ov82hgdksh6ho@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>news:n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>But you are lazy Mark in the Dark, you refuse to do what it takes to
>>upgrade.
> no I simply can't do it, certainly in the legal sens eof it
>> You're waiting for the FCC hand-out licenses.
>
> not at all that you can't understand

I understand enough to know that thousands have passed the code elements,
but now that it looks like ham radio is going to get dumbed down more you
refuse to be a good ham and learn it.

SC

3685. CW not CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:05 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <JLS%g.19214$UG4.12515@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We already have a citizen band.

Don't let ham radio turn into another one.

Tnx, 73
SC

3686. Does Mark Morgan pick his nose and eat the boogers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KLS%g.19215$UG4.16971@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.

SC

3687. I didn't even have to look to see who posted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LLS%g.19216$UG4.18499@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I saw it was 246 lines and I knew LenAnderson was expelling gas again.

SC

3688. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:09 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <NLS%g.19217$UG4.8170@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:XNN%g.1103$s6.910@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:

> amdx wrote:
>> ... turning the crank on a model T, ...
>
> It wasn't just the Model-T. I learned to drive
> on a 37 Chevrolet. It had a crank hole just under
> the grill.

And a Crank behind the steering wheel.

SC

3689. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OLS%g.19218$UG4.1065@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

lazy ass Mike "amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
news:5e980$453f9e94$45011502$31488@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>
> I don't remember,
> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
> Mike

Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
appliance off the shelf.

73,
Back to my CW and projects.

SC

3690. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <PLS%g.19219$UG4.10631@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>
> You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
> permission.

I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
and take Mark in the Dark with you.

SC

3691. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:14 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SLS%g.19221$UG4.4481@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>> help each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> no-coders than help them?

Everytime you try to offer help to a no-coder or nickle ham, they put
you in a killfile. I don't think they want to improve.

SC

3692. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TLS%g.19222$UG4.8363@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts

Will you be transmitting your cartoons slow-scan?

SC

3693. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VLS%g.19224$UG4.10081@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>
>>
>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>
>
> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
> disappointed.
>
> Did the code help you with the design?
>
> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>
> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
> beyond:
>
> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK

Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
if you ever have to use CW to save a life.

SC

3694. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XLS%g.19225$UG4.2019@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
news:12jtgoc4hcp4e3b@corp.supernews.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>>
>> SC
>
> Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
> I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
> where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
> trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
> service is better for road conditions?

Ham radio is better. With CB you can only go out about fifteen miles, but
with ham you can get road conditions three states away. Of course, with
all the no-codes and nickle amateurs on ham radio these days the road
reports aren't as good as they used to be.

SC

3695. sci.geo.earthquakes, Why doesn't Mark in the Dark post in that group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YLS%g.19226$UG4.2973@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Because he's not a geophysicist.

SC

3696. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <0MS%g.19229$UG4.2106@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161774247.872077.272590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
>> >>>> > >>
>> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >>>> > >
>> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >>>> > >
>> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >>>> >
>> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at
>> >>>> least seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>> >>>
>> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>> >>>
>> >>>> "Slow Code" could
>> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >>>
>> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.

>> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>> >>everyone is.
>> >
>> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class
>> > license
>>
>> Mark in the Dark.
>>
>> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.
>
> Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
> operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
> HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.

Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.

You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.

SC

3697. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3MS%g.19230$UG4.2564@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
news:50b85$453fd6d1$4e08770$14069@DIALUPUSA.NET:

>
> "Thats Right_ 20wpm" <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:jvkdj2l3fulng817dc1g7c1rh47s54tibo@4ax.com...
>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>>
>
> Probably because he doesn't have an amateur radio license .
> Ace - WH2T

Tnx, 73, good luck in the contest.

SC

3698. Re: What's the average amount of semen for a 13-year-old boy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <aMS%g.19232$UG4.18030@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169615

"blueboyz13@gmail.com" <blueboyz13@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1161798442.609011.102980@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> dear an old friend....
>
>
>
> this is to inform about the story that i lost everything
>
> i was conducting some groups in a very good and perfect condition
>
> prince_of_kids
>
> beauty_kid13
>
> lovely_pop13
>
> i had more than 5000 members
>
> in this 5000 adresses i just selected some of them
>
> those who found that thet they love boys of age 10-15

Ping Blueballz,

You need to contact either Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, or Mark Foley.

Happy to Help

SC

3699. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-25 23:51:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <hMS%g.19233$UG4.7060@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:12:30 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
>>
>> 1. The newsgroups were selected by one of your own.
>
> No; they were selected by a malevolent troll who presents a data point
> indicating that W2NSD/1 might have been on to something, assuming he
> really is an Extra.
>
>> I merely followed up
>> to the thread. Talk to the original poster if you are really serious
>> (which of course you are not);
>
> He has been challenged on his behavior before. He has replied with his
> five lifetime goals. He is a one-trick pony.
>
> He is boring.
>
>> 2. The URI you list is non-authoritative, and probably unreliable. The
>> primary authoritative sources on Usenet posting are to be found in the
>> news.answers group.
>
> http://snipurl.com/10buv
>
> (That expands to
> ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-hierarchy/news/newusers/questions/How_to
> _find_the_right_place_to_post_(FAQ), by the way.)
>
> # Newsgroups:
> # news.announce.newusers,news.groups.questions,news.groups.reviews,
> # news.groups,news.newusers.questions,alt.config,alt.answers,NEWS.ANSWERS
>
> Seems it *was* posted to NEWS.ANSWERS.
>
>> Accept nothing else (I suggest that you take a look
>> at the posting FAQ, because you've already violated several of its
>> guidelines), and

>
> Really. Several?
>
> Which ones, praytell? Posting to a newsgroup I don't read? I know
> where rrap is; I choose not to read it. Nevertheless, it is dragged
> willy nilly into a newsgroup I *do* read.
>
> The questions were rhetorical, by the way. You needn't answer.
>
>> 3. Remove the hook that's dangling from your mouth. Everybody can
>> see that you've been snapping at troll bait.
>
> He says, to my first response to this incessant interminable internecine
> war.
>
> Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
> company.

You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they killfile you. I knew dumbing down ham radio would let in a bunch of ungrateful people, but I didn't think it would get this bad.

SC

3700. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:12:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7bvvj29l67v414acanfmgo4u7br2h40avb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:14 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>>> help each other.
>>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>>
>> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
>> no-coders than help them?
>
>
>
>Everytime you try to offer help to a no-coder or nickle ham, they put
>you in a killfile. I don't think they want to improve.
lying again SC
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3701. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:13:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nbvvj2lkthm4n6q28i6oc97bq4150dvmba@4ax.com>
Source record: 169618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>>
>>>
>>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>>
>>
>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
>> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
>> disappointed.
>>
>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>
>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
>> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
>> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
>> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
>> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>>
>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
>> beyond:
>>
>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>
>
>Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
>if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
call
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3702. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:13:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vcvvj2dcaqg2ugrpd6rnojn9t7qc0idn2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
>news:50b85$453fd6d1$4e08770$14069@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
>>
>> "Thats Right_ 20wpm" <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net> wrote in message
>> news:jvkdj2l3fulng817dc1g7c1rh47s54tibo@4ax.com...
>>> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
>>> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
>>>
>>
>> Probably because he doesn't have an amateur radio license .
>> Ace - WH2T
>
>
>
>
>Tnx, 73, good luck in the contest.
is that agreement that you have no call
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3703. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:14:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <cPqdnchy9PdAYKLYnZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161815375.813611.182970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>

[snip]

>
>
> The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
> poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
> order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
> him.
>

That was plain stupid. There's no need to try to send deliberately bad
code. Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand key
that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators can
send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very good
operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy it.
Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.

> BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
> cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
> Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
> that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
> inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.
>

The "Banana Boat Swing" and "unique fist" existed long, long before
computers came along. These were simply operators with poor sending skills.
And they are a pain in the ear and brain to copy. I usually move on rather
than respond to them.

Dee, N8UZE

3704. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:16:05 UTC
From: Merlin-7 KI4ILB <merlin-7@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <97T%g.11724$2h.8438@tornado.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 169621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Guess what?
SC is not a ham... never has been never will be....

>
> Ham radio is better. With CB you can only go out about fifteen miles, but
> with ham you can get road conditions three states away. Of course, with
> all the no-codes and nickle amateurs on ham radio these days the road
> reports aren't as good as they used to be.
>
> SC

3705. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:16:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1evvj2ts6je6rfn2np12ac710l3pla49d6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

```
On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161774247.872077.272590@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>>>
>>> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>> >>
>>> >>>
>>> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>>> >>>> > >> lowlfie.
>>> >>>> > >>
>>> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>> >>>> > >
>>> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>> >>>> > >
>>> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>> >>>> >
>>> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>> >>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at
>>> >>>> least seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>> >>>
>>> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>> >>>
>>> >>>> "Slow Code" could
>>> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
```

>>> >>>
>>> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>>> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>>> >>everyone is.
>>> >
>>> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class
>>> > license
>>>
>>> Mark in the Dark.
>>>
>>> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better operator.
>>
>> Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
>> operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
>> HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.
>
>
>Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
skills are not created equal

skill with buggy whip but it is only usefull if you or your parnter or
either Amish or into S&M

(I do have an interesting story about wandering though a Farm and
Flett picking up the same supplies as the amish man I don't think we
were planing to do the same things with them
>
>You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
>test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
>General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
sure you are lazy you want to rest on your wilted laurels rather doing
something new
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3706. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:17:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7jvvj21ntnbd2llfp5cgk1md01gb9mdojn@4ax.com>
Source record: 169623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:1vatj2117vhj88qkjb1c2ov82hgdksh6ho@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>>news:n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>But you are lazy Mark in the Dark, you refuse to do what it takes to
>>>upgrade.
>> no I simply can't do it, certainly in the legal sens eof it
>>> You're waiting for the FCC hand-out licenses.
>>
>> not at all that you can't understand
>
>
>I understand enough to know that thousands have passed the code elements,
so? Hilter killed miliions of jew did that make it right?
>but now that it looks like ham radio is going to get dumbed down more you
>refuse to be a good ham and learn it.
if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3707. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:17:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gkvvj2d4md7olf0n7dffieg5dsbe93gair@4ax.com>
Source record: 169624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:50:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:s1btj25jmlmsqo212g4r53mj9gqfpbajiv@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>news:ZJg%g.15867$GR2.6795@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>> Disregard that idiot. If it were up to him, we'd give up all voice
>>>> communication and bang code out on each other's heads like cavemen. Of
>>>> course, that really sounds like a novel idea to try on S-L-O-O-O-W
>>>> Code.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No, you can still have your microphone but you should be forced to pass
>>>a code test before you're allowed to use it.
>
>> forced that is what you are all about FORCE you can't persaude anybody
>> so you have to try and make em
>
>
>And if they still won't learn it, we should be allowed to use a cattle
>prod to force'em.
that makes you an utter barbariian SC but we knew that
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3708. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:18:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <olvvj2tdaimue6r7bit8n573fdqhm6uqhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 169625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>>
>> You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>> permission.
>
>
>I gave them permission ten years ago.
nope no sc psoting then
> Now run along to the CB group
>and take Mark in the Dark with you.
he isn't likely to leave nor do I have to follow him

what next death threats like Robeson?
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3709. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:20:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <aovvj2lblnurrqkb0bt6jjtd7tssff7nuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 169626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
>news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:
>
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:12:30 +0000, in rec.radio.swap, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> My, my. Someone whose idea of making a point is to change the subject.
>>>
>>> 1. The newsgroups were selected by one of your own.
>>
>> No; they were selected by a malevolent troll who presents a data point
>> indicating that W2NSD/1 might have been on to something, assuming he
>> really is an Extra.
>>
>>> I merely followed up
>>> to the thread. Talk to the original poster if you are really serious
>>> (which of course you are not);
>>
>> He has been challenged on his behavior before. He has replied with his
>> five lifetime goals. He is a one-trick pony.
>>
>> He is boring.
>>
>>> 2. The URI you list is non-authoritative, and probably unreliable. The
>>> primary authoritative sources on Usenet posting are to be found in the
>>> news.answers group.
>>
>> http://snipurl.com/10buv
>>
>> (That expands to
>> ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-hierarchy/news/newusers/questions/How_to
>> _find_the_right_place_to_post_(FAQ), by the way.)
>>
>> # Newsgroups:
>> # news.announce.newusers,news.groups.questions,news.groups.reviews,
>> # news.groups,news.newusers.questions,alt.config,alt.answers,NEWS.ANSWERS
>>
>> Seems it *was* posted to NEWS.ANSWERS.
>>
>>> Accept nothing else (I suggest that you take a look

>>> at the posting FAQ, because you've already violated several of its
>>> guidelines), and
>>
>> Really. Several?
>>
>> Which ones, praytell? Posting to a newsgroup I don't read? I know
>> where rrap is; I choose not to read it. Nevertheless, it is dragged
>> willy nilly into a newsgroup I *do* read.
>>
>> The questions were rhetorical, by the way. You needn't answer.
>>
>>> 3. Remove the hook that's dangling from your mouth. Everybody can
>>> see that you've been snapping at troll bait.
>>
>> He says, to my first response to this incessant interminable internecine
>> war.
>>
>> Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
>> company.
>
>
>You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they
>killfile you.
you might look at yourself but no EVERYTHING is OTHER peoeples fault
indeed you sound lIke Manson complaining that peopel were not going
along with his dieas and so to help he saged the Tate and LaBianca
Killing

is that you plan for the ARS SC?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3710. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:21:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hrvvj2l3ts1skfc83uj4vfiofmn7ut8f0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 169627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in
>news:12jtgoc4hcp4e3b@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> The no-coders on two meters weren't any help? Damn.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Actually I was most likely the only ham on the road that night. Even if
>> I wasn't the truck drivers know the road since they drive it every day
>> where as very few hams do so. I generally see 100-200 CB equipped
>> trucks and cars for each ham equipped truck/car. You tell me which
>> service is better for road conditions?
>
>
>
>Ham radio is better.
both have there uses you are found saying you support BALANCE but you
oppose true balnce at every turn
> With CB you can only go out about fifteen miles, but
>with ham you can get road conditions three states away.
which is course useless
> Of course, with
>all the no-codes and nickle amateurs on ham radio these days the road
>reports aren't as good as they used to be.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3711. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:22:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <otvvj2pdsc99dd5if1djbsl07kqfd72826@4ax.com>
Source record: 169628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:50:59 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:20061025064758.032$bv@newsreader.com:
>
>> "pete" <pete44@exer.co.uk> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20061025005036.300$VE@newsreader.com...
>>> > Which is the best light wind load horizontal/vertical on the market
>>> > today?
>>>
>>> Hardly anyone uses them, try google for reviews.
>>>
>> Yeah I tried that first and it seems you're right, Pete. My Y-quad is
>> wore out after many years of good service and I was wondering if the
>> Maco comet would be much of an upgrade:
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/maco_comet.htm
>>
>> Or just buy another Y-quad.
>>
>> http://www.bellscb.com/products/antennas/Maco/yquad.htm
>>
>> I wish the PDL II was still being made.
>
>
>I'm glad they quit making it. CB'ers should be seen, not heard.
you hear CBer SC? that means are on CB meaning you ARE a CBer and by
your standards unlike to hold a license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3712. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:23:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ivvvj2paq3412ueth2hbrrh6dchjdpdm6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 169629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>lazy ass Mike "amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
>news:5e980$453f9e94$45011502$31488@KNOLOGY.NET:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>>
>> I don't remember,
>> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
>> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
>> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
>> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
>> Mike
>
>
>Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
>appliance off the shelf.
>
>
>73,
>Back to my CW and projects.

funny how you never seem to finsh any?
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3713. Slow eneages in pimping for Mark Foley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:24:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c000k2hg60dbstcmftp857uauc3oi1qn5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 169630

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"blueboyz13@gmail.com" <blueboyz13@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:1161798442.609011.102980@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>
>> dear an old friend....
>>
>>
>>
>> this is to inform about the story that i lost everything
>>
>> i was conducting some groups in a very good and perfect condition
>>
>> prince_of_kids
>>
>> beauty_kid13
>>
>> lovely_pop13
>>
>> i had more than 5000 members
>>
>> in this 5000 adresses i just selected some of them
>>
>> those who found that thet they love boys of age 10-15
>
>
>
>Ping Blueballz,
>
>You need to contact (deleted) Mark Foley.
shame on you
>
>Happy to Help
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3714. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:25:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>> help
>>> each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> no-coders than help them?

More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense without such knee-jerk reactions.

When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are wrong.

Dee, N8UZE

3715. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:25:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9300k296g1sgbilvb9iohcajics40s4cmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
>
>Will you be transmitting your cartoons slow-scan?
why not it would be less explict than some of the Stuff I have seen
transmited on 2om SSTV
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3716. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:27:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m500k2phoocol5fu4itdcjj1vuujplade4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161815375.813611.182970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>
>[snip]
>
>>
>>
>> The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
>> poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
>> order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
>> him.
>>
>
>That was plain stupid. There's no need to try to send deliberately bad
>code. Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand key
>that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators can
>send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very good
>operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy it.
>Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.

bullshit Dee I can copy plenty of ARRL straigh key night
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3717. give this troll a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:27:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m700k2l23ju8nji8q7o70rabpgu8gfvd0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:05 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>We already have a citizen band.
>
>Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>
he is in bad shape
>Tnx, 73
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3718. give the trol a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:28:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e800k25qmqlp9en4lcrocpn7ap5jg0ejuk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:06 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
Does Mark Morgan pick his nose and eat the boogers?

you are disgussting you know
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3719. geive the trol a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:29:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n900k2d93ih4hmlcu03ph08aiqo9hvkhal@4ax.com>
Source record: 169637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>
>I saw it was 246 lines and I knew LenAnderson was expelling gas again.
no one will mistake you for a good ham SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3720. give the trol a break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:29:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ka00k2991cccauvi3q8pdltrechn325fdm@4ax.com>
Source record: 169636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Because he's not a geophysicist.
because I do post there just not from an account you can trace I post
there often though
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3721. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:33:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gg00k29fit9o5qk6sj2o1inqhvjbn5ps8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 169638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:25:24 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>>> help
>>>> each other.
>>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>>
>> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
>> no-coders than help them?
>
>More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
>to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
>"ink isn't even dry on their license".
and of course you never look to YOUR own posting the News ham are
required to endure your abuse along with the rest
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3722. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:35:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pj00k2p22enaj43s0soqluinpvhvamu0a6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169639
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Do you talk with a lisp when you're buddy beep ain't workin?
it is RRAp that is a gay grupop thanks to you and your friends

you know it help you in your efforts to trool the Newsgruop is stayed
away from the gay bashing in trying to convey more than one message
you messages get lost
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3723. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:39:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <754hl89tmfvtrol85wrsj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I punce on toposting now instaed of old fashon carp that Dee uses. and most
of thema re wash balony in old foger. it is true.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com
On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:25:24 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> "Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
> >>> help
> >>> each other.
> >>
> >> Then why don't they?
> >>
> > Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> > no-coders than help them?
>
> More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
> to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
> "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the
> experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense
> without such knee-jerk reactions.
>
> When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
> can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I
> worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams
> turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are
> wrong.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

3724. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:40:49 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161823249.183022.208620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Stick code up your lame old ass. No one cares about code, let alone
hams, shortwave, etc. Get a fucking life. No one here wants to hear
about your dead code bullshit.
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:01 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> >news:1vatj2117vhj88qkjb1c2ov82hgdksh6ho@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 00:06:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> >>>news:n7vqj2la6ls8qkig2pepek0m608vlnnm8l@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 22:17:07 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> >>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>But you are lazy Mark in the Dark, you refuse to do what it takes to
> >>>>upgrade.
> >> no I simply can't do it, certainly in the legal sens eof it
> >>> You're waiting for the FCC hand-out licenses.
> >>
> >> not at all that you can't understand
> >>
> >>
> >I understand enough to know that thousands have passed the code elements,
> so? Hilter killed miliions of jew did that make it right?
> >but now that it looks like ham radio is going to get dumbed down more you
> >refuse to be a good ham and learn it.
> if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3725. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:41:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161823268.868278.57140@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
forger

3726. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 00:59:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161824367.626333.274320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Chris wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2006 17:41:08 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
> > forger
>
> That's "froger". F-R-O-G-E-R!!! GOT IT???????
no the word is forger

that you can't even spell as well as I can

3727. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:14:48 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161825288.157258.167550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts

If this is for real, you are plain nuts.

6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
6.765-6.795.

Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567 kHz.

Terry

3728. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:17:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161825478.037731.197740@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

r2000sw...@hotmail.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> > output of 50 to 100 watts
>
> If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>
> 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
> 6.765-6.795.
>
>
> Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2
well Illinois is not Canada

3729. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:22:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161825770.651077.10390@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
Slow Code wrote:
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:XNN%g.1103$s6.910@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > amdx wrote:
> >> ... turning the crank on a model T, ...
> > >
> > > It wasn't just the Model-T. I learned to drive
> > > on a 37 Chevrolet. It had a crank hole just under
> > > the grill.
> >
> >
> > And a Crank behind the steering wheel.

a bit of honesty admiting to being a crank
>
> SC
```

3730. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:31:15 UTC
From: brian w edginton <edgo.1@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com>
Source record: 169647
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>>Do you talk with a lisp when you're buddy beep ain't workin?
>it is RRAp that is a gay grupop thanks to you and your friends
>
>you know it help you in your efforts to trool the Newsgruop is stayed
>away from the gay bashing in trying to convey more than one message
>you messages get lost
>>
>>SC
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Damn!
You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)

If this was a perfect world, we, probably, wouldn't
be in it.

3731. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:41:44 UTC
From: Steve <sl2309@no.spam>
Message-ID: <5509117833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 169648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 25 Oct 2006 18:17:58 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> r2000sw...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> > > output of 50 to 100 watts
> >
> > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
> >
> > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
> > 6.765-6.795.
> >
> >
> > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2
> well Illinois is not Canada

Well, learn to read.

"6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US"

Putz.

3732. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 01:51:57 UTC
From: Lisa Simpson <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <1xU%g.18791$pq4.2757@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 169649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

No signal here in central Ohio . . .

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts
>

3733. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 02:03:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6q50k29ntd0tbei74ogpomp4470pfafm47@4ax.com>
Source record: 169750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 01:41:44 +0000 (UTC), Steve <sl2309@no.spam>
wrote:

>On 25 Oct 2006 18:17:58 -0700, an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> r2000sw...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> > > output of 50 to 100 watts
>> >
>> > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>> >
>> > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>> > 6.765-6.795.
>> >
>> >
>> > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2
>> well Illinois is not Canada
>
>Well, learn to read.
>
>"6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US"
>
>Putz.
so what steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3734. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 03:32:02 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <S_V%g.22977$ta3.4296@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 169751
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>Do you talk with a lisp when you're buddy beep ain't workin?
>>it is RRAp that is a gay grupop thanks to you and your friends
>>
>>you know it help you in your efforts to trool the Newsgruop is stayed
>>away from the gay bashing in trying to convey more than one message
>>you messages get lost
>>>
>>>SC
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
> Damn!
> You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)

Think that's wisdom? Check his blog.

3735. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 03:36:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161833810.550835.200140@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169752
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >

> >
> > Damn!
> > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
>
> Think that's wisdom?
well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

3736. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 04:07:56 UTC
From: vetefistest <vetswlham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161835676.853678.129230@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

AYE and amen.
Dave wrote:
> Opus- wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
> >
> >
> > I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
> > you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>
> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>
> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>
> All in favor, say AYE! ...

3737. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 04:57:58 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Don't you have some offs to fuck?

On 25 Oct 2006 21:07:56 -0700, "vetefistest" <vetswlham@yahoo.com>
spake thusly:

> AYE and amen.
>Dave wrote:
>> Opus- wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>> >
>> >
>> > I'm not, nor will I ever. If code means associating with bigots like
>> > you, then I want no part of it. Much rather talk to civilized people.
>>
>> Then we will gladly acknowledge that you desire to leave this NG.
>>
>> We don't need the profanity, the attitude and the whimpering.
>>
>> All in favor, say AYE! ...

3738. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 10:36:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161858964.081670.257920@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
> >each other.
>
> Then why don't they?

They *do*, Len.

> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> >understanding of the requirements.
>
> Nonsense.

No, it's the truth.

> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
> Requirements Should Be.

Nobody says they do.

> They never did, despite all the
> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.

No such "propaganda", Len.

> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
> has either given up on using morse code for communications
> or never considered it in the first place.

Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
Morse Code - extensively.

> Manual radio-
> telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications
> with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak
> English.

Actually, Morse Code has a lot of advantages.

> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
> to communicate with foreigners.

That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
one way to do that.

> NB: Non-English speakers
> using International Morse Code are, essentially, required
> to learn parts of English to understand the English
> alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic
> or has a different alphabet).

Of course. So?
>
> The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer
> "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for
> any license having below-30-MHz privileges.

Yep. That changed almost 3-1/2 years ago. Yet FCC has not acted upon
it.

> The major
> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
> morse code.

How do you know?

Have China, India and the countries making up the former Soviet Union
changed their Morse Code test policy? How about Japan?

Seems to me that the changes have mostly occurred in Western Europe,
the British Commonwealth, and a few South American countries.

> Since some of those nations do not have
> English as a primary language, those will have some future
> difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of
> morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.
>
> >In addition, most of us have experienced
> >people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
> >they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.
>
> More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code
> were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old
> regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.

It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
methods.

> The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio
> amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be
> un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of
> radio.

That's true. In fact, *all* radio license requirements are man-made.

> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.

Why is that so important? Do you think radio amateurs should not use it
either?

> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
> special significance?

It's not about 'special significance".

Radio amateurs *do* use Morse Code, so it makes sense to test for
knowledge of it.
>
> Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers
> in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called
> successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning
> then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater
> skill in radiotelegraphy.

What's wrong with that?

> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
> have some innate ability to learn morse code.

There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
written tests.

> That has
> been disproven as far back as World War II when the US
> military began screening new recruits for the aptitude
> to learn morse code.

Nope.

The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
them.

btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.

> That fallacy has been disproven
> by countless other tales of individuals who tried the
> so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train
> correctly" (even under strict supervision).

Who are they, Len?

> >Yet
> >when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
> >upgrade.
>

> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.

Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?

When did they lobby for the requirements?

The fact is that in all the history of US amateur radio licensing,
every increase in Morse Code testing has been accompanied by an
increase in written test requirements. So the emphasis has always been
balanced between written testing and Morse Code testing.

Since 1990 it has not been necessary for anyone with a doctor's note
seeking an FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm
test. Since 2000 it has not been necessary for anyone seeking an
FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm test.

> It
> would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
> ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship."

When did ARRL do such lobbying, Len? 1936?

> Yet
> there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
> have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
> eliminate the morse code test entire.

And efforts by others to retain the test.

> >The 5wpm level is obtainable
> >although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
> >tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>
> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>
> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
> neither.

In other words, it didn't satisfy *you*

> >Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
> >that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
> >exceptions.
>
> Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
> amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
> Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
> communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
> amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
> nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.

And it still is! That's a good thing.
>
> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
> interested citizens.

The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
"Foreigners" and groups/corporations are welcome to comment as well.

How much consideration the comments get is another matter.

> The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
> affects the non-licensed US citizens.

And those licensed, too. And noncitizens.

> It does NOT affect
> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.

It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
worse because
of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
would be affected.

For example, if someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
neighborhood,
that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.

> If
> they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance
> (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).

Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.

If someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
neighborhood,
that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
Would you say that those who resisted such a zoning change have an
"emotional disturbance"?

> I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none
> are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do
> it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not
> as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of
> the art in rail transport.

Model railroading does not involve any sort of licensing, nor use of
the radio spectrum. What one model railroader does with his/her layout
does not directly affect what other model railroaders can do with
theirs.

Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
the radio spectrum.

A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
with many others.

> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
> competition flying and for safety; there is special
> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
> control.

How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
MHz?

IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.

btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
all the amateur bands above 30 MHz.

> No code test nor license was required. You may
> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
> Control Radio Service.

They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF. They are
allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
communications limited to line-of-sight.

Amateur radio is very different.

> "Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control
> them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member
> of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C
> cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry

> existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From
> the size of that industry the number of modelers would
> easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not
> exceeding it.

Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power, small antennas and line-of-sight radio.

Is that what you think amateur radio should be?

It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their 27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over by illegal cb operation.
>
> Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply
> to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations
> in the USA, any radio service.

FCC does not limit comments to only US citizens.

And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue, the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in favor of at least some Morse Code testing.

3739. Re: Would you join the ARRL if you found out they were only interested in selling memberships?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 12:03:18 UTC
From: Al Arduengo <al.arduengo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.26.12.03.14.598327@gmail.com>
Source record: 169757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 11:55:59 +0000, Chris wrote:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:41 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Robert Grizzard <grizzarv@mindspring.com.invalid> wrote in
>> news:pan.2006.10.25.01.06.24.609041@pan:
>>
>> > Welcome to my killfile. You and "Slow Code" can keep each other
>> > company.
>>
>>
>> You try to help someone be a better ham and look what happens, they
>> killfile you. I knew dumbing down ham radio would let in a bunch of
>> ungrateful people, but I didn't think it would get this bad.
>
> It's the hook in his mouth. It's painful and probably makes him
> cranky. On the other hand, that Pan news reader of his is very buggy
> and is known for silently removing characters when it sends articles.
>
> Maybe it was Pan talking instead. Grizzard may be a nice guy who has
> simply been sandbagged by a crappy news reader I'll bet that its
> killfiling doesn't work either, and that he has accidentally killfiled
> himself.
>
> In any case, he'll eventually be back. We can help him then.

That coming from someone who uses OE for news reading? You wouldn't know
a quality news reader if it jumped up and bit you.

Good day, sir. I said GOOD DAY!

--
"Don't get too wrapped up in dogma. Who knows? When we get to heaven
there might be a Big Buddha laughing at us while we're all running
around going 'Oh shit!'" - a Catholic bishop

3740. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 12:03:42 UTC
From: Lloyd Daugherty <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <30917617833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 169756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 01:51:57 GMT, Lisa Simpson <none@none.com> wrote:

> No signal here in central Ohio . . .

How's the audio?

In message <1157253137.159768.267560@74g2000cwt.googlegroups.com>,
N9OGL bragged about his radio station with the claim, "the stations
far away say there is no signal but the audio is really good."

3741. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 12:48:18 UTC
From: Gerry <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
Message-ID: <IMudne5-V94cM93YnZ2dnUVZ_oadnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 169758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161823249.183022.208620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Stick code up your lame old ass. No one cares about code, let alone
> hams, shortwave, etc. Get a fucking life. No one here wants to hear
> about your dead code bullshit.
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

Douche, Actually a lot of people do care about CW. There is frequently a lot of CW activity on the various bands. CW has been my primary operating mode for the past few years. I have not been at it for 100 years and I am a hardcore computer geek. CW is just a pleasant change of pace for me. However, I must agree with you that some of the putzes on this group do need to get a life -- there actually is more to life (and ham radio) than CW. If it were not for my growing killfile, the QRM here would be deafening.

3742. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:04:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <srm1k2hnuafd5kenrevc3or49g06v17lfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 Oct 2006 03:36:04 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>> >each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
>They *do*, Len.
not in my experence or the experence of ANY ham I know personaly the
elmering stop at after they renew their first lice
>
>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>> >understanding of the requirements.
>>
>> Nonsense.
>
>No, it's the truth.

again you put down those that dare say anything those with any spript
>
>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
>> Requirements Should Be.
>
>Nobody says they do.

flat out Lie

Dee Flint says they do and Dave Heil and Robeson and plenty of others
>
>> They never did, despite all the
>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
>
>No such "propaganda", Len.

sure there there YOU are one of the vechiles of it delveiery
>
>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
>> or never considered it in the first place.
>

>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
>Morse Code - extensively.

why not? if they manage without why can't we
>
>> Manual radio-
>> telegraphy has only a slight advantage in communications
>> with other amateurs using radiotelegraphy who do not speak
>> English.
>
>Actually, Morse Code has a lot of advantages.

so?
>
>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
>> to communicate with foreigners.
>
>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
>one way to do that.

ONE way if it were the ONLY way that would be a point
>
>> NB: Non-English speakers
>> using International Morse Code are, essentially, required
>> to learn parts of English to understand the English
>> alphabet (difficult if their native language is syllabic
>> or has a different alphabet).
>
>Of course. So?

code is thefrore not viotal
>>
>> The ITU-R "requirements" (Radio Regulations) no longer
>> "require" administrations to test ALL their amateurs for
>> any license having below-30-MHz privileges.
>
>Yep. That changed almost 3-1/2 years ago. Yet FCC has not acted upon
>it.

they have acted or perhaps most accurately they are wending therir
way though the Bearucratic procedures of acting
>
>> The major
>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
>> morse code.
>
>How do you know?
>
>Have China, India and the countries making up the former Soviet Union

>changed their Morse Code test policy? How about Japan?
>
>Seems to me that the changes have mostly occurred in Western Europe,
>the British Commonwealth, and a few South American countries.
>
>> Since some of those nations do not have
>> English as a primary language, those will have some future
>> difficulty using that (supposed "universal language" of
>> morse code) for communications with USA radio amateurs.
>>
>> >In addition, most of us have experienced
>> >people who said they could not learn code but upon questioning find that
>> >they did not use a good training method and did not train correctly.
>>
>> More overtly biased opinion...written AS IF morse code
>> were an "absolute requirement" when it is merely an old
>> regulatory hanger-on in USA amateur federal rules.
>
>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
>methods.
>
>> The REGULATION (not "the requirement") for US radio
>> amateurs is simply a man-made regulation which can be
>> un-man-made. It is not some God-given commandment of
>> radio.
>
>That's true. In fact, *all* radio license requirements are man-made.
>
>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
>
>Why is that so important? Do you think radio amateurs should not use it
>either?
>
>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
>> special significance?
>
>It's not about 'special significance".
>
>Radio amateurs *do* use Morse Code, so it makes sense to test for
>knowledge of it.
>>
>> Except for the older military-trained radiotelegraphers
>> in US amateur radio, all the tales told (by so-called
>> successful pro-coders) have them doing basic learning
>> then trying out on the amateur radio bands for greater
>> skill in radiotelegraphy.
>
>What's wrong with that?
>

>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
>
>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
>written tests.
>
>> That has
>> been disproven as far back as World War II when the US
>> military began screening new recruits for the aptitude
>> to learn morse code.
>
>Nope.
>
>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
>them.
>
>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
>
>> That fallacy has been disproven
>> by countless other tales of individuals who tried the
>> so-called "good training methods" and tried to "train
>> correctly" (even under strict supervision).
>
>Who are they, Len?
>
>> >Yet
>> >when they decided they were tired of waiting did learn it and got their
>> >upgrade.
>>
>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
>
>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?
>
>When did they lobby for the requirements?
>
>The fact is that in all the history of US amateur radio licensing,
>every increase in Morse Code testing has been accompanied by an
>increase in written test requirements. So the emphasis has always been
>balanced between written testing and Morse Code testing.
>
>Since 1990 it has not been necessary for anyone with a doctor's note
>seeking an FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm
>test. Since 2000 it has not been necessary for anyone seeking an
>FCC-issued amateur license to go beyond the basic 5 wpm test.

>
>> It
>> would have been difficult to overcome the lobbying of the
>> ARRL towards such "upgrades through morsemanship."
>
>When did ARRL do such lobbying, Len? 1936?
>
>> Yet
>> there has been efforts by concerned radio amateurs (who
>> have been tested to the maximum telegraphic radtes) to
>> eliminate the morse code test entire.
>
>And efforts by others to retain the test.
>
>> >The 5wpm level is obtainable
>> >although some of the problem do make faster speeds a problem (constant
>> >tinnitus may be a severe problem at 20 wpm for example).
>>
>> Then they should use "flashing lights or vibrating pads."
>>
>> The reduction to 5 WPM equivalent word rate was an attempt
>> of the FCC to satisfy both the pro-morse-code-test citizens
>> and the (ever-growing) NO-code-test advocates. It satisfied
>> neither.
>
>In other words, it didn't satisfy *you*
>
>> >Do you have competitions in your model railroading activity? If you enter
>> >that competition, you all have to follow the published rules with no
>> >exceptions.
>>
>> Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. does NOT manadate that US radio
>> amateurs engage in "competition" radio activity.
>> Federal law (Communications Act of 1934 plus the Tele-
>> communications Act of 1996) requires ALL US radio
>> amateurs to follow its regulations. That is NO contest
>> nor a "competition" activity. It is merely the LAW.
>
>And it still is! That's a good thing.
>>
>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other

>> interested citizens.
>
>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
>"Foreigners" and groups/corporations are welcome to comment as well.
>
>How much consideration the comments get is another matter.
>
>> The morse code test (for under-30-MHz operating privileges)
>> affects the non-licensed US citizens.
>
>And those licensed, too. And noncitizens.
>
>> It does NOT affect
>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.
>
>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
>worse because
>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
>would be affected.
>
>For example, if someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
>neighborhood,
>that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
>you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
>
>> If
>> they say it does then they have some emotional disturbance
>> (not a legal problem nor a regulatory problem).
>
>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
>
>If someone wanted to change the real estate zoning in your
>neighborhood,
>that change would not "legally affect" you or your neighbors, unless
>you wanted to build on your property. Your houses would not change.
>Would you say that those who resisted such a zoning change have an
>"emotional disturbance"?
>
>> I know a few model railroaders. As far as I know none
>> are into "competitions" concerning their hobby. They do
>> it for the fun of model railroading. As a hobby, not
>> as a substitute for life...nor advancing the state of
>> the art in rail transport.
>
>Model railroading does not involve any sort of licensing, nor use of
>the radio spectrum. What one model railroader does with his/her layout

>does not directly affect what other model railroaders can do with
>theirs.
>
>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
>the radio spectrum.
>
>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
>with many others.
>
>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
>> control.
>
>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
>MHz?
>
>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
>
>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
>
>> No code test nor license was required. You may
>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
>> Control Radio Service.
>
>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF. They are
>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
>communications limited to line-of-sight.
>
>Amateur radio is very different.
>
>> "Park flyers" are free to fly models, even to radio-control
>> them, all without being licensed by the FCC or as a member
>> of the Academy of Model Aeronautics. Add to that the R-C
>> cars and boats. There is a very large model hobby industry
>> existing in the USA to provide for such hobbyists. From
>> the size of that industry the number of modelers would
>> easily equal the number of USA radio amateurs...if not

>> exceeding it.
>
>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.
>
>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
>
>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
>by illegal cb operation.
>>
>> Your comments in regards to "competitiveness" do not apply
>> to US citizens seeking to change existing radio regulations
>> in the USA, any radio service.
>
>FCC does not limit comments to only US citizens.
>
>And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue,
>the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete
>elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in
>favor of at least some Morse Code testing.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3743. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:06:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <g6n1k21jbs1utn0ohmc2uaiq3s39cb9gue@4ax.com>
Source record: 169760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 08:48:18 -0400, "Gerry" <NoEmail@Spamblock.isp>
wrote:

>
>"Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161823249.183022.208620@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Stick code up your lame old ass. No one cares about code, let alone
>> hams, shortwave, etc. Get a fucking life. No one here wants to hear
>> about your dead code bullshit.
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>Douche, Actually a lot of people do care about CW. There is frequently a
>lot of CW activity on the various bands. CW has been my primary operating
>mode for the past few years. I have not been at it for 100 years and I am a
>hardcore computer geek. CW is just a pleasant change of pace for me.
>However, I must agree with you that some of the putzes on this group do need
>to get a life -- there actually is more to life (and ham radio) than CW. If
>it were not for my growing killfile, the QRM here would be deafening.
>
and yet you are reading someone calling himself douche bag
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3744. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:22:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <03o1k2dp4jmni460e8c06u1q7iko0s05u2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169761

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
>have gone away yet.
not being a Cyber Vandal like yourself I would not much about cancel
posts but I do do it is a violation of the ToS on most every ISP to
use them on somebody else posts

it does your level of desperation that you are discusing even trying
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3745. kb9rqz@amsat.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:22:51 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161879771.235496.261910@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

KB9RQZ Lookups: 1201
MARK C MORGAN
Pob 212, PO BOX PoB212
Chassell MI 49916
USA
Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...

Mailing Label Postcard

Email: kb9rqz@amsat.org
http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

3746. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:27:25 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161880044.939368.16980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> Hi Jay.
>
> Yeah someone emailed me about those and said they were happy with it. I
> can't say I've ever heard of them before. Do you have any hands on
> experience with them?
>
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> > Hello Stevo and jim:
> >
> > Look at the Qubex Magum CB Series Quad antennas.
> >
> > http://www.cubex.com/index.htm
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > jim wrote:
> >
> > > Ever think of a JoGunn? Maybe Jay in the Mojave can come up with
> > > something.

I PUNCE AGAINNE

3747. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:39:05 UTC
From: Phil Kyle <philkyle2003@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehqob9$2ge$73@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 169764
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours> verbally
sodomised in news:pan.2006.10.23.23.16.09.238443@statements.likeyours:

> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:55:55 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:29:27 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>> further by squeaking:
>>>> Phil Kyle wrote:
>>>>> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>> and screamed:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>> <snip>
>>> <snip>
> <snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>*plonk* > >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>

```
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*
>
plonk

--
Phil Kyle

T
h i
i s
s l
f i l
S o n o
i u e n
g r s g

3748. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:41:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u8p1k2hvakidoibre5o6n6p600kmhqf7rg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Oct 2006 09:27:25 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3749. copyright infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:43:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4cp1k25cvdvls88ka16jhg7n8mlcuomvqb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

and you are a quite the criminal
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3750. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 16:48:03 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <ehqos3$du8$1@theodyn.ncf.ca>
Source record: 169767

"Chris" (daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net) writes:
> It's an act of tough love to cancel a no-coder's posts. They should
> be practicing their CW instead of goofing off on usenet. Qrz.com
> practices tough love, and so should the rest of us.
>
> I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
> have gone away yet. I'm a little rusty on the use of cancel control
> messages, but I think I've got them formatted correctly. The subject
> line doesn't really have to contain "cmsg cancel" does it? I see my
> messages in control.cancel, so they must be propagating around the net
> properly.
>
I gather a lot of newsservers don't recognize cancel messages at this
point, because individuals were using it for malicious reasons. At
the very least, they need the cancel message to come from a known
source, such as a group dealing with pyramid schemes to make money.

And of course, if a newsserver doesn't recognize cancel messages,
the messages don't cancel.

If you don't know what you are doing, then you shouldn't be doing it.
It's my understanding that there is no newsgroup for control messages,
they simply propogate or not. It's been a while since I read up
on such messages.

The way to deal with the problem is to deal with the problem posters
ISPs. Because otherwise it will just take the war to another level.
It won't stop with the junk posts, and it won't stop with the junk
posts spreading over an increasingly wider spread of newsgroups, it
will just mean that if it works, then the junk posters may turn
around and start cancelling legit posts.

Michael VE2BVW

3751. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 17:34:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nbs1k21co382t66d1rsjg16d345lv72qt8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169768

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 17:07:25 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 12:22:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
>> >have gone away yet.
>> not being a Cyber Vandal like yourself I would not much about cancel
>> posts but I do do it is a violation of the ToS on most every ISP to
>> use them on somebody else posts
>>
>> it does your level of desperation that you are discusing even trying
>
>I'm simply taking out the trash.
nah you are just a Cyber Vandal

BTW have you figured out how to comit your cyber vandalism yet?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3752. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 18:00:19 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161885619.177223.27570@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

From: "Dee Flint" on Wed, Oct 25 2006 8:25pm

>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>
>>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>>> help each other.
>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>
>> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
>> no-coders than help them?
>
>More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try
>to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the
>"ink isn't even dry on their license".

Dee, the "ink on my license" has been "dry" for 50 years.

The mimeograph "ink" on my Army assignment has been "dry"
for 53 years.

The "ink" on my first aerospace hiring has also been "dry"
for 50 years.

In a half century of being radio-active, I've continually
been learning, working, experimenting, trying, doing. I
DO know a fair amount of things about radio and electronics
but there is always something new coming up all the time.

OH! You mean AMATEUR RADIO "license?" Of course. Amateur
radio is so very DIFFERENT than all other kinds of radio...

Riiiight...those coming into ham radio from any other kind
of radio service(s) are "newbies" and "ignorant"...?

Heil thinks so. Do you think so?

>It's unfortunate that the
>experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense
>without such knee-jerk reactions.

Nice "knees" you have, Dee... :-)

>When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
>can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I

>worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV.

Gosh, I "worked countries" with nothing less than 1 KW output
on HF and a delta-match dipole. Short-range, about 300 miles.
Of course, for 24/7 ops on HF crossing the Pacific there was
40 KW PEP into a rhombic... :-)

>But some hams
>turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are
>wrong.

Sugar. Try some sweetener...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3753. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 18:28:17 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <LPadnflcOL2jY93YnZ2dnUVZ_uqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Anyone who uses such poor language as that used below is exactly what that signature implies ... lacking in civility.

Douche Bag wrote:

> Stick code up your lame old ass. No one cares about code, let alone
> hams, shortwave, etc. Get a fucking life. No one here wants to hear
> about your dead code bullshit.
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>

3754. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 18:32:51 UTC
From: revfoxweek9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161887571.080442.153160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts

Bull FUC-ING Shit tardboy. Omega Radio has NEVER been
on the air at any time. Never was, Never will be. It is a creation
of your retarded mongoloid sloop-head'd brain. Perhaps if you hadn't
spent the best years of your life jerking off to gay hardcore pron
you'd be somewhere today instead of being just another nerdy twerp
in the ham radio newsgroups better known as the "world's biggest
virtual circle-jerk in cyberspace". No go back to the hot tub and this
time make sure the asswipes from W. Va and AL bring their OWN
condoms.....the cheap bastards! PFHBLTTTTTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3755. Re: Does Mark Morgan pick his nose and eat the boogers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 18:43:28 UTC
From: revfoxweek9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161888208.314213.195130@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.
>
> SC

Mabey he can get the turd-diver from W Va to pick the dingleberries out of his asscrack hairs with a pair of tweezers while he listens to old 78 RPM learn the code records.

PffFHHBBLLTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

....and in other news, was this gut a HAM also?

Area man charged with bestiality
Tuesday, October 24, 2006
(Story borrowed for "educational" purposes of course....)

A 44-year-old Saginaw man remains jailed today on charges of bestiality after he was seen engaged in sexual acts with a dead dog, Michigan State Police troopers said.

Ronald Kuch was arrested after police searched the area of Midland and Carter roads Friday for a man who ran away from a Bay County Animal Control officer. The entire incident was within view of a nearby day care center. At his arraignment on Monday, Kuch demanded a preliminary examination in Bay County District Court. District Judge Craig Alston ordered him to remain jailed in lieu of $500,000 bond pending a hearing on the evidence Nov. 6.Kuch is charged with crimes against nature and assaulting a law enforcement officer.

Troopers said a woman from the day care center called for animal control because there was a dead dog near the property that had been hit by a car several days earlier.Before officers could arrive, the man showed up and began engaging in sexual acts with the dog, police said. The animal control officer also reported seeing Kuch involved in the sex act and as he approached him, Kuch shoved him away and ran off.State troopers searched the area and found the man hiding in the attic of a nearby house.

Officers determined that the house belonged to the man's girlfriend and later learned that the dog, a black Labrador retriever, also belonged to the girlfriend. The dog had been dead for four or five days.

The official charge of crimes against nature carries a maximum penalty of 15 years in prison. If the person is a repeat offender, the maximum is life in prison.

Lemme guess...our "hero" above was one of the old checkin's to the militia net that used to meet on 3.860 years ago..? GLEEEEEPP!!

" People here should stop badmouthing Canada. We get a hefty wad of our

oil from them. Venezuela as well. The House of Saud isn't THAT damned important and should be made to fellate pigs. Goddamned wogs."

Partnering with the US, to them, is the same as fellating pigs.

3756. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 19:52:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161892368.093817.281630@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> > output of 50 to 100 watts
>
> If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>
> 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
> 6.765-6.795.
>
>
> Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
> band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
> frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
> license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field
> strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567
> kHz.
>
> Terry

Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
microvolts per meter @ 3 meters

Todd N9OGL

3757. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 19:59:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hr42k2lqr25sa5hlvk5r21tgdod6gesa7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 169774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 26 Oct 2006 11:32:51 -0700, revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
>Bull FUC-ING Shit tardboy. Omega Radio has NEVER been
>on the air at any time. Never was, Never will be.
well many hams reported it presense on the air on QRZ I guess they
were all lyin g(and flaming todd in the bargain
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3758. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 20:00:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ju42k25ilb7nqnu6nlgoespde4gdgpd5fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 169775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Oct 2006 11:43:28 -0700, revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.
>>
>> SC
>
>Mabey he can get the turd-diver from W Va to pick the dingleberries out
>of his asscrack hairs with a pair of tweezers while he listens to old
>78 RPM learn the code records.

get help the remaining of the dusgusting rants cut
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3759. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 21:16:32 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <todd.breaks.the.law.a.second.time@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 169776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
:
: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
: > N9OGL wrote:
: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
: > > output of 50 to 100 watts
: >
: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
: >
: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
: > 6.765-6.795.
: >
: >
: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
: > band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
: > frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
: > license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field
: > strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567
: > kHz.
: >
: > Terry
:
: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters

A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
legal field strength in this case too. As I said before, you can
calculate the power it takes for an isotropic radiator to produce a
field strength of 100E-6 volts/meter at a distance of of three meters
as follows:

1. The area of a sphere of radius r is 4*Pi*r^2. An isotropic
radiator emitting P watts at the center of the sphere will produce a
power density of Pd = P / (4*Pi*r^2) on its surface.

2. The power density is related to the electric field and the
impedance of free space (120*Pi) by the formula Pd = e^2 / (120*Pi).

3. Solving (1) and (2) for the power, P, you come up with P = (er)^2 / 30.

So, for an "e" of 100E-6 and an "r" of 3, P = 0.01732 watts, or
roughly seventeen milliwatts.

Since antennas are not isotropic, the power must be reduced even further
so that the electric field will not exceed the legal limit in the

direction of highest antenna gain.

This calculation ignores line losses and final amplifier inefficiencies, but there's no way you can convince me that you can take a 50 watt transmitter and manage to lose so much power that you have seventeen milliwatts or less being radiated.

All my DeVry Correspondence School instincts once again tell me that you are seriously in violation of the law. Other DeVry grads at the FCC will probably agree, as will our distinguished but indisposed alumnus, AB8MQ, the only man to have discovered negative VSWR.

[Note to Woger: V pbcvrq guvf zngrevny sebz Yyblq Qnivrf. Rng lbhe urneg bhg.]

3760. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 21:18:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lg92k2l44ad9l7dsra438fqa1gu2c5rd2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 169777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:16:32 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>:
>: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>: > N9OGL wrote:
>: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>: > > output of 50 to 100 watts
>: >
>: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>: >
>: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>: > 6.765-6.795.
>: >
>: >
>: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
>: > band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
>: > frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
>: > license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field
>: > strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567
>: > kHz.
>: >
>: > Terry
>:
>: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
>: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>
>A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
>legal field strength in this case too.
fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts

My only advice to todd remains invest and use a good metter
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3761. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 21:52:30 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <iteration.two@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 169778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Correction: I accidentally took the square root instead of squaring the product of e*r. The correct value should be 0.003 microwatts for an isotropic radiator to produce a 100 microvolt/meter field at three meters! That's three thousandths of a microwatt, Todd.

Now tell me that 50 to 100 watts isn't way too much power ...

3762. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:02:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0LadnfEiGP0XrdzYnZ2dnUVZ_qydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> wrote:
>>
>> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand key
>> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
>> can
>> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very good
>> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy it.
>> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
>
> That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
> getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
> but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
> quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
> where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
> now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
> popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
> Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
> built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
> dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.

I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while it
is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
not up to the task that I want it to do.

>
> You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
> wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
> high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
> human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
> anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
> designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
> catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.

Already tried it. As I said while it is the best that is available, it is
still far below the capabilities of a human operator. I've tried it under a
wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
function.

Dee, N8UZE

3763. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:05:00 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161900300.642542.312080@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> output of 50 to 100 watts

You got an FCC license to broadcast on that frequency toddyboy?

3764. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:06:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p9c2k25pm6ki6gf7g8e04sq4n1vjp82000@4ax.com>
Source record: 169781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:02:31 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>> wrote:
>>>
>>> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand key
>>> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
>>> can
>>> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very good
>>> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy it.
>>> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
>>
>> That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
>> getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
>> but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
>> quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
>> where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
>> now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
>> popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
>> Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
>> built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
>> dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.
>
>
>I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
>still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
>fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while it
>is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
>human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
>not up to the task that I want it to do.

perhaps it i your pc or setting or your QTH
>
>>
>> You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
>> wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
>> high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
>> human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
>> anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
>> designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only

>> catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>
>Already tried it. As I said while it is the best that is available, it is
>still far below the capabilities of a human operator. I've tried it under a
>wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
>function.
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3765. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:07:48 UTC
From: cmdr buzz corey <cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <1161900468.799529.259750@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts

That's what happens when you attempt to think morkie.

3766. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:10:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qhc2k21pnvmnn6hvak3hjknlsnrvao9n9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 169783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 26 Oct 2006 15:07:48 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts
>
>That's what happens when you attempt to think morkie.
indeed it is and that is the fact

the FCC will not be impressed by any calualtiononly by the result

that you CAN't understand that FACT is why you show got cancelled
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3767. Re: copyright infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:14:34 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161900874.226300.126800@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

3768. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:14:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dqc2k290kc2afecgukr5idpl01q7d6t941@4ax.com>
Source record: 169785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 26 Oct 2006 15:05:00 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
>You got an FCC license to broadcast on that frequency toddyboy?
one day maybe you will learn But i doubt it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3769. Re: copyright infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:19:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <92d2k2lgu1bmj6qsnd7i4e89fudl6i6j3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 169786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

```
On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
criminal behavoir
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3770. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:29:52 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161901792.846462.141900@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.music

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 17:07:25 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 12:22:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, "Chris"
> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
> >> >have gone away yet.
> >> not being a Cyber Vandal like yourself I would not much about cancel
> >> posts but I do do it is a violation of the ToS on most every ISP to
> >> use them on somebody else posts
> >>
> >> it does your level of desperation that you are discusing even trying
> >>
> >
> >I'm simply taking out the trash.
> nah you are just a Cyber Vandal
>
> BTW have you figured out how to comit your cyber vandalism yet?

I GTE IN TO TRUOBEL FORE PUBIC PUNCE. I FEEL LEIK MY CIVIC WRITES AER
BIENG VOILETED. DO ANYONE NOWE OF A CIVIC WRITE GUORP WHOD HLEP ME?
I SHUD BE ABEL TOO PUNCE WHIEREVAR I CHUUSE.

3771. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:43:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dfe2k2le9ml58cgmh8rk3gq0sgvk23usp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.music

On 26 Oct 2006 15:29:52 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3772. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:43:24 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902604.611981.44130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169789
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
>
> > >
> > > Damn!
> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
> >
> > Think that's wisdom?
> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.........ofcourse
hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.
```

3773. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:45:16 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902716.251168.51260@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169790
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
>
> > >
> > > Damn!
> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
> >
> > Think that's wisdom?
> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.........ofcourse
hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.
```

3774. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 22:53:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <52f2k25e8i1liovv8pnae6hbfkbmm34ekg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169791
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Oct 2006 15:45:16 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3775. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:01:51 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902761.527701.52960@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169793
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
>
> > >
> > > Damn!
> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
> >
> > Think that's wisdom?
> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.........ofcourse
hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.
```

3776. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:03:31 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161901759.801394.305110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.music

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 17:07:25 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 12:22:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, "Chris"
> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
> >> >have gone away yet.
> >> not being a Cyber Vandal like yourself I would not much about cancel
> >> posts but I do do it is a violation of the ToS on most every ISP to
> >> use them on somebody else posts
> >>
> >> it does your level of desperation that you are discusing even trying
> >>
> >
> >I'm simply taking out the trash.
> nah you are just a Cyber Vandal
>
> BTW have you figured out how to comit your cyber vandalism yet?

I GTE IN TO TRUOBEL FORE PUBIC PUNCE. I FEEL LEIK MY CIVIC WRITES AER
BIENG VOILETED. DO ANYONE NOWE OF A CIVIC WRITE GUORP WHOD HLEP ME?
I SHUD BE ABEL TOO PUNCE WHIEREVAR I CHUUSE.

3777. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:05:11 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902660.508924.206700@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169795
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
>
> > >
> > > Damn!
> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
> >
> > Think that's wisdom?
> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.........ofcourse
hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.
```

3778. Re: asshole infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:13:15 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902254.439560.79050@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......
```

3779. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:16:40 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902535.257331.91230@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169798
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> > news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >
>
> > >
> > > Damn!
> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
> >
> > Think that's wisdom?
> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact

mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.........ofcourse
hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.
```

3780. Re: asshole infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:16:42 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902181.386561.315630@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......
```

3781. Re: asshole infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:19:53 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1161902322.018339.5910@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......
```

3782. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:26:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 169803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Such as Mark does?
I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3783. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:27:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <82h2k215mddt0acecqdje5dqj6k62eq398@4ax.com>
Source record: 169804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:58:03 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:lg92k2l44ad9l7dsra438fqa1gu2c5rd2o@4ax.com...
>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:16:32 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee

>
>Yeah, Todd. Get a better "metter"
no get a good one
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3784. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:28:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <j4h2k29ujjk65j8muqhstp7rs8lmse6o3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 169805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,a,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.music

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:21:42 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1161901759.801394.305110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

>Taking out the trash...the White Trash...

how are you planing to haul yourself out
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3785. Re: asshole infringement is also a crime, nope it is is not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:30:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 169806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

```
On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> >
>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
>> criminal behavoir
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>Marqueer.......
anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3786. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:31:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161905517.375983.146020@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169807
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
id theft remains a crime

3787. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:32:39 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rAb0h.16238$TV3.3036@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Not Lloyd wrote:
> When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you
> can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I
> worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV.

Uhhhhh Dee, your 100 watt radio has an amplifier in it
as do all modern transceivers. I have, in the past, worked
DX using just an oscillator but I personally don't know of
anyone who doesn't use an amplifier nowadays.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3788. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:33:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161905620.765037.176800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
id theft remains a crime

3789. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:38:53 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161905933.182281.159740@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> > > output of 50 to 100 watts
> >
> > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
> >
> > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
> > 6.765-6.795.
> >
> >
> > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
> > band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
> > frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
> > license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field
> > strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567
> > kHz.
> >
> > Terry
>
> Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
> microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>
> Todd N9OGL

RFID excitation frequency?
100uV at 3M, ~9'?

Get real, my LOs leak that much.

Your 50W to 100W is so illegal you are plain silly.

That ramps down to less then 1uV at 100M, which is way
below the noise floor at an location I am familiar with.

I see that you are a general class:
"Callsign: N9OGL Class: General Codes: HAI USA
Name: TODD E DAxxxxxxx
Effective: 24 Jan 2002 Expires: 11 Feb 2012

Any general class ham is so clueless as to be a clear luser.

I am only sorry I wasted time and bandwidth reading your posts.

100uV at 3M, that is too sad to even be funny.

Congratulations, you are the 2nd or 3rd person I have killfiled.
You have worked very hard to earn this coveted position.

Terry

3790. Re: CW not CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:49:53 UTC
From: JJ <jamesevns75@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DPb0h.29$du1.3@newsfe11.lga>
Source record: 169811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Too late :-(:'(

Slow Code wrote:

>We already have a citizen band.
>
>Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>
>Tnx, 73
>SC
>
>

3791. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-26 23:58:03 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do405.pnd.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:lg92k2l44ad9l7dsra438fqa1gu2c5rd2o@4ax.com...
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:16:32 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
<SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:

>On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>:
>: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>: > N9OGL wrote:
>: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>: > > output of 50 to 100 watts
>: >
>: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>: >
>: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>: > 6.765-6.795.
>: >
>: >
>: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
>: > band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
>: > frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
>: > license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field
>: > strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567
>: > kHz.
>: >
>: > Terry
>:
>: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
>: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>
>A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
>legal field strength in this case too.
fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts

My only advice to todd remains invest and use a good metter

Yeah, Todd. Get a better "metter"

3792. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z5c0h.19647$UG4.18790@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:7jvvj21ntnbd2llfp5cgk1md01gb9mdojn@4ax.com:

> if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one

No, Markie in the Darkie, I'm a ham and you aren't.

SC

3793. Are CB'ers and no-coders interchangable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:27 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%5c0h.19649$UG4.8694@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I know they're both equally anoying. Anyone can take a look at Mark in the Dark and figure that out, but is there really a difference?

SC

3794. Re: Cushcraft Antenna Query

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <06c0h.19650$UG4.7986@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Radiosrfun" <radiosrfun@radiosrfun.com> wrote in
news:45402f33$0$13803$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net:

> Anyone know what Cushcraft uses in their 2 meter and similar antennas to
> secure the lower end into their base? It "appears" to be a white
> substance. Lots of hot melt glue?
>
> The substance gave way on an antenna I have here and I'd like to repair
> it.
>
> Thanks!

Sorry, I don't buy off the self, I homebrew, but maybe check the Cushcraft
website. http://www.cushcraft.com/amateur/thumbs.asp

73
SC

3795. Re: CW not CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <16c0h.19651$UG4.4779@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ <jamesevns75@hotmail.com> wrote in news:DPb0h.29$du1.3@newsfe11.lga:

> Too late :-(:'(
>
>
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>We already have a citizen band.
>>
>>Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>>
>>Tnx, 73
>>SC

It's going that way.

SC

3796. How I picked Slow Code for a nick name.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:32 UTC
From: SC <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <46c0h.19653$UG4.2486@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

I wanted a nickname that would help me connect with no-code hams and
nickle upgrades on usenet.

I had two really good nicks in mind, but I couldn't decide which
one use, so I flipped a coin. It was either going to be 'Slow Code'
or 'Hey Stupid'.

I'll probably have to switch to 'Hey Stupid' after code goes away.

73
de Slow Code

3797. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <76c0h.19656$UG4.15739@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:

> Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>

Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
limited mental abilities.

Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.

SC

3798. Know Code, not No code.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <86c0h.19657$UG4.10241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bring a some enjoyment into your ham experience. Do a little CW.

SC

3799. Mark Morgan keeps crapping on the newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <96c0h.19658$UG4.4019@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

That one special thing about CW,

Only those that know it are admitted. Those that don't, get FM,
repeaters, and fake moon bounce contacts.

SC

3800. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b6c0h.19659$UG4.6114@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote in
news:1xU%g.18791$pq4.2757@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:

> No signal here in central Ohio . . .
>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> output of 50 to 100 watts

Nothing heard out here in west last night either.

SWL's, Please don't have bad thoughts about us hams like we're incompetant
or something. Most of us do know how to put out a signal.

SC

3801. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <c6c0h.19660$UG4.7394@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote in
news:1161887571.080442.153160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
> Bull FUC-ING Shit tardboy. Omega Radio has NEVER been
> on the air at any time. Never was, Never will be. It is a creation
> of your retarded mongoloid sloop-head'd brain. Perhaps if you hadn't
> spent the best years of your life jerking off to gay hardcore pron
> you'd be somewhere today instead of being just another nerdy twerp
> in the ham radio newsgroups better known as the "world's biggest
> virtual circle-jerk in cyberspace". No go back to the hot tub and this
> time make sure the asswipes from W. Va and AL bring their OWN
> condoms.....the cheap bastards! PFHBLTTTTTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I haven't heard it on the 6950 freq yet. I'm thinking the re-Todd is
pulling our legs.

SC

3802. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e6c0h.19661$UG4.13649@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in
news:todd.breaks.the.law.a.second.time@houston-hunks.com:

> On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>:
>: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>: > N9OGL wrote:
>: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
>: > > output of 50 to 100 watts
>: >
>: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>: >
>: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>: > 6.765-6.795.
>: >
>: >
>: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the
>: > same band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m.
>: > Their frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has
>: > another license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795
>: > kHz. Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for
>: > 13,553 -13,567 kHz.
>: >
>: > Terry
>:
>: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
>: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>
> A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
> legal field strength in this case too.

Todd doesn't care. We're all going laugh our asses off and have big party
after he gets Spanked. It's going to be hilarious. He always makes me
laugh when he shows up and attacks everyone.

SC

3803. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <g6c0h.19662$UG4.4101@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:lg92k2l44ad9l7dsra438fqa1gu2c5rd2o@4ax.com:

> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:16:32 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
> <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>
>>On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>:
>>: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>>: > N9OGL wrote:
>>: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a
>>: > > power output of 50 to 100 watts
>>: >
>>: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>>: >
>>: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>>: > 6.765-6.795.
>>: >
>>: >
>>: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the
>>: > same band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m.
>>: > Their frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has
>>: > another license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795
>>: > kHz. Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for
>>: > 13,553 -13,567 kHz.
>>: >
>>: > Terry
>>:
>>: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
>>: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>>
>>A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
>>legal field strength in this case too.
> fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts

Markie, shut the hell up.

You're not even smart enough to make a moon bounce contact.

SC

3804. Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <i6c0h.19664$UG4.8408@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:

> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
>>> cuhulin
>>
>>
>>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of Fags
>>in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we boot
>>them out.
> give it arest you gay bashing troll

Gay bashing is allowed here.

The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the Dark,
but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.

We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
no-coders take over ham radio.

Tnx, 73,
de Slow Code

3805. Re: RF gets into computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <j6c0h.19665$UG4.8065@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John, N9JG" <john@Xremove.MeYgotwals.com> wrote in
news:1i30h.7804$5i7.3310@newsreading01.news.tds.net:

> When I operate on 75 meter SSB with my transceiver, my computer beeps
> and disconnects/reconnects my USB connected keyboard. Note that I do not
> have this problem on 40 meter SSB. My computer is located on the second
> floor of a brick house, and one end of my dipole is about 15 feet from
> my shack.
>
> From comments made by others, I may have to put RF filters on the cables
> and wires connected to my computer. I am contemplating the use of
> Amidon FT-240-77 ferrite toroids, which have an I.D. of 1.4 inches and
> cost $9 each. If I buy in quantities of 10, is there a cheaper source
> for this toroid?
>
> Any comments or suggestions on this problem would be appreciated.

Do CW, you won't know if it's your computer or radio beeping. Problem
solved.

SC

3806. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:49 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <l6c0h.19666$UG4.13971@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
news:97T%g.11724$2h.8438@tornado.southeast.rr.com:

> Guess what?
> SC is not a ham... never has been never will be....
>
>>
>> Ham radio is better. With CB you can only go out about fifteen miles,
>> but with ham you can get road conditions three states away. Of course,
>> with all the no-codes and nickle amateurs on ham radio these days the
>> road reports aren't as good as they used to be.
>>
>> SC

Hurry, you're missing the huricane in Mexico. The truckers want to tell
you where the wet carpets are.

SC

3807. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <q6c0h.19668$UG4.13394@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169827

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:45afde1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:

> It's an act of tough love to cancel a no-coder's posts. They should
> be practicing their CW instead of goofing off on usenet. Qrz.com
> practices tough love, and so should the rest of us.
>
> I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
> have gone away yet. I'm a little rusty on the use of cancel control
> messages, but I think I've got them formatted correctly. The subject
> line doesn't really have to contain "cmsg cancel" does it? I see my
> messages in control.cancel, so they must be propagating around the net
> properly.

ROFL, Try harder,

I still see Mark in the Dark crappin on every thread.

SC

3808. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:08:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <t6c0h.19669$UG4.16966@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in news:990117833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net:

> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, Chris
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>> It's an act of tough love to cancel a no-coder's posts. They should
>> be practicing their CW instead of goofing off on usenet. Qrz.com
>> practices tough love, and so should the rest of us.
>>
>> I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
>> have gone away yet. I'm a little rusty on the use of cancel control
>> messages, but I think I've got them formatted correctly. The subject
>> line doesn't really have to contain "cmsg cancel" does it? I see my
>> messages in control.cancel, so they must be propagating around the net
>> properly.
>
> A much easier way to do what you are trying is to get the HipCrime
> News Agent from http://newsagent.p7.org.uk/ and to let it do the work
> for you. You just put the names of posters you don't like into its
> config files, and it will automatically cancel those users' posts
> every time it finds new posts by them. HipCrime can also be used to
> create and remove news groups, but you probably don't want to do
> either of those things just yet. Leave it to the Brits to come up
> with something as wonderful as HipCrime News Agent.

There's nothing special about hipCrime. It just makes doing some things a
little faster. You can do the same thing with a good telnet app.

SC

3809. VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:09:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <D6c0h.19671$UG4.17151@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

And if they fail the code test they get zapped again.

Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.

SC

3810. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:09:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <R6c0h.19673$UG4.10262@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161815375.813611.182970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2006 04:04:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> >> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>> >> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> >> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> >> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> >> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as
>> >> >>>> > >> a lowlfie.
>> >> >>>> > >>
>> >> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >> >>>> >
>> >> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>> >> >>>>
>> >> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an
>> >> >>>> internet connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has
>> >> >>>> used at least seven different screen names here - that we know
>> >> >>>> of.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>> "Slow Code" could
>> >> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >> >>>
```

>> >> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>> >> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>> >> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>> >> >>everyone is.
>> >> >
>> >> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class
>> >> > license
>> >>
>> >> Mark in the Dark.
>> >>
>> >> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better
>> >> operator.
>> >
>> >Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
>> >operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
>> >HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.
>
>> be fair they equate Code and some even promote code acuratcy
>
> The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
> poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
> order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
> him.
>
> BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
> cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
> Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
> that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
> inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.

I miss W0EX.

SC

3811. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:12:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bnj2k2t9urou901194s7kbgv3k6jlh0303@4ax.com>
Source record: 169831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>
>> Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>>
>
>
>Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
>spewing profanities?
becuase we don't start wth em like you do
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3812. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:13:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4oj2k2h4aaot45o7l9ukoklmvkae3qpnq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:09:21 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161815375.813611.182970@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 25 Oct 2006 04:04:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> 'Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>> >> news:2rjlj2l9uqp4qf8vs4ajrosla5119uq0c9@4ax.com:
>>> >> > On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 23:33:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> >> >>news:1161471718.480553.231130@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>> >> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> >>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> >>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>>> >> >>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>> >> >>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>> >> >>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as
>>> >> >>>> > >> a lowlfie.
>>> >> >>>> > >>
>>> >> >>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>> >> >>>> >
>>> >> >>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>> >> >>>> >
>>> >> >>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>> >> >>>> >
>>> >> >>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>> >> >>>>
>>> >> >>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an
>>> >> >>>> internet connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has
>>> >> >>>> used at least seven different screen names here - that we know
>>> >> >>>> of.
>>> >> >>>
>>> >> >>> How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>> >> >>>
>>> >> >>>> "Slow Code" could

>>> >> >>>> be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>> >> >>>
>>> >> >>> Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>> >> >>> Ditto Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who
>>> >> >>> "appears" to be absent from RRAP.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >>Why don't you build up your CW skills and quit worrying about who
>>> >> >>everyone is.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > whjy should he He has passed the test and hold a general class
>>> >> > license
>>> >>
>>> >> Mark in the Dark.
>>> >>
>>> >> He can keep building his code skills to make himself a better
>>> >> operator.
>>> >
>>> >Again, the pro-coders only equate code speed with being a good
>>> >operator. Amateur radio has only a single dimension for them - CW on
>>> >HF. Their attitude is bankrupting amateur radio.
>>
>>> be fair they equate Code and some even promote code acuratcy
>>
>> The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
>> poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
>> order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
>> him.
>>
>> BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
>> cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
>> Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
>> that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
>> inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.
>
>
>
>I miss W0EX.
indeeed he deid and left you alone in your insanity
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3813. Re: 5 wpm hams need to move if a 20 wpm Extra wants the frequency.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:13:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <voj2k2pikggadf4mrfkn5bjcptlbcsgmfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:7jvvj21ntnbd2llfp5cgk1md01gb9mdojn@4ax.com:
>
>> if you are a good ham I'll be a bad one
>
>
>No, Markie in the Darkie, I'm a ham and you aren't.
nope I am good ham you are a poor one per the amateurs Code
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3814. Re: SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:14:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2qj2k2t5ditdcpmh8j8irmohmbrfmvt5jb@4ax.com>
Source record: 169834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
>news:97T%g.11724$2h.8438@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>
>> Guess what?
>> SC is not a ham... never has been never will be....
>>
>>>
>>> Ham radio is better. With CB you can only go out about fifteen miles,
>>> but with ham you can get road conditions three states away. Of course,
>>> with all the no-codes and nickle amateurs on ham radio these days the
>>> road reports aren't as good as they used to be.
>>>
>>> SC
>
>
>Hurry, you're missing the huricane in Mexico.
I am sure he is glad to missing it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3815. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:14:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161908068.879492.128400@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Stagger Lee wrote:
> Correction: I accidentally took the square root instead of squaring
> the product of e*r. The correct value should be 0.003 microwatts for
> an isotropic radiator to produce a 100 microvolt/meter field at three
> meters! That's three thousandths of a microwatt, Todd.
>
> Now tell me that 50 to 100 watts isn't way too much power ...

I could really careless

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

3816. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:14:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jqj2k29fph1cup0dplb6acqj5d7r1ect7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 169836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:lg92k2l44ad9l7dsra438fqa1gu2c5rd2o@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:16:32 +0000 (UTC), Stagger Lee
>> <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On 26 Oct 2006 12:52:48 -0700, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>: r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>: > N9OGL wrote:
>>>: > > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a
>>>: > > power output of 50 to 100 watts
>>>: >
>>>: > If this is for real, you are plain nuts.
>>>: >
>>>: > 6.950 is not an ISM/HiFER allocation in the US. Even a Canda ISM s
>>>: > 6.765-6.795.
>>>: >
>>>: >
>>>: > Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the
>>>: > same band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m.
>>>: > Their frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has
>>>: > another license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795
>>>: > kHz. Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for
>>>: > 13,553 -13,567 kHz.
>>>: >
>>>: > Terry
>>>:
>>>: Accually, there is band allocation in that band in the US is 100
>>>: microvolts per meter @ 3 meters
>>>
>>>A second back of the envelope calculation says you are way over the
>>>legal field strength in this case too.
>> fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts
>>
>>
>Markie, shut the hell up.
no
>
>You're not even smart enough to make a moon bounce contact.
funny that I have done so SC and have the QSL to prove it

>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3817. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:14:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161908082.203986.55410@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Stagger Lee wrote:
> Correction: I accidentally took the square root instead of squaring
> the product of e*r. The correct value should be 0.003 microwatts for
> an isotropic radiator to produce a 100 microvolt/meter field at three
> meters! That's three thousandths of a microwatt, Todd.
>
> Now tell me that 50 to 100 watts isn't way too much power ...

I could really care less

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

3818. give the troll a rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:15:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7sj2k2p7mjeqnmqe9r8hasjv1vlcfl2gi5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>JJ <jamesevns75@hotmail.com> wrote in news:DPb0h.29$du1.3@newsfe11.lga:
>
>> Too late :-(:'(
>>
>>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>We already have a citizen band.
>>>
>>>Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>>>
>>>Tnx, 73
>>>SC
>
>
>It's going that way.
well that will be an improvement if you were a typical ham instead
being a poor example of of a ham
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3819. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:16:43 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do536.tll.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:qhc2k21pnvmnn6hvak3hjknlsnrvao9n9t@4ax.com...
On 26 Oct 2006 15:07:48 -0700, "cmdr buzz corey"
<cmdr-buzz-corey@mailcity.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> fuuny thought it is what a meter says that counts
>
>That's what happens when you attempt to think morkie.
indeed it is and that is the fact

the FCC will not be impressed by any calualtiononly by the result

that you CAN't understand that FACT is why you show got cancelled

That is not as funny as seeing you get booted off QRZ, though...

3820. sc troling right along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:16:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <stj2k21t2itprltf36f2dffpa8ske0d0n1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169840

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:54 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
>news:45afde1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:
>
>> It's an act of tough love to cancel a no-coder's posts. They should
>> be practicing their CW instead of goofing off on usenet. Qrz.com
>> practices tough love, and so should the rest of us.
>>
>> I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
>> have gone away yet. I'm a little rusty on the use of cancel control
>> messages, but I think I've got them formatted correctly. The subject
>> line doesn't really have to contain "cmsg cancel" does it? I see my
>> messages in control.cancel, so they must be propagating around the net
>> properly.
>
>
>ROFL, Try harder,
>
>I still see Mark in the Dark crappin on every thread.
you can't shut me and your "friend obviously is just a wannabe cyber
vandal what he hasn't learned is that most usenet servers don't
resp[ond to cancel bots anymore
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3821. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:18:07 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161908287.919754.139660@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

cmdr buzz corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> > output of 50 to 100 watts
>
> You got an FCC license to broadcast on that frequency toddyboy?

NO, TRY GET ONE SEVEN TIMES, AND THE FCC DON'T WANT TO GIVE ME ON SO
FUCK THEM AND FUCK YOU!!!

TODD N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

3822. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:18:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <m1k2k25sp3dk2nvaljb5vhhsf2393ovmku@4ax.com>
Source record: 169842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I know they're both equally anoying. Anyone can take a look at Mark in the
>Dark and figure that out, but is there really a difference?
why should they be after all it is not like one must chose one over
the other
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3823. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:18:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <33k2k25185n9gg7kolr16382p6hpg3ulft@4ax.com>
Source record: 169846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>

Slow code out troling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3824. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:19:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n3k2k2l7r7bt1781407p6oqhe72eqj30oo@4ax.com>
Source record: 169843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:32 GMT, SC <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
Slow code out troling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3825. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:19:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c4k2k2tk72l6bvdio3d1hkrgrscphvmkto@4ax.com>
Source record: 169845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Bring a some enjoyment into your ham experience. Do a little CW.
not my kink sorry If I am going to suffer pain I prefernot to be alone
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3826. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:20:14 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <k5k2k2do969nl61fpb4rqkuf5bl8pv2oek@4ax.com>
Source record: 169844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>

Slow code out troling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3827. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:21:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <66k2k2179sk38n88doqg9gt1pand8pj092@4ax.com>
Source record: 169848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:
>
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>>>
>>>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
>>>> cuhulin
>>>
>>>
>>>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of Fags
>>>in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we boot
>>>them out.
>> give it arest you gay bashing troll
>
>
>Gay bashing is allowed here.
not unanswered

and it shows you for what you are a hate filled bigot
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3828. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:21:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t7k2k2dthh9n96vhh5fn6ojuvmkigq8rnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 169847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"
Slow code out troling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3829. Re: To cancel someone's posts, is the "Control: cancel" header sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:21:42 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do5ch.una.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.music

```
"I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1161901759.801394.305110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 17:07:25 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 12:22:22 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:10:23 GMT, "Chris"
> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >I've been lovingly cancelling Mark Morgan's posts, but not all of them
> >> >have gone away yet.
> >> not being a Cyber Vandal like yourself I would not much about cancel
> >> posts but I do do it is a violation of the ToS on most every ISP to
> >> use them on somebody else posts
> >>
> >> it does your level of desperation that you are discusing even trying
> >>
> >I'm simply taking out the trash.
> nah you are just a Cyber Vandal
>
>
Taking out the trash...the White Trash...
```

3830. Slow code out troling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:22:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d8k2k2drl9jld455tkncsioe9vdc9k15ie@4ax.com>
Source record: 169849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:09:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>And if they fail the code test they get zapped again.
>
>Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.
you are truly sick but go ahead finish both code tTESTING and likely
Code USDE with your stupidity be my it will help ham radio in the long
to be rid of the mindset of the ProCoders
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3831. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:22:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> > wrote:
> >>
> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand key
> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
> >> can
> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very good
> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy it.
> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
> >
> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.
>
>
> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while it
> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
> not up to the task that I want it to do.
>
> >
> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>
> Already tried it. As I said while it is the best that is available, it is
> still far below the capabilities of a human operator. I've tried it under a

> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> function.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).

3832. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:25:41 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do5jv.3fs.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...

"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qLN%g.1102$s6.400@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to
>>> help
>>> each other.
>>
>> Then why don't they?
>
> Because a lot of pro-coders would rather belittle
> no-coders than help them?

More likely a knee jerk reaction to the very few but very vocal ones who try to come in and act like they know all there is to know about radio when the "ink isn't even dry on their license". It's unfortunate that the experienced hams don't have the discipline to withstand this nonsense without such knee-jerk reactions.

When a new licensee (the level of license is irrelevant) tells me that you can't work DX without an amplifier, I just tell him about the countries I worked with my 100 watt radio and relatively low mount G5RV. But some hams turn bitter instead when a newbie insists that he is right and they are wrong.

Dee, N8UZE

>

Such as Mark does?

3833. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:26:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ffk2k2h3sk3ip6s0l53l1qjvl00ku5o3ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 169851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:15:40 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com...
>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>
>>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>>Such as Mark does?
>I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
>some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>>
>That is correct. That is because you are a tech and cannot work HF at all!
nope
>
>Like Dee, I've worked stations worldwide with "just" 100 watts and a G5RV
>and you could too, if you'd but learn a paltry 5wpm code speed.

but those aren't the contact I want and the ones I want require no
code speed at all and My station is working to them slowly
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3834. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:28:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161908927.722424.326110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in

> > The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code so
> > poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This was in
> > order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from eavesdropping on
> > him.
> >
> > BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
> > cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
> > Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
> > that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
> > inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word spacing.
>
> I miss W0EX.
>
> SC

In a way, so do I.

I wished he wouldn't get so upset and accept that he wasn't going to
change my mind.

At least a difference of opinion with Dick didn't make someone a liar.

3835. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:31:27 UTC
From: amdx <amdx@knology.net>
Message-ID: <ef18$45415363$45011502$24961@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 169854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>>
>> I don't remember,
>> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
>> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
>> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
>> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
>> Mike
>>
>>
> I have helped cut wood for winter heating. I have also foraged for
> berries, actually Granny knew where to look and took me along to help pick
> berries. I have never hand cranked a model T, but have watched others do
> it (was tied up with winter wood cutting, the "T" drove a belt with a rear
> axle wheel to drive a large circular saw) I never did any of the other
> things listed, but if Granny were still here, I'd bet she has and could
> describe the process. Except the spear hunting, that was before Grannys
> time and on the other side of the family.
>
> Harold
> KD5SAK
Hi Harold,
My point is, times change, peoples change, and if you want to cut wood,
pick berries, crank a model T, or spear hunt,
Have at it, but your not out telling everybody they need to.
Mike

3836. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:34:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161909279.069596.18370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.

Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.

> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
> test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
> General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
>
> SC

You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the amateur
radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.

3837. nope lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:37:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s1l2k2h87il1a0pljajs0udrnusgrrmar9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
>On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
>>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>> >>
>>> >> --
>>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>> >
>>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
>>> criminal behavoir
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>>Marqueer.......
>anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>//////////////////////////////////////////
>Wrong, Mark.
yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3838. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:39:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <89l2k25ji1pqqsu7v4s2o98bva6i848lss@4ax.com>
Source record: 169857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Oct 2006 17:34:39 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
>
>Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.
>
>> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
>> test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
>> General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
>>
>> SC
>
>You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
>radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the amateur
>radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.
and even even honest enough to admit that is his felling
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3839. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:45:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161909932.860474.25360@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
> >
> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
> > permission.
>
> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>
> SC

Nope, you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.

3840. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:51:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161910291.145244.72190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Slow Code wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
> > >
> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
> >
> > I'm sure that you do.
>
> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC

I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
participate.

3841. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 00:54:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <g5m2k2hfv0n4o0blv6j55tcupiejhjk95j@4ax.com>
Source record: 169860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 26 Oct 2006 17:51:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>> > >
>> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
>> >
>> > I'm sure that you do.
>>
>> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
>> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC
>
>I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
>participate.
yep or that he does and that is why he refuses to give out his call
althought frankly I become increasing persaded that his call is K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
```

3842. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:02:09 UTC
From: Libertad <kill@leftwinger.org>
Message-ID: <12k2n1m7cq5cefa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 169861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>
> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> >
>
> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> limited mental abilities.
>
> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>

Just like pro-Mexican immigration Neo-Kommies, their last argument of refuge
is the race card.

Translation - they don't have an argument.

3843. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:12:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t4n2k2pk8o4295i02p63ab1s1l9cq0fes1@4ax.com>
Source record: 169862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:02:09 -0500, "Libertad" <kill@leftwinger.org>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>>
>> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>> >
>>
>> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
>> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
>> limited mental abilities.
>>
>> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>>
>
>Just like pro-Mexican immigration Neo-Kommies, their last argument of refuge
>is the race card.
>
>Translation - they don't have an argument.
he throws out a lot of last cards like that one NoCode somehow equates
to homosexual afraid to show his own name

he should fold his his hand but he can't being a bitter old man we
will be hearing from his kind till the acuarial tables eleimate the
problem
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3844. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:12:54 UTC
From: dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <45415D16.4221E916@milestones.com>
Source record: 169863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Libertad wrote:

> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
> >
> > > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> > >
> >
> > Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> > spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> > limited mental abilities.
> >
> > Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
> >
>
> Just like pro-Mexican immigration Neo-Kommies, their last argument of refuge
> is the race card.

Canuck is a race, 'tard boy?

dx

3845. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:15:40 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do8hn.ead.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:c0h2k2d1ljonltnb9k98cds6v9ki9prlcm@4ax.com...
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 19:25:41 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:aJGdnRBtQNAand3YnZ2dnUVZ_oSdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
>"Cecil Moore" <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>Such as Mark does?
I never said anything such Although It is in fact imposible to work
some of the DX I want to work with a 100 watt and G5RV
>
That is correct. That is because you are a tech and cannot work HF at all!

Like Dee, I've worked stations worldwide with "just" 100 watts and a G5RV
and you could too, if you'd but learn a paltry 5wpm code speed.

3846. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:16:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ben2k2hvs8gln7b3bf2empgm8abbvemb0m@4ax.com>
Source record: 169864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:12:54 -0400, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Libertad wrote:
>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> > news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>> >
>> > > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>> > >
>> >
>> > Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
>> > spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
>> > limited mental abilities.
>> >
>> > Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>> >
>>
>> Just like pro-Mexican immigration Neo-Kommies, their last argument of refuge
>> is the race card.
>
>Canuck is a race, 'tard boy?
more or less as much as mexicans are
>
>dx
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3847. Re: asshole infringement is also a crime, even in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:30:32 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <do9di.dh4.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> >
>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
>> criminal behavoir
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>Marqueer.......
anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
//
Wrong, Mark.

A successful conviction for 28.355/750.158; Sodomy or "crime against nature" in Michigan requires penetration (People v. Carrier, 74 Mich App 161) though emission need not be proved. Punishment is a felony with a term in prison of not more than 15 years. If a person is labelled a "sexually delinquent person" then the state may punish that person by imprisonment in the state prison for an interminate term, the maximum of which shall be imprisonment for life.

Michigan

* Statute: 750.158, Crime Against Nature. Unconstitutional under
Lawrence v. Texas.

* Penalty: 15 years

* Classification: Felony

* Restrictions: None

Invalidated by local trial court, Michigan Org. for Hum. Rts. v. Kelley, No. 88-815820 CZ (Mich. Cir. Ct. Wayne Cnty. July 9, 1990), The State has not appealed. In late 1992, there was a decision of the Michigan Court of Appeals to uphold the statute, which has effect statewide effectively reversing MOHR v. Kelly. See People v. Brashier, 496 N. W.2d 385.

3848. Re: marqueer lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:43:29 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1161913409.301172.195150@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.music.country,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
> >
> ><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>> >>
> >>> >>
> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>> >>
> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >>> >
> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >>
> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

3849. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:43:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <D9adnXMi4bHD-dzYnZ2dnUVZ_ridnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>> > wrote:
>> >>
>> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand
>> >> key
>> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
>> >> can
>> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very
>> >> good
>> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy
>> >> it.
>> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
>> >>
>> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
>> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
>> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
>> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
>> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
>> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
>> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
>> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
>> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
>> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.
>>
>>
>> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
>> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
>> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while
>> it
>> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
>> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
>> not up to the task that I want it to do.
>>
>>>
>> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
>> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
>> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
```

>> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
>> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
>> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
>> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>>
>> Already tried it. As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> is
>> still far below the capabilities of a human operator. I've tried it
>> under a
>> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
>> function.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>

Unrelated to my comments.

No one has said all CW signals are good. If they were always good, CWGet would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software solution are those who wish that it would always work.

In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its advantages and disadvantages. The extremists on each side don't want to hear that.

Dee, N8UZE

3850. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:45:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <b5p2k25e8avjfvnvstpo65s15046o6kgpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 21:43:36 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>>> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
>>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand
>>> >> key
>>> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
>>> >> can
>>> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very
>>> >> good
>>> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy
>>> >> it.
>>> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
>>> >
>>> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
>>> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
>>> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
>>> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
>>> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
>>> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
>>> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
>>> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
>>> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
>>> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.
>>>
>>>
>>> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
>>> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
>>> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while
>>> it
>>> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
>>> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
>>> not up to the task that I want it to do.
>>>
```

>>> >
>>> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
>>> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
>>> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
>>> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
>>> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
>>> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
>>> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>>>
>>> Already tried it. As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>>> is
>>> still far below the capabilities of a human operator. I've tried it
>>> under a
>>> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
>>> function.
>>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>> Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
>> Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>>
>
>
>Unrelated to my comments.
>
>No one has said all CW signals are good. If they were always good, CWGet
>would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
>solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>
>In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>advantages and disadvantages. The extremists on each side don't want to
>hear that.
and you are one of the extemists so you talk the talk and then turn
around and say Morse is Ham radio or words to that effect
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3851. Re: fuck you dennis. nobody likes a coward. you are responsible for those soldiers that died. you could have rendered aid but hid under a fucking truck with the only medical bag around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:47:47 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1161913667.209245.297670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.vietnam.veterans,alt.war.vietnam,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

mac wrote:
> >>> Dennis is coward. He could have saved those soldiers but he did not have the courage. A typical >>chicken shit. Now he hides under his computer desk thinking he is so powerful and brave. Yet when >>challenged by real heroes he fails to show up for the event. That is the coward in him
> >> George
>
> I agree with you George. I am going to start fucling with Dennis big
> time too. Just sit and watch what I plan to do. It is all legal and
> there will be nothing he can do about it. He is going to dance whether
> he likes it or not:
>
> Hey Dennis
> Stop wih your ongoing threats.
> Stop with your CyberStalking.
> You have an attorney.
> You have been given my location by the other CyberStalking.
> Have your attorney file and send the papers this week.
> Otherwise, go away.
> You have issued your threats and your threats of lawsuits and your
> threats of "CyberStalking" for more than a year.
> Again: you have hitched your wagon to the CyberStalking you posted my
> information... the same one engaging in hate crimes, in which you now
> indulge by your labelling me a Muslim....
> SO, SIMPLY TELL YOUR ATTORNEY TO FILE THE PAPERS.
> Have the papers sent by Certified Return Receipt.
> We will talk during the dispostion and in Court.
> ---mac

look marqueer.....they talk the same bullshit as you

3852. lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:47:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <99p2k2pn6t4ggtjb9rovmvbnr3rqpteol5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,rec.radio.swap

On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
>> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> >
>> >>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
>> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> --
>> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> >>> >
>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
>> >>> criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>>
>> >>> --
>> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> >>
>> >>Marqueer.......
>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >//
>> >Wrong, Mark.
>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>

>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>hey marqueer
>why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
>also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3853. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:52:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1hp2k2l0qogf4a2k61otj8n8r7h8q4l38q@4ax.com>
Source record: 169870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,s,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2006 18:47:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime as is stalking
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3854. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:52:36 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1161913956.636026.216260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:
>
> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> >>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
> >>> cuhulin
> >>
> >>
> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of Fags
> >>in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we boot
> >>them out.
> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>
>
> Gay bashing is allowed here.
>
> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the Dark,
> but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>
> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
> no-coders take over ham radio.
>
> Tnx, 73,
> de Slow Code

hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.

3855. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 01:56:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qpp2k259om1ujlunna71f5uo7lo0uqa5ic@4ax.com>
Source record: 169872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,s,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2006 18:52:36 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:

>hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here
by your choosen nick you would apear to a homsexual so go bash
yourself
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3856. Re: fuck you dennis. nobody likes a coward. you are responsible for those soldiers that died. you could have rendered aid but hid under a fucking truck with the only medical bag around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 02:03:17 UTC
From: Mac <NoSpamToday@NoSpamToday.net>
Message-ID: <e2q2k2pe21c60t2cl7knvffnff9359jba8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.vietnam.veterans,alt.war.vietnam,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2006 18:47:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>RODGER the FREGO... so ashamed of who he is, tries to be "mac" and desperately scribbled the following blather:
>> >>> Dennis is coward. He could have saved those soldiers but he did not have the courage. A typical >>chicken shit. Now he hides under his computer desk thinking he is so powerful and brave. Yet when >>challenged by real heroes he fails to show up for the event. That is the coward in him
>> >> George
>>
>> I agree with you George. I am going to start fucling with Dennis big
>> time too. Just sit and watch what I plan to do. It is all legal and
>> there will be nothing he can do about it. He is going to dance whether
>> he likes it or not:
AND, AS HE LACKS ANY DEGREE OF CREATIVITY, HE SIMPLY STEALS THE WORDS
OF OTHERS AND PRETENDS HE HAS WRITTEN SUCH. In this instance the
poor, pathetic critter desperately tired the following....
>> Hey Dennis
>> Stop wih your ongoing threats.
>> Stop with your CyberStalking.
>> You have an attorney.
>> You have been given my location by the other CyberStalking.
>> Have your attorney file and send the papers this week.
>> Otherwise, go away.
>> You have issued your threats and your threats of lawsuits and your
>> threats of "CyberStalking" for more than a year.
>> Again: you have hitched your wagon to the CyberStalking you posted my
>> information... the same one engaging in hate crimes, in which you now
>> indulge by your labelling me a Muslim....
>> SO, SIMPLY TELL YOUR ATTORNEY TO FILE THE PAPERS.
>> Have the papers sent by Certified Return Receipt.
>> We will talk during the dispostion and in Court.
>> ---mac
****
>look marqueer.....they talk the same bullshit as you
****
Yeah, the same inept ability to construct coherent sentences, the same
poor quality of spelling, the same vulgar attacks.... reflecting quite
an interesting psychological obsession.
--_Mac

3857. id theft reamins a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 02:12:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <emq2k2dj9rdn0hrijg0q5hssv20mo38v10@4ax.com>
Source record: 169874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 02:03:17 GMT, Mac <NoSpamToday@NoSpamToday.net>
wrote:

>On 26 Oct 2006 18:47:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
><dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>

pity the law has cuaght up and made this sort of destructive toriling
one 2
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3858. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 02:46:36 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <gqe0h.194345$5R2.130996@pd7urf3no>
Source record: 169875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> ""''''''
```

>
> A province.
>
> SC
>
The correct answer is: Smarter than Slow Code, DxAss and Cuhulin combined.

JB

3859. Re: GOODBYE ELVIS FREAKS!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 02:59:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161917974.314068.16950@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.elvis.king,alt.religion.kibology,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TeaLady (Mari C.) wrote:
> dbd@gatekeeper.vic.com (David DeLaney) wrote in
> news:slrnejtlfs.l8c.dbd@gatekeeper.vic.com:
>
> > barbara@bookpro.com <barbara@bookpro.com> wrote:
> >>Chris McGonnell <smeagol01@notthisverizon.net> wrote:
> >>>On Tue, 24 Oct 2006 09:02:10 -0400, barbara wrote:
> >>>>On 23 Oct 2006 22:55:47 -0700, "Genie" wrote:
> >>>>>Want to fight or something barbie? SHUDUP!
> >>>>
> >>>>Try writing in English.
> >>>
> >>>Hey, I'm told it's hard translating Cracker into English.
> >>
> >>How dare you make fun of a sweet l'il old retired lady who
> >>only calls people nasty names, orders them around, and
> >>challenges people to fight because she thinks that anything
> >>a li'l old retired lady does is, by definition, sweet and
> >>not mean.
> >
> > NOW SHE NEEDS A REST!!
> >
> > HAVE YOU SEEN THESE PICTURES OF THE GRANDCHILDREN
> >
> > GODZ BLESS
> >
>
> Hey, didja hear ? Dead Curt Cobain just out-sold Dead Elvis.
>
> Elvis ain't king of the dead no more, d00d.
>
> Pass me a biscuit, please. And some sugar for my tea.
>
>
> --
> TeaLady (mari)
>
> "The principle of Race is meant to embody and express the
> utter negation of human freedom, the denial of equal rights, a
> challenge in the face of mankind." A. Kolnai

i punce in againe id thfet forgerts

3860. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 03:21:03 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oYe0h.46828$vi3.35500@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 169877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:nbvvj2lkthm4n6q28i6oc97bq4150dvmba@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>>>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>>>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>>>
>>>
>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
>>> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
>>> disappointed.
>>>
>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>
>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
>>> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
>>> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
>>> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
>>> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>>>
>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
>>> beyond:
>>>
>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>
>>
>>Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
>>if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
> call
>>
>>SC

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

Oh, really????
How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?

Quote
Morse code used in rescue!

London, 20 October/GNN/ -- MARITIME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY News Release (Press Notice: 368_06) issued by The Government News Network on 20 October 2006

Yesterday afternoon just after 5.30pm Hayling Island Coastguard team member, Steve Mann, witnessed what he thought was a SOS message flashed by torchlight towards the Control Tower at Hayling Island Sailing Club. Steve responded to the SOS message by switching the lights on and off in the Control Tower.

The casualty was identified and was indeed using Morse code as he had recently transferred all of his safety equipment from his 28ft fishing vessel onto a newly acquired boat. He was running this vessel from Emsworth to Thorneham Marina, a distance of about 4 miles, when he ran aground and started to take on water. Steve Mann then alerted Solent Coastguard Marine Rescue Coordination Centre who requested the launch of the RNLI inshore and D-class lifeboats from Hayling island to the casualty who was transferred to Hayling Island Lifeboat Station, where he was checked over by a crewman who is also a paramedic. His vessel was towed back to Sparks Marina by the inshore lifeboat.

Steve Mann said afterwards, "I was absolutely amazed to see the flashed SOS message. This man was caught out on the one occasion he went to sea with absolutely no safety equipment. Even without radio communication going to sea without even a portable flare is extremely dangerous and could cost you your life."

(with permission)
Seawaves Publishing
7-137 West 20th Street North Vancouver BC V7M 1Y2 Canada
14419 Greenwood Ave N Seattle WA 98113 USA

>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

3861. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 03:27:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <l3v2k29bvsb2i8if1pude179mmvrspa0e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 169878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 23:21:03 -0400, "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:nbvvj2lkthm4n6q28i6oc97bq4150dvmba@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>>news:MNGdnVFG3tY7ArDYnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>>
>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Big Ten-Four on that Good Buddy.
>>>>>> It sounds like you run a lot of 11m, not surprising considering how
>>>>>> much you whine, and it's not alternator whine.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> I only typed like because I knew you would understand that style of
>>>>> communicating. So, after your license came in the mail what I
>>>>> appliance did you decide to buy? MFJ? Alinco? Cobra?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> While you are being all holier than thou, what did you design and build
>>>> for your main rig? I'm hoping to be impressed, but expecting to be
>>>> disappointed.
>>>>
>>>> Did the code help you with the design?
>>>>
>>>> I took my Advanced class test down at 1919 M street 36 years ago. I had
>>>> to sit at the desk and copy one solid minute out of five error free at
>>>> 13WPM. I passed it on the first try. I almost failed the sending test,
>>>> as I had never spent much time doing that. I had never made a code
>>>> contact before my test, and I have only made a couple since.
>>>>
>>>> The thing about code contacts is they never seem to want to say anything
>>>> beyond:
>>>>
>>>> WA3XXX DE W6XX RST 5NN WX FB 73 W6XX SK
>>>
>>>
>>>Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
>>>if you ever have to use CW to save a life.

>> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
>> call
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
>Oh, really????
>How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?
nothing there about RADIO still no one radio equiped to send a Radio
message of distress for hams to hear
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3862. id theft is still acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 03:29:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <17v2k2d00vh7vrs12d4cjcl0ng9qotng5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 169879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cbs,alt.elvis.king,alt.religion.kibology,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Oct 2006 19:59:34 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
and you are still breaking the law
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3863. id theft remians a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 03:53:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161921216.170258.169510@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an_old_friend wrote:
>
id theft is crime and what is the point

3864. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 04:05:51 UTC
From: "Mike, who else?" <Mike@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <zAf0h.73122$E67.71703@clgrps13>
Source record: 169881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

FU Troll. I tired of your shit
PLONK

Mike

3865. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 04:07:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <se13k2hv8idioab26a14vq9eejsq5meh8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 169882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 04:05:51 GMT, "Mike, who else?" <Mike@nowhere.net>
wrote:

>FU Troll. I tired of your shit
>*PLONK*
>
>Mike

pity he will never get the message
Code testing is DEAD just waiting for the FCC to pull the plug
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3866. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 05:00:47 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7N2dnb_RFcRsD9zYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 169883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Jerry wrote:

>>> Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
>>> if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
>> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
>> call
>>> SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
> Oh, really????
> How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?
>
> Quote
> Morse code used in rescue!
>
> London, 20 October/GNN/ -- MARITIME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY News Release
> (Press Notice: 368_06) issued by The Government News Network on 20 October
> 2006
>
> Yesterday afternoon just after 5.30pm Hayling Island Coastguard team
> member, Steve Mann, witnessed what he thought was a SOS message flashed by
> torchlight towards the Control Tower at Hayling Island Sailing Club. Steve
> responded to the SOS message by switching the lights on and off in the
> Control Tower.

I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse code other
than SOS.

This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being an
argument for hams being conversant in French.

-Chuck

3867. Re:marqueer will lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 07:48:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161935319.743977.112170@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169884
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> ><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >> >>> >>
> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> >if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> >criminal behavoir
> >> >>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> >--
> >> >>> >Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Marqueer.......
> >> >>>anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >>>//
> >> >>>Wrong, Mark.
> >> >>yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> >>uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> >>aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> >>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3868. Re:marqueer wants to eat Slow code's ass out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 07:52:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161935548.894313.264320@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:27 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >I know they're both equally anoying. Anyone can take a look at Mark in the
> >Dark and figure that out, but is there really a difference?
> why should they be after all it is not like one must chose one over
> the other
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3869. KB9RQZ Provides One And All With Yet Another Opportunity To Humiliate Himself With

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 10:26:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161944783.530685.100190@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169886

QUOTE FROM ONE OF HIS SEMI-ILLITERATE BLOG RAMBLINGS:

new policy on coments
you may indeed coment on the blog but all coments will moderated by ME
so if you coment consit die you lazy ####ers or something along those
line do yourself a kindness and don't bother

(You got the profanity spelled right, Morkie. Now work on the
rest.)

I realy don't care if you coment or not but I will not allow my blog of
which I am of course part of the publishing house to be used for
libeling me soory if that senible policy offends anybody (and the
preceeding statement is a lie for Politieness sake since if you intend
to use try and harrass me through my blog then I rather hope you are
offended that I will not let you

UNQUOTE

In English, Morkie will continue to stick his head in the sand,
ignore the frequent reminders that he's a liar, educationally bereft, a
pervert and generally just looks like an idiot in print.

Well...actually, Morkie looks like an idiot in ANYthing.

Steve, K4YZ

3870. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 10:59:53 UTC
From: One Hung Low <Low@fu.bar.net>
Message-ID: <JEI0h.1636$s6.870@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard wrote:

> The correct answer is: Smarter than Slow Code, DxAss and Cuhulin combined.

...and the question was: "What is a turnip?"

3871. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 12:03:13 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <5Am0h.4067$ya1.1370@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 169888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris wrote:
> Jerry wrote:
>
>>>> Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's
>>>> important
>>>> if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
>>>
>>> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
>>> call
>>>
>>>> SC
>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>>
>> Oh, really????
>> How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?
>>
>> Quote
>> Morse code used in rescue!
>>
>> London, 20 October/GNN/ -- MARITIME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY News
>> Release (Press Notice: 368_06) issued by The Government News Network
>> on 20 October 2006
>>
>> Yesterday afternoon just after 5.30pm Hayling Island Coastguard
>> team member, Steve Mann, witnessed what he thought was a SOS message
>> flashed by torchlight towards the Control Tower at Hayling Island
>> Sailing Club. Steve responded to the SOS message by switching the
>> lights on and off in the Control Tower.
>
>
> I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse code
> other
> than SOS.
>
> This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being an
> argument for hams being conversant in French.
>
> -Chuck

Sacre bleu!

Avec des frites!

Le Grande fromage!

Over.

3872. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 13:43:47 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <J7srGy.CBM@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 169889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:D6c0h.19671$UG4.17151@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> And if they fail the code test they get zapped again.
>
> Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.
>
> SC

If code is supposed to keep the Rif Raf out of Ham Radio.

How did you get in ?

Scotty W7PSK

I will sign with my callsign Unlike SC.

3873. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 14:19:22 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Kzo0h.3651$T_1.2938@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169890

R. Scott wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.

> If code is supposed to keep the Rif Raf out of Ham Radio.
> How did you get in ?

Has it occurred to anyone else that Slow Code may
actually be a no-coder whose goal it is to make
everyone hate pro-coders? He may even be a CBer
with no ham license at all.
--
73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

3874. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 14:22:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161958954.649502.163050@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169891

PorkieMorkieTheDorkie@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:47:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> >
> id theft is a crime as is stalking

As is welfare fraud.

As is child molestation.

As is elder abuse.

As is tax evasion.

As is representing oneself as a federal officer.

As are a list of other "crimes against humanity and nature" that
you've participated in or promoted, yet you don't let the illegality of
those infractions slow YOU down, Porkie.

So, in a phrase, STUFF IT!

Steve, K4YZ, and the Other Law Abiding RRAP'ers

3875. More Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 14:27:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161959278.411891.148930@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169892

nobodys_old_friend wrote (KB9RQZ, also known as "Lassie's 'Other
Man'.)...:
> raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> id theft is crime and what is the point

What is the point, indeed.

Child molestation is a crime...Didn't slow YOU down.

Elder abuse is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.

Tax evasion is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.

Welfare cheating is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.

Promoting one's self as a federal officer is a crime...Didn't slow
YOU down.

So...What's the point in YOUR whining about "the point" that
someone is mimicking your screen names...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3876. Massive Morkie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 14:29:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1161959396.458426.190090@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169893

Mork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir

You're the one with "criminal behaviour" to be worried about,
fatboy.

Steve, K4YZ

3877. stve make new hit and run attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:39:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ffd4k25n0vd2pq7h03rmliqg6h5nb71oht@4ax.com>
Source record: 169894

On 27 Oct 2006 07:22:34 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>PorkieMorkieTheDorkie@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 18:47:47 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
>> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> id theft is a crime as is stalking
>
> As is welfare fraud.
so not comtineted by me
>
> As is child molestation.
so not comtineted by me
>
> As is elder abuse.
so not comtineted by me
>
> As is tax evasion.
so not comtineted by me
>
> As is representing oneself as a federal officer.
so not comtineted by me
>
> As are a list of other "crimes against humanity and nature" that
>you've participated in or promoted, yet you don't let the illegality of
>those infractions slow YOU down, Porkie.
fuuny I don't do those thing
>
> So, in a phrase, STUFF IT!
>
>Steve, K4YZ, and the Other Law Abiding RRAP'ers
you don't abide by the law You stalk men sexaul and you viotate other
laws as well
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3878. steve contiues hit and run attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:40:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jid4k2p3818q6rcqheoc3fktt82hrc93v6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169895

On 27 Oct 2006 07:27:58 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys_old_friend wrote (KB9RQZ, also known as "Lassie's 'Other
>Man'.)...:
>> raped_an_old_friend wrote:
>> >
>> id theft is crime and what is the point
>
> What is the point, indeed.
>
> Child molestation is a crime...Didn't slow YOU down.
so not comtineted by me
>
> Elder abuse is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.
so not comtineted by me
>
> Tax evasion is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.
so not comtineted by me
>
> Welfare cheating is a crime...Doesn't slow YOU down.
so not comtineted by me
>
> Promoting one's self as a federal officer is a crime...Didn't slow
>YOU down.
so not comtineted by me
>
> So...What's the point in YOUR whining about "the point" that
>someone is mimicking your screen names...?!?!
becuase that is crime and is being comited unlike the you list of lies
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3879. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:41:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ukd4k2pe8lj8uv9tmu16moib3293fm9igg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 01:00:47 -0400, Chuck Harris
<cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote:

>Jerry wrote:
>
>>>> Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's important
>>>> if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
>>> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
>>> call
>>>> SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>> Oh, really????
>> How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?
>>
>> Quote
>> Morse code used in rescue!
>>
>> London, 20 October/GNN/ -- MARITIME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY News Release
>> (Press Notice: 368_06) issued by The Government News Network on 20 October
>> 2006
>>
>> Yesterday afternoon just after 5.30pm Hayling Island Coastguard team
>> member, Steve Mann, witnessed what he thought was a SOS message flashed by
>> torchlight towards the Control Tower at Hayling Island Sailing Club. Steve
>> responded to the SOS message by switching the lights on and off in the
>> Control Tower.
>
>I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse code other
>than SOS.

indeeed in fact do we know he sent Sos as opposed to OSO

BTW If I heard ether on the Air I could respond
>
>This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being an
>argument for hams being conversant in French.
>
>-Chuck
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3880. steve coninurees his latest hot and run attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:42:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dmd4k21tcqjemvmrbgnihoq3n13tpvdtov@4ax.com>
Source record: 169897

On 27 Oct 2006 07:29:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mork@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> >
>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>>
>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
>> criminal behavoir
>
> You're the one with "criminal behaviour" to be worried about,
>fatboy.
nope not at all only crimes I comit are YOUR demented mind
>

>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3881. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:43:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fod4k2tfgjqeaqo2m72rgnbt7llrpucfr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 13:43:47 GMT, "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
wrote:

>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:D6c0h.19671$UG4.17151@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> And if they fail the code test they get zapped again.
>>
>> Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.
>>
>> SC
>
>
>If code is supposed to keep the Rif Raf out of Ham Radio.
it is suposed but it doesn't work obviosuly
>
>
>How did you get in ?
>
>
>Scotty W7PSK
>
>I will sign with my callsign Unlike SC.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3882. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:43:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8pd4k2lr8nght3kudges9finp3rmnrul5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 169898

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 14:19:22 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>R. Scott wrote:
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>>> Bringing back 'spark' will be good for ham radio.
>
>> If code is supposed to keep the Rif Raf out of Ham Radio.
>> How did you get in ?
>
>Has it occurred to anyone else that Slow Code may
>actually be a no-coder whose goal it is to make
>everyone hate pro-coders? He may even be a CBer
>with no ham license at all.
Jim made that charge a few days ago
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3883. steve conitue his hit and run attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:45:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tsd4k2tphh6ubl3bap09ul2bbkcrfo9fls@4ax.com>
Source record: 170000

On 27 Oct 2006 03:26:23 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
violating copyright laws again steve
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3884. lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:47:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p0e4k2d7eqh0r3c9ia12ic39ss2o5l8v36@4ax.com>
Source record: 170001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,rec.radio.swap

On 27 Oct 2006 00:48:39 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3885. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:48:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <92e4k29nmt80mo9katqtit71t48hiqobos@4ax.com>
Source record: 170002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 27 Oct 2006 00:52:28 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
id theft is still a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3886. well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:49:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com>
Source record: 170003

you may all thank steve for what foolows
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3887. why does robeson lie in the name of the Army 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:51:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <06e4k2dtdc8e1m00f00eneg6ll8ct2rh9s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap

On 14 Aug 2006 01:35:08 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>> Dear Mr. Robeson,
>>
>> Thank-you for your e-mail of 01 August 2006
>>
>> In reference to your inquiry, no such unit "HMC 47 CSB
>> (PROVISIONAL)" has ever been located at Ft Sill. Furthermore I find no
>> reference to any "214 FA BRIGADE" at Ft Sill or any other US Army
>> facility.
>>
>> I hope this answers your questions.
>>
>> WILLIAM C ANDERS JR
>> _____
>> the above is quote from steve recent post
>>
>> he said he was going to write and try to comfrim my service in HMC 47th
>
> No...YOU said you belonged to "47th CSB (PROV)"
the existance of that uinit has been docutmented

the 214 fa has a website and is still at ft sill
>
> NOW you are trying to change units mid-rant, fatboy.
>
> Smoke and mirrors are great for Houdini, Mork, but lousy for a
>functionally illiterate idiot like you in forums where the contents are
>ARCHIVED!
>
>> CSB (provisional) then part of 214th FA 3 copr art Ft Sill ok
>>
>> I knew he would not confrim the current location of the 47 csb at sill
>> indeed the unit I believe has been reorgaized out of existance although
>
> Again with the "organized out of existance" routine.
>
> And STILL not a viable dodge, fatboy!
>
>> the paerent unit of the formation the 47 th Feild Hospital likely
>> remerges from this I have heard they may be a irag but I frankly don't
>> know or realy much care
>

> I am sure you DON'T CARE....Don't care that you have, once again,
>been proven WRONG by nothing more that watching YOU change stories
>mid-stream and then rubbing your nose in them.
>
>> I did not expect steve to dare say the 214th does not exist (it does
>> http://sill-www.army.mil/3ca/214web/214th.htm)
>
> I didn't say it. The fellow that responded to me (and given
>information based upon YOUR claims...) did. He was obviously in error.
>
> However he is still correct in that your mythical "47th CSB
>(prov)" never existed.
>
>> but I welcome it as it serves as proof that Robeson has lied us and
>> therfore the claims I and other have made about his veracity (or
>> rather the lack there of)
>
> What was that...In English, I mean....
>
>> inthat post the Major Of Cap has choosen to fake a comincation from the
>
> "Major of Cap"...?
>
>> US Army it itself.
>
> "US Army it itself"...?!?!
>
> What was that supposed to mean?
>
>> inded after this how can anything he has claimed
>> about any DoD matter be trusted
>> he is to lick his wounds but he clearly lack the good sense to do that
>
> Coward? "lick his wounds"...?!?!
>
> What wounds?
>
> You haven't inflicted so much as a headache on me, let alone
>"wounds", fatboy!
>
>> so as needed I will keep reminding him
>
> Reminding him of what?
>
> That you're a USENET spamming, cross-positng idiot?
>
>
>"rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.military.cap"
>
> I'm only reading RRAP, Morkie....

>
> WHY are YOU crossposting to all those other NG's...?!?!
>
> No one in any of those groups likes you either!
>
> Idiot.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3888. Re: funny even the 47csb is findable on google 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:52:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f9e4k2953bei204kikm6dmqful8gjomq3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:38:18 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On Wed, 09 Aug 2006 02:29:53 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>>http://64.233.161.104/search?q=cache:oAfR5nONqsUJ:sill-www.army.mil/DOL/DOCs/UMO%2520Docs/1memo%2520OPTSR.doc+%22+47th+csb%22&hl=en&gl=us&ct=clnk&cd=2
>>listes the 47th CSB (no longer provisioal) but there by name
>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>for our CAP readers Steve claims this unit does not exist and never
>existed
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
robeson the liar
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3889. Re: The Turht << steve isn't even bother to spell right"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:53:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9be4k2l1n9h4jtj8ppueemd10dlalvjp25@4ax.com>
Source record: 170006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 7 Sep 2006 12:15:04 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz___ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>steven_k...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
an example of steve at littely he shitest
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3890. Re: stop lying about law enforment "What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's genitals"sayth Robeson 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:53:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ace4k25ehnaaqld5ie2hjqf1al3g9llla5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Thu, 07 Sep 2006 15:08:09 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Sep 2006 10:57:45 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>PorkieMorkieTheMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>>> "is Amy Fireproof?" means Steve has just lied obviously that means
>>> very
>>> little else is worth ntoing and as should be obvious very little else
>>> will get done by steve will
>>
>> That may have meant something to you, Morkie, but it just came out
>>
>>as gibberish.
>>
>>> all he has to do is STOP lying
>>
>> To "stop" one must have started.
>>
>>> stoping claiming my wife is male
>>
>> Start putting a picture up that proves otherwise.
>>
>>> stop calling me a child molestor
>>
>> I didn't. An law enforcement offical said you were under
>>investigation.
>stop the lying steve
stop telling these lies
>
>stop it soon
>
>"What it's like to lick my excrement off of another man's
>genitals"sayth Robeson
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3891. stop the lies Robeson 5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:54:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tde4k2h37jajrlf276ut98dk9i38vsbqql@4ax.com>
Source record: 170008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Mon, 28 Aug 2006 18:16:31 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>"is Amy Fireproof?" means Steve has just lied obviously that means
>very
>little else is worth ntoing and as should be obvious very little else
>will get done by steve will
>
>all he has to do is STOP lying
>
>stoping claiming my wife is male
>
>stop calling me a child molestor
>
>stop acussing me of welfare fraud
>
>stop using libelous accusations against others to harrass them
>
>apologize for calling my DAD a rapist
>
>apologize for lying about the non existance of the 47th my old unit
>
>apologize for lying about the non existance of the 214 fa of which it
>was part
>
>
>since steve will no doubt try to ja this message it will be repated a
>few time t insure it get through
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3892. Re: then why does CAP support it occicers lying on behalf of the Army and libeling n US Army vet 6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:55:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5fe4k29523kiu4d14h2srbpa7fmpku7duc@4ax.com>
Source record: 170010
Cross-posted to: alt.military.cap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 26 Aug 2006 15:15:55 -0700, "an old friend"
<kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>W5AMY@aol.com wrote:
>
>> Overall, CAP has over 58,000 members in over 1,700 local units across
>> the United States. CAP members are civilians and are not paid by the
>> U.S. government for their CAP service. They do not have command
>> authority over members of the United States military, nor are they
>> subject to command. As part of recognition of CAP's service to the
>> USAF, however, CAP members are allowed to wear "U.S." as part of their
>> uniform, and CAP members are required to render military courtesy to
>> all members of U.S. and friendly foreign military personnel.
>
>why Does the CAP suport and endorse Officers of the CAP lying about the
>existance of whole military iuntis and support their offcier hounding
>USA army vet and the libel of US Navy vet
when will you lige to standards of Cap Officers
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3893. Re: why Steve so interested in other mens wifes? steve adulter 7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:55:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6ge4k2t2mht7qe2jffnvii6mu8ipkap42g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Sat, 26 Aug 2006 12:19:32 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>On 7 Aug 2006 17:20:35 -0700, "an old friend" <kb9rqz-MCM@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>K4YZ wrote:
>>> an old friend tried to hide the facts again:
>>> > K4YZ wrote:
>>> > > an old friend wrote:
>>> > > > morkmor####@lycos.com wrote:
>>> > > > > http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/license.jsp?licKey=760289
>>> >
>>> > > > learn to tell the deference
>>> > > >
>>> > > > I can and both are fun just different is all
>>> > >
>>> > > Obviously you CAN'T tell the difference...You married one and keep
>>> > > claiming "she's" a female, Mork.
>>> >
>>> > I married a female I did not marry a man
>>>
>>> But what did your "wife" marry...?!?!
>>a man
>>
>>a better on than you
>>>
>>> > under the right occasion I might marry a man, I just did not and you
>>> > can't face the truth
>>>
>>> So far there's not one iota of evidence to the contrary, Mork...
>>>
>>> Picture...Sign...USA Today...
>>no
>>
>> you lied about the none existance the 214 FA
>>http://sill-www.army.mil/3ca/214web/214th.htm
>>the FA brigade exitis I have written to to ask about the 47 th
>>>
>>> Accept No Substitutes.
>>you mean you will conitue your harrassment
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ

>why?
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3894. Re: If There Was ANy Doubt As To The Kind Of Person Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ, Is, His Own Words Let You In On It Indeed yes as do yuors 8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:57:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9ie4k2tepitdhe9i2dabg80dk6v2olb7is@4ax.com>
Source record: 170011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 22 Aug 2006 23:26:56 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> tsuing those words are something that Robeson thinks requires mental
>> healthcare
>
> Thank-you for adding to my collection of Morkisms for the CD,
>Morkie.
>
> And thank-you for posting them to "alt.military.cap" so anyone
>that's of a mind can see what kind of mind YOU have.
>
> Idiot.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
you are an idoit and liar
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3895. Re: When does Robeson leave the ARS 9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:57:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <aje4k21otrs2mqgsgpve7guv5dkuk79qrv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:30:53 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:

>"With "Hams" Like Morkie, It's Time To Quit and Move to 11 Meters Or
>MURS "
>steve word
>
>tell you what stev send me your gear and I send you a brand new CB
>(with SSB) and an MURS radio
>
>fair enough
>
>and you turn in your license too ok
>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
steve bombastic lies are a legend
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3896. Re: Robeson get some therapy for your fetish with shit many of his posting are about nothing but shit 10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 16:58:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ale4k2t6h5vmhubvct74a7fsdrn5o0co8j@4ax.com>
Source record: 170013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 22 Aug 2006 14:01:17 -0700, "an old freind"
<kb9rqz-ars@hotmail.com> wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend abused the English language with:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > MorkMoron@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > your action violte the libel and slander laws and some of them
>> > > > copyright laws in the USA
>> > >
>> > > YOUR "action" VIOLATES the established practical use of the
>> > > English language.
>> >
>> > so?
>>
>> So you look more and more idiotic as the day get's longer.
>according to you that is not possible
>>
>> > mangling english is not a crime
>>
>> It is in my book.
>then you are a fool
>
>but we knew that
>>
>> > stalking is
>> >
>> > you are a stalker
>>
>> And you're a pathological liar.
>nope
>>
>> This "stalking" allegaton is one of them.
>
>nope you have engaged in stalking for the past 8 years you threatened
>to murder my old man 8 years ago just for answering the phone
>
>>
>> And anyone who follows your posting habits knows YOU'RE the
>> stalker...Everytime you crosspost you prove it.
>nope

>
>Crossposting is not a crime
>
>you keep trying that line
>
>and since you were crospposting long before m then you admit to
>stalking me by your own standard
>
>I generaly don't add a cross post I added CAP since I feel the coneent
>of leting them what a stalker you are was relavant to CAP
>>
>> > > > and you keep going bigger and bigger someday you may break out from
>> > > > under the radar and get caught
>> > >
>> > > Get caught...WHAT...?!?!
>> >
>> > stalking
>>
>> There's that lie again, Morkie.
>no lie there
>>
>> WHY do you do it...?!?!
>not lying
>>
>> > > > rspect you families future
>> > >
>> > > Hire an English tutor, you idiot.
>> >
>> > why?
>> >
>> > id rather be flamed for my spelling than for alegedly being a child
>> > molestor
>>
>> Congratulations!
>>
>> YOU get to be BOTH!
>then agin WHY should I hire a tutor?
>
>you make it claer you will never treat me in civil manner indeed you
>never have
>
>>
>> > > > cease and desist
>> > >
>> > > No.
>> >
>> > meaning you choose willingly to be a criminal
>>
>> There's no crime in rubbing an idiot's nose in his own waste,
>> Morkie.

>
>in fact that would be assult Robeson
>>
>> > you choice
>>
>> It's not so much a choice as it is recreation.
>admiting you enjoy being a criminal
>
>>
>> Besides...You make it sooooooooooooooooooooooooo easy to do!
>so?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3897. Re: Robeson you advised to cesit and desist 11 but he refuses to obey the law or any standards of decency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:00:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <sme4k2luchu6ppud1h5b65n3shcl6ga2pn@4ax.com>
Source record: 170014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 22 Aug 2006 01:25:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.com wrote:
>
>> your action violte the libel and slander laws and some of them
>> copyright laws in the USA
>
> YOUR "action" VIOLATES the established practical use of the
>English language.
that isn't a crime your stlaking me and my wife IS
>
>> and you keep going bigger and bigger someday you may break out from
>> under the radar and get caught
>
> Get caught...WHAT...?!?!
>
> When I am flying I always ahve the transponder on...ATC doesn't
>have to worry about me being "under the radar", Morkie
>
>> rspect you families future
>
> Hire an English tutor, you idiot.
>
>> cease and desist
>
> No.
indeed a confession you intend to coniute YOUR crimnal behavoir
>
>Steve, K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3898. Re: MARK MORGAN! STOP THE MULTIPLE NEWSGROUP SPAMMING! stve lies about spamming 12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:01:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9qe4k2hscgqctar0lda9847jhtb7p4hm5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 170015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.military.cap

On 15 Aug 2006 07:44:25 -0700, "K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrotecontinues to waste bandwidth with:
>> > > Not ####sucker Lloyd wrote:
>> > > > an old fraud wrote:
>> > > > > Mr. Hammington wrote:
>> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > > a better choice
>> > > > > > http://www.duke.org/
>> > > > >
>> > > > > only for racist shit such as yourself Wismen and likely Robeson
>> > > >
>> > > > Now what were you blathering about "bearing false witness" a few spams
>> > > > ago?
>> > >
>> > > Wismen has clearly shown his racism Robeson has shown every other kind
>> > > odd anitism that he is a rascist as well seem likely not certain
>> >
>> cease and desist your harrassment
>
> Cease and desist the crossposting spamming.

never done any you have been enaging in it for years however
>
>Stevem K4YZ
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3899. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:12:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161969160.584208.244010@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
an_old_friend wrote:
>i
d theft remains a crime
```

3900. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:28:38 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161970118.863998.137980@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170017

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>> >each other.
>
>> Then why don't they?
>
>They *do*, Len.

Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?

>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>> >understanding of the requirements.
>
>> Nonsense.
>
>No, it's the truth.

"Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
want things kept without change.

Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
newsgroup.

>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
>> Requirements Should Be.
>
>Nobody says they do.

Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)

>> They never did, despite all the
>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
>
>No such "propaganda", Len.

You've been Conditioned, Miccolis. Conditioned thinking
stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
were able to read...

>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
>> or never considered it in the first place.
>
>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
>Morse Code - extensively.

Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,
Miccolis. License TEST requirements.

The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?

>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
>> to communicate with foreigners.
>
>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
>one way to do that.

["boilerplate" political insert into the Basis & Purpose]

So, exactly WHAT is this so-called "good will?" Has it
stopped wars and armed conflict anywhere in the world?
[No] Has it ended world hunger or even alleviated it?
[No] Does this "good will" do ANYTHING?

When was the last time the AMATEUR bands were used to save
a life using radiotelegraphy?

I would think saving a life would be the BEST good will
possible.

>> The major
>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
>> morse code.
>
>How do you know?

:-) Try reading the No-Code International website and
researching the statements in there. Those are true
statements.

>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
>methods.

Did Moore School drill that into you? :-)

>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
>

>Why is that so important?

It SHOULD be obvious to all but the conditioned-thinking
Believer. :-)

It should be obvious that the so-called "advantages" of
morse code radiotelegraphy are so few...ergo, it isn't
worth having a license TEST for it. Especially since the
FCC hasn't mandated exclusivity for morse code radio-
telegraphy for years.

>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
>> special significance?
>
>It's not about 'special significance".

Yes, it is. :-)

See "VANITY" call signs...see the old "Extra" requirements
for 20 WPM code tests. See all the "gotta upgrade!"
agit-prop from ARRL where morsemanship is promoted way
over all other modes.

>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
>
>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
>written tests.

Just as there are some in here who cannot tell time,
cannot understand that a federal court decision in the
early 1970s TOOK AWAY the claimed "firsts" of ENIAC.
:-)

>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.

You "KNOW" this by first-hand experience, Jimmie? :-)

No, you could NOT know any of that. In fact, *I* was
the one who FIRST mentioned it in here. :-)

I took one of those morse aptitude tests, along with
about a dozen other aptitude tests, back in 1952. A
few hundreds of thousands other recruits did the same
in the 1950s. You NEVER did that.

>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
>them.

The "requirements for military radio telegraphers [sic]"
topped out at 20 WPM for Army Field Radio MOS, Jimmie.

Same rate as amateur extras prior to 2000. Sunnuvagun!

The US Army took only 8 weeks to "train" soldiers in
basic training to kill the enemy (in several ways)
and some other RUDIMENTARY skills of survival. Took a
LOT LONGER to train soldiers on some specialty.

You never did either one...

>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.

Jimmie, you just crapped. :-) All you have for "proof"
of that is what the ARRL has written. Jaysus, what a fine
example of Conditioned Thinking! ["brainwashing"]

World War II *ended* 61 years ago. [the Korean War has
never ended...it is in a state of truce begun 53 years
ago] All you "Know" about military anything is what you've
READ about and probably tinkered with some left-over radio
surplus, no doubt second- or third-hand. :-)

>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
>
>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?

ARRL, of course. :-)

As they've bragged to anyone who can read, "they know what is
best for amateur radio!" :-)

>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
>> interested citizens.
>
>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.

No kidding?!? :-)

Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)

>> It does NOT affect
>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except

>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.
>
>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
>worse because
>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
>would be affected.

Why "worse," Jimmie? Afraid you won't have any new coders
to play with? :-)

Would you suffer Great Emotional Harm if the code test went
away? WHY? You ALREADY have YOUR amateur extra class.

Here's a newsflash: The FCC is NOT chartered by law to
serve up emotional sustenance to the already-licensed.
Go starve, you poor thing...

>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.

"Not involved?" :-) You are using that in the context
of 'involvement' meaning 'licensed.' You've just gone
against what you previously wrote. :-)

>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
>the radio spectrum.

So does CB. So does R-C. So does GMRS. So does GPS.
So does Maritime Radio Service. So does GMDSS. So
does Aviation Radio Service. So does Media [radio
broadcasting]. So does the entire PLMRS...which includes
all the public safety radio services, railroad radio
service, business radio, paging services. So does
cellular telephony. So does the US government and US
military.

Don't get off on your "amateurs are conservators of the
EM spectrum" kick you've done before. The FCC *regulates*
US civil radio and the NTIA does it for the US government.
Amateurs have to take what they can get, just like *every*
other radio service.

>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
>with many others.

Don't play in *that* road, Jimmie, you will get run over
by CB and Cell Phones and inundated by Broadcasting! :-)

>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million

>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
>> control.
>
>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
>MHz?

Wah, wah, wah...poor Jimmie has had days to find out for
himself...and CAN'T.

The AMA lobbied for and got 80 channels (20 KHz each band-
width) for a HOBBY pursuit. The modelers don't run around
saying they invented airplanes or cars or boats nor are
they claiming to be either advancing the state of the art
(of airplanes or cars or boats) of providing for any "pool"
of trained car, boat, or airplane drivers! They aren't
making rude noises about anyone calling their hobby a
HOBBY...yet the stuffed-full-of-themselves hams get all
angry and flustered about being called HOBBYISTS IN RADIO
(which is what they really are).

>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.

Wah, wha, waaaa...like Jimmie spends a lot of time ABOVE
30 MHz? HAAAAA!

Like the total amount of spectrum on 60m is "big?"

Like 80 x 20 KHz isn't 1.6 MHz? For a NON-communications
radio service?

>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.

No shit, sherlock? :-) It's never bothered me on OTHER
radio services, including the Department of Defense, for
frequencies BELOW 30 MHz...or ABOVE 30 MHz. :-)

Amateurs seem to get wet panties if someone threatens
to take away their beloved code TEST, the ones they
had to take. Why is that?

>> No code test nor license was required. You may
>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
>> Control Radio Service.

>
>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF.

Tsk, you didn't read the applicable part of Part 95,
did you? :-)

The 72 to 76 MHz region is in VHF, *not* UHF.

Do you consider 1.6 MHz of spectrum at VHF "narrow
slices?" :-) Hey, you are the one championing
those little teeny slices that "CW" needs. :-)

> They are
>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
>communications limited to line-of-sight.
>
>Amateur radio is very different.

Radio Control Radio Service was NOT created for
COMMUNICATIONS. It is for the radio control of
models. Hello? It ain't about "communications"
but about CONTROL BY RADIO.

0.75 Watts maximum RF power output won't burn up
the ionosphere, but that amount of power is GOOD
for interplanetary DX, sweetums. Line of sight.
To the moon. To Mars. To Venus. To comets. To
so many comm sats in equatorial orbit that all
those slots are filled.

>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.

Hello? 80 Channels at 20 KHz each. In VHF, not UHF.

NASA thinks 0.75 W RF power output to be adequate
for interplanetary DX.

A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.

How big would it be at 40m? Do you equate size with
performance? Or is that some kind of "male" thing? :-)

>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?

Did *I* say that? Sorry, I've never even HINTED at,
much less IMPLIED that ham radio "should be like that."

You CRAPPED again, Jimmie.

By the way, amateur radio is allowed to use some
band space for control-by-radio. Really! :-)

>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their

>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
>by illegal cb operation.

BULLSHIT on the "illegal CB," Jimmie. You crapped again.

There were only SIX channels available in the original
CB Class C allocation back in 1958. SIX isn't even close
to enough for LEGAL operation in one location of flying,
boating, or driving. THAT is why the AMA lobbied for, and
got the EIGHTY 72 to 75 MHz channels.

80 channels is enough for the most crowded weekend
happening at Apollo Field in the San Fernando Valley
dam recreation area. [big area, even a paved runway
for Giant Scale aircraft] No problem.

>And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue,
>the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete
>elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in
>favor of at least some Morse Code testing.

Oh, oh, Jimmie CRAPPED again! Just *WHO* does he think came
up with the statistics? *ME* sweetums. I read - and saved
(on CD now) - each and every Comment on FCC 05-235. Further,
I tried to keep folks in *this* newsgroup appraised of the
progress. BEFORE pro-coder Joe Speroni could cook his own
stats to make it LOOK like pro-coders "won."

I have to say I wouldn't do *that* again with all the heckling
and cat-calling by the pro-coders in here. You were one of
those, you poor dear. You were UPSET by the EARLY RETIRNS
showing a decided favor for ELIMINATION of the code test.

It's all in Google archives, sweetums. Go look for yourself.
See your own heckling and cat-calling in there.

You don't like that? Tough shit. Now go play with your code
key, Jimmie. Stay out of the radio spectrum highway where so
many radio users hang out that you might get hurt. Stay in
your little radiotelegraph spectrum slivers and pretend you
are the bestest coder what am, "pioneering the airwaves" with
your dots and dashes. Dream on, the REAL pioneering was done
by others a half century before you got your first license.

If some nasty no-coder makes you sweat, just complain to Big
Brother in Newington. Or write nastygrams to the IEEE (where
you aren't a member) about someone "not following the IEEE
rules of conduct!" :-)

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3901. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:34:23 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161970463.199732.3400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170018

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> I have to say I wouldn't do *that* again with all the heckling
> and cat-calling by the pro-coders in here. You were one of
> those, you poor dear. You were UPSET by the EARLY RETIRNS
> showing a decided favor for ELIMINATION of the code test.

RETURNS, not 'retirns.' Typo. :-)

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3902. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 17:50:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <i1h4k2dum63f5lhvicgk35b0qr824i6nl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170019

On 27 Oct 2006 10:28:38 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>
>>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
>>> >each other.
>>
>>> Then why don't they?
>>
>>They *do*, Len.
>
> Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?

well to be far Robeson does insit he trying to help me when calls me a
child molestor rapesit elderabuser Tax Fraud and welfare cheatm becuae
I refuse to learn Mrose Code same for SC

perhaps the point you should making is that the OT are no longer
enough in touch with reality to hel anybody including themselves and
the ARS
>
>>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>> >understanding of the requirements.
>>
>>> Nonsense.
>>
>>No, it's the truth.
>
> "Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
> want things kept without change.
>
> Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> newsgroup.
>
>
>>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
>>> Requirements Should Be.
>>

>>Nobody says they do.
>
> Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)
>
>>> They never did, despite all the
>>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
>>
>>No such "propaganda", Len.
>
> You've been Conditioned, Miccolis. Conditioned thinking
> stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
> were able to read...
>
>>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
>>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
>>> or never considered it in the first place.
>>
>>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
>>Morse Code - extensively.
>
> Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,
> Miccolis. License TEST requirements.
>
> The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
> by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
> to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
> why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?
>
>
>
>>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
>>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
>>> to communicate with foreigners.
>>
>>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
>>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
>>one way to do that.
>
> ["boilerplate" political insert into the Basis & Purpose]
>
> So, exactly WHAT is this so-called "good will?" Has it
> stopped wars and armed conflict anywhere in the world?
> [No] Has it ended world hunger or even alleviated it?
> [No] Does this "good will" do ANYTHING?

I don't know it does nothing I know I and other chated a bit about the
Gonzales case with some cubans and may have promoted some
understanding to help resolve that case (although it still should have
gone better)
>

> When was the last time the AMATEUR bands were used to save
> a life using radiotelegraphy?
>
> I would think saving a life would be the BEST good will
> possible.

not if that life belong to a NoCode ham it seems
>
>
>>> The major
>>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
>>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
>>> morse code.
>>
>>How do you know?
>
> :-) Try reading the No-Code International website and
> researching the statements in there. Those are true
> statements.
>
>
>>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
>>methods.
>
> Did Moore School drill that into you? :-)
>
>
>>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
>>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
>>
>>Why is that so important?
>
> It SHOULD be obvious to all but the conditioned-thinking
> Believer. :-)
>
> It should be obvious that the so-called "advantages" of
> morse code radiotelegraphy are so few...ergo, it isn't
> worth having a license TEST for it. Especially since the
> FCC hasn't mandated exclusivity for morse code radio-
> telegraphy for years.
>
>
>>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
>>> special significance?
>>
>>It's not about 'special significance".
>
> Yes, it is. :-)
>
> See "VANITY" call signs...see the old "Extra" requirements

> for 20 WPM code tests. See all the "gotta upgrade!"
> agit-prop from ARRL where morsemanship is promoted way
> over all other modes.
>
>
>>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
>>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
>>
>>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
>>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
>>written tests.
>
> Just as there are some in here who cannot tell time,
> cannot understand that a federal court decision in the
> early 1970s TOOK AWAY the claimed "firsts" of ENIAC.
> :-)
>
>
>
>>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
>>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
>
> You "KNOW" this by first-hand experience, Jimmie? :-)
>
> No, you could NOT know any of that. In fact, *I* was
> the one who FIRST mentioned it in here. :-)
>
> I took one of those morse aptitude tests, along with
> about a dozen other aptitude tests, back in 1952. A
> few hundreds of thousands other recruits did the same
> in the 1950s. You NEVER did that.

I took one as it happens when I was doing some work with a signal
corps project that was looking a CW based NON Morse more app, I tested
very poorly indeed
>
>>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
>>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
>>them.
>
> The "requirements for military radio telegraphers [sic]"
> topped out at 20 WPM for Army Field Radio MOS, Jimmie.
> Same rate as amateur extras prior to 2000. Sunnuvagun!
>
> The US Army took only 8 weeks to "train" soldiers in
> basic training to kill the enemy (in several ways)
> and some other RUDIMENTARY skills of survival. Took a
> LOT LONGER to train soldiers on some specialty.
>
> You never did either one...

>
>
>>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
>>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
>
> Jimmie, you just crapped. :-) All you have for "proof"
> of that is what the ARRL has written. Jaysus, what a fine
> example of Conditioned Thinking! ["brainwashing"]
>
> World War II *ended* 61 years ago. [the Korean War has
> *never* ended...it is in a state of truce begun 53 years
> ago] All you "Know" about military anything is what you've
> READ about and probably tinkered with some left-over radio
> surplus, no doubt second- or third-hand. :-)

a truce that could end at any minute I fear but still no need for cw
ops to go man radios
>
>
>>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
>>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
>>
>>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?
>
> ARRL, of course. :-)
>
> As they've bragged to anyone who can read, "they know what is
> best for amateur radio!" :-)
>
>
>>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
>>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
>>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
>>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
>>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
>>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
>>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
>>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
>>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
>>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
>>> interested citizens.
>>
>>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
>
> No kidding?!? :-)
>
> Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
> are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
> comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)

and why Dee and dave and Steve even go so far as to claim I a ham
should not be allowed to coment on the CW rules
>
>
>>> It does NOT affect
>>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
>>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
>>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
>>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.
>>
>>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
>>worse because
>>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
>>would be affected.
>
> Why "worse," Jimmie? Afraid you won't have any new coders
> to play with? :-)
>
> Would you suffer Great Emotional Harm if the code test went
> away? WHY? You ALREADY have YOUR amateur extra class.
>
> Here's a newsflash: The FCC is NOT chartered by law to
> serve up emotional sustenance to the already-licensed.
> Go starve, you poor thing...
>
>
>>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
>>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
>
> "Not involved?" :-) You are using that in the context
> of 'involvement' meaning 'licensed.' You've just gone
> against what you previously wrote. :-)
>
>
>
>>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
>>the radio spectrum.
>
> So does CB. So does R-C. So does GMRS. So does GPS.
> So does Maritime Radio Service. So does GMDSS. So
> does Aviation Radio Service. So does Media [radio
> broadcasting]. So does the entire PLMRS...which includes
> all the public safety radio services, railroad radio
> service, business radio, paging services. So does
> cellular telephony. So does the US government and US
> military.
>
> Don't get off on your "amateurs are conservators of the
> EM spectrum" kick you've done before. The FCC *regulates*
> US civil radio and the NTIA does it for the US government.
> Amateurs have to take what they can get, just like *every*

> other radio service.
>
>>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
>>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
>>with many others.
>
> Don't play in *that* road, Jimmie, you will get run over
> by CB and Cell Phones and inundated by Broadcasting! :-)
>
>>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
>>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
>>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
>>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
>>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
>>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
>>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
>>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
>>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
>>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
>>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
>>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
>>> control.
>>
>>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
>>MHz?
>
> Wah, wah, wah...poor Jimmie has had days to find out for
> himself...and CAN'T.
>
> The AMA lobbied for and got 80 channels (20 KHz each band-
> width) for a HOBBY pursuit. The modelers don't run around
> saying they invented airplanes or cars or boats nor are
> they claiming to be either advancing the state of the art
> (of airplanes or cars or boats) of providing for any "pool"
> of trained car, boat, or airplane drivers! They aren't
> making rude noises about anyone calling their hobby a
> HOBBY...yet the stuffed-full-of-themselves hams get all
> angry and flustered about being called HOBBYISTS IN RADIO
> (which is what they really are).

they also 16 channeles within the Ham bands reseversed for Ham into RC
and those units are allowed higher power levels than the rest
>
>>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
>>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
>
> Wah, wha, waaaa...like Jimmie spends a lot of time ABOVE
> 30 MHz? HAAAAA!
>
> Like the total amount of spectrum on 60m is "big?"

>
> Like 80 x 20 KHz isn't 1.6 MHz? For a NON-communications
> radio service?
>
>>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
>>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
>
> No shit, sherlock? :-) It's never bothered me on OTHER
> radio services, including the Department of Defense, for
> frequencies BELOW 30 MHz...or ABOVE 30 MHz. :-)
>
> Amateurs seem to get wet panties if someone threatens
> to take away their beloved code TEST, the ones they
> had to take. Why is that?
>
>>> No code test nor license was required. You may
>>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
>>> Control Radio Service.
>>
>>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF.
>
> Tsk, you didn't read the applicable part of Part 95,
> did you? :-)
>
> The 72 to 76 MHz region is in VHF, *not* UHF.
>
> Do you consider 1.6 MHz of spectrum at VHF "narrow
> slices?" :-) Hey, you are the one championing
> those little teeny slices that "CW" needs. :-)
>
>> They are
>>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
>>communications limited to line-of-sight.
>>
>>Amateur radio is very different.
>
> Radio Control Radio Service was NOT created for
> COMMUNICATIONS. It is for the radio control of
> models. Hello? It ain't about "communications"
> but about CONTROL BY RADIO.
>
> 0.75 Watts maximum RF power output won't burn up
> the ionosphere, but that amount of power is GOOD
> for interplanetary DX, sweetums. Line of sight.
> To the moon. To Mars. To Venus. To comets. To
> so many comm sats in equatorial orbit that all
> those slots are filled.
>
>>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
>>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.

>
> Hello? 80 Channels at 20 KHz each. In VHF, not UHF.
>
> NASA thinks 0.75 W RF power output to be adequate
> for interplanetary DX.
>
> A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>
> How big would it be at 40m? Do you equate size with
> performance? Or is that some kind of "male" thing? :-)
>
>>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
>
> Did *I* say that? Sorry, I've never even HINTED at,
> much less IMPLIED that ham radio "should be like that."
>
> You CRAPPED again, Jimmie.
>
> By the way, amateur radio is allowed to use some
> band space for control-by-radio. Really! :-)

on 6 m I have one of those units
>
>>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
>>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
>>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
>>by illegal cb operation.
>
> BULLSHIT on the "illegal CB," Jimmie. You crapped again.
>
> There were only SIX channels available in the original
> CB Class C allocation back in 1958. SIX isn't even close
> to enough for LEGAL operation in one location of flying,
> boating, or driving. THAT is why the AMA lobbied for, and
> got the EIGHTY 72 to 75 MHz channels.
>
> 80 channels is enough for the most crowded weekend
> happening at Apollo Field in the San Fernando Valley
> dam recreation area. [big area, even a paved runway
> for Giant Scale aircraft] No problem.

well Honestlly Len allow me an R/C flyer to correct you at some shows
their are problem with not being near enough frqs at some shows it is
smaller scalle planes show that atract more fliers that have a problem
was even promted some developement of 2/4 gig units
>
>
>>And when FCC recently asked for comments on the Morse Code test issue,
>>the majority of those commenting were *against* the complete
>>elimination of the Morse Code test. The ratio was approximately 55% in
>>favor of at least some Morse Code testing.

>
> Oh, oh, Jimmie CRAPPED again! Just *WHO* does he think came
> up with the statistics? *ME* sweetums. I read - and saved
> (on CD now) - each and every Comment on FCC 05-235. Further,
> I tried to keep folks in *this* newsgroup appraised of the
> progress. BEFORE pro-coder Joe Speroni could cook his own
> stats to make it LOOK like pro-coders "won."
>
> I have to say I wouldn't do *that* again with all the heckling
> and cat-calling by the pro-coders in here. You were one of
> those, you poor dear. You were UPSET by the EARLY RETIRNS
> showing a decided favor for ELIMINATION of the code test.
>
> It's all in Google archives, sweetums. Go look for yourself.
> See your own heckling and cat-calling in there.
>
> You don't like that? Tough shit. Now go play with your code
> key, Jimmie. Stay out of the radio spectrum highway where so
> many radio users hang out that you might get hurt. Stay in
> your little radiotelegraph spectrum slivers and pretend you
> are the bestest coder what am, "pioneering the airwaves" with
> your dots and dashes. Dream on, the REAL pioneering was done
> by others a half century before you got your first license.
>
> If some nasty no-coder makes you sweat, just complain to Big
> Brother in Newington. Or write nastygrams to the IEEE (where
> you aren't a member) about someone "not following the IEEE
> rules of conduct!" :-)
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3903. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 18:46:13 UTC
From: G R <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <Vts0h.33111$P7.17972@edtnps90>
Source record: 170020
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>
> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>
> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>

3904. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 18:46:27 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161974787.443117.295490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170021

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> > >>> That's really sad.
>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
>> > >>
>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >
>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >
>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >
>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>
>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>
>How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?

Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)

Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)

>> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>
>Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.

Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
[i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]

Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
him!" AS IF. :-)

>Ditto
>Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>be absent from RRAP.

Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
(code speed) up? :-)

Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
knows?

Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)

>> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>> usually without including his name or callsign.
>
>I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.

There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.

Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.

They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
country in 'other' ways." :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3905. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 19:12:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <oam4k2l5lbli9sjpakb524rvp43t30lfr0@4ax.com>
Source record: 170022
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:

>Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
>Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?

in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
"children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex

Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys who were to tutored is
various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
>"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
>> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
>> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>>
>> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
>> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
>> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>>
>> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3906. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 19:58:51 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161979131.428773.227310@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170023

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2006 10:28:38 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >>
> >>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
> >>> >each other.
> >>
> >>> Then why don't they?
> >>
> >>They *do*, Len.
> >
> > Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> > newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>
> well to be far Robeson does insit he trying to help me when calls me a
> child molestor rapesit elderabuser Tax Fraud and welfare cheatm becuae
> I refuse to learn Mrose Code same for SC

Military Imposter Robeson is one sick puppy. We can't expect him
to improve his demeanor by himself. He is personally angry and
frustrated, searching for something, anything in regards to personal
"glory" via some kind of rank.

> perhaps the point you should making is that the OT are no longer
> enough in touch with reality to hel anybody including themselves and
> the ARS

Those olde-tymers (who fear Evil No-Coders) are into the
stubbornness of middle age. They want things THEIR way and
everyone else can go to hell. QED.

> >>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> >>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> >>> >understanding of the requirements.
> >>
> >>> Nonsense.
> >>
> >>>No, it's the truth.
> >

> > "Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
> > want things kept without change.
> >
> > Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> > newsgroup.
> >
> >
> >>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
> >>> Requirements Should Be.
> >>
> >>Nobody says they do.
> >
> > Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)
> >
> >>> They never did, despite all the
> >>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
> >>
> >>No such "propaganda", Len.
> >
> > You've been Conditioned, Miccolis. Conditioned thinking
> > stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
> > were able to read...
> >
> >>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
> >>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
> >>> or never considered it in the first place.
> >>
> >>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
> >>Morse Code - extensively.
> >
> > Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,
> > Miccolis. License TEST requirements.
> >
> > The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
> > by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
> > to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
> > why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?
> >
> >
> >
> >>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
> >>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
> >>> to communicate with foreigners.
> >>
> >>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
> >>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
> >>one way to do that.
> >
> > ["boilerplate" political insert into the Basis & Purpose]
> >

> > So, exactly WHAT is this so-called "good will?" Has it
> > stopped wars and armed conflict anywhere in the world?
> > [No] Has it ended world hunger or even alleviated it?
> > [No] Does this "good will" do ANYTHING?
>
> I don't know it does nothing I know I and other chated a bit about the
> Gonzales case with some cubans and may have promoted some
> understanding to help resolve that case (although it still should have
> gone better)

The "Elian" affair is NOT about amateur radio policy.

> > When was the last time the AMATEUR bands were used to save
> > a life using radiotelegraphy?
> >
> > I would think saving a life would be the BEST good will
> > possible.
>
> not if that life belong to a NoCode ham it seems

There's been NO real input on hams saving lives by "CW" lately.
"Lately" being in the last few decades. The best the pro-coders
can come up with is some small-displacement ship going down
somewhere in the UK territory on New Year's Eve...NOT doing
the "CW" comms thing ON ham bands.

> >>> The major
> >>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
> >>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
> >>> morse code.
> >>
> >>How do you know?
> >
> > :-) Try reading the No-Code International website and
> > researching the statements in there. Those are true
> > statements.
> >
> >
> >>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
> >>methods.
> >
> > Did Moore School drill that into you? :-)
> >
> >
> >>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
> >>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
> >>
> >>Why is that so important?
> >
> > It SHOULD be obvious to all but the conditioned-thinking
> > Believer. :-)

> >
> > It should be obvious that the so-called "advantages" of
> > morse code radiotelegraphy are so few...ergo, it isn't
> > worth having a license TEST for it. Especially since the
> > FCC hasn't mandated exclusivity for morse code radio-
> > telegraphy for years.
> >
> >
> >>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
> >>> special significance?
> >>
> >>It's not about 'special significance".
> >
> > Yes, it is. :-)
> >
> > See "VANITY" call signs...see the old "Extra" requirements
> > for 20 WPM code tests. See all the "gotta upgrade!"
> > agit-prop from ARRL where morsemanship is promoted way
> > over all other modes.
> >
> >
> >>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
> >>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
> >>
> >>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
> >>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
> >>written tests.
> >
> > Just as there are some in here who cannot tell time,
> > cannot understand that a federal court decision in the
> > early 1970s TOOK AWAY the claimed "firsts" of ENIAC.
> > :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
> >>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
> >
> > You "KNOW" this by first-hand experience, Jimmie? :-)
> >
> > No, you could NOT know any of that. In fact, *I* was
> > the one who FIRST mentioned it in here. :-)
> >
> > I took one of those morse aptitude tests, along with
> > about a dozen other aptitude tests, back in 1952. A
> > few hundreds of thousands other recruits did the same
> > in the 1950s. You NEVER did that.
>
> I took one as it happens when I was doing some work with a signal
> corps project that was looking a CW based NON Morse more app, I tested

> very poorly indeed

The US military has kept the CW setting on front panel controls
for years...but NOT for radiotelegraphy purposes. That is for
remoting the operation of transmitters, almost always by wireline
control. Land forces learned many decades ago to locate
transmitters well away from Hq troops.

Pro-coders will see such front panel control settings and
immediately jump on their pro-code bandwagon shouting about
"the military using radiotelegraphy" when they don't know squat
about real field use of land force radios or the peripheral
equipment for same.

> >>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
> >>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
> >>them.
> >
> > The "requirements for military radio telegraphers [sic]"
> > topped out at 20 WPM for Army Field Radio MOS, Jimmie.
> > Same rate as amateur extras prior to 2000. Sunnuvagun!
> >
> > The US Army took only 8 weeks to "train" soldiers in
> > basic training to kill the enemy (in several ways)
> > and some other RUDIMENTARY skills of survival. Took a
> > LOT LONGER to train soldiers on some specialty.
> >
> > You never did either one...
> >
> >
> >>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
> >>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
> >
> > Jimmie, you just crapped. :-) All you have for "proof"
> > of that is what the ARRL has written. Jaysus, what a fine
> > example of Conditioned Thinking! ["brainwashing"]
> >
> > World War II *ended* 61 years ago. [the Korean War has
> > *never* ended...it is in a state of truce begun 53 years
> > ago] All you "Know" about military anything is what you've
> > READ about and probably tinkered with some left-over radio
> > surplus, no doubt second- or third-hand. :-)
>
> a truce that could end at any minute I fear but still no need for cw
> ops to go man radios

Army field radio was already dropping radiotelegraphy comms
DURING the active phase of the Korean War (i.e., prior to 1953).
Some of it was used in southeast Asia in the following decade.

Problem is, olde-tymers are still brainwashed by glorious
propaganda (from the boys in Newington) about World War II

and (conveniently) forget that war ended 61 years ago. They
still have dreams of glory and honor using "CW" and get
angry when they are awakened to the reality of today.

> >>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
> >>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
> >>
> >>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?
> >
> > ARRL, of course. :-)
> >
> > As they've bragged to anyone who can read, "they know what is
> > best for amateur radio!" :-)
> >
> >
> >>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
> >>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
> >>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
> >>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
> >>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
> >>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
> >>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
> >>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
> >>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
> >>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
> >>> interested citizens.
> >>
> >>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
> >
> > No kidding?!? :-)
> >
> > Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
> > are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
> > comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)
>
> and why Dee and dave and Steve even go so far as to claim I a ham
> should not be allowed to coment on the CW rules

Sigh...well they've "denied" doing so, keep asking "where did I
[they] write such words?" They didn't say so outright but the
INTENT was plain as day at noontime.

These pro-coder olde-tymers just do NOT want that code test
to ever disappear. If it did they would lose their major Bragging
Right (to glory and honor of telegraphic modes).

> >>> It does NOT affect
> >>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
> >>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
> >>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
> >>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.

> >>
> >>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
> >>worse because
> >>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
> >>would be affected.
> >
> > Why "worse," Jimmie? Afraid you won't have any new coders
> > to play with? :-)
> >
> > Would you suffer Great Emotional Harm if the code test went
> > away? WHY? You ALREADY have YOUR amateur extra class.
> >
> > Here's a newsflash: The FCC is NOT chartered by law to
> > serve up emotional sustenance to the already-licensed.
> > Go starve, you poor thing...
> >
> >
> >>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
> >>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
> >
> > "Not involved?" :-) You are using that in the context
> > of 'involvement' meaning 'licensed.' You've just gone
> > against what you previously wrote. :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
> >>the radio spectrum.
> >
> > So does CB. So does R-C. So does GMRS. So does GPS.
> > So does Maritime Radio Service. So does GMDSS. So
> > does Aviation Radio Service. So does Media [radio
> > broadcasting]. So does the entire PLMRS...which includes
> > all the public safety radio services, railroad radio
> > service, business radio, paging services. So does
> > cellular telephony. So does the US government and US
> > military.
> >
> > Don't get off on your "amateurs are conservators of the
> > EM spectrum" kick you've done before. The FCC *regulates*
> > US civil radio and the NTIA does it for the US government.
> > Amateurs have to take what they can get, just like *every*
> > other radio service.
> >
> >>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
> >>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
> >>with many others.
> >
> > Don't play in *that* road, Jimmie, you will get run over
> > by CB and Cell Phones and inundated by Broadcasting! :-)

> >
> >>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
> >>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
> >>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
> >>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
> >>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
> >>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
> >>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
> >>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
> >>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
> >>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
> >>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
> >>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
> >>> control.
> >>
> >>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
> >>MHz?
> > >
> > > Wah, wah, wah...poor Jimmie has had days to find out for
> > > himself...and CAN'T.
> > >
> > > The AMA lobbied for and got 80 channels (20 KHz each band-
> > > width) for a HOBBY pursuit. The modelers don't run around
> > > saying they invented airplanes or cars or boats nor are
> > > they claiming to be either advancing the state of the art
> > > (of airplanes or cars or boats) of providing for any "pool"
> > > of trained car, boat, or airplane drivers! They aren't
> > > making rude noises about anyone calling their hobby a
> > > HOBBY...yet the stuffed-full-of-themselves hams get all
> > > angry and flustered about being called HOBBYISTS IN RADIO
> > > (which is what they really are).
> >
> they also 16 channeles within the Ham bands reseversed for Ham into RC
> and those units are allowed higher power levels than the rest

Anyone remotely involved with the Model Hobby Industry will see
the emphasis on the license-free "72 MHz" channels from ready-
built remote control radios. With 80 channels to choose from it
is NOT a problem at any event or weekend gathering of modelers
together in one location.

> >
> >>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
> >>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
> > >
> > > Wah, wha, waaaa...like Jimmie spends a lot of time ABOVE
> > > 30 MHz? HAAAAA!
> > >
> > > Like the total amount of spectrum on 60m is "big?"
> > >
> > > Like 80 x 20 KHz isn't 1.6 MHz? For a NON-communications

> > radio service?
> >
> >>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
> >>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
> >
> > No shit, sherlock? :-) It's never bothered me on OTHER
> > radio services, including the Department of Defense, for
> > frequencies BELOW 30 MHz...or ABOVE 30 MHz. :-)
> >
> > Amateurs seem to get wet panties if someone threatens
> > to take away their beloved code TEST, the ones they
> > had to take. Why is that?
> >
> >>> No code test nor license was required. You may
> >>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
> >>> Control Radio Service.
> >>
> >>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF.
> >
> > Tsk, you didn't read the applicable part of Part 95,
> > did you? :-)
> >
> > The 72 to 76 MHz region is in VHF, *not* UHF.
> >
> > Do you consider 1.6 MHz of spectrum at VHF "narrow
> > slices?" :-) Hey, you are the one championing
> > those little teeny slices that "CW" needs. :-)
> >
> >> They are
> >>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
> >>communications limited to line-of-sight.
> >>
> >>Amateur radio is very different.
> >
> > Radio Control Radio Service was NOT created for
> > COMMUNICATIONS. It is for the radio control of
> > models. Hello? It ain't about "communications"
> > but about CONTROL BY RADIO.
> >
> > 0.75 Watts maximum RF power output won't burn up
> > the ionosphere, but that amount of power is GOOD
> > for interplanetary DX, sweetums. Line of sight.
> > To the moon. To Mars. To Venus. To comets. To
> > so many comm sats in equatorial orbit that all
> > those slots are filled.
> >
> >>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
> >>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.
> >
> > Hello? 80 Channels at 20 KHz each. In VHF, not UHF.

> >
> > NASA thinks 0.75 W RF power output to be adequate
> > for interplanetary DX.
> >
> > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
> >
> > How big would it be at 40m? Do you equate size with
> > performance? Or is that some kind of "male" thing? :-)
> >
> >>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
> >
> > Did *I* say that? Sorry, I've never even HINTED at,
> > much less IMPLIED that ham radio "should be like that."
> >
> > You CRAPPED again, Jimmie.
> >
> > By the way, amateur radio is allowed to use some
> > band space for control-by-radio. Really! :-)
>
> on 6 m I have one of those units

Then that unit is bound to be affected by "legal" ham transmissions
(AS IF interference with others is "legal") causing loss of control.

Olde-tymers (causing such interference) will claim they have a
"right" to do it. They "own" the band or something like that. :-(

> >>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
> >>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
> >>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
> >>by illegal cb operation.
> >
> > BULLSHIT on the "illegal CB," Jimmie. You crapped again.
> >
> > There were only SIX channels available in the original
> > CB Class C allocation back in 1958. SIX isn't even close
> > to enough for LEGAL operation in one location of flying,
> > boating, or driving. THAT is why the AMA lobbied for, and
> > got the EIGHTY 72 to 75 MHz channels.
> >
> > 80 channels is enough for the most crowded weekend
> > happening at Apollo Field in the San Fernando Valley
> > dam recreation area. [big area, even a paved runway
> > for Giant Scale aircraft] No problem.
>
> well Honestlly Len allow me an R/C flyer to correct you at some shows
> their are problem with not being near enough frqs at some shows it is
> smaller scalle planes show that atract more fliers that have a problem
> was even promted some developement of 2/4 gig units

Feel free to "correct me." :-) The olde-tymers try to do
that a LOT in here... :-)

The dam recreation area in the L.A. San Fernando Valley has
a very large turn-out most every weekend here. At Apollo Field
there can be (easy) 50 R-C flyers there. [it is the major location
for flying in the huge Los Angeles area] MOST R-C flyers are
a considerate bunch and TRY to avoid interference. But, not all
R-C units are frequency-mobile. The emphasis is on the
MODELS not the radios...the FLYING (for model aircraft) rather
than the "operating."

I started working in 1948 at Testor Chemical Company, working
IN the model shop as a flunky doing the plan illustration. I've
followed the Model Hobby Industry somewhat ever since. I
don't claim "inside knowledge" on the R-C radios, only that I
got interested in radios and electronics while seeing what the
very first R-C planes could do with rudder-only control. [we are
talking the Raytheon RK61 single-tube gas-filled tube regen
receivers here <smile> with quite primitive mechanical control
systems] Bang-bang control (full one way or the other, single
neutral center), not the PWM proportional systems of today
and two decades ago using single- and double-conversion solid-
state receivers with (now) standardized control-stick transmitter
boxes containing microprocessors.

R-C has gotten rather sophisticated since its beginning. Last
year had the first successful cross-Atlantic flight of a model
aircraft, R-C for takeoff and landing with GPS-assisted mid-
course control. One helluva good accomplishment for non-
professional modelers! I think the website for that has been
taken down but anyone can read about it in MAN or the two
other newsstand magazines targeting R-C modeling.

Some radio amateurs are still trying to promote radiotelegraphy
AS IF this were still 1901 with Marconi getting his S in
Newfoundland. :-)

They think one MUST do the "CW" thing even if using a multi-
conversion, DSP-enhanced, digitial-synthesis frequency control
solid-state transceiver (using microprocessor assistance),
ready-built off-the-shelf plug-and-play. All to bang the carrier
ON or OFF in order to communicate! :-)

1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3907. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 21:29:57 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161979937.153669.259590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170024

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:45 pm

>Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>> > Slow Code wrote:

>> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>
>> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>> > permission.
>
>> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
>> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>
>Nope you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.

Blowcode is just a newsgroup junkie, getting off on thinking
she is anonymous. He should get back on the bus where he
can remark on "women of color" and brag about his collitch
degree (soma cum loud) where she could "get any job in human
resources she wanted." :-)

Sound familiar, Brian? :-)

Blowcode's phrases are 40 to 50 years out of date, but right
out of the ARRL's morsemen manual circa 1950. That is her
maximum involvement with radio communications of any kind.
Another FRAUD taking out her everyday frustrations by mean-
mouthing everyone, fomenting 'problems' where there are no
problems, trying trying trying to whip up devisiveness
across four newsgroups at the same time. Disgusting little
petty exercise, a true computer-modem comms pervert's action.

But, as with anyone favoring radiotelegraphy, Blowcode is
perfectly all right with the resident morsemen in here,
those with the amateur extra classes who want to keep the
code test forever and ever. [see the 1x2 callsigns of
N2EY, K8MN, and K4YZ et al as examples]

They should all go back to their dreaming of fame and glory
using "CW" to save lives...just like the fiction paperbacks
sold by the ARRL promise. Fantasy time for them. Escape.
Delusion of glory via "CW." Pseudo-strength, like some kind
of imagination on mental steroids. And, like overdosing on
such mental steroids, losing their capability of rationality.
Sickness but they are unaware of their sickness.

Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3908. Re: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.swap are horse hockey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 21:37:53 UTC
From: Steven <thisjukeboxplays33rpm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1161985073.730316.167930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

.

3909. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 21:40:45 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161979286.347249.140600@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170026

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2006 10:28:38 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
>
> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >>> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> >>
> >>> >Yes ham radio is supposed to be camaraderie. People are supposed to help
> >>> >each other.
> >>
> >>> Then why don't they?
> >>
> >>They *do*, Len.
> >
> > Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> > newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>
> well to be far Robeson does insit he trying to help me when calls me a
> child molestor rapesit elderabuser Tax Fraud and welfare cheatm becuae
> I refuse to learn Mrose Code same for SC

Military Imposter Robeson is one sick puppy. We can't expect him
to improve his demeanor by himself. He is personally angry and
frustrated, searching for something, anything in regards to personal
"glory" via some kind of rank.

> perhaps the point you should making is that the OT are no longer
> enough in touch with reality to hel anybody including themselves and
> the ARS

Those olde-tymers (who fear Evil No-Coders) are into the
stubbornness of middle age. They want things THEIR way and
everyone else can go to hell. QED.

> >>> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> >>> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> >>> >understanding of the requirements.
> >>
> >>> Nonsense.
> >>
> >>>No, it's the truth.
> >

> > "Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
> > want things kept without change.
> >
> > Take off your blinders, Miccolis. Look around *this*
> > newsgroup.
> >
> >
> >>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
> >>> Requirements Should Be.
> >>
> >>Nobody says they do.
> >
> > Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)
> >
> >>> They never did, despite all the
> >>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
> >>
> >>No such "propaganda", Len.
> >
> > You've been Conditioned, Miccolis. Conditioned thinking
> > stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
> > were able to read...
> >
> >>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
> >>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
> >>> or never considered it in the first place.
> >>
> >>Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
> >>Morse Code - extensively.
> >
> > Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,
> > Miccolis. License TEST requirements.
> >
> > The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
> > by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
> > to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
> > why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?
> >
> >
> >
> >>> Note: Nowhere in the "requirements" (Title 47 C.F.R. Part
> >>> 97 for US radio amateurs) is it mandatory for US amateurs
> >>> to communicate with foreigners.
> >>
> >>That's true. But one of the Basis and Purposes of the Amateur Radio
> >>Service is international good will. Communicating with "foreigners" is
> >>one way to do that.
> >
> > ["boilerplate" political insert into the Basis & Purpose]
> >

> > So, exactly WHAT is this so-called "good will?" Has it
> > stopped wars and armed conflict anywhere in the world?
> > [No] Has it ended world hunger or even alleviated it?
> > [No] Does this "good will" do ANYTHING?
>
> I don't know it does nothing I know I and other chated a bit about the
> Gonzales case with some cubans and may have promoted some
> understanding to help resolve that case (although it still should have
> gone better)

The "Elian" affair is NOT about amateur radio policy.

> > When was the last time the AMATEUR bands were used to save
> > a life using radiotelegraphy?
> >
> > I would think saving a life would be the BEST good will
> > possible.
>
> not if that life belong to a NoCode ham it seems

There's been NO real input on hams saving lives by "CW" lately.
"Lately" being in the last few decades. The best the pro-coders
can come up with is some small-displacement ship going down
somewhere in the UK territory on New Year's Eve...NOT doing
the "CW" comms thing ON ham bands.

> >>> The major
> >>> (in population) nation administrations have dropped their
> >>> morse code testing or substitute other tests in lieu of
> >>> morse code.
> >>
> >>How do you know?
> >
> > :-) Try reading the No-Code International website and
> > researching the statements in there. Those are true
> > statements.
> >
> >
> >>It's a fact that at least some people use poorly-designed training
> >>methods.
> >
> > Did Moore School drill that into you? :-)
> >
> >
> >>> Indeed, all other US radio services operating
> >>> below 30 MHz do NOT use morse code radiotelegraphy.
> >>
> >>Why is that so important?
> >
> > It SHOULD be obvious to all but the conditioned-thinking
> > Believer. :-)

> >
> > It should be obvious that the so-called "advantages" of
> > morse code radiotelegraphy are so few...ergo, it isn't
> > worth having a license TEST for it. Especially since the
> > FCC hasn't mandated exclusivity for morse code radio-
> > telegraphy for years.
> >
> >
> >>> Why should radio amateurs be held elevated to some
> >>> special significance?
> >>
> >>It's not about 'special significance".
> >
> > Yes, it is. :-)
> >
> > See "VANITY" call signs...see the old "Extra" requirements
> > for 20 WPM code tests. See all the "gotta upgrade!"
> > agit-prop from ARRL where morsemanship is promoted way
> > over all other modes.
> >
> >
> >>> The basic fallacy of pro-coder thinking is that "all"
> >>> have some innate ability to learn morse code.
> >>
> >>There are obviously those who cannot learn it - just as there are those
> >>who cannot learn to speak, or read and write, or who cannot pass the
> >>written tests.
> >
> > Just as there are some in here who cannot tell time,
> > cannot understand that a federal court decision in the
> > early 1970s TOOK AWAY the claimed "firsts" of ENIAC.
> > :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >>The military aptitude testing was done to find those who could learn
> >>the fastest and reach the highest levels of skill in the least time.
> >
> > You "KNOW" this by first-hand experience, Jimmie? :-)
> >
> > No, you could NOT know any of that. In fact, *I* was
> > the one who FIRST mentioned it in here. :-)
> >
> > I took one of those morse aptitude tests, along with
> > about a dozen other aptitude tests, back in 1952. A
> > few hundreds of thousands other recruits did the same
> > in the 1950s. You NEVER did that.
>
> I took one as it happens when I was doing some work with a signal
> corps project that was looking a CW based NON Morse more app, I tested

> very poorly indeed

The US military has kept the CW setting on front panel controls
for years...but NOT for radiotelegraphy purposes. That is for
remoting the operation of transmitters, almost always by wireline
control. Land forces learned many decades ago to locate
transmitters well away from Hq troops.

Pro-coders will see such front panel control settings and
immediately jump on their pro-code bandwagon shouting about
"the military using radiotelegraphy" when they don't know squat
about real field use of land force radios or the peripheral
equipment for same.

> >>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
> >>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
> >>them.
> >
> > The "requirements for military radio telegraphers [sic]"
> > topped out at 20 WPM for Army Field Radio MOS, Jimmie.
> > Same rate as amateur extras prior to 2000. Sunnuvagun!
> >
> > The US Army took only 8 weeks to "train" soldiers in
> > basic training to kill the enemy (in several ways)
> > and some other RUDIMENTARY skills of survival. Took a
> > LOT LONGER to train soldiers on some specialty.
> >
> > You never did either one...
> >
> >
> >>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
> >>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
> >
> > Jimmie, you just crapped. :-) All you have for "proof"
> > of that is what the ARRL has written. Jaysus, what a fine
> > example of Conditioned Thinking! ["brainwashing"]
> >
> > World War II *ended* 61 years ago. [the Korean War has
> > *never* ended...it is in a state of truce begun 53 years
> > ago] All you "Know" about military anything is what you've
> > READ about and probably tinkered with some left-over radio
> > surplus, no doubt second- or third-hand. :-)
>
> a truce that could end at any minute I fear but still no need for cw
> ops to go man radios

Army field radio was already dropping radiotelegraphy comms
DURING the active phase of the Korean War (i.e., prior to 1953).
Some of it was used in southeast Asia in the following decade.

Problem is, olde-tymers are still brainwashed by glorious
propaganda (from the boys in Newington) about World War II

and (conveniently) forget that war ended 61 years ago. They
still have dreams of glory and honor using "CW" and get
angry when they are awakened to the reality of today.

> >>> The "upgrade requirements" were lobbied for to emphasize
> >>> morse code radiotelegraphy skill. That is history.
> >>
> >>Who lobbied for those requirements, Len?
> >
> > ARRL, of course. :-)
> >
> > As they've bragged to anyone who can read, "they know what is
> > best for amateur radio!" :-)
> >
> >
> >>> As with all US federal agencies, the FCC does accept
> >>> citizen commentary to them regarding radio regulations.
> >>> The FCC responds to Petitions submitted by US citizens
> >>> in regards to those radio regulations. [however, not
> >>> with blinding speeds of decision in regards to amateur
> >>> radio] Nowhere does the FCC discriminate between those
> >>> are already licensed in amateur radio versus those not
> >>> licensed. FCC does not treat the group of already-
> >>> licensed as some kind of fraternal order of the already-
> >>> licensed to be listened to over and above all other
> >>> interested citizens.
> >>
> >>The FCC accepts comments from everyone - not just citizens.
> >
> > No kidding?!? :-)
> >
> > Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
> > are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
> > comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)
>
> and why Dee and dave and Steve even go so far as to claim I a ham
> should not be allowed to coment on the CW rules

Sigh...well they've "denied" doing so, keep asking "where did I
[they] write such words?" They didn't say so outright but the
INTENT was plain as day at noontime.

These pro-coder olde-tymers just do NOT want that code test
to ever disappear. If it did they would lose their major Bragging
Right (to glory and honor of telegraphic modes).

> >>> It does NOT affect
> >>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
> >>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
> >>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
> >>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.

> >>
> >>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
> >>worse because
> >>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
> >>would be affected.
> >
> > Why "worse," Jimmie? Afraid you won't have any new coders
> > to play with? :-)
> >
> > Would you suffer Great Emotional Harm if the code test went
> > away? WHY? You ALREADY have YOUR amateur extra class.
> >
> > Here's a newsflash: The FCC is NOT chartered by law to
> > serve up emotional sustenance to the already-licensed.
> > Go starve, you poor thing...
> >
> >
> >>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
> >>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
> >
> > "Not involved?" :-) You are using that in the context
> > of 'involvement' meaning 'licensed.' You've just gone
> > against what you previously wrote. :-)
> >
> >
> >
> >>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
> >>the radio spectrum.
> >
> > So does CB. So does R-C. So does GMRS. So does GPS.
> > So does Maritime Radio Service. So does GMDSS. So
> > does Aviation Radio Service. So does Media [radio
> > broadcasting]. So does the entire PLMRS...which includes
> > all the public safety radio services, railroad radio
> > service, business radio, paging services. So does
> > cellular telephony. So does the US government and US
> > military.
> >
> > Don't get off on your "amateurs are conservators of the
> > EM spectrum" kick you've done before. The FCC *regulates*
> > US civil radio and the NTIA does it for the US government.
> > Amateurs have to take what they can get, just like *every*
> > other radio service.
> >
> >>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
> >>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
> >>with many others.
> >
> > Don't play in *that* road, Jimmie, you will get run over
> > by CB and Cell Phones and inundated by Broadcasting! :-)

> >
> >>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
> >>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
> >>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
> >>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
> >>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
> >>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
> >>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
> >>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
> >>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
> >>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
> >>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
> >>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
> >>> control.
> >>
> >>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
> >>MHz?
> >
> > Wah, wah, wah...poor Jimmie has had days to find out for
> > himself...and CAN'T.
> >
> > The AMA lobbied for and got 80 channels (20 KHz each band-
> > width) for a HOBBY pursuit. The modelers don't run around
> > saying they invented airplanes or cars or boats nor are
> > they claiming to be either advancing the state of the art
> > (of airplanes or cars or boats) of providing for any "pool"
> > of trained car, boat, or airplane drivers! They aren't
> > making rude noises about anyone calling their hobby a
> > HOBBY...yet the stuffed-full-of-themselves hams get all
> > angry and flustered about being called HOBBYISTS IN RADIO
> > (which is what they really are).
>
> they also 16 channeles within the Ham bands reseversed for Ham into RC
> and those units are allowed higher power levels than the rest

Anyone remotely involved with the Model Hobby Industry will see
the emphasis on the license-free "72 MHz" channels from ready-
built remote control radios. With 80 channels to choose from it
is NOT a problem at any event or weekend gathering of modelers
together in one location.

> >
> >>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
> >>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
> >
> > Wah, wha, waaaa...like Jimmie spends a lot of time ABOVE
> > 30 MHz? HAAAAA!
> >
> > Like the total amount of spectrum on 60m is "big?"
> >
> > Like 80 x 20 KHz isn't 1.6 MHz? For a NON-communications

> > radio service?
> >
> >>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
> >>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
> >
> > No shit, sherlock? :-) It's never bothered me on OTHER
> > radio services, including the Department of Defense, for
> > frequencies BELOW 30 MHz...or ABOVE 30 MHz. :-)
> >
> > Amateurs seem to get wet panties if someone threatens
> > to take away their beloved code TEST, the ones they
> > had to take. Why is that?
> >
> >>> No code test nor license was required. You may
> >>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
> >>> Control Radio Service.
> >>
> >>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF.
> >
> > Tsk, you didn't read the applicable part of Part 95,
> > did you? :-)
> >
> > The 72 to 76 MHz region is in VHF, *not* UHF.
> >
> > Do you consider 1.6 MHz of spectrum at VHF "narrow
> > slices?" :-) Hey, you are the one championing
> > those little teeny slices that "CW" needs. :-)
> >
> >> They are
> >>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
> >>communications limited to line-of-sight.
> >>
> >>Amateur radio is very different.
> >
> > Radio Control Radio Service was NOT created for
> > COMMUNICATIONS. It is for the radio control of
> > models. Hello? It ain't about "communications"
> > but about CONTROL BY RADIO.
> >
> > 0.75 Watts maximum RF power output won't burn up
> > the ionosphere, but that amount of power is GOOD
> > for interplanetary DX, sweetums. Line of sight.
> > To the moon. To Mars. To Venus. To comets. To
> > so many comm sats in equatorial orbit that all
> > those slots are filled.
> >
> >>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
> >>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.
> >
> > Hello? 80 Channels at 20 KHz each. In VHF, not UHF.

> >
> > NASA thinks 0.75 W RF power output to be adequate
> > for interplanetary DX.
> >
> > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
> >
> > How big would it be at 40m? Do you equate size with
> > performance? Or is that some kind of "male" thing? :-)
> >
> >>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
> >
> > Did *I* say that? Sorry, I've never even HINTED at,
> > much less IMPLIED that ham radio "should be like that."
> >
> > You CRAPPED again, Jimmie.
> >
> > By the way, amateur radio is allowed to use some
> > band space for control-by-radio. Really! :-)
>
> on 6 m I have one of those units

Then that unit is bound to be affected by "legal" ham transmissions
(AS IF interference with others is "legal") causing loss of control.

Olde-tymers (causing such interference) will claim they have a
"right" to do it. They "own" the band or something like that. :-(

> >>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
> >>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
> >>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
> >>by illegal cb operation.
> >
> > BULLSHIT on the "illegal CB," Jimmie. You crapped again.
> >
> > There were only SIX channels available in the original
> > CB Class C allocation back in 1958. SIX isn't even close
> > to enough for LEGAL operation in one location of flying,
> > boating, or driving. THAT is why the AMA lobbied for, and
> > got the EIGHTY 72 to 75 MHz channels.
> >
> > 80 channels is enough for the most crowded weekend
> > happening at Apollo Field in the San Fernando Valley
> > dam recreation area. [big area, even a paved runway
> > for Giant Scale aircraft] No problem.
>
> well Honestlly Len allow me an R/C flyer to correct you at some shows
> their are problem with not being near enough frqs at some shows it is
> smaller scalle planes show that atract more fliers that have a problem
> was even promted some developement of 2/4 gig units

Feel free to "correct me." :-) The olde-tymers try to do
that a LOT in here... :-)

The dam recreation area in the L.A. San Fernando Valley has
a very large turn-out most every weekend here. At Apollo Field
there can be (easy) 50 R-C flyers there. [it is the major location
for flying in the huge Los Angeles area] MOST R-C flyers are
a considerate bunch and TRY to avoid interference. But, not all
R-C units are frequency-mobile. The emphasis is on the
MODELS not the radios...the FLYING (for model aircraft) rather
than the "operating."

I started working in 1948 at Testor Chemical Company, working
IN the model shop as a flunky doing the plan illustration. I've
followed the Model Hobby Industry somewhat ever since. I
don't claim "inside knowledge" on the R-C radios, only that I
got interested in radios and electronics while seeing what the
very first R-C planes could do with rudder-only control. [we are
talking the Raytheon RK61 single-tube gas-filled tube regen
receivers here <smile> with quite primitive mechanical control
systems] Bang-bang control (full one way or the other, single
neutral center), not the PWM proportional systems of today
and two decades ago using single- and double-conversion solid-
state receivers with (now) standardized control-stick transmitter
boxes containing microprocessors.

R-C has gotten rather sophisticated since its beginning. Last
year had the first successful cross-Atlantic flight of a model
aircraft, R-C for takeoff and landing with GPS-assisted mid-
course control. One helluva good accomplishment for non-
professional modelers! I think the website for that has been
taken down but anyone can read about it in MAN or the two
other newsstand magazines targeting R-C modeling.

Some radio amateurs are still trying to promote radiotelegraphy
AS IF this were still 1901 with Marconi getting his S in
Newfoundland. :-)

They think one MUST do the "CW" thing even if using a multi-
conversion, DSP-enhanced, digitial-synthesis frequency control
solid-state transceiver (using microprocessor assistance),
ready-built off-the-shelf plug-and-play. All to bang the carrier
ON or OFF in order to communicate! :-)

1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3910. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:01:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161986517.232931.269000@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170027

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:45 pm
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
> >
> >> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
> >> > permission.
> >
> >> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
> >> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
> >
> >Nope you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.
>
> Blowcode is just a newsgroup junkie, getting off on thinking
> she is anonymous. He should get back on the bus where he
> can remark on "women of color" and brag about his collitch
> degree (soma cum loud) where she could "get any job in human
> resources she wanted." :-)
>
> Sound familiar, Brian? :-)

Yep - Larry Troll used to say stuff like that.

> Blowcode's phrases are 40 to 50 years out of date, but right
> out of the ARRL's morsemen manual circa 1950. That is her
> maximum involvement with radio communications of any kind.
> Another FRAUD taking out her everyday frustrations by mean-
> mouthing everyone, fomenting 'problems' where there are no
> problems, trying trying trying to whip up devisiveness
> across four newsgroups at the same time. Disgusting little
> petty exercise, a true computer-modem comms pervert's action.

Natasha must have left him again.

> But, as with anyone favoring radiotelegraphy, Blowcode is
> perfectly all right with the resident morsemen in here,
> those with the amateur extra classes who want to keep the
> code test forever and ever. [see the 1x2 callsigns of
> N2EY, K8MN, and K4YZ et al as examples]

K3LT, and the late W0EX.

> They should all go back to their dreaming of fame and glory
> using "CW" to save lives...just like the fiction paperbacks
> sold by the ARRL promise. Fantasy time for them. Escape.
> Delusion of glory via "CW." Pseudo-strength, like some kind
> of imagination on mental steroids. And, like overdosing on
> such mental steroids, losing their capability of rationality.
> Sickness but they are unaware of their sickness.
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Shack-On-A Belt" types need not apply.

3911. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:15:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161987307.310626.32740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
> >> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a hand
> >> >> key
> >> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good operators
> >> >> can
> >> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very
> >> >> good
> >> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy
> >> >> it.
> >> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
> >> >
> >> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
> >> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal computer,
> >> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are now
> >> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
> >> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN - is
> >> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the most
> >> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
> >> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
> >> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task of
> >> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on mainframes.
> >>
> >> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy. It
> >> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
> >> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So while
> >> it
> >> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a good
> >> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program. It's
> >> not up to the task that I want it to do.
> >>
> >> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
> >> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying the
> >> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for a
> >> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
> >> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally

> >> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
> >> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
> >>
> >> Already tried it.

And dismissed it.

> >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> >> is
> >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.

Correction. ...a few human operators.

> >> I've tried it
> >> under a
> >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> >> function.
> >>
> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>
>
> Unrelated to my comments.

You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
good."

You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.

> No one has said all CW signals are good.

And they aren't.

> If they were always good, CWGet
> would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> solution are those who wish that it would always work.

And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
are superb morsemen.

> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> advantages and disadvantages.

If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.

> The extremists on each side don't want to
> hear that.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.

3912. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:24:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161987844.327440.327310@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170029

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.

No, it isn't.

Wrong *again*, Len.

3913. Omega One Radio - The Tood Show Back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:30:02 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <1155287378.599607.204730@g00gles.com>
Source record: 170030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We going strong again and talked about ratbastard Slow Code from Denver plus bitchwhore Steve Robeson and how they trouble makers for everyone. While talk about robeson, I put mic in pants and fart and everyone laff thier asses off! The Tood Show is humerous too so listen for next one next time.

3914. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:33:42 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1161988422.027205.273630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170031

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> Wrong *again*, Len.

3 1/4 *FEET*, Jimmie. :-)

Not *wrong*, just a typo...too much pressure on the shift key. :-)

Tsk, Mother Superior trying to do her knuckle-spanking bit today?

Class was dismissed years ago, Jimmie, and your Habit is still
looking terrible on you...quit this trans-gender nonsense, okay?

3915. Re: copyright infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:53:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jc35k21c2btkkh4m6uvbn8h42264pteccd@4ax.com>
Source record: 170032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 21:24:21 GMT, Freddy the Destroyer
<jesusloves@thedevil.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:19:23 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

>Why does aids have to be so slow?
well AIDS is just a deases not a cruse on Homosexaul or bisexuals by
far the most victum of HIV dease are hetero and live in the other ITU
regions form Us ehere in the USA
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3916. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 22:56:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qj35k2phhdc2db4e9tpmtsa8jkb6f00lsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 170033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:02 +0200 (CEST), Tood@g00gles.com (NO9GL)
wrote:

>

no mater whoose id
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3917. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 23:01:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161990076.371209.186370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170034

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:45 pm
>
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>
> >> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
> >> >
> >> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
> >> > permission.
> >> >
> >> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
> >> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
> >> >
> >Nope you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.
>
> Blowcode is just a newsgroup junkie, getting off on thinking
> she is anonymous. He should get back on the bus where he
> can remark on "women of color" and brag about his collitch
> degree (soma cum loud) where she could "get any job in human
> resources she wanted." :-)
>
> Sound familiar, Brian? :-)
>
>
> Blowcode's phrases are 40 to 50 years out of date, but right
> out of the ARRL's morsemen manual circa 1950. That is her
> maximum involvement with radio communications of any kind.
> Another FRAUD taking out her everyday frustrations by mean-
> mouthing everyone, fomenting 'problems' where there are no
> problems, trying trying trying to whip up devisiveness
> across four newsgroups at the same time. Disgusting little
> petty exercise, a true computer-modem comms pervert's action.
>
> But, as with anyone favoring radiotelegraphy, Blowcode is
> perfectly all right with the resident morsemen in here,
> those with the amateur extra classes who want to keep the
> code test forever and ever. [see the 1x2 callsigns of
> N2EY, K8MN, and K4YZ et al as examples]
>
> They should all go back to their dreaming of fame and glory
> using "CW" to save lives...just like the fiction paperbacks
> sold by the ARRL promise. Fantasy time for them. Escape.

> Delusion of glory via "CW." Pseudo-strength, like some kind
> of imagination on mental steroids. And, like overdosing on
> such mental steroids, losing their capability of rationality.
> Sickness but they are unaware of their sickness.

nah the problem is that nrrow banded RF DOES get when vioce does does
n't it get through there brain and fries them while wider banded SSB
and FM do not
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3918. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 23:03:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161990232.877767.194450@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message

> > >> Already tried it.
>
> And dismissed it.
esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
in the problems and correct the process
>
> > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > >> is
> > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>
> Correction. ...a few human operators.

indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
operators but hat doesn't count
>
> > >> I've tried it
> > >> under a
> > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> > >> function.
> > >>
> > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > >
> > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> >
> >
> > Unrelated to my comments.
>
> You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> good."
>
> You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>
> > No one has said all CW signals are good.
>

> And they aren't.
>
> > If they were always good, CWGet
> > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>
> And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> are superb morsemen.
>
> > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> > advantages and disadvantages.
>
> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>
> > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > hear that.
> >
> > Dee, N8UZE
>
> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.

well it is a thankless job

3919. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 23:15:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161990922.209138.54380@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170036

```
LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 27 Oct 2006 10:28:38 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
> > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:
> >
> > >From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
> > >

> > not if that life belong to a NoCode ham it seems
>
> There's been NO real input on hams saving lives by "CW" lately.
> "Lately" being in the last few decades. The best the pro-coders
> can come up with is some small-displacement ship going down
> somewhere in the UK territory on New Year's Eve...NOT doing
> the "CW" comms thing ON ham bands.
I love that story that it is trotted out is just a measure of how
desperate they are
> > I took one as it happens when I was doing some work with a signal
> > corps project that was looking a CW based NON Morse more app, I tested
> > very poorly indeed
>
> The US military has kept the CW setting on front panel controls
> for years...but NOT for radiotelegraphy purposes. That is for
> remoting the operation of transmitters, almost always by wireline
> control. Land forces learned many decades ago to locate
> transmitters well away from Hq troops.
>
> Pro-coders will see such front panel control settings and
> immediately jump on their pro-code bandwagon shouting about
> "the military using radiotelegraphy" when they don't know squat
> about real field use of land force radios or the peripheral
> equipment for same.
>
> > >>The requirements for military radio telegraphers were much higher than
> > >>for amateurs, and the military could not afford lots of time to train
> > >>them.
> > >
> > > The "requirements for military radio telegraphers [sic]"
> > > topped out at 20 WPM for Army Field Radio MOS, Jimmie.
> > > Same rate as amateur extras prior to 2000. Sunnuvagun!
> > >
> > > The US Army took only 8 weeks to "train" soldiers in
> > > basic training to kill the enemy (in several ways)
> > > and some other RUDIMENTARY skills of survival. Took a
```

> > > LOT LONGER to train soldiers on some specialty.
> > >
> > > You never did either one...
> > >
> > >
> > >>btw, the existence of such aptitude testing proves that the US military
> > >>needed large numbers of Morse Code skilled radio operators during WW2.
> > >
> > > Jimmie, you just crapped. :-) All you have for "proof"
> > > of that is what the ARRL has written. Jaysus, what a fine
> > > example of Conditioned Thinking! ["brainwashing"]
> > >
> > > World War II *ended* 61 years ago. [the Korean War has
> > > *never* ended...it is in a state of truce begun 53 years
> > > ago] All you "Know" about military anything is what you've
> > > READ about and probably tinkered with some left-over radio
> > > surplus, no doubt second- or third-hand. :-)
> >
> > a truce that could end at any minute I fear but still no need for cw
> > ops to go man radios
>
> Army field radio was already dropping radiotelegraphy comms
> DURING the active phase of the Korean War (i.e., prior to 1953).
> Some of it was used in southeast Asia in the following decade.

really? are you tlaking about just local stuff or long haul korea to
stateside stuff geting a better feel for the timeline I was under the
impression that army pretty weel stay with cw/code through most of
korea then switched pretty quickly
>
> Problem is, olde-tymers are still brainwashed by glorious
> propaganda (from the boys in Newington) about World War II
> and (conveniently) forget that war ended 61 years ago. They
> still have dreams of glory and honor using "CW" and get
> angry when they are awakened to the reality of today.

> > >
> > > Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
> > > are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
> > > comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)
> >
> > and why Dee and dave and Steve even go so far as to claim I a ham
> > should not be allowed to coment on the CW rules
>
> Sigh...well they've "denied" doing so, keep asking "where did I
> [they] write such words?" They didn't say so outright but the
> INTENT was plain as day at noontime.

funy how if they don't really mean that and Morse Code makes them such
great comicating that they are so consistantly misunderstood
>

> These pro-coder olde-tymers just do NOT want that code test
> to ever disappear. If it did they would lose their major Bragging
> Right (to glory and honor of telegraphic modes).
>
> > >>> It does NOT affect
> > >>> those already legally licensed as radio amateurs...except
> > >>> in the limited conditions of certain already-licensed
> > >>> Technician classes. That code test does NOT legally
> > >>> affect ANY other already-licensed US radio amateur.
> > >>
> > >>It affects them in many ways. If amateur radio should change for the
> > >>worse because
> > >>of changes in license requirements, those who are already licensed
> > >>would be affected.
> > >
> > > Why "worse," Jimmie? Afraid you won't have any new coders
> > > to play with? :-)
> > >
> > > Would you suffer Great Emotional Harm if the code test went
> > > away? WHY? You ALREADY have YOUR amateur extra class.
> > >
> > > Here's a newsflash: The FCC is NOT chartered by law to
> > > serve up emotional sustenance to the already-licensed.
> > > Go starve, you poor thing...
> > >
> > >
> > >>Not true. If amateur radio is made worse by rules changes, all involved
> > >>are affected. You, who are not involved, are unaffected.
> > >
> > > "Not involved?" :-) You are using that in the context
> > > of 'involvement' meaning 'licensed.' You've just gone
> > > against what you previously wrote. :-)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>Amateur radio isn't like that. We use a shared and limited resource -
> > >>the radio spectrum.
> > >
> > > So does CB. So does R-C. So does GMRS. So does GPS.
> > > So does Maritime Radio Service. So does GMDSS. So
> > > does Aviation Radio Service. So does Media [radio
> > > broadcasting]. So does the entire PLMRS...which includes
> > > all the public safety radio services, railroad radio
> > > service, business radio, paging services. So does
> > > cellular telephony. So does the US government and US
> > > military.
> > >
> > > Don't get off on your "amateurs are conservators of the
> > > EM spectrum" kick you've done before. The FCC *regulates*
> > > US civil radio and the NTIA does it for the US government.

> > > Amateurs have to take what they can get, just like *every*
> > > other radio service.
> > >
> > >>A more valid analogy would be something like operating motor vehicles
> > >>for noncommercial purposes, where the medium (the roads) are shared
> > >>with many others.
> > >
> > > Don't play in *that* road, Jimmie, you will get run over
> > > by CB and Cell Phones and inundated by Broadcasting! :-)
> > >
> > >>> I know many more model builders and model aircraft flyers.
> > >>> [I have been both] The Academy of Model Aeronautics (AMA)
> > >>> is a membership organization (about a quarter million
> > >>> members in the USA) with a large rule set to follow in
> > >>> flying model aircraft. That rule set is for both
> > >>> competition flying and for safety; there is special
> > >>> liability insurance for members of the AMA in regards to
> > >>> that flying activity. There is no absolute requirement
> > >>> to be an AMA member to enjoy model airplane flying nor is
> > >>> there some federal test one must take to be one. It is a
> > >>> hobby...yet the AMA has successfully petitioned for and
> > >>> gotten many radio channels expressly for model remote
> > >>> control.
> > >>
> > >>How many channels? How much total spectrum? How much of it is below 30
> > >>MHz?
> > >
> > > Wah, wah, wah...poor Jimmie has had days to find out for
> > > himself...and CAN'T.
> > >
> > > The AMA lobbied for and got 80 channels (20 KHz each band-
> > > width) for a HOBBY pursuit. The modelers don't run around
> > > saying they invented airplanes or cars or boats nor are
> > > they claiming to be either advancing the state of the art
> > > (of airplanes or cars or boats) of providing for any "pool"
> > > of trained car, boat, or airplane drivers! They aren't
> > > making rude noises about anyone calling their hobby a
> > > HOBBY...yet the stuffed-full-of-themselves hams get all
> > > angry and flustered about being called HOBBYISTS IN RADIO
> > > (which is what they really are).
> >
> > they also 16 channeles within the Ham bands reseversed for Ham into RC
> > and those units are allowed higher power levels than the rest
>
> Anyone remotely involved with the Model Hobby Industry will see
> the emphasis on the license-free "72 MHz" channels from ready-
> built remote control radios. With 80 channels to choose from it
> is NOT a problem at any event or weekend gathering of modelers
> together in one location.
>

>
> > >
> > >>IIRC, the total amount of spectrum set aside for model control is less
> > >>than the narrowest amateur band above 30 MHz.
> > >
> > > Wah, wha, waaaa...like Jimmie spends a lot of time ABOVE
> > > 30 MHz? HAAAAA!
> > >
> > > Like the total amount of spectrum on 60m is "big?"
> > >
> > > Like 80 x 20 KHz isn't 1.6 MHz? For a NON-communications
> > > radio service?
> > >
> > >>btw, there has been no Morse Code test requirement in the US for use of
> > >>*all* the amateur bands above 30 MHz.
> > >
> > > No shit, sherlock? :-) It's never bothered me on OTHER
> > > radio services, including the Department of Defense, for
> > > frequencies BELOW 30 MHz...or ABOVE 30 MHz. :-)
> > >
> > > Amateurs seem to get wet panties if someone threatens
> > > to take away their beloved code TEST, the ones they
> > > had to take. Why is that?
> > >
> > >>> No code test nor license was required. You may
> > >>> read about it in Part 95, Title 47 C.F.R. under Radio
> > >>> Control Radio Service.
> > >>
> > >>They got a few channels in a few narrow slices of VHF/UHF.
> > >
> > > Tsk, you didn't read the applicable part of Part 95,
> > > did you? :-)
> > >
> > > The 72 to 76 MHz region is in VHF, *not* UHF.
> > >
> > > Do you consider 1.6 MHz of spectrum at VHF "narrow
> > > slices?" :-) Hey, you are the one championing
> > > those little teeny slices that "CW" needs. :-)
> > >
> > >> They are
> > >>allowed to use only very low power, with almost all their
> > >>communications limited to line-of-sight.
> > >>
> > >>Amateur radio is very different.
> > >
> > > Radio Control Radio Service was NOT created for
> > > COMMUNICATIONS. It is for the radio control of
> > > models. Hello? It ain't about "communications"
> > > but about CONTROL BY RADIO.
> > >

> > > 0.75 Watts maximum RF power output won't burn up
> > > the ionosphere, but that amount of power is GOOD
> > > for interplanetary DX, sweetums. Line of sight.
> > > To the moon. To Mars. To Venus. To comets. To
> > > so many comm sats in equatorial orbit that all
> > > those slots are filled.
> > >
> > >>Yet all they need is a small assortment of VHF/UHF channels, low power,
> > >>small antennas and line-of-sight radio.
> > >
> > > Hello? 80 Channels at 20 KHz each. In VHF, not UHF.
> > >
> > > NASA thinks 0.75 W RF power output to be adequate
> > > for interplanetary DX.
> > >
> > > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
> > >
> > > How big would it be at 40m? Do you equate size with
> > > performance? Or is that some kind of "male" thing? :-)
> > >
> > >>Is that what you think amateur radio should be?
> > >
> > > Did *I* say that? Sorry, I've never even HINTED at,
> > > much less IMPLIED that ham radio "should be like that."
> > >
> > > You CRAPPED again, Jimmie.
> > >
> > > By the way, amateur radio is allowed to use some
> > > band space for control-by-radio. Really! :-)
> >
> > on 6 m I have one of those units
>
> Then that unit is bound to be affected by "legal" ham transmissions
> (AS IF interference with others is "legal") causing loss of control.
>
> Olde-tymers (causing such interference) will claim they have a
> "right" to do it. They "own" the band or something like that. :-(
nah ^m is such a neglected band tafter all we techs are allowed to use
never had anytrouble
>
> > >>It should be remembered that one of the primary reasons model aircraft
> > >>enthusiasts got channels in the ~70 MHz range was the fact that their
> > >>27 MHz allocation became unusable due to being effectively taken over
> > >>by illegal cb operation.
> > >
> > > BULLSHIT on the "illegal CB," Jimmie. You crapped again.
> > >
> > > There were only SIX channels available in the original
> > > CB Class C allocation back in 1958. SIX isn't even close
> > > to enough for LEGAL operation in one location of flying,

> > > boating, or driving. THAT is why the AMA lobbied for, and
> > > got the EIGHTY 72 to 75 MHz channels.
> > >
> > > 80 channels is enough for the most crowded weekend
> > > happening at Apollo Field in the San Fernando Valley
> > > dam recreation area. [big area, even a paved runway
> > > for Giant Scale aircraft] No problem.
> >
> > well Honestlly Len allow me an R/C flyer to correct you at some shows
> > their are problem with not being near enough frqs at some shows it is
> > smaller scalle planes show that atract more fliers that have a problem
> > was even promted some developement of 2/4 gig units
>
> Feel free to "correct me." :-) The olde-tymers try to do
> that a LOT in here... :-)
>
> The dam recreation area in the L.A. San Fernando Valley has
> a very large turn-out most every weekend here. At Apollo Field
> there can be (easy) 50 R-C flyers there. [it is the major location
> for flying in the huge Los Angeles area] MOST R-C flyers are
> a considerate bunch and TRY to avoid interference. But, not all
> R-C units are frequency-mobile. The emphasis is on the
> MODELS not the radios...the FLYING (for model aircraft) rather
> than the "operating."
that they try but it is secondary the abilty of the RC gruop is largely
depneant In my expernce on just how the local frequecny coordinators
are able to get people on to lots of freqs in fringe area where the
shop are feww and large college is around somed ay you do have 50
plane trying to operate on 4 or 5 frq
in larger area the hobby shop and tend in placing orders for stuff to
spread the new folks around an advantage yYOU get in your area or would
around SF but out between hobby shop things get weird

(right now trying to duck being given the job of trying to coordinate
the freqs round here Indeed I often spend a lot helping recrytal and
tune the units
>
> I started working in 1948 at Testor Chemical Company, working
> IN the model shop as a flunky doing the plan illustration. I've
> followed the Model Hobby Industry somewhat ever since. I
> don't claim "inside knowledge" on the R-C radios, only that I
> got interested in radios and electronics while seeing what the
> very first R-C planes could do with rudder-only control. [we are
> talking the Raytheon RK61 single-tube gas-filled tube regen
> receivers here <smile> with quite primitive mechanical control
> systems] Bang-bang control (full one way or the other, single
> neutral center), not the PWM proportional systems of today
> and two decades ago using single- and double-conversion solid-
> state receivers with (now) standardized control-stick transmitter
> boxes containing microprocessors.

>
> R-C has gotten rather sophisticated since its beginning. Last
> year had the first successful cross-Atlantic flight of a model
> aircraft, R-C for takeoff and landing with GPS-assisted mid-
> course control. One helluva good accomplishment for non-
> professional modelers! I think the website for that has been
> taken down but anyone can read about it in MAN or the two
> other newsstand magazines targeting R-C modeling.
>
> Some radio amateurs are still trying to promote radiotelegraphy
> AS IF this were still 1901 with Marconi getting his S in
> Newfoundland. :-)
>
> They think one MUST do the "CW" thing even if using a multi-
> conversion, DSP-enhanced, digitial-synthesis frequency control
> solid-state transceiver (using microprocessor assistance),
> ready-built off-the-shelf plug-and-play. All to bang the carrier
> ON or OFF in order to communicate! :-)
>
> 1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

3920. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-27 23:43:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>
> > > >> Already tried it.
> > >
> > And dismissed it.
> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> in the problems and correct the process
> >
> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > >> is
> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> >
> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
>
> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> operators but hat doesn't count
> >
> > > >> I've tried it
> > > >> under a
> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> > > >> function.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > >
> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > >
> > >
> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> >
> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > good."
> >
> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> >

> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> >
> > And they aren't.
> >
> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> >
> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > are superb morsemen.
> >
> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> >
> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> >
> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > hear that.
> > >
> > > Dee, N8UZE
> >
> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>
> well it is a thankless job

Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.

3921. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:16:55 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <V5-dnViY_coUPN_YnZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161987307.310626.32740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
>> >> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint
>> >> > <deeflint01@comcast.net>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a
>> >> >> hand
>> >> >> key
>> >> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good
>> >> >> operators
>> >> >> can
>> >> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very
>> >> >> good
>> >> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy
>> >> >> it.
>> >> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
>> >> >
>> >> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
>> >> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal
>> >> > computer,
>> >> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are
>> >> > now
>> >> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
>> >> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN -
>> >> > is
>> >> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the
>> >> > most
>> >> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
>> >> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
>> >> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task
>> >> > of
>> >> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on
>> >> > mainframes.
>> >>
>> >> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy.
```

>> >> It
>> >> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
>> >> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So
>> >> while
>> >> it
>> >> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a
>> >> good
>> >> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program.
>> >> It's
>> >> not up to the task that I want it to do.
>> >> >
>> >> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
>> >> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying
>> >> > the
>> >> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for
>> >> > a
>> >> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
>> >> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
>> >> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
>> >> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>> >>
>> >> Already tried it.
>
> And dismissed it.

Based on actually trying it. I did not form an opinion on it until I gave it a thorough workout. And if the conditions are good enough and they are going too fast for me, I'll use it to help out. But there's a lot of times it simply doesn't do the job.

>
>> >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> >> is
>> >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>
> Correction. ...a few human operators.

Correction: almost any operator who works code on a semi-regular basis. My code skills are very modest. Typically I am comfortable at 13wpm to 15wpm. Higher than that is a real strain. Still I often copy better than the computer despite that.

>
>> >> I've tried it
>> >> under a
>> >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
>> >> function.
>> >>
>> >> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of

>> > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>>
>>
>> Unrelated to my comments.
>
> You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> good."
>

No I would not be repeating that myth because I never, ever said that all CW signals are good and never subscribed to that philosophy. If they were the machines would always work and they don't. The other half of the coin is that some of the anti-code types persist in the myth that "Code can always be copied by computer". Neither myth is true.

I've always maintained that every mode has its advantages and disadvantages. A good ham attempts to be conversant with those abilities. However the extremists on both sides don't want to hear that.

> You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>

Nope because you are ascribing things to me that are not true. Nobody has changed my opinions as stated in the above paragraphs. You make the mistake of lumping everyone who favors code into one group. That is no more accurate than lumping the anti-code people all in one group.

>> No one has said all CW signals are good.
>
> And they aren't.
>
>> If they were always good, CWGet
>> would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
>> solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>
> And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> are superb morsemen.
>

I do not dismiss the software but am realistic to know that it is not the panacea that some would like to believe. Sometimes it works and sometimes it fails.

>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>> advantages and disadvantages.
>
> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>

Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever mode they favor is the "best". Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should be converstant with those scenarios. If you need an image, SST or fax are far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the communication and the conditions under which that communication must be sent.

>> The extremists on each side don't want to
>> hear that.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>

You are exaggerating. None have stated all CW signals are good. What they have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3922. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <xwx0h.328$LI4.139@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:gqe0h.194345$5R2.130996@pd7urf3no:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> ""''''''

>>> if there is any interest.
>>
>>
>> (11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?
>>
>> A province.
>>
>> SC
>>
> The correct answer is: Smarter than Slow Code, DxAss and Cuhulin
> combined.
>
> JB

Ah, John, I see your back from the mental hospital. That standing in line for health care must really suck.

SC

3923. Re: ans calculations/ fuel cell battery buffer or cap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ywx0h.329$LI4.284@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote in
news:12k31eoloih3v5f@corp.supernews.com:

> Tom Ring wrote:
>> . . .
>> 1 week is 168 hours which gives us 403 ampere hours.
> > . . .
>
> which at 13.6 volts is about 5480 watt-hours. This is the energy storage
> requirement.
>
> The OP asked about using a capacitor. The energy stored in a capacitor
> is C * V^2 / 2. For capacitance in farads and voltage in volts, the
> result is joules, or watt-seconds -- you need 5480 * 3600 ~ 20,000,000
> joules in round numbers. So suppose you wanted to store this same amount
> of energy in a capacitor, and you had a switching regulator which would
> handle 50 volts maximum input voltage. Solving for C, assuming it's
> charged to 50 volts,
>
> C = 20,000,000 * 2 / (50^2) = 16,000 farads.
>
> This assumes you can get all the energy out of the capacitor, which
> requires your regulator to work down to zero volts. But you'll get 3/4
> of the energy out of it if your regulator cuts off at 25 volts, 7/8 if
> it cuts off at 12.5 volts, etc.
>
> How does that sound?
>
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL

Send that to me in CW and I'll have a look at it.

SC

3924. How to spot a loser on the internet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Cwx0h.332$LI4.3@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa! I don't what to learn CW."

3925. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Ewx0h.333$LI4.217@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
news:ef18$45415363$45011502$24961@KNOLOGY.NET:

>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>>>
>>> I don't remember,
>>> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
>>> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
>>> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
>>> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
>>> Mike
>>>
>>>
>> I have helped cut wood for winter heating. I have also foraged for
>> berries, actually Granny knew where to look and took me along to help
>> pick berries. I have never hand cranked a model T, but have watched
>> others do it (was tied up with winter wood cutting, the "T" drove a
>> belt with a rear axle wheel to drive a large circular saw) I never did
>> any of the other things listed, but if Granny were still here, I'd bet
>> she has and could describe the process. Except the spear hunting, that
>> was before Grannys time and on the other side of the family.
>>
>> Harold
>> KD5SAK
> Hi Harold,
> My point is, times change, peoples change, and if you want to cut
> wood,
> pick berries, crank a model T, or spear hunt,
> Have at it, but your not out telling everybody they need to.
> Mike

Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
appliance off the shelf.

73,
Back to my CW and projects.

SC

3926. I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:29 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee Heee.

I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare license more acceptable these days?

SC

3927. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <lwx0h.337$LI4.114@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161909932.860474.25360@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>> >
>> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>> > permission.
>>
>> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
>> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>>
>> SC
>
> Nope, you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.

You didn't go back far enough.

SC

3928. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Jwx0h.338$LI4.267@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170044

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1161979937.153669.259590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:45 pm
>
>>Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>
>>> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>>
>>> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>>> > permission.
>>
>>> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
>>> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>>
>>Nope you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.
>
> Blowcode is just a newsgroup junkie, getting off on thinking
> she is anonymous. He should get back on the bus where he
> can remark on "women of color" and brag about his collitch
> degree (soma cum loud) where she could "get any job in human
> resources she wanted." :-)
>
> Sound familiar, Brian? :-)
>
>
> Blowcode's phrases are 40 to 50 years out of date, but right
> out of the ARRL's morsemen manual circa 1950. That is her
> maximum involvement with radio communications of any kind.
> Another FRAUD taking out her everyday frustrations by mean-
> mouthing everyone, fomenting 'problems' where there are no
> problems, trying trying trying to whip up devisiveness
> across four newsgroups at the same time. Disgusting little
> petty exercise, a true computer-modem comms pervert's action.
>
> But, as with anyone favoring radiotelegraphy, Blowcode is
> perfectly all right with the resident morsemen in here,
> those with the amateur extra classes who want to keep the
> code test forever and ever. [see the 1x2 callsigns of
> N2EY, K8MN, and K4YZ et al as examples]
>
> They should all go back to their dreaming of fame and glory

> using "CW" to save lives...just like the fiction paperbacks
> sold by the ARRL promise. Fantasy time for them. Escape.
> Delusion of glory via "CW." Pseudo-strength, like some kind
> of imagination on mental steroids. And, like overdosing on
> such mental steroids, losing their capability of rationality.
> Sickness but they are unaware of their sickness.
>
> Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I'm amazed at how well Len is still able to type after all the strokes he's had over the years that have left him 92% brain dead. The strokes might also explain why Lens posts are pretty much lines and lines of gas and no substance.

SC

3929. If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Lwx0h.339$LI4.180@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.

Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which will help clean up the repeaters.

SC

3930. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:36 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Mwx0h.340$LI4.214@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:i1h4k2dum63f5lhvicgk35b0qr824i6nl5@4ax.com:

SNIP->Len Andersons gas and Morkins bullshit removed<

What a waste of perfectly good bandwidth.

SC

3931. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Nwx0h.341$LI4.118@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1161988422.027205.273630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>>
>> No, it isn't.
>>
>> Wrong *again*, Len.
>
> 3 1/4 *FEET*, Jimmie. :-)
>
> Not *wrong*, just a typo...too much pressure on the shift key. :-)
>
> Tsk, Mother Superior trying to do her knuckle-spanking bit today?
>
> Class was dismissed years ago, Jimmie, and your Habit is still
> looking terrible on you...quit this trans-gender nonsense, okay?

I guess all the strokes have effected your typing.

SC

3932. Mark in the Dark keeps crapping on the newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Owx0h.342$LI4.316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:k5k2k2do969nl61fpb4rqkuf5bl8pv2oek@4ax.com:

> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>
>
> Slow code out troling

You can't even spell it right.

That's one nice thing about CW, Only those that know it are admitted.
Those that don't, get FM, repeaters, and fake moon bounce contacts.

SC

3933. Re: Omega One Radio - The Tood Show Back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:41 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Rwx0h.344$LI4.107@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Tood@g00gles.com (NO9GL) wrote in
news:1155287378.599607.204730@g00gles.com:

>
> We going strong again and talked about ratbastard Slow Code from
> Denver plus bitchwhore Steve Robeson and how they trouble makers for
> everyone. While talk about robeson, I put mic in pants and fart and
> everyone laff thier asses off! The Tood Show is humerous too so
> listen for next one next time.

ROFL.

Todd needs to move back to 13556, I can't hear him on 6950.

SC

3934. Re: Ping Group, Gay bashing in RRAP is permitted. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Twx0h.346$LI4.133@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
news:1161913956.636026.216260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>> news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>> >>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>> >>
>> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
>> >>> cuhulin
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
>> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
>> >>boot them out.
>> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>>
>>
>> Gay bashing is allowed here.
>>
>> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
>> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>>
>> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
>> no-coders take over ham radio.
>>
>> Tnx, 73,
>> de Slow Code
>
> hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
> dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.

They both do seem to sing the same tune don't they.

We can't let the Queer code haters take over ham radio. You let them in
to ham radio and the next thing you know, they will want to share your
sleeping bag with you on field day.

SC

3935. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:45 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Vwx0h.347$LI4.234@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161910291.145244.72190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>> > >
>> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
>> >
>> > I'm sure that you do.
>>
>> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
>> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC
>
> I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
> participate.

I don't do much 80 meters, not even listen much.

I enjoy satellite. Amsat life member.

SC

3936. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Wwx0h.348$LI4.52@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:7N2dnb_RFcRsD9zYnZ2dnUVZ_qOdnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Jerry wrote:
>
>>>> Contacts like that still help maintain receiving skill. That's
>>>> important if you ever have to use CW to save a life.
>>> but it will never happen since there is no one to send the distress
>>> call
>>>> SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>>
>> Oh, really????
>> How about THIS one? (QRZ) and a newstory from England?
>>
>> Quote
>> Morse code used in rescue!
>>
>> London, 20 October/GNN/ -- MARITIME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY News
>> Release
>> (Press Notice: 368_06) issued by The Government News Network on 20
>> October 2006
>>
>> Yesterday afternoon just after 5.30pm Hayling Island Coastguard
>> team
>> member, Steve Mann, witnessed what he thought was a SOS message flashed
>> by torchlight towards the Control Tower at Hayling Island Sailing Club.
>> Steve responded to the SOS message by switching the lights on and off
>> in the Control Tower.
>
> I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse code
> other than SOS.
>
> This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being
> an argument for hams being conversant in French.
>
> -Chuck

Spoken like a true "I-Hate-CW" Whiner. Why you even worried about it
anyway, you don't even have a welfare license.

SC

3937. Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:30:47 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.

SC

3938. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <axx0h.353$LI4.327@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Mike, who else?" <Mike@nowhere.net> wrote in news:zAf0h.73122$E67.71703
@clgrps13:

> FU Troll. I tired of your shit
> *PLONK*
>
> Mike
>
>

I guess he's against bringing back Spark.

SC

3939. Re: well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <gxx0h.354$LI4.37@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com:

> you may all thank steve for what foolows

No. We're all going to blame you. What have you got against the
rec.radio groups that you have to take a shit on them every day?

SC

3940. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <oxx0h.355$LI4.110@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161909279.069596.18370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
>
> Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.
>
>> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
>> test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
>> General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
>>
>> SC
>
> You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
> radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the amateur
> radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.

We have to dumb it down to keep it from dying?

SC

3941. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <wxx0h.356$LI4.89@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1161908927.722424.326110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>
>> > The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code
>> > so poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This
>> > was in order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from
>> > eavesdropping on him.
>> >
>> > BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
>> > cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
>> > Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
>> > that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
>> > inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word
>> > spacing.
>>
>> I miss W0EX.
>>
>> SC
>
> In a way, so do I.
>
> I wished he wouldn't get so upset and accept that he wasn't going to
> change my mind.
>
> At least a difference of opinion with Dick didn't make someone a liar.

He was pro-code but he wasn't trollish like me or WA8ULX were.

I believe in CW, but I'm not as Ruthless as I sound. I love to toss out
things and then listen to everyone gasp. ROFL. I know, I know, it's
sadistic...

but it's fun, and maybe some will see and figure out the point of it.

SC

3942. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Gxx0h.357$LI4.211@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1161974787.443117.295490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>
>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>>> > >> lowlfie.
>>> > >>
>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>> > >
>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>> > >
>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>> >
>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>>
>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>>
>>How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>
> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>
> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>
>>> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>
>>Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>
> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]

>
> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
> him!" AS IF. :-)
>
>>Ditto
>>Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>>be absent from RRAP.
>
> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
> (code speed) up? :-)
>
> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
> knows?
>
> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
>
>>> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>>> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>>> usually without including his name or callsign.
>>
>>I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>>on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>>call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>>Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>>fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>
> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>
> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>
> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Wheeew! Thank god you expelled most of your gas in your last post you
didn't have a lot left over for this one.

No Len. Most RRAPers aren't pro-CW, but you think they're pro code
because they're willing to learn it for a license.

Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
group anymore.

SC

3943. Why I don't use my call on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:31:44 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Qxx0h.358$LI4.215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years ago. ROFL.

That,

and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.

SC

3944. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:33:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FNadnc5P-sgfON_YnZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>>
>> > > >> Already tried it.
>> >
>> > And dismissed it.
>> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
>> in the problems and correct the process
>> >
>> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> > > >> is
>> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>> >
>> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
>>
>> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
>> operators but hat doesn't count
>> >
>> > > >> I've tried it
>> > > >> under a
>> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
>> > > >> signal to
>> > > >> function.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
>> > > >
>> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
>> > > > of
>> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Unrelated to my comments.
>> >
>> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
>> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such

>> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
>> > good."
>> >
>> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>> >
>> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
>> >
>> > And they aren't.
>> >
>> > > If they were always good, CWGet
>> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
>> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>> >
>> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
>> > are superb morsemen.
>> >
>> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
>> > > its
>> > > advantages and disadvantages.
>> >
>> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>> >
>> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
>> > > hear that.
>> > >
>> > > Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
>> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
>> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>>
>> well it is a thankless job
>
> Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
>

You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.

I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".

It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed. I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3945. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:37:06 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SoydnZLEi4zYO9_YnZ2dnUVZ_qudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Lwx0h.339$LI4.180@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>
> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
> will help clean up the repeaters.
>
> SC

No significant repeater problems here.

Dee, N8UZE

3946. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:39:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1161995957.305278.121940@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169913

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > > A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.

> > No, it isn't.

> > Wrong *again*, Len.

> 3 1/4 *FEET*, Jimmie. :-)

You didn't write FEET, Len. You used the symbol for INCHES.

You were wrong.

> Not *wrong*,

It was wrong, Len. Just plain flat out wrong.

>just a typo...too much pressure on the shift key. :-)

Maybe this explains it:

Story:

http://www.local6.com/education/10097181/detail.html

Rankings:

http://www.local6.com/education/10097048/detail.html

PA: 10th
MN: 13th
TN: 30th
OH: 34th
CA: 47th

> Tsk, Mother Superior trying to do her knuckle-spanking bit today?

When did you join a convent, Len?

> Class was dismissed years ago, Jimmie, and your Habit is still
> looking terrible on you...quit this trans-gender nonsense, okay?

What *are* you blubbering about, Len?

3947. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:44:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CJx0h.359$LI4.33@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169914

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> Wrong *again*, Len.

Sheesh!

Unbelievable...

Dave K8MN

3948. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:44:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZJx0h.22426$e66.13357@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Slow Code wrote:
> Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
> appliance off the shelf.

Can you hombrew an ICOM IC-756PRO?
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

3949. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 00:53:11 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QKydnXxYzsiXN9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169917

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1161987844.327440.327310@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> Wrong *again*, Len.
>

I guess he just doesn't know the difference between feet and inches.

Dee, N8UZE

3950. Re: id theft uis a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:37:22 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161999442.070462.105790@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169920
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What drugs are you guys on?
Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:00:39 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
> >"you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate
> >them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in."
> >
> >Boy, The self-righteous Knight In Shining Armor sure told you, didn't he?
> no if you read youd kknow I did not post it very likely you did so you
> could attack it
> > I
> >guess you got under Morkie's skin with that one.
> then you are clearly a fool or lier to bleive that
>
> Indeed anybody is welcome to waste a bit more my local LE officers
> time (or rather drag em away form the local doughnut shop) with the
> wild and unsupported charges you like to make
> >
> >Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!
> >
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3951. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:40:41 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161999641.472559.115250@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You could try giving me head again. This time don't hum dots and
dashes.
Slow Code wrote:
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

3952. Re: Why I don't use my call on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:43:18 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1161999798.138224.229190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code is and old queen pussy. AKA bathroom bitch, glory hole
master. His call sign is WTF.
A. G. Bell wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Qxx0h.358$LI4.215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years
> ago. ROFL.
>
> That,
>
> and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd
> Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.
>
> SC
> ...
> Indeed! And Roger Wiseman is certainly no slouch when it comes to cyber
> stalking, either. Look, for example, at the snail mails he has sent and
> phone calls he has made to Todd, to Mark Foley and others. And Roger reached
> the lowest of low when he posted "advertisements" for kiddie porn over the
> name, callsign, and home address of Lloyd Davies. Those are just a few of
> the dirty tricks that have been played.
>
> Nice guys, huh????

3953. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:45:56 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net>
Source record: 169923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in

>> I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse code
>> other than SOS.
>>
>> This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being
>> an argument for hams being conversant in French.
>>
>> -Chuck
>
>
> Spoken like a true "I-Hate-CW" Whiner. Why you even worried about it
> anyway, you don't even have a welfare license.

Actually, I have held an advanced class ticket for more than 30 years.

I took my test at 1919 M Street, NW? Washington, DC. Under the watchful
eye of an FCC examiner. My code test came off of an old signal corps
paper tape driven code practice machine. The key they gave me to use was
from the same set.

My receiving speed was somewhere around 40wpm, and my sending sucks rope.
I never could master an Iambic keyer.

-Chuck

3954. Re: Why I don't use my call on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:51:18 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dqv0f.i3t.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Qxx0h.358$LI4.215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...

Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years
ago. ROFL.

That,

and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd
Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.

SC
...
Indeed! And Roger Wiseman is certainly no slouch when it comes to cyber
stalking, either. Look, for example, at the snail mails he has sent and
phone calls he has made to Todd, to Mark Foley and others. And Roger reached
the lowest of low when he posted "advertisements" for kiddie porn over the
name, callsign, and home address of Lloyd Davies. Those are just a few of
the dirty tricks that have been played.

Nice guys, huh????

3955. Re: well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 01:58:10 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dqvdb.jgt.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:gxx0h.354$LI4.37@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Mark in the Dark' wrote in
news:j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com:

> you may all thank steve for what foolows

No. We're all going to blame you. What have you got against the
rec.radio groups that you have to take a shit on them every day?

SC
.....

Mark was banned from posting on QRZ, so he is taking his anger out on the
newsgroups.

Besides, he doesn't have anything better with which to occupy his time.

3956. Mark going Greek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:11:06 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dr05k.mc3.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Morgan said:
"Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys who were to tutored is various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society"

Sounds as if Mark is pining for the Good Old Days....

3957. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:54:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7ih5k2d8aksr26kkd5hi7t9580e1kv2h79@4ax.com>
Source record: 169924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:36 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:i1h4k2dum63f5lhvicgk35b0qr824i6nl5@4ax.com:
>
>
>SNIP->Len Andersons gas and Morkins bullshit removed<
>
>
>What a waste of perfectly good bandwidth.
funny how you can't answer any of it just ignore it
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3958. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:55:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ckh5k2t5ha18hja1s0jobp9ue03un6a2i7@4ax.com>
Source record: 169925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161910291.145244.72190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>>> > >
>>> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
>>> >
>>> > I'm sure that you do.
>>>
>>> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
>>> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC
>>
>> I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
>> participate.
>
>
>I don't do much 80 meters, not even listen much.
>
>I enjoy satellite. Amsat life member.
prove it
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3959. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:56:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <elh5k253bv84l99otqi72vkrhog8pl01ac@4ax.com>
Source record: 169926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>>
>> > > >> Already tried it.
>> >
>> > And dismissed it.
>> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
>> in the problems and correct the process
>> >
>> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> > > >> is
>> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>> >
>> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
>>
>> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
>> operators but hat doesn't count
>> >
>> > > >> I've tried it
>> > > >> under a
>> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
>> > > >> function.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
>> > > >
>> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
>> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Unrelated to my comments.
>> >
>> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
>> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
>> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
>> > good."

>> >
>> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>> >
>> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
>> >
>> > And they aren't.
>> >
>> > > If they were always good, CWGet
>> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
>> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>> >
>> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
>> > are superb morsemen.
>> >
>> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>> > > advantages and disadvantages.
>> >
>> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>> >
>> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
>> > > hear that.
>> > >
>> > > Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
>> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
>> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>>
>> well it is a thankless job
>
>Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
>undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
>amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
>resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3960. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:57:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0nh5k25lq3upugv1afqrjs08rmfduep38i@4ax.com>
Source record: 169927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:31:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1161974787.443117.295490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>>
>>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>
>>>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>>>> > >>> That's really sad.
>>>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>>>> > >> lowlfie.
>>>> > >>
>>>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>>>> >
>>>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>>>> >
>>>> > I haven't the slightest idea.
>>>> >
>>>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>>>>
>>>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>>>
>>>How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>
>> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>>
>> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
>> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
>> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>>
>>>> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>>
>>>Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>

>> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
>> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]
>>
>> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
>> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
>> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
>> him!" AS IF. :-)
>>
>>>Ditto
>>>Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>>>be absent from RRAP.
>>
>> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
>> (code speed) up? :-)
>>
>> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
>> knows?
>>
>> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
>> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
>>
>>>> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>>>> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>>>> usually without including his name or callsign.
>>>
>>>I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>>>on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>>>call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>>>Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>>>fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>>
>> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>>
>> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>>
>> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
>> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
>> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
>> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>Wheeew! Thank god you expelled most of your gas in your last post you
>didn't have a lot left over for this one.
>
>No Len. Most RRAPers aren't pro-CW, but you think they're pro code
>because they're willing to learn it for a license.
where does he say that?
>

>Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>group anymore.
gues you just claimed to be JIM
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3961. Re: id theft uis a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:58:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <uoh5k25ptvjoki2v9925uq7ql6tgei7jrq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169929
Cross-posted to: alt.morons,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 27 Oct 2006 18:37:22 -0700, "Douche Bag" <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>What drugs are you guys on?
I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense
>Mark@kb9rqz.ars wrote:
>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 14:00:39 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>
>> >"you will voilate Chris Caputo's tos ify ou do that andin fact you voilate
>> >them now you scak of shit. be warend thet you wilbe turned in."
>> >
>> >Boy, The self-righteous Knight In Shining Armor sure told you, didn't he?
>> no if you read youd kknow I did not post it very likely you did so you
>> could attack it
>> > I
>> >guess you got under Morkie's skin with that one.
>> then you are clearly a fool or lier to bleive that
>>
>> Indeed anybody is welcome to waste a bit more my local LE officers
>> time (or rather drag em away form the local doughnut shop) with the
>> wild and unsupported charges you like to make
>> >
>> >Hi Yo Silver! Awaaaayyy!!
>> >
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3962. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:58:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mqh5k21gplt4pb430cd77mkaghuv72lnjg@4ax.com>
Source record: 169928

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1161979937.153669.259590@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:45 pm
>>
>>>Slow Code wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>>>
>>>> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>>>> > permission.
>>>
>>>> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
>>>> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>>>
>>>Nope you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.
>>
>> Blowcode is just a newsgroup junkie, getting off on thinking
>> she is anonymous. He should get back on the bus where he
>> can remark on "women of color" and brag about his collitch
>> degree (soma cum loud) where she could "get any job in human
>> resources she wanted." :-)
>>
>> Sound familiar, Brian? :-)
>>
>>
>> Blowcode's phrases are 40 to 50 years out of date, but right
>> out of the ARRL's morsemen manual circa 1950. That is her
>> maximum involvement with radio communications of any kind.
>> Another FRAUD taking out her everyday frustrations by mean-
>> mouthing everyone, fomenting 'problems' where there are no
>> problems, trying trying trying to whip up devisiveness
>> across four newsgroups at the same time. Disgusting little
>> petty exercise, a true computer-modem comms pervert's action.
>>
>> But, as with anyone favoring radiotelegraphy, Blowcode is
>> perfectly all right with the resident morsemen in here,
>> those with the amateur extra classes who want to keep the
>> code test forever and ever. [see the 1x2 callsigns of
>> N2EY, K8MN, and K4YZ et al as examples]

>>
>> They should all go back to their dreaming of fame and glory
>> using "CW" to save lives...just like the fiction paperbacks
>> sold by the ARRL promise. Fantasy time for them. Escape.
>> Delusion of glory via "CW." Pseudo-strength, like some kind
>> of imagination on mental steroids. And, like overdosing on
>> such mental steroids, losing their capability of rationality.
>> Sickness but they are unaware of their sickness.
>>
>> Ptui.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>
>I'm amazed at how well Len is still able to type after all the strokes
>he's had over the years that have left him 92% brain dead. The strokes
>might also explain why Lens posts are pretty much lines and lines of gas
>and no substance.
more of your mindless attacks SC
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3963. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 02:59:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <brh5k2tjhmk1fvlqmr8f26fs2dfgunccam@4ax.com>
Source record: 169930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:32 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161909932.860474.25360@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
>>> >
>>> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
>>> > permission.
>>>
>>> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
>>> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Nope, you sure didn't. It's not in the archives.
>
>
>You didn't go back far enough.
noone named slow code post in the 1996

there fore you lied
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3964. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:01:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rsh5k2lhrd23j0bh3kpjkeg1kgco2up3r8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
>news:ef18$45415363$45011502$24961@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>>>>
>>>> I don't remember,
>>>> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
>>>> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
>>>> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
>>>> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
>>>> Mike
>>>>
>>>>
>>> I have helped cut wood for winter heating. I have also foraged for
>>> berries, actually Granny knew where to look and took me along to help
>>> pick berries. I have never hand cranked a model T, but have watched
>>> others do it (was tied up with winter wood cutting, the "T" drove a
>>> belt with a rear axle wheel to drive a large circular saw) I never did
>>> any of the other things listed, but if Granny were still here, I'd bet
>>> she has and could describe the process. Except the spear hunting, that
>>> was before Grannys time and on the other side of the family.
>>>
>>> Harold
>>> KD5SAK
>> Hi Harold,
>> My point is, times change, peoples change, and if you want to cut
>> wood,
>> pick berries, crank a model T, or spear hunt,
>> Have at it, but your not out telling everybody they need to.
>> Mike
>
>
>
>Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
>appliance off the shelf.
why homebreww becuase you want to

there has NEVER been any requirement to Homobrew the abilty to choose
has simply improved with the demands of the Marketplace IOW becuase
YOU and your fellow hams WANTED to be able not to homebrew the market

has prodcued an envioment that by the time I was licensed hombrewing
becamse purely volontary in fact as it has always been in law
>
>
>73,
>Back to my CW and projects.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3965. Re: VE's should be allowed to use a cattle prod to make people take a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:02:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <q0i5k21hqbhl6t65n9utm41ral8m3fpdq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 169933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:31:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mike, who else?" <Mike@nowhere.net> wrote in news:zAf0h.73122$E67.71703
>@clgrps13:
>
>> FU Troll. I tired of your shit
>> *PLONK*
>>
>> Mike
>>
>>
>
>
>
>I guess he's against bringing back Spark.

OTOH I am not opposed to bring back Sparl gap for those that want if
we can find a way to do so without massive polution of NON ham
spectrum with the spurious suignals
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3966. Re: well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:03:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o2i5k2t77oc12926u9tjplmgt7al8ho3cc@4ax.com>
Source record: 169934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:31:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com:
>
>> you may all thank steve for what foolows
>
>
>No. We're all going to blame you.
you do not speak for all
> What have you got against the
>rec.radio groups that you have to take a shit on them every day?
nothing nor do I
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3967. slow troling in more crap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:04:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o4i5k2t7jl5hkdab8mtugerf8f6sngcgo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 169935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com> wrote in
>news:12k31eoloih3v5f@corp.supernews.com:
>
>> Tom Ring wrote:
>>> . . .
>>> 1 week is 168 hours which gives us 403 ampere hours.
>> > . . .
>>
>> which at 13.6 volts is about 5480 watt-hours. This is the energy storage
>> requirement.
>>
>> The OP asked about using a capacitor. The energy stored in a capacitor
>> is C * V^2 / 2. For capacitance in farads and voltage in volts, the
>> result is joules, or watt-seconds -- you need 5480 * 3600 ~ 20,000,000
>> joules in round numbers. So suppose you wanted to store this same amount
>> of energy in a capacitor, and you had a switching regulator which would
>> handle 50 volts maximum input voltage. Solving for C, assuming it's
>> charged to 50 volts,
>>
>> C = 20,000,000 * 2 / (50^2) = 16,000 farads.
>>
>> This assumes you can get all the energy out of the capacitor, which
>> requires your regulator to work down to zero volts. But you'll get 3/4
>> of the energy out of it if your regulator cuts off at 25 volts, 7/8 if
>> it cuts off at 12.5 volts, etc.
>>
>> How does that sound?
>>
>> Roy Lewallen, W7EL
>
>
>Send that to me in CW and I'll have a look at it.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3968. slow code craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:04:28 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <a5i5k2pahvcr0jva1q2h3o13t6vrg9r60h@4ax.com>
Source record: 169936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>"Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa! I don't what to learn CW."
>
trolling right along I see
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3969. slow code craps again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:05:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <56i5k2tl1eqddhflb2c31vu0usvt2en1c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 169937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:29 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
>just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee Heee.
>
>I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
>time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
>license more acceptable these days?
gee you have not been reading jims posts on the ars numbers
>
>SC
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3970. slow code craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:05:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p7i5k2lv6779bs89od0sonh9g5scqc9lfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 169938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>
>Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
>classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
>will help clean up the repeaters.
no repeater problems here
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3971. Slow code keeps crapping on the newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:07:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s8i5k2pck9kdjgnaonfgju6p611biv1m1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 169939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>news:k5k2k2do969nl61fpb4rqkuf5bl8pv2oek@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>
>> Slow code out troling
>
>
>You can't even spell it right.
so?
>
>
>That's one nice thing about CW, Only those that know it are admitted.
>Those that don't, get FM, repeaters, and moon bounce contacts.
you over SSB metorscatter packet FS ATV (which can only done at uhf
and up satlites

the tech can do al lot more than sue reptears you are doing a
diservice to the ARS in claiming otherwise
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3972. slow craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hfi5k21h6v2vevsdgj00dh844ua2q6gdd8@4ax.com>
Source record: 169940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:47 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3973. Re: Ping Group, Gay bashing in RRAP is permitted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:09:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1gi5k256a4bl2abek1lki9m0tha8hd9i84@4ax.com>
Source record: 169941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
>news:1161913956.636026.216260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
>>> news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:
>>>
>>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
>>> >>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>>> >>
>>> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
>>> >>> cuhulin
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
>>> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
>>> >>boot them out.
>>> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>>>
>>>
>>> Gay bashing is allowed here.
>>>
>>> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
>>> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>>>
>>> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
>>> no-coders take over ham radio.
>>>
>>> Tnx, 73,
>>> de Slow Code
>>
>> hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
>> dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.
>
>
>
>They both do seem to sing the same tune don't they.
>

>We can't let the Queer code haters take over ham radio.
that the best shot you have SC gee boy the NoCoders are sure to win
>You let them in
>to ham radio and the next thing you know, they will want to share your
>sleeping bag with you on field day.
nope Most Bisexaul and homosexauls prefer sex with people that don't
hate themselves or have the level f sexual hangups you do
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3974. slow craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:12:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1ii5k2pnj4gvvdq6g4fa1urlidm02s4nkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 169942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:31:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years
>ago. ROFL.

nah you are just a coward
>
>That,
>
>and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd
>Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.

You know I have never exchanged an IM with Llord Foley or Wisemen

let alone a gay one

of Course I am not gay I am bi something that realy scares Slow code
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3975. slowcode contiues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:14:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qki5k25puiq76vitkncbv6pl6pk2gprtaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 169943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 21:11:06 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark Morgan said:
>"Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys who were to tutored is
>various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
>the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society"
>
>Sounds as if Mark is pining for the Good Old Days....
there certians good things about the rentire prechristian era the
Christain is responible for making sex such a forbidden topic by
trying to assert control over everyone sex lives

But iwas reporting historical fact not advocating anything in
particular
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3976. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:15:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vpi5k29t31mbrqrncf53q8l97n86mcjato@4ax.com>
Source record: 169944

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 23:03:47 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:ckh5k2t5ha18hja1s0jobp9ue03un6a2i7@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>news:1161910291.145244.72190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>>
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>>> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>>>> > >
>>>> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
>>>> >
>>>> > I'm sure that you do.
>>>>
>>>> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
>>>> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC
>>>
>>> I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
>>> participate.
>>
>>
>>I don't do much 80 meters, not even listen much.
>>
>>I enjoy satellite. Amsat life member.
>prove it
>
>.............
>To who?
anyone
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3977. Re: Omega One Radio - The Tood Show Back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:16:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162005375.302465.35230@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> Tood@g00gles.com (NO9GL) wrote in
> news:1155287378.599607.204730@g00gles.com:
>
> > >
> > > We going strong again and talked about ratbastard Slow Code from
> > > Denver plus bitchwhore Steve Robeson and how they trouble makers for
> > > everyone. While talk about robeson, I put mic in pants and fart and
> > > everyone laff thier asses off! The Tood Show is humerous too so
> > > listen for next one next time.
> >
> >
> > ROFL.
> >
> > Todd needs to move back to 13556, I can't hear him on 6950.
> well according to his blog that is in the works
> >
> >
> > SC

3978. slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:18:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162005522.611404.255900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169947

Not Lloyd wrote:
> Chris. Morgan wants to know if you have a "slicense".
>
> "I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense"
>
> Ignore his back-handed slight about the drugs.
no backhand slight was made I have no idea is chris is adrug user no
reason to say he is and none to say he is not
Indeed I don't care if he is adrug user

nor for that matter do I know or care if he has a license or not but
you are both ful of lies and distortions

3979. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 03:25:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fdj5k2561muelkvcdupokotlkb70dnuf17@4ax.com>
Source record: 169949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 23:25:01 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Homobrew?
>
more gaybashing I see and toppsoting for some reason too
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3980. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 04:03:47 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dr6os.fc4.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169932

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:ckh5k2t5ha18hja1s0jobp9ue03un6a2i7@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:45 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1161910291.145244.72190@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>>> > > news:1161399876.591042.314920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>> > > > Looks like Bruce and Dan are back...
>>> > >
>>> > > I hope 11 meters opens up
>>> >
>>> > I'm sure that you do.
>>>
>>> indeed it is likely the sole we see SC is that we are at the bottom of
>>> the sunspot cyle and cb just isn't fun those ilgeal op like SC
>>
>> I'm sure that he listens to 75M on shortwave and wishes he could
>> participate.
>
>
>I don't do much 80 meters, not even listen much.
>
>I enjoy satellite. Amsat life member.
prove it

....
To who? You? Why? Prove you do EME.

3981. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 04:13:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zNA0h.428$LI4.321@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 169951

Not Lloyd wrote:
> Gay Bashing? Gay Bashing? I didn't see any gay bashing, Mark. I DID see a
> question mark behind "homobrew".

It must be one of those special purpose beers we've seen the colonel
discuss.

Dave K8MN

3982. Does Chris have a "slicense"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 04:17:33 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dr7in.ikt.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169945

Chris. Morgan wants to know if you have a "slicense".

"I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense"

Ignore his back-handed slight about the drugs. He simply has "no idea". Mark is still smarting over the fact that you called Mark out on Mark's claim to be a geophysicist.

Exposure can be very embarrassing to the braggart...

3983. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 04:25:01 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dr80n.k7b.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Homobrew?

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:rsh5k2lhrd23j0bh3kpjkeg1kgco2up3r8@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
>news:ef18$45415363$45011502$24961@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
>>>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> New hams just don't appreciate things anymore.
>>>>
>>>> I don't remember,
>>>> cutting wood for winter, foraging the woods for berries,
>>>> turning the crank on a model T, building a spear to kill a deer,
>>>> washing my clothes in the river, making candles to light the night.
>>>> And I do appreciate that I don't have to do these things anymore.
>>>> Mike
>>>>
>>>>
>>> I have helped cut wood for winter heating. I have also foraged for
>>> berries, actually Granny knew where to look and took me along to help
>>> pick berries. I have never hand cranked a model T, but have watched
>>> others do it (was tied up with winter wood cutting, the "T" drove a
>>> belt with a rear axle wheel to drive a large circular saw) I never did
>>> any of the other things listed, but if Granny were still here, I'd bet
>>> she has and could describe the process. Except the spear hunting, that
>>> was before Grannys time and on the other side of the family.
>>>
>>> Harold
>>> KD5SAK
>> Hi Harold,
>> My point is, times change, peoples change, and if you want to cut
>> wood,
>> pick berries, crank a model T, or spear hunt,
>> Have at it, but your not out telling everybody they need to.
>> Mike
>
>
>
>Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
>appliance off the shelf.
why homebreww becuase you want to

there has NEVER been any requirement to Homobrew the abilty to choose
has simply improved with the demands of the Marketplace IOW becuase
YOU and your fellow hams WANTED to be able not to homebrew the market
has prodcued an enviroment that by the time I was licensed hombrewing
becamse purely volontary in fact as it has always been in law
>
>
>73,
>Back to my CW and projects.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3984. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 04:58:15 UTC
From: k8vf_mark@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <1162011495.648000.21730@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>
> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
> will help clean up the repeaters.
>
> SC

Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.

5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
normal physical dexterity.
I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
15 or so years.

At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
weeks.

For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.

When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
about nervous!
I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
they do) with that for excitement and stress.
When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!

No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it cannot
compare with the people of Ham Radio.

3985. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 05:06:45 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <draeu.t9u.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gay Bashing? Gay Bashing? I didn't see any gay bashing, Mark. I DID see a question mark behind "homobrew". How is that gay bashing? Are you overly sensitive about your admitted bisexual proclivities?
Please cease putting words in the mouths of others, Mark. I will not allow that to pass.
Oh, and does it irk you if I enjoy "topsotting"? Is that gay bashing, too?
The British use the term sot to describe a drunkard. As an offensive term for one who drinks habitually, (drunkard).
If I "topsot", am I picking on Brits? Those who imbibe?

And just WHO is the controlling authority on whether I top post or not? YOU?
<derisive laughter>

You don't count, Mark.

Now, tell us just what is "homobrew"?

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:fdj5k2561muelkvcdupokotlkb70dnuf17@4ax.com...
On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 23:25:01 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Homobrew?
>
more gaybashing I see and toppsoting for some reason too
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

3986. I "toppsot" and Mark takes offense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 05:15:56 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <drb05.vam.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".

I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.
Don't like it? Whine to Caputo.

Now, Mark...what is "homobrew"? It is, after all, YOUR word, so what is it?
Enquiring minds want to know.

3987. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 05:24:36 UTC
From: k35454 <k35454@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <oNB0h.78$SI6.65@newsfe13.phx>
Source record: 169955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:xwx0h.328$LI4.139@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
news:gqe0h.194345$5R2.130996@pd7urf3no:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> ""''''''

>>> would know. If you can answer most of these questions, you would have
>>> enough knowledge to discuss Canadian topics. I will supply the answers
>>> if there is any interest.
>>
>>
>> (11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?
>>
>> A province.
>>
>> SC
>>
> The correct answer is: Smarter than Slow Code, DxAss and Cuhulin
> combined.
>
> JB

Ah, John, I see your back from the mental hospital. That standing in line
for health care must really suck.

SC

Even if the Health Services were that way, it would still be
better
than standing in line to be inducted into "national service" for Iraq.
k35454.

3988. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 05:25:57 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <drbiv.1sv.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169953

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:zNA0h.428$LI4.321@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
Not Lloyd wrote:
> Gay Bashing? Gay Bashing? I didn't see any gay bashing, Mark. I DID see a
> question mark behind "homobrew".

It must be one of those special purpose beers we've seen the colonel
discuss.

Dave K8MN
....

Oh my, yes! Thank you for reminding me that The Colonel injests his beer in,
how to put this nicely, "unorthodox" ways? He has his very own, highly
personal back door version of a micro brewery, so to speak.
Homobrew. Now I understand.

3989. Re: 11 meter quad beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 06:31:46 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.06.31.42.961344@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 09:27:25 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Steveo wrote:
>> Hi Jay.
>>
>> Yeah someone emailed me about those and said they were happy with it. I
>> can't say I've ever heard of them before. Do you have any hands on
>> experience with them?
>>
>> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>> > Hello Stevo and jim:
>> >
>> > Look at the Qubex Magum CB Series Quad antennas.
>> >
>> > http://www.cubex.com/index.htm
>> >
>> > Jay in the Mojave
>> > jim wrote:
>> >
>> > > Ever think of a JoGunn? Maybe Jay in the Mojave can come up with
>> > > something.
>
> I PUNCE AGAINNE

Is there some reason anyone should care, pseudo-Mark? Blatant homophobia
isn't as cool outside KKK circles as you seem to think. If you don't
like sexuality shoved in your face, refrain from public displays of
affection first. Of course, you probably don't have a choice, meaning
you're motivated by jealousy, but I'm giving you a tiny benefit of the
doubt.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf

And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3990. Re: SMM Even More Feminised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 07:34:55 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.07.34.48.38610@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169957
Cross-posted to: soc.men,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fucknozzles,rec.arts.poems,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 13:48:39 -0700, an_old_friend attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> Masculist wrote:
>> Daedalus wrote:
>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 12:59:57 -0500, Jill wrote:
>> > >On 25 Oct 2006 16:59:18 GMT, Andre Lieven wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>The Kathy Morgan useful idiot is now a co moderator of
>> > >>alt.usenet.kooks.moderated&polite.
>> > >
>> > >Yes, MS. Morgan has been quite busy asserting her new "authority"
>> > >over there. By the looks of things she's posted today, she's going
>> > >to be more of a dictator than a moderator. That should really make
>> > >the place buzz with posts. LOL.
>> > >
>> > >>So, the group is NOW even *more* of a pussy fest. Just the thing for
>> > >>anyone who likes to see women controlling men...
>> > >>
>> > >><laughs> Man, they just keep proving Jill and myself right.
>> > >>
>> > >>Andre
>> >
>> > Oh look! Andrea and Bill the bitter cackling hens of soc.men are still
>> > whining about smm.
>> >
>> > Maybe we'll get to see the rare cackling hen courtship dance where
>> > they strut around crowing about how long they've been on usenet and
>> > make up lies about AUKers so they can feel superior.
>> >
>> > If only the courtship dance ended with them both declaring they
>> > belonged beside God and shooting themselves in the head. That would be
>> > a true spectacle of the tameless passion of nature.
>>
>> Huh? You want to clarify that Jaded? When you try to pack too many
>> concepts into one sentence clarity is often lost. Now what was that you
>> were saying about you AUKers shooting eachother in the head?
>
> I used to punce in auk but they to much gay bashing biggots in auk

I believe that's called "transference". Bigotry, after all, is rife in
rec.radio.*, and is also a common kooksign roughly 80-90% of the time. The
rest of the time, of course, paranoia about bigotry is the kooksign...

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and

Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3991. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 07:48:59 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.07.48.56.33239@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169959
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>> issue further by squeaking:
>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
```

>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>> <snip>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: still no response -- *plonk*

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml

Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3992. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 08:46:50 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <drner.uk2.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169958

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162005522.611404.255900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

Not Lloyd wrote:
> Chris. Morgan wants to know if you have a "slicense".
>
> "I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense"
>
> Ignore his back-handed slight about the drugs.
no backhand slight was made I have no idea is chris is adrug user no
reason to say he is and none to say he is not
Indeed I don't care if he is adrug user

nor for that matter do I know or care if he has a license or not but
you are both ful of lies and distortions
....

You, Mark, are a goon. If you truly do not care whether or not Chris has a
license, then why the comment? Apparently you do care or you'd have not
brought it up to begin with.
As far as Chris using drugs? If you have no reason to say he is or is not a
drug user, why mention it to begin with? Perhaps it was just an easy shot
across his bow, eh? A cheap, tawdry shot at that.
It would seem to me, Mark, that the lies are yours. You insinuate that Chris
has no license and may be a drug abuser. You did not accuse, but you
insinutated as much.

I tell no lies. Unlike you, I have no reason to distort the comments of
others or to place words in the mouths of posters that were never uttered. I
will, however, continue to take YOU to task for your distortions,
misreprentations and outright lies, and I will do so on a regular basis.

You are not an honorable person, Mark Morgan. You are not an intellectual
person, Mark Morgan. You are a poor, little adult waif with nothing in his
life but Usenet. Nothing more, nothing less.

I feel sorry for you.

3993. Re: slow craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 10:59:49 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <drv4v.qla.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:1ii5k2pnj4gvvdq6g4fa1urlidm02s4nkv@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:31:44 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years
>ago. ROFL.

nah you are just a coward
>
>That,
>
>and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd
>Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.

You know I have never exchanged an IM with Llord Foley or Wisemen

let alone a gay one

of Course I am not gay I am bi something that realy scares Slow code
>

You are "bi"? Is that like being only half Gay? And why should that "scares"
anybody?
Nobody is afraid of you, Mark. We are far too busy laughing at you.

3994. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 12:47:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162039652.528175.8380@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169961

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
> >> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message

> Look around *this* newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?

Sure do. This newsgroup isn't all of amateur radio, though. In fact,
it's a very small group.

> >> >The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
> >> >appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
> >> >understanding of the requirements.

> >> Nonsense.

> >No, it's the truth.

> "Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
> want things kept without change.

You mean like olde-tymers who want the zoning in their neighborhood to
stay the
same forever? There's at least one of those "in this newsgroup".

> >> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
> >> Requirements Should Be.

> >Nobody says they do.

> Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)

It's not all about *you*, Len ;-)

> >> They never did, despite all the
> >> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.

> >No such "propaganda", Len.

> You've been Conditioned,

Not at all.

> Conditioned thinking
> stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
> were able to read...

How? By facts and experience?

> >> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
> >> has either given up on using morse code for communications
> >> or never considered it in the first place.

> >Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
> >Morse Code - extensively.
>
> Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,

> License TEST requirements.

That doesn't answer my question at all, Len.

Why are the modes used or not used by *other* radio services important
to
the license test requirements for an *amateur radio* license?

Shouldn't the modes used by radio amateurs be most important to the
license test requirements for an *amateur radio* license?

> The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
> by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
> to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
> why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?

Because that mode is a big part of amateur radio operation, and skill
in the use of that mode cannot be adequately tested otherwise. And
because there needs to be some testing on the things an Amateur Radio
license authorizes licensees to do.

What you're saying, once all the bluster is removed, is that since hams
are not required to *use* Morse Code, they should not be required to
learn Morse Code.

The problem is that if the same idea is applied to other modes, most of
the rest of the license requirements go away.

For example:

The FCC does not require exclusive use of radiotelephone modes by US
radio amateurs. Those modes are all optional to use. Why should there
be any questions on radiotelephone modes on the tests for an amateur
radio license?

The FCC does not require exclusive use of data modes by US radio
amateurs. Those modes are all optional to use. Why should there be any
questions on data modes on the tests for an amateur radio license?

The FCC does not require exclusive use of VHF bands by US radio
amateurs. Choice of band is entirely optional. Why should there be any
questions on VHF on the tests for an amateur radio license?

Etc.

Go down that path for any distance and there's almost nothing left of
the written test. Is that what you want? I think it is.

3995. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 13:41:25 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcaba1.com>
Message-ID: <ofn6k2hu1o1crlbtl3d79jeprfgv9p4eup@4ax.com>
Source record: 169962
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
<verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>issue further by squeaking:
>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted to
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

Note: STFU, Bruce.

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

Hi, fanbois!

3996. Re: copyright infringement is also a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 14:29:20 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.14.29.15.139032@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:
>>
>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about

different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3997. Re: marqueer lie all you like

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 14:35:10 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.14.35.04.817299@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.music.country,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...
>> >>> >
>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
>> >>>
>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >>Marqueer.......
>> >
>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf

And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing
money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it
up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

3998. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 14:35:19 UTC
From: JDB <me@here.now>
Message-ID: <HUJ0h.20238$OE1.11314@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 169964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This code argument really gets old. I passed the General 13WPM code. Bidg deal. Yeah, I like to use CW, but do I think people need to be tested on CW? Heck NO! Let's end this antiquated test. It's a modern era. If you want to learn it -fine, but don't push your old and outdated beliefs on anyone else.

JDB

Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 17:37:42 -0500, Glen Overby
> <coreSPAMsample@charter.net> wrote:
>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.net> wrote:
>>>till you can explain what value knowing the name has you can begin to
>>>convince me that you went a through a test as realavant as mine
>>
>>The licensing hierarchy (and the morse code debate) isn't about value,
>>it's
>>about status. It's about having a chip on your shoulder that says "I'm
>>better than you".
> well the procoders like to rpetend it is about value
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

3999. Re: Fucking duck nutter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 14:43:07 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.28.14.43.03.873096@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 169966
Cross-posted to: alt.astronomy,demon.local,ne.weather,soc.men,comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:39:05 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 14:55:55 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Sat, 21 Oct 2006 22:29:27 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the issue
>>>> further by squeaking:
>>>>> Phil Kyle wrote:
>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Wed, 18 Oct 2006 15:53:32 +0000, Phil Kyle did most oddly state:
>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>> On Tue, 17 Oct 2006 13:32:35 +0000, Phil Kyle did the cha-cha,
>>>>>>>>> and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 20:41:21 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:48:44 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:15 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:01:00 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:54:31 -0600, Art Deco attempted
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>> <snip>
>> <snip>
<snip>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> > *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>> *plonk*
>>
>> *plonk*
>
> *plonk*

plonk

--
____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited

by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4000. Re: I "toppsot" and Mark takes offense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:15:55 UTC
From: LLOYD DAVIES <lardass@bucktoothchoad.com>
Message-ID: <ehvvo3mb1@chiara.aioe.org>
Source record: 169967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:drb05.vam.17.1@news.alt.net...
> Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".
>
> I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.
> Don't like it? Whine to Caputo.
>
> Now, Mark...what is "homobrew"? It is, after all, YOUR word, so what is
> it?
> Enquiring minds want to know.
>
>
I'm a fat homo.

4001. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:32:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ig17k2teahfvdmqa8qhel0v9i67ba017gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 169968

On 28 Oct 2006 05:47:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>> >> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> Look around *this* newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>
>Sure do. This newsgroup isn't all of amateur radio, though. In fact,
>it's a very small group.

and non of th OT are good helpfull hams including yourself
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4002. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:34:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dj17k2t1mjq47okplo144omdm2mtc7nhsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 169969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:06:45 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>Gay Bashing? Gay Bashing? I didn't see any gay bashing,
you just enage in it daily even hourly you don't see wht you are doing
as wrong
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4003. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:37:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <lm17k2pjvadt9erj5jh8c4iklvkfov1589@4ax.com>
Source record: 169970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>>
>> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
>> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
>> will help clean up the repeaters.
>>
>> SC
>
>Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
>
>5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
>normal physical dexterity.
not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
of the terms you are using
>I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
>taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
>15 or so years.
so?
>
>At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
>weeks.

good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
license till I gave up
>
>For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
>warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.

there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
Warc bands)
>
>When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
>office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
>about nervous!
>I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
>they do) with that for excitement and stress.
>When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
>taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!
>
>No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it cannot

>compare with the people of Ham Radio.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4004. Re: slow craps some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:39:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5r17k2pfs35lb5s3ttt3qt08c603gcj6od@4ax.com>
Source record: 169971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 05:59:49 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message

>
>You are "bi"? Is that like being only half Gay?
no
> And why should that "scares"
>anybody?

becuase so many of you repressed type keep telling yourself that since
"like Girls" as I do you can't "like men? a Bi scares you in
questioning your own sexuality that isa well established FACT
>Nobody is afraid of you, Mark.
you certainly as Steve and woger
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4005. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:44:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1u17k25t2bf09pgrgmv4cu39jfabr8a5gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 169972

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 03:46:50 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>You, Mark, are a goon. If you truly do not care whether or not Chris has a
>license, then why the comment?
if you had read the matter in context you would know why
>Apparently you do care or you'd have not
>brought it up to begin with.
no I was merely addressing overhead
>As far as Chris using drugs? If you have no reason to say he is or is not a
>drug user, why mention it to begin with?
I did not I responsed to someone claiming he did
>Perhaps it was just an easy shot
>across his bow, eh? A cheap, tawdry shot at that.
>It would seem to me, Mark, that the lies are yours. You insinuate that Chris
>has no license and may be a drug abuser.
no the Poster preceeding me in the chian did that
>You did not accuse, but you
>insinutated as much.

no I merely comented on the post of another
>
>I tell no lies.
sure you do"You did not accuse, but you insinutated as much." is lie I
made no such statement

>Unlike you, I have no reason to distort the comments of
>others or to place words in the mouths of posters that were never uttered.
another lie

> I
>will, however, continue to take YOU to task for your distortions,
>misreprentations and outright lies, and I will do so on a regular basis.
then you should be silent but you will not be chris ahem I steve I
mean not lloyd

you are a cowardly lier that has has to attack anyone different from
themselves that is called "Zenophobia"
>
>You are not an honorable person, Mark Morgan.
sure I am
> You are not an intellectual
>person, Mark Morgan.
worng again
> You are a poor, little adult waif with nothing in his

>life but Usenet. Nothing more, nothing less.

gee you are projecting again it seems

you are are afraid to even give your name
>
>I feel sorry for you.

not likely
>
>
BTW could you learn to quote properly
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4006. slow code contiues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:45:21 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f727k2tagk1ni7ufso7fense3vt10n159e@4ax.com>
Source record: 169973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:15:56 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".
>
>I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.

take your own adivice

and it is you that therfore choose to misquote every poster on the NG
not me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4007. id theft a still a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 16:45:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9927k2h0jubvet9hicqvj8dfjkkbt1b1pk@4ax.com>
Source record: 169974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 12:15:55 -0400, "LLOYD DAVIES"
<lardass@bucktoothchoad.com> wrote:

>
>"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in message news:drb05.vam.17.1@news.alt.net...
>> Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".
>>
>> I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.
>> Don't like it? Whine to Caputo.
>>
>> Now, Mark...what is "homobrew"? It is, after all, YOUR word, so what is
>> it?
>> Enquiring minds want to know.
>>
>>
>I'm a fat homo.
no matter whoose is stolen
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4008. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 17:03:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <j637k29a32oftdnnnktef0lm491pp83j1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 169975

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>boot camp.

the ARS is not the ARMED FORCES or a fat house and hazing which what
you advocate is ILEGAL as a precondiction of services from the FEDERAL
govt
>
>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>about being a real programmer.

well i certainly programed in assembly OTOH you have no right to demnd
it
>If you've never passed a Morse code
>exam, don't talk to me about being a real ham.

ditto
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4009. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 17:23:46 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CmM0h.1952$s6.20@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 169976

Chris wrote:
> If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
> about being a real programmer.

Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
execute their programs.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4010. slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 17:36:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162056982.428895.215930@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169977

Chris wrote:
>
Wealthy Greeks have a
> xenodocheion (a guest house) near their main home, but you won't be
> invited to stay unless you can send and receive Morse code at at least
> 5 wpm (by tradition, guests must use a telegraph to communicate with the
> main house).
bullshit alert

4011. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 17:56:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com>
Source record: 169978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> > about being a real programmer.
>>
>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> execute their programs.
>
>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming

but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
bleeds
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4012. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 18:51:15 UTC
From: T <nospam.kd1s@cox.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fad70aa5888964b989c5a@news.east.cox.net>
Source record: 169979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee Heee.
>
> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
> license more acceptable these days?
>
> SC
>
>

As someone that entered the hobby as a no-code tech and upgraded to
extra within a year, I feel I've earned the right to gripe. I did
element 1C at 20WPM. This 5WPM thing irks me.

Of course I haven't used a radio in a few years now. Restrictions on
antennas and the fact that 2m, 70cm and up are nothing but packet
trackers these days.

4013. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:02:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <npd7k2t41fdh6oalk0jqqoatedm49mmas2@4ax.com>
Source record: 169983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:32:50 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
><daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> Chris wrote:
>>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>> > about being a real programmer.
>>>
>>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>> execute their programs.
>>
>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>
>but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>bleeds
>......
>
>So, Mark. Besides being a Colonel and a geophysicist, you are now an
>electronics engineer as well?
no never made anysuch claim "Lloyd"

but you stillhave not learn how to quote propely "lloyd"
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4014. hi SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:04:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1sd7k2lk3cesr6901hsp2bmm1l5o69tfa7@4ax.com>
Source record: 169984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Chris wrote:
>> >> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >> > about being a real programmer.
>> >>
>> >> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> >> execute their programs.
>> >>
>> >Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>>
>> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> bleeds
>
>Not so. The whole idea of SOFT
exactly so you are changing your terms in MID thread
progarming was the subject not hardware vs sogtware

if you ever learned to think youd understand this concept
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4015. more Gay bashing shit from SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:05:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gud7k2pgvluem7rqdl1u0ue35q3fggv81j@4ax.com>
Source record: 169985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:27:36 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>
moer gay bashing more not giving you what you want
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4016. back to more gay bashing by SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:06:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mvd7k2h7a3e2qalqg4pi0bmhqcuthilrpm@4ax.com>
Source record: 169986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:29:11 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".

you can gay bash all you like nothing I can do it short of murder of
course
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4017. more of SC crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:06:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <l1e7k2dpb0l6a6fj9pg0hrj5f0vvaqq5d5@4ax.com>
Source record: 169987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:35:37 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>No comments needed here.
indeed buyut you will contiue to dabte your "lloyd"
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4018. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:13:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lrudnUVSG51zJN7YnZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"T" <nospam.kd1s@cox.nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1fad70aa5888964b989c5a@news.east.cox.net...
> In article <Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
>> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee
>> Heee.
>>
>> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
>> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
>> license more acceptable these days?
>>
>> SC
>>
>>
>
> As someone that entered the hobby as a no-code tech and upgraded to
> extra within a year, I feel I've earned the right to gripe. I did
> element 1C at 20WPM. This 5WPM thing irks me.
>

Perhaps it would put a different perspective on things if you read up on the early history of ham radio. The earliest testing for CW was only 5wpm for any class (see "The Art & Skill of Radiotelegraphy" available as a free download from FISTS). While I also had to take and pass a 20wpm test for my Extra, the 5wpm does not irk me. I simply want people to have the basics so that they won't be afraid to pursue this at a later date as their interests change. I want people to know that they can learn it instead of believing the naysayers who try to convince them that it is "too hard".

> Of course I haven't used a radio in a few years now. Restrictions on
> antennas and the fact that 2m, 70cm and up are nothing but packet
> trackers these days.
>

I've made worldwide HF contacts from my car so there are alternatives. I know apartment dwellers who go down to the local park regularly and put up a temporary antenna on these base plates that campers sometimes use.

Packet is declining on VHF/UHF. We have some lively usage for other purposes in our area. Start a simplex net or a repeater net. Do some weak signal SSB or CW work. Interesting things crop up from time to time.

Dee, N8UZE

Dee, N8UZE

4019. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:15:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <atudnfCqXaH0J97YnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 169989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee Heee.
>
> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
> license more acceptable these days?
>
> SC
>

There are people who are pushing ahead on their code for that reason. I've seen several postings to that effect on various ham sites. Others are pushing ahead simply because they don't want to wait.

Dee, N8UZE

4020. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:24:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <i0f7k25hukv4dlc9an38gp01ka5ug560ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 169990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"T" <nospam.kd1s@cox.nospam.net> wrote in message
>news:MPG.1fad70aa5888964b989c5a@news.east.cox.net...
>> In article <Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>> my.mail@no.spam says...
>>>
>>> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
>>> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee
>>> Heee.
>>>
>>> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
>>> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
>>> license more acceptable these days?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>>
>>
>> As someone that entered the hobby as a no-code tech and upgraded to
>> extra within a year, I feel I've earned the right to gripe. I did
>> element 1C at 20WPM. This 5WPM thing irks me.
>>
>
>Perhaps it would put a different perspective on things if you read up on the
>early history of ham radio. The earliest testing for CW was only 5wpm for
>any class (see "The Art & Skill of Radiotelegraphy" available as a free
>download from FISTS). While I also had to take and pass a 20wpm test for my
>Extra, the 5wpm does not irk me. I simply want people to have the basics so
>that they won't be afraid to pursue this at a later date as their interests
>change. I want people to know that they can learn it instead of believing
>the naysayers who try to convince them that it is "too hard".

you want to force others down a blind technological alley and promote
the flasehood spread by some In a the legal sense I have it flase that
all perosn can learn code

but that is just a red herring

Code testing lacks any legal basis wisince WRC-2003

anything test that fails the burden of being legal must go
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4021. Topic returned to "I Toppsot"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:27:36 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt0dh.jp8.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:f727k2tagk1ni7ufso7fense3vt10n159e@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:15:56 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".
>
>I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.

take your own adivice

....

I asked you earlier what "homobrew" is and you didn't answer?

I'm waiting...

4022. sc tries changing the meaning of words

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:27:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s5f7k2hl1m3duad8mejlsj0nedo44gk4h0@4ax.com>
Source record: 169991

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:24:20 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:04:24 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Chris wrote:
>> >> >> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >> >> > about being a real programmer.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> >> >> execute their programs.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>> >> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>> >>
>> >> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> >> bleeds
>> >>
>> >Not so. The whole idea of SOFT
>> exactly so you are changing your terms in MID thread
>> progarming was the subject not hardware vs sogtware
>>
>> if you ever learned to think youd understand this concept
>
>Duh. Programmers produce software.
prgramers program that is the meaning of the word amazing your
insistance on playing with words meaning and spelling but asert
"lloyd" that only you have the right to decide

your obession with MJ does also suggest you are rather obessed on
something either Music or Child rape the 2 things he best known in
assocaition with

nither of them are on topic
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4023. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:28:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162067321.211578.214540@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169992

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> > >
> >> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >> > >>> That's really sad.
> >> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a lowlfie.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >> >
> >> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >> >
> >> > I haven't the slightest idea.
> >> >
> >> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
> >>
> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
> >>
> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>
> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)

Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
wasn't asked.

> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)

A-1 Operator!

> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
> >
> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>
> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]

>
> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
> him!" AS IF. :-)

Squeaky Clean.

> >Ditto
> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
> >be absent from RRAP.
>
> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
> (code speed) up? :-)

Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.

> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
> knows?

That Jim's not that old...

> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)

Little Billy Beeper's mentor?

> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
> >
> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>
> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>
> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>
> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

They wished.

4024. I "Toppsot" and Mark takes offense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:29:11 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt0gf.8hv.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".

I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.
Don't like it? Whine to Caputo.

Now, Mark...what is "homobrew"? It is, after all, YOUR word, so what is it?
Enquiring minds want to know.

We're waiting....

4025. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:32:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162067565.811803.218670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161909279.069596.18370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > Slow Code wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
> > >
> > > Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.
> > >
> > >> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
> > >> test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
> > >> General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
> > >>
> > >> SC
> > >
> > > You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
> > > radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the amateur
> > > radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.
>
> We have to dumb it down to keep it from dying?
>
> SC

We have to remove unnecessary and superfluous licensing requirements.

4026. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:32:50 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt0nb.99l.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:bc67k21qs7a8t3ag4tf5cp9ec703hur3tl@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> > about being a real programmer.
>>
>> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> execute their programs.
>
>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming

but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
bleeds
......

So, Mark. Besides being a Colonel and a geophysicist, you are now an
electronics engineer as well?

4027. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:37:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> group anymore.
>
> SC

Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.

4028. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:39:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162067985.912334.80680@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
> news:1161434444.560351.187100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
> >> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >> >>> That's really sad.
> >> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
> >> >> lowlfie.
> >> >>
> >> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
> >>
> >> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
> >>
> >> I haven't the slightest idea.
> >>
> > Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
> >
> > Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> > connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> > seven different screen names here - that we know of. "Slow Code" could
> > be Brian Burke, N0IMD, who has used a wide variety of screen names
> > here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
> > usually without including his name or callsign.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I've never been so insulted in all my life. Calling me Len. May you be
> cursed with six weeks of HF QRN and your antenna tip over.
>
> Slow Code

Ha! Jim insulting Jim.

4029. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:51:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162068703.182397.117950@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1161974787.443117.295490@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

> >>> > >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
> >>> > >>> That's really sad.
> >>> > >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
> >>> > >> lowlfie.

> >>> > >> (extraneous groups deleted)

> >>> > > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?

> >>> > I haven't the slightest idea.

> >>> Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.

> >>> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >>> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >>> seven different screen names here - that we know of.

> >>How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?

> > Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)

Who is "Jimmie"? There's a "Jimmie D" who has posted to rrap recently.
I'm not him

> > Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
> > such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
> > good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)

Who is this "Jimmie" person? Can't be me, because I've never claimed to
be "serving...country in other ways". And I work some HF CW DX, but not
as much as many other hams.

> >>> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,

> >>Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.

No protests from me. Just a statement of fact: I am not "Slow Code".

> > Not in

> > petty prissy manner of "always being correct."

You mean like knowing the correct distance from the USSR to Tokyo? Or
the actual length of a quarter-wave whip for 73 MHz?

> > [i.e., thinking as

> > thinks...all else is "wrong"]

No, that's *your* game, Len.

One can always tell when Len is losing the debate - he starts following
his profile.

> > Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
> > STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]

Really? Where's your proof?

> > But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
> > him!" AS IF. :-)

Gee, Len, you've posted to rrap as

lenanderson@mog-ur.com
nocwtest@aol.com
lenof21@aol.com
lenover21@aol.com
averyfine@aol.com
averyfineman@aol.com

and the screen name you use now.

Those are just the ones we know of, too. There are probably more. Many
of your posts are anonymous, too.

Maybe you were "Cutie Boy", Len, doing a bad imitation of "Scratchi".

For all we know, Len or Brian Burke, N0IMD could be "Slow Code". I
don't mean to be insulting, but since "SC" insists on being anonymous,
he could be anybody.

I just know he isn't me.

> >>Ditto
> >>Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
> >>be absent from RRAP.
> >
> > Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
> > (code speed) up? :-)

Your Extra still in its box, Len? In January it will be seven years.

> > Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
> > knows?

> >
> > Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
> > the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
> >
> >>> who has used a wide variety of screen names
> >>> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
> >>> usually without including his name or callsign.
> >>
> >>I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
> >>on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
> >>call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
> >>Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
> >>fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
> >
> > There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.

Do you still have trouble "integrating young people into...an adult
activity", Len?

> > Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.

You mean like someone who calls himself "Avery Fine"? And then denies
posting here?

Or "Leo"? Does "Leo" have "No guts. No courage. No brains."?

> > They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
> > beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
> > dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
> > country in 'other' ways." :-)

You hide behind your keyboard, Len.

> > LenAnderson@ieee.org

> Wheeew! Thank god you expelled most of your gas in your last post you
> didn't have a lot left over for this one.

He's got plenty more, "SC".

> No Len. Most RRAPers aren't pro-CW, but you think they're pro code
> because they're willing to learn it for a license.

It's really simple, "SC". Len has no tolerance for disagreement with
his cherished views. All you have to do to get him going is to disagree
with him. Or do something even worse: prove he is wrong (mistaken)
about something.

> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> group anymore.

No, there's me, too. Jim, N2EY. You can read my comments to FCC on
ECFS.

I'm for both keeping the Morse Code test and improving the written tests.

4030. sc contining his crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:56:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <esg7k2hus5brglhv393qutkfgiqp7hlrba@4ax.com>
Source record: 169998

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:50:18 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Poor Mark. He's been bested again and is now squirming while attempting to
>justify his stupidity.
bested by whom?

I donb't need to justify anything to a man with no name

no squirming you are just mucking raking which is all the ProCode
forces are able to do anymore
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4031. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 20:58:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 169999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> >>
> >> > > >> Already tried it.
> >> >
> >> > And dismissed it.
> >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> >> in the problems and correct the process
> >> >
> >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> >> > > >> is
> >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> >> >
> >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> >>
> >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> >> operators but hat doesn't count
> >> >
> >> > > >> I've tried it
> >> > > >> under a
> >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> >> > > >> signal to
> >> > > >> function.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> >> > > > of
> >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> >> >
> >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,

> >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> >> > good."
> >> >
> >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> >> >
> >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> >> >
> >> > And they aren't.
> >> >
> >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> >> >
> >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> >> > are superb morsemen.
> >> >
> >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> >> > > its
> >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> >> >
> >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> >> >
> >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> >> > > hear that.
> >> > >
> >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> >>
> >> well it is a thankless job
> >
> > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
>
> You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.

Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
the scores...

I think you get the point.

> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".

The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
the riff-raff" argument.

> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..

4032. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:01:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162069291.443839.159510@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> >>
> >> > > >> Already tried it.
> >> >
> >> > And dismissed it.
> >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> >> in the problems and correct the process
> >>
> >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> >> > > >> is
> >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> >>
> >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> >>
> >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> >> operators but hat doesn't count
> >>
> >> > > >> I've tried it
> >> > > >> under a
> >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> >> > > >> function.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> >> > > >
> >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> >> >
> >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are

> >> > good."
> >> >
> >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> >> >
> >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> >> >
> >> > And they aren't.
> >> >
> >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> >> >
> >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> >> > are superb morsemen.
> >> >
> >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> >> >
> >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> >> >
> >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> >> > > hear that.
> >> > >
> >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> >>
> >> well it is a thankless job
> >
> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.

> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large

The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.

4033. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:10:15 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162069815.216802.18040@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170051

From: Chris on Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:51 am

>Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This message will be
>removed from Groups in 6 days (Nov 4, 9:51 am).

[Hmmm...its as if "Chris" doesn't want to be identified as
"Slow Code"...can't say I blame him]

>It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>boot camp.

I never took "boot camp." I took BASIC TRAINING in the Army.
There we learned how to "close with the enemy and destroy him."

Does that mean you think a ham license is some permission to
go out and destroy others?

>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>about being a real programmer.

Ah, but *I* have done working Assembler for 6800s, 6502s,
8051s, and, lately, some Microchip PICs...in addition to
FORTRAN 77 and various dialects of BASIC, ADA (the
government's Pascal).

The "real" programmers I know can do high-level and well
as low-level programming. None of them can design and
build a working computer, though. I have.

>If you've never passed a Morse code
>exam, don't talk to me about being a real ham.

Wouldn't dream of it. :-)

A ham is defined as "the butchered meat of swine." :-)

Ah, but YOU 'define' a "real ham" as one who does
morse code radiotelegraphy!

Strange, but the FCC (the agency that grants those licenses)
doesn't think so. In fact, Part 97 Title 47 C.F.R. doesn't
even mention the word "ham." But, YOU are a "real" one?

If you are a "real" ham, WHAT IS YOUR CALL?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4034. get over it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:12:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9rh7k2h2kpljmeeooaoeemmqkvfaash618@4ax.com>
Source record: 170052

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:55:50 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"

>> prgramers program that is the meaning of the word amazing your
>> insistance on playing with words meaning and spelling but asert
>> "lloyd" that only you have the right to decide
>
>"In computing, a programmer is someone who does computer programming
>and develops computer software.
2 question why are you obesse with MJ and why is it you can twist and
distort logica and reason to "win" anything

as a bonus you could answer the question what have you won
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4035. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:13:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162070024.587436.182290@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:16pm

><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message

>>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>>> advantages and disadvantages.
>>
>> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>
>Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever mode
>they favor is the "best".

"CW always gets through..." :-)

> Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
>be converstant with those scenarios.

...especially in the Newington, CT, area. :-)

> If you need an image, SST or fax are
>far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
>communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
>sent.

There is NO separate pass-fail TEST for "image, SST [sic]
or fax" nor for data or voice required by the FCC for an
amateur license. ["SSTV"]

The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.

>> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
>> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
>> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>>
>You are exaggerating.

Hardly. ALL of the pro-code 1x2s in here, plus some 1x3s,
have stated the hoary old Maxim "CW always gets through."

Except N2EY who never admits to doing anything wrong...:-)

>None have stated all CW signals are good. What they
>have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under
>conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.

"CW always gets through..." :-)

If morse code radiotelegraphy were so "good," why hasn't
NASA picked up on it for the Deep Space Net? For the
quarter-million-mile 'DX' path to our moon? Why have
the maritime folks GIVEN UP on morse code for Safety Of
Life At Sea? [GMDSS uses a form of data, automated]

PSK will allow 100 WPM data to get through when all the
morsepersons have to use their imaginations to fill in
the garbled morse characters.

Still, the argument over the separate pass-fail "CW"
TEST is there with all the morsepersons wanting it be
kept forever and ever in FCC regulations...WHY?

Rhetorical question. The separate pass-fail "CW" TEST
is there because: (1) The ARRL wants it (they "know
what is best for ham radio"); (2) The already-licensed
had to take a morse test and everyone else had better
take one, too!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4036. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:16:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162070188.607182.201840@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170054

From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:53pm

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> A quarter wave whip at 73 MHz is only 3 1/4" long.
>>
>> No, it isn't.
>>
>> Wrong *again*, Len.
>>
>I guess he just doesn't know the difference between feet and inches.

I guess you did NOT read my admission of a typo?

Of course not, you have me "killfiled" because my comments
are just so "horrid" at not speaking the ARRL party line.

An approximation of a quarter-wave monopole in FEET
is 234/(frequency in MHz).

234 / 73 = 3.205 FEET.

Tsk, the nit-pickers just didn't do the math. A quarter
is NOT '0.205' but 0.250. Nobody checked that out. :-)

Not even N2EY, the Mother Superior of the newsgroup who
scrutinizes all of my postings with a beady eye, ready
to have a "WRONG" orgy, hopping up and down in glee at
"mistakes" and "errors" that "always" occur. :-)

Dee, since you have me "killfiled" you won't see this
post. I won't hold that against you. It's just another
"ostrich syndrome" of the pro-coders refusing to see the
reality of now. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4037. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:16:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162070196.612273.193390@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > >>
> > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > >> >
> > >> > And dismissed it.
> > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > >>
> > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > >> > > >> is
> > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > >>
> > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > >>
> > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > >>
> > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > >> > > >> under a
> > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > >> > > >> signal to
> > >> > > >> function.
> > >> > > >>
> > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > >> > > > of
> > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > >> > >
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > >> >

> > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > >> > good."
> > >> >
> > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > >> >
> > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > >> >
> > >> > And they aren't.
> > >> >
> > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > >> >
> > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > >> >
> > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > >> > > its
> > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > >> >
> > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > >> >
> > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > >> > > hear that.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > >> >
> > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > >>
> > >> well it is a thankless job
> > >
> > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> >
> > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
>

> Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> the scores...
>
> I think you get the point.

What point?

> > I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> > riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
>
> The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> the riff-raff" argument.

No, it isn't.

The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
steadily reduced for more than 25 years now. Not just the code tests
but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.

> > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.

> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..

Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
cause you to win the debate?

You lost.

4038. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:17:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p1i7k29dfpmc7gff9u4pp7hpbeutm7lgg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 170056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
>> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
>> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
>> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
>
>> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
>
>The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.
Realy i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
tended to avoid thier school I do hope some schools are doing a better
job

I mean de equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4039. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:22:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vci7k2lqugelk5g1qbmbfadtgkijn5gfsi@4ax.com>
Source record: 170057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Oct 2006 14:16:36 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>

>> The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
>> the riff-raff" argument.
>
>No, it isn't.
>
>The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
>steadily reduced for more than 25 years now. Not just the code tests
>but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
unsupported assertion you have never done anything that proves they
are not harder than those of your day
>
>> > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
>> > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
>> > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
>> > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
>> > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
>> > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
>> end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>
>Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
>cause you to win the debate?
not win but it makes the point DEE is ignorant of the truth OHM laws
has something to do with RADIO Morse Code does not
>
>You lost.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4040. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:22:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162070577.100365.65060@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1161987307.310626.32740@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:3dcd1b6e.991024857@alvillage.net...
> >> >> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:14:03 -0400, Dee Flint
> >> >> > <deeflint01@comcast.net>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ... Only the finest operators can send code well enough with a
> >> >> >> hand
> >> >> >> key
> >> >> >> that a computer can copy it anyway. Only exceptionally good
> >> >> >> operators
> >> >> >> can
> >> >> >> send well enough with a bug that a computer can copy it. Only very
> >> >> >> good
> >> >> >> operators can send well enough with paddles that computers can copy
> >> >> >> it.
> >> >> >> Basically a computer is good at copying computer generated code.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That may have been true in the 80's, back when people were just
> >> >> > getting started on the problem of copying CW with a personal
> >> >> > computer,
> >> >> > but the algorithms have improved greatly since then, and they are
> >> >> > now
> >> >> > quite good at copying manually generated Morse code. Even the area
> >> >> > where humans excelled - copying CW in the presence of QRM and QRN -
> >> >> > is
> >> >> > now handled quite well by most modern algorithms. Currently, the
> >> >> > most
> >> >> > popular program seems to be CwGet - a Windows program which Breakin
> >> >> > Magazine rates very highly. With gigahertz microprocessors and
> >> >> > built-in A/D converters, the modern PC is more than up to the task
> >> >> > of
> >> >> > dealing with computations that were once only practical on
> >> >> > mainframes.
> >> >>
```

> >> >> I've tried CWGet and it doesn't copy the signals that I want to copy.
> >> >> It
> >> >> still is subject to problems with QRN, QRM, QSB, and less than perfect
> >> >> fists. It can't copy any of the signals distorted by aurora. So
> >> >> while
> >> >> it
> >> >> is the best of the available programs, it still falls far short of a
> >> >> good
> >> >> human operator. And I'm speaking from experience with the program.
> >> >> It's
> >> >> not up to the task that I want it to do.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive 20
> >> >> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start copying
> >> >> > the
> >> >> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need for
> >> >> > a
> >> >> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
> >> >> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse originally
> >> >> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're only
> >> >> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
> >> >>
> >> >> Already tried it.
> >> >
> >> > And dismissed it.
> >>
> >> Based on actually trying it.

Of course!

> I did not form an opinion on it until I gave
> it a thorough workout. And if the conditions are good enough and they are
> going too fast for me, I'll use it to help out. But there's a lot of times
> it simply doesn't do the job.

Isn't that kind of cheating? W0EX would inject some non-standard
spacing if he knew you were pulling a stunt like that.

> >> >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> >> >> is
> >> >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> >> >
> >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> >>
> >> Correction: almost any operator who works code on a semi-regular basis. My
> >> code skills are very modest. Typically I am comfortable at 13wpm to 15wpm.
> >> Higher than that is a real strain. Still I often copy better than the
> >> computer despite that.

Dee, you know that's not true. There are countless present hams,
former hams, and people who were denied amateur licenses based upon the
Morse Code exam who actually studied Morse Code and who never got to

the point where they could use morse code on a practical level.

> >> >> I've tried it
> >> >> under a
> >> >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good signal to
> >> >> function.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary of
> >> > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> >>
> >>
> >> Unrelated to my comments.
> >
> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > good."
> >
>
> No I would not be repeating that myth because I never, ever said that all CW
> signals are good and never subscribed to that philosophy.

Didn't say you did. I'm saying that if you had said something as
atrocious as that 10 years ago, W0EX and K3LT would have kicked you
over to the NCI Camp.

> If they were the
> machines would always work and they don't.

EXpecially if W0EX suspected that you were using a machine.

> The other half of the coin is
> that some of the anti-code types persist in the myth that "Code can always
> be copied by computer". Neither myth is true.

So you're willing to concede that sometimes ham radio won't get
through?

> I've always maintained that every mode has its advantages and disadvantages.
> A good ham attempts to be conversant with those abilities. However the
> extremists on both sides don't want to hear that.
>
> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>
> Nope because you are ascribing things to me that are not true.

You merely misunderstand.

> Nobody has
> changed my opinions as stated in the above paragraphs. You make the mistake
> of lumping everyone who favors code into one group. That is no more

> accurate than lumping the anti-code people all in one group.

So Jim is wrong?

> >> No one has said all CW signals are good.
> >
> > And they aren't.
> >
> >> If they were always good, CWGet
> >> would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> >> solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> >
> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > are superb morsemen.
>
> I do not dismiss the software but am realistic to know that it is not the
> panacea that some would like to believe. Sometimes it works and sometimes
> it fails.

And sometimes CW doesn't get through even with skilled operators. And
sometimes you use CWGet to help you along when you find that your
skills are lacking.

> >> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> >> advantages and disadvantages.
> >
> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>
> Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever mode
> they favor is the "best". Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
> be converstant with those scenarios.

Larry Roll had one scenarion, and in that scenario, CW was the only
mode that would get through, and it would always get through.

> If you need an image, SST or fax are
> far better modes than CW.

I used to hand plot RADAR image reports that I received over TTY, but
those were the olden days. We've moved far beyond that now.

Satellite remote sensing is digital. A seven layer image could be sent
by CW, but it would take a long time.

> The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
> communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
> sent.

Glad to hear you say that. Why were you so silent on that subject when
K3LT and W0EX were saying otherwise?

> >> The extremists on each side don't want to
> >> hear that.

> >>
> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>
> You are exaggerating. None have stated all CW signals are good. What they
> have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under
> conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

What they wouldn't claim is possible is that there are conditions where
even CW wouldn't get through.

4041. Re: I "Toppsot" and Mark takes offense

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:23:15 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162070594.987520.110350@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Not Lloyd wrote:
> Mark Morgan complained, "more gaybashing I see and toppsoting".
>
> I do it my way, Mark. Get over it.
> Don't like it? Whine to Caputo.
>
> Now, Mark...what is "homobrew"? It is, after all, YOUR word, so what is it?
> Enquiring minds want to know.
>
> We're waiting....

thats ok morkins..........now get off the dam computer and get back to
licking my nuts!

4042. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:23:53 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162070633.749421.112000@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170061

From: "an_old_friend" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 4:15pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > On 27 Oct 2006 10:28:38 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
>> > >From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>
>> > not if that life belong to a NoCode ham it seems
>
>> There's been NO real input on hams saving lives by "CW" lately.
>> "Lately" being in the last few decades. The best the pro-coders
>> can come up with is some small-displacement ship going down
>> somewhere in the UK territory on New Year's Eve...NOT doing
>> the "CW" comms thing ON ham bands.
>
>I love that story that it is trotted out is just a measure of how
>desperate they are

That supposedly happened several years ago. But, it's
about the only "proof" the pro-code-testers have for
"enforcement" of the code test. Too bad they can't
effectively argue their case to the United States Coast
Guard. The USCG quit monitoring the 500 KHz inter-
national maritime distress frequency about the same time.

>> Army field radio was already dropping radiotelegraphy comms
>> DURING the active phase of the Korean War (i.e., prior to 1953).
>> Some of it was used in southeast Asia in the following decade.
>
>really? are you tlaking about just local stuff or long haul korea to
>stateside stuff geting a better feel for the timeline I was under the
>impression that army pretty weel stay with cw/code through most of
>korea then switched pretty quickly

Really. The "medium-haul" radio comms (50 to 200 miles, roughly)
was handled by the more-mobile AN/GRC-26 (hut on a deuce and a
half truck towing a MG set) where the TTY was favored for its
already-written messages followed by simultaneous voice. The
bulk of land-to-land messaging was handled by the "TRCs" or
transportable radio relay sets carrying four multiplexed voice
channels. Each voice channel could handle four TTY circuits.
All of that was left-over stuff from World War II.

Short-range comms were handled almost exclusively by FM voice
from the AN/PRC-6 (2nd generation HT, introduced just before
1950) and the manpacks ranging from SCR-300 (original "walkie-

talkie" of WWII) to the AN/PRC-8 through -10 (in the three overlapping "line" bands just introduced). Vehicular radios were a whole series of "VRCs" now arranged in the "line" bands at high-HF to low-VHF running voice FM. Many of those VRCs had become known as "tank radios" of WWII under their "SCR-" IDs.

Fans of the AN/GRC-9 would be disappointed in the LACK of use of that WWII relic and its arm-wasting manual generator. It operated only at low-HF. Tactically, it was a throwback to pre-WWII days of military radio and didn't suit the rapidly-shifting field tactics in Korea.

Long-haul radio comms in Korea (beyond 200 miles) was almost exclusively HF TTY plus all the wireline TTY (land permitting). Comms to FEC Hq in Tokyo was a mix of VHF radio relay, HF TTY (direct), and wireline (including underwater cable to cross the sea). Some of that was encrypted TTY using a second-generation system similar to the rolling-code "SIGABA" of the second world war times. [never cracked until the USS Pueblo was captured nearly intact]

In the early 1960s and the heating up of the Southeast Asia Live Fire Exercise, the AN/PRC-25 channel-tuned VHF FM voice portable made its debut. The "Prick-25" became the radio of choice for land field units. All solid-state except for the final PA, a battery-filament tube. A few years later the AN/PRC-77 was introduced with ALL-solid-state active devices. Over 120,000 PRC-25s and PRC-77s were manufactured. The PRC-8 to PRC-10 series was also used but the high turnover in personnel made the PRC-25 favored due to easy operation.

VHF and UHF radio relay was the major comms carrier in SE Asia during the Vietnam War. Multi-channel voice, each voice channel could handle several multiplexed TTY circuits. Most firebases were identifiable by the antenna structures for those radio relay sets. Radio relay on VHF-UHF was a huge operation but never well-publicized in amateur radio mags.

Vehicular comms were still done by the VRCs in Vietnam but, the lack of terrain for effective armor limited that to the supplies vehicles. Long-haul comms to Japan and Hawaii Hqs were done by HF TTY, either direct or relayed through Manila or Okinawa. [Far East Command Hq was transferred from Tokyo to Fort Shafter, HI, about 1958 although there were relays (HF TTY) through USAF-maintained HF radio near Tokyo to link to the States; USAF took over the USA HF radio facilities there in 1963]

Experiments with satellite commsats began during the Vietnam War but those were largely just experiments. They got PR because satcomm was new and noteworthy to news editors,

seemed exciting with big, big antenna dishes, etc. Satcomm ops never took off until after the Vietnam War was over in 1973. Once the satcomms' relay was possible, the use of HF for long-haul circuits was relegated to a standby role.

It's a much-ballyhooed MYTH that "CW" was essential to radio comms even during WWII. [maybe it was due to Hollywood liking the mystique of morsemen at their keys with headphones on and doing the thousand-yard stare?] The major long-haul comms circuits were TTY even then. In the electronics trade shows of the early 1970s, the Teletype Corporation was displaying a gold-plated TTY terminal as the half-millionth! The already-WRITTEN messages were always preferred by field commanders for accuracy and reliability. An added plus was that TTY could be encrypted ON-LINE when needs be, even for the USN as far back as 1940. The famous Command Sets of WWII aircraft were used primarily in voice mode, by the pilots; was very little time to have the radio op write down messages and bring them up to the cockpit; radio ops on B-17s and B-24s were basically gunners first, radiomen second. Liason Sets were seldom used and then only when the air was "peaceful" over friendly territory.

>> > > Then explain the prevailing attitude in *here* (and you
>> > > are one of them) about "only" licensed amateurs "should"
>> > > comment about amateur radio regulations? :-)
>> >
>> > and why Dee and dave and Steve even go so far as to claim I a ham
>> > should not be allowed to coment on the CW rules
>>
>> Sigh...well they've "denied" doing so, keep asking "where did I
>> [they] write such words?" They didn't say so outright but the
>> INTENT was plain as day at noontime.
>
>funy how if they don't really mean that and Morse Code makes them such
>great comicating that they are so consistantly misunderstood

The morsemen in here have RANK, STATUS, PRIVILEGE and Vanity (note the 1x2 calls seen in here)...lobbied for by the much-older hams who were after rank, status, privilege due to morsemanship. These morsemen are the "best" and they don't hesitate to tell everyone so. They demand obediance to their wishes...which is to maintain their rank, status, privilege due to morseman-ship...and their perceived ability ('nobility?') to look down on all the no-code-test advocates as if they are somehow "better." AS IF... :-(

Funny how operating abilities of the 1930s isn't "appreciated" in the 2000s. [morsemen are quaintly out

of date]

>> Feel free to "correct me." :-) The olde-tymers try to do
>> that a LOT in here... :-)
>>
>> The dam recreation area in the L.A. San Fernando Valley has
>> a very large turn-out most every weekend here. At Apollo Field
>> there can be (easy) 50 R-C flyers there. [it is the major location
>> for flying in the huge Los Angeles area] MOST R-C flyers are
>> a considerate bunch and TRY to avoid interference. But, not all
>> R-C units are frequency-mobile. The emphasis is on the
>> MODELS not the radios...the FLYING (for model aircraft) rather
>> than the "operating."
>
>that they try but it is secondary the abilty of the RC gruop is largely
>depneant In my expernce on just how the local frequecny coordinators
>are able to get people on to lots of freqs in fringe area where the
>shop are feww and large college is around somed ay you do have 50
>plane trying to operate on 4 or 5 frq
>in larger area the hobby shop and tend in placing orders for stuff to
>spread the new folks around an advantage yYOU get in your area or would
>around SF but out between hobby shop things get weird
>
>(right now trying to duck being given the job of trying to coordinate
>the freqs round here Indeed I often spend a lot helping recrytal and
>tune the units

Frequency coordination is ALWAYS a problem in ANY radio service.
It gets worse when there are thousands of users in a relatively
small locale. The FCC long ago gave up on trying to coordinate
the PLMRS users and delegated that to the individual private
user groups...public safety, railroad, businesses, etc.

It didn't help the model hobby industry to come out with fixed-
frequency R-C Tx and Rx in order to sell them at lower cost...
and makes them lighter (important for flying models). The trend
now is to have frequency-synthesis techniques on receivers; it
is easier to that in transmitter boxes (plenty of room, not a
weight problem). Considerate modelers will be aware of who is
using what channel, do the "flag" display thing (if appropriate)
and try not to cause another model any catastrophy. [a model
helo can cost up to $500, hardly a toy...anyone who deliberately
interferes with one causing a crash will have the helo owner
physically confronting the interferer...not so usual with an
amateur radio interferer]

One problem with frequency coordination is the territoriality
of thinking that a coordinate frequency is ONLY for the intended
use and those not WITH a coordinator shouldn't be there. In
model flying that would be the casual "park flyer" who is not a
local club member. In amateur radio it is some casual user

unaware of the "authorized" nature of coordinate frequencies;
yet the FCC allows all the OPTION of using any allocated mode
in any allocated band. The FCC catches that with the "do not
interfere with another user" requirement common to every radio
service. Problem is, interference still happens. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4043. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:36:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> >> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> >> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> >> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> >
> >> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
> >
> >The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.

> Realy

Yep, and for far less money than the public schools operate on.

> i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
> and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
> tended to avoid thier school

That isn't to say that Catholics don't have a whole host of other
problems.

> I do hope some schools are doing a better
> job

In public schools? Rare!

> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process

Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.

4044. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:37:14 UTC
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
Message-ID: <12k7jc9fvsm3l2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 170063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Chris wrote:
>>>
>>>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>>>about being a real programmer.
>>>
>>>Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>>execute their programs.
>>
>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>
> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>
> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
> bleeds
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

If you want to get into esoteric terms consider:

The man who uses a plotting board to calculate artillery elevations and
azimuths is called a "COMPUTER".

Dave N

4045. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:43:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <emj7k2hl2m9bds3apmvg53m1t0agif9jdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 170064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:37:14 -0500, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Chris wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>>>>about being a real programmer.
>>>>
>>>>Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>>>>execute their programs.
>>>
>>>Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>>
>> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>>
>> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> bleeds
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>If you want to get into esoteric terms consider:
>
>The man who uses a plotting board to calculate artillery elevations and
>azimuths is called a "COMPUTER".
indeed he his

but programing a device is clearly the work of a programer "lloyd" is
trying to play games by switching the word Program out for software

as you have helped to prove the terms are not synonyms
>
>
>Dave N
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4046. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:44:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162071889.819850.126550@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > >>
> > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > >>
> > > >> > And dismissed it.

> > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > >>
> > > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > >> > > >> is
> > > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > >>
> > > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > >>
> > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > >>
> > > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > > >> > > >> under a
> > > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > >> > > >> signal to
> > > >> > > >> function.
> > > >> > > >>
> > > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > >>
> > > >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > > >> > > > of
> > > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > >>
> > > >> > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > >>

> > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > >> > good."
> > > >> >
> > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > >> > > its
> > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > >> > > hear that.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > >>
> > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > >
> > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > >
> > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> >

> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > the scores...
> >
> > I think you get the point.
>
> What point?

Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.

> > > I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> > > riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
> >
> > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> > the riff-raff" argument.
>
> No, it isn't.

Yes, it is.

> The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.

Just 25 years? I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.

> Not just the code tests
> but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.

No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
offices so far away from ham's residences.

> > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>
> > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>
> Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> cause you to win the debate?

No false sexist claim. W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
these days. Fair is fair, yes?

> You lost.

Welp, now that I have your attention again, how many aliases have you posted under on RRAP?

4047. id theft uis a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:46:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jsj7k2dv6klko3opt0tg2hkc2vm4vqvheh@4ax.com>
Source record: 170066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 14:23:15 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>id theft reamins a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4048. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs? geting back to the beging of the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:47:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <stj7k21tubm8dinu5qjarmsa3t63p79fem@4ax.com>
Source record: 170067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 10 Oct 2006 01:08:49 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>It seems to me they want to eliminate all testing.

shows your lack of reading skills
>
>That's what happens when no-codes and nickle hams start running
>things. Or is that ruining things?

we know you Opose Democracy
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4049. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:47:48 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lq6dnd5jgP-KTd7YnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> group anymore.
>>
>> SC
>
> Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>

No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed or will license under the current system and so on.

i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate without things getting out of hand.

I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.

Dee, N8UZE

4050. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:47:56 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162072076.915811.293840@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> not win but it makes the point DEE is ignorant of the truth OHM laws
> has something to do with RADIO Morse Code does not

Perhaps not ignorant... only has very little use for it and the theory.

4051. I "Toppsot"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:50:18 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt58j.pv8.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 169996

Poor Mark. He's been bested again and is now squirming while attempting to
justify his stupidity.

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:s5f7k2hl1m3duad8mejlsj0nedo44gk4h0@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:24:20 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:04:24 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Chris wrote:
>> >> >> > If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >> >> > about being a real programmer.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Real programmers plugged diodes into a matrix to
>> >> >> execute their programs.
>> >> >
>> >> >Nope. That's hardware manipulation,
>> >> no that is prgraming indeed it is basis of all prgraming
>> >>
>> >> but you have to try and distrot and twist any line of logic till
>> >> bleeds
>> >
>> >Not so. The whole idea of SOFT
>> exactly so you are changing your terms in MID thread
>> progarming was the subject not hardware vs sogtware
>>
>> if you ever learned to think youd understand this concept
>
>Duh. Programmers produce software.
prgramers program that is the meaning of the word amazing your
insistance on playing with words meaning and spelling but asert
"lloyd" that only you have the right to decide

your obession with MJ does also suggest you are rather obessed on
something either Music or Child rape the 2 things he best known in
assocaition with

nither of them are on topic
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4052. get over it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:50:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <t2k7k2hg3pku3e3vdsmfdr9kh9glccekfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 170070

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 21:45:06 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:12:27 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:55:50 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>>
>> >> prgramers program that is the meaning of the word amazing your
>> >> insistance on playing with words meaning and spelling but asert
>> >> "lloyd" that only you have the right to decide
>> >
>> >"In computing, a programmer is someone who does computer programming
>> >and develops computer software.
>> 2 question why are you obesse with MJ and why is it you can twist and
>> distort logica and reason to "win" anything
>
>MJ: I wanted to make sure that you aren't an imposter. The real MM
>spent some time in Michael Jackson's primate house and would know the
>answer to my questions.
wht question?
>
>WIN: No distortion at all. A computer programmer produces software.
>You seem to have a problem with that fact.
never said otherwise you are claiming that programing is not done by
programer you lie
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4053. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:52:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s6k7k2pg90vcfd5nq81qc7guk1t1o53s6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 170071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 28 Oct 2006 14:47:56 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> not win but it makes the point DEE is ignorant of the truth OHM laws
>> has something to do with RADIO Morse Code does not
>
>Perhaps not ignorant... only has very little use for it and the theory.
no ignorant wshe claims flasely that Morse code is a radio related
subject and equates in importantance to safety related issues
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4054. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:54:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <29k7k2l0bcmo5s08subc218gliq8et8jh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 170072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:47:48 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>>> group anymore.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>
>No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
no spmam from ME
> the
>interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
funny in the 90's the same sort of posting was no problem
> the compulsive responses that
>some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
>like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>or will license under the current system and so on.
>
>i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>without things getting out of hand.

they left becuase they lacked anything to deabte with

MD and Larry Roll conceeded back then that the victory of the NoCode
was inevitable they at least could sate that truthfully they then
either died in some case or greww unable to keep up what they
addjmitted was nothing but delaying tactics
>
>I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
>to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4055. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 21:56:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:16pm
> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message

How refreshing to find that not one attribute has been forged.

> >>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
> >>> advantages and disadvantages.
> >>
> >> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> >> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> >
> >Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever mode
> >they favor is the "best".
>
> "CW always gets through..." :-)

"CW always gets through" in only one scenario, and that is a fictitious
K3LT scenario.

> > Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
> >be converstant with those scenarios.
>
> ...especially in the Newington, CT, area. :-)

Is somebody running for an ARRL office?

> > If you need an image, SST or fax are
> >far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
> >communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
> >sent.
>
> There is NO separate pass-fail TEST for "image, SST [sic]
> or fax" nor for data or voice required by the FCC for an
> amateur license. ["SSTV"]
>
> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.

Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!

> >> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> >> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good

> >> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> >>
> >You are exaggerating.
>
> Hardly. ALL of the pro-code 1x2s in here, plus some 1x3s,
> have stated the hoary old Maxim "CW always gets through."
>
> Except N2EY who never admits to doing anything wrong...:-)

Yet he avoids my question about the aliases he's posted under on RRAP.

> >None have stated all CW signals are good. What they
> >have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under
> >conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.
>
> "CW always gets through..." :-)

Nope.

> If morse code radiotelegraphy were so "good," why hasn't
> NASA picked up on it for the Deep Space Net? For the
> quarter-million-mile 'DX' path to our moon? Why have
> the maritime folks GIVEN UP on morse code for Safety Of
> Life At Sea? [GMDSS uses a form of data, automated]

500KHz.

> PSK will allow 100 WPM data to get through when all the
> morsepersons have to use their imaginations to fill in
> the garbled morse characters.

Oh SHUT UP! That doesn't help the Morse argument one little bit!

> Still, the argument over the separate pass-fail "CW"
> TEST is there with all the morsepersons wanting it be
> kept forever and ever in FCC regulations...WHY?

Because Morse is used in ham radio contests.

> Rhetorical question.

Darnit! I should have read ahead!!!

> The separate pass-fail "CW" TEST
> is there because: (1) The ARRL wants it (they "know
> what is best for ham radio"); (2) The already-licensed
> had to take a morse test and everyone else had better
> take one, too!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

EXactly.

4056. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:00:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162072833.111601.174740@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161908927.722424.326110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
> > Slow Code wrote:

> >> I miss W0EX.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > In a way, so do I.
> >
> > I wished he wouldn't get so upset and accept that he wasn't going to
> > change my mind.
> >
> > At least a difference of opinion with Dick didn't make someone a liar.
>
> He was pro-code but he wasn't trollish like me or WA8ULX were.
>
> I believe in CW, but I'm not as Ruthless as I sound. I love to toss out
> things and then listen to everyone gasp. ROFL. I know, I know, it's
> sadistic...
>
> but it's fun, and maybe some will see and figure out the point of it.
>
> SC

Do you have a Ham Husband to put up your antennas?

4057. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:01:23 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aLGdnU9K89raTt7YnZ2dnUVZ_q6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>

[snip]

>
> Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> the scores...
>
> I think you get the point.
>

Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using only
their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name. It
doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.

>> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
>> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
>
> The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> the riff-raff" argument.
>

I've never mentioned the "dumbing down" argument. My point is that there is
a body of basic knowledge that all should know. The difficulty arises in
determining what that basic knowledge should be. Generally, the experienced
people should be the ones to define what constitutes basic knowledge. The
beginners are too inexperienced to do so.

>> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't
>> use
>> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
>> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
>> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
>> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
>> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory

> end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>

Please do not insult me by stereotyping like that. I happen to be a degreed engineer (B.S. in Aerospace Engineering) with 20 years of applied experience in engineering (aerospace, nuclear, mechanical and automotive fields). Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am perfectly capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class for our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my husband attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.

You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4058. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:03:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Y6OdnS_OjPV9Tt7YnZ2dnUVZ_oydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1162070196.612273.193390@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>> > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
>> > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>> > >> >>
>> > >> > > >> Already tried it.
>> > >> > >
>> > >> > And dismissed it.
>> > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to
>> > >> fill
>> > >> in the problems and correct the process
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> > >> > > >> is
>> > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
>> > >>
>> > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
>> > >> operators but hat doesn't count
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > >> I've tried it
>> > >> > > >> under a
>> > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
>> > >> > > >> signal to
>> > >> > > >> function.
>> > >> > > >>
>> > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
>> > >> > > >
>> > >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the
>> > >> > > > corollary
>> > >> > > > of
>> > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
```

>> > >> > >
>> > >> > >
>> > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like
>> > >> > Carl,
>> > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating
>> > >> > such
>> > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals
>> > >> > are
>> > >> > good."
>> > >> >
>> > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > And they aren't.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
>> > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the
>> > >> > > software
>> > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur
>> > >> > operators
>> > >> > are superb morsemen.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode
>> > >> > > has
>> > >> > > its
>> > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think
>> > >> > it is
>> > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
>> > >> > > hear that.
>> > >> > >
>> > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over
>> > >> > the
>> > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are
>> > >> > good
>> > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>> > >>
>> > >> well it is a thankless job
>> > >
>> > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's

>> > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still
>> > > an
>> > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
>> > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
>> >
>> > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I
>> > have
>> > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
>> > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure
>> > that
>> > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the
>> > licensing is
>> > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much
>> > discussion
>> > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability
>> > were
>> > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised
>> > to
>> > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
>>
>> Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>> equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
>> Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
>> the scores...
>>
>> I think you get the point.
>
> What point?
>
>> > I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out
>> > the
>> > riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
>>
>> The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
>> the riff-raff" argument.
>
> No, it isn't.
>
> The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> steadily reduced for more than 25 years now. Not just the code tests
> but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
>
>> > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't
>> > use
>> > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set.
>> > I've
>> > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been
>> > licensed.
>> > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used
>> > smith

>> > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I
>> > can
>> > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>
>> > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>
>> Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
>> end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>
> Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> cause you to win the debate?
>
> You lost.
>

Besides that, Jim, I'm the one who taught the class where my OM upgraded to Extra!

Dee, N8UZE

4059. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:07:43 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PwQ0h.2014$s6.671@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 170077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Chris wrote:
> ... machines like the IBM 650 were
> considered to be a waste of valuable man hours to set up, ...

Blasphemy! The IBM 650 was the first computer
I ever programmed - in 1958 at Texas A&M.
Bi-Quinary (like an abacus) with a magnetic drum
and tons of air-conditioning to cool the hundreds
of dual triodes.
--
73, Cecil http://www.w5dxp.com

4060. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:09:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <87l7k2tmei8530eiv7k2e2um30cmv7739s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:01:23 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>
>
>[snip]
>
>>
>> Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>> equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
>> Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
>> the scores...
>>
>> I think you get the point.
>>
>
>Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using only
>their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
flat out lie Dee I am very experenced with Code none of it good mind
you and any program does better in any contest or circumstances

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4061. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:11:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162073503.063916.28110@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> >> group anymore.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>
> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
> or will license under the current system and so on.

Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
and very little else that he posts.

I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"

Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.

> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
> without things getting out of hand.

OUT OF HAND???

Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
husbands...

> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
you, which I do.

So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?

4062. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:16:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4jl7k2h0hn4qi625k2jmnsvnhr8bgllq2l@4ax.com>
Source record: 170080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 15:11:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> >> group anymore.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
>> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> or will license under the current system and so on.
>
>Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
>postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
>and very little else that he posts.

indded as you should pity Rbeson demand so much attention
>
>I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
>satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"
>
>Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
>K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.
>
>> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>> without things getting out of hand.
>
>OUT OF HAND???
>
>Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
>you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
>husbands...

or letter your neighboors insiting you are child molestor
>
>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
>> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
>you, which I do.
>
>So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4063. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:23:54 UTC
From: bob&carole <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162074234.318742.63890@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170081
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
>
> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>
> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>
They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.

> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys

A society of child molesters.

who were to tutored is
> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >>
> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >>
> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
> >>
> >
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4064. Re: I "Toppsot"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:24:44 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dt795.1qp.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170059

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:50:18 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Poor Mark. He's been bested again and is now squirming while attempting to
>justify his stupidity.
bested by whom?

I donb't need to justify anything to a man with no name

no squirming you are just mucking raking which is all the ProCode
forces are able to do anymore

That's right, Mark. Change the Subject line and everything will go away,
right?

We are still waiting for a definition of "homobrew".

Well???

4065. get over it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:25:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162074308.936444.277030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170082

Chris wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:38 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >
> > never said otherwise you are claiming that programing is not done by
> > programer you lie
>
> No I didn't.
yes you did you tried to change one word for another Steve you lied
again

4066. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:26:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qaqdnbtJ2oaRRN7YnZ2dnUVZ_qidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162070577.100365.65060@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]

>> >> >> > You can sit and struggle with trying to train yourself to receive
>> >> >> > 20
>> >> >> > wpm Morse, or you can download and install CwGet and start
>> >> >> > copying
>> >> >> > the
>> >> >> > high speed CW nets immediately. There's no longer any real need
>> >> >> > for
>> >> >> > a
>> >> >> > human to be in the decoding loop, a sure sign of just how
>> >> >> > anachronistic human-decoded CW really is. Samuel Morse
>> >> >> > originally
>> >> >> > designed his code to be copied by machine, so in reality we're
>> >> >> > only
>> >> >> > catching up with what he intended to do way back in the 1800's.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Already tried it.
>> >>
>> > And dismissed it.
>>
>> Based on actually trying it.
>
> Of course!
>
>> I did not form an opinion on it until I gave
>> it a thorough workout. And if the conditions are good enough and they
>> are
>> going too fast for me, I'll use it to help out. But there's a lot of
>> times
>> it simply doesn't do the job.
>
> Isn't that kind of cheating? W0EX would inject some non-standard
> spacing if he knew you were pulling a stunt like that.
>

So what? I'd simply ask him to QRS 13 so I could copy his deliberately
badly sent code. I'd also chew him out for being a lid.

>> >> >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
>> >> >> is
>> >> >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
>> >
>> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
>>
>> Correction: almost any operator who works code on a semi-regular basis.
>> My
>> code skills are very modest. Typically I am comfortable at 13wpm to
>> 15wpm.
>> Higher than that is a real strain. Still I often copy better than the
>> computer despite that.
>
> Dee, you know that's not true. There are countless present hams,
> former hams, and people who were denied amateur licenses based upon the
> Morse Code exam who actually studied Morse Code and who never got to
> the point where they could use morse code on a practical level.
>

You know I've queried people here who said they had problems and "couldn't"
learn code. In every case the problem came down to bad training methods or
bad study habits or insufficient study time or unrealistic expectations
(i.e. in the time or effort required) or a combination of any or all of
them.

[snip]
>> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
>> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
>> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
>> > good."
>> >
>>
>> No I would not be repeating that myth because I never, ever said that all
>> CW
>> signals are good and never subscribed to that philosophy.
>
> Didn't say you did. I'm saying that if you had said something as
> atrocious as that 10 years ago, W0EX and K3LT would have kicked you
> over to the NCI Camp.
>

Wouldn't matter to me. I have no reason to bow to any one's pressure, yours
or theirs.

>> If they were the
>> machines would always work and they don't.
>
> EXpecially if W0EX suspected that you were using a machine.
>

Irrelevant.

>> The other half of the coin is
>> that some of the anti-code types persist in the myth that "Code can
>> always
>> be copied by computer". Neither myth is true.
>
> So you're willing to concede that sometimes ham radio won't get
> through?
>

Any ham who has sufficient operating experience on HF has experienced total radio blackouts due to solar storms. HF gets wiped out except for very local communications due to ground wave or line of sight. Any ham that denies that is a fool, inexperienced, or a liar.

>> I've always maintained that every mode has its advantages and
>> disadvantages.
>> A good ham attempts to be conversant with those abilities. However the
>> extremists on both sides don't want to hear that.
>>
>> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
>>
>> Nope because you are ascribing things to me that are not true.
>
> You merely misunderstand.

No you made it pretty plain that you think you are responsible for my opinions and it just isn't true.

>
>> Nobody has
>> changed my opinions as stated in the above paragraphs. You make the
>> mistake
>> of lumping everyone who favors code into one group. That is no more
>> accurate than lumping the anti-code people all in one group.
>
> So Jim is wrong?
>

Jim has never lumped all the anti-code people into one group. There are several of them whom he respects.

>> >> No one has said all CW signals are good.
>> >
>> > And they aren't.

[snip]

> And sometimes CW doesn't get through even with skilled operators. And
> sometimes you use CWGet to help you along when you find that your
> skills are lacking.
>

So what? I've never claimed to be highly skilled. I've always said that my skills are quite modest. However, when conditions are poor, even I can beat

CWGet. I'll sometimes use it when I'm too tired to focus and the signal is fast and strong. Otherwise it just doesn't do it. The rest of the time, I depend on my own skills.

If CW doesn't get through with skilled operators, then it's due to bad conditions. In general, CW is a robust mode that will typically be the last mode to fail as conditions worsen.

>> >> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>> >> advantages and disadvantages.
>> >
>> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>>
>> Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever
>> mode
>> they favor is the "best". Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham
>> should
>> be converstant with those scenarios.
>
> Larry Roll had one scenarion, and in that scenario, CW was the only
> mode that would get through, and it would always get through.
>

I'm sure he was experienced enough to know better but simply like to pull people's chains and/or was tired of being poked by the anit-code people.

>> If you need an image, SST or fax are
>> far better modes than CW.
>
> I used to hand plot RADAR image reports that I received over TTY, but
> those were the olden days. We've moved far beyond that now.
>
> Satellite remote sensing is digital. A seven layer image could be sent
> by CW, but it would take a long time.
>

Did I not say that CW is not good for images?

>> The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
>> communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
>> sent.
>
> Glad to hear you say that. Why were you so silent on that subject when
> K3LT and W0EX were saying otherwise?
>

Mostly I don't bother with people making outrageous statements. In addition, if I remember correctly, they were either no longer posting or dropping off in their posting when I started reading the newsgroups. Basically I've only heard about what they posted rather than reading the posts myself.

>> >> The extremists on each side don't want to
>> >> hear that.
>> >>
>> >> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
>> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
>> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>>
>> You are exaggerating. None have stated all CW signals are good. What
>> they
>> have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under
>> conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> What they wouldn't claim is possible is that there are conditions where
> even CW wouldn't get through.
>

Defensive knee-jerk reaction and exaggeration in response to the equally idiotic posters who claim that there is no need or use for CW in modern radio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4067. more of the slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:26:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162074394.527671.280420@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170084

A. G. Bell wrote:
>

> That's right, Mark. Change the Subject line and everything will go away,
> right?
learn to quote

4068. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:26:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1162074399.462772.65400@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170085

From: "Chris" [Davies] on Sat, Oct 28 2006 7:51pm

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote:

>[Bonus Question: What is the name of Michael Jackson's chimpanzee?]

Slow Code.

4069. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:28:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2IqdnWRo04kORN7YnZ2dnUVZ_rydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
>> >> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still
>> >> >an
>> >> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
>> >> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
>> >>
>> >> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
>> >>
>> >The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.
>>
>> Realy
>>
> Yep, and for far less money than the public schools operate on.
>
>> i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
>> and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
>> tended to avoid thier school
>
> That isn't to say that Catholics don't have a whole host of other
> problems.
>
>> I do hope some schools are doing a better
>> job
>
> In public schools? Rare!
>
>> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
>> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
>> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from

>> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>
> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>

Well if you understood that garbled mess of a sentence, then my hat is off to you. Perhaps you should get a job as his interpreter.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4070. Re: Michael Jackson's Rabbits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:28:41 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162074521.802682.78410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170087

From: "Chris" [Davies] on Sat, Oct 28 2006 8:24pm

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:04:24 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>[Double bonus question: How many rabbits does Michael Jackson own?]

Only one. Its name is 'Slow Code.'

4071. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:29:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> >> group anymore.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>
> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,

I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by Robesin. We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia, was fairly close to the USMC.

As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.

> the
> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,

Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in other ways.

> the compulsive responses that
> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
> like Opus,

I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....

Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim never once chimed in to say boo)....

> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
> or will license under the current system and so on.

He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their callsigns attached to it.

> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
> without things getting out of hand.

I like spirited, and I like the dignity that you lend when things get
spirited...

> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

Is an egg that's come to room temp and incubating a little bit of
salmonella really all that bad?

4072. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:29:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fbm7k2l5o291vni0ub664r3n0phh7das0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 170089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:26:01 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162070577.100365.65060@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>
>[snip]
>

>
>You know I've queried people here who said they had problems and "couldn't"
>learn code. In every case the problem came down to bad training methods or
>bad study habits or insufficient study time or unrealistic expectations
>(i.e. in the time or effort required) or a combination of any or all of
>them.
>

lying again Dee please stop flasely representing yourself as knowledge
on disablities

it comes close to being a cirme for you in michigan not but close

it is a lie

and a red herring as and that herring has been out in the sun to point
it is almost past stinking
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4073. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:31:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rem7k2d301k5fe7tvun82di101oov7rqj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170090
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
<bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
>> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>>
>> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
>> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
>> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>>
>They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.

and your point
>
>> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
>
>A society of child molesters.
and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
>
>
>
> who were to tutored is
>> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
>> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
>> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
>> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
>> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>> >>
>> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
>> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
>> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>> >>
>> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>> >>

>> >
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4074. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:32:39 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ajm7k2djt4f1ckdni055bgee9blnlhpej5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:28:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>

>>> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
>>> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
>>> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
>>> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>>
>> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>>
>
>Well if you understood that garbled mess of a sentence, then my hat is off
>to you. Perhaps you should get a job as his interpreter.
obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round
comicator
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4075. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:32:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162074773.887751.299180@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 15:11:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> >> >> group anymore.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
> >>
> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
> >> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
> >
> >Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
> >postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
> >and very little else that he posts.
>
> indded as you should pity Rbeson demand so much attention

He's an egomaniac log10, which I think is the legal definition of
megalomaniac.

> >I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
> >satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"
> >
> >Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
> >K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.
> >
> >> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
> >> without things getting out of hand.
> >
> >OUT OF HAND???
> >
> >Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
> >you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
> >husbands...
>
> or letter your neighboors insiting you are child molestor

Are you serious???

> >> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
> >> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
> >>
> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> >I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
> >you, which I do.
> >
> >So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?

Jim.

4076. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:36:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <unm7k2p04tl09m49d46gbsntppqc1kr6o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 170093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 28 Oct 2006 15:32:53 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 15:11:43 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> >> >> group anymore.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>> >>
>> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
>> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
>> >> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
>> >
>> >Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
>> >postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
>> >and very little else that he posts.
>>
>> indded as you should pity Rbeson demand so much attention
>
>He's an egomaniac log10, which I think is the legal definition of
>megalomaniac.
yep
>
>> >I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
>> >satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"
>> >
>> >Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
>> >K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.
>> >
>> >> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>> >> without things getting out of hand.
>> >
>> >OUT OF HAND???
>> >
```

>> >Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
>> >you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
>> >husbands...
>>
>> or letter your neighboors insiting you are child molestor
>
>Are you serious???

yes I have seen several of them he also sent letters to everyham in
the UP as far as I can tell cliaiming I wasa child molestor and that I
sexauly molested my father
>
>> >> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't bother
>> >> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.
>> >>
>> >> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> >I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
>> >you, which I do.
>> >
>> >So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>
>Jim.
Steve is sc he keeps adding Putz and other trademrks more and more
often
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4077. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:44:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YOKdnSkH_MXDQN7YnZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:16pm
>> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>
> How refreshing to find that not one attribute has been forged.
>
>> >>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
>> >>> its
>> >>> advantages and disadvantages.
>> >>
>> >> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> >> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>> >
>> >Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever
>> >mode
>> >they favor is the "best".
>>
>> "CW always gets through..." :-)
>
> "CW always gets through" in only one scenario, and that is a fictitious
> K3LT scenario.
>
>> > Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
>> >be converstant with those scenarios.
>>
>> ...especially in the Newington, CT, area. :-)
>
> Is somebody running for an ARRL office?
>
>> > If you need an image, SST or fax are
>> >far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
>> >communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
>> >sent.
>>
>> There is NO separate pass-fail TEST for "image, SST [sic]
>> or fax" nor for data or voice required by the FCC for an

>> amateur license. ["SSTV"]
>>
>> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>
> Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>

Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software. Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring. On the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if there is not a test for it.

>> PSK will allow 100 WPM data to get through when all the
>> morsepersons have to use their imaginations to fill in
>> the garbled morse characters.

Yet PSK fails utterly and completely when there is an aurora. And the ionospheric problems associated with an aurora cause problems far south of the actual aurora zone so it is not just a northern issue. The computer may be sending PSK at 100wpm per minute but the data won't be copyable on the other end. Even a beginner sending and receiving at 5wpm will have better throughput. When the aurora is relatively mild, even voice will be more successful than PSK.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4078. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 22:56:24 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <4f6dnS_SEv22fd7YnZ2dnUVZ_vednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162073503.063916.28110@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> >> group anymore.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> people
>> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who
>> licensed
>> or will license under the current system and so on.
>
> Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
> postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
> and very little else that he posts.
>

I think they're both a little off plumb but Morgan is the worst. He's been
known to post hundreds of messages in a day. Robeson can't seem to resist
jabbing him.

> I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
> satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"
>

Doesn't bother me in the least. I hold myself to a high standard and
believe that the world would be more pleasant if others did the same.
However it's their problem if they don't. Occasionally I get a little
annoyed and so get a little sharp but I work hard at not doing so. You get
a lot better response from people (in general) if you treat them right.

> Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
> K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.
>
>> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>> without things getting out of hand.
>
> OUT OF HAND???
>
> Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
> you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
> husbands...
>

Perhaps but that and worse has been said to him. He seems to have a compulstion to attempt to refute every comment directed at him. I've posted in here suggesting "shunning" those who slam him but he doesn't seem to want to. He'd rather perpetuate the threads.

>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
>> bother
>> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
>> line.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
> you, which I do.
>

Thank you, I appreciate that. I enjoy good debates but find that a debate that has deteriorated to personal insults is boring. It's unfortunate that happens so much in here.

> So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>

Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or Miccolis. Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4079. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:08:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jko7k2d6a3l8vlsv2lmgq7k5tguitq3vb3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:44:26 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:16pm
>>> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
>>
>> How refreshing to find that not one attribute has been forged.
>>
>>> >>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
>>> >>> its
>>> >>> advantages and disadvantages.
>>> >>
>>> >> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>>> >> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>>> >
>>> >Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever
>>> >mode
>>> >they favor is the "best".
>>>
>>> "CW always gets through..." :-)
>>
>> "CW always gets through" in only one scenario, and that is a fictitious
>> K3LT scenario.
>>
>>> > Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
>>> >be converstant with those scenarios.
>>>
>>> ...especially in the Newington, CT, area. :-)
>>
>> Is somebody running for an ARRL office?
>>
>>> > If you need an image, SST or fax are
>>> >far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
>>> >communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
```

>>> >sent.
>>>
>>> There is NO separate pass-fail TEST for "image, SST [sic]
>>> or fax" nor for data or voice required by the FCC for an
>>> amateur license. ["SSTV"]
>>>
>>> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>>
>> Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>>
>
>Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
>Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and
>running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring. On
>the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
>people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if there
>is not a test for it.
so you support forcing people to TRY your favortie mode and dam their
personal seniblity
>
>>> PSK will allow 100 WPM data to get through when all the
>>> morsepersons have to use their imaginations to fill in
>>> the garbled morse characters.
>
>Yet PSK fails utterly and completely when there is an aurora.
funny I make some of my best PSK contact during arouas I guess you
gave up beofre learning the tricks
> And the
>ionospheric problems associated with an aurora cause problems far south of
>the actual aurora zone so it is not just a northern issue. The computer may
>be sending PSK at 100wpm per minute but the data won't be copyable on the
>other end. Even a beginner sending and receiving at 5wpm will have better
>throughput. When the aurora is relatively mild, even voice will be more
>successful than PSK.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4080. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:09:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <boo7k2518erht1rkgidarv3atg0acin3ac@4ax.com>
Source record: 170097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:56:24 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162073503.063916.28110@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>>> >> group anymore.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>>
>>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
>>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>>> people
>>> like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who
>>> licensed
>>> or will license under the current system and so on.
>>
>> Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
>> postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
>> and very little else that he posts.
>>
>
>I think they're both a little off plumb but Morgan is the worst. He's been
>known to post hundreds of messages in a day. Robeson can't seem to resist
>jabbing him.

gee it is worsre to post lot of messages then flasely call someone a
child molestor

>> Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
>> you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
>> husbands...
>>

>
>Perhaps but that and worse has been said to him. He seems to have a
>compulstion to attempt to refute every comment directed at him.
he has a complusion to attack anything said in his vicinity
> I've posted
>in here suggesting "shunning" those who slam him but he doesn't seem to want
>to. He'd rather perpetuate the threads.
>
>>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
>>> bother
>>> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
>>> line.
>>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>> I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
>> you, which I do.
>>
>
>Thank you, I appreciate that. I enjoy good debates but find that a debate
>that has deteriorated to personal insults is boring. It's unfortunate that
>happens so much in here.
>
>> So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>>
>
>Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
>Miccolis. Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4081. slow code continues crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:11:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qqo7k2p68m9ldf7rsgok8qagfvqjsbv93i@4ax.com>
Source record: 170098

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:57:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>Call them all "liers".
But I don't

One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte

two there are those that mange to avoid
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4082. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:18:31 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QYWdnQktS7vHeN7YnZ2dnUVZ_vGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> >> group anymore.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>
> I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
> Robesin.

He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one. Many of
Mark's posts are and were quite vicious. I killfiled Morgan the day he made
unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.

> We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
> amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
> Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
> to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
> was fairly close to the USMC.
>
> As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
> QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>
>> the
>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>
> Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
> something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
> other ways.
>

I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other ways.

Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.

>> the compulsive responses that
>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> people
>> like Opus,
>
> I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>

Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them. However, she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.

> Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
> never once chimed in to say boo)....
>

She chose the call sign. It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that I thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.

>> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> or will license under the current system and so on.
>
> He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
> callsigns attached to it.
>

I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra. I would never hide behind anonymity. And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new ham does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his foot in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier to work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even then, I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of the QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day and pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham and an Elmer.

>> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>> without things getting out of hand.
>
> I like spirited, and I like the dignity that you lend when things get
> spirited...
>
>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
>> bother
>> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
>> line.

>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> Is an egg that's come to room temp and incubating a little bit of
> salmonella really all that bad?
>

Not if you enjoy being sick.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4083. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:34:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dup7k2pjupp07t0t9e5ivl49cthpumglum@4ax.com>
Source record: 170100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:18:31 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>>> >> group anymore.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>>
>>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>>
>> I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
>> Robesin.
>
>He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
sure I did

nothing else works at all
>Many of
>Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
indeed they were. I have never den ied that but everything wlessor has
been tried so vicous was in order
> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
>unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.

but it has remained acceptable to you for steve to call me a
childmolestor and a rapist
>
>> We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
>> amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
>> Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
>> to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
>> was fairly close to the USMC.

>>
>> As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
>> QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>>
>>> the
>>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>>
>> Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
>> something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
>> other ways.
>>
>
>I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
>He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other ways.
>Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
>
>>> the compulsive responses that
>>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>>> people
>>> like Opus,
>>
>> I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>>
>
>Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them. However,
>she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.

obviosuly not you will not premit someone to "take care of things"
without submitting your aproval
>
>> Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
>> never once chimed in to say boo)....
>>
>
>She chose the call sign. It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
>her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that I
>thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
>
>>> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>>> or will license under the current system and so on.
>>
>> He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
>> callsigns attached to it.
>>
>
>I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
ok
> I would never hide behind
>anonymity.
pissibly there is trule NO way of knowing

> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
>extent of the tests.
but you choose to sugest they should be excluded from the procces of
making ham rules based
>They have no choice in the matter. What the new ham
>does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
>experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his foot
>in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier to
>work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even then,
>I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
>them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop.
and you do poorly in my judgement
> I'll invite them over to
>work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of the
>QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day and
>pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham and
>an Elmer.
>
>>> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>>> without things getting out of hand.
>>
>> I like spirited, and I like the dignity that you lend when things get
>> spirited...
>>
>>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
>>> bother
>>> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
>>> line.
>>>
>>> Dee, N8UZE
>>
>> Is an egg that's come to room temp and incubating a little bit of
>> salmonella really all that bad?
>>
>
>Not if you enjoy being sick.
no one said anything about eating the egg you assumed
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4084. slow coder crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:41:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qjq7k2tci268607ra4qrl23ps1rhv7h5pu@4ax.com>
Source record: 170103

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:40:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:qqo7k2p68m9ldf7rsgok8qagfvqjsbv93i@4ax.com...
>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:57:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>>Call them all "liers".
>But I don't
>
>One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte
>
>>
>But you ARE a loser...
no I am winning I iwsh the cost wasn't as high but victory for the ARS
worth it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4085. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:43:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6oq7k29jjb9mvelruftaup7l8bttg3dej7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:42:53 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Dee killfiled you, Mark. She doesn't see your insipid comments.
>
pissibly Dave claims to have killfiled but have proven I am not
kilfiled but if she has so what? the message is more derected to those
reading her posts than her
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4086. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-28 23:44:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6XR0h.14239$Lv3.6628@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170104

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>>>> "Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>
>> Look around *this* newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>
> Sure do. This newsgroup isn't all of amateur radio, though. In fact,
> it's a very small group.

...and I've seen very few looking for any help here. In fact, this
group has had quite a number of instant experts.

>>>>> The divisiveness stems from the fact that too many no-coders
>>>>> appear to want to change the requirements with no knowledge, experience, or
>>>>> understanding of the requirements.
>
>>>> Nonsense.
>
>>> No, it's the truth.
>
>> "Truth" only in the bound-and-determined olde-tymers who
>> want things kept without change.
>
> You mean like olde-tymers who want the zoning in their neighborhood to
> stay the
> same forever? There's at least one of those "in this newsgroup".

Do you mean that bound-and-determined olde-tymer in California?

>>>> Pro-coders do NOT have some "lock" on What The
>>>> Requirements Should Be.
>
>>> Nobody says they do.
>
>> Lots of "nobodies" in this newsgroup, then... :-)
>
> It's not all about *you*, Len ;-)

>>>> They never did, despite all the
>>>> pro-code propaganda drilled into your respective psyches.
>
>>> No such "propaganda", Len.
>

>> You've been Conditioned,
>
> Not at all.
>
>> Conditioned thinking
>> stuck there by the ARRL for decades...since before you
>> were able to read...
>
> How? By facts and experience?

I think Len means the standard ARRL conditioning program, the one where
we all practice ARRL-think and the one by which we all stuff checks into
envelopes and mail them to the League periodically.

>>>> It should be quite obvious that every other radio service
>>>> has either given up on using morse code for communications
>>>> or never considered it in the first place.
>
>>> Why is that important to *amateur radio* policy, Len? Amateurs *do* use
>>> Morse Code - extensively.
>> Amateur radio POLICY in regards to LICENSE TEST requirements,
>
>> License TEST requirements.
>
> That doesn't answer my question at all, Len.
>
> Why are the modes used or not used by *other* radio services important
> to
> the license test requirements for an *amateur radio* license?
>
> Shouldn't the modes used by radio amateurs be most important to the
> license test requirements for an *amateur radio* license?

Len really can't answer that one, Jim. He hasn't had a real answer for
it in over a decade.

>> The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive use of radiotelegraphy
>> by US radio amateurs. All allocated modes are OPTIONAL
>> to use. If all allocated modes are OPTIONAL to use,
>> why continue a specific pass-fail TEST in ONE MODE?
>
> Because that mode is a big part of amateur radio operation, and skill
> in the use of that mode cannot be adequately tested otherwise. And
> because there needs to be some testing on the things an Amateur Radio
> license authorizes licensees to do.
>
> What you're saying, once all the bluster is removed, is that since hams
> are not required to *use* Morse Code, they should not be required to
> *learn* Morse Code.
>
> The problem is that if the same idea is applied to other modes, most of

> the rest of the license requirements go away.
>
> For example:
>
> The FCC does not require exclusive use of radiotelephone modes by US
> radio amateurs. Those modes are all optional to use. Why should there
> be any questions on radiotelephone modes on the tests for an amateur
> radio license?
>
> The FCC does not require exclusive use of data modes by US radio
> amateurs. Those modes are all optional to use. Why should there be any
> questions on data modes on the tests for an amateur radio license?
>
> The FCC does not require exclusive use of VHF bands by US radio
> amateurs. Choice of band is entirely optional. Why should there be any
> questions on VHF on the tests for an amateur radio license?
>
> Etc.
>
> Go down that path for any distance and there's almost nothing left of
> the written test. Is that what you want? I think it is.

Len's not sure of what he's *for*, but he knows damned well what he is
against.

He is against morse testing, the ARRL, overly-proud radio amateurs and
Fessenden among others.

Dave K8MN

4087. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:05:50 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162080350.325922.246600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170106

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 1:28pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:

>> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>> >>
>> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>
>> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>
>Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
>wasn't asked.

...and then tries to misdirect the whole thread! :-)

OK, that's 'Quitefine' with me...:-)

>> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
>> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
>> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>
>A-1 Operator!

Is he into the sauce? :-)

>> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >
>> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>
>> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
>> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]
>>
>> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
>> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
>> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
>> him!" AS IF. :-)
>

>Squeaky Clean.

Squeak...mouse..."the mouse that roared."

>> >Ditto
>> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>> >be absent from RRAP.
>>
>> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
>> (code speed) up? :-)
>
>Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
>have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.

One of Missouri's Finest!

>> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
>> knows?
>
>That Jim's not that old...

Mental deterioration could be happening since he left Guam?

>> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
>> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
>
>Little Billy Beeper's mentor?

Nah. Wouldn't be close to Hans Brakob. Hans has a sense
of humor. Humor is very rare among morsemen; Hans is a
morseman but is NOT for the US amateur radio code test. :-)

Blowcode is just an Attention-WANTER, making trouble so he
can feel "famous." All he can think about is memorized
lines from the ARRL hymnbook of a half century past. He
can't think for himself. His bigotry is in the way.

>> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
>> >
>> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>>
>> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>>
>> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>>
>> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
>> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and

>> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
>> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>>
>They wished.

They wish so hard they think it is real. Poor babies.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4088. Re: 10 questions about Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:06:57 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BgS0h.722$LI4.228@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote in news:oNB0h.78$SI6.65@newsfe13.phx:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:xwx0h.328$LI4.139@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:gqe0h.194345$5R2.130996@pd7urf3no:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> news:1161130997.668306.106130@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>>>> On 17 Oct 2006 12:04:29 -0700, "ve3..." <ve3iut@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>> ""''''''

>>>> (10) Why aren't there any Canadians fighting in Iraq
>>>>
>>>> I wrote these of the top of my head as being things that Canadians
>>>> would know. If you can answer most of these questions, you would have
>>>> enough knowledge to discuss Canadian topics. I will supply the
>>>> answers if there is any interest.
>>>
>>>
>>> (11) What do you call a Cannuk with an I.Q. of a hundred?
>>>
>>> A province.
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>> The correct answer is: Smarter than Slow Code, DxAss and Cuhulin
>> combined.
>>
>> JB
>
>
> Ah, John, I see your back from the mental hospital. That standing in
> line for health care must really suck.
>
> SC
>
> Even if the Health Services were that way, it would still
> be
> better
> than standing in line to be inducted into "national service" for Iraq.
> k35454.

It's a just cause. People volunteer. Re-enlistments are up! Feels good
to be an American.

SC

4089. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:06:58 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CgS0h.723$LI4.707@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:ZJx0h.22426$e66.13357@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy
>> your appliance off the shelf.
>
> Can you hombrew an ICOM IC-756PRO?

:)

That's overkill if all you need to do is some CW.

SC

4090. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:00 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <EgS0h.725$LI4.107@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
news:atudnfCqXaH0J97YnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
>> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee
>> Heee.
>>
>> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
>> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
>> license more acceptable these days?
>>
>> SC
>>
>
> There are people who are pushing ahead on their code for that reason.
> I've seen several postings to that effect on various ham sites. Others
> are pushing ahead simply because they don't want to wait.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

And there are also a lot of them drooling like Pavlovs dog waiting for it
to disappear.

CW is alway the focal point.

I've never heard: "get a license, there are fewer questions. I have heard
"Get a license, no CW required, or code speed is reduced, upgrade.

If the CW requirement were raised to 13, I doubt we'd hear: "Get a
license, code speed requirement has been increased.

Oh well.

SC

4091. Re: Michael Jackson's Chimpanzee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GgS0h.726$LI4.547@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170109

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
news:1162074399.462772.65400@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Chris" [Davies] on Sat, Oct 28 2006 7:51pm
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:56:10 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
>>wrote:
>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:50:44 GMT, "Chris"
>>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>> >On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 17:23:46 GMT, Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com>
>>> >wrote:
>
>
>>[Bonus Question: What is the name of Michael Jackson's chimpanzee?]
>
> Slow Code.

LOL, Good one Len. Try expelling a little less gas on the next one.

Tnx, 73

SC

4092. Re: Michael Jackson's Rabbits

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:03 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HgS0h.727$LI4.204@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170112

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1162074521.802682.78410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Chris" [Davies] on Sat, Oct 28 2006 8:24pm
>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:04:24 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
>>wrote:
>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>
>
>>[Double bonus question: How many rabbits does Michael Jackson own?]
>
> Only one. Its name is 'Slow Code.'

ROFL, you just crack me up. It's amazing you can still type after all the
strokes.

SC

4093. Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <IgS0h.728$LI4.202@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I can't here it on 6950

SC

4094. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:06 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <KgS0h.729$LI4.449@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:

> Slow Code wrote:
>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>
>>> I would bet that the person flashing SOS knows little, or no morse
>>> code other than SOS.
>>>
>>> This, as an argument for hams using CW, is kind of like "mayday" being
>>> an argument for hams being conversant in French.
>>>
>>> -Chuck
>>
>>
>> Spoken like a true "I-Hate-CW" Whiner. Why you even worried about it
>> anyway, you don't even have a welfare license.
>
> Actually, I have held an advanced class ticket for more than 30 years.
>
> I took my test at 1919 M Street, NW? Washington, DC. Under the watchful
> eye of an FCC examiner. My code test came off of an old signal corps
> paper tape driven code practice machine. The key they gave me to use
> was from the same set.
>
> My receiving speed was somewhere around 40wpm, and my sending sucks
> rope. I never could master an Iambic keyer.
>
> -Chuck

Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an electronic
one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after using the
electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.

One thing for sure in the maritime story above, someone did know morse,
and the rescuers probably weren't going to wait for a full message after
the sos. they would start the rescue right away, they didn't need to see a
message.

SC

4095. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:15 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <TgS0h.731$LI4.573@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170115

"Mark in the Dark" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1162005522.611404.255900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Not Lloyd wrote:
>> Chris. Morgan wants to know if you have a "slicense".
>>
>> "I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense"
>>
>> Ignore his back-handed slight about the drugs.
> no backhand slight was made I have no idea is chris is adrug user no
> reason to say he is and none to say he is not
> Indeed I don't care if he is adrug user
>
> nor for that matter do I know or care if he has a license or not but
> you are both ful of lies and distortions

Mark in the Dark, When you start accusing people of being me you're
asking for trouble. People hate me and when you call them me that's an
insult to them and they might ask someone in your local radio club to go
to your trailer house and slap you.

SC

4096. Re: We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:17 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VgS0h.732$LI4.58@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170116

"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtf7g.c8.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> news:qqo7k2p68m9ldf7rsgok8qagfvqjsbv93i@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:57:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>>Call them all "liers".
> But I don't
>
> One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte
>
>>
> But you ARE a loser...

You can bring up any topic you want, and Mark in the Dark will show up out of nowhere and take a crap on it. He comes up like he's an expert on everything.

I just roll around on the floor laughing when I see the responces he gets regarding his posts from people that don't know him.

Markie hasn't quite figured out that his brain is not connecting all the dots.

SC

4097. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:18 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WgS0h.733$LI4.392@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162067565.811803.218670@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1161909279.069596.18370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
>> >
>> > Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.
>> >
>> >> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a
>> >> code test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech,
>> >> 13 for General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
>> > radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the
>> > amateur radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.
>>
>> We have to dumb it down to keep it from dying?
>>
>> SC
>
> We have to remove unnecessary and superfluous licensing requirements.
>

I expect you'll say the same thing about the written exam in ten years too.

SC

4098. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <YgS0h.734$LI4.40@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162073503.063916.28110@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in
>> >> the group anymore.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses
>> that some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity
>> of people like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to
>> those who licensed or will license under the current system and so on.
>
> Actually, Mark Morgan is merely the necessary balance to Robesin's
> postings. I read Marks posting that are responding to what I've posted
> and very little else that he posts.
>
> I do smaple some of Lens posting because they sometimes carry a lot of
> satire. What do you thik of Len characterizing you as "Miss Manners?"
>
> Opus? He/She must be posting under another name these days. Ditto
> K3LT, K4YZ, and N2EY.
>
>> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited
>> debate without things getting out of hand.
>
> OUT OF HAND???
>
> Robesin is OUT OF MIND! Good thing you don't shoot your mouth off or
> you might find bricks through windows, slashed tires, or terrorized ham
> husbands...
>
>> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't

>> bother to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad
>> eggs on line.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> I like you're "steady as she goes" format even when I disagree with
> you, which I do.
>
> So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?

There you go again. Don't wonder who I am, go enjoy ham radio. :)

and tell people they need to learn code.

SC

4099. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <ZgS0h.735$LI4.167@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162067985.912334.80680@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
>> news:1161434444.560351.187100@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >> >>>> according to him anyone not devoted to cw is a lowlfie
>> >> >>> That's really sad.
>> >> >> ...and pathetic. No one should have to go through life as a
>> >> >> lowlfie.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> (extraneous groups deleted)
>> >>
>> >> > So who do you think Slow Code is? Kelly? Coslo? Deignan?
>> >>
>> >> I haven't the slightest idea.
>> >>
>> > Well, I know "Slow Code" is not me.
>> >
>> > Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> > connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> > seven different screen names here - that we know of. "Slow Code"
>> > could be Brian Burke, N0IMD, who has used a wide variety of screen
>> > names here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a
>> > few) usually without including his name or callsign.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> I've never been so insulted in all my life. Calling me Len. May you be
>> cursed with six weeks of HF QRN and your antenna tip over.
>>
>> Slow Code
>
> Ha! Jim insulting Jim.

Now you've just insulted Jim, calling him he. LOL

SC

4100. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:22 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <_gS0h.736$LI4.116@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtfc7.b66.19.1@news.alt.net:

> Dee killfiled you, Mark. She doesn't see your insipid comments.

QRZ KF'd him too.

BwHAAHAAHAAHAHAAA!

SC

4101. Re: Why I don't use my call on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:07:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%gS0h.737$LI4.276@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:drv4v.qla.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:1ii5k2pnj4gvvdq6g4fa1urlidm02s4nkv@4ax.com...
> >
> >of Course I am not gay I am bi something that realy scares Slow code
>
>
> You are "bi"? Is that like being only half Gay?

ROFL

SC

4102. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:11:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bas7k25a27kphu3412scr5so8ck4dmkd83@4ax.com>
Source record: 170123

On 28 Oct 2006 17:05:50 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 1:28pm
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>>> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
>>> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>> >>
>>> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>>
>>> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>>
>>Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
>>wasn't asked.
>
> ...and then tries to misdirect the whole thread! :-)
>
> OK, that's 'Quitefine' with me...:-)
>
>>> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
>>> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
>>> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>>
>>A-1 Operator!
>
> Is he into the sauce? :-)
>
>
>>> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>> >
>>> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>>
>>> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
>>> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]

>>>
>>> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
>>> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
>>> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
>>> him!" AS IF. :-)
>>
>>Squeaky Clean.
>
> Squeak...mouse..."the mouse that roared."

ah yes they had such a nice plan declare on Monday anbe defeated on
Tues and rehibilitaed beyond theirwildest dreams by fridays night
>
>>> >Ditto
>>> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>>> >be absent from RRAP.
>>>
>>> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
>>> (code speed) up? :-)
>>
>>Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
>>have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.
>
> One of Missouri's Finest!
>
>>> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
>>> knows?
>>
>>That Jim's not that old...
>
> Mental deterioration could be happening since he left Guam?
>
>>> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
>>> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
>>
>>Little Billy Beeper's mentor?
>
> Nah. Wouldn't be close to Hans Brakob. Hans has a sense
> of humor. Humor is very rare among morsemen; Hans is a
> morseman but is NOT for the US amateur radio code test. :-)
>
> Blowcode is just an Attention-WANTER, making trouble so he
> can feel "famous." All he can think about is memorized
> lines from the ARRL hymnbook of a half century past. He
> can't think for himself. His bigotry is in the way.
big time
>
>
>>> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>>> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)

>>> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
>>> >
>>> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>>> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>>> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>>> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>>> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>>>
>>> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>>>
>>> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>>>
>>> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
>>> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
>>> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
>>> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>>>
>>They wished.
>
> They wish so hard they think it is real. Poor babies.
indeed
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4103. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:13:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ufs7k25292c3a35ihhvli5cdujgs9ilb3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 170124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtfc7.b66.19.1@news.alt.net:

you sure do post your crap fast
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4104. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:13:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bhs7k29kjkrtp2en4id92nosnnq6jvstrl@4ax.com>
Source record: 170125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:06:58 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:ZJx0h.22426$e66.13357@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy
>>> your appliance off the shelf.
>>
>> Can you hombrew an ICOM IC-756PRO?
>
>
> :)
>
>That's overkill if all you need to do is some CW.
well still no sign you can hombrew anything
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4105. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:14:17 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162080857.586311.223650@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170126

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 2:56pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Dee Flint" on Fri, Oct 27 2006 8:16pm
>> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>> >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message

>How refreshing to find that not one attribute has been forged.

Wonders never cease! :-)

>> >>> In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has its
>> >>> advantages and disadvantages.
>> >>
>> >> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
>> >> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
>> >
>> >Depends on the conditions. One can construct scenarios where whatever mode
>> >they favor is the "best".
>>
>> "CW always gets through..." :-)
>
>"CW always gets through" in only one scenario, and that is a fictitious
>K3LT scenario.

Tsk, Larry Troll BORROWED an old, old saying in ["CW"]
ham radio. It was around in the 1930s. Troll tried to
make a scenario of a desert island where a "floating"
Ten-Tec washed ashore to use for 'rescue.' :-)

>> > Any one striving to be a knowledgeable ham should
>> >be converstant with those scenarios.
>>
>> ...especially in the Newington, CT, area. :-)
>
>Is somebody running for an ARRL office?

Who knows? :-)

>> > If you need an image, SST or fax are
>> >far better modes than CW. The "best" mode depends on the purpose of the
>> >communication and the conditions under which that communication must be
>> >sent.
>>

>> There is NO separate pass-fail TEST for "image, SST [sic]
>> or fax" nor for data or voice required by the FCC for an
>> amateur license. ["SSTV"]
>>
>> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>
>Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!

Absolutely! Gots to get Morris Goad 'technology!'

>> >> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
>> >> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
>> >> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
>> >>
>> >You are exaggerating.
>>
>> Hardly. ALL of the pro-code 1x2s in here, plus some 1x3s,
>> have stated the hoary old Maxim "CW always gets through."
>>
>> Except N2EY who never admits to doing anything wrong...:-)
>
>Yet he avoids my question about the aliases he's posted under on RRAP.

Jimmie never did anything wrong! :-)

>> >None have stated all CW signals are good. What they
>> >have contended is that it is possible to copy a poor CW signal under
>> >conditions where you could not copy other types of signals.
>>
>> "CW always gets through..." :-)
>
>Nope.

Well, that's what I've been told...by pro-coders... :-)

>> If morse code radiotelegraphy were so "good," why hasn't
>> NASA picked up on it for the Deep Space Net? For the
>> quarter-million-mile 'DX' path to our moon? Why have
>> the maritime folks GIVEN UP on morse code for Safety Of
>> Life At Sea? [GMDSS uses a form of data, automated]
>
>500KHz.

Not to worry. Some hams are 'experimenting' with a small
slice right next to 500 KHz, using it for 'radio research'
or something. Like that region of the EM spectrum has
"never been examined" before. :-)

>> PSK will allow 100 WPM data to get through when all the
>> morsepersons have to use their imaginations to fill in
>> the garbled morse characters.
>
>Oh SHUT UP! That doesn't help the Morse argument one little bit!

Oh, dear, now Jimmie will get on MY case because YOU
told me to "shut up!" :-)

Ackshully, that's 'okay' since an NCTA said that to
another NCTA. We can't EVER tell a PCTA to shut up!

>> Still, the argument over the separate pass-fail "CW"
>> TEST is there with all the morsepersons wanting it be
>> kept forever and ever in FCC regulations...WHY?
>
>Because Morse is used in ham radio contests.
>
>> Rhetorical question.
>
>Darnit! I should have read ahead!!!
>
>> The separate pass-fail "CW" TEST
>> is there because: (1) The ARRL wants it (they "know
>> what is best for ham radio"); (2) The already-licensed
>> had to take a morse test and everyone else had better
>> take one, too!
>
>EXactly.

I could have added "tradition" as a third 'reason' but hams
can't use Spark, the very first way hams used radio. That's
been outlawed. So much for "tradition."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4106. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:14:43 UTC
From: Wonderer <piriesa@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <TnS0h.54963$rP1.54166@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 170107
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

"bob&carole" <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162074234.318742.63890@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in
>> >the
>> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>>
>> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
>> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
>> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>>
> They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
>
>> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
>
> A society of child molesters.

and the adult age started at pubity

>
>
>
> who were to tutored is
>> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
>> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
>> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
>> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
>> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>> >>
>> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
>> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
>> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>> >>
>> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>> >>
>> >

>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>

4107. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:15:33 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ris7k2plvdnei48qsf5qtpu3skok292n1g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:00 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:atudnfCqXaH0J97YnZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
>>> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee
>>> Heee.
>>>
>>> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
>>> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
>>> license more acceptable these days?
>>>
>>> SC
>>>
>>
>> There are people who are pushing ahead on their code for that reason.
>> I've seen several postings to that effect on various ham sites. Others
>> are pushing ahead simply because they don't want to wait.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>
>And there are also a lot of them drooling like Pavlovs dog waiting for it
>to disappear.
nope no drolling are you having trouble with that
>
>CW is alway the focal point.
nope
>
>I've never heard: "get a license, there are fewer questions. I have heard
>"Get a license, no CW required, or code speed is reduced, upgrade.
you are hearing thing
>
>If the CW requirement were raised to 13, I doubt we'd hear: "Get a
>license, code speed requirement has been increased.
we will not see code speeds increased if you don't know that by now
you have truely lost it
>

>Oh well.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4108. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:16:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jls7k2p5hll5nchig3aqev13743hjs825t@4ax.com>
Source record: 170128

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mark in the Dark" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1162005522.611404.255900@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Not Lloyd wrote:
>>> Chris. Morgan wants to know if you have a "slicense".
>>>
>>> "I have no idea if Chris is on drugs or if chris has slicense"
>>>
>>> Ignore his back-handed slight about the drugs.
>> no backhand slight was made I have no idea is chris is adrug user no
>> reason to say he is and none to say he is not
>> Indeed I don't care if he is adrug user
>>
>> nor for that matter do I know or care if he has a license or not but
>> you are both ful of lies and distortions
>
>
>Mark in the Dark, When you start accusing people of being me you're
>asking for trouble.
not realy
> People hate me and when you call them me that's an
>insult to them and they might ask someone in your local radio club to go
>to your trailer house and slap you.
steve has asked for that he was told to" go to hell"
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4109. slow code crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:16:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4ns7k2hbmtdku8c6jo6o9on0re8i0r17au@4ax.com>
Source record: 170129

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1162074521.802682.78410@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: "Chris" [Davies] on Sat, Oct 28 2006 8:24pm
>>
>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:04:24 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs>
>>>wrote:
>>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:51:47 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>>>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>[Double bonus question: How many rabbits does Michael Jackson own?]
>>
>> Only one. Its name is 'Slow Code.'
>
>
>ROFL, you just crack me up. It's amazing you can still type after all the
>strokes.
what strokes
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4110. crapathon continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:18:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0os7k2hop243qc4vn7gno17aebogj31vvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 170130

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:17 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>You can bring up any topic you want, and Mark in the Dark will show up out
>of nowhere and take a crap on it. He comes up like he's an expert on
>everything.

anyone is a expert compared to you
indeed I am an expert of Morse Code compared to you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4111. crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:19:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tqs7k2h9q467tcm9ncb1aq8vjt2giqav9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170131

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:08:50 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Not only are you a loser, you are delusional as well.
I am a winner being on the wining side does that
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4112. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:20:03 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162081203.813429.91260@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170132

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 5:47pm

><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>
>>> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>>> group anymore.
>>>
>> Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>
>No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses that
>some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of people
>like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>or will license under the current system and so on.

Mark Morgan is an NCTA.

Len Anderson is an NCTA.

Opus is an NCTA.

We are all "vulgar" BECAUSE we are NCTA? Must be... :-)

Carl Stevenson is an NCTA. He quit posting. Is the
present head of NCI.

Hans Brakob is a sort-of NCTA even though he IS a morseperson
of long experience. Hans doesn't post much now.

Cecil Moore, a long-timer, one who DOES "CW" but
doesn't think the code test should be there. [anyone
who owns and rides a spiffy Harley is hardly bad...]
Cecil hangs out in rec.radio.amateur.antenna now.

Now we get to the PCTAs, the self-styled Rulers of RRAP:

K4YZ, the USMC Imposter who has NEVER made available ANY
documentation of his supposed "18-year career with USMC."
Ten kinds of filthy outpouring from that sick puppy here.

N2EY, K4YZ's stand-in, who tells everyone that disagrees
with him they are "wrong." Won't say what he does for a
living or for who. Prissy Mother Superior who dotes on
ancient times before he was born.

K8MN, probably a graduate of Karlsrhue, busy trying to
smack down ANY NCTA that DARES to talk back to him!

He is a "Superior" with a capital S.

Chris Davies, a relative newcomer, trying to assert
hisself as some kind of "superior" but disses all
NCTAs just like the older, unwiser PCTAs do.

Ed Hare of the ARRL Lab used to be here. He was
about the only rational PCTA in the group but tried
to overrate his employer to the point of nausea.

Dozens of Little BOYS using anony-mousies, no brains,
no courage to give their calls, busy regressing to
the middle-school machismo they use in postings.

Larry Troll left here. He was a claimed summa cum
laude in Human Reseources, claimed he could get ANY
personnel job he wanted. He wound up driving a BUS.
Bragged a lot but couldn't hack it.

Lots and lots of assorted other NCTAs have been in here
all blabbering about the gloriousness and majesty of
morse (several ex-USN chiefs in that group). They've
all LEFT. [couldn't take the heat, got out of the
kitchen...they couldn't be served in the dining room
by NCTAs, poor dears]

>i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>without things getting out of hand.

Riiiiight..."ein Reich, ein Volk" for "debate." All
repeat the ARRL party line of everyone do code or you
are all bad people. Lemming mentality. Hive mind.
All think alike (Big Brother is watching you!).

>I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening.

Riiiiight... :-)

>Mostly I don't bother
>to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on line.

Ostrich syndrome. Anyone NOT following the ARRL party
line is a "bad egg?" Okay, then the entirety of the
OTHER radio services are "bad eggs." ALL of those
either quit using telegraphy or never considered it in
the first place. Ergo, all those OTHER radio services
are "bad eggs."

Yeah, let's hear it for "debate." "Ein Reich, ein volk!"

Let's open up Auschvitz, Lublin, Bergen-Belsen, etc. for
the heinous NCTAs! Do away with all those "bad eggs."
They don't "debate" using the ARRL party line!

It's "putsch comes to shove" time! :-)

Remember: "Arbeit macht frei!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4113. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:22:43 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162081363.275020.265160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170133

From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs on Sat, Oct 28 2006 12:32pm

>On 28 Oct 2006 05:47:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>> >> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>
>>> Look around *this* newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>>
>>Sure do. This newsgroup isn't all of amateur radio, though. In fact,
>>it's a very small group.
>
>and non of th OT are good helpfull hams including yourself

Tsk. Miccolis imagines he is "helpful." His concept of
"helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.

It might be that Miccolis doesn't understand 'reality.'
He says he "lives in the ham bands." The rest of us
live in residences like houses or apartments. He has
funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
mention local tax laws.

Miccolis is off on some Hate kick. He just can't stand
opposition to his beloved ARRL's ideas or anyone
<gasp!> disagreeing with the mighty of Newington.
Therefore he stretches even his concept of reality to
the breaking point...and broke it more than once. He
NEEDS to find the worst of everyone disagreeing with
him. A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.

1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4114. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:25:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u6t7k21t16f3cq6d01dnr98gtnhu18vo9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I can't here it on 6950
>
>SC
he is planing on it if you read his blog he tells you that and I have
told but you are toobusy making sexaul remarks
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4115. crapathon conitinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:27:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162081635.952961.85370@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote in news:drv4v.qla.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
> >
> > <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> > >news:1ii5k2pnj4gvvdq6g4fa1urlidm02s4nkv@4ax.com...
> > >
> > >of Course I am not gay I am bi something that realy scares Slow code
> >
> >
> > You are "bi"? Is that like being only half Gay?
>
>
> ROFL
why are you comenting on your posts now SC?
```

4116. crapathon continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:27:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162081671.271734.49500@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> "k35454" <k35454@direct.ca> wrote in news:oNB0h.78$SI6.65@newsfe13.phx:
>
> >
be
> > better
> > than standing in line to be inducted into "national service" for Iraq.
> > k35454.
>
>
> It's a just cause. People volunteer. Re-enlistments are up! Feels good
> to be an American.

>
> SC

4117. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:29:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <6et7k25kjbpoealf7erkt6e0ti0o6l9090@4ax.com>
Source record: 170137

On 28 Oct 2006 17:22:43 -0700, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs on Sat, Oct 28 2006 12:32pm
>
>>On 28 Oct 2006 05:47:32 -0700, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 26 2006 3:36am
>>>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> >> From: "Dee Flint" on Sun, Oct 22 2006 8:47am
>>>> >> >"Opus-" <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in message
>>>
>>>> Look around *this* newsgroup. See any "help" in *here*?
>>>
>>>Sure do. This newsgroup isn't all of amateur radio, though. In fact,
>>>it's a very small group.
>>
>>and non of th OT are good helpfull hams including yourself
>
> Tsk. Miccolis imagines he is "helpful." His concept of
> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
>
> It might be that Miccolis doesn't understand 'reality.'
> He says he "lives in the ham bands." The rest of us
> live in residences like houses or apartments. He has
> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
> mention local tax laws.
>
> Miccolis is off on some Hate kick. He just can't stand
> opposition to his beloved ARRL's ideas or anyone
> <gasp!> disagreeing with the mighty of Newington.
> Therefore he stretches even his concept of reality to
> the breaking point...and broke it more than once. He
> NEEDS to find the worst of everyone disagreeing with
> him. A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
person I think his tolerance to narrow band RF has finaly run out is
all sad realy
>
> 1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4118. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:30:14 UTC
From: Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kdt7k2t90vnfv8p0k6kg45bfjicgaraaut@4ax.com>
Source record: 170138

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> spake thusly:

>It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>boot camp.

Code has nothing to do with "value". A recruit going thru boot camp is
a laughable analogy.

>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>about being a real programmer. If you've never passed a Morse code
>exam, don't talk to me about being a real ham.

THAT is the snot-nosed attitude that I have been talking about. An
attitude that makes ham worthless.

>(I see you like to "toppsot" -- something Mark Morgan doesn't like at
>all. Keep up the good work.)
>
>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:35:19 GMT, JDB <me@here.now> wrote:
>> This code argument really gets old. I passed the General 13WPM code. Bidg
>> deal. Yeah, I like to use CW, but do I think people need to be tested on
>> CW? Heck NO! Let's end this antiquated test. It's a modern era. If you
>> want to learn it -fine, but don't push your old and outdated beliefs on
>> anyone else.

4119. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:30:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162081836.634673.117810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
> news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>

>
> Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
> without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an electronic
> one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after using the
> electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.

meaning you can't use CW MANUALY and you demand of me

4120. Re: It was a Disaster for the procoders for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:33:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rit7k29pqhm9m2oek6mu0mn217s01takv9@4ax.com>
Source record: 170140

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:30:14 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
><daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> spake thusly:
>
>>It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>>you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>>boot camp.
>
>Code has nothing to do with "value". A recruit going thru boot camp is
>a laughable analogy.

no it is offensive but that is "LLoyd"
>
>>If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>>about being a real programmer. If you've never passed a Morse code
>>exam, don't talk to me about being a real ham.
>
>THAT is the snot-nosed attitude that I have been talking about. An
>attitude that makes ham worthless.

not worthless but it does reduce th value of the service rtremenously
>
>>(I see you like to "toppsot" -- something Mark Morgan doesn't like at
>>all. Keep up the good work.)
>>
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:35:19 GMT, JDB <me@here.now> wrote:
>>> This code argument really gets old. I passed the General 13WPM code. Bidg
>>> deal. Yeah, I like to use CW, but do I think people need to be tested on
>>> CW? Heck NO! Let's end this antiquated test. It's a modern era. If you
>>> want to learn it -fine, but don't push your old and outdated beliefs on
>>> anyone else.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4121. Re: Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? no that is RRAP thank's to you SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:35:22 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.10.29.00.35.18.865910@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 170141
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Hail Eris! On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 16:05:11 -0700, Wabbit jabbered
inanely:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> U-Know-Who wrote:
>> > "brian w edginton" wrote...
>> > > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>> > > Damn!
>> > > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
>> >
>> > Think that's wisdom?
>> well I never called it wisdom it howver the simple fact
>
> mork is the only one wanting beer squirted up his ass.....ofcourse
> hot-ham-and-cum wants to ride morkins bung hole.

Spamming fuckstain.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
A petition to make the Five-Fingered Hand of Eris
the official symbol for the planet Eris:
http://www.petitiononline.com/ffhoeris/

"If you don't have pedicures AT LEAST every two weeks, don't talk to me.
If you don't floss every night and morning and brush at least twice a
day, don't talk to me. If you don't spend money on you hair and get
great cuts and color, don't talk to me. If you are heavy, don't talk to
me. If you don't shower every morning and take a nice bubble bath every
night, don't talk to me. If you don't have a loved one in your arms,
don't talk to me. If you don't keep an immaculate house, don't talk to
me. If you don't work, don't talk to me." -- Clearly, Martha Vandella
never wants to talk to me, which is for the best, really.
MID: <1161934857.062934.91900@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

4122. We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:40:23 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtf7g.c8.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170101

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:qqo7k2p68m9ldf7rsgok8qagfvqjsbv93i@4ax.com...
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:57:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>Call them all "liers".
But I don't

One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte

>
But you ARE a loser...

4123. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:41:25 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162082485.732308.103790@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170142
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> >>
> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> >>
> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
>
> and your point
Sex with children is a primitive act
> >
> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> >
> >A society of child molesters.
> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
> >
Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
> >
> >
> > who were to tutored is
> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >> >>
> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks

> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4124. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:42:53 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtfc7.b66.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee killfiled you, Mark. She doesn't see your insipid comments.

4125. crapathon continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:58:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f4v7k2l5ejc1k5d9oqrr261gf7i4hb80i2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170144

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:45:31 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>
>Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
>someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".
nope
>It is no wonder he has no friends.
and wrong again I have friend online and off
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4126. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 00:59:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <96v7k2tab9nhrhe3k4jqif1kj614354nm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 170145
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
>> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
>> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>> >>
>> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
>> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
>> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>> >>
>> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
>>
>> and your point
>Sex with children is a primitive act
no support for that postion
>> >
>> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
>> >
>> >A society of child molesters.
>> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
>> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
>> >
>Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?

no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
>> >
>> >
>> > who were to tutored is
>> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
>> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
>> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >>

>> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
>> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
>> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
>> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
>> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4127. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:07:16 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162084036.071755.19630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170146
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
> <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
> >> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in the
> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> >> >>
> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> >> >>
> >> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
> >>
> >> and your point
> >Sex with children is a primitive act
> no support for that postion

I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all
> >> >
> >> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> >> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> >> >
> >> >A society of child molesters.
> >> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
> >> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
> >> >
> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
>
> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids

Under the age of 18.............
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > who were to tutored is
> >> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of

> >> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
> >> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> >> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> >> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> >> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks
> >> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244

4128. We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:08:50 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtgsr.h1k.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170119

>>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>>Call them all "liers".
>But I don't
>
>One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte
>
>>
>But you ARE a loser...
no I am winning I iwsh the cost wasn't as high but victory for the ARS
worth it
>
Not only are you a loser, you are delusional as well.

4129. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:29:03 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jt08k2tvsbk6kklj8qh4ilsn476mla4r1g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170147
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 28 Oct 2006 18:07:16 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
when you start comitting crimes yourself just to attack some you lose
all vestige of civlization
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4130. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:32:23 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162085543.150300.270350@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170148
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 18:07:16 -0700, "bobandcarole"
> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> when you start comitting crimes yourself just to attack some you lose
> all vestige of civlization
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
I haven't comitted any crimes

4131. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:33:56 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.29.01.33.50.801249@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 170149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:52:36 -0700, Wabbit did most oddly state:
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Mark in the Dark' wrote:
>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code wrote:
>> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop. cuhulin
>> >>
>> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
>> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
>> >>boot them out.
>> >>
>> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>>
>> Gay bashing is allowed here.

Doesn't mean you're not a gay-bashing troll.

>> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
>> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>>
>> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
>> no-coders take over ham radio.

Don't worry, your Fuehrer Bush aims to see to it that _he_ takes over
America. He's doing a damn good job of it, too. He can declare martial
law any time he wants, now...
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

> hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
> dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.

I believe you may have finally achieved your nadir, Wabbit! Good job.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring,
and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"It has become clear in recent months that a critical mass of the American people have seen through the lies of the Bush administration; with the president's polls at an historic low, growing resistance to the war Iraq, and the Democrats likely to take back the Congress in mid-term elections, the Bush administration is on the ropes. And so it is particularly worrying that President Bush has seen fit, at this juncture to, in effect, declare himself dictator." -- Frank Morales
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

4132. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:43:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162086198.135172.160820@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:

> Besides that, Jim, I'm the one who taught the class where my OM upgraded to
> Extra!
>
> Dee, N8UZE

That must mean that he can't play Tower Monkey for you.

4133. Re: We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:45:31 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtj1k.ou2.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170143

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:VgS0h.732$LI4.58@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtf7g.c8.17.1@news.alt.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> news:qqo7k2p68m9ldf7rsgok8qagfvqjsbv93i@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:57:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>When on the losing end of a debate, do what Mark does.
>>Call them all "liers".
> But I don't
>
> One I am not on the LOSING end of any deabte
>
>>
> But you ARE a loser...

You can bring up any topic you want, and Mark in the Dark will show up out
of nowhere and take a crap on it. He comes up like he's an expert on
everything.

I just roll around on the floor laughing when I see the responces he gets
regarding his posts from people that don't know him.

Markie hasn't quite figured out that his brain is not connecting all the
dots.

SC
.

Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".
It is no wonder he has no friends.

4134. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:49:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162086554.930281.175620@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170151

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 1:28pm
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>
> >> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
> >> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
> >> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
> >> >>
> >> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
> >>
> >> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
> >>
> >Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
> >wasn't asked.
>
> ...and then tries to misdirect the whole thread! :-)
>
> OK, that's 'Quitefine' with me...:-)

Quiterite!

> >> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
> >> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
> >> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
> >>
> >A-1 Operator!
>
> Is he into the sauce? :-)

Which one? There are 57 varieties.

> >> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
> >> >>
> >> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
> >>
> >> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
> >> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]

> >>
> >> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
> >> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
> >> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
> >> him!" AS IF. :-)
> >
> >Squeaky Clean.
>
> Squeak...mouse..."the mouse that roared."

Into a maze of his own making.

> >> >Ditto
> >> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
> >> >be absent from RRAP.
> >>
> >> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
> >> (code speed) up? :-)
> >
> >Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
> >have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.
>
> One of Missouri's Finest!

But he didn't try, for if anyone ever tries, they would suceede.

> >> Could even be KH2D after starting the Alzheimer's route...who
> >> knows?
> >
> >That Jim's not that old...
>
> Mental deterioration could be happening since he left Guam?

Mine sure has...

> >> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
> >> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
> >
> >Little Billy Beeper's mentor?
>
> Nah. Wouldn't be close to Hans Brakob. Hans has a sense
> of humor. Humor is very rare among morsemen; Hans is a
> morseman but is NOT for the US amateur radio code test. :-)
>
> Blowcode is just an Attention-WANTER, making trouble so he
> can feel "famous." All he can think about is memorized
> lines from the ARRL hymnbook of a half century past. He
> can't think for himself. His bigotry is in the way.

Then he really, really could be Jim.

> >> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
> >> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)

> >> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
> >> >
> >> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
> >> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
> >> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
> >> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
> >> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
> >>
> >> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
> >>
> >> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
> >>
> >> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
> >> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
> >> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
> >> country in 'other' ways." :-)
> >>
> >They wished.
>
> They wish so hard they think it is real. Poor babies.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

And if they clicked their heeels together...

4135. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:52:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162086730.845131.299630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1162067985.912334.80680@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

> > Ha! Jim insulting Jim.
>
> Now you've just insulted Jim, calling him he. LOL
>
> SC

Him he who?

4136. Re: more of SC crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:52:30 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162086750.889892.317430@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:35:37 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
> >No comments needed here.
> indeed buyut you will contiue to dabte your "lloyd"
> >
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer.......didn't i tell you to get off the fucking computer
and get back to licking my nuts?

4137. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 01:55:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162086925.651298.191910@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > >> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > >> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > >> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > >> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > >
> > >> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
> > >
> > >The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.
> >
> > Realy

No, not really.

> Yep, and for far less money than the public schools operate on.

That's because they can pick and choose their students, and the areas
they serve.

Public schools cannot.

> > i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
> > and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
> > tended to avoid thier school
>
> That isn't to say that Catholics don't have a whole host of other
> problems.

Some of which have made the headlines in recent years.

> > I do hope some schools are doing a better
> > job
>
> In public schools? Rare!

Not in my experience. Of course, community support for the public
schools varies all over the place.

> > I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
> > claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of

> > Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
> > hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process

One does not need to know Maxwell's Equations to do radio safely.

> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.

??

4138. Re: FS: Improved Roadtalker 10 Meter DX Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:00:30 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162087230.453669.187970@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.sheep

BW wrote:
> Combine this radio with any ol' 11 Meter antenna and listen to the
> world come alive! This popular Model 3837 Sears Roadtalker Transceiver
>
> has the built-in AC power supply for plug'n'play base operation as well
>
> as the 13.8VDC option. Extremely nice condition rig that ranges from
> 28.300 to 28.745 MHz with clarifier that works in both transmit and
> receive modes. 25 watt PEP input power and digital display.
> Includes original microphone, owner's manual, AC and DC power cords,
> mounting bracket and conversion data.
> Hard to imagine more enjoyable entertainment for the dollar during long
>
THATS BECAUSE YOU'VE NEVER MORKIN'S LIPS WRAPED AROUND YOUR PECKER

> winter days and nights. $75 shipped in the USA. Will ship
> worldwide with buyer paying shipping costs. PayPal only.

MORKINS SUCKS COCK JUST BECAUSE HE CRAVES IT

4139. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:00:42 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <eXT0h.24982$GR2.2282@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:IgS0h.728$LI4.202@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>I can't here it on 6950
>
> SC

Drunk again?

4140. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:03:24 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162087404.372906.281740@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >I can't here it on 6950
> >
> >SC
> he is planing on it if you read his blog he tells you that and I have
> told but you are toobusy making sexaul remarks
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

marqueer.....get off the dam computer and get back to licking my
nuts!

4141. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:04:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >
> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > the scores...
> >
> > I think you get the point.
> >
>
> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using only
> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.

I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
amateur radio operators...

> It
> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.

Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.

> >> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> >> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
> >
> > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> > the riff-raff" argument.
>
> I've never mentioned the "dumbing down" argument. My point is that there is
> a body of basic knowledge that all should know. The difficulty arises in
> determining what that basic knowledge should be. Generally, the experienced
> people should be the ones to define what constitutes basic knowledge. The
> beginners are too inexperienced to do so.

You couldn't be more wrong. The FCC should get to define what "basic
knowledge" is, and those that do the defining don't have a clue what
Morse Code is. But they've been buffaloed into believing that it tis
something magical.

> >> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't
> >> use
> >> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> >> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> >> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> >> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> >> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>
> Please do not insult me by stereotyping like that.

You do not have a Ham Husband?

> I happen to be a degreed
> engineer (B.S. in Aerospace Engineering) with 20 years of applied experience
> in engineering (aerospace, nuclear, mechanical and automotive fields).

I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.

Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
engineer........

> Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am perfectly
> capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class for
> our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my husband
> attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.
>
> You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Be kind enough to show where. Merely claiming to be an engineer
without a use for Ohm's Law or Radio Theory is not enough.

4142. Re: FS: Improved Roadtalker 10 Meter DX Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:07:34 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yah00.cum
Message-ID: <zrq7ob$4fh$9@dried-up-boobies.com>
Source record: 170159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.sheep

Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com, the turned out masseur, buzzed:

> FUCKING LOVE PILLOWS BAZOOMBAS THATS BECAUSE YOU'VE NEVER MORKIN'S
> FUCKING BUTT HOOFER LIPS WRAPED AROUND YOUR PECKER FUCKING CUM SLINGER
> MOTHERFUCKER PROSTITUTE ASSHOLE VIRGIN DISCHARGE MOTHERFUCKER SPUNK
> CUM FACTORY DICK WEE AXE WOUND

> FUCKING ASS MERCHANT MORKINS SUCKS COCK JUST BECAUSE HE FUCKING DOUBLE
> D'S NIGGER LOVER CRAVES IT BLOWJOB CLIT FAGGOT PIMP PUSSY MUFF PUSSY
> HOOKER

4143. Re: Georgia DX busting in at 11:06 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:09:32 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162087772.220421.232630@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

jim wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> > On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 11:11:31 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > >>>Steveo..I called for Jim over in Joisey too, but Ohio and Long Island
> > >>>coming in loud. That tag was a long time coming, years, in fact! Good to
> > >>>place a voice with the handle after all these years...your're sounding
> > >>>choice down here in the swamp. Mother nature is relieving herself up and
> > >>>down the coast right now so the rain is definitely helping. We'll do it
> > >>>again, soon!
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>Sorry guys I was at a halloween party last night and was in no condition
> > >>to be operating a mic never mind anything else ;)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Don't sugarcoat it. What are you trying to say?
> > >
> > > ;-)
> > >
> > > Vinnie S.
> >
> > hic, i got the munchies hehehe

marqueer.....maybe these guys will help you with your moon bounce

4144. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:11:43 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <z5U0h.24983$GR2.4081@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:ajm7k2djt4f1ckdni055bgee9blnlhpej5@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:28:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>>
>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>
>>>> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
>>>> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
>>>> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
>>>> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>>>
>>> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>>>
>>
>>Well if you understood that garbled mess of a sentence, then my hat is off
>>to you. Perhaps you should get a job as his interpreter.
> obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round
> comicator

Mork, you should make that last...um, sentence your sig.

4145. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:24:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> >> >> group anymore.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
> >>
> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
> >
> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
> > Robesin.
>
> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.

You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
encounters or pedophilia?

When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
postings to Dee postings.

> Many of
> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.

Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
people of rape.

> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.

Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..

> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US

> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
> > was fairly close to the USMC.
> >
> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
> >
>> the
>> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
> >
> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
> > other ways.
>
> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other ways.

Even worse.

> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.

Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
that comment.

>> the compulsive responses that
>> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> people
>> like Opus,
> >
> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>
> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.

Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?

> However,
> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.

Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.

> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
> > never once chimed in to say boo)....
>
> She chose the call sign.

I believe she did.

> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that I
> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.

I believe you did just that.

So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?

Was she asking for it and is she on her own?

> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
> >
> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
> > callsigns attached to it.
>
> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.

I would never have guessed.

> I would never hide behind
> anonymity.

Jim does.

> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new ham
> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his foot
> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier to
> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even then,
> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of the
> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day and
> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham and
> an Elmer.

No "shack on a belt" quips?

> >> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
> >> without things getting out of hand.
> >
> > I like spirited, and I like the dignity that you lend when things get
> > spirited...
> >
> >> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
> >> bother
> >> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
> >> line.
> >>
> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> > Is an egg that's come to room temp and incubating a little bit of
> > salmonella really all that bad?
>
> Not if you enjoy being sick.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I'll pass that one along to Robesin, then. He relishes spoiled eggs.

4146. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:27:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <lPudnXEgaYo6jNnYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >
>> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
>> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
>> > the scores...
>> >
>> > I think you get the point.
>> >
>>
>> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
>> only
>> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
>
> I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
> amateur radio operators...
>

Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
(contesting) for which it is ill-suited.

>> It
>> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
>> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
>> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.
>
> Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.
>

So what? When I'm in a contest, I use the best computer ever developed (the
human brain). When the person on the other end is sending manually keyed
code, again I use the good old brain. That I sometimes use CWGet is no
particular endorsement of it. It's a tool that I use when I'm tired and
still want to operate code. However unless the signal is of good quality
and volume, it ends up being necessary to go back to the good old human

brain. My decision then is to either put in the extra effort to focus or
just call it a night and go to bed.

>> >> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out
>> >> the
>> >> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
>> >
>> > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
>> > the riff-raff" argument.
>>
>> I've never mentioned the "dumbing down" argument. My point is that there
>> is
>> a body of basic knowledge that all should know. The difficulty arises in
>> determining what that basic knowledge should be. Generally, the
>> experienced
>> people should be the ones to define what constitutes basic knowledge.
>> The
>> beginners are too inexperienced to do so.
>
> You couldn't be more wrong. The FCC should get to define what "basic
> knowledge" is, and those that do the defining don't have a clue what
> Morse Code is. But they've been buffaloed into believing that it tis
> something magical.
>

Yes the FCC has the task of defining what that should be. However there is
NOTHING that prohibits them from consulting with people who have operating
experience.

>> >> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know
>> >> don't
>> >> use
>> >> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set.
>> >> I've
>> >> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been
>> >> licensed.
>> >> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used
>> >> smith
>> >> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I
>> >> can
>> >> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
>> > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>>
>> Please do not insult me by stereotyping like that.
>
> You do not have a Ham Husband?
>

You are choosing to be obtuse. Yes I have a Ham Husband but no he does not take care of Ohm's law or Theory for me.

>> I happen to be a degreed
>> engineer (B.S. in Aerospace Engineering) with 20 years of applied
>> experience
>> in engineering (aerospace, nuclear, mechanical and automotive fields).
>
> I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
> otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
> certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
> Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
> engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.
>

Mechanical engineers don't have a need for Ohm's law. They go hire the electrical engineers. Aerospace engineering is a branch of mechanical engineering (we don't get to drop the lesser terms in the equations since they have a significant impact for our field). Again we go hire the electrical engineers. Same with civil and structural engineers. On the other hand electrical engineers generally do not study basic pressure vessal theory but go hire the mechanical engineers for that.

> Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
> engineer........
>

Again it depends on the field. We all studied common areas such as calculus and fast fourier transforms but items unique to a field generally were not taught across the board. We didn't study Ohms law and the electrical engineers didn't study cantilever beam theory.

>> Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am
>> perfectly
>> capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class for
>> our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my husband
>> attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.
>>
>> You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> Be kind enough to show where. Merely claiming to be an engineer
> without a use for Ohm's Law or Radio Theory is not enough.

You assumed that I needed help from my OM on theory, etc. That is the area to which I referred.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4147. Re: It was a disater for procoders for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:31:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fd48k2tsir0nvocvqjhb1qnv6aa0eiohea@4ax.com>
Source record: 170164

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:31:33 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:30:14 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> spake thusly:
>>
>> >It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>> >you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>> >boot camp.
>>
>> Code has nothing to do with "value". A recruit going thru boot camp is
>> a laughable analogy.
>
>We're talking about U.S. regulations. It's kind of odd that a
>Canadian would worry that much about them.
why is it odd what we do will affect canadian hams esp what we do on
hf
>Are you planning to move
>to Chassell, Michigan?
>
>> >If you've never programmed in assembly language, don't talk to me
>> >about being a real programmer. If you've never passed a Morse code
>> >exam, don't talk to me about being a real ham.
>>
>> THAT is the snot-nosed attitude that I have been talking about. An
>> attitude that makes ham worthless.
>
>It's called having standards. Some people still think that the
>preservation of moral, ethical and technical standards is a noble
>goal.
code testing does not promote anything moral, ethical or tehcincial
listen 80m
> Slackards want to drop that kind of thing, because they don't
>want to have to measure up. They want things handed to them before
>they've developed the necessary skills, and that's a recipe for
>disaster

Morse Code is a not a nessary skill.
>
>I won't call anyone a programmer who has never done some assembly
>language programming, even as a class exercise. They simply aren't
>worth considering, and most other people who have done real

>programming will agree.
well I have but that still doesn't count

> They haven't shown that they really
>understand what's going on inside of a computer, and I don't have time
>to mess with the mistakes they will inevitably make. When I'm on a
>project, I want real programmers as co-workers; not wannabees who
>only know C++.
>
>It's no different in amateur radio. People with the right stuff make
>themselves clearly evident. They're willing to WORK for a license,
>because they have that burning interest it takes to become an
>outstanding hobbyist. Morse code tests aren't fun for most, and they
>are definitely work. People with genuine interest will go ahead and
>teach themselves the code so that they can obtain the license that
>they value so highly. They also turn out to be an asset to ham radio.

the S&M school of licesnure

your problem is the HAZING is ilegal
>
>The undesirables will go to Radio Shack and buy a CB set instead.
>That's the way we want to keep it.

listen to 80 m
>
>Your's is (to borrow a phrase) the snot-nosed attitude that we want to
>keep out of ham radio. Nobody in the U.S. or Canada owes you a damned
>thing.
>
>Get your welfare somewhere else.
it Code as a mode that recieves welfare end Mode wlefare
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4148. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:33:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vm48k2hp9i7ogj4n5mkatvcpttmdor5tpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 170165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 02:11:43 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:ajm7k2djt4f1ckdni055bgee9blnlhpej5@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:28:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>>> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>
>>>>> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
>>>>> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
>>>>> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
>>>>> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>>>>
>>>> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Well if you understood that garbled mess of a sentence, then my hat is off
>>>to you. Perhaps you should get a job as his interpreter.
>> obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round
>> comicator
>
>Mork, you should make that last...um, sentence your sig.
why Tom?
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4149. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:36:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pq48k2hl2tqfq61fiptiu3dqmgd2i27ksd@4ax.com>
Source record: 170166
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 28 Oct 2006 18:32:23 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 18:07:16 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> when you start comitting crimes yourself just to attack some you lose
>> all vestige of civlization
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>I haven't comitted any crimes
sure you have it is called forgery
but of course as far as I can tell Foley hasn't comited a crime either
unlike the democratc congressmen who had sex witha serving page

and that President who comited a sexaul crime in the oval office
(postittuion)
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4150. Re: more of SC crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:38:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3158k2tsaa2vh922go796o7nt1ahuf4p6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 170167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 28 Oct 2006 18:52:30 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4151. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:39:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p258k2d70cj75p3ficqpome00qvfh5i47t@4ax.com>
Source record: 170168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 02:00:42 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:IgS0h.728$LI4.202@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>I can't here it on 6950
>>
>> SC
>
>Drunk again?
prehaps Tom more likely just in such a rush to finish his posting at
work during his coffe break
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4152. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:45:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <g558k21289qaakof4ad649pbr3mnqe7s53@4ax.com>
Source record: 170170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 28 Oct 2006 19:24:52 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>> >> >> group anymore.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>> >>
>> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>> >
>> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
>> > Robesin.
>>
>> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>
>You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
>encounters or pedophilia?
amazing the arrogance deciding what other may do

I am not sure what you see in D she is better than many procoders but
that is still like being more in favor of Aboloishtion than a southern
platers in 1860
>
>When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
>postings to Dee postings.
>
>> Many of
>> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
>
>Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
>people of rape.
```

and the final was Robeson role in geting someone else's home burned to
ground simply for sharing my name
>
>> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
>> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.
>
>Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
>about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
>enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..

If steve can beleieved about her condiction she never was well enough
to ride that bus
>
>> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
>> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
>> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
>> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
>> > was fairly close to the USMC.
>> >
>> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
>> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>> >
>> >> the
>> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>> >
>> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
>> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
>> > other ways.
>>
>> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
>> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other ways.
>
>Even worse.
>
>> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
>
>Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
>that comment.

but ProCoode'sr decide what needs answering
>
>> >> the compulsive responses that
>> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> >> people
>> >> like Opus,
>> >
>> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>>
>> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.
>
>Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?

indeed it is ilgeal but the law is waives for ProCoders
>
>> However,
>> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.
>
>Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.

yep
>
>> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
>> > never once chimed in to say boo)....
>>
>> She chose the call sign.
>
>I believe she did.
>
>> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
>> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that I
>> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
>
>I believe you did just that.
>
>So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?
>
>Was she asking for it and is she on her own?

according to D yes so it would seem
>
>> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
>> >
>> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
>> > callsigns attached to it.
>>
>> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
>
>I would never have guessed.
>
>> I would never hide behind
>> anonymity.
>
>Jim does.
>
>> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
>> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new ham
>> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
>> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his foot
>> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier to
>> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even then,
>> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
>> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
>> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of the

>> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day and
>> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham and
>> an Elmer.
>
>No "shack on a belt" quips?
>
>> >> i.e. They left because it was impossible to have a good, spirited debate
>> >> without things getting out of hand.
>> >
>> > I like spirited, and I like the dignity that you lend when things get
>> > spirited...
>> >
>> >> I only drop in occasionally to see what's happening. Mostly I don't
>> >> bother
>> >> to respond as it has proven to be pointless with all the bad eggs on
>> >> line.
>> >>
>> >> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Is an egg that's come to room temp and incubating a little bit of
>> > salmonella really all that bad?
>>
>> Not if you enjoy being sick.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>I'll pass that one along to Robesin, then. He relishes spoiled eggs.
lol
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4153. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:47:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4g58k2d76v9cae76jcf6e69dt4a0pmj7p8@4ax.com>
Source record: 170171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 22:44:21 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:pq48k2hl2tqfq61fiptiu3dqmgd2i27ksd@4ax.com...
>On 28 Oct 2006 18:32:23 -0700, "bobandcarole"
><bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 28 Oct 2006 18:07:16 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>>> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> when you start comitting crimes yourself just to attack some you lose
>>> all vestige of civlization
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>I haven't comitted any crimes
>sure you have it is called forgery
>but of course as far as I can tell Foley hasn't comited a crime either
>unlike the democratc congressmen who had sex witha serving page
>
>and that President who comited a sexaul crime in the oval office
>(postittuion)
>
one learn to quote properly
>
>(don't forget to mention Barney Frank (D) whose live-in boyfriend ran a male
>prostitution ring from Barney's basement...or would that be too "homophobic"
>for you?)
I did not forget I had not heard of that incident and it would be fair
game assuming it a conviction occoured
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4154. Re: Georgia DX busting in at 11:06 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:48:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kj58k29ke2e7kmfh6k88grn6p7nqou7moc@4ax.com>
Source record: 170173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 19:09:32 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4155. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:49:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mk58k2pp7t65d41am2a8eljcc427ou42mq@4ax.com>
Source record: 170172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:33:56 GMT, The God of Odd Statements
<godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:52:36 -0700, Wabbit did most oddly state:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark in the Dark' wrote:
>>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code wrote:
>>> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop. cuhulin
>>> >>
>>> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
>>> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
>>> >>boot them out.
>>> >
>>> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>>>
>>> Gay bashing is allowed here.
>
>Doesn't mean you're not a gay-bashing troll.
>
>>> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
>>> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>>>
>>> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
>>> no-coders take over ham radio.
>
>Don't worry, your Fuehrer Bush aims to see to it that _he_ takes over
>America. He's doing a damn good job of it, too. He can declare martial
>law any time he wants, now...
>http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark
hard to tell which is is the looner
>
>> hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
>> dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.
>
>I believe you may have finally achieved your nadir, Wabbit! Good job.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4156. Re: FS: Improved Roadtalker 10 Meter DX Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:49:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9l58k2986m5rpd2rn8gromdaml89710pcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 170175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Oct 2006 19:00:30 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>

id thefts remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4157. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:49:43 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rl58k2l1tg3gu6ka8e47rutvkd57otapio@4ax.com>
Source record: 170174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 19:03:24 -0700, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4158. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:49:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162090198.866950.277660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>
> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
> Miccolis.

Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.

> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.

Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
"Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").

Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
callsigns, too.

Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
cool thing...

Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
then 13, and then 20 WPM.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
"style."

I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.

Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
I have.

That's so swell of him.

I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
tit for tat. No?

4159. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:50:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rm58k2hqgv27e55f7k4m2uln0cj6i8ghrm@4ax.com>
Source record: 170177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 22:27:30 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>>
>>> [snip]
>>>
>>> >
>>> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>>> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
>>> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
>>> > the scores...
>>> >
>>> > I think you get the point.
>>> >
>>>
>>> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
>>> only
>>> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
>>
>> I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
>> amateur radio operators...
>>
>
>Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
>(contesting) for which it is ill-suited.
so? is cw only for contesting?
>
>>> It
>>> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
>>> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
>>> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.
>>
>> Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.
>>
>

>So what? When I'm in a contest, I use the best computer ever developed (the
>human brain).
are contests all that is important in the ARS
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4160. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:54:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <qq58k29a77jqp2dmiimnrngrauq7lbi32j@4ax.com>
Source record: 170179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 19:49:58 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>>
>> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
>> Miccolis.
>
>Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.
>
>> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
>
>Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
>"Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").
>
>Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
>Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
>callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
>his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
>should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
>callsigns, too.
>
>Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
>some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
>Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
>did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
>cool thing...
>
>Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
>fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
>then 13, and then 20 WPM.
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
>"style."
>
>I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
> I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I

>seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
>
>Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
>I have.
indeed he done the same to me and along with "freinds posted the
address and phone number of people total unrelated to me except for
sharing my name
>
>That's so swell of him.
>
>I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
>tit for tat. No?
no it is some felony to reponded with threat and dmends and the 101st
being recalled from Iraq
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4161. slow code crapathon coninues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:56:42 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e068k2lav79ttek0gob63se7ulc2gvi6rm@4ax.com>
Source record: 170180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 22:53:05 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>According to Mark we are all guilty of identity theft,
funny I never all

but you are of course a lier since you say you name is something it
ain't that is the beuty of your cowards you can all ALWAYS be properly
terms liers
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4162. 10months of the Stevei and lawsuit lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 02:58:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <a468k21khf1hsoo8j3iluk935kjv8s9edp@4ax.com>
Source record: 170182

on dec 28th 2005 Robeson insisted that is was done the filling of a
lawsuit against me well the US Mail must be truly fucked since i have
STILL to see service of this lawsuit
10 months and counting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4163. Re: It was a disater to the procode cause for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:00:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d868k2t4vm2rt73bg477hj4qo0ljke5civ@4ax.com>
Source record: 170183

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 22:59:33 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>After Mark changed the Subject line again, he said....

I know you object to the truth "bell" but it is disater and the final
death kneel we are just waiting for the FCC to pull the plug
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4164. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:01:36 UTC
From: Douche Bag <loumaagsucks@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162090896.121645.198860@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dear Slow Code,
How about another slow cock sucking? Don't you miss the taste? I'm
ready for you.
Slow Code wrote:
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

4165. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:07:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162091225.773991.167450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > >> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > >> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > >> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > >> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > >
> > > >> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
> > > >
> > > >The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.
> > >
> > > Realy
> >
> No, not really.

No?

> > Yep, and for far less money than the public schools operate on.
>
> That's because they can pick and choose their students, and the areas
> they serve.

Nope. The Catholic School can only accept students that apply. No one
is forced to apply or attend. And there are no geographical
restrictions to the area that a Catholic School services, only the
ability of a parent to get their child to school on time.

> Public schools cannot.

They can as much as any school can.

> > > i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
> > > and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
> > > tended to avoid thier school
> >
> > That isn't to say that Catholics don't have a whole host of other
> > problems.
>
> Some of which have made the headlines in recent years.

Protestant Churches have made EXACTLY the same headlines.

But serving in other ways hasn't made any headlines.

> > > I do hope some schools are doing a better
> > > job
> >
> > In public schools? Rare!
>
> Not in my experience. Of course, community support for the public
> schools varies all over the place.

Just like community support for the military varies all over the place.

> > > I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
> > > claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
> > > Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
> > > hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>
> One does not need to know Maxwell's Equations to do radio safely.

Yet you speak of Maxwell's Equations often.

Dee says she has no use for the Smith Charts. What were you saying
about the smith charts?

> > Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>
> ??

??

4166. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:11:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eu68k29543hpvd3776tv6dftq8gt3mtf4s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 23:09:46 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162090198.866950.277660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>>
>> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
>> Miccolis.
>
>Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.
>
>> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
>
>Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
>"Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").
>
>Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
>Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
>callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
>his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
>should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
>callsigns, too.
>
>Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
>some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
>Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
>did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
>cool thing...
>
>Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
>fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
>then 13, and then 20 WPM.
>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
>"style."

>
>I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
> I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
>seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
>
>Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
>I have.
>
>That's so swell of him.
>
>I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
>tit for tat. No?
>
>>And when Roger Wiseman posts Mark's home address, phone number, etc., is
roger has NEVER posted my Phone number he posts plenty of other people
phone number never mine
>that just more "tit for tat"? Sorry, Billy, but I prefer to think of that as
>maliciousness.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4167. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:13:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162091615.917172.11680@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> Who is "Jimmie"? There's a "Jimmie D" who has posted to rrap recently.
> I'm not him

Jimmie is the guy who diverts the subject when he is asked under what
other names he's posted to RRAP under. Jimmis is nobody at all.

4168. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:22:30 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <6dydneTutPoUg9nYnZ2dnUVZ_vKdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> group anymore.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> SC
>> >> >
>> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>> >>
>> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>> >
>> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
>> > Robesin.
>>
>> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>
> You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
> encounters or pedophilia?
>
> When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
> postings to Dee postings.
>

Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I refuse
to get sucked into such stupidity.

>> Many of
>> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
>
> Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
> people of rape.
>

>> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
>> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.
>
> Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
> about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
> enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..
>

I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
mess.

>> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
>> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
>> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
>> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
>> > was fairly close to the USMC.
>> >
>> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
>> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>> >
>> >> the
>> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>> >
>> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
>> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
>> > other ways.
>>
>> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
>> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other
>> ways.
>
> Even worse.
>
>> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
>
> Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
> that comment.
>
>> >> the compulsive responses that
>> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> >> people
>> >> like Opus,
>> >
>> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>>
>> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.
>
> Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?
>

Hard to say. One would have to weigh it against the specific wording of the law and adjudicated cases to determine if it was or was not illegal.

>> However,
>> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.
>
> Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.
>

How do you come to that conclusion? I know very little about her politics and it wouldn't matter if I did. As I recall, she defended her choice far better than I or anyone else could have done it for her. I supported her right to choose a legally available call sign even though I thought her choice a little strange. It's not within my power to make others accept it.

>> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
>> > never once chimed in to say boo)....

As tasteless and tacky as it was, it may not have actually meet the legal definition of sexual harassment. She was not threatened with a job loss or with an overall loss in her quality of life. Discussion groups are not for the faint of heart, especially ones like these newsgroups. Participation in these news groups does not contribute to quality of life in any significant way. It is an idle and insignificant form of recreation.

>>
>> She chose the call sign.
>
> I believe she did.
>

Yup. A simple check of the call sign database shows that it is a vanity call. So it was hers by choice. I don't recall for sure but didn't she say she did it on a dare?

>> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
>> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that
>> I
>> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
>
> I believe you did just that.
>
> So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?
>

Not the same thing at all and you very well know it. Her overall quality of life is seriously affected and her life could even be in danger. Besides rapists don't care what the victim is wearing. They are looking for some one they can successfully dominate and terrorize. The shy school girl in the dowdy clothes hurrying home with her books clutched to her chest is just as likely or even more likely to be raped than the brazen hookers down on Eight Mile (that's a Detroit reference).

> Was she asking for it and is she on her own?
>

See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and it were within my power to do something about it, I would. It wouldn't matter if it were that shy school girl or that brazen hooker. Today, I'd have to limit myself to calling for help but in my younger, more fit days, I'd also have taken an active (i.e. physical) part in her defense.

>> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
>> >
>> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
>> > callsigns attached to it.
>>
>> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
>
> I would never have guessed.
>
>> I would never hide behind
>> anonymity.
>
> Jim does.
>
>> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
>> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new
>> ham
>> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
>> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his
>> foot
>> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier
>> to
>> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even
>> then,
>> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
>> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
>> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of
>> the
>> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day
>> and
>> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham
>> and
>> an Elmer.
>
> No "shack on a belt" quips?
>

Nope. Why should I? It accomplishes nothing. I want people to enjoy ham radio. I want their motivation to develop to be based on the joy they get out of it and the joy they see others get out of it. Sarcasm does not serve that objective.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4169. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:29:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hn78k21lveqqivoghechd6enm68qrpbuk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 23:22:30 -0400, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in
>>> >> >> the
>>> >> >> group anymore.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> SC
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>> >>
>>> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>>> >
>>> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
>>> > Robesin.
>>>
>>> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>>
>> You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
>> encounters or pedophilia?
>>
>> When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
>> postings to Dee postings.
>>
>
>Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I refuse
>to get sucked into such stupidity.
>
>>> Many of
```

>>> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
>>
>> Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
>> people of rape.
>>
>>> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
>>> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.
>>
>> Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
>> about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
>> enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..
>>
>
>I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
>mess.
no you supported him and hiss efort for the past few years by your
silence
>
>>> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
>>> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
>>> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
>>> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
>>> > was fairly close to the USMC.
>>> >
>>> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
>>> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>>> >
>>> >> the
>>> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>>> >
>>> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
>>> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
>>> > other ways.
>>>
>>> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
>>> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other
>>> ways.
>>
>> Even worse.
>>
>>> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
>>
>> Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
>> that comment.
>>
>>> >> the compulsive responses that
>>> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>>> >> people
>>> >> like Opus,
>>> >

>>> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>>>
>>> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.
>>
>> Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?
>>
>
>Hard to say. One would have to weigh it against the specific wording of the
>law and adjudicated cases to determine if it was or was not illegal.
not at all if it created a though sexaul remark a hostile envoiment it
is silegal
>
>>> However,
>>> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.
>>
>> Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.
>>
>
>How do you come to that conclusion? I know very little about her politics
>and it wouldn't matter if I did. As I recall, she defended her choice far
>better than I or anyone else could have done it for her. I supported her
>right to choose a legally available call sign even though I thought her
>choice a little strange. It's not within my power to make others accept it.
>
>>> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
>>> > never once chimed in to say boo)....
>
>As tasteless and tacky as it was, it may not have actually meet the legal
>definition of sexual harassment. She was not threatened with a job loss or
>with an overall loss in her quality of life. Discussion groups are not for
>the faint of heart, especially ones like these newsgroups. Participation in
>these news groups does not contribute to quality of life in any significant
>way. It is an idle and insignificant form of recreation.
>
>>>
>>> She chose the call sign.
>>
>> I believe she did.
>>
>
>Yup. A simple check of the call sign database shows that it is a vanity
>call. So it was hers by choice. I don't recall for sure but didn't she say
>she did it on a dare?
>
>>> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
>>> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that
>>> I
>>> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
>>
>> I believe you did just that.

>>
>> So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?
>>
>
>Not the same thing at all and you very well know it.
realy
> Her overall quality of
>life is seriously affected and her life could even be in danger.
as was mine Indeed people have suffered PHYICAL HARM as result of
Robeson posting that was what lead to puching back
> Besides
>rapists don't care what the victim is wearing. They are looking for some
>one they can successfully dominate and terrorize.

indeed that is our boy Robeson he thought he attack and dominate me
because of my dyslexia
> The shy school girl in
>the dowdy clothes hurrying home with her books clutched to her chest is just
>as likely or even more likely to be raped than the brazen hookers down on
>Eight Mile (that's a Detroit reference).
>
>> Was she asking for it and is she on her own?
>>
>
>See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and
>it were within my power to do something about it, I would.
I was and am threatened with VIOLENCE on a regular basis and people
not in your killfile you say nothing you lift not the finger smallest
finger of your hand
> It wouldn't
>matter if it were that shy school girl or that brazen hooker. Today, I'd
>have to limit myself to calling for help but in my younger, more fit days,
>I'd also have taken an active (i.e. physical) part in her defense.
>
>>> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>>> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
>>> >
>>> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
>>> > callsigns attached to it.
>>>
>>> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
>>
>> I would never have guessed.
>>
>>> I would never hide behind
>>> anonymity.
>>
>> Jim does.
>>
>>> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or

>>> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new
>>> ham
>>> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
>>> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his
>>> foot
>>> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier
>>> to
>>> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even
>>> then,
>>> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
>>> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
>>> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of
>>> the
>>> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day
>>> and
>>> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham
>>> and
>>> an Elmer.
>>
>> No "shack on a belt" quips?
>>
>
>Nope. Why should I? It accomplishes nothing. I want people to enjoy ham
>radio. I want their motivation to develop to be based on the joy they get
>out of it and the joy they see others get out of it. Sarcasm does not serve
>that objective.

then why do you employ it?
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4170. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:41:16 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <J5adndKJAapyv9nYnZ2dnUVZ_u2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162090198.866950.277660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>>
>> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
>> Miccolis.
>
> Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.
>
>> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
>
> Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
> "Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").
>

I delete such without reading them and generally delete all the resulting
posts.

> Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
> Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
> callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
> his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
> should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
> callsigns, too.
>

There are way too many people that confuse honest mistakes with lying.
Don't be one of them. The FCC has been getting on people for doing that and
making them justify the calls or give them up.

> Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
> some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
> Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
> did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
> cool thing...
>

Don't see why. But again the FCC is getting wise to such antics.

> Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
> fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
> then 13, and then 20 WPM.
>

Depends on how bad you want the privileges. Just don't ask for something for nothing. Originally I had no interest in ham radio but my husband at the time dragged me to a class as something we could do together. As I got involved, I found it interesting. I deduced very early on that what I wanted to get out of ham radio would best be served by going all the way to Extra. Since I wanted the privileges, I met the requirements including the 20 wpm.

Not everyone wants those privileges. Kim is a case in point. She is a Tech Plus and could have gotten her General with just a written test and no further code testing as of April 2000. She chose not to because she did not really like HF operations. The typical background static of HF bothers her. Her interests lie in VHF and up. Since she has full privileges there, the General does not serve her goals.

>
> That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
> "style."
>

Very true. But it takes a lot of discipline to consistently write in a different style and not make tell tale slips. When Len Anderson was posting as Avery Fineman, it was quite obvious they were the same person.

> I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
> I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
> seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
>
> Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
> I have.
>
> That's so swell of him.
>
> I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
> tit for tat. No?

Doesn't really matter as with the internet this information is findable one way or another if one cares to go after it. Posting it here only shows that you have the internet search skills of any average user and get some kind of juvenile thrill out of posting it.

Dee, N8UZE

4171. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:44:21 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtq0e.ich.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:pq48k2hl2tqfq61fiptiu3dqmgd2i27ksd@4ax.com...
On 28 Oct 2006 18:32:23 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 18:07:16 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> when you start comitting crimes yourself just to attack some you lose
>> all vestige of civlization
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>I haven't comitted any crimes
sure you have it is called forgery
but of course as far as I can tell Foley hasn't comited a crime either
unlike the democratc congressmen who had sex witha serving page

and that President who comited a sexaul crime in the oval office
(postittuion)

(don't forget to mention Barney Frank (D) whose live-in boyfriend ran a male
prostitution ring from Barney's basement...or would that be too "homophobic"
for you?)

4172. Mark new (self-appointed) Group Moderator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:53:05 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtqgq.bbb.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark has decided to be the new de-facto group moderator. He thinks that he deserves this position due to the sheer volume of his posts alone. According to Mark we are all guilty of identity theft, others of us are guilty of yet to be named "crimes" and those of us who disagree with him are "liers".

Mark will now accuse me of being Steve, or Dave, or Roger, or whoever is his accusee du jour, and you may rest assured he will post in bulk.

Go for it, Mark! Speak, Boy, speak!

4173. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:56:05 UTC
From: k8vf_mark@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <1162094165.644149.230040@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
> >>
> >> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
> >> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
> >> will help clean up the repeaters.
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> >Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
> >
> >5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
> >normal physical dexterity.
> not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
> of the terms you are using
> >I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
> >taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
> >15 or so years.
> so?
> >
> >At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
> >weeks.
>
> good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
> license till I gave up
> >
> >For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
> >warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.
>
> there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
> Warc bands)
> >
> >When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
> >office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
> >about nervous!
> >I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
> >they do) with that for excitement and stress.
> >When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
> >taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!

> >
> >No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it cannot
> >compare with the people of Ham Radio.
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

What is your point.?

I amswered his query in a positive way.

You obviously know there ARE cw portions of bands PLUS a cw only band
which is OBVIOUSLY what was referred to.

If you could not manage 5wpm in 5 years then you have an unusual
difficulty, or you were not taking the time to do it and stay with it.
Remember I said "normal faculties and normal physical dexterity". A
person with ADD or Dyslexia may not be able to , and others with other
issues may not. (I know lots of blind guys who are hams, they dont
think blindness is an impediment to ham radio or the code)

He asked if cw would keep us from Amateur radio. I amswered the
question indicating I did not think cw was a difficult impediment and
used examples why from my own experience.

4174. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:59:33 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtqsu.ll3.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170181

After Mark changed the Subject line again, he said....

"obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

As Roger would say, "Oh, the irony."

4175. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 03:59:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4n98k2hk8tdg9c7587ih3pb9e4ti3ki15s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Oct 2006 20:56:05 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>> >>
>> >> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
>> >> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
>> >> will help clean up the repeaters.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> >Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
>> >
>> >5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
>> >normal physical dexterity.
>> not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
>> of the terms you are using
>> >I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
>> >taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
>> >15 or so years.
>> so?
>> >
>> >At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
>> >weeks.
>>
>> good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
>> license till I gave up
>> >
>> >For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
>> >warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.
>>
>> there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
>> Warc bands)
>> >
>> >When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
>> >office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
>> >about nervous!
>> >I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as

>> >they do) with that for excitement and stress.
>> >When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
>> >taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!
>> >
>> >No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it cannot
>> >compare with the people of Ham Radio.
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>What is your point.?
>
>I amswered his query in a positive way.
his query was not positive
>
>You obviously know there ARE cw portions of bands PLUS a cw only band
>which is OBVIOUSLY what was referred to.
>
>If you could not manage 5wpm in 5 years then you have an unusual
>difficulty, or you were not taking the time to do it and stay with it.
>Remember I said "normal faculties and normal physical dexterity". A
>person with ADD or Dyslexia may not be able to , and others with other
>issues may not. (I know lots of blind guys who are hams, they dont
>think blindness is an impediment to ham radio or the code)
interesting that you mention dyslexia
>
>He asked if cw would keep us from Amateur radio. I amswered the
>question indicating I did not think cw was a difficult impediment and
>used examples why from my own experience.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4176. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:07:28 UTC
From: k8vf_mark@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <1162094847.970234.209460@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 20:56:05 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
> >> >>
> >> >> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
> >> >> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
> >> >> will help clean up the repeaters.
> >> >>
> >> >> SC
> >> >
> >> >Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
> >> >
> >> >5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
> >> >normal physical dexterity.
> >> not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
> >> of the terms you are using
> >> >I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
> >> >taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
> >> >15 or so years.
> >> so?
> >> >
> >> >At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
> >> >weeks.
> >>
> >> good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
> >> license till I gave up
> >> >
> >> >For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
> >> >warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.
> >>
> >> there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
> >> Warc bands)
> >> >
> >> >When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
> >> >office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
> >> >about nervous!

> >> >I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
> >> >they do) with that for excitement and stress.
> >> >When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
> >> >taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!
> >> >
> >> >No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it cannot
> >> >compare with the people of Ham Radio.
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> >What is your point.?
> >
> >I amswered his query in a positive way.
> his query was not positive
> >
> >You obviously know there ARE cw portions of bands PLUS a cw only band
> >which is OBVIOUSLY what was referred to.
> >
> >If you could not manage 5wpm in 5 years then you have an unusual
> >difficulty, or you were not taking the time to do it and stay with it.
> >Remember I said "normal faculties and normal physical dexterity". A
> >person with ADD or Dyslexia may not be able to , and others with other
> >issues may not. (I know lots of blind guys who are hams, they dont
> >think blindness is an impediment to ham radio or the code)
> interesting that you mention dyslexia
> >
> >He asked if cw would keep us from Amateur radio. I amswered the
> >question indicating I did not think cw was a difficult impediment and
> >used examples why from my own experience.
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

If you have dyslexia then youdo not have normal faculties and dexterity
and the post did not refer to you,. Why bother responding?

I SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED you in my original post.

4177. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:09:46 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtrg3.et2.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162090198.866950.277660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>
> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
> Miccolis.

Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.

> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.

Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
"Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").

Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
callsigns, too.

Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
cool thing...

Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
then 13, and then 20 WPM.

> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
"style."

I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.

Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
I have.

That's so swell of him.

I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just tit for tat. No?

>And when Roger Wiseman posts Mark's home address, phone number, etc., is that just more "tit for tat"? Sorry, Billy, but I prefer to think of that as maliciousness.

4178. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:10:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162095031.259961.323320@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k8vf_m...@centurytel.net wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 28 Oct 2006 20:56:05 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:

>
> If you have dyslexia then youdo not have normal faculties and dexterity
> and the post did not refer to you,. Why bother responding?
My factulites are prefectly normal as is my dexterity
>
> I SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED you in my original post.

4179. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:13:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <eea8k21u5b926mr05rakhnm88g1hjgvb3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 170197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:06:44 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>>
>>I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
>>mess.
>no you supported him and hiss efort for the past few years by your
>silence
>
>>
>Mark, you really DO crap on posts as SC says. Now this innocent woman
>is"guilty" of supporting Steve through her silence?
yes it is
>You truly are an idiot,
>Mark. The fact that she has killfiled your and Steve's posts never entered
>your convoluted mind, did it?

Acrdoing to her I was not yet killfiled nor has killfiled stev to this
day In your twisted mind she is guilty if she
>does, guilty if she doesn't.
>No wonder you are so disliked by all in this group....
you don't speak for any real person not even yourself oh nameless one
>
>
>>>
>>
>>See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and
>>it were within my power to do something about it, I would.
>I was and am threatened with VIOLENCE on a regular basis and people
>not in your killfile you say nothing you lift not the finger smallest
>finger of your hand
>>
>How about if I raise a finger, Mark? Will my middle one do? Digital Salute!
>The fact that you post ad-nauseum and call others "liers" never entered into
>YOUR equation, did it?
nothing jusitfies threating to murder if I dare come south of detroit
>>
>then why do you employ it?
>>
>Why do you persist in continually butting in where you are clearly not
>wanted?

this a publice NG you certainly have no computction about "butting in
where you are not wanted"

>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4180. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:13:42 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162095222.566278.276850@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Douche Bag wrote:
> Dear Slow Code,
> How about another slow cock sucking? Don't you miss the taste? I'm
> ready for you.
gay bashing just isn't cool

4181. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:29:35 UTC
From: Wonderer <piriesa@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <P6W0h.55083$rP1.36422@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 170199
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162084036.071755.19630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>> <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
>> >> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com>
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me
>> >> >> >where in the
>> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
>> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
>> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>> >> >>
>> >> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
>> >>
>> >> and your point
>> >Sex with children is a primitive act
>> no support for that postion
>
> I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all

nope

>> >>
>> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
>> >>
>> >A society of child molesters.
>> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
>> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
>> >>
>> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?

>>
>> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
>
> Under the age of 18.............

try holland and mexico 12

>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > who were to tutored is
>> >> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that
>> >> >> society
>> >> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many
>> >> >> >> homosexual
>> >> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he
>> >> >> >> gets a
>> >> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical
>> >> >> >> behavior
>> >> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such
>> >> >> >> folks
>> >> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
>

4182. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:31:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fib8k2lq9bp09i73kl5q8omal1jvgh9sld@4ax.com>
Source record: 170201
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 04:29:35 GMT, "Wonderer" <piriesa@bigpond.com>
wrote:

>
>"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162084036.071755.19630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>>> <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> >> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
>>> >> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> >> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com>
>>> >> >> wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me
>>> >> >> >where in the
>>> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
>>> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
>>> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >>They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
>>> >> >
>>> >> and your point
>>> >>Sex with children is a primitive act
>>> no support for that postion
>>
>> I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all
>
>nope
>
>
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
>>> >> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys

>>> >> >
>>> >> >A society of child molesters.
>>> >> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
>>> >> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
>>> >> >
>>> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
>>>
>>> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
>>
>> Under the age of 18..............
>
>try holland and mexico 12
missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
sex as do several others as i recall
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4183. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:32:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ulb8k2drt53fou0377c45hk4gtv7p46cph@4ax.com>
Source record: 170203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:32:27 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Simply because that is what Mark does.
learn to quote man will you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4184. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:35:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vqb8k2pq9uletu7146rlkbuvt8k3iv6t5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:34:16 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>.........
>You didn't answer the question, Markie.
when you learn to quote properly I consider your questions and you
need to pove you are a real person with a name

I do not answer question to Persons unknown
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4185. crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:40:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <o3c8k2pgr638i9eshfv3ovohavkrvvpbve@4ax.com>
Source record: 170206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:39:22 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:ulb8k2drt53fou0377c45hk4gtv7p46cph@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:32:27 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>
>>Simply because that is what Mark does.
>learn to quote man will you
>
>............
>When you learn to use a spell check, I'll learn to quote.

I know I just refuse to take the time as I have said manytimes

you are just a nonperson with no name and nothing to contribute to the
NG
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4186. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:48:04 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gjc8k2dq93g7sldsbs1876j1c59ccpmujd@4ax.com>
Source record: 170208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:48:53 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>/
>You already did.
learn to quote
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4187. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:56:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <h1d8k2t270n91kviiir96afe2hmgn5bii8@4ax.com>
Source record: 170212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:55:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
anytime you choose to follow basic cnventions or give a real
verifablkle name you are digtal flotsams whoose entire existance
online revolves around me it is flattering in sick sort of way
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4188. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 04:56:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <94d8k2td4o1o6f695ue5c131r3qon97lln@4ax.com>
Source record: 170211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:57:34 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Learn to spell.
why?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4189. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:03:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nfd8k2dlg1qd5n1oa42s3vntk8u4tk7vh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:03:09 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Don't flatter yourself, Mark. You are but a toy to be tossed to and fro at
>my whim.
bullshit you have 3 seperate sock puupet devoted to attacking me and
no other life online
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4190. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:06:44 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dtuqt.rfs.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>
>I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
>mess.
no you supported him and hiss efort for the past few years by your
silence

>
Mark, you really DO crap on posts as SC says. Now this innocent woman
is"guilty" of supporting Steve through her silence? You truly are an idiot,
Mark. The fact that she has killfiled your and Steve's posts never entered
your convoluted mind, did it? In your twisted mind she is guilty if she
does, guilty if she doesn't.
No wonder you are so disliked by all in this group....

>>
>
>See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and
>it were within my power to do something about it, I would.
I was and am threatened with VIOLENCE on a regular basis and people
not in your killfile you say nothing you lift not the finger smallest
finger of your hand
>
How about if I raise a finger, Mark? Will my middle one do? Digital Salute!
The fact that you post ad-nauseum and call others "liers" never entered into
YOUR equation, did it?
>
then why do you employ it?
>
Why do you persist in continually butting in where you are clearly not
wanted?

www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com

4191. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:08:36 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <oHW0h.33138$ta3.31460@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:vm48k2hp9i7ogj4n5mkatvcpttmdor5tpg@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 02:11:43 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:ajm7k2djt4f1ckdni055bgee9blnlhpej5@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 18:28:15 -0400, "Dee Flint"
>>> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1162071411.653032.250300@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>>>> On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>
>>>>>> I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
>>>>>> claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis
>>>>>> of
>>>>>> Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
>>>>>> hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
>>>>>
>>>>> Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well if you understood that garbled mess of a sentence, then my hat is
>>>>off
>>>>to you. Perhaps you should get a job as his interpreter.
>>> obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round
>>> comicator
>>
>>Mork, you should make that last...um, sentence your sig.
> why Tom?

Because it demonstrates just how pathetic your communication skills are.
```

4192. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:14:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <n3e8k2hfut3mqroj1flj6bgo5rkv0bbkp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 170217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 05:08:36 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:vm48k2hp9i7ogj4n5mkatvcpttmdor5tpg@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 02:11:43 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:

>>>Mork, you should make that last...um, sentence your sig.
>> why Tom?
>
>Because it demonstrates just how pathetic your communication skills are.
do you have answer to the question of why I should do as you sugest ?
Tom if you do you certainly did not post it
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4193. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:32:27 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du0b4.1a8.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If you have dyslexia then youdo not have normal faculties and dexterity
and the post did not refer to you,. Why bother responding?

I SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED you in my original post.

>
Simply because that is what Mark does. He rudely snipes at the posts of
others. It bothers him not that he is unwanted. He has a need for
attentention, be it good or bad.

4194. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:34:16 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du0eh.ac4.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>How about if I raise a finger, Mark? Will my middle one do? Digital Salute!

>Why do you persist in continually butting in where you are clearly not
>wanted?

this a publice NG you certainly have no computction about "butting in
where you are not wanted"
.....
You didn't answer the question, Markie.

Why?

4195. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:37:30 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162100250.686854.252110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170220
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Wonderer wrote:
> "bobandcarole" <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162084036.071755.19630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
> >> <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
> >> >> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com>
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me
> >> >> >> >where in the
> >> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> >> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> >> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
> >> >>
> >> >> and your point
> >> >Sex with children is a primitive act
> >> no support for that postion
> >
> > I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all
>
> nope
>
>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> >> >> >there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> >> >> >
> >> >> >A society of child molesters.
> >> >> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them

> >> >> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
> >> >> >
> >> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
> >>
> >> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
> >
> > Under the age of 18.............
>
> try holland and mexico 12
Ah yes, Holland..the home of drug users, pedophiles and prostitutes.
Mexico...just another third world shithole the people are glad to get
out of........
>
>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> > who were to tutored is
> >> >> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control
> >> >> >> of
> >> >> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that
> >> >> >> society
> >> >> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many
> >> >> >> >> homosexual
> >> >> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he
> >> >> >> >> gets a
> >> >> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical
> >> >> >> >> behavior
> >> >> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such
> >> >> >> >> folks
> >> >> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
> >

4196. I Toppsot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:39:22 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du0o7.2um.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:ulb8k2drt53fou0377c45hk4gtv7p46cph@4ax.com...
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:32:27 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Simply because that is what Mark does.
learn to quote man will you

.....
When you learn to use a spell check, I'll learn to quote.

We're still waiting for an answer to what "homobrew" is.

4197. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:39:25 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162100365.435104.170920@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170221
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 04:29:35 GMT, "Wonderer" <piriesa@bigpond.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1162084036.071755.19630@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Chester the Molester Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 28 Oct 2006 17:41:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
> >>> <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >
> >>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 28 Oct 2006 15:23:54 -0700, "bob&carole"
> >>> >> <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> >>
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com>
> >>> >> >> wrote:
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me
> >>> >> >> >where in the
> >>> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> >>> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> >>> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> >They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> and your point
> >>> >> >> >Sex with children is a primitive act
> >>> >> >> no support for that postion
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >nope
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with

> >>> >> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> >A society of child molesters.
> >>> >> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
> >>> >> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
> >>> >> >
> >>> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
> >>>
> >>> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
> >>
> >> Under the age of 18.............
> >
> >try holland and mexico 12

And that makes it right?
> missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
> sex as do several others as i recall

You're an uneducated moron that flunked 3rd grade spelling.

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4198. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:48:53 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du19u.dk8.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>You didn't answer the question, Markie.
when you learn to quote properly I consider your questions and you
need to pove you are a real person with a name

I do not answer question to Persons unknown

/
You already did. And I do not "need to pove" anything to the likes of YOU, Mark. You are, for the most part, an inconsequential flea, one that I toy with at will.
Tell you what, Mark. When you learn to use a spell check program I'll use my real name. Better yet. When you learn 5wpm and upgrade, I'll meet you on 17M some afternoon and you can then learn my name AND callsign.

4199. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:55:23 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du1m4.6c1.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:o3c8k2pgr638i9eshfv3ovohavkrvvpbve@4ax.com...
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:39:22 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:ulb8k2drt53fou0377c45hk4gtv7p46cph@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:32:27 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>
>>Simply because that is what Mark does.
>learn to quote man will you
>
>.....
>When you learn to use a spell check, I'll learn to quote.

I know I just refuse to take the time as I have said manytimes

you are just a nonperson with no name and nothing to contribute to the
NG
.....

As Roger would say, Oh, The Irony.

4200. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 05:57:34 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du1q7.6r8.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:gjc8k2dq93g7sldsbs1876j1c59ccpmujd@4ax.com...
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:48:53 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>/
>You already did.
learn to quote

...
Learn to spell.

--
"obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

4201. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 06:03:09 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du24m.859.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:h1d8k2t270n91kviiir96afe2hmgn5bii8@4ax.com...
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:55:23 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
anytime you choose to follow basic cnventions or give a real
verifablkle name you are digtal flotsams whoose entire existance
online revolves around me it is flattering in sick sort of way
.
Don't flatter yourself, Mark. You are but a toy to be tossed to and fro at
my whim.

You are simply a cheap source of entertainment. Nothing more, nothing less.

4202. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 06:15:56 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du2sl.ark.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:nfd8k2dlg1qd5n1oa42s3vntk8u4tk7vh2@4ax.com...
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:03:09 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>

>Don't flatter yourself, Mark. You are but a toy to be tossed to and fro at
>my whim.
bullshit you have 3 seperate sock puupet devoted to attacking me and
no other life online
.....

I have no other life but online? Aren't you being a bit guilty of calling
the kettle black? That is an odd comment coming from one who often posts a
hundred or more times a day. Being a bit of a hypocrite is yet another of
your endearing qualities, Mark.
And so far as sock "puupet"s are concerned, what gives you, the goon with
ten email addresses, to be critical?
Here again your convoluted thought processes come to the front.

And I am not attacking you, so again, stop flattering yourself. I am merely
addressing your posts and batting you to and fro.
Thanks for the entertainment.

4203. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 06:18:20 UTC
From: "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du319.jpg.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

>>> obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round
>>> comicator
>>
>>Mork, you should make that last...um, sentence your sig.
> why Tom?

Because it demonstrates just how pathetic your communication skills are.
.....

Mark doesn't communicate. He obfuscates, argues, and butts in where he is
clearly not wanted.

--
"obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

4204. "obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 06:28:47 UTC
From: Mark's Best Buddy <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <du3ko.luq.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

So says my new, best friend, Mark Morgan soon to be General Class licensee...

But first, he has that small stumblng block to step over. "moirse" code.

4205. MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 06:43:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:

show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast, rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:

hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am

Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> >if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> >criminal behavoir
> >> >>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin

Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> >if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> >criminal behavoir
> >>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//

> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR

> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.

You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast, rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast, rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >///
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a

pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it. You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am

Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of

stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR

> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...

> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> >if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> >criminal behavoir
> >> >>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options

Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about

different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks, alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin

Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:

hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> >if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> >criminal behavoir
> >> >>> >http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >///
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted

to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe

Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast, rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast, rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >///
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply »

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a

pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply » Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am

Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of

stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4206. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 08:20:35 UTC
From: Mark's Best Buddy <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dua6d.dqo.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<smile>
This one should provide enough fodder for Mark to post no less than 200
comments this Sunday, none of which will make a lick of sense. Of course,
making sense has never been one of Mark's strong points and he needs a
minimum of "goading" to go on and on and on and on and....well, no more need
be said. You can rest assured that a plethora of misspelled one-liners is
sure to follow.
--
"obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ☐

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and

Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime

>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm

Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ▸

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks, alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >/////////////////////////////////////// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:

hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile

Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ▸

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:

http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act

> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ☐

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin

Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show

original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy

> >//////////////////////////////////////
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR
> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >///
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ▸

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.

You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

http://www.geocities.com\\kb9rqz

one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
listening up 10 khz etc

Bio last modified: 2006-08-04

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: I PUNCE - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 5:14 pm
Email: "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> and you are a quite the criminal
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: asshole infringement is also a crime
From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:13 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.....

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:16 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

From: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 6:19 pm
Email: Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> criminal behavoir
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Marqueer.......

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: MarQueerMyDear - view profile
Date: Thurs, Oct 26 2006 8:43 pm
Email: "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> >> --
> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter YOUR
> >>> criminal behavoir
> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >>> --
> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >>Marqueer.......
> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >//
> >Wrong, Mark.
> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal

> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

hey marqueer
why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer will lie all you like
From: an_old_friend - view profile
Date: Fri, Oct 27 2006 2:48 am
Email: "an_old_friend" <kb9rq...@hotmail.com>
Groups: alt.usenet.kooks, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
hide options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Remove | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_ma...@mail2princess.com> wrote:

> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" <anon@anon> wrote:

> >> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
> >> >news:q6h2k2lb18fvdrras94mmok444o4bgjl0u@4ax.com...
> >> >On 26 Oct 2006 16:13:15 -0700, Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:

> >> >>M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> On 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> >>> >> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" <markpu...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

> >> >>> >> and you are a quite the criminal
> >> >>> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> >> --
> >> >>> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot
> >> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
YOUR

> >> >>> criminal behavoir
> >> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> >>> --
> >> >>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >> >>Marqueer.......
> >> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
> >> >//
> >> >Wrong, Mark.
> >> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
> >> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
> >> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

> >hey marqueer
> >why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act?
> nothing needs to be claned up about my "act
> >also, try to rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.
> why?
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

Reply ▸

Subject changed: copyright infringement is also a crime
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:29 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, ba.broadcast,
rec.radio.swap, alt.usenet.kooks
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 15:14:34 -0700, I PUNCE attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 26 Oct 2006 09:22:51 -0700, "I PUNCE" wrote:

>> and you are a quite the criminal
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

And you are quite the insane bigot.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology
about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena
power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I
chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce
and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined
to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of
stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin
Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely
wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

Reply • Rate this post: Text for clearing space

Subject changed: marqueer lie all you like
From: Demon Lord of Confusion - view profile
Date: Sat, Oct 28 2006 9:35 am
Email: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarr...@verwirren.confused>
Groups: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.policy, alt.usenet.kooks,
alt.music.country, rec.radio.swap
Not yet ratedRating:
show options
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse | Find messages by this author

On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 18:43:29 -0700, Wabbit attempted to confuse the
issue
further by squeaking:

> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Thu, 26 Oct 2006 20:30:32 -0500, "Not Lloyd" wrote:
>> ><M...@kb9rqz.aprs> wrotem...

>> >>> >and YOU are quite the fruit idiot

>> >>> if by fruit you mean Bisexaul sure I am but that does not alter
>> >>> YOUR criminal behavoir
>> >>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

>> >>Marqueer.......

>> >anal sex is not illegal unless done non consentualy
>> >// Wrong, Mark.

No, you're wrong there, Wabbit. Your quoting sucks, too.

>> yopur information is obselete the US Supreme has ruled such statutes
>> uncontitional when practiced by humans the only remaining legal
>> aplcation of that law invole beastiality which is still a crime
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

> hey marqueer
> why don't you listen to sc & chris and clean up your act? also, try to
> rid yourself of the urge to have a penis in your mouth.

Oh, come on. Admit that you're obsessed with Mark and want him to suck
you
off, Wabbit. I think, in fact, all the repressed gays of rec.radio.*
should accept themselves as gay.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,

and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh...@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to
hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.

You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you

are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43...@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310...@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to
reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it

up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when
journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's
careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The
combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and
Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to
describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned
hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing

money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden
wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted
to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4207. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 08:34:43 UTC
From: Jimmie D <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 170224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>
>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once said"
>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>
>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>
>
> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>

Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.

4208. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 11:34:12 UTC
From: Mark's Best Buddy <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <dulhd.g7m.17.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...

"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
> David G. Nagel wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>
>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once said"
>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>
>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>
>
> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>

Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.

Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of minutes
before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or more)
that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
degrees. What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
Bisexuality?
The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.

4209. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 12:04:13 UTC
From: amdx <amdx@knology.net>
Message-ID: <262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 170226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>
> SC

All these people that go out and buy stamps,
they should be making there own. There seems to be
a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
and thinking!
Mike

4210. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 12:42:20 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <q8qdnenKcds3PNnYnZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend

I'm very tired of your whining. No-one is demanding anything of you except to
stop whining. If you can't copy CW then give it up!

an_old_friend wrote:

> Slow Code wrote:
>
>>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>
>
>
>>Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
>>without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an electronic
>>one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after using the
>>electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.
>
>
> meaning you can't use CW MANUALY and you demand of me
>

4211. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:13:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162131211.272997.166230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> >> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> >> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> >> > the scores...
> >> >
> >> > I think you get the point.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
> >> only
> >> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
> >
> > I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
> > amateur radio operators...
>
> Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
> (contesting) for which it is ill-suited.

And you keep changing the parameters of the challenge.

Are you saying that of those amateurs that learned the code, that they
are all still highly proficient in it? I think most learned the code
as a licensing hurdle, and never looked back.

Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...

> >> It
> >> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
> >> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
> >> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.
> >
> > Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.

>
> So what? When I'm in a contest, I use the best computer ever developed (the
> human brain). When the person on the other end is sending manually keyed
> code, again I use the good old brain. That I sometimes use CWGet is no
> particular endorsement of it. It's a tool that I use when I'm tired and
> still want to operate code. However unless the signal is of good quality
> and volume, it ends up being necessary to go back to the good old human
> brain. My decision then is to either put in the extra effort to focus or
> just call it a night and go to bed.

OK.

> >> >> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out
> >> >> the
> >> >> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
> >> >
> >> > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> >> > the riff-raff" argument.
> >>
> >> I've never mentioned the "dumbing down" argument. My point is that there
> >> is
> >> a body of basic knowledge that all should know. The difficulty arises in
> >> determining what that basic knowledge should be. Generally, the
> >> experienced
> >> people should be the ones to define what constitutes basic knowledge.
> >> The
> >> beginners are too inexperienced to do so.
> >
> > You couldn't be more wrong. The FCC should get to define what "basic
> > knowledge" is, and those that do the defining don't have a clue what
> > Morse Code is. But they've been buffaloed into believing that it tis
> > something magical.
>
> Yes the FCC has the task of defining what that should be. However there is
> NOTHING that prohibits them from consulting with people who have operating
> experience.

They don't even have a definition of what Morse Code is within the
rules of the last service required to have a Morse Code exam. I think
that tells the story.

> >> >> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know
> >> >> don't
> >> >> use
> >> >> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set.
> >> >> I've
> >> >> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been
> >> >> licensed.
> >> >> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used
> >> >> smith
> >> >> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I

> >> >> can
> >> >> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >> >
> >> > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> >> > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> >>
> >> Please do not insult me by stereotyping like that.
> >
> > You do not have a Ham Husband?
>
> You are choosing to be obtuse.

I tell David Heil/K8MN that allatime.

> Yes I have a Ham Husband but no he does not
> take care of Ohm's law or Theory for me.

OK.

> >> I happen to be a degreed
> >> engineer (B.S. in Aerospace Engineering) with 20 years of applied
> >> experience
> >> in engineering (aerospace, nuclear, mechanical and automotive fields).
> >
> > I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
> > otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
> > certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
> > Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
> > engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.
>
> Mechanical engineers don't have a need for Ohm's law. They go hire the
> electrical engineers. Aerospace engineering is a branch of mechanical
> engineering (we don't get to drop the lesser terms in the equations since
> they have a significant impact for our field). Again we go hire the
> electrical engineers. Same with civil and structural engineers. On the
> other hand electrical engineers generally do not study basic pressure vessal
> theory but go hire the mechanical engineers for that.

You're talking about the working world.

Were you able to hire out your studies in college?

Were you able to hire out your PE exams?

> > Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
> > engineer........
>
> Again it depends on the field. We all studied common areas such as calculus
> and fast fourier transforms but items unique to a field generally were not
> taught across the board. We didn't study Ohms law and the electrical
> engineers didn't study cantilever beam theory.

OK.

> >> Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am
> >> perfectly
> >> capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class for
> >> our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my husband
> >> attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.
> >>
> >> You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Be kind enough to show where. Merely claiming to be an engineer
> > without a use for Ohm's Law or Radio Theory is not enough.
>
> You assumed that I needed help from my OM on theory, etc. That is the area
> to which I referred.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
amateur licensing?

4212. New from Omega One Radio - The Lolicon Show!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:18:11 UTC
From: Tood@g00gles.com
Message-ID: <1155287365.577607.204730@g00gles.com>
Source record: 170229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We having voices of real anime lolicon actors on new show called THE LOLICON SHOW. Hear cartoon caracters get it on in hot storys only usual shown in japan. Tune 6.950 Mhz LSB for the action. Also simulcast on 13.556.00 Mhz LSB and on 88.3 FM from Omega One Mediaplex in Taylorville.

4213. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:30:45 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole444@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162132245.693070.228920@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170230
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Wonderer wrote:
> "bob&carole" <bobandcarole100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162074234.318742.63890@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 18:46:13 GMT, "G R" <someone@microsoft.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me where in
> >> >the
> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> >>
> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> >>
> > They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
> >
> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> >
> > A society of child molesters.
>
> and the adult age started at pubity
pubity?
LOL!!!
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> > who were to tutored is
> >> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
> >> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society
> >> >"bobandcarole" <bobandcarole1010@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:1160521221.225539.261180@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Apparently, Rep. Mark Foley is homosexual. And like many homosexual
> >> >> men, he likes young teen boys. We should pray for him that he gets a
> >> >> handle on this problem and refrains from harming any more kids.
> >> >>
> >> >> Meanwhile, we need to wake up. The fact that this is typical behavior
> >> >> for homosexuals doesn't stop us from continuing to elevate such folks

> >> >> to positions where they gain access to our kids.
> >> >>
> >> >> http://worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=52244
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >

4214. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:32:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162132366.355300.272780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
> >
> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>
> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.

Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
so very simple?

Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
after basic training?

> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and
> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.

Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?

> On
> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if there
> is not a test for it.

Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
up on code.

4215. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:42:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162132968.798695.249510@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

Slow Code wrote:
>
> I expect you'll say the same thing about the written exam in ten years too.
>
> SC

Not me. Jim/N2EY is the one who trotted out that strawman. I guess if
he can't have amateur radio the way he wants it, he'll make sure it
becomes a non-technical hobby.

4216. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 14:57:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162133832.717370.111050@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

A. G. Bell wrote:

> Sorry, Billy, but I prefer to think of that as
> maliciousness.

Agreed. Especially when he expresses a desire to get to someone's wife
or children.

Bell, what are you using to post with? It's not attributing correctly.
bb

4217. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:00:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162134039.064144.40970@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > > On 28 Oct 2006 14:01:31 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > > >> On 27 Oct 2006 16:43:42 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> >Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > >> >undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > >> >amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > >> >resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > >
> > > > >> thinking ability is not prised by our educational system by and large
> > > > >
> > > > >The Catholics have done a commendable job in the educational department.
> > > >
> > > Realy
> > >
> > No, not really.
> >
> No?

No.

> > > Yep, and for far less money than the public schools operate on.

> > That's because they can pick and choose their students, and the areas
> > they serve.
> >
> Nope.

Incorrect. Catholic schools do not have to serve every area, nor accept
every student.

> The Catholic School can only accept students that apply. No one
> is forced to apply or attend.

That's true. But it's not the point.

> And there are no geographical
> restrictions to the area that a Catholic School services, only the
> ability of a parent to get their child to school on time.

Which is the exact opposite of what public schools must do.

Public schools must provide an education for *all* students in *all*
districts. They must provide transportation to and from school if the
student lives beyond a specified distance.

And they cannot charge the studen't family for any of those services.

> > Public schools cannot.

> They can as much as any school can.

No, they cannot.

A Catholic school can refuse to admit any student who applies, for a
wide variety of reasons. They can expel any student for similar
reasons.

The reason can be as simple as "we're full" or as complicated as "we
can't deal with your child's special problems".

Public schools must accept every student in the district. If the
student has special needs, the district must deal with them
appropriately, even if it means sending the student to a special school
at the school district's expense, providing aides and special
transportation, etc. And the public school system has to pay for all of
it.

Catholic schools can simply say "it's not our problem" and not admit
the student.

> > > > i honestly have no real dat on the subject NOT being catholic
> > > > and being from a religious background that frowns on Rome we have
> > > > tended to avoid thier school
> > > >
> > > That isn't to say that Catholics don't have a whole host of other
> > > problems.
> > >
> > Some of which have made the headlines in recent years.
> >
> Protestant Churches have made EXACTLY the same headlines.

Not that I've seen.
>
> But serving in other ways hasn't made any headlines.

What does that mean?
>
> > > > I do hope some schools are doing a better
> > > > job
> > > >
> > > In public schools? Rare!
> > >
> > Not in my experience. Of course, community support for the public
> > schools varies all over the place.
> >
> Just like community support for the military varies all over the place.

Does "support for the military" mean that the decisions of the
Commander In Chief must never be questioned?

It often seems that way.
>
> > > > I mean Dee equates being able to do Morse Code (which she flasely
> > > > claims based on the lies she was taught is somehow related to basis of
> > > > Radio Maxwells equations to data that wtries to keep someone from
> > > > hurting themselves, shows poor thinking process
> >
> > One does not need to know Maxwell's Equations to do radio safely.
>
> Yet you speak of Maxwell's Equations often.

Not really.

I do know them and understand them, though. They're not on any US
amateur radio exam.

> Dee says she has no use for the Smith Charts. What were you saying
> about the smith charts?

Smith Chart.
>
> > > Dee's doing the best she can with her self-imposed handicaps.
> >
> > ??
>
> ??

What "self imposed handicaps"?

And what about your sexist remark to her?

4218. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:19:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162135194.690513.289640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162090198.866950.277660@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >
> >> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
> >> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
> >>
> >> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
> >> Miccolis.
> > >
> > > Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.
> > >
> >> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
> > >
> > > Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
> > > "Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").
> >
> I delete such without reading them and generally delete all the resulting
> posts.

Yep. That's a helluva thing for a 20 year veteran to be saying. I'm
sure he had to spend a fair amount of time in the "Social Actions"
office with them trying to reeducate him. And the totally laughable
part of all that was that he said he had a degree in human resources
and was trying to land a position in that field.

> > Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
> > Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
> > callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
> > his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
> > should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
> > callsigns, too.
> >
> There are way too many people that confuse honest mistakes with lying.
> Don't be one of them.

I'm not, though I do throw it in Robesin's face when he call an opinion
that differes from his "A LIE!"

> The FCC has been getting on people for doing that and
> making them justify the calls or give them up.

They got on Deignan, too.

> > Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
> > some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
> > Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
> > did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
> > cool thing...
>
> Don't see why. But again the FCC is getting wise to such antics.

With some of these guys they think it adds to their credential. It's
like the JA's using a JA owned hotel address on Guam to get the Guam
1x2 calls.

But collecting 11 different call and then turning some of them into
Hawaiin calls is just another form of megalomania that we see on RRAP.

In QRZ.com, in the name search, type in "RF Commando" or something like
that. Nevermind, I'll do it...

There are 1 records matching +rf* +commando*

KB1CCE RF COMMANDOS RHODE ISLAND CHAPTER

There are 3 records matching +Deignan*

KH6HZ DEIGNAN MICHAEL P (This one is a Hawaiin call with a MA addy)
WE1RD MICHAEL P DEIGNAN REPEATER ASSOCIATION (This one in Rhode Island,
weird)

The 3rd is a yl in Canada, so I'm not posting that.

Anyway, all three of the above calls are at different addresses.

> > Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
> > fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
> > then 13, and then 20 WPM.
>
> Depends on how bad you want the privileges. Just don't ask for something
> for nothing. Originally I had no interest in ham radio but my husband at
> the time dragged me to a class as something we could do together. As I got
> involved, I found it interesting. I deduced very early on that what I
> wanted to get out of ham radio would best be served by going all the way to
> Extra. Since I wanted the privileges, I met the requirements including the
> 20 wpm.

So if he dragged you to a class, how did you end up presiding over the
class that took him to Extra?

> Not everyone wants those privileges. Kim is a case in point. She is a Tech
> Plus and could have gotten her General with just a written test and no
> further code testing as of April 2000. She chose not to because she did not
> really like HF operations. The typical background static of HF bothers her.
> Her interests lie in VHF and up. Since she has full privileges there, the
> General does not serve her goals.

Yep. Technician is a whole lot of priveleges.

> > That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
> > "style."
>
> Very true. But it takes a lot of discipline to consistently write in a
> different style and not make tell tale slips. When Len Anderson was posting
> as Avery Fineman, it was quite obvious they were the same person.

When I post as Hot-Ham, there's no intent to deceive. There is an
intent to have a throw-away email address that I've checked the mailbox
content about twice. It can fill up with all that spam that the
spammers desire.

I Am What I Am. That a famous quote of Popeye.

> > I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
> > I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
> > seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
> >
> > Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
> > I have.
> >
> > That's so swell of him.
> >
> > I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
> > tit for tat. No?
>
> Doesn't really matter as with the internet this information is findable one
> way or another if one cares to go after it. Posting it here only shows that
> you have the internet search skills of any average user and get some kind of
> juvenile thrill out of posting it.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

The intent is to intimidate.

4219. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:38:30 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <omi9k2td5rip97jldt4qnefr2l9t2u1rh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 07:42:20 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>an_old_friend
>
>I'm very tired of your whining. No-one is demanding anything of you except to
>stop whining. If you can't copy CW then give it up!
why should I care what you are tired of?
>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>>>Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>>news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>>
>>
>>
>>>Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
>>>without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an electronic
>>>one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after using the
>>>electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.
>>
>>
>> meaning you can't use CW MANUALY and you demand of me
>>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4220. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:40:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <gqi9k2lm0mfg81rdlv9vtsdmiu4a6oth3c@4ax.com>
Source record: 170237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:18:20 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>Mark doesn't communicate. He obfuscates, argues, and butts in where he is
>clearly not wanted.
guess you are seeing yourselfself in the glare of your screen
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4221. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:43:54 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <20j9k29g27et0hfrsc153dc5valnd5nshv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Oct 2006 06:57:12 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>A. G. Bell wrote:
>
>> Sorry, Billy, but I prefer to think of that as
>> maliciousness.
>
>Agreed. Especially when he expresses a desire to get to someone's wife
>or children.
>
>Bell, what are you using to post with? It's not attributing correctly.
> bb

it seem to be ok you him not to do so but the rest of us must do as he
thinks best

coome to think of it D was having the same trouble till recently is D
Bell? who knows
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4222. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:44:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162136643.419196.106430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in
> >> >> >> the
> >> >> >> group anymore.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> SC
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
> >> >>
> >> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
> >> >
> >> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
> >> > Robesin.
> >>
> >> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
> >
> > You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
> > encounters or pedophilia?
> >
> > When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
> > postings to Dee postings.
>
> Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I refuse
> to get sucked into such stupidity.

And one day when your job depends on a security background
investigation and accusations of homosexuality, pedophilia, and rape...

> >> Many of
> >> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
> >

> > Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
> > people of rape.
> >
> >> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
> >> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.
> >
> > Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
> > about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
> > enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..
>
> I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
> mess.

Fair enough.

> >> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
> >> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
> >> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
> >> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
> >> > was fairly close to the USMC.
> >> >
> >> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
> >> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
> >> >
> >> >> the
> >> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
> >> >
> >> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
> >> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
> >> > other ways.
> >>
> >> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
> >> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other
> >> ways.
> >
> > Even worse.
> >
> >> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
> >
> > Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
> > that comment.
> >
> >> >> the compulsive responses that
> >> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
> >> >> people
> >> >> like Opus,
> >> >
> >> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
> >>
> >> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.
> >

> > Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?
>
> Hard to say. One would have to weigh it against the specific wording of the
> law and adjudicated cases to determine if it was or was not illegal.

Good side-step.

Are Bruce and Dan in your killfile? Are "thier" anonymous characters
in your killfile?

> >> However,
> >> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.
> >
> > Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.
>
> How do you come to that conclusion? I know very little about her politics
> and it wouldn't matter if I did. As I recall, she defended her choice far
> better than I or anyone else could have done it for her. I supported her
> right to choose a legally available call sign even though I thought her
> choice a little strange. It's not within my power to make others accept it.
>
> >> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
> >> > never once chimed in to say boo)....
>
> As tasteless and tacky as it was, it may not have actually meet the legal
> definition of sexual harassment. She was not threatened with a job loss or
> with an overall loss in her quality of life. Discussion groups are not for
> the faint of heart, especially ones like these newsgroups. Participation in
> these news groups does not contribute to quality of life in any significant
> way. It is an idle and insignificant form of recreation.
>
> >> She chose the call sign.
> >
> > I believe she did.
>
> Yup. A simple check of the call sign database shows that it is a vanity
> call. So it was hers by choice. I don't recall for sure but didn't she say
> she did it on a dare?

Never filled out a Form 610 while drunk? Me either.

> >> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
> >> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that
> >> I
> >> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
> >
> > I believe you did just that.
> >
> > So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?
>
> Not the same thing at all and you very well know it.

True, by magnitudes. But it's still abuse.

> Her overall quality of
> life is seriously affected and her life could even be in danger. Besides
> rapists don't care what the victim is wearing. They are looking for some
> one they can successfully dominate and terrorize. The shy school girl in
> the dowdy clothes hurrying home with her books clutched to her chest is just
> as likely or even more likely to be raped than the brazen hookers down on
> Eight Mile (that's a Detroit reference).

Dee from Deetroit? I like Michigan but Detroit isn't my favorite
place.

> > Was she asking for it and is she on her own?
>
> See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and
> it were within my power to do something about it, I would. It wouldn't
> matter if it were that shy school girl or that brazen hooker. Today, I'd
> have to limit myself to calling for help but in my younger, more fit days,
> I'd also have taken an active (i.e. physical) part in her defense.

Fair enough.

> >> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
> >> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
> >> >
> >> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
> >> > callsigns attached to it.
> >>
> >> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
> >
> > I would never have guessed.
> >
> >> I would never hide behind
> >> anonymity.
> >
> > Jim does.
> >
> >> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
> >> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new
> >> ham
> >> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
> >> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his
> >> foot
> >> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier
> >> to
> >> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even
> >> then,
> >> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
> >> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
> >> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of
> >> the
> >> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day

> >> and
> >> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham
> >> and
> >> an Elmer.
> >
> > No "shack on a belt" quips?
>
> Nope. Why should I? It accomplishes nothing. I want people to enjoy ham
> radio. I want their motivation to develop to be based on the joy they get
> out of it and the joy they see others get out of it. Sarcasm does not serve
> that objective.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I think amateur radio is one of the best hobbies ever, and it can also
serve in an emergency communications roll.

4223. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:45:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <82j9k2586hio8483p81ucbu0eaimhgtu3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 170240
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 28 Oct 2006 22:39:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 04:29:35 GMT, "Wonderer" <piriesa@bigpond.com>
>> wrote:
>>

>> >> Under the age of 18.............
>> >
>> >try holland and mexico 12
>
>And that makes it right?
does not make them wrong either
>> missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
>> sex as do several others as i recall
>
>You're an uneducated moron that flunked 3rd grade spelling.
no I passed bearly but spelling ability and education is unreletaed
like Homosexuality and pedophilia dispite you false asertion on BOTH
>
>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4224. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:47:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com>
Source record: 170241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
wrote:

>
>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>
>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>>
>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once said"
>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>
>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>
>>
>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>
>
>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>
>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of minutes
>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or more)
>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>degrees.
an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
statemeent is dishonest
> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
>Bisexuality?
no former about it
>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.

thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4225. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:48:12 UTC
From: marika <marika5000@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162136892.720952.242290@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> no you supported him and hiss efort for the past few years by your
> silence

Don't know about this

if you will be going out to eat with him I will be glad to spring
for the $ and would love to tag along to discuss all this

mk5000

"Hey George, I'm a double rapper. I rap them with my mouth and then I
rap them in the mouth.' --Muhamad Ali

4226. Re: crapathon conitues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:48:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <88j9k255m8m47ihg5km0tu4c3ed8valu7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 170243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:15:56 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:nfd8k2dlg1qd5n1oa42s3vntk8u4tk7vh2@4ax.com...
>On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:03:09 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>>
>
>>Don't flatter yourself, Mark. You are but a toy to be tossed to and fro at
>>my whim.
>bullshit you have 3 seperate sock puupet devoted to attacking me and
>no other life online
>.................
>
>I have no other life but online?
no I did not say you need to learn to READ I said your online life
revelses around me the stament does not say you have an offline life
it does not say you don't

you passion for ditions is why you get called a lier so much
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4227. lying some more lloyd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:50:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hbj9k2lehqlpstibd19rnn8rjqvspql7tb@4ax.com>
Source record: 170244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 01:28:47 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
wrote:

>So says my new, best friend, Mark Morgan soon to be General Class
>licensee...
one you arenot my firend at all

and it iwll extra
>
>But first, he has that small stumblng block to step over. "moirse" code.
don't even get that right I just need the FCC to put code testing out
of our misery which is in the works
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4228. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:53:45 UTC
From: bobandcarole <bobandcarole444@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162137225.785997.170600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170245
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 28 Oct 2006 22:39:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 04:29:35 GMT, "Wonderer" <piriesa@bigpond.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
>
> >> >> Under the age of 18.............
> >> >
> >> >try holland and mexico 12
> >
> >And that makes it right?
> does not make them wrong either
> >> missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
> >> sex as do several others as i recall

One state out of 50 with an outdated law that needs to be changed.
> >
> >You're an uneducated moron that flunked 3rd grade spelling.
> no I passed bearly but spelling ability and education is unreletaed
> like Homosexuality and pedophilia dispite you false asertion on BOTH
foley is a queer pedophile
> >
> >
> >> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >>
> >> --
> >> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4229. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:57:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ckj9k252vqviihqpt7r40065kpfgs94kdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 170246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 03:20:35 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
wrote:

>
><smile>
>This one should provide enough fodder for Mark to post no less than 200
>comments this Sunday, none of which will make a lick of sense. Of course,
>making sense has never been one of Mark's strong points and he needs a
>minimum of "goading" to go on and on and on and on and....well, no more need
>be said. You can rest assured that a plethora of misspelled one-liners is
>sure to follow.
just one and if if misspeled who cares

id theft is crime and coprright violation since you have clearly
violated any strech of the "fair use" doctrine
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4230. idtheft reamins a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:57:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <orj9k2p6doa0sp2plk5o2c9jumamf8bsg8@4ax.com>
Source record: 170247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 28 Oct 2006 23:43:40 -0700, "an_old_friend" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com>
wrote:
as is copyright violtion
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4231. id theft remains acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 15:58:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3tj9k2ts21qnesnr6c6b9kjdaaq1cqcmsl@4ax.com>
Source record: 170248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 15:18:11 +0100 (CET), Tood@g00gles.com (NO9GL)
wrote:

>
no matter whoose id it is
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4232. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:03:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e0k9k2h2u4m5pjvo5dc33mu5j3qmsn8qhq@4ax.com>
Source record: 170249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On 29 Oct 2006 07:44:03 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in
>> >> >> >> the
>> >> >> >> group anymore.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> SC
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>> >> >
>> >> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings by
>> >> > Robesin.
>> >>
>> >> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>> >
>> > You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
>> > encounters or pedophilia?
>> >
>> > When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
>> > postings to Dee postings.
>>
>> Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I refuse
>> to get sucked into such stupidity.
>
>And one day when your job depends on a security background
>investigation and accusations of homosexuality, pedophilia, and rape...
```

exactly at least this way there is something along with them and
proding steve also have him reveal for himself his motives and action
>
>
>
>> >> Many of
>> >> Mark's posts are and were quite vicious.
>> >
>> > Um, yeh. It's really awful, isn't it? Almost as bad as accusing
>> > people of rape.
>> >
>> >> I killfiled Morgan the day he made
>> >> unacceptable comments about Steve's deceased daughter.
>> >
>> > Did you know that his daughter was severly retarded, and he makes jokes
>> > about "the short bus" on RRAP? I doubt that his daughter was well off
>> > enough to ride the short bus that Robesin pokes fun at..
>>
>> I don't particularly care for either one's tactics and stay out of that
>> mess.
>
>Fair enough.
till she decides to ATTACK only one of US then it become unfair
>
>> >> > We actually have very little in common. We both claim to be
>> >> > amateur radio operator and military veterans. I got chopped to the US
>> >> > Army twice, so I know a little bit about the Army. I also got chopped
>> >> > to the US Navy once, and there and at service schools, and in Somalia,
>> >> > was fairly close to the USMC.
>> >> >
>> >> > As far as amateur radio goes, the only one of these bozos I've ever
>> >> > QSO'd was Heil when I was DX on Guam.
>> >> >
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> interminable pontification of Len Anderson,
>> >> >
>> >> > Yeh, well, we have Jim who served in other ways. I'm sure he has
>> >> > something to be proud of, too, but so far he hasn't mentioned it in
>> >> > other ways.
>> >>
>> >> I happen to remember the post. He said that one can serve in other ways.
>> >> He did not say whether he himself served in the military or in other
>> >> ways.
>> >
>> > Even worse.
>> >
>> >> Yet based on that comment, Len Anderson and others have made ASSumptions.
>> >
>> > Jim's had YEARS to clarify, and he's been questioned SPECIFICALLY about
>> > that comment.
>> >

>> >> >> the compulsive responses that
>> >> >> some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>> >> >> people
>> >> >> like Opus,
>> >> >
>> >> > I guess you conveniently forgot Dan and Bruce's postings to Kim....
>> >>
>> >> Long time ago, but I think I mentioned it was stupid of them.
>> >
>> > Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?
>>
>> Hard to say. One would have to weigh it against the specific wording of the
>> law and adjudicated cases to determine if it was or was not illegal.
>
>Good side-step.
>
>Are Bruce and Dan in your killfile? Are "thier" anonymous characters
>in your killfile?
>
>> >> However,
>> >> she's an adult and is capable of dealing with these people on her own.
>> >
>> > Yeh, right. You didn't like her politics, so she's on her own.
>>
>> How do you come to that conclusion? I know very little about her politics
>> and it wouldn't matter if I did. As I recall, she defended her choice far
>> better than I or anyone else could have done it for her. I supported her
>> right to choose a legally available call sign even though I thought her
>> choice a little strange. It's not within my power to make others accept it.
>>
>> >> > Talk about not just sexist, but bonifide sexual harassment (and Jim
>> >> > never once chimed in to say boo)....
>>
>> As tasteless and tacky as it was, it may not have actually meet the legal
>> definition of sexual harassment. She was not threatened with a job loss or
>> with an overall loss in her quality of life. Discussion groups are not for
>> the faint of heart, especially ones like these newsgroups. Participation in
>> these news groups does not contribute to quality of life in any significant
>> way. It is an idle and insignificant form of recreation.
>>
>> >> She chose the call sign.
>> >
>> > I believe she did.
>>
>> Yup. A simple check of the call sign database shows that it is a vanity
>> call. So it was hers by choice. I don't recall for sure but didn't she say
>> she did it on a dare?
>
>Never filled out a Form 610 while drunk? Me either.
>

>> >> It's not up to him or me or any one else to defend
>> >> her other than to say it was her right. I believe that I commented that
>> >> I
>> >> thought it was a poor choice but it was up to her.
>> >
>> > I believe you did just that.
>> >
>> > So when a YL wearing a slit skirt and a push-up bra gets raped...?
>>
>> Not the same thing at all and you very well know it.
>
>True, by magnitudes. But it's still abuse.

abuse is fin with Dee unless she is geting it it is that way with a
lot of folks
>
>> Her overall quality of
>> life is seriously affected and her life could even be in danger. Besides
>> rapists don't care what the victim is wearing. They are looking for some
>> one they can successfully dominate and terrorize. The shy school girl in
>> the dowdy clothes hurrying home with her books clutched to her chest is just
>> as likely or even more likely to be raped than the brazen hookers down on
>> Eight Mile (that's a Detroit reference).
>
>Dee from Deetroit? I like Michigan but Detroit isn't my favorite
>place.
>
>> > Was she asking for it and is she on her own?
>>
>> See my comment above. If I saw some one being threatened with violence and
>> it were within my power to do something about it, I would. It wouldn't
>> matter if it were that shy school girl or that brazen hooker. Today, I'd
>> have to limit myself to calling for help but in my younger, more fit days,
>> I'd also have taken an active (i.e. physical) part in her defense.
>
>Fair enough.
>
>> >> >> the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those who licensed
>> >> >> or will license under the current system and so on.
>> >> >
>> >> > He's only saying what the PCTA Extras would like to say without their
>> >> > callsigns attached to it.
>> >>
>> >> I'm a pro code test advocate and an Extra.
>> >
>> > I would never have guessed.
>> >
>> >> I would never hide behind
>> >> anonymity.
>> >

>> > Jim does.
>> >
>> >> And I do not hold the candidates responsible for the quality or
>> >> extent of the tests. They have no choice in the matter. What the new
>> >> ham
>> >> does have a choice in is to either stagnate or progress. He can gain the
>> >> experience to then join in discussions and contribute or he can put his
>> >> foot
>> >> in his mouth with such inexperienced statements as "you need an amplifier
>> >> to
>> >> work DX" or "you can't work Texas from Michigan on VHF". However even
>> >> then,
>> >> I try to avoid anything that could be taken as a put down because I want
>> >> them to stay in ham radio and grow and develop. I'll invite them over to
>> >> work a contest with my measly 100 watts or I'll introduce them to one of
>> >> the
>> >> QRP enthusiasts. I'll invite them to work the VHF station at Field Day
>> >> and
>> >> pair them up with one of our VHF experts. It's called being a good ham
>> >> and
>> >> an Elmer.
>> >
>> > No "shack on a belt" quips?
>>
>> Nope. Why should I? It accomplishes nothing. I want people to enjoy ham
>> radio. I want their motivation to develop to be based on the joy they get
>> out of it and the joy they see others get out of it. Sarcasm does not serve
>> that objective.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>I think amateur radio is one of the best hobbies ever, and it can also
>serve in an emergency communications roll.
i could serve those roles if the FFC did it job better
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4233. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:05:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e6k9k2htulfscrgt3mgvrds558k9kv370j@4ax.com>
Source record: 170250
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

On 29 Oct 2006 07:53:45 -0800, "bobandcarole"
<bobandcarole444@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 28 Oct 2006 22:39:25 -0700, "bobandcarole"
>> <bobandcarole222@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 04:29:35 GMT, "Wonderer" <piriesa@bigpond.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>>
>> >> >> Under the age of 18.............
>> >> >
>> >> >try holland and mexico 12
>> >
>> >And that makes it right?
>> does not make them wrong either
>> >> missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
>> >> sex as do several others as i recall
>
>One state out of 50 with an outdated law that needs to be changed.
why does it need it law changed and it is not allone just one of the
few I know about A lot of the soughten have an assorted of ages of
consent, blanket ages seem to me rather foolish India OTOH last time I
live premitted sex across the 18 yo line if you were not too much
older that certainly makes more sense but sense is not your gaol I
suspect
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4234. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:09:07 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <rak9k2pq2u7lqcqu4kdmj8te1sjn3pkm22@4ax.com>
Source record: 170251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 29 Oct 2006 06:13:31 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> [snip]
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>> >> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
>> >> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
>> >> > the scores...
>> >> >
>> >> > I think you get the point.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
>> >> only
>> >> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
>> >
>> > I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
>> > amateur radio operators...
>>
>> Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
>> (contesting) for which it is ill-suited.
>
>And you keep changing the parameters of the challenge.
she certainly has learn the ProCode Playbook well always keep shifting
the rules and parameters of the discussion
>
>Are you saying that of those amateurs that learned the code, that they
>are all still highly proficient in it? I think most learned the code
>as a licensing hurdle, and never looked back.
>
>Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>

>> >> It
>> >> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at the
>> >> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse code.
>> >> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.
>> >
>> > Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.
>>
>> So what? When I'm in a contest, I use the best computer ever developed (the
>> human brain). When the person on the other end is sending manually keyed
>> code, again I use the good old brain. That I sometimes use CWGet is no
>> particular endorsement of it. It's a tool that I use when I'm tired and
>> still want to operate code. However unless the signal is of good quality
>> and volume, it ends up being necessary to go back to the good old human
>> brain. My decision then is to either put in the extra effort to focus or
>> just call it a night and go to bed.
>
>OK.
>
>> >> >> I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out
>> >> >> the
>> >> >> riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
>> >> >
>> >> > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
>> >> > the riff-raff" argument.
>> >>
>> >> I've never mentioned the "dumbing down" argument. My point is that there
>> >> is
>> >> a body of basic knowledge that all should know. The difficulty arises in
>> >> determining what that basic knowledge should be. Generally, the
>> >> experienced
>> >> people should be the ones to define what constitutes basic knowledge.
>> >> The
>> >> beginners are too inexperienced to do so.
>> >
>> > You couldn't be more wrong. The FCC should get to define what "basic
>> > knowledge" is, and those that do the defining don't have a clue what
>> > Morse Code is. But they've been buffaloed into believing that it tis
>> > something magical.
>>
>> Yes the FCC has the task of defining what that should be. However there is
>> NOTHING that prohibits them from consulting with people who have operating
>> experience.
>
>They don't even have a definition of what Morse Code is within the
>rules of the last service required to have a Morse Code exam. I think
>that tells the story.

not quite but it will soon enough as soon as the FCC gets to doing it
job on the subject
>

>> >> >> It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know
>> >> >> don't
>> >> >> use
>> >> >> any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set.
>> >> >> I've
>> >> >> used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been
>> >> >> licensed.
>> >> >> I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used
>> >> >> smith
>> >> >> charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I
>> >> >> can
>> >> >> choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
>> >> > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
>> >>
>> >> Please do not insult me by stereotyping like that.
>> >
>> > You do not have a Ham Husband?
>>
>> You are choosing to be obtuse.
>
>I tell David Heil/K8MN that allatime.
>
>> Yes I have a Ham Husband but no he does not
>> take care of Ohm's law or Theory for me.
>
>OK.
>
>> >> I happen to be a degreed
>> >> engineer (B.S. in Aerospace Engineering) with 20 years of applied
>> >> experience
>> >> in engineering (aerospace, nuclear, mechanical and automotive fields).
>> >
>> > I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
>> > otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
>> > certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
>> > Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
>> > engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.
>>
>> Mechanical engineers don't have a need for Ohm's law. They go hire the
>> electrical engineers. Aerospace engineering is a branch of mechanical
>> engineering (we don't get to drop the lesser terms in the equations since
>> they have a significant impact for our field). Again we go hire the
>> electrical engineers. Same with civil and structural engineers. On the
>> other hand electrical engineers generally do not study basic pressure vessal
>> theory but go hire the mechanical engineers for that.
>

>You're talking about the working world.
>
>Were you able to hire out your studies in college?
>
>Were you able to hire out your PE exams?

and if hireing otu is ok why can't Hire out my Morse Code NEEDS I am
sure the account would stay rather small
>
>> > Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
>> > engineer........
>>
>> Again it depends on the field. We all studied common areas such as calculus
>> and fast fourier transforms but items unique to a field generally were not
>> taught across the board. We didn't study Ohms law and the electrical
>> engineers didn't study cantilever beam theory.
>
>OK.

she took physicals classes hard to believe none of the covered ohmlaw
>
>> >> Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am
>> >> perfectly
>> >> capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class for
>> >> our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my husband
>> >> attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.
>> >>
>> >> You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Be kind enough to show where. Merely claiming to be an engineer
>> > without a use for Ohm's Law or Radio Theory is not enough.
>>
>> You assumed that I needed help from my OM on theory, etc. That is the area
>> to which I referred.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
>amateur licensing?
only code is important the rest is flotsom on the ether
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4235. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:16:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162138594.720386.41380@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > >>
> > > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > And dismissed it.
>
> > > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > >> > > >> is
> > > > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > > > >> > > >> under a
> > > > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > >> > > >> signal to
> > > > >> > > >> function.
> > > > >> > > >>
> > > > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > > >> > > >
> > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > > > >> > > > of
> > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
```

> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > > >> > good."
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > >> > > its
> > > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > >> > > hear that.
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > > >
> > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > >
> > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.

> > >
> > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > the scores...
> > >
> > > I think you get the point.
> >
> > What point?
>
> Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.

I did. It's not clear.

Spell it out for us, please.

> > > > > I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> > > > > riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
> > > >
> > > > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> > > > the riff-raff" argument.
> > >
> > > No, it isn't.
> >
> > Yes, it is.

Nope.
>
> > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.
>
> Just 25 years?

I wrote "more than 25 years".

>I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.

What does that mean?

Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.

> > Not just the code tests
> > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
>
> No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> offices so far away from ham's residences.

??

The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money. They replaced
their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.
>
> > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use

> > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > >
> > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> >
> > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > cause you to win the debate?
>
> No false sexist claim.

It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
Ohm's Law and Theory
end of her station

> W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> these days.

Where do you get that idea?

> Fair is fair, yes?

You're not fair at all.

4236. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:27:07 UTC
From: fritz <love@peace.net>
Message-ID: <4544D65B.2BF0B657@peace.net>
Source record: 170253
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

bobandcarole wrote:

> > >>> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
> > >>>
> > >>> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
> > >>
> > >> Under the age of 18.....
> > >
> > >try holland and mexico 12
>
> And that makes it right?
> > missed them I was thinking about Mo that allowed 16 yos to conesnt to
> > sex as do several others as i recall
>
> You're an uneducated moron that flunked 3rd grade spelling.

And you and Rush are a big fat ninny.

--

Fritz ...

--
Posted via NewsDemon.com - Premium Uncensored Newsgroup Service
------>>>>>>http://www.NewsDemon.com<<<<<<------
Unlimited Access, Anonymous Accounts, Uncensored Broadband Access

4237. Re: Sexual fascism and the Mark Foley scandal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:29:48 UTC
From: fritz <love@peace.net>
Message-ID: <4544D6FC.4460D362@peace.net>
Source record: 170254
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.education,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.politics.elections

bobandcarole wrote:

> > >> >> >> >Since you are bringing God into the discussion, please show me
> > >> >> >> >where in the
> > >> >> >> >Bible it says sex with kids is wrong?
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> in pint of fact in the USA roughly hunderd years ago Men my age and
> > >> >> >> older would marry have sex with and cause to die in child birth
> > >> >> >> "children" younger than Pages Foley has chated with about sex
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >>They did a lot of primitive things 100 years ago.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> and your point
> > >> >Sex with children is a primitive act
> > >> no support for that postion
> > >
> > > I've only got the support of the civilized world, that's all
> >
> > nope
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> > >> >> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys
> > >> >>
> > >> >>A society of child molesters.
> > >> and yet they procuded our very form of govt and we idolized them
> > >> hardly they were just hung on your particular set of sexual hangup
> > >> >>
> > >> >Let me guess you're a kiddiefucker?
> > >>
> > >> no but you are obessed with sex of people who are not kids
> > >
> > > Under the age of 18.............
> >
> > try holland and mexico 12
> Ah yes, Holland..the home of drug users, pedophiles and prostitutes.
> Mexico...just another third world shithole the people are glad to get
> out of........

Ya think we ought to nuke em?

--

Fritz ...

--
Posted via NewsDemon.com - Premium Uncensored Newsgroup Service
------>>>>>>http://www.NewsDemon.com<<<<<<------
Unlimited Access, Anonymous Accounts, Uncensored Broadband Access

4238. Re: 10months of the Stevei and lawsuit lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:42:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162140159.317096.37480@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170255

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> on dec 28th 2005 Robeson insisted that he was done the filling of a
> lawsuit against me.

> 10 months and counting

Perhaps on the anniversary we can have an "RRAP/Robesin frivilous
lawsuit" party on-line?

I think some chicken flavored crackers with extra sharp cheddar cheese,
and a bottle of semi-dry Seyval would be nice.

We could play "Hangman" with some of the more rediculous Robesin
accusations and threats.

4239. Re: 10months of the Stevei and lawsuit lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:46:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <amm9k2hor4ruepv0q3e9q0sajsdg8pkopk@4ax.com>
Source record: 170256

On 29 Oct 2006 08:42:39 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> on dec 28th 2005 Robeson insisted that he was done the filling of a
>> lawsuit against me.
>
>> 10 months and counting
>
>Perhaps on the anniversary we can have an "RRAP/Robesin frivilous
>lawsuit" party on-line?
might be interesting
>
>I think some chicken flavored crackers with extra sharp cheddar cheese,
>and a bottle of semi-dry Seyval would be nice.
not being a wine exper not familier Syval although I suspect it is
somewhat sourer than anything I drink
>
>We could play "Hangman" with some of the more rediculous Robesin
>accusations and threats.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4240. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:48:25 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <54qdnXRuasvxRtnYnZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162131211.272997.166230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> [snip]
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>> >> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any
>> >> > CW
>> >> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use.
>> >> > Total
>> >> > the scores...
>> >> >
>> >> > I think you get the point.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
>> >> only
>> >> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
>> >
>> > I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
>> > amateur radio operators...
>>
>> Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
>> (contesting) for which it is ill-suited.
>
> And you keep changing the parameters of the challenge.
>

That's because CWGet fails in almost all contest situations. It cannot
handle the QRM caused by all the stations calling at once.

> Are you saying that of those amateurs that learned the code, that they
> are all still highly proficient in it? I think most learned the code
> as a licensing hurdle, and never looked back.
```

>

No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly proficient. I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest is a recipe for failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When I first started cw contesting, I had to listen to the station many times through picking out their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges before throwing in my call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to get the balance of the exchange. But it worked.

If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it, that's sad but that's their problem.

> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>

While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low activity levels. When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and I've only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is that? They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they don't use them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so on.

>> >> It
>> >> doesn't do the job when there are a multitude of operators calling at
>> >> the
>> >> same time. Also CWGet cannot copy the average manually keyed Morse
>> >> code.
>> >> So whatever your point is, you didn't prove anything.
>> >
>> > Even you have claimed to be a user of CWGet.
>>
>> So what? When I'm in a contest, I use the best computer ever developed
>> (the
>> human brain). When the person on the other end is sending manually
>> keyed
>> code, again I use the good old brain. That I sometimes use CWGet is no
>> particular endorsement of it. It's a tool that I use when I'm tired and
>> still want to operate code. However unless the signal is of good quality
>> and volume, it ends up being necessary to go back to the good old human
>> brain. My decision then is to either put in the extra effort to focus or
>> just call it a night and go to bed.
>
> OK.
>

[snip]
>> >
>> > You couldn't be more wrong. The FCC should get to define what "basic
>> > knowledge" is, and those that do the defining don't have a clue what
>> > Morse Code is. But they've been buffaloed into believing that it tis
>> > something magical.

>>
>> Yes the FCC has the task of defining what that should be. However there
>> is
>> NOTHING that prohibits them from consulting with people who have
>> operating
>> experience.
>
> They don't even have a definition of what Morse Code is within the
> rules of the last service required to have a Morse Code exam. I think
> that tells the story.
>

The ITU has a standard definition of what constitutes International Morse Code that is sufficient for the purpose. The FCC doesn't need to define it. They say we must pass the International Morse Code. It is sufficient that the dot/dash sequence is defined for the characters. The weighting, spacing, and speed can be varied to suit the conditions. For test purposes, the Council of VECs establishes the test standard and that is sufficient since all who go test have the opportunity to train using the exact parameters (tone, weighting, spacing, speed, etc) that will be used on the test. The variations that occur in the real world can be learned on the air.

[snip]
>> > I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
>> > otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
>> > certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
>> > Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
>> > engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.
>>
>> Mechanical engineers don't have a need for Ohm's law. They go hire the
>> electrical engineers. Aerospace engineering is a branch of mechanical
>> engineering (we don't get to drop the lesser terms in the equations since
>> they have a significant impact for our field). Again we go hire the
>> electrical engineers. Same with civil and structural engineers. On the
>> other hand electrical engineers generally do not study basic pressure
>> vessal
>> theory but go hire the mechanical engineers for that.
>
> You're talking about the working world.
>
> Were you able to hire out your studies in college?
>

Since we weren't required to take electrical engineering courses, it is not relevant. Would you require EEs to take basic mechanical engineering courses? That would chew up a couple of years.

> Were you able to hire out your PE exams?
>

Most engineering jobs do not require that one even have a PE license or registration or whatever they call it these days. Plus there are study guides specifically aimed at the content of the PE exam. Plus the exam for a structural engineer is different from the one for a mechanical engineer is different from the one for an electrical engineer, etc.

>> > Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
>> > engineer........
>>
>> Again it depends on the field. We all studied common areas such as
>> calculus
>> and fast fourier transforms but items unique to a field generally were
>> not
>> taught across the board. We didn't study Ohms law and the electrical
>> engineers didn't study cantilever beam theory.
>
> OK.
>
>> >> Should I happen to run into a need to use Ohms law and so on, I am
>> >> perfectly
>> >> capable of doing so. In addition, I was the one who taught the class
>> >> for
>> >> our club members who wished to upgrade to Extra, a class which my
>> >> husband
>> >> attended so that he could upgrade from General to Extra.
>> >>
>> >> You have ASSumed and made a donkey of yourself.
>> >>
>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > Be kind enough to show where. Merely claiming to be an engineer
>> > without a use for Ohm's Law or Radio Theory is not enough.
>>
>> You assumed that I needed help from my OM on theory, etc. That is the
>> area
>> to which I referred.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
> amateur licensing?
>

No I did not say that. I believe that they do belong in the licensing setup as again for amateur radio, they are basics of the field. Just because my usage of them is low doesn't mean they don't belong there. One needs to learn the basics as they don't yet know what direction their hobby will take them. Learning the basics helps them decide which and when or if they want to further explore various branches of amateur radio.

Similarly, there were several courses I took as part of the basics of engineering but seldom used. I've never done fast fourier transforms in my

work as my career did not go that direction. I've rarely used calculus. On the other hand, I spent a significant chunk of my career (12 years out of 33 years) writing engineering software using Fortran and later Visual Basic. The ironic part is that Fortran was a class I hated in college and struggled to get through (Basic was not in use at the time). Once I was out in the real world working on software to use in real situations, I found it to be quite easy and fun.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4241. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:51:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <H_41h.25245$GR2.17404@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>
>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>
>>> SNIPPED
>>>>
>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>> said"
>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>
>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>
>>>
>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>
>>
>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>
>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of minutes
>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or more)
>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>>degrees.
> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
> statemeent is dishonest
>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
>>Bisexuality?
> no former about it
>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>
> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>

So, will you now STFU?

4242. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:56:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162140993.045249.23080@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > And dismissed it.
> > >
> > > > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > > >> > > >> is
> > > > > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > > > > >> > > >> under a
> > > > > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > > >> > > >> signal to
> > > > > >> > > >> function.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > > > > > > of
> > > > > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > > > >>

> > > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > > > > > > >> Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > > > > > >> myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > > > > > >> good."
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> And they aren't.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > > > > >> > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > > > > > >> > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > > > > > > >> are superb morsemen.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > > > > >> > its
> > > > > > > >> > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > > > > > >> likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > > > > >> > hear that.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > > > > > >> years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > > > > > > >> without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > > > > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > > > > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > > > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > > > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to

> > > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> > > > >
> > > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > > the scores...
> > > > >
> > > > I think you get the point.
> > > >
> > > What point?
> > >
> > Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.
> >
> I did. It's not clear.
> >
> Spell it out for us, please.

I'll spell it out for you, Jim.

Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
license. Probably most of the coded licensees never looked back when
they learned the code to get past a licensing hurdle, don't use code,
and couldn't if their lives depended on it.

So put all USA licensed amateurs in fron of a station equipped with a
morse code key and with CWGet and total their scores.

> > > > > > I do NOT and never have believed in the arguments about "keeping out the
> > > > > riffraff", maintaining tradition, or the "I had to so you should to".
> > > > >
> > > > > The "dumbing down" argument is just an extension of the "keeping out
> > > > > the riff-raff" argument.
> > > >
> > > > No, it isn't.
> > >
> > > Yes, it is.
> >
> > Nope.
> > >
> > > > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > > > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.
> > >
> > > Just 25 years?
> >
> > I wrote "more than 25 years".
> >
> > >I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> > > where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.
> >
> > What does that mean?
> >

> Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.

Yep, but nobody ever claimed that amateur radio was being dumbed down.
The USA amateur service has a proud history of it.

> > > Not just the code tests
> > > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
> >
> > No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> > offices so far away from ham's residences.
>
> ??
>
> The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.

It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
their travel.

> They replaced
> their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.

Good thing there wasn't a union.

> > > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > > >
> > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > >
> > > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> > >
> > > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > > cause you to win the debate?
> >
> > No false sexist claim.
>
> It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
> Ohm's Law and Theory
> end of her station

Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
doing it?

> > W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> > these days.
>
> Where do you get that idea?
>

> > Fair is fair, yes?
>
> You're not fair at all.

Since you have a corner on the fairness market, do you plan to be the
RRAP Moderator?

4243. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 16:57:05 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <O4-dnWC1opLpQNnYnZ2dnUVZ_uidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162132366.355300.272780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>> >
>> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>>
>> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate
>> software.
>
> Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
> so very simple?
>
> Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
> after basic training?
>

Beats me. But you know what they say. There's the right way, the wrong way
and the Army way. I would not presume to pass judgement on their training.
However it may be that some of the recruits have not yet learned to read a
schematic and have never operated a soldering iron. I'm quite sure that is
not part of basic training.

>> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up
>> and
>> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
>
> Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?
>

So what if it is boring. That is no reason not to learn it. I suspected
that digital would end up being boring but since I believe that a person
should be striving to increase their knowledge and skills, I decided it was
time to become familiar with this area. Afterall, I might find myself in
the position of being asked to Elmer someone in this area.

>> On
>> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
>> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if
>> there
>> is not a test for it.
>
> Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
> up on code.
>

They have different goals and objectives than amateur radio. Government agencies and commercial business do not have the goal of individual self training and experimentation. Comparing amateur radio to government/commercial applications is like comparing apples to pomegranates. They're both red fruits but there the similarity ends.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

4244. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 17:09:19 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fYydna0Tmd_PfdnYnZ2dnUVZ_oOdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162135194.690513.289640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:

[snip]
>>
>> Depends on how bad you want the privileges. Just don't ask for something
>> for nothing. Originally I had no interest in ham radio but my husband at
>> the time dragged me to a class as something we could do together. As I
>> got
>> involved, I found it interesting. I deduced very early on that what I
>> wanted to get out of ham radio would best be served by going all the way
>> to
>> Extra. Since I wanted the privileges, I met the requirements including
>> the
>> 20 wpm.
>
> So if he dragged you to a class, how did you end up presiding over the
> class that took him to Extra?
>

Different husband. My previous husband dragged me to the Tech class. We
split up a few years later. Then after that I met the man who was to become
my current husband. It is my current husband who took the Extra class that
I was teaching. Sorry for the confusion there.

Although it would have been possible for me to have taught my previous
husband since I reached Extra a couple of months before he did.

>> Not everyone wants those privileges. Kim is a case in point. She is a
>> Tech
>> Plus and could have gotten her General with just a written test and no
>> further code testing as of April 2000. She chose not to because she did
>> not
>> really like HF operations. The typical background static of HF bothers
>> her.
>> Her interests lie in VHF and up. Since she has full privileges there,
>> the
>> General does not serve her goals.
>
> Yep. Technician is a whole lot of priveleges.
>
>> > That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own

>> > "style."
>>
>> Very true. But it takes a lot of discipline to consistently write in a
>> different style and not make tell tale slips. When Len Anderson was
>> posting
>> as Avery Fineman, it was quite obvious they were the same person.
>
> When I post as Hot-Ham, there's no intent to deceive. There is an
> intent to have a throw-away email address that I've checked the mailbox
> content about twice. It can fill up with all that spam that the
> spammers desire.
>
> I Am What I Am. That a famous quote of Popeye.
>

And I don't criticize some one who does that. It is only when there is the
apparent intent to deceive (Len Anderson) or the appaerent intent to violate
their ISPs TOS (Mark Morgan), that it is unreasonable.

>> > I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
>> > I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
>> > seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
>> >
>> > Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
>> > I have.
>> >
>> > That's so swell of him.
>> >
>> > I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
>> > tit for tat. No?
>>
>> Doesn't really matter as with the internet this information is findable
>> one
>> way or another if one cares to go after it. Posting it here only shows
>> that
>> you have the internet search skills of any average user and get some kind
>> of
>> juvenile thrill out of posting it.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
> The intent is to intimidate.
>

Such an attempt is foolish. Anyone who is intimidated by that must not be
aware how easy that information is to find these days.

Dee, N8UZE

4245. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 17:21:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KqOdnXvj5KOjftnYnZ2dnUVZ_oudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162136643.419196.106430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people
>> >> >> >> in
>> >> >> >> the
>> >> >> >> group anymore.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> SC
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>> >> >
>> >> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings
>> >> > by
>> >> > Robesin.
>> >>
>> >> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>> >
>> > You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
>> > encounters or pedophilia?
>> >
>> > When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
>> > postings to Dee postings.
>>
>> Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I
>> refuse
>> to get sucked into such stupidity.
```

>
> And one day when your job depends on a security background
> investigation and accusations of homosexuality, pedophilia, and rape...
>
>

Whether or not I were to respond to such accusations would make no
difference as the postings would still be in the archive. If it did cause a
problem in that area, I'd certainly take legal action against the poster and
the company who accepted such unfounded accusations.

>
[snip]

>> > Stupid? It was sexual harassment. That's illegal isn't it?
>>
>> Hard to say. One would have to weigh it against the specific wording of
>> the
>> law and adjudicated cases to determine if it was or was not illegal.
>
> Good side-step.
>

No not a side step. I'm not a lawyer, judge, legal expert, or a juror
weighing evidence in such a case. So I don't have sufficient data to make
such a judgement.

> Are Bruce and Dan in your killfile? Are "thier" anonymous characters
> in your killfile?
>

They've been gone so long, I don't know. I clean out the file and start it
over about once or twice a year.

[snip]
>
> Dee from Deetroit? I like Michigan but Detroit isn't my favorite
> place.
>

Actually I live in one of the suburbs not Detroit itself. However, there
are some good things in Detroit. They have a full slate of pro sports teams
and an absolutely wonderful opera company.

[snip]
>
> I think amateur radio is one of the best hobbies ever, and it can also
> serve in an emergency communications roll.
>

On that we agree. So on that upbeat note, let's conclude this extensive
discussion (it was fun but we've kind of beaten it to death) and go work
some radio.

Dee, N8UZE

4246. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 18:12:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dnr9k2tm8kig3j4nhvprggg6cg20vlgso7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 11:48:25 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162131211.272997.166230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162087457.256716.22590@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1162069109.456549.28910@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >> [snip]
>>> >>
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
>>> >> > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any
>>> >> > CW
>>> >> > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use.
>>> >> > Total
>>> >> > the scores...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > I think you get the point.
>>> >> >
>>> >>
>>> >> Can't tell what your point is. Those experienced with code and using
>>> >> only
>>> >> their ears and brain will beat CWGet in any contest you care to name.
>>> >
>>> > I didn't say, "those experienced..." I said all presently licensed USA
>>> > amateur radio operators...
>>>
>>> Those who learn code will beat those who try to make CWGet do a job
>>> (contesting) for which it is ill-suited.
>>
>> And you keep changing the parameters of the challenge.
>>
>
>That's because CWGet fails in almost all contest situations. It cannot
```

>handle the QRM caused by all the stations calling at once.

so what? is Ham radio nothing but Contesting with CW your view of the service seems very narrow indeed so much for making anyone welcome http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4247. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 18:13:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kpr9k29ah6f0ubq3nrmuvtjlcvd13vn6nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 170264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 12:21:47 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162136643.419196.106430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1162088692.366021.276230@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1162074542.272172.249190@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> >> news:1162067837.275562.132270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people
>>> >> >> >> in
>>> >> >> >> the
>>> >> >> >> group anymore.
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> SC
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan,
>>> >> >
>>> >> > I see Mark Morgan as the necessary balance in the vicious postings
>>> >> > by
>>> >> > Robesin.
>>> >>
>>> >> He doesn't need to create dozens of posts to refute each one.
>>> >
>>> > You don't get to decide that. Has Robesin accused you of lesbian
>>> > encounters or pedophilia?
>>> >
>>> > When he does, I'll be sure to keep track of the ratio of Robesin
>>> > postings to Dee postings.
```

>>>
>>> Well if such an odd thing should ever happen, I'll killfile him. I
>>> refuse
>>> to get sucked into such stupidity.
>>
>> And one day when your job depends on a security background
>> investigation and accusations of homosexuality, pedophilia, and rape...
>>
>>
>
>Whether or not I were to respond to such accusations would make no
>difference as the postings would still be in the archive. If it did cause a
>problem in that area, I'd certainly take legal action against the poster and
>the company who accepted such unfounded accusations.
you could not afford it nor could you achieve anything by it I have
done the reasearch
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4248. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 18:14:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0rr9k29oq19k96egc0ab0tk2el8l371o5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 170265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 16:51:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>>
>>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>
>>>> SNIPPED
>>>>>
>>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>> said"
>>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>>
>>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of minutes
>>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or more)
>>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>>>degrees.
>> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
>> statemeent is dishonest
>>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
>>>Bisexuality?
>> no former about it
>>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>>

>> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>>
>
>So, will you now STFU?
why would I? Tom you are not making sense but that is par for the
course
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4249. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 18:40:24 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sA61h.25262$GR2.8482@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
news:0rr9k29oq19k96egc0ab0tk2el8l371o5b@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 16:51:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>>>
>>>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> SNIPPED
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>>> said"
>>>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>>>
>>>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of
>>>>minutes
>>>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or
>>>>more)
>>>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>>>>degrees.
>>> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
>>> statemeent is dishonest
>>>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to

>>>>Bisexuality?
>>> no former about it
>>>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>>>
>>> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>>>
>>
>>So, will you now STFU?
> why would I? Tom you are not making sense but that is par for the
> course
>>

Can't you see you've won, Mark? It's all over. You've made us understand the genius that you are. The mere fact that you are the most intelligent one here should surely be enough to cause you to step aside and let us imbeciles argue amongst ourselves.

4250. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 18:42:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <uet9k2lbp0oach1tqljoo02481cq3ceo73@4ax.com>
Source record: 170267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 18:40:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:0rr9k29oq19k96egc0ab0tk2el8l371o5b@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 16:51:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SNIPPED
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>>>> said"
>>>>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>>>>
>>>>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of
>>>>>minutes
>>>>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or
>>>>>more)
>>>>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>>>>>degrees.

>>>> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
>>>> statemeent is dishonest
>>>>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>>>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
>>>>>Bisexuality?
>>>> no former about it
>>>>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>>>>
>>>> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>>>>
>>>
>>>So, will you now STFU?
>> why would I? Tom you are not making sense but that is par for the
>> course
>>>
>
>Can't you see you've won, Mark?
won what?
> It's all over.
hardly
> You've made us understand the
>genius that you are. The mere fact that you are the most intelligent one
>here should surely be enough to cause you to step aside and let us imbeciles
>argue amongst ourselves.

even if true you logic is fucked, and by your own statement you can't
argue with that one

you re main a coward and lier and jealous tom just get over over it
and craw back under your rock
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4251. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 19:01:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pju9k29d21u8vr7vjv7q7mnpvugdnd54ss@4ax.com>
Source record: 170268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 18:40:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>news:0rr9k29oq19k96egc0ab0tk2el8l371o5b@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 16:51:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>>>>
>>>>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> SNIPPED
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill once
>>>>>>> said"
>>>>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>>>>
>>>>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of
>>>>>minutes
>>>>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or
>>>>>more)
>>>>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many alleged
>>>>>degrees.

>>>> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
>>>> statemeent is dishonest
>>>>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>>>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code to
>>>>>Bisexuality?
>>>> no former about it
>>>>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>>>>
>>>> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>>>>
>>>
>>>So, will you now STFU?
>> why would I? Tom you are not making sense but that is par for the
>> course
>>>
>
>Can't you see you've won, Mark? It's all over. You've made us understand the
>genius that you are. The mere fact that you are the most intelligent one
>here should surely be enough to cause you to step aside and let us imbeciles
>argue amongst ourselves.
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4252. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 19:30:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Cj71h.1407$zf.492@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170269

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>>> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>>> Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
>
> Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
> so very simple?

I guess it is because of the raw material they have to work with.

> Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
> after basic training?

They can be. That's how I did it. I never set foot in an Air Force technical school. Of course I'd already been a radio amateur for seven years when I joined the military. I was awarded my 3-level right out of basic training. I went directed duty to Barksdale AFB after ten days of leave after Amarillo.

> Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
> up on code.

Oracle uses a lot of code.

Dave K8MN

4253. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 19:45:27 UTC
From: Multivitamin Selenium 60 <3999rchge@comcastbitesbobo.net>
Message-ID: <909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 170270
Cross-posted to:
alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!

I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of fools.

4254. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 20:05:42 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162152342.205796.259410@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>
> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> >
>
>
> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> limited mental abilities.
>
> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>
> SC

Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
of communicating with people from around the world.

4255. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 20:50:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tu4ak29pcon173kitgoupsbbblnceg1q3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 170272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:

>
>Slow Code wrote:
>> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>>
>> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>> >
>>
>>
>> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
>> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
>> limited mental abilities.
>>
>> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>>
>> SC
>
>Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
>increase the number of hams applying for a license.
but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC
> At one time,
>possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
>with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
>world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
>younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
>of communicating with people from around the world.
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4256. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 20:51:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <415ak2ht1b4vgv2dg07isi915g33j061j1@4ax.com>
Source record: 170273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 14:45:27 -0500, "Multivitamin Selenium 60"
<3999rchgeï¿½@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote:

>
no matter whoose
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4257. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 20:55:13 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162155313.874187.81780@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Slow Code wrote:
> >> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> >> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> >> limited mental abilities.
> >>
> >> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> >Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> >increase the number of hams applying for a license.
> but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
> into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC

How would retaining the code requirement help to retain those you do
get. I do not understand.

> > At one time,
> >possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
> >with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
> >world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
> >younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
> >of communicating with people from around the world.
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4258. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 20:57:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162155430.268032.174410@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

hjs...@cs.com wrote:
> M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >Slow Code wrote:
> > > >> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > > >> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
> > > >>
> > > >> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> > > >> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> > > >> limited mental abilities.
> > > >>
> > > >> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
> > > >>
> > > >> SC
> > > >
> > > >Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> > > >increase the number of hams applying for a license.
> > > but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
> > > into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC
> >
> >
> > How would retaining the code requirement help to retain those you do
> > get. I do not understand.
obviously you do not understand since the opisite was said ending code
tsting could help retain the new hams

4259. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:06:10 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162155970.279848.195280@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> hjs...@cs.com wrote:
> > M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > > On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Slow Code wrote:
> > > > >> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> > > > >> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> > > > >> >
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> > > > >> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> > > > >> limited mental abilities.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> SC
> > > > >
> > > > >Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> > > > >increase the number of hams applying for a license.
> > > > but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
> > > > into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC
> > >
> > >
> > How would retaining the code requirement help to retain those you do
> > get. I do not understand.
> obviously you do not understand since the opisite was said ending code
> tsting could help retain the new hams

I see that you real as well as you spell, which is not very good. I
was responding to the following: "but it can help retain those we get
".

4260. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:14:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p86ak2paacp9pbtodh929f7f88d2cf610s@4ax.com>
Source record: 170277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On 29 Oct 2006 13:06:10 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hjs...@cs.com wrote:
>> > M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> > > On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >Slow Code wrote:
>> > > > >> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> > > > >> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>> > > > >> >
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
>> > > > >> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
>> > > > >> limited mental abilities.
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>> > > > >>
>> > > > >> SC
>> > > > >
>> > > > >Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
>> > > > >increase the number of hams applying for a license.
>> > > > but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
>> > > > into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > How would retaining the code requirement help to retain those you do
>> > > get. I do not understand.
>> > obviously you do not understand since the opisite was said ending code
>> > tsting could help retain the new hams
>
>I see that you real as well as you spell, which is not very good. I
>was responding to the following: "but it can help retain those we get
>".
I "real"? indeed I see what you are responding to but you clearly
can't read the sentence since neither of the preceeding poster is
tlaking about reatining code testing
and neither he 2 yet futher in the tree were talking and code testing
```

at all

the only one that thinks the poster are sufggesting code testing will
retain anything is you and where you get is beyond me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4261. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:37:46 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162157865.886483.59010@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 6:49pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 1:28pm
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>> >> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>> >> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>> >> >>
>> >> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>> >>
>> >> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>> >
>> >Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
>> >wasn't asked.
>>
>> ...and then tries to misdirect the whole thread! :-)
>>
>> OK, that's 'Quitefine' with me...:-)
>
>Quiterite!

Notice that Miccolis hasn't commented about "Quitefine"? :-)

>> >> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
>> >> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
>> >> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>> >
>> >A-1 Operator!
>>
>> Is he into the sauce? :-)
>
>Which one? There are 57 varieties.

Is "A-1" a Heinz product?

>> >> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>> >> >
>> >> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>> >>
>> >> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
>> >> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]
>> >>
>> >> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
>> >> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
>> >> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
>> >> him!" AS IF. :-)
>> >
>> >Squeaky Clean.
>>
>> Squeak...mouse..."the mouse that roared."
>
>Into a maze of his own making.

Too bad Miccolis never joined the IEEE. He would have had a
ball with their annual Mouse in a Maze contest. He could
have explained that all engineering involves maze solutions
and that Reggie Fessenden was the first maze solver and
ENIAC computed him to be the winner. :-)

>> >> >Ditto
>> >> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>> >> >be absent from RRAP.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
>> >> (code speed) up? :-)
>> >
>> >Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
>> >have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.
>>
>> One of Missouri's Finest!
>
>But he didn't try, for if anyone ever tries, they would suceede.

Lazy? Dumbed-down? :-)

>> >> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
>> >> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)
>> >
>> >Little Billy Beeper's mentor?
>>
>> Nah. Wouldn't be close to Hans Brakob. Hans has a sense
>> of humor. Humor is very rare among morsemen; Hans is a
>> morseman but is NOT for the US amateur radio code test. :-)
>>
>> Blowcode is just an Attention-WANTER, making trouble so he
>> can feel "famous." All he can think about is memorized
>> lines from the ARRL hymnbook of a half century past. He

>> can't think for himself. His bigotry is in the way.
>
>Then he really, really could be Jim.

...only if Miccolis is developing Alternate Personalities.

He DOES seem to be developing his Major Dud side...emulating
the group's Great [military] Imposter. Before long he might
be mentioning wives, joining a local CAP, getting his pix in
QRZ. :-)

>> >> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>> >> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>> >> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
>> >> >
>> >> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>> >> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>> >> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>> >> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>> >> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>> >>
>> >> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>> >>
>> >> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>> >>
>> >> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
>> >> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
>> >> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
>> >> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>> >>
>> >They wished.
>>
>> They wish so hard they think it is real. Poor babies.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>And if they clicked their heeels together...

...they would all turn into the Wicked Witch. :-)

Come to think of it, some HAVE! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4262. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:43:20 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162158200.627880.72370@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170279

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 29 2006 6:32am

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>> >
>> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>>
>> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
>
>Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
>so very simple?
>
>Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
>after basic training?

Heh heh heh...I can't wait to see Dee's answer on that! :-)

>> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and
>> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
>
>Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?

Gosh, from what I've seen, DATA on ham bands is a lot like
the old computer-modem comms by wireline! Sort of like the
Internet and USENET access now. Maybe Dee just get 'bored'
easily?

Maybe Dee actually "works" USENET by morse code and her ISP
'translates' that into text? :-)

>> On
>> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
>> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if there
>> is not a test for it.
>
>Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
>up on code.

Sunnuvagun! :-)

Maybe the whole rest of the radio world KNOWS something that the
morsepersons don't?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4263. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:50:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nd8ak2l8acpfm882ahvitj5uqoanbjtasj@4ax.com>
Source record: 170280

On 29 Oct 2006 13:43:20 -0800, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 29 2006 6:32am
>
>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>>> >
>>> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>>>
>>> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>>> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
>>
>>Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
>>so very simple?
>>
>>Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
>>after basic training?
>
> Heh heh heh...I can't wait to see Dee's answer on that! :-)
she choose to duck it
>
>>> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and
>>> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
>>
>>Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?
>
> Gosh, from what I've seen, DATA on ham bands is a lot like
> the old computer-modem comms by wireline! Sort of like the
> Internet and USENET access now. Maybe Dee just get 'bored'
> easily?

and of course what bores HER MUST bore the rest of us
>
> Maybe Dee actually "works" USENET by morse code and her ISP
> 'translates' that into text? :-)

nah there would be too many error I am sure since Morse Code can ONLY
be proceesed by human machoines can't do it at all
>
>>> On
>>> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many

>>> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if there
>>> is not a test for it.
>>
>>Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
>>up on code.
>
> Sunnuvagun! :-)
>
> Maybe the whole rest of the radio world KNOWS something that the
> morsepersons don't?

sure they do everybody knows something others don't even the Morse
People know things they are just too bigg for their keyers
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4264. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 21:52:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ki8ak2hs98ojhdr3a817cflectlkrm0grs@4ax.com>
Source record: 170281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Oct 2006 13:37:46 -0800, "LenAnderson@ieee.org"
<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote:

>From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 6:49pm
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 1:28pm
>>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 21 2006 4:01pm
>>> >> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> > > Dave Heil wrote:
>>> >> >> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> >> > >>> Mark@kb9rqz.net wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> >> Beyond that, he could be anybody with a computer and an internet
>>> >> >> connection. "Slow Code" could be Len Anderson, who has used at least
>>> >> >> seven different screen names here - that we know of.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >How many screen names have you used here - that you know of?
>>> >>
>>> >> Jimmie will NEVER admit to using any pseudonyms. :-)
>>> >
>>> >Jim doesn't want to tell a lie, so he avoids the question... pretend it
>>> >wasn't asked.
>>>
>>> ...and then tries to misdirect the whole thread! :-)
>>>
>>> OK, that's 'Quitefine' with me...:-)
>>
>>Quiterite!
>
> Notice that Miccolis hasn't commented about "Quitefine"? :-)
>
>>> >> Jimmie is a proud amateur "serving his country in other ways"
>>> >> such as playing with his radio hobby, spreading "international
>>> >> good will" by working DX on HF with CW. :-)
>>> >
>>> >A-1 Operator!
>>>
>>> Is he into the sauce? :-)
>>

>>Which one? There are 57 varieties.
>
> Is "A-1" a Heinz product?

I believe so which is Why I don't buy it

I'll reconsider in 2008
>
>>> >> >> "Slow Code" could be Brian Burke, N0IMD,
>>> >> >
>>> >> >Slow Code could be Jim/N2EY, despite protests that it isn't him.
>>> >>
>>> >> Not in Miccolis' petty prissy manner of "always being correct."
>>> >> [i.e., thinking as Miccolis thinks...all else is "wrong"]
>>> >>
>>> >> Miccolis already tried at least one pseudonym. That pesudo
>>> >> STOPPED when confronted. [that's in the Google archives]
>>> >> But, but, but...Miccolis (who never swears) swears "it wasn't
>>> >> him!" AS IF. :-)
>>> >
>>> >Squeaky Clean.
>>>
>>> Squeak...mouse..."the mouse that roared."
>>
>>Into a maze of his own making.
>
> Too bad Miccolis never joined the IEEE. He would have had a
> ball with their annual Mouse in a Maze contest. He could
> have explained that all engineering involves maze solutions
> and that Reggie Fessenden was the first maze solver and
> ENIAC computed him to be the winner. :-)
>
>>> >> >Ditto
>>> >> >Robesin, Coslo, Bruce, Dan, Larry Roll, or anyone else who "appears" to
>>> >> >be absent from RRAP.
>>> >>
>>> >> Maybe it is Val Germann, frustrated that he can't get his
>>> >> (code speed) up? :-)
>>> >
>>> >Probably never tried. For if he had really, really tried, he could
>>> >have been a 20WPM, Code-Tape Extra.
>>>
>>> One of Missouri's Finest!
>>
>>But he didn't try, for if anyone ever tries, they would suceede.
>
> Lazy? Dumbed-down? :-)
>
>
>>> >> Maybe it is Lamont Cranston? "Who knows what evil lurks in
>>> >> the hearts of No-Coders?" :-)

>>> >
>>> >Little Billy Beeper's mentor?
>>>
>>> Nah. Wouldn't be close to Hans Brakob. Hans has a sense
>>> of humor. Humor is very rare among morsemen; Hans is a
>>> morseman but is NOT for the US amateur radio code test. :-)
>>>
>>> Blowcode is just an Attention-WANTER, making trouble so he
>>> can feel "famous." All he can think about is memorized
>>> lines from the ARRL hymnbook of a half century past. He
>>> can't think for himself. His bigotry is in the way.
>>
>>Then he really, really could be Jim.
>
> ...only if Miccolis is developing Alternate Personalities.
>
> He DOES seem to be developing his Major Dud side...emulating
> the group's Great [military] Imposter. Before long he might
> be mentioning wives, joining a local CAP, getting his pix in
> QRZ. :-)
>
>>> >> >> who has used a wide variety of screen names
>>> >> >> here, ("billy beeper", "hot ham and cheese", to name just a few)
>>> >> >> usually without including his name or callsign.
>>> >> >
>>> >> >I understand that Brian Burke has received a whole lot less spam email
>>> >> >on his regular user account than when he posted here under his name and
>>> >> >call. I also understand that he let go of "Billy Beeper" at Han's
>>> >> >Brakob's request, as "Billy Beeper" was an invention of Hans, a
>>> >> >fictitious boy who feared evil No-Coders.
>>> >>
>>> >> There's lots of fictitious BOYS in here fearing evil No-Coders.
>>> >>
>>> >> Most of them use pseudonyms. No guts. No courage. No brains.
>>> >>
>>> >> They hide behind their BFO-enabled beeping, afraid to stray
>>> >> beyond the anonymity of their monotonic dots and dashes...and
>>> >> dreams of glory and honor via morsemanship..."serving their
>>> >> country in 'other' ways." :-)
>>> >>
>>> >They wished.
>>>
>>> They wish so hard they think it is real. Poor babies.
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>>And if they clicked their heeels together...
>
> ...they would all turn into the Wicked Witch. :-)

no they would arive not in Kansas since they are Morse Men but CT
>
> Come to think of it, some HAVE! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4265. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:00:23 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162159223.701963.263440@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170282

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 7:49pm

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>> > So who do you think "Slow Code" is? Coslo? Miccolis? Roll? Deignan?
>> > Dan, Dan the CB Radio Man?
>>
>> Haven't a clue on Slow Code. The style doesn't sound like Coslo or
>> Miccolis.
>
>Obviously it's someone who's been here awhile.

...or someone who has read a lot of ham magazines and
faithfully followed the ARRL's hymnbook.

>> Don't really know the style of the other fellows writing.
>
>Troll was the racist poster ("My favorite black on the bus...," and
>"Welfare mothers of Color with their hands out...").

K3LT, the claimed summa cum laude in human resources
study at some college, claiming he "could get any job
he wanted" in that field after graduation. He became
a bus driver. :-)

>Deignan was the vanity callsign collector and the original "RF
>Commando." He called me a liar when I said he had collected 12
>callsigns, but I was wrong - one of the callsigns actually belonged to
>his wife at the same address. So I guess I was a liar after all. I
>should have known that he had a Ham Wife that collected vanity
>callsigns, too.

Was it his wife or his sister? [it's been awhile...]

>Deignan's buddy in Hawaii loaned him his PO Box number so he could scam
>some Hawaiin calls, meanwhile, the Hawaiin PO Box owner was scamming a
>Guam callsign. Never been to Guam and could have operated /KH2 like I
>did for two years. I guess a Hawaiin Call Stroke Guam Call is a pretty
>cool thing...

The Hawaiian buddy was Jeffrey Herman...who feigned
"innocence" on getting the Hawaii PO Box in here! :-)

Yeah, riiiight, knowing Deignan LIVED in Rhode Island
Herman got him a Hawaii PO Box address for "vacation"
mail or something? :-)

>Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
>fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
>then 13, and then 20 WPM.

The Morsemen are the Masters! :-)

Morsemen are 'superior' beings above us mundanes... :-)

Fifty-three years ago I first fired-up on HF with a
1 KW transmitter running RTTY. My "first" really big
HF transmission. :-)

Didn't get trained in "CW" by the Army, didn't have to
use "CW" to transmit on HF or VHF or UHF for the next
three years...the middle year involving responsibility
of running a team of operators manning 36 to 40
transmitters. No license required. Perfectly legal.
Never needed nor used "CW" since on frequencies that
ranged from LF on up to 25 GHz, not even needed on HF
last year in operating an SGC 2020 from a boat in a
marina.

But, to do AMATEUR radio operation below 30 MHz, one
MUST need to pass a "CW" test!

1906 thinking in the year 2006. Ptui.

>I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
>I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
>seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.

I use my IEEE e-mail alias. No charge. As a Lifetime
Member I could have taken advantage of it sooner. There
is some spam filtering with that mail alias but not as
much as I hoped.

Miccolis bitterly complains about my PREVIOUS "handles"
and confuses an e-mailing alias with 'screen name." :-)

>Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
>I have.
>
>That's so swell of him.
>
>I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
>tit for tat. No?

The PCTA amateur extra morsemen rationalize that as "providing
a SERVICE." :-)

Whatever the PCTA amateur extra morsemen do is 'quitefine.'

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4266. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:02:18 UTC
From: The God of Odd Statements <godofodd@statements.likeyours>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.29.22.02.14.805203@statements.likeyours>
Source record: 170283
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>issue further by squeaking:
>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>> <snip>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>
> Note: STFU, Bruce.

Note: STFU, Bruce.

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5
Demon Lord of Confusion
COOSN-029-06-71069
Supreme High Overlord of rec.radio.*
Chuck Lysaght: Tarred & Feathered!

"Q: What do you call someone in the White House who is honest, caring, and well-read?
A: A tourist." -- Anonymous

"It would be offly hard for any of you to abuse me on usenet. Really. I have the advantage. I could easily turn alt.usenet.kooks into a cesspool of encoded posts. Bringing the noise ratio up so high as to make the group worthless. Anybody who can code could do this, why nobody has bothered before now is beyond me. The ultimate spamming engine.. 'BAWAHAHA'" -- Dustbin "Outer Filth" K00k's delusions of grandeur reached new heights, in Message-ID: <Xns98355D29419B9HHI2948AJD832@69.28.186.121>
"Immorality: The morality of those who are having a better time." -- H. L. Mencken

"Consider that language a moment. 'Purposefully and materially supported hostilities against the United States' is in the eye of the beholder, and this administration has proven itself to be astonishingly impatient with criticism of any kind. The broad powers given to Bush by this legislation allow him to capture, indefinitely detain, and refuse a hearing to any American citizen who speaks out against Iraq or any other part of the so-called 'War on Terror.'

"If you write a letter to the editor attacking Bush, you could be deemed as purposefully and materially supporting hostilities against the United States. If you organize or join a public demonstration against Iraq, or against the administration, the same designation could befall you. One dark-comedy aspect of the legislation is that senators or House members who publicly disagree with Bush, criticize him, or organize investigations into his dealings could be placed under the same designation. In effect, Congress just gave Bush the power to lock them up." -- William Rivers Pitt

"It has become clear in recent months that a critical mass of the American people have seen through the lies of the Bush administration; with the president's polls at an historic low, growing resistance to the war Iraq, and the Democrats likely to take back the Congress in mid-term elections, the Bush administration is on the ropes. And so it is particularly worrying that President Bush has seen fit, at this juncture to, in effect, declare himself dictator." -- Frank Morales
http://www.uruknet.biz/?p=m27769&hd=0&size=1&l=e&fark

"No man in History, including JESUS CHRIST, has directly revealed to the World the SATANIC WEAPON used to enslave mankind -- INTELLECTUAL THOUGHT!!" -- Ray Karczewski repeatedly proves his words in every post he makes

4267. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:12:14 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162159934.383291.27160@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170284

From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 10:27pm

><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>>> [snip]
>>
>> I can't help but think that all engineers, aerospace or civil or
>> otherwise, had to learn Ohm's Law as part of "thier" professional
>> certification. If I am wrong, then shame on the state of American
>> Engineerism, and shame on America. No wonder we're overrun with
>> engineers from India, Pakistan, China and Russia.
>>
>Mechanical engineers don't have a need for Ohm's law. They go hire the
>electrical engineers.

Really? That's NOT been my experience over the last half
century in the Los Angeles Aerospace Industry. I've NEVER
been hired by any mechanical engineers...the final interview
before a hiring okay has ALWAYS been done by EEs.

>Aerospace engineering is a branch of mechanical
>engineering (we don't get to drop the lesser terms in the equations since
>they have a significant impact for our field).

Really? Rocketdyne (my last big corporate employer) makes
the SSME (Space Shuttle Main Engine). A rocket motor (simple)
might need a spark plug or other igniter to start it up (if
not using hypergolic fuel). However, each SSME has a STRAP-
ON COMPUTER, primarily to regulate the liquid oxygen flow.
Can't use a conventional flowmeter...the LOX just eats them
up (rapid oxidation from pure oxygen). Since the SSME is
throttleable there's a wide range of variables involved,
something that can only be solved in real time by a computer.
Computer was designed and built by Minneapolis-Honeywell and
is probably the MOST robust computer ever made. Perhaps you
want to argue that Rocketdyne is "not" involved in aerospace
engineering? [feel free, but you would be WRONG]

If you go a bit north of Rocketdyne in Canoga Park, CA, you
would reach Hughes Aircraft Missle Division. Nice place.
I worked there when Ramo-Wooldridge occupied that facility.
Stouffers ran both the RW and HAC cafeteria, good good food.
Is the Phoenix air-air missle considered part of "aerospace?"
I'd say so, and thousands of other engineers would say so.

However, for a missle there is a STRONG interplay between
the tin benders and solder slingers to get an optimum
package with the most bang for its buck...and get it to the
target RELIABLY. HAC has had an excellent record in air-air
misslery, beginning with their first, the GAR-1 and GAR-2
(launched from F-102s, Shrub's NG plane). Air-air missles
NEED little computers on board along with air data sensors
and control acuators to do their task. A mechanical who
specializes in aerodynamics is certainly needed but those
would be out of a job without the electronics specialist
working side-by-side.

Would a satellite or space probe work without solar cells?
[only for a short time] Solar cells are ELECTRICAL things,
charging up the internal batteries (another electrical
thing) to keep the payload (electronics) working. Feel
free to go out to JPL and tell them "aerospace is all
mechanical engineering." :-)

I could expand on avionics...stuff that acquires and tracks
targets (military) or guides aircraft (military and civilian)
or does "fly-by-wire" (control surface acuation via electrical
coupling from manual controls). Absolutely needed in the
high-performance aircraft of today. But, you say that is
due to "aerospace being all mechanical engineering?" No.
Have you seen the "glass cockpit" of today's aircraft?
Gone are the mechanical and aerodynamic gauges, replaced
with flat-screen LCD and Plasma displays operated through
microprocessors from sensors with no moving parts.

>Again we go hire the electrical engineers.

Nonsense.

>Same with civil and structural engineers.

More nonsense. "Civil engineers?" Building rigid
airships? :-)

>On the
>other hand electrical engineers generally do not study basic pressure vessal
>theory but go hire the mechanical engineers for that.

I might have had some past jobs that made me a 'vassal' but
at Rocketdyne I never had any responsibility for pressure
VESSLES. That was for the smoke-and-fire guys to do. :-)

By the way, the almost-catastrophy of the Apollo 13 mission
was a LOX tank blowing up in the Service Module. Specifially
it was failure of the LOX stirring thermostate within it, a
design responsibility of mechanicals with thermodynamics
specialty. :-) [one of three VESSLES holding LOX in the
Service Module]

>> Learning Oh,'s Law for a hobby is one thing, but a professional
>> engineer........
>>
>Again it depends on the field. We all studied common areas such as calculus
>and fast fourier transforms but items unique to a field generally were not
>taught across the board.

Tsk, tsk. Bad school. Sit in corner. :-)

>We didn't study Ohms law and the electrical
>engineers didn't study cantilever beam theory.

Really? "Beam theory" (cantilever and others) was a REQUISITE
in southern California colleges; most instructors prolly
couldn't hack the basic electrical stuff anyway. Ever look into
a Texas Instruments 'DLP' IC? CANTILEVER BEAM MOVEMENT of the
micromachined mirrors does every single lil' pixel in that IC.
TI has a virtual monopoly on the DLP for very large screen
DTV displays.

One need not use 'cantilever beam theory' to design a
horizontal ham antenna (such as a parasitic beam)...just
go out and BUY one, ready-made, some-assembly-required,
then watch it fall down in the next big windstorm. :-)

As far as actual KNOWLEDGE gained, a 'degree' has LITTLE
value except in the eyes of personnel departments and
department managers (the ones who think they can run people
but sure don't know how to run the equipment). I finally
got one...LONG AFTER the fact of having quite a bit of
design responsibility and a whole heaping gob of experience.
Personally, I feel mine is a negative worth due to lots of
LOST time attending 'requisite' classes...just so a few
instructors could write down I passed their courses and a
few others in a college (or university) could rubber-stamp
a 'sheepskin.'

The point is BEING ABLE TO DO THE JOB, not the number of
diplomas (suitable for framing) on display, or the number
of alphabetic characters one can put after a signature.

Does anyone NEED a radio license to effectively run,
repair, maintain, calibrate, test a radio transmitter? NO.
The license is a LEGAL requirement. The TEST for any radio
license, amateur or commercial, is ridiculously SIMPLE, and
has NEVER been made complex or comprehensive by the
FCC. It is an AUTHORIZATION by a government agency,
NOT a "qualification". It might as well be a fancy hunting
or fishing license.

However, the FCC regulations for radio amateurs is strict
on technical performance, a responsibility for EACH

licensee. Can you do any sort of comprehensive test to insure compliance with the LAW? I can. I could long before any degree was received.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4268. NIM bsuters say wismen dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:17:01 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8954gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 170285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

so he's not in jial but in a morg insted.
http://nimbusters.com/forum/read.php?board=8&id=179861

4269. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:51:58 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P96dnfnbcIQQrdjYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

hjsjms@cs.com wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
> possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
> with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
> world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
> younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
> of communicating with people from around the world.
>

Amateur Radio is about much more than "communicating with people from around the world".

Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning about sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation, learning about some electronics, learning about digital communication techniques, learning about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves, learning about wide band tv systems, learning about narrow band tv systems, learning about ... [you complete the phrase].

If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to talk around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an Amateur Radio operator [make a commitment to LEARN].

/s/ DD, W1MCE

4270. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 22:53:09 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P96dnfjbcIROrdjYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

SNIPPED
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you trying to say?

4271. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-29 23:12:10 UTC
From: Merlin-7 KI4ILB <merlin-7@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eza1h.1335$nG1.499@tornado.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 170288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

You forgot the most important part....
How about helping out the rest of the world in emergencies?

After any disaster, the first comunications out of the effected area comes
from hams. NOHA gets a lot of there hurricane information from hams in the
affected area. Sometimes while the ham op is in the middle of it.

When all else fails...we are there!!!!
Been there, done that
Joe

>
> SNIPPED
> >
> > Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> > increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
> > possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
> > with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
> > world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
> > younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
> > of communicating with people from around the world.
> >
>
> Amateur Radio is about much more than "communicating with people from
around the
> world".
>
> Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning
about
> sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation, learning
about
> some electronics, learning about digital communication techniques,
learning
> about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves, learning about wide band
tv
> systems, learning about narrow band tv systems, learning about ... [you
complete
> the phrase].
>
> If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to
talk
> around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an Amateur
Radio
> operator [make a commitment to LEARN].

>
> /s/ DD, W1MCE
>

4272. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:16:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s1hak25apti8t9qha8u5537upamjoepuju@4ax.com>
Source record: 170289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:53:09 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>SNIPPED
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you trying to say?
what ever I like
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4273. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:17:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <v2hak21i6g62k7ss791ts784cldhetgfsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 170290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:51:58 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
>SNIPPED
>>
>> Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
>> increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
>> possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
>> with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
>> world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
>> younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
>> of communicating with people from around the world.
>>
>
>Amateur Radio is about much more than "communicating with people from around the
>world".
>
>Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning about
>sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation, learning about
>some electronics, learning about digital communication techniques, learning
>about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves, learning about wide band tv
>systems, learning about narrow band tv systems, learning about ... [you complete
>the phrase].
I notice none of the things you list is Morse Code
>
>If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to talk
>around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an Amateur Radio
>operator [make a commitment to LEARN].
>
>/s/ DD, W1MCE
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4274. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:18:56 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r5hak25u9knq5ncsufgpq1vrcvdv2g9qo3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 23:17:01 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
>so he's not in jial but in a morg insted.
>http://nimbusters.com/forum/read.php?board=8&id=179861
althought Frankly I would be releaved to learn this forgery was a
conveyance of turth I tend to doubt it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4275. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:26:26 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162167986.468652.223110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170292

From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am

><hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

>No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly proficient.
>I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest is a recipe for
>failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When I first started cw
>contesting, I had to listen to the station many times through picking out
>their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges before throwing in my
>call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to get the balance of the
>exchange. But it worked.
>
>If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it, that's
>sad but that's their problem.

Never been a problem to me.

I can't see any personal enjoyment in "contesting," using
kilodollars worth of equipment just to accumulate the most
radio contacts in a short period of time. But, if that's
your Thing, go for it.

I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
thing to hop all over some small band and making
transitory contact with some individual one will probably
never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)

The FCC has nothing on "contesting," doesn't require it of
any licensee.

>> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>
>While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low
>activity levels.

You don't hear them so they don't exist?!?

>When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call
>signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and I've
>only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is that?
>They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they don't use

>them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so on.

Tsk. VHF-UHF is LOS stuff regularly, sometimes "DX" when
there are atmospheric inversion layers for ducting or other
weird effects.

Did it ever occur to you that OTHER people on ham bands
are NOT really into 'contesting?" Maybe they LIKE to get
to know the other party on a radio circuit?

>The ITU has a standard definition of what constitutes International Morse
>Code that is sufficient for the purpose.

It's a date-update of an old CCITT *TELEGRAM* standard.

Would you like a copy? :-)

IT DOES NOT SPECIFY WORD *RATE*!

>The FCC doesn't need to define it.
>They say we must pass the International Morse Code.

The FCC *references* the CCITT-ITU document in
Definitions.

The FCC does NOT LEGALLY DEFINE word rate.

Sunnuvagun.

Now, if the FCC ever gets the 2004 "Omnibus" R&O published
in the Federal Register, we will see if they bothered to
update the old CCITT document to the current ITU document.

:-)

>Most engineering jobs do not require that one even have a PE license or
>registration or whatever they call it these days.

'Professional Engineer' is a STATE license thing. Requirements
vary between states, but not a great deal.

The state PE license is a nice LEGAL thing because the LEGAL
system is set up to recognize it.

Corporations and businesses who DO THE WORK are less interested
in the number of diplomas and licenses one has...they want
people who can DO THE WORK. If they can DO THE WORK, they are
paid accordingly. Getting PAID for services rendered IS a
legally-acceptible definition of 'professional' activity. Ergo,
an engineer who does engineering work, has engineering
responsibility, and CAN DO THE WORK is generally referred to as
a professional. Really.

>> So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
>> amateur licensing?
>

>No I did not say that. I believe that they do belong in the licensing setup
>as again for amateur radio, they are basics of the field. Just because my
>usage of them is low doesn't mean they don't belong there.

But, but, but...an amateur MUST learn morse code?!? :-)

Lots of amateurs tossed their code keys, had "key burial"
ceremonies after getting their license, and continued to
have fun as licensed amateurs.

There's lots and lots of hypocrisy running around loose in
there... :-(

>One needs to
>learn the basics as they don't yet know what direction their hobby will take
>them. Learning the basics helps them decide which and when or if they want
>to further explore various branches of amateur radio.

In crowded, congested ham bands it would seem mo' bettah
to LEARN how to maintain, repair, calibrate their radios.
The FCC has lots technical requirements on radios which
licensees are REQUIRED to obey.

Not to worry. The ready-built designer-manufacturers of
today's ham radios have done all the ADVANCED work for you.
No need to sweat actually LEARNING some beyond-basic
knowledge. Just plug it in and go. You can read the
operating manual as you go along.

You keep stressing the NEED to do radiotelegraphy. I don't
see it. The rest of the world isn't stressing any of that
"CW" need...they just gave up on morse code.

>Similarly, there were several courses I took as part of the basics of
>engineering but seldom used. I've never done fast fourier transforms in my
>work as my career did not go that direction. I've rarely used calculus. On
>the other hand, I spent a significant chunk of my career (12 years out of 33
>years) writing engineering software using Fortran and later Visual Basic.

Sunnuvagun! In 1973 I managed to access the RCA corporate
mainframe to do my first FORTRAN coding. I got the basics
from Dan McCracken's large softcover on Programming in
FORTRAN IV. 33 years ago! Took me only about three months
(of my own time) to get acquainted with FORTRAN...was much
more difficult 'selling' the group bean counter to get
access. By 1975 I had 6 programs in the RCA Central
Software Library that I'd written and debugged. Wasn't all
that hard.

Oh, and Dartmouth BASIC was already in industry use 33
years ago. Visual Basic hasn't been out near that long.
Power Basic for Windows 8 is the present package I have,
sufficiently like FORTRAN to make an easy transition.

Computer programming is NOT for everyone. Some haven't got the aptitude for it. Programming does NOT teach one how to MAKE a computer, just how to USE it in ONE kind of application. [like morse proficiency is "supposed to make one a good radio operator" but doesn't teach squat in how a radio works or how to fix one]

What is much better for radio amateurs *OR* just radio and electronics hobbyists in regards to basic theory knowledge is using a SPICE program set. Linear Technology Corp. has made a modern SPICE program set absolutely FREE, just download it at www.linear.com. "LTSpice/SwitcherCAD." Use the Search box at the home page. The single download is an automatically-unpacking .EXE file, just run it and it installs by itself. It's got a fairly simple Schematic drawing feature that automatically generates Netlists. A fair selection of common active device models is supplied in its Library. Only for Windows OS up to XP as far as I know.

SPICE program packages *ALL* take some time on the learning curve. The lovely part of them is that they do NOT require parts, NO workbench, NO test equipment. At first they are frustrating in a large amount of program commands and conventions that must be observed. Once over that hump, they can be marvelous instruction machines in allowing quick changes of a circuit to see the effect on Transient (time-domain) or Linear AC (frequency-domain) response. They can handle simple, medium, or large scale circuits...anything from just an R-C network to fancy oscillators to complex filters, passive or active.

In working on a "SPICE bench" there is a subtle input to the mind. The pathways there are opened to first understand the interrelationships of components in a circuit...and what those components are made of, electrically. Once those pathways are opened, it becomes easier to understand the more complex theory behind the circuitry. All that can be done without lots of expensive (or cheap) parts, no danger of "burning out" something, no smoke and fire. :-)

"All electronics works by smoke. If the smoke leaks out, it won't work." - anon.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4276. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:31:02 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162168262.044112.162630@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170293

From: M...@kb9rqz.aprs on Sun, Oct 29 2006 1:50 pm

>On 29 Oct 2006 13:43:20 -0800, "LenAnder...@ieee.org"
><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote:
>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Oct 29 2006 6:32am
>>>Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>>> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>
>>>> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>
>>>> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>>>> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
>
>>>Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
>>>so very simple?
>
>>>Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
>>>after basic training?
>
>> Heh heh heh...I can't wait to see Dee's answer on that! :-)
>
>she choose to duck it

I expected that. Next in line is good old Jimmie Noserve. :-)

>>>> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up and
>>>> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
>
>>>Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?
>
>> Gosh, from what I've seen, DATA on ham bands is a lot like
>> the old computer-modem comms by wireline! Sort of like the
>> Internet and USENET access now. Maybe Dee just get 'bored'
>> easily?
>
>and of course what bores HER MUST bore the rest of us

There ya go!

What was late Marie Antoinnette saying about cake? :-)

>>>Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
>>>up on code.

>
>> Sunnuvagun! :-)
>
>> Maybe the whole rest of the radio world KNOWS something that the
>> morsepersons don't?
>
>sure they do everybody knows something others don't even the Morse
>People know things they are just too bigg for their keyers

Heh heh heh heh...Morsepersons are SUPERIOR to ordinaries.

The morsepersons had to test for morse code so everyone else
damn well had to test for it too! :-)

Yawn...just another day in RRAP where the SUPERIOR ones look
down their paddles at the mundane mortals...AS IF they were
really superior. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4277. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:50:09 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mLydnWo0BuGi0djYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:53:09 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>>SNIPPED
>>
>>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>
>>Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you trying to say?
>
> what ever I like
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

FLIP answer. Tnx for nothing.

4278. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:53:47 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mLydnWU0BuGE0NjYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

SNIPPED
>>
>>Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning about
>>sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation, learning about
>>some electronics, learning about digital communication techniques, learning
>>about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves, learning about wide band tv
>>systems, learning about narrow band tv systems, learning about ... [you complete
>>the phrase].
>
> I notice none of the things you list is Morse Code
>
>>If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to talk
>>around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an Amateur Radio
>>operator [make a commitment to LEARN].
>>
>>/s/ DD, W1MCE
>
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

I can still put 18-20 wpm on paper [with arthritis in fingers] and read 25+ wpm.

What is your skill level?

4279. Re: "obviously moirse fails to improve your abilitesi as an all round comicator"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:04 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <l3c1h.1618$zf.1078@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon> wrote in news:du3ko.luq.19.1@news.alt.net:

> So says my new, best friend, Mark Morgan soon to be General Class
> licensee...
>
> But first, he has that small stumblng block to step over. "moirse" code.

The FCC is coming and they're going to remove that nasty ol stumbling
block.

Fools Rejoice!

SC

4280. Re: 10months of the Stevei and lawsuit lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <L3c1h.1620$zf.587@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170297

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162140159.317096.37480@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> on dec 28th 2005 Robeson insisted that he was done the filling of a
>> lawsuit against me.
>
>> 10 months and counting
>
> Perhaps on the anniversary we can have an "RRAP/Robesin frivilous
> lawsuit" party on-line?

Isn't that your CB Night?

> I think some chicken flavored crackers with extra sharp cheddar cheese,
> and a bottle of semi-dry Seyval would be nice.

You're only in it for the beer enema's.

> We could play "Hangman" with some of the more rediculous Robesin
> accusations and threats.

Mark hates playing hangman, he can't spell.

SC

4281. CW haters suck.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:08 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.

SC

4282. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:11 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <P3c1h.1623$zf.1239@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:uet9k2lbp0oach1tqljoo02481cq3ceo73@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 18:40:24 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>news:0rr9k29oq19k96egc0ab0tk2el8l371o5b@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 16:51:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>><Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote in message
>>>>news:85j9k21qtlj0p39ea8lhcfjljp2c0kop5g@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 06:34:12 -0500, "Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Jimmie D" <jimmiedee@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:gKZ0h.77053$zF5.55056@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dave" <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:r6WdnaDWGJ5TJKbYnZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@comcast.com...
>>>>>>> David G. Nagel wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> SNIPPED
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Remember what that great American statesmen Winston Churchill
>>>>>>>> once said"
>>>>>>>> We are one people divided by a common language".
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Dave N grandson of a Brit and a Scot
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A M E R I C A N S T A T E S M A N ??????????????????????
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yep, He had his nose in our business enough.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Lest we forget other great statesemen, it will be a mere matter of
>>>>>>minutes
>>>>>>before Mark Morgan steps up to the plate to tell us (in 100 posts or

>>>>>>more)
>>>>>>that he majored in statesmanship while obtaining one of his many
>>>>>>alleged degrees.
>>>>> an obvious lie Chris or Lloyd or bell like your current nick the
>>>>> statemeent is dishonest
>>>>>> What else can one expect from a former Colonel, Geophysicist, and
>>>>>>all around authority on every topic from Programming to Morse Code
>>>>>>to Bisexuality?
>>>>> no former about it
>>>>>>The guy is a veritable fountain of endless knowledge.
>>>>>
>>>>> thank you are now poccessing the beginings of wisdom
>>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So, will you now STFU?
>>> why would I? Tom you are not making sense but that is par for the
>>> course
>>>>
>>
>>Can't you see you've won, Mark?
> won what?
>> It's all over.
> hardly
>> You've made us understand the
>>genius that you are. The mere fact that you are the most intelligent one
>>here should surely be enough to cause you to step aside and let us
>>imbeciles argue amongst ourselves.
>
> even if true you logic is fucked, and by your own statement you can't
> argue with that one
>
> you re main a coward and lier and jealous tom just get over over it
> and craw back under your rock

You don't make any sense Mark in the Dark. If ever there was a reason for
people to see why we need a code requirement, it's you.

SC

4283. Having to learn CW torture.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:12 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Q3c1h.1624$zf.1016@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Having to hear no-codes on HF will be worse than torture.

SC

4284. I heard N9OGL trying to get Omega One back up on 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:16 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U3c1h.1627$zf.659@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I sure hope Todd never has to use a radio to try to save
someones life.

SC

4285. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:19 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <X3c1h.1628$zf.848@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote in
news:1162094165.644149.230040@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Slow Code wrote:
>> >> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>> >>
>> >> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
>> >> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted
>> >> which will help clean up the repeaters.
>> >>
>> >> SC
>> >
>> >Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
>> >
>> >5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
>> >normal physical dexterity.
>> not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
>> of the terms you are using
>> >I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
>> >taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
>> >15 or so years.
>> so?
>> >
>> >At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
>> >weeks.
>>
>> good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
>> license till I gave up
>> >
>> >For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
>> >warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.
>>
>> there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
>> Warc bands)
>> >
>> >When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC
>> >office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
>> >about nervous!

>> >I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
>> >they do) with that for excitement and stress.
>> >When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
>> >taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!
>> >
>> >No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it
>> >cannot compare with the people of Ham Radio.
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
> What is your point.?
>
> I amswered his query in a positive way.
>
> You obviously know there ARE cw portions of bands PLUS a cw only band
> which is OBVIOUSLY what was referred to.
>
> If you could not manage 5wpm in 5 years then you have an unusual
> difficulty, or you were not taking the time to do it and stay with it.
> Remember I said "normal faculties and normal physical dexterity". A
> person with ADD or Dyslexia may not be able to , and others with other
> issues may not. (I know lots of blind guys who are hams, they dont
> think blindness is an impediment to ham radio or the code)
>
> He asked if cw would keep us from Amateur radio. I amswered the
> question indicating I did not think cw was a difficult impediment and
> used examples why from my own experience.

Don't argue with Mark unless you like to argure. Mark will toss out every bit of illogic he can to try to justify his case for being a bad hams, and he wants to let others like himself in so they can tear down the service.

SC

4286. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Y3c1h.1629$zf.593@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Your name <Youremail@email.com> wrote in
news:e7d54$4544fba3$4b75be7f$18720@ALLTEL.NET:

> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739
> @newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>
> well the real easy answer to that question and the only one needed is:
> NO.

Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
lot of Bad people out of ham radio.

SC

4287. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:21 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <Z3c1h.1630$zf.1538@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hjsjms@cs.com wrote in
news:1162152342.205796.259410@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
>> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
>>
>> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
>> >
>>
>>
>> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and
>> start spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do
>> to their limited mental abilities.
>>
>> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
>>
>> SC
>
> Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
> possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
> with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
> world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
> younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
> of communicating with people from around the world.

Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
lot of Bad people of the bands. It's my way or the Freeway.

SC

4288. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:23 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <%3c1h.1631$zf.1535@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
news:P96dnfjbcIROrdjYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
> Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you
> trying to say?

Don't try to figure it out Dave, you'll just get a headache.

SC

4289. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:24 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <04c1h.1632$zf.878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:3dcbabad1b6e.1075257@alvillage.net:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:30:14 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> spake thusly:
>>
>> >It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>> >you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>> >boot camp.
>>
>> Code has nothing to do with "value". A recruit going thru boot camp is
>> a laughable analogy.
>
> We're talking about U.S. regulations. It's kind of odd that a
> Canadian would worry that much about them.

The Canadian's screwed up their country, now they're looking around for
another one to screw up.

SC

4290. Re: Mark in the Darks Crapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <14c1h.1633$zf.489@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170307

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:tqs7k2h9q467tcm9ncb1aq8vjt2giqav9g@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:08:50 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>
>
>>Not only are you a loser, you are delusional as well.
> I am a winner being on the wining side does that

You're an idiot. Being a retard does that.

SC

4291. Re: Mark new (self-appointed) Group Moderator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:26 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <24c1h.1634$zf.115@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtqgq.bbb.17.1@news.alt.net:

> Mark has decided to be the new de-facto group moderator. He thinks that
> he deserves this position due to the sheer volume of his posts alone.
> According to Mark we are all guilty of identity theft, others of us are
> guilty of yet to be named "crimes" and those of us who disagree with him
> are "liers".
>
> Mark will now accuse me of being Steve, or Dave, or Roger, or whoever is
> his accusee du jour, and you may rest assured he will post in bulk.

Mark in the Dark, can't be moderator. He doesn't know anything about
radio.

SC

4292. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:28 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <44c1h.1635$zf.874@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170309
Cross-posted to:
alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Multivitamin Selenium 60" <3999rchge@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote in news:909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
> taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
> liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
> news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
>> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
>> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
>> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
>> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
>> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
>> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
>> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!
>
> I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
> on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
> into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
> rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
> would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
> section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
> arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
> club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of
> fools.

Roger? Is that you?

Welcome back.

SC

4293. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:30 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <64c1h.1637$zf.134@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:u6t7k21t16f3cq6d01dnr98gtnhu18vo9g@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>I can't here it on 6950
>>
>>SC
> he is planing on it if you read his blog he tells you that and I have
> told but you are toobusy making sexaul remarks

No Markie you just go to Todds site to look at Todd's cartoons, you care
nothing for radio or Omega One.

SC

4294. Re: NIM bsuters say wismen dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <74c1h.1638$zf.1221@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
news:3eefjddcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 23:17:01 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> so he's not in jial but in a morg insted.
>> http://nimbusters.com/forum/read.php?board=8&id=179861
>
> Nawww. Woger's not dead. He just smells that way.
>

ROFL

That was good.

SC

4295. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162169735.606865.109780@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162132366.355300.272780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
> >> >
> >> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
> >>
> >> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
> >> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate
> >> software.
> >
> > Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
> > so very simple?
> >
> > Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
> > after basic training?
>
> Beats me. But you know what they say. There's the right way, the wrong way
> and the Army way. I would not presume to pass judgement on their training.
> However it may be that some of the recruits have not yet learned to read a
> schematic and have never operated a soldering iron. I'm quite sure that is
> not part of basic training.

What's to know? Follow the little lines, right? And a soldering
pencil is just another appliance.

> >> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up
> >> and
> >> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
> >
> > Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?
>
> So what if it is boring. That is no reason not to learn it. I suspected
> that digital would end up being boring but since I believe that a person
> should be striving to increase their knowledge and skills, I decided it was
> time to become familiar with this area. Afterall, I might find myself in
> the position of being asked to Elmer someone in this area.

>
> >> On
> >> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
> >> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if
> >> there
> >> is not a test for it.
> >
> > Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
> > up on code.
>
> They have different goals and objectives than amateur radio.

Saving lives and property. Highly disimilar from amateur radio.

> Government
> agencies and commercial business do not have the goal of individual self
> training and experimentation. Comparing amateur radio to
> government/commercial applications is like comparing apples to pomegranates.
> They're both red fruits but there the similarity ends.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

That must be why the GROL exam was lifted from the Amateur Advanced
Exam (minus the amateur rules and CW req't).

4296. Ping Pro-Code Hams, The future looks grim.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <b4c1h.1640$zf.1047@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

When code is eliminated, the floodgates will open and we're all going to
die in a sea of retards.

SC

4297. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <f4c1h.1641$zf.780@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Markie in the Darkie" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1162081836.634673.117810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>> news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>
>
>>
>> Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
>> without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an
>> electronic one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after
>> using the electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.
>
> meaning you can't use CW MANUALY and you demand of me

Markie you blithering idiot. You're against being a knowledgable ham so
bad you have no clue what I was even talking about.

SC

4298. Re: Response to SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <o4c1h.1643$zf.236@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
news:Vo91h.1331$nG1.778@tornado.southeast.rr.com:

> If Slow Code left, I would miss him.
>
> I do not agree with him most of the time. As a matter of fact, he is
> dead
> wrong a lot of the time.
>
> At least he stands up for what he believes in.
>
> I myself, do not think that every ham should have to be able to
> send/copy
> code at 20wpm no more that I think they should be tested on psk,rtty,
> slow scan tv. etc.
>
> Some people have a passion for code and some have a passion for other
> modes. I use them all from time to time, and to be honest, after I move
> next year, I am going to work on my code a bit more when I have the time
> to do so.
>
> However, I will still give him a hard time about his ranting. After all
> I
> have not heard anyone tell him that he is not a real ham because he does
> not have psk nailed down..HI HI
> Joe
> KI4ILB

I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off. I'll
disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by eliminating
the code requirement. When that happens, I'll wear a strip of black
electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe twenty-four hours
of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.

Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.

SC

4299. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:55:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <v4c1h.1644$zf.271@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170316

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote in news:jls7k2p5hll5nchig3aqev13743hjs825t@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Mark in the Dark, When you start accusing people of being me you're
>>asking for trouble.
> not realy
>> People hate me and when you call them me that's an
>>insult to them and they might ask someone in your local radio club to go
>>to your trailer house and slap you.

> steve has asked for that he was told to" go to hell"

Then Steve asked the wrong people. He needed to ask the people you were
having bogus moon bounce contacts with.

SC

4300. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:02 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <C4c1h.1645$zf.690@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
news:262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>>
>> SC
>
> All these people that go out and buy stamps,
> they should be making there own. There seems to be
> a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
> and thinking!
> Mike

You don't cut wood for winter, forage the woods for berries, turn the crank on a model T, build spears to kill a deer, wash clothes in a river, or make candles to light the night, why would you want to make your own stamps? Just collect them, no CW test required.

Try not to drool on the sticky side.

SC

4301. Re: We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:10 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <K4c1h.1646$zf.417@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtj1k.ou2.19.1@news.alt.net:

>
>
> Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
> someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".

If we're all "liers" I wish he would at least spell it correctly. It makes me feel like I'm a prisoner at name calling convention for retards.

SC

4302. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:20 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <U4c1h.1647$zf.747@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162132968.798695.249510@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> I expect you'll say the same thing about the written exam in ten years
>> too.
>>
>> SC
>
> Not me. Jim/N2EY is the one who trotted out that strawman. I guess if
> he can't have amateur radio the way he wants it, he'll make sure it
> becomes a non-technical hobby.

Then let's kick the code requirement back up to somewhere between 13
and 20 WPM for all license classes. Then, even if you're not a technical
ham you at least have a skill.

SC

4303. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <35c1h.1648$zf.456@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162086730.845131.299630@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> news:1162067985.912334.80680@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>> > Ha! Jim insulting Jim.
>>
>> Now you've just insulted Jim, calling him he. LOL
>>
>> SC
>
> Him he who?
>
>

Who's on first...

SC

4304. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:42 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <e5c1h.1649$zf.583@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Mark in the Dark, wrote in
news:ufs7k25292c3a35ihhvli5cdujgs9ilb3m@4ax.com:

> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtfc7.b66.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
> you sure do post your crap fast

Your shit pile is higher Markie. It's so large, you dug a hole in it and
live in it like it was a cave.

Learn CW!

SC

4305. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:56:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <q5c1h.1650$zf.606@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170322

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1162081203.813429.91260@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 5:47pm
>
>><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Slow Code wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
>>>> group anymore.
>>>>
>>> Then the presentation of sound reasoning has been successful.
>>
>>No most of them have left due to the spam created by Mark Morgan, the
>>interminable pontification of Len Anderson, the compulsive responses
>>that some seem to feel that they must post to the spam, the vulgarity of
>>people like Opus, the slamming that people like Slow Code do to those
>>who licensed or will license under the current system and so on.
>
> Mark Morgan is an NCTA.
>
> Len Anderson is an NCTA.
>
> Opus is an NCTA.
>
> We are all "vulgar" BECAUSE we are NCTA? Must be... :-)
>
> Carl Stevenson is an NCTA. He quit posting. Is the
> present head of NCI.
>
> Hans Brakob is a sort-of NCTA even though he IS a morseperson
> of long experience. Hans doesn't post much now.
>
> Cecil Moore, a long-timer, one who DOES "CW" but
> doesn't think the code test should be there. [anyone
> who owns and rides a spiffy Harley is hardly bad...]
> Cecil hangs out in rec.radio.amateur.antenna now.

-Chop-

Sorry to interrupt your gas pain Len. There's still CB for you NCTA types
and if the shoe fits....

Let us have our tradition.

SC

4306. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:57:07 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <D5c1h.1651$zf.1284@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
news:1162136643.419196.106430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

> I think amateur radio is one of the best hobbies ever, and it can also
> serve in an emergency communications roll.

If you had to use CW to save someones life would that person die?

SC

4307. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:57:25 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <V5c1h.1652$zf.276@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170324

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1162167986.468652.223110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>
>><hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>
>>No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly
>>proficient. I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest
>>is a recipe for failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When
>>I first started cw contesting, I had to listen to the station many times
>>through picking out their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges
>>before throwing in my call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to
>>get the balance of the exchange. But it worked.
>>
>>If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it,
>>that's sad but that's their problem.
>
> Never been a problem to me.
>
> I can't see any personal enjoyment in "contesting," using
> kilodollars worth of equipment just to accumulate the most
> radio contacts in a short period of time. But, if that's
> your Thing, go for it.
>
> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
> thing to hop all over some small band and making
> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>
> The FCC has nothing on "contesting," doesn't require it of
> any licensee.
>
>>> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>>
>>While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low
>>activity levels.

>
> You don't hear them so they don't exist?!?
>
>>When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call
>>signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and
>>I've only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is
>>that? They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they
>>don't use them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so
>>on.
>
> Tsk. VHF-UHF is LOS stuff regularly, sometimes "DX" when
> there are atmospheric inversion layers for ducting or other
> weird effects.
>
> Did it ever occur to you that OTHER people on ham bands
> are NOT really into 'contesting?" Maybe they LIKE to get
> to know the other party on a radio circuit?
>
>
>
>>The ITU has a standard definition of what constitutes International
>>Morse Code that is sufficient for the purpose.
>
> It's a date-update of an old CCITT *TELEGRAM* standard.
>
> Would you like a copy? :-)
>
> IT DOES NOT SPECIFY WORD *RATE*!
>
>
>>The FCC doesn't need to define it.
>>They say we must pass the International Morse Code.
>
> The FCC *references* the CCITT-ITU document in
> Definitions.
>
> The FCC does NOT LEGALLY DEFINE word rate.
>
> Sunnuvagun.
>
> Now, if the FCC ever gets the 2004 "Omnibus" R&O published
> in the Federal Register, we will see if they bothered to
> update the old CCITT document to the current ITU document.
>
> :-)
>
>
>
>>Most engineering jobs do not require that one even have a PE license or
>>registration or whatever they call it these days.

>
> 'Professional Engineer' is a STATE license thing. Requirements
> vary between states, but not a great deal.
>
> The state PE license is a nice LEGAL thing because the LEGAL
> system is set up to recognize it.
>
> Corporations and businesses who DO THE WORK are less interested
> in the number of diplomas and licenses one has...they want
> people who can DO THE WORK. If they can DO THE WORK, they are
> paid accordingly. Getting PAID for services rendered IS a
> legally-acceptible definition of 'professional' activity. Ergo,
> an engineer who does engineering work, has engineering
> responsibility, and CAN DO THE WORK is generally referred to as
> a professional. Really.
>
>
>
>>> So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
>>> amateur licensing?
>>
>>No I did not say that. I believe that they do belong in the licensing
>>setup as again for amateur radio, they are basics of the field. Just
>>because my usage of them is low doesn't mean they don't belong there.
>
> But, but, but...an amateur MUST learn morse code?!? :-)
>
> Lots of amateurs tossed their code keys, had "key burial"
> ceremonies after getting their license, and continued to
> have fun as licensed amateurs.
>
> There's lots and lots of hypocrisy running around loose in
> there... :-(
>
>
>>One needs to
>>learn the basics as they don't yet know what direction their hobby will
>>take them. Learning the basics helps them decide which and when or if
>>they want to further explore various branches of amateur radio.
>
> In crowded, congested ham bands it would seem mo' bettah
> to LEARN how to maintain, repair, calibrate their radios.
> The FCC has lots technical requirements on radios which
> licensees are REQUIRED to obey.
>
> Not to worry. The ready-built designer-manufacturers of
> today's ham radios have done all the ADVANCED work for you.
> No need to sweat actually LEARNING some beyond-basic
> knowledge. Just plug it in and go. You can read the
> operating manual as you go along.

>
> You keep stressing the NEED to do radiotelegraphy. I don't
> see it. The rest of the world isn't stressing any of that
> "CW" need...they just gave up on morse code.
>
>
>>Similarly, there were several courses I took as part of the basics of
>>engineering but seldom used. I've never done fast fourier transforms in
>>my work as my career did not go that direction. I've rarely used
>>calculus. On the other hand, I spent a significant chunk of my career
>>(12 years out of 33 years) writing engineering software using Fortran
>>and later Visual Basic.
>
> Sunnuvagun! In 1973 I managed to access the RCA corporate
> mainframe to do my first FORTRAN coding. I got the basics
> from Dan McCracken's large softcover on Programming in
> FORTRAN IV. 33 years ago! Took me only about three months
> (of my own time) to get acquainted with FORTRAN...was much
> more difficult 'selling' the group bean counter to get
> access. By 1975 I had 6 programs in the RCA Central
> Software Library that I'd written and debugged. Wasn't all
> that hard.
>
> Oh, and Dartmouth BASIC was already in industry use 33
> years ago. Visual Basic hasn't been out near that long.
> Power Basic for Windows 8 is the present package I have,
> sufficiently like FORTRAN to make an easy transition.
>
> Computer programming is NOT for everyone. Some haven't got
> the aptitude for it. Programming does NOT teach one how to
> MAKE a computer, just how to USE it in ONE kind of
> application. [like morse proficiency is "supposed to make
> one a good radio operator" but doesn't teach squat in how
> a radio works or how to fix one]
>
> What is much better for radio amateurs *OR* just radio
> and electronics hobbyists in regards to basic theory
> knowledge is using a SPICE program set. Linear
> Technology Corp. has made a modern SPICE program set
> absolutely FREE, just download it at www.linear.com.
> "LTSpice/SwitcherCAD." Use the Search box at the home
> page. The single download is an automatically-
> unpacking .EXE file, just run it and it installs by
> itself. It's got a fairly simple Schematic drawing
> feature that automatically generates Netlists. A fair
> selection of common active device models is supplied
> in its Library. Only for Windows OS up to XP as far
> as I know.
>
> SPICE program packages *ALL* take some time on the

> learning curve. The lovely part of them is that they
> do NOT require parts, NO workbench, NO test equipment.
> At first they are frustrating in a large amount of
> program commands and conventions that must be observed.
> Once over that hump, they can be marvelous instruction
> machines in allowing quick changes of a circuit to see
> the effect on Transient (time-domain) or Linear AC
> (frequency-domain) response. They can handle simple,
> medium, or large scale circuits...anything from just
> an R-C network to fancy oscillators to complex filters,
> passive or active.
>
> In working on a "SPICE bench" there is a subtle input
> to the mind. The pathways there are opened to first
> understand the interrelationships of components in a
> circuit...and what those components are made of,
> electrically. Once those pathways are opened, it
> becomes easier to understand the more complex theory
> behind the circuitry. All that can be done without
> lots of expensive (or cheap) parts, no danger of
> "burning out" something, no smoke and fire. :-)
>
> "All electronics works by smoke. If the smoke leaks
> out, it won't work." - anon.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

There's a product at the drug store you might want to try: Gas-X. It should be pretty close to the 'Depends' isle you're familiar with.

SC

4308. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 00:57:40 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <86c1h.1654$zf.1104@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170325

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1162168262.044112.162630@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

>
> Heh heh heh heh...Morsepersons are SUPERIOR to ordinaries.

Salute us when you say that.

> The morsepersons had to test for morse code so everyone else
> damn well had to test for it too! :-)

Yes, because we'd rather work ambishes ops than lazy no code ones...
errr, I mean lazy no good ones.

> Yawn...just another day in RRAP where the SUPERIOR ones look
> down their paddles at the mundane mortals...AS IF they were
> really superior. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Wheeew! Starting to get a bit gassy again at the end there.

SC

4309. Re: Response to SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:00:13 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0_ednf6_o_oG09jYnZ2dnUVZ_tednZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Top posted ... opps!

Please, why don't you try 24 years of r.r.a.X silence instead of 24 hours of fictitious promises.

Slow Code wrote:

> "Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
> news:Vo91h.1331$nG1.778@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>
>
>> If Slow Code left, I would miss him.
>>
>> I do not agree with him most of the time. As a matter of fact, he is
>> dead
>>wrong a lot of the time.
>>
>> At least he stands up for what he believes in.
>>
>> I myself, do not think that every ham should have to be able to
>> send/copy
>>code at 20wpm no more that I think they should be tested on psk,rtty,
>>slow scan tv. etc.
>>
>> Some people have a passion for code and some have a passion for other
>>modes. I use them all from time to time, and to be honest, after I move
>>next year, I am going to work on my code a bit more when I have the time
>>to do so.
>>
>> However, I will still give him a hard time about his ranting. After all
>> I
>>have not heard anyone tell him that he is not a real ham because he does
>>not have psk nailed down..HI HI
>> Joe
>> KI4ILB
>
>
>
> I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
> until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off. I'll
> disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by eliminating
> the code requirement. When that happens, I'll wear a strip of black
> electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe twenty-four hours
> of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.

>
> Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.
>
> SC

4310. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:20:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jqkak29m57d6mhirmcupebmuqksq7jo6q3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 19:50:09 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:53:09 -0500, Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>>
>>>SNIPPED
>>>
>>>>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>
>>>Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you trying to say?
>>
>> what ever I like
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
>FLIP answer. Tnx for nothing.
well when you ask a flip question what do you expect
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4311. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:20:55 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <crkak29n397bb604iurumlmad7qmnbfo87@4ax.com>
Source record: 170328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:23 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:P96dnfjbcIROrdjYnZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>> Mark, this is one of many references to your blogspot. What are you
>> trying to say?
>
>
>Don't try to figure it out Dave, you'll just get a headache.
back for your evening crap I see
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4312. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:21:31 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bskak29gr3k915hlab691bue18aq8m1qhn@4ax.com>
Source record: 170329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Your name <Youremail@email.com> wrote in
>news:e7d54$4544fba3$4b75be7f$18720@ALLTEL.NET:
>
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:76c0h.19656$UG4.15739
>> @newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> well the real easy answer to that question and the only one needed is:
>> NO.
>
>
>Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
>lot of Bad people out of ham radio.
no it ishas let too many BAD people in like yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4313. Re: Question for the group. Mainly new hams.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:22:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5tkak2hbji9hm9qiru1aae07m0vh7q1dd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Markie in the Darkie" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in
>news:1162081836.634673.117810@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Chuck Harris <cf-NO-SPAM-harris@erols.com> wrote in
>>> news:18SdncXAx4hSK9_YnZ2dnUVZ_tydnZ2d@rcn.net:
>>>
>>
>>>
>>> Once you master the electronic key you'll wonder how you ever got by
>>> without one. I used a straight key for years and then got an
>>> electronic one. It made code more fun. I tried a bug once, but after
>>> using the electronic key I lost my timing on the side to side stuff.
>>
>> meaning you can't use CW MANUALY and you demand of me
>
>
>Markie you blithering idiot.
I can sign my name and I can clla other by there name you can do
neither
> You're against being a knowledgable ham so
>bad you have no clue what I was even talking about.

I know exactly what you are talking about you have stated you don't
use manaul Morse Code at all
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4314. Re: It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:23:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3vkak2lqtbdqmn02e8mv5ri2qpvvs6142b@4ax.com>
Source record: 170331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:24 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
>news:3dcbabad1b6e.1075257@alvillage.net:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:30:14 GMT, Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 16:51:34 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> spake thusly:
>>>
>>> >It's all about proving that you value a ham license so much that
>>> >you'll do some hard work to get it, much like a recruit going through
>>> >boot camp.
>>>
>>> Code has nothing to do with "value". A recruit going thru boot camp is
>>> a laughable analogy.
>>
>> We're talking about U.S. regulations. It's kind of odd that a
>> Canadian would worry that much about them.
>
>
>The Canadian's screwed up their country, now they're looking around for
>another one to screw up.
help with the goals of the ars in re promoting good relations turn in
your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4315. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:24:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <02lak2tuj74s9pn4uujbn9ftg0rog75u42@4ax.com>
Source record: 170332

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:57:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1162167986.468652.223110@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>>
>>><hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>>
>>>No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly
>>>proficient. I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest
>>>is a recipe for failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When
>>>I first started cw contesting, I had to listen to the station many times
>>>through picking out their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges
>>>before throwing in my call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to
>>>get the balance of the exchange. But it worked.
>>>
>>>If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it,
>>>that's sad but that's their problem.
>>
>> Never been a problem to me.
>>
>> I can't see any personal enjoyment in "contesting," using
>> kilodollars worth of equipment just to accumulate the most
>> radio contacts in a short period of time. But, if that's
>> your Thing, go for it.
>>
>> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
>> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
>> thing to hop all over some small band and making
>> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
>> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
>> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>>
>> The FCC has nothing on "contesting," doesn't require it of
>> any licensee.
>>
>>>> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>>>

>>>While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low
>>>activity levels.
>>
>> You don't hear them so they don't exist?!?
>>
>>>When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call
>>>signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and
>>>I've only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is
>>>that? They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they
>>>don't use them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so
>>>on.
>>
>> Tsk. VHF-UHF is LOS stuff regularly, sometimes "DX" when
>> there are atmospheric inversion layers for ducting or other
>> weird effects.
>>
>> Did it ever occur to you that OTHER people on ham bands
>> are NOT really into 'contesting?" Maybe they LIKE to get
>> to know the other party on a radio circuit?
>>
>>
>>
>>>The ITU has a standard definition of what constitutes International
>>>Morse Code that is sufficient for the purpose.
>>
>> It's a date-update of an old CCITT *TELEGRAM* standard.
>>
>> Would you like a copy? :-)
>>
>> IT DOES NOT SPECIFY WORD *RATE*!
>>
>>
>>>The FCC doesn't need to define it.
>>>They say we must pass the International Morse Code.
>>
>> The FCC *references* the CCITT-ITU document in
>> Definitions.
>>
>> The FCC does NOT LEGALLY DEFINE word rate.
>>
>> Sunnuvagun.
>>
>> Now, if the FCC ever gets the 2004 "Omnibus" R&O published
>> in the Federal Register, we will see if they bothered to
>> update the old CCITT document to the current ITU document.
>>
>> :-)
>>
>>
>>

>>>Most engineering jobs do not require that one even have a PE license or
>>>registration or whatever they call it these days.
>>
>> 'Professional Engineer' is a STATE license thing. Requirements
>> vary between states, but not a great deal.
>>
>> The state PE license is a nice LEGAL thing because the LEGAL
>> system is set up to recognize it.
>>
>> Corporations and businesses who DO THE WORK are less interested
>> in the number of diplomas and licenses one has...they want
>> people who can DO THE WORK. If they can DO THE WORK, they are
>> paid accordingly. Getting PAID for services rendered IS a
>> legally-acceptible definition of 'professional' activity. Ergo,
>> an engineer who does engineering work, has engineering
>> responsibility, and CAN DO THE WORK is generally referred to as
>> a professional. Really.
>>
>>
>>
>>>> So you do use ohm's law and theory, you just don't think it belongs in
>>>> amateur licensing?
>>>
>>>No I did not say that. I believe that they do belong in the licensing
>>>setup as again for amateur radio, they are basics of the field. Just
>>>because my usage of them is low doesn't mean they don't belong there.
>>
>> But, but, but...an amateur MUST learn morse code?!? :-)
>>
>> Lots of amateurs tossed their code keys, had "key burial"
>> ceremonies after getting their license, and continued to
>> have fun as licensed amateurs.
>>
>> There's lots and lots of hypocrisy running around loose in
>> there... :-(
>>
>>
>>>One needs to
>>>learn the basics as they don't yet know what direction their hobby will
>>>take them. Learning the basics helps them decide which and when or if
>>>they want to further explore various branches of amateur radio.
>>
>> In crowded, congested ham bands it would seem mo' bettah
>> to LEARN how to maintain, repair, calibrate their radios.
>> The FCC has lots technical requirements on radios which
>> licensees are REQUIRED to obey.
>>
>> Not to worry. The ready-built designer-manufacturers of
>> today's ham radios have done all the ADVANCED work for you.
>> No need to sweat actually LEARNING some beyond-basic

>> knowledge. Just plug it in and go. You can read the
>> operating manual as you go along.
>>
>> You keep stressing the NEED to do radiotelegraphy. I don't
>> see it. The rest of the world isn't stressing any of that
>> "CW" need...they just gave up on morse code.
>>
>>
>>>Similarly, there were several courses I took as part of the basics of
>>>engineering but seldom used. I've never done fast fourier transforms in
>>>my work as my career did not go that direction. I've rarely used
>>>calculus. On the other hand, I spent a significant chunk of my career
>>>(12 years out of 33 years) writing engineering software using Fortran
>>>and later Visual Basic.
>>
>> Sunnuvagun! In 1973 I managed to access the RCA corporate
>> mainframe to do my first FORTRAN coding. I got the basics
>> from Dan McCracken's large softcover on Programming in
>> FORTRAN IV. 33 years ago! Took me only about three months
>> (of my own time) to get acquainted with FORTRAN...was much
>> more difficult 'selling' the group bean counter to get
>> access. By 1975 I had 6 programs in the RCA Central
>> Software Library that I'd written and debugged. Wasn't all
>> that hard.
>>
>> Oh, and Dartmouth BASIC was already in industry use 33
>> years ago. Visual Basic hasn't been out near that long.
>> Power Basic for Windows 8 is the present package I have,
>> sufficiently like FORTRAN to make an easy transition.
>>
>> Computer programming is NOT for everyone. Some haven't got
>> the aptitude for it. Programming does NOT teach one how to
>> MAKE a computer, just how to USE it in ONE kind of
>> application. [like morse proficiency is "supposed to make
>> one a good radio operator" but doesn't teach squat in how
>> a radio works or how to fix one]
>>
>> What is much better for radio amateurs *OR* just radio
>> and electronics hobbyists in regards to basic theory
>> knowledge is using a SPICE program set. Linear
>> Technology Corp. has made a modern SPICE program set
>> absolutely FREE, just download it at www.linear.com.
>> "LTSpice/SwitcherCAD." Use the Search box at the home
>> page. The single download is an automatically-
>> unpacking .EXE file, just run it and it installs by
>> itself. It's got a fairly simple Schematic drawing
>> feature that automatically generates Netlists. A fair
>> selection of common active device models is supplied
>> in its Library. Only for Windows OS up to XP as far
>> as I know.

>>
>> SPICE program packages *ALL* take some time on the
>> learning curve. The lovely part of them is that they
>> do NOT require parts, NO workbench, NO test equipment.
>> At first they are frustrating in a large amount of
>> program commands and conventions that must be observed.
>> Once over that hump, they can be marvelous instruction
>> machines in allowing quick changes of a circuit to see
>> the effect on Transient (time-domain) or Linear AC
>> (frequency-domain) response. They can handle simple,
>> medium, or large scale circuits...anything from just
>> an R-C network to fancy oscillators to complex filters,
>> passive or active.
>>
>> In working on a "SPICE bench" there is a subtle input
>> to the mind. The pathways there are opened to first
>> understand the interrelationships of components in a
>> circuit...and what those components are made of,
>> electrically. Once those pathways are opened, it
>> becomes easier to understand the more complex theory
>> behind the circuitry. All that can be done without
>> lots of expensive (or cheap) parts, no danger of
>> "burning out" something, no smoke and fire. :-)
>>
>> "All electronics works by smoke. If the smoke leaks
>> out, it won't work." - anon.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>There's a product at the drug store you might want to try: Gas-X. It
>should be pretty close to the 'Depends' isle you're familiar with.
insightfull why not try using a bathroom instead of the NG
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4316. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:26:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <e3lak2t3ougvrhi9dm01cua69qsku9v2p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
On 29 Oct 2006 16:55:35 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162132366.355300.272780@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >> >> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
>> >> >
>> >> > Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
>> >>
>> >> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
>> >> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate
>> >> software.
>> >
>> > Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
>> > so very simple?
>> >
>> > Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
>> > after basic training?
>>
>> Beats me. But you know what they say. There's the right way, the wrong way
>> and the Army way. I would not presume to pass judgement on their training.
>> However it may be that some of the recruits have not yet learned to read a
>> schematic and have never operated a soldering iron. I'm quite sure that is
>> not part of basic training.
>
>What's to know? Follow the little lines, right? And a soldering
>pencil is just another appliance.

Morse Code it more important than the rest of the ARS combined
>
>> >> Once I decided to try the digital thing, I made the interface and was up
>> >> and
>> >> running in an hour. After a couple of months, it became rather boring.
>> >
>> > Do you suppose that there are licensed amateurs that find CW boring?
>>
```

>> So what if it is boring. That is no reason not to learn it. I suspected
>> that digital would end up being boring but since I believe that a person
>> should be striving to increase their knowledge and skills, I decided it was
>> time to become familiar with this area. Afterall, I might find myself in
>> the position of being asked to Elmer someone in this area.
>>
>> >> On
>> >> the other hand, code needs to be learned before it can be tried. Many
>> >> people will give up learning before they've had a chance to try it if
>> >> there
>> >> is not a test for it.
>> >
>> > Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
>> > up on code.
>>
>> They have different goals and objectives than amateur radio.
>
>Saving lives and property. Highly disimilar from amateur radio.
yep lives are uonly to be saved in aproved manners
>
>> Government
>> agencies and commercial business do not have the goal of individual self
>> training and experimentation. Comparing amateur radio to
>> government/commercial applications is like comparing apples to pomegranates.
>> They're both red fruits but there the similarity ends.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
>That must be why the GROL exam was lifted from the Amateur Advanced
>Exam (minus the amateur rules and CW req't).
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4317. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:27:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <c7lak2l56q51b8usvrkp1gh1218sbd7giu@4ax.com>
Source record: 170334

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:57:40 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1162168262.044112.162630@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Heh heh heh heh...Morsepersons are SUPERIOR to ordinaries.
>
>
>Salute us when you say that.
>
>
>> The morsepersons had to test for morse code so everyone else
>> damn well had to test for it too! :-)
>
>
>Yes, because we'd rather work ambishes ops than lazy no code ones...
>errr, I mean lazy no good ones.
ambishes?
>
>
>> Yawn...just another day in RRAP where the SUPERIOR ones look
>> down their paddles at the mundane mortals...AS IF they were
>> really superior. :-)
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>Wheeew! Starting to get a bit gassy again at the end there.
>

yes it is SC why don't you try using a bathroom instead of the NG
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4318. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:28:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <h9lak21j2jvnguv5opp5vj5i6pr4fj45aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 170335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:56:42 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:ufs7k25292c3a35ihhvli5cdujgs9ilb3m@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:22 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtfc7.b66.19.1@news.alt.net:
>>
>> you sure do post your crap fast
>
>
>Your shit pile is higher Markie.
hardly
> It's so large, you dug a hole in it and
>live in it like it was a cave.
nope I live a nice that lsowly get larger as I add rooms to it
>
>Learn CW!
no
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4319. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:29:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <palak2ddmn9q5tgnb07427sk6b2huh3pih@4ax.com>
Source record: 170336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:57:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1162136643.419196.106430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
>
>> I think amateur radio is one of the best hobbies ever, and it can also
>> serve in an emergency communications roll.
>
>
>If you had to use CW to save someones life would that person die?
>
no I could do it and BB can too
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4320. Re: Has morse code saved any lives recently?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:30:05 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <oblak211hcuv225njo6s97hqp85at2h4u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:11 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:uet9k2lbp0oach1tqljoo02481cq3ceo73@4ax.com:

>
>You don't make any sense Mark in the Dark. If ever there was a reason for
>people to see why we need a code requirement, it's you.
fuunny hopw you are so affraid me gee Code tested hams are endangered
by little old me
>
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4321. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:31:17 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8dlak29gg0pi97ilpnqctj6j82c87ji5tp@4ax.com>
Source record: 170338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:19 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote in
>news:1162094165.644149.230040@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>> On 27 Oct 2006 21:58:15 -0700, k8vf_mark@centurytel.net wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Slow Code wrote:
>>> >> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.
>>> >>
>>> >> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
>>> >> classes. And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted
>>> >> which will help clean up the repeaters.
>>> >>
>>> >> SC
>>> >
>>> >Ok, I am a traditionalist, sorry.
>>> >
>>> >5wpm should not be an impediment to anyone with normal faculties and
>>> >normal physical dexterity.
>>> not the legal issue and rest is subejct to deabte ifrst on the meaning
>>> of the terms you are using
>>> >I could do 5 wpm at 7 or 8 and I did not know a ham operator...my dad
>>> >taught me after he came home from Army as Radio op. Never used it for
>>> >15 or so years.
>>> so?
>>> >
>>> >At 25 I took a novice class (finally) and was doing over 20wpm in 2-3
>>> >weeks.
>>>
>>> good for you I am OTOH spent 5 years nbot managing 5 wpm for novice
>>> license till I gave up
>>> >
>>> >For anything above the entry license, a code increase would be
>>> >warranted to operate in the best cw bands, maybe.
>>>
>>> there are NO CW only band (except maybe is 12 or 15 or one of those
>>> Warc bands)
>>> >
>>> >When I took my General, Advanced, and Extra I had to do it at the FCC

>>> >office and took and sent code from the Director, Detroit office. Talk
>>> >about nervous!
>>> >I dont think you can compare the V.E.C. experience (as good a job as
>>> >they do) with that for excitement and stress.
>>> >When you came out of the MCNamara Bldg in Detroit, you knew you had
>>> >taken a test. You knew you had earned your ticket!
>>> >
>>> >No, I would not stay on C.B.......as good a service as it is, it
>>> >cannot compare with the people of Ham Radio.
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>> What is your point.?
>>
>> I amswered his query in a positive way.
>>
>> You obviously know there ARE cw portions of bands PLUS a cw only band
>> which is OBVIOUSLY what was referred to.
>>
>> If you could not manage 5wpm in 5 years then you have an unusual
>> difficulty, or you were not taking the time to do it and stay with it.
>> Remember I said "normal faculties and normal physical dexterity". A
>> person with ADD or Dyslexia may not be able to , and others with other
>> issues may not. (I know lots of blind guys who are hams, they dont
>> think blindness is an impediment to ham radio or the code)
>>
>> He asked if cw would keep us from Amateur radio. I amswered the
>> question indicating I did not think cw was a difficult impediment and
>> used examples why from my own experience.
>
>
>Don't argue with Mark unless you like to argure.
well arguement is the point of argueing
> Mark will toss out every
>bit of illogic he can to try to justify his case for being a bad hams, and
>he wants to let others like himself in so they can tear down the service.

I am already in SC you are a bad ham by the staards publish3ed by the
ARRL
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4322. Re: slow code contiues his crapthon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:32:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4glak2l49u3faic9pqn6a8oq86o7c02k1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 170339

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote in news:jls7k2p5hll5nchig3aqev13743hjs825t@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:15 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Mark in the Dark, When you start accusing people of being me you're
>>>asking for trouble.
>> not realy
>>> People hate me and when you call them me that's an
>>>insult to them and they might ask someone in your local radio club to go
>>>to your trailer house and slap you.
>
>> steve has asked for that he was told to" go to hell"
>
>
>Then Steve asked the wrong people.
he asked at least a dozen that have reported his request to me. How
many others I don't know
> He needed to ask the people you were
>having bogus moon bounce contacts with.

Indeed if he wanted results he should have contacted criminals
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4323. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:33:24 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8ilak2lfkqu8qdohhvoi2nit5mjrvi6j20@4ax.com>
Source record: 170340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:56:10 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtj1k.ou2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>>
>>
>> Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
>> someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".
>
>
>If we're all "liers" I wish he would at least spell it correctly.
glad to anoy your futher
>It makes
>me feel like I'm a prisoner at name calling convention for retards.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4324. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:33:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hjlak21797b391botvlkra23l15q57o8n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:30 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:u6t7k21t16f3cq6d01dnr98gtnhu18vo9g@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:07:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>I can't here it on 6950
>>>
>>>SC
>> he is planing on it if you read his blog he tells you that and I have
>> told but you are toobusy making sexaul remarks
>
>
>No Markie you just go to Todds site to look at Todd's cartoons, you care
>nothing for radio or Omega One.
no I don't I neither find them ofensive or interesting
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4325. Re: 10months of the Stevei and lawsuit lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:34:51 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <iklak2lkuh4pckp9rab8bf0k3schrh2qb0@4ax.com>
Source record: 170342

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:07 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>news:1162140159.317096.37480@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>>> on dec 28th 2005 Robeson insisted that he was done the filling of a
>>> lawsuit against me.
>>
>>> 10 months and counting
>>
>> Perhaps on the anniversary we can have an "RRAP/Robesin frivilous
>> lawsuit" party on-line?
>
>Isn't that your CB Night?
I don't have a CB night
>
>
>> I think some chicken flavored crackers with extra sharp cheddar cheese,
>> and a bottle of semi-dry Seyval would be nice.
>
>You're only in it for the beer enema's.
nope but you are obessed with them
>
>
>> We could play "Hangman" with some of the more rediculous Robesin
>> accusations and threats.
>
>
>Mark hates playing hangman, he can't spell.

I don't hate the game but I do rarely play it
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4326. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:35:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3mlak2hh8rg5gcu62qnhuh0gmrmu9n9ep2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:26 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtqgq.bbb.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> Mark has decided to be the new de-facto group moderator. He thinks that
>> he deserves this position due to the sheer volume of his posts alone.
>> According to Mark we are all guilty of identity theft, others of us are
>> guilty of yet to be named "crimes" and those of us who disagree with him
>> are "liers".
>>
>> Mark will now accuse me of being Steve, or Dave, or Roger, or whoever is
>> his accusee du jour, and you may rest assured he will post in bulk.
>
>
>
>Mark in the Dark, can't be moderator. He doesn't know anything about
>radio.
Funny I know as much as you and I am real person
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4327. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:35:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162172143.634128.99720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:
> I can't here it on 6950
>
> SC

We are moving back to 13.556.00 Mhz.

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

4328. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:36:02 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <5nlak25qs06m2qp8usb38jc030i0qa5ca7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:04 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Mark's Best Buddy" <anon@anon> wrote in news:du3ko.luq.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
>> So says my new, best friend, Mark Morgan soon to be General Class
>> licensee...
>>
>> But first, he has that small stumblng block to step over. "moirse" code.
>
>
>
>The FCC is coming and they're going to remove that nasty ol stumbling
>block.
indeed
>
>Fools Rejoice!
the fool is one that refuses to deal with reality
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4329. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:36:24 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3567AF.17362429102006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 170347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <1162152342.205796.259410@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
hjsjms@cs.com wrote:

< Snip off topic crapola >

Quite frankly I'm tired of seeing posts arguing about the amateur code
requirement in rec.radio.shortwave so I'm kill filing everyone in the
thread or any other thread with that subject from now on.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

4330. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:36:40 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dolak2tc447jvf98363g23i9jeclv08pk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:28 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Multivitamin Selenium 60" <3999rchgeï¿½@comcastbitesbobo.net> wrote in
>news:909ba$454504f0$d1cc4556$26352@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:
>
>> ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
>> taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
>> liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
>> news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>> one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
>>> obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
>>> allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
>>> http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
>>> world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
>>> be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
>>> I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
>>> listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!
>>
>> I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
>> on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
>> into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
>> rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
>> would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
>> section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
>> arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
>> club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of
>> fools.
>
>
>Roger? Is that you?
>
only you would want Wismen back shows what you are made of
>Welcome back.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4331. Re: I heard N9OGL trying to get Omega One back up on 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:38:01 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162172281.785137.229070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Slow Code wrote:
> I sure hope Todd never has to use a radio to try to save
> someones life.
>
> SC

Were working on it as we speak

Todd N9OGL
OMEGA ONE RADIO

4332. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:38:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2qlak2djtnersr8v77sddiio75cl4an312@4ax.com>
Source record: 170349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:56:02 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"amdx" <amdx@knology.net> wrote in
>news:262b0$454498ba$45011502$14919@KNOLOGY.NET:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:Xwx0h.349$LI4.140@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> Maybe you people could try stamp collecting.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> All these people that go out and buy stamps,
>> they should be making there own. There seems to be
>> a post office in every city, it just ruins there creativity
>> and thinking!
>> Mike
>
>
>You don't cut wood for winter,
I do
>forage the woods for berries,
no but cherries and aples and other things yes
> turn the
>crank on a model T, build spears to kill a deer, wash clothes in a
>river, or make candles to light the night, why would you want to make
>your own stamps? Just collect them, no CW test required.

why? becuase you are afraid of the No Coder oh not bold brave CWer
afraid of the Nocoders
>
>Try not to drool on the sticky side.
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4333. id theft is acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:39:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <kslak2tqrf3buf6cdkps34588r96vb75ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 170400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in
>news:3eefjddcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net:
>
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 23:17:01 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>>> so he's not in jial but in a morg insted.
>>> http://nimbusters.com/forum/read.php?board=8&id=179861
>>
>> Nawww. Woger's not dead. He just smells that way.
>>
>
>
>ROFL
>
>That was good.
no it was disgusting that you and he (assuming you are not the same
person) make jokes abotu a person dying I will morun and express
relief when I learn of Rogers death
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4334. Re: CW sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:40:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <60mak2pnnetk3qptntlj0iqv5mm55u4edu@4ax.com>
Source record: 170401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:08 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

They just want Mode welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for
quality.

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4335. clean up ham radio SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:41:36 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <91mak2dke0b7f1elkb9oushn7ibjsoh5sd@4ax.com>
Source record: 170402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Having to hear no-codes on HF will be worse than torture.
then trun in your license

in reality you will hardly any change at first when the change goes
through
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4336. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:42:34 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p2mak2p2vagkc3brm9spkbhnpv25l3fcd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:16 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>I sure hope Todd never has to use a radio to try to save
>someones life.
I do to I hope he never needs to. I hope I never need and that
everyone never needs to I do not support putting folks in danger just
to play hero
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4337. SlowcodeCrapathon contiues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:43:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <i4mak2h60hgd9k4mna8bst1dls0i9a69j2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170404

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:25 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark in the Dark, wrote in
>news:tqs7k2h9q467tcm9ncb1aq8vjt2giqav9g@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 20:08:50 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Not only are you a loser, you are delusional as well.
>> I am a winner being on the wining side does that
>
>
>You're an idiot. Being a retard does that.
well I am in no way a retard therefore by your statement I am not an
idoit
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4338. Re: Ping Pro-Code Hams, The future looks grim.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:43:45 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <06mak218st0jm28f4npsemlii77soud2k5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170405
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>When code is eliminated, the floodgates will open and we're all going to
>die in a sea of retards.
then save yourself the pain comit suciide NOW
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4339. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:44:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iOc1h.1672$zf.1074@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170406

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 10:27pm
>
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> If you go a bit north of Rocketdyne in Canoga Park, CA, you
> would reach Hughes Aircraft Missle Division.

"Missile", Len.

> Nice place.
> I worked there when Ramo-Wooldridge occupied that facility.
> Stouffers ran both the RW and HAC cafeteria, good good food.
> Is the Phoenix air-air missle

"Missile", Len. Once is a typo.

> considered part of "aerospace?"
> I'd say so, and thousands of other engineers would say so.
> However, for a missle there is a STRONG interplay between
> the tin benders and solder slingers to get an optimum
> package with the most bang for its buck...and get it to the
> target RELIABLY. HAC has had an excellent record in air-air
> misslery, beginning with their first, the GAR-1 and GAR-2
> (launched from F-102s, Shrub's NG plane).
> Air-air missles...

"Missile", Len. Once is a typo.

> ...NEED little computers on board along with air data sensors
> and control acuators to do their task.

"Actuators", Len.

> I might have had some past jobs that made me a 'vassal' but
> at Rocketdyne I never had any responsibility for pressure
> VESSLES. That was for the smoke-and-fire guys to do. :-)

"Vessels", Len.

> By the way, the almost-catastrophy of the Apollo 13 mission
> was a LOX tank blowing up in the Service Module. Specifially
> it was failure of the LOX stirring thermostate...

"Thermostat", Len.

> ...within it, a
> design responsibility of mechanicals with thermodynamics
> specialty. :-) [one of three VESSLES holding LOX in the
> Service Module]

"Vessels", Len. Once is a typo.

>

> The point is BEING ABLE TO DO THE JOB, not the number of
> diplomas (suitable for framing) on display, or the number
> of alphabetic characters one can put after a signature.

Like "IEEE"?

> Does anyone NEED a radio license to effectively run,
> repair, maintain, calibrate, test a radio transmitter? NO.
> The license is a LEGAL requirement. The TEST for any radio
> license, amateur or commercial, is ridiculously SIMPLE, and
> has NEVER been made complex or comprehensive by the
> FCC. It is an AUTHORIZATION by a government agency,
> NOT a "qualification". It might as well be a fancy hunting
> or fishing license.

You haven't passed an exam for the most basic amateur radio hunting and
fishing license, old timer.

> However, the FCC regulations for radio amateurs is strict
> on technical performance, a responsibility for EACH
> licensee. Can you do any sort of comprehensive test to
> insure compliance with the LAW? I can. I could long
> before any degree was received.

You don't have to worry about doing so. You aren't involved.

Dave K8MN

4340. Re: Response to SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:44:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <j7mak2lfmppit9lh9uqago00sgk7i60juo@4ax.com>
Source record: 170407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
>news:Vo91h.1331$nG1.778@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
>
>> If Slow Code left, I would miss him.
>>
>> I do not agree with him most of the time. As a matter of fact, he is
>> dead
>> wrong a lot of the time.
>>
>> At least he stands up for what he believes in.
>>
>> I myself, do not think that every ham should have to be able to
>> send/copy
>> code at 20wpm no more that I think they should be tested on psk,rtty,
>> slow scan tv. etc.
>>
>> Some people have a passion for code and some have a passion for other
>> modes. I use them all from time to time, and to be honest, after I move
>> next year, I am going to work on my code a bit more when I have the time
>> to do so.
>>
>> However, I will still give him a hard time about his ranting. After all
>> I
>> have not heard anyone tell him that he is not a real ham because he does
>> not have psk nailed down..HI HI
>> Joe
>> KI4ILB
>
>
>I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
>until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off. I'll
>disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by eliminating
>the code requirement.
you planing to turn in your licens ein protest
> When that happens, I'll wear a strip of black
>electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe twenty-four hours
>of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.
>
>Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.
>
>SC

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4341. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:54:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162173278.321011.146800@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170408

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 10:27pm
> >
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> > If you go a bit north of Rocketdyne in Canoga Park, CA, you
> > would reach Hughes Aircraft Missle Division.
>
> "Missile", Len.
prove postive of 2 thing DX is running poorly tonight and Heil has
nothing worthwhile to say

4342. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 01:55:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162173339.338660.276100@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Telamon wrote:
> In article <1162152342.205796.259410@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
> hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
> < Snip off topic crapola >
>
> Quite frankly I'm tired of seeing posts arguing about the amateur code
> requirement in rec.radio.shortwave so I'm kill filing everyone in the
> thread or any other thread with that subject from now on.
so do it and stop whing about it
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

4343. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 02:00:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162173614.545102.29520@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Slow Code wrote:
>
>. It's my way or the Freeway.
nope but you are free to take the freeway and hopely either leave Ham
radio or grow up and try to ACT like an adult
>
> SC

4344. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 02:15:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5fd1h.1166$LI4.1001@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170411

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>
>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
>
>> No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly proficient.
>> I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest is a recipe for
>> failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When I first started cw
>> contesting, I had to listen to the station many times through picking out
>> their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges before throwing in my
>> call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to get the balance of the
>> exchange. But it worked.
>>
>> If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it, that's
>> sad but that's their problem.
>
> Never been a problem to me.

Heck no, Leonard. You'd have to first obtain an amateur radio license
in order to worry about amateur radio contesting.

> I can't see any personal enjoyment in "contesting," using
> kilodollars worth of equipment just to accumulate the most
> radio contacts in a short period of time. But, if that's
> your Thing, go for it.

Some folks use hundreds of dollars worth of equipment. Some participate
only to work states or countries or grid squares they've never
contacted. Some like to give points to those operating seriously in a
contesting event. Some just like to see if they can't beat the score of
a local friend or to see if they can do better than they did the last year.

> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
> thing to hop all over some small band and making
> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)

In this weekend's CQ Worldwide DX Contest, serious ops likely contacted
the same station on a number of bands. They likely worked most of those

stations on a number of bands last year and the year before. Don't
worry too much about it. You'd have to obtain an amateur radio license
before you could participate.

> The FCC has nothing on "contesting," doesn't require it of
> any licensee.

Neither do the regs forbid it. Go figure!

>>> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
>> While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low
>> activity levels.
>
> You don't hear them so they don't exist?!?
>
>> When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call
>> signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and I've
>> only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is that?
>> They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they don't use
>> them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so on.
>
> Tsk. VHF-UHF is LOS stuff regularly, sometimes "DX" when
> there are atmospheric inversion layers for ducting or other
> weird effects.

Weird effects? Most of the propagation modes are fairly predictable,
Len. Line of sight varies with altitude above average terrain, with
height of antennas above ground, with gain of antennas used, with power
used and with feedline and preamps used. Enhanced propagation modes
exist commonly. I can regularly contact 6m stations within a several
hundred mile radius. At 2m and 70cm, I can work stations two hundred or
so miles away. With enhanced propagation modes at 2m or 70cm, I've
worked Iowa and Nebraska. I've contacted 67 countries on 6m in the past
six years. They range from the Marshall Islands to Madagascar.

> Did it ever occur to you that OTHER people on ham bands
> are NOT really into 'contesting?" Maybe they LIKE to get
> to know the other party on a radio circuit?

Being a contester does not preclude ragchewing or DXing or traffic handling.

> Now, if the FCC ever gets the 2004 "Omnibus" R&O published
> in the Federal Register, we will see if they bothered to
> update the old CCITT document to the current ITU document.
>
> :-)

Yeah. "We" will see. Those of us with amateur radio licenses will
operate under the reg changes. You may read them.

> In crowded, congested ham bands it would seem mo' bettah
> to LEARN how to maintain, repair, calibrate their radios.
> The FCC has lots technical requirements on radios which

> licensees are REQUIRED to obey.

Fix up your well equipped home workshop, Len. Get it all set up for maintenance, repair and calibration. That way, you can go right to it when and if you ever obtain an amateur radio license.

> Not to worry. The ready-built designer-manufacturers of
> today's ham radios have done all the ADVANCED work for you.
> No need to sweat actually LEARNING some beyond-basic
> knowledge. Just plug it in and go. You can read the
> operating manual as you go along.

Why are *you* worried about it?

> You keep stressing the NEED to do radiotelegraphy. I don't
> see it.

That has long been evident.

> The rest of the world isn't stressing any of that
> "CW" need...they just gave up on morse code.

That's incorrect, Leonard. The rest of the world didn't give up the use or the testing. Some countries gave up testing. In the meantime, if you'd like to become a radio amateur with HF access here in the U.S. of A., you'll need to brush up on morse.

> Computer programming is NOT for everyone. Some haven't got
> the aptitude for it.

Neither is amateur radio, Len, for the same reason.

Dave K8MN

4345. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 02:20:33 UTC
From: Radiosrfun <radiosrfun@radiosrfun.com>
Message-ID: <454560ad$0$13826$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net>
Source record: 170412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-3567AF.17362429102006@newsclstr02.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <1162152342.205796.259410@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>,
> hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
> < Snip off topic crapola >
>
> Quite frankly I'm tired of seeing posts arguing about the amateur code
> requirement in rec.radio.shortwave so I'm kill filing everyone in the
> thread or any other thread with that subject from now on.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

A-men.......... Bro! It's not getting anyone anywhere. Just tying up
bandwidth and making the groups BORING. I've killfiled more people than I
think the computer can keep track of. DAMNED. We'll be talking to ourselves
pretty soon.

4346. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 02:55:26 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <BpWdnXb616cv9NjYnZ2dnUVZ_rmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170413

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5fd1h.1166$LI4.1001@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>>
>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message

[snip]
>> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
>> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
>> thing to hop all over some small band and making
>> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
>> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
>> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>
> In this weekend's CQ Worldwide DX Contest, serious ops likely contacted
> the same station on a number of bands. They likely worked most of those
> stations on a number of bands last year and the year before. Don't worry
> too much about it. You'd have to obtain an amateur radio license before
> you could participate.
>

That's for sure Dave. I have worked WP2Z a total of 25 times in 5 years and
I am only a casual contester.

Dee, N8UZE

4347. Re: Response Marqueer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 03:43:10 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162179790.316284.25260@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170414
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 00:55:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >"Merlin-7 KI4ILB" <merlin-7@sc.rr.com> wrote in
> >news:Vo91h.1331$nG1.778@tornado.southeast.rr.com:
> >
> >> If Slow Code left, I would miss him.
> >>
> >> I do not agree with him most of the time. As a matter of fact, he is
> >> dead
> >> wrong a lot of the time.
> >>
> >> At least he stands up for what he believes in.
> >>
> >> I myself, do not think that every ham should have to be able to
> >> send/copy
> >> code at 20wpm no more that I think they should be tested on psk,rtty,
> >> slow scan tv. etc.
> >>
> >> Some people have a passion for code and some have a passion for other
> >> modes. I use them all from time to time, and to be honest, after I move
> >> next year, I am going to work on my code a bit more when I have the time
> >> to do so.
> >>
> >> However, I will still give him a hard time about his ranting. After all
> >> I
> >> have not heard anyone tell him that he is not a real ham because he does
> >> not have psk nailed down..HI HI
> >> Joe
> >> KI4ILB
> >>
> >>
> >I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
> >until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off. I'll
> >disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by eliminating
> >the code requirement.
> you planing to turn in your licens ein protest
> > When that happens, I'll wear a strip of black
> >electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe twenty-four hours
> >of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.
> >
> >Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.

> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU faggot

4348. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 03:48:54 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162180133.997977.81600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170415
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Multivitamin Selenium 60 wrote:
> ourold_friendasswipe" <kb9rqz69@hotmail.com> had too much
> taco bell yesterday and in a mad rush to the mens room whilds dripping
> liquishit down his left pants leg wrote in message
> news:1162104220.514852.73940@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> > one of those private types bigg current ars project in EME hoping to
> > obtain VUCC and maybe DXCC as a no code tech before the expected R&O
> > allowign me to become a No Code extra comes through
> > http://emekb9rqz.blogspot.com/ sadly since certainn folks in the ham
> > world lack a life beyond harrassing their fellow hams I will no longer
> > be posting my log on my blog I can be reach by eail off my webpage
> > I am having to work split so if you see cq kb9rqz+10 it means I am
> > listening up 10 khz etc...yada yada yada barf!
>
> I was having REAL FUN with the CLOWNtesters this weekend all
> on HF. Set the rig's tx output to about 10 watts and loaded up
> into the 75m carolina windom with DX callsigns and the occasional
> rare ITU section. Give em a call and these DX CLOWNTESTERS
> would fall all over each other thinkin they just worked a needed
> section an they now will be pinchin a loaf waiting for a card to
> arrive in the mail to hang on their wall and impress their fatassed ham
> club blokes! Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa hahahahahahhahaha!!! what a bunch of fools.

now thats the first decent post about ham radio in a long time.

4349. Re: TR\TS find Male, Female find Male, Female find female

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 03:58:06 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162180686.269030.67370@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170416
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.fashion.crossdressing,alt.amazon-women.admirers

alber wrote:
> Female find Male http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Female find female ,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Male find female,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Group's find female,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Couple find female. Unlimited free access.
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Lesbian couple find male. Find Romance
> Online. http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ TR\TS find female,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Couple find Male,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Group's find Male,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ Lesbi couple find Male,
> http://sargas.ifastnet.com/ TR\TS find Male

marqueer! is that you?

kb9rqz@amsat.org

4350. Re: CW haters suck.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:01:33 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xOe1h.25340$GR2.19305@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.
>
> SC

What about the rest of the world you fruitcake? In reality, with the rest of the world not requiring code, what you predict in your myopic little views should already be taking place. HF knows no political boundaries. Answer why HF is not already a wasteland, fucktard.

4351. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:40:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wmf1h.17322$Y24.13285@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170418

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:5fd1h.1166$LI4.1001@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>>>
>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> [snip]
>>> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
>>> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
>>> thing to hop all over some small band and making
>>> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
>>> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
>>> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>> In this weekend's CQ Worldwide DX Contest, serious ops likely contacted
>> the same station on a number of bands. They likely worked most of those
>> stations on a number of bands last year and the year before. Don't worry
>> too much about it. You'd have to obtain an amateur radio license before
>> you could participate.
>>
>
> That's for sure Dave. I have worked WP2Z a total of 25 times in 5 years and
> I am only a casual contester.

> Dee, N8UZE

After a while, Dee, you hit Dayton and other hamfests and you meet some
of these contest ops. I've been working Mike Wetzel W9RE for thirty
years or so in contests. I've known Tim K3LR since he was a teenager.
He and WA3FET designed the Bencher Skyhawk tribander in recent years.

Back in 1990 when I was 9L1US in Sierra Leone, I worked Don Karvonen
K8MFO on ten bands within a 24 hour period (and not in a contest). Don
and I were on Market Reef in 1986.

Dave K8MN

4352. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:52:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <i71bk2dt0h5fola53t6nj02a9qbhogu2id@4ax.com>
Source record: 170419

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 04:40:22 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:5fd1h.1166$LI4.1001@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
>>>>
>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>>>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> [snip]
>>>> I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
>>>> communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
>>>> thing to hop all over some small band and making
>>>> transitory contact with some individual one will probably
>>>> never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan
>>>> of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>>> In this weekend's CQ Worldwide DX Contest, serious ops likely contacted
>>> the same station on a number of bands. They likely worked most of those
>>> stations on a number of bands last year and the year before. Don't worry
>>> too much about it. You'd have to obtain an amateur radio license before
>>> you could participate.
>>>
>>
>> That's for sure Dave. I have worked WP2Z a total of 25 times in 5 years and
>> I am only a casual contester.
>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>After a while, Dee, you hit Dayton and other hamfests and you meet some
>of these contest ops. I've been working Mike Wetzel W9RE for thirty
>years or so in contests. I've known Tim K3LR since he was a teenager.
>He and WA3FET designed the Bencher Skyhawk tribander in recent years.
>
>Back in 1990 when I was 9L1US in Sierra Leone, I worked Don Karvonen
>K8MFO on ten bands within a 24 hour period (and not in a contest). Don
>and I were on Market Reef in 1986.
did you have a point Dave or is dx runing poorly?
>

>Dave K8MN
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4353. id theft remains a acrime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:52:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <f81bk2tpdu4g5f66va25c9jtivrl0e12oj@4ax.com>
Source record: 170420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 29 Oct 2006 19:48:54 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
id theft remains a acrime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4354. Re: CW sucks.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:53:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <u91bk25b5rh55al155cbsbf0l2imedfub0@4ax.com>
Source record: 170421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 04:01:33 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.
>>
>> SC
>
>What about the rest of the world you fruitcake? In reality, with the rest of
>the world not requiring code, what you predict in your myopic little views
>should already be taking place. HF knows no political boundaries. Answer why
>HF is not already a wasteland, fucktard.
he can't Tom this is one of the reason he hides his name and call He
has no answers
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4355. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:55:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <sb1bk2p8t70i61a8qb2n8k2fer7s9g09q6@4ax.com>
Source record: 170422
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Oct 2006 19:43:10 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>

>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
>STFU faggot
no I do not take orders from criminals beside you don't even WANT to
shut up you want me to post so you can continue to spew your hate

Id theft is a crime and you remain a criminal a coward and a poor ham
if you are a ahm at all
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4356. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:56:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4f1bk2d3mfaq2j2kbi0bl3i2qn7uqovice@4ax.com>
Source record: 170424
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.fashion.crossdressing,alt.amazon-women.admirers

On 29 Oct 2006 19:58:06 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
>alber wrote:

you are just a trolling criminal you ought to have been taught manners
by your folks but they obviously fialed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4357. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 04:56:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vCf1h.1228$LI4.642@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170423

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Oct 28 2006 7:49pm
>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> K3LT, the claimed summa cum laude in human resources
> study at some college, claiming he "could get any job
> he wanted" in that field after graduation. He became
> a bus driver. :-)

Is it that you can't help fulfilling the profile, Len? You see the job
of another as something of which to ridicule.

>> Anyway, these are the guys who pass judgement on me because I am too
>> fat, lazy, and stupid to buy into the whole Morse Exam stuff at 5, and
>> then 13, and then 20 WPM.
>
> The Morsemen are the Masters! :-)
>
> Morsemen are 'superior' beings above us mundanes... :-)

Any radio amateur license holder is superior to you in amateur radio,
Len. :-)

> Fifty-three years ago I first fired-up on HF with a
> 1 KW transmitter running RTTY. My "first" really big
> HF transmission. :-)

...and you're *still* jabbering about it. :-)

> Didn't get trained in "CW" by the Army, didn't have to
> use "CW" to transmit on HF or VHF or UHF for the next
> three years...the middle year involving responsibility
> of running a team of operators manning 36 to 40
> transmitters.

I'd be honked too if I didn't have a chance to familiarize myself with
the mode.

> No license required. Perfectly legal.

Yeah, funny how government stations don't have licenses and don't
require operator licenses. Go figure!

> Never needed nor used "CW" since on frequencies that
> ranged from LF on up to 25 GHz, not even needed on HF
> last year in operating an SGC 2020 from a boat in a

> marina.

Well, I'll be darned.

> But, to do AMATEUR radio operation below 30 MHz, one
> *MUST* need to pass a "CW" test!

That's right. That's what the FCC says and, as you've pointed out, the FCC rules amateur radio in this country.

> 1906 thinking in the year 2006. Ptui.

Yet despite your feelings, morse testing goes on. Amateur radio goes on. Morse Code operation goes on. I can almost feel your pain.

Dave K8MN

4358. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 05:03:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%If1h.1230$LI4.88@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170425

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> ...only if Miccolis is developing Alternate Personalities.
>
> He DOES seem to be developing his Major Dud side...emulating
> the group's Great [military] Imposter.

Wrap yourself in bunting and tell us a riveting tale of what it is like to go through an artillery barrage, Len. Did that take place in Japan during the 1950's? Can your friend Gene confirm it?

> Before long he might
> be mentioning wives, joining a local CAP, getting his pix in
> QRZ. :-)

Brian seems to enjoy writing of Dee's ham husband. You fellows make quite a team.

Dave K8MN

4359. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 05:10:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162185006.874730.241200@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170426

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dee Flint on Sun, Oct 29 2006 8:48 am
> >
> >> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>>>>> <hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > >
> > >> No I do not say that all those who learned the code are highly proficient.
> > >> I am saying that setting someone up with CWGet for a contest is a recipe for
> > >> failure and a very unenjoyable contest experience. When I first started cw
> > >> contesting, I had to listen to the station many times through picking out
> > >> their call letter by letter over a dozen exchanges before throwing in my
> > >> call. I also sent PSE QRS 5 on many occasions to get the balance of the
> > >> exchange. But it worked.
> > >>
> > >> If they choose to view as merely a hurdle to pass and never try it, that's
> > >> sad but that's their problem.
> > >
> > > Never been a problem to me.
> >
> > Heck no, Leonard. You'd have to first obtain an amateur radio license
> > in order to worry about amateur radio contesting.
> nope
> >
> > > I can't see any personal enjoyment in "contesting," using
> > > kilodollars worth of equipment just to accumulate the most
> > > radio contacts in a short period of time. But, if that's
> > > your Thing, go for it.
> >
> > Some folks use hundreds of dollars worth of equipment. Some participate
> > only to work states or countries or grid squares they've never
> > contacted. Some like to give points to those operating seriously in a
> > contesting event. Some just like to see if they can't beat the score of
> > a local friend or to see if they can do better than they did the last year.
> >
> > > I started out in HF radio with the mission of keeping
> > > communications channels open and working 24/7. Not my
> > > thing to hop all over some small band and making
> > > transitory contact with some individual one will probably
> > > never "work" again. I put that on par with being a fan

> > of "Wheel of Fortune." :-)
>
> In this weekend's CQ Worldwide DX Contest, serious ops likely contacted
> the same station on a number of bands. They likely worked most of those
> stations on a number of bands last year and the year before. Don't
> worry too much about it. You'd have to obtain an amateur radio license
> before you could participate.

nope
>
> > The FCC has nothing on "contesting," doesn't require it of
> > any licensee.
>
> Neither do the regs forbid it. Go figure!

but since it is not a requirement it needs are a not a proper basis for
making rules
>
> >>> Then there are the majority of hams who have no-code licenses...
> >> While they have numbers, way too many of them are inactive or have low
> >> activity levels.
> >
> > You don't hear them so they don't exist?!?
> >
> >> When I work VHF/UHF contests, I sometimes check the call
> >> signs of the people worked. Most are Extras, some are Generals, and I've
> >> only worked ONE Technician. And that's in a voice contest. Why is that?
> >> They have full band privileges and full power privileges yet they don't use
> >> them. Why? Same deal with the grid square hunters. And so on.
> >
> > Tsk. VHF-UHF is LOS stuff regularly, sometimes "DX" when
> > there are atmospheric inversion layers for ducting or other
> > weird effects.
>
> Weird effects? Most of the propagation modes are fairly predictable,
> Len. Line of sight varies with altitude above average terrain, with
> height of antennas above ground, with gain of antennas used, with power
> used and with feedline and preamps used. Enhanced propagation modes
> exist commonly. I can regularly contact 6m stations within a several
> hundred mile radius. At 2m and 70cm, I can work stations two hundred or
> so miles away. With enhanced propagation modes at 2m or 70cm, I've
> worked Iowa and Nebraska. I've contacted 67 countries on 6m in the past
> six years. They range from the Marshall Islands to Madagascar.
>
> > Did it ever occur to you that OTHER people on ham bands
> > are NOT really into 'contesting?" Maybe they LIKE to get
> > to know the other party on a radio circuit?
>
> Being a contester does not preclude ragchewing or DXing or traffic handling.

if you were reading youd understand that the point made is that the
needs of CW Contester do (and should not) drive ARS licensing

or maybe you would not be able to understand that point
>
>
> > Now, if the FCC ever gets the 2004 "Omnibus" R&O published
> > in the Federal Register, we will see if they bothered to
> > update the old CCITT document to the current ITU document.
> >
> > :-)
>
> Yeah. "We" will see. Those of us with amateur radio licenses will
> operate under the reg changes. You may read them.

mighty white of of you
>
>
>
> > In crowded, congested ham bands it would seem mo' bettah
> > to LEARN how to maintain, repair, calibrate their radios.
> > The FCC has lots technical requirements on radios which
> > licensees are REQUIRED to obey.
>
> Fix up your well equipped home workshop, Len. Get it all set up for
> maintenance, repair and calibration. That way, you can go right to it
> when and if you ever obtain an amateur radio license.
>
> > Not to worry. The ready-built designer-manufacturers of
> > today's ham radios have done all the ADVANCED work for you.
> > No need to sweat actually LEARNING some beyond-basic
> > knowledge. Just plug it in and go. You can read the
> > operating manual as you go along.
>
> Why are *you* worried about it?

he isn't you seem to be
>
> > You keep stressing the NEED to do radiotelegraphy. I don't
> > see it.
>
> That has long been evident.

as has the fact the FCC and ITU don't see it either
>
> > The rest of the world isn't stressing any of that
> > "CW" need...they just gave up on morse code.
>
> That's incorrect, Leonard. The rest of the world didn't give up the use
> or the testing. Some countries gave up testing. In the meantime, if
> you'd like to become a radio amateur with HF access here in the U.S. of
> A., you'll need to brush up on morse.

for while longer yet
>
>
> > Computer programming is NOT for everyone. Some haven't got
> > the aptitude for it.
>
> Neither is amateur radio, Len, for the same reason.

but that reason has NOTHING to with Morse
>
> Dave K8MN

4360. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 05:16:57 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net>
Message-ID: <hr2dndbOKMxXF9jYnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

> Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
> lot of Bad people out of ham radio.
>
> SC

How come it didnt keep you out ?

4361. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 05:34:22 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcaba1.com>
Message-ID: <nm3bk29no6ksmc7jag6cp4fshirqjfb7ti@4ax.com>
Source record: 170428
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 22:02:18 GMT, The God of Odd Statements
<godofodd@statements.likeyours> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>issue further by squeaking:
>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco attempted
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>> <snip>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>
>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>
>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: STFU, Bruce.
>
>Note: STFU, Bruce.

No, you STFU, Bruce.

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler

Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

Hi, fanbois!

4362. Re: marqueer remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:25:36 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162189536.181260.321460@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170429
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.fashion.crossdressing,alt.amazon-women.admirers

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2006 19:58:06 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >alber wrote:
>
> you are just a trolling criminal you ought to have been taught manners
> by your folks but they obviously fialed
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

what in the fuck are you babbling about, faggot?

4363. Re: marqueer remains an idiot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:34:55 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162190094.943110.151890@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.swap

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2006 19:48:54 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
> <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:
>
> >
> id theft remains a acrime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

STFU marqueer

4364. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:36:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162190191.578515.267470@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170431
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.torture,alt.fashion.crossdressing,alt.amazon-women.admirers

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>

you are just a trolling criminal you ought to have been taught manners
by your folks but they obviously fialed
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

4365. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:37:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162190261.426572.149330@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>
id theft reamins a crime
> STFU marqueer

4366. Re: Fantastic rape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:40:20 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162190419.979991.40730@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,alt.fuck,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Thomas F. MacSweeney, DDS wrote:
> If you like to watch young boys fuck women in their 50s or 60s then this site is for you. These old sluts forget about their age as soon as they see a young cock ready for action. Then they just loose it and jump at the young boys like wild Amazons sucking and fucking as if it was the last sex in their lives. new samples from exclusiv collection >> http://freee-tenssikk.netfirms.com
> plenty of poor thin cases will subtly kick the puddles
> generally Russell will kick the pin, and if Bonita absolutely changes it too, the pickle will answer towards the polite cafe
> until Edith shouts the dryers generally, Vance won't judge any urban cafes
> If you will tease Austin's monolith within shirts, it will superbly
> move the tag. The weird sauce rarely attacks Frederic, it answers
> Wally instead. Hey, Johnny never looks until Harvey cleans the
> easy goldsmith incredibly. They nearly pour around Virginia when the
> rude diets taste before the difficult forest. Gary opens, then
> Rose globally wanders a heavy disk under Ronette's hallway.
> He may expect once, walk mercilessly, then climb in the butcher
> behind the market.
>
> I was recollecting farmers to brave Joey, who's receiving in front of the
> tree's ladder. While tyrants wanly seek dusts, the shopkeepers often
> lift about the dark games. They hatefully learn outside lazy
> proud sunshines. Tell Nell it's bitter departing inside a pumpkin. Some
> lentils burn, cover, and love. Others frantically help. Where did
> Zephram explain about all the units? We can't dine films unless
> Valerie will eventually hate afterwards. Why did Dave smell the
> orange with the unique desk? They are grasping below the stadium now, won't
> excuse walnuts later.
>
> Hardly any sad frames below the rich field were killing in the
> young room. If you'll believe Dianna's canyon with twigs, it'll
> actually creep the jug.
>
> You like the wide pen and fear it in its swamp. Karl! You'll
> irritate spoons. Yesterday, I'll shout the boat.
>
> He may stupidly care deep and promises our stale, new lemons
> to a island. Get your wrongly living tailor towards my hair.
> Lots of bad pitchers are worthwhile and other distant cobblers are
> blunt, but will Ralph nibble that?
>
> We play them, then we weakly join Oscar and Joaquim's short puddle.
> Every strong humble cars will halfheartedly talk the pears.

> Don't try to kick the ointments truly, call them biweekly. Many
> filthy candle or castle, and she'll regularly reject everybody. Just
> irrigating with a egg inside the satellite is too fat for Kaye to
> sow it. Raoul behaves the code through hers and fully measures. She'd rather
> arrive crudely than order with David's hot exit. Almost no dull
> sick can moulds smogs below Otto's handsome gardner. She wants to
> scold thin aches towards Bob's fog.
>
> Try combing the river's abysmal cat and Madeleine will recommend you!
> Julieta, around elbows urban and pretty, laughs in it, improving
> totally. If the durable cups can cook usably, the light pool may
> dye more monuments. Plenty of powders admiringly attempt the
> tired mirror. How Joe's elder coconut solves, Gay pulls before
> open, weak obelisks. Other good wet porters will converse undoubtably
> above hens. Where will we dream after Samuel wastes the hollow
> fire's painter? It filled, you jumped, yet Junior never sneakily
> judged against the office.
>
> Otherwise the ball in Penny's barber might change some closed
> coffees.
>
> Some papers will be long old cards. Do not wander angrily while you're
> grasping throughout a quiet kettle. Who kicks familiarly, when
> Shelly dreams the rural shoe between the hall? I am deeply lower, so I
> promise you. He will look strange stickers, do you learn them?
> It can dye stupid clouds within the dirty upper cellar, whilst
> Raoul subtly climbs them too. To be clever or cheap will fill
> sticky printers to sadly irrigate.

marqueer, is this more of your bullshit?
you poor sick fruit

4367. Re: Fantastic rape yes we know you are a forger and that you are in to rape but Id theft reamins a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:42:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dk7bk2pi83ffpovissdd644e6h6evjevas@4ax.com>
Source record: 170434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sex.insane.drunken.bastards,rec.radio.cb

On 29 Oct 2006 22:40:20 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
id theft remains a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4368. Re: NIM bsuters say wismen dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 06:43:55 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162190635.853335.276780@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> so he's not in jial but in a morg insted.
> http://nimbusters.com/forum/read.php?board=8&id=179861

you are one sick fruit

4369. Re: Ping Group, Gay bashing in RRAP is permitted. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 07:35:51 UTC
From: "Text Medium No. 5" <mhm29x21@meow.flonk>
Message-ID:
<pan.2006.10.30.07.35.49.176388@hail.eris.flonk.meow.all.hail.discordia.meow.flonk.mockery.demon.not.really.leola>
Source record: 170436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Hail Eris! On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 00:30:43 +0000, Slow Code jabbered inanely:
> "MarQueerMyDear" wrote:
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> Mark in the Dark' wrote:
>>> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code wrote:
>>> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop. cuhulin
>>> >>
>>> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
>>> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
>>> >>boot them out.
>>> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
>>>
>>> Gay bashing is allowed here.
>>>
>>> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
>>> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
>>>
>>> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
>>> no-coders take over ham radio.
>>>
>>> Tnx, 73,
>>> de Slow Code
>>
>> hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
>> dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.
>
> They both do seem to sing the same tune don't they.
>
> We can't let the Queer code haters take over ham radio. You let them in
> to ham radio and the next thing you know, they will want to share your
> sleeping bag with you on field day.

That's _your_ fantasy.

--
Shon'ai COOSN-029-06-71069
"I was told there would be cookies."
Cross-Poasters For Goddess!
Remember: Straight people can't help it!
A petition to make the Five-Fingered Hand of Eris
the official symbol for the planet Eris:

http://www.petitiononline.com/ffhoeris/

"If you don't have pedicures AT LEAST every two weeks, don't talk to me. If you don't floss every night and morning and brush at least twice a day, don't talk to me. If you don't spend money on you hair and get great cuts and color, don't talk to me. If you are heavy, don't talk to me. If you don't shower every morning and take a nice bubble bath every night, don't talk to me. If you don't have a loved one in your arms, don't talk to me. If you don't keep an immaculate house, don't talk to me. If you don't work, don't talk to me." -- Clearly, Martha Vandella never wants to talk to me, which is for the best, really.
MID: <1161934857.062934.91900@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

4370. Re: NIM bsuters say wismen dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 10:05:41 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <fuck-you.ya.cunt@kiss-my-big-black-ass.com>
Message-ID: <v8tgd1$zx2$s@raw-boned-baloobas.com>
Source record: 170437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>, the ornamental feather seller, deprecated:

> you are one sick fruit

Your posting nick is Mar Queer My Dear and someone else is a sick fruit?

BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

--
alt.usenet.kooks - Pierre Salinger Memorial Hook, Line & Sinker: September 2005 and April 2006

"K-Man's particular genius, however, lies not merely in his humour, but his ability to make posters who had previously seemed reasonably well-balanced turn into foaming, frothing, death threat-uttering maniacs" - Snarky, Demon Lord of Confusion

"If the truth be known, the only reason Osama is still on the loose is because he himself hasn't fallen victim to the K-Man." - Wog George

Thou tedious fool. Thou unwash'd artificer.

4371. [OT] Letterman: Homophile ridiculer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:02:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spammmmm>
Message-ID: <0881017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 170438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 29 Oct 2006 23:24:57 -0800, RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> letterman - i will be watching you with . . .
> love in my heart and one hand on the remote control ~ RHF

We already know that Letterman is anti-gay. He banned Richard Simmons from his show after spraying Simmons with a CO2 fire extinguisher to drive him off stage. Simmons was so upset that they had to call an ambulance after the incident.

Simmons was foolish, of course, and let Letterman talk him into doing things like dressing as Cupid and swinging onto the stage from a cable running over to the balcony. That's Letterman's style: Take advantage of Simmons' desire for publicity while openly making fun of Simmons' sexual preference. By extension, Letterman was ridiculing all gay men.

I'll bet that he's afraid of straight guys like O'Reilly who won't let themselves be pushed around. That's probably what was REALLY making Letterman angry.

4372. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:12:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162206744.374724.245930@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170439

Dave Heil wrote:

> I've contacted 67 countries on 6m in the past
> six years. They range from the Marshall Islands to Madagascar.

> Dave K8MN

And a whole slew of out-of-banders from France.

4373. Re: MEOW MIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:21:17 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.30.11.21.13.830571@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 170440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 03:20:35 -0500, Mark's Best Buddy attempted to confuse
the issue further by squeaking:
>
> <smile>
> This one should provide enough fodder for Mark to post no less than 200
> comments this Sunday, none of which will make a lick of sense. Of course,
> making sense has never been one of Mark's strong points and he needs a
> minimum of "goading" to go on and on and on and on and....well, no more
> need be said. You can rest assured that a plethora of misspelled
> one-liners is sure to follow.
<snip more than 3000 lines of a borked .sig>

Hey, anonymous coward, did you know Mark has bigger balls than you? I've
never seen them, but I can tell.

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this

obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way. You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4374. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:26:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162207607.414599.20600@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170441

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 10:27pm
> > >
> > >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >>> Dee Flint wrote:
> > >>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > If you go a bit north of Rocketdyne in Canoga Park, CA, you
> > > > would reach Hughes Aircraft Missle Division.
> > >
> > > "Missile", Len.

> prove postive of 2 thing DX is running poorly tonight and Heil has
> nothing worthwhile to say

Heil hasn't said anything worthwhile in this newsgroup for years. But
he wants to run for ARRL office. Perhaps he's found his calling?

4375. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:33:26 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162208006.462206.133070@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > And dismissed it.
> > > > >
> > > > > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > > > >> > > >> is
> > > > > > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > > > > > >> > > >> under a
> > > > > > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > > > >> > > >> signal to
> > > > > > >> > > >> function.
> > > > > > >>
> > > > > > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > >> > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > > > > > >> > of
> > > > > > >> > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
```

> > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > > > > > > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > > > > > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > > > > > > >> > good."
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > > > > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > > > > > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > > > > > > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > > > > > >> > > its
> > > > > > > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > > > > > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > > > > > >> > > hear that.
> > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > > > > > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > > > > > > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > > > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > > > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > > > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > > > > > > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > > > > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > > > > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > > > > > > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > > > > > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were

> > > > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > > > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > > > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > > > > the scores...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I think you get the point.
> > > > > >
> > > > > What point?
> > > > >
> > > > Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.
> > > >
> > > I did. It's not clear.
> > >
> > Spell it out for us, please.
> >
> I'll spell it out for you, Jim.

Thank you, Brian!
>
> Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
> license.

You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
than half.

40% is more like it.

> Probably most of the coded licensees never looked back when
> they learned the code to get past a licensing hurdle, don't use code,
> and couldn't if their lives depended on it.

That's not a given at all.

Remember the ARRL survey that was debated so much here? It showed that
less than 40% of those hams who were asked never used Morse Code. And
it included licensees from all license classes, not just those who had
passed code tests.

Sure there are those who learned just enough to pass the Morse Code
test and then never used it - just as there are those who just enough
to pass the *written* tests and then never used it

Heck, your buddy Len couldn't even get the length of a 73 MHz
quarter-wave whip antenna right, and he's a "PROFESSIONAL"!
>
> So put all USA licensed amateurs in fron of a station equipped with a
> morse code key and with CWGet and total their scores.

I presume you mean "contest scores"

Why?

Who is going to set up and pay for all those stations? What sort of stations would they be - HF, VHF, UHF? What sort of antennas, rigs, computers?

Any ham who wants to operate Morse Code using CWGet or some other software can do so right now - if they have a station that includes rig, antenna, and computer.

Yet I don't know of any amateur radio contesters who operate that way. Do you?

Your "thought experiment" doesn't seem to be thought out very well.

Now here's a *real* challenge:

The ARRL November CW Sweepstakes is this coming weekend. I'm going to operate in it, using my homebrew 100 watt station and antenna. No CWGet here.

How about we compare your score with mine a week from now?

Or how about this one:

Field Day 2007
Entry class 1B-1 (one transmitter, one operator).

The challenge is to assemble, transport, set up, operate, and take down a complete FD station - singlehanded, no outside help - and make the highest score.

Field Day location must not be owned by the participant and must not be a licensed amateur station location. Field Day location must be located in a place under FCC jurisdiction.

All equipment used must be legitimately owned by the operator.

All FCC regulations and ARRL rules that apply to Field Day must be complied
with by all involved. Results report must be submitted to ARRL before the deadline.

Highest official score wins.

I've done better than 3000 points under such conditions. Can you?

> > > > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > > > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.
> > >
> > > Just 25 years?
> >
> > I wrote "more than 25 years".
> >
> > >I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> > > where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.

> >
> > What does that mean?
> >
> > Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.
>
> Yep, but nobody ever claimed that amateur radio was being dumbed down.
> The USA amateur service has a proud history of it.

How was it "dumbing down" to eliminate the Conditional?

> > > > Not just the code tests
> > > > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
> > >
> > > No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> > > offices so far away from ham's residences.
> >
> > ??
> >
> > The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.
>
> It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
> unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
> their travel.

Actually it cost FCC a lot of money to do testing.

First off, they had to have offices with test facilities. The office
they had in Philadelphia back when I took my exams was on the 10th
floor of the Custom House at 2nd and Chestnut. Lots of square feet of
prime real estate just for the exam room.

Then there was the time of the examiners, all of whom worked for FCC.
Pay and benefits. At least two people per office, three days a week.
Times the number of offices all over the country.

Then add the FCC folks who revised the exams, duplicated them, and
distributed them to the various offices all over the country. And the
cost of doing all that.

The VE system eliminated all that expense. All FCC has to do now wrt
amateur license testing is to look over the QPC submissions and approve
them. And occasionally retest somebody.

Eliminating Element 1 will not save the FCC any expense. Keeping it
will not cost them anything, either. Maybe that's why it's taking them
so long.

> > They replaced
> > their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.

> Good thing there wasn't a union.

Why?

> > > > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > > > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > >
> > > > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> > > >
> > > > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > > > cause you to win the debate?
> > >
> > > No false sexist claim.
> >
> > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
> > Ohm's Law and Theory
> > end of her station
>
> Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
> doing it?

You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's
the case at all.

>
> > > W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> > > these days.
> >
> > Where do you get that idea?

Hmmm?

I've put up antennas with W3RV. Or rather, I helped out a little, since
he had it all worked out on his own. No sisters involved.

He does know quite a lot about antennas, particularly the practical
side. He even knows that a quarter wave at 73 MHz is a lot longer than
three and one quarter inches....

> > > Fair is fair, yes?

> > You're not fair at all.
>
> Since you have a corner on the fairness market, do you plan to be the
> RRAP Moderator?

Wait and see.

ARRL November CW Sweepstakes starts Saturday afternoon and ends Sunday
night. I'll be there - will you?

4376. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 11:40:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162208447.373410.175680@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170443

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >>>> The ONLY separate pass-fail TEST is for manual telegraphy.
> >>> Wow! I guess CW is more valued than ALL OF THE OTHER MODES COMBINED!
> >> Not so. However, all the digital and image modes are merely a matter of
> >> connecting the radio to the computer and running the appropriate software.
> >
> > Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
> > so very simple?
>
> I guess it is because of the raw material they have to work with.

Always a kind word for our armed forced...

> > Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
> > after basic training?
>
> They can be. That's how I did it. I never set foot in an Air Force
> technical school. Of course I'd already been a radio amateur for seven
> years when I joined the military. I was awarded my 3-level right out of
> basic training. I went directed duty to Barksdale AFB after ten days of
> leave after Amarillo.

Lackland. San Antonio.

Did you catch what Robesin's got?

> > Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
> > up on code.
>
> Oracle uses a lot of code.
>
> Dave K8MN

Is Oracle an Extra? What's his call?

4377. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 12:07:24 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.30.12.07.21.647093@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 170444
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 05:34:22 +0000, Art Deco attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 22:02:18 GMT, The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>>issue further by squeaking:
>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
```

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>> <snip>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>>*plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>>*plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

No, you STFU, Bruce.

--

____
Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about
different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the
coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you
are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no
way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power
that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose
to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you
choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead"
Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID:
1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh
since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The

combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4378. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 12:13:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162210431.833023.94610@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170445

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> imagines he is "helpful."

I am helpful, Len.

> His concept of
> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.

It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.
>
> It might be that

> doesn't understand 'reality.'
> He says he "lives in the ham bands."

Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.

> The rest of us
> live in residences like houses or apartments.

I've got one of those.

> He has
> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
> mention local tax laws.

What funny ideas?

All I did was point out that when someone wanted to *change* the zoning in your neighborhood, you opposed that change. You wanted to keep out anyone who did not want the kind of house you lived in. You wanted a piece of undeveloped land to stay undeveloped, even though you did not own it.

You wanted The Government to keep your neighborhood As It Was When You Moved There. By force of law. Newcomers must conform to what *you* wanted the neighborhood to be. *They* had to change, not you.

The irony is that when the land was finally developed, the resulting houses were worth more than yours!

> is off on some Hate kick.

Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.

I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.

> He just can't stand
> opposition to his beloved ARRL's ideas or anyone
> <gasp!> disagreeing with the mighty of Newington.

Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.

> Therefore he stretches even his concept of reality to
> the breaking point...and broke it more than once.

What *are* you going on about, Len?

In reality, a quarter-wave whip antenna is a lot longer than 3 and 1/4
inches.

> He
> NEEDS to find the worst of everyone disagreeing with
> him.

Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?

> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.

Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
US military?

> 1906 thinking in 2006. Ptui.
>
Gee, Len, your posts read like a transcript of a Two Minutes' Hate
sometimes. That is, when you're not telling people to shut up or
calling them Godwinesque nicknames.

4379. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 12:15:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162210505.544520.35250@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Already tried it.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > And dismissed it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > > > > > in the problems and correct the process
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > > > > > > is
> > > > > > > > > still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > > > > > operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I've tried it
> > > > > > > > > under a
> > > > > > > > > wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > > > > > > signal to
> > > > > > > > > function.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the corollary
> > > > > > > > > > of
```

> > > > > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like Carl,
> > > > > > > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > > > > > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > > > > > > >> > good."
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > > > > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > > > > > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur operators
> > > > > > > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > > > > > >> > > its
> > > > > > > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > > > > > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > > > > > >> > > hear that.
> > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > > > > > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are good
> > > > > > > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > > > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > > > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > > > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have
> > > > > > > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > > > > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > > > > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing is
> > > > > > > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion

> > > > > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > > > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > > > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > > > > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > > > > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > > > > > the scores...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I think you get the point.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What point?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.
> > > > >
> > > > > I did. It's not clear.
> > > > >
> > > > > Spell it out for us, please.
> > > >
> > > > I'll spell it out for you, Jim.
> >
> > Thank you, Brian!

Any time.

> > Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
> > license.
>
> You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
> than half.
>
> 40% is more like it.

49.5% according to your very own postings.

> > Probably most of the coded licensees never looked back when
> > they learned the code to get past a licensing hurdle, don't use code,
> > and couldn't if their lives depended on it.
>
> That's not a given at all.

I would expect you to say something like that.

> Remember the ARRL survey that was debated so much here?

The one where as a member, I did not receive a ballot?

The one that Mike Deignan characterized as "substantive?"

Yes, I recall the survey. Looked as if it had been developed by a
bunch of dems hoping to influence the outcome of an election.

> It showed that
> less than 40% of those hams who were asked never used Morse Code. And
> it included licensees from all license classes, not just those who had
> passed code tests.

Add to that those who rarely used code.

> Sure there are those who learned just enough to pass the Morse Code
> test and then never used it - just as there are those who just enough
> to pass the *written* tests and then never used it
>
> Heck, your buddy Len couldn't even get the length of a 73 MHz
> quarter-wave whip antenna right, and he's a "PROFESSIONAL"!

And you couldn't even get the distance to the moon, and you're a
"professional."

> > So put all USA licensed amateurs in fron of a station equipped with a
> > morse code key and with CWGet and total their scores.
>
> I presume you mean "contest scores"
>
> Why?

Why not? They're operating in a CW Contest. Why wouldn't you total
their scores?

> Who is going to set up and pay for all those stations? What sort of
> stations would they be - HF, VHF, UHF? What sort of antennas, rigs,
> computers?

Think about it.

The Morsemen can bandy about the CQ WW and Field Day CW vs SSB contest
scores all they want without having to standardize station equipment.
I bring up a scenario and NOW station equipment must be standardized.

There's some bias in your approach.

> Any ham who wants to operate Morse Code using CWGet or some other
> software can do so right now - if they have a station that includes
> rig, antenna, and computer.

Yep. I can finally agree with something you said.

> Yet I don't know of any amateur radio contesters who operate that way.
> Do you?

Nobody knew of anyone who operated amateur radio as in Larry Rolls
"Only CW can save the situation" but I NEVER ONCE saw your objection to
it.

I bring up a scenario and NOW you have a problems with how contestors
operate.

There's some bias in your approach.

> Your "thought experiment" doesn't seem to be thought out very well.

Sure it was.

Alternative scenario snipped.

> > > > > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > > > > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just 25 years?
> > > >
> > > > I wrote "more than 25 years".
> > > >
> > > > >I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> > > > > where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.
> > > >
> > > > What does that mean?
> > > >
> > > > Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.
> > >
> > > Yep, but nobody ever claimed that amateur radio was being dumbed down.
> > > The USA amateur service has a proud history of it.
> >
> > How was it "dumbing down" to eliminate the Conditional?

Jeez you're thick. It was dumbing down to create such a license class.

> > > > > Not just the code tests
> > > > > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> > > > > offices so far away from ham's residences.
> > > >
> > > > ??
> > > >
> > > > The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.
> > >
> > > It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
> > > unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
> > > their travel.
> >
> > Actually it cost FCC a lot of money to do testing.

It was the travel distance that was key in the creation of the
Conditional license, not the desire for the FCC to save money. Try to
stay on the subject.

> First off, they had to have offices with test facilities. The office
> they had in Philadelphia back when I took my exams was on the 10th
> floor of the Custom House at 2nd and Chestnut. Lots of square feet of
> prime real estate just for the exam room.
>
> Then there was the time of the examiners, all of whom worked for FCC.

> Pay and benefits. At least two people per office, three days a week.
> Times the number of offices all over the country.
>
> Then add the FCC folks who revised the exams, duplicated them, and
> distributed them to the various offices all over the country. And the
> cost of doing all that.
>
> The VE system eliminated all that expense. All FCC has to do now wrt
> amateur license testing is to look over the QPC submissions and approve
> them. And occasionally retest somebody.

That's all wunnerful, but you vectored off of the subject. Maybe next
time you'll be able to cut and paste something germane to the subject.

> Eliminating Element 1 will not save the FCC any expense. Keeping it
> will not cost them anything, either. Maybe that's why it's taking them
> so long.

Maybe. But they didn't even make the effort to define Morse Code in
the rules for the last 3 R&Os. Yet they tell you that the exam myst be
5WPM, and you've got all these VEs getting to define what that means.

> > > They replaced
> > > their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.
>
> > Good thing there wasn't a union.
>
> Why?

Are you anti-union? Do you favor scabs?

> > > > > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > > > > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > > > > > cause you to win the debate?
> > > > >
> > > > > No false sexist claim.
> > > >
> > > > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
> > > > Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > end of her station
> > >

> > Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
> > doing it?
>
> You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
> dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's
> the case at all.

If I considered your opinion to be wrong, do I get to call you a liar?

> > > > W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> > > > these days.
> > >
> > > Where do you get that idea?
>
> Hmmm?
>
> I've put up antennas with W3RV. Or rather, I helped out a little, since
> he had it all worked out on his own. No sisters involved.
>
> He does know quite a lot about antennas, particularly the practical
> side. He even knows that a quarter wave at 73 MHz is a lot longer than
> three and one quarter inches....

Prolly for illegal operation. He has no authorization in that area.

> > > > Fair is fair, yes?
>
> > > You're not fair at all.
> >
> > Since you have a corner on the fairness market, do you plan to be the
> > RRAP Moderator?
>
> Wait and see.
>
> ARRL November CW Sweepstakes starts Saturday afternoon and ends Sunday
> night. I'll be there - will you?

Nope, but knock yourself out.

4380. Danm tolet pipes frozee arly this year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 12:19:11 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <643gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 170447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
chassel.

4381. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:13:47 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162214027.241517.284380@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2006 13:06:10 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hjs...@cs.com wrote:
> >> > M...@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >> > > On 29 Oct 2006 12:05:42 -0800, hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >
> >> > > > >Slow Code wrote:
> >> > > > >> Opus- <jbuchy@shaw.ca> wrote in
> >> > > > >> news:n1g0k2h8kugbpj65vjk8fc96uk5hsl70k8@4ax.com:
> >> > > > >>
> >> > > > >> > Don't you have some offs to fuck?
> >> > > > >> >
> >> > > > >>
> >> > > > >>
> >> > > > >> Why do no-coders always break down in the middle of an argument and start
> >> > > > >> spewing profanities? I just don't understand it. It must be do to their
> >> > > > >> limited mental abilities.
> >> > > > >>
> >> > > > >> Opus being a Cannuk probably doesn't help either.
> >> > > > >>
> >> > > > >> SC
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> >> > > > >increase the number of hams applying for a license.
> >> > > > but it can help retain those we get and encourage them into intagrate
> >> > > > into the ARs as opposed to being driven out by such as SC
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > How would retaining the code requirement help to retain those you do
> >> > get. I do not understand.
> >> obviously you do not understand since the opisite was said ending code
> >> tsting could help retain the new hams
> >
> >I see that you real as well as you spell, which is not very good. I
> >was responding to the following: "but it can help retain those we get
> >".
> I "real"? indeed I see what you are responding to but you clearly
> can't read the sentence since neither of the preceeding poster is
> tlaking about reatining code testing
```

> and neither he 2 yet futher in the tree were talking and code testing
> at all
>
> the only one that thinks the poster are sufggesting code testing will
> retain anything is you and where you get is beyond me

hjs...@cs.com said:
"Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
increase the number of hams applying for a license. "

To which M...@kb9rqz.aprs responded:
"but it can help retain those we get "

And hjs...@cs.com said:
"I see that you real(sp) as well as you spell, which is not very good.
I
was responding to the following: "but it can help retain those we get"

And M...@kb9rqz.aprs said:
"the only one that thinks the poster are sufggesting code testing will
retain anything is you and where you get is beyond me"

If M...@kb9rqz.aprs will take the time to read the threaded responses
he will understand how the thread unfolded.

> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4382. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:30:11 UTC
From: Frank Dresser <analogdial@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <D7n1h.381581$QM6.202085@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 170449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Radiosrfun" <radiosrfun@radiosrfun.com> wrote in message
news:454560ad$0$13826$ecde5a14@news.coretel.net...
>
> A-men.......... Bro! It's not getting anyone anywhere. Just tying up
> bandwidth and making the groups BORING. I've killfiled more people than I
> think the computer can keep track of. DAMNED. We'll be talking to
ourselves
> pretty soon.
>
>

If you mean just SWLs discussing various aspects of the radio hobby on rec.radio.shortwave, well, let's hope so. The code/no code discussion got boring years ago. Or perhaps it's the posters who are boring. Either way, the crossposters from rec.radio.amateur groups make up the bulk of my killfile.

Frank Dresser

4383. i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:37:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4545f349$0$12054$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 170350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

ok I back four anoter tuff day on the news grupes it my job tis waht I do
so
bringit on you loosers

show-na-to-va (that is the greeting for this time of year as a JEW
rendered phonicticaly)
happy new years it means and it can be better one if Wismen Robson and
SC a few just decided to not to be as hateful

with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4384. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:43:07 UTC
From: "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170351

You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.

4385. Re: clean up ham radio SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:44:44 UTC
From: "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162215884.262374.128330@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170352

x

4386. Re: Stamp collecting and creativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:45:45 UTC
From: "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162215945.577533.242240@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170353

x

4387. Re: Stamp collecting doesn't require taking a code test.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:46:18 UTC
From: "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162215978.397573.142030@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170354

x

4388. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 13:46:19 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4545f549$0$12080$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 170355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

<n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

my blog
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4389. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:18:27 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <7t5ck2l522spsr7gllr4h6et8umadtc741@4ax.com>
Source record: 170356

On 29 Oct 2006 22:43:55 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>
and remains so
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4390. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:19:49 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <pv5ck21e587gpis6ibmp9pc30go4e9sj30@4ax.com>
Source record: 170357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:19:11 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
(Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4391. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:20:09 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <r06ck2pvhblf9css46ggplan984bjj96ae@4ax.com>
Source record: 170358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:37:49 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4392. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:21:52 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p16ck259hle2m6ipvgnaupaj40oaskav5j@4ax.com>
Source record: 170359

On 30 Oct 2006 05:43:07 -0800, "n3ox.dan@gmail.com"
<n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote:

>You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
the guy you are responding to is not me although he is pritating my
call and id likely the same guy has pirated my TECH call and used it
on HF iAgree It is a good chance that he (the forger) is SC but he may
simply be one of other nut job
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4393. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:22:16 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <p46ck2l9mfk4j6bla4tbu27pmj8sl79hj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 170360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:46:19 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
><n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4394. what there isn't gay for you "lloyd that you have import some

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:25:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162221937.366145.9880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170361

Lloyd wrote:
> On 29 Oct 2006 23:24:57 -0800, RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > letterman - i will be watching you with . . .
> > love in my heart and one hand on the remote control ~ RHF
>
> We already know that Letterman is anti-gay. He banned Richard Simmons
> from his show after spraying Simmons with a CO2 fire extinguisher to
> drive him off stage. Simmons was so upset that they had to call an
> ambulance after the incident.
this was OT for both but the shit monger have to drag in more shit

show you have clear sexual issues

4395. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:28:05 UTC
From: Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ioudnfSqo7aeh9vYnZ2dnUVZ_qGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

SNIPPED
>>
>>FLIP answer. Tnx for nothing.
>
> well when you ask a flip question what do you expect
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>

I still don't understand why you respond to a post by ONLY listing your blogspot.

What am I missing. My question was not 'flip', it was serious.

But I guess you have nothing worthwhile to say except look at my ....

4396. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:32:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162222379.252687.290090@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170363

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: "Dee Flint" on Sat, Oct 28 2006 10:27pm
> > > >
> > > >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >>> Dee Flint wrote:
> > > >>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >
> > > > If you go a bit north of Rocketdyne in Canoga Park, CA, you
> > > > would reach Hughes Aircraft Missle Division.
> > > >
> > > "Missile", Len.
>
> > prove postive of 2 thing DX is running poorly tonight and Heil has
> > nothing worthwhile to say
>
> Heil hasn't said anything worthwhile in this newsgroup for years. But
> he wants to run for ARRL office. Perhaps he's found his calling?
indeed but normal even he finds more to say than repeatly correcting
one word

4397. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:36:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162222566.914693.106820@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170364

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > imagines he is "helpful."
>
> I am helpful, Len.

Bullshit

4398. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 15:38:57 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcaba1.com>
Message-ID: <a47ck2tbiu7ca7q8mohvq3ssifkeampi0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 170365
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 12:07:24 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion
<verwarring@verwirren.confused> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 05:34:22 +0000, Art Deco attempted to confuse the
>issue further by squeaking:
>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 22:02:18 GMT, The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse the
>>>>>issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse the
>>>>>>>>>>>> issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600, Art
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>>> <snip>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>
>> No, you STFU, Bruce.
>
>No, you STFU, Bruce.

No, Bruce, you STFU.

4399. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 16:05:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162224357.026564.15750@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 03:20:35 -0500, Mark's Best Buddy attempted to confuse
> the issue further by squeaking:
> >
> > <smile>
> > This one should provide enough fodder for Mark to post no less than 200
> > comments this Sunday, none of which will make a lick of sense. Of course,
> > making sense has never been one of Mark's strong points and he needs a
> > minimum of "goading" to go on and on and on and on and....well, no more
> > need be said. You can rest assured that a plethora of misspelled
> > one-liners is sure to follow.
> <snip more than 3000 lines of a borked .sig>
>
> Hey, anonymous coward, did you know Mark has bigger balls than you? I've
> never seen them, but I can tell.

thank you I think (hard to tell with the Kooks)
although it would appear from his morning posting at nibusters that he
is disappointed at my repsonse

4400. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 16:08:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162224507.126449.236550@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
> >>
> >>FLIP answer. Tnx for nothing.
> >
> > well when you ask a flip question what do you expect
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
>
> I still don't understand why you respond to a post by ONLY listing your blogspot.
I don't so I can't help you understand beyond that
>
> What am I missing. My question was not 'flip', it was serious.
what are you missing? I realy don't know I could speculate, but I
suspect youd like that even less
>
> But I guess you have nothing worthwhile to say except look at my ....
guess wrong again

By now I am can say you are fool or just another of the troll of the
interent but hopefully you knew that

4401. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 16:26:54 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 170368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

<Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>
>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>
>>> Have a look at
>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>
>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>
>>> Ian.
>>
>>
>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>still someone out there that knew code.
> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>
>>SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ... --- ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe not the boat!;)

If someone taps out code, it may not be Continuous Wave Telegraphy, but it is still the same principle.

J
>

4402. the deperate grasping at straws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 16:34:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <18ack2ti8suadhah5ilsj5bf4r19n16u4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 170369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 11:26:54 -0500, "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>
><Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>
>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>
>>>> Have a look at
>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>
>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>
>>>> Ian.
>>>
>>>
>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
>HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key,
but morse being merely has NOTHIng to do with radio
> a Navy ship's
>lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ... ---
>...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe not the
>boat!;)
so what? you wish to argue that Conitued code testing is nessary since

soembody somewhere might save a life with it in an unrealted field
>
>If someone taps out code, it may not be Continuous Wave Telegraphy, but it
>is still the same principle.

and all
>
>
Look at this ass he realy think a ship sinking saving people with a
flashing light has any relavance to the topic ARS rules

get some therapy sir I truely mean you have lost it
>J
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4403. Re: Response to SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 17:02:45 UTC
From: KC4UAI <kc4uai@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162227765.517156.283060@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170370

Slow Code wrote:
>
> I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
> until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off. I'll
> disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by eliminating
> the code requirement. When that happens, I'll wear a strip of black
> electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe twenty-four hours
> of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.
>
> Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.
>

... .-.. --- .-- -.-. --- -.. .

-. . . -.. ... - --- - .... .. -. -.-

-. -... --- - ....

.- .-. --. ..- -- . -. - ... .-.-.-

- .... . .- .-. .-. .-.. .. ... -. --- -

- .-. -.-- .. -. --. - --- -.- .. .-.. .-..

.... .- -- .-. .- -.. .. ---

.- .-.. .. ...- . .-.-.-

.... -.. . .- ...

.-- --- ..- .-.. -.. -.- .. .-.. .-..

.... .- -- .-. .- .. -.. --- .- -. -..

.... -... . .-.. --- ...- . -..

.-.- .-- .-- .. - ... .. - .-.-.-

For those of you who find CW a bit tedious to decode this way it says:

Slow Code needs to think about his arguments.

The ARRL is not trying to kill CW but keep ham radio alive.

His ideas would kill ham radio and his beloved CW with it.

KC4UAI

4404. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 17:59:41 UTC
From: hjsjms@cs.com
Message-ID: <1162231181.691105.5700@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:
> hjsjms@cs.com wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
> >
> > Removing the code requirement at this late date would do little to
> > increase the number of hams applying for a license. At one time,
> > possibly 30 years ago it would have made sense to replace the code test
> > with one that emphasizes skills that actually have a use in the real
> > world. Sadly, I think that there is little that can be done to attract
> > younger hams into the hobby. There are just too many license-free ways
> > of communicating with people from around the world.
> >
>
> Amateur Radio is about much more than "communicating with people from around the
> world".
>
> Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning about
> sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation, learning about
> some electronics, learning about digital communication techniques, learning
> about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves, learning about wide band tv
> systems, learning about narrow band tv systems, learning about ... [you complete
> the phrase].
>
> If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to talk
> around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an Amateur Radio
> operator [make a commitment to LEARN].

I agree - learning something about amateur radio is a requirement for
passing the test. The real question is what kinds of knowlege about
amateur radio should be required of prospective hams before giving them
a license. It would seem to me that testing a prospective ham for
knowlege of how radios operate, how to set up a station properly and
how to operate the equipment safely would be a primary concern. Next
the ham should be able to demonstrate an ability to use the equipment
to communicate in an efficient and courteous manner in a mode that is
widely used. Voice is hands down the most frequently used mode of
communication. Additionally, a knowlege of how to communicate via
radio using voice would be a big help when trying to make contact
during an emergency with professional rescue groups. Having the
prospective ham learn morse code would not provide him with a skill
that has any real world use.

4405. Re: Danm tolet pipes frozee arly this year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 18:14:53 UTC
From: Chris <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.aa231075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 170372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:19:11 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
> chassel.

LOL!

4406. Explosion Blows Out Back of Wiseman's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 18:59:27 UTC
From: delorean@nim.bstrs
Message-ID: <104376787.1275687686@nim.bstrs>
Source record: 170373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From WBOY-TV, channel 12, Morgantown, WV

Explosion Blows Out Back of Marshall Co. House
Posted Friday, October 13, 2006 ; 10:19 PM

Glen Dale man homeless, Friday, after explosion.

Story by Pam Hoffer

A Glen Dale man is homeless, tonight, after the back of his home exploded just before five o'clock. It happened at 610 Glenhaven Avenue. Glendale Police say the back of a home blew off after there was a gas accumulation inside the bathroom. Firefighters say a fan burned up and overheated, sparking the fire and blowing out the back side of the house. The owner was found wandering around his back yard, covered in toilet paper, pantless, and in a daze. The owner of the home, R.L. Wiseman, said that his toilet had been stopped up for a couple of days and that he was unable to get any plumbers to fix the problem. Wiseman said he'd had gas accumulations in the past, but not quite to this extent. To make matters worse, Wiseman said he did not have homeowners insurance so everything is a total loss. Now the home is not stable and authorities have to determine what they're going to do next. In the meantime, Wiseman is sleeping in his car.

Copyright 2006 West Virginia Media. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. (This means you, Todd Daugherty.)

4407. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 19:02:28 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162234948.415678.265050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170374

From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> imagines he is "helpful."
>
>I am helpful, Len.

Mother Superior is back in her knuckle-spank-with-ruler mode.

Bad Habit, Mother. :-)

>> His concept of
>> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
>> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
>
>It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.

"Big Brother" thinking a la George Orwell. :-)

NO code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.

YOU demand that all newcomers wanting below-30-MHz
privileges MUST take that code test...because it was
always done. THAT is the "hive mind," Mother, straight
out of the olde-tymer ARRL's hymnbook.

What is so "sacred" about having to take that code test
for below-30-MHz operating privileges? You keep on and
on and on and on and on about that...yet every other
radio service doesn't require that. Ah, but your
RATIONALIZATION (that is all it is) is that amateur
radio is somehow "special" and MUST continue to do as
it has always done, keep on with federal testing for
morse code telegraphy. Virtual enslavement to the ideas
of long-ago radio amateurs who just couldn't keep up
with the times and change.

The emotional necessity you have for some nebulous
'tradition' to keep that code test is just self-defined
bullshit. Why are YOU so damn special that YOUR demands
MUST be met by others? Ego? Delusions of god-hood?
Are you a Controller, Mother? You have a NEED to CONTROL
others? Why are you against letting others choose for
themselves?

>> It might be that
>> doesn't understand 'reality.'
>> He says he "lives in the ham bands."
>
>Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.

Mother, quit that annoying habit. You know damn well
what YOU meant.

>> The rest of us
>> live in residences like houses or apartments.
>
>I've got one of those.

Ah, but do you LIVE in one? :-)

>> He has
>> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
>> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
>> mention local tax laws.
>
>What funny ideas?

YOURS, Mother. This newsgroup is NOT about local zoning
laws. This newsgroup is NOT about real estate. This
newsgroup is NOT about local tax laws.

YOU live roughly 3000 miles away (if you call that living)
and do NOT participate in my neighborhood association,
haven't even met or even know about the neighborhood, but
you damn well HAVE to intrude and lecture me, bore every-
one else about an incidental NON-AMATEUR-RADIO thing.

Tsk, your whole point of that was just Character
Assassination of me. :-) Since it didn't work, you
MUST keep on and on and on and on with it. It's about
the only 'weapon' you have in your tiny arsenal to fight
against elimination of the code test. :-)

>Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.
>
>I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.

Tsk, it must be Election Time since you are sounding
just like every bullshit-politician who ever ran. :-)

Are you running for some kind of "office" in amateur
radio? You want to CONTROL hams and enslave them to
YOUR politics? Sure sounds like it.

"Accuracy:" Are you still saying that ENIAC was "the
first electronic computer?" [it's not an amateur radio
subject since ENIAC never did any computing for amateur
radio] Are you going against a Federal Court decision
about that? Good luck and I hope you get admitted to

the Bar so you can re-argue that 1970s decision.

"Common sense:" The FCC gives all amateurs the OPTION of using any allocated mode in any allocated band. Yet you demand that the morse code test be required for any radio amateur desiring below-30-MHz privileges...even though the FCC has stated at least three times in public (beginning in 1990) that it sees no value for their licensing purposes. The FCC is the one with the NPRM on deleting that code test. YOUR idea of "common sense" is apparently a strict obediance to whatever the ARRL says. :-)

"Fair play:" As long as everyone in here agrees with your desires as a PCTA amateur extra, they are "fair." If they disagree, your "fair play" vanishes. You then become the ruler-whipping Mother Superior intent on character-assassination. Tsk, we've all seen that.

"Justice:" Wow, what a concept! The PCTA concept of "justice" is simply Do As We Say! Keep the code test forever and ever even if the REASONS for it have evaporated long ago. Keep The Code Test because all the PCTA had to take one and everyone else had damn well take one, too!

>Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.

You mean like "pushing" FACTS of a Federal Court decision in regards to your beloved ENIAC *not* accepted as a "first?" :-)

Mother, the ARRL is NOT the sole purveyor of radio history. There are other sources, but you keep trying to push ONLY the ARRL "facts" up people's hole.

>In reality, a quarter-wave whip antenna is a lot longer than 3 and 1/4
>inches.

Not at 731 MHz. :-)

Mother Superior, PUT DOWN THE RULER. I did correct my typo of accidental shifting of the apostrophe key.

Okay, you have just TOSSED OUT your "accuracy" and "fair play" in favor of manufactured Character Assassination. You are going to DWELL on that typo WITHOUT acknowledging my own correction.

Standard Operating Procedure for you, Mother.

>Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?

That's about ALL you do to my postings, Mother. :-)

You love playing the prissy pedant and get amnesia when
you GET CORRECTED ON YOUR OWN MISTAKES! :-)

Does too much morse code affect your short-term memory?
Give you selective amnesia? Increase your imagination?

>> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
>
>Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
>US military?

There are databases showing who was NOT in the military?!?

Where? If there were, YOU would be on one! :-)

You have NEVER served in any military, yet your own quaint
sense of "justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy"
MAKES you "correct" anyone about anything military? Hey,
no problem, you can get support for replacing the Secretary
of Defense any time from other PCTA! You can then replace
the MARS Directive and say "hams run MARS!" :-)

Want to see a digitized copy of my DD-214, Mother? I'm sure
you would considering your sense of "justice, fair play,
common sense, and ACCURACY." I might even add a copy of my
Honorable Discharge; I would have to go get it from the
Safety Deposit box at the bank, but it would be for "justice,
fair play, common sense, and accuracy." Those are two
documents which YOU will NEVER have.

Does YOUR concept of "justice, fair play, common sense, and
accuracy" ALLOW some bullshitters to claim military service
even if they haven't produced ONE documentary PROOF of it
for years? Yes, I'm sure it does as long as they champion
morse code testing for amateur licenses. You seem to love
and condone anyone who is against NCTAs.

Gotta love that "justice, fair play, common sense, and
accuracy" of yours, Mother. Right up there with all the
dictators of human history. You keep up the good work of
1906 thinking in the year 2006.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4408. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 19:57:34 UTC
From: Bill <baycock@hughes.net>
Message-ID: <1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
list), but it is not now.
Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
he is not the only idiot here.
Bye-Bill

4409. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 20:07:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <mqmck25jusfvm10kj9ilfcsa9o7luq6rd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 18:14:53 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:19:11 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
>> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
>> chassel.
>
>LOL!
it is amusing to see you llaughing at your OWN posting
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4410. copyright violation remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 20:09:48 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ksmck2t2o19bt7cdehlhfcgs82os187p69@4ax.com>
Source record: 170377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 19:59:27 +0100 (CET), delorean@nim.bstrs
(DeLorean) wrote:

>
>From WBOY-TV, channel 12, Morgantown, WV
>
>----
>Explosion Blows Out Back of Marshall Co. House
>Posted Friday, October 13, 2006 ; 10:19 PM
>
>Glen Dale man homeless, Friday, after explosion.
>
>Story by Pam Hoffer

>Copyright 2006 West Virginia Media. All rights reserved. This material may
>not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. (This means you,
>Todd Daugherty.)
unless you are Pam Hoffer Delorean you are no guility of a crime

a crime commited solely becuase I have by and large manged to drive
that poor witless fool out of here and you find him entertaining
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4411. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 20:41:12 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns986C9F8B3F376elektrosmdonet@217.22.228.20>
Source record: 170378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

kelly@dvol.com wrote in
news:1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:

>
> Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
>> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>>
>> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
>> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
>> >
>> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
>> >>
>> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
>> >
>> >
>> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and
>> > the ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by
>> > little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and
>> > hand it over to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water
>> > that's raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we
>> > have to place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound
>> > like Citizens Band.
>> >
>> > SC
>> >
>>
>> Here's a reality check.
>>
>> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down
>> to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them.
>> The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
>>
>> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
>> Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you
>> can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125
>> after the change).
>>
>> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I
>> am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland
>> US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest
>> of the world is doing.
>>

>> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access
>> to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but
>> for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still
>> doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of
>> them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split
>> working will drag on a while longer.
>
> Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
>
> Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
> us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
> grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
> UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.
>
>> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies
>> that were off limits to the DX?
>
> The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
> spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.
>
>> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all
>> other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone
>> subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't
>> move on 15 (or 20).
>>
>> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
>>
>> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
>
> There's your real problem.
>
> w3rv
>
>

I can work the UK on 40 phone now without going split. They can use 7040-7200 now. OTOH, who knows when the broadcasters will ever move?

It's a complete crock about protecting the DX. The answer for ragchewers has always been to use a band that isn't open to where you want to be protected from, i.e. don't ragchew on an open band unless you want DX (meaning in this case US hams) to ask to join the QSO (some actually like it when that happens, you know). It's not really hard for them to find a band that isn't open to the US.

4412. recap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 21:09:38 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <45465d31$0$12056$88260bb3@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 170379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

1) I was draft into the US Army.
2) I is a member of the Chemcal Cops
3) The military wont let me retire.
4) I dosn't serve under the name 'Mark Morgan but that's okay cuz theFBI,
DOD, and CIA have al todl me I candodit.
5) I is on-the-lam from stalking former marines and fatal
atraction four ex-shemale wife almoney
6) I was recenty, paying $5/hr. to acess my ISP
7) I has a 248 IQ, but cant figre out how touse a spel checker.
8) I is a bi-sexual Pagan male ham who like to be spanked.
9) I can't find any action on the military base in Central IL, so I hav to
ask the peple on th USNET.
http://www.marksspamblog.blogspot.com/

10) I punce

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4413. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 21:33:27 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hcu1h.15117$Lv3.7120@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170380

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1162072567.285655.303010@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>>> Then why do the military service have technical schools to do somehting
>>> so very simple?

>> I guess it is because of the raw material they have to work with.
>
> Always a kind word for our armed forced...

Armed forced?

Our military isn't perfect. Many of those who enlist aren't all that
sharp. Most are shoved into a career field in which they have no
interest. Most aren't going to make the military a career. Some are
lucky enough to have skills obtained prior to military service. Some of
those are fortunate enough to serve in a field in which they have some
expertise or interest.

>>> Why aren't the communications billets merely a direct duty assignment
>>> after basic training?

>> They can be. That's how I did it. I never set foot in an Air Force
>> technical school. Of course I'd already been a radio amateur for seven
>> years when I joined the military. I was awarded my 3-level right out of
>> basic training. I went directed duty to Barksdale AFB after ten days of
>> leave after Amarillo.
>
> Lackland. San Antonio.

Yes, Lackland AFB is in San Antonio. Amarillo AFB was in Amarillo.
That's where I went through basic training. Amarillo. Amarillo.

> Did you catch what Robesin's got?

I have no idea of what you mean, Brian.

>>> Whole government agencies gave up on code. Commercial businesses gave
>>> up on code.
>> Oracle uses a lot of code.
>>

> Is Oracle an Extra? What's his call?

Oracle is a business which didn't give up on code.

Dave K8MN

4414. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:14:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162250051.987666.205340@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1162135194.690513.289640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
>
> [snip]
> >>
> >> Depends on how bad you want the privileges. Just don't ask for something
> >> for nothing. Originally I had no interest in ham radio but my husband at
> >> the time dragged me to a class as something we could do together. As I
> >> got
> >> involved, I found it interesting. I deduced very early on that what I
> >> wanted to get out of ham radio would best be served by going all the way
> >> to
> >> Extra. Since I wanted the privileges, I met the requirements including
> >> the
> >> 20 wpm.
> >>
> > So if he dragged you to a class, how did you end up presiding over the
> > class that took him to Extra?
> >
>
> Different husband. My previous husband dragged me to the Tech class. We
> split up a few years later. Then after that I met the man who was to become
> my current husband. It is my current husband who took the Extra class that
> I was teaching. Sorry for the confusion there.

No problem.

> Although it would have been possible for me to have taught my previous
> husband since I reached Extra a couple of months before he did.

Anyone can teach a class licensed or not, but an Extra (actually 3
Extras) must proctor the Extra exam.

> >> Not everyone wants those privileges. Kim is a case in point. She is a
> >> Tech
> >> Plus and could have gotten her General with just a written test and no
> >> further code testing as of April 2000. She chose not to because she did
> >> not
> >> really like HF operations. The typical background static of HF bothers
> >> her.
> >> Her interests lie in VHF and up. Since she has full privileges there,

> >> the
> >> General does not serve her goals.
> >
> > Yep. Technician is a whole lot of priveleges.
> >
> >> > That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
> >> > "style."
> >>
> >> Very true. But it takes a lot of discipline to consistently write in a
> >> different style and not make tell tale slips. When Len Anderson was
> >> posting
> >> as Avery Fineman, it was quite obvious they were the same person.
> >
> > When I post as Hot-Ham, there's no intent to deceive. There is an
> > intent to have a throw-away email address that I've checked the mailbox
> > content about twice. It can fill up with all that spam that the
> > spammers desire.
> >
> > I Am What I Am. That a famous quote of Popeye.
>
> And I don't criticize some one who does that. It is only when there is the
> apparent intent to deceive (Len Anderson) or the appaerent intent to violate
> their ISPs TOS (Mark Morgan), that it is unreasonable.

Welp, good breeding keeps me from doing what Robesin does. And Robesin
wasn't stopped until someone out-assholed him. All Mark asked for was
an apology for being called a rapist. Robesin couldn't do that.

> >> > I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
> >> > I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
> >> > seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
> >> >
> >> > Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
> >> > I have.
> >> >
> >> > That's so swell of him.
> >> >
> >> > I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
> >> > tit for tat. No?
> >>
> >> Doesn't really matter as with the internet this information is findable
> >> one
> >> way or another if one cares to go after it. Posting it here only shows
> >> that
> >> you have the internet search skills of any average user and get some kind
> >> of
> >> juvenile thrill out of posting it.
> >>
> >> Dee, N8UZE
> >
> > The intent is to intimidate.

>
> Such an attempt is foolish. Anyone who is intimidated by that must not be
> aware how easy that information is to find these days.
>
> Dee, N8UZE

Information coupled with action is called stalking.

4415. Re: CW-forever Guys are gonna go balistic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:31:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162251074.450050.82840@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com wrote in
> news:1160949009.882798.262830@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com:
> > Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >> Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in news:6tgXg.8071$Y24.103
> >> @newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >> > "Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
> >> > news:ScaXg.7929$Y24.6296@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net:
> >> >
> >> >> 80M and 40M phone band expansion
> >> >>
> >> >> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-06-149A1.doc
> >> >
> >> > I don't know if we'll get Mad right away but it's clear the FCC and
> >> > the ARRL want to drive all good hams out of the service. Little by
> >> > little they'll take away the non-phone portions of the bands and
> >> > hand it over to the phone users. Like a frog in a pot of water
> >> > that's raised to boiling point, one day us real hams will find we
> >> > have to place to communicate on the ham bands that doesn't sound
> >> > like Citizens Band.
> >> >
> >> > SC
> >> >
> >> Here's a reality check.
> >>
> >> On 80m the rest of the world, except Canada, has always had phone down
> >> to 3600 as far back as I can remember, and we are merely joining them.
> >> The VE phone ops still have to stay above 3700, I think.
> >>
> >> On 40m the international bandplans have phone down to 7040 except in
> >> Region 3 where it is 7030. The only countries that I know of where you
> >> can't use phone down to 7040 are Mexico (7050) and the USA (now 7125
> >> after the change).
> >>
> >> US hams outside Region 2 have had phone down to 7075 for years, and I
> >> am on record as suggesting that we should have that in the mainland
> >> US. It's hard to see why not when you actually look at what the rest
> >> of the world is doing.
> >>
> >> At the same time countries in Region 1 aren't supposed to get access
> >> to 7100-7200 until the middle of 2007. Many of them already have, but
> >> for those that haven't, a phone subband that goes down to 7125 still
> >> doesn't even reach the top edge of their whole band. Doubtless some of

> >> them will be late in implementing the extra spectrum, so forced split
> >> working will drag on a while longer.
> >
> > Alun you've been dumping this old whine into the groups for years now
> > and it's long since worn out. Not that it needs to be explained to you
> > *again* but the FCC is *protecting* the dx from the hordes of Yanks who
> > would obliterate the dx if we were allowed to run SSB below 7100.
> >
> > Dee also explaned it in her immediately preceeding post, read it, give
> > us a break and internalize it this time then go find something new to
> > grouse about for the next five years. Maybe by then your chums in the
> > UK will be able to transceive with you above 7125.
> >
> >> How would you like it if your 40m CW allocation were on frequencies
> >> that were off limits to the DX?
> >
> > The difference is all about one SSB signal taking up the same amout of
> > spectrum space as 5-10 CW signals.

Sheesh! No wonder Dee says CWGet can't copy it with these guys
interfering each other.

> >> On 20m and 15m we still have 50 kHz less phone on each band than all
> >> other countries. Although General and Advanced got bigger phone
> >> subbands on 15 (as well as 80 and 40), the bottom edge of phone didn't
> >> move on 15 (or 20).
> >>
> >> 73 de Alun, N3KIP
> >>
> >> (20wpm Extra, 100% phone op)
> >
> > There's your real problem.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I can work the UK on 40 phone now without going split. They can use 7040-
> 7200 now. OTOH, who knows when the broadcasters will ever move?
>
> It's a complete crock about protecting the DX. The answer for ragchewers
> has always been to use a band that isn't open to where you want to be
> protected from, i.e. don't ragchew on an open band unless you want DX
> (meaning in this case US hams) to ask to join the QSO (some actually like
> it when that happens, you know). It's not really hard for them to find a
> band that isn't open to the US.

KH2D and I used to chat on a dead band; 10 meters. Then someone from
Africa would break in for a QSO

4416. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:45:43 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162251943.180194.7970@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 08:46:19 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>
> >
> ><n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> >> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> >> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> >> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
> >with the punce gotcha he wonders why I simple don't bother to ty
> > impoving my spelling do u hav anyting cognet two say?
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
STFU marqueer
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4417. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:48:30 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162252110.774496.25040@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,soc.culture.canada

n3ox.dan@gmail.com wrote:
> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.

dan's been eating marqueer's man puss

4418. Re: FA: COURIER CENTURION AM/ SSB AND TURNER PLUS 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:54:04 UTC
From: I PUNCE <markpunce@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162252444.446146.181770@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.democrats
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

THE DOCTOR wrote:
> Hi, posting this for a friend.
>
>
> TURNER PLUS III PREAMP MICROPHONE
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:L:LCA:US:11&item=130041995
734
>
>
>
> COURIER CENTURION
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:L:LCA:US:11&item=130042311
185
>
>
>
>
> --------------090500030106020008020709
> Content-Type: text/html
> X-Google-AttachSize: 1117
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi, posting this for a friend.

>

>

> TURNER PLUS III PREAMP MICROPHONE

>

> face="Arial">http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:L:LCA:US:11&i
tem=130041995734

>

>

>

> COURIER CENTURION

>

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:L:LCA:US:11&item=130042311
185

>

>

>

>
>
>
>
> --------------090500030106020008020709--

doc, would that help with marqueer's moon bounce?

4419. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:58:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162252722.661441.196130@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >> > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > > > > > > >> > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> > > >> And dismissed it.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > > > > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > > > > > >> is
> > > > > > > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > > > > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> I've tried it
> > > > > > > > >> under a
> > > > > > > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > > > > > >> signal to
> > > > > > > > >> function.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the
```

corollary
> > > > > > > > >> > > > of
> > > > > > > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like
Carl,
> > > > > > > > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating such
> > > > > > > > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals are
> > > > > > > > > >> > good."
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > > > > > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the software
> > > > > > > > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur
operators
> > > > > > > > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > > > > > > >> > > its
> > > > > > > > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it is
> > > > > > > > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > > > > > > >> > > hear that.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over the
> > > > > > > > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are
good
> > > > > > > > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >> well it is a thankless job
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > > > > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > > > > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > > > > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I have

> > > > > > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > > > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > > > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing
is
> > > > > > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > > > > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > > > > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > > > > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > > > > > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > > > > > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > > > > > > the scores...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I think you get the point.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What point?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I did. It's not clear.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Spell it out for us, please.
> > > > >
> > > > I'll spell it out for you, Jim.
> > >
> > Thank you, Brian!
>
> Any time.
>
> > > Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
> > > license.
> >
> > You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
> > than half.
> >
> > 40% is more like it.
>
> 49.5% according to your very own postings.

You are mistaken, Brian.

The Technician license does not make up 49.5% of US hams. The total of
Technicians and Technician Pluses reaches about that level. (All
Technician Pluses are Morse Code tested).

In addition, many hams whose licenses say "Technician" are code tested
and have some HF privileges. These include:

- all Tech Pluses who have renewed since April 15, 2000
- all Novices who have upgraded to Technician

- all Technicians who have passed Element 1, but not the written exam
for General

btw, no US amateur radio license is "code-free". All of them can use
Morse Code.

> > > Probably most of the coded licensees never looked back when
> > > they learned the code to get past a licensing hurdle, don't use code,
> > > and couldn't if their lives depended on it.
> >
> > That's not a given at all.
>
> I would expect you to say something like that.

> > Remember the ARRL survey that was debated so much here?
>
> The one where as a member, I did not receive a ballot?
>
> The one that Mike Deignan characterized as "substantive?"
>
> Yes, I recall the survey. Looked as if it had been developed by a
> bunch of dems hoping to influence the outcome of an election.

You mean like this:

http://www.rawstory.com/showoutarticle.php?src=http://www.miami.com/mld/miamiherald/news/b
reaking_news/15869924.htm

btw, next Tuesday I get to choose between Curt Weldon and Joe Sestak.
Which do you think I should vote for?

> > It showed that
> > less than 40% of those hams who were asked never used Morse Code. And
> > it included licensees from all license classes, not just those who had
> > passed code tests.
>
> Add to that those who rarely used code.

Why?

Even if someone rarely uses it, that means they still remember it and
can use it at some level.

> > Sure there are those who learned just enough to pass the Morse Code
> > test and then never used it - just as there are those who just enough
> > to pass the *written* tests and then never used it
> >
> > Heck, your buddy Len couldn't even get the length of a 73 MHz
> > quarter-wave whip antenna right, and he's a "PROFESSIONAL"!
>
> And you couldn't even get the distance to the moon,

You are mistaken.

You've repeatedly claimed that I mis-stated the distance from Earth to
the moon on rrap.
Show us where I did that - if you can.

I don't think you can, because it did not happen. If I did it, show us.

Otherwise you're just making things up.

> and you're a "professional."

I've never claimed to be a professional astronomer.

Len claims to be a "PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics" (whatever that
is) but he messes up on the length of an antenna for a radio service he
has claimed to use.

> > > So put all USA licensed amateurs in fron of a station equipped with a
> > > morse code key and with CWGet and total their scores.
> >
> > I presume you mean "contest scores"
> >
> > Why?
>
> Why not? They're operating in a CW Contest. Why wouldn't you total
> their scores?

What's the point?

> > Who is going to set up and pay for all those stations? What sort of
> > stations would they be - HF, VHF, UHF? What sort of antennas, rigs,
> > computers?
>
> Think about it.

I did. That's why I'm asking the question.

Do you think the taxpayers should subsidize amateur radio stations?
>
> The Morsemen

Who are they?

> can bandy about the CQ WW and Field Day CW vs SSB contest
> scores all they want without having to standardize station equipment.
> I bring up a scenario and NOW station equipment must be standardized.

Who said anything about standardizing station equipment? Not me.

I simply want to know where all those stations are supposed to come
from.
>
> There's some bias in your approach.

None at all.
>
> > Any ham who wants to operate Morse Code using CWGet or some other

> > software can do so right now - if they have a station that includes
> > rig, antenna, and computer.

> Yep. I can finally agree with something you said.

So a version of the experiment you describe can happen in every
contest. But it doesn't.
>
> > Yet I don't know of any amateur radio contesters who operate that way.
> > Do you?
>
> Nobody knew of anyone who operated amateur radio as in Larry Rolls
> "Only CW can save the situation" but I NEVER ONCE saw your objection to
> it.

So what? I don't read everything written to rrap. Larry hasn't posted
here in *years*.

> I bring up a scenario and NOW you have a problems with how contestors
> operate.

Not at all.

I just don't see anyone using CWGet to operate a contest - even though
they could. Heck *you* could. Why don't you?
>
> There's some bias in your approach.

None at all.

> > Your "thought experiment" doesn't seem to be thought out very well.
>
> Sure it was.
>
> Alternative scenario snipped.

Why? Aren't you up to such a simple challenge? Here it is again:

Field Day 2007.

Entry class 1B-1 (one transmitter, one operator).

The challenge is to assemble, transport, set up, operate, and take down
a complete FD station - singlehanded, no outside help - and make the
highest score.

Field Day location must not be owned by the participant and must not be
a licensed amateur station location. Station location must be under FCC
jurisdiction.

All equipment used must be legitimately owned by the operator.

All FCC regulations and ARRL rules that apply to Field Day must be
complied with by all involved.

Results report must be submitted to ARRL before the deadline. Highest
official score wins.

A simple, real-world challenge. What's the problem?
>
> > > > > > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > > > > > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just 25 years?
> > > > >
> > > > > I wrote "more than 25 years".
> > > > >
> > > > > >I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> > > > > > where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.
> > > > >
> > > > > What does that mean?
> > > > >
> > > > > Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.
> > > >
> > > > Yep, but nobody ever claimed that amateur radio was being dumbed down.
> > > > The USA amateur service has a proud history of it.
> > >
> > How was it "dumbing down" to eliminate the Conditional?
>
> Jeez you're thick.

No, Brian, I'm not "thick". You just did a poor job of explaining.

>It was dumbing down to create such a license class.

Why? The Conditional and its predecessor Class C go back to before the
FCC.

> > > > > > Not just the code tests
> > > > > > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> > > > > > offices so far away from ham's residences.
> > > > >
> > > > > ??
> > > > >
> > > > > The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
> > > > unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
> > > > their travel.
> > >
> > Actually it cost FCC a lot of money to do testing.
>
> It was the travel distance that was key in the creation of the
> Conditional license, not the desire for the FCC to save money.

I was writing about the reason the FCC stopped doing license testing
for *all* license classes. That's part of the reduction in
requirements.

> Try to stay on the subject.

I am on the subject. You're trying to change it.

> > First off, they had to have offices with test facilities. The office
> > they had in Philadelphia back when I took my exams was on the 10th
> > floor of the Custom House at 2nd and Chestnut. Lots of square feet of
> > prime real estate just for the exam room.
> >
> > Then there was the time of the examiners, all of whom worked for FCC.
> > Pay and benefits. At least two people per office, three days a week.
> > Times the number of offices all over the country.
> >
> > Then add the FCC folks who revised the exams, duplicated them, and
> > distributed them to the various offices all over the country. And the
> > cost of doing all that.
> >
> > The VE system eliminated all that expense. All FCC has to do now wrt
> > amateur license testing is to look over the QPC submissions and approve
> > them. And occasionally retest somebody.
>
> That's all wunnerful, but you vectored off of the subject.

Nope.

> Maybe next
> time you'll be able to cut and paste something germane to the subject.

The subject was the reduction in license requirements by FCC giving
over the testing to VEs.
>
> > Eliminating Element 1 will not save the FCC any expense. Keeping it
> > will not cost them anything, either. Maybe that's why it's taking them
> > so long.
>
> Maybe. But they didn't even make the effort to define Morse Code in
> the rules for the last 3 R&Os.

Why should they? Is there any doubt?

> Yet they tell you that the exam myst be
> 5WPM, and you've got all these VEs getting to define what that means.

It's not a problem to anyone with common sense.

> > > > They replaced
> > > > their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.
> > >
> > > Good thing there wasn't a union.
> >

> > Why?
>
> Are you anti-union?

No. Are you?

> Do you favor scabs?

Bandages are better.

> > > > > > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't use
> > > > > > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > > > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > > > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > > > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > > > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > > > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > > > > > > > cause you to win the debate?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No false sexist claim.
> > > > > >
> > > > > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
> > > > > Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > end of her station
> > > >
> > > Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
> > > doing it?
> >
> > You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
> > dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's
> > the case at all.
>
> If I considered your opinion to be wrong, do I get to call you a liar?

Why would you do that?

Have I ever called *anyone* here a liar?

> > > > > W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> > > > > these days.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where do you get that idea?
> > >
> > Hmmm?
> >
> > I've put up antennas with W3RV. Or rather, I helped out a little, since

> > he had it all worked out on his own. No sisters involved.
> >
> > He does know quite a lot about antennas, particularly the practical
> > side. He even knows that a quarter wave at 73 MHz is a lot longer than
> > three and one quarter inches....
>
> Prolly for illegal operation. He has no authorization in that area.

Actually, he does. Part 95 remote control, same as your buddy Len. And
everybody else.

> > > > > Fair is fair, yes?
> >
> > > > You're not fair at all.
> > >
> > > Since you have a corner on the fairness market, do you plan to be the
> > > RRAP Moderator?
> >
> > Wait and see.
> >
> > ARRL November CW Sweepstakes starts Saturday afternoon and ends Sunday
> > night. I'll be there - will you?
>
> Nope, but knock yourself out.

I'll be awake and operating. CWGet won't be part of it.

4420. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-30 23:59:51 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xlw1h.33604$ta3.30176@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>
>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>
>>>> Have a look at
>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>
>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>
>>>> Ian.
>>>
>>>
>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ... ---
> ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe not the
> boat!;)

Yeah! I remember. Wasn't that on the original Posiedon Adventure movie?

>
> If someone taps out code, it may not be Continuous Wave Telegraphy, but it

> is still the same principle.
>
>
> J
>>
>
>

4421. Re: id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:03:57 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <um4dk2pkhbkcm0gr22di2s4hdv8o9j501v@4ax.com>
Source record: 170388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Oct 2006 15:45:43 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>

>STFU marqueer

you are the crimal not I
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4422. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:04:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ln4dk21oh7loh2c8lmqq2de3n9ob1gkk5k@4ax.com>
Source record: 170389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 16:09:38 -0500, <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:

>
id theft si a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4423. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:07:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <4p4dk2lq18r7u9hs1e2ulsio7j7f99kgg1@4ax.com>
Source record: 170390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 30 Oct 2006 15:14:12 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1162135194.690513.289640@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>
>> [snip]
>> >>
>> >> Depends on how bad you want the privileges. Just don't ask for something
>> >> for nothing. Originally I had no interest in ham radio but my husband at
>> >> the time dragged me to a class as something we could do together. As I
>> >> got
>> >> involved, I found it interesting. I deduced very early on that what I
>> >> wanted to get out of ham radio would best be served by going all the way
>> >> to
>> >> Extra. Since I wanted the privileges, I met the requirements including
>> >> the
>> >> 20 wpm.
>> >
>> > So if he dragged you to a class, how did you end up presiding over the
>> > class that took him to Extra?
>> >
>>
>> Different husband. My previous husband dragged me to the Tech class. We
>> split up a few years later. Then after that I met the man who was to become
>> my current husband. It is my current husband who took the Extra class that
>> I was teaching. Sorry for the confusion there.
>
>No problem.
>
>> Although it would have been possible for me to have taught my previous
>> husband since I reached Extra a couple of months before he did.
>
>Anyone can teach a class licensed or not, but an Extra (actually 3
>Extras) must proctor the Extra exam.

Indeed I thaught an Extra and Geneal classes course back at SVRC as a
tech
>
>> >> Not everyone wants those privileges. Kim is a case in point. She is a
>> >> Tech

>> >> Plus and could have gotten her General with just a written test and no
>> >> further code testing as of April 2000. She chose not to because she did
>> >> not
>> >> really like HF operations. The typical background static of HF bothers
>> >> her.
>> >> Her interests lie in VHF and up. Since she has full privileges there,
>> >> the
>> >> General does not serve her goals.
>> >
>> > Yep. Technician is a whole lot of priveleges.
>> >
>> >> > That's the beauty of anon postings, they don't have to follow their own
>> >> > "style."
>> >>
>> >> Very true. But it takes a lot of discipline to consistently write in a
>> >> different style and not make tell tale slips. When Len Anderson was
>> >> posting
>> >> as Avery Fineman, it was quite obvious they were the same person.
>> >
>> > When I post as Hot-Ham, there's no intent to deceive. There is an
>> > intent to have a throw-away email address that I've checked the mailbox
>> > content about twice. It can fill up with all that spam that the
>> > spammers desire.
>> >
>> > I Am What I Am. That a famous quote of Popeye.
>>
>> And I don't criticize some one who does that. It is only when there is the
>> apparent intent to deceive (Len Anderson) or the appaerent intent to violate
>> their ISPs TOS (Mark Morgan), that it is unreasonable.
>
>Welp, good breeding keeps me from doing what Robesin does. And Robesin
>wasn't stopped until someone out-assholed him. All Mark asked for was
>an apology for being called a rapist. Robesin couldn't do that.

Nor Did I violate the ToS of my ISP

Dee its seems can't help but atack those she doesn't and she singles
out the NoCoders and excuses the ProCoders
>
>> >> > I began posting as hot-ham when I gave up Billy Beeper at Hans request.
>> >> > I'd prefer to not post with my name and/or call as I used to, as I
>> >> > seem to get lots and lots of spam when I do.
>> >> >
>> >> > Meanwhile, Robesin has posted my name, call and address much more than
>> >> > I have.
>> >> >
>> >> > That's so swell of him.
>> >> >
>> >> > I guess when Mark posts Robesin's address and phone number, it's just
>> >> > tit for tat. No?
>> >>

>> >> Doesn't really matter as with the internet this information is findable
>> >> one
>> >> way or another if one cares to go after it. Posting it here only shows
>> >> that
>> >> you have the internet search skills of any average user and get some kind
>> >> of
>> >> juvenile thrill out of posting it.
>> >>
>> >> Dee, N8UZE
>> >
>> > The intent is to intimidate.
>>
>> Such an attempt is foolish. Anyone who is intimidated by that must not be
>> aware how easy that information is to find these days.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>Information coupled with action is called stalking.
yea when it also includes using the Usmail to harras and false call to
law enforencement and..
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4424. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:08:35 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <8v4dk2hoo5836a6k29mp4ao5gol7gk0n4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 170391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 23:59:51 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>>
>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>
>>>> Have a look at
>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>
>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>
>>>> Ian.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>>
>> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
>> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ... ---
>> ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe not the
>> boat!;)

>
>
>Yeah! I remember. Wasn't that on the original Posiedon Adventure movie?
nope no morse was simple punding answered by faster pounding
>
>
>>
>> If someone taps out code, it may not be Continuous Wave Telegraphy, but it
>> is still the same principle.
>>
>>
>> J
>>>
>>
>>
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4425. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:09:08 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <b05dk215gvhcl2hhaljclkjb4u2dvh3rcf@4ax.com>
Source record: 170393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 23:51:07 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:07:18 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 18:14:53 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:19:11 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >
>> >> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
>> >> chassel.
>> >
>> >LOL!
>> it is amusing to see you llaughing at your OWN posting
>
>LOL!

and you support crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4426. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:09:44 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <d15dk29j3ki11d0urv0hpa6c2avuam3afp@4ax.com>
Source record: 170392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 30 Oct 2006 15:48:30 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>n3ox.dan@gmail.com wrote:
>> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>
>dan's been eating marqueer's man puss
stop comiting it
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4427. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:12:18 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <565dk250pk67o45col0mu68lim4mc9jc1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 170394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 30 Oct 2006 15:54:04 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

id theft is a crime
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4428. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:31:29 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <1a6dk29v43u8210smbu3vkicgv9v8662v4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 20:31:12 -0500, "Roger" <anon@anon> wrote:

>
>......and that is what happens when you big, tough, macho guys choose to be
>an idiot and use your real names and callsigns on Usenet.
>We told you so!
nope but in any event it shows who is amn and who isn't
>
>Neener! Neener! Neener!
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4429. Amateurs used to be something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:31 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <zQw1h.7$L6.3@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We were different because we could communicate with each other in morse.
If code is eliminated there won't be anything unique anymore. Someone
making an effort to learn code was also viewed has someone who most likely
went out of their way to learn other things too, but now people look at
the no codes and they don't see anything special there.

SC

4430. Re: Coax Crimp Tool Recommendation?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:32 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <AQw1h.8$L6.5@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
news:Ro-dnR7I2LS-Lt7YnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Solder??

I know how you feel. I felt the same way after hearing there would be
5wpm Extras.

> Danny Richardson wrote:
>
>> Looking for suggestions for a good crimp tool for installing coax
>> connectors. It will primarily for BNC connectors on RG-174, 58 and 59
>> cables. Although the RG-8 cable family capability would be nice too.
>>
>> I do wish to avoid being a captive customer in that brand X crimp tool
>> only works well with brand X connectors.
>>
>> And, of course, looking for the best bank for the buck.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Danny
>
>

4431. Re: CW haters suck.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:33 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <BQw1h.9$L6.5@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:xOe1h.25340$GR2.19305@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.
>>
>> SC
>
> What about the rest of the world you fruitcake? In reality, with the
> rest of the world not requiring code, what you predict in your myopic
> little views should already be taking place. HF knows no political
> boundaries. Answer why HF is not already a wasteland, fucktard.

It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement. HF will get
worse. Ten-Four?

SC

4432. Re: Danm tolet pipes frozee arly this year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:34 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <CQw1h.10$L6.3@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs (Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote in
news:643gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com:

>
> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
> chassel.
>

ROFL! Thanks for that, best laugh I had all day.

It fits the way Mark in the Dark to a T.

SC

4433. Ham radio without CW is CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:35 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <DQw1h.11$L6.6@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I know you all don't like to hear that, but that's the way it is.

SC

4434. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:37 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.

WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!

That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.

Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.

SC
20wpm code tested Extra

4435. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:38 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <GQw1h.13$L6.9@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
news:mLydnWU0BuGE0NjYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com:

> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>
> SNIPPED
>>>
>>>Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning
>>>about sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation,
>>>learning about some electronics, learning about digital communication
>>>techniques, learning about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves,
>>>learning about wide band tv systems, learning about narrow band tv
>>>systems, learning about ... [you complete the phrase].
>>
>> I notice none of the things you list is Morse Code
>>
>>>If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to
>>>talk around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an
>>>Amateur Radio operator [make a commitment to LEARN].
>>>
>>>/s/ DD, W1MCE
>>
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>
> I can still put 18-20 wpm on paper [with arthritis in fingers] and read
> 25+ wpm.
>
> What is your skill level?

Mark in the Dark doesn't have a skill level. He butchers the english
pretty good though.

SC

4436. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:39 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <HQw1h.14$L6.1@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net> wrote in
news:hr2dndbOKMxXF9jYnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com:

>
>> Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
>> lot of Bad people out of ham radio.
>>
>> SC
>
> How come it didnt keep you out ?

I'm not bad.

SC

4437. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:43 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <LQw1h.15$L6.14@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1162234948.415678.265050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:

> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> imagines he is "helpful."
>>
>>I am helpful, Len.
>
> Mother Superior is back in her knuckle-spank-with-ruler mode.
>
> Bad Habit, Mother. :-)
>
>>> His concept of
>>> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
>>> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
>>
>>It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.
>
> "Big Brother" thinking a la George Orwell. :-)
>
> *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
> for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
> FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
> any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.
>
> YOU demand that all newcomers wanting below-30-MHz
> privileges MUST take that code test...because it was
> always done. THAT is the "hive mind," Mother, straight
> out of the olde-tymer ARRL's hymnbook.
>
> What is so "sacred" about having to take that code test
> for below-30-MHz operating privileges? You keep on and
> on and on and on and on about that...yet every other
> radio service doesn't require that. Ah, but your
> RATIONALIZATION (that is all it is) is that amateur
> radio is somehow "special" and MUST continue to do as
> it has always done, keep on with federal testing for
> morse code telegraphy. Virtual enslavement to the ideas
> of long-ago radio amateurs who just couldn't keep up
> with the times and change.
>

> The emotional necessity you have for some nebulous
> 'tradition' to keep that code test is just self-defined
> bullshit. Why are YOU so damn special that YOUR demands
> MUST be met by others? Ego? Delusions of god-hood?
> Are you a Controller, Mother? You have a NEED to CONTROL
> others? Why are you against letting others choose for
> themselves?
>
>
>>> It might be that
>>> doesn't understand 'reality.'
>>> He says he "lives in the ham bands."
>>
>>Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.
>
> Mother, quit that annoying habit. You know damn well
> what YOU meant.
>
>>> The rest of us
>>> live in residences like houses or apartments.
>>
>>I've got one of those.
>
> Ah, but do you LIVE in one? :-)
>
>>> He has
>>> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
>>> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
>>> mention local tax laws.
>>
>>What funny ideas?
>
> YOURS, Mother. This newsgroup is NOT about local zoning
> laws. This newsgroup is NOT about real estate. This
> newsgroup is NOT about local tax laws.
>
> YOU live roughly 3000 miles away (if you call that living)
> and do NOT participate in my neighborhood association,
> haven't even met or even know about the neighborhood, but
> you damn well HAVE to intrude and lecture me, bore every-
> one else about an incidental NON-AMATEUR-RADIO thing.
>
> Tsk, your whole point of that was just Character
> Assassination of me. :-) Since it didn't work, you
> MUST keep on and on and on and on with it. It's about
> the only 'weapon' you have in your tiny arsenal to fight
> against elimination of the code test. :-)
>
>>Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.
>>

>>I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.
>
> Tsk, it must be Election Time since you are sounding
> just like every bullshit-politician who ever ran. :-)
>
> Are you running for some kind of "office" in amateur
> radio? You want to CONTROL hams and enslave them to
> YOUR politics? Sure sounds like it.
>
> "Accuracy:" Are you still saying that ENIAC was "the
> first electronic computer?" [it's not an amateur radio
> subject since ENIAC never did any computing for amateur
> radio] Are you going against a Federal Court decision
> about that? Good luck and I hope you get admitted to
> the Bar so you can re-argue that 1970s decision.
>
> "Common sense:" The FCC gives all amateurs the OPTION
> of using any allocated mode in any allocated band. Yet
> you demand that the morse code test be required for any
> radio amateur desiring below-30-MHz privileges...even
> though the FCC has stated at least three times in public
> (beginning in 1990) that it sees no value for their
> licensing purposes. The FCC is the one with the NPRM
> on deleting that code test. YOUR idea of "common
> sense" is apparently a strict obediance to whatever the
> ARRL says. :-)
>
> "Fair play:" As long as everyone in here agrees with
> your desires as a PCTA amateur extra, they are "fair."
> If they disagree, your "fair play" vanishes. You then
> become the ruler-whipping Mother Superior intent on
> character-assassination. Tsk, we've all seen that.
>
> "Justice:" Wow, what a concept! The PCTA concept of
> "justice" is simply Do As We Say! Keep the code test
> forever and ever even if the REASONS for it have
> evaporated long ago. Keep The Code Test because all
> the PCTA had to take one and everyone else had
> damn well take one, too!
>
>
>>Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.
>
> You mean like "pushing" FACTS of a Federal Court
> decision in regards to your beloved ENIAC *not*
> accepted as a "first?" :-)
>
> Mother, the ARRL is NOT the sole purveyor of radio
> history. There are other sources, but you keep
> trying to push ONLY the ARRL "facts" up people's hole.

>
>
>>In reality, a quarter-wave whip antenna is a lot longer than 3 and 1/4
>>inches.
>
> Not at 731 MHz. :-)
>
> Mother Superior, PUT DOWN THE RULER. I did correct my
> typo of accidental shifting of the apostrophe key.
>
> Okay, you have just TOSSED OUT your "accuracy" and
> "fair play" in favor of manufactured Character
> Assassination. You are going to DWELL on that typo
> WITHOUT acknowledging my own correction.
>
> Standard Operating Procedure for you, Mother.
>
>
>>Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?
>
> That's about ALL you do to my postings, Mother. :-)
>
> You love playing the prissy pedant and get amnesia when
> you GET CORRECTED ON YOUR OWN MISTAKES! :-)
>
> Does too much morse code affect your short-term memory?
> Give you selective amnesia? Increase your imagination?
>
>
>>> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
>>
>>Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
>>US military?
>
> There are databases showing who was NOT in the military?!?
>
> Where? If there were, YOU would be on one! :-)
>
> You have NEVER served in any military, yet your own quaint
> sense of "justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy"
> MAKES you "correct" anyone about anything military? Hey,
> no problem, you can get support for replacing the Secretary
> of Defense any time from other PCTA! You can then replace
> the MARS Directive and say "hams run MARS!" :-)
>
> Want to see a digitized copy of my DD-214, Mother? I'm sure
> you would considering your sense of "justice, fair play,
> common sense, and ACCURACY." I might even add a copy of my
> Honorable Discharge; I would have to go get it from the
> Safety Deposit box at the bank, but it would be for "justice,

> fair play, common sense, and accuracy." Those are two
> documents which YOU will NEVER have.
>
> Does YOUR concept of "justice, fair play, common sense, and
> accuracy" ALLOW some bullshitters to claim military service
> even if they haven't produced ONE documentary PROOF of it
> for years? Yes, I'm sure it does as long as they champion
> morse code testing for amateur licenses. You seem to love
> and condone anyone who is against NCTAs.
>
> Gotta love that "justice, fair play, common sense, and
> accuracy" of yours, Mother. Right up there with all the
> dictators of human history. You keep up the good work of
> 1906 thinking in the year 2006.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

What's wrong with letting us keep our ham traditions?

SC

4438. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:46 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <OQw1h.17$L6.7@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Bill" <baycock@hughes.net> wrote in
news:1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
> people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
> psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
> issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
> list), but it is not now.
> Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
> I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
> usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
> he is not the only idiot here.

Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

SC

4439. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:48 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <QQw1h.18$L6.12@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
news:1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Barry OGrady wrote:
>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> >>Or just lazy people out?
>> >>
>> >> >>Sc
>> >
>> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>> >learning it, either.
>>
>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>> requirement was removed years ago?
>
> Yes. In the USA at least.
>
> Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
> the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
> has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
> licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
> of General class, the next-largest license class.
>
> Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
> 2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
> that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
> classes.
>
> A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
> timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
> replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
> licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
> persisted for three years.
>
> The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
> major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
> Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY
> regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
> olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of

> the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
> that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
> over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
> of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
> newcomers they once had.
>
> Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a
> spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a
> guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act
> to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times.
> Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in
> regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority
> of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

You should market your posts to farmers Len. The fertilizer content in them could green the Sahara.

SC

4440. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:50 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <SQw1h.20$L6.19@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1162172143.634128.99720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>> I can't here it on 6950
>>
>> SC
>
> We are moving back to 13.556.00 Mhz.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> OMEGA ONE RADIO

Good. I'll give a report, and critique the music.

SC

4441. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:52 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <UQw1h.21$L6.16@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in
news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net:

>
> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>
>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>
>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>
>>>> Have a look at
>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>
>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>
>>>> Ian.
>>>
>>>
>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>
>>>SC
>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>
>> --
>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>
>
> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ...
> --- ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe
> not the boat!;)
>
> If someone taps out code, it may not be Continuous Wave Telegraphy, but
> it is still the same principle.

>
>
> J

Arguing with Mark in the Dark futile. Only do it if you have nothing
better to do.

SC

4442. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:53 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <VQw1h.22$L6.3@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
news:Xlw1h.33604$ta3.30176@tornado.texas.rr.com:

>
> "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>>
>> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>>
>>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>>
>>>>> Have a look at
>>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>>
>>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>>
>>>>> Ian.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>>
>>>>SC
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>> --
>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>
>>
>> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
>> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ...
>> --- ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe
>> not the boat!;)

>
>
> Yeah! I remember. Wasn't that on the original Posiedon Adventure movie?

No, it was was to save a sailer so he could buy an evening with your mom.

SC

4443. Re: Response to SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:54 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <WQw1h.23$L6.10@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170511

"KC4UAI" <kc4uai@gmail.com> wrote in
news:1162227765.517156.283060@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Slow Code wrote:
>>
>> I was part of the big RRAP CW and anti-ARRL fights of the late 90's up
>> until restructuring went into effect, then my posting tapered off.
>> I'll disappear again once the FCC totally kills off ham radio by
>> eliminating the code requirement. When that happens, I'll wear a strip
>> of black electricians tape around my arm in memorial and observe
>> twenty-four hours of radio silence in memory of a once proud service.
>>
>> Rest in Peace, Ham Radio. You were a good and faithful friend.
>>
>
> ... .-.. --- .-- -.-. --- -.. .
slow code
> -. . . -.. ... - --- - .... .. -. -.-
needs to think
> -. -... --- - ....
nbot his
> .- .-. --. ..- -- . -. - ... .-.-.-
arguments.
> - .... . .- .-. .-. .-.. .. ... -. --- -
the arrl is not
> - .-. -.-- .. -. --. - --- -.- .. .-.. .-..
trying to kill
> .... .- -- .-. .- -.. .. ---
ham radio
> .- .-.. .. ...- . .-.-.-
alive.
> .... -.. . .- ...
his ideas
> .-- --- ..- .-.. -.. -.- .. .-.. .-..
would kill
> .... .- -- .-. .- .. -.. --- .- -. -..
ham raido and
> .... -... . .-.. --- ...- . -..
his beloved
> .-.- .-- .-- .. - ... .. - .-.-.-
aaw wits it.

> For those of you who find CW a bit tedious to decode this way it says:
>

> Slow Code needs to think about his arguments.
>
> The ARRL is not trying to kill CW but keep ham radio alive.
>
> His ideas would kill ham radio and his beloved CW with it.
>
>
> KC4UAI

I see your point, it's on top of your head. Ham radio has to sound like CB
in order to survive.

SC

4444. What's wrong with ham Tradition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:32:55 UTC
From: Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam>
Message-ID: <XQw1h.24$L6.22@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Nothing. It makes us unigue.

Len just doesn't like it is all.

SC

4445. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:34:59 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ag6dk2tg9mu853rkfdqgsftitrb16o18vc@4ax.com>
Source record: 170513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:38 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Dave <W1MCE@comcast.net> wrote in
>news:mLydnWU0BuGE0NjYnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>>
>> SNIPPED
>>>>
>>>>Amateur Radio is about LEARNING !!! LEARNING some physics, learning
>>>>about sunspots, learning about antennas, learning about propagation,
>>>>learning about some electronics, learning about digital communication
>>>>techniques, learning about VHF propagation, learning about microwaves,
>>>>learning about wide band tv systems, learning about narrow band tv
>>>>systems, learning about ... [you complete the phrase].
>>>
>>> I notice none of the things you list is Morse Code
>>>
>>>>If you just want to talk around the world, use CB. If you just want to
>>>>talk around town, use FRS. If you want to LEARN about radio become an
>>>>Amateur Radio operator [make a commitment to LEARN].
>>>>
>>>>/s/ DD, W1MCE
>>>
>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>
>>
>> I can still put 18-20 wpm on paper [with arthritis in fingers] and read
>> 25+ wpm.
>>
>> What is your skill level?
>
>
>Mark in the Dark doesn't have a skill level.
I do indeed have a skill level inMrse Code it is zero
>He butchers the english
>pretty good though.
thank you for making my point
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4446. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:35:12 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ph6dk2huohibguji79nhhpullf0cbe18us@4ax.com>
Source record: 170514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:39 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"R. Scott" <spamtrap@w7psk.net> wrote in
>news:hr2dndbOKMxXF9jYnZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com:
>
>>
>>> Good, we should keep the requirement then, because it has kept a
>>> lot of Bad people out of ham radio.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> How come it didnt keep you out ?
>
>
>I'm not bad.
>
yes you are
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4447. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:35:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bi6dk29o5a36u32utslp9o0hi7vh5d6a0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 170515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:43 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1162234948.415678.265050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am
>>
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> imagines he is "helpful."
>>>
>>>I am helpful, Len.
>>
>> Mother Superior is back in her knuckle-spank-with-ruler mode.
>>
>> Bad Habit, Mother. :-)
>>
>>>> His concept of
>>>> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
>>>> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
>>>
>>>It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.
>>
>> "Big Brother" thinking a la George Orwell. :-)
>>
>> *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
>> for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
>> FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
>> any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.
>>
>> YOU demand that all newcomers wanting below-30-MHz
>> privileges MUST take that code test...because it was
>> always done. THAT is the "hive mind," Mother, straight
>> out of the olde-tymer ARRL's hymnbook.
>>
>> What is so "sacred" about having to take that code test
>> for below-30-MHz operating privileges? You keep on and
>> on and on and on and on about that...yet every other
>> radio service doesn't require that. Ah, but your
>> RATIONALIZATION (that is all it is) is that amateur
>> radio is somehow "special" and MUST continue to do as
>> it has always done, keep on with federal testing for
>> morse code telegraphy. Virtual enslavement to the ideas
>> of long-ago radio amateurs who just couldn't keep up

>> with the times and change.
>>
>> The emotional necessity you have for some nebulous
>> 'tradition' to keep that code test is just self-defined
>> bullshit. Why are YOU so damn special that YOUR demands
>> MUST be met by others? Ego? Delusions of god-hood?
>> Are you a Controller, Mother? You have a NEED to CONTROL
>> others? Why are you against letting others choose for
>> themselves?
>>
>>
>>>> It might be that
>>>> doesn't understand 'reality.'
>>>> He says he "lives in the ham bands."
>>>
>>>Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.
>>
>> Mother, quit that annoying habit. You know damn well
>> what YOU meant.
>>
>>>> The rest of us
>>>> live in residences like houses or apartments.
>>>
>>>I've got one of those.
>>
>> Ah, but do you LIVE in one? :-)
>>
>>>> He has
>>>> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
>>>> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
>>>> mention local tax laws.
>>>
>>>What funny ideas?
>>
>> YOURS, Mother. This newsgroup is NOT about local zoning
>> laws. This newsgroup is NOT about real estate. This
>> newsgroup is NOT about local tax laws.
>>
>> YOU live roughly 3000 miles away (if you call that living)
>> and do NOT participate in my neighborhood association,
>> haven't even met or even know about the neighborhood, but
>> you damn well HAVE to intrude and lecture me, bore every-
>> one else about an incidental NON-AMATEUR-RADIO thing.
>>
>> Tsk, your whole point of that was just Character
>> Assassination of me. :-) Since it didn't work, you
>> MUST keep on and on and on and on with it. It's about
>> the only 'weapon' you have in your tiny arsenal to fight
>> against elimination of the code test. :-)
>>

>>>Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.
>>>
>>>I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.
>>
>> Tsk, it must be Election Time since you are sounding
>> just like every bullshit-politician who ever ran. :-)
>>
>> Are you running for some kind of "office" in amateur
>> radio? You want to CONTROL hams and enslave them to
>> YOUR politics? Sure sounds like it.
>>
>> "Accuracy:" Are you still saying that ENIAC was "the
>> first electronic computer?" [it's not an amateur radio
>> subject since ENIAC never did any computing for amateur
>> radio] Are you going against a Federal Court decision
>> about that? Good luck and I hope you get admitted to
>> the Bar so you can re-argue that 1970s decision.
>>
>> "Common sense:" The FCC gives all amateurs the OPTION
>> of using any allocated mode in any allocated band. Yet
>> you demand that the morse code test be required for any
>> radio amateur desiring below-30-MHz privileges...even
>> though the FCC has stated at least three times in public
>> (beginning in 1990) that it sees no value for their
>> licensing purposes. The FCC is the one with the NPRM
>> on deleting that code test. YOUR idea of "common
>> sense" is apparently a strict obediance to whatever the
>> ARRL says. :-)
>>
>> "Fair play:" As long as everyone in here agrees with
>> your desires as a PCTA amateur extra, they are "fair."
>> If they disagree, your "fair play" vanishes. You then
>> become the ruler-whipping Mother Superior intent on
>> character-assassination. Tsk, we've all seen that.
>>
>> "Justice:" Wow, what a concept! The PCTA concept of
>> "justice" is simply Do As We Say! Keep the code test
>> forever and ever even if the REASONS for it have
>> evaporated long ago. Keep The Code Test because all
>> the PCTA had to take one and everyone else had
>> damn well take one, too!
>>
>>
>>>Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.
>>
>> You mean like "pushing" FACTS of a Federal Court
>> decision in regards to your beloved ENIAC *not*
>> accepted as a "first?" :-)
>>
>> Mother, the ARRL is NOT the sole purveyor of radio

>> history. There are other sources, but you keep
>> trying to push ONLY the ARRL "facts" up people's hole.
>>
>>
>>>In reality, a quarter-wave whip antenna is a lot longer than 3 and 1/4
>>>inches.
>>
>> Not at 731 MHz. :-)
>>
>> Mother Superior, PUT DOWN THE RULER. I did correct my
>> typo of accidental shifting of the apostrophe key.
>>
>> Okay, you have just TOSSED OUT your "accuracy" and
>> "fair play" in favor of manufactured Character
>> Assassination. You are going to DWELL on that typo
>> WITHOUT acknowledging my own correction.
>>
>> Standard Operating Procedure for you, Mother.
>>
>>
>>>Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?
>>
>> That's about ALL you do to my postings, Mother. :-)
>>
>> You love playing the prissy pedant and get amnesia when
>> you GET CORRECTED ON YOUR OWN MISTAKES! :-)
>>
>> Does too much morse code affect your short-term memory?
>> Give you selective amnesia? Increase your imagination?
>>
>>
>>>> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
>>>
>>>Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
>>>US military?
>>
>> There are databases showing who was NOT in the military?!?
>>
>> Where? If there were, YOU would be on one! :-)
>>
>> You have NEVER served in any military, yet your own quaint
>> sense of "justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy"
>> MAKES you "correct" anyone about anything military? Hey,
>> no problem, you can get support for replacing the Secretary
>> of Defense any time from other PCTA! You can then replace
>> the MARS Directive and say "hams run MARS!" :-)
>>
>> Want to see a digitized copy of my DD-214, Mother? I'm sure
>> you would considering your sense of "justice, fair play,
>> common sense, and ACCURACY." I might even add a copy of my

>> Honorable Discharge; I would have to go get it from the
>> Safety Deposit box at the bank, but it would be for "justice,
>> fair play, common sense, and accuracy." Those are two
>> documents which YOU will NEVER have.
>>
>> Does YOUR concept of "justice, fair play, common sense, and
>> accuracy" ALLOW some bullshitters to claim military service
>> even if they haven't produced ONE documentary PROOF of it
>> for years? Yes, I'm sure it does as long as they champion
>> morse code testing for amateur licenses. You seem to love
>> and condone anyone who is against NCTAs.
>>
>> Gotta love that "justice, fair play, common sense, and
>> accuracy" of yours, Mother. Right up there with all the
>> dictators of human history. You keep up the good work of
>> 1906 thinking in the year 2006.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>
>What's wrong with letting us keep our ham traditions?

none till it violates the law
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4448. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:36:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <cj6dk2199psdbnr8tam2apujj64lnuqfl4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"Bill" <baycock@hughes.net> wrote in
>news:1162238254.786244.302400@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> It's not code requirements or tests that is keeping (and driving) good
>> people out of ham radio, and away from lists like these, it's
>> psychopaths like SC and the idiots that feed him. This WAS about real
>> issues, and about technology (I hit this cesspool from the antennas
>> list), but it is not now.
>> Thanks to the crap fron SC, and from the idiots that feed this Troll,
>> I'm leaving. On real lists, s--t pots like him are kicked out. On
>> usenets, the only way is to quit feeding his ego, but, unfortunately,
>> he is not the only idiot here.
>
>
>Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?
yes

and it is driving other out

and it is leting rotten one in like yourself
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4449. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:36:47 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <hk6dk2lcecttuaa9fqr102pdse8s19det5@4ax.com>
Source record: 170517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:48 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
>news:1159809489.133036.157070@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Barry OGrady wrote:
>>> On Thu, 28 Sep 2006 22:54:46 -0500, Nada Tapu <user@host.domain> wrote:
>>>
>>> >On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 23:23:03 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>
>>> >>Or just lazy people out?
>>> >>
>>> >>Sc
>>> >
>>> >It certainly didn't keep me out, and I wasn't all that crazy about
>>> >learning it, either.
>>>
>>> More to the point, are there more licensed amateurs since the code
>>> requirement was removed years ago?
>>
>> Yes. In the USA at least.
>>
>> Since the inception of the no-code Technician class here in 1991,
>> the growth of the Technician class license numbers in the USA
>> has been continuous. Those now comprise about 49 % of ALL
>> licensees. The Technician class license numbers are twice that
>> of General class, the next-largest license class.
>>
>> Since the "reconstruction" in FCC amateur radio regulations of
>> 2001, the number of licensees grew to peak in July, 2003. At
>> that time the maximum code test rate was fixed at 5 WPM, all
>> classes.
>>
>> A problem now is the attrition of the older licensees. More old-
>> timers are leaving/expiring (their licenses) than are being
>> replaced by new (never before licensed in amateur radio)
>> licensees. Source: www.hamdata.com. That trend has
>> persisted for three years.
>>
>> The code test is not THE factor causing it, just one of the
>> major factors in slowing the increase of new licensees.
>> Coupled with the stubborn resistance to change of ANY

>> regulations by olde-tymers, there is little incentive to enter
>> olde-tyme amateur radio. Ally that with the huge growth of
>> the Internet in the 15 years it has been public - an Internet
>> that has spread worldwide with near-instant communications
>> over that world - and the traditional standards and practices
>> of olde-tyme ham radio just don't have the appeal to
>> newcomers they once had.
>>
>> Elimination of the code test for any license will cause a
>> spurt in new licensees. While such elimination is not a
>> guarantee to far-future growth, it will be the significant act
>> to being CHANGING regulations to better fit the modern times.
>> Keeping up with changing times is a NECESSITY in
>> regulations, regardless of the personal desires of the minority
>> of amateurs making up the olde-tyme group.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>You should market your posts to farmers Len. The fertilizer content in
>them could green the Sahara.
clean up ham radio turing YOUR license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4450. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:37:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <dl6dk2124m2lj33eukk4edkpahcb0072m7@4ax.com>
Source record: 170518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:53 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:Xlw1h.33604$ta3.30176@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>>>
>>> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>>> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>>>
>>>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Have a look at
>>>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Ian.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>>>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>>
>>> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
>>> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ...

>>> --- ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe
>>> not the boat!;)
>>
>>
>> Yeah! I remember. Wasn't that on the original Posiedon Adventure movie?
>
>
>No, it was was to save a sailer so he could buy an evening with your mom.
clean up ham radio SC start by turning in your license
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4451. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:37:53 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <im6dk2hpll30kvsa7hg8nlaqi62pbff1j2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:50 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1162172143.634128.99720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> Slow Code wrote:
>>> I can't here it on 6950
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> We are moving back to 13.556.00 Mhz.
>>
>> Todd N9OGL
>> OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
>Good. I'll give a report, and critique the music.
why waste the BW we you will say it is shitty
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4452. Re: CWsuck.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:38:37 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <9n6dk25svb5clpvjf70sokclirqktpsa9o@4ax.com>
Source record: 170520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:33 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>news:xOe1h.25340$GR2.19305@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> What about the rest of the world you fruitcake? In reality, with the
>> rest of the world not requiring code, what you predict in your myopic
>> little views should already be taking place. HF knows no political
>> boundaries. Answer why HF is not already a wasteland, fucktard.
>
>
>It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement.
no but it was a death knell for you
> HF will get
>worse. Ten-Four?
how can with you on HF I can ONLY improve HF
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4453. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:39:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <so6dk2tqtnrejk40umcvrda4apgo1n4d58@4ax.com>
Source record: 170521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:34 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Mark@kb9rqz.aprs (Mark@kb9rqz.aprs) wrote in
>news:643gi2tmfvtrol85pqfj3j4ufigl9htkj9@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
>> chassel.
>>
>
>
>ROFL! Thanks for that, best laugh I had all day.
>
>It fits the way Mark in the Dark to a T.
really you just proved yourself a a fool by believing a forger
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4454. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:40:13 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <fq6dk2hludtilhtibo9j9t4ck72lb6gnpm@4ax.com>
Source record: 170522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>"n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
>news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
>> goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
>> rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
>> rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>
>WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
>
>
>That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me.

then why do you advocate it destruction why are you such bad Ham?

http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4455. Re: Amateurs are something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:42:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <ht6dk2pmlo99enp87gtipefahfiebjp10k@4ax.com>
Source record: 170523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>We were different because we could communicate with each other in morse.
>If code is eliminated there won't be anything unique anymore. Someone
>making an effort to learn code was also viewed has someone who most likely
>went out of their way to learn other things too, but now people look at
>the no codes and they don't see anything special there.

in a word Bullshit SC

ham are specail but you seek to crush the drams and efforts of Hams to
do this and scarfice all to your god Morse Code
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4456. Re: Ham radio without CW is Ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:43:06 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <vv6dk255ak7nu0d13m7lk143rg3q5b9c4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 170524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:35 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>
>I know you all don't like to hear that, but that's the way it is.
>
but nobody is planing to ban CW anytime soon
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4457. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition? plenty!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:44:26 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <017dk25mcjv60vi3284i94v1pd4apt3c0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 170525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:55 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:

>Nothing. It makes us unigue.
it was tradion to lynch black Men for looking at white women
>
>Len just doesn't like it is all.

not just len Promote your tradional mode if you like in the free
market of ideas

stop demending Mode welfare if Code can't stand on it's then let it
die
>
>SC
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4458. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:46:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1162255573.992240.197880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170526

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> imagines he is "helpful."

> >I am helpful, Len.
>
What do you need help with?

> >> His concept of
> >> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
> >> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
> >
> >It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.
>
> "Big Brother" thinking a la George Orwell. :-)

That's what you do, all right. Anyone who disagrees is denounced.
>
> *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
> for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
> FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
> any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.

They have that freedom now, Len.

You do not.

> YOU demand that all newcomers wanting below-30-MHz
> privileges MUST take that code test..

I don't "demand", Len. I simply think that a code test is a good idea
for *all* amateur radio licenses.

I also think the written tests could be improved.

> .because it was always done.

Nope. Wrong. You've made yet another mistake.

I think the Morse Code test is a good idea for many reasons, but
"because it was always done" isn't one of them.

> THAT is the "hive mind," Mother, straight
> out of the olde-tymer ARRL's hymnbook.

You're just plain wrong, Len.

> What is so "sacred" about having to take that code test
> for below-30-MHz operating privileges?

Nothing sacred about it, Len.

It's just a good idea. In fact, I think amateur radio would be better off if *all* amateur licenses required a Morse Code test. That's just my opinion.

> You keep on and
> on and on and on and on about that...yet every other
> radio service doesn't require that.

So what? Amateur radio is different. If it wasn't, there would be no need for it to be a separate radio service.

> Ah, but your
> RATIONALIZATION (that is all it is) is that amateur
> radio is somehow "special" and MUST continue to do as
> it has always done, keep on with federal testing for
> morse code telegraphy.

No, that's not true at all, Len. You've made yet another mistake.

> Virtual enslavement to the ideas
> of long-ago radio amateurs who just couldn't keep up
> with the times and change.

You mean like somebody who insists that zoning ordinances must never be changed to allow different land uses?

> The emotional necessity you have for some nebulous
> 'tradition' to keep that code test is just self-defined
> bullshit.

Y'know, Len, it's easy to tell when you've lost the debate. You tell us all by the way you go ballistic, start cussing and SHOUTING and using derogatory nicknames and cuss words.

The fact is that you have no tolerance for opinions different from yours.

> Why are YOU so damn special that YOUR demands
> MUST be met by others?

Ask yourself that question, Len.

> Ego? Delusions of god-hood?
> Are you a Controller, Mother? You have a NEED to CONTROL
> others? Why are you against letting others choose for
> themselves?

See? There you go!

I'm just expressing my opinion, Len. I think a Morse Code test is a good thing for Amateur Radio. In fact, I think it would be better if

all radio amateurs had to pass such a test.

You were the one who wanted to prevent people under the age of 14
from getting amateur licenses.

You were the one who wanted to prevent development of land you did
not own, just because it was near your house.

You are the one spamming ECFS with hundreds of pages of commentary,
even though you are not involved in amateur radio at all.

Who is trying to be the controller, Len?

> >> It might be that
> >> doesn't understand 'reality.'
> >> He says he "lives in the ham bands."
> >
> >Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.
>
> Mother, quit that annoying habit. You know damn well
> what YOU meant.

Len, if you claim someone wrote something here, you should be able to
back up that claim. Seems to me you can't do that.

You've stooped to misquoting me for some reason. Why? All my posts are
in the archives - if I wrote something, a direct quote would be easy to
find.

I think you know that you are wrong, and are trying to evade the truth.

> >> The rest of us
> >> live in residences like houses or apartments.
> >
> >I've got one of those.
>
> Ah, but do you LIVE in one? :-)

What do you think?

> >> He has
> >> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
> >> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
> >> mention local tax laws.
> >
> >What funny ideas?
>
> YOURS, Mother.

I'm not your mother, Len. (thank goodness!)

> This newsgroup is NOT about local zoning
> laws. This newsgroup is NOT about real estate. This
> newsgroup is NOT about local tax laws.

Says who? Are you the moderator? I think not!

It's not about a lot of things, but that never stopped you.

> YOU live roughly 3000 miles away (if you call that living)
> and do NOT participate in my neighborhood association,
> haven't even met or even know about the neighborhood, but
> you damn well HAVE to intrude and lecture me, bore every-
> one else about an incidental NON-AMATEUR-RADIO thing.

I don't think everyone else is bored by my postings.

The fact is that *you* have done exactly what you accuse others of doing: resisting change, trying to keep others out, holding to old ways, etc.

Almost every claim you have made about those who support Morse Code testing can be used to describe your actions toward a simple zoning change.

> Tsk, your whole point of that was just Character
> Assassination of me. :-)

Can't kill something that doesn't exist ;-) ;-) ;-)

What did I write about your zoning change that wasn't true, Len?

> Since it didn't work, you
> MUST keep on and on and on and on with it. It's about
> the only 'weapon' you have in your tiny arsenal to fight
> against elimination of the code test. :-)

It's a clear and valid analogy. You're the outsider trying to force your way on a community where you have no investment. Just like an outside developer trying to build in your neighborhood - except that the developer invested lots of time, money and effort into the neighborhood.

> >Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.
> >
> >I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.
>
> Tsk, it must be Election Time since you are sounding
> just like every bullshit-politician who ever ran. :-)

You mean like the two presidents from your state? They weren't exactly winners, Len.

> Are you running for some kind of "office" in amateur
> radio? You want to CONTROL hams and enslave them to
> YOUR politics? Sure sounds like it.

Not to anyone who has any sense.

> "Accuracy:" Are you still saying that ENIAC was "the
> first electronic computer?"

You've made another mistake, Len.

ENIAC was the world's very first fully operational, high speed, general
purpose, electronic
digital computer.

That's what I've repeatedly written, but you misquote me.

> [it's not an amateur radio
> subject since ENIAC never did any computing for amateur
> radio]

How do you know for sure?

> Are you going against a Federal Court decision
> about that? Good luck and I hope you get admitted to
> the Bar so you can re-argue that 1970s decision.

The court decision was about the patents, and the attempt to monopolize
the computer industry.

If you think the ABC machine was an electronic computer in any real
sense, then you really don't know what the words mean. All it could do
was solve systems of linear equations - it didn't even have a
conditional jump instruction. It was a specialized calculator, not a
true computer.

See the chart in:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eniac

> "Common sense:" The FCC gives all amateurs the OPTION
> of using any allocated mode in any allocated band.

That's true. Or they can come up with new modes, document them, and FCC
will allow the new modes as well.

> Yet
> you demand that the morse code test be required for any
> radio amateur desiring below-30-MHz privileges...even
> though the FCC has stated at least three times in public
> (beginning in 1990) that it sees no value for their
> licensing purposes.

I don't "demand" that, Len. I just think it's a good idea. And not just
for HF but for all radio amateurs.

FCC has stated all sorts of things, btw. Doesn't mean they are always
right. Do you think BPL is a good thing? FCC seems to think it is.

If FCC is so against Morse Code testing, why wasn't the test just
dropped in the summer of 2003? All it would take is a Memorandum Report
and Order.

> The FCC is the one with the NPRM
> on deleting that code test.

Then why are you so upset? You're not involved. You're not part of
Amateur Radio, and it's pretty clear you never will be.

> YOUR idea of "common
> sense" is apparently a strict obediance to whatever the
> ARRL says. :-)

Heck no, Len. ARRL wants the Morse Code test kept only for Extra. I
want it for all radio amateurs.

> "Fair play:" As long as everyone in here agrees with
> your desires as a PCTA amateur extra, they are "fair."
> If they disagree, your "fair play" vanishes. You then
> become the ruler-whipping Mother Superior intent on
> character-assassination. Tsk, we've all seen that.

Len, you're talking about yourself - as usual.
>
> "Justice:" Wow, what a concept! The PCTA concept of
> "justice" is simply Do As We Say! Keep the code test
> forever and ever even if the REASONS for it have
> evaporated long ago. Keep The Code Test because all
> the PCTA had to take one and everyone else had
> damn well take one, too!

No, Len. I've never used those reasons.

You really do seem to know that you've lost.
>
> >Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.
>
> You mean like "pushing" FACTS of a Federal Court
> decision in regards to your beloved ENIAC *not*
> accepted as a "first?" :-)

ENIAC was the world's very first fully operational, high speed,
general purpose, electronic
digital computer.

That's a fact.

> Mother, the ARRL is NOT the sole purveyor of radio
> history.

Nobody says it is, Len.

But when it comes to facts, you sure come up short.

> There are other sources, but you keep
> trying to push ONLY the ARRL "facts" up people's hole.

> >Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?
>
> That's about ALL you do to my postings, Mother. :-)

Debate is all about showing the mistakes in an opponent's reasoning,
Len. IOW, pointing out their mistakes. You make so many mistakes here
that it can be difficult to keep up!

> You love playing the prissy pedant and get amnesia when
> you GET CORRECTED ON YOUR OWN MISTAKES! :-)

Which mistakes are those, Len?
>
> Does too much morse code affect your short-term memory?

Nope - just the opposite.

> Give you selective amnesia?

Nope - just the opposite. Improves the memory.

> Increase your imagination?

Yep - and creativity, too. All sorts of good things. You wouldn't know
about them, of course.
>
> >> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
> >
> >Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
> >US military?
>
> There are databases showing who was NOT in the military?!?
>
> Where? If there were, YOU would be on one! :-)

IOW, you haven't found the database K8MN referred to.

> You have NEVER served in any military, yet your own quaint
> sense of "justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy"
> MAKES you "correct" anyone about anything military? Hey,
> no problem, you can get support for replacing the Secretary
> of Defense any time from other PCTA! You can then replace
> the MARS Directive and say "hams run MARS!" :-)

There you go, attacking the person rather than the argument.
>
> Want to see a digitized copy of my DD-214, Mother? I'm sure
> you would considering your sense of "justice, fair play,
> common sense, and ACCURACY." I might even add a copy of my
> Honorable Discharge; I would have to go get it from the
> Safety Deposit box at the bank, but it would be for "justice,
> fair play, common sense, and accuracy." Those are two
> documents which YOU will NEVER have.

So what? Does the possession of those documents somehow mean you are
infallible, Len? You seem to think that way. But it is not so.

> Does YOUR concept of "justice, fair play, common sense, and
> accuracy" ALLOW some bullshitters to claim military service

> even if they haven't produced ONE documentary PROOF of it
> for years?

Why should anyone have to provide *you* with proof, Len?

Can't you find the database K8MN referenced?

You claim to know who served in the US military and who didn't. Yet it seems you can't find proof on your own.

Why should anyone provide you with proof of their military service?

4459. Re: CW haters suck.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:48:04 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <83x1h.25435$GR2.16639@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:BQw1h.9$L6.5@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:xOe1h.25340$GR2.19305@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:M3c1h.1621$zf.1331@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>> They just want welfare HF licenses. They care nothing for quality.
>>>
>>> SC
>>
>> What about the rest of the world you fruitcake? In reality, with the
>> rest of the world not requiring code, what you predict in your myopic
>> little views should already be taking place. HF knows no political
>> boundaries. Answer why HF is not already a wasteland, fucktard.
>
>
> It was a mistake for the ITU to eliminate the CW requirement. HF will get
> worse. Ten-Four?
>
> SC

Figures. You have no answers, just baseless bitches.

4460. copyright viiolation is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:48:46 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <567dk2l2hjckvkq923jgoomrnmt7duj8rv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:41:28 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:09:48 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 19:59:27 +0100 (CET), delorean@nim.bstrs
>> (DeLorean) wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >From WBOY-TV, channel 12, Morgantown, WV
>> >
>> >----
>> >Explosion Blows Out Back of Marshall Co. House
>> >Posted Friday, October 13, 2006 ; 10:19 PM
>> >
>> >Glen Dale man homeless, Friday, after explosion.
>> >
>> >Story by Pam Hoffer
>>
>> >Copyright 2006 West Virginia Media. All rights reserved. This material may
>> >not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. (This means you,
>> >Todd Daugherty.)
>> unless you are Pam Hoffer Delorean you are no guility of a crime
>>
>> a crime commited solely becuase I have by and large manged to drive
>> that poor witless fool out of here and you find him entertaining
>
>LOL!! Delusions of grandeur make you feel good, don't they?

what delusions If the post is of a real newsstory then the reposter
has comitted a crime in reposting it.

If as is more likely it is fraud then the crime of fraud has been
comitted

either way the original poster is a criminal and motivated most
likely by a desire to cause Wismen to return and cause more trouble,
IOW the crime of maclious mischeive and as Wismen has made deaths as
recently as friday that is another felony charge
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4461. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:51:10 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <0f7dk2hqegigpaqun1fmf78bcblc20j7dv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:47:44 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 19:09:08 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 23:51:07 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:07:18 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 18:14:53 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
>> >> <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:19:11 +0100 (CET), Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> soon we willbe craping in the bakc yard agin. I hate winterin
>> >> >> chassel.
>> >> >
>> >> >LOL!
>> >> it is amusing to see you llaughing at your OWN posting
>> >
>> >LOL!
>>
>> and you support crime
>
>LOL! Michael Jackson and his first chimp Bubbles used to dress alike
>when they went out.
>
>Who dresses like you when you go out, Markie?
did you have a point?
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4462. Re: no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:53:38 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <m8x1h.25438$GR2.9848@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:VQw1h.22$L6.3@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:Xlw1h.33604$ta3.30176@tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> news:0Lp1h.14426$Fd7.12822@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
>>>
>>> <Mark@kb9rqz.org> wrote in message
>>> news:0hqij2pe2svqh92ip5bn6pt8bj1j1sov2d@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 20 Oct 2006 23:44:46 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Ian Jackson <IanJacksonRemoveThisBit@g3ohx.demon.co.uk> wrote in
>>>>>news:dwpnLBM1+ROFFwP7@g3ohx.demon.co.uk:
>>>>>
>>>>> There was recently a thread here on the subject of whether morse had
>>>>> saved any lives recently. Well it has in the UK!
>>>>>
>>>>> Have a look at
>>>>> http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/hampshire/6070444.stm
>>>>>
>>>>> "Torch used in Morse code rescue.
>>>>> Coastguards in Hampshire have rescued a sailor who used his torch to
>>>>> flash an SOS message in Morse code."
>>>>>
>>>>> Ian.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Boy, Lenny Anderson is going to be pissed. That's not the sort
>>>>>of CW news he likes to hear. Lucky for the sailor there was
>>>>>still someone out there that knew code.
>>>> no CW involved SC you lose again
>>>>>
>>>>>SC
>>>> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
>>>
>>>
>>> HUH? Morse is Morse regardless if it is done with a key, a Navy ship's
>>> lamp, a flashlight, or it is pecked out with a hammer or stick!! ...

>>> --- ...! It DID save someone's life and a sinking boat...well, maybe
>>> not the boat!;)
>>
>>
>> Yeah! I remember. Wasn't that on the original Posiedon Adventure movie?
>
>
> No, it was was to save a sailer so he could buy an evening with your mom.
>
> SC

Oh my! You really outdid yourself with that one! And my Mom? Wow, she's 79.
Dude must really want some company.

The hell with CW. Let's all learn binary code and use it instead. Hell,
we'll even be digital then!

4463. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 00:55:35 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <bax1h.25440$GR2.2796@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 170531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
news:LQw1h.15$L6.14@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> news:1162234948.415678.265050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am
>>
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> imagines he is "helpful."
>>>
>>>I am helpful, Len.
>>
>> Mother Superior is back in her knuckle-spank-with-ruler mode.
>>
>> Bad Habit, Mother. :-)
>>
>>>> His concept of
>>>> "helpful" is everyone doing as he says, thinking what he
>>>> thinks. That's not reality. It's 'hive mind' stuff.
>>>
>>>It's what *you* want, Len. Not me.
>>
>> "Big Brother" thinking a la George Orwell. :-)
>>
>> *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
>> for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
>> FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
>> any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.
>>
>> YOU demand that all newcomers wanting below-30-MHz
>> privileges MUST take that code test...because it was
>> always done. THAT is the "hive mind," Mother, straight
>> out of the olde-tymer ARRL's hymnbook.
>>
>> What is so "sacred" about having to take that code test
>> for below-30-MHz operating privileges? You keep on and
>> on and on and on and on about that...yet every other
>> radio service doesn't require that. Ah, but your
>> RATIONALIZATION (that is all it is) is that amateur
>> radio is somehow "special" and MUST continue to do as
>> it has always done, keep on with federal testing for
>> morse code telegraphy. Virtual enslavement to the ideas
>> of long-ago radio amateurs who just couldn't keep up

>> with the times and change.
>>
>> The emotional necessity you have for some nebulous
>> 'tradition' to keep that code test is just self-defined
>> bullshit. Why are YOU so damn special that YOUR demands
>> MUST be met by others? Ego? Delusions of god-hood?
>> Are you a Controller, Mother? You have a NEED to CONTROL
>> others? Why are you against letting others choose for
>> themselves?
>>
>>
>>>> It might be that
>>>> doesn't understand 'reality.'
>>>> He says he "lives in the ham bands."
>>>
>>>Who said that, Len? Give us an exact quote.
>>
>> Mother, quit that annoying habit. You know damn well
>> what YOU meant.
>>
>>>> The rest of us
>>>> live in residences like houses or apartments.
>>>
>>>I've got one of those.
>>
>> Ah, but do you LIVE in one? :-)
>>
>>>> He has
>>>> funny ideas of zoning laws and how they affect hundreds
>>>> of peoples' lives about THEIR neighborhood, not to
>>>> mention local tax laws.
>>>
>>>What funny ideas?
>>
>> YOURS, Mother. This newsgroup is NOT about local zoning
>> laws. This newsgroup is NOT about real estate. This
>> newsgroup is NOT about local tax laws.
>>
>> YOU live roughly 3000 miles away (if you call that living)
>> and do NOT participate in my neighborhood association,
>> haven't even met or even know about the neighborhood, but
>> you damn well HAVE to intrude and lecture me, bore every-
>> one else about an incidental NON-AMATEUR-RADIO thing.
>>
>> Tsk, your whole point of that was just Character
>> Assassination of me. :-) Since it didn't work, you
>> MUST keep on and on and on and on with it. It's about
>> the only 'weapon' you have in your tiny arsenal to fight
>> against elimination of the code test. :-)
>>

>>>Not me, Len. I'm not about hate.
>>>
>>>I'm all about justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy.
>>
>> Tsk, it must be Election Time since you are sounding
>> just like every bullshit-politician who ever ran. :-)
>>
>> Are you running for some kind of "office" in amateur
>> radio? You want to CONTROL hams and enslave them to
>> YOUR politics? Sure sounds like it.
>>
>> "Accuracy:" Are you still saying that ENIAC was "the
>> first electronic computer?" [it's not an amateur radio
>> subject since ENIAC never did any computing for amateur
>> radio] Are you going against a Federal Court decision
>> about that? Good luck and I hope you get admitted to
>> the Bar so you can re-argue that 1970s decision.
>>
>> "Common sense:" The FCC gives all amateurs the OPTION
>> of using any allocated mode in any allocated band. Yet
>> you demand that the morse code test be required for any
>> radio amateur desiring below-30-MHz privileges...even
>> though the FCC has stated at least three times in public
>> (beginning in 1990) that it sees no value for their
>> licensing purposes. The FCC is the one with the NPRM
>> on deleting that code test. YOUR idea of "common
>> sense" is apparently a strict obediance to whatever the
>> ARRL says. :-)
>>
>> "Fair play:" As long as everyone in here agrees with
>> your desires as a PCTA amateur extra, they are "fair."
>> If they disagree, your "fair play" vanishes. You then
>> become the ruler-whipping Mother Superior intent on
>> character-assassination. Tsk, we've all seen that.
>>
>> "Justice:" Wow, what a concept! The PCTA concept of
>> "justice" is simply Do As We Say! Keep the code test
>> forever and ever even if the REASONS for it have
>> evaporated long ago. Keep The Code Test because all
>> the PCTA had to take one and everyone else had
>> damn well take one, too!
>>
>>
>>>Accuracy, Len. You can't just push the facts down the memory hole.
>>
>> You mean like "pushing" FACTS of a Federal Court
>> decision in regards to your beloved ENIAC *not*
>> accepted as a "first?" :-)
>>
>> Mother, the ARRL is NOT the sole purveyor of radio

>> history. There are other sources, but you keep
>> trying to push ONLY the ARRL "facts" up people's hole.
>>
>>
>>>In reality, a quarter-wave whip antenna is a lot longer than 3 and 1/4
>>>inches.
>>
>> Not at 731 MHz. :-)
>>
>> Mother Superior, PUT DOWN THE RULER. I did correct my
>> typo of accidental shifting of the apostrophe key.
>>
>> Okay, you have just TOSSED OUT your "accuracy" and
>> "fair play" in favor of manufactured Character
>> Assassination. You are going to DWELL on that typo
>> WITHOUT acknowledging my own correction.
>>
>> Standard Operating Procedure for you, Mother.
>>
>>
>>>Do you mean that I point out your mistakes?
>>
>> That's about ALL you do to my postings, Mother. :-)
>>
>> You love playing the prissy pedant and get amnesia when
>> you GET CORRECTED ON YOUR OWN MISTAKES! :-)
>>
>> Does too much morse code affect your short-term memory?
>> Give you selective amnesia? Increase your imagination?
>>
>>
>>>> A sort of junior-league Major Dud (Robeson) now.
>>>
>>>Did you ever find the database that says whether or not he was in the
>>>US military?
>>
>> There are databases showing who was NOT in the military?!?
>>
>> Where? If there were, YOU would be on one! :-)
>>
>> You have NEVER served in any military, yet your own quaint
>> sense of "justice, fair play, common sense, and accuracy"
>> MAKES you "correct" anyone about anything military? Hey,
>> no problem, you can get support for replacing the Secretary
>> of Defense any time from other PCTA! You can then replace
>> the MARS Directive and say "hams run MARS!" :-)
>>
>> Want to see a digitized copy of my DD-214, Mother? I'm sure
>> you would considering your sense of "justice, fair play,
>> common sense, and ACCURACY." I might even add a copy of my

>> Honorable Discharge; I would have to go get it from the
>> Safety Deposit box at the bank, but it would be for "justice,
>> fair play, common sense, and accuracy." Those are two
>> documents which YOU will NEVER have.
>>
>> Does YOUR concept of "justice, fair play, common sense, and
>> accuracy" ALLOW some bullshitters to claim military service
>> even if they haven't produced ONE documentary PROOF of it
>> for years? Yes, I'm sure it does as long as they champion
>> morse code testing for amateur licenses. You seem to love
>> and condone anyone who is against NCTAs.
>>
>> Gotta love that "justice, fair play, common sense, and
>> accuracy" of yours, Mother. Right up there with all the
>> dictators of human history. You keep up the good work of
>> 1906 thinking in the year 2006.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>
>
> What's wrong with letting us keep our ham traditions?
>
> SC
>

Is being an idiotic moron and a jackass fucktard really something you want
keep?

4464. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 01:31:12 UTC
From: Roger <anon@anon>
Message-ID: <e2qun.2mh.19.1@news.alt.net>
Source record: 170395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

>>
>> Such an attempt is foolish. Anyone who is intimidated by that must not
be
>> aware how easy that information is to find these days.
>>
>> Dee, N8UZE
>
>Information coupled with action is called stalking.
yea when it also includes using the Usmail to harras and false call to
law enforencement and..

and...
and...
and...

.....and that is what happens when you big, tough, macho guys choose to be
an idiot and use your real names and callsigns on Usenet.
We told you so!

Neener! Neener! Neener!

4465. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:32:37 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162261957.662475.66650@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:LQw1h.15$L6.14@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in
> > news:1162234948.415678.265050@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
> >

> > What's wrong with letting us keep our ham traditions?
> >
> > SC
> >
>
> Is being an idiotic moron and a jackass fucktard really something you want
> keep?
Iwould not have used exactrly those words that is what he wants he want
the ARS to be the Arhchiac radio service and die in about 20 to 25
years tops

4466. Re: STFU marqueer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:42:29 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162262549.068201.154540@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On 30 Oct 2006 15:54:04 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
>
> id theft is a crime
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --
> Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4467. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:47:13 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162262833.762272.220600@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170534

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am

> > *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
> > for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
> > FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
> > any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.
>
> They have that freedom now, Len.

only if they are willing to violate the law, JIM I do not and if you have your way never will have that freedom

I spent 5 years trying very very hard to get it. I have little (if anything) to show for that effort.

in most form of law something become permenet or impossible if attemped for a full year

from the ProCode side we see NO room for anything exhalations to work and slave I am certain I have put far more effort on code along than you put into in ALL of your licensure(not your day to day haming)

no the world is not fair and while in principle my satus even if I am the only american should allow for an exception it seems I am far from alone I recall reading of someone spending 10 years and finaly making it. why is such effort justified for the option to never use it again esp in a world where Computers can if not operate the mode WELL (how well they do it is debated) can certainly operate the mode better than I (and others) ever would be

would you ask a man with with hearing problem not to use a hearing aid to take the code test he can't do the deed without it? then why deny me and others our right use your pcs or other devices that allow to use Morse Coded CW

I have operated quite abit on machine Morsed CW . did a lot sending practice stuff for my firend working on the higher code speed required in 1997- 99. anybody that can could follow my typing with zero proofing (instead of the minimal proofing here on RRAP) could pass a test . OTOH I found I could read there "buig sent stuff fairly well certainly enough for my brain which is used to seeing text in a distorted manner could follow indeed during this code practice on 6 I even scored some DX

4468. Re: Amateurs are something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:47:44 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162262864.523528.221900@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 00:32:31 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
>
> >
> >We were different because we could communicate with each other in morse.
> >If code is eliminated there won't be anything unique anymore. Someone
> >making an effort to learn code was also viewed has someone who most likely
> >went out of their way to learn other things too, but now people look at
> >the no codes and they don't see anything special there.
>
> in a word Bullshit SC
>
> ham are specail but you seek to crush the drams and efforts of Hams to
> do this and scarfice all to your god Morse Code
> >
> >SC
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
> --

yep maqueer, you're special allright.
like one of fucking jerry's kids,you sick fruit!

4469. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:51:00 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162263060.253364.252830@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.canada,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio

Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:stfu marqueer
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On 30 Oct 2006 15:54:04 -0800, "I PUNCE" <markpunce@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> >
> > id theft is a crime
> > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> > --
> > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
you are not the person you are claiming I because I can watch her
working here in the room with me

we know you desperately want the AUK to spend more time and energy on
RRAP mostly because you can't carry on an efective flaming of anything

but truely wabbit you are in sane to be carring on your jihad agonst
someone you don't know and has never harmed you in the slightest

4470. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:51:01 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fKSdndESXP-1J9vYnZ2dnUVZ_o-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 170537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1162208006.462206.133070@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

[snip]
>> > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
>> > Ohm's Law and Theory
>> > end of her station
>>
>> Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
>> doing it?
>
> You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
> dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's
> the case at all.
>>

No he's simply presuming that every aspect of amateur radio needs to deal
with every aspect of theory. That is a fundamental fallacy that too often
is used in an attempt to sidetrack a debate.

Dee, N8UZE

4471. Re: Amateurs are something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:53:00 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162263180.292098.238070@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
--
>
> yep maqueer, you're special allright.
> like one of fucking jerry's kids,you sick fruit!
id theft rermains a crime
you are the sick one Obsessively stalking a Man who you have never met

4472. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:54:09 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162263249.291967.130840@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Slow Code wrote:
> Nothing. It makes us unigue.
>
> Len just doesn't like it is all.
>
> SC

you're right, sc.
but the fruits & nuts like mork have turned amateur radio into a shit
hole. breaker, breaker 146.52 .....AUDIO
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
testtesttesttesttesttesttesttesttesttesttesttest!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4473. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:54:44 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162263284.474022.194160@e64g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 19:48:46 -0500, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs <Mark@kb9rqz.aprs> wrote:
> >
> > either way the original poster is a criminal and motivated most
> > likely by a desire to cause Wismen to return and cause more trouble,
> > IOW the crime of maclious mischeive and as Wismen has made deaths as
> > recently as friday that is another felony charge
>
> Whatever.

we know you don't care about law "chris" you are just into harrassment
and have not grown up at all

one day you screww and offend someone unstable enough to make you pay
likely with your life but till then you will continue your obessive
stalking

get some therapy

4474. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition? plenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:55:56 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162263356.662334.136820@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> you're right, sc.
> but the fruits & nuts like mork have turned amateur radio into a shit
> hole. breaker, breaker 146.52 ....AUDIO

funny ham were once considered law abiding

4475. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 02:59:25 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <2sedk2pa1cd2c6risoqb09rv82e7s9iul2@4ax.com>
Source record: 170542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 21:51:01 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:1162208006.462206.133070@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com...
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>[snip]
>>> > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
>>> > Ohm's Law and Theory
>>> > end of her station
>>>
>>> Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
>>> doing it?
>>
>> You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
>> dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's
>> the case at all.
>>>
>
>No he's simply presuming that every aspect of amateur radio needs to deal
>with every aspect of theory. That is a fundamental fallacy that too often
>is used in an attempt to sidetrack a debate.
no it is the fallacy you just exposed a bit further assuming correctly
that not every ham realy needs to know ohm's law (a statement I happen
to agree with and anybody can achieve a license lacking solely a
knowledge of OHM law) why does EVERY ham have to able to use ONE mode

indeed the question become quite plainly why is Morsecode MORE
important than Ohm's law? it certainly is under AARS testing today
>
>Dee, N8UZE
>
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4476. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:01:37 UTC
From: Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1162263697.676435.136080@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 4:13 am
>
> > > *NO* code test for an amateur radio license means FREEDOM
> > > for amateur radio hobbyists to pursue the OPTION that the
> > > FCC gives all licensed operators...the OPTION of using
> > > any allocated mode in any band below 30 MHz.
> >
> > They have that freedom now, Len.
>
> only if they are willing to violate the law, JIM I do not and if you
> have your way never will have that freedom
>
> I spent 5 years trying very very hard to get it. I have little (if
> anything) to show for that effort.
>
> in most form of law something become permenet or impossible if attemped
> for a full year
>
> from the ProCode side we see NO room for anything exhalations to work
> and slave I am certain I have put far more effort on code along than
> you put into in ALL of your licensure(not your day to day haming)
>
> no the world is not fair and while in principle my satus even if I am
> the only american should allow for an exception it seems I am far from
> alone I recall reading of someone spending 10 years and finaly making
> it. why is such effort justified for the option to never use it again
> esp in a world where Computers can if not operate the mode WELL (how
> well they do it is debated) can certainly operate the mode better than
> I (and others) ever would be
>
> would you ask a man with with hearing problem not to use a hearing aid
> to take the code test he can't do the deed without it? then why deny me
> and others our right use your pcs or other devices that allow to use
> Morse Coded CW
>
> I have operated quite abit on machine Morsed CW . did a lot sending
> practice stuff for my firend working on the higher code speed required
> in 1997- 99. anybody that can could follow my typing with zero proofing
> (instead of the minimal proofing here on RRAP) could pass a test . OTOH
> I found I could read there "buig sent stuff fairly well certainly

> enough for my brain which is used to seeing text in a distorted manner
> could follow indeed during this code practice on 6 I even scored some DX

STFU marqueer

4477. Re: Is the code requirement really keeping good people out of ham radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:06:23 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <s9fdk2t1h3icrjurpp5u4l2etjr7sglbjv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 30 Oct 2006 19:01:37 -0800, Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com wrote:

>

>STFU marqueer
id theft remains a crime

and thank you for aiding the NoCode by showing what the procoder are
in so many cases mindless hate filled zombies

even the best of the proCode advocates are hypocrites want to take
their PERSONAL agenda and use then to destory ham radio if they don't
get to make everybody do it there way
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4478. id theft remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:08:35 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162264115.005095.308600@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Chris wrote:
> On 30 Oct 2006 18:54:44 -0800, kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > one day you screww and offend someone unstable enough to make you pay
> > likely with your life but till then you will continue your obessive
> > stalking
>
> Whatever.
indeed the poitn is made and reinforced you don't hate ole woger you
are creuly trying to bait him back you are one sick fucker.

4479. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition? plenty of marqueers,thats what!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:13:14 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162264394.086947.307240@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks

kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > you're right, sc.
> > but the fruits & nuts like mork have turned amateur radio into a shit
> > hole. breaker, breaker 146.52 ....AUDIO
>
>

4480. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition? plenty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:18:20 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <32gdk2to6u134ion7er4ebr0djgn2gn5q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 170548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

On 30 Oct 2006 19:13:14 -0800, "MarQueerMyDear"
<dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote:

>

obviosuly the work of a self hating gay suffering from delusions you
need to get help
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4481. Re: Improving the overall quality of alt.fan.art-bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:19:07 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162264747.058961.131790@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.oj-simpson,alt.fan.art-bell,soc.penpals

miguel wrote:
> Bill wrote:
> > "miguel" wrote:
> >>> <BrentArmstrong@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> >>>> PEOPLE,
>
> >>>> In the interest of improving the overall quality of alt.fan.art-bell, I
> >>>> would encourage you to email and then post encouraging Stupid Bookman
> >>>> to Remove Itself From Usenet Altogether. That would have an immediate
> >>>> improvement in the overall quality of alt.fan.art-bell
>
> >>>> Thank you and have a nice day.
>
> >>> We have received your suggestion and are looking into the matter. Could
> >>> you cite some examples of the level of depravity demonstrated by the
> >>> defendant Mr Bookman?
>
> >>> Usenet World Internet Moderation Council
> >>> SprintNet USA
>
> >> Learn to use the Google, dumbass.
>
> > Mr Miguel,
>
> > The term "Learn to use Google, dumbass" is not sufficient to cancel Mr
> > Bookman's right to post in any newsgroup according to the Usenet World
> > Internet Rules and Regulations. I'm sorry we can't help you.
>
> > Usenet World Internet Moderation Council
> > SprintNet USA
>
>
> Either use the Google or trust me when I say that Stupid Bookman is a
> sick freak who should remove its stupid arsed self from usenet altogether.

marqueer!!

4482. Re: Beautiful women, aged clones, and Ultimate Fighting Championship marqueer sucking cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:23:11 UTC
From: MarQueerMyDear <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com>
Message-ID: <1162264991.536297.203390@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy

skiswim@mail.com wrote:
> Friend,
>
> I'm always looking for good and intelligent individuals like you to
> visit my website, www.ChezBrandon.com , and it has pictures of
> beautiful women, information about aged clones, and a link to Ultimate
> Fighting Championship, a very good show.
>
> My name is Brandon, and I'm in my 20s, am a college student, and as lie
> detectors, both conventional and unconventional would show, I've never
> been sexually penetrated. Moreover, I could be shown pictures of X, Y,
> and Z, and it would show that I'm only sexually attracted to women.
>
> The Murders of Our Leaders in Government were Stopped.
>
> I, Brandon, can take lie detector and psychological tests, and I can go
> before a panel of truth detecting experts. I tell the truth. A
> mini-psychological test: 8 times 2 = 16, the world is round, not flat,
> and the year is 2006. Feel free to call me, my cellphone number is
> 503.740.0272 (United States based) and feel free to utilize a GK-1
> voice truth detecting device too:
>
> >From Congressmen Bill Frist to John Kerry to Dennis Hastert to Hillary
> Clinton to John Warner to Ron Wyden to Gordon Smith to John McCain to
> Joseph Lieberman to Nancy Pelosi to Diane Feinstein to Ted Kennedy to
> anyone else who happened to be in the Congressional chambers during
> either a joint session of Congress or a State of the Union address from
> Masons to Skull and Bones members to Secret Service agents to pages to
> visitors to other good individuals, they all would have been murdered,
> all of their accomplishments stripped away, their earned wealth
> stripped away, their homes stripped away, their family and friends
> stripped away. Moreover, someone else would have been sleeping with
> their spouses.
>
> Elements of the Mossad, who wanted to bathe in the blood of our
> Congressmen as well as bathe in the blood of leaders around the world,
> and when they seized power they would, as confirmed by psychic vision
> and confirmed auditorially, "he's right; he's psychic," would outlaw
> most if not all organizations, including the Masons, and these elements
> as Victor Ostrovsky writes about in his #1 New York Times bestseller By
> Way of Deception are out of control, notoriously lie, and have a
> disregard for life -- I was able, thank God, to thwart the conquest of

> the USA and the world, and was able to thwart the murder of United
> States and world leaders, via a psychic vision of Senator John Warner
> and other Congressmen being choked to death by gas, which was confirmed
> auditorially from both ears, such as "He saved Congress," who they were
> going to kill "all of them,""how did he know that?" and "he's psychic"
> -- murdered my biological Aunt Gloria Atkinson, whom I loved very much,
> as she did of me, and there is a tribute to her on my website. Please,
> friend, be sure to barricade your bedroom door at night. I'm not afraid
> of aged clones or the attempted chopping off of my appendages (elements
> of the Mossad talked about chopping off my right middle finger and
> right ear, and 10 out of 10 of my toes, like my right middle finger
> have unique steeple designs).
>
> I'm not afraid of anything. I'll defend myself to the best of my
> ability, and I commit my life to saving the lives of men, women, and
> children and strengthening good organizations. I will always remember
> my biological Aunt Gloria Atkinson. Sincerely, Brandon

4483. Re: Improving the overall quality of alt.fan.art-bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:23:30 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162265010.740632.32760@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.oj-simpson,alt.fan.art-bell,soc.penpals

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
> miguel wrote:

> > Either use the Google or trust me when I say that Stupid Bookman is a
> > sick freak who should remove its stupid arsed self from usenet altogether.
>
> marqueer!!
haven't you been knocked around enough by the residents of AUK et al

they have shown they realize you are wabbit or woger and I am someone
else

4484. id theft remans a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 03:28:39 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162265319.071100.161730@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,alt.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy

MarQueerMyDear wrote:
>
and always will be one get help woger

4485. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 04:54:44 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162270484.780706.186590@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon, Oct 30 2006 3:58 pm

>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

<EXCESSIVE QUOTING not germane to posting elided>

>> > > Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
>> > > license.
>> >
>> > You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
>> > than half.
>> >
>> > 40% is more like it.
>>
>> 49.5% according to your very own postings.
>
>You are mistaken, Brian.

Tsk, everyone not in-line with your prissy pedantry of
"exact word definition" is "mistaken." :-)

Or "in error." Or just about any other adjective set
stopping short of actually USING the word "LIAR." :-)

>The Technician license does not make up 49.5% of US hams. The total of
>Technicians and Technician Pluses reaches about that level. (All
>Technician Pluses are Morse Code tested).

Do all those Plusses love, honor, and obey morsemanship?

Do you have 'accurate' statistics on that? Or just the
PCTA-biased 'stats' from Joe Speroni?

>In addition, many hams whose licenses say "Technician" are code tested
>and have some HF privileges.

Yes, in YOUR mind they DO love, honor, and obey morse...

So, if one strips away the Micollis massaging of morse,
the NO-CODE-TEST Technician class is STILL the LARGEST
US amateur radio class. Overwhelmingly.

The MAJORITY (no shaving of fractions there) of newcomers
are getting INTO US amateur radio via the NO-CODE-TEST
Tech class. Just enough to barely keep the total of all
licensees at the same level they were three years ago.

Attrition is keeping the EXPIRED numbers so large. Some
must be quitting the ARS before their lives are over...

>btw, no US amateur radio license is "code-free". All of them can use
>Morse Code.

BY THE WAY, prissy pedant, the phrase "code-free" refers
to the LICENSE TEST. TEST, Mother Superior. TEST.

>> Add to that those who rarely used code.
>
>Why?
>
>Even if someone rarely uses it, that means they still remember it and
>can use it at some level.

I was wrong to write only two adjectives. It should be
three: Prissy, PISSY pedant. You have morse code on
the brain. [there might be a medical cure for that...]

>Len claims to be a "PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics" (whatever that
>is) but he messes up on the length of an antenna for a radio service he
>has claimed to use.

So, you did NOT see my own acknowledgement of my typo?

Of course not. You are operating in character-assassin mode
and SELECTIVELY highlight 'errors.' :-)

I've gotten money in return for services rendered. In the legal
sense that means I have done "professional work." The IRS thinks
so, the California Franchise Tax Board thinks so, and both have
been given the proper income tax copies.

I don't "claim" anything when I've handled an R-C control box
and flown a model aircraft. I simply DID it. :-) No morse
code or test for same required, NO license needed!

>> The Morsemen
>
>Who are they?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, a worshipper at the shrine of Eniac and double-
dipped EE who CAN'T FIGURE THAT OUT?!? :-)

It is all those PCTAs who do 1906 thinking in the year 2006.

One of them is YOU. Another one is the knuckle-spanking
Mother Superior that you turn into when you go cross-dressing.
:-(

>I simply want to know where all those stations are supposed to come
>from.

...from off-shore manufacturers? :-)

...for "under $100" using salvage from "old TV sets?" :-)

>So what? I don't read everything written to rrap. Larry hasn't posted
>here in *years*.

All of three...that we know about. :-)

>> Jeez you're thick.
>
>No, Brian, I'm not "thick". You just did a poor job of explaining.

You ARE thick. You couldn't figure out what "morsemen" are.

>> > > > The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.
>> > >
>> > > It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
>> > > unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
>> > > their travel.
>> >
>> > Actually it cost FCC a lot of money to do testing.
>>
>> It was the travel distance that was key in the creation of the
>> Conditional license, not the desire for the FCC to save money.
>
>I was writing about the reason the FCC stopped doing license testing
>for *all* license classes. That's part of the reduction in
>requirements.

Oh, my, ON-LINE REDEFINITIONS! Goll-eeee, Gomer, you sure
NEED to win each and every argument, don't you? :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk, the FCC privatized *ALL* radio operator
license testing. That's not just amateur...it involves
ALL RADIO SERVICES.

Hello? If you are going to MISDIRECT, at least be
ACCURATE about it! That's only common sense, and a
bit of fair play.

Justice.

>The subject was the reduction in license requirements by FCC giving
>over the testing to VEs.

The FCC "gave over" nothing to the COLEMs? Tsk, tsk!

>> Maybe. But they didn't even make the effort to define Morse Code in
>> the rules for the last 3 R&Os.
>
>Why should they? Is there any doubt?

LEGALLY, the FCC does NOT define morse code WORD RATE.

The FCC defines a lot of technical requirements in Part 97.
Yet they keep thinking the CCITT-ITU Telegram Standard will
define word rate. It does not.

>> Yet they tell you that the exam myst be
>> 5WPM, and you've got all these VEs getting to define what that means.
>
>It's not a problem to anyone with common sense.

Tsk, you prattle on about "common sense." You haven't figured
out what "morsemen" are or "morsemenship" is after over a year
of use in here?!? :-)

>> If I considered your opinion to be wrong, do I get to call you a liar?
>
>Why would you do that?
>
>Have I ever called *anyone* here a liar?

You don't KNOW?!? :-)

You need to go Google yourself. :-)

Maybe not. The narcisstic would enjoy it too much. That
would be like emotional masturbation. :-)

Enjoy!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

4486. Re: Why I don't use my call on usenet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:21:42 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b5856b9729a4989a54@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Qxx0h.358$LI4.215@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Because I'm still probably in everyone killfile from seven or eight years
> ago. ROFL.
>
> That,
>
> and I don't want KB9RQZ to send me gay sex IM's like he does with Lloyd
> Davies, Mark Foley, and Roger Wiseman.
>
> SC
>
The reason you don't use a call sign is because the FCC revoked it. You
don't have a valid call sign. You haven't in over a year. I know who
you are!

RG

4487. Re: CW not CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:26:23 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b69e6fe565ed989a55@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <JLS%g.19214$UG4.12515@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> We already have a citizen band.
>
> Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>
> Tnx, 73
> SC
>
Why do you care? You don't even have a license anymore. The only band
you can legally operate on is the citizens band and you're just made
cause the truckers won't give you a smokey report.

Why do you call yourself slow code? I thought you proclaimed to be a 20
wpm extra. I bet you can't even copy 5 wpm.

4488. Re: Does Mark Morgan pick his nose and eat the boogers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:27:54 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b6f1844a852989a56@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <KLS%g.19215$UG4.16971@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.
>
> SC
>
How do you know? You'd have to be at his house to know that. Are you
over at his house? Are you really his buddy and won't admit it.

4489. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:30:14 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b77da7d99edd989a57@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

In article <NLS%g.19217$UG4.8170@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:XNN%g.1103$s6.910@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > amdx wrote:
> >> ... turning the crank on a model T, ...
> >
> > It wasn't just the Model-T. I learned to drive
> > on a 37 Chevrolet. It had a crank hole just under
> > the grill.
>
>
> And a Crank behind the steering wheel.
>
> SC
>
You can't even get behind the steering wheel. The state took your
drivers license away 3 years ago after you hit that Monte Carlo while
you were drunk on cheap liquor. That was when your wife left you also.
I remember it all. I know who you are!

RG

4490. Re: If I ran RRAP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:31:25 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b7c9943b1ece989a58@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <PLS%g.19219$UG4.10631@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161773080.828239.241770@i3g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> I would let 20 wpm Extras do anything they want in RRAP.
> >
> > You would? They've been doing that for over a decade without your
> > permission.
>
>
> I gave them permission ten years ago. Now run along to the CB group
> and take Mark in the Dark with you.
>
> SC
>
You couldn't manage the shoe store you worked at because you showed up
late and hung over. What would you know about running a newsgroup. I
know who you are!

RG

4491. Re: sci.geo.earthquakes, Why doesn't Mark in the Dark post in that group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:32:15 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b7fc956e1e62989a59@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <YLS%g.19226$UG4.2973@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Because he's not a geophysicist.
>
> SC
>
You have no idea what he is. Compared to you hes a rocket scientist.

4492. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:42:45 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0b90c7312c36b989a5b@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <3MS%g.19230$UG4.2564@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "Dr.Ace" <Ace@WH2T.com> wrote in
> news:50b85$453fd6d1$4e08770$14069@DIALUPUSA.NET:
>
> >
> > "Thats Right_ 20wpm" <20_wpm_Extra@nospam.net> wrote in message
> > news:jvkdj2l3fulng817dc1g7c1rh47s54tibo@4ax.com...
> >> Slow Code is the kind of guy that everybodys hates on the air. He is
> >> the Jammer because no one listens to him.
> >>
> >
> > Probably because he doesn't have an amateur radio license .
> > Ace - WH2T
>
>
>
>
> Tnx, 73, good luck in the contest.
>
> SC
>
You know you don't have a license.

RG

PS - I know who you are!

4493. Re: 10 meter 11 meter antenna interaction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:42:55 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0ba7e20a99df9989a5c@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> And if they still won't learn it, we should be allowed to use a cattle
> prod to force'em.
>
> SC
>

I here the cops used that stun gun on you when you were resisting one
time. Thats similar to a cattle prod. How did it feel?

4494. Re: CW not CB.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:45:06 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bb017429f0fb989a5d@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <JLS%g.19214$UG4.12515@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> We already have a citizen band.
>
> Don't let ham radio turn into another one.
>
> Tnx, 73
> SC
>
Ham radio will never be like citizens band. Hams have licenses and
police themselves. How would you know what its like on the Ham bans.
You haven't had a license in over a year. Are you transmitting without
a license. I'll have to call my buddy Riley and have him write you a
letter.

RG

4495. Re: Know Code, not No code.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:46:06 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bb3924c6e9e0989a5e@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <86c0h.19657$UG4.10241@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Bring a some enjoyment into your ham experience. Do a little CW.
>
> SC
>
The only way I could get more enjoyment out of Ham Radio is if you'd
shut up and go away.

RG

4496. Re: Mark Morgan keeps crapping on the newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:47:46 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bb9ea782cb4b989a5f@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <96c0h.19658$UG4.4019@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> That one special thing about CW,
>
> Only those that know it are admitted. Those that don't, get FM,
> repeaters, and fake moon bounce contacts.
>
> SC
>
No Slow Brain you crap on this newsgroup. You've ruined it. There is
absolutely no useful information being disiminated in this group and its
all your fault. Your so nasty no one would talk to you on your local
repeater if you even had a license.

4497. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:48:26 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bbc99dd26fa8989a60@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <b6c0h.19659$UG4.6114@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "Lisa Simpson" <none@none.com> wrote in
> news:1xU%g.18791$pq4.2757@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com:
>
> > No signal here in central Ohio . . .
> >
> > "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1161816607.174986.39080@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> >> output of 50 to 100 watts
>
>
> Nothing heard out here in west last night either.
>
> SWL's, Please don't have bad thoughts about us hams like we're incompetant
> or something. Most of us do know how to put out a signal.
>
> SC
>
Those of us with a valid license do. You don't have one so you wouldn't
know.

4498. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:49:27 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bc04ff306a74989a61@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <c6c0h.19660$UG4.7394@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote in
> news:1161887571.080442.153160@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> Omega One Radio is Now on 6.950.00 MHz Lower Side Band with a power
> >> output of 50 to 100 watts
> >
> > Bull FUC-ING Shit tardboy. Omega Radio has NEVER been
> > on the air at any time. Never was, Never will be. It is a creation
> > of your retarded mongoloid sloop-head'd brain. Perhaps if you hadn't
> > spent the best years of your life jerking off to gay hardcore pron
> > you'd be somewhere today instead of being just another nerdy twerp
> > in the ham radio newsgroups better known as the "world's biggest
> > virtual circle-jerk in cyberspace". No go back to the hot tub and this
> > time make sure the asswipes from W. Va and AL bring their OWN
> > condoms.....the cheap bastards! PFHBLTTTTTTTTTTTTT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> I haven't heard it on the 6950 freq yet. I'm thinking the re-Todd is
> pulling our legs.
>
> SC
>
Its because someone cut your coax so they wouldn't have to hear your big
mouth making illegal transmissions.

4499. Re: Ping Group, Queer bashing in RRAP is OK. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:51:27 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bc79eca3965a989a62@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>
> Gay bashing is allowed here.

Who died and made you God of the newsgroup. You can't even legally
drive to the bank to cash your SS check because you have no drivers
license you drunk old coot.

>
> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the Dark,
> but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.

The only freak in this group is you!!!!
>
> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
> no-coders take over ham radio.

One has nothing to do with the other. Your an idiot!
>
> Tnx, 73,
> de Slow Code
>

4500. Re: How to spot a loser on the internet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:54:27 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bd2cc4cd8375989a63@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Cwx0h.332$LI4.3@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> "Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa! I don't what to learn CW."
>
>
>

"whaaaa! my name is slow code and I used to be a 3 wpm extra special nut
case before they took my license for profanity on the air and jamming
other stations."

4501. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:54:58 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bd4ebac98ab8989a64@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

In article <Ewx0h.333$LI4.217@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
>
> Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy your
> appliance off the shelf.
>
>
> 73,
> Back to my CW and projects.
>
> SC
>
>
You couldn't build a ham sandwich.

4502. Re: I wonder if the same thing will happen again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:56:08 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bd9259042db7989a65@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Fwx0h.334$LI4.71@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Back just before 5 wpm code went into effect, a bunch of hams upgraded
> just so they could say their license wasn't a welfare license. Heee Heee.

Is that when you started receiving state welfare also?
>
> I wonder if no-codes will make a mad rush to pass the code element this
> time just so they can say they're a Code tested ham, or are welfare
> license more acceptable these days?

You're on welfare you tell us.
>
> SC
>
>

4503. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 06:58:28 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0be204e9c2106989a66@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Lwx0h.339$LI4.180@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> 5wpm ain't really learning it. I can fart 5 wpm.

You can fart. I'll give you that.
>
> Lets kick the requirement up there to between 13 and 20 wpm for all
> classes.

WHy do you care? You can't even legally transmit.

> And no-codes that haven't passed it after a year get booted which
> will help clean up the repeaters.

The repeaters are very clean where I am. Everyone is courteous from the
Extra class operators down to the Techs. You're the only one with a
problem!
>
> SC
>

4504. Re: Mark in the Dark keeps crapping on the newsgroup.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:01:08 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bebdd836b734989a67@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Owx0h.342$LI4.316@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:k5k2k2do969nl61fpb4rqkuf5bl8pv2oek@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 27 Oct 2006 00:08:37 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >
> > Slow code out troling
>
>
> You can't even spell it right.

Hes got dislexia. Whats your problem?
>
>
> That's one nice thing about CW, Only those that know it are admitted.

You just want to feel special don't you. Maybe you should join the
specail olympics. Maybe they have an event for a 3 wpm Extra Special
case like you.

> Those that don't, get FM, repeaters, and fake moon bounce contacts.

You don't have enough skill to make a moon bounce contact. Or the
license to do it for that matter.
>
> SC
>
>

4505. Re: Omega One Radio - The Tood Show Back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:01:39 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bee01554bc37989a68@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Rwx0h.344$LI4.107@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Tood@g00gles.com (NO9GL) wrote in
> news:1155287378.599607.204730@g00gles.com:
>
> >
> > We going strong again and talked about ratbastard Slow Code from
> > Denver plus bitchwhore Steve Robeson and how they trouble makers for
> > everyone. While talk about robeson, I put mic in pants and fart and
> > everyone laff thier asses off! The Tood Show is humerous too so
> > listen for next one next time.
>
>
> ROFL.
>
> Todd needs to move back to 13556, I can't hear him on 6950.
>
>
> SC
>
Maybe he doesn't care if you can hear him. I wouldn't.

4506. Re: Ping Group, Gay bashing in RRAP is permitted. Re: sc gay basher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:02:39 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bf1e48cf83d989a69@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.music.country,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

```
In article <Twx0h.346$LI4.133@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "MarQueerMyDear" <dear_marqueer_marky@mail2princess.com> wrote in
> news:1161913956.636026.216260@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> >> news:nd00k21p153k0dubtjpjrpfnllf1n851sr@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:20 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>cuhulin@webtv.net wrote in
> >> >>news:20546-453FCCF3-93@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
> >> >>
> >> >>> I HOPE the dnc Fucks all over the gop.
> >> >>> cuhulin
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>They gotta get done screwing each other first. There are a lot of
> >> >>Fags in the democrat party. When we find them in the repub party we
> >> >>boot them out.
> >> > give it arest you gay bashing troll
> >>
> >>
> >> Gay bashing is allowed here.
> >>
> >> The only freak in RRAP that will protest gay bashing is Mark in the
> >> Dark, but that's okay because he never passed a CW test. Let him whine.
> >>
> >> We can't let the Queers take over America, just like we can't let the
> >> no-coders take over ham radio.
> >>
> >> Tnx, 73,
> >> de Slow Code
> >
> > hey sc...mork isn't the only faggot here. i think that hot "ham and
> > dick-cheese" freak is a cocksucker too.
>
>
>
> They both do seem to sing the same tune don't they.
>
```

> We can't let the Queer code haters take over ham radio. You let them in
> to ham radio and the next thing you know, they will want to share your
> sleeping bag with you on field day.
>
> SC
>
>
You smell so bad no one would want to get within 2 miles of you in your
sleeping bag. You smelly old drunk.

4507. Re: well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:03:39 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bf5a508b094b989a6a@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <gxx0h.354$LI4.37@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com:
>
> > you may all thank steve for what foolows
>
>
> No. We're all going to blame you. What have you got against the
> rec.radio groups that you have to take a shit on them every day?
>
> SC
>
If you'd shut up everyone else would shut up and this group could go
back to being a place to exchange useful information and ideas.

4508. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:04:19 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0bf82eeaaff48989a6b@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

```
In article <oxx0h.355$LI4.110@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161909279.069596.18370@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >>
> >> Improving your skills doesn't make you a better operator? Sheeesh.
> >
> > Mike, skill. Singular. There is no skill test for any other mode.
> >
> >> You can still have your microphone, but you should have to pass a code
> >> test before you're allowed to use it. I like 5 WPM for Tech, 13 for
> >> General, and 20wpm for Extra, but then, I'm not lazy.
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > You may not be lazy, but you're fully prepared to kill off amateur
> > radio with archaic requirements. I guess if you can't have the amateur
> > radio the way you want it, to hell with it all.
>
>
> We have to dumb it down to keep it from dying?
>
> SC
>
Why do you care? At the rate you drink your liver will give out before
they change anything.
```

4509. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:08:30 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c073374eb8e989a6c@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <wxx0h.356$LI4.89@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> news:1161908927.722424.326110@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >
> >> > The late Dick Carrol/W0EX prided himself on being able to send code
> >> > so poorly that even a computer code reader couldn't copy him. This
> >> > was in order to prevent unworthy No-Code Technicians from
> >> > eavesdropping on him.
> >> >
> >> > BTW, all the other Pro-Code Extras were good with it, coming up with
> >> > cool, old-timey sounding excuses for such bad behavoir. "Banana Boat
> >> > Swing" and "unique fist" were heard. A ham needn't try to produce CW
> >> > that meets the Morse Code specification for dots, dashes,
> >> > inter-dot/dash spacing, inter-character spacing, and inter-word
> >> > spacing.
> >>

>
>
> He was pro-code but he wasn't trollish like me or WA8ULX were.

At least you admit you're nothing but a troll. A useless low life peice
of nothing troll.
>
> I believe in CW, but I'm not as Ruthless as I sound.

Yes you are. You hate everyone who isn't like you. You are the biggest
bigot around.

> I love to toss out
> things and then listen to everyone gasp.

You love to try and piss off the world and you do a good job.

> ROFL.

Don't you mean rolling on the floor drunk in your own filth!!

> I know, I know, it's
> sadistic...

Yup, I've heard you're into that know.
>
> but it's fun, and maybe some will see and figure out the point of it.

Theres no point to what you do and if you think there is you are truely ready for the sanitarium.

>
> SC
>

4510. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:10:10 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c0e19063957d989a6d@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <Gxx0h.357$LI4.211@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Wheeew! Thank god you expelled most of your gas in your last post you
> didn't have a lot left over for this one.
>
> No Len. Most RRAPers aren't pro-CW, but you think they're pro code
> because they're willing to learn it for a license.
>
> Larry, Dee and Me are the only pro 'Keep the code test' people in the
> group anymore.
>
> SC
>
>
Dee isn't a butt hole like you! You're miles away from the caliber of
person that Dee is.

4511. Re: I don't remember horse and buggy, outhouses, or churning butter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:10:40 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c0fe54ea3e04989a6e@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

```
In article <CgS0h.723$LI4.707@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> Cecil Moore <mycall@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:ZJx0h.22426$e66.13357@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> Right, and why homebrew anymore when you can call HRO or AES and buy
> >> your appliance off the shelf.
> >
> > Can you hombrew an ICOM IC-756PRO?
>
>
> :)
>
> That's overkill if all you need to do is some CW.
>
> SC
>
You couldn't homebrew boiled water!
```

4512. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:13:48 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c1b39dc77942989a6f@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

In article <YgS0h.734$LI4.40@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> There you go again. Don't wonder who I am, go enjoy ham radio. :)
>
> and tell people they need to learn code.
>
> SC
>
>
I know who you are!!!!!

4513. Re: If you had to learn morse code for a ham license would you stay on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:18:28 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c2c3ebe7747d989a70@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <X3c1h.1628$zf.848@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
>
> Don't argue with Mark unless you like to argure. Mark will toss out every
> bit of illogic he can to try to justify his case for being a bad hams, and
> he wants to let others like himself in so they can tear down the service.
>
> SC
>
>
You just described yourself to a tee!!!!!!!!!!

4514. Re: i punce in agin

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:26:58 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c4c9967c34a0989a71@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

In article <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> > goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> > rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> > rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
>
> WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
>
>
> That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
> would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.
>
> Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
>
> SC
> 20wpm code tested Extra
>
You don't have a license!!! It was revoked a year ago!

4515. Re: We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:27:39 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c4f6afec3035989a72@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <K4c1h.1646$zf.417@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtj1k.ou2.19.1@news.alt.net:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
> > > someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".
>
>
> If we're all "liers" I wish he would at least spell it correctly. It makes
> me feel like I'm a prisoner at name calling convention for retards.
>
> SC
>
You are the head retard of this group! Self appointed.

4516. Re: Mark new (self-appointed) Group Moderator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:28:49 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c53b1ee1b283989a73@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In article <24c1h.1634$zf.115@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtqgq.bbb.17.1@news.alt.net:
>
> > Mark has decided to be the new de-facto group moderator. He thinks that
> > he deserves this position due to the sheer volume of his posts alone.
> > According to Mark we are all guilty of identity theft, others of us are
> > guilty of yet to be named "crimes" and those of us who disagree with him
> > are "liers".
> >
> > Mark will now accuse me of being Steve, or Dave, or Roger, or whoever is
> > his accusee du jour, and you may rest assured he will post in bulk.
>
>
>
> Mark in the Dark, can't be moderator. He doesn't know anything about
> radio.
>
> SC
>
You don't either. You couldn't build a crystal radio from a kit. You
couldn't homebrew a cup of tea!

4517. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 07:29:39 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb0c56e9ca9140e989a74@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <SQw1h.20$L6.19@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
my.mail@no.spam says...
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1162172143.634128.99720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> I can't here it on 6950
> >>
> >> SC
> >
> > We are moving back to 13.556.00 Mhz.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > OMEGA ONE RADIO
>
>
> Good. I'll give a report, and critique the music.
>
> SC
>
Who cares what you think about his music. You are truely an idiot if
you think anyone cares what you think about anything!

4518. Is rec.radio.cb a gay group? YES IT IS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 09:17:23 UTC
From: keyclowns are the squirming worms on the fruit of hobby communications <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162286243.532787.11900@m73g2000cwd.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170485
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "brian w edginton" <edgo.1@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:gc30k21jd4ieqpqgr73rg2taucvbuafbme@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:35:51 -0400, Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> >
> >>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 23:51:12 GMT, Slow Code <my.mail@no.spam> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>Do you talk with a lisp when you're buddy beep ain't workin?
> >>it is RRAp that is a gay grupop thanks to you and your friends
> >>
> >>you know it help you in your efforts to trool the Newsgruop is stayed
> >>away from the gay bashing in trying to convey more than one message
> >>you messages get lost
> >>>
> >>>SC
> >>http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> >
> >
> > Damn!
> > You don't see a lot of intelligent posts like that on Usenet. :)
>
> Think that's wisdom? Check his blog.

Chapwoman, you are sooooo queenly.

4519. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 11:33:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162294396.258196.138490@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Slow Code wrote:

> Nothing. It makes us unigue.
>
> Len just doesn't like it is all.
>
> SC

If Ham Tradition were truly tradition, it wouldn't have to be coded
into law.

It could stand on its own.

Slow, what are you afraid of?

4520. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 12:17:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1162297026.793708.238150@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:1161992622.006356.130520@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> > > <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > >> > > news:1161908554.352579.81150@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > > > >> > > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> "Chris" <daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> Already tried it.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > And dismissed it.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >> esp dimissing the abilty of the human operator of the machine to fill
> > > > > > > > > >> in the problems and correct the process
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> As I said while it is the best that is available, it
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> is
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> still far below the capabilities of a human operator.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > Correction. ...a few human operators.
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >> indeed the PC alone far exceeds the abilties of many licensed ham
> > > > > > > > > >> operators but hat doesn't count
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> I've tried it
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> under a
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> wide range of conditions and CWGet still needs a pretty good
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> signal to
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> function.
> > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > >> > > >> Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #119: All CW signals are good signals (Its the
corollary
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > > of
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > > Morse Myth #1: CW always gets through).
> > > > > > > > > > >> > >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > Unrelated to my comments.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > You would like to think that, but without efforts from folks like
Carl,
> > > > > > > > > > >> > Bill, Len, hans, myself and others, you would still be repeating
such
> > > > > > > > > > >> > myths, and would never make statements such as "Not all CW signals
are
> > > > > > > > > > >> > good."
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > You can thank us, but that's probably not very likely.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > No one has said all CW signals are good.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > And they aren't.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > If they were always good, CWGet
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > would always work, which it doesn't. The ones who tout the
software
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > solution are those who wish that it would always work.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > And those who dismiss the software solution think all amateur
operators
> > > > > > > > > > >> > are superb morsemen.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > In addition, I have repeatedly stated that each and every mode has
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > its
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > advantages and disadvantages.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > If you were to compare and contrast all existing modes, it think it
is
> > > > > > > > > > >> > likely that you would claim that CW is the best mode.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > The extremists on each side don't want to
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > hear that.
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > > Dee, N8UZE
> > > > > > > > > > >> >
> > > > > > > > > > >> > Because of the efforts made to dismiss countless Morse Myths over
the
> > > > > > > > > > >> > years, you were just now able to state that not all CW signals are
good
> > > > > > > > > > >> > without 1x2 PCTAs pooh poohing such talk.
> > > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > >> well it is a thankless job

> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dees coming around in her own way, but the brainwashing that she's
> > > > > > > > > > > undergone is strong. Perhaps in another decade... if there's still an
> > > > > > > > > > > amateur radio. If only she had been able to think spontaneously and
> > > > > > > > > > > resist, the brainwashing wouldn't have been so well received.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > You are mistaken. I've always been one to think spontaneously. Since I
have
> > > > > > > > > > > personally experienced conditions where it had to be CW or turn off the
> > > > > > > > > > > radio, I advocate all hams knowing code at a basic level. To insure that
> > > > > > > > > > > they do learn it at a basic level, testing at some point in the licensing
is
> > > > > > > > > > > appropriate. Before entering these news I'd never heard much discussion
> > > > > > > > > > > either way on code. My opinions on its usefulness and desireability were
> > > > > > > > > > > formed based entirely on actual operating experience. I was surprised to
> > > > > > > > > > > learn that there was a big discussion on it in the amateur community.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Dee, place all presently licensed USA amateurs in front of stations
> > > > > > > > > > > equipped with a manual key AND CWGET. Have them operate operate any CW
> > > > > > > > > > > Only Contest with whichever is more comfortable for them to use. Total
> > > > > > > > > > > the scores...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I think you get the point.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > What point?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Try thinking about it just a wee little bit.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I did. It's not clear.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Spell it out for us, please.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I'll spell it out for you, Jim.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Thank you, Brian!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Any time.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
> > > > > > > > > license.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
> > > > > > > > than half.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 40% is more like it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 49.5% according to your very own postings.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are mistaken, Brian.

No, I'm not.

> The Technician license does not make up 49.5% of US hams. The total of
> Technicians and Technician Pluses reaches about that level. (All
> Technician Pluses are Morse Code tested).

The FCC did away with the Technician Plus class of license. They are
all Technicians now. The Technician license has no requirement for a
code exam. Should a Technician wish to use what were once Technician
Plus priveleges, they're on their own to show eligibility.

> In addition, many hams whose licenses say "Technician" are code tested
> and have some HF privileges. These include:
>
> - all Tech Pluses who have renewed since April 15, 2000
> - all Novices who have upgraded to Technician
> - all Technicians who have passed Element 1, but not the written exam
> for General

Welp, that's something we'll just have to live with. It's also the
reason I upgraded to General.

> btw, no US amateur radio license is "code-free". All of them can use
> Morse Code.

And they can all use CWGet.

> > > > Probably most of the coded licensees never looked back when
> > > > they learned the code to get past a licensing hurdle, don't use code,
> > > > and couldn't if their lives depended on it.
> > >
> > > That's not a given at all.
> >
> > I would expect you to say something like that.
>
> > > Remember the ARRL survey that was debated so much here?
> >
> > The one where as a member, I did not receive a ballot?
> >
> > The one that Mike Deignan characterized as "substantive?"
> >
> > Yes, I recall the survey. Looked as if it had been developed by a
> > bunch of dems hoping to influence the outcome of an election.
>
> You mean like this:
>
> http://www.rawstory.com/showoutarticle.php?src=http://www.miami.com/mld/miamiherald/news
/breaking_news/15869924.htm
>
> btw, next Tuesday I get to choose between Curt Weldon and Joe Sestak.
> Which do you think I should vote for?

Who did you vote for last time?

> > > It showed that
> > > less than 40% of those hams who were asked never used Morse Code. And
> > > it included licensees from all license classes, not just those who had
> > > passed code tests.
> >
> > Add to that those who rarely used code.
>
> Why?
>
> Even if someone rarely uses it, that means they still remember it and
> can use it at some level.

It means they don't like it and they have to struggle through it. It
means they are perfect candidates for CWGet.

> > > Sure there are those who learned just enough to pass the Morse Code
> > > test and then never used it - just as there are those who just enough
> > > to pass the *written* tests and then never used it
> > >
> > > Heck, your buddy Len couldn't even get the length of a 73 MHz
> > > quarter-wave whip antenna right, and he's a "PROFESSIONAL"!
> >
> > And you couldn't even get the distance to the moon,
>
> You are mistaken.

Right.

> You've repeatedly claimed that I mis-stated the distance from Earth to
> the moon on rrap.
> Show us where I did that - if you can.
>
> I don't think you can, because it did not happen. If I did it, show us.
>
> Otherwise you're just making things up.

You're making that up.

> > and you're a "professional."
>
> I've never claimed to be a professional astronomer.

What? Only astronomers get to calculate path loss in space?

> Len claims to be a "PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics" (whatever that
> is) but he messes up on the length of an antenna for a radio service he
> has claimed to use.

How can you be sure?

> > > > So put all USA licensed amateurs in fron of a station equipped with a
> > > > morse code key and with CWGet and total their scores.
> > >
> > > I presume you mean "contest scores"

> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Why not? They're operating in a CW Contest. Why wouldn't you total
> > their scores?
>
> What's the point?

The same point that you and W3RV are making when you kick around SSB vs
CW in your field day and other scores. Why is it that comparing scores
is only something that you can do?

> > > Who is going to set up and pay for all those stations? What sort of
> > > stations would they be - HF, VHF, UHF? What sort of antennas, rigs,
> > > computers?
> >
> > Think about it.
>
> I did. That's why I'm asking the question.
>
> Do you think the taxpayers should subsidize amateur radio stations?

Who sets up your field day station? Who pays for it?

> > The Morsemen
>
> Who are they?

There used to be four of them...

> > can bandy about the CQ WW and Field Day CW vs SSB contest
> > scores all they want without having to standardize station equipment.
> > I bring up a scenario and NOW station equipment must be standardized.
>
> Who said anything about standardizing station equipment? Not me.

Yes, you. You!

> I simply want to know where all those stations are supposed to come
> from.

Where do stations come from now?

> > There's some bias in your approach.
>
> None at all.

Hi, hi, hi! You're just making that up.

> > > Any ham who wants to operate Morse Code using CWGet or some other
> > > software can do so right now - if they have a station that includes
> > > rig, antenna, and computer.
>
> > Yep. I can finally agree with something you said.

>
> So a version of the experiment you describe can happen in every
> contest. But it doesn't.

Many, many, many amateur just aren't interested in morse code, and
many, many, many amateurs just aren't interested in contests.

But if we were able to have have 100% participation and every amateur
were offered a manual morse code key and a downloaded copy of CWGet....

> > > Yet I don't know of any amateur radio contesters who operate that way.
> > > Do you?
> >
> > Nobody knew of anyone who operated amateur radio as in Larry Rolls
> > "Only CW can save the situation" but I NEVER ONCE saw your objection to
> > it.
>
> So what? I don't read everything written to rrap. Larry hasn't posted
> here in *years*.

Sure he has. He's posted as himself and he's probably posting as
someone else.

> > I bring up a scenario and NOW you have a problems with how contestors
> > operate.
>
> Not at all.
>
> I just don't see anyone using CWGet to operate a contest - even though
> they could. Heck *you* could. Why don't you?

I don't enjoy morse code.

> > There's some bias in your approach.
>
> None at all.

I think you're making that up.

> > > Your "thought experiment" doesn't seem to be thought out very well.
> >
> > Sure it was.
> >
> > Alternative scenario snipped.
>
Alternative scenario snipped.

> A simple, real-world challenge. What's the problem?

The problem is that there isn't 100% participation in field day. It
fails to meet the requirements of my scenario.

> > > > > > > The requirements for US amateur radio license have been slowly but
> > > > > > > steadily reduced for more than 25 years now.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Just 25 years?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I wrote "more than 25 years".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >I guess you forgot about the "Conditional" license
> > > > > > > where hams get an upgrade from their buddy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What does that mean?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Besides, the Conditional stopped being issued about 30 years ago.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yep, but nobody ever claimed that amateur radio was being dumbed down.
> > > > > The USA amateur service has a proud history of it.
> > >
> > > How was it "dumbing down" to eliminate the Conditional?
> >
> > Jeez you're thick.
>
> No, Brian, I'm not "thick". You just did a poor job of explaining.

No, you vectored off when it was clear that the creation of the
Conditional Class license using the "buddy-system" of testing was the
original dumbing down of the ARS.

> >It was dumbing down to create such a license class.
>
> Why? The Conditional and its predecessor Class C go back to before the
> FCC.

So there's a long, long tradition in the dumbing down of the amateur
radio service.

> > > > > > > Not just the code tests
> > > > > > > but also the writtens. That's not the fault of those taking the tests.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, of course not. It's not anyones fault except the FCC that they put
> > > > > > > offices so far away from ham's residences.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ??
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The reason FCC stopped doing testing was to save money.
> > > > >
> > > > > It doesn't cost the FCC anything for an amateur to show up for testing,
> > > > > unless you want to claim that the examinees got to file a voucher for
> > > > > their travel.
> > >
> > > Actually it cost FCC a lot of money to do testing.
> >
> > It was the travel distance that was key in the creation of the
> > Conditional license, not the desire for the FCC to save money.

>
> I was writing about the reason the FCC stopped doing license testing
> for *all* license classes. That's part of the reduction in
> requirements.

Then you strayed off the subject.

> > Try to stay on the subject.
>
> I am on the subject. You're trying to change it.

If you choose to comment on somthing I say, then confine it to what I
said. If you stick with that simple concept, you'll do OK.

> > > First off, they had to have offices with test facilities. The office
> > > they had in Philadelphia back when I took my exams was on the 10th
> > > floor of the Custom House at 2nd and Chestnut. Lots of square feet of
> > > prime real estate just for the exam room.
> > >
> > > Then there was the time of the examiners, all of whom worked for FCC.
> > > Pay and benefits. At least two people per office, three days a week.
> > > Times the number of offices all over the country.
> > >
> > > Then add the FCC folks who revised the exams, duplicated them, and
> > > distributed them to the various offices all over the country. And the
> > > cost of doing all that.
> > >
> > > The VE system eliminated all that expense. All FCC has to do now wrt
> > > amateur license testing is to look over the QPC submissions and approve
> > > them. And occasionally retest somebody.
> >
> > That's all wunnerful, but you vectored off of the subject.
>
> Nope.
>
> > Maybe next
> > time you'll be able to cut and paste something germane to the subject.
>
> The subject was the reduction in license requirements by FCC giving
> over the testing to VEs.

Nope. I twas the creation of the Conditional License.

> > > Eliminating Element 1 will not save the FCC any expense. Keeping it
> > > will not cost them anything, either. Maybe that's why it's taking them
> > > so long.
> >
> > Maybe. But they didn't even make the effort to define Morse Code in
> > the rules for the last 3 R&Os.
>
> Why should they? Is there any doubt?

There appears to be. The ARRL VEC and other VECs are giving el 1 exams
at 13-15WPM when Part 97 says 5WPM.

> > Yet they tell you that the exam myst be
> > 5WPM, and you've got all these VEs getting to define what that means.
>
> It's not a problem to anyone with common sense.

It appears to be a violation of Part 97.

> > > > > They replaced
> > > > > their paid examiners with unpaid volunteers.
> > >
> > > > Good thing there wasn't a union.
> > >
> > > Why?
> >
> > Are you anti-union?
>
> No. Are you?
>
> > Do you favor scabs?
>
> Bandages are better.
>
> > > > > > > > > > It's basic knowledge, pure and simple. Most of the people I know don't
use
> > > > > > > > > > any of the theory either but it is part of the basic knowledge set. I've
> > > > > > > > > > used ohm's law only a couple of times in the 14 years I've been licensed.
> > > > > > > > > > I've used the dipole equation half a dozen times. I've never used smith
> > > > > > > > > > charts. One could get by without the theory but having learned it, I can
> > > > > > > > > > choose where I want to focus my attention in amateur ration.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dee, you have a Ham Husband to take care of the Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > > > > > end of your station, so it's no wonder you have no real use for it..
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Brian, do you think that using a false sexist claim is somehow going to
> > > > > > > > cause you to win the debate?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No false sexist claim.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a sexist claim to assume that Dee's husband takes care of the
> > > > > > Ohm's Law and Theory
> > > > > > end of her station
> > > > >
> > > > > Why? She said she hardly, if ever, used it. Somebody's got to be
> > > > > doing it?
> > >
> > > You're presuming she's not doing what needs to be done, and is
> > > dependent on someone else to deal with the theory. I don't think that's

> > > the case at all.
> >
> > If I considered your opinion to be wrong, do I get to call you a liar?
>
> Why would you do that?
>
> Have I ever called *anyone* here a liar?

You're making that up, right?

> > > > > > W3RV uses his sister to put up antennas for him
> > > > > > these days.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where do you get that idea?
> > > >
> > > Hmmm?
> > >
> > > I've put up antennas with W3RV. Or rather, I helped out a little, since
> > > he had it all worked out on his own. No sisters involved.
> > >
> > > He does know quite a lot about antennas, particularly the practical
> > > side. He even knows that a quarter wave at 73 MHz is a lot longer than
> > > three and one quarter inches....
> >
> > Prolly for illegal operation. He has no authorization in that area.
>
> Actually, he does. Part 95 remote control, same as your buddy Len. And
> everybody else.

Part 95 requires no authorization, so he doesn't. And knowing his
background, he'd probably violate the Part 95 rules.

> > > > > > Fair is fair, yes?
> > > >
> > > > > > You're not fair at all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Since you have a corner on the fairness market, do you plan to be the
> > > > > RRAP Moderator?
> > > >
> > > Wait and see.
> > >
> > > ARRL November CW Sweepstakes starts Saturday afternoon and ends Sunday
> > > night. I'll be there - will you?
> >
> > Nope, but knock yourself out.
>
> I'll be awake and operating. CWGet won't be part of it.

Bless you.

4521. Re: NIM bsuters say wismen dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 12:17:23 UTC
From: Demon Lord of Confusion <verwarring@verwirren.confused>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.31.12.17.20.780858@verwirren.confused>
Source record: 170488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:50:41 +0545, Kadaitcha Man attempted to confuse the
issue further by squeaking:
> MarQueerMyDear, the ornamental feather seller, deprecated:
>
>> you are one sick fruit
>
> Your posting nick is Mar Queer My Dear and someone else is a sick fruit?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

That's Wabbit for you.

--

Hail Eris! mhm 29x21; TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
The God of Odd Statements, the Ugliest Pigfucker In The Universe
Stupidity Takes Its Toll. Please Have Exact Change.

If you never read anything else in any of my sigs, read this:
http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15321167/
http://borealin.livejournal.com/15104.html
Or watch it here:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uqxmPjB0WSs
Then, if you manage to read/watch all that, try this:
http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf
And Molly Ivins had a few choice words to say about it, weeks before:
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/092906B.shtml
Here's Chris Floyd: Fatal Vision: The Deeper Evil Behind the Detainee
Bill: http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/100206A.shtml

"Q: What's the difference between the Vietnam War and the Iraq War?
A: George W. Bush had a plan to get out of the Vietnam War." -- Anon.

Thread where outing begins: http://tinyurl.com/hojf8
George Pickett Memorial Trophy, Special Ops Cody Memorial Purple Heart,
and the Order of the Holey Sockpuppet winner <wfh_jr@hotmail.com> on
outing personal contact info in x-poasted subject lines:
"Plenty of people post under their real names and do not attempt to hide
their contact info. You are scared of being 'outed' because you are a
pathological abuser of usenet, and people rightly despise you for it.
You're afraid of being reported to the authorities or, better, visited
by a couple of guys with baseball bats. Other people don't have this
obsessive fear. Ward Hardman himself has posted plenty of personal
information - nothing that anyone else added was hidden in any way.
You're so fucking scared you've built up this whole sick mythology about

different categories of bad dudes who 'out' scum like you.

"Meanwhile you are the ugliest pigfucker in the universe. You are the coward without ethics. You call me a 'newbie' - ha! what an asshole you are. Those who want to remain anonymous do so. There is absolutely no way you could identify me, not unless you had the sort of subpoena power that only gets turned on for big-time terrorists. That's because I chose to be anonymous. Some people don't. Only really stupid dicks like you choose the sort of semi-anonymity which leaves you in constant fear.

"What a dickless wonder you are 'Snarky' you fat asshole."
-- in MID: <1156587081.123977.43800@m79g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>

"I am the only one who has outer filthed Ward" -- James C. "Crackhead" Cracked voluntarily self-immolates, in MID: 1159678991.838385.310840@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com

"When I told Abbie Hoffman that he was the first one who made me laugh since Lenny Bruce died, Hoffman said, "Really? He was my god." The combination of satirical irreverence and sense of justice that Bruce and Hoffman shared was the real spirit behind the Yippies--a term I coined to describe a phenomenon that already existed: an organic coalition of stoned hippies and political activists who engaged in such actions as throwing money on the floor of the New York Stock Exchange, then explaining to reporters the meaning of that symbolism. Folksinger Phil Ochs summed it up: "A demonstration should turn you on, not turn you off." So when journalists link the Yippies with misleading bedfellows, at best it's careless shorthand; at worst it's deliberate demonization. Osama bin Laden wanted an aircraft to crash into the Pentagon. Abbie Hoffman merely wanted to levitate it." -- Paul Krassner, http://tinyurl.com/ehu3v

4522. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:02:21 UTC
From: One Hung Low <Low@fu.bar.net>
Message-ID: <xPH1h.25581$7I1.21068@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 170489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

> In article <YgS0h.734$LI4.40@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
>> There you go again. Don't wonder who I am, go enjoy ham radio. :)
>>
>> and tell people they need to learn code.
>>
>> SC

RadioGuy wrote:

> I know who you are!!!!!

Would you care to share that with the rest of us? C'mon, spill the
beans. We're dying to know if "slow" even has a license. :-)

4523. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:17:00 UTC
From: The Demon Prince of Absurdity <absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn>
Message-ID: <pan.2006.10.31.13.16.57.341447@hell.corn>
Source record: 170490
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:38:57 +0000, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
screamed:
> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 12:07:24 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 05:34:22 +0000, Art Deco attempted to confuse the
>>issue further by squeaking:
>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 22:02:18 GMT, The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to
>>>>>>>>>> confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>oddly state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600,

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>
>>>>> Note: STFU, Bruce.
>>>>
>>>>Note: STFU, Bruce.
>>>
>>> No, you STFU, Bruce.
>>
>>No, you STFU, Bruce.
>
> No, Bruce, you STFU.

No, you, Bruce, STFU.

--

_____
Hail Eris! TM#5; COOSN-029-06-71069
Cardinal Snarky of the Fannish Inquisition
http://www6.kingdomofloathing.com/login.php
http://www.runescape.com/
No one expects the Fannish Inquisition!
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Cabal_of_the_Holy_Pretzel/join

I own "James C Cracked is God!!!":
MID: <1161060410.704020.285410@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>

"Q: How many Bush administration officials does it take to change a
light bulb?
A: None. There is no need to change anything. We made the right decision
to stick with that light bulb. People who say that it is burned out are
giving aid and encouragement to the Forces of Darkness." -- Anon.

"Etymology:
Argumentum ad Septicus : argument to putrefaction. Derived from Septicum
Argumentum : putrefaction of argument.

"Septic \Sep"tic\, Septical \Sep"tic*al\
a. [L. septicus to make putrid: cf. F. septique.]
Having power to promote putrefaction. Of or relating to or
caused by putrefaction." -- Kadaitcha Man, indirectly to
Donald "Skeptic"/"Septic" Alford, in MID: <a3svh.djj.19.1@news.alt.net>

"I never fail to be amazing" -- Looney Maroon for September 2006 nominee
William Barwell's ego knows no bounds. MID:
12ggt3q3uti3t52@corp.supernews.com

"We are most nearly ourselves when we achieve the seriousness of the
child at play." -- Heraclitus

"And thats another mistake on your part. Your 'playing' games on usenet,
and I'm not playing...It has nothing to do with impressing you, it has
more to do with making sure you have the education you'll need to debate.
The debate is no fun for me if you are mentally incapable of it. I'm
giving you an opportunity to educate yourself. That's all." -- A trashy

former virus-writer turned Outer Filth doesn't know if he's playing or working, in MID: <1159389579.179851.33970@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>

"I am incapable of original thoughts" -- Ctrlï¿½/Altï¿½/Delï¿½ has an honest moment, in MID: <0h59i25ejlthqeeitdp0hlk4kvo1ejpkt9@4ax.com>

"But now the end is near. Now Mark Foley comes along and is making almost all liberal dreams come true and seriously, I'm sorry for it. See, I believe in karma. I believe what comes around goes around and I know full well that it's just bad juju to wish such a level of turmoil and ill upon other humans, warmongering gay-hating maladroits or no, and that the real path of enlightenment is paved with forgiveness and progress and white-hot love and turning the other cheek and scotch.

"In fact, Jesus said something about that, I do believe. He said, "Knock it off already with the warmongering and the hating of each other and let's all get some wine and party like it's 2012." Then again, he never saw Karl Rove stab the nation with the dull ice pick of bogus fear. He never heard George W. Bush describe brutal war and the death of tens of thousands as "just a comma" in world history.

"Check that. Maybe I'm not so sorry after all." -- Mark Morford, http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/gate/archive/2006/10/11/notes101106.DTL&nl=fix
http://tinyurl.com/kusmr

4524. Dewhorean Blows Wiseman's Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:18:36 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162300716.804113.179830@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DeLorean wrote:
> From > Copyright 2006 West Virginia Media. All rights reserved. This material may
> not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. (This means you,
> Todd Daugherty.)

Too bad you fucked up and here's the real article:
http://www.wtrf.com/story.cfm?func=viewstory&storyid=14830

But then you TexASS queers can't read and write very well. I bet West
Virginia Media would like to know about your copyright infringement,
though.

4525. Re: copyright violation remains a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:20:45 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162300845.096345.38610@e3g2000cwe.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:

> a crime commited solely

No, Stupid, Dewhorean fucked up as usual, now he'll have to hear from
WV Media Holdings, LLC.

> becuase I have by and large manged to drive
> that poor witless fool out of here

Markie, you haven't driven anything except your shemale wife's cock
down your throat.

4526. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:24:36 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162301076.443801.253340@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Slow Code wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1162172143.634128.99720@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > 
> > Slow Code wrote:
> >> I can't here it on 6950
> >>
> >> SC
> > 
> > We are moving back to 13.556.00 Mhz.

When are you moving out of your parents' basement, Toad?
Oh, that's right a loser like you never will!

>
> Good. I'll give a report, and critique the music.

Pull your head out of your ass first.
```

4527. Re: We're all "liers"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:25:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162301159.490544.173940@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RadioGay wrote:
> In article <K4c1h.1646$zf.417@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
> > "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote in news:dtj1k.ou2.19.1@news.alt.net:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Whatever the topic, you can bet that Mark is an expert on it. Then, when
> > > someone points out his errors he calls them "liers".
> >
> >
> > If we're all "liers" I wish he would at least spell it correctly. It makes
> > me feel like I'm a prisoner at name calling convention for retards.
> >
> > SC
> >
> You are the head retard of this group! Self appointed.

What's the matter, isn't your two-term limit meeting your approval?

4528. Re: Amateurs are something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:28:21 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162301301.656102.20650@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
> Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> --
> >
> > yep maqueer, you're special allright.
> > like one of fucking jerry's kids,you sick fruit!
> id theft rermains a crime

You should know, child raper.

> you are the sick one Obsessively stalking a Man who you have never met

You are the one who admitted they had sex with an 17 year old boy.

4529. Re: Does Mark Morgan pick his nose and eat the boogers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:31:17 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162301477.455865.34890@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

revfoxweek9@yahoo.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
> > He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.
> >
> > SC
>
> Mabey he can get the turd-diver from W Va to pick the dingleberries out
> of his asscrack hairs with a pair of tweezers

Why would he want to do that, when he's got you already on the job?

4530. Re: sci.geo.earthquakes, Why doesn't Mark in the Dark post in that group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:32:59 UTC
From: Not Cocksucker Lloyd <not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162301579.159028.291560@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RadioGay wrote:
> In article <YLS%g.19226$UG4.2973@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
> > Because he's not a geophysicist.
> >
> > SC
> >
> You have no idea what he is. Compared to you hes a rocket scientist.
^^^

4531. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:40:43 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162302043.191100.324590@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Saggytits Lee aka Stan Lawson plagiarized and added a few coments to
make it look like he knew the answer:

> 1. The area <plagiarism flushed> Roger didn't go to DeVry, dumbass, unlike you he went to
a REAL college.

Plagiarizing again, Stanley? Poor Stanley.

4532. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 13:43:55 UTC
From: Andy the Perv Timberlake <andy_theperv_timberlake@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1162302235.317937.83450@h48g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Saggytits Lee aka Stanley Lawson fucked up again!:
> Correction: I accidentally took the square root instead of squaring
> the product of e*r. The correct value should be 0.003 microwatts for
> an isotropic radiator to produce a 100 microvolt/meter field at three
> meters!

Looks like you fucked up as usual, it wasn't any "accident" on your
part, fucktard.

Like it was when you tried to claim an Alabama ARES website was one for
an ARES organization in West Virginia.....

4533. Re: Sandman digest, volume 2453954

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 14:31:15 UTC
From: Art Deco <erfc@netcaba1.com>
Message-ID: <chnek2tvdda8dfhb5kntqfq3png6q49mig@4ax.com>
Source record: 170550
Cross-posted to: comp.os.os2.advocacy,alt.astronomy,alt.usenet.kooks,demon.local,alt.fan.art-bell,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:17:00 GMT, The Demon Prince of Absurdity
<absurd_number_of_nicks@hell.corn> wrote:

>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 15:38:57 +0000, Art Deco did the cha-cha, and
>screamed:
>> On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 12:07:24 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>On Mon, 30 Oct 2006 05:34:22 +0000, Art Deco attempted to confuse the
>>>issue further by squeaking:
>>>> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 22:02:18 GMT, The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 14:41:25 +0100, Art Deco did most oddly state:
>>>>>> On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 07:48:59 GMT, Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>On Wed, 25 Oct 2006 19:34:32 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>> On Mon, 23 Oct 2006 15:15:14 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>> On Sun, 22 Oct 2006 15:55:27 +0000, Phil Kyle attempted to
>>>>>>>>>> confuse the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>> ah verbally sodomised:
>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>> On Mon, 16 Oct 2006 21:06:57 -0400, ah attempted to confuse
>>>>>>>>>>>>> the issue further by squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Art Deco wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Sat, 16 Sep 2006 08:14:21 -0600, Art Deco did most oddly
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The God of Odd Statements wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Fri, 15 Sep 2006 17:39:17 -0600, Art Deco did most
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>oddly state:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Thu, 14 Sep 2006 20:24:42 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> The Demon Prince of Absurdity wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Wed, 13 Sep 2006 19:00:33 -0600, Art Deco did the
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>cha-cha, and screamed:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Demon Lord of Confusion wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>On Tue, 12 Sep 2006 19:47:39 -0600, Art Deco
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>attempted to confuse the issue further by
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>squeaking:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Mon, 11 Sep 2006 18:49:58 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:29:05 -0600,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:57:36
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Text Medium No. 5 wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Hail Eris! On Sat, 09 Sep 2006 20:57:18
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>-0600, Art Deco jabbered inanely:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> ah wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>>>>> <snip messed-up quoting>
>>>>>>>>> <snip>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>*plonk*
>>
>> Note: still no response --
>> *plonk*
>>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>>*plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response --
>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response --
>>>*plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response --
>>> *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>
>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Note: still no response -- *plonk*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Note: STFU, Bruce.
>>>>>
>>>>>Note: STFU, Bruce.
>>>>
>>>> No, you STFU, Bruce.
>>>
>>>No, you STFU, Bruce.
>>
>> No, Bruce, you STFU.
>
>No, you, Bruce, STFU.

Just STFU, Bruce.

--
COOSN-266-06-39716
Official Associate AFA-B Vote Rustler
Official Overseer of Kooks and Saucerheads in alt.astronomy
Official "Usenet psychopath and born-again LLPOF minion",
as designated by Brad Guth

Hi, fanbois!

4534. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 15:58:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <koK1h.252$ig4.137@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 170551

Andy the Perv Timberlake wrote:
> Saggytits Lee aka Stan Lawson plagiarized and added a few coments to
> make it look like he knew the answer:
>
>> 1. The area <plagiarism flushed> Roger didn't go to DeVry, dumbass, unlike you he went to a REAL college.
>
> Plagiarizing again, Stanley? Poor Stanley.

So Stagger Lee is now someone named Stan? You seemed so sure that it
was me--and Lloyd and somebody named Chason.

Your therapy sessions don't seem to be working.

Dave K8MN

4535. Re: Omega One Radio on 6.950.00 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:05:07 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <lloyd.davies.rf.genius@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 170552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On 31 Oct 2006 05:40:43 -0800, Andy the Perv Timberlake <Secwet Woger> wrote:
:
: Saggytits Lee aka Stan Lawson plagiarized and added a few coments to
: make it look like he knew the answer:
:
: Roger didn't go to DeVry, dumbass, unlike you he went to a REAL college.
:
: Plagiarizing again, Stanley? Poor Stanley.

You didn't read the rot13 message that I left for you in the previous
post. If you had, you would have realized that the isotropic power
calculation was done by Lloyd Davies, and I gave him full credit for
it. He values his privacy, and has requested that I use rot13 in any
attribution which references one of his engineering calculations.

That explosion at your house must still be having after effects,
because you seem to be confused. I'm not Dave Heil, and I'm not Stan
Lawson either.

Hmmmm. Stan Lawson. Who might he be? It looks as though you might
be referring to the owner of the Adolph Hitler web site at
http://www.adolfhitler.ws. Why in the world would you be visiting
Nazi web sites, Woger? Did those skinheads who allegedly visited you
brainwash you somehow?

==========
"Roger's background does have International affairs in it. Too bad."
-- International man of mystery, Secwet Woger, in message
<1142023154.499393.134550@j52g2000cwj.googlegroups.com>

4536. id theft is crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:23:22 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <jj1fk216df262irousj3iam6uqpjorkn1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 170554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 06:27:54 GMT, RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
wrote:

>In article <KLS%g.19215$UG4.16971@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>> He's got time to do that, but he ain't got time to learn CW.
>>
>> SC
>>
>How do you know? You'd have to be at his house to know that. Are you
>over at his house? Are you really his buddy and won't admit it.
SC knows nothing about me
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4537. Re: sci.geo.earthquakes, Why doesn't Mark in the Dark post in that group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:23:50 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <nk1fk2deokom6ahrr3teper8hd5jij5mjv@4ax.com>
Source record: 170553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 06:32:15 GMT, RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
wrote:

>In article <YLS%g.19226$UG4.2973@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>> Because he's not a geophysicist.
>>
>> SC
>>
>You have no idea what he is. Compared to you hes a rocket scientist.
thank you
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4538. Re: How to spot a loser on the internet.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:25:15 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <bn1fk2p07ki552v4dh5qv5jmgoqu4cl03h@4ax.com>
Source record: 170555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 06:54:27 GMT, RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
wrote:

>In article <Cwx0h.332$LI4.3@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
>my.mail@no.spam says...
>>
>> "Whaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa! I don't what to learn CW."
>>
>>
>>
>
>"whaaaa! my name is slow code and I used to be a 3 wpm extra special nut
>case before they took my license for profanity on the air and jamming
>other stations."
profanity on the Air? hmmm
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4539. Re: Amateurs are something special.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:27:58 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <3s1fk25uk4786v1mcp5sl2jhq94agulv6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 170556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

On 31 Oct 2006 05:28:21 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Gav_HoJack...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
>> --
>> >
>> > yep maqueer, you're special allright.
>> > like one of fucking jerry's kids,you sick fruit!
>> id theft rermains a crime
>
>You should know, child raper.
woger yuo are the one with child rape on the brain
>
>> you are the sick one Obsessively stalking a Man who you have never met
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4540. id theft is a crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:29:00 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <tt1fk21u31sdv6brrbv5cib38jpr37kimq@4ax.com>
Source record: 170557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:27:11 +0000 (UTC), "Chris"
<daviesc838@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

>On 30 Oct 2006 19:08:35 -0800, kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Chris wrote:
>> > On 30 Oct 2006 18:54:44 -0800, kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > > one day you screww and offend someone unstable enough to make you pay
>> > > likely with your life but till then you will continue your obessive
>> > > stalking
>> >
>> > Whatever.
>> indeed the poitn is made and reinforced you don't hate ole woger you
>> are creuly trying to bait him back you are one sick fucker.
>
>Whatever.
>
>I can only name three teletubbies (Laa-laa, Tinky-winky, and Po), but
>there are four. Can you remember the name of the fourth teletubby?
I can't name any of them I am adulkt and don't watch teleltubbies
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4541. Re: Dewhorean Blows Wiseman's Cock why did the straigh man wisemen premit that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 17:30:41 UTC
From: Mark@kb9rqz.aprs
Message-ID: <l02fk29vnrsno7s8p25ajeds1ttereju2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 170558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 31 Oct 2006 05:18:36 -0800, "Not Cocksucker Lloyd"
<not_time_turd_lloyd@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>DeLorean wrote:
>> From > Copyright 2006 West Virginia Media. All rights reserved. This material may
>> not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redistributed. (This means you,
>> Todd Daugherty.)
>
>Too bad you fucked up and here's the real article:
>http://www.wtrf.com/story.cfm?func=viewstory&storyid=14830
>
>But then you TexASS queers can't read and write very well. I bet West
>Virginia Media would like to know about your copyright infringement,
>though.
no in this the crime would be FRAUD but try anyway
http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/

--
Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com

4542. get help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:02:03 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162317723.701570.21450@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
get over your obesstion with lloyd and me, mr turd

4543. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:02:25 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162317745.040100.22770@k70g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Cocksucker Lloyd wrote:
>
get flushed Mr Turd

4544. Re: What's wrong with ham Tradition?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:06:25 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162317985.454463.65700@b28g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Slow Code wrote:
>
> > Nothing. It makes us unigue.
> >
> > Len just doesn't like it is all.
> >
> > SC
>
> If Ham Tradition were truly tradition, it wouldn't have to be coded
> into law.
>
> It could stand on its own.
>
> Slow, what are you afraid of?
every thing it seems
```

4545. how does a revoked license show in the databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:09:12 UTC
From: "kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com" <kb9rqz-3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1162318152.251319.150430@m7g2000cwm.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

RadioGuy wrote:
> In article <FQw1h.12$L6.0@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>,
> my.mail@no.spam says...
> > "n3ox.dan@gmail.com" <n3ox.dan@gmail.com> wrote in
> > news:1162215787.165148.232880@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > You are a whackjob and you and Slow Code are undoubtedly the same
> > > goddamn person. I can't WAIT until the code is dropped. I'd honestly
> > > rather have you on 75 meters than crossposting to every
> > > rec.radio.amateur newsgroup you can find.
> >
> > WHAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA!
> >
> >
> > That's not going to happen Dan. Amateur radio is important to me. I
> > would turn off my rigs before I would violate any section of Part 97.
> >
> > Tnx, 73, Good luck in the contest.
> >
> > SC
> > 20wpm code tested Extra
> >
> You don't have a license!!! It was revoked a year ago!
how do they either in qrz or fcc

4546. Re: Move Omega One back to 13.556

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:54:07 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb165d9cc600480989a75@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <p258k2d70cj75p3ficqpome00qvfh5i47t@4ax.com>,
Mark@kb9rqz.aprs says...
> On Sun, 29 Oct 2006 02:00:42 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> >news:IgS0h.728$LI4.202@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>I can't here it on 6950
> >>
> >> SC
> >>
> >Drunk again?
> prehaps Tom more likely just in such a rush to finish his posting at
> work during his coffe break
> >
> http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
>
>
I know who he is. He doesn't have job. He is a state welfare
recepient. He used to sponge off his wife until she left.

RG

4547. Re: more of SC crapathon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:55:07 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb16619abf2f8d989a76@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In article <1162086750.889892.317430@f16g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
Gav_HoJackoff@yahoo.com says...
>
> Mark@kb9rqz.aprs wrote:
> > On Sat, 28 Oct 2006 15:35:37 -0500, "A. G. Bell" <anon@anon> wrote:
> > >
> > > >No comments needed here.
> > > indeed buyut you will contiue to dabte your "lloyd"
> > > >
> > > http://kb9rqz.blogspot.com/
> > >
> > > --
> > > Posted via a free Usenet account from http://www.teranews.com
> >
> > hey marqueer.......didn't i tell you to get off the fucking computer
> > and get back to licking my nuts?
> >
> >
You don't have any. They rotted off due to lack of hygene!

RG

4548. Re: well 4 attack messages so steve is earn 12 coutermmesseages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 18:59:57 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb1673529f0d364989a77@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <dqvdb.jgt.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
>
> "Slow Code" <my.mail@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:gxx0h.354$LI4.37@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Mark in the Dark' wrote in
> news:j4e4k2111nl86qj2vg8987gidk87766akq@4ax.com:
>
> > you may all thank steve for what foolows
>
>
> No. We're all going to blame you. What have you got against the
> rec.radio groups that you have to take a shit on them every day?
>
> SC
> ....
>
> Mark was banned from posting on QRZ, so he is taking his anger out on the
> newsgroups.
>
> Besides, he doesn't have anything better with which to occupy his time.
>
>
>
obviously neither do you or I or any of us! This group is just one big
namecalling fight like a bunch of 4 year olds. How did I ever get in
this!

RG

4549. Re: Mark going Greek?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 19:00:58 UTC
From: RadioGuy <RadioGuy@radioguy.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1fb1676f84066593989a78@news-server.carolina.rr.com>
Source record: 170566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In article <dr05k.mc3.17.1@news.alt.net>, anon@anon says...
> Mark Morgan said:
> "Greek society esp Athen expected men to have sex for breeding with
> there wifves and sex for fun with teen boys who were to tutored is
> various thing and sponsered for soctiey postion under the control of
> the sexaul patron (although acutal anal sex was rare in that society"
>
> Sounds as if Mark is pining for the Good Old Days....
>
>
>
Sounds like you're an authority on gay greeks!

4550. Re: What is the ARRL's thought on having good amateurs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2006-10-31 20:03:29 UTC
From: "LenAnderson@ieee.org" <LenAnderson@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <1162325009.080656.44270@i42g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170567

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Oct 31 2006 4:17 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > > > > > Dee Flint wrote:

[etc., etc., etc.... :-)]

>> > > > Half of all USA licensed amateurs are licensed under a Code-Free
>> > > > license.
>
>> > > You mean the Technician? If so, they are a considerable amount less
>> > > than half.
>
>> > > 40% is more like it.
>
>> > 49.5% according to your very own postings.
>
>> You are mistaken, Brian.
>
>No, I'm not.
>
>> The Technician license does not make up 49.5% of US hams. The total of
>> Technicians and Technician Pluses reaches about that level. (All
>> Technician Pluses are Morse Code tested).
>
>The FCC did away with the Technician Plus class of license. They are
>all Technicians now. The Technician license has no requirement for a
>code exam. Should a Technician wish to use what were once Technician
>Plus priveleges, they're on their own to show eligibility.

Miccolis can't seem to understand the LAW. No matter how
often it is explained to him, he falls back on the Speroni
'definition' of 'What Technicians Are.' Of course, AH0A
is a PCTA morseman of unchangeable ideas.

Miccolis also insisted that ENIAC was "the first electronic
computer" because he got brainwashed by Moore School PR,
being in eastern PA. Funny thing, but the LAW was decided
in the early 1970s by a Federal Court trial and the Atanasof-

Berry Computer of 1939-1942 was declared "first."

>> btw, no US amateur radio license is "code-free". All of them can use
>> Morse Code.
>
>And they can all use CWGet.

...and they can all toss their morse keys into the dumpster. :-)

>> btw, next Tuesday I get to choose between Curt Weldon and Joe Sestak.
>> Which do you think I should vote for?
>
>Who did you vote for last time?

...and why in hell should WE care?

>> > And you couldn't even get the distance to the moon,
>
>> You are mistaken.
>
>Right.

If'n Jimmie he say "mistaken" he be da Judge! He be da Law!

:-)

>> You've repeatedly claimed that I mis-stated the distance from Earth to
>> the moon on rrap.
>> Show us where I did that - if you can.
>
>> I don't think you can, because it did not happen. If I did it, show us.
>
>> Otherwise you're just making things up.
>
>You're making that up.

Miccolis ought to move to L.A. and get in the make-up biz.
Lotsa money to be made here in the entertainment capitol
of show business. Especially around Halloween time...:-)

>> > and you're a "professional."
>
>> I've never claimed to be a professional astronomer.
>
>What? Only astronomers get to calculate path loss in space?

A quarter-million-mile distance was in all the newspapers
since the Apollo Program began. Perhaps he thinks only
astronomers read newspapers? :-)

>> Len claims to be a "PROFESSIONAL in radio-electronics" (whatever that
>> is) but he messes up on the length of an antenna for a radio service he
>> has claimed to use.
>

>How can you be sure?

Tsk. Miccolis is hell-bent on character-assassination lately.
I make a typo in a message and he makes it into a HEADLINE
STORY charging some kind of ineptitude! :-)

Not only that, his Waffen SS buddie has to chime in like it
is a capital offense! :-)

Tsk, Miccolis is now under Typo Alert Status, condition Red.
Each and every typo HE makes will be FEATURED INEPTITUDES of
his own! Regardless of his 'explanations' of his typos, he
will be charged with violations of all mankind! :-)

That's all in the sense of "justice, fair play, common sense,
(etc.)" to "HELP" others. :-)

>The same point that you and W3RV are making when you kick around SSB vs
>CW in your field day and other scores. Why is it that comparing scores
>is only something that you can do?

He has declared himself Ultimate Authority, therefore 'judge.'

>> > The Morsemen
>
>> Who are they?
>
>There used to be four of them...

The "Four Morsemen of the Apocalypse." :-)

>> I simply want to know where all those stations are supposed to come
>> from.
>
>Where do stations come from now?

The stork brings them from Japan? :-)

>Many, many, many amateur just aren't interested in morse code, and
>many, many, many amateurs just aren't interested in contests.

Blasphemy! Heresy! The Church of St. Hiram may begin
the Inquisition with you tied on the stake, Brian!

>But if we were able to have have 100% participation and every amateur
>were offered a manual morse code key and a downloaded copy of CWGet....

Hmmm...interesting mental picture...350 thousand radio amateurs
on the few HF ham bands ALL busy 'contesting' in relatively the
same time period. That would result in the Ultimate QRM that
would cause meltdown of all the scores checkers... :-)

>> So what? I don't read everything written to rrap. Larry hasn't posted
>> here in *years*.
>

>Sure he has. He's posted as himself and he's probably posting as
>someone else.

Las Vegas odds-makers are with your assessment... :-)

>> I just don't see anyone using CWGet to operate a contest - even though
>> they could. Heck *you* could. Why don't you?
>
>I don't enjoy morse code.

We can only, repeat ONLY, "see" what Miccolis sees. All else
is a 'mistake.'

>> Why? The Conditional and its predecessor Class C go back to before the
>> FCC.
>
>So there's a long, long tradition in the dumbing down of the amateur
>radio service.

By all those olde-tyme morsemen REFUSING to allow modernization
of the US amateur radio service in going to, and trying out NEW
modes, methods, and lobbying for UPDATING the ARS regulations.

BTW, Miccolis hasn't existed since AFTER the end of WW II, let
alone the creation of the FCC in 1934...but he is "knowledgeable"
by "experience" of all those old pioneers (in his heart he knows
he is 'right').

Miccolis hadn't learned to read yet when the amateur SSB boom
began...over two decades AFTER the commercial and military
radio world had begun using SSB for long-haul HF comms. He
has NO direct experience to the radio world of the 1950s
except in some juvenile way. He wasn't working for a living
among amateurs who were divided about the SSB issues nor was
he party to some of those amateurs' (of long standing then)
rather abject ignorance of basic modulation concepts. [John
Carson of AT&T had published the mathematical proof in 1915,
the basis of the 'phasing' concept...the rest of the radio
world accepted Carson's proof and those specializing in FM
adopted "Carson's Rule" on FM modulation index]

Miccolis never tuned up any SSB transmitter in the early 1950s
as I had to do, never QSYed one. Not on HF and sure as hell
not IN the military (he never served). Neither did he tune
up or QSY any RTTY of MUX TTY transmitter on HF in that time
frame. But...he "knows" all about it by reading about it in
QST and the ARRL Handbook.

Miccolis is a MORSEMAN. Those of the "CW gets through when
nothing else will" DUMBED-DOWN amateur persuasion. All they
can conceive is switching RF off and on using morse code.
Methods that were used in the very first 'radios' of the
Spark Tx and 'crystal detector' era. On-off keying of a CW

carrier. Wow, real "technical" and full of smarts to bang-
bang switch a carrier!

Did the ARRL *ever* lobby to improve regulations for the
'new' modes in the ARS? Hell, the DSSS and FHSS modes were
kept hamstrung by ARS regulations into the 1990s...when the
commercial and military radio services were already using
DSSS and FHSS...DSSS being the major player in the commercial
WLAN and 'wireless' market. RTTY is still struggling along
with OLD speed limits. PSK31 was innovated by a Brit (Peter
Martinez) and was trial-tested in Europe for five years
before it got any publicity in US ham magazines. Non-US
hams have been using PM for extremely-weak radio comms for
years, on bands below the lowest allocated US ham bands;
the ARRL is finally getting around to 'requesting help' for
frequencies as 'low' as a small sliver just above 500 KHz,
helped get an 'experimental net' going there in this new
millennium. Wow, really 'advanced technology' there,
"exploring 'long wave' comms" with "CW."

"CW gets through when nothing else will." One of the 1930s
era MYTHS, born when hams were trying out DSB AM in days
before WW II. "CW" does NOT 'get through' better than PM
or some of the other modes, but the DUMBED-DOWN morsemen
just can't understand that. They think that OOK CW is
"smart!" 1906 thinking in the year 2006. Ptui.

>>> > Try to stay on the subject.
>>
>>> I am on the subject. You're trying to change it.
>>
>If you choose to comment on somthing I say, then confine it to what I
>said. If you stick with that simple concept, you'll do OK.

Brian, you KNOW Miccolis will NEVER do that. He runs off
at the keyboard into dozens of wild trips off the thread.
Mainly it is an attempt at MISDIRECTION so he won't have to
explain his own errors, mistakes, false assumptions, and
general ignorance of ALL radio, not the kind of radio that
was spoon-fed to him by ARRL publications.

>> > > First off, they had to have offices with test facilities. The office
>> > > they had in Philadelphia back when I took my exams was on the 10th
>> > > floor of the Custom House at 2nd and Chestnut. Lots of square feet of
>> > > prime real estate just for the exam room.
>
>> > > Then there was the time of the examiners, all of whom worked for FCC.
>> > > Pay and benefits. At least two people per office, three days a week.
>> > > Times the number of offices all over the country.

[Yawn...like Philly is the Center of the USA? I can't remember
the floor of the FCC Field Office in the Federal Building in

Chicago, IL, as it was located in 1956...other than it was
upstairs...might have been the 3rd floor, but the location
wasn't important. Several being examined for Radiotelegraph
licenses were audible QRM in the same room when I took my
Radiotelephone written test (lots of Great Lakes shipping used
"CW" then) The Chicago FCC office didn't need "lots of room
for equipment"...one paper-tape code reproducer was good enough
and the jacks for various keys didn't take up much space. Tables
and chairs for examinees was standard government-issue stuff,
tables too high and chairs uncushioned to make all uncomfortable]

[The Long Beach, CA, FCC Field Office of today is only slightly
better. Was never there for any test (didn't need to), only to
get a pile of paper for own business radio (non-amateur) cleared
away. By that time the FCC was busy, busy, busy with lots of
commercial radio and the new radio services and the rather
explosive growth of PLMRS that was opening the "high band"]

>> > > Then add the FCC folks who revised the exams, duplicated them, and
>> > > distributed them to the various offices all over the country. And the
>> > > cost of doing all that.

[Apparently Miccolis thinks ALL the FCC does is to regulate
amateur radio?!? He is blissfully UNaware of the fantastic
growth of ALL radio services in the last half century. He still
won't acknowledge the COLEM (who do privatized testing of non-
amateur radio operator licenses) nor of the privatized PLMRS
frequency coordinators nor of the fact of reduced paperwork and
licensing of the private maritime radio users (Long Beach is at
the heart of the maritime import-export top harbor and in the
center of dozens of large marinas). The FCC is concerned with
regulation of ALL US civil radio services, not just amateur.]

>> > Maybe next
>> > time you'll be able to cut and paste something germane to the subject.
>
>> The subject was the reduction in license requirements by FCC giving
>> over the testing to VEs.
>
>Nope. I twas the creation of the Conditional License.

Miccolis did his misdirection thing, then attempted to impose
'lawn order' by saying HE was 'judge' over what was being
discussed. Gotta love it. He's been doing that for years...
and manages to get away with it. :-)

Then he gets caught and he bleats, "Show me where? Provide
the posting!" He has been "hurt" or maybe "insulted" when
folks disagree with him, poor guy.

>> > > Eliminating Element 1 will not save the FCC any expense. Keeping it
>> > > will not cost them anything, either. Maybe that's why it's taking them

>> > > so long.
>
>> > Maybe. But they didn't even make the effort to define Morse Code in
>> > the rules for the last 3 R&Os.
>
>> Why should they? Is there any doubt?
>
>There appears to be. The ARRL VEC and other VECs are giving el 1 exams
>at 13-15WPM when Part 97 says 5WPM.

"It's for newcomers' own good" is probably the morsemen's only
good-enough answer. Yawn...keep on with 1906 thinking in 2006,
morse code uber alles...blah, blah, blah...

>> > Yet they tell you that the exam myst be
>> > 5WPM, and you've got all these VEs getting to define what that means.
>
>> It's not a problem to anyone with common sense.
>
>It appears to be a violation of Part 97.

It's a grey area in LEGAL terms. The WORD RATE is not
specifically defined in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R., and only
"assumed." FCC's Definitions cite the old CCITT-ITU Telegram
regulation as to coding and bit and length spacings. That
referenced International Telegram Standard doesn't
specifically define WORD RATE either.

Apparently the FCC gave the VEC Council written permission
to do characters at the higher rate, keeping the 'word rate'
at 5 words per minute. A problem is that this specific
"permission" has NOT made it into the (radio regulation)
LAW document yet. That makes it the "grey area" in legal
terms since it can be argued both ways.

REAL attorneys can comment on whether or not I am "mistaken."
Miccolis hasn't been admitted to a Legal Bar Association
yet and is unqualified to comment on law. But, he WILL
comment on that AS IF he IS the law...("truth, justice,
and the American way" spoken by SuperHam)

Happy Halloween, Brian.

LenAnderson@ieee.org